Opsins are Phospholipid Scramblases in All Domains of Life

Zachary A. Maschmann[1,*], David E. Hardy[1], Indu Menon[2], Jenna Webb[1], Anant K. Menon[2] and

Katrina T. Forest[1#]

[1]Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706

[2]Department of Biochemistry, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY 10065

#For Correspondence: Katrina T. Forest, forest@bact.wisc.edu

*ZAM current address: Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University 08540

Running Title: Bacterial Opsins are Scramblases

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Abstract

Opsins are highly abundant retinal proteins in the membranes of photoheterotrophic

bacteria. However, some microbial genomes encode an *opsin* but lack the gene for the final

enzyme in retinal synthesis. To account for this paradox, we hypothesized that bacterial

opsins play a role in membrane structure and/or biogenesis independent from their potential

for light-driven signaling or proton pumping. After purifying actinorhodopsin from a cell-free

expression system and from *E. coli* membranes upon overexpression, we demonstrated both

in vitro and *in silico* that actinorhodopsin from *Nanopelagicus ca.* is a phospholipid

scramblase, serving in its pentameric state as a retinal-independent phospholipid diffusion

channel. Phospholipid headgroups move along a transbilayer path between

actinorhodopsin protomers, to equilibrate lipid content in the inner and outer leaflets. Two

profound activities, membrane biosynthesis and capture of light energy, are thus facilitated

by one ancient bacterial polypeptide. Light-dependent activity and light-independent

phospholipid scrambling are shared functions of eukaryotic, archaeal, and bacterial

rhodopsins.

Importance

Cells are surrounded by membranes which concentrate metabolites and protect cellular

contents. Most biomembranes are phospholipid bilayers, in which the phospholipids of

each leaflet orient their greasy tails inward and polar groups outward. Bilayer biogenesis

depends on phospholipids synthesized on the cytofacial side of the membrane reorienting

to the extracellular membrane leaflet. This reorientation requires proteins, termed

scramblases, and it was shown that rhodopsins -- 7-helix photoactive membrane proteins

bound to the cofactor retinal -- from organisms as widely divergent as mammals and

archaea possess scramblase activity. Now we conclusively demonstrate using purified

proteins in laboratory membranes as well as computational approaches, that bacterial

rhodopsins are also phospholipid scramblases. This work is important because it highlights

a surprising commonality among bacteria, archaea and eukaryotes and because it shows

that rhodopsins – ancient proteins found in the last universal common ancestor – manifest

two seemingly unrelated biochemical functions in one protein.

Keywords: Actinorhodopsin, ActR, Bacteriorhodopsin, CGMD, Lipid scrambling, Membrane

Biogenesis, Photoheterotrophy, Rhodopsin

Wordcount: Abstract 154, Text 5282

Introduction

Living cells engage in an ongoing process of self-construction. Phospholipid bilayer

membranes separate the cellular interior from exterior, concentrate cytoplasmic contents,

and protect cellular components. Membrane production is needed for cell division, and as

part of turnover and repair processes (1). Phospholipids are synthesized in the cytoplasmic

leaflet of biogenic membranes, such as the cytoplasmic membrane of bacteria and the

endoplasmic reticulum (2). Reorientation of phospholipids between leaflets, *lipid*

scrambling, is necessary for uniform growth of the bilayer but occurs too slowly to support

life if unassisted (3–8). Biogenesis of all extant membrane bilayers requires a solution to this

problem (9, 10), and evolution of this function likely would have provided ancient protocells

with strong fitness benefits. Indeed, compartmentalization to support early life may have

relied on the modularity and renewability of lipid bilayers (11, 12). Living cells use a

facilitated lipid diffusion channel, termed a scramblase, to catalyze transbilayer

phospholipid equilibration (10, 13–16). Scramblases are thought to shield the charged

phospholipid head group from the hydrophobic bilayer interior to expedite lipid scrambling

(10, 13–16).

In recent years, rhodopsins—well known for their photon capture based activities—

have been shown to moonlight as scramblases. Menon and colleagues used a fluorescence-

based assay to show that purified bovine rhodopsin exhibits scramblase activity when

reconstituted into large unilamellar vesicles (17), accounting for previous observations that

lipids scramble rapidly across photoreceptor disc membranes (18, 19). Scramblase activity

was shown to be ATP-independent and constitutive, occurring in the absence of light or the

retinal chromophore. Subsequent work showed that an archaeal rhodopsin —

bacteriorhodopsin (BR) from the archaeon *Halobacterium salinarum* — also had light-

independent scramblase activity (20). This functional parallelism was not a foregone

conclusion given that the mammalian visual sensory transducer rhodopsin and archaeal

proton-pumping BR are found in anciently diverged organisms that differ greatly in

membrane composition, architecture, metabolism, and environment.

Eubacterial rhodopsins have been known only since Sargasso Sea metagenomics

efforts uncovered proton-pumping proteorhodopsins in marine bacteria in 2000 (21),

followed by identification of opsin gene sequences in highly abundant nano-actinobacteria

in fresh water (22). Like BR, these bacterial retinylidene opsins harness photon energy to

pump protons across the membrane (23–25). Yet, notably, not all opsin-encoding bacteria

carry genes for the complete enzymatic pathway to synthesize the retinal chromophore (23,

24). While retinal may be scavenged in some natural environments (26–28), the incomplete

pathway nonetheless led us to hypothesize that actinobacterial opsins (ActR) may

moonlight (29) and exhibit a second, retinal-independent function. Given that *actR*

transcript levels in the natural environment are extremely high (23, 30), implying abundant

protein levels, this function could be related to membrane structure and stability, echoing

the fact that BR makes up 75% of the dry weight of *Halobacterium salinarum* purple

membranes (31). Alternatively, given that bovine visual opsin and BR are identified

scramblases (17, 20), we hypothesized that ActR and other bacterial opsins would also be

phospholipid scramblases. This idea was bolstered by recent preliminary evidence of a

Gram-negative bacterial proteorhodopsin (ptqPR) from *Psychroflexus torquis* acting as a

scramblase (32).

We now show that ActR catalyzes interchange of phospholipid between bilayer

leaflets. The dual ActR functions of proton pump (23) and scramblase emphasize the

versatility of photoreceptor function and may provide a molecular connection between

photoheterotrophy and membrane biogenesis.

Results

Large-scale synthesis of ActR in an in vitro transcription/translation system

We synthesized ActR (*Nanopelagicus ca*. genome sequence L06) in a wheat-germ

extract translation system (33) with the inclusion of retinal in the translation reaction.

Purification by nickel affinity and size exclusion yielded a single ActR protein band, migrating

more rapidly (<25 kDa) than expected from its ~29 kDa molecular weight, and co-purifying

with a triplet of proteins identified by mass spectrometry as chaperones from the wheat

germ extract (Figure 1A). The protein was highly colored (Figure 1B) with peak absorbance at

544 nm—characteristic of a bacterial rhodopsin with Leucine at the tuning position, and in

agreement with our previous observations for bacterially produced ActR (23, 34) (Figure 1C).

Purity and homogeneity of ActR was further demonstrated by our ability to crystallize it using

a cubic lipidic phase (Figure 1B, bottom panel).

ActR facilitates transbilayer phospholipid transport

To determine the scramblase activity of ActR we used standard fluorescence-based

assays (Figures 1D,E) in which proteins are reconstituted into large unilamellar vesicles

containing a trace amount of a fluorescent phospholipid reporter, *e.g.* 4-Nitro-2,1,3-

benzoxadiazole phosphatidylcholine (NBD-PC) (17, 20, 35). To assay scrambling, the

vesicles are interrogated with topological probes – the dianion dithionite (Figure 1D), which

reacts with the NBD fluorophore to eliminate its fluorescence, and fatty acid-free BSA (Figure

1E) which captures NBD-PC molecules that desorb from the vesicle surface, resulting in

partial fluorescence quenching.

Treatment of protein-free vesicles with dithionite is expected to result in ~50%

fluorescence loss as NBD-PC molecules in the outer leaflet of the vesicles are modified

whereas those in the inner leaflet are protected (Figure 1D, top row). This is what is

experimentally observed (Figure 1F, trace labeled 'L' plateaus at 53%). However, when the

vesicles contain an active scramblase, rapid exchange of NBD-PC between the inner and

outer leaflets of the vesicle results in total loss of fluorescence as the entire complement of

NBD-PC becomes exposed to dithionite (Figure 1D, bottom row). We reconstituted two

different amounts of cell-free produced ActR via detergent-destabilization of preformed

liposomes. We also reconstituted bovine opsin (BO) as a positive control. As shown in Figure

1F, dithionite treatment of ActR proteoliposomes (ActR-5, ActR-15) showed a greater loss of

fluorescence than seen with empty vesicles (L), indicating that ActR has scramblase activity.

Addition of hydroxylamine, which disrupts the Schiff's base linkage to retinal, had no impact

on scrambling activity (data not shown).

The reconstitutions were done at a protein:phospholipid ratio of ~5 µg/µmol (ActR-5

and BO-5) or ~15 µg/µmol (ActR-15). As ActR is smaller than BO (~29 kDa vs ~40 kDa), it

would be expected to functionalize at least as many vesicles as BO when reconstituted at

~5 µg/µmol. Yet, the data show that the extent of fluorescence reduction is not as great for

ActR-5 (73%) as for BO-5 (91%) when the proteins are similarly reconstituted (Figure 1F).

Even when 3x more ActR was reconstituted (ActR-15, Figure 1F), the extent of reduction was

~84%. A possible explanation for the lower efficiency with which ActR reconstitutes is that

like other opsins (20, 36–38), ActR multimerizes, and protein multimerization *en route* to

reconstitution leads to a fraction of vesicles populated with trimers or monomers rather than

with functional pentameric ActR scramblases as discussed below.

The fluorescence decay traces for ActR and BO-containing vesicles are well fit with a

double-exponential decay function which represents a convolution of the dithionite

reduction reaction rate ($t_{1/2}$ ~12 s) and a slower scrambling rate. For BO-5, the slow phase

has $t_{1/2}$ ~40 s, whereas for ActR-5 and ActR-15, the $t_{1/2}$ values are ~400 and ~180 s,

respectively. These values suggest that ActR-mediated scrambling is somewhat slower

under these experimental conditions than that observed for BO.

To complement data generated using dithionite, we next used BSA as a topological

probe. For empty vesicles, ~30% fluorescence loss is expected, as NBD-PC from the outer

leaflet of the vesicles is quantitatively captured by BSA (Figure 1E, top row). This is because

when bound to BSA, the quantum efficiency of NBD-PC is ~60% lower than when the lipid is

in the membrane (8, 39). For scramblase-equipped vesicles, transbilayer exchange of NBD-

PC enables all NBD-PC molecules to be captured by BSA, resulting in ~60% expected

fluorescence loss. Figure 1G shows greater fluorescence loss in ActR-containing vesicles

compared with empty vesicles, consistent with their scramblase activity. As with the

dithionite assay, greater fluorescence reduction was seen with ActR-15 than with ActR-5,

and BO-5 vesicles showed close to the maximum expected fluorescence loss.

Scramblase activity of ActR purified from E. coli

As an orthogonal means to isolate ActR, and to avoid the co-purification of wheat germ

chaperones, we overexpressed the same ActR sequence in *E. coli*, induced expression and

added retinal concomitantly, and purified the protein using metal affinity and gel filtration

chromatographies. SDS-PAGE analysis revealed a single band running at the expected size

for an ActR monomer (Figure 1H), with a characteristic purple color (Figure 1I) and a

maximum absorbance at 544 nm (Figure 1J). On reconstitution into unilamellar vesicles, the

bacterially-produced protein also showed robust phospholipid scramblase activity,

measured using the dithionite assay (Figure 1D, 1K). Thus, whether produced in a cell-free

system or expressed in *E. coli*, ActR demonstrates scramblase activity.

ActR oligomeric state observed by EM

Bacterial opsins have been observed in monomeric, trimeric and pentameric

quaternary arrangements (37, 38, 40) as well as hexamers, although the latter may be due to

native signal peptide sequences included in heterologously expressed proteins (26, 41).

Extended helices and a 3-omega motif dictate the pentameric state of *Gloeobacter*

rhodopsin (42). As these secondary structures are also found in ActR, we expect it to be

pentameric. The multimer state of ActR may be important for both its light-dependent

proton-pumping and scramblase activities. We investigated the ActR oligomeric state at the

neutral pH under which the scramblase assays were carried out, using negative stain

transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and analytical size-exclusion chromatography. TEM

images indicate a heterogeneous mixture of ActR oligomers (Supplemental Figure 2) with

pentamers identified in single particle analysis (Figure 2A). Pentamers and trimers are both

present by SEC (Supplemental Figure 2), likely in equilibrium based on experimental

conditions. Moreover, we observed a shift from pentamer and trimer to trimer and monomer

at low pH (see further discussion in Supplemental Information). Given experimental

observations and the knowledge that native functional states and most common structural

assemblages of proton pumping and ion pumping bacterial rhodopsins are pentamers (26,

37, 40, 42, 43), we hypothesize that the pentameric state of ActR is the scramblase active

one.

Coarse-grained molecular dynamics support ActR pentamer scramblase activity

To support our laboratory-based observations that ActR is a lipid scramblase, we

employed coarse-grained molecular dynamics (CGMD) simulations over an extended time

window to assess scrambling activity of ActR in three oligomeric states. We used the

computational protocol of Li *et al.* (44) to determine the scrambling rate by counting the

number of phospholipid inversions in the membrane per unit time. Three replicates of an

ActR pentamer model in a dioleoylphosphatidylcholine (DOPC) membrane bilayer showed

~4 scrambling events/μs (Figure 2B), consistent with rates calculated for archaeal BR (20).

The lipid inversion rate of two positive controls (scEMC3 with ~5 events/μs and VDAC1 dimer

with ~8 events/μs) were consistent with results reported by Li *et al.* (44). In contrast, the ActR

monomer, ActR trimer, and VDAC1 monomer displayed negligible scrambling activity (Figure

2B).

Lipid translocation by the ActR pentamer shows no directional bias and, although

durations vary, on average the complete inversion takes on the order of 100 ns. Our model

suggests that transbilayer phospholipid diffusion occurs at protomer interfaces in ActR and

involves polar and aromatic residues that form a hydrophilic groove, consistent with the

previously described credit card model (15). Side chains spanning the hydrophilic groove

derive from α-helix 1 (α1) of one protomer and α3 and α4 of the adjacent protomer (Figure

2C,D,E).

The scrambling trajectory can be described in steps. First, lipids are recruited by polar

residues near the bilayer surface. For example, Tyr161 and Tyr164 on α5 serve this role in the

event depicted in Figure 2C. The phospholipid does not linger in these positions for more

than a frame or two during the CGMD simulation, and from 20 scrambling events chosen for

a deeper analysis (of total 138 observed), these residues come into play in only 1 or 2 of the

events, respectively. Thus, the recruitment step is transient and many different side chains

may be able to act at this step.

After this initial recruitment of the phospholipid, the heart of the scrambling

mechanism takes place within the inter-subunit groove. The phosphate bead enters a lower

pocket and contacts Tyr32 (75% of events) and Thr123 (95% of events) (Figure 2C,E). During

this step, the lipid tails may sample an equatorial orientation relative to the bulk lipid of the

membrane (Figure 2C, 411 ns & 420 ns panels). Subsequently, Phe21 (100% of 20 events)

passes the DOPC molecule from the lower to an upper pocket. Passage into and through this

second water-containing cavity formed by Asn17, Ser20, Tyr93 and Tyr137 (contacted in

90%, 95%, 40% and 85% of events, respectively) is slow, with variable contact times on the

order of 10 ns for these residues (Figure 2C-F). The buried water molecules are displaced

from this major pocket when the PO4 bead enters. All of these transitions can include

backsliding. The upper cavity is formed by side chains from three helices at the oligomer

interface; Asn17 on α1 of one monomer interacts with Tyr93 on α3 and Tyr137 of α4 from the

adjacent monomer (Figure 2C,E).

Finally, an additional step involves transient contact with surface residues (for

example, Gln11 and Asn220), after which the lipid diffuses into the bulk membrane.

Retinal does not affect scrambling in silico but polar residue substitutions along cleft do

ActR scramblase activity is not changed in laboratory experiments with the addition

of hydroxylamine, nor was illumination part of our protocol. Retinal was not present in our

CGMD simulations. Thus, scramblase activity of ActR does not depend on chromophore or

light. Nonetheless, to assess whether retinal has an effect on scrambling rates *in silico*, we

built a simplified bead model of retinal and included it in additional computational tests of

scramblase activity (Supplemental Figure 2). The scrambling rate was not markedly different

in these MD simulations from those without retinal.

In order to computationally evaluate the importance of the α1 and α4 polar amino

acids as core elements of the scrambling groove, we created four ActR variants with two

substitutions each and tested their scrambling activity as described above for the native

sequence. Consistent with the identification of polar residues in the cleft as major contact

points for the PO4 bead, both our double substitutions N17L/Y137F and N17L/T123L

showed a reduced scrambling of ~1 event/µs (Supplemental Figure 2).

Discussion

Moonlighting is common among identified scramblases — multiple scrambling

GPCRs (36, 45) and TMEM16 (46) in eukaryotes, numerous bacterial, ER, and mitochondrial

membrane insertases (44, 47), and Gram positive (this work), Gram negative (32) and

archaeal rhodopsins (20) perform other functions including ion transport, protein

translocation, and signal transduction. Members of the TMEM16 family of proteins, for

example, function either as chloride channels or as nonspecific ion channels/lipid

scramblases. The chloride channel members of this family may have derived from a

primordial scramblase and evolved chloride transport at the expense of scramblase

activity (48). It appears likely that yet-to-be discovered scramblases moonlight with other

functions. The ubiquitous nature of scramblase activity in diverse membrane proteins also

helps explain why it has been difficult to pinpoint a unique and essential scramblase in

some organisms (5).

Rhodopsins were ancient proteins found in early-Earth life forms (49, 50), suggesting

they could have functioned as scramblases in the earliest cells. In the modern world,

microbial rhodopsins are found across Bacteria, Archaea, and even some giant viruses (51,

52), with phylogenetic evidence suggesting that this wide distribution is due, at least in part,

to frequent horizontal gene transfer (53). We are not aware of any conserved sequence

motifs linked to the scramblase functionality of rhodopsins across the domains of life. This

lack of sequence requirement suggests that scramblase functionality relies upon relatively

hydrophilic surface characteristics without selecting for specific residues. Moreover, we do

not expect opsin scramblase activity to be specific with respect to lipid head groups, as

known scramblases are promiscuous for their substrates but do not have unlimited

specificity for complex head groups (17, 54, 55). While scramblase activity is essential, the

molecular surface underpinning this function appears to be under low selective pressure,

possibly owing to the high rates of lipid scrambling exhibited by even rudimentary

scramblases (56, 57) that may render scramblases easily evolvable.

Our simulations show that the ActR pentamer exhibits robust scrambling activity,

consistent with our experiments showing that more ActR is needed to functionalize vesicles

in comparison to bovine opsin. As monomeric and trimeric forms of ActR showed nominal

scrambling, a fully functional, scrambling-competent hydrophilic groove is only present in

the pentameric form. The dependence of activity on oligomerization aligns with

observations from other scramblases such as VDAC1, where dimerization is necessary to

form a translocation-competent groove (39) and BR, where trimerization generates

interfaces that can scramble lipids (20).

The structural basis for actinorhodopsin-mediated scrambling centers on a

hydrophilic groove formed by helices 1 and 3+4 of neighboring protomers. This pathway is

lined with aromatic and polar residues that interact with the charged phospholipid

headgroup to facilitate translocation. The orientation of the phospholipid during the

scrambling event supports the credit-card insertion model, where the charged phosphate

head group slides between helices, forming transient interactions with polar groups, and

reorients in plane with the bulk lipid as it rejoins the bilayer (Figure 2C,D,F). Coarse-grained

resolution limits the precision with which we can observe side chain orientation and

hydrogen bonding. However, repeated observations of lipid contacts indicate a core set of

residues—including Asn17, Phe21, Tyr32, and Tyr137—that play a role in the translocation

mechanism.

We have shown that ActR from *Nanopelagicus ca.* of the acI lineage of actinobacteria

is a phospholipid scramblase, making it the third known microbial opsin with scramblase

activity alongside Gram-negative bacterial PtqPR and archaeal BR. Our demonstration of the

robust scrambling activity of Gram-positive bacterial actinorhodopsins supports the notion

that phospholipid scrambling is a fundamental function of rhodopsins across the domains

of life. This insight provides a broad foundation for further study of the scramblase/opsin

duality. Particularly intriguing open questions are 1) Is there a pH dependence of scrambling

activity? The oligomeric state of *Gloeobacter* rhodopsin has been shown to vary with pH (38)

and our size exclusion chromatography mirrors these results (Supplemental Figure 2).

Moreover, our computational results show that trimers don't scramble (Figure 2B), thus

providing a consistent model that the ActR low-pH oligomer is not an active scramblase.

Decreased scramblase activity at low pH would prevent dissipation of the proton gradient;

low phospholipid pKa values enable a scenario where outer leaflet phospholipids are

protonated and subsequently deprotonated when scrambled to the inner leaflet (58).

Though *Nanopelagicus ca*. primarily inhabits the fresh water epilimnion, a neutral pH

habitat, freshwater lakes and bogs can contain low pH zones that may provide

environmental pressure for a pH-dependent adaptation of scramblase activity by ActR (59).

Perhaps this is a common mechanism for regulating bacterial rhodopsin scramblase activity

with consequences for energetics as well as membrane biogenesis. 2) Does the secondary

antenna carotenoid associated with rhodopsin in many bacterial and archaeal opsins (23,

60–62) have an impact on scrambling? Further elucidation of the structural roles of

secondary carotenoids as well as scrambling mechanisms is necessary to understand the

interplay, if any, between antenna association and scramblase functionality. Although

carotenoid association may add to thermal stability (61), our experimental and

computational scrambling assays were all done in the absence of the actinorhodopsin

carotenoid (23) and thus we can confidently say the antenna is not required for scramblase

activity. 3) Is the earliest role of rhodopsin scrambling or photoheterotrophy, or did these

activities co-evolve? Using our CGMD pipeline, we evaluated the scramblase activity of a

plausible ancient opsin sequence recently proposed by ancestral sequence reconstruction

(49). We did not observe scramblase activity (data not shown). While this model has very

highly conserved residues associated with photoactivity, our current study concludes that

scramblase activity relies more subtly on appropriate alignment of amino acids with relevant

properties along a protomer interface than on invariant side chain identities. The study of the

evolution of scramblase activity in early opsins is an exciting area that warrants additional

analysis.

Materials and Methods

Materials

16:0-18:1 PC (POPC) (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-glycero-3-phosphocholine), 16:0-18:1 PG

(POPG) (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1'-rac-glycerol) (sodium salt)), 16:0-

06:0 NBD PC (C6-NBD-PC) (1-palmitoyl-2-{6-[(7-nitro-2-1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-

yl)amino]hexanoyl}-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) and 14:0-06:0 NBD PC ((1-myristoyl-2-

{6-[(7-nitro-2-1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-yl)amino]hexanoyl}-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) were

purchased as chloroform solutions from Avanti® Polar Lipids. n-Dodecyl-B-D-maltoside

(DDM) was purchased from VWR International. Bio-Beads SM2 Adsorbents were purchased

from Bio-Rad. Amberlite XAD-2 and sodium dithionite were purchased from Sigma Aldrich,

and fatty acid-free BSA was from EMD Millipore. Gibson Assembly® Master Mix was

purchased from New England BioLabs. PhusionTM High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase

Transcription reaction components, including S6 RNA polymerase, NTPs, RNase inhibitor,

and buffer were purchased from Promega Corporation. Translation reaction components,

including WEPRO® 8240H, Creatine Kinase, and SUB-Amix, were purchased from CellFree

Sciences.

Plasmid Construction

DNA codon-optimized for expression in *E. coli* and encoding the amino acid

sequence of ActR (ActR$_{L06}$ from *Nanopelagicus* ca. single-cell amplified genome L06 (acI-B))

(63, 23) was cloned into a pEU-C-His flexiVector, chosen for its suitability for *in vitro* protein

production, under the transcriptional control of the SP6 promoter (33). Insertion of the

coding sequence for ActR extended with a C-terminal 6x-His tag into the pEU flexiVector was

performed by amplifying plasmid fragments using PCR followed by Gibson Assembly (64,

65). The same DNA sequence was also inserted behind the T7 promoter of a pET-28a vector

using Gibson Assembly for expression in *E. coli*. Amplification of DNA fragments was

performed using Phusion™ High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase. Gibson Assembly was performed

using Gibson Assembly® Master Mix. The molecular weight of the 6x-His-tagged ActR

monomer is 29.7 kDa, with a predicted pI of 8.64.

Large Scale Cell Free ActR Production

Large scale transcription and translation reactions were carried out essentially as

described in Aly *et al*. (33, 66) using low magnesium buffer (Cell Free Sciences) for

transcription. Briefly, ActR-His$_6$ protein was synthesized in a 12-ml 8240H wheat germ

extract cell-free translation system (Cell Free Sciences) at 60 OD, using 0.1% MNG-10

(maltose neopentyl glycol-10) with 0.02% cholesteryl hemisuccinate (CHS) buffer, and 0.1

mM all-trans retinal was added during the translation. ActR was purified by Ni-affinity

chromatography followed by size exclusion. Protein was concentrated to approximately 15

mg/ml in 10 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.3 mM TCEP, 0.05% DDM, 0.01% CHS and

stored at -80 C. The contaminating higher molecular weight bands were identified by mass

spectrometry to contain uncharacterized wheat protein with Uniprot ID BAK08004.1, NADH

Dehydrogenase, and HSP 90.

ActR Expression and Purification in E. coli

BL21 (DE3) *E. coli* cells were used for recombinant expression of ActR. 10 mL of an

overnight culture was used to inoculate 1 L of LB supplemented with 50 µg/mL kanamycin,

which was then incubated with shaking (37°C, 250 rpm) until the OD_{600nm} reached 0.3, at

which point the temperature was reduced to 22°C. At OD_{600nm} of 0.5-0.6, ActR expression

was induced by adding powdered IPTG to a final concentration of 0.5 mM. All-trans retinal

was added concomitantly to a final concentration of 9 µM from a 50 mg/mL stock solution in

ethanol. After 16-20 hrs of growth in the dark, the culture was centrifuged at 4,000 g for 12

minutes at 4°C. After a freeze-thaw cycle, cells were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris,

300 mM NaCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 130 µM $CaCl_2$, 1 mg/mL lysozyme, 1 mM PMSF, pH=7.40), and

sonicated. This and all subsequent steps were carried out at 4°C. The sample was clarified

at 10,000g for 20 min, after which the supernatant was centrifuged at 40,000g for 45 min to

pellet membranes. The membrane fraction was resuspended in 20 mL of solubilization

buffer (50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 130 µM $CaCl_2$, 10 mg/mL DDM, pH=7.40)

using a Potter homogenizer, and incubated with rocking in the dark for 16-20 hrs. Aggregates

were removed by centrifugation at 40,000g for 20 min. The supernatant (~20 mL), now

containing solubilized membrane proteins, was collected and flowed continuously over 5

mL of Ni-NTA resin at 1 mL/min for 16-20 hrs using a peristaltic pump. The Ni-NTA resin was

washed with >20 bed volumes of wash buffer (50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, 50 mM Imidazole,

0.5 mg/mL DDM, pH=7.40) and ActR was eluted with wash buffer containing successively

higher imidazole concentrations, from 100 mM to 500 mM. ActR-containing fractions were

pooled, and concentrated along with DDM in a 10 kDa – cutoff spin concentrator (Millipore).

Samples were dialyzed against 50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH=7.4 and injected onto a

Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL size exclusion column pre-equilibrated with buffer

identical to the dialysis reservoirs supplemented with 0.5 mg/ml DDM using an ÄKTA pure™

chromatography system. ActR-containing fractions were pooled, concentrated to approx.

0.5 mg/ml and immediately used for liposome reconstitution. The overall yield was ~0.5

mg/L of bacterial culture.

ActR Negative Stain EM

ActR expressed in *E. coli* and purified through the metal affinity chromatography step

was kept at 4°C and used to prepare EM grids on the same day. CF200-CU grids were glow

discharged for 30 s at 15 mA on a Pelco easiGlow discharge system. 4 μL of sample (diluted

in elution buffer to 240, 80, 32, or 8 μg/mL) was applied to each grid for 1 minute before

blotting. Grids were washed 2x in 20 μL buffer, 2x in 20 μL 2% Uranyl acetate (UA), followed

by one minute incubation in 2% UA. All images were collected on a Talos L120c 120 keV

electron microscope using a Ceta CMOS detector at the University of Wisconsin–Madison

Cryo-EM Research Center.

Reconstitution of ActR into liposomes

Liposomes were prepared using a 9:1 (mol/mol) POPC/POPG mixture, spiked with 0.5%

16:0-06:0 NBD PC or 14:0-06:0 NBD PC. The lipid mixture was prepared from chloroform

stock solutions, dried under nitrogen or in a rotary evaporator, and then placed under

vacuum overnight at ambient temperature before being resuspended in buffer (50 mM

HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) at a concentration of ~4 mg/mL. The resuspended lipids were

processed further and taken for ActR reconstitution using either of two methods as follows.

Method 1 (used for *E. coli*-produced ActR): The sample was bath sonicated for 5 min,

incubated at ambient temperature for 1 h, sonicated again and aliquoted for individual

reconstitution experiments. Each aliquot was incubated with DDM (0.5% final) at ambient

temperature for 1 h, rotating end-over-end. ActR was then added, and incubation continued

for 1 h. Reconstitution was achieved by adding washed Amberlite XAD-2 (150 mg) followed

by incubation at ambient temperature for 1 h with end-over-end rotation. The samples were

withdrawn and added to a fresh aliquot of Amberlite, followed by incubation overnight at 4°C

with end-over-end rotation. Following this, the samples were withdrawn and transferred to

tubes containing fresh Amberlite for a final incubation at ambient temperature for 1 h. The

resulting proteoliposomes were stored at 4°C for up to two days, diluted 1/10 in excess buffer

and passed through a 0.2 µm filter on a Hamilton syringe assembly to produce a narrow size

distribution of ActR-containing liposomes (67, 68). Method 2 (used for cell-free synthesized

ActR): The sample was extruded successively through 400 nm and then 200 nm filters as

described (39) to generate unilamellar vesicles with an average diameter of 170 nm. TX-100

(0.2% final) was added to destabilize the vesicles, before adding ActR. The mixture was

treated with one shot of washed BioBeads to remove detergent, initially at room

temperature and then overnight at 4°C. The resulting vesicles were assayed directly (no

further extrusion).

Scramblase Assays

Scramblase activity assays were performed as previously described (17, 20, 69, 70),

using vesicles diluted to 2.5 mL in buffer (50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH7.4). NBD

fluorescence (λ_{ex}=470 nm, λ_{em}=530 nm) was monitored over time in spectrofluorimeters

(QuantaMaster Model C-60/2000 or Horiba) equipped with a temperature-controlled

cuvette holder, with a magnetic stirring apparatus. After obtaining a stable fluorescence

signal, dithionite (from a 1 M stock) or fatty acid-free BSA (from a 75 mg/ml stock) was added.

Addition of dithionite (final 10-20 mM) covalently modifies the fluorescent NBD moiety and

eliminates its fluorescence signal (71), leading to fluorescence drops of 50-100% for protein-

free liposomes and scramblase-active proteoliposomes, respectively. BSA (final 15 mg/ml)

captures and quenches NBD-PC molecules that desorb from the outer leaflet, leading to

fluorescence drops of ~30-60% for protein-free liposomes and scramblase-active

proteoliposomes, respectively (8, 72).

Fluorescence traces were normalized to stable fluorescence emission values prior to

dithionite or BSA addition, and analyzed as described (73) using mono-exponential fits for

protein-free liposomes and a double-exponential fit for ActR-containing samples. Analyses

were performed within MATLAB or GraphPad Prism, using their curve fitting functions.

CGMD

An ActR monomer structural model was generated using Chai-1 for *de novo* structure

prediction (74). The crystal structure of VDAC1 (RCSB: 6G6U) and bovine opsin (RCSB:

4A4M) were obtained from the RCSB Protein Data Bank (75). For scEMC3, missing regions in

the crystal structure (6WB9) were modeled using AlphaFold3 (76, 77). Two BR dimers were

tested and compared; one generated in AlphaFold3 and aligned to a crystal structure (4MD2)

and the other a vacuum-minimized crystal structure (1AT9). We found similar scramblase

activity in both models, and herein we present the AI-predicted model. CGMD simulations

were performed on a high-throughput computing cluster using the HTCondor software suite

and GROMACS 2022.2 (78, 79). Visual Molecular Dynamics (VMD) and Tachyon were used

for trajectory visualization and image rendering (80, 81).

Protein models were initially subjected to energy minimization in a vacuum until the

maximum force on any atom was below 100 $kJ \cdot mol^{-1} \cdot nm^{-1}$. Both the minimization and

production runs were conducted using a GROMACS 2022.2 container image hosted on

Docker Hub. Following minimization, the ActR trimer and pentamer were built by aligning the

monomer to a trimeric *Halobacter salinarum* BR model (1AT9) (82) and to a pentameric

sodium-pumping rhodopsin (KR2) from *Dokdonia eikasta* (6YC3) which was

crystallographically determined at 2.1 Å resolution (37). VDAC1 monomers were aligned to

the anti-parallel dimer RCSB structure and subsequently manually adjusted to the highly

scramblase-active parallel conformation described by Jahn et al. (39).

Proteins were embedded in a dummy membrane using the Orientation of Proteins in

Membranes (OPM) web server (83). The resulting protein–membrane systems were built and

solvated using CHARMM-GUI, then converted to coarse-grained representations using the

Martini 3 force field with Elastic Network Dynamics (ElNeDyn) (84–88). Each membrane

leaflet contained 400–500 molecules of 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC),

for a total of 800–1000 lipid molecules per bilayer. Electrostatic charges in the protein were

counterbalanced by adding Na^+ and Cl^- ions to solution to bring the net charge to zero. Each

system was solvated with approximately 2×10^4 water beads.

To profile lipid dynamics in the presence of putative scramblases, simulations

consisted of energy minimization, equilibration, and a production run with randomized initial

velocities. Production simulations were run for 5×10^8 steps with a time step of 20 fs, yielding

a total trajectory length of 10 μs. Temperature was maintained at 310 K using a velocity-

rescaling (v-rescale) thermostat within an NPT ensemble. Pressure was set to 1 bar using the

Parrinello–Rahman barostat. Calculations were executed on a local GROMACS container

and using HTCondor at the UW-Madison Center for High Throughput Computing (89).

Systems were interrogated for scramblase activity by measuring phosphate

headgroup (PO_4) angles of every DOPC every 1 ns following the method described by Li *et al*.

(44). A vector is defined whose direction bisects the angle between the two vectors from

head group to lipid tail for each DOPC. A buffer region is defined from 55º to 125º and an

event is measured if the vector goes from under 55º to over 125º (or *vice versa*). Denoising

was accomplished by averaging angles over 50 ns windows and sampling this smoothed

data every 25 ns. The number of scrambling events per microsecond were averaged across

all replicates. Simulations for VDAC1, scEMC3, BO, and ActR trimer were run twice and all

other models were run three times.

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Brian Fox and Dr. Emily Beebe of the UW-Madison Transmembrane Protein

Center for *in vitro* production and purification of ActR, Dr. Takefumi Morizumi and Prof. Oliver

Ernst (University of Toronto) for a sample of bovine opsin, and Prof. Katherine Henzler-

Wildman, Dr. Vilius Kurauskas and Prof. Katherine McMahon for valuable expertise. We

thank the Landick and Kaçar labs at the University of Wisconsin–Madison for use of

laboratory equipment. We thank Prof. Elizabeth R. Wright, Dr. Bryan Sibert, and Alex Cotton

at the University of Wisconsin–Madison Cryo-EM Research Center for negative stain cryo-

EM services.

Funding and Additional Information

Research was supported by the USDA (WIS03052 to KTF), Einstein Foundation Visiting

Fellow Program (to KTF), the Human Frontier Science Program (Ref.-No: RGP009/2023 to

AKM) and the National Science Foundation (Grant 2425586 to AKM and Graduate Research

Fellowship Grant No. 2137424 to DEH). The Transmembrane Protein Center was funded by

the NIH (1U54 GM094584 to Brian Fox). Some of the fluorescence data were obtained at the

University of Wisconsin – Madison Biophysics Instrumentation Facility, which was

established with support from the University of Wisconsin – Madison and grants BIR-

9512577 (NSF) and S10 RR13790 (NIH).

References

1. Zhang Y-M, Rock CO. 2008. Membrane lipid homeostasis in bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol

6:222–233.

2. Sanyal S, Menon AK. 2009. Flipping Lipids: Why an' What's the Reason for? ACS Chem

Biol 4:895–909.

3. McConnell HM, Kornberg RD. 1971. Inside-outside transitions of phospholipids in

vesicle membranes. Biochemistry 10:1111–1120.

4. Rothman JE, Kennedy EP. 1977. Rapid transmembrane movement of newly synthesized

phospholipids during membrane assembly. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 74:1821–1825.

5. Hrafnsdóttir S, Menon AK. 2000. Reconstitution and Partial Characterization of

Phospholipid Flippase Activity from Detergent Extracts of the Bacillus subtilis Cell

Membrane. J Bacteriol 182:4198–4206.

6. Hrafnsdóttir S, Nichols JW, Menon AK. 1997. Transbilayer Movement of Fluorescent

Phospholipids in Bacillus megaterium Membrane Vesicles. Biochemistry 36:4969–

4978.

7. Huijbregts RPH, de Kroon AIPM, de Kruijff B. 1996. Rapid transmembrane movement of

C6-NBD-labeled phospholipids across the inner membrane of *Escherichia coli*.

Biochim Biophys Acta BBA - Biomembr 1280:41–50.

8. Kubelt J, Menon AK, Müller P, Herrmann A. 2002. Transbilayer Movement of Fluorescent

Phospholipid Analogues in the Cytoplasmic Membrane of Escherichia coli.

Biochemistry 41:5605–5612.

9. Kol MA, de Kruijff B, de Kroon AIPM. 2002. Phospholipid flip-flop in biogenic

membranes: what is needed to connect opposite sides. Semin Cell Dev Biol 13:163–

170.

10. Pomorski TG, Menon AK. 2016. Lipid somersaults: Uncovering the mechanisms of

protein-mediated lipid flipping. Prog Lipid Res 64:69–84.

11. Luisi PL, Walde P, Oberholzer T. 1999. Lipid vesicles as possible intermediates in the

origin of life. Curr Opin Colloid Interface Sci 4:33–39.

12. Deamer DW. 1997. The first living systems: a bioenergetic perspective. Microbiol Mol

Biol Rev 61:239–261.

13. Sebinelli HG, Syska C, Čopič A, Lenoir G. 2024. Established and emerging players in

phospholipid scrambling: A structural perspective. Biochimie S0300-9084(24)00218–

9.

14. Wang Y, Kinoshita T. 2023. The role of lipid scramblases in regulating lipid distributions

at cellular membranes. Biochem Soc Trans 51:1857–1869.

15. Pomorski T, Menon AK. 2006. Lipid flippases and their biological functions. Cell Mol Life

Sci CMLS 63:2908–2921.

16. Sakuragi T, Nagata S. 2023. Regulation of phospholipid distribution in the lipid bilayer

by flippases and scramblases. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 24:576–596.

17. Menon I, Huber T, Sanyal S, Banerjee S, Barré P, Canis S, Warren JD, Hwa J, Sakmar TP,

Menon AK. 2011. Opsin Is a Phospholipid Flippase. Curr Biol 21:149–153.

18. Hessel E, Herrmann A, Müller P, Schnetkamp PPM, Hofmann K-P. 2000. The transbilayer

distribution of phospholipids in disc membranes is a dynamic equilibrium. Eur J

Biochem 267:1473–1483.

19. Wu G, Hubbell WL. 1993. Phospholipid asymmetry and transmembrane diffusion in

photoreceptor disc membranes. Biochemistry 32:879–888.

20. Verchère A, Ou W-L, Ploier B, Morizumi T, Goren MA, Bütikofer P, Ernst OP, Khelashvili G,

Menon AK. 2017. Light-independent phospholipid scramblase activity of

bacteriorhodopsin from Halobacterium salinarum. Sci Rep 7:9522.

21. Beja O, Aravind L, Koonin EV, Suzuki MT, Hadd A, Nguyen LP, Jovanovich SB, Gates CM,

Feldman RA, Spudich JL, Spudich EN, DeLong EF. 2000. Bacterial rhodopsin: evidence

for a new type of phototrophy in the sea. Science 289:1902–1906.

22. Sharma AK, Zhaxybayeva O, Papke RT, Doolittle WF. 2008. Actinorhodopsins:

proteorhodopsin-like gene sequences found predominantly in non-marine

environments. Environ Microbiol 10:1039–1056.

23. Dwulit-Smith JR, Hamilton JJ, Stevenson DM, He S, Oyserman BO, Moya-Flores F,

570   Garcia SL, Amador-Noguez D, McMahon KD, Forest KT. 2018. acI Actinobacteria

571   Assemble a Functional Actinorhodopsin with Natively Synthesized Retinal. Appl

572   Environ Microbiol 84:e01678-18.

573   24. Keffer JL, Hahn MW, Maresca JA. 2016. Characterization of an Unconventional

574   Rhodopsin from the Freshwater Actinobacterium Rhodoluna lacicola. J Bacteriol

575   197:2704–2712.

576   25. Nakamura S, Kikukawa T, Tamogami J, Kamiya M, Aizawa T, Hahn MW, Ihara K, Kamo N,

577   Demura M. 2016. Photochemical characterization of actinorhodopsin and its

578   functional existence in the natural host. Biochim Biophys Acta BBA - Bioenerg

579   1857:1900–1908.

580   26. Hirschi S, Lemmin T, Ayoub N, Kalbermatter D, Pellegata D, Ucurum Z, Gertsch J,

581   Fotiadis D. 2024. Structural insights into the mechanism and dynamics of

582   proteorhodopsin biogenesis and retinal scavenging. Nat Commun 15:6950.

583   27. Wu X, Jiang J, Hu J. 2013. Determination and occurrence of retinoids in a eutrophic lake

584   (Taihu Lake, China): cyanobacteria blooms produce teratogenic retinal. Environ Sci

585   Technol 47:807–814.

586   28. Jaffe AL, Konno M, Kawasaki Y, Kataoka C, Béjà O, Kandori H, Inoue K, Banfield JF. 2022.

587   Saccharibacteria harness light energy using type-1 rhodopsins that may rely on retinal

588   sourced from microbial hosts. ISME J 16:2056–2059.

29. Singh N, Bhalla N. 2020. Moonlighting Proteins. Annu Rev Genet 54:265–285.

30. Hamilton JJ, Garcia SL, Brown BS, Oyserman BO, Moya-Flores F, Bertilsson S,

Malmstrom RR, Forest KT, McMahon KD. 2017. Metabolic Network Analysis and

Metatranscriptomics Reveal Auxotrophies and Nutrient Sources of the Cosmopolitan

Freshwater Microbial Lineage acI. mSystems 2:10.1128/msystems.00091-17.

31. Corcelli A, Lattanzio VMT, Mascolo G, Papadia P, Fanizzi F. 2002. Lipid-protein

stoichiometries in a crystalline biological membrane: NMR quantitative analysis of the

lipid extract of the purple membrane. J Lipid Res 43:132–140.

32. Fang J, Zhang Y, Zhu T, Li Y. 2023. Scramblase activity of proteorhodopsin confers

physiological advantages to Escherichia coli in the absence of light. iScience

26:108551.

33. Aly KA, Beebe ET, Chan CH, Goren MA, Sepúlveda C, Makino S, Fox BG, Forest KT. 2013.

Cell-free production of integral membrane aspartic acid proteases reveals zinc-

dependent methyltransferase activity of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa prepilin

peptidase PilD. MicrobiologyOpen 2:94–104.

34. Mao J, Jin X, Shi M, Heidenreich D, Brown LJ, Brown RCD, Lelli M, He X, Glaubitz C.

2024. Molecular mechanisms and evolutionary robustness of a color switch in

proteorhodopsins. Sci Adv 10:eadj0384.

35. Ploier B, Menon AK. 2016. A Fluorescence-based Assay of Phospholipid Scramblase

Activity. J Vis Exp JoVE 54635.

36. Goren MA, Morizumi T, Menon I, Joseph JS, Dittman JS, Cherezov V, Stevens RC, Ernst

OP, Menon AK. 2014. Constitutive phospholipid scramblase activity of a G Protein-

coupled receptor*. Nat Commun 5:5115.

37. Kovalev K, Astashkin R, Gushchin I, Orekhov P, Volkov D, Zinovev E, Marin E, Rulev M,

Alekseev A, Royant A, Carpentier P, Vaganova S, Zabelskii D, Baeken C, Sergeev I,

Balandin T, Bourenkov G, Carpena X, Boer R, Maliar N, Borshchevskiy V, Büldt G,

Bamberg E, Gordeliy V. 2020. Molecular mechanism of light-driven sodium pumping.

Nat Commun 11:2137.

38. Morizumi T, Ou W-L, Van Eps N, Inoue K, Kandori H, Brown LS, Ernst OP. 2019. X-ray

Crystallographic Structure and Oligomerization of Gloeobacter Rhodopsin. Sci Rep

9:11283.

39. Jahn H, Bartoš L, Dearden GI, Dittman JS, Holthuis JCM, Vácha R, Menon AK. 2023.

Phospholipids are imported into mitochondria by VDAC, a dimeric beta barrel

scramblase. Nat Commun 14:8115.

40. Iizuka A, Kajimoto K, Fujisawa T, Tsukamoto T, Aizawa T, Kamo N, Jung K-H, Unno M,

Demura M, Kikukawa T. 2019. Functional importance of the oligomer formation of the

cyanobacterial H+ pump Gloeobacter rhodopsin. Sci Rep 9:10711.

41. Soto-Rodríguez J, Baneyx F. 2019. Role of the signal sequence in proteorhodopsin

biogenesis in E. coli. Biotechnol Bioeng 116:912–918.

42. Morizumi T, Ou W-L, Van Eps N, Inoue K, Kandori H, Brown LS, Ernst OP. 2019. X-ray

Crystallographic Structure and Oligomerization of Gloeobacter Rhodopsin. Sci Rep

9:11283.

43. Ayoub N, Djabeur N, Harder D, Jeckelmann J-M, Ucurum Z, Hirschi S, Fotiadis D. 2025.

Actinorhodopsin: an efficient and robust light-driven proton pump for

bionanotechnological applications. Sci Rep 15:4054.

44. Li D, Rocha-Roa C, Schilling MA, Reinisch KM, Vanni S. 2024. Lipid scrambling is a

general feature of protein insertases. Proc Natl Acad Sci 121:e2319476121.

45. Morra G, Razavi AM, Pandey K, Weinstein H, Menon AK, Khelashvili G. 2018.

Mechanisms of lipid scrambling by the G protein-coupled receptor opsin. Struct Lond

Engl 1993 26:356-367.e3.

46. Lee B-C, Menon AK, Accardi A. 2016. The nhTMEM16 Scramblase Is Also a Nonselective

Ion Channel. Biophys J 111:1919–1924.

47. Bartoš L, Menon AK, Vácha R. 2024. Insertases scramble lipids: Molecular simulations

of MTCH2. Struct Lond Engl 1993 32:505-510.e4.

48. Kalienkova V, Clerico Mosina V, Paulino C. 2021. The Groovy TMEM16 Family: Molecular

Mechanisms of Lipid Scrambling and Ion Conduction. 16. J Mol Biol 433:166941.

49. Sephus CD, Fer E, Garcia AK, Adam ZR, Schwieterman EW, Kacar B. 2022. Earliest

Photic Zone Niches Probed by Ancestral Microbial Rhodopsins. Mol Biol Evol

39:msac100.

50. DasSarma S, Schwieterman EW. 2021. Early evolution of purple retinal pigments on

Earth and implications for exoplanet biosignatures. Int J Astrobiol 20:241–250.

51. Bryant DA, Frigaard N-U. 2006. Prokaryotic photosynthesis and phototrophy

illuminated. Trends Microbiol 14:488–496.

52. Pinhassi J, DeLong EF, Béjà O, González JM, Pedrós-Alió C. 2016. Marine Bacterial and

Archaeal Ion-Pumping Rhodopsins: Genetic Diversity, Physiology, and Ecology.

Microbiol Mol Biol Rev MMBR 80:929–954.

53. Sharma AK, Spudich JL, Doolittle WF. 2006. Microbial rhodopsins: functional versatility

and genetic mobility. Trends Microbiol 14:463–469.

54. Hankins MTK, Parrag M, Garaeva AA, Earp JC, Seeger MA, Stansfeld PJ, Bublitz M. 2025.

MprF from Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a promiscuous lipid scramblase with broad

substrate specificity. Sci Adv 11:eads9135.

55. Arndt M, Alvadia C, Straub MS, Clerico Mosina V, Paulino C, Dutzler R. 2022. Structural

basis for the activation of the lipid scramblase TMEM16F. Nat Commun 13:6692.

56. Nakao H, Tsujii T, Saito H, Ikeda K, Nakano M. 2025. Synergistic effects of hydrophilic

residues in the transmembrane region on lipid scrambling activity of dimeric helices.

Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 251:114612.

57. Nakao H, Sugimoto Y, Ikeda K, Saito H, Nakano M. 2020. Structural Feature of Lipid

Scrambling Model Transmembrane Peptides: Same-Side Positioning of Hydrophilic

Residues and Their Deeper Position. J Phys Chem Lett 11:1662–1667.

58. Marsh D. 2013. Handbook of Lipid Bilayers, 2nd ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton.

59. Rohwer RR, Kirkpatrick M, Garcia SL, Kellom M, McMahon KD, Baker BJ. 2025. Two

decades of bacterial ecology and evolution in a freshwater lake. Nat Microbiol 10:246–

257.

60. Balashov SP, Imasheva ES, Boichenko VA, Antón J, Wang JM, Lanyi JK. 2005.

Xanthorhodopsin: A Proton Pump with a Light-Harvesting Carotenoid Antenna.

Science 309:2061–2064.

61. Chuon K, Shim J, Kang K-W, Cho S-G, Hour C, Meas S, Kim J-H, Choi A, Jung K-H. 2022.

Carotenoid binding in Gloeobacteria rhodopsin provides insights into divergent

evolution of xanthorhodopsin types. Commun Biol 5:1–8.

62. Tzlil G, Marín MDC, Matsuzaki Y, Nag P, Itakura S, Mizuno Y, Murakoshi S, Tanaka T,

Larom S, Konno M, Abe-Yoshizumi R, Molina-Márquez A, Bárcenas-Pérez D, Cheel J,

Koblížek M, León R, Katayama K, Kandori H, Schapiro I, Shihoya W, Nureki O, Inoue K,

Rozenberg A, Chazan A, Béjà O. 2025. Structural insights into light harvesting by

antenna-containing rhodopsins in marine Asgard archaea. Nat Microbiol 10:1484–

1500.

63. Garcia SL, McMahon KD, Martinez-Garcia M, Srivastava A, Sczyrba A, Stepanauskas R,

Grossart HP, Woyke T, Warnecke F. 2013. Metabolic potential of a single cell belonging

to one of the most abundant lineages in freshwater bacterioplankton. ISME J 7:137–

147.

64. Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang R-Y, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO. 2009. Enzymatic

assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat Methods 6:343–

345.

65. Heydenreich FM, Miljuš T, Jaussi R, Benoit R, Milić D, Veprintsev DB. 2017. High-

throughput mutagenesis using a two-fragment PCR approach. Sci Rep 7:6787.

66. Beebe ET, Makino S-I, Nozawa A, Matsubara Y, Frederick RO, Primm JG, Goren MA, Fox

BG. 2011. Robotic large-scale application of wheat cell-free translation to structural

studies including membrane proteins. New Biotechnol 28:239–249.

67. Dearden GI, Ravishankar V, Sakata K, Menon AK, Bergdoll L. 2024. Protocol for the

production and reconstitution of VDAC1 for functional assays. STAR Protoc 5:103240.

68. Ong SGM, Chitneni M, Lee KS, Ming LC, Yuen KH. 2016. Evaluation of Extrusion

Technique for Nanosizing Liposomes. 4. Pharmaceutics 8:36.

69. Brunner JD, Schenck S, Dutzler R. 2016. Structural basis for phospholipid scrambling in

the TMEM16 family. Curr Opin Struct Biol 39:61–70.

70. Malvezzi M, Chalat M, Janjusevic R, Picollo A, Terashima H, Menon AK, Accardi A. 2013.

Ca2+-dependent phospholipid scrambling by a reconstituted TMEM16 ion channel.

Nat Commun 4:2367.

71. McIntyre JC, Sleight RG. 1991. Fluorescence assay for phospholipid membrane

asymmetry. Biochemistry 30:11819–11827.

72. Chang Q, Gummadi SN, Menon AK. 2004. Chemical Modification Identifies Two

Populations of Glycerophospholipid Flippase in Rat Liver ER. Biochemistry 43:10710–

10718.

73. Menon I, Sych T, Son Y, Morizumi T, Lee J, Ernst OP, Khelashvili G, Sezgin E, Levitz J,

Menon AK. 2024. A cholesterol switch controls phospholipid scrambling by G protein-

coupled receptors. J Biol Chem 300:105649.

74. Team CD, Boitreaud J, Dent J, McPartlon M, Meier J, Reis V, Rogozhonikov A, Wu K. 2024.

Chai-1: Decoding the molecular interactions of life. bioRxiv

https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.10.10.615955.

75. Razeto A, Gribbon P, Loew C. 2019. The dynamic nature of the VDAC1 channels in

bilayers: human VDAC1 at 2.7 Angstrom resolution: 6g6u.

76. Abramson J, Adler J, Dunger J, Evans R, Green T, Pritzel A, Ronneberger O, Willmore L,

Ballard AJ, Bambrick J, Bodenstein SW, Evans DA, Hung C-C, O'Neill M, Reiman D,

Tunyasuvunakool K, Wu Z, Žemgulytė A, Arvaniti E, Beattie C, Bertolli O, Bridgland A,

Cherepanov A, Congreve M, Cowen-Rivers AI, Cowie A, Figurnov M, Fuchs FB,

Gladman H, Jain R, Khan YA, Low CMR, Perlin K, Potapenko A, Savy P, Singh S, Stecula

A, Thillaisundaram A, Tong C, Yakneen S, Zhong ED, Zielinski M, Žídek A, Bapst V, Kohli

P, Jaderberg M, Hassabis D, Jumper JM. 2024. Accurate structure prediction of

biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. Nature 630:493–500.

77. Bai L, You Q, Feng X, Kovach A, Li H. 2020. Structure of the ER membrane complex, a

transmembrane-domain insertase. Nature 584:475–478.

78. Bekker H, Berendsen HJC, Djikstra EJ, Achterop S, van Drunen R, van der Spoel D,

Sijbers A, Keegstra H. 1993. Gromacs: A parallel computer for molecular dynamics

simulations, p. 252–256. *In* de Groot, RA, Nadrchal, J (eds.), Physics Computing '92.

World Scientific, Singapore.

79. Thain D, Tannenbaum T, Livny M. 2005. Distributed computing in practice: the Condor

experience. Concurr - Pract Exp 17:323–356.

80. Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. 1996. VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. J Mol Graph

14:33–38.

81. Stone J. 1998. An Efficient Library for Parallel Ray Tracing and Animation. Computer

Science Department, University of Missouri-Rolla.

82. Kimura Y, Vassylyev DG, Miyazawa A, Kidera A, Matsushima M, Mitsuoka K, Murata K,

Hirai T, Fujiyoshi Y. 1997. Surface of bacteriorhodopsin revealed by high-resolution

electron crystallography. Nature 389:206–211.

83. Lomize MA, Pogozheva ID, Joo H, Mosberg HI, Lomize AL. 2012. OPM database and

PPM web server: resources for positioning of proteins in membranes. Nucleic Acids

Res 40:D370-376.

84. Jo S, Kim T, Iyer VG, Im W. 2008. CHARMM-GUI: A web-based graphical user interface

for CHARMM. J Comput Chem 29:1859–1865.

85. Brooks BR, Brooks III CL, Mackerell Jr. AD, Nilsson L, Petrella RJ, Roux B, Won Y,

Archontis G, Bartels C, Boresch S, Caflisch A, Caves L, Cui Q, Dinner AR, Feig M,

Fischer S, Gao J, Hodoscek M, Im W, Kuczera K, Lazaridis T, Ma J, Ovchinnikov V, Paci

E, Pastor RW, Post CB, Pu JZ, Schaefer M, Tidor B, Venable RM, Woodcock HL, Wu X,

Yang W, York DM, Karplus M. 2009. CHARMM: The biomolecular simulation program. J

Comput Chem 30:1545–1614.

86. Lee J, Cheng X, Swails JM, Yeom MS, Eastman PK, Lemkul JA, Wei S, Buckner J, Jeong

JC, Qi Y, Jo S, Pande VS, Case DA, Brooks CLI, MacKerell ADJr, Klauda JB, Im W. 2016.

CHARMM-GUI Input Generator for NAMD, GROMACS, AMBER, OpenMM, and

CHARMM/OpenMM Simulations Using the CHARMM36 Additive Force Field. J Chem

Theory Comput 12:405–413.

87. Qi Y, Ingólfsson HI, Cheng X, Lee J, Marrink SJ, Im W. 2015. CHARMM-GUI Martini Maker

for Coarse-Grained Simulations with the Martini Force Field. J Chem Theory Comput

11:4486–4494.

88. Hsu P-C, Bruininks BMH, Jefferies D, Cesar Telles de Souza P, Lee J, Patel DS, Marrink

SJ, Qi Y, Khalid S, Im W. 2017. CHARMM-GUI Martini Maker for modeling and

simulation of complex bacterial membranes with lipopolysaccharides. J Comput

Chem 38:2354–2363.

89. Center for High Throughput Computing. (2006). doi:10.21231/GNT1-HW21

Figure Legends

Figure 1. acI-B (*Nanopelagicus* ca.) ActR expressed in a cell free system or in *E. coli* is a

phospholipid scramblase

(A/H) SDS-PAGE analysis of affinity-purified (A) cell free- or (H) *E. coli*-produced ActR

following size-exclusion chromatography. (B/I) Image of (B) cell free- or (I) *E. coli*-produced

ActR showing strong reddish color. (C/J) Absorbance spectrum of (C) cell free- or (G) *E. coli*-

produced ActR. Note maximum absorbance at 543 nm.

(D) Protein free liposomes (L) and scramblase-containing proteoliposomes (P) are shown

schematically. The vesicles are prepared with a trace quantity of NBD-PC distributed equally

between inner and outer leaflets. Upon addition of dithionite, the nitro group in NBD-PC is

covalently modified to an amino group and the resulting ABD-PC is non-fluorescent.

Liposomes retain fluorescence signal from NBD-PC in the inner leaflet as dithionite cannot

enter the vesicles; for scramblase-containing proteoliposomes, lipids in the inner and outer

leaflets exchange and fluorescence decays further as NBD-PC molecules in the inner leaflet

reach the outer leaflet where they are modified by dithionite.

E) As in D), except that fatty-acid free BSA is used as a topological probe. NBD-PC molecules

that desorb from the outer leaflet of the outer membrane are captured by BSA. In complex

with BSA, NBD-PC fluorescence is ~60% lower than when the lipid is in the membrane.

F/G/K) Normalized time course scramblase assay data for (F/G) cell free- or (K) *E. coli*-

produced ActR. Dithionite or BSA was added at the indicated time point. Error bars in panel

G represent standard deviation of duplicate measurements, data in panels F/G represent

one of 3 independent experiments carried out under different proteoliposome reconstitution

conditions, and data in panel K represent one of 4 independent experiments.

Proteoliposomes were generated using ActR or bovine opsin (BO) at different

protein/phospholipid ratios (units of $\mu g/\mu mol$) as indicated on the trace labels, e.g. ActR-5

and ActR-15 represent samples with protein/phospholipid ratios of 5 and 15, respectively.

Figure 2. ActR is a Pentamer that Facilitates Scrambling as Assessed Computationally

A) Array of four representative negative stain electron microscopy images of ActR at

pH=7.4, and superposition of representative ActR TEM image over predicted ActR

pentameric structure.

B) CGMD scramblase events/μsecond accompanied by structural images. The upper

right inset shows the angles (in orange) in which rotations were not counted as

scrambling events.

C) CGMD scramblase "flip book" images of one DOPC moving through cleft and re-

orienting lipid tails. Those beads which were within 5 Å of the phosphate bead in any

frame are shown in green, PO4 bead is red, and lipid tail beads are blue.

D) One snapshot from the trajectory represented in part C is shown with beads from

each opsin monomer shaded differently to highlight the inter-protomer location of

the groove. Green protein beads are all those within 5 Å of the red phosphate bead (in

this frame representing atoms from N17, S20, F21 and Y93), while blue beads depict

the lipid tail.

E) Ribbon diagram of two adjacent protomers that form the scrambling groove, with side

chains in the groove that interact with lipids during scrambling labeled, and

occasionally observed interactions circled but not labeled. Each monomer is

colored in a reverse rainbow from blue -> red as the chain progresses from N -> C

terminus. Additionally, the left chain has a salmon interior surface while the right

chain has a light blue interior surface, with visible α helices labeled on both chains.

F) Z-axis height above or below the center of the membrane for the PO4 bead as a

function of time during passage through the cleft of the example individual DOPC

shown in panel C. Dwell times for Y32, F21, and Y137 depicted as colored bars.

Maschmann et al., Fig 1

Maschmann et al., Fig 2

Supplemental Figure 1: ActR forms Pentamers at Neutral pH. ActR protein purified by nickel affinity was used for negative stain EM (inset shows grid area, isolated particles shown in Figure 2) and was run on an S200 Increase 10/300 GL SEC column at either pH 7.4 or 3.0. The peak at ~10 mL in the pH 7.4 trace is consistent with pentameric ActR. The oligomer equilibrium shifts to trimer and monomer at low pH, which is similar to behaviour reported for *Gloeobacter* rhodopsin (1).

ActR pentamer equilibrium is a function of pH

We investigated the oligomeric state of ActR and possible pH-dependent changes to this assembly using analytical size-exclusion chromatography (SEC). At pH=7.4, ActR predominantly assumes two oligomeric states as resolved by SEC (**Suppl Figure 2**). Based on expected retention volumes of soluble protein standards provided by Cytiva, these SEC peaks are consistent with pentameric and trimeric ActR. Furthermore, ActR changes the distribution of its oligomeric states at pH=3.0 (**Suppl Figure 2**), where the higher molecular weight, presumably pentameric, ActR peak disappears and ActR assumes a primarily trimeric state with a smaller molecular weight peak, possibly monomeric or dimeric ActR. Corollary experiments at pH=5.0 reveal similar SEC traces to those at pH=3.0 (not shown), consistent with the notion that the protonation state of a surface-exposed histidine residue such as H61 is responsible for controlling the stability of states. The mixture of oligomers at both pH=7.4 and pH=3.0 indicate that an equilibrium exists among oligomeric states of ActR. Stable oligomers ordinarily elute from a size-exclusion column in relatively narrow peaks. Contrariwise, ActR at all examined pH values exhibits relatively broad SEC elution peaks, suggesting that ActR oligomers exchange subunits over the course of size-exclusion.

To verify the pentameric state at neutral pH, electron microscopy images were taken of ActR following nickel affinity chromatography, and indicate a heterogeneous mixture of ActR oligomers (**Suppl Figure 2**). As the protonation state of H61 likely modulates the equilibrium of ActR oligomers with pH, the presence of imidazole in the sample probably effects the heterogeneity of conformations observed for ActR multimers. Nevertheless, ActR pentamers can be easily observed (**Figure 2**) and representative negative stain SEM images fit the profile of an AlphaFold2 model of pentameric ActR (**Figure 2**).

Supplemental Figure 2: CGMD Scramblase Activity Depends on Polar Residues in Protomer Interface. Monomer and pentamer rates are from Figure 2. The coarse-grained bead model for retinal is represented above the bar for scrambling rates with a retinal-bound opsin.

CGMD with retinal

A minimized all-atom pentamer model with retinal was used as the basis for positioning the CG ActR model, thus capturing the coordinates in order to subsequently create a GC retinal model. A python script was used to find centroids every 2-3 beads, 4 in the aliphatic tail and 4 for β-ionone ring of retinal. For bead definitions, SC (small) beads correspond to hydrocarbon backbones and TC (tiny) beads are typically used for rings because they allow for rigidity and tighter packing; the "1" is apolar, and the "2" is slightly more polar (maximum polarity is 4). The first bead of the tail is SC2, and the rest are SC1. All the ring beads are TC1. This approach created a simplified, space-filling model of the retinal ligand rather than a rigorous representation of a conjugated C20 ligand. During GROMACS production runs, a "pull-code" was used to keep the retinal ligands in place. This approach uses harmonic restraints between the centers of mass of two atoms to maintain a specified distance between them. Strong forces of 8000 kj/(mol*nm) were applied between bead 1 of the tail and the side chain bead of lysine 233, with a weaker force of 500 kj/(mol*nm)

between Gly136 and one side of the ring. Subsequent setup and MD runs and scramblase event counting were carried out as described in the main methods section. Result is the average of 3 x 2 μsec MD simulations.

CGMD with amino acid substitutions

The variant opsins were created by substituting side chains in PyMol, followed by vacuum minimization and subsequent steps as described in main text except that the membrane contained 800 DOPC molecules rather than 1000. All variants were tested in two independent runs with consistent outputs.

We designed three kinds of amino acid variants. First, in order to directly test the hypothesis that polar residues in the interface were important for interaction with the phospholipid head group we made two models that each had two amino acid substitutions in the scrambling cleft, from polar to non-polar side chains but of similar size. In one case the positions were at the top and bottom of the cleft on opposing subunits (N17L/T123L) and in the other both were at the top of the cleft, again on opposite faces (N17L/Y137F). Both of these reduced scrambling to <1 event/ μsec (**Suppl. Fig. 2**). As a check that not all substitutions would inhibit scrambling, two models were made with modest substitutions to alanine. In a double mutant (F21A/T123A) rates were not changed significantly. In a triple mutant (Y32A/Y93A/Y137A) scrambling was slightly higher; perhaps three substitutions compromise normal oligomer packing. Finally, introduction of two acidic side chains was tested (F21D/T123D), with an increase in scrambling rate. In our simplistic coarse-grained, implicit solvent model we neutralized each of these Asp residues by setting their charge to 0 in the parameter file and using the P2 polar bead type, reasoning that Asp side chains buried within the lipid bilayer have a higher pKa than those exposed to aqueous solvent. 10 Na+ beads were deleted to accommodate and balance the charge of the system. Future research might explore whether the higher apparent pKa of the carboxylic acid side chains within the membrane keeps these polar side chains protonated (uncharged) and, as a consequence, they may not repel the phosphate head groups but pull them through the cleft.

Reference

1. Morizumi T, Ou W-L, Van Eps N, Inoue K, Kandori H, Brown LS, Ernst OP. 2019. X-ray Crystallographic Structure and Oligomerization of Gloeobacter Rhodopsin. Sci Rep 9:11283.