Species-specific bacterial associations emerge from stochastically assembled microbiomes in Northeastern American fireflies

Benoît Béchade*[1], Sarah E. Lower[2], Sierra R. Nichols[1], Tanner J. Dabbert[1], and Alison Ravenscraft[1]

1: Department of Biology, University of Texas at Arlington, 501 S. Nedderman Dr., Arlington, TX 76019, USA

2: Department of Biology, Bucknell University, One Dent Drive, Lewisburg, PA 17837, USA.

*: Corresponding author; Email: ben.bechade@gmail.com.

Abstract

Many insects harbor diverse microbial communities ("microbiomes") that can profoundly influence the biology of their host. Yet, for most insect taxa, the relative roles of stochastic events versus deterministic forces in shaping these communities remain unknown. We investigated microbiome assembly in fireflies (*Coleoptera*: *Lampyridae*) using 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing and quantitative PCR from 12 species and species groups collected across the Northeastern United States. We hypothesized that firefly microbiomes are predominantly structured by stochastic ecological processes, such as random microbial exposures. Consistent with this, we observed elevated normalized stochasticity ratio (NST) values for most bacteria, coupled with high intraspecific variability in bacterial abundance and composition. However, microbiomes were more similar among closely related firefly hosts, and several bacterial taxa, including endosymbionts and unusually prevalent mollicute strains, showed lower NST values, species-specific associations, and patterns linked to geography, season, and sex. These results reveal that deterministic processes—likely involving host-driven microbial filtering, microbe-microbe interactions, and reliable transmission modes—act alongside stochastic forces to shape firefly-microbe associations. By disentangling these ecological drivers in a diverse, understudied beetle lineage, our study advances understanding of the ecological and evolutionary processes structuring microbiomes in the wild.

Introduction

Eukaryotes are constantly exposed to a plethora of microbes in their environment but only a small fraction of those microbes associate with a host in recurrent symbioses (McFall-Ngai et al. 2013). As in any community of organisms, the assembly of microbial symbiont communities ("microbiomes") associated with eukaryotic hosts is shaped by stochastic (i.e., random) and deterministic processes. Stochastic forces include random spatiotemporal variations, microbial dispersal events, and priority effects (i.e., order of microbial colonizers in hosts) (Jones et al. 2022; Meadow et al. 2013; Sloan et al. 2006; Zhou and Ning 2017). Deterministic forces involve microbial interactions (e.g., competition, facilitation) and host/habitat filtering—defined as the within-host conditions (varying with host species, age, diet, and tissues) or microbial traits (e.g., colonization and persistence abilities) that favor the establishment of certain microbes (Coyte et al. 2021; Mazel et al. 2018; Oliphant et al. 2019). However not all microbial symbionts are the same. Specialized symbionts form species-specific associations (i.e., one microbial strain for one host species), evolving adaptations to a host-associated lifestyle (Kwong and Moran 2015), such as reliable transmission (Michalik and Szklarzewicz 2025). They can influence host physiology and ecology, either in beneficial or harmful ways (Bäumler and Fang 2013; Dillon and Dillon 2003; Hammer 2024), and their establishment is mainly driven by deterministic processes, including host- and/or microbe-derived fidelity-enforcing mechanisms (Bäumler and Fang 2013; Mazel et al. 2018). In contrast, symbiont communities with lower host specialization, typically including microbes acquired from other hosts (i.e., horizontally) or from the environment, provide more flexibility to the host but rely on more hazardous community assembly events, where stochastic processes are predominant (Perreau and Moran 2022). To understand how

symbiosis between microbes and eukaryotes emerge and function as stable associations, it is

crucial to identify the importance of each of these ecological processes and their interplay.

Fireflies (*Coleoptera*: *Lampyridae*) comprise >2,600 species worldwide (Keller 2025), of

which 179 have been described in the US and Canada (Fallon et al. 2021; FireflyAtlas.org 2025).

Seminal work using culture-based assays has shown that these insects commonly harbor bacteria

from the *Mollicutes* class (Hackett et al. 1992; Stevens et al. 1997; Tully et al. 1989, 1994;

Williamson et al. 1990), suggesting a role of deterministic processes in developing stable

microbe-host associations. This discovery was recently supported through sequencing-based

studies which hinted at possible species-specific associations between a few firefly species and

certain bacterial genera (Fallon et al. 2018; Green, Smedley, and Klassen 2021). Other microbes

have also been sampled from fireflies, including common insect endosymbionts (i.e., symbionts

residing inside the body or cells of another organism) (Jeong et al. 2009; Jeyaprakash and Hoy

2000) and likely environment-derived bacteria (Green et al. 2021; Zhao et al. 2023), suggesting a

role of stochastic processes. However, a more extensive characterization of the firefly

microbiome across degrees of relatedness, species lifestyles, diverse environmental factors is

required to better comprehend the nature of firefly-bacteria associations and identify the exact

contribution of ecological forces to microbiome assembly.

While the biology of a large proportion of firefly species is uncharacterized, these insects

exhibit diverse life histories and ecologies (e.g., Faust 2017; Lloyd 2018) that can affect, or be

affected by, associated microbial communities in deterministic ways. First, as holometabolous

insects that include larval, pupal, and adult stages, the ecology and physiology of individual

fireflies change drastically throughout their lifetime, potentially resulting in different

microbiomes at each developmental stage. In addition, while the adult lifespan is only a few

weeks in most firefly species, it is much longer in some, such as the winter firefly (*Photinus*

corruscus) which can overwinter as adults for over 10 months (Deyrup et al. 2017; Rooney and

Lewis 2000). Age may be a key factor impacting resistance to bacterial pathogen infections in

fireflies (Lower et al. 2023), but little is known about its influence on the firefly microbiome in

the wild. Second, while almost all firefly larvae are predators of soft invertebrates like snails

(Buschman 1984a, 1984b, 1988; Fu and Benno Meyer-Rochow 2013; Hess 1920; Vaz et al.

2021; Vaz, da Silveira, and Rosa 2020; Yang et al. 2024), adult diets are diverse across species

and may impose drastically divergent physico-chemical conditions upon microbial gut

colonizers. For instance, members of the *Photuris versicolor* species group prey on other non-

photurine fireflies (Lewis, Faust, and De Cock 2011; Lloyd 1965), whereas adult *Pn. corruscus*

consume sap and nectar (Rooney and Lewis 2000). Most other firefly species, including

Lucidota spp., *Pyractomena* spp., and most *Photinus* spp., are assumed not to eat as adults in the

wild (Lloyd 2005; Wing 1989; but see Faust 2017; Faust and Faust 2014; Lloyd 1998; Othman et

al. 2018). Third, while bioluminescence is used by all firefly species at the larval stage, as a

predator avoidance mechanism (Oba et al. 2020; Powell et al. 2022), some species have lost the

ability to emit light as adults over evolutionary time (Martin et al. 2017; Stanger-Hall and Lloyd

2015; Stanger-Hall, Lloyd, and Hillis 2007; Zaragoza-Caballero, Zurita-García, and Ramírez-

Ponce 2023). Bacterial symbionts are often implicated in bioluminescence of invertebrates

(Cassells, Labaude, and Griffin 2024; Jones and Nishiguchi 2004), but nothing is known about

microbial involvement in firefly bioluminescence and how microbiomes change between hosts

that emit light and those that do not. With all these specificities, fireflies provide a rich system to

understand interactions between the microbiota and host ecologies, yet little is known about

specialization of microbes to these insects or their functional roles.

Here, focusing on field-collected fireflies from 12 common species and species groups

from the Northeastern United States (Fig. 1A and 1B), we investigate the degree of host-

symbiont specificity and the contribution of diverse factors in structuring firefly microbiomes,

such as host species relatedness, diet, bioluminescence, season, geography, urbanization, sex, life

stage, and intraindividual tissue localization. Through a combination of quantitative (q)PCR and

amplicon sequencing of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene, we tested the hypothesis that most bacteria

composing firefly microbiomes are non-specific, and their communities are mainly shaped by

stochastic forces, except for host species-restricted bacterial strains from the *Mollicutes* which

establish through deterministic processes. We predict that while the relative abundance of most

bacteria and total bacterial titers vary in fireflies, each firefly species hosts its own mollicute

taxon. This study, focusing on a beetle group that includes differing degrees of symbiont

specialization, provides an important contrast to other beetles and paves the way for future

research on bacterial transmission modes, functional roles, and influence of microbial symbionts

on firefly biology.

Materials and Methods

1. Specimen collection and identification. A total of 344 firefly specimens (*Coleoptera*:

Lampyridae) were collected opportunistically in the continental United States in 2021, 2022, and

2023 (Fig. 1A, 1B, and Table S1). We captured specimens by hand or with a net, preserved them

in > 95% ethanol upon collection, and froze them within the next 72 hours. Firefly specimens

were initially identified and sexed via examination of flash patterns, external morphology, and

genitalia (Faust 2017; Fender 1970; Green 1956, 1957; McDermott 1967). To verify species

identifications, up to 27 specimens from each species and species group were DNA barcoded, for

a total of 59 specimens. We extracted DNA as described below and amplified fragments of the

universal metazoan cytochrome C oxidase subunit 1 (COI) gene marker using four different

primer pairs (Folmer et al. 1994; Geller et al. 2013; Rennstam Rubbmark et al. 2018; Simon et

al. 1994; Stanger-Hall et al. 2007). A full description of the barcoding methods is available in the

Supplementary Text 1 with results shown in Fig. S1. DNA barcoding sequences are available as

NCBI GenBank files, with accession IDs PV844642-PV844700.

The firefly specimens belonged to two subfamilies, four genera, and 12 species or species

groups (Fig. 1A). We chose to use species group-level classification for three groups (Photinus

consanguineus, Pn. marginellus, and Photuris versicolor) because (*i*) identification to the species

level in the field is challenging in some firefly groups based solely on external morphological

characters and flash patterns (e.g., *Photuris*; Faust 2017), (*ii*) while male genitalia morphology

can be diagnostic in some firefly groups (e.g., *Photinus*; Green 1956), dissection to extract the

aedeagus may compromise the tissues needed for microbiome analyses, and (*iii*) species

relationships cannot be resolved with the COI gene for some groups (e.g. *Photuris*, some

Photinus, *Pyractomena*; Lower et al. 2017; Stanger-Hall and Lloyd 2015), either due to lack of

variation in the COI locus, incomplete lineage sorting, or lack of COI sequence in GenBank.

Among the collected firefly specimens, 332 were adults, including 104 females, 227

males, and one *Lucidota atra* specimen that was not sexed, and 12 were juveniles, with nine

larvae and three pupae (Fig. 1A). Ten adult beetles from other families were also collected as

outgroup specimens. They included three soldier beetles (*Cantharidae*), two tiger beetles

(*Cicindelidae*) that were already dead upon collection, three click beetles (*Elateridae*), one net-

winged beetle (*Lycidae*), and one fire-colored beetle (*Pyrochroidae*).

2. Dissection and DNA extraction. To study the bacterial communities of fireflies, we extracted

DNA from the whole body of 321 specimens. First, fully intact specimens were surface sterilized

in 10% bleach, > 95% ethanol, and sterile water. We then flash-froze specimens in empty 1.5 mL

tubes by dipping them in liquid nitrogen, before grinding them to powder with a sterile pestle.

For each DNA extraction batch (10–23 samples each), a DNA extraction blank sample,

consisting of an empty tube, was processed simultaneously with the other samples. DNA was

subsequently extracted from flash-frozen, ground samples using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and

Tissue kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA), following the manufacturer's pretreatment protocol

for Gram-positive bacteria.

To study the bacterial community of fireflies across tissues, we randomly selected among

our preserved specimens 5–6 adult females and males from each of three well-represented firefly

taxa (*Photinus corruscus*, *Pn. pyralis*, and the *Pr. versicolor* group; Table S2). We first surface-

sterilized the specimens as described above. To isolate tissues, firefly specimens were then

dissected in sterile water using bleach-sterilized fine forceps. Separated tissues were placed in

pre-chilled 1.5 mL tubes, either filled with 95–100% ethanol or empty, and stored at -80 °C.

During the dissections, we separated the entire gut, the reproductive organs, and the lantern (for

bioluminescent species) from the rest of the body, which was used as the fourth main tissue (i.e.,

"carcass"). To investigate the possibility of endosymbionts (i.e., symbionts residing inside the

body or cells of another organism) and/or reproductive manipulators, for a few *Pn. corruscus* and

Pn. pyralis specimens, we additionally separated some of these main tissues to obtain enlarged

fat tissue ("globules"), genitalia, ovaries, hindgut, foregut and midgut, spermatheca,

spermatophore-digesting glands, and testes. Dissection blank samples consisted of a firefly leg

left in a water droplet on the side of the dissection area. To control for contaminants during

dissection, we used a new dissection blank for every one to three dissected specimens. In total,

we dissected 33 adult fireflies, resulting in 132 firefly tissue samples and 15 dissection blank

samples. Dissection photographs are available in Supplementary Figures. We extracted DNA

from dissected tissue samples as described above for whole bodies, but without the surface

sterilization step.

We obtained a total of 497 DNA samples, including 321 whole-body samples, 132

dissected tissue samples, 15 dissection blanks, and 29 DNA extraction blank samples.

3. Bacterial titer and total DNA quantifications. Total bacterial titer per sample was obtained

through qPCR (Table S3). CT values were generated with a 7300 Real-Time PCR System

(Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). We used the 16S rRNA gene as the target,

amplified using the bacterial universal 515F (5'-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') and 806R

(5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') primers (Apprill et al. 2015; Caporaso et al. 2011;

Parada, Needham, and Fuhrman 2016) targeting the V4 region. To obtain absolute bacterial titers

measured in 16S rRNA gene copy numbers, we used, in each qPCR plate, a standard curve built

on a ten-fold dilution series of a DNA sample with known 16S rRNA gene copy number.

Bacterial titers were then corrected using DNA extraction blank and dissection blank samples.

Each sample was run in two or three separate technical replicates, consisting of runs on separate

qPCR plates. We calculated the average of blank-corrected titers across plate replicates and used

these values in downstream statistical analyses. A detailed description of our qPCR procedure

can be found in Supplementary Text 1. To normalize bacterial titers and for our Illumina

amplicon sequencing decontamination process (see subsection 5.1), we quantified the

concentration of extracted DNA per sample using a Qubit 4 fluorometer (Invitrogen, Waltham,

MA, USA) and Qubit dsDNA HS and BR kits (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA),

with two to three technical replicates per DNA sample.

4. Illumina library preparation and sequencing. A first batch of 20 samples was sequenced at

the University of Texas at Arlington Genomic Core Facility in 2021. The library was prepared

following Illumina's two-step amplification strategy using primers 341F (5'-

CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3') and 785R (5'-GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3'), which

amplify the V3-V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene (Klindworth et al. 2012), as

described in (Ravenscraft et al. 2024). Each sample was bidirectionally sequenced on a 600 cycle

paired-end run on an Illumina Mi-Seq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The second

batch, containing 477 samples plus one sequencing blank, was sequenced at the Environmental

Sample Preparation and Sequencing Facility at Argonne National Laboratory in 2024 (Table S4).

The V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was PCR-amplified with primers 515F and 806R

(Caporaso et al. 2012). Amplicons were sequenced on a 300 cycle paired end run in a NextSeq

2000 instrument (Illumina) using customized sequencing primers and procedures. For both runs,

demultiplexed data were then processed in R (R Core Team 2024) for downstream analysis.

5. Bioinformatic pre-treatment.

5.1. Pre-processing of sequencing data. For the MiSeq sequencing run, priming sites

and poor-quality bases were removed from the 5' and 3' ends of the sequences using the cutadapt

v3.2 program (Martin 2011). This step was not necessary for the NextSeq sequences, which did

not include priming sites. Then, for each sequencing run, we used the *DADA2* v1.32.0 R package

(Callahan et al. 2016) to remove low quality reads, merge paired ends, and infer the bacterial

strains present, generating amplicon sequence variants (ASVs). We merged data from the two

sequencing runs, performed *de novo* chimera removal, and ran taxonomic classification through

the RDP classifier and with a modified SILVA SSU v138.1 training dataset (Quast et al. 2013;

Wang et al. 2007). Further details on the pre-processing procedure are available in

Supplementary Text 1. Filtered amplicon sequences were exported in fasta format using the

seqinr v4.2.36 R package (Charif and Lobry 2007) and are available in our data repository

(https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.28306616). Since ASVs from the 20 samples on the MiSeq

run were a longer, partially overlapping region of the 16S rRNA and therefore could not be

merged with the NextSeq ASVs, we performed all analyses at higher taxonomic levels (e.g.,

bacterial genera) and grouped key bacterial taxa phylogenetically (see section 5.2 below).

The ASV count table, the taxonomic classification table, and the metadata information

(including bacterial titer obtained from qPCR and DNA concentration per sample) were

converted into a phyloseq object that contained 99,979 ASVs, using the *phyloseq* v1.48.0 and

speedyseq v0.5.3.9021 R packages (McLaren 2020; McMurdie and Holmes 2013). Following

length filtering (removing 14,757 ASVs and 15% of the sequences), removal of ASVs annotated

as chloroplasts, mitochondria, or unidentified at the phylum level (removing 6,778 ASVs and 8%

of the remaining sequences), and removal of ASVs only obtained from sequencing blank and

extraction blank samples (removing 23,724 ASVs and 31% of the remaining sequences), we

used the *decontam* v1.24.0 R package (Davis et al. 2018), with the stringent "either" frequency

or prevalence method to discard contaminant ASVs. With this method, 1,555 ASVs were flagged

as contaminants and removed (removing 2% of the remaining sequences; list available in our

data repository: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.28306616), resulting in 53,165 ASVs being

retained in our dataset. While we removed a high number of ASVs classified as common

contaminants with this method (e.g., *Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas*, *Salmonella*, *Staphylococcus*), we

note that it removed an abundant ASV from the *Acinetobacter* genus; similar studies have also

sequenced *Acinetobacter* from fireflies and it is possible this is a common environmental

colonist of firefly guts (Green et al. 2021). In addition, a list of bacteria that passed our

decontamination pipeline but that could conceivably be contaminants, because they were only

relatively abundant in specimens that had a very low bacterial titer, is shown in Table S5 with

more details in Supplementary Text 1.

Finally, to control for among-sample differences in sequencing depth, our data were

rarefied to 30,000 sequences per sample using *phyloseq* (Schloss 2023, 2024; Weiss et al. 2017).

Visual inspection of rarefaction curves indicated that observed diversity had plateaued in the

samples by this depth. Because rarefying would remove important samples in our dissected

tissue dataset, we instead used compositional normalization for tissue comparative analyses,

computed with the *microViz* v0.12.4 R package (Barnett, Arts, and Penders 2021). Similarly,

rarefied data were not used for our multivariate ordination analyses based on Bray-Curtis

distances, since compositional normalization has been shown to be a more robust normalization

method for clustering on Bray-Curtis distance matrices (McMurdie and Holmes 2014) and

because rarefying caused some species to be removed from our dataset (data from our two

Pyractomena sp. near *sinuata* whole body specimens did not pass the rarefaction threshold).

5.2. Taxonomic annotation refinement through phylogenetic analyses. We next

performed phylogenetic analyses to refine the taxonomic identification of abundant and

prevalent bacterial clades in fireflies (*Mollicutes*; Fig. S3), common arthropod endosymbionts

(*Rickettsiaceae*, *Rickettsiella*, and *Wolbachia*; Fig. S4), and insect pathogens (*Serratia*; Fig. S4).

For the *Mollicutes*, we used the terminologies from (Gupta, Son, and Oren 2019), although other

authors classify most of the firefly-associated non-*Spiroplasma* mollicutes as the *Entomoplasma*

genus (Balish et al. 2019; Gasparich and Kuo 2019; Lo, Gasparich, and Kuo 2018; Yan et al.

2024) (but see Arahal et al. 2022:112–122; Gupta et al. 2018; Gupta and Oren 2020). Because

our data came from two sequencing batches that used two different amplicon lengths, our

phylogenetic analysis allowed us to group together ASVs from the same microorganism that

were classified as different variants due to different amplicon sizes. We use the term "bacterial

phylotype" hereafter in this section, approximately equivalent to species, to refer to this

classification, which we performed only for the five aforementioned bacterial clades (*Mollicutes*,

Rickettsiaceae, *Rickettsiella*, *Serratia*, and *Wolbachia*).

To build these phylogenies, we first selected the 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequence of

the most abundant ASVs (those numbered from sv0001 to sv1500, sorted by abundance in our

dataset) that were classified as either from the *Mollicutes* class (i.e., *Entomoplasmatales* and

Mycoplasmatales orders *sensu* SILVA database), from the non-*Anaplasmataceae Rickettsiales*

order (i.e., including the *Rickettsiaceae* family, unidentified *Rickettsiales*, and *Candidatus*

Hepatincola), or from one of the focal bacterial genera (*Rickettsiella*, *Serratia*, and *Wolbachia*).

We used these sequences in NCBI BLASTn runs and downloaded the 16S rRNA gene sequence

of the closest matches. We also downloaded the sequences of reference species from NCBI. For

the *Mollicutes*, representative amplicon sequences of each of the firefly-associated bacterial

species found in Green et al. (2021) were also used, as well as a few insect-associated mollicute

sequences from Funaro et al. (2011). With all these sequences, we produced Clustal Omega

(Sievers et al. 2011) multiple sequence alignments in SeaView v5.0.5 (Gouy, Guindon, and

Gascuel 2009), which were used to build maximum likelihood phylogenies using RAxML

Blackbox (Stamatakis 2014), implemented in the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller, Pfeiffer, and

Schwartz 2010), with 999 bootstrap iterations. All phylogenetic trees were visualized and

manually annotated in iTOL (Letunic and Bork 2016). Further details on these phylogenetic

methods can be found in Supplementary Text 1.

Finally, we manually edited the *phyloseq* taxonomy table to account for this taxonomic

refinement (available as a Data Repository: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.28306616).

Except for analyses on alpha diversity metrics and specific mentions of analyses run at the

bacterial order or genus levels, all downstream analyses were run on microbiome data aggregated

at the genus level, except for the aforementioned groups we refined (*Mollicutes*, *Rickettsiaceae*,

Rickettsiella, *Serratia*, and *Wolbachia*) which were aggregated at the "phylotype" level (Fig. S2).

This combination of genus and phylotype level classification is hereafter referred to as "taxon"

level. Data was aggregated at the bacterial order, genus, and taxon levels using the *microViz* R

package.

6. Bioinformatic analyses.

6.1. Stochasticity analyses. To infer the relative importance of stochastic *vs.*

deterministic processes contributing to microbiome assembly, we calculated the normalized

stochasticity ratio (i.e., NST index) for each firefly species that had more than two specimens in

our dataset through implementation of null-models using the *NST* R package v3.1.9 (Ning et al.

2019). An index value between 0.5 and 1 suggests that stochastic processes shape community

assembly, whereas a value between 0 and 0.5 indicates higher influence of deterministic

processes. Next, to infer the contribution of specific bacterial groups, we tested how the removal

of either all the mollicutes, all putative endosymbionts (*Ca.* Hepatincola, *Rickettsia*, *Rickettsiella*,

and *Wolbachia*), or both, from the microbiome data of each firefly species affects the NST index

value. We generated 95% confidence intervals via bootstrapping and tested NST variation

between the unaltered microbiome of firefly species *vs.* that following removal of the

aforementioned bacterial groups through pairwise, two-tailed Wilcoxon signed-rank statistical

tests (Table S6).

6.2. Alpha and beta diversity. To assess patterns of diversity, richness, evenness,

dominance, and rarity in bacterial communities from fireflies, indices of alpha diversity were

calculated on rarefied data with non-aggregated (ASV level) taxonomic tables, using the

microbiome v1.26.0 R package (Lahti and Shetty 2017) (Table S7). To compare bacterial

composition across firefly species, genera, subfamilies, and diverse factors, we used beta

diversity metrics, first running ordinations on Bray-Curtis distance matrices computed from non-

rarefied, proportional abundance data using nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS)

analyses computed with *phyloseq*, to infer similarities among fireflies from different taxa, diets,

adult use of bioluminescence, regions, and tissues. To test how different factors were correlated

with bacterial composition across fireflies, we then ran permutational multivariate analysis of

variance (PERMANOVAs) on rarefied, taxon-aggregated data, with the *vegan* v2.6.4 R package

(Oksanen et al. 2016), and pairwise PERMANOVAs as post hoc tests using the *pairwiseAdonis*

v0.4.1 R package (Martinez 2020).

6.3. Host relatedness, geographic distance, and microbiome dissimilarity. The impact

of firefly host phylogeny on bacterial community dissimilarity was assessed using Mantel tests.

We first generated a host phylogeny using five previously sequenced firefly genes (Catalan et al.

2022; Martin et al. 2017; Sander and Hall 2015; Stanger-Hall and Lloyd 2015; Stanger-Hall et al.

2007) (Supplementary Text 1 and Fig. S1). We then used the *ape* R package (Paradis, Claude,

and Strimmer 2004) to generate a phylogenetic distance matrix from our tree with the

cophenetic.phylo() function. We next produced a matrix of geographic distance among collected

firefly specimens calculated from latitude and longitude decimal variables at collection using the

sf v1.0.17 R package (Pebesma 2018). As proxies for microbiome dissimilarity, we generated

two pairwise distance matrices using the Bray-Curtis distance from rarefied relative bacterial

abundance between firefly specimens and the Bray-Curtis binary distance from bacterial

presence/absence (equivalent of Sørensen-Dice distance) between firefly specimens. Bacterial

presence was defined as any bacterial taxon with at least 1% relative abundance in a specimen

and absence as less than 1% relative abundance in that specimen. Because the number of

pairwise comparisons was not computationally tractable, we applied a filter to our dataset,

keeping only bacterial taxa that had more than 0.5% relative abundance in at least 5% of all

firefly specimens. These microbiome dissimilarity matrices were compared to a matrix of

phylogenetic distance and to geographic distances between firefly specimens through Mantel and

partial Mantel tests using the *vegan* R package, allowing us to control for host phylogeny and

geography, respectively.

      6.4. Contribution of firefly ecological traits to bacterial abundance and diversity. We

tested the influence of adult bioluminescence, diet, and body size of firefly species on bacterial

abundance and diversity, as well as correlations between bacterial abundance and diversity

metrics via generalized least square analyses with the *nlme* v3.1.164 R package (Pinheiro, Bates,

and R Core Team 2024). To account for the effects of phylogenetic nonindependence in

comparisons of firefly species-level covariates, we used phylogenetic generalized least square

(PGLS) analyses, fitting a Brownian motion model of evolution. The firefly phylogeny was

obtained as described in section 6.3 and Supplementary Text 1 (Fig. S1). Bacterial titer and

diversity indices were averaged per firefly species. We also averaged body size (i.e., total adult

male body length) per firefly species or species groups using the ranges from Faust (2017),

Fender (1970), Green (1956, 1957), and Lloyd (1969).

6.5. Bacterial composition and differential abundance analysis. To test whether single

bacteria vary in their abundance across fireflies and factors, we used the *MaAsLin2* v1.15.1 R

package (Mallick et al. 2021), fitting our rarefied (or non-rarefied for tissue analyses) data to

negative binomial distributions and controlling for random effects (see section 6.7. Statistical

tests) in multiple generalized linear mixed models. To account for variables with unbalanced

discrete levels (e.g., species with high *vs.* low number of specimens sampled), when more than

two discrete levels were present in our fixed effect(s), we ran the same *MaAsLin2* models

multiple times, changing the reference level each time (Tables S8–S11). We filtered out bacterial

taxa detected in less than 1% of all firefly samples being tested, unless the test was run on groups

with very low sample size (prevalence threshold lowered to 0.1% instead).

6.6. Bacterial co-occurrence analysis. To investigate positive and negative co-

occurrence patterns among bacteria in adult fireflies, we ran co-occurrence analyses, within

firefly species that had more than eight adult individuals in our dataset (i.e., excluding *Lu. atra*,

Pn. consanguineus group, *Pn. cookii*, and *Py. borealis*) and grouping all *Photuris* together. We

used both the *cooccur* v1.3 and *CooccurrenceAffinity* v1.0 R packages (Griffith, Veech, and

Marsh 2016; Mainali and Slud 2022). A filter was applied before analyses to obtain a

manageable number of bacterial taxa to be tested per firefly species. Bacterial taxa were

considered present when they had at least 1% relative abundance (absent otherwise) in a

specimen and were kept in these analyses when they had more than 10,000 reads—or 20,000

reads for the *Photuris*—in one or more samples for a given firefly species or species group.

6.7. Statistical tests. In addition to the tests described in the previous sections, differences in alpha diversity and qPCR titers across hosts and diverse environmental factors were assessed using linear mixed models (LMM) with the *lme4* v1.1.35.5 R package (Bates et al. 2015), which allowed us to control for random effects that may otherwise bias our interpretation of statistical tests. Some key factors were always kept as random effects in our models because they had a strong influence on the microbiome of fireflies in our datasets. These random factors included the year of collection, the geographic location of sampling (either address, longitude, latitude, or region), and collection day or month of year (a rough proxy for firefly age in many species). When they were not among the fixed effects in our models, host sex (which included developmental stages) and species were used as random effects or covariates. The importance of other random effects in our models was previously tested through bidirectional model selection with likelihood ratio tests and comparing model's AICs.

We tested the distribution of the residuals, percentage of the dispersion of the data, the presence of outliers, and zero-inflation using the *performance* v0.12.3 and *DHARMa* v0.4.6 R packages (Hartig 2018; Lüdecke et al. 2021). Our models were often flagged as poor fits due to zero-inflation, despite normalization and log10 transformation. When this was the case, we fitted our data to a negative binomial distribution in generalized LMMs (GLMMs) using *lme4*. We next performed pairwise post hoc tests using the *emmeans* v1.10.4 R package (Lenth 2022) to compute the estimated marginal means (Searle, Speed, and Milliken 1980). In doing so, we applied an asymptotic degrees-of-freedom method, thus invoking *z*-statistics, which are computationally faster and recommended with larger sample sizes. A description of all LMMs, GLMMs, PERMANOVAs, pairwise PERMANOVAs, Mantel tests, OLS, and PGLS models is available in Table S12.

Additional files, including sequencing OTU and taxonomy tables, list of contaminant

variants removed, multiple sequence alignments, photographs of insect specimens, multi-locus

backbone phylogenetic trees, R script and input files, and additional supplementary figures, are

available in our data repository at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.28306616.

Results

1. The microbiome of fireflies is mostly structured by stochastic processes, except when

bacteria from the _Mollicutes_ are included. To assess the relative importance of deterministic

_vs._ stochastic factors in structuring firefly bacterial communities, we calculated and compared

the normalized stochastic ratio (NST; Ning et al. 2019) across species that had more than two

specimens in our dataset. We found that the microbiome of all nine firefly species tested had an

NST index below 0.5 (average = 0.27, SD = 0.10, median = 0.26; Fig. 1C and Table S6),

indicating that their microbiome is structured by deterministic processes. To infer which

bacterial group may be responsible for deterministic community assembly, we next removed all

the _Mollicutes_ from our datasets, and found that the NST significantly increased for five out of

nine firefly species (Wilcoxon signed-rank test for these five species: $W < 2.3 \times 10^4$, $P < 0.05$),

reaching index values above 0.5 (for these five species: average = 0.62, SD = 0.09, median =

0.58). This suggests that for several firefly species, while stochastic factors are involved in

structuring most of the bacterial community, deterministic processes shape the relative

abundance of mollicutes, influencing the whole microbiome. Interestingly, this was not the case

for members of the _Photinus marginellus_ group, for which removing bacteria known as

endosymbionts (i.e., symbionts residing inside the body or cells of another organism) of

arthropods (i.e., *Candidatus* Hepatincola, *Rickettsia*, *Rickettsiella*, *Wolbachia*) instead

significantly increased the NST index, reaching a value of 0.56 (Wilcoxon signed-rank test: $W =$

370, $P < 0.001$). This indicates that for this firefly species group, deterministic processes shape

the abundance of endosymbionts other than mollicutes.

2. The microbiome of fireflies is phylogenetically structured.

2.a. Firefly genera differ in their bacterial density and diversity. Using qPCR, we

found that *Photuris* fireflies had significantly higher bacterial densities than *Photinus* fireflies

(emmeans: z-ratio = 4.64, $P < 0.0001$), despite high intraspecific variability (Fig. 1D; Fig. S5).

The *Pyractomena* and *Lucidota* genera were much less represented in our dataset and members

of these groups appear to have intermediate but variable bacterial levels. When looking within

firefly genera, we found that *Pn. corruscus* differed from other *Photinus* species in that

specimens from this long-lived adult, day-active species had three orders of magnitude higher

bacterial titers, reaching levels close to those of *Pr. lucicrescens* (Fig. 1D and S5). The

difference between *Pn. corruscus* and other *Photinus* species was, however, only significant

when comparing bacterial titers of *Pn. corruscus* to *Pn. pyralis* (emmeans: z-ratio = 3.67, $P <$

0.05). This indicates that, with the exception of *Pn. corruscus*, members of the *Photinus* genus

tend to be infected with low to extremely low bacterial densities in the wild, while *Photuris*

fireflies have moderately high to very high bacterial titers.

In terms of bacterial richness, despite having relatively low bacterial densities, fireflies

from the *Photinus* genus harbored significantly more bacterial ASVs than *Photuris* fireflies

(emmeans: z-ratio = 4.38, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 1E and S6, Table S7), suggesting that fireflies with

higher bacterial densities tend to harbor fewer bacterial species.

2.b. Bacterial composition differs across firefly subfamilies, genera, and species.

Following rarefying and aggregation at the bacterial taxon level, we tested differences across microbiome compositions. The microbiome of Northeastern fireflies differed between the *Lampyrinae* and *Photurinae* subfamilies (PERMANOVA: $R^2 = 0.07$, $F_{1,264} = 22.95$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2A) and across genera (nested within subfamilies, PERMANOVA: $R^2 = 0.02$, $F_{2,264} = 3.87$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2B). Despite high intraspecific variability, bacterial composition also differed significantly across firefly species (nested within genera, within subfamilies, PERMANOVA: $R^2 = 0.09$, $F_{7,264} = 4.18$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2C–F). To assess whether firefly microbiomes were more divergent in species that were less genetically related, we examined how bacterial community composition varied with degree of host relatedness. We found a strong positive correlation between pairwise microbiome dissimilarities and host genetic divergence, with closely related firefly species having more similar microbiome composition and abundance (partial Mantel test controlling for geographic distance: $r = 0.37$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. S7), further indicating phylogenetically structured microbiomes in fireflies.

2.c. Firefly species that include individuals with bigger size tend to harbor higher bacterial densities. We investigated whether three key characteristics of firefly species—the use of bioluminescence as a mating signal by adults, adult diet, and body size—influenced firefly microbiomes when taking into account fireflies' degree of relatedness. Through phylogenetic generalized least square models, we found that bacterial density, richness, and composition did not significantly change between bioluminescent and non-bioluminescent fireflies and between species eating and not eating at the adult stage (Fig. S7 and S8; Supplementary Text 1). We also found that bigger firefly species tend to harbor a higher bacterial density and a lower bacterial richness than smaller species (Fig. S9; Supplementary Text 1). These results show that firefly

microbiomes do not appear to be influenced by mating signals, adult diet, but that species' body

size may contribute.

3. Firefly microbiomes are often dominated by a few bacterial taxa with signs of species-

specific associations. The most abundant bacterial order associated with all fireflies in our

dataset was *Entomoplasmatales* (class *Mollicutes*), representing 27.3% of all rarefied bacterial

reads from fireflies. Highly represented bacterial orders in fireflies also included *Burkholderiales*

(9.5% of all rarefied reads), *Xanthomonadales* (8.6%), *Pseudomonadales* (8.3%),

Enterobacterales (7.7%), and *Rickettsiales* (7.0%). Compared to non-lampyrid elateroid beetles,

only a few of the abundant firefly-associated bacterial taxa were similar (Fig. S10;

Supplementary Text 1).

3.a. Fireflies harbor a diversity of mollicute taxa with prevalent and abundant

strains and a few endosymbionts. The fireflies we collected harbored strains from almost all

the mollicute taxa that have been sampled from fireflies in the past (7 out of 8; Fig. 3 and S3;

Supplementary Text 1). Both *Spiroplasma ixodetis* and *Williamsoniiplasma lucivorax* species

were represented with a high strain diversity in our firefly specimens, with at least 20 and 30

ASVs each, respectively. Within several mollicute genera and species, one or two ASVs could

be very abundant and prevalent in fireflies, some of which have only been sampled from firefly

bodies to date and thus appear endemic to fireflies. While the high abundance of mollicutes

associated with fireflies was unusual for an insect microbiome, we note that several prevalent

and abundant bacteria in these insects are known to be endosymbionts in other arthropods (Fig.

4A). These include bacteria from the *Rickettsia*, *Rickettsiella*, *Wolbachia*, and occasionally

Serratia and *Spiroplasma* genera (Russell et al. 2013; Werren, Baldo, and Clark 2008).

3.b. *Stenotrophomonas* and *Williamsoniiplasma* often dominate lampyrine microbiomes. Following filtering of bacterial taxa with low abundance (keeping only taxa with rarefied abundance > 20,000 reads in one or more samples), we found that the *Stenotrophomonas* (*Xanthomonadales*) and *Williamsoniiplasma* (*Mollicutes*) genera were characteristic members of the microbiome of fireflies from the *Lampyrinae* subfamily (Fig. 4B), each detected in more than 98% of lampyrine fireflies (colonizing > 57% of lampyrine specimens with more than 1% relative abundance). Compared to fireflies from the *Photurinae* subfamily, lampyrine fireflies were significantly more associated with bacteria from these two genera (MaAsLin2: coef > 3.30, FDR *P*-adj < 0.0001). While *Stenotrophomonas* could also be found in *Photuris* fireflies, albeit typically at low abundance, members of the *Williamsoniiplasma* genus were very rare in *Photuris* species (Fig. 4A, 4B, S11, and S12), making this bacterial genus nearly endemic to the lampyrine microbiome.

Three *Williamsoniiplasma* taxa were commonly detected in *Photinus* and *Pyractomena* fireflies with differing levels of endemicity (Fig. 4A and S11). *Wi. lucivorax* was only found in *Pn. pyralis*, as the most dominant and prevalent bacterium after *Stenotrophomonas*, infecting over 69% of individuals with more than 1% relative abundance, and being significantly more abundant in this firefly species than in any other (MaAsLin2: coef > 4.50, FDR *P*-adj < 0.0001; Fig. S11 and Table S8). In contrast, *Wi. luminosum* was detected in both *Pn. pyralis* and *Pn. scintillans*, as well as in two individuals from the *Pn. marginellus* group (Fig. 4A and S11), thus appearing less host-restricted than *Wi. lucivorax*. Yet, *Wi. luminosum* was significantly associated with *Pn. scintillans* (*vs.* all firefly species but *Pn. marginellus* group: MaAsLin2: coef > 1.55, FDR *P*-adj < 0.05; Fig. S11), being found in all *Pn. scintillans* specimens and 64% of *Pn. scintillans* specimens with 1% relative abundance threshold (Fig. 4A). Another species from the

Williamsoniiplasma genus, *Wi. somnilux*, was significantly more abundant in *Pyractomena*

borealis than in other firefly genera (MaAsLin2: coef > 8.04, FDR *P*-adj < 0.0001; Table S8)

and showed endemicity patterns similar to those of *Wi. lucivorax* (Fig. 4A and S11). In our eight

specimens from this firefly species, including adults and juveniles, *Wi. somnilux* was present in

five out of eight specimens (62%) when using the 1% relative abundance threshold and was the

most abundant bacterial taxon (Fig. 4A). We also found that *Pn. corruscus* fireflies were

significantly associated with another member of the *Mollicutes*, the *En. corruscae* taxon

(MaAsLin2: coef > 4.30, P-adj < 0.001; Fig. 4A and S11), being the most abundant bacterial

taxon in all *Pn. corruscus* fireflies, found at more than 1% relative abundance in nine out of 16

individuals (56%), and showing patterns of endemicity as well.

In contrast, four other lampyrine species and species groups rarely harbored mollicutes.

Instead, in the *Pn. marginellus* group, *Wolbachia* (*Rickettsiales*) from the supergroup A was

found to be a dominant bacterium (colonizing > 47% of specimens with more than 1% relative

abundance).

3.c. Photurine fireflies commonly harbor a variety of bacteria, including a few

mollicutes. Contrasting with the lampyrines, members of the *Photurinae* were significantly more

associated with abundant bacteria (filtered taxa with > 20,000 reads in one or more samples)

from the *Achromobacter* (*Burkholderiales*), *Ca.* Hepatincola (*Rickettsiales*), *Curvibacter*

(*Burkholderiales*), *Elizabethkingia* (*Flavobacteriales*), *Enterococcus* (*Lactobacillales*), and

Spiroplasma (*Mollicutes*) genera (MaAsLin2: coef > 1.87, FDR *P*-adj < 0.0001; Fig. 4B).

Despite a lower relative abundance, *Leucobacter* bacteria also appeared significantly associated

with photurine fireflies (MaAsLin2: coef = 2.97, FDR *P*-adj < 0.0001; Fig. S11, S12, and Table

S8). Among the spiroplasmas, two taxa were significantly more abundant in photurine fireflies:

Sp. ixodetis and *Sp. lampyridicola* (found in 14% and 7% of *Photuris* specimens at 1% relative

abundance threshold, respectively) (MaAsLin2: coef > 3.25, FDR *P*-adj < 0.0001). Another

mollicute species, *Tullyiplasma photuris*, was abundant in photurine fireflies, though at lower

degrees (MaAsLin2: coef = 0.69, FDR *P*-adj < 0.05; Fig. 4A and S11), found in 13% of *Photuris*

specimens at 1% relative abundance threshold.

3.d. Bacteria positively and negatively co-occur in fireflies. From our co-occurrence

analysis, we found that some bacteria, including endosymbionts (e.g., *Rickettsia bellii*,

Wolbachia) and potential pathogens (e.g., *Serratia marcescens-nematodiphila*), were more likely

to be found on their own in fireflies (Fig. S13). We also found some positive co-occurrence

patterns within firefly species, including *Pseudomonas*, *Stenotrophomonas*, and *Rl. costelytrae* in

both *Pn. marginellus* group and *Pn. pyralis*, and *Achromobacter*, *Curvibacter*, and

Elizabethkingia in *Photuris* fireflies. This suggests that some bacteria are more likely to occur

alone whereas others may be part of a consortium in fireflies.

4. Within-species variation can be partially explained by the region, season, environment,

sex, and developmental stage.

4.a. The abundance of some, but not all, bacteria vary across geographic locations.

To assess whether geography was a main factor influencing changes in firefly microbiomes, we

first used partial Mantel tests on microbiome dissimilarity matrices and geographic distance,

while taking into account species' phylogenetic distances. Through this test, we found a weak,

positive correlation between pairwise microbiome dissimilarities based on relative bacterial

abundance and geographic distance (partial Mantel test controlling for genetic distances: r =

0.05, P < 0.05), indicating that regardless of host species identity, fireflies separated by longer

spatial distances tend to harbor more dissimilar microbial communities. Because of substantial

intra-specific variation in bacterial density and community composition, we next investigated

within species microbiome variation for species that were collected in multiple regions.

At the level of the whole bacterial community, *Pn. corruscus* microbiomes did not appear

to differ across regions (PERMANOVA: $R^2 = 0.40$, $F_{5,10} = 1.33$, $P = 0.11$; Fig S14).

Nevertheless, and despite a low sample size ($n = 16$) and potential confounding factors (albeit

being controlled as random factors in our GLMMs and MaAsLin2 models), we found regional

differences in terms of absolute bacterial abundance (Supplementary Text 1) and at the level of

individual bacteria (Fig. 5A and Table S9). *En. corruscae*, which was a characteristic bacterial

taxon of *Pn. corruscus*, may have preferentially been associated with specimens from northern

latitudes, since it was less abundant in specimens collected in southwestern Connecticut (swCT)

and South New Jersey compared to specimens collected in northwestern CT (nwCT),

southeastern New York (seNY), and southwestern Vermont (swVT) (MaAsLin2: coef > 4.57,

FDR *P*-adj < 0.01).

Pn. pyralis microbiomes showed significant variation in composition across regions

(PERMANOVA: $R^2 = 0.13$, $F_{5,106} = 3.15$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. S14), despite relatively stable absolute

bacterial abundances (emmeans: *z*-ratio < 1.76, $P > 0.07$). The most divergent microbiomes were

from *Pn. pyralis* specimens collected in central Pennsylvania (cPA) (pairwise adonis: $R^2 > 0.08$,

$F > 2.99$, FDR *P*-adj. < 0.05; Fig. 5B). Likely contributing to the singularity of cPA *Pn. pyralis*

microbiomes, *Wi. luminosum* was mostly found in individuals collected in this region

(MaAsLin2: coef > 3.64, FDR *P*-adj < 0.05), where its prevalence at 1% relative abundance

threshold was 68% (64% for *Wi. lucivorax* in these specimens) *vs.* 4% in *Pn. pyralis* from all

other regions (71% for *Wi. lucivorax* in these other specimens). For *Wi. lucivorax*, relative

abundance was high in specimens collected in several states. *Pn. pyralis* specimens from western

Virginia also had a divergent microbiome compared to specimens from other regions, with

compositions differing from those of cPA and southeastern PA specimens (pairwise adonis: $R^2 =$

0.03, $F = 2.51$, FDR *P*-adj. < 0.05), and unusually dominated by bacteria from the *Mycoplasma-*

related group (Fig. 5B). For both *Pn. marginellus* group and *Pn. scintillans*, we found limited

evidence of regional specificities in the microbiome (PERMANOVA: $R^2 < 0.17$, $F < 1.67$, $P >$

0.11; Fig 5B and S14), although total bacterial density was higher in *Pn. scintillans* collected in

cPA compared to sePA (emmeans: *z*-ratio $= 2.03$, $P < 0.05$).

Regarding the *Photuris* genus, we found strong microbiome differences across regions

for the unidentified species of the *Pr. versicolor* group (PERMANOVA: $R^2 = 0.13$, $F_{4,51} = 1.83$,

$P < 0.001$; Fig. S14), but not for *Pr. hebes* (PERMANOVA: $R^2 = 0.11$, $F_{2,19} = 1.18$, $P = 0.24$).

Sp. ixodetis bacteria were common and abundant in specimens collected in cPA, whereas these

bacteria were very rare in specimens from other regions (MaAsLin2: coef > 5.58, FDR *P*-adj $<$

0.001). We also identified regional specificities among other common bacterial associates of

Photuris fireflies, with *T. photuris* being more abundant in northeastern NY (neNY) and seNY

compared to sePA for *Pr. hebes* (MaAsLin2: coef > 5.88, FDR *P*-adj < 0.0001) and neNY

compared to sePA in unidentified species from the *Pr. versicolor* group (MaAsLin2: coef > 3.53,

FDR *P*-adj < 0.05).

4.b. Seasonal, but not annual, factors influence within-species microbiome variation.

We tested whether seasonality (which is linked to firefly adult age) influenced firefly

microbiome. When focusing on all species in our dataset, we found that bacterial density varied

throughout day of year only in some firefly species (GLMM Wald test: $X^2 = 124.38$, $P < 0.0001$).

Several firefly species, including *Pn. scintillans*, unidentified *Pr. versicolor* group, and *Py.*

borealis, tended to have higher bacterial titers later in the season (emmeans: z-ratio > 2.63, $P <$

0.05). We also found that composition varied throughout the year and across species

(PERMANOVA with species and regions as covariates, focusing on the interaction with day of

year: species: $R^2 = 0.05$, $F_{14,186} = 1.20$, $P < 0.05$). We next focused our analyses on only *Pn.*

corruscus specimens given their long adult lifespan (up to 10 months *vs.* less than three months

usually for other species) and since they were collected throughout the year.

While the overall composition of *Pn. corruscus* microbiomes changed marginally across

months of collection (PERMANOVA: $R^2 = 0.27$, $F_{3,12} = 1.52$, $P = 0.070$), the specimens

collected in April, May, and June had higher bacterial titers than specimens collected in

November (emmeans: z-ratio > 3.55, $P < 0.01$; Fig. 6A). When looking at individual bacterial

taxa, we found that specimens collected in May and April were typically associated with high to

very high relative abundance of *En. corruscae*, but this bacterial taxon was barely detectable in

Pn. corruscus specimens collected in February and November (April and May *vs.* February and

November, MaAsLin2: coef > 4.89, FDR P-adj < 0.01; Fig. 6B). Supporting these results across

months, we found a strong association between the collection day of year used as a quadratic

continuous predictor in our LMMs and both total bacterial titers (GLMM Wald tests: $X^2 > 35.81$,

$P < 0.0001$) and abundance of *En. corruscae* (LMM Wald tests: $X^2 > 18.47$, $P < 0.0001$) in *Pn.*

corruscus, with a peak in late May (Fig. 6A and 6B). Thanks to citizen science data collected

from iNaturalist (iNaturalist community 2025), we found that during the years and in the states

of collection, the reproductive activity of *Pn. corruscus* spanned from early April to mid/late

June, peaking during the month of May (Fig. 6C). This corresponds to the reproductive seasons

observed for this species in other states and years (Faust 2012; Rooney and Lewis 2000;

Smedley et al. 2017), and implies a potential link between *En. corruscae* abundance and either

its host reproduction or seasonal increased food intake (Rooney and Lewis 2000).

To assess microbiome turnover across years, we collected *Pn. pyralis* specimens in the

same location (Philadelphia Fairmont Park), at approximately the same time of year, for three

consecutive years from 2021 to 2023. We found that the overall microbiome composition of *Pn.*

pyralis changed only marginally over the years (PERMANOVA: $R^2 = 0.06$, $F_{2,53} = 1.76$, $P =$

0.051). However, at the level of individual bacterial taxa, while the abundance of *Wi. lucivorax*

remained stable from 2021 to 2023, that of *T. photuris* and *Wi. luminosum* increased and that of

Pseudoclavibacter and *Tumebacillus* dropped (MaAsLin2: coef < -1.96, FDR *P*-adj < 0.05; Fig.

S15). This shows that the overall composition of bacteria associated with fireflies may not

drastically change over the years, although the abundance of a few bacteria may fluctuate.

4.c. The microbiome of *Photuris versicolor* group fireflies change across habitat

types. For both bacterial density and composition, the interaction between species and habitat

types (with different urbanization levels) was significant (PERMANOVA with species and

regions as covariates: $R^2 = 0.05$, $F_{14,235} = 1.30$, $P < 0.001$; GLMM Wald test: $X^2 = 143.6$, $P <$

0.0001), indicating that different species' microbiomes vary in their response to divergent habitat

types. In unidentified species from the *Pr. versicolor* group, we found that absolute bacterial

abundance was significantly lower for specimens collected in the city than in the forest or in a

mix of forest and meadow (emmeans: *z*-ratio > 3.17, $P < 0.05$; Fig. 6D). Despite the

aforementioned confounding factors between regions and habitat types, we found that, when

controlling for these factors in our multiple GLMMs, *Rickettsiella costelytrae* may have been a

key influence on bacterial titers over the urbanization gradient since it was more abundant in

specimens from the forest than in the city (MaAsLin2: coef = 5.72, FDR *P*-adj < 0.01; Fig. S16).

These results show that the type of habitat used by *Photuris* fireflies may influence the

abundance and composition of their associated bacteria.

4.d. *Photinus* females harbor a denser bacterial community than males. Using qPCR,

we found that females of both *Pn. pyralis* and *Pn. scintillans* had a significantly higher bacterial

titer than their male conspecifics (emmeans: z-ratio > 2.03, $P < 0.05$; Fig. 6E). In general, the

Evar index of evenness was higher for males compared to females in *Photinus* species

(emmeans: z-ratio $= 3.75$, $P < 0.01$; Fig. S17), suggesting that bacterial strains in male

microbiomes were represented in more equal proportions, while female microbiomes tended to

be dominated by fewer bacteria. Nevertheless, microbiome composition did not significantly

differ between sexes, with the only exception of *Pr. hebes* (pairwise adonis: $R^2 = 0.09$, $F = 1.97$,

FDR P-adj < 0.05).

4.e. Juveniles harbor a more diverse bacterial community than adults. We collected

a few larvae from three different firefly genera, and pupae from the *Pyractomena* genus. When

comparing adults to juveniles (i.e., including both larvae and pupae) from all firefly species,

while we found no difference in terms of absolute bacterial abundance (GLMM Wald test: $X^2 =$

1.08, $P = 0.30$; Fig. 6F), there was a significant difference in their microbiome composition

(PERMANOVA with life stage nested within species within genus within subfamily: $R^2 = 0.01$,

$F_{3,261} = 1.35$, $P < 0.05$; Table S12), a higher bacterial richness (GLMM Wald test on number of

ASVs with firefly genus used as a covariate: $X^2 = 4.99$, $P < 0.05$), and a higher bacterial diversity

(GLMM Wald test on Shannon diversity with firefly genus used as a covariate: $X^2 = 7.40$, $P <$

0.001) in juveniles compared to adults. These results appear to be driven by the difference

between larvae and adults (Supplementary Text 1; Fig. S18).

The single larva from the *Photinus* genus, identified through DNA barcoding as from the

Pn. consanguineus group (Fig. S1), did not appear to have a different bacterial composition

compared to adults, and did not harbor any *Williamsoniiplasma* spp. (Fig. S19). In contrast, *Pr.*

versicolor group larvae had a different bacterial composition compared to adults

(PERMANOVA: $R^2 = 0.03$, $F_{1,84} = 2.22$, $P < 0.01$; Fig. S19), including a significantly higher

abundance of unidentified *Rhizobiales* (MaAsLin2: coef = 8.92, FDR *P*-adj < 0.05). Notably,

one larva specimen from this species group had a higher bacterial titer compared to the two other

larvae and harbored *Sp. ixodetis* with high relative abundance. All three *Photuris* larvae analyzed

hosted *Leucobacter* in high relative abundance, which was also commonly found in adults (Fig.

S9 and S10).

Juveniles from the *Py. borealis* species did not have a significantly different bacterial

community as a whole when compared to adult males from the same species (PERMANOVA: R^2

$= 0.25$, $F_{1,6} = 1.99$, $P = 0.11$; Fig. S19). Nevertheless, and despite our low sample size,

significant differences in the abundance of single bacteria across larvae, pupae, and adult males

could be noted. The mollicute *Wi. somnilux*, which was commonly detected in *Py. borealis*

fireflies, associated with adults and larvae at similar abundances (MaAsLin2: coef = 0.14, FDR

P-adj = 1; Fig. S20), but was present in lower abundance in pupae (MaAsLin2: coef = 7.92, FDR

P-adj < 0.01). Other bacterial genera, including *Rhodococcus*, had a significantly higher

abundance in *Pyractomena* juveniles compared with adult males (MaAsLin2: coef > 1.99, FDR

P-adj < 0.05; Fig. S19). These results indicate that juveniles harbor a more diverse microbiome,

and some of the most prevalent and abundant adult-associated bacteria are also abundant in

larvae and detected in pupae.

5. The tissue localization of bacteria varies across firefly species.

We investigated preferential colonization of bacteria in specific tissues of three firefly species and species groups through dissections and tissue separations. We found a significant difference in overall bacterial composition across firefly tissues, but only for unidentified species from the *Pr. versicolor* group, between their lantern and gut tissues (pairwise adonis: $R^2 = 0.12$, $F = 2.36$, FDR P-adj < 0.01; Fig. S21), indicative of major tissue differences only in *Photuris* fireflies.

  5.a. *Pn. corruscus* tissues do not differ in bacterial titer but have a few differentially abundant bacterial taxa. Bacterial densities were relatively high throughout the body of *Pn. corruscus* (Fig. 7A). In terms of composition, *En. corruscae* commonly colonized all the main tissues of both males and females, suggesting that most *Pn. corruscus* tissues are colonized by bacteria in high abundance, including prevalent mollicutes.

  Two females had their gut segments and reproductive organs separated through dissection. The mollicute *En. corruscae* was not found in ovaries of these females, but was present in other reproductive organs, and was very abundant in the midgut (Fig. 7A, 7C, 7D and S22). In contrast, *Rickettsiella costelytrae* was very abundant in their carcass, hindgut, and ovaries and was also detected in the genitalia, spermatheca and spermatophore-digesting gland, and midgut of these two individuals, matching infection patterns typical of symbionts residing inside host tissues (i.e., endosymbionts; Perlmutter and Bordenstein 2020). Mollicutes and endosymbionts may thus slightly differ in their tissue localization in *Pn. corruscus*, *En. corruscae* being mainly found in the midgut but also infecting reproductive organs, and endosymbionts such as *Rickettsiella* colonizing most tissues, including ovaries.

  5.b. Bacteria variably colonize the tissues of *Pn. pyralis* but with a potential primary gut localization of *Williamsoniiplasma*. While there were no significant differences in bacterial

titers across *Pn. pyralis* dissected tissues (emmeans: *t*-ratio < 1.93, *P* > 0.22; Fig. 7B), the gut

and reproductive organs could occasionally be infected with high titers. When present, *Wi.*

lucivorax was found to be common and relatively abundant in the gut, and while it was

sometimes detected in the carcass and reproductive organs of males, it appeared virtually absent

from the lantern (MaAsLin2: coef > 3.79, FDR *P*-adj < 0.05; Fig. 7B and S23). Despite being

much less abundant overall than its sister species, the abundance of *Wi. luminosum* was also

reduced in the lantern compared to the gut (MaAsLin2: coef = 2.40, FDR *P*-adj < 0.001). This

suggests that, as for *Pn. corruscus*, mollicutes may have a primary gut localization in *Pn. pyralis*,

despite lower bacterial titers overall in this firefly species.

5.c. Specimens from the *Photuris versicolor* group harbor high density gut bacteria.

While bacterial density in unidentified species from the *Pr. versicolor* group whole body was

relatively high (Fig. 1D and S5), it peaked in the gut, especially compared to the lantern and

carcass (emmeans: *t*-ratio > 2.85, *P* < 0.05; Fig. 7E and S24). All individuals of these species had

a consistently high bacterial titer in the gut, regardless of sex, collection date, and location.

Reproductive organs also had significantly higher bacterial titers than the lantern (emmeans: *t*-

ratio = 2.71, *P* < 0.05).

When separating the unidentified *Pr. versicolor* group specimens by sex, we found that

bacteria from the *Curvibacter* genus dominated the microbiome of male guts and reproductive

organs compared to female guts and other male tissues (male gut vs. all other tissues except male

reproductive organs: MaAsLin2: coef > 4.19, FDR *P*-adj < 0.05; Fig. 7E and Table S11).

Otherwise, the gut of both females and males included a high abundance of *Leucobacter* in the

gut and reproductive organs compared to the carcass and the lantern (MaAsLin2: coef > 2.93,

FDR *P*-adj < 0.05). Notably, one female harbored *Wolbachia* from the supergroup B in all its

tissues and another one had a high abundance of *Sp. lampyridicola* in its gut (Fig. 7E). *Photuris*

fireflies thus harbor high density gut bacteria with compositions that seem to differ between

males and females.

Discussion

The firefly family includes relatively understudied taxa when it comes to their microbiome.

Here, through our comprehensive characterization of bacterial communities from several

Northeastern US firefly species, we studied the factors shaping these insects' microbiomes.

Echoing previous research (Green et al. 2021; Hackett et al. 1992), we found high variation in

bacterial composition and abundance within Northeastern US firefly species (Fig. 1D, 1E, 4A,

S5, S9, and S10). However, we also found that the bacterial community of most firefly species is

unique (Fig. 2C–2F), implying that each host species preferentially associates with its own set of

bacteria. This high species-specificity combined with intra-specific variability could be

explained by the interplay between stochastic and deterministic mechanisms. This was supported

by our analysis of normalized stochasticity ratios (NST), showing that either mollicutes or

endosymbionts (or both in some *Photuris*) were acquired by fireflies through deterministic

factors, but when these symbionts were not included in the microbiome the rest of these firefly-

associated bacterial communities were mainly structured through stochastic forces (Fig. 1C).

These results highlight the importance of partitioning microbiome analyses based on microbial

incidence (e.g., *Mollicutes*) and known functional groups (e.g., endosymbionts), as different

ecological processes dictate their acquisition and maintenance by hosts.

Ecological mechanisms structuring firefly microbiota

Mollicutes and some endosymbionts may be acquired and maintained through deterministic

forces, such as habitat filtering mechanisms, including some component of the host physiology

favoring the acquisition, transmission, and establishment of only specific microbes (Mazel et al.

2018; Michalik and Szklarzewicz 2025; Ohbayashi et al. 2015; Sachs et al. 2004), and bacteria-

driven exclusion or facilitation of the establishment of other bacteria (Alizon, de Roode, and

Michalakis 2013; Zélé et al. 2018). Other bacteria composing firefly microbiomes may largely

be free-living bacteria acquired from the environment, and their acquisition may depend on

stochastic mechanisms, such as random exposure events experienced individually by each firefly

(Jones et al. 2022; Zhou and Ning 2017). We further discuss these mechanisms and how they

contribute to structuring the firefly microbiome below.

First, some of our results support the idea that variability in firefly microbiomes is due to

stochastic community assembly mechanisms, including the random acquisition of various free-

living microbes from the environment, as suggested before (Green et al. 2021). For example, we

found that, for several firefly species sampled in multiple regions, the prevalence of individual

bacterial taxa changed across fireflies from different geographic locations (e.g., *Lactococcus* in

Photinus species; Fig. 5A–5B). A similar variation was observed in *Photuris* microbiomes across

habitats with different levels of urbanization (Fig. 6D and S16). This suggests that acquisition of

bacteria from environmental pools, which differ in their composition across geographic locations

and habitat types (Hosen et al. 2017; Malard et al. 2019; Martiny et al. 2006; Zheng et al. 2024),

is probable in fireflies.

Second, deterministic habitat filtering mechanisms imposed by host physiological

conditions are likely to participate in shaping the establishment of characteristic members of the

bacterial communities. Supporting this, we found that, despite being collected at the same

locations, times of day, and seasons, sympatric firefly species (Faust 2017; Lloyd 2018; Martin

et al. 2024; Stanger-Hall and Lloyd 2015) did not share similar microbiomes, whether they were

closely related or not (Fig. 2A–2F). As previously pointed out by Hackett et al. (1992), even

fireflies from the *Photuris versicolor* group, which consume *Photinus* and *Pyractomena* fireflies,

did not harbor any of the *Williamsoniiplasma* bacteria characteristic of their prey (Fig. 4A and

4B). In addition, several firefly-associated bacterial taxa from the *Mollicutes* may be endemic to

fireflies since they have only been found in firefly tissues to date (Fig. 3). These results are

indicative of host restriction, suggesting that fireflies are not entirely permeable to the microbes

they are exposed to, and their microbiome composition cannot be fully explained by passive

colonization of environmental bacteria. Unknown habitat filtering mechanisms within the host

are thus likely to contribute to the assembly of firefly microbiomes, as is the case in other

organisms (Ohbayashi et al. 2015; Sachs et al. 2004).

Vertical transmission can be considered as a special case of deterministic host filtering

mechanism, where only specific symbionts are transmitted from parents to offspring and

conserved by the host line throughout generations. Many insects associating with lineage-

specific, primary symbionts have been shown to rely on strict vertical transmission to preserve

key beneficial functions from the symbiont (Baumann 2005; McCutcheon, McDonald, and

Moran 2009; Sacchi et al. 2000). Yet, several endosymbionts that are common across arthropods,

such as *Rickettsia*, *Rickettsiella*, *Spiroplasma*, and *Wolbachia* practice a mix of vertical and

horizontal transmission with no strict host specificity (Gu et al. 2023; Liu et al. 2024; Michalik

and Szklarzewicz 2025; Perreau and Moran 2022). Here, we confirmed the endosymbiotic nature

of *Rickettsiella* and *Wolbachia* in fireflies, which infected several tissues, including reproductive

organs (Fig. 6A–E). While our NST analysis suggests that the acquisition of these

endosymbionts was deterministic in *Pn. marginellus* group and unidentified species from the *Pr.*

versicolor group (Fig. 1C), these endosymbionts appeared with a more random distribution in

other firefly species (Fig. 4A), suggesting a stochastic influence, perhaps conditioned by

horizontal jumps across hosts. Although we detected endosymbionts and mollicutes in female

reproductive organs (Fig. 6A), it is still unclear whether they are vertically transmitted to firefly

offspring.

Third, bacteria-driven exclusion or facilitation is another deterministic process that could

structure firefly-associated bacterial communities. In insect microbiomes, some bacteria have to

compete to establish in a host (Itoh et al. 2019), while others need other bacterial species to be

present (Carpenter et al. 2021). We found that when they were detected in fireflies, *Rickettsia*

and *Wolbachia* were likely to dominate bacterial communities and rarely co-occurred with other

bacteria (Fig. S11). This result could be a consequence of potential competitive exclusion driven

by these known endosymbionts (Caragata et al. 2013). However, we cannot exclude the

possibility that these endosymbionts were present at such high densities in firefly tissues that the

sequencing depth was not enough to detect other co-occurring bacteria (Gofton et al. 2015). We

note that *Rickettsia bellii* and *Wolbachia* supergroup B usually had a very high absolute bacterial

abundance in specimens (Fig. S19 and S24), supporting this latter possibility. We also found

patterns of positive co-occurrence among bacteria associated with a few firefly species, which

could suggest facilitation. Chief among them is the *Pseudomonas* and *Stenotrophomonas* duo

found in several *Photinus* fireflies (Fig. S11), also known to cooperate during infections of

vertebrates (McDaniel et al. 2023; McDaniel, Schoeb, and Swords 2020). In *Photuris* fireflies,

Curvibacter, *Achromobacter*, and *Elizabethkingia* tend to co-occur in the reproductive organs

(Fig. 7E) and in the gut (Green et al. 2021), suggesting acquisition either from sexual

transmission or from food intake. Future work should investigate whether these bacteria are

acquired together by chance (Carpenter et al. 2021), or truly facilitate each other's establishment

in firefly hosts (Halliday et al. 2024; Zélé et al. 2018).

It thus appears that, as in many animals (Chandler et al. 2011; Furman et al. 2020;

Hendrycks et al. 2025; Oliphant et al. 2019), fireflies acquire their microbiomes through a

combination of stochastic and deterministic elements. Mechanisms involved likely include the

acquisition of environmental bacteria, habitat filtering mechanisms—including potential reliable

transmission modes, partner choice processes to select specific bacterial strains, and/or parasitic

associations where parasites circumvent barriers to establishment—and possible competition and

facilitation among bacterial strains. Future studies should test the contribution of each of these

stochastic and deterministic mechanisms in structuring firefly microbiota.

Which factors influence the association with bacterial symbionts?

As a group, fireflies offer diverse ecologies across species and throughout their lifetime. We

investigated the influence of several firefly traits, such as sex, dietary ecology, and life stage, on

the composition and abundance of housed bacteria. Notably, we did not find convincing

evidence linking adult bioluminescence to bacterial symbiosis, since bioluminescent firefly

species had similar bacterial loads and composition as non-bioluminescent ones (Fig. S12) and

the lantern of two common species housed either low or variable bacterial density with

seemingly random bacterial composition, except in the rare occasion of infections with an

endosymbiont colonizing all tissues including the lantern (Fig. 7B, 7E, S23, and S24).

Interspecific variation in the animal microbiome is often influenced by diet (Degregori et

al. 2024; Karasov and Douglas 2013). Here, we found that bacterial density and composition

changed between fireflies with adults known to eat versus those with supposed non-eating adults

(Fig. S12). However, since diet and phylogeny are confounded, this result was not well

supported when accounting for host phylogenetic relationships, which were responsible for a

large portion of microbiome (dis)similarity among firefly species (Fig. 2A–C, and S7). Notable

microbiome divergences observed between closely related firefly species could, nevertheless, be

attributed to species' differing ecology, perhaps including dietary differences. For example, *Pn.*

pyralis and *Pn. corruscus*, which are two closely related species (Catalan et al. 2022) with

overlapping geographic range—the former not seemingly eating as adults and having a short

adult lifespan from May to August, and the latter consuming plant sap and nectar and living from

November to May as adults—harbored divergent bacterial densities and compositions (Fig. 1D

and 2F). In-depth dietary analyses should be performed to formally address the contribution of

adult diet to microbial abundance and composition in fireflies, while controlling for host

relatedness.

Our results suggest that some of the intraspecific variation in bacterial abundance in the

winter firefly *Pn. corruscus* could be explained by seasonal effects. We found that the abundance

of the mollicute *Entomoplasma corruscae* associated with these fireflies increased in the spring

(Fig. 6B), when reproductive activity peaks for this firefly species in the Northeastern US (Fig.

6C). Given that this bacterium was sometimes abundant in the midgut, but could also be detected

in the reproductive organs and carcass (Fig. 7A–7D, and S22), several possible modes of

acquisition may promote the proliferation of *En. corruscae* in the spring. First, *Pn. corruscus*

fireflies may drastically increase food intake during the reproductive season (Rooney and Lewis

2000), hence acquiring large quantities of this bacterium from their food sources, thus implying

an environmental acquisition mode. But it is also possible that *En. corruscae* is vertically

transmitted from parents to offspring, although this was not supported in a recent study analyzing

the bacterial composition of six eggs (Green et al. 2021). Nevertheless, this bacterium could

potentially reside inside their hosts with very low, barely detectable, abundances at the egg,

larval, pupal stages, and in overwintering adults, and begin to replicate as endogenous hormonal

pathways are triggered by reproductive maturity and/or through warming temperatures, like in

other insects (Liu et al. 2024; Poulain et al. 2024; Smith et al. 2021). Finally, as seen in the

association between aphids and three facultative endosymbionts (Moran and Dunbar 2006), *En.*

corruscae could potentially be sexually transmitted (i.e., horizontal transmission), and its

abundance in adult individuals could be directly proportional to the number of copulation events

they experience.

As holometabolous insects, fireflies have two dissociated, active life stages with

drastically divergent ecologies, translating into distinct periods of exposure to, and interaction

with, microbes (Hammer and Moran 2019; Manthey et al. 2023): one at the larval stage, after

eggs hatch, and one at the adult stage, following pupation. While the ecology, physiology, and

behaviors of adult fireflies have been well documented, earlier developmental stages (e.g., eggs,

larvae, pre-pupae, and pupae) remain understudied, largely due to the cryptic lifestyles of these

early stages (e.g., soil dwelling) and difficulties to rear them throughout their development

(Riley, Rosa, and Lima da Silveira 2021). However, it is crucial to study larval microbiomes,

since fireflies spend most of their life in this stage. Here, we found that firefly larvae from the

Northeastern US harbored a more diverse bacterial community compared to adults (Fig. 6F and

S18), with diversity index values and a few bacterial genera similar to those from a study on the

gut microbiota of distantly related, *Aquatica leii* firefly larvae from China (Zhao et al. 2023).

When focusing on key bacterial groups in fireflies, although our single *Pn. consanguineus* group

larva did not harbor any mollicute in high abundance, we found that all five late stage *Py.*

borealis larvae, sampled in different locations (northern Delaware, eastern New York,

southeastern Pennsylvania), harbored moderate to high abundance of the mollicute *Wi. somnilux*

(Fig. S19 and S20). While the high diversity of larva-associated bacterial communities could

easily be explained by environmental acquisition of diverse bacteria from the microbe-rich

habitats firefly larvae occur in, such as soil (Torsvik, Goksøyr, and Daae 1990), the presence of

the same mollicute species in *Py. borealis* larvae collected from locations separated by hundreds

of kilometers further supports our finding that deterministic mechanisms promote the acquisition

and persistence of species-specific mollicutes in fireflies. However, it is still unknown whether

this mollicute species is acquired from the specific environment of *Py. borealis* larvae.

Transmission experiments should be performed in this firefly species to determine the bacterial

mode of transmission.

Another mystery lies in the fate of symbionts through metamorphosis to adulthood in

holometabolous insects. Here, we found that one *Py. borealis* pupa (which was approaching

emergence) out of three had detectable, yet low, levels of *Wi. somnilux* (Fig. S19 and S20). This

bacterium has originally been sampled multiple times in the pupal gut of *Py. angulata* from

Maryland (Hackett et al. 1992; Williamson et al. 1990). It thus appears that, at least in

Pyractomena fireflies, larvae, pupae, and adults associate with species-specific mollicutes, which

occasionally appear to persist in the gut during pupation. While a drastic reduction in abundance

of larva-associated microbes is common during the metamorphosis of holometabolous insects

(Hammer and Moran 2019), the retention of symbionts through pupation has been shown in a

few insects before. For example, the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* can harbor high

abundance of the mollicute *Spiroplasma* in the gut throughout its lifetime, including during

pupation (Anbutsu and Fukatsu 2003). The possible retention of species-specific mollicutes

through pupation is yet another indication of the tight, non-random association between these

bacteria and their firefly hosts.

Insights into the evolutionary history of firefly-associated mollicutes

While the transmission mode of mollicutes in fireflies is still uncertain, we found support that

these bacteria non-randomly associate with firefly hosts. Although this is not a prerequisite for

being a symbiont specialist (Bourguignon et al. 2018; Cabuslay et al. 2024; Koch et al. 2013),

several ancient symbionts are so dependent on their host that they have co-diversified with it

(García-Lozano et al. 2024; Hu et al. 2023; Kikuchi et al. 2009; Lo et al. 2003; Zhang et al.

2023), forming patterns of co-phylogeny where the symbiont phylogeny tightly matches that of

their host. Our phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 3 and S3), along with previous phylogenomic results

(Gupta et al. 2019; Lo et al. 2018), suggest limited evidence for co-cladogenesis between the

Mollicutes and fireflies, since mollicutes infecting closely related firefly species are rarely each

others' closest relatives. For example, the closely related fireflies *Pn. corruscus* and *Pn. pyralis*

(Catalan et al. 2022) commonly harbored *En. corruscae* and *Wi. lucivorax*, respectively,

classified in two distinct bacterial genera (Gupta et al. 2019). These two bacterial species are

phylogenetically separated by other bacterial genera with very different lifestyles (e.g., members

of the *Mycoplasma* are vertebrate pathogens; Fischer et al. 2012; Waites and Talkington 2004).

Even within the mollicute genera that infect fireflies, the preferred host can vary. For example,

the *Williamsoniiplasma* genus includes species associated with either lampyrine fireflies or tiger

beetles (Tully et al. 1998), which last shared a common ancestor over 300 million years ago

(McKenna et al. 2019). Thus, it appears unlikely that mollicute symbionts are the result of

ancient domestication in a common host ancestor and conserved through firefly evolution to

extant lineages.

This incongruence between host and symbiont phylogenies could have two explanations.

First, species from the *Mollicutes* may be generalists and associated with multiple host species.

These bacteria could switch hosts on multiple occasions over evolutionary time scales, a process

that has been shown in other insect-symbiont systems (Sudakaran, Kost, and Kaltenpoth 2017).

While most *Williamsoniiplasma* species appear to specifically associate with one lampyrine

species or genus (Fig. 4A), the *Wi. luminosum* species was detected at moderate to high

abundance in three *Photinus* firefly species (Fig. 4A and S9; Fallon et al. 2018; Williamson et al.

1990), suggesting a lower level of host specificity for this mollicute species. This is also

supported by *En. ellychniae*, a bacterial strain with a 16S rRNA amplicon sequence almost

identical to *En. corruscae* (Fig. 3), being able to infect *Pn. corruscus* as well as tabanid flies

(Wedincamp et al. 1996); and by members of the *Spiroplasma* genus, with single *Spiroplasma*

species being detected in different insect species (Hackett et al. 1992, 1996; Stevens et al. 1997;

Tully et al. 1995). Host switching could conceivably be facilitated by interspecific sexual

interactions, a behavior that has been documented among *Photinus* fireflies (Vergara, Maquitico,

and Cordero 2025). Future laboratory transplantation experiments could help discern the extent

of species-specificity in firefly-mollicute associations.

Another possible explanation for the discrepancy in firefly-mollicute phylogenies is that

some of these associations may have evolved recently, leading to patterns of co-phylogeny that

may only be detectable at lower taxonomic levels, within single mollicute genera, across species

or strains. The *Entomoplasma* and *Williamsoniiplasma* genera, for example, may include

additional firefly-associated symbiont species that have to be discovered from yet unscreened

firefly species. Given the endemism of *Wi. lucivorax* to *Pn. pyralis* fireflies, other unscreened

lampyrine species could plausibly harbor undiscovered, endemic mollicute taxa. The microbiome

of more firefly species should be characterized to determine whether they harbor new mollicute

species, sisters to the ones that have already been identified.

Conclusion

Through qPCR, deep amplicon sequencing, dissections, phylogenetics, and bioinformatic

analyses, we characterized the bacterial communities of fireflies from two common subfamilies

in the Northeastern US and evaluated the influence of different factors on bacterial community

structure and composition. We found that most firefly species harbor a unique, yet variable

microbiome, structured by a combination of stochastic and deterministic forces, and often

dominated by members of species-specific mollicutes. Although the intraspecific variation

observed in firefly microbiomes likely derives from a combination of stochastic process and

geographic, environmental, and host-specific factors, like life stage, age, and sex, the non-

random preferential associations with members of the *Mollicutes* raises the possibility that host-

specific physio-chemical conditions (i.e., habitat filtering mechanisms) contribute to the

maintenance and specificity of these bacteria. While the transmission mode of these bacteria is

still unknown, given their high abundance when present, mollicutes may play a role in the fitness

of firefly hosts (Supplementary Text 1). We also occasionally found insect endosymbionts

infecting firefly tissues, with yet undetermined roles, but with a hypothetical potential for

reproductive manipulation.

Altogether, our results suggest that fireflies are associated with species-specific bacteria

that are not always present, and that may be facultative symbionts. In the future, functional

characterization of firefly microbiomes through a combination of targeted experimental

antibiotic and fitness assays, genomics, and gene expression analyses will be necessary to better

comprehend the nature of the microbe-firefly associations. Firefly biology and ecology can be

challenging to study, given the short adult lifespans of most species and the difficulty to rear

early developmental stages, especially terrestrial species, in laboratory settings. Improvements of

existing methodologies (Buschman 1988; Fallon et al. 2018; Fu and Benno Meyer-Rochow

2013; Ho et al. 2010; McLean, Buck, and Hanson 1972; Vaz et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2024) could

facilitate the study of their microbiome. This research constitutes a major first step towards a

better understanding of the ecology of firefly-associated microbial communities. Future research

will shed light on how microbes contribute to the fascinating but puzzling ecology and evolution

of fireflies, a group that provides pest control services (Fu and Benno Meyer-Rochow 2013) and

has high aesthetic value, but is experiencing severe population reductions around the world

(Fallon et al. 2018; Lewis et al. 2020).

Acknowledgements

We thank Meghan Catherwood, Susan Deering, Natalie Dyer, Hannah Holmes, Katie O'Connor,

Nathan Peot, Sam Pring, Lauren Shaffer, Edith Simpson, Asher Timar, Jasmine Timar, and

Morgan Ulrich for assistance with specimen collection. We are grateful to Moria Chambers who

provided logistical support, laboratory space, and materials. Thanks also go to Johnathan

Adamson and Kim Bowles from the University of Texas at Arlington and Stephanie Greenwald

the Argonne National Laboratory who performed Illumina library preparation and sequencing.

Author contributions

1025 BB designed the study, performed the dissections, analyzed the data, and wrote the initial

1026 manuscript. BB and SEL collected specimens. BB, SRN, and AR extracted DNA. BB and TJD

1027 performed DNA barcoding. BB and AR processed the amplicon sequencing data. BB, SEL, and

1028 AR contributed to review and editing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final

1029 version of the manuscript.

1030

1031 **Funding information**

1032 This work was supported by the University of Texas at Arlington (RISE 100 postdoctoral

1033 fellowship to BB, Research Innovation Grant from the College of Science to BB and AR, and a

1034 Startup Grant from the Department of Biology to AR) and Bucknell University (Startup Grant to

1035 SEL).

1036

Data availability

1038 All 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing data have been deposited in the NCBI Short Read

1039 Archive under BioProject PRJNA1237523. The COI DNA barcoding sequences produced from

1040 Sanger sequencing and highlighted with orange labels in Fig. S1 are available from the NCBI

1041 GenBank database with accession numbers PV844642–PV844700. Supplementary data can be

1042 found in our data repository at: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.28306616.

1043

Conflict of interest statement

1045 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

1046

References

Alizon, Samuel, Jacobus C. de Roode, and Yannis Michalakis. 2013. "Multiple Infections and the Evolution of Virulence." *Ecology Letters* 16(4):556–67. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12076.

Anbutsu, Hisashi, and Takema Fukatsu. 2003. "Population Dynamics of Male-Killing and Non-Male-Killing Spiroplasmas in *Drosophila Melanogaster*." *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 69(3):1428–34. doi:10.1128/AEM.69.3.1428-1434.2003.

Apprill, Amy, Sean McNally, Rachel Parsons, and Laura Weber. 2015. "Minor Revision to V4 Region SSU rRNA 806R Gene Primer Greatly Increases Detection of SAR11 Bacterioplankton." *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 75(2):129–37.

Arahal, David R., Hans-Jürgen Busse, Carolee T. Bull, Henrik Christensen, Maria Chuvochina, Svetlana N. Dedysh, Pierre-Edouard Fournier, Konstantinos T. Konstantinidis, Charles T. Parker, Ramon Rossello-Mora, Antonio Ventosa, and Markus Göker. 2022. "Judicial Opinions 112–122." *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 72(8).

Balish, Mitchell, Assunta Bertaccini, Alain Blanchard, Daniel Brown, Glenn Browning, Victoria Chalker, Joachim Frey, Gail Gasparich, Ludwig Hoelzle, Tom Knight, Christine Knox, Chih-Horng Kuo, Lucia Manso-Silván, Meghan May, J. Dennis Pollack, Ana S. Ramírez, Joachim Spergser, David Taylor-Robinson, Dmitriy Volokhov, and Yan Zhao. 2019. "Recommended Rejection of the Names Malacoplasma Gen. Nov., Mesomycoplasma Gen. Nov., Metamycoplasma Gen. Nov., Metamycoplasmataceae Fam. Nov., Mycoplasmoidaceae Fam. Nov., Mycoplasmoidales Ord. Nov., Mycoplasmoides Gen. Nov., Mycoplasmopsis Gen. Nov. [Gupta, Sawnani, Adeolu, Alnajar and Oren 2018] and All Proposed Species Comb. Nov. Placed Therein." *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 69(11):3650–53.

Barnett, David J. m, Ilja C. w Arts, and John Penders. 2021. "microViz: An R Package for Microbiome Data Visualization and Statistics." *Journal of Open Source Software* 6(63):3201. doi:10.21105/joss.03201.

Bates, Douglas, Martin Mächler, Ben Bolker, and Steve Walker. 2015. "Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using Lme4." *Journal of Statistical Software* 67(1):1–48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01.

Baumann, Paul. 2005. "BIOLOGY OF BACTERIOCYTE-ASSOCIATED ENDOSYMBIONTS OF PLANT SAP-SUCKING INSECTS." *Annual Review of Microbiology* 59(Volume 59, 2005):155–89.

Bäumler, Andreas, and Ferric C. Fang. 2013. "Host Specificity of Bacterial Pathogens." *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine* 3(12). doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a010041.

Bourguignon, Thomas, Nathan Lo, Carsten Dietrich, Jan Šobotník, Sarah Sidek, Yves Roisin, Andreas Brune, and Theodore A. Evans. 2018. "Rampant Host Switching Shaped the Termite Gut Microbiome." *Current Biology* 28(4):649-654.e2. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.01.035.

Buschman, Lawrent L. 1984a. "Biology of the Firefly Pyractomena Lucifera (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *The Florida Entomologist* 67(4):529–42. doi:10.2307/3494462.

Buschman, Lawrent L. 1984b. "Larval Biology and Ecology of Photuris Fireflies (Lampyridae: Coleoptera) in Northcentral Florida." *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society* 57(1):7–16.

Buschman, Lawrent L. 1988. "Larval Development and Its Photoperiodic Control in the Firefly Pyractomena Lucifera (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 81(1):82–90. doi:10.1093/aesa/81.1.82.

Cabuslay, Christian, John T. Wertz, Benoît Béchade, Yi Hu, Sonali Braganza, Daniel Freeman, Shreyansh Pradhan, Maria Mukhanova, Scott Powell, Corrie Moreau, and Jacob A. Russell. 2024. "Domestication and Evolutionary Histories of Specialized Gut Symbionts across Cephalotine Ants." *Molecular Ecology* 33(15):e17454. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.17454.

Callahan, Benjamin J., Paul J. McMurdie, Michael J. Rosen, Andrew W. Han, Amy Jo A. Johnson, and Susan P. Holmes. 2016. "DADA2: High-Resolution Sample Inference from Illumina Amplicon Data." *Nature Methods* 13(7):581–83. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3869.

Caporaso, J. Gregory, Christian L. Lauber, William A. Walters, Donna Berg-Lyons, James Huntley, Noah Fierer, Sarah M. Owens, Jason Betley, Louise Fraser, Markus Bauer, Niall Gormley, Jack A. Gilbert, Geoff Smith, and Rob Knight. 2012. "Ultra-High-Throughput Microbial Community Analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq Platforms." *The ISME Journal* 6(8):1621–24. doi:10.1038/ismej.2012.8.

Caporaso, J. Gregory, Christian L. Lauber, William A. Walters, Donna Berg-Lyons, Catherine A. Lozupone, Peter J. Turnbaugh, Noah Fierer, and Rob Knight. 2011. "Global Patterns of 16S rRNA Diversity at a Depth of Millions of Sequences per Sample." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108(supplement_1):4516–22. doi:10.1073/pnas.1000080107.

Caragata, Eric P., Edwige Rancès, Lauren M. Hedges, Alexander W. Gofton, Karyn N. Johnson, Scott L. O'Neill, and Elizabeth A. McGraw. 2013. "Dietary Cholesterol Modulates Pathogen Blocking by Wolbachia." *PLoS Pathogens* 9(6):1–9. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003459.

Carpenter, Melissa, Linyao Peng, Andrew H. Smith, Jonah Joffe, Michael O'Connor, Kerry M. Oliver, and Jacob A. Russell. 2021. "Frequent Drivers, Occasional Passengers: Signals of Symbiont-Driven Seasonal Adaptation and Hitchhiking in the Pea Aphid, Acyrthosiphon Pisum." *Insects* 12(9). doi:10.3390/insects12090805.

Cassells, Maria D., Sophie Labaude, and Christine T. Griffin. 2024. "Beware Glowing Cadavers: Bioluminescence of Nematode Symbiont Photorhabdus Protects Nematode-Infected Host Cadavers from Nocturnal Scavengers." *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 11. doi:10.3389/fevo.2023.1264251.

Catalan, Ana, Sebastian Höhna, Sarah E. Lower, and Pablo Duchen. 2022. "Inferring the Demographic History of the North American Firefly Photinus Pyralis." *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 35(11):1488–99. doi:10.1111/jeb.14094.

Catalán, Ana, Claudia María Pérez Archila, Adriana María Echeverría Méndez, José Andrés Guitiérrez, José Monzón, Viridiana Vega Badillo, and Sebastian Höhna. 2025. "Filling the Gap in the Neotropics: New Firefly Species Provide Insights into Central American Biodiversity." *bioRxiv* 2025.02.20.639311. doi:10.1101/2025.02.20.639311.

Chandler, James Angus, Jenna Morgan Lang, Srijak Bhatnagar, Jonathan A. Eisen, and Artyom Kopp. 2011. "Bacterial Communities of Diverse Drosophila Species: Ecological Context of a Host–Microbe Model System." *PLoS Genetics* 7(9):1–18. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002272.

Charif, Delphine, and Jean R. Lobry. 2007. "SeqinR 1.0-2: A Contributed Package to the R Project for Statistical Computing Devoted to Biological Sequences Retrieval and Analysis." Pp. 207–32 in *Structural Approaches to Sequence Evolution: Molecules, Networks, Populations*, edited by U. Bastolla, M. Porto, H. E. Roman, and M. Vendruscolo. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Coyte, Katharine Z., Chitong Rao, Seth Rakoff-Nahoum, and Kevin R. Foster. 2021. "Ecological Rules for the Assembly of Microbiome Communities." *PLOS Biology* 19(2):1–19. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3001116.

Davis, Nicole M., Diana M. Proctor, Susan P. Holmes, David A. Relman, and Benjamin J. Callahan. 2018. "Simple Statistical Identification and Removal of Contaminant Sequences in Marker-Gene and Metagenomics Data." *Microbiome* 6(1):226. doi:10.1186/s40168-018-0605-2.

Degregori, Samuel, Nina M. D. Schiettekatte, Jordan M. Casey, Simon J. Brandl, Alexandre Mercière, Katherine R. Amato, Florent Mazel, Valeriano Parravicini, and Paul H. Barber. 2024. "Host Diet Drives Gut Microbiome Convergence between Coral Reef Fishes and Mammals." *Molecular Ecology* 33(19):e17520. doi:10.1111/mec.17520.

Deyrup, Stephen T., Riley G. Risteen, Kathareeya K. Tonyai, Madalyn A. Farrar, Bailey E. D'Antonio, Zenab B. Ahmed, Brian T. Christofel, Nicole R. Howells, and Scott R. Smedley. 2017. "Escape into Winter: Does a Phenological Shift by Ellychnia Corrusca (Winter Firefly) Shield It from a Specialist Predator (Photuris)?" *Northeastern Naturalist* 24(sp7). doi:10.1656/045.024.s717.

Dillon, R. J., and V. M. Dillon. 2003. "THE GUT BACTERIA OF INSECTS: Nonpathogenic Interactions." *Annual Review of Entomology* 49(1):71–92. doi:10.1146/annurev.ento.49.061802.123416.

Fallon, Candace E., Anna C. Walker, Sara Lewis, Joseph Cicero, Lynn Faust, Christopher M. Heckscher, Cisteil X. Pérez-Hernández, Ben Pfeiffer, and Sarina Jepsen. 2021. "Evaluating Firefly Extinction Risk: Initial Red List Assessments for North America." *PLOS ONE* 16(11):e0259379. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0259379.

Fallon, Timothy R., Sarah E. Lower, Ching-Ho Chang, Manabu Bessho-Uehara, Gavin J. Martin, Adam J. Bewick, Megan Behringer, Humberto J. Debat, Isaac Wong, John C. Day, Anton Suvorov, Christian J. Silva, Kathrin F. Stanger-Hall, David W. Hall, Robert J. Schmitz, David R. Nelson, Sara M. Lewis, Shuji Shigenobu, Seth M. Bybee, Amanda M. Larracuente, Yuichi Oba, and Jing-Ke Weng. 2018. "Firefly Genomes Illuminate Parallel Origins of Bioluminescence in Beetles." *eLife* 7:e36495. doi:10.7554/eLife.36495.

Faust, L. F. 2017. *Fireflies, Glow-Worms, and Lightning Bugs: Identification and Natural History of the Fireflies of the Eastern and Central United States and Canada*. Wormsloe Foundation Nature Book. University of Georgia Press.

Faust, Lynn. 2012. "Fireflies in the Snow: Observations on Two Early-Season Arboreal Fireflies Ellychnia Corrusca and Pyractomena Borealis." *Lampyrid* 2:48–71.

Faust, Lynn, and Hugh Faust. 2014. "The Occurrence and Behaviors of North American Fireflies (Coleoptera: Lampyridae) on Milkweed, Asclepias Syriaca L." *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 68(2):283–91. doi:10.1649/0010-065X-68.2.283.

Fender, Kenneth M. 1970. "Ellychnia of Western North America (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *Northwest Science* 44(1):31–43.

FireflyAtlas.org. 2025. "Firefly Species Checklist of the USA and Canada - Xerces Society." https://www.fireflyatlas.org/firefly-species/firefly-species-checklist.

Fischer, Anne, Beth Shapiro, Cecilia Muriuki, Martin Heller, Christiane Schnee, Erik Bongcam-Rudloff, Edy M. Vilei, Joachim Frey, and Joerg Jores. 2012. "The Origin of the 'Mycoplasma Mycoides Cluster' Coincides with Domestication of Ruminants." *PLOS ONE* 7(4):1–6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036150.

Folmer, O., M. Black, W. Hoeh, R. Lutz, and R. Vrijenhoek. 1994. "DNA Primers for Amplification of Mitochondrial Cytochrome c Oxidase Subunit I from Diverse Metazoan Invertebrates." *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology* 3(5):294–99.

Fu, Xinhua, and V. Benno Meyer-Rochow. 2013. "Larvae of the Firefly Pyrocoelia Pectoralis (Coleoptera: Lampyridae) as Possible Biological Agents to Control the Land Snail Bradybaena Ravida." *Biological Control* 65(2):176–83. doi:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2013.02.005.

Funaro, Colin F., Daniel J. C. Kronauer, Corrie S. Moreau, Benjamin Goldman-Huertas, Naomi E. Pierce, and Jacob A. Russell. 2011. "Army Ants Harbor a Host-Specific Clade of <em>Entomoplasmatales</Em> Bacteria." *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 77(1):346. doi:10.1128/AEM.01896-10.

Furman, Ori, Liat Shenhav, Goor Sasson, Fotini Kokou, Hen Honig, Shamay Jacoby, Tomer Hertz, Otto X. Cordero, Eran Halperin, and Itzhak Mizrahi. 2020. "Stochasticity Constrained by Deterministic Effects of Diet and Age Drive Rumen Microbiome Assembly Dynamics." *Nature Communications* 11(1):1904. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15652-8.

García-Lozano, Marleny, Christine Henzler, Miguel Ángel González Porras, Inès Pons, Aileen Berasategui, Christa Lanz, Heike Budde, Kohei Oguchi, Yu Matsuura, Yannick Pauchet, Shana Goffredi, Takema Fukatsu, Donald Windsor, and Hassan Salem. 2024. "Paleocene Origin of a Streamlined Digestive Symbiosis in Leaf Beetles." *Current Biology* 34(8):1621-1634.e9. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2024.01.070.

Gasparich, Gail E., and Chih-Horng Kuo. 2019. "Genome Analysis-Based Union of the Genus Mesoplasma with the Genus Entomoplasma." *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 69(9):2735–38.

Geller, J., C. Meyer, M. Parker, and H. Hawk. 2013. "Redesign of PCR Primers for Mitochondrial Cytochrome c Oxidase Subunit I for Marine Invertebrates and Application in All-Taxa Biotic Surveys." *Molecular Ecology Resources* 13(5):851–61. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12138.

Gofton, Alexander W., Charlotte L. Oskam, Nathan Lo, Tiziana Beninati, Heng Wei, Victoria McCarl, Dáithí C. Murray, Andrea Paparini, Telleasha L. Greay, Andrew J. Holmes, Michael Bunce, Una Ryan, and Peter Irwin. 2015. "Inhibition of the Endosymbiont 'Candidatus Midichloria Mitochondrii' during 16S rRNA Gene Profiling Reveals Potential Pathogens in Ixodes Ticks from Australia." *Parasites & Vectors* 8(1):345. doi:10.1186/s13071-015-0958-3.

Gouy, Manolo, Stéphane Guindon, and Olivier Gascuel. 2009. "SeaView Version 4: A Multiplatform Graphical User Interface for Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Tree Building." *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 27(2):221–24. doi:10.1093/molbev/msp259.

Green, Emily A., Scott R. Smedley, and Jonathan L. Klassen. 2021. "North American Fireflies Host Low Bacterial Diversity." *Microbial Ecology* 82(3):793–804. doi:10.1007/s00248-021-01718-7.

Green, John Wagener. 1956. "Revision of the Nearctic Species of Photinus:(Lampyridae: Coleoptera)." *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* 28:561–613.

Green, JOHN WAGONER. 1957. "Revision of the Nearctic Species of Pyractomena (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *The Wasmann Journal of Biology* 15(2):237–84.

Griffith, Daniel M., Joseph A. Veech, and Charles J. Marsh. 2016. "Cooccur: Probabilistic Species Co-Occurrence Analysis in R." *Journal of Statistical Software* 69(2):1–17. doi:10.18637/jss.v069.c02.

Gu, Xinyue, Perran A. Ross, Alex Gill, Qiong Yang, Eloïse Ansermin, Sonia Sharma, Safieh Soleimannejad, Kanav Sharma, Ashley Callahan, Courtney Brown, Paul A. Umina, Torsten N. Kristensen, and Ary A. Hoffmann. 2023. "A Rapidly Spreading Deleterious Aphid Endosymbiont That Uses Horizontal as Well as Vertical Transmission." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 120(18):e2217278120. doi:10.1073/pnas.2217278120.

Gupta, Radhey S., and Aharon Oren. 2020. "Necessity and Rationale for the Proposed Name
Changes in the Classification of Mollicutes Species. Reply to: 'Recommended Rejection
of the Names Malacoplasma Gen. Nov., Mesomycoplasma Gen. Nov., Metamycoplasma
Gen. Nov., Metamycoplasmataceae Fam. Nov., Mycoplasmoidaceae Fam. Nov.,
Mycoplasmoidales Ord. Nov., Mycoplasmoides Gen. Nov., Mycoplasmopsis Gen. Nov.
[Gupta, Sawnani, Adeolu, Alnajar and Oren 2018] and All Proposed Species Comb. Nov.
Placed Therein', by M. Balish et al. (Int J Syst Evol Microbiol, 2019;69:3650–3653)."
International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 70(2):1431–38.

Gupta, Radhey S., Sahil Sawnani, Mobolaji Adeolu, Seema Alnajar, and Aharon Oren. 2018.
"Phylogenetic Framework for the Phylum Tenericutes Based on Genome Sequence Data:
Proposal for the Creation of a New Order Mycoplasmoidales Ord. Nov., Containing Two
New Families Mycoplasmoidaceae Fam. Nov. and Metamycoplasmataceae Fam. Nov.
Harbouring Eperythrozoon, Ureaplasma and Five Novel Genera." *Antonie van
Leeuwenhoek* 111(9):1583–1630. doi:10.1007/s10482-018-1047-3.

Gupta, Radhey S., Jeen Son, and Aharon Oren. 2019. "A Phylogenomic and Molecular Markers
Based Taxonomic Framework for Members of the Order Entomoplasmatales: Proposal
for an Emended Order Mycoplasmatales Containing the Family Spiroplasmataceae and
Emended Family Mycoplasmataceae Comprised of Six Genera." *Antonie van
Leeuwenhoek* 112(4):561–88. doi:10.1007/s10482-018-1188-4.

Hackett, K. J., R. F. Whitcomb, F. E. French, J. G. Tully, G. E. GASPARICH, D. L. Rose, P.
Carle, J. M. Bove, R. B. Henegar, T. B. Clark, M. Konai, E. A. Clark, and D. L.
Williamson. 1996. "Spiroplasma Corruscae Sp. Nov., from a Firefly Beetle (Coleoptera:
Lampyridae) and Tabanid Flies (Diptera: Tabanidae)." *International Journal of
Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 46(4):947–50.

Hackett, K. J., R. F. Whitcomb, J. G. Tully, J. E. Lloyd, J. J. Anderson, T. B. Clark, R. B.
Henegar, D. L. Roset, E. A. Clark, and J. L. Vaughn. 1992. "Lampyridae (Coleoptera): A
Plethora of Mollicute Associations." *Microbial Ecology* 23(2):181–93.
doi:10.1007/BF00172639.

Halliday, Fletcher W., Elle M. Barnes, Miriam N. Ojima, and Isabelle Stiver. 2024. "On the Hunt
for Facilitation in Symbiont Communities." *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 39(9):793–
96. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2024.07.009.

Hammer, Tobin J. 2024. "Why Do Hosts Malfunction without Microbes? Missing Benefits
versus Evolutionary Addiction." *Trends in Microbiology* 32(2):132–41.
doi:10.1016/j.tim.2023.07.012.

Hammer, Tobin J., and Nancy A. Moran. 2019. "Links between Metamorphosis and Symbiosis
in Holometabolous Insects." *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:
Biological Sciences* 374(1783):20190068. doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0068.

Hartig, F. 2018. "DHARMa: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level/Mixed)
Regression Models. R Package Version 0.4.6."

Hendrycks, Wouter, Nele Mullens, Jackline Bakengesa, Sija Kabota, Jenipher Tairo, Thierry Backeljau, Ramadhani Majubwa, Maulid Mwatawala, Marc De Meyer, and Massimiliano Virgilio. 2025. "Deterministic and Stochastic Effects Drive the Gut Microbial Diversity in Cucurbit-Feeding Fruit Flies (Diptera, Tephritidae)." *PLoS One* 20(1):1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0313447.

Hess, WALTER N. 1920. "NOTES ON THE BIOLOGY OF SOME COMMON LAMPYRIDAE." *The Biological Bulletin* 38(2):39–76. doi:10.2307/1536232.

Ho, Jen-Zon, Pi-Hei Chiang, Chia-Hsiung Wu, and Ping-Shih Yang. 2010. "Life Cycle of the Aquatic Firefly Luciola Ficta (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology* 13(3):189–96. doi:10.1016/j.aspen.2010.03.007.

Höhna, Sebastian, Sarah E. Lower, Pablo Duchen, and Ana Catalán. 2024. "Robustness of Divergence Time Estimation despite Gene Tree Estimation Error: A Case Study of Fireflies (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *Systematic Biology* syae065. doi:10.1093/sysbio/syae065.

Hosen, Jacob D., Catherine M. Febria, Byron C. Crump, and Margaret A. Palmer. 2017. "Watershed Urbanization Linked to Differences in Stream Bacterial Community Composition." *Frontiers in Microbiology* 8. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.01452.

Hu, Yi, Catherine L. D'Amelio, Benoît Béchade, Christian S. Cabuslay, Piotr Łukasik, Jon G. Sanders, Shauna Price, Emily Fanwick, Scott Powell, Corrie S. Moreau, and Jacob A. Russell. 2023. "Partner Fidelity and Environmental Filtering Preserve Stage-Specific Turtle Ant Gut Symbioses for over 40 Million Years." *Ecological Monographs* 93(1):e1560. doi:10.1002/ecm.1560.

iNaturalist community. 2025. "Observations of Ellychnia Corrusca. Exported from Https://Www.Inaturalist.Org on 25 January 2025."

Itoh, Hideomi, Seonghan Jang, Kazutaka Takeshita, Tsubasa Ohbayashi, Naomi Ohnishi, Xian-Ying Meng, Yasuo Mitani, and Yoshitomo Kikuchi. 2019. "Host–Symbiont Specificity Determined by Microbe–Microbe Competition in an Insect Gut." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116(45):22673. doi:10.1073/pnas.1912397116.

Jeong, Gilsang, Taehwa Kang, Haechul Park, Jiyoung Choi, Seokjo Hwang, Wontae Kim, Youngcheol Choi, Kyeongyong Lee, Ingyun Park, Hasik Sim, and Jonggill Kim. 2009. "Wolbachia Infection in the Korean Endemic Firefly, Luciola Unmunsana (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology* 12(1):33–36. doi:10.1016/j.aspen.2008.11.001.

Jeyaprakash, A., and M. A. Hoy. 2000. "Long PCR Improves Wolbachia DNA Amplification: Wsp Sequences Found in 76% of Sixty-Three Arthropod Species." *Insect Molecular Biology* 9(4):393–405. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2583.2000.00203.x.

Jones, B. W., and M. K. Nishiguchi. 2004. "Counterillumination in the Hawaiian Bobtail Squid, Euprymna Scolopes Berry (Mollusca: Cephalopoda)." *Marine Biology* 144(6):1151–55. doi:10.1007/s00227-003-1285-3.

Jones, Eric W., Jean M. Carlson, David A. Sivak, and William B. Ludington. 2022. "Stochastic Microbiome Assembly Depends on Context." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119(7):e2115877119. doi:10.1073/pnas.2115877119.

Karasov, William H., and Angela E. Douglas. 2013. "Comparative Digestive Physiology." Pp. 741–83 in *Compr Physiol*. Vol. 3.

Keller, O. 2025. "The Lampyridae of the World Database." https://lampyridae.world/.

Kikuchi, Y., T. Hosokawa, N. Nikoh, X. .. Y. Meng, Y. Kamagata, and T. Fukatsu. 2009. "Host-Symbiont Co-Speciation and Reductive Genome Evolution in Gut Symbiotic Bacteria of Acanthosomatid Stinkbugs." *BMC Biology* 7.

Klindworth, Anna, Elmar Pruesse, Timmy Schweer, Jörg Peplies, Christian Quast, Matthias Horn, and Frank Oliver Glöckner. 2012. "Evaluation of General 16S Ribosomal RNA Gene PCR Primers for Classical and Next-Generation Sequencing-Based Diversity Studies." *Nucleic Acids Research* 41(1):e1–e1. doi:10.1093/nar/gks808.

Koch, Hauke, Dharam P. Abrol, Jilian Li, and Paul Schmid-Hempel. 2013. "Diversity and Evolutionary Patterns of Bacterial Gut Associates of Corbiculate Bees." *Molecular Ecology* 22(7):2028–44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12209.

Kwong, W. K., and N. A. Moran. 2015. "Evolution of Host Specialization in Gut Microbes: The Bee Gut as a Model." *Gut Microbes* 6.

Lahti, Leo, and Sudarshan Shetty. 2017. "Tools for Microbiome Analysis in R Version 1.26.0."

Lenth, Russell V. 2022. "Emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, Aka Least-Squares Means."

Letunic, Ivica, and Peer Bork. 2016. "Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v3: An Online Tool for the Display and Annotation of Phylogenetic and Other Trees." *Nucleic Acids Research* 44(W1):W242–45.

Lewis, Sara M., Lynn Faust, and Raphaël De Cock. 2011. "The Dark Side of the Light Show: Predators of Fireflies in the Great Smoky Mountains" edited by D. E. Wheeler. *Psyche* 2012:634027. doi:10.1155/2012/634027.

Lewis, Sara M., Choong Hay Wong, Avalon C. S. Owens, Candace Fallon, Sarina Jepsen, Anchana Thancharoen, Chiahsiung Wu, Raphael De Cock, Martin Novák, Tania López-Palafox, Veronica Khoo, and J. Michael Reed. 2020. "A Global Perspective on Firefly Extinction Threats." *BioScience* 70(2):157–67. doi:10.1093/biosci/biz157.

Liu, Bing-Qi, Xi-Yu Bao, Jin-Yang Yan, Dan Zhang, Xiang Sun, Chu-Qiao Li, Zhan-Bo Chen, and Jun-Bo Luan. 2024. "*Rickettsia* Symbionts Spread via Mixed Mode Transmission,

Increasing Female Fecundity and Sex Ratio Shift by Host Hormone Modulating." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 121(25):e2406788121. doi:10.1073/pnas.2406788121.

Lloyd, James E. 1965. "Aggressive Mimicry in Photuris: Firefly Femmes Fatales." *Science* 149(Whole No. 3684):653–54. doi:10.1126/science.149.3684.653.

Lloyd, James E. 1969. "Flashes, Behavior and Additional Species of Nearctic Photinus Fireflies (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 23(2):29–40.

Lloyd, James E. 1998. "On Research and Entomological Education II: A Conditional Mating Strategy and Resource-Sustained Lek(?) In a Classroom Firefly (Coleoptera: Lampyridae; Photinus)." *The Florida Entomologist* 81(3):261–72. doi:10.2307/3495917.

Lloyd, James E. 2005. "Fireflies (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." Pp. 841–62 in *Encyclopedia of Entomology*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.

Lloyd, James E. 2018. *A Naturalist's Long Walk among Shadows: Of North American Photuris – Patterns, Outlines, Silhouettes ... Echoes.* Gainsville, FL: Self-published.

Lo, Nathan, Claudio Bandi, Hirofumi Watanabe, Christine Nalepa, and Tiziana Beninati. 2003. "Evidence for Cocladogenesis Between Diverse Dictyopteran Lineages and Their Intracellular Endosymbionts." *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 20(6):907–13. doi:10.1093/molbev/msg097.

Lo, Wen-Sui, Gail E. Gasparich, and Chih-Horng Kuo. 2018. "Convergent Evolution among Ruminant-Pathogenic Mycoplasma Involved Extensive Gene Content Changes." *Genome Biology and Evolution* 10(8):2130–39. doi:10.1093/gbe/evy172.

Lower, Sarah E., Owais Gilani, Madden J. Tuffy, Deeshani N. Patel, Zhengkai Zhu, and Moria C. Chambers. 2023. "Host Condition and Pathogen Identity Influence Bacterial Infection Survival in the Common Eastern Firefly, Photinus Pyralis." *Ecological Entomology* 48(1):90–101. doi:10.1111/een.13204.

Lower, Sarah Sander, J. Spencer Johnston, Kathrin F. Stanger-Hall, Carl E. Hjelmen, Shawn J. Hanrahan, Katharine Korunes, and David Hall. 2017. "Genome Size in North American Fireflies: Substantial Variation Likely Driven by Neutral Processes." *Genome Biology and Evolution* 9(6):1499–1512. doi:10.1093/gbe/evx097.

Lüdecke, Daniel, Mattan S. Ben-Shachar, Indrajeet Patil, Philip Waggoner, and Dominique Makowski. 2021. "Performance: An R Package for Assessment, Comparison and Testing of Statistical Models." *Journal of Open Source Software* 6(60):3139. doi:10.21105/joss.03139.

Mainali, Kumar P., and Eric Slud. 2022. "CooccurrenceAffinity: An R Package for Computing a Novel Metric of Affinity in Co-Occurrence Data That Corrects for Pervasive Errors in Traditional Indices." *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/2022.11.01.514801.

Malard, Lucie A., Muhammad Z. Anwar, Carsten S. Jacobsen, and David A. Pearce. 2019. "Biogeographical Patterns in Soil Bacterial Communities across the Arctic Region." *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 95(9):fiz128. doi:10.1093/femsec/fiz128.

Mallick, Himel, Ali Rahnavard, Lauren J. McIver, Siyuan Ma, Yancong Zhang, Long H. Nguyen, Timothy L. Tickle, George Weingart, Boyu Ren, Emma H. Schwager, Suvo Chatterjee, Kelsey N. Thompson, Jeremy E. Wilkinson, Ayshwarya Subramanian, Yiren Lu, Levi Waldron, Joseph N. Paulson, Eric A. Franzosa, Hector Corrada Bravo, and Curtis Huttenhower. 2021. "Multivariable Association Discovery in Population-Scale Meta-Omics Studies." *PLoS Computational Biology* 17(11):1–27. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009442.

Manthey, Christin, Paul R. Johnston, Shinichi Nakagawa, and Jens Rolff. 2023. "Complete Metamorphosis and Microbiota Turnover in Insects." *Molecular Ecology* 32(23):6543–51. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16673.

Martin, Gavin J., Marc A. Branham, Michael F. Whiting, and Seth M. Bybee. 2017. "Total Evidence Phylogeny and the Evolution of Adult Bioluminescence in Fireflies (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 107:564–75. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2016.12.017.

Martin, Gavin J., Kathrin F. Stanger-Hall, Marc A. Branham, Luiz F. L. Da Silveira, Sarah E. Lower, David W. Hall, Xue-Yan Li, Alan R. Lemmon, Emily Moriarty Lemmon, and Seth M. Bybee. 2019. "Higher-Level Phylogeny and Reclassification of Lampyridae (Coleoptera: Elateroidea)." *Insect Systematics and Diversity* 3(6):11. doi:10.1093/isd/ixz024.

Martin, Marcel. 2011. "Cutadapt Removes Adapter Sequences from High-Throughput Sequencing Reads." *EMBnet.Journal* 17(1):10–12.

Martin, Owen, Chantal Nguyen, Raphael Sarfati, Murad Chowdhury, Michael L. Iuzzolino, Dieu My T. Nguyen, Ryan M. Layer, and Orit Peleg. 2024. "Embracing Firefly Flash Pattern Variability with Data-Driven Species Classification." *Scientific Reports* 14(1):3432. doi:10.1038/s41598-024-53671-3.

Martinez, Arbizu, P. 2020. "pairwiseAdonis: Pairwise Multilevel Comparison Using Adonis. R Package Version 0.4.1."

Martiny, Jennifer B. Hughes, Brendan J. M. Bohannan, James H. Brown, Robert K. Colwell, Jed A. Fuhrman, Jessica L. Green, M. Claire Horner-Devine, Matthew Kane, Jennifer Adams Krumins, Cheryl R. Kuske, Peter J. Morin, Shahid Naeem, Lise Øvreås, Anna-Louise Reysenbach, Val H. Smith, and James T. Staley. 2006. "Microbial Biogeography: Putting Microorganisms on the Map." *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 4(2):102–12. doi:10.1038/nrmicro1341.

Mazel, Florent, Katherine M. Davis, Andrew Loudon, Waldan K. Kwong, Mathieu Groussin, and Laura Wegener Parfrey. 2018. "Is Host Filtering the Main Driver of Phylosymbiosis

across the Tree of Life?" *mSystems* 3(5):10.1128/msystems.00097-18. doi:10.1128/msystems.00097-18.

McCutcheon, John P., Bradon R. McDonald, and Nancy A. Moran. 2009. "Convergent Evolution of Metabolic Roles in Bacterial Co-Symbionts of Insects." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106(36):15394. doi:10.1073/pnas.0906424106.

McDaniel, Melissa S., Natalie R. Lindgren, Caitlin E. Billiot, Kristina N. Valladares, Nicholas A. Sumpter, and W. Edward Swords. 2023. "Pseudomonas Aeruginosa Promotes Persistence of Stenotrophomonas Maltophilia via Increased Adherence to Depolarized Respiratory Epithelium." *Microbiology Spectrum* 11(1):e03846-22. doi:10.1128/spectrum.03846-22.

McDaniel, Melissa S., Trenton Schoeb, and W. Edward Swords. 2020. "Cooperativity between Stenotrophomonas Maltophilia and Pseudomonas Aeruginosa during Polymicrobial Airway Infections." *Infection and Immunity* 88(4):10.1128/iai.00855-19. doi:10.1128/iai.00855-19.

McDermott, Frank A. 1967. "The North American Fireflies of the Genus Photuris Dejean a Modification of Barber's Key (Coleoptera; Lampyridae)." *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 21(4):106–16.

McFall-Ngai, Margaret, Michael G. Hadfield, Thomas C. G. Bosch, Hannah V. Carey, Tomislav Domazet-Lošo, Angela E. Douglas, Nicole Dubilier, Gerard Eberl, Tadashi Fukami, Scott F. Gilbert, Ute Hentschel, Nicole King, Staffan Kjelleberg, Andrew H. Knoll, Natacha Kremer, Sarkis K. Mazmanian, Jessica L. Metcalf, Kenneth Nealson, Naomi E. Pierce, John F. Rawls, Ann Reid, Edward G. Ruby, Mary Rumpho, Jon G. Sanders, Diethard Tautz, and Jennifer J. Wernegreen. 2013. "Animals in a Bacterial World, a New Imperative for the Life Sciences." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110(9):3229–36. doi:10.1073/pnas.1218525110.

McKenna, Duane D., Seunggwan Shin, Dirk Ahrens, Michael Balke, Cristian Beza-Beza, Dave J. Clarke, Alexander Donath, Hermes E. Escalona, Frank Friedrich, Harald Letsch, Shanlin Liu, David Maddison, Christoph Mayer, Bernhard Misof, Peyton J. Murin, Oliver Niehuis, Ralph S. Peters, Lars Podsiadlowski, Hans Pohl, Erin D. Scully, Evgeny V. Yan, Xin Zhou, Adam Ślipiński, and Rolf G. Beutel. 2019. "The Evolution and Genomic Basis of Beetle Diversity." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116(49):24729. doi:10.1073/pnas.1909655116.

McLaren, M. 2020. "Speedyseq: Faster Implementations of Common Phyloseq Functions. R Package Version 0.5.3.9021." https://github.com/mikemc/speedyseq.

McLean, Miriam, John Buck, and Frank E. Hanson. 1972. "Culture and Larval Behavior of Photurid Fireflies." *The American Midland Naturalist* 87(1):133–45. doi:10.2307/2423887.

McMurdie, Paul J., and Susan Holmes. 2013. "Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data." *PLOS ONE* 8(4):e61217. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061217.

McMurdie, Paul J., and Susan Holmes. 2014. "Waste Not, Want Not: Why Rarefying Microbiome Data Is Inadmissible." *PLOS Computational Biology* 10(4):e1003531. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003531.

Meadow, James F., Ashley C. Bateman, Keith M. Herkert, Timothy K. O'Connor, and Jessica L. Green. 2013. "Significant Changes in the Skin Microbiome Mediated by the Sport of Roller Derby." *PeerJ* 1:e53. doi:10.7717/peerj.53.

Michalik, Anna, and Teresa Szklarzewicz. 2025. "Together Forever: Patterns and Strategies of Vertical Symbiont Transmission in Planthoppers." *Developmental Biology* 525:319–30. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2025.06.020.

Miller, Mark A., Wayne Pfeiffer, and Terri Schwartz. 2010. "Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for Inference of Large Phylogenetic Trees." Pp. 1–8 in. IEEE.

Moran, Nancy A., and Helen E. Dunbar. 2006. "Sexual Acquisition of Beneficial Symbionts in Aphids." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 103(34):12803–6. doi:10.1073/pnas.0605772103.

Ning, Daliang, Ye Deng, James M. Tiedje, and Jizhong Zhou. 2019. "A General Framework for Quantitatively Assessing Ecological Stochasticity." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116(34):16892–98. doi:10.1073/pnas.1904623116.

Oba, Y., K. Konishi, D. Yano, H. Shibata, D. Kato, and T. Shirai. 2020. "Resurrecting the Ancient Glow of the Fireflies." *Science Advances* 6(49):eabc5705. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abc5705.

Ohbayashi, Tsubasa, Kazutaka Takeshita, Wataru Kitagawa, Naruo Nikoh, Ryuichi Koga, Xian-Ying Meng, Kanako Tago, Tomoyuki Hori, Masahito Hayatsu, Kozo Asano, Yoichi Kamagata, Bok Luel Lee, Takema Fukatsu, and Yoshitomo Kikuchi. 2015. "Insect's Intestinal Organ for Symbiont Sorting." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112(37):E5179–88. doi:10.1073/pnas.1511454112.

Oksanen, Jari, F. Guillaume Blanchet, Michael Friendly, Roeland Kindt, Pierre Legendre, Dan McGlinn, Peter R. Minchin, RB O'hara, Gavin L. Simpson, and Peter Solymos. 2016. "Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R Package Version 2.4-3." *Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing*.

Oliphant, Kaitlyn, Valeria R. Parreira, Kyla Cochrane, and Emma Allen-Vercoe. 2019. "Drivers of Human Gut Microbial Community Assembly: Coadaptation, Determinism and Stochasticity." *The ISME Journal* 13(12):3080–92. doi:10.1038/s41396-019-0498-5.

Othman, Nurul, Nur Abdullah, Roslim Ramli, Nur Khairunnisa Salleh, and Norela Sulaiman. 2018. "Mouthpart and Digestive Tract Morphology of the Synchronized Firefly, Pteroptyx Tener (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *Serangga* 23(2):170–82.

Parada, Alma E., David M. Needham, and Jed A. Fuhrman. 2016. "Every Base Matters: Assessing Small Subunit rRNA Primers for Marine Microbiomes with Mock Communities, Time Series and Global Field Samples." *Environmental Microbiology* 18(5):1403–14. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13023.

Paradis, E., J. Claude, and K. Strimmer. 2004. "APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R Language." *Bioinformatics* 20.

Pebesma, Edzer. 2018. "Simple Features for R: Standardized Support for Spatial Vector Data." *The R Journal* 10(1):439–46. doi:10.32614/RJ-2018-009.

Perlmutter, Jessamyn I., and Seth R. Bordenstein. 2020. "Microorganisms in the Reproductive Tissues of Arthropods." *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 18(2):97–111. doi:10.1038/s41579-019-0309-z.

Perreau, Julie, and Nancy A. Moran. 2022. "Genetic Innovations in Animal–Microbe Symbioses." *Nature Reviews Genetics* 23(1):23–39. doi:10.1038/s41576-021-00395-z.

Pinheiro, José, Douglas Bates, and R Core Team. 2024. *Nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models*.

Poulain, Marius, Elodie Rosinski, Hélène Henri, Séverine Balmand, Marie-Laure Delignette-Muller, Abdelaziz Heddi, Romain Lasseur, Fabrice Vavre, Anna Zaidman-Rémy, and Natacha Kremer. 2024. "Development, Feeding, and Sex Shape the Relative Quantity of the Nutritional Obligatory Symbiont Wolbachia in Bed Bugs." *Frontiers in Microbiology* 15. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2024.1386458.

Powell, Gareth S., Natalie A. Saxton, Yelena M. Pacheco, Kathrin F. Stanger-Hall, Gavin J. Martin, Dominik Kusy, Luiz Felipe Lima Da Silveira, Ladislav Bocak, Marc A. Branham, and Seth M. Bybee. 2022. "Beetle Bioluminescence Outshines Extant Aerial Predators." *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 289(1979):20220821. doi:10.1098/rspb.2022.0821.

Quast, Christian, Elmar Pruesse, Pelin Yilmaz, Jan Gerken, Timmy Schweer, Pablo Yarza, Jörg Peplies, and Frank Oliver Glöckner. 2013. "The SILVA Ribosomal RNA Gene Database Project: Improved Data Processing and Web-Based Tools." *Nucleic Acids Research* 41(D1):D590–96. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1219.

R Core Team. 2024. "R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing."

Ravenscraft, Alison, Suzanne Kelly, David Haviland, Johnathan Adamson, and Molly Hunter. 2024. "Spatial and Temporal Structure of Environmentally-Acquired Caballeronia Symbionts of a Leaffooted Bug." *Authorea*. doi:10.22541/au.172518956.62905743/v1.

Rennstam Rubbmark, Oskar, Daniela Sint, Nina Horngacher, and Michael Traugott. 2018. "A Broadly Applicable COI Primer Pair and an Efficient Single-Tube Amplicon Library Preparation Protocol for Metabarcoding." *Ecology and Evolution* 8(24):12335–50. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4520.

Riley, William B., Simone Policena Rosa, and Luiz Felipe Lima da Silveira. 2021. "A Comprehensive Review and Call for Studies on Firefly Larvae." *PeerJ* 9:e12121. doi:10.7717/peerj.12121.

Rooney, Jennifer A., and Sara M. Lewis. 2000. "Notes on the Life History and Mating Behavior of Ellychnia Corrusca (Coloeptera: Lampyridae)." *The Florida Entomologist* 83(3):324–34. doi:10.2307/3496351.

Russell, Jacob A., Stephanie Weldon, Andrew H. Smith, Kyungsun L. Kim, Yi Hu, Piotr Łukasik, Steven Doll, Ioannis Anastopoulos, Matthew Novin, and Kerry M. Oliver. 2013. "Uncovering Symbiont-Driven Genetic Diversity across North American Pea Aphids." *Molecular Ecology* 22(7):2045–59. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12211.

Sacchi, L., C. A. Nalepa, M. Lenz, C. Bandi, S. Corona, A. Grigolo, and E. Bigliardi. 2000. "Transovarial Transmission of Symbiotic Bacteria in Mastotermes Darwiniensis (Isoptera: Mastotermitidae): Ultrastructural Aspects and Phylogenetic Implications." *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 93(6):1308–13. doi:10.1603/0013-8746(2000)093[1308:TTOSBI]2.0.CO;2.

Sachs, Joel L., Ulrich G. Mueller, Thomas P. Wilcox, and James J. Bull. 2004. "The Evolution of Cooperation." *The Quarterly Review of Biology* 79(2):135–60. doi:10.1086/383541.

Sander, S. E., and D. W. Hall. 2015. "Variation in Opsin Genes Correlates with Signalling Ecology in North American Fireflies." *Molecular Ecology* 24(18):4679–96. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13346.

Schloss, Patrick D. 2023. "Waste Not, Want Not: Revisiting the Analysis That Called into Question the Practice of Rarefaction." *mSphere* 9(1):e00355-23. doi:10.1128/msphere.00355-23.

Schloss, Patrick D. 2024. "Rarefaction Is Currently the Best Approach to Control for Uneven Sequencing Effort in Amplicon Sequence Analyses." *mSphere* 9(2):e00354-23. doi:10.1128/msphere.00354-23.

Searle, S. R., F. M. Speed, and G. A. Milliken. 1980. "Population Marginal Means in the Linear Model: An Alternative to Least Squares Means." *The American Statistician* 34(4):216–21. doi:10.1080/00031305.1980.10483031.

Sievers, F., A. Wilm, D. Dineen, T. J. Gibson, K. Karplus, W. Li, R. Lopez, H. McWilliam, M. Remmert, and J. Soding. 2011. "Fast, Scalable Generation of High-Quality Protein Multiple Sequence Alignments Using Clustal Omega." *Mol Syst Biol* 7.

Simon, Chris, Francesco Frati, Andrew Beckenbach, Bernie Crespi, Hong Liu, and Paul Flook. 1994. "Evolution, Weighting, and Phylogenetic Utility of Mitochondrial Gene Sequences and a Compilation of Conserved Polymerase Chain Reaction Primers." *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 87(6):651–701. doi:10.1093/aesa/87.6.651.

Sloan, William T., Mary Lunn, Stephen Woodcock, Ian M. Head, Sean Nee, and Thomas P. Curtis. 2006. "Quantifying the Roles of Immigration and Chance in Shaping Prokaryote Community Structure." *Environmental Microbiology* 8(4):732–40. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00956.x.

Smedley, Scott R., Riley G. Risteen, Kathareeya K. Tonyai, Julia C. Pitino, Yunming Hu, Zenab B. Ahmed, Brian T. Christofel, Maha Gaber, Nicole R. Howells, Connor F. Mosey, Faizan U. Rahim, and Stephen T. Deyrup. 2017. "Bufadienolides (Lucibufagins) from an Ecologically Aberrant Firefly (Ellychnia Corrusca)." *Chemoecology* 27(4):141–53. doi:10.1007/s00049-017-0240-6.

Smith, Andrew H., Michael P. O'Connor, Brooke Deal, Coleman Kotzer, Amanda Lee, Barrett Wagner, Jonah Joffe, Stephen Woloszynek, Kerry M. Oliver, and Jacob A. Russell. 2021. "Does Getting Defensive Get You Anywhere?—Seasonal Balancing Selection, Temperature, and Parasitoids Shape Real-World, Protective Endosymbiont Dynamics in the Pea Aphid." *Molecular Ecology* 30(10):2449–72. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15906.

Stamatakis, Alexandros. 2014. "RAxML Version 8: A Tool for Phylogenetic Analysis and Post-Analysis of Large Phylogenies." *Bioinformatics* 30(9):1312–13.

Stanger-Hall, Kathrin F., and James E. Lloyd. 2015. "Flash Signal Evolution in Photinus Fireflies: Character Displacement and Signal Exploitation in a Visual Communication System." *Evolution* 69(3):666–82. doi:10.1111/evo.12606.

Stanger-Hall, Kathrin F., James E. Lloyd, and David M. Hillis. 2007. "Phylogeny of North American Fireflies (Coleoptera: Lampyridae): Implications for the Evolution of Light Signals." *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 45(1):33–49. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.05.013.

Stevens, Clauzell, Ah Yin Tang, Edward Jenkins, Rickey L. Goins, Joseph G. Tully, David L. Rose, Meghnad Konai, David L. Williamson, Patricia Carle, Joseph M. Bové, Kevin J. Hackett, Frank E. French, Jimmy Wedincamp, Roberta B. Henegar, and Robert F. Whitcomb. 1997. "Spiroplasma Lampyridicola Sp. Nov., from the Firefly Beetle Photuris Pennsylvanicus." *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 47(3):709–12.

Sudakaran, Sailendharan, Christian Kost, and Martin Kaltenpoth. 2017. "Symbiont Acquisition and Replacement as a Source of Ecological Innovation." *Trends in Microbiology* 25(5):375–90. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2017.02.014.

Torsvik, V., J. Goksøyr, and F. L. Daae. 1990. "High Diversity in DNA of Soil Bacteria." *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 56(3):782–87. doi:10.1128/aem.56.3.782-787.1990.

Tully, J. G., D. L. Rose, C. E. Yunker, P. Carle, J. M. Bové, D. L. Williamson, and R. F. Whitcomb. 1995. "Spiroplasma Ixodetis Sp. Nov., a New Species from Ixodes Pacificus Ticks Collected in Oregon." *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* 45(1):23–28. doi:10.1099/00207713-45-1-23.

Tully, JOSEPH G., DAVID L. Rose, KEVIN J. Hackett, ROBERT F. Whitcomb, PATRICIA Carle, JOSEPH M. Bové, DAVID E. Colflesh, and DAVID L. Williamson. 1989. "Mycoplasma Ellychniae Sp. Nov., a Sterol-Requiring Mollicute from the Firefly Beetle Ellychnia Corrusca." *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 39(3):284–89.

Tully, JOSEPH G., ROBERT F. Whitcomb, KEVIN J. Hackett, DAVID L. Rose, ROBERTA B. Henegar, JOSEPH M. Bové, PATRICIA Carle, DAVID L. Williamson, and TRUMAN B. Clark. 1994. "Taxonomic Descriptions of Eight New Non-Sterol-Requiring Mollicutes Assigned to the Genus Mesoplasma." *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 44(4):685–93.

Tully, JOSEPH G., ROBERT F. Whitcomb, KEVIN J. Hackett, DAVID L. Williamson, FREDERIC Laigret, PATRICIA Carle, JOSEPH M. Bové, ROBERTA B. Henegar, NICOLE M. Ellis, DEBORAH E. Dodge, and JEAN Adams. 1998. "Entomoplasma Freundtii Sp. Nov., a New Species from a Green Tiger Beetle (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae)." *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 48(4):1197–1204.

Vaz, Stephanie, Maria Cecília Guerrazzi, Marizete Rocha, Lynn Faust, Gabriel Khattar, José Mermudes, and Luiz Felipe Lima da Silveira. 2021. "On the Intertidal Firefly Genus Micronaspis Green, 1948, with a New Species and a Phylogeny of Cratomorphini Based on Adult and Larval Traits (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *Zoologischer Anzeiger* 292:64–91. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2021.01.002.

Vaz, Stephanie, Luiz Felipe Lima da Silveira, and Simone Policena Rosa. 2020. "Morphology and Life Cycle of a New Species of Psilocladus Blanchard, 1846 (Coleoptera, Lampyridae, Psilocladinae), the First Known Bromeliad-Inhabiting Firefly." *Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia* 60(special):e202060(s.i.).24. doi:10.11606/1807-0205/2020.60.special-issue.24.

Vergara, Aldair, Yara Maquitico, and Carlos Cordero. 2025. "Interspecific Courtship Between Two Endemic Fireflies." *Diversity* 17(3). doi:10.3390/d17030188.

Waites, Ken B., and Deborah F. Talkington. 2004. "*Mycoplasma Pneumoniae* and Its Role as a Human Pathogen." *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 17(4):697–728. doi:10.1128/cmr.17.4.697-728.2004.

Wang, Qiong, George M. Garrity, James M. Tiedje, and James R. Cole. 2007. "Naïve Bayesian Classifier for Rapid Assignment of rRNA Sequences into the New Bacterial Taxonomy." *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 73(16):5261–67. doi:10.1128/AEM.00062-07.

Wedincamp, Jr., Jim, Frank E. French, Robert F. Whitcomb, and Roberta B. Henegar. 1996. "Spiroplasmas and Entomoplasmas (Procaryotae:Mollicutes) Associated with Tabanids (Diptera:Tabanidae) and Fireflies (Coleoptera:Lampyridae)." *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 68(2):183–86. doi:10.1006/jipa.1996.0080.

Weiss, Sophie, Zhenjiang Zech Xu, Shyamal Peddada, Amnon Amir, Kyle Bittinger, Antonio Gonzalez, Catherine Lozupone, Jesse R. Zaneveld, Yoshiki Vázquez-Baeza, Amanda Birmingham, Embriette R. Hyde, and Rob Knight. 2017. "Normalization and Microbial Differential Abundance Strategies Depend upon Data Characteristics." *Microbiome* 5(1):27. doi:10.1186/s40168-017-0237-y.

Werren, J. H., L. Baldo, and M. E. Clark. 2008. "Wolbachia: Master Manipulators of Invertebrate Biology." *Nature Reviews: Microbiology* 6(10):741–51.

Williamson, DAVID L., JOSEPH G. Tully, DAVID L. Rose, KEVIN J. Hackett, ROBERTA Henegar, PATRICIA Carle, JOSEPH M. Bové, DAVID E. Colflesh, and ROBERT F. Whitcomb. 1990. "Mycoplasma Somnilux Sp. Nov., Mycoplasma Luminosum Sp. Nov., and Mycoplasma Lucivorax Sp. Nov., New Sterol-Requiring Mollicutes from Firefly Beetles (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 40(2):160–64.

Wing, Steven R. 1984. "Female Monogamy and Male Competition in Photinus Collustrans (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *Psyche: A Journal of Entomology* 91(1–2):061796. doi:https://doi.org/10.1155/1984/61796.

Wing, Steven R. 1989. "Energetic Costs of Mating in a Flightless Female Firefly,Photinus Collustrans (Coleoptera: Lampyridae)." *Journal of Insect Behavior* 2(6):841–47. doi:10.1007/BF01049405.

Yan, Xiao-Hua, Shen-Chian Pei, Hsi-Ching Yen, Alain Blanchard, Pascal Sirand-Pugnet, Vincent Baby, Gail E. Gasparich, and Chih-Horng Kuo. 2024. "Delineating Bacterial Genera Based on Gene Content Analysis: A Case Study of the Mycoplasmatales–Entomoplasmatales Clade within the Class Mollicutes." *Microbial Genomics* 10(11).

Yang, Lin-Yu, Da-Rui Tang, Fu-Xin Li, Shi-Qi Luo, Cheng-Quan Cao, and Qi-Lin Zhang. 2024. "Larval Feeding Habits of Five Firefly Species Across Aquatic, Semi-Aquatic, and Terrestrial Lineages." *Insects* 15(12). doi:10.3390/insects15121004.

Zaragoza-Caballero, Santiago, Martín Leonel Zurita-García, and Andrés Ramírez-Ponce. 2023. "The on–off Pattern in the Evolution of the Presence of Bioluminescence in a Derived Lineage from Fireflies of Mexico (Coleoptera, Lampyridae)." *Zoologischer Anzeiger* 302:266–83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2022.12.009.

Zélé, Flore, Sara Magalhães, Sonia Kéfi, and Alison B. Duncan. 2018. "Ecology and Evolution of Facilitation among Symbionts." *Nature Communications* 9(1):4869. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06779-w.

Zhang, Wenzhe, Jiali Wang, Zhi Huang, Xiaohua He, and Cong Wei. 2023. "Symbionts in *Hodgkinia*-Free Cicadas and Their Implications for Co-Evolution between

Endosymbionts and Host Insects." *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*
89(12):e01373-23. doi:10.1128/aem.01373-23.

Zhao, Zi-Shun, Lin-Yu Yang, Fu-Xin Li, Wei Cun, Xing-Yan Wang, Cheng-Quan Cao, and Qi-
Lin Zhang. 2023. "Gut Flora Alterations among Aquatic Firefly Aquatica Leii Inhabiting
Various Dissolved Oxygen in Fresh Water." *iScience* 26(10):107809.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2023.107809.

Zheng, Bangxiao, Lantian Su, Nan Hui, Ari Jumpponen, D. Johan Kotze, Changyi Lu, Richard
Pouyat, Katalin Szlavecz, David A. Wardle, Ian Yesilonis, and Heikki Setälä. 2024.
"Urbanisation Shapes Microbial Community Composition and Functional Attributes
More so than Vegetation Type in Urban Greenspaces across Climatic Zones." *Soil*
Biology and Biochemistry 191:109352. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2024.109352.

Zhou, Jizhong, and Daliang Ning. 2017. "Stochastic Community Assembly: Does It Matter in
Microbial Ecology?" *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*
81(4):10.1128/mmbr.00002-17. doi:10.1128/mmbr.00002-17.

1694

Figure 1: Sampling overview and firefly microbiome abundance and diversity across species. **A**. Species sampled and analyzed in the present study. The phylogenetic tree is adapted from Catalán et al. (2022) and Martin et al. (2019). Numbers at each node indicate estimated divergence time from Catalán et al. (2025), Höhna et al. (2024), and Powell (2022) in millions of years. The cartoons next to the tree branches are simplified representations of the typical markings visible on the pronotum of different firefly species. Symbols next to these pronotal depictions correspond to the most common male flash patterns for the species or species group, with the frequency of the flashes for *Photuris* species, and whether a firefly is bioluminescent (colored flash) or not (crossed out). The next symbols correspond to adult diets, with "ø" for species that are not known to eat as adults, other fireflies for predatory diet, and a yellow droplet for sap and nectar drinkers. The number of specimens collected and processed is shown next to species' names, with "F" for females, "L" for larvae, "M" for males, and "P" for pupae. **B**. Map of the central and eastern United States and Northeastern states showing the location of sampling sites. While a few *Pn. pyralis* specimens were collected in Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia, most fireflies were collected in Northeastern states (blue color). The yellow rectangles give the number ("#") of species (width) and specimens (height) collected in the region and the cartoons of firefly pronotum indicate which species were collected, corresponding to the cartoons from panel A. Specimen information can be found in Table S1. **C**. Normalized stochasticity ratio (NST) across firefly species. NST index values higher than 0.5 indicate a higher importance of stochastic forces governing bacterial community assembly, whereas values lower than 0.5 point to deterministic forces as the main drivers of community assembly. For each firefly species or species group the balls correspond to the index value and the error bars are 95% confidence intervals obtained from bootstrapping. Dark grey color is for the complete, untouched microbiome, dark blue corresponds to microbiomes where all the mollicutes were removed from the dataset, orange is for microbiomes where putative endosymbionts (*Candidatus* Hepatincola, *Rickettsia*, *Rickettsiella*, and *Wolbachia*) were removed, and light blue corresponds to microbiome datasets where both mollicutes and endosymbionts were removed. The asterisks depict, for each species, significantly different NST values between the complete microbiome and either of the modified microbiome datasets. Two-tailed Wilcoxon signed-rank statistical tests were used to test the significance of these differences. Asterisks in light grey are for tests run on datasets where outlier data points (i.e., values higher or lower than 1.5-fold of the interquartile range) were removed. Values and statistical test results can be found in Table S6. **D**. Normalized absolute

bacterial abundance across all firefly and related insect samples. Values are expressed as the $\log_{10}$ of the number of 16S rRNA gene copies in a sample, measured through qPCR, minus the number of 16S rRNA gene copy in the DNA extraction blank sample from the same batch, and then divided by the total DNA concentration in the sample, which was measured via Qubit. Least-square means (emmeans) post-hoc tests on generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs), were used for statistical testing of differences between genera and species, and controlled for sex, day of year, month of capture, time of capture, longitude at capture, local sampling point, and whether the specimen was dissected or not. Lowercase English letters indicate the significance of the difference across firefly species. Letters for species that are not significantly different from any other species (i.e., $P >$ 0.05: "abcd") were removed. Balls and error bars correspond to predicted average and 95% confidence intervals obtained from the GLMM. Dots represent raw data points. **E**. Bacterial richness, expressed as the number of bacterial ASVs, across firefly species. The *Photinus* microbiome contains, on average, a higher bacterial strain richness than that of *Photuris* (emmeans post-hoc tests on GLMMs, controlling for genus, sex, local sampling point, day of year, year of capture, and sequencing type). See description of panel D for details on graph components. All alpha diversity metrics can be found in Table S7 and Fig. S6.

Figure 2: Microbiome divergence across fireflies at different taxonomic levels. **A**, **B** and **C**. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analyses showing separation of the bacterial communities based on composition and relative abundance at the firefly subfamily, genus, and species levels. Four outgroup specimens were removed from these analyses. **D**, **E**, and **F**. NMDS analyses showing variation in the microbiome across species within three firefly genera. All plots are derived from Bray-Curtis distances calculated on non-rarefied, proportional (i.e., compositional) relative abundance of taxon-aggregated bacteria in firefly specimens. Permutational multivariate analyses of variance (PERMANOVAs) were used for statistical testing of group similarities. Nested PERMANOVAs were used for B and C, with genus nested within subfamily and species nested within genus within subfamily, respectively. In panels D and F, the species term was the main predictor in the PERMANOVA models. PERMANOVAs were computed on read depths rarefied at 30,000 reads, except in E where it was computed on non-rarefied data to be able to compare the two *Pyractomena* species (*Pyractomena* sp. near *sinuata* specimens are filtered out by rarefying) or three developmental stages.

Figure 3: Phylogenetic strain diversity of the *Mollicutes* associated with fireflies. The tree was obtained from a maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis on the 16S rRNA gene, rooted with a *Bacillus cereus* sequence (NR_074540.1), and contains 230 leaves of which 88 are 16S rRNA amplicon sequences from the

present study, four are amplicon sequences from another firefly microbiome study (Green et al. 2021), and four are amplicon sequences from another study on insect-associated mollicutes (Funaro et al. 2011). All the other leaves are full length 16S rRNA gene sequences and were obtained either through NCBI searches to acquire reference sequences of mollicute species or through NCBI BLASTn runs to find the most similar sequences to our amplicon sequences. Red branches contain bacterial ASVs sampled from fireflies (from the present and previous studies), only focusing on our 1,500 most abundant ASVs (SV identifier number lower than 1,501). ASV labels in bold are among the most abundant in our dataset (SV identifier number lower than 151). Gray triangles correspond to collapsed monophyletic groups containing more than two non-firefly associated leaves. Bootstrap values above 70 are depicted with a pink dot on the tree nodes. Genus and species names are from Gupta et al. (2018, 2019). A detailed version of the tree with uncollapsed leaf labels is shown in Fig. S3. Bargraphs on the right represent ASV average relative abundance ("Rel. Abund.") when present (dark gray), average absolute abundance ("Abs. Abund.") when present (lighter grey), and prevalence ("Prev.") both across all specimens in our dataset (gold) and within specific firefly groups (blue). Only specimens' whole body was considered for these calculations.

Figure 4: Bacterial composition and differential abundance. **A**. Composition of bacterial taxa across firefly species and species groups with more than three specimens in our dataset post rarefying. Each vertical bar (x-axis) represents one firefly specimen. The relative rarefied abundance (y-axis) of the most abundant mollicutes and putative endosymbionts is shown as different colors whereas the abundance of all other bacteria is in light grey and can be seen in Fig. S8. **B**. Differential bacterial abundance at multiple taxonomic levels across adult fireflies. Only firefly species with more than one specimen in our dataset, post rarefying, and only bacterial taxa with rarefied abundance > 20,000 reads in one or more samples were used in this analysis. Moving outwards from the center, light gray rings show phylum, class, order, family, and genus (sensu SILVA database); bacterial "taxa" are found on the outermost ring of the tree. The size of the balls depicts prevalence of a clade across samples (proportion of firefly specimens in which it was detected). Results from three statistical tests comparing bacterial abundances in *Lampyrinae vs. Photurinae* subfamilies are displayed. Following the replacement of zeros by half of the minimum value (to reduce zero-inflation and improve model fitting) and

log$_2$ transformation, a linear model ("LM") was run on all bacteria at every taxonomic rank using the *microViz* R package. White circles and crosses on balls indicate significantly differential abundance with unadjusted and false discovery rate ("FDR")-adjusted, respectively, at $P < 0.05$. Estimates of bacterial differential abundance from this LM are displayed with shades of brown (darker: higher abundance in *Photurinae*) and sea green color (darker: higher abundance in *Lampyrinae*). At both the bacterial genus and taxon levels, differential abundance was additionally tested through *MaAsLin2* multiple generalized linear mixed models ("GLMM") with negative binomial ("Neg. Bin.") distribution and controlling for date of capture, geographic origin, and sex. Pink crosses show the bacterial taxa that were found to be significantly differentially abundant at FDR-adjusted $P < 0.001$.

Figure 5: Regional differences in bacterial composition. Bacterial composition, with a focus on the most differentially abundant bacterial taxa across regions. Firefly species are grouped by microbiome similarity, with *Photinus corruscus* in **A**, *Pn. pyralis*, *Pn. marginellus* group, and *Pn. scintillans* in **B**, and *Photuris hebes* and unidentified species from the *Pr. versicolor* group in **C**. Bacterial taxon composition and abundance per species per region was obtained from rarefied read depth. The number of specimens is given within each bar plot. In all panels, "CT": Connecticut, "PA": Pennsylvania, "MA": Massachusetts, "NJ": New Jersey, "NY": New York, "TN": Tennessee, "TX": Texas, "VA": Virginia, and "VT": Vermont US states. A full list of differentially abundant bacterial taxa across regions can be found in Table S9.

Figure 6: Seasonal, environmental, and life history factors influence the microbiome of fireflies. **A**. Normalized absolute bacterial abundance in *Photinus corruscus* peaks at the end of spring. A generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) was used to test whether bacterial titer is influenced by day of year, used as a quadratic term, in *Pn. corruscus*, when controlling for sex, latitude, year of capture, and whether the specimen was dissected or not. Change in bacterial titers over the months for this species was also tested with least-square means (emmeans) post hoc test on a GLMM controlling for the same factors. Significant differences between February, April, May, June, and November are shown with lowercase English letters. **B**. Abundance of *Entomoplasma corruscae* in *Pn. corruscus* also peaks at the end of spring. *En. corruscae* abundance is expressed as the $\log_{10}$ of rarefied read depth. A GLMM was used to test whether *En. corruscae* abundance is influenced by day of year, used as a quadratic term, in *Pn. corruscus*, when controlling for sex, region of capture, year of capture, and specimen identifier. Lowercase English letters indicate significant differences between months, as measured in the previous panel. **C**. Reproductive activity in *Pn. corruscus* also peaks in the spring. The bars depict the number of mating pairs observed per week on iNaturalist for this species in Connecticut, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, and Vermont, in 2021, 2022, and 2023. The photograph of a type 1 copulation event (Wing, 1984) was taken in New York state in 2021 by Benoît Béchade. **D**. For unidentified species from the *Photuris versicolor* group, the highest bacterial densities are found in specimens from the least urbanized habitats. A GLMM was used to test whether bacterial titer is influenced by habitat type in these species, when controlling for sex, latitude, year and time of capture, day of year, specimen identifier, and whether the specimen was dissected or not. Lowercase English letters indicate significant differences across habitat types. **E**. Bacterial absolute abundance is higher in females than in males of *Pn. pyralis* and *Pn. scintillans*. A GLMM was used to test whether bacterial titer is different between females and males in firefly species (i.e., through the interaction term sex:species), when controlling for the factors as in the previous panel. **F**. Firefly juveniles harbor a higher bacterial diversity than adults, but the two life stages do not differ in their absolute bacterial abundance. GLMMs were used to test whether bacterial titer, bacterial richness, and the bacterial Shannon diversity index are different between juveniles (including larvae and pupae) and adults, using firefly genus as a covariate and controlling for region, day of year, and year of capture. For panels A, D, E, and F, bacterial titer is expressed as the $\log_{10}$ of the number of 16S rRNA gene copies in a sample, measured through qPCR, minus the number of 16S rRNA gene copy in the DNA extraction blank sample from the same batch, and then divided by the total DNA concentration in the sample, which was measured via Qubit. For all panels except C, predicted data (curve for A and B and balls for D, E, and F) with 95% confidence interval (grey shade for A, B, and C, and error bars for D, E, and F) were obtained from GLMMs.

Figure 7: Microbiome changes across tissues in three firefly species. A, **B**, and **E**. Bacterial community composition and abundance across the carcass, gut, reproductive organs ("Reprod. Org."), and lantern in *Photinus corruscus*, *Pn. pyralis*, and unidentified species from the *Photuris versicolor* group. Blue shaded heatmaps show the relative abundance of the most abundant bacterial taxa for each tissue per specimen. The abundance was calculated from non-rarefied, proportional (i.e., compositional) transformations of read depth relative abundance. Bacterial taxa with bold fonts are significantly differentially abundant between at least two tissues in the species, as tested through *MaAsLin2* multiple generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs), using the cumulative sum scaling normalization method, controlling for geographic location and date of sampling, and using the FDR-adjusted $P < 0.05$ as significance threshold. All the differentially abundant bacterial taxa across tissues can be found in our Table S11. Red shaded heatmaps show non-normalized absolute bacterial abundance, expressed as the $\log_{10}$ of the number of 16S rRNA gene copies in a sample, measured through qPCR, minus the number of 16S rRNA gene copy in the dissection blank sample, minus the number of 16S rRNA gene copy in the DNA extraction blank sample from the same batch. A linear mixed model (LMM) was used to compare bacterial titer across tissues in these species, by using the species:tissue interaction term as the fixed effect, controlling for sex, latitude, day of year, year of capture, and temperature. For each firefly species, lowercase English letters indicate significant difference in absolute bacterial titers across tissues, as obtained from emmeans post-hoc tests on the LMM. **C** and **D**. Total absolute bacterial abundance and relative abundance of the six most abundant bacteria in separated gut compartments and reproductive organs of two *Pn. corruscus* female specimens. "MTs": Malpighian tubules and "SDG": spermatophore-digesting gland. More detailed heatmaps and dissection pictures can be found in Figs. S22–S24, and dissection information can be found in Table S2.