Opsins are Phospholipid Scramblases in All Domains of Life

Zachary A. Maschmann[1,*], David E. Hardy[1], Indu Menon[2], Jenna Webb[1], Anant K. Menon[2] and
Katrina T. Forest[1#]

[1]Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706
[2]Department of Biochemistry, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY 10065

#For Correspondence: Katrina T. Forest, forest@bact.wisc.edu

*ZAM current address: Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University 08540

Running Title: Bacterial Opsins are Scramblases
Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Abstract

Opsins are highly abundant retinal proteins in the membranes of photoheterotrophic
bacteria. However, some microbial genomes encode an *opsin* but lack the gene for the final
enzyme in retinal synthesis. To account for this paradox, we hypothesized that bacterial
opsins play a role in membrane structure and/or biogenesis independent from their potential
for light-driven signaling or proton pumping. After purifying actinorhodopsin from a cell-free
expression system and from *E. coli* membranes upon overexpression, we demonstrated both
in vitro and *in silico* that actinorhodopsin from *Nanopelagicus ca.* is a phospholipid
scramblase, serving in its pentameric state as a retinal-independent phospholipid diffusion
channel. Phospholipid headgroups move along a transbilayer path between
actinorhodopsin protomers, to equilibrate lipid content in the inner and outer leaflets. Two
profound activities, membrane biosynthesis and capture of light energy, are thus facilitated
by one ancient bacterial polypeptide. Light-dependent activity and light-independent
phospholipid scrambling are shared functions of eukaryotic, archaeal, and bacterial
rhodopsins.

Importance

Cells are surrounded by membranes which concentrate metabolites and protect cellular
contents. Biomembranes are bilayers, each layer made of phospholipids that orient greasy
tails inward and polar groups outward. Bilayer biogenesis depends on phospholipids
synthesized inside the cell reorienting from the inner to the outer membrane layer. This
activity was predicted before proteins responsible for it were identified, but eventually it was

shown that rhodopsins -- 7-helix photoactive membrane proteins bound to the cofactor
retinal -- carry out this activity in organisms as widely divergent as mammals and archaea.
Now we conclusively demonstrate using purified proteins in laboratory membranes and
computational approaches, that bacteria also employ rhodopsins as phospholipid
scramblases. This work is important because it highlights a surprising commonality among
bacteria, archaea and eukaryotes and because it shows that rhodopsins – ancient proteins
found in the last universal common ancestor – manifest two seemingly unrelated
biochemical functions in one protein.

Keywords: Membrane Biogenesis, Lipid scrambling, Photoheterotrophy, Rhodopsin,
Actinorhodopsin, ActR

Wordcount: Abstract 154, Text 5086

Introduction

Living cells engage in an ongoing process of self-construction. Phospholipid bilayer membranes separate the cellular interior from exterior, concentrate cytoplasmic contents, and protect cellular components. Membrane production is needed for cell division, and as part of turnover and repair processes (1). Phospholipids are synthesized in the cytoplasmic leaflet of biogenic membranes, such as the cytoplasmic membrane of bacteria and the endoplasmic reticulum(2). Reorientation of phospholipids between leaflets, *lipid scrambling,* is necessary for uniform growth of the bilayer but occurs too slowly to support life if unassisted(3–8). Biogenesis of all extant membrane bilayers requires a solution to this problem(9, 10), and evolution of this function likely would have provided ancient protocells with strong fitness benefits. Indeed, compartmentalization to support early life may have relied on the modularity and renewability of lipid bilayers (11, 12). Living cells use a facilitated lipid diffusion channel, termed a scramblase, to catalyze transbilayer phospholipid equilibration (10, 13–16). Scramblases are thought to shield the charged phospholipid head group from the hydrophobic bilayer interior to expedite lipid scrambling (10, 13–16).

In recent years, rhodopsins—well known for their photon capture based activities—have been shown to moonlight as scramblases. Menon and colleagues used a fluorescence-based assay to show that purified bovine rhodopsin exhibits scramblase activity when reconstituted into large unilamellar vesicles (17), accounting for previous observations that lipids scramble rapidly across photoreceptor disc membranes (18, 19). Scramblase activity was shown to be ATP-independent and constitutive, occurring in the absence of light or the retinal chromophore. Subsequent work showed that an archaeal rhodopsin — bacteriorhodopsin (BR) from the archaeon *Halobacterium salinarum* — also had light-independent scramblase activity (20). This functional parallelism was not a foregone conclusion given that mammalian visual sensory transducer rhodopsin and archaeal proton-pumping BR are found in anciently diverged organisms that differ greatly in membrane composition, architecture, metabolism, and environment.

Eubacterial rhodopsins have been known only since Sargasso Sea metagenomics

efforts uncovered proton-pumping proteorhodopsins in marine bacteria in 2000 (21),

followed by identification of opsin gene sequences in highly abundant nano-actinobacteria

in fresh water (22). Like BR, these bacterial retinylidene opsins harness photon energy to

pump protons across the membrane (23–25). Yet, notably, not all opsin-encoding bacteria

carry genes for the complete enzymatic pathway to synthesize the retinal chromophore(23,

24). While retinal may be scavenged in some natural environments (26–28), the incomplete

pathway nonetheless led us to hypothesize that ActR may moonlight (29) and exhibit a

second, retinal-independent function. Given that *actR* transcript levels in the natural

environment are extremely high (23, 30), implying abundant protein levels, this function

could be related to membrane structure and stability, echoing the fact that BR makes up 75%

of the dry weight of *Halobacterium salinarum* purple membranes (31). Alternatively, given

that bovine visual opsin and BR are identified scramblases (17, 20), we hypothesized that

ActR and other bacterial opsins would also be phospholipid scramblases. This idea was

bolstered by recent preliminary evidence of a Gram-negative bacterial proteorhodopsin

(ptqPR) from *Psychroflexus torquis* acting as a scramblase (32).

We now show that ActR catalyzes interchange of phospholipid between bilayer

leaflets. The dual ActR functions of proton pump (23) and scramblase emphasize the

versatility of photoreceptor function and may provide a molecular connection between

photoheterotrophy and membrane biogenesis.

Results

ActR is produced in large quantities in an in vitro transcription/translation system

We synthesized ActR (*Nanopelagicus ca.* genome sequence L06) in a wheat-term

extract translation system (33) with the inclusion of retinal in the translation reaction.

Purification by nickel affinity and size exclusion yielded a single ActR protein band, migrating

more rapidly (<25kDa) than expected from its ~29kDa molecular weight, and co-purifying

with a triplet identified by mass spectrometry as chaperones from the wheat germ extract

(Figure 1A). The protein was highly colored (Figure 1B) with peak absorbance at 544 nm—

characteristic of a bacterial rhodopsin with Leucine at the tuning position, and in agreement

with our previous observations for bacterially produced ActR (23, 34) (Figure 1C). Purity and

homogeneity of ActR was further demonstrated by our ability to crystallize it using a cubic

lipidic phase (Figure 1B, bottom panel).

ActR facilitates transbilayer phospholipid transport

To determine the scramblase activity of ActR we used standard fluorescence-based

assays (Figures 1D,E) in which proteins are reconstituted into large unilamellar vesicles

containing a trace amount of a fluorescent phospholipid reporter, *e.g.* 4-Nitro-2,1,3-

benzoxadiazole (NBD-PC) (17, 20, 35). To assay scrambling, the vesicles are interrogated

with topological probes – the dianion dithionite (Figure 1D), which reacts with the NBD

fluorophore to eliminate its fluorescence, and fatty acid-free BSA (Figure 1E) which captures

NBD-PC molecules that desorb from the vesicle surface, resulting in partial fluorescence

quenching.

Treatment of protein-free vesicles with dithionite is expected to result in ~50%

fluorescence loss as NBD-PC molecules in the outer leaflet of the vesicles are modified

whereas those in the inner leaflet are protected (Figure 1D, top row). This is what is

experimentally observed (Figure 1F, trace labeled 'empty' plateaus at 53%). However, when

the vesicles contain an active scramblase, rapid exchange of NBD-PC between the inner

and outer leaflets of the vesicle results in total loss of fluorescence as the entire

complement of NBD-PC becomes exposed to dithionite (Figure 1D, bottom row). We

reconstituted two different amounts of cell-free produced ActR via detergent-destabilization

of preformed liposomes. We also reconstituted bovine opsin (BO) as a positive control. As

shown in Figure 1F, dithionite treatment of ActR proteoliposomes (ActR-5, ActR-15) showed

a greater loss of fluorescence than seen with empty vesicles (L), indicating that ActR has

scramblase activity. Addition of hydroxylamine, which disrupts the Schiff's base linkage to

retinal, has no impact on scrambling activity (data not shown).

The reconstitutions were done at a protein:phospholipid ratio of ~5 µg/µmol (ActR-5

and BO-5) or ~15 µg/µmol (ActR-15). As ActR is smaller than BO (~29 kDa vs ~40 kDa), it

would be expected to functionalize at least as many vesicles as BO when reconstituted at

~5 µg/µmol. Yet, the data show that the extent of fluorescence reduction is not as great for

ActR-5 (73%) as for BO-5 (91%) when the proteins are similarly reconstituted (Figure 1F).

Even when 3x more ActR was reconstituted (ActR-15, Figure 1F), the extent of reduction was

~84%. A possible explanation for the lower efficiency with which ActR reconstitutes is that

the protein multimerizes *en route* to reconstitution and consequently populates fewer

vesicles with functional scramblases.

The fluorescence decay traces for ActR and BO-containing vesicles are well fit with a

double-exponential decay function which represents a convolution of the dithionite

reduction reaction rate ($t_{1/2}$ ~12 s) and a slower scrambling rate. For BO-5, the slow phase

has $t_{1/2}$ ~40s, whereas for ActR-5 and ActR-15, the $t_{1/2}$ values are ~400 and ~180 s,

respectively. These values suggest that ActR-mediated scrambling is somewhat slower

under these experimental conditions than that observed for BO.

To complement data generated using diothionite, we next used BSA as a topological

probe. For empty vesicles, ~30% fluorescence loss is expected, as NBD-PC from the outer

leaflet of the vesicles is quantitatively captured by BSA (Figure 1E, top row). When bound to

BSA, the quantum efficiency of NBD-PC is ~60% lower than when the lipid is in the

membrane (8, 36). For scramblase-equipped vesicles, transbilayer exchange of NBD-PC

enables all NBD-PC molecules to be captured by BSA, resulting in ~60% expected

fluorescence loss. Figure 1G shows greater fluorescence loss in ActR-containing vesicles

compared with empty vesicles, consistent with their scramblase activity. As with the

dithionite assay, greater fluorescence reduction was seen with ActR-15 than with ActR-5,

and BO-5 vesicles showed close to the maximum expected fluorescence loss.

Scramblase activity of ActR purified from E. coli

As an orthogonal means to isolate ActR, and to avoid the co-purification of wheat germ

chaperones, we overexpressed the same ActR sequence in *E. coli*, induced expression and

added retinal concomitantly, and purified the protein using metal affinity and gel filtration

chromatographies. SDS-PAGE analysis revealed a single band running at the expected size

for an ActR monomer (Figure 1H), with a characteristic purple color (Figure 1I) with maximum

absorbance at 544 nm (Figure 1J). On reconstitution into unilamellar vesicles, the

bacterially-produced protein also showed robust phospholipid scramblase activity,

measured using the dithionite modification of NBD (Figure 1D, 1K). Thus, whether produced

in a cell-free system or expressed in *E. coli*, ActR demonstrates scramblase activity.

ActR oligomeric state observed by EM

Microbial opsins have been observed in a range of oligomeric states (37–39),

including monomeric, trimeric and pentameric. Extended helices and a 3-omega motif

dictate the pentameric state of *Gloeobacter* rhodopsin (40). As these secondary structures

are also found in ActR, we expect it to be pentameric. Furthermore, ActR contains a triplet of

residues (H61, D95, and S20) homologous to those in *Gloeobacter* rhodopsin that are

important for modulating its oligomeric state at different sample pH values (40). The

multimer state of ActR may be important for both its light-dependent proton-pumping and

scramblase activities. We investigated the ActR oligomeric state at the neutral pH under

which the scramblase assays were carried out, using negative stain transmission electron

microscopy (TEM) and analytical size-exclusion chromatography. TEM images indicate a

heterogeneous mixture of ActR oligomers with a preference for pentamers (Figure 2A).

Coarse Grained Molecular Dynamics Support ActR Pentamer Scramblase Activity

To support our laboratory-based observations that ActR is a lipid scramblase, we

employed coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations over an extended time window

to assess scrambling activity of ActR in three oligomeric states. Three replicates of an ActR

pentamer model in a dioleoylphosphatidylcholine (DOPC) membrane bilayer showed ~4

scrambling events/μs (Figure 2B), consistent with rates calculated for archaeal BR (20). The

lipid inversion rate of two positive controls (scEMC3 with ~5 events/μs and VDAC1 dimer with

~8 events/μs) were consistent with results reported by Li *et al*. (41) whose computational

protocol we followed. In contrast, the ActR monomer, ActR trimer, and VDAC1 monomer

displayed negligible scrambling activity (Figure 2B).

Our model suggests that transbilayer phospholipid diffusion occurs at protomer
interfaces in ActR and involves polar and aromatic residues that form a hydrophilic groove,
consistent with the previously described credit card model (15). Side chains spanning the
hydrophilic groove from helix 1 of one protomer and helices 3 and 4 of the adjacent protomer
are highly involved (Figure 2C,D,E), as are buried water molecules (Figure 2D). Lipid
translocation takes on the order of 100 ns from initial approach to complete inversion. ActR-
mediated lipid scrambling demonstrates no directional bias.

The scrambling trajectory can be described in steps. First, lipids are recruited by polar
residues near the bilayer surface. For example, Tyr161 and Tyr164 on helix 5 serve this role
in the event depicted in Figure 2C. The phospholipid does not linger in these positions for
more than a frame or two during the coarse-grained simulation, and from 20 scrambling
events chosen for a deeper analysis (of total 138 observed), these residues come into play
in only 1 or 2 of the events, respectively. Thus, the recruitment step is transient and many
different side chains may be able to act at this step.

After this initial recruitment of the phospholipid, the heart of the scrambling
mechanism takes place within the inter-subunit groove. The phosphate bead enters a lower
pocket and contacts Tyr32 (75% of events) and Thr123 (95% of events) (Figure 2C,E). During
this step, the lipid tails may sample an equatorial orientation relative to the bulk lipid of the
membrane (Figure 2C, 411 ns & 420 ns panels). Subsequently, Phe21 (100% of 20 events)
passes the DOPC from the lower to an upper pocket. Passage into and through this second
water-containing cavity formed by Asn17, Tyr93 and Tyr137 (contacted in 90%, 40% and 85%
of events, respectively) is slow, with variable contact times on the order of 10 ns for these
three side chains (Figure 2C-F). All of these transitions can include backsliding. The upper
cavity is formed by side chains from three helices at the oligomer interface; Asn17 on helix 1
of one monomer interacts with Tyr93 on helix H3 and Tyr137 of H4 from the adjacent
monomer (Figure 2C,E).

Finally, an additional step involves transient contact with surface residues (for
example, Gln11 and Asn220), after which the lipid diffuses into the bulk membrane.

Discussion

Moonlighting is common among identified scramblases — multiple scrambling GPCRs (39, 42) and TMEM16 (43) in eukaryotes, numerous bacterial, ER, and mitochondrial membrane insertases (41, 44), and Gram positive (this work), Gram negative (32) and archaeal rhodopsins (20) perform other functions including ion transport, protein translocation, and signal transduction. The TMEM16 family of proteins, for example, function either as chloride channels or as nonspecific ion channels/lipid scramblases. The chloride channel members of this family may have derived from a primordial scramblase and evolved chloride transport at the expense of scramblase activity (45). It appears likely that yet-to-be discovered scramblases moonlight with other functions. The ubiquitous nature of scramblase activity in diverse membrane proteins also helps explain why it has been difficult to pinpoint a unique and essential scramblase in some organisms (5).

Rhodopsins were ancient proteins found in early-Earth life forms (46, 47), suggesting these proteins could have functioned as scramblases in the earliest cells. In the modern world, microbial rhodopsins are found across Bacteria, Archaea, and even some giant viruses (48, 49), with phylogenetic evidence suggesting that this wide distribution is due, at least in part, to frequent horizontal gene transfer (50). We are not aware of any conserved sequence motifs linked to the scramblase functionality of rhodopsins across the domains of life. This lack of sequence requirement suggests that scramblase functionality relies upon relatively hydrophilic surface characteristics without selecting for specific residues. While scramblase activity is essential, the molecular surface underpinning this function appears to be under low selective pressure, possibly owing to the high rates of lipid scrambling exhibited by even rudimentary scramblases (51, 52) that may render scramblases easily evolvable.

Our simulations show that the ActR pentamer exhibits robust scrambling activity, consistent with the observation that more ActR is needed to functionalize vesicles in comparison to bovine opsin. Monomeric and trimeric forms of ActR showed nominal scrambling, which suggests that the capacity to facilitate lipid diffusion depends on the oligomeric state of the protein, and that a fully functional hydrophilic groove is only present

in the pentameric form. The dependence of activity on oligomerization aligns with

observations from other scramblases such as VDAC1, where dimerization is necessary to

form a translocation-competent groove (36) and BR, where trimerization generates

interfaces that can scramble lipids (20).

The structural basis for actinorhodopsin-mediated scrambling centers on a

hydrophilic groove formed by helices 1 and 3+4 of neighboring protomers. This pathway is

lined with aromatic and polar residues that interact with the charged phospholipid

headgroup to facilitate translocation. The orientation of the phospholipid during the

scrambling event supports the credit-card insertion model, where the charged phosphate

head group slides between helices, forming transient interactions with polar groups, and

reorients in plane with the bulk lipid as it rejoins the bilayer (Figure 2C,D,F). Coarse-grained

resolution limits the precision in which we can observe side chain orientation and hydrogen

bonding. However, repeated observations of lipid contacts indicate a core set of residues—

including Asn17, Phe21, Tyr32, and Tyr137—that play a role in the translocation

mechanism.

We have shown that ActR$_{L06}$ from *Nanopelagicus ca.* of the acI lineage of

actinobacteria is a phospholipid scramblase, making it the third known microbial opsin with

scramblase activity alongside Gram-negative bacterial PtqPR and archaeal BR. Our

demonstration of the robust scrambling activity of Gram-positive bacterial actinorhodopsins

supports the notion that phospholipid scrambling is a fundamental function of rhodopsins

across the domains of life. This insight provides a broad foundation for further study of the

scramblase/opsin duality.   Particularly intriguing open questions are 1) Is there a pH

dependence of scrambling activity? The oligomeric state of *Gloeobacter* rhodopsin has been

shown to vary with pH (38) and our size exclusion chromatography mirrors these results

(data not shown). Decreased scramblase activity at low pH would prevent dissipation of the

proton gradient; low phospholipid pKa values enable a scenario where outer leaflet

phospholipids are protonated and subsequently deprotonated when scrambled to the inner

leaflet (53). Though *Nanopelagicus ca.* primarily inhabits the fresh water epilimnion, a

neutral pH habitat, freshwater lakes and bogs can contain low pH zones that may provide

environmental pressure for a pH-dependent adaptation of scramblase activity by ActR (54).

Perhaps this is a common mechanism for regulating bacterial rhodopsin scramblase activity

with consequences for energetics as well as membrane biogenesis. 2) Does the secondary

antennae carotenoid associated with rhodopsin in many bacterial and archaeal opsins (23,

55–57) have an impact on scrambling? Further elucidation of the structural roles of

secondary carotenoids as well as scrambling mechanisms is necessary to understand the

interplay, if any, between antenna association and scramblase functionality. Although

carotenoid association may add to thermal stability (56), our experimental and

computational scrambling assays were all done in the absence of the actinorhodopsin

carotenoid (23) and thus we can confidently say the antennae is not required for scramblase

activity. 3) Is the earliest role of rhodopsin scrambling or photoheterotrophy, or did these

activities co-evolve? Using our coarse grained molecular dynamics pipeline, we evaluated

the scramblase activity of an ancient opsin sequence recently determined by ancestral

sequence reconstruction (46). We did not observe scramblase activity (data not shown).

While this monomeric model has very highly conserved residues associated with

photoactivity, our current study concludes that scramblase activity relies more subtly on

appropriate alignment of amino acids with relevant properties along a protomer interface

than on invariant side chain identities. The study of the evolution of scramblase activity in

early opsins is an exciting area that warrants additional analysis.

Materials and Methods

Materials

16:0-18:1 PC (POPC) (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-glycero-3-phosphocholine), 16:0-18:1 PG

(POPG) (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1'-rac-glycerol) (sodium salt)), 16:0-

06:0   NBD   PC   (C6-NBD-PC)   (1-palmitoyl-2-{6-[(7-nitro-2-1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-

yl)amino]hexanoyl}-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) and 14:0-06:0 NBD PC ((1-myristoyl-2-

{6-[(7-nitro-2-1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-yl)amino]hexanoyl}-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) were

purchased as chloroform solutions from Avanti® Polar Lipids. n-Dodecyl-B-D-maltoside

(DDM) was purchased from VWR International. Bio-Beads SM2 Adsorbents were purchased

from Bio-Rad. Amberlite XAD-2 and sodium dithionite were purchased from Sigma Aldrich,

and fatty acid-free BSA was from EMD Millipore. Gibson Assembly® Master Mix was

purchased from New England BioLabs. PhusionTM High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase

Transcription reaction components, including S6 RNA polymerase, NTPs, RNase inhibitor,

and buffer were purchased from Promega Corporation. Translation reaction components,

including WEPRO® 8240H, Creatine Kinase, and SUB-Amix, were purchased from CellFree

Sciences.

Plasmid Construction

DNA codon-optimized for expression in *E. coli* and encoding the amino acid

sequence of ActR (ActR$_{L06}$ from *Nanopelagicus* ca. single-cell amplified genome L06 (acI-B))

(58, 23) was cloned into a pEU-C-His flexiVector, chosen for its suitability for *in vitro* protein

production, under the transcriptional control of the SP6 promoter (33). Insertion of the

coding sequence for ActR$_{L06}$ extended with a C-terminal 6x-His tag into the pEU flexiVector

was performed by amplifying plasmid fragments using PCR followed by Gibson Assembly

(59, 60). The same DNA sequence was also inserted behind the T7 promoter of a pET-28a

vector using Gibson Assembly for expression in *E. coli*. Amplification of DNA fragments was

performed using Phusion^{TM} High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase. Gibson Assembly was performed

using Gibson Assembly® Master Mix. The molecular weight of the 6x-His-tagged ActR

monomer is 29.7 kDa, with a predicted pI of 8.64.

Large Scale Cell Free ActR Production

Large scale transcription and translation reactions were carried out essentially as

described in Aly *et al*. (33, 61) using low magnesium buffer (Cell Free Sciences) for

transcription. Briefly, ActR-His$_6$ protein was synthesized in a 12-ml 8240H wheat germ

extract cell-free translation system (Cell Free Sciences) at 60 OD, using 0.1% MNG-10

(maltose neopentyl glycol-10) with 0.02% cholesteryl hemisuccinate (CHS) buffer, and 0.1

mM all-trans retinal was added during the translation. ActR was purified by Ni-affinity

chromatography followed by size exclusion. Protein was concentrated to approximately 15

mg/ml in 10 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.3 mM TCEP, 0.05% DDM, 0.01% CHS and

stored at -80 C.

ActR Expression and Purification in E. coli

BL21 (DE3) *E. coli* cells were used for recombinant expression of ActR. 10 mL of an

overnight culture was used to inoculate 1 L of LB supplemented with 50 µg/mL kanamycin,

which was then incubated with shaking (37°C, 250 rpm) until the OD_{600nm} reached 0.3, at

which point the temperature was reduced to 22°C. At OD_{600nm} of 0.5-0.6, ActR expression

was induced by adding powdered IPTG to a final concentration of 0.5 mM. All-trans retinal

was added concomitantly to a final concentration of 9 µM from a 50 mg/mL stock solution in

ethanol. After 16-20 hrs of growth in the dark, the culture was centrifuged at 4,000 g for 12

minutes at 4°C. After a freeze-thaw cycle, cells were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris,

300 mM NaCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 130 µM $CaCl_2$, 1 mg/mL lysozyme, 1 mM PMSF, pH=7.40), and

sonicated. This and all subsequent steps were carried out at 4°C. The sample was clarified

at 10,000g for 20 min, after which the supernatant was centrifuged at 40,000g for 45 min to

pellet membranes. The membrane fraction was resuspended in 20 mL of solubilization

buffer (50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 130 µM $CaCl_2$, 10 mg/mL DDM, pH=7.40)

using a Potter homogenizer, and incubated with rocking in the dark for 16-20 hrs. Aggregates

were removed by centrifugation at 40,000g for 20 min. The supernatant (~20 mL), now

containing solubilized membrane proteins, was collected and flowed continuously over 5

mL of Ni-NTA resin at 1 mL/min for 16-20 hrs using a peristaltic pump. The Ni-NTA resin was

washed with >20 bed volumes of wash buffer (50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, 50 mM Imidazole,

0.5 mg/mL DDM, pH=7.40) and ActR was eluted with wash buffer containing successively

higher imidazole concentrations, from 100 mM to 500 mM. ActR-containing fractions were

pooled, and concentrated along with DDM in a 10 kDa – cutoff spin concentrator (Millipore).

Samples were dialyzed against 50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH=7.4 and injected onto a

Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL size exclusion column pre-equilibrated with buffer

identical to the dialysis reservoirs supplemented with 0.5 mg/ml DDM using an ÄKTA pure™

chromatography system. ActR-containing fractions were pooled, concentrated to approx.

0.5 mg/ml and immediately used for liposome reconstitution. The overall yield was ~0.5

mg/L of bacterial culture.

ActR Negative Stain EM

ActR expressed in *E. coli* and purified through the metal affinity chromatography step

was kept at 4°C and used to prepare EM grids on the same day. CF200-CU grids were glow

discharged for 30 s at 15 mA on a Pelco easiGlow discharge system. 4 μL of sample (diluted

in elution buffer to 240, 80, 32, or 8 μg/mL) was applied to each grid for 1 minute before

blotting. Grids were washed 2x in 20 μL buffer, 2x in 20 μL 2% Uranyl acetate (UA), followed

by one minute incubation in 2% UA. All images were collected on a Talos L120c 120 keV

electron microscope using a Ceta CMOS detector at the University of Wisconsin–Madison

Cryo-EM Research Center.

Reconstitution of ActR into liposomes

Liposomes were prepared using a 9:1 (mol/mol) POPC/POPG mixture, spiked with 0.5%

16:0-06:0 NBD PC or 14:0-06:0 NBD PC. The lipid mixture was prepared from chloroform

stock solutions, dried under nitrogen or in a rotary evaporator, and then placed under

vacuum overnight at ambient temperature before being resuspended in buffer (50 mM

HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) at a concentration of ~4 mg/mL. The resuspended lipids were

processed further and taken for ActR reconstitution using either of two methods as follows.

Method 1 (used for *E. coli*-produced ActR): The sample was bath sonicated for 5 min,

incubated at ambient temperature for 1 h, sonicated again and aliquoted for individual

reconstitution experiments. Each aliquot was incubated with DDM (0.5% final) at ambient

temperature for 1 h, rotating end-over-end. ActR was then added, and incubation continued

for 1 h. Reconstitution was achieved by adding washed Amberlite XAD-2 (150 mg) followed

by incubation at ambient temperature for 1 h with end-over-end rotation. The samples were

withdrawn and added to a fresh aliquot of Amberlite, followed by incubation overnight at 4°C

with end-over-end rotation. Following this, the samples were withdrawn and transferred to

tubes containing fresh Amberlite for a final incubation at ambient temperature for 1 h. The

resulting proteoliposomes were stored at 4°C for up to two days, diluted 1/10 in excess buffer

and passed through a 0.2 µm filter on a Hamilton syringe assembly to produce a narrow size

distribution of ActR-containing liposomes (62, 63). Method 2 (used for cell-free synthesized

ActR): The sample was extruded successively through 400 nm and then 200 nm filters as

described (36) to generate unilamellar vesicles with an average diameter of 170 nm. TX-100

(0.2% final) was added to destabilize the vesicles, before adding ActR. The mixture was

treated with one shot of washed BioBeads to remove detergent, initially at room

temperature and then overnight at 4°C. The resulting vesicles were assayed directly (no

further extrusion).

Scramblase Assays

Scramblase activity assays were performed as previously described (17, 20, 64, 65),

using vesicles diluted to 2.5 mL in buffer (50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH7.4). NBD

fluorescence (λ_{ex}=470 nm, λ_{em}=530 nm) was monitored over time in spectrofluorimeters

(QuantaMaster Model C-60/2000 or Horiba) equipped with a temperature-controlled

cuvette holder, with a magnetic stirring apparatus. After obtaining a stable fluorescence

signal, dithionite (from a 1M stock) or fatty acid-free BSA (from a 75 mg/ml stock) was added.

Addition of dithionite (final 10-20 mM) covalently modifies the fluorescent NBD moiety and

eliminates its fluorescence signal (66), leading to fluorescence drops of 50-100% for protein-

free liposomes and scramblase-active proteoliposomes, respectively. BSA (final 15 mg/ml)

captures and quenches NBD-PC molecules that desorb from the outer leaflet, leading to

fluorescence drops of ~30-60% for protein-free liposomes and scramblase-active

proteoliposomes, respectively (8, 66).

Fluorescence traces were normalized to stable fluorescence emission values prior to

dithionite or BSA addition, and analyzed as described (68) using mono-exponential fits for

protein-free liposomes and a double-exponential fit for ActR-containing samples. Analyses

were performed within MATLAB or PRISM, using their curve fitting functions.

Coarse Grained Molecular Dynamics

An ActR monomer structural model was generated using Chai-1 for *de novo* structure

prediction (69). The crystal structure of VDAC1 (RCSB: 6G6U) and bovine opsin (RCSB:

4A4M) were obtained from the RCSB Protein Data Bank (70). For scEMC3, missing regions in

the crystal structure (6WB9) were modeled using AlphaFold (71, 72). Two BR dimers were

tested and compared; one generated in AlphaFold and aligned to a crystal structure (4MD2)

and the other a vacuum-minimized crystal structure (1AT9). We found similar scramblase

activity in both models, and herein we present the AI-predicted model. Coarse-grained

molecular dynamics (CGMD) simulations were performed on a high-throughput computing

cluster using the HTCondor software suite and GROMACS 2022.2 (73, 74). Visual Molecular

Dynamics (VMD) and Tachyon were used for trajectory visualization and image rendering (75,

76).

Protein models were initially subjected to energy minimization in a vacuum until the

maximum force on any atom was below 100 $kJ \cdot mol^{-1} \cdot nm^{-1}$. Both the minimization and

production runs were conducted using a GROMACS 2022.2 container image hosted on

Docker Hub. Following minimization, the ActR trimer and pentamer were built by aligning the

monomer to a trimeric *Halobacter salinarum* BR model (1AT9) (77) and to a pentameric

sodium-pumping rhodopsin (KR2) from *Dokdonia eikasta* (6YC3) which was

crystallographically determined at 2.1 Å resolution (37). VDAC1 monomers were aligned to

the anti-parallel dimer RCSB structure and subsequently manually adjusted to the highly

scramblase-active parallel conformation described by Jahn et al. (36).

Proteins were embedded in a dummy membrane using the Orientation of Proteins in

Membranes (OPM) web server (78). The resulting protein–membrane systems were built and

solvated using CHARMM-GUI, then converted to coarse-grained representations using the

Martini 3 force field with Elastic Network Dynamics (ElNeDyn) (79–83). Each membrane

leaflet contained 400–500 molecules of 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC),

for a total of 800–1000 lipid molecules per bilayer. Electrostatic charges in the protein were

counterbalanced by adding Na^+ and Cl^- ions to solution to bring the net charge to zero. Each

system was solvated with approximately 2×10^4 water beads.

To profile lipid dynamics in the presence of putative scramblases, simulations consisted of

energy minimization, equilibration, and a production run with randomized initial velocities.

447 Production simulations were run for 5×10^8 steps with a time step of 20 fs, yielding a total

448 trajectory length of 10 μs. Temperature was maintained at 310 K using a velocity-rescaling

449 (v-rescale) thermostat within an NPT ensemble. Pressure was set to 1 bar using the

450 Parrinello–Rahman barostat. Calculations were executed on a local GROMACS container

451 and using HTCondor at the UW-Madison Center for High Throughput Computing (84).

452 Systems were interrogated for scramblase activity by measuring phosphate headgroup (PO_4)

453 angles of every DOPC every 1 ns following the method described by Li *et al.*. A vector is

454 defined whose direction bisects the angle between the two vectors from head group to lipid

455 tail for each DOPC. A buffer region is defined from 55º to 125º and an event is measured if

456 the vector goes from under 55º to over 125º (or *vice versa*). Denoising was accomplished by

457 averaging angles over 50 ns windows and sampling this smoothed data every 25 ns. The

458 number of scrambling events per microsecond were averaged across all replicates.

459 Simulations for VDAC1, scEMC3, BO, and ActR trimer were run twice and all other models

460 were run three times.

461 **Acknowledgements**

462 We thank Prof. Brian Fox and Dr. Emily Beebe of the UW-Madison Transmembrane Protein

463 Center for *in vitro* production and purification of ActR, Dr. Takefumi Morizumi and Prof. Oliver

464 Ernst (University of Toronto) for a sample of bovine opsin, and Prof. Katherine Henzler-

465 Wildman, Dr. Vilius Kurauskas and Prof. Katherine McMahon for valuable expertise. We

466 thank the Landick and Kaçar labs at the University of Wisconsin–Madison for use of

467 laboratory equipment. We thank Prof. Elizabeth R. Wright, Dr. Bryan Sibert, and Alex Cotton

468 at the University of Wisconsin–Madison Cryo-EM Research Center for negative stain cryo-

469 EM services.

471 **Funding and Additional Information**

472 Research was supported by the USDA (WIS03052 to KTF), Einstein Foundation Visiting

473 Fellow Program (to KTF), the Human Frontier Science Program (Ref.-No: RGP009/2023 to AM)

474 and the National Science Foundation (Grant 2425586 to AM and Graduate Research

Fellowship Grant No. 2137424 to DEH). The Transmembrane Protein Center was funded by

the NIH (1U54 GM094584 to Brian Fox). Some of the fluorescence data were obtained at the

University of Wisconsin – Madison Biophysics Instrumentation Facility, which was

established with support from the University of Wisconsin – Madison and grants BIR-

9512577 (NSF) and S10 RR13790 (NIH).

References

1. Zhang Y-M, Rock CO. 2008. Membrane lipid homeostasis in bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol

6:222–233.

2. Sanyal S, Menon AK. 2009. Flipping Lipids: Why an' What's the Reason for? ACS Chem

Biol 4:895–909.

3. McConnell HM, Kornberg RD. 1971. Inside-outside transitions of phospholipids in

vesicle membranes. Biochemistry 10:1111–1120.

4. Rothman JE, Kennedy EP. 1977. Rapid transmembrane movement of newly synthesized

phospholipids during membrane assembly. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 74:1821–1825.

5. Hrafnsdóttir S, Menon AK. 2000. Reconstitution and Partial Characterization of

Phospholipid Flippase Activity from Detergent Extracts of the Bacillus subtilis Cell

Membrane. J Bacteriol 182:4198–4206.

6. Hrafnsdóttir S, Nichols JW, Menon AK. 1997. Transbilayer Movement of Fluorescent

Phospholipids in Bacillus megaterium Membrane Vesicles. Biochemistry 36:4969–

4978.

7. Huijbregts RPH, de Kroon AIPM, de Kruijff B. 1996. Rapid transmembrane movement of

C6-NBD-labeled phospholipids across the inner membrane of *Escherichia coli*.

Biochim Biophys Acta BBA - Biomembr 1280:41–50.

8.   Kubelt J, Menon AK, Müller P, Herrmann A. 2002. Transbilayer Movement of Fluorescent

Phospholipid Analogues in the Cytoplasmic Membrane of Escherichia coli.

Biochemistry 41:5605–5612.

9.   Kol MA, de Kruijff B, de Kroon AIPM. 2002. Phospholipid flip-flop in biogenic

membranes: what is needed to connect opposite sides. Semin Cell Dev Biol 13:163–

170.

10. Pomorski TG, Menon AK. 2016. Lipid somersaults: Uncovering the mechanisms of

protein-mediated lipid flipping. Prog Lipid Res 64:69–84.

11. Luisi PL, Walde P, Oberholzer T. 1999. Lipid vesicles as possible intermediates in the

origin of life. Curr Opin Colloid Interface Sci 4:33–39.

12. Deamer DW. 1997. The first living systems: a bioenergetic perspective. Microbiol Mol

Biol Rev 61:239–261.

13. Sebinelli HG, Syska C, Čopič A, Lenoir G. 2024. Established and emerging players in

phospholipid scrambling: A structural perspective. Biochimie S0300-9084(24)00218–

9.

14. Wang Y, Kinoshita T. 2023. The role of lipid scramblases in regulating lipid distributions

at cellular membranes. Biochem Soc Trans 51:1857–1869.

15. Pomorski T, Menon AK. 2006. Lipid flippases and their biological functions. Cell Mol Life

Sci CMLS 63:2908–2921.

16. Sakuragi T, Nagata S. 2023. Regulation of phospholipid distribution in the lipid bilayer

by flippases and scramblases. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 24:576–596.

17. Menon I, Huber T, Sanyal S, Banerjee S, Barré P, Canis S, Warren JD, Hwa J, Sakmar TP,

Menon AK. 2011. Opsin Is a Phospholipid Flippase. Curr Biol 21:149–153.

18. Hessel E, Herrmann A, Müller P, Schnetkamp PPM, Hofmann K-P. 2000. The transbilayer

distribution of phospholipids in disc membranes is a dynamic equilibrium. Eur J

Biochem 267:1473–1483.

19. Wu G, Hubbell WL. 1993. Phospholipid asymmetry and transmembrane diffusion in

photoreceptor disc membranes. Biochemistry 32:879–888.

20. Verchère A, Ou W-L, Ploier B, Morizumi T, Goren MA, Bütikofer P, Ernst OP, Khelashvili G,

Menon AK. 2017. Light-independent phospholipid scramblase activity of

bacteriorhodopsin from Halobacterium salinarum. Sci Rep 7:9522.

21. Beja O, Aravind L, Koonin EV, Suzuki MT, Hadd A, Nguyen LP, Jovanovich SB, Gates CM,

Feldman RA, Spudich JL, Spudich EN, DeLong EF. 2000. Bacterial rhodopsin: evidence

for a new type of phototrophy in the sea. Science 289:1902–1906.

22. Sharma AK, Zhaxybayeva O, Papke RT, Doolittle WF. 2008. Actinorhodopsins:

proteorhodopsin-like gene sequences found predominantly in non-marine

environments. Environ Microbiol 10:1039–1056.

23. Dwulit-Smith JR, Hamilton JJ, Stevenson DM, He S, Oyserman BO, Moya-Flores F,

Garcia SL, Amador-Noguez D, McMahon KD, Forest KT. 2018. acI Actinobacteria

Assemble a Functional Actinorhodopsin with Natively Synthesized Retinal. Appl

Environ Microbiol 84:e01678-18.

24. Keffer JL, Hahn MW, Maresca JA. 2016. Characterization of an Unconventional

Rhodopsin from the Freshwater Actinobacterium Rhodoluna lacicola. J Bacteriol

197:2704–2712.

25. Nakamura S, Kikukawa T, Tamogami J, Kamiya M, Aizawa T, Hahn MW, Ihara K, Kamo N,

Demura M. 2016. Photochemical characterization of actinorhodopsin and its

functional existence in the natural host. Biochim Biophys Acta BBA - Bioenerg

1857:1900–1908.

26. Hirschi S, Lemmin T, Ayoub N, Kalbermatter D, Pellegata D, Ucurum Z, Gertsch J,

Fotiadis D. 2024. Structural insights into the mechanism and dynamics of

proteorhodopsin biogenesis and retinal scavenging. Nat Commun 15:6950.

27. Wu X, Jiang J, Hu J. 2013. Determination and occurrence of retinoids in a eutrophic lake

(Taihu Lake, China): cyanobacteria blooms produce teratogenic retinal. Environ Sci

Technol 47:807–814.

28. Jaffe AL, Konno M, Kawasaki Y, Kataoka C, Béjà O, Kandori H, Inoue K, Banfield JF. 2022.

Saccharibacteria harness light energy using type-1 rhodopsins that may rely on retinal

sourced from microbial hosts. ISME J 16:2056–2059.

29. Singh N, Bhalla N. 2020. Moonlighting Proteins. Annu Rev Genet 54:265–285.

30. Hamilton JJ, Garcia SL, Brown BS, Oyserman BO, Moya-Flores F, Bertilsson S,

Malmstrom RR, Forest KT, McMahon KD. 2017. Metabolic Network Analysis and

Metatranscriptomics Reveal Auxotrophies and Nutrient Sources of the Cosmopolitan

Freshwater Microbial Lineage acI. mSystems 2:10.1128/msystems.00091-17.

31. Corcelli A, Lattanzio VMT, Mascolo G, Papadia P, Fanizzi F. 2002. Lipid-protein

stoichiometries in a crystalline biological membrane: NMR quantitative analysis of the

lipid extract of the purple membrane. J Lipid Res 43:132–140.

32. Fang J, Zhang Y, Zhu T, Li Y. 2023. Scramblase activity of proteorhodopsin confers

physiological advantages to Escherichia coli in the absence of light. iScience

26:108551.

33. Aly KA, Beebe ET, Chan CH, Goren MA, Sepúlveda C, Makino S, Fox BG, Forest KT. 2013.

Cell-free production of integral membrane aspartic acid proteases reveals zinc-

dependent methyltransferase activity of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa prepilin

peptidase PilD. MicrobiologyOpen 2:94–104.

34. Mao J, Jin X, Shi M, Heidenreich D, Brown LJ, Brown RCD, Lelli M, He X, Glaubitz C.

2024. Molecular mechanisms and evolutionary robustness of a color switch in

proteorhodopsins. Sci Adv 10:eadj0384.

35. Ploier B, Menon AK. 2016. A Fluorescence-based Assay of Phospholipid Scramblase

Activity. J Vis Exp JoVE 54635.

36. Jahn H, Bartoš L, Dearden GI, Dittman JS, Holthuis JCM, Vácha R, Menon AK. 2023.

Phospholipids are imported into mitochondria by VDAC, a dimeric beta barrel

scramblase. Nat Commun 14:8115.

37. Kovalev K, Astashkin R, Gushchin I, Orekhov P, Volkov D, Zinovev E, Marin E, Rulev M,

Alekseev A, Royant A, Carpentier P, Vaganova S, Zabelskii D, Baeken C, Sergeev I,

Balandin T, Bourenkov G, Carpena X, Boer R, Maliar N, Borshchevskiy V, Büldt G,

Bamberg E, Gordeliy V. 2020. Molecular mechanism of light-driven sodium pumping.

Nat Commun 11:2137.

38. Morizumi T, Ou W-L, Van Eps N, Inoue K, Kandori H, Brown LS, Ernst OP. 2019. X-ray

Crystallographic Structure and Oligomerization of Gloeobacter Rhodopsin. Sci Rep

9:11283.

39. Goren MA, Morizumi T, Menon I, Joseph JS, Dittman JS, Cherezov V, Stevens RC, Ernst

OP, Menon AK. 2014. Constitutive phospholipid scramblase activity of a G Protein-

coupled receptor*. Nat Commun 5:5115.

40. Morizumi T, Ou W-L, Van Eps N, Inoue K, Kandori H, Brown LS, Ernst OP. 2019. X-ray

Crystallographic Structure and Oligomerization of Gloeobacter Rhodopsin. Sci Rep

9:11283.

41. Li D, Rocha-Roa C, Schilling MA, Reinisch KM, Vanni S. 2024. Lipid scrambling is a

general feature of protein insertases. Proc Natl Acad Sci 121:e2319476121.

42. Morra G, Razavi AM, Pandey K, Weinstein H, Menon AK, Khelashvili G. 2018.

Mechanisms of lipid scrambling by the G protein-coupled receptor opsin. Struct Lond

Engl 1993 26:356-367.e3.

43. Lee B-C, Menon AK, Accardi A. 2016. The nhTMEM16 Scramblase Is Also a Nonselective

Ion Channel. Biophys J 111:1919–1924.

44. Bartoš L, Menon AK, Vácha R. 2024. Insertases scramble lipids: Molecular simulations

of MTCH2. Struct Lond Engl 1993 32:505-510.e4.

45. Kalienkova V, Clerico Mosina V, Paulino C. 2021. The Groovy TMEM16 Family: Molecular

Mechanisms of Lipid Scrambling and Ion Conduction. 16. J Mol Biol 433:166941.

46. Sephus CD, Fer E, Garcia AK, Adam ZR, Schwieterman EW, Kacar B. 2022. Earliest

Photic Zone Niches Probed by Ancestral Microbial Rhodopsins. Mol Biol Evol

39:msac100.

47. DasSarma S, Schwieterman EW. 2021. Early evolution of purple retinal pigments on

Earth and implications for exoplanet biosignatures. Int J Astrobiol 20:241–250.

48. Bryant DA, Frigaard N-U. 2006. Prokaryotic photosynthesis and phototrophy

illuminated. Trends Microbiol 14:488–496.

49. Pinhassi J, DeLong EF, Béjà O, González JM, Pedrós-Alió C. 2016. Marine Bacterial and

Archaeal Ion-Pumping Rhodopsins: Genetic Diversity, Physiology, and Ecology.

Microbiol Mol Biol Rev MMBR 80:929–954.

50. Sharma AK, Spudich JL, Doolittle WF. 2006. Microbial rhodopsins: functional versatility

and genetic mobility. Trends Microbiol 14:463–469.

51. Nakao H, Tsujii T, Saito H, Ikeda K, Nakano M. 2025. Synergistic effects of hydrophilic

residues in the transmembrane region on lipid scrambling activity of dimeric helices.

Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 251:114612.

52. Nakao H, Sugimoto Y, Ikeda K, Saito H, Nakano M. 2020. Structural Feature of Lipid

Scrambling Model Transmembrane Peptides: Same-Side Positioning of Hydrophilic

Residues and Their Deeper Position. J Phys Chem Lett 11:1662–1667.

53. Marsh D. 2013. Handbook of Lipid Bilayers, 2nd ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton.

54. Rohwer RR, Kirkpatrick M, Garcia SL, Kellom M, McMahon KD, Baker BJ. 2025. Two

decades of bacterial ecology and evolution in a freshwater lake. Nat Microbiol 10:246–

257.

55. Balashov SP, Imasheva ES, Boichenko VA, Antón J, Wang JM, Lanyi JK. 2005.

Xanthorhodopsin: A Proton Pump with a Light-Harvesting Carotenoid Antenna.

Science 309:2061–2064.

56. Chuon K, Shim J, Kang K-W, Cho S-G, Hour C, Meas S, Kim J-H, Choi A, Jung K-H. 2022.

Carotenoid binding in Gloeobacteria rhodopsin provides insights into divergent

evolution of xanthorhodopsin types. Commun Biol 5:1–8.

57. Tzlil G, Marín MDC, Matsuzaki Y, Nag P, Itakura S, Mizuno Y, Murakoshi S, Tanaka T,

Larom S, Konno M, Abe-Yoshizumi R, Molina-Márquez A, Bárcenas-Pérez D, Cheel J,

Koblížek M, León R, Katayama K, Kandori H, Schapiro I, Shihoya W, Nureki O, Inoue K,

Rozenberg A, Chazan A, Béjà O. 2025. Structural insights into light harvesting by

antenna-containing rhodopsins in marine Asgard archaea. Nat Microbiol 10:1484–

1500.

58. Garcia SL, McMahon KD, Martinez-Garcia M, Srivastava A, Sczyrba A, Stepanauskas R,

Grossart HP, Woyke T, Warnecke F. 2013. Metabolic potential of a single cell belonging

to one of the most abundant lineages in freshwater bacterioplankton. ISME J 7:137–

147.

59. Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang R-Y, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO. 2009. Enzymatic

assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat Methods 6:343–

345.

60. Heydenreich FM, Miljuš T, Jaussi R, Benoit R, Milić D, Veprintsev DB. 2017. High-

throughput mutagenesis using a two-fragment PCR approach. Sci Rep 7:6787.

61. Beebe ET, Makino S-I, Nozawa A, Matsubara Y, Frederick RO, Primm JG, Goren MA, Fox

BG. 2011. Robotic large-scale application of wheat cell-free translation to structural

studies including membrane proteins. New Biotechnol 28:239–249.

62. Dearden GI, Ravishankar V, Sakata K, Menon AK, Bergdoll L. 2024. Protocol for the

production and reconstitution of VDAC1 for functional assays. STAR Protoc 5:103240.

63. Ong SGM, Chitneni M, Lee KS, Ming LC, Yuen KH. 2016. Evaluation of Extrusion

Technique for Nanosizing Liposomes. 4. Pharmaceutics 8:36.

64. Brunner JD, Schenck S, Dutzler R. 2016. Structural basis for phospholipid scrambling in

the TMEM16 family. Curr Opin Struct Biol 39:61–70.

65. Malvezzi M, Chalat M, Janjusevic R, Picollo A, Terashima H, Menon AK, Accardi A. 2013.

Ca2+-dependent phospholipid scrambling by a reconstituted TMEM16 ion channel.

Nat Commun 4:2367.

66. Chang Q, Gummadi SN, Menon AK. 2004. Chemical Modification Identifies Two

Populations of Glycerophospholipid Flippase in Rat Liver ER. Biochemistry 43:10710–

10718.

67. McIntyre JC, Sleight RG. 1991. Fluorescence assay for phospholipid membrane

asymmetry. Biochemistry 30:11819–11827.

68. Menon I, Sych T, Son Y, Morizumi T, Lee J, Ernst OP, Khelashvili G, Sezgin E, Levitz J,

Menon AK. 2024. A cholesterol switch controls phospholipid scrambling by G protein-

coupled receptors. J Biol Chem 300:105649.

69. Team CD, Boitreaud J, Dent J, McPartlon M, Meier J, Reis V, Rogozhonikov A, Wu K. 2024.

Chai-1: Decoding the molecular interactions of life. bioRxiv

https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.10.10.615955.

70. Razeto A, Gribbon P, Loew C. 2019. The dynamic nature of the VDAC1 channels in

bilayers: human VDAC1 at 2.7 Angstrom resolution: 6g6u.

71. Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K,

Bates R, Žídek A, Potapenko A, Bridgland A, Meyer C, Kohl SAA, Ballard AJ, Cowie A,

Romera-Paredes B, Nikolov S, Jain R, Adler J, Back T, Petersen S, Reiman D, Clancy E,

Zielinski M, Steinegger M, Pacholska M, Berghammer T, Bodenstein S, Silver D, Vinyals

O, Senior AW, Kavukcuoglu K, Kohli P, Hassabis D. 2021. Highly accurate protein

structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature 596:583–589.

72. Bai L, You Q, Feng X, Kovach A, Li H. 2020. Structure of the ER membrane complex, a

transmembrane-domain insertase. Nature 584:475–478.

73. Bekker H, Berendsen HJC, Djikstra EJ, Achterop S, van Drunen R, van der Spoel D,

Sijbers A, Keegstra H. 1993. Gromacs: A parallel computer for molecular dynamics

simulations, p. 252–256. *In* de Groot, RA, Nadrchal, J (eds.), Physics Computing '92.

World Scientific, Singapore.

74. Thain D, Tannenbaum T, Livny M. 2005. Distributed computing in practice: the Condor

experience. Concurr - Pract Exp 17:323–356.

75. Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. 1996. VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. J Mol Graph

14:33–38.

76. Stone J. 1998. An Efficient Library for Parallel Ray Tracing and Animation. Computer

Science Department, University of Missouri-Rolla.

77. Kimura Y, Vassylyev DG, Miyazawa A, Kidera A, Matsushima M, Mitsuoka K, Murata K,

Hirai T, Fujiyoshi Y. 1997. Surface of bacteriorhodopsin revealed by high-resolution

electron crystallography. Nature 389:206–211.

78. Lomize MA, Pogozheva ID, Joo H, Mosberg HI, Lomize AL. 2012. OPM database and

PPM web server: resources for positioning of proteins in membranes. Nucleic Acids

Res 40:D370-376.

79. Jo S, Kim T, Iyer VG, Im W. 2008. CHARMM-GUI: A web-based graphical user interface

for CHARMM. J Comput Chem 29:1859–1865.

80. Brooks BR, Brooks III CL, Mackerell Jr. AD, Nilsson L, Petrella RJ, Roux B, Won Y,

Archontis G, Bartels C, Boresch S, Caflisch A, Caves L, Cui Q, Dinner AR, Feig M,

Fischer S, Gao J, Hodoscek M, Im W, Kuczera K, Lazaridis T, Ma J, Ovchinnikov V, Paci

E, Pastor RW, Post CB, Pu JZ, Schaefer M, Tidor B, Venable RM, Woodcock HL, Wu X,

Yang W, York DM, Karplus M. 2009. CHARMM: The biomolecular simulation program. J

Comput Chem 30:1545–1614.

81. Lee J, Cheng X, Swails JM, Yeom MS, Eastman PK, Lemkul JA, Wei S, Buckner J, Jeong

JC, Qi Y, Jo S, Pande VS, Case DA, Brooks CLI, MacKerell ADJr, Klauda JB, Im W. 2016.

CHARMM-GUI Input Generator for NAMD, GROMACS, AMBER, OpenMM, and

CHARMM/OpenMM Simulations Using the CHARMM36 Additive Force Field. J Chem

Theory Comput 12:405–413.

82. Qi Y, Ingólfsson HI, Cheng X, Lee J, Marrink SJ, Im W. 2015. CHARMM-GUI Martini Maker

for Coarse-Grained Simulations with the Martini Force Field. J Chem Theory Comput

11:4486–4494.

83. Hsu P-C, Bruininks BMH, Jefferies D, Cesar Telles de Souza P, Lee J, Patel DS, Marrink

SJ, Qi Y, Khalid S, Im W. 2017. CHARMM-GUI Martini Maker for modeling and

simulation of complex bacterial membranes with lipopolysaccharides. J Comput

Chem 38:2354–2363.

84. Center for High Throughput Computing. (2006). doi:10.21231/GNT1-HW21

Figure Legends

Figure 1. acI-B (*Nanopelagicus* ca.) ActR$_{L06}$ expressed in a cell free system or in *E. coli* is a

phospholipid scramblase

(A/H) SDS-PAGE analysis of affinity-purified (A) cell free- or (H) *E. coli*-produced ActR$_{L06}$

following size-exclusion chromatography. (B/I) Image of (B) cell free- or (I) *E. coli*-produced

ActR$_{L06}$ showing strong reddish color. (C/J) Absorbance spectrum of (C) cell free- or (G) *E.*

coli-produced ActR$_{L06}$. Note maximum absorbance at 543 nm.

(D) Protein free liposomes (L) and scramblase-containing proteoliposomes are shown. The

vesicles are prepared with a trace quantity of NBD-PC distributed equally between inner and

outer leaflets. Upon addition of dithionite, the nitro group in NBD-PC is covalently modified

to an amino group and the resulting ABD-PC is non-fluorescent. Liposomes retain

fluorescence signal from NBD-PC in the inner leaflet as dithionite cannot enter the vesicles;

for scramblase containing-proteoliposomes, lipids in the inner and outer leaflets exchange

and fluorescence emission decays further as NBD-PC molecules in the inner leaflet reach

the outer leaflet where they are modified by dithionite.

E) As in D), except that fatty-acid free BSA is used as a topological probe. NBD-PC molecules

that desorb from the outer leaflet of the outer membrane are captured BSA. In complex with

BSA, NBD-PC fluorescence is ~60% lower than when the lipid is in the membrane.

F/G/K) Normalized time course scramblase assay data for (F) cell free- or (K) *E. coli*-produced

ActR$_{L06}$. Dithionite or BSA is added at t=0 s. Error bars in panel G represent standard

deviation of duplicate measurements. Proteoliposomes were generated using ActR or

bovine opsin (BO) at different protein/phospholipid ratios (units of ug/umol) as indicated on

the trace lables, e.g. ActR-5 and ActR-15 represent samples with protein/phospholipid ratios

of 4 and 15, respectively. NBD fluorescence decays according to lipid vesicle contents.

Figure 2. ActR is a Pentamer that Facilitates Scrambling as Assessed Computationally

A) Array of four representative negative stain electron microscopy images of ActR at
pH=8.0, and superposition of representative ActR TEM image over predicted ActR
pentameric structure.

B) CGMD scramblase events/second accompanied by structural images. The upper
right inset shows the angles (in orange) in which rotations were not counted as
scrambling events.

C) GCMD scramblase "flip book" images of one DOPC moving through cleft and re-
orienting lipid tails. Those beads which were within 5 Å of the phosphate bead in any
frame are shown in green, PO4 bead is red, and lipid tail beads are blue.

D) One snapshot from the trajectory represented in part C is shown with beads from
each opsin monomer shaded differently to highlight the inter-protomer location of
the groove.

E) Ribbon diagram of the two adjacent protomers that form the scrambling groove, with
critical side chains shown and labeled.

F) Z-axis height above or below the center of the membrane for the PO4 bead as a
function of time during passage through cleft. Dwell times for Y32, F21, and Y137
depicted as colored bars.

Maschmann et al., Fig 1

A

B

Events/μsec

ActR(5)   ActR(3)   ActR   ScEmc3   VDAC1   VDAC1   HsBR(3)   BO(2)
                                          (2)

C

390 ns

401 ns

411 ns

420 ns

426 ns

439 ns

D

E

Y137
N17   Y93
F21
Y32
T132

F

Z-axis height (nm)

Y32   F21   Y137

time (ns)

Maschmann et al., Fig 2