`

Engineering anaerobic fungal-bacterial consortia for direct conversion of lignocellulosic

biomass into medium-chain fatty acids

Byung-Chul R. Kim[1], Elaina M. Blair[2], Joel P. Howard[1], Ian M. Gois[1], Robert Flick[1],

Thomas S Lankiewicz[2], Stephen Mondo[3], Jasmyn Pangilinan[3], Anna Lipzen[3], Jie Guo[3], Hope

Hundley[3], Raymond Lee[3], Jayson Talag[4], Victoria Bunting[4], Shanmugam Rajasekar[4], Kerrie

Barry[3], Igor V. Grigoriev[3,5], Michelle A. O'Malley[2,6], Christopher E. Lawson[1]*

[1]Department of Chemical Engineering & Applied Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto,

ON, Canada

[2]Department of Chemical Engineering, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

[3]US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory,

Berkeley, CA, USA

[4]Arizona Genomics Institute, School of Plant Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ,

USA

[5]Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley,

CA, USA

[6]Department of Bioengineering, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

*Correspondence should be addressed to: Christopher E. Lawson E-mail:

chris.lawson@utoronto.ca

`

Abstract

Lignocellulosic biomass is a renewable feedstock for sustainable fuels and chemicals, yet

industrial conversion remains constrained by carbohydrate solubilization. Inspired by

herbivore rumen microbiomes, we engineered an anaerobic fungal-bacterial consortium

converting native lignocellulose into medium-chain fatty acids (MCFAs) without

pretreatment. Systematic screening identified a newly isolated anaerobic fungus,

Neocallimastix sp. FC1, in co-culture with *Megasphaera hexanoica* as a top-performing pair,

achieving a lignocellulose-to-MCFA yield of 21.0 % (carbon-to-carbon basis) through tight

lactate cross-feeding without competition for soluble sugars. Because fungal lactate

production rate constrained the growth of *M. hexanoica*, the bacterium reallocated protein

from growth toward chain elongation, resulting in increased MCFAs production over butyrate.

These results demonstrate that high lignocellulose-to-MCFA conversion by the consortium

requires high lactate-producing capability and operating regimes sustaining low lactate

concentrations at high flux. Technoeconomic analysis further identifies the cost and yield

thresholds required for economically viable deployment, establishing quantitative design

targets for pretreatment-free fungal-bacterial lignocellulose upgrading.

Keywords

Lignocellulosic Biorefinery, Microbiome Engineering, Industrial Biomanufacturing, Division

of Metabolic Labor, Anaerobic Fungi, Microbial Chain Elongation, Medium-chain Fatty

Acids

Introduction

The bioconversion of lignocellulosic biomass represents a central opportunity for sustainable fuels and chemicals, yet its industrial deployment remains constrained by how biomass is deconstructed [1–3]. Conventional lignocellulose bioprocesses rely heavily on energy- and capital-intensive upstream operations, including thermochemical pretreatment, external enzyme addition, and intensive mechanical mixing, to render biomass accessible for microbial conversion [1,4]. In practice, process performance, scalability, and economics are governed primarily by the requirements and constraints of upstream biomass deconstruction [3,5,6]. This structural dependence on upstream deconstruction constitutes a fundamental limitation of current lignocellulose biomanufacturing strategies.

We propose a pretreatment-free lignocellulose biomanufacturing platform by engineering anaerobic fungal-bacterial synthetic consortium that leverages direct biological deconstruction (Figure 1). The platform is organized around lactate-based cross-feeding, separating anaerobic fungal biomass deconstruction from downstream bacterial product upgrading, while stabilizing community structure through a defined division of metabolic labour [7,8]. Additionally, routing carbon through lactate, the fungal fermentation end-product and the bacterial substrate, avoids competition for soluble sugars and enables predictable carbon flow across consortium members. This platform reframes lignocellulose processing from a pretreatment-dominated workflow into a biologically integrated, modular biomanufacturing system.

The conceptual foundation of this platform draws inspiration from herbivore rumen microbiomes, where lignocellulose deconstruction and fermentation are efficiently integrated. Within these ecosystems, anaerobic fungi play a dominant functional role in lignocellulose deconstruction, constituting only 7-9 % of the rumen

microbiota while contributing up to 50 % of fermentable sugar release from ingested plant material [9–11]. This efficiency arises from their rhizoidal growth into intact plant tissues [12] and the coordinated deployment of extensive carbohydrate-active enzyme repertoires within cellulosome-like complexes [13,14]. Consequently, anaerobic fungi facilitate direct biological deconstruction of native plant cell walls, offering a biological alternative to conventional physicochemical biomass processing units.

Following deconstruction, anaerobic fungi perform mixed-acid fermentation, producing primarily formate, acetate, lactate, along with ethanol, hydrogen, and carbon dioxide [15]. As low-molecular-weight, water-soluble compounds produced as a mixed product spectrum, these metabolites are poorly suited as final outputs, as their selective recovery and concentration require energy-intensive separations [16,17]. Therefore, fungal lignocellulose fermentation has typically been coupled with downstream upgrading processes, yielding products such as methane [18–20], butyrate/butanol [21], ethyl acetate/2-phenyl ethanol/isoamyl alcohol [22], and medium-chain fatty acids (MCFAs) [23]. However, the absence of a designated cross-feeding intermediate has led downstream microbes to compete for soluble sugars with anaerobic fungi, preventing clear separation between deconstruction and downstream upgrading and limiting rational control of carbon flow.

To address this gap, we design carbon flow around lactate as a central intermediate, leveraging bacterial chain elongation to convert fungal fermentation products into MCFAs. This upgrading is mediated by reverse β-oxidation (RBO), that couples lactate oxidation to the stepwise elongation of short-chain fatty acids into MCFAs [24]. MCFAs are well suited to scalable fermentation systems, as they combine high product value with efficient recovery and stable process operation. They

are high-value commodity chemicals, feedstocks for biofuels in heavy-duty and aviation applications [3,24], and serve as versatile precursors to a wide range of downstream products, including alcohols, esters, methyl ketones, and diols [25,26]. Importantly, their relatively high hydrophobicity enables direct recovery from fermentation broths via membrane-based extraction [27] or pertraction [28], reducing downstream energy demand and mitigating product inhibition. Together, these features position MCFAs as well-suited outputs for scalable biomanufacturing platforms. However, existing MCFA production systems are typically based on either pure culture with narrow substrate scope or open cultures that suffer from competition, instability, and compositional drift, limiting process robustness and controllability [8,29].

Together, this study establishes a pretreatment-free lignocellulose biomanufacturing platform by engineering a defined synthetic fungal-bacterial consortium with lactate-based cross-feeding. The platform is enabled by isolating a high-performing anaerobic fungus and systematically screening fungal-bacterial combinations to identify a co-culture that supports efficient lignocellulose-to-MCFA conversion. By routing carbon exclusively through lactate, the selected consortium avoids direct competition for soluble sugars, consistent with proteomic evidence indicating lactate-centered metabolism in the bacterial partner. In this configuration, lactate produced by the fungus is rapidly consumed by the bacterial partner, preventing lactate accumulation and promoting elongation to the more desirable C6 and C8 fatty acids. Proteomic analysis further supports this interpretation by showing upregulation of RBO proteins in the bacterial partner under lactate-limited conditions. Techno-economic analysis delineates the process performance targets and cost drivers that will govern advancement of the platform toward economic viability. By integrating strain discovery, mechanistic analysis, and technoec

`

onomic evaluation, this work demonstrates how bottom-up microbiome engineering ca

n deliver robust biomanufacturing platforms while identifying actionable levers for sys

tematic optimization.

Figure 1. Synthetic microbiome for direct lignocellulose-to-MCFA conversion

Schematic diagram illustrating the engineering of fungal-bacterial synthetic consortia for

direct conversion of lignocellulosic biomass into medium-chain fatty acids (MCFAs). **(A)**

The bottom-left panel depicts the natural rumen microbiome, where lignocellulose

degradation is carried out by a consortium of anaerobic microbes. Microorganisms known to

perform target functions are isolated or sourced to establish a curated strain library, which

provides the foundation for bottom-up assembly of synthetic fungal-bacterial consortia. **(B)**

The top-right panel illustrates an engineered fungal-bacterial consortium that directly

converts untreated lignocellulosic biomass into MCFAs. Anaerobic fungi deconstruct

lignocellulose and produce lactate and acetate, which are subsequently upgraded by chain-

elongating bacteria into MCFAs. The engineered microbiome is organized around lactate-

based cross-feeding, avoiding competition for soluble sugars and enabling predictable carbon

flow across consortium members. By eliminating alternative carbon sinks and tightening

lactate cross-feeding, the platform concentrates flux toward MCFA production. Created with

BioRender.com.

Results

Co-culture screening identifies optimal fungal-bacterial partners for MCFAs production

To identify optimal co-cultures for lignocellulose conversion into MCFAs, we first isolated a

high-lactate-producing fungal strain, *Neocallimastix* sp. FC1, from a lignocellulose-degrading

enrichment culture derived from a cow fecal sample [30]. We sequenced and annotated its

genome to support systems-level analysis, which is summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

Subsequently, we selected promising strains of anaerobic fungi and chain elongating bacteria

based on previously reported biomass degradation and lactate utilization capabilities,

respectively, and the availability of genome sequence data to enable systems-level

investigation of microbial interactions. This resulted in 3 anaerobic fungal isolates

(*Neocallimastix* sp. FC1, *Neocallimastix camerooni* var. *constans,* and *Caecomyces churrovis*)

and 7 lactate-utilizing chain elongating isolates (*Caproicibacter fermentans,*

Caproiciproducens sp. 7D4C2*, Clostridium luticellarii, Pseudramibacter alactolyticus,*

Megasphaera cerevisiae, Megasphaera elsdenii, and *Megasphaera hexanoic*a) that were

further screened in monoculture and co-culture to evaluate bioconversion performance

(Supplementary Figure 1, 2).

Figure 2. Screening for optimal fungal-bacterial co-cultures

Screening determines an optimal fungal-bacterial co-culture and reveals higher medium-chain fatty acid (MCFA) selectivity in co-cultures than in monocultures. **(A)** Screening of fungal monocultures for lignocellulosic biomass degradation into primary fermentation products. Three fungal isolates were tested: *Caecomyces churrovis* (CC), *Neocallimastix* sp. FC1 (FC1), and *Neocallimastix camerooni* var. constans (NC). **(B)** Screening of bacterial

monocultures of seven lactate-utilizing, chain-elongating strains for lactate/acetate-to-MCFA

conversion. Strains tested were *Caproiciproducens* sp. 7D4C2 (C7), *Caproicibacter*

fermentens (CF), *Clostridium luticellarii* (CL), *Megasphaera cerevisiae* (MC), *Megasphaera*

elsdenii (ME), *Megasphaera hexanoica* (MH), and *Pseudoramibacter alactolyticus* (PA).

Yellow and sky-blue dotted lines indicate initial concentrations of lactate and acetate,

respectively. **(C)** Screening of fungal-bacterial co-cultures to evaluate direct lignocellulose-

to-MCFA conversion. Combinations are denoted as "Fungus-Bacterium" (e.g., FC1-MH). **(D)**

Average number of reverse β-oxidation (RBO) cycles required for product formation in ME,

MH, and PA monocultures and co-cultures with FC1. Butyrate, hexanoate, and octanoate

production correspond to one, two, and three RBO cycles, respectively. **(E)** Carbon mass

balance for Blank (uninoculated control), FC1, and FC1-MH. Screening data **(A-D)** are

shown as means of biological triplicates (error bars indicate standard deviations). Carbon

mass balance data **(E)** are shown as means of biological pentaplicates.

The selected fungal and bacterial isolates were first tested in monoculture to assess

their ability to carry out lignocellulose degradation or chain elongation in a chemically

defined M2 medium with 10 g/L (1%) milled reed canary grass (1 mm). Fungal monocultures

were incubated for seven days, as determined by a preliminary kinetic experiment

(Supplementary Figure 3), and 100 mg/L chloramphenicol was supplemented. All three

isolates actively degraded reed canary grass, and produced formate, acetate, and lactate as

major fermentation products (Figure 2A). In our lignocellulose-to-MCFAs conversion

process, lactate acts as a central intermediate in fungal-bacterial cross-feeding, making its

titer the key parameter for evaluating the function of fungal isolates. *N.* sp. FC1, a newly

isolated fungal strain from this study, exhibited the highest lactate titer, reaching 1.46±0.22

g/L, which was about twice as high as that of *N. camerooni* var. *constans* and *Caecomyces*

churrovis (0.76±0.04 and 0.61±0.18 g/L, respectively). The lignocellulose-to-product

conversion yield of *N.* sp. FC1 was 49.3 % (carbon-to-carbon basis, see Materials and

Methods) after seven days of fermentation and reached 58.1 % after 21 days.

The growth of bacterial monocultures was subsequently assessed in the same medium

(i.e., M2 medium with reed canary grass) without chloramphenicol but supplemented with

yeast extract, lactate, and acetate (1, 1.8, and 2.6 g/L, respectively). Yeast extract was added

to support bacterial growth, and the concentrations of lactate and acetate were set to match

those produced by *N*. sp. FC1 in monoculture. *M. elsdenii*, *M. hexanoica*, and *P. alactolyticus*

produced butyrate and hexanoate, while the four other species didn't show any clear evidence

of growth (Figure 2B). Lactate was completely consumed by all three active strains, whereas

acetate utilization differed across strains. *M. elsdenii* and *M. hexanoica* exhibited net

consumption of acetate, but *P. alactolyticus* did not. Furthermore, three sister species, *M.*

cerevisiae, *M. elsdenii*, and *M. hexanoica* showed diverging results. While *M. cerevisiae*

didn't grow under the condition tested, *M. elsdenii* and *M. hexanoica* showed the highest titer

of butyrate (1.29±0.05 g/L) and hexanoate (0.68±0.02 g/L), respectively, among seven chain

elongators.

Optimal fungal-bacterial partners for MCFA production were determined by screening

co-culture combinations cultivated in M2 medium supplemented with reed canary grass and

yeast extract (10 and 1 g/L, respectively) (Figure 2C). The co-culture composed of the top-

performing isolates from the fungal and bacterial monoculture screenings, *N*. sp. FC1 and *M.*

hexanoica, exhibited the highest lignocellulose-to-MCFAs conversion efficiency (21.0 %

carbon-to-carbon yield, see Materials and Methods), and was the only culture that produced

octanoate across all screening conditions. The other two bacterial isolates that thrived in the

monoculture experiments, *M. elsdenii* and *P. alactolyticus*, also demonstrated robust growth

in co-culture. While co-culture containing *M. elsdenii* primarily produced butyrate, the co-

culture containing *P. alactolyticus* produced higher levels of hexaonate. Surprisingly,

Caproiciproducens sp. 7D4C2, *Caproicibacter fermentens*, and *M. cerevisiae*, which didn't

grow in monoculture, grew in co-culture. This may reflect fungal-bacterial interactions

beyond lactate cross-feeding, although the exact mechanism requires further investigation.

Interestingly, chain elongators (i.e., *M. elsdenii*, *M. hexanoica*, and *P. alactolyticus*)

showed higher MCFA specificity when co-cultivated with *N*. sp. FC1 compared to

monoculture (Figure 2B, 2C). The average number of RBO cycles involved in product

formation was consistently higher in co-cultures with *N*. sp. FC1 than in monocultures

(Figure 2D). The increase was more substantial in strains that exhibited higher MCFA

specificity in monoculture. To assess whether the enhanced MCFA specificity in co-culture

could be attributed to differences in fungal-mediated degradation, we conducted a carbon

mass balance analysis comparing monoculture of *N*. sp. FC1 and its co-culture systems with

M. hexanoica (Figure 2E). This revealed that solid-carbon reduction was lower in the co-

culture (25.5 %) compared to the monoculture (39.5 %), likely due to the product (i.e.,

MCFAs) inhibition on *N*. sp. FC1. Nevertheless, higher MCFA production from a smaller

amount of degraded carbon indicated that co-cultivation has higher carbon conversion

efficiency toward MCFAs.

Proteomic analysis highlights lactate-based fungal-bacterial cross-feeding and metabolic

shift toward medium-chain fatty acids production in co-culture

To better understand the mechanistic basis of metabolite cross-feeding and its link to

improved MCFA production in co-culture, we performed comparative proteomic analysis of

monoculture and co-culture systems (Figure 3A, Supplementary dataset 1). This allowed us

to establish functional baselines for each organism. In *N*. sp. FC1, malic enzyme, pyruvate

formate lyase, and xylose isomerase (Enzymes 17, 18, and 7 in Figure 3A, respectively) were

the most abundant proteins (Supplementary Table 2). Malic enzyme and pyruvate formate

lyase are key enzymes of the hydrogenosome, a mitochondria-like organelle of anaerobic

fungi specialized in producing ATP and H_2 [15]. This finding is consistent with the observed

active production of acetate and formate (Figure 2A). The abundance of xylose isomerases

also highlights the potential of anaerobic fungi as a key biocatalyst for lignocellulose-based

refineries, given that the lack of efficient industrial xylose-fermenting microbes limits

hemicellulose utilization [31,32]. In the *M. hexanoica*, electron transfer flavoprotein, enoyl-

CoA hydratase, and pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase (Enzymes 32, 31, and 28 in Figure

3A, respectively), all key enzymes for chain elongation, were the most abundant proteins

(Supplementary Table 2), underscoring the metabolic specialization of this strain.

`

Figure 3. Fungal and bacterial proteomes

Differential proteomics comparing monoculture and co-culture of *Neocallimastix* sp. FC1 and *Megasphaera hexanoica*. **(A)** Integrated metabolic pathway map and differential proteomics of *N*. sp. FC1 (top orange box) and *M. hexanoica* (bottom purple box). Each reaction is accompanied by paired TIC (Top 3 Total Ion Current value) boxes indicating the average of scaled enzyme abundance in monoculture (left) and co-culture (right). For enzymatic steps with multiple detected proteins, only the one with the highest top 3 TIC value was visualized, and the total number of proteins corresponding to that step is indicated in parentheses (light orange for *N*. sp. FC1, light purple for *M. hexanoica*). The color of each TIC box reflects enzyme abundance, normalized to the highest top 3 TIC value observed within each organism: monoculture malic enzyme (enzyme 17) for *N*. sp. FC1 and co-culture enoyl-CoA hydratase (enzyme 31) for *M. hexanoica*. Reactions that were significantly upregulated in co-culture (FDR < 0.05) are marked with red arrows, and a red star symbol is placed next to the corresponding TIC boxes. Created with BioRender.com. **(B)** Top 3 TIC values of carbohydrate-active enzyme (CAZyme) and scaffoldin proteins in *N*. sp. FC1 monoculture. CAZymes with identical domains in the same order are shown in bold, with the number in parentheses indicating the number of proteins sharing that domain architecture. Proteins were classified according to their predicted target substrates (i.e., glucan, xylan, pectin, mannan, fructan, chitin, scaffoldin). Data represent the average of biological quadruplicate, with error bars indicating standard deviations.

Although this study analyzed only intracellular proteins, a diverse set of CAZymes was identified in *N*. sp. FC1 (Figure 3B). A broad array of endoglucanases, exoglucanases, and β-glucosidases (e.g., GH1, GH3, GH5, GH6, GH9, GH13, GH45, and GH48), as well as hemicellulases (e.g., GH10, GH11, GH43, and CE1), were identified (Supplementary dataset 1). Most of the CAZymes contained carbohydrate-binding domains (CBMs), such as CBM10, CBM6, and CBM13, which are known to enhance substrate affinity and synergistic catalysis [33]. In anaerobic fungi, the frequent occurrence of CBM10 is particularly noteworthy, as it may also function as a dockerin-like domain that anchors catalytic subunits to scaffoldins

`

[34]. Indeed, a scaffoldin protein was detected, strongly supporting the presence of a

cellulosome-like enzymatic complex in *N*. sp. FC1. This, together with the presence of

proteins harboring diverse domain combinations, points to a system that combines broad

catalytic capability with specificity toward particular substrates (Figire 3B, Supplementary

dataset 1). For example, a single protein sequence contained both GH3 and GH6 domains

along with three CBM10 domains, while another protein sequence included GH43_16

together with three distinct CBM domains (CBM6, CBM13, and CBM10). GH43_29 was

also detected in four tandem repeats, together with two CBM10 domains. Together, these

features may facilitate efficient lignocellulose breakdown and suggest an important role for *N*.

sp. FC1 in metabolic exchange within the co-culture.

Metabolite cross-feeding between *N*. sp. FC1 and *M. hexanoica* under co-culture

cultivation was predominantly based on D-lactate. *N*. sp. FC1 solely produced D-lactate but

not L-lactate, in agreement with genomic evidence showing the presence of a D-lactate

dehydrogenase gene and not a gene for L-lactate dehydrogenase. However, the abundance of

lactate racemase and L-lactate dehydrogenase in *M. hexanoica* did not differ significantly

between monoculture and co-culture (Figure 3A, Supplementary Table 2). The absence of

sugar transporters (e.g., PTS subunits IIABC) and metabolic enzymes (e.g., hexokinase,

xylose isomerase) in *M. hexanoica* proteome, together with undetectable sugar levels in

fungal monoculture, suggests minimal sugar-mediated cross-feeding. Interestingly, enzymes

involved in riboflavin biosynthesis (6,7-dimethyl-8-ribityllumazine synthase, Supplementary

Table 2) were downregulated in *M. hexanoica* under co-culture, suggesting potential cross-

feeding of trace micronutrients. Such micronutrient transfer may have facilitated the growth

of strains that failed to grow in monoculture (*Caproiciproducens* sp. 7D4C2, *Caproicibacter*

fermentens, and *M. cerevisiae*; Figure 2B, 2C) under co-culture, pointing to fungal-bacterial

interactions beyond lactate cross-feeding. In addition, *M. hexanoica* proteins related to

nitrogen metabolism exhibited marked shifts in abundance under co-culture, suggesting

restricted access to nitrogen source due to competition with the fungal partner

(Supplementary Note 1, Supplementary Table 2).

Differential proteomic analysis between monoculture and co-culture conditions

revealed that the enhanced production of hexanoate and octanoate over butyrate in co-culture

was driven by changes in the bacterial proteome. While *N*. sp. FC1 proteome showed no

statistically significant differences, *M. hexanoica* exhibited a marked upregulation of chain

elongation pathways under co-culture. All enzymes involved in the RBO cycle were

upregulated, except acetyl-CoA C-acetyltransferase (Enzyme 29 in Figure 3A) which

catalyzes highly thermodynamically constrained reaction [35] ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = +25.0$ kJ/mol for C4

metabolism). The unchanged expression level of acetyl-CoA C-acetyltransferase suggests that

this step functions as a strict thermodynamic bottleneck, where additional enzyme investment

cannot meaningfully increase flux [35]. In contrast, enoyl-CoA hydratase (Enzyme 31 in

Figure 3A), the only other enzyme in the RBO cycle that catalyzes a thermodynamically

unfavorable reaction (Enzyme 31 in Figure 3A, $\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = +3.3$ kJ/mol, for C4 metabolism), was

5.9-fold more abundant in co-culture compared to monoculture (Figure 3A), suggesting

increased thermodynamic pressure on chain elongation. Meanwhile, the terminal enzyme

CoA-transferase (Enzyme 34 in Figure 3A) did not exhibit a significant difference between

monoculture and co-culture. The increased ratio of RBO cycle enzymes to the terminal

enzyme under co-culture condition reflects an expression profile that promotes greater RBO

cycle iterations per product, consistent with the elevated production of C6 and C8 (Figure

2D).

Kinetic analysis elucidates the role of cross-feeding intermediates in driving chain

`

elongation

Given the central role of lactate as a cross-feeding intermediate, we conducted a kinetic

experiment on the co-culture of *N*. sp. FC1 and *M. hexanoica* to assess whether lactate is

transiently accumulated or consistently depleted. Lactate was not detected at any point during

the 10-day incubation, indicating that it is not only the main driver of chain elongation but

also the principal limiting metabolite in lignocellulose-to-MCFA conversion. We propose that

this persistent lactate scarcity triggered increased chain elongation in the co-culture condition

[36], supported by the observed increase in RBO enzyme expression. This proteome

allocation shift is consistent with a compensatory strategy in which cells upregulate enzyme

abundance to maintain metabolic flux under substrate-limited conditions [37].

Figure 4. Chain elongation kinetics

Kinetic analysis of fungal-bacterial co-cultures demonstrates tight lactate cross-feeding and differences in chain elongation dynamics depending on acetate involvement. **(A-B)**, Kinetics of lignocellulose-to-product conversion in co-cultures of *Neocallimastix* sp. FC1 with *M. hexanoica* (**A**) and *P. alactolyticus* (**B**). **(C)** Contribution of each product during the day 5-10 relative to total production in co-cultures of *Neocallimastix* sp. FC1 with *M. hexanoica* or *P. alactolyticus*. All data represent the average of biological triplicates, with error bars indicating standard deviations.

We also found that another cross-feeding metabolite, acetate, governed the MCFAs production kinetics in co-culture conditions. The dynamics of MCFAs production by *M. hexanoica*, which actively consumed acetate during chain elongation, were decoupled from

those of fungal growth and metabolite release. The accumulated headspace pressure, which correlates with fungal proliferation and lactate/acetate production, increased rapidly in the first five days (Supplementary Figure 3) [38]. However, the MCFAs production pattern of *M. hexanoica* was progressively distributed with longer-chain MCFAs increasingly produced during the latter half of the incubation (Figure 4A, 4C). In contrast, chain elongation kinetics of *P. alactolyticus*, which did not assimilate acetate, closely resembled the kinetics of fungal proliferation and assumed lactate production (Figure 4B, 4C). These dynamics imply that *P. alactolyticus* operates under pseudo-first-order kinetics on lactate during lactate-scarcity, with chain elongation flux responding directly to transient substrate supply from fungal metabolism. Together, these findings suggest that acetate utilization capacity may play an important role in shaping the temporal organization of chain elongation dynamics in cross-feeding systems.

Techno-economic analysis suggests cost and yield benchmarks for scalable fungal-bacterial CBP systems

Technoeconomic analysis was performed to validate the potential of anaerobic fungal-bacterial consortia as a profitable biorefinery platform and outline design criteria to achieve its practical implementation. This analysis was conducted using the open-source TEA platform BioSTEAM [39] and parameterized based on prior experiments and simple assumptions (Supplementary Note 2, Supplementary Table 3). The model comprises media preparation, fermentation, separation, and distillation (Figure 5A). An initial analysis, based on experimental results and unoptimized conditions from this study, revealed economic infeasibility. This primarily arose from the high medium cost per product ($127.1 USD/kg_MCFAs), with cysteine (49.7 %), yeast extract (39.4 %), and buffering agents

(carbonate and phosphate buffer, 9.5 %) accounting for the majority of expenses (Figure 5B).

The low MCFA titer further increased the cost per product, as the fixed medium cost was

allocated across a smaller yield, which is constrained by the low lignocellulose loading (10

g/L) and MCFA yield (0.1 g_MCFAs/g_grass). Sensitivity analysis evaluated the influence of

key process design criteria on IRR (Figure 5C) and utility cost (Figure 5D), with medium cost,

MCFAs yield, and RCG input emerging as the most influential factors. Therefore, we

analyzed profitability across different medium costs and MCFA yields (Figure 5E and 5F for

hexanoate and octanoate, respectively) under higher lignocellulose loading (100 g/L) to

propose economically feasible engineering design criteria. The results suggest economically

feasible targets for medium cost and MCFA yield, represented by the internal rate of return

(IRR) contour lines (from left to right, representing IRR levels of 0.1, 0.15, and 0.2,

respectively; see Figure 5E and 5F). Overall, minimizing medium costs and intensifying the

process play a crucial role in transitioning this early-stage bioprocess into a scalable and

economically viable biomanufacturing system.

Figure 5. Techno-economic analysis

Techno-economic analysis of a fungal-bacterial CBP system for lignocellulose-to-MCFA conversion. **(A)** Process flow diagram of the fungal-bacterial CBP system for lignocellulose-

to-MCFA conversion, including media preparation, fermentation, separation, and distillation.

(B) Pie chart showing the relative cost contribution of medium components for bioreactor

cultivation. **(C-D)** Sensitivity analysis identifying key process parameters influencing

economic performance, measured by internal rate of return (IRR, **C**) and utility cost (**D**). **(E-**

F) Simulated IRR as a function of medium cost (y-axis) and MCFA yield (x-axis) for

hexanoic acid (**E**) and octanoic acid (**F**) production. Red dashed contours represent IRR

thresholds (0.1, 0.15, 0.2). A yellow vertical dashed line marks the theoretical maximum

MCFA yield for a two-member co-culture of an anaerobic fungus and a chain elongating

bacterium. The x-axis upper bound corresponds to the theoretical maximum MCFA yield

under a CO_2 recovery scenario in which homoacetogens and ethanol-utilizing chain

elongating bacteria are added to the synthetic consortium.

Discussion

This study demonstrates a microbiome engineering framework to construct a synthetic

fungal-bacterial consortium for pretreatment-free conversion of lignocellulosic biomass into

MCFAs. Through bottom-up assembly, we show that efficient lignocellulose-to-MCFA

conversion can be achieved by pairing top-performing fungal (*N.* sp. FC1, isolated from this

study) and bacterial strains (*M. hexanoica*). This underscores strain selection as a primary

design variable in engineered microbiomes which can be systematically accelerated through

culturomics [8,40]. Within this consortium, lignocellulose-to-MCFA conversion was enabled

by a lactate-centered cross-feeding between anaerobic fungi and chain-elongating bacteria

preventing direct competition for soluble sugars. This result is consistent with prior report

showing that *M. hexanoica* rapidly consumes lactate while largely bypassing glucose [36].

Additionally, lactate and acetate played distinct functional roles: lactate served as the primary

fuel for chain elongation by sustaining flux through RBO, whereas acetate modulated the

timing of product formation, with higher acetate involvement associated with delayed

accumulation of hexanoate and octanoate. Notably, preferential production of hexanoate and

octanoate under lactate-scarce conditions indicates that maintaining low lactate levels while

maximizing RBO flux can enhance lignocellulose-to-MCFA productivity. From an industrial

perspective, this product shift is advantageous, as longer-chain fatty acids exhibit higher

market value and greater compatibility with product recovery than butyrate [28]. Moreover,

because acetate represents a relatively oxidized cross-feeding intermediate, limiting its

contribution to chain elongation further favors the formation of more reduced fatty acids [35].

These findings highlight the importance of securing homo-lactic acid fungi to ensure

consistent lactate supply while minimizing acetate input that may otherwise divert carbon

away from MCFAs.

The preferential production of hexanoate and octanoate under lactate-limited co-

culture conditions demonstrates a generalizable design principle for biomanufacturing

systems targeting reduced chemical products. Rapid depletion of lactate, the primary carbon

and electron donor for RBO, indicates that electron donor demand by chain-elongating

bacteria exceeded fungal supply, imposing a growth-limited regime. Such growth limitation

is known to shift metabolic investment away from biosynthesis and toward energy-

conserving fermentative pathways in anaerobic microbes [41], a physiological state supported

here by increased abundance of RBO-associated enzymes in *M. hexanoica* during co-culture.

Under these conditions, reduced anabolic demand lowers electron consumption for biomass

synthesis, creating a surplus of reducing equivalents that must be rebalanced through

metabolism. This surplus reducing power was channeled into the formation of more reduced

fermentation end-products. Accordingly, the system favored accumulation of hexanoate and

octanoate, which require additional elongation steps and greater enzymatic investment than

butyrate [35] yet retain a larger fraction of reducing equivalents in the final products. Similar

shifts toward more reduced products have been reported across diverse anaerobic systems,

including the transition from acidogenesis to solventogenesis in *Clostridium acetobutylicum*

as growth declines in batch culture [42] and the redirection of carbon flux from acetate to ethanol in *Clostridium autoethanogenum* during electron donor-limited chemostat operation at high biomass density [43]. Together, these observations suggest that deliberately operating anaerobic bioprocesses within a controlled growth-limited regime can promote redox rebalancing through reduced product formation, maximizing carbon and electron retention in target chemicals.

The technoeconomic analysis further supports the economic feasibility of this platform and clarifies the cost-yield conditions required for profitable implementation. Achieving this potential will require advances across three key domains: medium cost reduction, metabolic optimization, and process intensification. The unique ability of anaerobic fungi to deconstruct lignocellulosic biomass without extensive pretreatment or enzymatic hydrolysis enables high solid loadings [44], offering a practical strategy to medium cost reduction and process intensification. Additional cost savings can be achieved by replacing expensive medium components such as cysteine, buffer salts, and yeast extract with renewable alternatives or intensifying microbial cross-feeding [45,46]. Metabolic optimization strategies, such as enhancing lactate production through hydrogenosomal regulation [44,47], refining MCFA selectivity in chain-elongating bacteria [48], and expanding functional guilds (e.g., homoacetogens, ethanol-based chain elongators) [8], could significantly boost productivity and carbon efficiency. For example, incorporating homoacetogens and ethanol-based chain elongators increases the theoretical maximum MCFA yields of hexanoate and octanoate from 27.3 and 25.5 % (yellow vertical dashed line in Figure 5E, 5F) to 41.0 and 38.2 % (the upper bound of x-axis in Figure 5E, 5F), respectively. Lastly, process intensification approaches, including high-solids continuous-flow culturing [49,50], solid-state fermentation [51], and membrane-based extraction technologies [28], offer promising routes to improve volumetric productivity and mitigate

470   product inhibition.

Concluding remarks

This study establishes a defined anaerobic fungal-bacterial consortium as a pretreatment-free

platform for lignocellulose biomanufacturing, enabling direct conversion of native plant

biomass into MCFAs. By systematically screening defined fungal-bacterial pairings, an

optimal consortium is identified, achieving conversion of 21 % of lignocellulosic carbon into

MCFAs. The results further identify operationally controllable factors, including lactate

availability and acetate utilization capacity, as key levers shaping product selectivity and

kinetics, providing practical guidance for tuning system behavior. In parallel,

technoeconomic analysis translates the demonstrated platform performance into quantitative

benchmarks by delineating the cost and yield targets required for economically viable

deployment, clarifying where future optimization efforts are most impactful.

Taken together, these findings position fungal-bacterial consortia as a biological front

end for lignocellulose-based biorefineries, channeling lignocellulosic biomass into MCFAs

that provide a common starting point for diverse downstream biological or catalytic

upgrading strategies [3,25]. More broadly, the work shifts lignocellulose biomanufacturing

from a pretreatment-centered challenge toward a system integration problem that becomes

tractable through systematic organization of biological functions and process design.

Materials and methods

Strains and routine cultivation

`

In this study, two anaerobic fungal strains previously isolated in earlier experiments

(*Neocallimastix camerooni* var. constans [52], and *Caecomyces churrovis* [19]) and newly

isolated strain (*Neocallimastix* sp. FC1) were used. Isolation, cryopreservation, and

subsequent revival of the fungal strains were performed following established protocols for

anaerobic gut fungi [9]. *Neocallimastix* sp. FC1 was obtained from lignocellulose-degrading

enrichment culture derived from a cow fecal sample [30]. Fungal strains were first revived in

160 mL serum bottles containing 50 mL MC medium supplemented with 10 g/L of milled

reed canary grass (1 mm particle size). They were then routinely subcultured every 3 days in

M2 medium supplemented with chloramphenicol (100 mg/L) and reed canary grass

(10 g/L), using Hungate tubes with a 1 mL inoculum and a 10 mL working volume. Reed

canary grass was provided by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research

Service, U.S. Dairy Forage Research Center [21].

Lactate-utilizing chain elongating bacterial strains (*Caproicibacter fermentans* DSM

107079, *Caproiciproducens* sp. 7D4C2 DSM 110548, *Clostridium luticellarii* DSM 29923,

Pseudramibacter alactolyticus DSM 3980, *Megasphaera cerevisiae* DSM 20461,

Megasphaera elsdenii DSM20460, and *Megasphaera hexanoica* DSM 106893) were

purchased from the German Collection of Micro-organisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ).

Bacterial cultures were revived in ATCC 2107 medium supplemented with sodium lactate

(10 g/L) but without soluble starch and dextrose. They were subcultured under the same

condition after 4 days of cultivation, by transferring 0.02 mL of preculture into Hungate

tubes with 10 mL working volume. For both fungal and bacterial cultures, bottles and tubes

were prepared and sealed within a glovebox to maintain strict anaerobic conditions prior to

autoclaving. Inoculated cultures were subsequently incubated at 39 °C in a water bath.

Genomic DNA extraction, sequencing, and genome annotation of *Neocallimastix* sp. FC1

Fungal cell mats of *Neocallimastix* sp. FC1 were harvested after four days of growth on

sorghum in three different media: MC, M2, and M2 supplemented with 1 g/L yeast extract.

The samples were shipped on dry ice to the Arizona Genome Institute for genomic DNA and

total RNA extraction. High-quality genomic DNA was extracted at the Arizona Genomics

Institute using a modified cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol [53]. Briefly,

the frozen fungal biomass was cryo-ground using mortar and pestle with liquid nitrogen and

subjected to extraction with CTAB buffer for 1 hour at 50 °C. After centrifugation, the

supernatant was purified by two repeated extractions with chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1).

The upper aqueous phase was recovered and mixed with 3 M sodium acetate (10 % v/v),

and genomic DNA was precipitated with isopropanol. The DNA pellet was collected by

centrifugation, washed with 70 % ethanol, air-dried for 20 minutes, and dissolved in Tris-

EDTA buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8, 1 mM EDTA) at room temperature followed by RNAse

treatment. Subsequently, DNA purity was assessed with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer,

concentration was measured with the Qubit HS kit (Invitrogen), and size was validated with

the Femto Pulse System (Agilent). The purified genomic DNA of *Neocallimastix* sp. FC1 was

shipped to the Joint Genome Institute (JGI) for sequencing and annotation.

For genome sequencing, 1500 ng of genomic DNA was sheared to approximately

10 kb using either a Megaruptor® 3 (Diagenode) or a g-TUBE (Covaris). The sheared DNA

was first treated with exonuclease to remove single-stranded ends, followed by DNA damage

repair, end-repair, and A-tailing using reagents from the SMRTbell Express Template Prep

Kit 2.0 (PacBio). Barcoded overhang adapters from the SMRTbell® Barcoded Adapter Plate

3.0 (PacBio) were then ligated to the prepared DNA fragments, and the resulting libraries

were purified using AMPure® PB Beads (PacBio). The purified libraries were pooled based

on the required number of reads perd sample to ensure sufficient sequencing coverage.

Pooled libraries were size selected using 0.75 % agarose gel cassettes with Marker S1 and

the High Pass protocol on a BluePippin system (Sage Science). A sequencing primer was

annealed to the SMRTbell templates, and the polymerase was bound using the Sequel II

Binding Kit 2.0 (PacBio). Sequencing was performed on a PacBio Sequel IIe platform using

SMRT Link v10.2, 8M v1 SMRT cells, and Sequel II Sequencing Kit v2.0, with 1×1800

sequencing movie run times. Circular consensus sequencing (CCS) reads were filtered to

remove artifacts and assembled using Flye v2.9-b1768 in HiFi mode [54]. The resulting draft

genome was polished using two iterations of Racon v1.4.13 and annotated through the JGI

annotation pipeline using assembled transcriptome data [55].

Total RNA extraction, sequencing, and transcriptome assembly of *Neocallimastix* sp.

FC1

Total RNA was extracted at the Arizona Genomics Institute using the Qiagen RNeasy® Mini

Kit, following the manufacturer's protocol described in the RNeasy® Mini Handbook under

the section "Purification of total RNA from plant cells and tissues and filamentous fungi". An

on-column DNase digestion step was included to remove residual genomic DNA. Fungal

cells were lysed by grinding in liquid nitrogen, and homogenization was performed using a

QIAshredder. RNA was eluted in 50 µL of RNase-free water, then re-applied to the column

to concentrate the final RNA solution. RNA concentrations exceeded 25 ng/µL as measured

by the Invitrogen Qubit 2.0 fluorometer. Integrity was assessed using either the Agilent 2200

TapeStation or 2100 Bioanalyzer, with all samples showing RIN or RINe scores above 7. The

purified total RNA from *Neocallimastix* sp. FC1 was then shipped to the Joint Genome

Institute (JGI) for sequencing and assembly.

564   For Illumina RNA-Seq, mRNA was isolated from an input of 1000 ng of total RNA

565 with oligo dT magnetic beads and fragmented to 300 bp - 400 bp with divalent cations at a

566 high temperature. Using TruSeq stranded mRNA kit (Illumina), the fragmented mRNA was

567 reverse transcribed to create the first strand of cDNA with random hexamers and

568 SuperScript™ II Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) followed by second strand

569 synthesis. The double stranded cDNA fragments were treated with A-tailing, ligation with

570 NEXTFLEX UDI Barcodes (PerkinElmer) and enriched using 8 cycles of PCR. The prepared

571 libraries were quantified using KAPA Biosystems' next-generation sequencing library qPCR

572 kit and run on a Roche LightCycler 480 real-time PCR instrument. Sequencing of the flow

573 cell was performed on the Illumina NovaSeq sequencer using NovaSeq XP V1.5 reagent kits,

574 S4 flowcell, following a 2x151 indexed run recipe. RNA-Seq reads were filtered and trimmed

575 for quality and contamination using BBDuk (https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/) and

576 assembled using Trinity v2.12.0 [56].

577   For PacBio Iso-Seq, 500 ng of total RNA was used to synthesize full-length cDNA

578 and enriched using 12-20 cycles of PCR with NEBNext® Single Cell/Low Input cDNA

579 Synthesis & Amplification Module (New England BioLabs). The amplified cDNA was

580 purified (or size-selected) with AMPure® PB Beads (PacBio). Libraries were pooled based

581 on the required amount of reads per sample, ensuring each sample received adequate

582 coverage for analysis. The pooled libraries were treated with DNA damage repair enzyme

583 mix, end-repair/A-tailing mix and ligated with barcoded overhang adapters from SMRTbell

584 Barcoded Adapter Plate 3.0 (PacBio) using SMRTbell Express Template Prep Kit 2.0

585 (PacBio). PacBio Sequencing primer was then annealed to the SMRTbell template library and

586 sequencing polymerase was bound to them using Sequel II Binding kit 2.0. The prepared

587 SMRTbell template libraries were then sequenced on a Pacific Biosystems' Sequel IIe

588 sequencer using SMRT Link 12.0, 8M v1 SMRT cells, and Version 2.0 sequencing chemistry

with 1x1800 sequencing movie run times. Polished Circular Consensus Sequence (CCS reads)

were produced from Pacbio subreads using pbccs v. 4 (ccs --min-passes 3 --min-snr 4 --max-

length 21000 --min-length 10 --min-rq 0.98) as described in the official PacBio Iso-Seq

analysis pipeline (https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/IsoSeq), classified as full length

based on 5' and 3' primers and polyA tail, trimmed for polyA tails, and clustered all the full

length CCS reads to produce a single consensus sequence per cluster, following the Iso-Seq

protocol [57].

Screening and kinetics experiments

To identify optimal fungal-bacterial pairs for MCFA production, we conducted screening

experiments of monocultures and co-cultures using a M2 medium supplemented with 10 g/L

(1 %) milled reed canary grass (1 mm particle size). Fungal monocultures were grown for

7 days with 100 mg/L chloramphenicol, with the incubation period determined based on a

preliminary kinetic experiment (Supplementary Figure 3). Bacterial monocultures were

subsequently assessed under the same medium condition without chloramphenicol, but with

additional supplementation of yeast extract, lactate, and acetate (1, 1.8, and 2.6 g/L,

respectively) to support growth and mimic the metabolite profile produced by *N*. sp. FC1 in

monoculture. Co-cultures were cultivated in the same medium with 1 g/L of yeast extract

but without lactate and acetate to test direct conversion of reed canary grass into MCFAs. All

cultures were grown in Hungate tubes with 10 mL working volume, and all experiments

were conducted in biological triplicate. For inoculation, 0.1 mL of fungal preculture and

0.01 mL of bacterial preculture were transferred into each tube. The optimal fungal-bacterial

combination was selected based on the resulting MCFAs concentration. To further assess the

temporal dynamics of metabolite production, a kinetic experiment was performed using co-

cultures of *N.* sp. FC1 with either *M. hexanoica* or *P. alactolyticus*. Time-course sampling

was conducted destructively by harvesting three biological replicates per condition at each

timepoint.

Analytical methods

Headspace pressure and composition were analyzed to evaluate fungal proliferation.

Headspace pressure was first measured using a pressure gauge (98766-89, Traceable). Gas

composition was analyzed by gas chromatography equipped with a thermal conductivity

detector (HP 5890, Hewlett Packard) and CTR I column (Alltech). Helium gas was used as

the carrier gas. An isothermal method was applied with 50 °C oven temperature and 10 mins

of run time.

All liquid samples were filtered through a 0.22 µm membrane filter and stored at -

20 °C before further analysis. Samples were thawed, centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 5 mins,

and diluted using HPLC grade water prior to the analysis. Lactate and formate concentrations

were quantified using high-performance liquid chromatography (ICS5000 Dionex, Thermo

Scientific) with a UV-detector and Aminex HPX-87H column (Bio-Rad). The column was

maintained at 50 °C. The mobile phase consisted of 5 mM sulfuric acid, and elution was

performed at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min. Data acquisition and analysis were carried out using

Chromeleon 7 software (Thermo). For volatile fatty acids (acetate, propionate, butyrate,

pentanoate, hexanoate, heptanoate, and octanoate) quantification, samples were acidified by

adjusting formate concentration to 100 mM and were analyzed using gas chromatography

(8900 GC System, Agilent) equipped with a mass spectrometry (7000D Triple Quadrupole

MS, Agilent) and DB-FatWax column (Agilent). Helium gas was used as the carrier gas with

1 mL/min flow rate, and oven temperature gradient was set as follows: 80 °C for 1 min, a

20 °C/min ramp until 210 °C, 20 °C/min until 250 °C and finally held for 5 mins. The mass

spectrometer was operated in dynamic multiple reaction monitoring (dMRM) mode. Target

analytes were ionized and fragmented by electron ionization, and the precursor and product

ions of target analytes were selected using Agilent software (MassHunter Optimizer, Agilent).

Carbon mass balance experiments and conversion efficiency calculation

Carbon mass balance experiments were conducted under three conditions: (1) uninoculated

control, (2) *N*. sp. FC1 monoculture, and (3) co-culture of *N*. sp. FC1 and *M. hexanoica*. All

conditions were prepared in five biological replicates using Hungate tubes with a 10 mL

working volume of M2 medium supplemented with reed canary grass (10 g/L) and yeast

extract (1 g/L). Inoculated cultures were incubated for 7 days prior to mass balance analysis.

Samples were analyzed for solid, aqueous, and gas fractions. Headspace pressure and gas

composition was analyzed to quantify gaseous carbon dioxide. The entire culture content was

then filtered using a vacuum filtration apparatus with a pre-weighed 0.22 μm membrane

filter to separate the solid and liquid phases. The liquid fraction was collected in a 50 mL

Falcon tube, while the solid retained on the filter was dried at 105°C and weighed to

determine the dry solid mass. Carbon content of the solid fraction was further analyzed via

elemental analysis (Flash 2000, Thermo Scientific). The liquid fraction was analyzed for

organic acid (i.e., lactate, formate and volatile fatty acids) concentrations. In addition, total

organic carbon (TOC) and inorganic carbon (IC) were quantified using a TOC analyzer

(TOC-L, Shimadzu). Lignocellulose-to-product conversion yield of *N*. sp. FC1 was

calculated by dividing the total grams of carbon in fungal fermentation products (lactate,

acetate, and formate) by the grams of carbon in the supplemented reed canary grass. The

lignocellulose-to-MCFA conversion efficiency of co-cultures was calculated by dividing the

total grams of MCFA carbon (hexanoate and octanoate) by the grams of carbon in the

supplemented reed canary grass.

Proteomics sample preparation and analysis

Proteomic samples were collected from both monoculture and co-culture conditions. There

are three sources of protein in this experiment, which are *N*. sp. FC1, *M. hexanoica*, and grass

used as the substrate. Sorghum was selected as an alternative lignocellulosic substrate for

proteomic experiments due to the availability of fully annotated genome, which is necessary

for the identification of potential plant-derived proteins. To validate the substitution of reed

canary grass with sorghum, we compared fermentation kinetics and metabolite profiles of

cultures grown on sorghum and reed canary grass under both monoculture and co-culture

conditions. Fungal monocultures and fungal-bacterial co-cultures were inoculated in Hungate

tubes with a 10 mL working volume of M2 medium supplemented with sorghum (10 g/L)

and yeast extract (1 g/L). Bacterial monocultures were inoculated in the same condition but

also supplemented with lactate, and acetate (1.8, and 2.6 g/L, respectively). No significant

differences were observed in fermentation trends and yields between experiments using reed

canary grass and those using sorghum (Supplementary Figure 4). Particularly, *N*. sp. FC1

rapidly produced its fermentation products within the first 5 days (Supplementary Figure 4A),

and the co-culture of *N*. sp. FC1 and *M. hexanoica* showed higher hexanoate and octanoate

production compared to the *M. hexanoica* monoculture (Supplementary Figure 4D). To

minimize disruption of plant cell walls and release of sorghum proteins, cell lysis was

performed using bead beating instead of cryo-grinding, resulting in sorghum-derived peptides

comprising less than 0.1% of total spectra.

Biomass was harvested during the mid-exponential growth phase. Four fungal

monocultures and three fungal-bacterial co-cultures were sampled after 60 h of incubation,

while 12 bacterial monocultures were collected after 36 h. Solid samples were obtained by

centrifugation, washed once with phosphate buffer saline (pH 7.0), and flash-frozen with

liquid nitrogen. Cell lysis, protein isolation, and digestion were performed using procedures

outlined by Chirania et al. [6], with minor modifications. Briefly, biomass was lysed by bead

beating using 0.15 mm zirconium oxide beads in Tris-HCl buffer (100 mM, pH 8.0)

containing 4% sodium dodecyl sulfate and 10 mM DL-Dithiothreitol. Lysates were cleared

by centrifugation at $21,000 \times g$ for 10 min and heated at 90 °C for 10 min to denature

proteins. Cysteine residues were blocked by alkylation with 30 mM iodoacetamide in the

dark for 20 min at room temperature. Proteins were purified by chloroform-methanol

extraction, washed with methanol, air-dried, and resolubilized in ammonium bicarbonate

(ABC) buffer (100 mM, pH 8.0) containing 4% sodium deoxycholate (SDC). For bacterial

monoculture samples, proteins from four tubes were pooled during resolubilization to ensure

sufficient protein yield for downstream analysis, generating triplicate samples. Protein

concentrations were determined using the BCA assay, and 250 µg of each protein sample

was concentrated using 10 kDa MWCO centrifugal filters, rinsed with ABC buffer, and

digested with MS-grade trypsin (1:75 w/w) overnight at room temperature, with an additional

3 h digestion after fresh trypsin addition. Tryptic peptides were recovered by filtering

through 10 kDa MWCO centrifugal filters. The remaining SDC was removed by formic

acid precipitation and water-saturated ethyl acetate extraction. Lastly, peptide samples were

dried in a SpeedVac and resuspended in 0.5% formic acid.

Peptide separation, detection, and raw mass spectra data processing were performed

as previously described by Gois et al. [35], with minor modifications. Peptide samples were

loaded onto an EASY-nano LC 1000 system coupled to a Q-Exactive Orbitrap mass

spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) and separated on a custom-packed SilicaTip emitter column

(New Objective) using ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ resin (Dr. Maisch GmbH). Two solvent

solutions comprised 0.1% formic acid in water (Solvent A) and 0.1% formic acid in

acetonitrile (Solvent B) delivered at a constant flow rate of 250 nL/min. Chromatographic

separation was achieved using the following linear gradient: 0 to 5 min 0% B, increase to 10%

B; 5 to 93 min, increase to 40% B; 93 to 95 min, ramp to 95% B; held at 95% B until 105

min; returned to 0% B by 106 min and held until 120 min for column re-equilibration. The

mass spectrometer was operated in positive ionization mode with a spray voltage of 3 kV

and maintaining the capillary at 275 °C. Full MS scans were collected across a mass-to-

charge range of 400-2,000 m/z at a resolution of 70,000 (automatic gain control target: 1e6;

max injection time: 30 ms). For tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) acquisition, a Top10

data-dependent method was employed and selected the ten most abundant precursor ions

within a 0.4 m/z isolation window. MS2 scans were acquired at a resolution of 17,500

(normalized collision energy: 27; max injection time: 50 ms).

Tandem mass spectra were processed with Global Proteome Machine pipeline (GPM;

thegpm.org) pipeline (version 2020.11.12.1) and searched with X! Tandem (version X!

Tandem Aspartate 2020.11.12.1) against custom protein databases for Sorghum, *N.* sp. FC1

and *M. hexanoica*. To construct a database, the genome assembly of *M. hexanoica*

(GCF_012843505.1; ASM1284350v1) was annotated using Prokka (v1.14.6) and eggNOG-

mapper (emapper v2.1.12, eggNOG database v5.0.2). The resulting genome annotation files

are provided as Supplementary dataset 2. Database searches were performed with fixed

carbamidomethylation (cysteine, selenocysteine) and variable modifications allowing for N-

terminal pyro-glutamate formation (from glutamine, glutamic acid), N-terminal ammonia loss,

deamidation (asparagine, glutamine), and oxidation or dioxidation events on methionine and

tryptophan residues. Peptide and protein identifications were validated with Scaffold (v5.1.2,

Proteome Software Inc.), using thresholds of greater than 95% probability for peptides and

greater than 99% probability for proteins, with a requirement for at least two unique peptides. Protein probabilities were assigned by the Protein Prophet algorithm, while proteins containing shared peptides that could not be uniquely distinguished by MS/MS were grouped according to the principle of parsimony. Quantification was performed using the Top3 total ion current (TIC) approach, and spectral counts for fungal and bacterial proteins were normalized separately by scaling each organism's total spectra within a sample to the average total spectra across all samples. Statistical significance was assessed using t-tests corrected with the Benjamini-Hochberg method. Additionally, identified CAZyme proteins were annotated using dbCAN3 (HMMER-based annotation against CAZy family profiles) and InterProScan (v5.75-106.0) to predict catalytic domains and carbohydrate-binding modules (CBMs).

Technoeconomic analysis

Techno-economic analysis (TEA) was performed using BioSTEAM [39], an open-source Python platform for process simulation and cost estimation. This analysis aimed to assess whether fungal-bacterial co-cultures for direct conversion of reed canary grass into MCFAs could achieve economic viability and to identify key process parameters required for scale-up. The process configuration included four main stages: media preparation, fermentation, product separation, and distillation. BioSTEAM was used to simulate mass and energy flows for each unit operation, coupled with equipment design and cost algorithms to estimate capital and operating costs. Detailed assumptions, parameters, and sensitivity analyses are provided in Supplementary Note □2 and Supplementary Table □3.

`

`

Outstanding Questions

- How can strain-level metabolic engineering be integrated with consortium-level cross-feeding to simultaneously maximize productivity and product selectivity in synthetic microbial systems?
- Which functional guilds should be incorporated into synthetic consortia to expand MCFA yield and robustness without increasing system complexity?
- How should bioreactors be designed to sustain in-line product extraction in high-solids, lignocellulose-rich systems?
- How can Design-Build-Test-Learn (DBTL) frameworks be coupled with automated, data-driven strain and consortium engineering to iteratively to accelerate optimization of integrated biomanufacturing process?

Technology readiness

This study establishes an anaerobic fungal-bacterial consortium as a pretreatment-free platform for lignocellulose to medium-chain fatty acids conversion, along with its technoeconomic potential at laboratory scale. The Technology Readiness Level of our platform is approximately 4 based on demonstrated performance in batch fermentations with reed canary grass. As identified here via technoeconomic analysis, technology advancement requires reducing cultivation media costs and improving process intensification by operating at higher solids concentrations. Additionally, achieving higher MCFA yield would further improve technoeconomics, which can be achieved through metabolic engineering of the synthetic consortium and incorporating in-line MCFA extraction to reduce product toxicity.

`

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Author contributions

BRK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology,

Project administration, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing –

review & editing; **EMB**: Methodology and Resources for fungi cultivation, Project

administration; **JPH**: Methodology and Resources for bacteria cultivation, Writing – review

& editing; **IMG**: Methodology and Validation for differential proteomics, Writing – review &

editing; **RF**:　Data curation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, and Validation for

differential proteomics; **TSL**: Investigation and Resources for isolating *Neocallimastix* sp.

FC1; **JT, VB, SR**: Investigation, Methodology, and Resources for genomic DNA, total RNA

extraction; **SM, JP, AL, JG, HH, RL, KB, IVG**: Investigation, Data curation, Methodology,

Software, and Resources for genomic DNA, total RNA sequencing, genome annotation, and

transcriptome assembly; **MAO'M**: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology,

Resources, Supervision; **CEL**: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology,

Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Data availability

The *Neocallimastix* sp. FC1 genome assembly and annotation are available from JGI

MycoCosm portal [54] (https://mycocosm.jgi.doe.gov/NeoFC1_1) and have been deposited

at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the accession SRP609168. The mass spectrometry

proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE

partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD067542.

Code availability

All codes used for techno-economic analysis of the lignocellulose-to-MCFAs conversion by

fungal-bacterial consortia are available on Github

(https://github.com/BK419/Technoeconomic_analysis_lignocellulose_to_MCFAs_fungal_bac

terial_co-culture).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge funding support from the Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science

(DE-SC0022142), the Institute for Collaborative Biotechnologies and National Science

Foundation (2128271). This work was also funded by the DOE Joint BioEnergy Institute

(http://www.jbei.org) supported by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research of

the DOE Office of Science through contract DE-AC02–05CH11231 between Lawrence

Berkeley National Laboratory. We further acknowledge support from the Natural Sciences

and Engineering Research Council of Canada (RGPIN-2021-02684, NSERC-CREATE

528163-201). The work (proposal: 10.46936/10.25585/60000510) conducted by the U.S.

Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (https://ror.org/04xm1d337), a DOE Office of

Science User Facility, is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy

operated under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. We sincerely thank Dr. Cameron

Strachan (University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna) for generously performing the genome

annotation of *Megasphaera hexanoica* and providing guidance on annotation processing

References

1. Zhao, X.-Q. *et al.* (2024) Making the biochemical conversion of lignocellulose more robust. *Trends Biotechnol.* 42, 418–430

2. Long, B. *et al.* (2025) Engineering strategies to optimize lignocellulosic biorefineries. *Nat. Rev. Bioeng.* 3, 230–244

3. Lynd, L.R. *et al.* (2022) Toward low-cost biological and hybrid biological/catalytic conversion of cellulosic biomass to fuels. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 15, 938–990

4. Sulis, D.B. *et al.* (2025) Advances in lignocellulosic feedstocks for bioenergy and bioproducts. *Nat. Commun.* 16, 1244

5. da Silva, A.S. *et al.* (2020) Constraints and advances in high-solids enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass: a critical review. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 13, 58

6. Chirania, P. *et al.* (2022) Metaproteomics reveals enzymatic strategies deployed by anaerobic microbiomes to maintain lignocellulose deconstruction at high solids. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 3870

7. Lawson, C.E. *et al.* (2019) Common principles and best practices for engineering microbiomes. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 17, 725–741

8. Kim, B.-C.R. *et al.* (2025) Carbon-efficient waste upcycling: combining syngas fermentation and chain elongation with synthetic consortia. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 94, 103321

9. Peng, X. *et al.* (2018) Methods for genomic characterization and maintenance of anaerobic fungi. In *Fungal Genomics: Methods and Protocols* (de Vries, R. P. et al., eds), pp. 53–67, Springer New York

10. Haitjema, C.H. *et al.* (2017) A parts list for fungal cellulosomes revealed by comparative genomics. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2, 1–8

11. Solomon, K.V. *et al.* (2016) Early-branching gut fungi possess a large, comprehensive array of biomass-degrading enzymes. *Science* 351, 1192–1195

12. Kovács, E. *et al.* (2025) Anaerobic fungi: effective warriors in lignocellulosic biomass degradation and fermentation. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 101, fiaf108

13. Lankiewicz Thomas S. *et al.* (2022) Enzyme discovery in anaerobic fungi

(*Neocallimastigomycetes*) enables lignocellulosic biorefinery innovation. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol.*

Rev. 86, e00041-22

14. Lillington Stephen P. *et al.* (2021) Cellulosome localization patterns vary across life stages of

anaerobic fungi. *mBio* 12, 10.1128/mbio.00832-21

15. Mountfort, D. (2020) *Anaerobic fungi: biology: ecology, and function*, CRC Press

16. Din, N.A.S. *et al.* (2021) Lactic acid separation and recovery from fermentation broth by ion-

exchange resin: A review. *Bioresour. Bioprocess.* 8, 31

17. Rafieyan, S. *et al.* (2024) Acetone-butanol-ethanol fermentation products recovery: challenges

and opportunities. *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* 205, 640–664

18. Swift, C.L. *et al.* (2019) Co-cultivation of the anaerobic fungus *Anaeromyces robustus* with

Methanobacterium bryantii enhances transcription of carbohydrate active enzymes. *J. Ind.*

Microbiol. Biotechnol. 46, 1427–1433

19. Brown, J.L. *et al.* (2021) Co☐cultivation of the anaerobic fungus *Caecomyces churrovis* with

Methanobacterium bryantii enhances transcription of carbohydrate binding modules, dockerins,

and pyruvate formate lyases on specific substrates. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 14, 234

20. Li, Y. *et al.* (2021) Interactions between anaerobic fungi and methanogens in the rumen and their

biotechnological potential in biogas production from lignocellulosic materials. *Microorganisms* 9,

190

21. Brown, J.L. *et al.* (2022) Co☐cultivation of anaerobic fungi with *Clostridium acetobutylicum*

bolsters butyrate and butanol production from cellulose and lignocellulose. *J. Ind. Microbiol.*

Biotechnol. 49, kuac024

22. Hillman, E.T. *et al.* (2021) Hydrolysis of lignocellulose by anaerobic fungi produces free sugars

and organic acids for two-stage fine chemical production with Kluyveromyces marxianus.

Biotechnol. Prog. 37, e3172

23. Seid, N. *et al.* (2023) Caproate production from enset fiber in one-pot two-step fermentation

using anaerobic fungi (*Neocallimastix cameroonii* strain G341) and *Clostridium kluyveri* DSM

555. *Microb. Cell Factories* 22, 216

24. Angenent, L.T. *et al.* (2016) Chain elongation with reactor microbiomes: open-culture
biotechnology to produce biochemicals. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 2796–2810

25. Agena, E. *et al.* (2024) Evaluating the feasibility of medium-chain oleochemical synthesis using
microbial chain elongation. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 51, kuae027

26. Lu, C. and Weusthuis, R.A. (2025) Microbial production of medium-chain-length diols: Current
stage and perspectives. *Bioresour. Technol.* 435, 132932

27. Zhu, J. *et al.* (2025) Selective and solvent-free extraction of medium-chain carboxylic acids with
poly (dimethylsiloxane) membranes. *ACS EST Eng.* [In press]

28. Xu, J. *et al.* (2021) Direct medium-chain carboxylic acid oil separation from a bioreactor by an
electrodialysis/phase separation cell. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 55, 634–644

29. Ahn, J.H. *et al.* (2023) Recent advances in microbial production of medium chain fatty acid from
renewable carbon resources: A comprehensive review. *Bioresour. Technol.* 381, 129147

30. Lawson, C.E. *et al.* Functional niches of diverse anaerobic fungi and bacteria driving
lignocellulose degradation to volatile fatty acids revealed by metatranscriptomics. [In preparation]

31. Chen, S. *et al.* Big data mining, rational modification, and ancestral sequence reconstruction
inferred multiple xylose isomerases for biorefinery. *Sci. Adv.* 9, eadd8835

32. Biddy, M.J. *et al.* (2016) The techno-economic basis for coproduct manufacturing to enable
hydrocarbon fuel production from lignocellulosic biomass. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 4, 3196–
3211

33. Artzi, L. *et al.* (2017) Cellulosomes: bacterial nanomachines for dismantling plant
polysaccharides. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 15, 83–95

34. Couger, M.B. *et al.* (2015) Transcriptomic analysis of lignocellulosic biomass degradation by the
anaerobic fungal isolate *Orpinomyces* sp. strain C1A. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 8, 208

35. Gois, I.M. *et al.* (2025) Distinct acetate utilization strategies differentiate butyrate and octanoate
producing chain-elongating bacteria. *bioRxiv* DOI: 10.1101/2025.05.02.651941

36. Strachan, C.R. *et al.* (2025) Distinct lactate utilization strategies drive niche differentiation
between two co-existing *Megasphaera* species in the rumen microbiome. *ISME J.* 19, wraf147

37. Flamholz, A. *et al.* (2013) Glycolytic strategy as a tradeoff between energy yield and protein cost.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110, 10039–10044

38. Theodorou, M.K. *et al.* (1995) Determination of growth of anaerobic fungi on soluble and

cellulosic substrates using a pressure transducer. *Microbiology* 141, 671–678

39. Cortes-Peña, Y. *et al.* (2020) BioSTEAM: a fast and flexible platform for the design, simulation,

and techno-economic analysis of biorefineries under uncertainty. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 8,

3302–3310

40. Huang, Y. *et al.* (2023) High-throughput microbial culturomics using automation and machine

learning. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 41, 1424–1433

41. Scott, M. and Hwa, T. (2023) Shaping bacterial gene expression by physiological and proteome

allocation constraints. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 21, 327–342

42. Amador-Noguez Daniel *et al.* (2011) Metabolome remodeling during the acidogenic-

solventogenic transition in *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 7984–7997

43. Valgepea, K. *et al.* (2017) Maintenance of ATP homeostasis triggers metabolic shifts in gas-

fermenting acetogens. *Cell Syst.* 4, 505-515.e5

44. Saye, L.M.G. *et al.* (2021) The anaerobic fungi: challenges and opportunities for industrial

lignocellulosic biofuel production. *Microorganisms* 9

45. He, Q. *et al.* (2011) Mechanisms of enhanced cellulosic bioethanol fermentation by co-cultivation

of *Clostridium* and *Thermoanaerobacter* spp. *Bioresour. Technol.* 102, 9586–9592

46. Vinzelj, J. *et al.* (2020) Employing anaerobic fungi in biogas production: challenges &

opportunities. *Bioresour. Technol.* 300, 122687

47. Hooker, C.A. *et al.* (2019) Leveraging anaerobic fungi for biotechnology. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*

59, 103–110

48. Wang, J. and Yin, Y. (2022) Biological production of medium-chain carboxylates through chain

elongation: An overview. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 55, 107882

49. Zhu, W.-Y. *et al.* (1996) Growth and survival of anaerobic fungi in batch and continuous-flow

cultures. *Anaerobe* 2, 29–37

50. Zhu, W.-Y. *et al.* (1997) Dilution rate increases production of plant cell-wall degrading enzymes

by anaerobic fungi in continuous-flow culture. *Anaerobe* 3, 49–59

51. Soccol, C.R. *et al.* (2017) Recent developments and innovations in solid state fermentation.

Biotechnol. Res. Innov. 1, 52–71

52. Blair, E.M. *et al.* (2025) Genomic and transcriptomic characterization of carbohydrate-active

enzymes in the anaerobic fungus *Neocallimastix cameroonii* var. constans. *G3*

GenesGenomesGenetics DOI: 10.1093/g3journal/jkaf137

53. Doyle, J.J. and Doyle, J.L. (1987) A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh

leaf tissue. *Phytochem. Bull.*

54. Kolmogorov, M. *et al.* (2019) Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. *Nat.*

Biotechnol. 37, 540–546

55. Grigoriev, I.V. *et al.* (2014) MycoCosm portal: gearing up for 1000 fungal genomes. *Nucleic*

Acids Res. 42, D699–D704

56. Grabherr, M.G. *et al.* (2011) Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a

reference genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29, 644–652

57. Wang, B. *et al.* (2016) Unveiling the complexity of the maize transcriptome by single-molecule

long-read sequencing. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11708