Outer Membrane Vesicles Secreted by *Bacteroides fragilis* Inhibit CFTR Chloride

Secretion by Human Colon Organoids

Roxanna Barnaby[1]*, Amanda Nymon[1]*, Paige Salerno[1]*, Carolyn Roche[1], Young Ah Goo[3],

Byoung-Kyu Cho[3], Timothy B. Gardner[2], Zdenek Svindrych[4], Douglas J. Taatjes[5], Thomas H.

Hampton[1], Benjamin Ross[1] and Bruce A. Stanton[1]**

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth,

Hanover, NH, USA, [2]Center for Digestive Health, Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center,

Lebanon, NH USA, [3]Mass Spectrometry Technology Access Center, McDonnell Genome

Institute, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA, [4]Department of

Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, NH, USA,

and [5]Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT

USA

*Co-first authors.

**Corresponding author:

Bruce A. Stanton, Ph.D.

Department of Microbiology and Immunology

Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth

520 Remsen Building

Hanover NH 03755

Phone: 603-229-2803

Email: bas@dartmouth.edu

Running title: *Bacteroides Fragilis* toxin

Competing interests. The author(s) declare no competing interests.

Abstract. The goals of this study were to develop a model to study host pathogen interactions

in primary human colon cells and to test the hypothesis that *Bacteroides fragilis* toxin (BFT)

secreted in outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) modulates mucosal immunity and CFTR Cl$^-$

secretion. Since Bacteroides species often resides in mucus, OMVs are likely to represent a

mechanism of communication between Bacteroides and the host. Two strains of Bacteroides

were studied, Enterotoxigenic *Bacteroides fragilis* (ETBF), which produces BFT, and the non-

toxigenic *Bacteroides fragilis* strain NCTC 9343 (NTBF) that does not express *bft*. We also

utilized two additional strains of *Bacteroides fragilis:* one in which *bft* was knocked out (ETBF

Δ*bft*), and one that was engineered to contain *bft* (NTBF+*bft)*. We report that most *Bacteroides*

fragilis OMVs reduced forskolin-stimulated CFTR Cl$^-$ secretion, but had no effect on tight

junction or cell adhesion structure, transepithelial resistance or the innate immune response of

primary human colon monolayers. BFT did have an adverse effect on CFTR Cl$^-$ secretion, but

other factors in OMVs also inhibited CFTR Cl$^-$ secretion. We conclude that OMVs secreted by

Bacteroides can be an important mechanism of host pathogen interactions in the colon by

reducing CFTR Cl$^-$ secretion.

Keywords: *Bacteroides fragilis*, outer membrane vesicles, OMV, CFTR, colonoids

Introduction. The human gastrointestinal tract is colonized by ~100 trillion bacteria, including

several members of the genus Bacteroides which comprise approximately thirty percent of the

microbiota [1-5]. Although *Bacteroides fragilis* (*B. fragilis*) is one of the least abundant species,

Enterotoxigenic *B. fragilis* (ETBF) is a commensal bacterium that induces diarrhea, colitis and

causes tumor formation through the secretion of Bacteroides fragilis toxin (BFT), a

metalloprotease [3,6-8]. Many studies on colon cell lines have shown that recombinant BFT rapidly

(~1 hour) causes cell damage and disrupts cell adhesion structure by cleaving E-cadherin

leading to an increase in epithelial paracellular permeability, IL-8 secretion [3,9,10], fluid secretion

driven by CFTR Cl$^-$ channels [11,12] and diarrhea [13-15]. Moreover, it has been suggested that the

BFT-induced increase in permeability leads to paracellular movement of bacteria and bacterial

products from the lumen of the colon to the submucosal layer and blood, an effect that can train

the immune system [2]. Recombinant BFT applied to the apical side of HT 29/C1, HT-29, T84,

the mouse colon epithelial cell line CMT93 or MDCK cell lines decreases transepithelial

resistance (TER) and cleaves the cell adhesion protein E-cadherin [9,13,15-17]. One study on a

colon cell line reported no effect of BFT on short circuit current but did report that BFT reduced

TER [17]. Since many Gram negative bacteria, including *B. fragilis,* secrete outer membrane

vesicles (OMVs) [18-24] that stimulate inflammation and decrease ion transport, including CFTR Cl$^-$

secretion by epithelial cells [18-20,25] communication between *B. fragilis*, which resides in mucus,

and the colon is likely, at least in part, to be mediated by the secretion of OMVs and other

soluble factors [7,26,27]. *B. fragilis* secretes OMVs containing BFT that cause epithelial cell

disruption and a time-dependent degradation of E-cadherin in the HT-29 cell line [24]. Notably,

BFT has been shown to reside on the surface of OMVs [24]. However, nothing is known about the

effects of BFT-containing OMVs secreted by *Bacteroides* on the biology of monolayers of

primary human colon organoids.

In contrast to ETBF, the Nontoxigenic *B. fragilis* (NTBF) strain does not express *bft* and it

promotes gut homeostasis through the production of short-chain fatty acids and

polysaccharide A, stimulates barrier-protective molecules including ZO-1 and MUC-2,

as well as the secretion of antimicrobial peptides, and anti-inflammatory cytokines [1,7,28].

A recent review suggested that cell lines are poor models to elucidate the physiology and

pathophysiology of the colon since cell lines are rarely normal, and contain several mutations

and chromosomal defects that enable their persistence [29]. In particular it has been reported that

the gene expression pattern of the Caco-2 cell line, which is studied as a surrogate of the colon,

was similar to that of epithelial cells of the small intestine [30]. Thus, one of the most important

challenges involve the accurate assessment of BFT's biological activity on relevant cells types [1].

To elucidate the biological activity of BFT secreted in OMVs, our studies obtained colon

biopsies from multiple healthy human donors to develop differentiated two-dimensional

monolayers of primary colon organoids. Colon organoids better mimic the natural tissue

environment, preserving the different cell populations and differentiation stages found in native

tissue, compared to cell lines which do not fully differentiate [29]. To the best of our knowledge no

studies have examined the biological effect of OMVs containing BFT secreted by *B. fragilis* on

primary human colon cells. Therefore, the goals of this study were to develop a model to study

host pathogen interactions in primary human colon cells and to test the hypothesis that BFT

secreted in OMVs modulates mucosal immunity and CFTR Cl^- secretion, a major driver of fluid

secretion in the colon [12]. We report that OMVs had no effect on cell adhesion or tight junction

proteins, notably E-cadherin and claudin-2, respectively or on TER, but did inhibit forskolin-

stimulated CFTR Cl^- secretion, an effect predicted to decrease fluid secretion by the colon. In

addition, OMVs were not pro-inflammatory as determined by measuring the secretion of 48

cytokines. OMVs also reduced CFTR Cl^- secretion by T84 cells, a colon cell line but did not alter

TER. These results demonstrate that OMVs secreted by *B. fragilis* have very different biological

effects on primary human colon organoids and T84 cells compared to the response of cell lines

to recombinant BFT.

Results

Isolation and characterization of OMVs secreted by Bacteroides. To test the hypothesis

that BFT in OMVs leads to a disruption in the integrity of epithelial barriers and modulates CFTR

Cl⁻ secretion, we utilized four strains of *B. fragilis*, including ETBF and ETBF in which the *bft*

gene was deleted (ETBF Δ*bft*), NTBF, a strain that does not express *bft,* and NTBF in which the

bft gene was expressed (NTBF+*bft*). Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) revealed that the size

(130 nm) and concentration of OMVs isolated from cell culture media (1.4×10^{11} for ETBF,

2.8×10^{11} for ETBF Δ*bft,* 2.0×10^{11} for NTBF and 2.2×10^{11} for NTBF+*bft*) were similar across all

strains. To confirm that we isolated OMVs, transmission electron microscopy was utilized

(**Figure 1**). OMVs were not observed in process control (PC, cell culture media not exposed to

cells and run through the OMV isolation process); however, OMVs with typical morphology were

observed in media isolated from ETBF (**Figure 1**).

Figure 1. Representative transmission electron microscopy images of negatively stained

OMVs. (A) Process control. Scale bar 500 nm. (B) ETBF, Scale bar 500 nm. (C) The same

image as B, but at a higher magnification to visualize OMVs. Scale bar 100 nm.

Analysis of the proteome of OMVs was conducted to confirm that BFT was present in ETBF and

absent in NTBF. Detailed results of the proteomics analysis of OMVs are presented in

Supplemental Tables 1-4 and **Figure 2**. We detected 568 proteins in NTBF OMVs and 437

proteins in ETBF OMVs, with 247 proteins being found in both strains. BFT was detected in

ETBF OMVs and was absent in NTBF OMVs, as expected. qPCR confirmed the presence of *bft*

in NTBF+*bft*; however, *bft* could not be detected in NTBF and ETBF Δ*bft* (data not shown).

Fragipain was not detected in any of the samples (Supplemental Table 4.2). Fragipain is known

to cleave BFT into its active form [31], however, studies suggest that this protein is not required to

cleave BFT in antibiotic treated mice [32]. More specifically, fragipain is unnecessary when

purified BFT is mixed with the colon mucus of pathogen free or germ-free mice, suggesting the

mucosal activation process may be more prevalent in gastrointestinal conditions containing

increased mucus, such as CF [32]. The same study showed evidence that implies BFT mixed with

PBS is also capable of being cleaved into its active form, which raises the question of auto-

cleavage and further suggesting fragipain is not required for BFT activation [32]. Importantly, as

demonstrated below, *B. fragilis* colonoids secrete mucus. Taken together, the presence of BFT

but absence of fragipain in our proteomics did not deter us from further investigation of the

effects of BFT in this study.

Hierarchical Clustering of Differentially Abundant Proteins (padj < 0.05)

Figure 2. ETBF and NTBF OMV proteomes. Differential abundance analysis reveals

significant differences between the shared proteome of ETBF and NTBF OMVs. The list of

identified proteins by proteomics analysis are presented in Supplemental Tables 1-4.

Supplemental Table 1 includes proteins detected exclusively in NTBF OMVs. Supplemental

Table 2, includes proteins detected exclusively in ETBF OMVs. Supplemental Table 3, includes

proteins detected in both ETBF and NTBF OMVs. Finally, Supplemental Table 4, includes

differential expression of proteins in ETBF and NTBF OMVs, the results of which are depicted in

this Figure.

In accordance with previous research, our results show several TonB dependent receptors are

more abundant in OMVs secreted by ETBF compared to NTBF strains (Supplemental Table 4)

[33]. TonB dependent receptors are one part of outer membrane structures that are critical for the

influx of nutrients via SusC and SusD outer membrane proteins, which were also shown to be

increased in ETBF OMVs [34]. An increase in abundance of these proteins suggests an increased

need for ETBF to enhance nutrient acquisition, thereby improving its ability to compete for

similar niches that NTBF does not struggle to fill. Regardless, these results pose interesting

questions for mechanistic follow up experiments in the future.

Characterization of human colon organoids: Development of a model to study host

pathogen interactions in the colon. Biopsies of proximal colon were obtained during

colonoscopy after obtaining informed consent from healthy volunteers. As described in methods

crypt cells were dispersed and grown in Matrigel until 3D organoids were formed. 3D organoids

were then seeded on Transwell filters and grown for one week to develop polarized, and

differentiated two dimensional (2D) monolayers. We used an imaging approach to characterize

the cellular composition of colon cells *in vitro* as 2D monolayers. **Figures 3-4** reveal that the

colon organoids contain cell types found in the proximal colon including mucin (MUC2)

producing cells, CFTR expressing cells, SLC26A3 (DRA, a Cl^-/HCO_3^- exchanger that plays a

key role in fluid absorption and enterocyte acid/base balance) expressing cells and

enterochromaffin cells that underlie epithelial cells and secrete serotonin which stimulates GI

motility and fluid and electrolyte secretion [12,35]. These observations indicate that the human

primary 2D colon organoids are a well differentiated epithelium, have similar cell types to colon

in vivo and thus are a good model to study host pathogen interactions [12,35].

Figure 3. Representative images of human colon organoids. (A) Cross section of a

confluent monolayer of colon organoids grown on Transwell filters (10 μm thick: H&E-stained

cells). (B) differential contrast (DIC) image of a 6 μm thick frozen cross-section of differentiated

colon organoids on Transwell filters. (C) Image of same organoid as in B but immunofluorescent

image of CFTR. (D) DAPI nuclear labeling of the same organoid monolayer as in B and C. (E)

Composite of B-D showing apical membrane labeling of CFTR. Scale bar 50 μm.

Figure 4. Representative *en face* images of 2D human colon organoids on Transwell

filters. (a) DAPI labeling of nuclei. (b) Chromogranin A immunofluorescence of

enterochromaffin cells. (c) Immunofluorescence of MUC2. (d) Composite of A-C revealing that

MUC2 and Chromogranin A are expressed in different cell types. (e) Immunofluorescence of

SLC26A3 (DRA, a Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchanger). (f) DAPI labeling of nuclei. (g) Composite of e and f.

Scale bar in g is 50 μm.

OMVs inhibit CFTR Cl$^-$ secretion by primary human colon organoids. Previous studies

have shown that recombinant BFT purified by chromatography stimulates short circuit current,

which is indicative of Cl$^-$ secretion, when added to the basolateral side of T84 cells [17]. To

examine the effect of OMVs secreted by *B. fragilis* on CFTR Cl$^-$ secretion by primary human

colonoids, OMVs were isolated and applied to the apical side (the side of the colon exposed to

Bacteroides in vivo) of colon organoids for 1 hour and then forskolin-stimulated CFTR Cl$^-$

secretion was measured in Ussing chambers immediately after the 1 hour exposure, or 24

hours after washing the OMVs from the organoids. **Figure 5** demonstrates that OMVs secreted

by ETBF, ΔETBF and NTBF+*bft* reduced forskolin stimulated CFTR Cl⁻ secretion after 1 hour of

exposure (statistical significance in figure legend) compared to PC. Notably, OMVs secreted by

ETBF reduced Cl⁻ secretion significantly more than OMVs secreted by NTBF that does not

express BFT. Deletion of endogenous *bft* from ETBF reduced the inhibitory effect of ETBF

OMVs on CFTR Cl⁻ secretion. OMVs secreted by NTBF+*bft* inhibited CFTR Cl⁻ secretion more

that NTBF OMVs, which do not express BFT. Taken together, these data show that 1 hour

exposure to OMVs secreted by *B. fragilis* reduces CFTR Cl⁻ secretion by primary human

colonoids. In addition, the results shown that in addition to BFT, other factors in OMVs inhibit

CFTR Cl⁻ secretion.

Twenty-four hours after a 1 hour exposure to OMVs, neither OMVs secreted by ETBF, ETBF

Δ*bft* or NTBF reduced CFTR Cl⁻ secretion compared to PC (**Figure 5**). However, 24 hours after

exposure NTBF+ *bft* OMVs reduced CFTR Cl⁻ secretion (P=0.00364) compared to NTBF OMVs

(**Figure 5**). Thus, NTBF+*bft* OMVs have a long term effect (24 hrs.) to inhibit CFTR Cl⁻

secretion, as opposed to the other OMVs.

Figure 5. CFTR Cl⁻ secretion by human primary colon organoids. Left: 1 hour after

exposure to PC or OMVs. Right: 24 hours after a 1 hour exposure to PC or OMVs. Individual

donor responses are shown as dashed colored lines, with each color representing a different

donor (n = 3 donors; Donor 108A is female, Donors 100A and 107A are male). Solid black lines

connect the mean current values across treatments. Error bars represent ± 1 standard deviation

of measurements. Treatments: PC (process control), ETBF (Enterotoxigenic *B. fragilis*), Δ*bft*

(ETBF mutant lacking *bft*), NTBF (Non-toxigenic *B. fragilis*), and NTBF+*bft* (NTBF strain

complemented with *bft*). Statistical analysis was performed using mixed-effects linear models

with donor as a random effect for each time point separately. Many significant differences from

PC were observed at 1 h (ETBF, P = 0.000; Δ*bft*, P = 0.000; NTBF, P = 0.262; NTBF+*bft*, P =

0.003). Fewer differences from PC were observed at 24 h compared to PC (ETBF, P =

0.693; Δ*bft*, P = 0.244; NTBF, P = 0.525; NTBF+*bft*, P = 0.008). Expression of *bft* in NTBF

OMVs reduced the Isc at 1 hour (P = 0.012) and 24 hours (P = 0.004) compared to NTBF

OMVs. Experiments were done with three technical replicates per donor of colon cells and three

technical replicates of OMVs on three donors.

Studies were also conducted to determine if OMVs had an effect on transepithelial resistance

(TER), since previous studies have shown that recombinant BFT reduced TER in several cell

lines [9,13,15-17]. However, none of the OMVs had a significant effect on TER (**Figure 6**) compared

to process control (PC) at 1 hour after exposure to OMVs or 24 hours after a 1 hour exposure.

Figure 6. Transepithelial resistance (TER) of primary human colonoids. TER was

measured in colon organoids in which CFTR Cl^- secretion was measured 1 hour (left figure) or

24 hours (right figure) after exposure to process control (PC) or OMVs secreted by ETBF, ETBF

Δ*bft*, NTBF and NTBF+*bft*. Experiments done with three donors, three technical replicates per

donor and three technical replicates of OMVs. Technical replicates averaged as a single data

point. Individual donor responses are shown as dashed colored lines, with each color

representing a different donor (Donor 108A is female). Solid black lines connect the mean

resistance values across treatments. Error bars represent ± 1 standard deviation. Statistical

analysis was performed using a mixed-effects linear model with donor as a random effect. No

significant differences compared to PC (1 and 24 hour exposure) were observed (P>0.05).

Bacteroides reduces CFTR Cl⁻ secretion by T84 cells but has no effect on TER. We

conducted additional studies on T84 cells, a cell line that is used to study the colon [36-38].

Previous studies have shown that recombinant BFT reduces the TER [9,13,15-17]. To determine if *B.*

fragilis OMVs also reduced CFTR Cl⁻ secretion by T84 cells we conducted Ussing experiments

on monolayers of T84 cells under conditions identical to exposure of PC and OMVs to primary

colonoids (**Figure 7**). Compared to PC, CFTR Cl⁻ secretion was reduced by all OMVs (Figure 7,

P = 0.001). ETBF OMVs were not more effective than NTBF OMVs in reducing CFTR Cl⁻

secretion (P = 0.2038). Moreover, deletion of *bft* in ETBF did not significantly reduce the effect

of ETBF OMVs on CFTR Cl⁻ secretion. Thus, there is a notable difference in the response of

primary colonids and T84 cells to OMVs. OMVs also had no effect on TER (**Figure 7**). Thus,

OMVs reduced CFTR Cl⁻ secretion by both primary human colonocytes and T84 cells, without

altering TER.

Figure 7. CFTR Cl⁻ secretion and TER by T84 cells exposed to OMVs. The protocol was

identical to that performed on primary human colonoids. T84 monolayers were exposed to outer

membrane vesicles from various *B. fragilis* strains for 1 hour and CFTR Cl⁻ secretion (short-

circuit current: Isc) was measured. Data represent individual experiments (dashed lines)

grouped by experimental date (colors) with overall means ± standard deviation (black bars with

error bars). PC, process control; ETBF, Enterotoxigenic *B. fragilis*; Δbft, ETBF strain with the *bft*

gene deletion; NTBF, non-toxigenic *B. fragilis*. n = 8 independent experiments conducted on 3

different days. (A) CFTR Cl⁻ secretion. Each line connects experiments conducted on the same

day on the same pass of T84 cells. (B) Transepithelial resistance in the same set of T84 cells

used for measurements of CFTR Cl⁻ secretion. No significant differences in TER from PC were

observed after 1 h of exposure to OMVs (ETBF, P = 0.579472; Δbft, P = 0.312689; NTBF, P=

0.717002)**.**

OMVs do not alter tight junction or cell adhesion protein abundance. Previous studies

have shown that recombinant BFT rapidly (<1 hr.) reduces the expression of the cell adhesion

protein E-cadherin in cell lines [9,13,15-17]. However, we observed no effect of Bacteroides OMVs

on the abundance of E-cadherin as determined by immunofluorescence microscopy or on the

tight junction protein claudin 2 [39,40] (**Figure 8**).

Figure 8. Representative composite images of colon organoids. Organoids were grown on

Transwell filters and exposed to OMVs for 1 hour. Claudin-2 (magenta) and E-cadherin (green)

were identified by immunofluorescence. DAPI (blue) identified nuclei. Each image is a

composite of colonoids labeled for claudin-2, E-cadherin and nuclei (DAPI). Confocal images

were analyzed by an investigator (Zdenek Svindrych, Ph.D. Microscopy Imaging Specialist,

Dartmouth College) who was blinded to the treatment. There was no difference in claudin-2 or

E-cadherin abundance using Fiji open-source image processing package to quantify

fluorescence intensity (see **Figure 9** for data).

As described in Methods, studies were also conducted to measure E-cadherin and claudin-2

fluorescence imaged by confocal microscopy. None of the OMVs had any effect on E-cadherin

or claudin fluorescence (**Figure 9**) confirming the immunofluorescent images in Figure 8.

Figure 9. Measurements of E-cadherin (a) and claudin (b) epifluorescence. Data were

generated by a co-author blinded to the experimental treatment. Five random images were

chosen for each treatment. There was no significant effect of any OMVs on E-cadherin or

claudin abundance. AU, arbitrary fluorescent units. Data expressed as mean ± one SD.

Cytokine secretion by human primary colonoids.

Previous studies on cell lines and human colon tissue reported that *B fragilis* and recombinant

BFT stimulates IL-8 secretion [9,13,15-17,41]. To examine the effect of OMVs on the colon innate

immune response, colon organoids were grown on Transwell filters and exposed to PC or

OMVs in the apical media for 1 hour or 24 hours after OMVs were removed by washing. **Figure**

10 demonstrates that OMVs had no significant effect on IL-8 secretion compared to PC.

Moreover, there was no significant effect of any OMVs compared to PC on the secretion of 48

cytokines measured using the MILLIPLEX MAP Human Cytokine/Chemokine 48-Plex cytokine

assay, although there were some trends in the response. For example, compared to PC, ETBF

OMVs tended to reduce cytokine secretion, although the changes were not significant.

Figure 10. Cytokine secretion following 1 h and 24 hrs. after exposure to OMVs secreted by *B. fragilis* or to PC. There were no significant effects of OMVs versus PC. Values represent means across all donors (n = 3 donors, including one female) for each treatment condition. Treatments: PC, ETBF, ETBF Δ*bft*, NTBF and NTBF+*bft*. Color scale indicates standard deviations from the time point mean: red represents above-average expression, blue represents below-average expression, and white represents average expression for each cytokine at each time point. Only cytokines with complete data (values above detection) across all conditions are shown. Data were log2-transformed prior to z-score calculation.

Discussion

The goal of this study was to elucidate the effect of OMVs secreted by *B. fragilis* on human

primary colonoids. To this end we developed a model to study pathogen-host interactions where

we examined the effect of OMVs secreted by several strains of *B. fragilis* on monolayers of well

differentiated, primary human colon organoids. We found that OMVs secreted by most strains of

Bacteroides reduced CFTR Cl⁻ secretion compared to PC after 1 hour exposure. Deletion of the

bft gene from ETBF reduced the inhibitory effect of ETBF OMVs on CFTR Cl⁻ secretion.

Moreover, NTBF+*bft* OMVs were more inhibitory to CFTR Cl⁻ secretion compared to OMVs

secreted by NTBF. Twenty four hours after exposure to OMVs only NTBF+*bft* OMVs reduced

CFTR Cl⁻ secretion compared to NTBF OMVs. Thus, BFT in OMVs was, at least in part,

responsible for the decrease in CFTR Cl⁻ secretion by primary human colonoids. Since OMVs

secreted by strains of *B. fragilis* that lacked *bft* also reduced CFTR Cl⁻ secretion, there must be

other factors in OMVs such as proteins or miRNAs, for example, that inhibit forskolin-stimulated

CFTR Cl⁻ secretion, as shown previously for OMVs secreted by *P. aeruginosa* [18-20,42-44].

Additional studies are required to identify these factors. Importantly, none of the OMVs tested

had any effect on the abundance of the tight junction protein claudin-2, or the cell adhesion

protein E-cadherin or on the TER. Claudin-2 is an important component of the tight junction, and

alterations in claudin-2 abundance affects TER[39,40]. We conclude that in our studies OMVs have

no effect on tight junction or cell adhesion structure but does inhibit CFTR Cl⁻ secretion by

primary proximal human colon organoids developed from biopsies obtained during

colonoscopies.

Studies were also conducted to examine the effect of *B. fragilis* OMVs on CFTR Cl⁻ secretion

and TER by the T84 colon cell line. *B. fragilis* OMVs reduced CFTR Cl⁻ secretion but had no

effect on TER. This result was similar to the effect of OMVs on primary human colonoids and

was opposite to what was observed with recombinant BFT in T84 and other colon cell lines[9,13,15-]

[17]. However, it should be noted that there are some differences between the response of

colonoids and T84 cells to OMVs. Most significantly, in T84 cells deletion of *bft* from OMVs

secreted by ETBF had no effect on CFTR Cl⁻ secretion (ETBF versus ETBF Δbft: Figure 7A).

There are a few other reports describing the development of monolayers of epithelial cells

derived from healthy colon organoids [29,30]. Sen et al. [30] reported that the gene expression profile

of human colon organoids was significantly different from the Caco-2 cell line, an immortalized

cell line of human colorectal adenocarcinoma cells, which had a gene expression profile similar

to the small intestine. Moreover, they reported that the effect of *Bifidobacterium longum* on gene

expression was not conserved between Caco-2 and colon organoids. Taglieri et al [29] reviewed

the literature on human colon monolayers and noted that air liquid interface culture, like that

used in the present study, increased differentiation of colon monolayers. However, none of the

other studies on colon monolayers exposed them to OMVs and none reported CFTR Cl⁻

secretion.

OMVs secreted by most strains of *B. fragilis* inhibited forskolin-stimulated CFTR Cl⁻ secretion by

the proximal colon and T84 cells, which would reduce salt and fluid secretion by the colon.

However, studies in animals report that recombinant BFT induces secretory diarrhea [3,6-8]. One

possible reason for the different effects of recombinant BFT and OMVs containing BFT is that

the effect of recombinant BFT may be dose dependent, and that recombinant BFT levels in

published studies may be higher than the abundance of BFT in OMVs. Moreover, as

demonstrated in Figures 5 and 7 and the Supplemental Tables, OMVs contain many proteins in

addition to BFT, that are likely to inhibit CFTR Cl⁻ secretion. Finally, it is important to note that

there are other pathways that mediate transepithelial salt and water transport by the proximal

colon, including electroneutral NaCl and fluid reabsorption mediated by the parallel operation of

Na^+/H^+ and Cl^-/HCO_3^- (SLC26A3) exchangers. Since Ussing chamber studies only measure

electrogenic transport, it is possible that recombinant BFT may inhibit SLC26A3 and/or the

Na^+/H^+ exchanger and thereby reduce electroneutral NaCl and fluid reabsorption by the colon.

Such an effect may account for the BFT-induced secretory diarrhea, despite the OMV and BFT

reduction in electrogenic CFTR Cl⁻ secretion reported herein. Thus, additional studies, beyond

the goals of the present study, are required to determine if BFT and OMVs inhibit Na^+/H^+ and Cl^-

$/HCO_3^-$ electrically neutral NaCl and fluid reabsorption in primary human colonoids.

We also did not observe an effect of any OMVs on the TER or epithelial tight junction or cell

adhesion structure. In many, but not all, previous studies in colon cell lines recombinant BFT

quickly (< 1 hr.) reduced the abundance of E-cadherin and the transepithelial resistance [9,13,15-17].

Although the reason for this difference is unknown, one possible reason for the different effects

of recombinant BFT and OMVs is that, as noted above, the effect of recombinant BFT may be

dose dependent, and that recombinant BFT levels in published studies may be higher than the

abundance of BFT in OMVs.

In contrast to studies using cells lines and recombinant BFT [10,46-48], we did not observe an

increase in IL-8 secretion in primary human colonoids exposed to OMVs. The lack of effect of

BFT and OMVs on IL-8 secretion in our study are consistent with the observation that OMVs did

not reduce E-cadherin, an effect reported to be required for BFT to stimulate IL-8 secretion [10,46-

48]. Moreover, OMVs did not affect the secretion of 48 cytokines as determined by the

MILLIPLEX MAP Human Cytokine/Chemokine 48-Plex cytokine assay. The reason for this

difference requires additional studies.

There are a few limitations of our study. First, since there are many differences in the content of

OMVs secreted by ETBF and NTBF such as DNA, RNA, miRNA, proteins (this study) and other

virulence factors [21,49], in addition to BFT, it is possible that some of these factors may act in

concert with BFT or act alone to inhibit CFTR Cl⁻ secretion. Thus, we cannot rule out the

possibility that other factors, such as proteins, DNA or miRNAs, may contribute to the OMV-

induced inhibition of CFTR Cl⁻ secretion. Notably, one other study demonstrated that NTBF

secretes OMVs that deliver cargo into Caco-2 cells, and activates Toll-like receptors; however,

the study did not report the effect of OMVs on cytokine secretion or CFTR Cl⁻ secretion [50].

Our study has numerous strengths. First, our experiments were conducted on primary human

colonoids from several healthy donors, and a cell line (T84). OMVs inhibited CFTR Cl⁻ secretion

by colonoids and T84 cells, but had no effect on TER. Second since *B. fragilis* resides in mucus

overlying colon cells, OMVs containing BFT are biologically relevant. Notably, the concentration

of OMVs used in the present study was similar to levels observed in conditioned cell culture

media and biological fluids [18,51]. Third, we confirmed the presence of BFT in ETBF OMVs and its

absence in NTBF OMVs by proteomic analysis and the absence of *bft* in NTBF and ETBF Δ*bft*

and the presence of *bft* in NTBF+*btf* by qPCR.

In conclusion, we have examined the effect of OMVs on well differentiated primary cultures of

human proximal colon using strains of *B. fragilis* containing *bft (*ETBF and NTBF+*bft)*, a strain of

B. fragilis in which *bft* was knocked out (ETBF Δ*bft*), and an NTBF strain that does not express

bft. In all cases BFT containing OMVs secreted by *B. fragilis* reduced forskolin-stimulated CFTR

Cl⁻ secretion more than the same strain lacking *bft*, but had no effect on tight junction structure,

TER or IL-8 secretion. Similar experiments on the T84 cell line also revealed that OMVs

secreted by *B. fragilis* reduced CFTR Cl⁻ secretion and had no effect on TER. We conclude that

B. fragilis OMVs inhibit forskolin stimulated CFTR Cl⁻ secretion by primary human colonoids.

Thus, OMVs are an important mechanism of host pathogen interactions in the proximal colon.

Additional studies, beyond the scope of the present study, are required to elucidate the cellular

mechanisms whereby OMVs rapidly inhibit CFTR Cl⁻ secretion.

METHODS

Bacteroides cultures. *B. fragilis* NCTC 9343 was chosen as the non-toxigenic *B. fragilis*

(NTBF) strain, since it is used widely [52-54]. *B. fragilis* 86-5443-2-2 was chosen as the

enterotoxigenic *B. fragilis* (ETBF) strain for similar reasons, and because it expresses BFT-2,

which is the most virulent of the three BFT isotypes [52-54]. *B. fragilis* 86-5443-2-2 with a *bft*

deletion (ETBF Δ*bft*) and NTBF+*bft* were confirmed by PCR. Bacteroides strains were grown on

supplemented brain heart infusion medium (BHIS) agar plates or liquid broth cultures

supplemented with hemin and 1μg/ml of vitamin K3 (Acros Organics/Fisher Scientific, Geel,

Belgium) under anaerobic conditions (10% CO_2, 10% H_2, 80% N_2) in a Whitley A55 anaerobic

chamber (Don Whitley Scientific, Victoria Works, UK) at 37°C. All BHIS agar and liquid media

contained 60 μg/ml of gentamycin sulfate. For OMV purification, *B. fragilis* strains were streaked

on BHIS agar plates and were grown in anaerobic conditions for 48 hours. Single colonies were

used to inoculate 5mL of BHIS liquid medium cultures, which were grown anaerobically for 24

hours. The cultures were then expanded into 200mL BHIS cultures using a 1:100 dilution. The

final cultures were grown for 24 hours prior to being utilized to isolate OMVs.

Outer membrane vesicle (OMV) isolation. OMVs were isolated as described by us and others

previously [20,55]. Briefly, all overnight culture supernatants were centrifuged for 1 h at 2800 g and

4°C to pellet bacteria. The supernatant was filtered twice through 0.45 μm PVDF membrane

filters (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) to remove any contaminating bacteria and concentrated

with 30K Amicon filters (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) at 2800 g and 4°C to obtain ~200 μL of

concentrate. The concentrate was resuspended in OMV buffer (20 mM HEPES, 500 mM NaCl,

pH 7.4) and subjected to ultracentrifugation using a TH-660 Swinging Bucket rotor (Thermo

Fisher) for 2 h at 200,000 g and 4°C to pellet OMVs. OMV pellets were then re-suspended in

60% OptiPrep Density Gradient Medium (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. # D1556) and layered with 40%,

35%, 30% and 20% OptiPrep diluted in OMV buffer. OMVs in OptiPrep were centrifuged for 16

h at 100,000 g and 4°C. 500 μl fractions were taken from the top of the gradient, with OMVs

residing in fractions 5-8, as determined by proteomic analysis of OMVs.

Electron microscopy of OMVs. OMVs were visualized with negative staining transmission

electron microscopy as described previously [19]. Briefly, an aliquot of each sample was applied

onto a freshly glow-discharged 200-mesh nickel grid and allowed to sit for two minutes. After

incubation, excess fluid was gently removed with filter paper, and the grids were sequentially

rinsed with Millipore-filtered distilled water. While the grids remained slightly damp, they were

placed onto a drop of Nano-W tungsten stain (Ted Pella, Inc.). Excess stain was absorbed with

filter paper, and the staining step was repeated on a second drop of Nano-W, which was

allowed to sit for one minute before being wicked away and left to dry. Imaging of the stained

grids was conducted at 80 kV on a JEOL 1400 transmission electron microscope (JEOL USA

Inc.), and images were captured using an AMT XR11 digital camera (AMT). The imaging of

OMVs were conducted by an investigator blinded to the sample identities.

Proteomics characterization of OMVs. To confirm that BFT was secreted by ETBF in OMVs,

but not by NTBF, OMVs from ETBF and NTBF were isolated as described above and pelleted

and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen for proteomic analysis. Mass spectrometry analysis was

performed by the Mass Spectrometry Technology Access Center (MTAC) at Washington

University School of Medicine using a previously published protocols [56]. Briefly, we used 52 µg

of protein per sample for purification via TCA precipitation. The proteins were reduced,

alkylated, and digested with trypsin. Digested peptides were desalted on C18 columns and then

peptide quantification was measured by nanodrop assay. An equal amount of each sample was

subject to mass spec analysis by randomization. All samples were analyzed in technical

duplicates by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) using a Neo trap

cartridge coupled with an analytical column (75 µm ID x 50 cm PepMapTM Neo C18, 2 µm).

Samples were separated using a linear gradient of solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) and

solvent B (0.1% formic acid in ACN) over 120 minutes using a Vanquish Neo UHPLC System

coupled to an Orbitrap Eclipse Tribrid Mass Spectrometer with FAIMS Pro Duo interface

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). Protein tandem MS data was queried for protein identification and

label-free quantification against a *B. fragilis* database (National Center for Biotechnology

Information) using MaxQuant. The following modifications were set as search parameters:

trypsin digestion cleavage after K or R (except when followed by P), 2 allowed missed cleavage

site, carbamidomethylated cysteine (static modification), and oxidized methionine, protein N-

term acetylation (variable modification). Search results were validated with peptide and protein

FDR both at a p value <0.01. Proteins that were identified with >1 unique peptide were

subjected to a downstream statistical analysis.

Resulting data was aligned to associated protein files for NTBF or ETBF for protein

identification. Using .faa files for these strains obtained from the National Center for

Biotechnology Information, we determined which proteins are common and unique between the

two strains by merging their accession numbers. Each common protein file was then used to

filter the proteomics results of both strains prior to differential expression analysis between the

two strains. R v.4.3.0 and DESeq2 v.1.40.2 were used for differential analysis, using NTBF as

the control strain and ETBF as the treatment strain. P values were adjusted for multiple

comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg correction. Differentially expressed proteins that

had an adjusted p-value < 0.05 were used for hierarchal clustering.

Colonoid cell culture. Biopsies from the proximal colon obtained during colonoscopies of three

healthy de-identified donors were obtained after informed consent at Dartmouth-Hitchcock

Medical Center. Biopsies in PBS (-/-) with EDTA were placed on a shaking rocker in +4°C for 1

hour to free the crypts from the biopsies. Then the biopsies were vigorously pipetted up and

down several times to release crypts. The supernatant containing crypts was collected, and

additional PBS was added to the biopsies followed by vigorous pipetting. Crypts in the

supernatant were counted, pelleted, and resuspended in 55% Matrigel (Corning Life Sciences)

and 45% isolation medium. Cells were added to 24-well plates and then Matrigel solidified cell

isolation medium (IntestiCult complete medium with primocin [StemCell Technologies]

supplemented with 50ug/mL gentamycin, 50ug/mL vancomycin and 10uM of RhoKi) was added

to each well. Cells were maintained in culture until 3D organoids were observed. To develop

polarized monolayers of organoids, $1.5x10^6$ cells (as 3D organoids) were collected from the

Matrigel and seeded on Transwell permeable filters (#3450: Corning Life Sciences for 24-mm

Transwell) or 12-mm Snapwell permeable filters (#3801; Corning Life Sciences). Filters were

precoated with 1:20 dilution of matrigel in cold DPBS for 2 hours and then removed just before

seeding cells. IntestiCult media (StemCell Technologies) was added to both apical and

basolateral sides of the filters to support cell growth. Once a confluent monolayer was obtained,

IntestiCult differentiation media (StemCell Technologies) was used to differentiate the cells prior

to experimentation. Cells were differentiated for one week before experiments.

Exposure of primary human colonids to OMVs. Polarized monolayers of colon cells on 12-

mm Snapwell filters were washed with PBS to remove excess mucus, and 1.5 mL of

differentiation media was added to the basolateral side. $2×10^{10}$ OMVs, a concentration of OMVs

lower than measured in conditioned media and in biological fluids [18,51], or the same volume of

process control media were applied to the apical side of cells for one hour and then removed by

washing in PBS. At the end of the one-hour exposure and then twenty-four hours later the apical

and basolateral media were collected for measurements of cytokines [MILLIPLEX MAP Human

Cytokine/Chemokine 48-Plex cytokine assay (MilliporeSigma)]. Ussing chamber analysis was

used to quantify CFTR Cl⁻ secretion and TER.

T84 cell culture. T84 cells, a human colon adenocarcinoma cell line (American Type Culture

Collection, Manassas, VA) were maintained in DMEM/F-12 media (Life Technology, Rockville,

MD) containing l-glutamine supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS: Life Technology).

For short-circuit current and TER measurements cells were cultured on Transwell filters

(Corning, Ithaca, NY). Experiments were performed 14 days postseeding as previously

described [36-38].

Ussing chamber measurements of CFTR Cl⁻ currents. As described in detail elsewhere [57-60]

cells on Transwell filters were mounted in Ussing chambers. Primary human colon organoids

and T84 cells were exposed to either process control (PC: BHIS growth media processed

through the OMV isolation procedure, as a control for possible effects on colon monolayers due

to the media or the OMV isolation process as recommended by the International Society of

Extracellular Vesicles [61]) or OMVs secreted by ETBF, ETBF Δ*bft*, NTBF, or NTBF+*bft* were

added to the apical side of monolayers for 1 hour then CFTR Cl⁻ currents and TER were

measured. Some monolayers were exposed to OMVs for 1 hour, followed by washing OMVs

from the apical surface and 24 hours later CFTR Cl⁻ currents and TER were measured. To

measure TER, a 1 mV pulse was applied to each monolayer and the change in short circuit

current was measured and TER was calculated using Ohms Law. To measure forskolin-

stimulated CFTR Cl⁻ secretion, amiloride (50 µM) was first added to the apical solution to inhibit

potential electrogenic Na^+ reabsorption. Thereafter, Cl⁻ secretion was stimulated with forskolin

(10 µM; Sigma-Aldrich). Finally, thiazolidinone ($CFTR_{inh}$-172, 20 µM; Millipore, Billerica, MA), an

inhibitor of CFTR Cl⁻ channels, was added to the apical solution [58]. Data are expressed as the

forskolin-stimulated, $CFTR_{inh}$-172 inhibited short circuit current (Isc), which is presented as

$µA/cm^2$. Data were collected and analyzed using the Data Acquisition Software Acquire and

Analyze program (Physiologic Instruments, San Diego, CA).

Cytokine measurements. Cytokine secretion (apical and basolateral solutions) from primary

colonoids was measured with the MILLIPLEX MAP Human Cytokine/Chemokine 48-Plex

cytokine assay (MilliporeSigma) following the manufactures instructions

Immunocytochemistry. Immunocytochemistry was performed to characterize cell types in the colon monolayers and to evaluate the effects of OMVs on E-cadherin and claudin. Fixation of monolayers of colonoids was performed using 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes at room temperature, followed by permeabilization with 0.25% Triton X-100 for 15 minutes, blocking using 10% normal goat serum in PBS, and primary antibody incubation at 4°C overnight. After washing, secondary antibodies were added for 1 hour at room temperature in the dark. SLC26A3 was labeled with a primary anti-mouse antibody (1:250, Santa Cruz #sc-376187), and a secondary goat anti-mouse-488 antibody (1:500, Santa Cruz #sc-376187). CFTR was labeled with a primary anti-mouse antibody (1:100, Millipore # 05-583) and a secondary anti-mouse-488 antibody (1:500). MUC2 was labeled with a primary anti-mouse antibody (1:300), and a secondary anti-mouse-488 antibody (1:500, Abcam ab11197). Chromogranin A was labeled with a primary goat anti rabbit antibody (1:100), and secondary goat anti rabbit-568 antibody (1:1000, Abcam ab15760). Tight junction labeling was performed by fixing cells in 100% cold methanol at -20°C for 5 minutes, blocking with 10% goat serum in PBS for one hour, and incubating with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. The secondary antibody was added for 1 hour at room temperature the following day. Claudin-2 was labeled using a primary goat anti-rabbit antibody (1:100, Thermo Fisher #51-6100), and a secondary goat anti-rabbit-568 antibody (1:500). E-cadherin was labeled using a primary goat anti-mouse antibody (1:250, BD Transduction lab #610182), and a secondary goat anti-mouse-488 antibody (1:500). All antibodies were diluted in PBS with 5% normal goat serum. DAPI staining to label nuclei was performed in fluorshield anti-fade mounting medium. To image the colon monolayers, a Nikon Eclipse Ti-E spinning disc confocal microscope was used. To examine the effect of OMVs and PC on claudin-2 and E-cadherin, all settings on the confocal microscope were identical for acquiring images for analysis. Analysis of claudin-2 and E-cadherin abundance was performed by an investigator blinded to the treatments. The membrane areas with positive fluorescence signal were segmented using trainable WEKA machine learning plugin in ImageJ [62]. The

segmented objects were thinned to 1 pixel using ImageJ's "Skeletonize" morphological

operation. The mean and median fluorescence intensities were measured from areas defined by

the skeleton, and widened to 5 pixels using ImageJ's "Dilate" morphological operation.

Statistics. Data were analyzed using the R software environment for statistical computing and

graphics version 4.1.0 [63] and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. Statistical significance was calculated

using either a mixed effect linear model, or likelihood ratio tests on gene-wise negative binomial

generalized linear models, as indicated in the figure legends. Data were visualized, and figures

were created using the R package ggplot2 [64].

Author contributions

PS, RB, AN, BAS, CR, TTH and BR designed the research studies. PS, RB, AN, CR, TTH and

ZS conducted experiments on colon organoids, acquired data, and analyzed data. TBG

recruited human subjects, provided informed consent and collected clinical biopsies. YAG and

BKC performed the proteomics analysis of OMVs. Electron microscopy of OMVs was performed

by DJT at the Microscopy Imaging Center at the University of Vermont (RRID# SCR_018821).

BAS wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted

version.

Declaration of competing interests

All authors declare no competing interests.

IRB statement

The Dartmouth Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects has determined that using colon

biopsies in this study is not considered human subject research because they contain no patient

identifiers. All tissues used for isolation were obtained under informed consent and conform to

HIPAA regulations to protect the privacy of the donor's personally identifiable information .

Data availability. Proteomics data from OMVs are available in the Supplemental Tables. All

other reasonable requests for additional data are available from the corresponding author.

Funding Declaration. This study was supported by the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation

(STANTO19G0, STANTO20P0, STANTO23R0, and STANTO19R0) and the National Institutes

of Health [P30-DK117469 (Pilot Project Award) and R01HL151385] to BAS. BDR was

supported by NIH R35GM142685 and CFF ROSS20R3 and is the Phil Hanlon First Century

Associate Professor in Personalized Treatment for Cystic Fibrosis. PS was supported by T32-

HL134598. Electron Microscopy was performed at the Microscopy Imaging Center, Larner

College of Medicine, University of Vermont (RRID# SCR_01882). Mass Spectrometry analyses

were performed by the Mass Spectrometry Technology Access Center at the McDonnell

Genome Institute (MTAC@MGI) at Washington University School of Medicine, supported by the

Diabetes Research Center/NIH grant P30 DK020579, Institute of Clinical and Translational

Sciences/NCATS CTSA award UL1 TR002345, and Siteman Cancer Center/NCI CCSG grant

P30 CA091842.

Acknowledgements. Cynthia Sears, M.D. (Johns Hopkins University) generously provided all

ETBF strains. Scott Palisoul (Dartmouth Pathology Shared Resources) created frozen cross

sections of 2D colon monolayers. We thank Katja Koeppen, Ph.D. for analysis of the T84 data.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

Supplemental Table 1. Mass spectrometry quantification of proteins detected exclusively in *B.*

fragilis NTBF OMVs.

Supplemental Table 2. Mass spectrometry quantification of proteins detected exclusively in *B.*

fragilis ETBF OMVs.

Supplemental Table 3. Mass spectrometry quantification of proteins detected in both *B. fragilis*

NTBF and *B. fragilis* ETBF OMVs.

Supplemental Table 4. Differential expression results of proteins identified in mass

spectrometry for both *B. fragilis* ETBF and *B. fragilis* NTBF

References

1   Jasemi, S. *et al.* Biological Mechanisms of Enterotoxigenic Bacteroides fragilis Toxin:

Linking Inflammation, Colorectal Cancer, and Clinical Implications. *Toxins (Basel)* **17**

(2025). https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins17060305

2   Ferranti, E. P., Dunbar, S. B., Dunlop, A. L. & Corwin, E. J. 20 things you didn't know

about the human gut microbiome. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* **29**, 479-481 (2014).

https://doi.org/10.1097/JCN.0000000000000166

3   Valguarnera, E. & Wardenburg, J. B. Good Gone Bad: One Toxin Away From Disease

for Bacteroides fragilis. *J Mol Biol* **432**, 765-785 (2020).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2019.12.003

4   Human Microbiome Project, C. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human

microbiome. *Nature* **486**, 207-214 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11234

5   Young, V. B. The role of the microbiome in human health and disease: an introduction

for clinicians. *BMJ* **356**, j831 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j831

6   Saha, K., Zhou, Y. & Turner, J. R. Tight junction regulation, intestinal permeability, and

mucosal immunity in gastrointestinal health and disease. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* **41**,

46-53 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1097/MOG.0000000000001066

7   Hill, C. A. *et al.* Bacteroides fragilis toxin expression enables lamina propria niche

acquisition in the developing mouse gut. *Nat Microbiol* **9**, 85-94 (2024).

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-023-01559-9

8   Sears, C. L. *et al.* Association of enterotoxigenic Bacteroides fragilis infection with

inflammatory diarrhea. *Clin Infect Dis* **47**, 797-803 (2008).

https://doi.org/10.1086/591130

9   Kim, W. S. *et al.* Bacteroides fragilis Toxin Induces Sequential Proteolysis of E-Cadherin

and Inflammatory Response in Mouse Intestinal Epithelial Cell Line. *Microorganisms* **13**

(2025). https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms13040781

10   Hwang, S., Gwon, S. Y., Kim, M. S., Lee, S. & Rhee, K. J. Bacteroides fragilis Toxin

Induces IL-8 Secretion in HT29/C1 Cells through Disruption of E-cadherin Junctions.

Immune Netw **13**, 213-217 (2013). https://doi.org/10.4110/in.2013.13.5.213

11   Rong, Y. *et al.* Partially differentiated ileal and distal-colonic human F508del-cystic

fibrosis-enteroids secrete fluid in response to forskolin and linaclotide. *iScience* **28**,

112453 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2025.112453

12   Negussie, A. B., Dell, A. C., Davis, B. A. & Geibel, J. P. Colonic Fluid and Electrolyte

Transport 2022: An Update. *Cells* **11** (2022). https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11101712

13   Riegler, M. *et al.* Bacteroides fragilis toxin 2 damages human colonic mucosa in vitro.

Gut **44**, 504-510 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.44.4.504

14   Obiso, R. J., Jr., Azghani, A. O. & Wilkins, T. D. The Bacteroides fragilis toxin fragilysin

disrupts the paracellular barrier of epithelial cells. *Infect Immun* **65**, 1431-1439 (1997).

https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.65.4.1431-1439.1997

15   Wu, S., Rhee, K. J., Zhang, M., Franco, A. & Sears, C. L. Bacteroides fragilis toxin

stimulates intestinal epithelial cell shedding and gamma-secretase-dependent E-

cadherin cleavage. *J Cell Sci* **120**, 1944-1952 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.03455

16   Kharlampieva, D. D. *et al.* Purification and characterisation of recombinant Bacteroides

fragilis toxin-2. *Biochimie* **95**, 2123-2131 (2013).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2013.08.005

17   Chambers, F. G. *et al.* Bacteroides fragilis toxin exhibits polar activity on monolayers of

human intestinal epithelial cells (T84 cells) in vitro. *Infect Immun* **65**, 3561-3570 (1997).

https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.65.9.3561-3570.1997

18   Charpentier, L. A. *et al.* Bacterial Outer Membrane Vesicles and Immune Modulation of

the Host. *Membranes (Basel)* **13** (2023). https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes13090752

19   Li, Z. *et al.* P. aeruginosa tRNA-fMet halves secreted in outer membrane vesicles

suppress lung inflammation in cystic fibrosis. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* **326**,

L574-L588 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplung.00018.2024

20   Koeppen, K. *et al.* A Novel Mechanism of Host-Pathogen Interaction through sRNA in

Bacterial Outer Membrane Vesicles. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1005672 (2016).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005672

21   Yang, Y. *et al.* Bacteroides Fragilis-Derived Outer Membrane Vesicles Deliver MiR-5119

and Alleviate Colitis by Targeting PD-L1 to Inhibit GSDMD-Mediated Neutrophil

Extracellular Trap Formation. *Adv Sci (Weinh)*, e00781 (2025).

https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202500781

22   Shagaleeva, O. Y. *et al.* Bacteroides vesicles promote functional alterations in the gut

microbiota composition. *Microbiol Spectr* **12**, e0063624 (2024).

https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.00636-24

23   Patrick, S., McKenna, J. P., O'Hagan, S. & Dermott, E. A comparison of the

haemagglutinating and enzymic activities of Bacteroides fragilis whole cells and outer

membrane vesicles. *Microb Pathog* **20**, 191-202 (1996).

https://doi.org/10.1006/mpat.1996.0018

24   Zakharzhevskaya, N. B. *et al.* Interaction of Bacteroides fragilis Toxin with Outer

Membrane Vesicles Reveals New Mechanism of Its Secretion and Delivery. *Front Cell*

Infect Microbiol **7**, 2 (2017). https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00002

25   Sharpe, S. W., Kuehn, M. J. & Mason, K. M. Elicitation of epithelial cell-derived immune

effectors by outer membrane vesicles of nontypeable Haemophilus influenzae. *Infect*

Immun **79**, 4361-4369 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.05332-11

26   Round, J. L. *et al.* The Toll-like receptor 2 pathway establishes colonization by a

commensal of the human microbiota. *Science* **332**, 974-977 (2011).

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1206095

27   Lee, S. M. *et al.* Bacterial colonization factors control specificity and stability of the gut

microbiota. *Nature* **501**, 426-429 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12447

28   Sears, C. L., Geis, A. L. & Housseau, F. Bacteroides fragilis subverts mucosal biology:

from symbiont to colon carcinogenesis. *J Clin Invest* **124**, 4166-4172 (2014).

https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI72334

29   Taglieri, M. *et al.* Colorectal Organoids: Models, Imaging, Omics, Therapy, Immunology,

and Ethics. *Cells* **14** (2025). https://doi.org/10.3390/cells14060457

30   Sen, A. *et al.* Comprehensive gene expression analysis of organoid-derived healthy

human colonic epithelium and cancer cell line stimulated with live probiotic bacteria. *Sci*

Rep **15**, 22325 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-07391-x

31   Pierce, J. V., Fellows, J. D., Anderson, D. E. & Bernstein, H. D. A clostripain-like

protease plays a major role in generating the secretome of enterotoxigenic Bacteroides

fragilis. *Mol Microbiol* **115**, 290-304 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.14616

32   Choi, V. M. *et al.* Activation of Bacteroides fragilis toxin by a novel bacterial protease

contributes to anaerobic sepsis in mice. *Nat Med* **22**, 563-567 (2016).

https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4077

33   Elhenawy, W., Debelyy, M. O. & Feldman, M. F. Preferential packing of acidic

glycosidases and proteases into Bacteroides outer membrane vesicles. *MBio* **5**, e00909-

00914 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00909-14

34   Zakharzhevskaya, N. B. *et al.* Outer membrane vesicles secreted by pathogenic and

nonpathogenic Bacteroides fragilis represent different metabolic activities. *Sci Rep* **7**,

5008 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05264-6

35   Parikh, K. *et al.* Colonic epithelial cell diversity in health and inflammatory bowel disease.

Nature **567**, 49-55 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0992-y

36   Howe, K. L., Wang, A., Hunter, M. M., Stanton, B. A. & McKay, D. M. TGFbeta down-

regulation of the CFTR: a means to limit epithelial chloride secretion. *Exp Cell Res* **298**,

473-484 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2004.04.026

37   Maitra, R., Shaw, C. M., Stanton, B. A. & Hamilton, J. W. Increased functional cell

surface expression of CFTR and DeltaF508-CFTR by the anthracycline doxorubicin. *Am*

J Physiol Cell Physiol **280**, C1031-1037 (2001).

https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.2001.280.5.C1031

38   Maitra, R., Shaw, C. M., Stanton, B. A. & Hamilton, J. W. Functional enhancement of

CFTR expression by mitomycin C. *Cell Physiol Biochem* **11**, 93-98 (2001).

https://doi.org/10.1159/000047796

39   France, M. M. & Turner, J. R. The mucosal barrier at a glance. *J Cell Sci* **130**, 307-314

(2017). https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.193482

40   Citi, S. *et al.* A short guide to the tight junction. *J Cell Sci* **137** (2024).

https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.261776

41   Sheikh, A. *et al.* Outer membrane vesicles from Bacteroides fragilis contain coding and

non-coding small RNA species that modulate inflammatory signaling in intestinal

epithelial cells. *bioRxiv* (2025). https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.06.25.661399

42   Bomberger, J. M. *et al.* Long-distance delivery of bacterial virulence factors by

Pseudomonas aeruginosa outer membrane vesicles. *PLoS Pathog* **5**, e1000382 (2009).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000382

43   Bomberger, J. M. *et al.* A Pseudomonas aeruginosa toxin that hijacks the host ubiquitin

proteolytic system. *PLoS Pathog* **7**, e1001325 (2011).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1001325

44   Koeppen, K. *et al.* Tobramycin reduces key virulence determinants in the proteome of

723   Pseudomonas aeruginosa outer membrane vesicles. *PLoS One* **14**, e0211290 (2019).

724   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211290

725   45   Kumar, A. *et al.* SLC26A3 (DRA, the Congenital Chloride Diarrhea Gene): A Novel

726   Therapeutic Target for Diarrheal Diseases. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol* **19**, 101452

727   (2025). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2024.101452

728   46   Han, Y. W. *et al.* Interactions between periodontal bacteria and human oral epithelial

729   cells: Fusobacterium nucleatum adheres to and invades epithelial cells. *Infect Immun* **68**,

730   3140-3146 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.68.6.3140-3146.2000

731   47   Antosca, K. M. *et al.* Altered Stool Microbiota of Infants with Cystic Fibrosis Shows a

732   Reduction in Genera Associated with Immune Programming from Birth. *J Bacteriol* **201**

733   (2019). https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00274-19

734   48   Lee, C. G. *et al.* Bacteroides fragilis Toxin Induces Intestinal Epithelial Cell Secretion of

735   Interleukin-8 by the E-Cadherin/beta-Catenin/NF-kappaB Dependent Pathway.

736   *Biomedicines* **10** (2022). https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines10040827

737   49   Choi, J. W., Kim, S. C., Hong, S. H. & Lee, H. J. Secretable Small RNAs via Outer

738   Membrane Vesicles in Periodontal Pathogens. *J Dent Res* **96**, 458-466 (2017).

739   https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034516685071

740   50   Gilmore, W. J. *et al.* Bacteroides fragilis outer membrane vesicles preferentially activate

741   innate immune receptors compared to their parent bacteria. *Front Immunol* **13**, 970725

742   (2022). https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.970725

743   51   Stanton, B. A. Extracellular Vesicles and Host-Pathogen Interactions: A Review of Inter-

744   Kingdom Signaling by Small Noncoding RNA. *Genes (Basel)* **12** (2021).

745   https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12071010

52   Franco, A. A. The Bacteroides fragilis pathogenicity island is contained in a putative

novel conjugative transposon. *J Bacteriol* **186**, 6077-6092 (2004).

https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.186.18.6077-6092.2004

53   Wu, S. *et al.* A human colonic commensal promotes colon tumorigenesis via activation

of T helper type 17 T cell responses. *Nat Med* **15**, 1016-1022 (2009).

https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2015

54   Chan, J. L. *et al.* Non-toxigenic Bacteroides fragilis (NTBF) administration reduces

bacteria-driven chronic colitis and tumor development independent of polysaccharide A.

Mucosal Immunol **12**, 164-177 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-018-0085-5

55   Bauman, S. J. & Kuehn, M. J. Purification of outer membrane vesicles from

Pseudomonas aeruginosa and their activation of an IL-8 response. *Microbes Infect* **8**,

2400-2408 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2006.05.001

56   Koeppen, K. *et al.* An rRNA fragment in extracellular vesicles secreted by human airway

epithelial cells increases the fluoroquinolone sensitivity of P. aeruginosa. *Am J Physiol*

Lung Cell Mol Physiol **325**, L54-L65 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplung.00150.2022

57   Stanton, B. A., Coutermarsh, B., Barnaby, R. & Hogan, D. Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Reduces VX-809 Stimulated F508del-CFTR Chloride Secretion by Airway Epithelial

Cells. *PLoS One* **10**, e0127742 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0127742

58   Barnaby, R., Koeppen, K. & Stanton, B. A. Cyclodextrins reduce the ability of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa outer-membrane vesicles to reduce CFTR Cl(-) secretion. *Am*

J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol **316**, L206-L215 (2019).

https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplung.00316.2018

59   Talebian, L., Coutermarsh, B., Channon, J. Y. & Stanton, B. A. Corr4A and VRT325 do

not reduce the inflammatory response to P. aeruginosa in human cystic fibrosis airway

epithelial cells. *Cell Physiol Biochem* **23**, 199-204 (2009).

https://doi.org/10.1159/000204108

60   Stanton, B. A. Effects of Pseudomonas aeruginosa on CFTR chloride secretion and the

host immune response. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* **312**, C357-C366 (2017).

https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00373.2016

61   Welsh, J. A. *et al.* Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles (MISEV2023):

From basic to advanced approaches. *J Extracell Vesicles* **13**, e12404 (2024).

https://doi.org/10.1002/jev2.12404

62   Arganda-Carreras, I. *et al.* Trainable Weka Segmentation: a machine learning tool for

microscopy pixel classification. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 2424-2426 (2017).

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx180

63   Team, R. C. *A language and environment for statistical computing.* (A language and

environment for statistical computing, 2017).

64   Wickham, H. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis.* (Springer-Verlag, 2016).