Drosophila host defense mechanisms against filamentous fungal pathogens with diverse lifestyles

Guiqing Liu[1,2*], Yao Tian[1], Mark Austin Hanson[3], Prince Kumar Sah[1], Jun Li[2], Bruno Lemaitre[1*]

1 Global Health Institute, School of Life Science, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland.

2 Guangdong Key Laboratory of Animal Conservation and Resource Utilization, Institute of Zoology, Guangdong Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China.

3 Centre for Ecology and Conservation, Faculty of Environment, Science, and Economy, University of Exeter, Penryn, United Kingdom.

*Correspondence: guiqing.liu@foxmail.com; bruno.lemaitre@epfl.ch.

Abstract

Entomopathogenic fungi serve as powerful regulators of insect populations in nature. However, how immune effectors combat fungal pathogens remains incompletely understood. We employ *Drosophila melanogaster* as a genetically tractable model to dissect immune defense mechanisms against diverse fungal pathogens. We show that the Toll pathway is the key determinant of immunity against all species tested regardless of their ecological strategy, primarily through resistance mechanisms that limit fungal proliferation. In addition, melanization, but not phagocytosis or the Imd pathway, also has a role in limiting fungal entry and proliferation. Additionally, we show that fungal protease detection by Persephone has a quantitatively more critical role than the glucan sensor GNBP3 in the activation of the Toll pathway upon fungal infection. Our study also reveals that the fly-obligate fungus *Entomophthora muscae* employs a vegetative development strategy to hide from the host immune response. These findings reveal that *Drosophila* immune mechanisms effectively defend against a broad range of fungal pathogens, while highlighting striking adaptations to overcome these defenses in highly specialized fungal pathogens such as *E. muscae.*

Introduction

Fungal infections are widespread in nature and exert a profound impact across a wide range of organisms, including agriculturally significant plants, insects, and humans (Brown, 2023; Dean et al., 2012; Wang and Wang, 2017). Interactions between fungal pathogens and their hosts are highly dynamic and intricate. This complexity arises from the vast evolutionary diversity of fungal pathogens and the ever-changing host microenvironments that influence their infection (Brown, 2023; Dean et al., 2012; Wang and Wang, 2017). An enhanced understanding of host-fungus interactions could lead to the development of more effective strategies for managing fungal infections of global importance in agriculture, public health or environmental management (Casalini et al., 2024; Rommelaere et al., 2025).

Fungal pathogens have evolved within different niches. Saprotrophic fungi such as *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Aspergillus fumigatus* primarily inhabit the environment but have evolved independent mechanisms that facilitate animal

infections (Morelli et al., 2021; Ristow et al., 2023). In contrast, other fungal pathogens, including *Candida albicans* and entomopathogenic fungi such as *Metarhizium* and *Beauveria* species, have co-evolved with their hosts (Brown, 2023; Hu et al., 2014). Some, under strong selection to exploit their hosts for survival, have even become obligate pathogens as seen in *Entomophthora* and *Ophiocordyceps* species (Ballou et al., 2016; De Fine Licht et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2019; Wang and Wang, 2017). Unlike human-pathogenic fungi, entomopathogenic fungi can hardly grow or even survive at 37°C (Siscar-Lewin et al., 2022). The genus *Metarhizium* includes generalist species capable of infecting hundreds of insect species across various orders (e.g. *M. robertsii*, formerly classified as *M. anisopliae*) and specialist species with a narrow host range (e.g. *M. acrium* and *M. rileyi*). Comparative genomic analyses suggest that generalist species evolved from specialists by broadening their repertoire of virulence factors (Gao et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2014). Intriguingly, some specialists such as *E. muscae* and *O. unilateralis* manipulate host behavior. They prompt infected insects to climb to elevated positions, affix themselves with their proboscides, and then splay their wings before dying, thereby facilitating fungal spore dissemination (Elya et al., 2018; Hughes et al., 2011).

Entomopathogenic fungi play a crucial role in regulating insect populations in natural ecosystems. Among them, the genetics and infection biology of *Metarhizium* and *Beauveria* species have been extensively studied and several strains have been developed as environmentally sustainable mycoinsecticides (Wang and Wang, 2017). Unlike bacterial and viral pathogens, which primarily utilize oral or respiratory routes, entomopathogenic fungi primarily infect insects through direct penetration of the cuticle and proliferate within the hemolymph. As a result, they must overcome multiple defenses, including cuticular barriers and both localized and systemic immune responses (Levitin and Whiteway, 2008; Mpamhanga and Kounatidis, 2024; Westlake et al., 2024).

On the pathogen side of host-pathogen interactions, entomopathogenic fungi have evolved various virulence factors to overcome or evade host defense (Cen et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2024; Qu and Wang, 2018; Tang et al., 2025; Valero-Jiménez et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2023). Comparative genomic studies indicate that generalist fungi tend to

have an expanded repertoire of diverse protein families compared to specialist fungi,

including cuticle-degrading proteins (proteases, carbohydrate esterase) and

pathogen-host interaction proteins (Hu et al., 2014; Shang et al., 2016; Wichadakul et

al., 2015). However, the pathogenic mechanisms of less-studied fungi, such as *M.*

rileyi and *E. muscae*, as well as the host defense responses they elicit, remain largely

unexplored (Boucias et al., 2016; Elya and De Fine Licht, 2021).

The fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* serves as a powerful model organism for

investigating multiple defense mechanisms against fungal infections (Levitin and

Whiteway, 2008; Mpamhanga and Kounatidis, 2024; Westlake et al., 2024). A range

of immune receptors detect microbial molecules and damage-associated signals,

triggering key immune responses such as the Imd and Toll pathways, phagocytosis

and melanization. The Toll and Imd pathways coordinate the production of immune

effectors by the fat body during systemic infection (De Gregorio, 2002; Rommelaere

et al., 2024; Uttenweiler-Joseph et al., 1998; Valanne et al., 2011; Westlake et al.,

2024). The Imd pathway controls the production of antibacterial peptide (e.g.

Diptericin, Cecropin) and is critical to resist infection by Gram-negative bacteria

(Carboni et al., 2022; Hanson et al., 2019). The Toll signaling pathway is critical to

resist fungal infection and infection with Gram positive bacteria (Lemaitre et al., 1996;

Ryckebusch et al., 2025). It can be activated by two mechanisms: if a key fungal cell

wall component, β-1,3-glucan, binds to the secreted pattern-recognition receptor

GNBP3, or if fungal proteases activate a complex extracellular cascade of serine

proteases, the Toll-PO cascade. Both mechanisms converge to the activating cleavage

of the Toll ligand Spätzle (Spz) (El Chamy et al., 2008; Gottar et al., 2006; Westlake

et al., 2024). Binding of Spz to the Toll receptor initiates an intracellular cascade

leading to the production of a battery of host defense peptides (Weber et al., 2003).

Among them, genetic and *in vitro* studies have revealed the role of Drosomycin,

Metchnikowin, Bomanins, Daisho and Baramicin to combat fungal infection

(Clemmons et al., 2015; Cohen et al., 2020; Fehlbaum et al., 1994; Hanson et al.,

2021; Levashina et al., 1995). These host defense peptides can function additively or

synergistically to combat pathogens, but they can also display high specificity toward

a pathogens (Hanson, 2024; Lazzaro et al., 2020). The Toll-PO cascade also activates

the melanization reaction. It leads to the maturation of ProPhenoloxidases (PPO) into Phenoloxidases (PO) that produce melanin and reactive oxygen species with antimicrobial activity (Dudzic et al., 2019; Shan et al., 2023; Westlake et al., 2024). The melanization reaction and phagocytosis have been shown to contribute to surviving infection, including by fungi (Binggeli et al., 2014; Feldman et al., 2019; Lohse and Johnson, 2008; Quintin et al., 2013).

Most research on entomopathogenic fungi have focused on a few model species or genera, leaving the full extent of their diversity largely unexplored. Consequently, the roles of immune pathways and effectors in mediating host defense against different types of fungi remain poorly understood. In this study, we use *D. melanogaster* to systematically assess the roles of immune modules, pathways, and immune effectors in surviving fungal infections with varying lifestyles. By employing genetically engineered flies with single and multiple mutations in immune genes, we aim to determine: (i) which immune pathways are crucial and whether the same pathways are used against different fungal species, (ii) the relative importance of immune modules depending on the infection route, (iii) whether immune pathways function independently or synergistically to combat different fungi, and (iv) how antifungal peptides contribute individually or collectively to host defense against fungal infections.

Results

Fungal strains, modes of infection and immune deficient mutants

We aimed to determine whether differences in *Drosophila* antifungal defense could be revealed by using fungal pathogens with distinct lifestyles. We selected five fungal species: *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Beauveria bassiana*, *Metarhizium anisopliae*, *Metarhizium rileyi*, and *Entomophthora muscae*. *A. fumigatus* is an opportunistic pathogen with limited ability to penetrate the insect cuticle, yet it is pathogenic when introduced systemically (Lemaitre et al., 1996). *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, *M. rileyi,* and *E. muscae* are entomopathogenic fungi capable of infecting insects through the cuticle (Elya et al., 2018; Wang and Wang, 2017). While *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* have broad host ranges, *M. rileyi* predominantly infects noctuid moths with

a more restricted host range (Boucias et al., 2016) and *E. muscae* is a fly-obligate

pathogen (Edwards and De Fine Licht, 2024).

Spores (conidia) from *A. fumigatus, B. bassiana, M. anisopliae* and *M. rileyi,* can

be produced *in vitro*, allowing us to use two infection methods: natural (topical)

infection via immersion of flies in a spore suspension, and systemic infection by

direct pricking with a needle dipped in the spore solution. In contrast, *E. muscae*

spores cannot be cultured outside its host. For this species, natural infections were

initiated by spore shower from cadavers of previously infected individuals as

described previously (Edwards and De Fine Licht, 2024; Elya et al., 2018) and

protoplasts, which can be grown *in vitro*, were used for injections. Fly stocks were

maintained at 25°C, but infections were carried out at 29°C for *A. fumigatus*, *B.*

*bassian*a, *M. anisopliae*, and *M. rileyi,* and 21°C for *E. muscae*. The immune pathway

mutants and antimicrobial peptide-deficient strains used in this study have been

described previously (Hanson et al., 2019; Ryckebusch et al., 2025). Most mutations

were isogenized in w^{1118} DrosDel background by seven rounds of successive

backcrosses (referred to as "iso"), although some non-isogenized mutants were also

included in this study (see full list of genotypes in Table S1).

Toll and melanization contribute to surviving fungal natural infections

Survival analysis upon topical natural infection with the five fungi were performed

with male w^{1118} *Drosdel flies (hereafter wild-type flies) and* flies specifically deficient

for Toll (spätzle, spz^{rm7}), Imd (Relish, Rel^{E20}), phagocytosis ($NimC1^1$; $Eater^1$) and

melanization ($PPO1^{\Delta}$, $PPO2^{\Delta}$), as well as compound mutant flies simultaneously

lacking these four modules (*Hayan-psh^{Def}; NimC1^1; eater^1, Rel^{E20}* hereafter named

ΔIPTM for *ΔIMD, ΔTOLL, ΔPhag, ΔMel*) (Ryckebusch et al., 2025). Survival upon

natural infection reveals the major contribution of the Toll pathway, and to a lesser

extent melanization to host defense against these five fungal pathogens, while

deficiency in IMD and Phagocytosis have no significant effect (Figs. 1A-E). The

absence of major role of the cellular response is supported by our observation that

hemoless adults that have reduced number of plasmatocytes due to the overexpression

of *Bax* show no or only a mild susceptibility to *B. bassiana* or *M. anisopliae*,

respectively (Figs. S1A-D). The susceptibility of *spz^rm7* flies was similar to that of

ΔIPTM flies lacking all four immune modules indicating that Toll is by far the most

important pathway to survive all the tested fungi (Table S3). However, *ΔIPTM* flies

were more susceptible than *spz^rm7* flies to *A. fumigatus,* but this likely reflects the

already short lifespan of *ΔIPTM* flies (Fig. 1F), as natural infection with *A. fumigatus*

did not cause a strong reduction in lifespan (Ryckebusch et al., 2025). Consistent with

the findings in males, a similar role of the Toll pathway and melanization in surviving

fungal infection was also observed in females, though with a lesser role for

melanization in defense against *B. bassiana* in females (Figs. S2A-E).

The four entomopathogenic fungi were more pathogenic to *Drosophila* than the

opportunistic pathogen *A. fumigatus* (Figs. 1A- E), reflecting their ability to naturally

infect flies. Although *E. muscae* is a specialist fungus that exclusively parasitizes flies,

it displayed the same level of pathogenicity as generalist entomopathogenic fungi *B.*

bassiana and *M. anisopliae*, and the parasitic noctuid specialist *M. rileyi* to *spz^rm7* flies

(Compare 1E to Figs. 1A-C). We estimate the quantity of spores released from twenty

cadavers for 24 h infection to be around 4.3×10^3 (Fig. S2F), a much lower spore

concentration than the ones used for natural infection with the other four fungi.

Collectively, our study shows that the Toll pathway is the main host defense

mechanism upon natural infection with the five fungal species. Beyond the Toll

pathway, melanization but not the Imd pathway or phagocytosis plays an important

role. Interestingly, survival analysis shows the high pathogenicity of *E. muscae* to

Drosophila.

Reduced role of melanization in host defense against fungal infection upon septic
injury

Injuries allow microbes to bypass the mechanical barrier normally presented by the

cuticle and to directly access the nutrient-rich hemolymph. We therefore analyzed the

role of the four immune modules in host defense against fungal pathogens using a

septic injury mode of infection. It should be noted that *PPO1^Δ, PPO2^Δ* flies showed a

reduced capacity to survive wounding caused by a clean injury compared with

wild-type *w^1118* flies (Fig. 2F) (Binggeli et al., 2014). Survival upon septic infection

with spores of *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, *M. rileyi* or *A. fumigatus* revealed faster kinetics of killing as expected from the direct mode of infection that bypasses the crossing of the cuticle. Consistent with the observations done using a natural mode of infection, the Toll pathway was the most effective module in surviving infection by *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, *M. rileyi* and *A. fumigatus*. Interestingly, the contribution of melanization was less marked upon systemic infection compared to natural infection (compare Figs. 2A-D to Figs 1A-D). These results suggest that melanization has a key role early in the natural infection process, possibly by inhibiting fungi at the entry sites through the deposition of melanin. Unexpectedly, we observed that Rel^{E20} flies were highly susceptible to *A. fumigatus* upon septic infection (Fig. 2D, Table S3), but not by natural infection (Fig. 1D, Table S3). This points to a role of the humoral Imd pathway against this fungus that becomes important only if the fungus can breach the cuticle.

To analyze the host defense against *E. muscae* upon systemic infection, we injected protoplasts in wild-type and immune deficient flies. Faster killing by *E. muscae* was observed when injected with higher concentration of protoplasts (Fig. S3F), which indicates that injection of protoplasts is a valid method to initiate infection in flies. Intriguingly, flies deficient for each of the four main immune modules showed similar susceptibility to the wild-type flies after injection with *E. muscae* protoplasts. Although *ΔIPTM* flies display a significantly increased susceptibility upon injection with *E. musca* protoplasts (Fig. 2E), the difference between *ΔITPM* flies injected with *E. muscae* protoplasts and clean injury was not significant (Fig. 2F, Table S3), indicating this difference rather reflects the baseline response of *ΔITPM* flies to injury. Similar findings were consistently observed in females (Figs. S3A-E).

We conclude that the mode of infection alters the importance and involvement of different immune modules. Natural infection by depositing spores on the cuticle requires the melanization response to some extent, while direct injection of spores bypasses this melanization-mediated defense. On the other hand, the humoral Imd pathway plays little to no role in defense against natural infection, but an important role can be revealed specifically upon *A. fumigatus* systemic infection. We also

observed that injection of *E. muscae* protoplasts kills immune mutant and wild-type flies with similar kinetics, despite immunity being involved in defense against natural infection.

Natural infection with *E. muscae* barely induces the Toll pathway

Having revealed its contribution to combat infection with the five fungi, we next monitored the activity of the Toll pathway during the natural infection using the *Drosomycin* (*Drs*) as a read-out, a Toll-regulated gene coding for an antifungal peptide. Natural infection with the *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* triggered a relatively strong expression of the Toll pathway, representing about 20-30% of the level observed 24 h after systemic injury with the model, Toll-eliciting bacterium *M. luteus* (Figs. 3A-B compared to 3F). Natural infection with *M. rileyi* triggers a significant Toll pathway activity although only at the time point of 120 h, suggesting a delayed activation (Fig. 3C). *A. fumigatus* did not trigger the Toll pathway within the timeframe upon natural infection, where if anything, *Drs* levels were depressed (Fig. 3D). The low Toll pathway activation by *A. fumigatus* is likely due to the weak ability of this fungus to penetrate insect by the natural route. Collectively, the level of induction of the Toll pathway correlates with the level of pathogenicity using the natural infection mode with *M. anisopliae*>*B. bassiana*>*M. rileyi* >*A. fumigatus*. In sharp contrast, *E. muscae*, despite being very pathogenic to flies, triggers a low induction of *Drs* expression and this upregulation of *Drs* was observed only at the 24 h after infection (Fig. 3E). Expression of another Toll pathway read-out, the Bomanin *BomBc3*, exhibited similar expression to that of *Drs* (Figs. S4A-E). Natural infection of flies carrying the *Drosomycin-GFP* (*Drs-GFP*) reporter (Ferrandon, 1998) also confirmed that *B. bassiana* induced a much stronger expression of the Toll pathway compared to *E. muscae* (Fig. 3G). Thus, natural infection with *E. muscae* either fails to activate the Toll pathway or suppresses it.

To distinguish between these two alternatives, we compared the Toll pathway activity of unchallenged flies and flies 48 h after natural infection with *E. muscae* upon septic injury with the Gram-positive bacterium *M. luteus*, a strong inducer of the Toll pathway. We found that 24 h post-infection with *M. luteus, Drs* expression was

similarly induced to a high level in both unchallenged flies and flies exposed to *E. muscae* natural infection 48 h prior to *M. luteus* infection, suggesting there was no ongoing suppression of Toll signaling by *E. muscae* (Fig. 3F). Use of flies carrying the *Drs-GFP* reporter revealed similar kinetics of *Drs* expression in 48 h *E. muscae* infected flies and unchallenged flies following infection with *M. luteus* (Fig. 3H). These experiments suggest that natural infection with *E. muscae* fails to activate the Toll pathway rather than suppresses it.

We then compared Toll pathway activity upon septic infection by a needle dipped in spores of *B. bassiana,* or injection of protoplasts of *E. muscae*. As expected, the direct introduction of *B. bassiana* spores triggers a strong *Drs* expression with earlier kinetics compared to natural infection (Fig. 3I). In contrast, injection of *E. muscae* protoplasts did not trigger the Toll pathway more than injury alone as revealed by the low and similar levels of *Drs* expression in both PBS clean injury controls and protoplast-injected flies (Fig. 3J). Expression of another Toll pathway read-out the Bomanin *BomBc3* exhibited similar expression trend to that of *Drs* (Figs. S4F-G). Use of flies carrying the *Drs-GFP* reporter after systemic infection with spores of *B. bassiana* and protoplasts of *E. muscae* further confirmed these observations made by qRT-PCR (Fig. 3K). We infer that protoplasts are not detected by Toll pathway sensors and that this may provide a mechanism for *E. muscae* to proliferate in the hemolymph without triggering the immune response.

Collectively, our study shows that natural infection with *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae* and *M. rileyi* triggers a strong induction of the Toll pathway, consistent with their pathogenicity. Despite its pathogenicity, natural infection with *E. muscae* triggers only a low Toll pathway activity indicating that this fungus is not recognized by the immune system.

***Entomophthora muscae* hides from the host immune response using a vegetative development strategy**

To explore the strategies employed by *E. muscae* to hide from Toll sensing, we investigated the dynamics of *E. muscae* development inside flies. We first inspected the steps leading to the protoplast-hypha transition *in vitro* by analyzing protoplast

differentiation in Grace's insect media. We observed that *E. muscae* protoplasts change from a round to an irregular shape (Fig. 4A). The protoplasts grow and elongate towards both ends and other directions along the sites of vesicle of protoplast. Calcofluor White M2R staining showed the absence of cell walls of these protoplasts before their transformation to hyphae after more than two-weeks culture in the Grace's Insect Medium. We observed the presence of vesicles in both protoplasts and hyphae during the development process in Grace's insect media (red arrow in Figure 4). Vesicle-driven outward expansion of the membrane ultimately results in pinching of the fungal cell to generate "subprotoplasts". Vesicles have been demonstrated to promote infection and stimulate protective immunity, depending on the species and host context (Lai et al., 2023; Reis and Rodrigues, 2025; Rodrigues et al., 2024). In addition, DAPI staining reveals that these protoplasts are multinucleated, and in the process of elongating, nuclei disperse along the extension of the protoplasts (Fig. S5). Next, we analyzed protoplast differentiation in flies. Morphology observation of flies showed that the entire infectious process of *E. muscae* could be divided into five stages, namely, early infection stage, fungal spores contact and subsequently penetrate the host cuticle (Stage 1/S1), intra-hemolymph growth stage, protoplasts proliferate inside the flies exhibiting erect wings (S2), protoplasts transformed to invasive hyphae stage, flies affixing themselves with their proboscides just prior to death (S3), hyphal growth stage, dead flies with a swollen and white abdomen with full of hyphae in the hemocoel (S4), hyphae extrude and produce spores from the cadavers (S5) (Fig. 4B). Staining fungal cell by dissecting flies at the above stages S1-S5 with Calcofluor White M2R, which marks fungal chitin in the cell wall, reveals that protoplasts undergo differentiation to hyphae only prior to death in the later stages, with no cell wall at S1 and S2, much thinner cell walls at S3 compared to invasive hyphae at S4 and hyphae sporulating on the cadavers at S5 (Fig. 4C). Microscopic observation showed that the development of *E. muscae* protoplasts also underwent the same morphological steps in host hemocoel as observed *in vitro* (Fig. 4A to Fig. 4C). Although protoplasts undergo the same differentiation process in infected flies, the transition from protoplasts to hyphae takes place 4 to 7 days after fly infection, compared to two weeks in the Grace's Insect Medium. Thus, the formation of

invasive hyphae is a process that take place very late in the infection process, just before fly death. The observation that injection of *E. muscae* protoplasts are not recognized by the immune system, and that *E. muscae* persists in the first stage of infection as protoplasts, suggests that this fungus hides from the immune system by using a cell wall-less structure.

The Persephone branch of the Toll-PO serine protease cascade is more critical than the GNBP3 -modSP branch to activate the Toll pathway

The Toll pathway is activated upon the maturation of the Toll ligand Spz by a complex cascade of serine proteases called the Toll-PO serine protease (SP) cascade, which also regulates the maturation of PPOs (Westlake et al., 2024). Lysine-type peptidoglycans from Gram-positive bacteria and β-glucans from fungi are detected by the pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) PGRP-SA (with its adaptor GNBP1) and GNBP3, respectively (Gottar et al., 2006; Michel et al., 2001) This triggers the sequential activation of SPs ModSP, Grass, and Psh/Hayan (Buchon et al., 2009; Dudzic et al., 2019; El Chamy et al., 2008; Kambris et al., 2006). Psh and Hayan mediate the activation of SPE, which cleaves Spz, which then dimerizes and binds to the Toll receptor inducing an intracellular cascade leading to the production of Toll-regulated effectors such as antimicrobial peptides (Jang et al., 2006; Weber et al., 2003). Psh (and likely Hayan) can also be independently cleaved by microbial proteases (El Chamy et al., 2008; Gottar et al., 2006; Issa et al., 2018). Hayan and Psh also regulate downstream SPs that activate PPO1 and PPO2, initiating the melanization response leading to cuticular blackening and microbicidal activities (Fig. 5A) (Dudzic et al., 2019; Nam et al., 2012; Shan et al., 2023; Westlake et al., 2024). Having shown the critical role of the Toll pathway upon natural infection with entomopathogenic fungi, we investigated the mechanisms that activate Toll focusing on *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae, M. rileyi* and *E. muscae*. In these experiments, we used flies carrying the *GNBP3^hades* null mutation over a deficiency *Df(ED4421),* as we noticed that *GNBP3^hades* homozygous flies display a marked susceptibility to fungal infection that was not observed in transheterozygote *GNBP3^hades/Df(ED4421)* flies, pointing to a background effect (Figs. S6A and 6B). The marked susceptibility of the

psh^{SK1} analysis showed that Psh contributes to host defense against *B. bassiana*, *M.*
anisopliae, M. rileyi and *E. muscae* (Figs. 5B-E). In contrast, the role of the glucan
binding sensor GNBP3 was modest as revealed by the almost wild-type survival of
GNBP3^{hades}/Df(ED4421) flies to *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae, M. rileyi* and *E. muscae*
(Figs. 5B-E, Table S3). Similar results were obtained when using another recently
generated *GNPB3^{Δ}* deficient mutant (Lu et al., 2024) (Figs. S6A and S6B). As ModSP
integrates signals from GNBP3 as a PRR of the Toll-PO SP cascade (Buchon et al.,
2009), we expected that *modSP^{1}* flies would exhibit the same host defense as
GNBP3^{hades}/Df (ED4421) flies against fungal infection. We indeed observed that
modSP^{1} flies exhibited the same ability as *GNBP3/Df (ED4421)* flies to survive *B.*
bassiana, *M. anisopliae* and *M. rileyi (*Fig 5B-D). Strikingly, *modSP^{1}* flies but not
GNBP3^{hades}/Df(ED4421) displayed a marked susceptibility to *E. muscae* similar to
spz^{rm7} flies (Fig 5E). As expected, *psh^{1};;modSP^{1}* double mutants that block both
modes of sensing of Toll and *Hayan-psh^{Def}* double mutants displayed susceptibility
similar to *spz^{rm7}* flies. To further characterize the role of the Toll sensor, we next
monitored Toll pathway activation upon natural infection with *M. anisopliae*. We
restricted our analysis to this fungal species as *M. anisopliae* strongly activates the
Toll pathway. The expression pattern of *Drs* and *BomBc3* in the mutants confirms the
main role of Psh in activation of the Toll pathway upon natural infection (Figs. 5F-G).
Both *Drs* and *BomBc3* expression were not induced in *psh^{SK1}* flies, *Hayan-psh^{Def}*
double mutant flies, or *psh^{1};;modSP^{1}* double mutant flies, being comparable to *spz^{rm7}*
flies, consistent with their high susceptibilities to fungal infection. However, the
expression of the Toll pathway was not affected in *GNBP3^{hades}* homozygous flies as
revealed by the wild-type expression of *Drs* and *BomBc3*.

Our results indicate that Psh is a highly important sensor in activating the Toll
pathway to mediate the host defense against these fungi, while GNBP3 plays only a
minor role. Surprisingly, we note that ModSP plays a specific role in surviving
infection with *E. muscae, suggesting another role of this apical serine protease*
beyond integrating GNBP3 signals.

The Toll pathway restricts fungal growth

Having characterized the importance of the Toll pathway and the underlying mechanism leading to its activation, we next explored how the Toll pathway effectively combats fungal infection. Protection by the immune system can be mediated via resistance mechanisms that prevent pathogen growth or via tolerance mechanisms that protect the host without directly inhibiting the pathogen (Pradeu et al., 2024; Soares et al., 2017). We infected flies by natural infection and quantified fungal proliferation after infection. qPCR levels of *B. bassiana 18S* gDNA reveal a much high fungal load at 3- and 4-days post natural infection in *spz^{rm7}* flies compared to wild-type flies (Fig. 6A). Higher fungal loads with earlier growth kinetics were also found in *spz^{rm7}* flies compared to wild-type flies when infected with *M. anisopliae* and *E. muscae* (Figs. 6B-C). To confirm and extend these results, we used a *M. anisopliae* tagged GFP strain and monitored the expression of the Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) upon septic infection in both wild-type and *spz* mutant flies. We observed a much higher proportion of flies with strong GFP signals in *spz^{rm7}* flies compared to wild-type (Fig. 6D). While *M. anisopliae* disseminated throughout the body in *spz^{rm7}* mutant flies, the fungus was restricted to the thorax in wild-type flies at 2- and 3- days post infection (Fig. 6E). In keeping with this faster dissemination, the fungi broke through cuticle and extrude from the cadavers of *spz^{rm7}* mutant flies 2 to 4-day before that of *wild-type* flies. In both wild-type and *spz^{rm7}* flies, fungal growth eventually occurs in the cadavers across all three tagmata, including the wings and legs (Fig. S7). Thus, Toll act as a resistance mechanism limiting the growth of these three entomopathogenic fungi.

Multiple host defense peptides contribute to Toll antifungal activity

In the last years, several host new defense peptides controlling fungal infection have been identified in *Drosophila* (Hanson et al., 2025). In addition to Drs and Mtk that display antifungal activity *in vitro* (Feldman et al., 2019; Moghaddam et al., 2017), genetic studies have revealed that deficiencies in *Baramicin A*, *Bomanins* (12 genes) and *Daisho* (2 genes) cause susceptibility to specific fungi (Clemmons et al., 2015; Cohen et al., 2020; Hanson et al., 2021). The role of these immune peptides has never been analyzed collectively for their role in host defense against fungi. This

prompted us to explore the nature of downstream Toll effectors using isogenic mutant

lines against entomopathogenic fungi upon natural infection. In this analysis we used

single null mutants in *Drosomycin (Drs^{R1})*, *Metchnikowin (Mtk^{R1})*, *Baramicin A*

(BaraA^{SW1}), *Daisho1/2* ($\triangle$*Dso*, a short deletion removing both Daisho 1 and 2) and

Bom^{\triangle 55C} that removes ten of the twelve *Bomanins* (Clemmons et al., 2015; Cohen et

al., 2020; Hanson et al., 2021, 2019). We also used previously generated compound

mutants including *Mtk^{R1}, Drs^{R1}* double mutants, $\triangle$AMP10 (a fly line deleted for 10

AMPs including *Mtk, AttA/B/C/D, DptA/B, Drc, Def*) and $\triangle$AMP14 (a fly line deleted

for 14AMPs similar to $\triangle$AMP10 with an additional deletion of the four *Cecropin*

genes *CecA1/A2/B/C*) flies (Carboni et al., 2022; Hanson et al., 2019). In the

framework of this study, we further generated four additional compound mutants to

see possible additivity or synergistic action of these host defense peptides including i)

BaraA^{SW1}, $\triangle$*Dso*; ii) *Mtk^{R1}, BaraA^{SW1}*; *Drs^{R1}*; iii) *Mtk^{R1}, BaraA^{SW1}*, $\triangle$*Dso*; *Drs^{R1}*) and

iv) *Mtk^{R1}, Bom^{\triangle 55C}*; *Drs^{R1}*.

Upon natural infection by fungi, the *Bom^{\triangle 55C}*, $\triangle$*Dso* deletions caused marked

susceptibility to all four entomopathogenic fungi while single mutations in *Mtk* and

Drs had no effect against any fungus. Alone, *BaraA^{SW1}* caused a susceptibility to only

two of the four fungi. This reveals the critical role of *Bomanin* and *Daisho1/2* to

survive fungal infection (Fig. 7A and Fig. S8). We found that flies lacking both

Baramicin and *Daisho1,2* were markedly more susceptible to infection with *B.*

bassiana, M. rileyi, and *E. muscae*, compared to $\triangle$*Dso* single mutant flies, while the

difference for *M. anisopliae* was consistent in direction, but relatively minor (Table

S3). We also observed that *Mtk^{R1}, Drs^{R1}* but not *Mtk^{R1}* or *Drs^{R1}* single mutants display

increased susceptibility to *M. anisopliae,* but not *B. bassiana*, *M. rileyi* and *E. muscae,*

revealing an additive effect against M. anisopliae specifically. Strikingly, *Mtk^{R1}*,

Bom^{\triangle 55C}; *Drs^{R1}* showed greater susceptibility to infection compared to *Bom^{\triangle 55C}* flies

for *B. bassiana, M. anisopliae,* and *M. rileyi,* but not *E. muscae*. The susceptibility of

Mtk^{R1}, Bom^{\triangle 55C}; *Drs^{R1}* flies was slightly but consistently less marked than *spz^{rm7}*

mutant flies across all fungi. Finally, we observed that $\triangle$*AMP14* flies display greater

susceptibility compared to $\triangle$*AMP10* flies against each fungus, pointing to a role of

Cecropin in host defense against fungi, consistent with *in vitro* observation (Ekengren

and Hultmark, 1999).

Taken together, we show that host defense peptides regulated by the Toll pathways,

notably Bomanins, Daisho1/2, BaraA, Mtk and Drs largely explain the contribution of

Toll to survive fungus natural infections. The strength of effect was broadly consistent

across fungal species, with virulent species revealing a greater resolution of

differential susceptibilities. Generally, we did not find evidence for a specific

importance of any fungus-by-gene interactions among these effectors, suggesting their

contributions to survival are based on their collective action in antifungal defense,

with some being more important than others.

Both PPO1 and PPO2 additively contribute to host defense against fungi

Having described the contribution of Toll effectors, we next analyzed how

melanization contributes to host defense against these entomopathogenic fungi.

Previous studies have shown that PPO1 and PPO2 redundantly contribute to both

cuticular and hemolymphatic melanization (Binggeli et al., 2014; Dudzic et al., 2019).

Genetic approaches have allowed us to distinguish distinct activities associated with

PPO1 and PPO2: cuticular melanization at the injury site is dependent on PPO1

activated through Hayan, while an uncharacterized microbicidal activity against

Staphylococcus aureus relies on Sp7, but not Hayan, with a major role for PPO1 and a

lesser but important role for PPO2 (Dudzic et al., 2019); we will note, however, that

there is some redundancy *in vitro* regarding the capability of these SPs to cleave

PPO1 and PPO2 (Shan et al., 2023). Surprisingly, compared to these roles against *S.*

aureus, we confirmed a consistent but opposite trend in the importance of *PPO1* and

PPO2 in defense against natural infection by each fungus (Fig. 7B and Fig. S9).

PPO2 mutants were more susceptible to all fungi compare to PPO1, although *PPO1,*

PPO2 double mutants were consistently more susceptible than flies deleted for *PPO2*

alone (Table S3). We next tested deletions in *Hayan* (*Hayan^{SK6}*) and *Sp7* (*Sp7^{SK6}*).

Agreeing with a primary role of Sp7 in PPO2-mediated defense, *Sp7^{SK6}* flies were as

susceptible to natural infection by *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* as *PPO2* mutants.

On the other hand, *Hayan* mutation caused no susceptibility to *B. bassiana,* but a

similar susceptibility to *Sp7* and *PPO2* mutants to *M. anisopliae.* No single mutation

caused a significant mortality against *M. rileyi*. Strikingly, *Hayan* mutation, but not *Sp7*, had a comparable susceptibility to *PPO2* mutants to *E. muscae*. In all cases, *spz^{rm7}* was the most susceptible genotype.

We also monitored fungal load of *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* in these genotypes at key time points after infection. qPCR of *B. bassiana 18S* and *M. anisopliae Rpl32* revealed trends broadly consistent with survival curves, with higher fungal loads broadly correlating to survival (Figs. 8A-B). We also infected wild-type and melanization deficient flies by pricking with *M. anisopliae* GFP spores in the thorax. In these experiments bypassing the cuticle, we again observed faster growth of *M. anisopliae GFP* in *PPO1^Δ*, *PPO2^Δ* flies revealed by stronger GFP signals and higher dissemination level within the body at both 2- and 3-day post-infection compared to wild-type flies (Fig. 8C). Consistent with the survival analysis, we observed slightly faster growth *M. anisopliae-GFP* in *PPO2* single mutants compared to *PPO1* single mutants, with a slightly earlier and stronger GFP signal also seen for *Hayan* and *Sp7* deficient flies both at 48 h and 72 h after pricking compared to wild-type and *PPO1* mutants (Fig. 8C).

Collectively, we observe a stronger role of PPO2 compared to PPO1 in defense against fungi. Additionally, it reveals different requirements for Sp7 and Hayan in defense against these fungal species, somewhat agreeing with previous observations for the role of these serine proteases in activating PPO1 or PPO2 in defense against *S. aureus,* although there is some additional complexity to the antifungal response. There was a strong correlation between susceptibility and fungal growth in the tested mutants, indicating that melanization contributes to host survival by limiting fungal dissemination and growth.

Higher Toll pathway activity in *PPO1^Δ*, *PPO2^Δ* mutant

Finally, we explored whether the immune susceptibility of melanization defective flies might result from improper Toll activity in the absence of melanization, we monitored the activation of the Toll pathway in *PPO1*, *PPO2* single and double mutant flies as well as *Sp7* and *Hayan* flies. Single mutations in *PPO1*, *PPO2*, *Sp7* and *Hayan* did not affect the inducibility of *Drosomycin* upon natural infection with

M. anisopliae and *B. bassiana* (Figs. S10A-B). In agreement with previous studies (Binggeli et al., 2014; Ryckebusch et al., 2025), we found a trend of higher Toll pathway activation in *PPO1$^\triangle$*, *PPO2$^\triangle$* double mutant flies compared to *wild-type* flies upon natural infection with these two fungal species, although *PPO* single mutants showed no increased Toll activity. A higher and earlier Toll pathway activation was also observed upon direct introduce of *M. anisopliae* spores in the body cavity at the time points of 24 h and 48 h, but not 72 h (Fig. S10C). Interestingly, we also observed a higher level of *Drs* expression in *PPO1$^\triangle$*, *PPO2$^\triangle$* flies compared to wild-type flies upon injury with a clean needle (Fig. S9C). Moreover, unchallenged *PPO1$^\triangle$*, *PPO2$^\triangle$* flies tend to have higher basal expression of *Drs* compare to their wild-type counterpart across each time point (Fig. S10C).

These findings indicate that higher Toll signaling in *PPO1$^\triangle$, PPO2$^\triangle$* is not strictly linked to higher fungal load, but may relate to a negative feedback loop between the Toll and the melanization cascade. This negative feedback loop has been proposed previously using live and heat-killed microbe injections (Binggeli et al., 2014; Ryckebusch et al., 2025). Here we show this increased Toll activity is true even for unchallenged and clean injury treatments, suggesting a role for PPOs in regulating Toll activation even in the absence of infection.

Discussion

Host–fungal pathogen interactions are complex and serve as potent drivers of evolutionary diversification (Brown, 2023; Gladieux et al., 2014; Murante and Hogan, 2024; Shang et al., 2016). Fungal pathogens span a broad ecological spectrum -from generalists like *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* with wide host ranges, to specialists such as *M. rileyi*, *E. muscae,* and *O. sinensis*, to opportunistic fungi like *C. albicans* and *A. fumigatus*. Despite this diversity, our understanding of how fungal pathogens with distinct lifestyles interact with host immunity remains incomplete (Lu et al., 2024; Murante and Hogan, 2024; Qu and Wang, 2018; Wang and Wang, 2017).

To address this gap, we used *D. melanogaster* fly lines deficient in specific immune pathways and effectors (Hanson et al., 2023, 2019; Ryckebusch et al., 2025) to systematically investigate host defense against five fungal species. Consistent with

earlier work (Lemaitre et al., 1996; Ryckebusch et al., 2025; Westlake et al., 2024), the Toll pathway emerged as the most critical immune module for defense against all tested fungi. Generalist pathogens like *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae*, and to a lesser extent the specialist *M. rileyi*, strongly induced the Toll pathway reporter gene *Drs* upon natural infection. In contrast, *E. muscae* triggered only weak activation, and *A. fumigatus* did not induce *Drs* expression at all upon natural infection. Nevertheless, Toll signaling remained essential for survival even against weak inducers, suggesting that basal or low-level Toll activity is sufficient to confer protection.

Our findings further show that Toll pathway-mediated immunity is important not only after natural infection, which involves cuticle penetration, but also after systemic infections when fungal spores are introduced directly into the hemolymph. This emphasizes the role of the Toll pathway in limiting fungal growth systemically. Recent studies using deletions of immune effectors downstream of the Toll and Imd pathways have demonstrated synergistic, additive, and pathogen-specific roles in survival (Hanson et al., 2025, 2019). We show here that Bomanins and Daisho peptides are essential for surviving infection by generalist and specialist entomopathogenic fungi. These observations align with previous studies showing the broad antifungal activity of Bomanins against *Fusarium spp.*, *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, and yeast species (Clemmons et al., 2015; Hanson et al., 2019), and Daisho peptides active against *Fusarium* species and some *Aspergillus* strains (Cohen et al., 2020). In addition, Baramicin A peptides are important for defense against virulent *Beauveria* and *Metarhizium* species (Hanson et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2023), while an individual importance for Drosomycin and Metchnikowin was not observed for any fungus tested (Hanson et al., 2021, 2019). However, the Baramicin, Drosomycin, and Metchnikowin peptides each contribute to defense independently from other Toll effectors, evidenced by an increased mortality of *BaraA, Dso* and *Drs, Mtk, Bom* combinatory mutants against most fungi. Our results may help make sense of previous studies showing striking single-mutant susceptibilities (Cohen et al., 2020; Hanson et al., 2021), as the importance of these genes was revealed in a virulence-dependent, or host susceptibility-dependent, manner – virulence and susceptibility broadly measuring opposite sides of the same coin. Thus, unlike the

specificity observed for antibacterial peptides (Hanson et al., 2023, 2019; Unckless et

al., 2016), the antifungal peptides we tested appear to act in a more general fashion,

suggesting that they target broadly conserved fungal features. The similar

susceptibility profiles of $BaraA^{SW1}$, Mtk^{R1}, $\triangle Dso; Drs^{R1}$ mutants to $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$

underscores the critical role of these humoral Toll-mediated effectors in antifungal

immunity, with additional mutations (e.g. Mtk^{R1}, $Bom^{\Delta 55C}; Drs^{R1}$ flies) increasingly

approaching spz^{rm7} levels of susceptibility. It would be interesting to achieve the full

Toll effector combinatory mutant line ($BaraA^{SW1}$, Mtk^{R1}, $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$, $\triangle Dso; Drs^{R1}$) to

learn if there is any host defense mediated by spz beyond the contributions of these

five effector families.

As our $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$ and $\triangle Dso$ deletions removed large gene clusters (10 of 12

Bomanins and both Daisho genes), we could not assess individual gene contributions.

However, recent work has identified roles for specific Bomanins such as BomT1 and

BomT2 in surviving *Enterococcus faecalis*, *M. robertsii*, and *A. fumigatus* ribotoxins

(Lou et al., 2025). Identifying the Bomanins that mediate defense against the fungi

tested here will be an important next step. In addition, it would be interesting to

confirm the precise mechanism through which Cecropins improve survival against

entomopathogenic fungi. While these peptides can display antifungal activity *in vitro*

(Ekengren, 1999). The protective effect of Cecropins could therefore rely either on a

direct antifungal action, or an indirect role in preventing dysbiosis, perhaps also

modulating the cuticle microbiota that itself protects against topical fungal infections

(Hong et al., 2022).

Unexpectedly, we did not observe a major role of the glucan sensor GNBP3 in

activating Toll in response to *M. anisopliae*. A recent study showed that GNBP-like3

(GL3) acts as a bait for the fungal effector Tge1, which can inhibit GNBP3 and so

suppress Toll immune activation (Lu et al., 2024); itself does not propagate Toll

signaling. However, our results using $\triangle GL3$, $\triangle GNBP3$ or $GNBP3^{hades}/Df(ED4421)$

flies indicate a minor role only for this GNBP3 arm of Toll signaling in survival upon

B. bassiana and *M. anisopliae* natural infection (Fig. S6). Instead, our data support a

predominant role for the Persephone (Psh) branch in Toll activation, suggesting that

entomopathogenic fungi are primarily recognized via the proteases they secrete

(Gottar et al., 2006). These include serine proteases and chitinases involved in cuticle degradation (Qu and Wang, 2018), to which Psh may be specifically adapted.

Melanization also contributed to host defense, albeit to a lesser extent than Toll signaling, consistent with earlier findings (Binggeli et al., 2014; Ryckebusch et al., 2025). Its reduced impact upon septic infection suggests a key role at the initial cuticular breach, where melanin and ROS deposition may restrict fungal entry and dissemination. This is consistent with observations in *Galleria mellonella* and *Anopheles gambiae*, where melanin accumulates at fungal entry sites (Vertyporokh et al., 2020; Yassine et al., 2012).

We show that both PPO1 and PPO2 contribute to fungal resistance. Interestingly, across fungal pathogens we found PPO2 plays a more prominent role in host defense compared to PPO1, even after septic injury with *M. anisopliae*. Previous studies have described a major role for PPO1 in wound site melanization through the action of Hayan, but also PPO1 in host defense against *S. aureus* in a Hayan-independent manner, with a more minor role for PPO2 (Binggeli et al., 2014; Dudzic et al., 2019; Vasanth et al., 2025). However, here using entomopathogenic fungal infections, we found the opposite. Unlike the case of *S. aureus,* distinct roles for *Hayan* and *Sp7* in this PPO2-mediated immune defense are less clear, perhaps reflecting an importance of Sp7 in the hemolymph, and of Hayan as the PPO-converting SP at the injury site as suggested by its essentiality for wound blackening (Dudzic et al., 2019); however, wound site blackening requires Hayan acting through PPO1. We also observed higher Toll pathway activation in *PPO1, PPO2* double mutant flies compared to wild-type flies upon fungal natural infection, agreeing with previous studies (Binggeli et al., 2014; Ryckebusch et al., 2025). Here we additionally show this higher Toll activation upon clean injury and even in unchallenged flies. This suggests that byproducts of POs somehow negatively regulate Toll activation, which include terminal reactive oxygen species, but also various intermediates involving the conversion of tyrosine to L-DOPA and derivatives such as dopaquinone or the production of melanin from 5,6-dihydroxyindole (DHI) (Westlake et al., 2024). Conversely, Dopa decarboxylase converts L-DOPA to dopamine, and so the deficiency of PO activity converting L-DOPA to dopaquinone could result in the inverse accumulation of dopamine, likely

having pleiotropic effects. Here, the higher Toll activity in *PPO1, PPO2* double mutant flies suggests there is effectively negative feedback exerted by the melanization pathway on the Toll pathway. It would also be interesting to know if this role of melanization in Toll regulation is related to the role of both modules in the wound healing response (Capilla et al., 2017; Carvalho et al., 2014).

The Imd pathway had minimal roles in host defense against the four entomopathogenic fungi tested, except during *A. fumigatus* septic infection. Interestingly, volatile organic compounds from *A. fumigatus* have been shown to be toxic to Imd-deficient flies (Almaliki et al., 2023), and a previous study showed that *A. fumigatus* virulence acted through either proliferation or secretion of toxins in a Bomanin and melanization-dependent manner (Xu et al., 2023), suggesting *A. fumigatus* deploys multiple pathogen strategies that interact with different arms of host immunity.

Previous studies have showed that entomopathogenic fungi have evolved sophisticated strategies to evade phagocytosis by hemocytes. Once fungal spores (conidia) germinate, penetrate host tegument and reach the hemocoel, fungi exist within the hemocoel in the forms of blastospores with thinner cell walls than conidia (*M. anisopliae*, *M. rileyi*, *B. bassiana*), and cell wall-free protoplasts (*E. muscae*). (Wang and St. Leger, 2006) had demonstrated that host hemocytes can ingest conidia of *M. anisopliae*, but are unable to recognize blastospore, due to the production of a cell surface hydrophobic protein gene *Mcl1* that is expressed within 20 min of the fungal pathogen contacting hemolymph. Studies have shown that blastospores of *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* can be phagocytosed at the early stages of infection but manage to escape from host cells and continue to propagate. Growing hyphal bodies can deform the plasmatocyte cell membrane, and the hemocyte count gradually decreases as observed in Lepidopteran insect during fungal infection (Gillespie et al., 2000; Hung and Boucias, 1992; Li et al., 2020a; Liu et al., 2019; Vilcinskas et al., 1997). There are also evidences that the fungal toxins destruxins (DTXs) alter circulating hemocytes of *G. mellonella* larvae (Vey et al., 2002; Vilcinskas et al., 1997). But in *Drosophila*, Destruxin does not appear to affect *Drosophila* cellular immune responses *in vivo* but would rather suppress the humoral immune response in

Drosophila (Pal et al., 2007). Here, the use of *NimC1[1]; Eater[1]* did not reveal any

major role of plasmatocytes in host defense against the five entomopathogenic fungi.

Flies lacking these two receptors are unable to phagocyte bacteria, latex beads or

apoptotic corpses (Bretscher et al., 2015; Dolgikh et al., 2025; Melcarne et al., 2019b)

and have lost the ability to bind to *M. anisopliae* spores (Figs. S1E, F). The

observation that hemoless flies lacking hemocytes due to the over-expression of *Bax*

display a wild-type survival rate to *B. bassiana* also support the notion that the

humoral response is more critical than cellular response to combat this

entomopathogenic fungus (Figs. S1A, B). We did however observe an increased

susceptibility of hemoless flies to *M. anisopliae* (Figs. S1C, D) pointing to a role of

hemocytes against this species that could not be uncovered with *NimC1[1]; eater[1]* fly

line. While the cellular response is important against yeast like *C. glabrata* (Quintin et

al., 2013), filamentous fungi may evade phagocytosis by reducing the exposition of

surface molecule(s) that are associated with non-self-recognition, as inferred in

studies in other insects (Gillespie et al., 2000; Wang and St. Leger, 2006). Additional

studies are required to better define the role of the cellular response to

entomopathogenic fungi.

A key aim of our study was to compare *Drosophila* immune responses to fungi

with distinct ecological strategies. Despite lifestyle differences, we found a largely

conserved requirement for Toll signaling, antifungal peptides, and melanization

across all species tested. However, notable differences emerged. The two generalist

entomopathogenic species strongly activate the Toll pathway but can overcome Toll

effectors to infect and kill flies. Studies have shown that these species express many

virulence factors that likely confers them protection against the host immune system

(Gao et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2014; Wang and Wang, 2017; Yuan et al., 2020). In

contrast, *A. fumigatus* is weakly pathogenic upon natural infection and accordingly

does not activate the Toll pathway. This opportunistic fungus does not have the ability

to effectively cross the cuticle of *Drosophila*, reflecting an opportunistic lifestyle. In

contrast the specialist noctuid-virulent fungus *M. rileyi* was moderately pathogenic to

flies and only triggered the Toll pathway at a late time point. This may reflect the

proliferation of this species within the host under a yeast-like blastospore form with a

thinner cell wall (Boucias et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019), that would be less recognized

by the sensors acting upstream of the Toll pathway. This infectious strategy differs

from the toxin-mediated pathogenesis seen in *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* during

fly colonization (Boucias et al., 2016; Schrank and Vainstein, 2010; Wang and Wang,

2017; Wang et al., 2021). Strikingly, we observed that *E. muscae* was greatly

pathogenic to flies but did not trigger a strong Toll pathway response. Nevertheless,

the Toll and melanization modules do contribute to host defense to *E. muscae*

indicating that this species is also sensitive to immune effectors. Our study finds that

E. muscae has developed a specific colonization strategy to escape the host immune

response. After crossing the cuticle barrier and reaching the hemolymph, *E. muscae*

grows as multinucleated protoplasts undetected by the immune system. The absence

of cell wall in protoplasts results in little glucan release into the hemolymph, which

might prevent recognition. This strategy would explain the high pathogenicity of *E.*

muscae, allowing growth hidden from the immune system, and only differentiating

into thinner cell-walled hyphae only shortly before the death of the host. While we

cannot however rule out that the high pathogenicity of *E. muscae* may also be partly

due to the fungus's increased resilience to immune effectors, we favor the

interpretation that it is instead mainly driven by its capacity to evade immune

detection. Similar escape strategies to hide from the host immune response have been

described in specialists such as *M. rileyi* and *O. sinensis* (Boucias et al., 2016; Li et al.,

2020b; Liu et al., 2019). Thus, two distinct infection strategies emerge among

entomopathogenic fungi: (1) Generalists, such as *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae*,

mount an aggressive infection, are immediately recognized by the immune system,

and rely on a broad array of virulence factors to counter host defenses (Gao et al.,

2011; Schrank and Vainstein, 2010; Wang et al., 2021); and (2) Specialists, such as *E.*

muscae, *M. rileyi*, and *O. sinensis*, adopt stealthier strategies, growing initially in

immune-evasive forms before lately transitioning to lethal hyphal stages. These

strategies appear to correlate with the fast and slow timing of host infection: for

example, *O. sinensis* persists for months in *Thitarodes* larvae, only initiating

pathogenic development later (Liu et al., 2019; Meng et al., 2015; Rao et al., 2019).

Similarly, the slow-growing, behavior-manipulating *E. muscae* may rely on immune

evasion to enable host manipulation and spore dispersal.

Taken together, our study clarifies the immune strategies employed by *D. melanogaster* to combat fungal infections. We further provide insight on how entomopathogenic fungi circumvent the immune response. Our study complements the recent identification of fungal virulence factors required to disarm the immune system (Lu et al., 2024; Shang et al., 2023; Tang et al., 2025). The interplay between local and systemic immune responses highlights the complexity of antifungal defense mechanisms in *Drosophila*. Understanding the evolutionary pressures that shape immune responses to fungal pathogens could have broader implications for controlling fungal infections in both natural and agricultural settings.

Materials and methods

Fly stocks

The fly stocks iso w^{1118} drosdel wild-type was used as the genetic background for mutant isogenization (Ferreira et al., 2014). For survival experiments, w^{1118} *drosdel*, Oregon R or Canton S flies were used as wild-type controls. To determine the contribution of the four main immune modules to survive different fungal species, the *Relish* (Rel^{E20}), *spätzle* (spz^{rm7}), *PPO1* and *PPO2* double mutant ($PPO1^{\triangle}$, $PPO2^{\triangle}$), $NimC1^{1}$ and *eater* double mutant ($Nim\ C1^{1}; Eater^{1}$) (Binggeli et al., 2014; Dudzic et al., 2019; Hedengren et al., 1999; Lemaitre et al., 1996; Melcarne et al., 2019a) were used. The fly line devoid of all four immune modules: Imd, Toll, Phagocytosis and Melanization [genotype: *Def(Hayan-psh)*; *NimC1; Eater, Relish^{E20}*] (Ryckebusch et al., 2025) is used to investigate whether immune modules function independently or synergistically to combat different fungi.

To compare the importance of Toll sensing pathway, we used flies lacking Hayan ($Hayan^{SK6}$), Psh (psh^{SK1}), both Hayan and Psh ($Hayan\text{-}psh^{def}$) (Dudzic et al., 2019), Gram-negative bacteria binding protein 3 ($GNBP3^{hades}$) (Gottar et al., 2006), ModSP ($modSP^{1}$), both Psh and ModSP ($psh^{1};;modSP^{1}$) (Buchon et al., 2009).

To determine the role of host defense peptides to combat fungal infection, we used flies deleting ten of the twelve Bomanins ($Bom^{\triangle55C}$) (Clemmons et al., 2015) and flies lacking Metchnikowin (Mtk^{R1}), Drosomycin (Drs^{R1}) (Hanson et al., 2019), Baramicin

($BaraA^{\Delta}$) (Hanson et al., 2021)，Daisho 1, 2 (ΔDso) (Cohen et al., 2020), as well as

compound mutants Mtk^{R1};Drs^{R1}, $\Delta AMP10$ and $\Delta AMP14$ (Carboni et al., 2022;

Hanson et al., 2019). In this study, we generated four new compounds mutants lack

host defense peptides in the Drosdel background, ($BaraA^{SW1}$, ΔDso); (Mtk^R,

$BaraA^{SW1l}$; Drs^{R1}); (Mtk^R, $BaraA^{SW1}$, $\Delta Dso^{\ 1}$; Drs^{R1}) and (Mtk^{R1}, $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$; Drs^{R1}). The

Hml^{Δ}-GAL4, UAS-GFP fly line and UAS-Bax were used to delete hemocytes as

described in (Defaye et al., 2009; Gaumer et al., 2000). We used a newly generated w;;

Hml^{P2A}-GAL4, UAS-CaMPARI2 to monitor hemocyte number and localization upon

fungal infection (Moeyaert et al., 2018; Stephenson et al., 2022) The wild-type and

mutant flies used in this study are listed in Table S1.

Microbial cultures

The wild type strains of *B. bassiana* 802, *M. anisopliae* ARSEF 2575, *M. rileyi*

PHP1705 and the genetic strains *M. anisopliae* tagged GFP were cultured on malt

agar (1g Bacteriological Peptone, 20g Glucose, 20 g Malt Extract, 15 g Agar, with the

addition of water to the total volume of 1 liter) at 29°C for two weeks for harvesting

conidial spores. The wild type strain *A. fumigatus* was cultured on malt agar at 37°C

for one week for harvesting spores. Fungal materials were then strained through three

layers of precision wipes with sterile water to collect spores, which were resuspended

with 0.05% Tween 80 before being used for infection. The *E. muscae* ARSEF 454 was

cultured in Grace's Insect Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum at

21°C. A liquid of 1 ml growing cultures after three weeks culture was transferred to a

new cell culture flask with the addition of 10 ml media to maintain the fungal strains

in vitro. The Gram-positive bacteria *Micrococcus luteus* were cultured on Luria Broth

at 37°C and the optical density of the pellet (O.D.) at 600 nm 200 were used for

infection.

Survival experiments

Survival experiments were conducted by both topical infection (natural infection)

and systemic infection (septic infection), with twenty 2- to 4-day-old adult males per

vial with two to three replicate experiments. Topical infection assays were performed

by immersing flies in spore suspensions for 30 s. The following concentrations (in spores/ml) of spore suspensions were used: *A. fumigatus*, *B. bassiana* and *M. rileyi*: 1×10^9; *M. anisopliae*: 5×10^8. For *E. muscae* natural infection, about twenty-five 1- to 2-day-old adult male flies were infected by spore shower from twenty freshly emerged cadavers that were previously injected with protoplasts for 24 h. The average spores ejected from each female or male fly for 24 h were evaluated as described in (Edwards and De Fine Licht, 2024). Briefly, the head of the cadaver was insert into Vaseline inside the lid of the 2 ml tube containing 1ml 1% Triton-X and 0.2% maleic acid solution for a period 24 h to collect ejected of spores. Then, spores in solution were counted on a hemocytometer under a microscope. Systemic infections were performed by pricking 2- to 4- day-old adult males in the thorax with a 100 μm-thick insect pin dipped into spore suspensions. The following concentrations (in spores/ml) of spore suspensions were used: *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae* and *M. rileyi*: 1×10^8, *A. fumigatus*: 1×10^9. Infected flies were flipped at the end of the first day and maintained at 29°C and flipped every two days later. Systemic infection with *E. muscae* was performed by injecting 23 nl of protoplast suspension per fly with a concentration of 2×10^6 protoplasts/ml in PBS. The mortality was recorded daily. At least three independent experiments for survival to infection were performed with 20 flies per vial on standard fly medium.

Gene expression levels by RT-qPCR

To investigate the immune responses to fungal infections, we performed the quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis of Drs and BomBc3 used as read-outs of Toll pathway activity. About 10 adult flies were collected at indicated time points and homogenized for RNA extraction using TRIzol reagent. The total RNA was resuspended in RNase-free water and 0.5 mg of total RNA in 10 ml reactions was reverse transcribed using PrimeScript RT (TAKARA) with random hexamer and oligo dT primers. Quantitative PCR was performed on a LightCycler 480 (Roche) in 96-well plates using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix. Primers were listed in Table S2. The expression of *Drs* was also investigated by observing GFP intensity of *Drs-GFP* flies after fungal infection (Ferrandon, 1998). Infected flies

818   were anesthetized with CO_2 and observed for fluorescence with a Leica Application

819   SuiteX and LEICA DFC 7000T camera.

Quantitation of fungal loads for kinetic growth

Fungal load in infected flies was measured either by qPCR or by fluorescence

intensity of *M. anisopliae t*agged GFP. Adult male flies infect with *B. bassiana* and *M.*

anisopliae were incubated at 29°C and *E. muscae* at 21°C. Groups of 10 flies were

sampled at indicated time points and frozen in liquid nitrogen. The total DNA of each

sample was extracted with DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). Fungal loads of *B.*

bassiana and *E. muscae* was quantified by fungal ribosomal protein 18S rRNA

relative to the *Drosophila* ribosomal protein Rpl32 (Rp49), while fungal load of *M.*

anisopliae was quantified by fungal ribosomal protein Rpl32 relative to *Drosophila*

protein Actin. Primers were listed in Table S2.

M. anisopliae tagged GFP was also used to investigate the growth of fungi inside

the flies after pricking. The infected flies were anesthesized with CO_2 and observed

for fluorescence with a Leica Application SuiteX and LEICA DFC 7000T camera.

Ex-vivo phagocytosis assay with microscopy

Five third-instar larvae were cleaned and dissected in 150 µL of Schneider's

medium, ensuring that vital organs remained intact. The samples were incubated with

approximately 10^5 bacterial bioparticles or fungal blastospores in a humidified

chamber for 2 hours. Cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and

stained with phalloidin (1:200) for 1 hour at room temperature, followed by three

washes with 1× PBS. Finally, slides were mounted with a mounting medium and

sealed with nail polish. Hemocytes were imaged using an inverted Evident confocal

microscope equipped with a 60× oil immersion lens. Maximum Z-projections were

generated for analysis using FIJI/ImageJ software.

Ex-vivo phagocytosis assay with FACS

Five third-instar larvae were surface-sterilized and bled into 130 µL of Schneider's

medium within 2 minutes. The hemolymph was collected in Protein Lo-Bind

Eppendorf tubes to minimize sample loss. Approximately 10^5 bacterial bioparticles or

fungal blastospores were added to the hemocyte suspension and incubated at room

temperature for 2 hours. Following incubation, the samples were placed on ice, and

hemocytes were immediately analyzed using a CytoFLEX flow cytometer (Beckman

Coulter) to measure the fraction of cells phagocytosing. 60 μl volume was read at

medium speed (40 μl/min).

Hemocytes from Hml GAL4, UAS-GFP larvae were used to define the hemocyte

population for flow cytometry analysis. GFP-positive cells were identified and gated

based on fluorescence detected in the B525 channel, enabling the exclusion of debris

and non-hemocytic events. The same gating strategy was applied consistently across

all genotypes to track the hemocyte population and to quantify the proportion of

hemocytes exhibiting fluorescence corresponding to internalized bacterial bioparticles

or fungal spores. The percentage of hemocytes phagocytosing (*f*) was calculated using

the following equations:

$$f = \frac{\text{Number of hemocytes in fluorescence positive gate}}{\text{Total number of hemocytes}}$$

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 10 software. Survival

experiments were repeated at least three times independently with 20 flies per

treatment. Statistical significance of survival data was calculated with a log-rank test

(Mantel-Cox test) comparing each genotype to w^{1118} flies. Quantitative PCR data and

fungal load data were compared by One-way ANOVA following Tukey's multiple

comparisons test. The full statistic models and outputs of Figures are listed in Table

S3. P values of < 0.05 = *, < 0.01 = **, < 0.001 = ***, and < 0.0001 = **** were

considered significant. ns indicates non-significant, $P>0.05$. Error bars represent the

standard deviation (s.d.) of replicate experiments.

Acknowledgments

We thank Samuel Rommelaere, Jean-Philippe Boquette, Fanny Schüpfer for

experimental help. We thank Kathryn Bushley and Carolyn Elya for providing the

Entomophthora muscae strains used for this study. Guiqing Liu stay in the Lemaitre

lab was supported by funding from the China Scholarship Council (CSC, No.

202208440111) and the SNSF grant TMAG-3_225935. We thank Vienna Drosophila

Resource Center (VDRC) and the Bloomington stock center for fly stocks. This

project was supported by the SNSF grants (310030_215073).

Author Contributions

886 Conceived and designed the experiments: GQL BL. Performed the experiments: GQL

887 YT JL PKS. Analyzed the data: GQL YT PKS BL. Contributed reagents/

888 materials/analysis tools: MAH BL. Wrote the paper: GQL MAH BL.

References

Almaliki HS, Niu M, Keller NP, Yin G, Bennett JW. 2023. Mutational analysis of aspergillus
fumigatus volatile oxylipins in a Drosophila eclosion assay. Journal of Fungi **9**:402. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.3390/jof9040402

Ballou ER, Avelar GM, Childers DS, Mackie J, Bain JM, Wagener J, Kastora SL, Panea MD, Hardison
SE, Walker LA, Erwig LP, Munro CA, Gow NAR, Brown GD, MacCallum DM, Brown AJP. 2016.
Lactate signalling regulates fungal β-glucan masking and immune evasion. Nature Microbiology
2:16238. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.238

Binggeli O, Neyen C, Poidevin M, Lemaitre B. 2014. Prophenoloxidase activation is required for
survival to microbial infections in Drosophila. PLoS Pathogens **10**:e1004067. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004067

Boucias D, Liu S, Meagher R, Baniszewski J. 2016. Fungal dimorphism in the entomopathogenic
fungus Metarhizium rileyi: Detection of an in vivo quorum-sensing system. Journal of
Invertebrate Pathology **136**:100–108. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2016.03.013

Bretscher AJ, Honti V, Binggeli O, Burri O, Poidevin M, Kurucz E, Zsamboki J, Ando I, Lemaitre B.
2015. The Nimrod transmembrane receptor Eater is required for hemocyte attachment to the
sessile compartment in Drosophila melanogaster. Biol Open **4**:355–63. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.201410595

Brown AJP. 2023. Fungal resilience and host–pathogen interactions: Future perspectives and
opportunities. Parasite Immunology **45**:e12946. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/pim.12946

Buchon N, Poidevin M, Kwon H-M, Guillou A, Sottas V, Lee B-L, Lemaitre B. 2009. A single
modular serine protease integrates signals from pattern-recognition receptors upstream of the
Drosophila Toll pathway. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **106**:12442–12447.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0901924106

Capilla A, Karachentsev D, Patterson RA, Hermann A, Juarez MT, McGinnis W. 2017. Toll pathway
is required for wound-induced expression of barrier repair genes in the Drosophila epidermis.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **114**. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1613917114

Carboni AL, Hanson MA, Lindsay SA, Wasserman SA, Lemaitre B. 2022. Cecropins contribute to
Drosophila host defense against a subset of fungal and Gram-negative bacterial infection.
Genetics **220**:iyab188. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/iyab188

Carvalho L, Jacinto A, Matova N. 2014. The Toll/NF-κB signaling pathway is required for epidermal
wound repair in Drosophila. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **111**. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1408224111

Casalini G, Giacomelli A, Antinori S. 2024. The WHO fungal priority pathogens list: a crucial
reappraisal to review the prioritisation. The Lancet Microbe **5**:717–724. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(24)00042-9

Cen K, Li B, Lu Y, Zhang S, Wang C. 2017. Divergent LysM effectors contribute to the virulence of
Beauveria bassiana by evasion of insect immune defenses. PLOS Pathogens **13**:e1006604. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006604

Clemmons AW, Lindsay SA, Wasserman SA. 2015. An effector peptide family required for
Drosophila Toll-mediated immunity. PLOS Pathogens **11**:e1004876. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004876

Cohen LB, Lindsay SA, Xu Y, Lin SJH, Wasserman SA. 2020. The Daisho peptides mediate
Drosophila defense against a subset of filamentous fungi. Frontiers in Immunology **11**:9. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.00009

De Fine Licht HH, Jensen AB, Eilenberg J. 2017. Comparative transcriptomics reveal host-specific
nucleotide variation in entomophthoralean fungi. Molecular Ecology **26**:2092–2110. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13863

De Gregorio E. 2002. The Toll and Imd pathways are the major regulators of the immune
response in Drosophila. The EMBO Journal **21**:2568–2579. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/21.11.2568

Dean R, Van Kan JAL, Pretorius ZA, Hammond-Kosack KE, Di Pietro A, Spanu PD, Rudd JJ, Dickman
M, Kahmann R, Ellis J, Foster GD. 2012. The Top 10 fungal pathogens in molecular plant pathology.
Molecular Plant Pathology **13**:414–430. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1364-3703.2011.00783.x

Defaye A, Evans I, Crozatier M, Wood W, Lemaitre B, Leulier F. 2009. Genetic Ablation of
Drosophila Phagocytes Reveals Their Contribution to Both Development and Resistance to
Bacterial Infection. Journal of Innate Immunity **1**:322–334. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1159/000210264

Dolgikh A, Rommelaere S, Ghanem A, Petrignani B, Poidevin M, Kurant E, Lemaitre B. 2025. The
secreted Nimrod protein NimB1 negatively regulates early steps of apoptotic cell phagocytosis in
Drosophila. Development **152**:dev204919. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.204919

Dudzic JP, Hanson MA, Iatsenko I, Kondo S, Lemaitre B. 2019. More than black or white:
Melanization and Toll share regulatory serine proteases in Drosophila. Cell Reports
27:1050-1061.e3. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.03.101

Edwards S, De Fine Licht HH. 2024. Rearing zombie flies: Laboratory culturing of the behaviourally
manipulating fungal pathogen Entomophthora muscae. MethodsX **12**:102523. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2023.102523

Ekengren S. 1999. Drosophila cecropin as an antifungal agent. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular
Biology **29**:965–972. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0965-1748(99)00071-5

Ekengren S, Hultmark D. 1999. Drosophila cecropin as an antifungal agent. Insect Biochemistry
and Molecular Biology **29**:965–972. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0965-1748(99)00071-5

El Chamy L, Leclerc V, Caldelari I, Reichhart J-M. 2008. Sensing of "danger signals" and
pathogen-associated molecular patterns defines binary signaling pathways "upstream" of Toll.
Nature Immunology **9**:1165–1170. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1643

Elya C, De Fine Licht HH. 2021. The genus Entomophthora: bringing the insect destroyers into the
twenty-first century. IMA Fungus **12**:34. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s43008-021-00084-w

Elya C, Lok TC, Spencer QE, McCausland H, Martinez CC, Eisen M. 2018. Robust manipulation of
the behavior of Drosophila melanogaster by a fungal pathogen in the laboratory. eLife **7**:e34414.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34414

Fehlbaum P, Bulet P, Michaut L, Lagueux M, Broekaert WF, Hetru C, Hoffmann JA. 1994. Insect
immunity. Septic injury of Drosophila induces the synthesis of a potent antifungal peptide with
sequence homology to plant antifungal peptides. Journal of Biological Chemistry
269:33159–33163. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(20)30111-3

Feldman MB, Vyas JM, Mansour MK. 2019. It takes a village: Phagocytes play a central role in
fungal immunity. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology **89**:16–23. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2018.04.008

Ferrandon D. 1998. A drosomycin-GFP reporter transgene reveals a local immune response in
Drosophila that is not dependent on the Toll pathway. The EMBO Journal **17**:1217–1227. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/17.5.1217

Ferreira ÁG, Naylor H, Esteves SS, Pais IS, Martins NE, Teixeira L. 2014. The Toll-Dorsal pathway is
required for resistance to viral oral infection in Drosophila. PLoS Pathogens **10**:e1004507. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004507

Gao Q, Jin K, Ying S-H, Zhang Y, Xiao G, Shang Y, Duan Z, Hu X, Xie X-Q, Zhou G, Peng G, Luo Z,
Huang W, Wang B, Fang W, Wang S, Zhong Y, Ma L-J, St. Leger RJ, Zhao G-P, Pei Y, Feng M-G, Xia Y,
Wang C. 2011. Genome sequencing and comparative transcriptomics of the model
entomopathogenic fungi Metarhizium anisopliae and M. acridum. PLoS Genetics **7**:e1001264.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1001264

Gaumer S, Guenal I, Brun S, Theodore L, Mignotte B. 2000. Bcl-2 and Bax mammalian regulators
of apoptosis are functional in Drosophila. Cell Death Differ **7**:804–14. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.cdd.4400714

Gillespie JP, Bailey AM, Cobb B, Vilcinskas A. 2000. Fungi as elicitors of insect immune responses.
Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology **44**:49–68. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-6327(200006)44:2%253C49::AID-ARCH1%253E3.0.CO;2-F

Gladieux P, Ropars J, Badouin H, Branca A, Aguileta G, De Vienne DM, Rodríguez De La Vega RC,
Branco S, Giraud T. 2014. Fungal evolutionary genomics provides insight into the mechanisms of
adaptive divergence in eukaryotes. Molecular Ecology **23**:753–773. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12631

Gottar M, Gobert V, Matskevich AA, Reichhart J-M, Wang C, Butt TM, Belvin M, Hoffmann JA.
2006. Dual detection of fungal infections in Drosophila through recognition of microbial
structures and sensing of virulence factors. **127**:1425–1437.

Hanson M, Westlake H, Bulet P, Lemaitre B. 2025. The antimicrobial and host defense peptides of
Drosophila Melanogaster. Annual Review of Microbiology.

Hanson MA. 2024. When the microbiome shapes the host: immune evolution implications for
infectious disease. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences
379:20230061. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2023.0061

Hanson MA, Cohen LB, Marra A, Iatsenko I, Wasserman SA, Lemaitre B. 2021. The Drosophila Baramicin polypeptide gene protects against fungal infection. PLOS Pathogens **17**:e1009846. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009846

Hanson MA, Dostálová A, Ceroni C, Poidevin M, Kondo S, Lemaitre B. 2019. Synergy and remarkable specificity of antimicrobial peptides in vivo using a systematic knockout approach. eLife **8**:e44341. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.44341

Hanson MA, Grollmus L, Lemaitre B. 2023. Ecology-relevant bacteria drive the evolution of host antimicrobial peptides in Drosophila. Science **381**:eadg5725. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adg5725

Hedengren M, BengtÅsling, Dushay MS, Ando I, Ekengren S, Wihlborg M, Hultmark D. 1999. Relish, a central factor in the control of humoral but not cellular immunity in Drosophila. Molecular Cell **4**:827–837. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s1097-2765(00)80392-5

Hong S, Sun Y, Sun D, Wang C. 2022. Microbiome assembly on Drosophila body surfaces benefits the flies to combat fungal infections. iScience **25**:104408. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.104408

Hu X, Xiao G, Zheng P, Shang Y, Su Y, Zhang X, Liu X, Zhan S, St. Leger RJ, Wang C. 2014. Trajectory and genomic determinants of fungal-pathogen speciation and host adaptation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **111**:16796–16801. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1412662111

Huang J, Lou Y, Liu J, Bulet P, Cai C, Ma K, Jiao R, Hoffmann JA, Liégeois S, Li Z, Ferrandon D. 2023. A Toll pathway effector protects Drosophila specifically from distinct toxins secreted by a fungus or a bacterium. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **120**:e2205140120. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2205140120

Hughes DP, Andersen SB, Hywel-Jones NL, Himaman W, Billen J, Boomsma JJ. 2011. Behavioral mechanisms and morphological symptoms of zombie ants dying from fungal infection. BMC Ecology **11**:13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6785-11-13

Hung SY, Boucias D. 1992. Influence of Beauveria bassiana on the cellular defense response of the beet armyworm, Spodoptera exigua. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology **60**:152–158. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2011(92)90089-M

Issa N, Guillaumot N, Lauret E, Matt N, Schaeffer-Reiss C, Van Dorsselaer A, Reichhart J-M, Veillard F. 2018. The circulating protease persephone is an immune sensor for microbial proteolytic activities upstream of the Drosophila Toll pathway. Molecular Cell **69**:539-550.e6. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.01.029

Jang I-H, Chosa N, Kim S-H, Nam H-J, Lemaitre B, Ochiai M, Kambris Z, Brun S, Hashimoto C, Ashida M, Brey PT, Lee W-J. 2006. A spätzle-processing enzyme required for toll signaling activation in drosophila innate immunity. Developmental Cell **10**:45–55. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2005.11.013

Kambris Z, Brun S, Jang I-H, Nam H-J, Romeo Y, Takahashi K, Lee W-J, Ueda R, Lemaitre B. 2006. Drosophila immunity: A large-scale in vivo RNAi screen identifies five serine proteases required for toll activation. Current Biology **16**:808–813. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.03.020

Lai Y, Jiang B, Hou F, Huang X, Ling B, Lu H, Zhong T, Huang J. 2023. The emerging role of extracellular vesicles in fungi: a double-edged sword. Frontiers in Microbiology **14**:1216895. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1216895

Lazzaro BP, Zasloff M, Rolff J. 2020. Antimicrobial peptides: Application informed by evolution.

Science **368**:eaau5480. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau5480

Lemaitre B, Nicolas E, Michaut L, Reichhart J-M, Hoffmann JA. 1996. The dorsoventral regulatory gene cassette spätzle/toll/cactus controls the potent antifungal response in Drosophila adults. Cell **86**:973–983. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80172-5

Levashina EA, Ohresser S, Bulet P, Reichhart J, Hetru C, Hoffmann JA. 1995. Metchnikowin, a Novel Immune-Inducible Proline-Rich Peptide from Drosophila with Antibacterial and Antifungal Properties. European Journal of Biochemistry **233**:694–700. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1995.694_2.x

Levitin A, Whiteway M. 2008. Drosophila innate immunity and response to fungal infections. Cellular Microbiology **10**:1021–1026. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2008.01120.x

Li M, Meng Q, Zhang H, Ni R, Zhou G, Zhao Y, Wu P, Shu R, Qin Q, Zhang J. 2020a. Vegetative development and host immune interaction of Ophiocordyceps sinensis within the hemocoel of the ghost moth larva, Thitarodes xiaojinensis. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology **170**:107331. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2020.107331

Li M, Meng Q, Zhang H, Ni R, Zhou G, Zhao Y, Wu P, Shu R, Qin Q, Zhang J. 2020b. Vegetative development and host immune interaction of Ophiocordyceps sinensis within the hemocoel of the ghost moth larva, Thitarodes xiaojinensis. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology **170**:107331. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2020.107331

Liu G, Han R, Cao L. 2019. Artificial cultivation of the chinese cordyceps from injected ghost moth larvae. Environmental Entomology **48**:1088–1094. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvz099

Lohse MB, Johnson AD. 2008. Differential Phagocytosis of White versus Opaque Candida albicans by Drosophila and Mouse Phagocytes. PLoS ONE **3**:e1473. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001473

Lou Y, Zhang B, Zhang Z, Pan Y, Yang J, Li L, Huang J, Yuan Z, Liegeois S, Bulet P, Xu R, Zi L, Ferrandon D. 2025. Distinct Bomanins at the Drosophila 55C locus function in resistance and resilience to infections. Annual Review of Entomology.

Lu M, Wei D, Shang J, Li S, Song S, Luo Y, Tang G, Wang C. 2024. Suppression of Drosophila antifungal immunity by a parasite effector via blocking GNBP3 and GNBP-like 3, the dual receptors for β-glucans. Cell Reports **43**:113642. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.113642

Ma M, Luo J, Li C, Eleftherianos I, Zhang W, Xu L. 2024. A life-and-death struggle: interaction of insects with entomopathogenic fungi across various infection stages. Frontiers in Immunology **14**:1329843. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1329843

Melcarne C, Lemaitre B, Kurant E. 2019a. Phagocytosis in Drosophila: From molecules and cellular machinery to physiology. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology **109**:1–12. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2019.04.002

Melcarne C, Ramond E, Dudzic J, Bretscher AJ, Kurucz É, Andó I, Lemaitre B. 2019b. Two Nimrod receptors, NimC1 and Eater, synergistically contribute to bacterial phagocytosis in *Drosophila melanogaster*. The FEBS Journal. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.14857

Meng Q, Yu H-Y, Zhang H, Zhu W, Wang M-L, Zhang J-H, Zhou G-L, Li X, Qin Q-L, Hu S-N, Zou Z. 2015. Transcriptomic insight into the immune defenses in the ghost moth, Hepialus xiaojinensis, during an Ophiocordyceps sinensis fungal infection. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology **64**:1–15. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2015.06.014

Michel T, Reichhart J-M, Hoffmann JA, Royet J. 2001. Drosophila Toll is activated by Gram-positive

bacteria through a circulating peptidoglycan recognition protein. Nature **414**:756–759. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/414756a

Moeyaert B, Holt G, Madangopal R, Perez-Alvarez A, Fearey BC, Trojanowski NF, Ledderose J, Zolnik TA, Das A, Patel D, Brown TA, Sachdev RNS, Eickholt BJ, Larkum ME, Turrigiano GG, Dana H, Gee CE, Oertner TG, Hope BT, Schreiter ER. 2018. Improved methods for marking active neuron populations. Nature Communications **9**:4440. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06935-2

Moghaddam M-RB, Gross T, Becker A, Vilcinskas A, Rahnamaeian M. 2017. The selective antifungal activity of Drosophila melanogaster metchnikowin reflects the species-dependent inhibition of succinate–coenzyme Q reductase. Scientific Reports **7**:8192. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08407-x

Morelli KA, Kerkaert JD, Cramer RA. 2021. Aspergillus fumigatus biofilms: Toward understanding how growth as a multicellular network increases antifungal resistance and disease progression. PLOS Pathogens **17**:e1009794. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009794

Mpamhanga CD, Kounatidis I. 2024. The utility of Drosophila melanogaster as a fungal infection model. Frontiers in Immunology **15**. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1349027

Murante D, Hogan DA. 2024. Drivers of diversification in fungal pathogen populations. PLOS Pathogens **20**:e1012430. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1012430

Nam H-J, Jang I-H, You H, Lee K-A, Lee W-J. 2012. Genetic evidence of a redox-dependent systemic wound response via Hayan Protease-Phenoloxidase system in Drosophila: Redox-modulated systemic wound response. The EMBO Journal **31**:1253–1265. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2011.476

Pal S, St. Leger RJ, Wu LP. 2007. Fungal Peptide Destruxin A Plays a Specific Role in Suppressing the Innate Immune Response in Drosophila melanogaster. Journal of Biological Chemistry **282**:8969–8977. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M605927200

Pradeu T, Thomma BPHJ, Girardin SE, Lemaitre B. 2024. The conceptual foundations of innate immunity: Taking stock 30 years later. Immunity **57**:613–631. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2024.03.007

Qu S, Wang S. 2018. Interaction of entomopathogenic fungi with the host immune system. Developmental & Comparative Immunology **83**:96–103. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2018.01.010

Quintin J, Asmar J, Matskevich AA, Lafarge M-C, Ferrandon D. 2013. The Drosophila Toll pathway controls but does not clear Candida glabrata infections. The Journal of Immunology **190**:2818–2827. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1201861

Rao Z, Cao L, Wu H, Qiu X, Liu G, Han R. 2019. Comparative transcriptome analysis of thitarodes armoricanus in response to the entomopathogenic fungi paecilomyces hepiali and ophiocordyceps sinensis. Insects **11**:4. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11010004

Reis FCG, Rodrigues ML. 2025. Things you wanted to know about fungal extracellular vesicles (but were afraid to ask). PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases **19**:e0013038. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0013038

Ristow LC, Jezewski AJ, Chadwick BJ, Stamnes MA, Lin X, Krysan DJ. 2023. Cryptococcus neoformans adapts to the host environment through TOR-mediated remodeling of phospholipid asymmetry. Nature Communications **14**:6587. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42318-y

Rodrigues ML, May RC, Janbon G. 2024. The multiple frontiers in the study of extracellular vesicles produced by fungi. Microbes and Infection **26**:105233. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2023.105233

Rommelaere S, Carboni A, Bada Juarez JF, Boquete J-P, Abriata LA, Teixeira Pinto Meireles F, Rukes V, Vincent C, Kondo S, Dionne MS, Dal Peraro M, Cao C, Lemaitre B. 2024. A humoral stress response protects Drosophila tissues from antimicrobial peptides. Current Biology **34**:1426-1437.e6. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2024.02.049

Rommelaere S, Masson F, Lemaitre B. 2025. From foes to friends: Fundamental and applied insights on insect-microbe interactions in Overview of insect immunity and symbiosis: Interactions and implications. *Comprehensive Molecular Insect Science*. Elsevier.

Ryckebusch F, Tian Y, Rapin M, Schüpfer F, Hanson MA, Lemaitre B. 2025. Layers of immunity: Deconstructing the Drosophila effector response. bioRixv. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.03.28.645959

Schrank A, Vainstein MH. 2010. Metarhizium anisopliae enzymes and toxins. Toxicon **56**:1267–1274. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2010.03.008

Shan T, Wang Y, Bhattarai K, Jiang H. 2023. An evolutionarily conserved serine protease network mediates melanization and Toll activation Drosophila. Science Advances **9**. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adk2756

Shang J, Tang G, Yang J, Lu M, Wang C-Z, Wang C. 2023. Sensing of a spore surface protein by a Drosophila chemosensory protein induces behavioral defense against fungal parasitic infections. Current Biology **33**:276-286.e5. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2022.11.004

Shang Y, Xiao G, Zheng P, Cen K, Zhan S, Wang C. 2016. Divergent and convergent evolution of fungal pathogenicity. Genome Biology and Evolution **8**:1374–1387. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evw082

Siscar-Lewin S, Hube B, Brunke S. 2022. Emergence and evolution of virulence in human pathogenic fungi. Trends in Microbiology **30**:693–704. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2021.12.013

Soares MP, Teixeira L, Moita LF. 2017. Disease tolerance and immunity in host protection against infection. Nature Reviews Immunology **17**:83–96. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2016.136

Stephenson HN, Streeck R, Grüblinger F, Goosmann C, Herzig A. 2022. Hemocytes are essential for *Drosophila melanogaster* post-embryonic development, independent of control of the microbiota. Development **149**:dev200286. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.200286

Tang G, Song S, Shang J, Luo Y, Li S, Wei D, Wang C. 2025. Fungal evasion of Drosophila immunity involves blocking the cathepsin-mediated cleavage maturation of the danger-sensing protease. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **122**:e2419343122. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2419343122

Unckless RL, Howick VM, Lazzaro BP. 2016. Convergent Balancing Selection on an Antimicrobial Peptide in Drosophila. Current Biology **26**:257–262. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.11.063

Uttenweiler-Joseph S, Moniatte M, Lagueux M, Van Dorsselaer A, Hoffmann JA, Bulet P. 1998. Differential display of peptides induced during the immune response of Drosophila: A matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry study. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **95**:11342–11347. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.19.11342

Valanne S, Wang J-H, Rämet M. 2011. The Drosophila Toll signaling pathway. The Journal of Immunology **186**:649–656. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1002302

Valero-Jiménez CA, Wiegers H, Zwaan BJ, Koenraadt CJM, Van Kan JAL. 2016. Genes involved in
virulence of the entomopathogenic fungus Beauveria bassiana. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology
133:41–49. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2015.11.011

Vasanth S, Wang Y, Khan A, Xiong C, Boquete J-P, Shan T, Jiang H, Lemaitre B. 2025. The serine
protease homolog Skanda modulates Toll-Phenoloxidase-mediated immunity in Drosophila.
bioRxiv. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.09.30.679548

Vertyporokh L, Hułas-Stasiak M, Wojda I. 2020. Host–pathogen interaction after infection of
Galleria mellonella with the filamentous fungus Beauveria bassiana. Insect Science **27**:1079–1089.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7917.12706

Vey A, Matha V, Dumas C. 2002. Effects of the peptide mycotoxin destruxin E on insect
haemocytes and on dynamics and efficiency of the multicellular immune reaction. Journal of
Invertebrate Pathology **80**:177–187. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2011(02)00104-0

Vilcinskas A, Matha V, Götz P. 1997. Inhibition of phagocytic activity of plasmatocytes isolated
from Galleria mellonella by entomogenous fungi and their secondary metabolites. Journal of
Insect Physiology **43**:475–483. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1910(96)00120-5

Wang C, St. Leger RJ. 2006. A collagenous protective coat enables Metarhizium anisopliae to
evade insect immune responses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
103:6647–6652. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0601951103

Wang C, Wang S. 2017. Insect pathogenic fungi: Genomics, molecular interactions, and genetic
improvements. Annual Review of Entomology **62**:73–90. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-031616-035509

Wang H, Lu Z, Keyhani NO, Deng J, Zhao X, Huang S, Luo Z, Jin K, Zhang Y. 2023. Insect fungal
pathogens secrete a cell wall-associated glucanase that acts to help avoid recognition by the host
immune system. PLOS Pathogens **19**:e1011578. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1011578

Wang H, Peng H, Li W, Cheng P, Gong M. 2021. The toxins of Beauveria bassiana and the
strategies to improve their virulence to insects. Frontiers in Microbiology **12**:705343. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.705343

Weber ANR, Tauszig-Delamasure S, Hoffmann JA, Lelièvre E, Gascan H, Ray KP, Morse MA, Imler
J-L, Gay NJ. 2003. Binding of the Drosophila cytokine Spätzle to Toll is direct and establishes
signaling. Nature Immunology **4**:794–800. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ni955

Westlake H, Hanson MA, Lemaitre B. 2024. The Drosophila immunity handbook, First. EPFL Press.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55430/6304tdihva01

Wichadakul D, Kobmoo N, Ingsriswang S, Tangphatsornruang S, Chantasingh D, Luangsa-ard JJ,
Eurwilaichitr L. 2015. Insights from the genome of Ophiocordyceps polyrhachis-furcata to
pathogenicity and host specificity in insect fungi. BMC Genomics **16**:881. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-2101-4

Xu R, Lou Y, Tidu A, Bulet P, Heinekamp T, Martin F, Brakhage A, Li Z, Liégeois S, Ferrandon D. 2023.
The Toll pathway mediates Drosophila resilience to Aspergillus mycotoxins through specific
Bomanins. EMBO reports **24**:e56036. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.202256036

Yassine H, Kamareddine L, Osta MA. 2012. The mosquito melanization response is implicated in
defense against the entomopathogenic fungus Beauveria bassiana. PLoS Pathogens **8**:e1003029.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003029

Yuan Y, Huang W, Chen K, Ling E. 2020. Beauveria bassiana ribotoxin inhibits insect immunity

responses to facilitate infection via host translational blockage. Developmental & Comparative
Immunology **106**:103605. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2019.103605
1228
1229

Figure Legends

Figure 1. **Contribution of the four main immune modules to survival upon natural infection with the five fungi.** (A-E) Survival rate of male wild-type and deficient flies following infection with the five different fungi shows that spz^{rm7} flies (P<0.0001) and $PPO1^{\triangle}$, $PPO2^{\triangle}$ flies (P<0.0001) are severely affected in their capacity to survive infection with the five fungi compared to wild-type flies. (F) Flies that are devoid of all the four immune modules ($\triangle ITPM$) have a significantly shorter lifespan (χ^2=78.50, P<0.0001). Insects treated with 0.05% Tween 80 were used as mock controls. Data were analyzed by Log-rank test and values are pooled from at least three independent experiments. Full statistical details are available on Table S3.

Figure 2. Contribution of the four main immune modules to survival upon septic infection with the five fungi. (**A-C**) Survival rate of male wild-type and deficient flies following pricking with spore suspensions show that spz^{rm7} flies (P<0.0001) contribute most to surviving infection by the *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, and *M. rileyi* as that of natural infection. (**D**) Survival rate of male wild-type and deficient flies following pricking with spore suspensions show that spz^{rm7} and to a lower extent Rel^{E20} flies exhibited a strong susceptibility to infection with *A. fumigatus* (P<0.0001). (**E**) No significant difference in survival of the four main modules upon infection with *E. muscae* by the injection of protoplasts compared to wild-type flies. (**F**) Survival rate of male flies following injury with a clean needle show that $PPO1^{\triangle}$, $PPO2^{\triangle}$ flies are severely affected in their capacity to survive wounding compared to wild-type flies (χ^2=20.30, P<0.0001). There was no significant difference in survival of $\triangle ITPM$ flies upon injection with *E. muscae* protoplasts and injury with a clean needle (χ^2=2.045, P=0.1527). Data were analyzed by Log-rank test and values are pooled from at least three independent experiments. Full statistical details are available on Table S3.

Figure 3. The induction of the Toll pathway in wild-type flies upon infection with the five fungi.
(**A-E**) Expression of *Drosomycin* (*Drs*) in wild-type flies upon natural infection with the five fungi. Shown are the relative expression levels of *Drs* in relation to Rp49. *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae* and *M. rileyi* induced relatively high *Drs* expression (compare Y axis to F). *A. fumigatus* did not induce *Drs* expression, while *E. muscae* induced a low *Drs* expression level. (**F**) A high induction of *Drs* was observed in unchallenged flies and flies 48 h after natural infection with *E. muscae* upon septic injury with the Gram-positive bacterium *M. luteus*. UC = Unchallenged, CI = Clean Injury, EM = naturally-infected with *E. muscae;* (**G**) Stronger GFP signal intensity was observed in flies carrying the *Drs-GFP* reporter gene upon natural infection with *B. bassiana* than that with *E. muscae*. (**H**) Kinetic analysis of Toll pathway activity was monitored using unchallenged *Drs-GFP* flies and flies 48 h after natural infection with *E. muscae* upon septic injury with the Gram-positive bacterium *M. luteus.* (**I**) Septic injury with *B. bassiana* spores induced higher *Drs* expression than natural infection (Compare I to A). UC = Unchallenged, CI = Clean injection, Bb = *B.*

bassiana infection (**J**) Injection with *E. muscae* protoplasts did not induce *Drs* expression (Compare J to E). UC = Unchallenged, PBS = Sterile injection, Em = *E. muscae* injection. (**K**) Stronger GFP intensity was observed in flies carrying the *Drs-GFP* reporter gene upon septic infection with *B. bassiana* than that with *E. muscae*. Expression was normalized with w^{1118} UC set as a value of 1. Data were analyzed using One-Way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison tests. Values represent the mean ± s.d. of at least three independent experiments. ns, P>0.05, *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001. Full statistical details are available on Table S3.

Figure 4. Morphological development of *E. muscae in vitro* culture and in flies. (**A**) The morphological development of *E. muscae* protoplasts in Grace's Insect Medium showed that round protoplast sprouted and extended to grow at the site of vesicles to form hyphae. Calcofluor White M2R staining showed that cell wall is only present when protoplasts have transformed to hyphae. V: vesicle. (**B**) Five infection stages of *E. muscae* were defined based on the morphological change of flies after infection. Red arrows indicate the white abdomen. (**C**) Calcofluor White M2R staining showed the presence of cell wall of spores contacting with host cuticle (S1), the absence of cell wall of protoplasts (S2), and the presence of thin cell wall when protoplasts transformed to invasive hyphae at S3-S4 and hyphae S5. Red arrows indicate the presence of vesicle in protoplasts and hyphae. C: cuticle; White arrows indicate the primary conidia produced at the tip of the hyphae that extrude from the cadavers.

Figure 5. Contribution of the Psh and GNBP3 to Toll pathway activation. (**A**) A model of GNBP3 and SPs regulating the Toll pathway and the Melanization reaction upon fungal infections. (**B-E**) Natural infection with *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, and *M. rileyi* revealed that psh^{SK1} flies have a reduced survival rate compared to $GNBP3^{hades}/Df$ *(ED4421)*, $modSP^1$ and wild-type flies (P<0.0001). $modSP^1$ flies were as susceptible to *E. muscae* as spz^{rm7} and psh^1 flies (P>0.05). The Hayan-psh^{Def} double mutant flies is more susceptible to *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae* and *M. rileyi* than psh^{SK1} (Bb, χ^2=36.19, P<0.0001; Ma, χ^2=16.91, P<0.0001; Mr, χ^2=14.14, P=0.0002). Likewise, the double mutant flies *psh;;modSP* were more susceptible to *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae* and *M. rileyi* than psh^{SK1} (Bb, χ^2=14.65, P=0.0001; Ma, χ^2=54.88, P<0.0001; Mr, χ^2=22.68, P<0.0001) and $modSP^1$ (Bb, χ^2=173.1, P<0.0001; Ma, χ^2=120.6, P<0.0001; Mr, χ^2=55.81, P<0.0001). (**F**) Expression of *Drs* revealed that $GNBP3^{hades}$ flies have wild-type *Drs* expression levels (p>0.05). The Toll pathway was not activated in psh^{SK1} flies as in spz^{rm7}, psh^1 and *Hayan-psh* ^{Def} flies, and displayed a lower induction in $modSP^1$ flies compared to wild-type flies. (**G**) Expression of *BomBc3* showed similar results to the expression of *Drs*. The spz^{rm7} flies as a positive control. Survival tests were analyzed by Log-rank test and gene expression data were analyzed using One-Way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiples comparison tests. Values represent the mean ± s.d. of at least three independent experiments. Full statistical details are available on Table S3.

Figure 6. Higher fungal persistence in flies deficient for the Toll pathway. (A-C) Quantification of fungal loads by qPCR analyses reveals higher fungal loads in spz^{rm7} flies compared to wild-type flies after natural infection with *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae* and *E. muscae*. (**D-E**) Higher proportion of flies with GFP and a stronger GFP intensity were observed in spz^{rm7} flies compared to wild-type flies at 48, 72 and 96 hours after pricking with spores of a *M. anisopliae* tagged GFP strain. ns, P>0.05, **, P<0.01; ****, P<0.0001. Full statistical details are available on Table S3.

Figure 7. Contribution of host defense peptides and Serine Proteases involved in melanization to survival upon fungal infection. (**A**) The Bomanin and Daisho1/2 are critical for defense against *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, *M. rileyi* and *E. muscae* infection. (**B**) PPO2 mutant flies were more susceptible to fungal infection than PPO1. The spz^{rm7} flies as a positive control. Shown are the median survival for infection with *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae* and *E. muscae*, and cumulative survival for infection with *M. rileyi*. Data were analyzed using the Log rank test. ns, P>0.05; *, p<0.05; ***, P<0.001; ****, P<0.0001. Full statistical details are available on Table S3.

Figure 8. Melanization limits fungal growth. (**A**) *B. bassiana* fungal load increases in wild-type and mutants before mortality upon natural infection. spz^{rm7} and $PPO1^{\triangle}$, $PPO2^{\triangle}$ flies have a higher fungal persistence in $PPO1^{\triangle}$, $PPO2^{\triangle}$ flies. (**B**) *M. anisopliae* fungal load increases in wild-type and mutants showing similar trends as *B. bassiana* fungal load upon natural infection. Data were analyzed using One-Way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiples comparison tests and values are pooled data from three independent experiments. (**C**) Stronger GFP intensity and faster fungal dissemination was observed in spz^{rm7} and $PPO1^{\triangle}$, $PPO2^{\triangle}$ flies upon pricking with spores of a *M. anisopliae* tagged GFP strain. Red arrow indicates the growth of hyphae at the melanization spot of the pricking site. Full statistical details are available on Table S3.

<div align="center">

Supplementary Figure Legends

</div>

1348

Figure S1: Contribution of the cellular response to host defense to fungal
infection. (A-D) Survival analysis of males lacking most plasmatocytes—due to Bax
overexpression in plasmatocytes (*Hml∆-GAL4, UAS-GFP / UAS-Bax*) (Defaye et al.,
2009)—shows only a modest reduction in their ability to survive natural or septic
injury infection with *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae*. Given the numerous roles of
hemocytes in immunity and metabolism (Westlake et al., 2024b), these experiments
cannot disentangle the specific contribution of hemocytes to antifungal defense. Both
systemic and natural infections were carried out at 25 °C. *Bom^{A55C}* flies were included
as a positive control. (**E**). Spores of *M. anisopliae-GFP* bind to wild-type but not
NimC1^1;eater^1 deficient plasmatocyte (stained in red with phalloidin). Plasmatocytes
from third instar larvae were incubated in presence of *M. anisopliae-GFP* for 2 hours.
Representative image are shown. (**F**) *NimC1^1;eater^1* deficient plasmatocytes display
reduced ability to phagocyte or bind to spores of *M. anisopliae*. Plasmatocytes from
third instar larvae were incubated in presence of *M. anisopliae-GFP* or bead of *S.*
aureus for 2 hours. Note that this assay does not allow to distinguish if plasmatocytes
bind to spores or uptake it internally.

1365

Figure S2. Survival of the female wild-type and mutant flies deficient for the four
main immune modules upon natural infection with the five fungi. (A-E) Survival
rate of the females shows that *spz^{rm7}* flies (P<0.0001) and *PPO1, PPO2* double mutant
flies (P<0.0001) are severely affected in their capacity to survive infection with the
five fungi compared to wild-type *w^{1118}* flies. (**F**) The evaluation of spore concentration
after the 24 h ejection from the fresh cadavers shows that more spores were produced
from female cadavers than male cadavers (**, P<0.01). For natural infection of *E.*
muscae, a total of 10 female and 10 male fresh cadavers were used for one infection
treatment by spore shower. Data were analyzed using the Log rank test and values are
pooled data from at least three independent experiments. Full statistical details are
available on Table S3. Related to Figure 1.

1377

Figure S3. Survival of the female wild-type and mutants devoid of the four main
immune modules upon septic infection with the five fungi. (A-C) Survival rate of
females following pricking with spore suspensions show that *spz^{rm7}* flies (P<0.0001)
contribute most to surviving infection by the *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, and *M. rileyi*.
(**D**) *spz^{rm7}* and to lower extent *Rel^{E20}* females exhibited a strong susceptibility to
infection with *A. fumigatus* (P<0.0001). (**E**) No significant difference in survival of
the four main modules upon infection with *E. muscae* by the injection of protoplasts
compared to wild-type females. (**F**) Survival of *w^{1118}* flies upon infection with *E.*
muscae showed that faster killing was found when injected with a higher
concentration of protoplasts (****, P<0.0001). Data were analyzed using the Log
rank test and values are pooled data from at least three independent experiments. Full
statistical details are available on Table S3. Related to Figure 2.

Figure S4. Expression of Bomanin (*BomBc3*) in wild-type flies upon infection with the five fungi. (**A-C**) Natural infection with *M. anisopliae* induced a highest expression of *BomBc3,* followed by *B. bassiana* and *M. rileyi* only induced the expression of *BomBc3* at a late stage, at 120 h after infection. (**D**) Natural infection with *A.fumigatus* could not induce the expression of *BomBc3*. (**E**) Natural infection with *E. muscae* induced a low expression of *BomBc3* at 24 h and 48 h after infection, then followed by a significant decrease. (**F**) Septic infection with *B. bassiana* spores activates higher *BomBc3* expression compared to natural infection and clean injury with a needle. (**G**) Expression of *BomBc3* after injection with *E. muscae* protoplasts was similar to the injection with PBS, indicating protoplasts cannot activate Toll signaling. Expression was normalized with w^{1118} UC set as a value of 1. Data were analyzed using One-Way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison tests. ns, P>0.05; *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001; ****, P<0.0001. Values represent the mean ± s.d. of at least three independent experiments. Full statistical details are available on Table S3. Related to Figure 3.

Figure S5. DAPI staining of the *E. muscae* protoplasts.

Figure S6. Contribution of GNBP3 and GNGP like 3 to survival upon natural infection with *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae*. (**A-B**) Survival rate of male flies following infection with the two fungi shows that both GNBP3 and GNGP like 3 mutant flies are not susceptible or slightly susceptible to *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae*. Full statistical details are available on Table S3. Related to Figure 5.

Figure S7. Fungal dissemination from wild-type and *spz^{rm7}* cadavers after natural infection with *M. anisopliae*. *Metarhizium anisopliae* extruding from cuticle and growing in *spz^{rm7}* cadavers are faster than that in wild-type cadavers. *M. anisopliae* can eventually disseminate through the whole flies both in wild-type and *spz^{rm7}* cadavers. Related to Figure 6.

Figure S8. Sum survival curves of single and compound host defense peptides mutants after infection with *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, *M. rileyi* and *E. muscae*. Full statistical details are available on Table S3. Related to Figure 7.

Figure S9. Sum survival curves of mutants of SPs involved in melanization after infection with *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, *M. rileyi* and *E. muscae*. Full statistical details are available on Table S3. Related to Figure 7.

Figure S10. Expression of *Drosomycin* of mutants of SPs involved in melanization upon natural and septic infection with *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae*. (**A-B**) Statistical analysis reveals higher *Drosomycin* expression in *PPO1, PPO2* double mutant compared to wild-type at 72 h and 120 h after natural infection with *B. bassiana* and at 72 h after natural infection with *M. anisopliae* (*, P<0.05; ***,

P<0.001). The *spz^{rm7}* flies as a positive control. (**C**) Compared with wild-type flies, PPO1, PPO2 double mutant flies exhibit an enhanced Toll pathway activity upon clean injury and septic infection with *M. anisopliae* (ns, P>0.05; *, p<0.05; ***, P<0.001; ****, P<0.0001). Expression was normalized with *w^{1118}* UC set as a value of 1. Data were analyzed using One-Way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison tests and values represent the mean ± s.d. of at least three independent experiments. Full statistical details are available on Table S3. Related to Figure 7.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A — Protoplasts development in Grace's insect medium.

B

Stage 1: Within two days post-infection, early infection stage, spores contact with host cuticle and then penetrate

Stage 2: From day 2, intra-hemolymph growth stage, protoplasts proliferate, some alive fly with erect wings

Stage 3: From day 4-post-infection, fly climbing and affixing to the high point just prior to death

Stage 4: A few hours after Stage 3, dead fly with a swollen and white abdomen

Stage 5: 12-24 hours after Stage 3, hyphae extrude and produce spores from the cadavers

C

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

A

B

Figure 8

A *B. bassiana* Natural infection

B. bassiana 18 S relative to *Drosophila Rp49*

w¹¹¹⁸ PPO1^Δ PPO2^Δ spz^rm7 Hayan^sk6 Sp7^sk6 PPO1^Δ, PPO2^Δ

B *M. anisopliae* Natural infection

M. anisopliae Rpl32 relative to *Drosophila Actin*

w¹¹¹⁸ PPO1^Δ PPO2^Δ spz^rm7 Hayan^sk6 Sp7^sk6 PPO1^Δ, PPO2^Δ

C

w¹¹¹⁸   PPO1^Δ   PPO2^Δ   Hayan^sk6   Sp7^sk6   PPO1^Δ,PPO2^Δ

48 h

72 h

120 h

8 d

Figure S1

A — *B. bassiana* 1×10^9 spores mL^{-1} Natural infection 25°C
Percent survival vs Time (days)
- *Hml Gal4, UAS GFP>w^{1118}*
- *Hml Gal4, UAS GFP>UAS Bax*
- *Bom$^{\Delta55C}$*
ns / **** / ****

B — *B. bassiana* 1×10^8 spores mL^{-1} Septic infection 25°C
- *Hml Gal4, UAS GFP>w^{1118}*
- *Hml Gal4, UAS GFP>UAS Bax*
- *Bom$^{\Delta55C}$*
ns / **** / ****

C — *M. anisopliae* 1×10^8 spores mL^{-1} Natural infection 25°C
- *Hml Gal4,UAS GFP> w^{1118}*
- *Hml Gal4,UAS GFP> UAS Bax*
- *w^{1118}>UAS Bax*
- *Bom*
** / ** / ns

E

w^{1118} (wild-type)   *NimC1^1;eater^1*

S. aureus Beads (GFP)

M. anisopliae (GFP)

10 µm

D — *M. anisopliae* 1×10^8 spores mL^{-1} septic infection 25°C
- *Hml Gal4,UAS GFP> w^{1118}*
- *Hml Gal4,UAS GFP> UAS Bax*
- *w^{1118}>UAS Bax*
- *Bom*
ns / ns / * / **

F — ex-vivo phagocytosis assay
% of hemocytes phagocytosing vs Wild type / *NimC1^1,Eater^1*
ns / ns
- *S. aureus* Beads
- *M. anisopliae*

Figure S2

Figure S4

Figure S5

Figure S6

A

B. bassiana
Natural infection

B

M. anisopliae
Natural infection

Figure S7

Figure S8

Figure S10

Table S1 List of wild-type and mutant flies used in this study.

Drosophila lines	Source	Abbrevation
iso; PPO1$^\Delta$; iso	Binggeli et al., 2014	PPO1$^\Delta$
iso; PPO2$^\Delta$; iso	Binggeli et al., 2014	PPO2$^\Delta$
iso; PPO1$^\Delta$, PPO2$^\Delta$; iso	Binggeli et al., 2014	PPO1$^\Delta$, PPO2$^\Delta$
iso; iso; Rel^{E20}	Hedengren et al., 1999	Rel^{E20}
iso; iso; spz^{rm7}	Lemaitre et al., 1996	spz^{rm7}
+; Nim C1^1; Eater^1	Melcarne et al., 2019	Nim C1^1; Eater^1
iso (Hayan-psh)^{Def}; NimC1^1; Eater1, Relish^{E20}	Ryckebusch et al., 2025	ΔITPM
Hayan^{SK6}; iso; iso	Duzic et al., 2019	Hayan^{SK6}
psh^{SK1}; iso; iso	Duzic et al., 2019	psh^{SK1}
Hayan-psh^{Def}; iso; iso	Duzic et al., 2019	Hayan-psh^{Def}
+; +; GNBP3Δ40/TM6B	Gottar et al., 2006	GNBP3^{hades}
iso; iso; GNBP3Δ	Lu et al., 2024	GNBP3$^\Delta$
iso; GNBP like 3Δ; iso	Lu et al., 2024	GL3$^\Delta$
iso; GNBP like 3Δ;GNBP3Δ	Lu et al., 2024	G3$^\Delta$;GL3$^\Delta$
w[1118];Df(3L)ED4421,P{w[+mW.ScerFRT.hs3]	BDSC#8066	ED4421^{Def}
iso; iso; Sp7^{SK6}	Duzic et al., 2019	Sp7^{SK6}
iso; iso; modSP^1	Buchon et al., 2009	modSP^1
Psh^1; iso; modSP^1	Buchon et al., 2009	psh^1;; modSP^1
iso; Mtk^{R1}; iso	Hanson et al., 2019	Mtk^{R1}
iso; iso; Drs^{R1}	Hanson et al., 2019	Drs^{R1}
iso; Bom[Δ55C-289]; iso	Clemmons et al., 2015	Bom$^{\Delta 55C}$
iso; BaraAΔ\{dsRed\}; iso	Hanson et al., 2021	BaraA$^\Delta$
iso; ΔAMP10	Caboni et al., 2022	ΔAMP10
iso; ΔAMP14	Caboni et al., 2022	ΔAMP14
iso; ΔDaisho 1,2; iso	Cohen et al., 2020	ΔDso
iso; Mtk^{R1}; Drs^{R1}	Hanson et al., 2021	Mtk^{R1}; Drs^{R1}
iso; BaraA^{SW1}, ΔDso; iso	Bruno Lemaitre	BaraA$^\Delta$, ΔDso
iso; Mtk^{R1}, BaraA^{SW1}; DrsR1	Bruno Lemaitre	Mtk^{R1},BaraA$^\Delta$; Drs^{R1}
iso; Mtk^{R1}, BaraA^{SW1}, ΔDso; DrsR1	Bruno Lemaitre	Mtk^{R1},BaraA$^\Delta$,ΔDso; Drs^{R1}

iso; Mtk^{R1}, Bom$^{\Delta 55C}$; Drs^{R1}	Bruno Lemaitre	*Mtk^{R1}, Bom$^{\Delta 55C}$; Drs^{R1}*
w;; Hml^{P2A}-GAL4	Stephenson et al., 2022	*Hml^{P2A}-GAL4*
w;; UAS-CaMPARI2	Moeyaert et al., 2018	*UAS-CaMPARI2*
w; Hml Δ GAL4,UAS GFP;	Defaye et al., 2009	*Hml GAL4,UAS GFP*
w;UAS-Bax;	Gaumer et al., 2000	*UAS Bax*

Table S2 Primers used in this study.

Genes	Primers	Primer sequencs (5'-3')	Application	Source
Fly GNBP3	G3-F	TGGCGTTCAGGGGTATGAAG	Identify GNBP3 mutant	Lemaitre lab
	G3-R	CGTCTTCGCGATAACCCAGT		
Fly *Drs*	Drs_F	CGTGAGAACCTTTTCCAATATGAT	RT-PCR/qPCR	Lemaitre lab
	Drs_R	TCCCAGGACCACCAGCAT		
Fly *BomBc3*	BomBc3_F	CTGATCGGCGCTCATCCCAG	RT-PCR/qPCR	Lemaitre lab
	BomBc3_R	GGGATGAGGAGAAGCTGCGG		
Fly *Rp49*	Rp49_F	GCCGCTTCAAGGGACAGTATCTG	RT-PCR/qPCR	Lemaitre lab
	Rp49_R	AAACGCGGTTCTGCATGAG		
B. bassiana 18S rRNA	Bbas_18S_F	CGGGTAACGGAGGGTTAGG	Detection of fungal load	Hasan et al., 2021
	Bbas_18S_R	AGTACACGCGGTGAGGCGG		
Fly *actin*	Act-F	GCCAACCGTGAGAAGATGA		
	Act-R	GGTGGTGAAAGAGTAACCGC	Detection of fungal load	Shang et al., 2023
M. anisopliae Rpl32	Rpl-F	ATCGGTTTCGGGTCCAAC		
	Rpl-R	ATTCGCTTTCGGGAGGAG		
E. muscae 18SrRNA	Emus_18S_F	CGGGTGACGGGGAATAAGG	Detection of fungal load	Lemaitre lab
	Emus_18S_R	GCCAAGAACTGAAACTAACCC		