Evolutionary origins and transcriptomic innovations

of vertebrate Cajal-Retzius cells

Elias Gumnit[1], Giacomo Gattoni[1], Jamie Woych[1], Astrid Deryckere[1#], Prabesh
Bhattarai[2,3], J Andrew Gillis[4], Caghan Kizil[2,3], Maria Antonietta Tosches[1*]

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA.
[2] Department of Neurology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA
[3] The Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Columbia
University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA
[4] Bay Paul Centre, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA, USA

[#] Present address: Laboratory of Reproductive Genomics, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

* Corresponding author. Email: mt3353@columbia.edu

Abstract

Evolutionary changes of cortical development were instrumental for the emergence of
the mammalian neocortex. Cajal-Retzius cells, a transient neuron type discovered over
a century ago, are critical players in the development of mammalian-specific cortical
features such as inside-out corticogenesis. However, it is unclear whether Cajal-Retzius
cells exist only in mammals, or if they are ancestral in vertebrates but acquired new
functions during mammalian brain development. To trace the evolution of this cell type,
we probe the presence of Cajal-Retzius cells in chicken, salamander, zebrafish, and
little skate. First, through comparative transcriptomics and spatial analysis we show that
Cajal-Retzius cells are conserved in vertebrates. However, only amniote Cajal-Retzius
cells gained robust expression of *Reelin*, a secreted glycoprotein crucial for mammalian
cortical development. Second, we find that Cajal-Retzius cells are part of a larger and
diverse family of neuron types, and are closely related to *Tp73*+ external tufted cells in
the olfactory bulb, which express most of the "canonical" Cajal-Retzius transcription
factors. Our results indicate that Cajal-Retzius cells emerged early in vertebrate history,
using a regulatory program largely shared with neurons in the olfactory system, and that
transcriptomic novelties underlie their specialized roles in mammalian cortical
development. This is an extreme example of how cell types may diverge in morphology
and function over the course of evolution even when their core transcriptomic profile is
broadly preserved.

Introduction

The forebrain is the brain region that underwent the most dramatic changes in
vertebrate evolution [1]. In mammalian ancestors, the drastic expansion of the pallium
(dorsal telencephalon) occurred with several innovations, including the anatomical and

functional diversification of cortical regions, a six-layered neocortex, and a folded
hippocampus. Changes in cortical development may underlie the evolution of these
novelties [1,2]. To investigate the underpinnings of these changes, we focused on Cajal-
Retzius (CR) cells, a multifunctional cell type with critical roles in the development of
mammalian-specific cortical traits [3–6]. In mammals, CR cells are born in the ventral
pallium, cortical hem, septum, and prethalamic eminence (PThE), and then migrate
tangentially in the marginal zone to cover the entire telencephalic vesicle [7–12]. These
cells are the major source of Reelin, a secreted molecule that regulates the radial
migration of immature neurons during corticogenesis [13,14]. CR cells also control cortical
arealization, serve as pioneer neurons in the hippocampus and olfactory system,
influence hippocampal morphogenesis, and regulate circuit assembly in the
hippocampus and neocortex [8,14–25]. Clarifying the evolution of CR cells is thus essential
to understand how innovations in the mammalian cerebral cortex emerged.
Notably, while CR cells are the first neurons that develop in the mammalian
pallium, their evolution remains highly debated. Markers of mammalian CR cells,
including Reelin and the transcription factor p73, are expressed in scattered pallial cells
in developing lizard, crocodile, chicken, frog, and fish brains [26–30]. A recent study
proposed that CR cells existed already in tetrapod ancestors [30], but the interpretation of
chick data is contentious [29,31,32], and fishes remain poorly investigated. The expression
of single CR markers remains insufficient to conclude whether CR cells exist in non-
mammals: none of these markers are CR-specific, but it is only their *combined*
expression that defines CR identity[3].
With the advent of single-cell RNA sequencing, mammalian CR cells have been
well characterized at the transcriptomic level, and express a core set of transcription
factors (TFs) including: *Tp73, Tbr1, Lhx1, Lhx5, Ebf2, Ebf3, Nr2f2, Nhlh2,* and *Barhl2*
(with *Tp73* and *Ebf3* missing in ventral-pallium derived cells) [5,33]. Among these, *Tp73*
(*Trp73* in mice) encodes for p73 and is expressed in CR cells derived from medial
sources (PThE, septum, and hem). (For simplicity, hereafter we refer to the mouse
Trp73 gene as *Tp73*). *Tp73* is a key player in the gene regulatory network that
establishes medial CR identity: mutant mice display abnormal cortical lamination and
hippocampal malformations in conjunction with loss of medial CR cells [26,29].
Here we explored the evolution of CR cells by examining species that represent
the main branches of the vertebrate tree. Expanding on previous work[27–30,34], we found
Tp73+ cells in the pallium and other conserved spatial locations in all vertebrate species
examined. Motivated by these observations, we then performed unbiased transcriptomic
comparisons to identify CR-like neurons in non-mammalian species. In-depth analyses
revealed that *Tp73* defines a diverse and evolutionarily-conserved family of neurons,
including not only CR cells, but also neurons in the olfactory bulb (OB) and in the basal
forebrain. With our cross-species analyses, we identify the conserved set of
transcription factors that differentiate CR cells from their closely-related neuron types,
and identify critical evolutionary innovations of the CR cell transcriptome. Taken
together, our findings indicate that CR cells were already present in vertebrate
ancestors and share evolutionary origins with a neuron type in the OB, raising new
scenarios on the early evolution of this enigmatic cell type.
85

Results

Conserved populations of *Tp73*+ neurons in vertebrates

In the developing mouse brain, *Tp73* is expressed in neurons distributed within the
pallium, subpallium, olfactory bulb (OB), preoptic area (POA), and prethalamic
eminence (PThE, a diencephalic region that persists after development only in
amphibians and fish)[35]. *Tp73* is expressed also in some non-neuronal cells including the
choroid plexus, which develops from the cortical hem (Fig. 1A) [36]. Pallial *Tp73*+ neurons
are CR cells and express *Reln*, whereas diencephalic *Tp73*+ neurons that do not
coexpress *Reln* are not classified as CR cells [35,37] (Fig. 1A).

Using HCR *in situ* hybridization, we characterized the distribution of *Tp73*+
neurons during brain development in multiple species representing the major vertebrate
clades. Scattered *Tp73*+ neurons have been reported in the developing chick brain [29].
These *Tp73*+ neurons are most dense near the cortical hem and grow sparser laterally
into the medial and dorsal pallium (Fig. 1B). We also observed *Tp73*+ neurons in the
chick subpallium, PThE, and OB (Fig. 1B, fig. S1A). Overall, the distribution pattern was
similar to the one previously observed in lizard embryos, where *Tp73*+ neurons were
found in the PThE, subpallium, and OB, plus sparse *Tp73*+ neurons in the pallium [27]
(Fig. 1A). In the salamander *Pleurodeles waltl,* we found scattered *Tp73*+ neurons also
distributed throughout the pallium - with higher density around the hem - from early to
late stages of pallial neurogenesis [38]. In addition, *Tp73*+ cells were visible in the OB,
PThE, and subpallium (Fig. 1C, fig. S1B, video S1).

We then extended our analysis to two distantly-related fish species: the teleost
zebrafish and a cartilaginous fish, the little skate *Leucoraja erinacea*. In the developing
zebrafish forebrain, *tp73*+ cells were present in the same brain regions described in
tetrapods, with sparse *tp73*+ neurons in the pallium (Fig. 1D, fig S1C, video S2). In the
developing skate, *Tp73*+ neurons were found in high density in the OB and pallium, and
more sparsely in the subpallium and putative PThE, consistent with other vertebrates
(Fig. 1E, fig. S1D).

In the adult mouse brain, *Tp73*+ neurons persist in the OB, basal forebrain, and
pallium, although only a small percentage of CR cells in the pallium survives to
adulthood [39]. Consistently, we found *Tp73*+ neurons in the same brain areas, plus the
PThE, in adult salamander, zebrafish, little skate, and in the bichir fish *Polypterus
senegalus* (a non-teleost ray-finned fish), but with a drastic reduction of the density of
Tp73+ pallial cells, particularly in skates (fig. S2, video S3-4). These results indicate that
the spatial pattern of *Tp73* expression is conserved across jawed vertebrates, with at
least some *Tp73* neurons in the developing pallium, where in mammals *Tp73* is highly
specific to CR cells born from medial sources (cortical hem, septum, and PThE).

Motivated by these observations, we asked whether neurons resembling
mammalian CR cells at the transcriptome level exist in the developing forebrain of non-
mammalian species. For this we relied on our recent high quality scRNA-seq dataset of
the developing salamander brain[40], which spans eight developmental stages and
multiple brain regions. Only one cluster in this dataset expressed high levels of *Tp73*
(Fig. 2A-B). This cluster also expressed neuronal markers, such as the synaptic gene
Snap25 and the vesicular glutamate transporter gene *Slc17a6*, which clearly
differentiated it from non-neuronal cells expressing low levels of *Tp73* (fig. S3B).

Importantly, TFs usually associated with mammalian CR cells, including *Tp73*, *Lhx1*,
Lhx5, *Nr2f2*, *Ebf2*, *Ebf3*, and *Barhl2* [5], were co-expressed only in the *Tp73+* neuronal
cluster (Fig. 2B, fig. S3A). To further determine whether these salamander *Tp73+*
neurons are the most similar to mouse CR cells at the transcriptomic level, we
compared our scRNAseq data with a developmental mouse brain dataset [41], restricting
our analysis to mature neurons (see Methods). We used three independent methods to
compare the salamander and mouse datasets. First, we computed all the genes that
differentiate mouse CR cells from other mouse differentiated neurons, and then
assessed the relative expression enrichment for these genes in the salamander dataset.
Tp73 neurons in salamander showed the highest enrichment for this CR gene module
(Fig. 2C). Second, we computed pairwise transcriptomic correlations (gene specificity
index [42], see Methods) of all salamander and mouse neuron classes, and found that the
salamander *Tp73+* neurons are most similar to mouse CR cells (Fig. 2D). Third, using
manifold integration [43] for the joint analysis of the two data sets, we identified a cluster
in the integrated transcriptomic space that includes salamander *Tp73+* neurons and
mouse CR cells, supporting the transcriptomic similarity of these cell types (Fig. 2E, fig.
S4A-D). These data suggest a close transcriptomic similarity of salamander *Tp73+*
neurons and mouse CR cells.
Next, we analyzed transcriptomic data from zebrafish to assess the existence of
neurons similar to salamander *Tp73+* neurons. In a dataset by Pandey et al. [44] from the
developing zebrafish forebrain, a glutamatergic cell type annotated as "olfactory bulb"
(OB) expressed many of the TFs characterizing mammalian CR cells and salamander
Tp73+ neurons such as *lhx1a*, *lhx5*, *ebf3*, and *barhl2* (Fig. 2F-G, fig. S5A-F). *tp73* was
poorly detected in this dataset, probably due to technical reasons; supporting this
conclusion, this gene was detected in a more deeply-sequenced adult dataset [45], where
it was coexpressed with other CR TFs (see fig. S5L-S). The OB cluster also showed the
highest enrichment score for genes that distinguish *Tp73+* neurons from other neuron
types (Fig. 2H). GSI correlation analysis of zebrafish and salamander neurons showed
a significant correlation between salamander *Tp73+* neurons and the zebrafish OB
cluster. (Fig. 2I). In addition, integration of salamander and zebrafish data showed co-
clustering of zebrafish OB cells and a subset of salamander *Tp73+* neurons; a second
group of salamander *Tp73+* neurons co-clustered with zebrafish diencephalic neurons,
suggesting transcriptomic heterogeneity (Fig. 2J, fig. S4E-H). We conclude that the
zebrafish OB cluster has high similarity to salamander *Tp73+* neurons (markers of OB
mitral cells, the major OB glutamatergic type, are expressed in a separate set of cells,
see fig. S5H-K). Taken together, these results indicate that *Tp73+* neurons are overall
highly similar at the transcriptomic level in mouse, salamander, and zebrafish,
supporting their evolutionary conservation in vertebrates.
170
Heterogeneity of salamander *Tp73+* neurons
The presence of *Tp73+* cells in different areas of the forebrain (Fig. 1), together
with the varied expression of marker genes in *Tp73+* neurons (Fig. 2) suggests they are
heterogeneous. Therefore, we sought to further characterize the molecular
heterogeneity of salamander *Tp73+* neurons in order to clarify their comparison with
mammalian CR cells. To increase the power of our analyses, we merged *Tp73+*
clusters from larval and adult [46] scRNA-seq datasets, after noticing that *Tp73+* neurons

have conserved transcriptomes and spatial distribution across the salamander lifespan
(fig. S2A-B). This salamander *Tp73*+ dataset, restricted to neurons, contained 982 cells
(Fig. 3A, fig. S6).
After clustering and differential gene expression analysis, we identified three
major classes of *Tp73*+ neurons, each including multiple clusters. The first class
comprised late progenitors and immature neurons, as indicated by the expression
of the proliferation marker *Mki67*, low levels of the synaptic gene *Snap25*, and markers
of immature neurons like *Vim* and *Neurod4* (clusters im1-2, Fig. 3A-B). These clusters
were uniquely labeled by *Gmnc* and *Cccno*, members of a multiciliation gene module
expressed transiently during early CR differentiation in mice [29]. Double HCR *in situ*
hybridization showed coexpression of *Tp73* with *Gmnc* and *Ccno* in sparse cells in the
hem, septum, and PThE (fig. S7). These observations suggest that cells in clusters im1-
2 are in the process of acquiring a terminal CR-like neuronal fate.
The other two classes were identified as mature *Tp73*+ neurons. The first class
(clusters m1.1 to 1.4) shared some of the defining features of CR cells, including *Ebf2*
and *Ebf3* expression and absence of *Foxg1* expression. The second class (clusters
m2.1 to m2.8) expressed the unique combination of *Stk32a*, *Elfn1*, and *Sorcs1* (Fig.
3B). We performed HCRs to locate these two distinct populations in the developing
brain. *Stk32a* and *Tp73* (m2 class) were coexpressed in the subpallium and PThE, but
not in the OB or pallium. In contrast, *Ebf3* and *Tp73* (m1 class) were coexpressed in
cells in the OB and pallium, but not in the subpallium or PThE (Fig. 3C, fig. S8A-B). We
conclude that mature salamander *Tp73*+ neurons belong to spatially and molecularly
distinct populations: a population of *Tp73*+ *Ebf3*+ neurons enriched in the anterior and
dorsal forebrain, and a second population of *Tp73*+ *Ebf3*- neurons in the posterior and
ventral forebrain. These two neuronal classes co-cluster with different sets of neurons in
the zebrafish dataset, suggesting an ancient origin (fig. S8C).
Next, we explored further the heterogeneity of *Tp73*+ *Ebf3*+ neurons. Cluster
m1.1 expressed high levels of *Nfix* and *Nfia*, markers of mammalian hem-derived CR
cells [33]. When staining for *Nfix*, we found coexpression with *Tp73* only in the pallium,
including the hem region, and not in the OB (Fig. 3D, fig S8D-E). Conversely, clusters
m1.2-4 expressed *Asic4* and *Cdhr1*, markers of mammalian OB glutamatergic neurons
[47,48]. *Asic4* showed the opposite pattern as *Nfix*, as it was coexpressed with *Tp73* in OB
but not in pallium (Fig. 3D, fig S8D). This suggests that the *Tp73*+ *Ebf3*+ class of
salamander neurons includes a pallial population with additional markers of mammalian
CR identity, and an OB population expressing markers of OB glutamatergic neurons.
213
Cajal-Retzius and olfactory bulb *Tp73*+ external tufted cells are sister cell types
Next, we investigated whether the molecular and spatial heterogeneity of
salamander *Tp73*+ neurons has counterparts in mammals. We isolated mouse *Tp73*+
neurons from the Allen Brain Cell (ABC) atlas [39] and compared them to the salamander
Tp73+ neuron dataset. The ABC atlas, comprising the entire adult mouse brain, was
chosen for its high sequencing depth, completeness, and matching MERFISH data,
necessary to resolve subtle differences between *Tp73*+ neurons types. This atlas
includes three populations of *Tp73*+ neurons (Fig. 4A and fig. S9A-B). One is composed
of subclass 118 ADP-MPO Trp73 and cluster 2111 LHA Barhl2 Glut_2, spatially located
in the basal forebrain, specifically in areas annotated as the lateral hypothalamus and

medial POA. A second group is the subclass 036 HPF CR Glut, which includes CR cells sampled from the adult cortex and hippocampus (Fig. 4A, fig. S9A). Finally, a third group is the supertype 0132 OB Eomes Ms4a15 Glut_3, which was further characterized by Zeppilli et al [47] in a transcriptomic analysis of the OB. These cells express *Lhx1* and *Ebf3* and correspond to one type of external tufted cells (ETCs) (see cluster ET2 in Zeppilli et al [47]). ETCs are a heterogeneous class of glutamatergic neurons in the mammalian OB; these cells, or at least a subset of them, are believed to be born in the pallium before migrating to the bulb [49–51]. The *Tp73*+ ETCs are juxtaglomerular tufted cells that synapse with olfactory sensory neurons and other periglomerular interneurons [52,53] (fig. S10). Using cross-species data integration, we found that classes of salamander *Tp73*+ neurons integrate with distinct mouse *Tp73*+ neurons. We computed the overlap of cells' cross-species neighborhoods in the integrated transcriptomic space (see Methods). Salamander *Tp73*+ *Ebf3*- neurons were on average more similar to mouse basal forebrain *Tp73*+ neurons, while salamander *Tp73*+ *Ebf3*+ neurons were more similar to mouse CR and ETC (Fig. 4C). Specifically, salamander clusters m1.1 (*Nfix*+) and m1.2 were most likely to be mutual nearest neighbors with mouse CR cells (Fig. 4C) and also co-clustered with them (fig. S11A-C), while m1.4 (*Asic4*+) neurons were nearest neighbors with mouse ETC cells in the integrated space (Fig. 4C) and co-clustered together (fig.S11A-C). GSI correlation analysis showed a similar trend, although this analysis was less sensitive than integration at distinguishing CR and ETC cells due to the high transcriptomic similarity between these two cell types (Fig. 4D). These comparisons indicate that salamander *Tp73*+ *Ebf3*+ *Nfix*+ neurons are homologous to mammalian CR cells, while salamander *Tp73*+ *Ebf3*+ *Asic4*+ neurons are homologous to ETCs.

Next, we wondered whether transcriptomically distinct CR and ETC cells exist in other vertebrate species. The available chicken [54] and zebrafish [44] datasets had several limitations, including low numbers of *Tp73*+ neurons, absence of OB sampling (in chicken), and relatively lower sequencing depth (in zebrafish). Nevertheless, comparisons of chicken and zebrafish *Tp73*+ neurons with mouse ABC Atlas *Tp73*+ neurons supported the conclusion that the chicken *Tp73*+ neurons in Zaremba et al. [54] are CR cells (fig. S10, fig. S11D-F), and that most zebrafish *tp73*+ neurons in Pandey et al. [44] are ETCs (fig. S11G-I). The fact that a few zebrafish cells co-clustered with mouse CR cells (fig. S11G-I), together with our tissue staining data (Fig. 1), is consistent with the possibility that CR cells also exist in teleosts.

To further support the existence of *Tp73*+ ETCs across vertebrates, we analyzed *Tp73* expression in the mature OB, where glomeruli are well defined. The mouse *Tp73*+ ETCs have a characteristic spatial distribution: they surround the olfactory glomeruli [47] (Fig. 4G). We observed *Tp73*+ neurons in the glomerular layer of salamander, bichir, zebrafish, and skate (Fig. 4G). In the three fish species, *Tp73* neurons were arranged in characteristic rings around the glomeruli (Fig. 4G). These results indicate that *Tp73*+ ETCs are a conserved neuron type in jawed vertebrates.

Finally, we asked whether the expression of similar sets of transcription factors underlies the transcriptomic similarity of CR cells and ETCs. A comparison of TFs expressed in mouse CR and ETC cells but not in other OB glutamatergic neurons (see Methods) showed that mouse CR and ETC cells expressed different sets of TFs overall, but shared the expression of many TFs usually associated to CR identity (fig. S9D). Of

these, *Nr2f2*, *Tp73*, *Dach1*, *Ebf3*, *Zeb2*, *Lhx1*, *Nr2f1*, *Tbr1*, *Id4* and *Hivep1* were
expressed also in chicken CR cells and in salamander CR and ETCs (zebrafish ETCs
lacked *Nr2f2*, *Tp73*, *Zeb2* and *Hivep1* expression, but we cannot rule out technical
limitations) (Fig. 5A). These results indicate that, at least in tetrapods, many "canonical"
CR transcription factors are also expressed in ETCs.

Therefore, we asked whether the molecular identities of ETC and CR cells are
defined by the expression of different sets of TFs, *i.e.* if these two cell types are
genetically individuated [55]. Specifically, we looked for TFs that could consistently
discriminate between ETC and CR cell identities across vertebrates. First, we identified
TFs that had conserved differential expression between ETC and CR cells in both
salamander and mouse, and then assessed their expression in chicken CRs and
zebrafish ETCs (see Methods). While we couldn't find any conserved ETC-specific TFs,
we observed that *Zic1*, *Zic2*, and NFI-family TFs were expressed in CR but not in ETCs
in mouse and salamander, and that these TFs were expressed in chicken CRs too but
not in zebrafish ETCs (Fig. 5B). Notably, in mouse NFI-family TFs are specific to hem-
derived CR cells [33], suggesting that the CR cells sampled in salamander and chicken
are mostly hem-derived, in line with our HCR data.

Finally, we checked for the presence of these CR-specific TFs in skate *Tp73*+
neurons (Fig. 5C). *Tp73* neurons in the subpallium expressed *Zic1* but not *Nfia*.
Moreover, the *Tp73*+ cells in the OB did not express *Nfia* or *Zic1*, consistent with ETC
identity. However, *Tp73* showed co-expression with both *Nfia* and *Zic1* in the skate
pallium, indicating that these are CR cells. These combinations of markers in the little
skate suggest that the major classes of *Tp73*+ neurons existed in the last common
ancestor of jawed vertebrates.

Taken together, the high degree of transcriptome and TFs expression similarity
of ETC and CR cells suggests that these cell types have a shared evolutionary origin.
Across different vertebrate species, CR cells could be consistently distinguished from
ETCs for the expression of *Zic* and NFI transcription factors, suggesting that these TFs
were recruited in ancestral vertebrates to differentiate the identities of these two cell
types.

Transcriptomic divergence of vertebrate Cajal-Retzius cells

The presence of CR cells in non-mammals challenges the notion that
mammalian-specific cortical traits emerged with the appearance of this cell type in
evolution. Alternatively, CR cells might be a conserved cell type with diverging
characteristics across species.

Since a key effector of mammalian CR function is the secreted protein Reln, we
first asked whether the coexpression of *Tp73* and *Reln* is conserved across vertebrate
species. In the developing chick brain, we found robust overlap of *Tp73* and *Reln* in the
pallium and hem, corroborating scRNA-seq data (Fig. 6A, fig. S6H). However, stainings
for *Tp73* and *Reln* in salamander revealed coexpression only in rare *Tp73* pallial
neurons, consistent with scRNA-seq (fig. S7H). Furthermore, there was no
coexpression of *Tp73* and *Reln* in the pallium of developing skates and zebrafish. This
is most striking in the developing skate brain, where *Reln* is clearly absent from the
dense layer of *Tp73* neurons at the pallial surface, but is expressed robustly elsewhere,
including a separate population of pallial neurons (Fig. 6A, fig. S12). This suggests that

strong coexpression of *Tp73* and *Reln* may be an amniote innovation, in line with
observations in other species [28], and that *Reln* is not a conserved marker of CR cells
across all vertebrates.
To identify other species-specific specializations, we searched genes with
conserved and species-enriched expression in salamander and mouse CR cells. We
computed in each species the differentially expressed genes between CR cells and
other glutamatergic neurons in the developing pallium (developing mouse data from
Moreau et al. [29,33]); salamander CR cells were defined here as the mature neurons that
integrate with mouse CR cells (Fig. 4) and ETCs were excluded (see Methods). As
expected, the top shared markers included *Tp73*, *Ebf3, Zic1, Zic2* and other TFs (Fig.
6B). In addition, we found that the glutamate transporter *Slc17a6* (*Vglut2*), the
glutamate receptor *Grm2*, and general markers of immature neurons (*Msi1*, *Sox9*, *Vim*)
in CR cells from both species. *Cxcr4* and *Zic2*, essential for the proper migration and
positioning of CR cells in mice [56,57], were also expressed in salamander CR cells,
suggesting the evolutionary conservation of molecular mechanisms of CR migration.
The Netrin receptor *Unc5b* was also expressed in both salamander and mouse CR
cells. Importantly, *Nectin-1* (encoded by *Pvrl1*), *N-cadherin* (*Cdh2*) and *Mllt4* (*Afdn*)
were also expressed in CR from both species (fig. S13); in mouse, these adhesion
molecules are crucial for the interaction between CR cells and cortical neurons during
radial migration [58].
Interestingly, salamander and mouse CR cells expressed different sets of
synaptic genes, with *Lgi2, Erbb4, Rpha3, Zdhhc22*, and *St8s1a4* enriched in
salamander, and *Cacna2d2*, *Baiap2*, *S100a10*, and *Cpne2* enriched in mouse (Fig. 6B).
This divergent use of synaptogenesis genes may be due to differences in wiring
specificity, genetic drift, relative differences in maturation state[59] , or some other
species-specific adaptation. Furthermore, salamander and mouse CR cells differed in
the expression of neurotrophic, cell cycle, and apoptotic genes, with *Ngfr*, *Serpinf1*, and
Erbb4 enriched in salamander, and *Cdkna1a* (p21), *Phlda3*, and *Tnfaip8* enriched in
mouse (Fig. 6B), suggesting diverging mechanisms for the regulation of neuronal
survival. Notably, the transcription factor *Dmrta2* was expressed in mouse but not
salamander CR cells (see also Fig. 4G). *Dmrta2* knock-out mice have significantly
reduced CR abundance [60], suggesting that gain of *Dmrta2* expression in amniote CR
cells may have contributed to their higher number and density.
Finally, we reasoned that if CR cells have some role during pallial development
also in non-mammals, their density should be highest during neurogenesis. This is
clearly the case in the little skate (compare Fig. 1E and fig. S2). After counting *Tp73+*
neurons in the salamander medial and dorsal pallium, which are mostly CR cells, we
saw that their density peaks during early pallial neurogenesis [38,40] (stage 46, with ~9000
cells per mm^3), and gradually decreases later (Fig. 6C). Taken together, our data
indicate that non-mammalian CR cells may be involved in early pallial development, but
use a divergent set of molecular effectors including Reln.

Discussion

Our molecular comparisons in fish, amphibians, birds, and mammals indicate
that *Tp73+* Cajal-Retzius cells are an ancient cell type in vertebrates. These results
place CR cells as part of the broader family of *Tp73+* neuron types. We identify two

conserved classes of *Tp73*+ neurons in vertebrates, with distinct transcriptomes and
spatial distribution. A first class (*Tp73*+ *Ebf3*- cells) comprises cells in the PThE and
basal forebrain. The PThE is the developmental source of several types of
glutamatergic neurons that then migrate to other brain regions, including the preoptic
area and hypothalamus [9,61,62]. We suggest that the *Tp73*+ *Ebf3*- cells we identified in
salamander and zebrafish include the PThE and cells that have migrated to the basal
forebrain. A second conserved neuronal class is defined by the coexpression of *Tp73*
and *Ebf3*; these neurons are located in the olfactory bulb and in the pallium, particularly
in the hem region. Further transcriptomic analyses indicate that these cells belong to
two distinct types, the *Tp73*+ external tufted cells and the *Tp73*+ Cajal-Retzius cells.
Our results, establishing that CR cells existed at least in the last common ancestor of
jawed vertebrates, are largely in line with a recent scRNAseq study reporting CR cells in
the shark *Scyliorhinus canicula* [63].
In mammals, CR cells originate from multiple forebrain regions, and *Tp73* is
expressed in all subtypes except the ventral-pallium derived CR cells. Interestingly, all
the CR cells we identified in scRNAseq datasets from non-mammalian species express
markers of mammalian hem-derived CR cells such as NFI transcription factors.
Although the hem might be the most prominent source of CR cells outside mammals,
we cannot exclude the existence of septum and PThE-derived CR cells in non-
mammals, as suggested by staining data for *Ccno* and *Gmnc* in salamander (fig. S7).
The evolution of ventral-pallium derived CR cells, which do not express *Tp73*, remains
unresolved. In our cross-species comparisons, only *Tp73*+ neurons had high
transcriptomic similarity to mouse CR cells, but it is still possible that *Tp73*-negative CR
cells exist in non-mammals but are extremely rare and thus were not sampled.
The non-mammalian CR cells show their highest density in the developing brain,
suggesting they may play some role in pallial development like their mammalian
counterparts. What exactly this ancestral CR function might be remains a matter of
speculation, and will need to be addressed by functional studies. Here we find that *Reln*
expression is not a conserved feature of vertebrate CR cells. This suggests that, if CR
cells have a conserved function in all vertebrates, this function is Reln-independent. The
gain of *Reln* expression late in CR cells evolution may have contributed to the
emergence of mammalian-specific cortical features, such as radial migration and inside-
out corticogenesis [1,6]. Indeed, *Reln* deletion in mouse *Tp73*+ CR cells affects cortical
lamination, but does not recapitulate the other defects caused by CR cells genetic
ablation [20,64]. Therefore, the regulation of radial migration by CR-derived Reln may have
evolved with the transition from outside-in to inside-out corticogenesis, an innovation
that took place in mammalian ancestors [40].
Besides regulating radial migration, mammalian CR cells have prominent roles
in the assembly and maturation of neural circuits in the hippocampus [15,21–23] and
neocortex [24]. In neocortical layer 1, CR cells are part of large networks of
spontaneously-active neurons [25], and regulate the spatial distribution of superficial layer
interneurons and dendritic maturation in pyramidal neurons [8]. In mammals, amphibians,
non-avian reptiles, and certain fish species, the superficial neuropil layer (layer 1 in
mammals) is a conserved site of integration of long-range inputs from cortical and
subcortical areas, including sensory inputs relayed by thalamus [46,65]. Thus, the
modulation of circuit assembly might have been an ancestral function of CR cells [17,66].

Intriguingly, thalamic spontaneous activity regulates CR density in mouse layer 1 [17]. It is
tempting to speculate that the density of CR cells coevolved with the presence of
sensory thalamic projections to the pallium, which are scarce in amphibians and seem
more prominent in cartilagineous fishes [67,68], where CR cells are particularly abundant
during development.
412
Finally, our results reveal a surprising degree of transcriptomic similarity between
CR cells and OB *Tp73*+ ETCs. Several observations suggest that CR cells and ETCs
are sister cell types, evolved from an ancestral cell type present in the last common
ancestor of vertebrates (or earlier) (Fig. 6D). First, in mouse and salamander these two
cell types share the expression of a long list of TFs previously thought to be CR-
specific, indicating that their transcriptomic similarity is based on a largely shared
regulatory program, therefore is not likely to be a result of convergent evolution.
Second, lineage tracing experiments in mice indicate that some ETCs are born from
pallial progenitors and then migrate to the OB, suggesting that CR and ETC cells may
have a shared developmental origin [50,51]. Third, CR and ETCs have similar
electrophysiological properties: they receive strong inputs from GABAergic
interneurons, display synchronous bursting, have prominent inward rectifying currents,
and are connected by gap junctions [4,52,53,69–71]. Fourth, both cell types have strong
connections with the olfactory system: ETCs are directly postsynaptic to olfactory
sensory neurons, whereas CR cells in the lateral olfactory tract, which function as
guidepost neurons during development, seemingly belong to a population of lateral
olfactory tract cells that receive second-order olfactory inputs from the bulb [72]. We thus
propose that CR and ETCs may have evolved by duplication and divergence from cells
involved in early olfactory processing in vertebrate ancestors. Our TF analysis suggests
that CR cells may have evolved by neofunctionalization, by acquiring the expression of
Zic and NFI transcription factors. This regulatory change may have conferred these cell
new signaling functions to regulate circuit assembly in an expanded telencephalon [73],
further supporting the hypothesis that olfactory circuits in ancestral vertebrates served
as a developmental and functional blueprint for the evolving telencephalon (Fig. 6D).
Taken together, our findings also highlight the complex relationships between
molecular and phenotypic cell type evolution[55]. Across vast evolutionary time, molecular
signatures that define cell identity, such as transcription factor expression, may be
preserved even when functional roles have diverged dramatically. Our study
demonstrates how in-depth analyses of anatomical, transcriptomic, and developmental
data can be used to identify and explain these unexpected evolutionary relationships.
443

Acknowledgements

We thank Nandan Nerurkar and Elanur Yilmaz (Columbia University) for
providing chick and zebrafish samples, the Columbia ICM team for excellent animal
care. We also thank Tosches lab members as well as Oliver Hobert and Hynek
Wichterle for feedback throughout the project. Light-sheet imaging was performed with
support from the Zuckerman Institute's Cellular Imaging platform (NIH grant
1S10OD023587-01). Computing resources were provided by Columbia University's

Shared Research Computing Facility (NIH grant 1G20RR030893-01 and NYSTAR contract C090171).

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institute of Health (grants NIGMS R35GM146973 and NHGRI RM1HG011014), the Rita Allen Foundation, and the Chan Zuckerberg Initiative (M.A.T.), the National Science Foundation (Graduate Research Fellowship to E.G.), the Revson Foundation (G.G.), an EMBO Long-Term Fellowship (A.D.), the Karen Toffler Charitable Trust Scholar Program (P.B.), and the Thompson Family Foundation Program for Accelerated Medicine Exploration in Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders of the Nervous System (TAME-AD) (C.K.).

Author Contributions: M.A.T. supervised the study. E.G., G.G., A.D, M.A.T. analyzed scRNA-seq data, and E.G. performed cross-species comparisons. E.G., G.G., P. B., J A.G., C.K. and J.W. prepared samples for histology. E.G., G.G., and J.W., performed histology and imaging. E.G. and M.A.T. wrote the manuscript, with edits from G.G., J.W., A.D., and C.K.

References

1.   García-Moreno, F., and Molnár, Z. (2020). Variations of telencephalic development that paved the way for neocortical evolution. Prog. Neurobiol. *194*, 101865.

2.   Goffinet, A.M. (2017). The evolution of cortical development: the synapsid-diapsid divergence. Development *144*, 4061–4077.

3.   Elorriaga, V., Pierani, A., and Causeret, F. (2023). Cajal-retzius cells: Recent advances in identity and function. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. *79*, 102686.

4.   Kirischuk, S., Luhmann, H.J., and Kilb, W. (2014). Cajal-Retzius cells: update on structural and functional properties of these mystic neurons that bridged the 20th century. Neuroscience *275*, 33–46.

5.   Causeret, F., Moreau, M.X., Pierani, A., and Blanquie, O. (2021). The multiple facets of Cajal-Retzius neurons. Development *148*, dev199409.

6.   Marín-Padilla, M. (1998). Cajal–Retzius cells and the development of the neocortex. Copyright © *21*.

7.   García-Moreno, F., López-Mascaraque, L., and De Carlos, J.A. (2007). Origins and migratory routes of murine Cajal-Retzius cells. J. Comp. Neurol. *500*, 419–432.

8.   de Frutos, C.A., Bouvier, G., Arai, Y., Thion, M.S., Lokmane, L., Keita, M., Garcia-Dominguez, M., Charnay, P., Hirata, T., Riethmacher, D., et al. (2016). Reallocation of Olfactory Cajal-Retzius Cells Shapes Neocortex Architecture. Neuron *92*, 435–448.

9.   Ruiz-Reig, N., Andrés, B., Huilgol, D., Grove, E.A., Tissir, F., Tole, S., Theil, T.,
Herrera, E., and Fairén, A. (2017). Lateral Thalamic Eminence: A Novel Origin for
mGluR1/Lot Cells. Cereb. Cortex *27*, 2841–2856.

10.   Bielle, F., Griveau, A., Narboux-Nême, N., Vigneau, S., Sigrist, M., Arber, S.,
Wassef, M., and Pierani, A. (2005). Multiple origins of Cajal-Retzius cells at the borders
of the developing pallium. Nat. Neurosci. *8*, 1002–1012.

11.   Takiguchi-Hayashi, K., Sekiguchi, M., Ashigaki, S., Takamatsu, M., Hasegawa,
H., Suzuki-Migishima, R., Yokoyama, M., Nakanishi, S., and Tanabe, Y. (2004).
Generation of reelin-positive marginal zone cells from the caudomedial wall of
telencephalic vesicles. J. Neurosci. *24*, 2286–2295.

12.   Meyer, G., Perez-Garcia, C.G., Abraham, H., and Caput, D. (2002). Expression
of p73 and Reelin in the developing human cortex. J. Neurosci. *22*, 4973–4986.

13.   D'Arcangelo, G., Miao, G.G., Chen, S.C., Soares, H.D., Morgan, J.I., and Curran,
T. (1995). A protein related to extracellular matrix proteins deleted in the mouse mutant
reeler. Nature *374*, 719–723.

14.   Ogawa, M., Miyata, T., Nakajima, K., Yagyu, K., Seike, M., Ikenaka, K.,
Yamamoto, H., and Mikoshiba, K. (1995). The reeler gene-associated antigen on Cajal-
Retzius neurons is a crucial molecule for laminar organization of cortical neurons.
Neuron *14*, 899–912.

15.   Del Río, J.A., Heimrich, B., Borrell, V., Förster, E., Drakew, A., Alcántara, S.,
Nakajima, K., Miyata, T., Ogawa, M., Mikoshiba, K., et al. (1997). A role for Cajal-
Retzius cells and reelin in the development of hippocampal connections. Nature *385*,
70–74.

16.   Supèr, H., Martínez, A., Del Río, J.A., and Soriano, E. (1998). Involvement of
distinct pioneer neurons in the formation of layer-specific connections in the
hippocampus. J. Neurosci. *18*, 4616–4626.

17.   Genescu, I., Aníbal-Martínez, M., Kouskoff, V., Chenouard, N., Mailhes-Hamon,
C., Cartonnet, H., Lokmane, L., Rijli, F.M., López-Bendito, G., Gambino, F., et al.
(2022). Dynamic interplay between thalamic activity and Cajal-Retzius cells regulates
the wiring of cortical layer 1. Cell Rep. *39*, 110667.

18.   Barber, M., Arai, Y., Morishita, Y., Vigier, L., Causeret, F., Borello, U., Ledonne,
F., Coppola, E., Contremoulins, V., Pfrieger, F.W., et al. (2015). Migration speed of
Cajal-Retzius cells modulated by vesicular trafficking controls the size of higher-order
cortical areas. Curr. Biol. *25*, 2466–2478.

19.   Alcántara, S., Pozas, E., Ibañez, C.F., and Soriano, E. (2006). BDNF-modulated
spatial organization of Cajal-Retzius and GABAergic neurons in the marginal zone plays
a role in the development of cortical organization. Cereb. Cortex *16*, 487–499.

20. Elorriaga, V., Bouloudi, B., Saillour, Y., Morel, J.S., Delberghe, E., Azzam, P.,
Moreau, M.X., Stottmann, R., Bahi-Buisson, N., Pierani, A., et al. (2024). Differential
contribution of P73+ Cajal-Retzius cells and Reelin to cortical morphogenesis. bioRxiv.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.10.15.618167.

21. Glærum, I.L., Dunville, K., Moan, K., Krause, M., Montaldo, N.P., Kirikae, H.,
Nigro, M.J., Sætrom, P., van Loon, B., and Quattrocolo, G. (2024). Postnatal
persistence of hippocampal Cajal-Retzius cells has a crucial role in the establishment of
the hippocampal circuit. Development *151*, dev202236.

22. Anstötz, M., Lee, S.K., and Maccaferri, G. (2022). Glutamate released by Cajal-
Retzius cells impacts specific hippocampal circuits and behaviors. Cell Rep. *39*,
110822.

23. Riva, M., Moriceau, S., Morabito, A., Dossi, E., Sanchez-Bellot, C., Azzam, P.,
Navas-Olive, A., Gal, B., Dori, F., Cid, E., et al. (2023). Aberrant survival of hippocampal
Cajal-Retzius cells leads to memory deficits, gamma rhythmopathies and susceptibility
to seizures in adult mice. Nat. Commun. *14*, 1531.

24. Choe, Y., Siegenthaler, J.A., and Pleasure, S.J. (2012). A cascade of
morphogenic signaling initiated by the meninges controls corpus callosum formation.
Neuron *73*, 698–712.

25. Aguiló, A., Schwartz, T.H., Kumar, V.S., Peterlin, Z.A., Tsiola, A., Soriano, E.,
and Yuste, R. (1999). Involvement of cajal-retzius neurons in spontaneous correlated
activity of embryonic and postnatal layer 1 from wild-type and reeler mice. J. Neurosci.
19, 10856–10868.

26. Meyer, G., Socorro, A.C., Garcia, C.G.P., Millan, L.M., Walker, N., and Caput, D.
(2004). Developmental Roles of p73 in Cajal-Retzius Cells and Cortical Patterning. J.
Neurosci. *24*, 9878–9887.

27. Cabrera-Socorro, A., Hernandez-Acosta, N.C., Gonzalez-Gomez, M., and Meyer,
G. (2007). Comparative aspects of p73 and Reelin expression in Cajal-Retzius cells and
the cortical hem in lizard, mouse and human. Brain Res. *1132*, 59–70.

28. Tissir, F., Lambert De Rouvroit, C., Sire, J.-Y., Meyer, G., and Goffinet, A.M.
(2003). Reelin expression during embryonic brain development in Crocodylus niloticus.
J. Comp. Neurol. *457*, 250–262.

29. Moreau, M.X., Saillour, Y., Elorriaga, V., Bouloudi, B., Delberghe, E., Deutsch
Guerrero, T., Ochandorena-Saa, A., Maeso-Alonso, L., Marques, M.M., Marin, M.C., et
al. (2023). Repurposing of the multiciliation gene regulatory network in fate specification
of Cajal-Retzius neurons. Dev. Cell *58*, 1365–1382.e6.

30. Jiménez, S., and Moreno, N. (2022). Analysis of the expression pattern of Cajal-
Retzius cell markers in the Xenopus laevis forebrain. Brain Behav. Evol. *96*, 263–282.

31. Nomura, T., Takahashi, M., Hara, Y., and Osumi, N. (2008). Patterns of
neurogenesis and amplitude of Reelin expression are essential for making a
mammalian-type cortex. PLoS One *3*, e1454.

32. García-Moreno, F., Anderton, E., Jankowska, M., Begbie, J., Encinas, J.M.,
Irimia, M., and Molnár, Z. (2018). Absence of tangentially migrating glutamatergic
neurons in the developing avian brain. Cell Rep. *22*, 96–109.

33. Moreau, M.X., Saillour, Y., Cwetsch, A.W., Pierani, A., and Causeret, F. (2021).
Single-cell transcriptomics of the early developing mouse cerebral cortex disentangle
the spatial and temporal components of neuronal fate acquisition. Development *148*.
https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.197962.

34. Rentzsch, F., Kramer, C., and Hammerschmidt, M. (2003). Specific and
conserved roles of TAp73 during zebrafish development. Gene *323*, 19–30.

35. Tissir, F., Ravni, A., Achouri, Y., Riethmacher, D., Meyer, G., and Goffinet, A.M.
(2009). DeltaNp73 regulates neuronal survival in vivo. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences *106*, 16871–16876.

36. Yang, A., Walker, N., Bronson, R., Kaghad, M., Oosterwegel, M., Bonnin, J.,
Vagner, C., Bonnet, H., Dikkes, P., Sharpe, A., et al. (2000). P73-deficient mice have
neurological, pheromonal and inflammatory defects but lack spontaneous tumours.
Nature *404*, 99–103.

37. Schiffmann, S.N., Bernier, B., and Goffinet, A.M. (1997). Reelin mRNA
expression during mouse brain development. Eur. J. Neurosci. *9*, 1055–1071.

38. Joven, A., Wang, H., Pinheiro, T., Hameed, L.S., Belnoue, L., and Simon, A.
(2018). Cellular basis of brain maturation and acquisition of complex behaviors in
salamanders. Development *145*, dev160051.

39. Yao, Z., van Velthoven, C.T.J., Kunst, M., Zhang, M., McMillen, D., Lee, C.,
Jung, W., Goldy, J., Abdelhak, A., Aitken, M., et al. (2023). A high-resolution
transcriptomic and spatial atlas of cell types in the whole mouse brain. Nature *624*, 317–
332.

40. Deryckere A, Choudhary S, Lynch C, Limperis LK, Affatato P, Woych J, Gumnit
E, Ortega Gurrola A, Satija R, Mayer C, Tosches MA (2025). A conserved logic for the
development of cortical layering in tetrapods. bioRxiv.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.10.01.679862.

41. La Manno, G., Siletti, K., Furlan, A., Gyllborg, D., Vinsland, E., Mossi Albiach, A.,
Mattsson Langseth, C., Khven, I., Lederer, A.R., Dratva, L.M., et al. (2021). Molecular
architecture of the developing mouse brain. Nature *596*, 92–96.

42.   Tosches, M.A., Yamawaki, T.M., Naumann, R.K., Jacobi, A.A., Tushev, G., and
Laurent, G. (2018). Evolution of pallium, hippocampus, and cortical cell types revealed
by single-cell transcriptomics in reptiles. Science *360*, 881–888.

43.   Hao, Y., Hao, S., Andersen-Nissen, E., Mauck, W.M., 3rd, Zheng, S., Butler, A.,
Lee, M.J., Wilk, A.J., Darby, C., Zager, M., et al. (2021). Integrated analysis of
multimodal single-cell data. Cell *184*, 3573–3587.e29.

44.   Pandey, S., Moyer, A.J., and Thyme, S.B. (2023). A single-cell transcriptome
atlas of the maturing zebrafish telencephalon. Genome Res. *33*, 658–671.

45.   Anneser, L., Satou, C., Hotz, H.-R., and Friedrich, R.W. (2024). Molecular
organization of neuronal cell types and neuromodulatory systems in the zebrafish
telencephalon. Curr. Biol. *34*, 298–312.e4.

46.   Woych, J., Ortega Gurrola, A., Deryckere, A., Jaeger, E.C.B., Gumnit, E.,
Merello, G., Gu, J., Joven Araus, A., Leigh, N.D., Yun, M., et al. (2022). Cell-type
profiling in salamanders identifies innovations in vertebrate forebrain evolution. Science
377, eabp9186.

47.   Zeppilli, S., Ackels, T., Attey, R., Klimpert, N., Ritola, K.D., Boeing, S.,
Crombach, A., Schaefer, A.T., and Fleischmann, A. (2021). Molecular characterization
of projection neuron subtypes in the mouse olfactory bulb. Elife *10*, e65445.

48.   Lein, E.S., Hawrylycz, M.J., Ao, N., Ayres, M., Bensinger, A., Bernard, A., Boe,
A.F., Boguski, M.S., Brockway, K.S., Byrnes, E.J., et al. (2007). Genome-wide atlas of
gene expression in the adult mouse brain. Nature *445*, 168–176.

49.   Tufo, C., Poopalasundaram, S., Dorrego-Rivas, A., Ford, M.C., Graham, A., and
Grubb, M.S. (2022). Development of the mammalian main olfactory bulb. Development
149, dev200210.

50.   Winpenny, E., Lebel-Potter, M., Fernandez, M.E., Brill, M.S., Götz, M., Guillemot,
F., and Raineteau, O. (2011). Sequential generation of olfactory bulb glutamatergic
neurons by Neurog2-expressing precursor cells. Neural Dev. *6*, 12.

51.   Roybon, L., Mastracci, T.L., Li, J., Stott, S.R.W., Leiter, A.B., Sussel, L., Brundin,
P., and Li, J.-Y. (2015). The Origin, Development and Molecular Diversity of Rodent
Olfactory Bulb Glutamatergic Neurons Distinguished by Expression of Transcription
Factor NeuroD1. PLoS One *10*, e0128035.

52.   Antal, M., Eyre, M., Finklea, B., and Nusser, Z. (2006). External tufted cells in the
main olfactory bulb form two distinct subpopulations. Eur. J. Neurosci. *24*, 1124–1136.

53.   Tatti, R., Bhaukaurally, K., Gschwend, O., Seal, R.P., Edwards, R.H., Rodriguez,
I., and Carleton, A. (2014). A population of glomerular glutamatergic neurons controls
sensory information transfer in the mouse olfactory bulb. Nat. Commun. *5*, 3791.

54.   Zaremba, B., Fallahshahroudi, A., Schneider, C., Schmidt, J., Sarropoulos, I.,
Leushkin, E., Berki, B., Van Poucke, E., Jensen, P., Senovilla-Ganzo, R., et al. (2025).
Developmental origins and evolution of pallial cell types and structures in birds. Science
387, eadp5182.

55.   Arendt, D., Musser, J.M., Baker, C.V.H., Bergman, A., Cepko, C., Erwin, D.H.,
Pavlicev, M., Schlosser, G., Widder, S., Laubichler, M.D., et al. (2016). The origin and
evolution of cell types. Nat. Rev. Genet. *17*, 744–757.

56.   Murillo, B., Ruiz-Reig, N., Herrera, M., Fairén, A., and Herrera, E. (2015). Zic2
Controls the Migration of Specific Neuronal Populations in the Developing Forebrain. J.
Neurosci. *35*, 11266–11280.

57.   Borrell, V., and Marín, O. (2006). Meninges control tangential migration of hem-
derived Cajal-Retzius cells via CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling. Nat. Neurosci. *9*, 1284–1293.

58.   Gil-Sanz, C., Franco, S.J., Martinez-Garay, I., Espinosa, A., Harkins-Perry, S.,
and Müller, U. (2013). Cajal-Retzius cells instruct neuronal migration by coincidence
signaling between secreted and contact-dependent guidance cues. Neuron *79*, 461–
477.

59.   Yamazaki, H., Sekiguchi, M., Takamatsu, M., Tanabe, Y., and Nakanishi, S.
(2004). Distinct ontogenic and regional expressions of newly identified Cajal-Retzius
cell-specific genes during neocorticogenesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences *101*, 14509–14514.

60.   Saulnier, A., Keruzore, M., De Clercq, S., Bar, I., Moers, V., Magnani, D.,
Walcher, T., Filippis, C., Kricha, S., Parlier, D., et al. (2013). The doublesex homolog
Dmrt5 is required for the development of the caudomedial cerebral cortex in mammals.
Cereb. Cortex *23*, 2552–2567.

61.   Huilgol, D., Udin, S., Shimogori, T., Saha, B., Roy, A., Aizawa, S., Hevner, R.F.,
Meyer, G., Ohshima, T., Pleasure, S.J., et al. (2013). Dual origins of the mammalian
accessory olfactory bulb revealed by an evolutionarily conserved migratory stream. Nat.
Neurosci. *16*, 157–165.

62.   Alonso, A., Trujillo, C.M., and Puelles, L. (2020). Longitudinal developmental
analysis of prethalamic eminence derivatives in the chick by mapping of Tbr1 in situ
expression. Brain Struct. Funct. *225*, 481–510.

63.   Quintana-Urzainqui, I., Oel, P.A., Gerber, T., Pan, L., Papadopoulos, N., Serka,
Z.G., Verbanac, A., Börsig, M., Torres-Sabino, D., Rollán-Delgado, I.C., et al. (2025).
Developmental landscape of shark telencephalon sheds light on the evolution of
telencephalic cell types and the ancient origin of Cajal-Retzius cells. bioRxiv,
2025.05.08.652169. https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.05.08.652169.

64.   Vílchez-Acosta, A., Manso, Y., Cárdenas, A., Elias-Tersa, A., Martínez-Losa, M.,
Pascual, M., Álvarez-Dolado, M., Nairn, A.C., Borrell, V., and Soriano, E. (2022).

Specific contribution of Reelin expressed by Cajal–Retzius cells or GABAergic
interneurons to cortical lamination. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
119, e2120079119.

65.   Fournier, J., Müller, C.M., and Laurent, G. (2015). Looking for the roots of cortical
sensory computation in three-layered cortices. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. *31*, 119–126.

66.   Damilou, A., Cai, L., Argunşah, A.Ö., Han, S., Kanatouris, G., Karatsoli, M.,
Hanley, O., Gesuita, L., Kollmorgen, S., Helmchen, F., et al. (2024). Developmental
Cajal-Retzius cell death contributes to the maturation of layer 1 cortical inhibition and
somatosensory processing. Nat. Commun. *15*, 6501.

67.   Striedter, G.F., and Northcutt, R.G. (2022). The independent evolution of dorsal
pallia in multiple vertebrate lineages. Brain Behav. Evol. *96*, 200–211.

68.   Bodznick, D., and Northcutt, R.G. (1984). An electrosensory area in the
telencephalon of the little skate, Raja erinacea. Brain Res. *298*, 117–124.

69.   Hayar, A., Shipley, M.T., and Ennis, M. (2005). Olfactory bulb external tufted
cells are synchronized by multiple intraglomerular mechanisms. J. Neurosci. *25*, 8197–
8208.

70.   Quattrocolo, G., and Maccaferri, G. (2013). Novel GABAergic circuits mediating
excitation/inhibition of Cajal-Retzius cells in the developing hippocampus. J. Neurosci.
33, 5486–5498.

71.   Hayar, A., Karnup, S., Ennis, M., and Shipley, M.T. (2004). External tufted cells:
a major excitatory element that coordinates glomerular activity. J. Neurosci. *24*, 6676–
6685.

72.   Hirata, T., Kumada, T., Kawasaki, T., Furukawa, T., Aiba, A., Conquet, F., Saga,
Y., and Fukuda, A. (2012). Guidepost neurons for the lateral olfactory tract: expression
of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 and innervation by glutamatergic olfactory bulb
axons. Dev. Neurobiol. *72*, 1559–1576.

73.   Molnár, Z., Luhmann, H.J., and Kanold, P.O. (2020). Transient cortical circuits
match spontaneous and sensory-driven activity during development. Science *370*,
eabb2153.

74.   Arendt, D. (2008). The evolution of cell types in animals: emerging principles
from molecular studies. Nat. Rev. Genet. *9*, 868–882.

75.   Puopolo, M., and Belluzzi, O. (1998). Inhibitory synapses among interneurons in
the glomerular layer of rat and frog olfactory bulbs. J. Neurophysiol. *80*, 344–349.

76.   Marín-Padilla, M. (1990). Three-dimensional structural organization of layer I of
the human cerebral cortex: a Golgi study. J. Comp. Neurol. *299*, 89–105.

77.   Gallien L, D.M. (1957). Table chronologique du développement chez Pleurodeles
Waltlii Michah. Bull. Bio.

78.   Hamburger, V., and Hamilton, H.L. (1992). A series of normal stages in the
development of the chick embryo. 1951. Dev. Dyn. *195*, 231–272.

79.   Gillis, J.A., Bennett, S., Criswell, K.E., Rees, J., Sleight, V.A., Hirschberger, C.,
Calzarette, D., Kerr, S., and Dasen, J. (2022). Big insight from the little skate: Leucoraja
erinacea as a developmental model system. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. *147*, 595–630.

80.   Andreatta, M., and Carmona, S.J. (2021). UCell: Robust and scalable single-cell
gene signature scoring. Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J. *19*, 3796–3798.

81.   Svara, F., Förster, D., Kubo, F., Januszewski, M., Dal Maschio, M., Schubert,
P.J., Kornfeld, J., Wanner, A.A., Laurell, E., Denk, W., et al. (2022). Automated
synapse-level reconstruction of neural circuits in the larval zebrafish brain. Nat. Methods
19, 1357–1366.

82.   Cantalapiedra, C.P., Hernández-Plaza, A., Letunic, I., Bork, P., and Huerta-
Cepas, J. (2021). EggNOG-mapper v2: Functional annotation, orthology assignments,
and domain prediction at the metagenomic scale. Mol. Biol. Evol. *38*, 5825–5829.

83.   Choi, H.M.T., Schwarzkopf, M., Fornace, M.E., Acharya, A., Artavanis, G.,
Stegmaier, J., Cunha, A., and Pierce, N.A. (2018). Third-generation in situ hybridization
chain reaction: multiplexed, quantitative, sensitive, versatile, robust. Development *145*,
dev165753.

84.   Jaeger, E.C.B., Vijatovic, D., Deryckere, A., Zorin, N., Nguyen, A.L., Ivanian, G.,
Woych, J., Arnold, R.C., Gurrola, A.O., Shvartsman, A., et al. (2025). Adeno-associated
viral tools to trace neural development and connectivity across amphibians. Dev. Cell
60, 794–812.e6.

85.   Kuehn, E., Clausen, D.S., Null, R.W., Metzger, B.M., Willis, A.D., and Özpolat,
B.D. (2022). Segment number threshold determines juvenile onset of germline cluster
expansion in Platynereis dumerilii. J. Exp. Zool. B Mol. Dev. Evol. *338*, 225–240.

86.   Andrews, T.G.R., Gattoni, G., Busby, L., Schwimmer, M.A., and Benito-Gutiérrez,
È. (2020). Hybridization chain reaction for quantitative and multiplex imaging of gene
expression in amphioxus embryos and adult tissues. Methods Mol. Biol. *2148*, 179–194.

87.   Wullimann, M.F., Mokayes, N., Shainer, I., Kuehn, E., and Baier, H. (2024).
Genoarchitectonics of the larval zebrafish diencephalon. J. Comp. Neurol. *532*, e25549.

88.   Kunst, M., Laurell, E., Mokayes, N., Kramer, A., Kubo, F., Fernandes, A.M.,
Förster, D., Dal Maschio, M., and Baier, H. (2019). A cellular-resolution atlas of the
larval zebrafish brain. Neuron *103*, 21–38.e5.

89.   Kenney, J.W., Steadman, P.E., Young, O., Shi, M.T., Polanco, M., Dubaishi, S.,
Covert, K., Mueller, T., and Frankland, P.W. (2021). A 3D adult zebrafish brain atlas
(AZBA) for the digital age. Elife *10*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.69988.

Main Figures

Figure 1. Conserved *Tp73* expression in vertebrate forebrains.
(A) Distribution of *Tp73* neurons in vertebrate brains, according to published data and this study. Scale bar: 100 million years. (B) Double HCRs for *Tp73* (magenta) and *Gad2* (green) in coronal sections of chick brains (embryonic stages E6 and E8), showing *Tp73* expression in the developing hem, pallium, and subpallium. *Gad2* labels GABAergic neurons and helps identify the pallial-subpallial boundary. Scale bars = 500 um. (C) Top: 3D max intensity projections (lateral views, anterior on the right) of salamander brains (larval stages 36, 41, 46, and 50) after whole mount HCR for *Tp73.* Scale bar 100um. Bottom: optical coronal sections through one telencephalic hemisphere of *Tp73* and *Gad2*-labeled brains at different developmental stages; insets show *Tp73+* and *Gad2+* neurons in pallium. Scale bar 50 um. (D) 3D max intensity projection of a whole mount 15 dpf zebrafish brain (lateral view, anterior on the right) after HCR for *tp73.* Scale bar 100 um. Bottom: sections of *tp73* and *gad2*-labeled 6 dpf and 15dpf zebrafish brains showing *tp73+* and *gad2+* neurons in pallium and subpallium. Scale bar 50 um. (E) Expression of *Tp73* and *Gad2* in the developing skate brain. Left: horizontal sections of stage 29 brains; right: coronal sections of stage 32 brains. Scale bar 500um. See additional sections in fig. S1.

Abbreviations: E, embryonic day; P, pallium; SP, subpallium; OB, olfactory bulb; OE, olfactory epithelium; PThE, prethalamic eminence; dpf, days post fertilization; A, anterior; D, dorsal.

Figure 2. Mouse, salamander, and zebrafish *Tp73+* neurons have a shared transcriptomic signature.

(A) UMAP of the Deryckere et al. [40] scRNA-seq dataset from the developing salamander brain (n=127,788 cells), showing cells colored by broad class. (B) Left: UMAP showing *Tp73* expression in the developing salamander brain dataset. Right: Zoom on *Tp73+* neurons, showing the expression of known CR marker TFs [5] (fig. S3A for full UMAPs of zoomed genes). (C) Expression enrichment of mouse CR cell markers (Seurat Module Score) in salamander cell classes. Module score computed for the top 49 CR markers in La Manno et al [41] (see Methods for gene selection criteria). (D) Pairwise GSI correlations of classes of salamander and mouse forebrain neurons (mouse data from La Manno et al.[41]). Correlations based on the intersection of genes that were differentially expressed in both mouse and salamander (336 total genes). Dots indicate statistically significant correlations. (E) UMAP showing forebrain neurons from developing salamander and mouse after Seurat CCA integration. Salamander *Tp73+* neurons and mouse CR cells highlighted in green and magenta, respectively. Right: Zoom on integrated cluster 18 containing salamander *Tp73* neurons and mouse CR cells. (F) UMAP of the Pandey et al 2023 [44] dataset from the developing zebrafish telencephalon (n=23,044 cells, stages 6 and 15 dpf only), showing cells colored by class. (G) Left: UMAP showing *lhx1a* expression in the larval zebrafish dataset. Right: Zoom on zebrafish OB neurons showing expression of *lhx1a* and other CR TFs. (H) Expression enrichment of salamander *Tp73+* neurons markers (Seurat Module Score) in zebrafish cell classes. Module score computed for the top 42 markers of salamander *Tp73+* neurons (see methods for gene selection criteria) (I) Pairwise GSI correlations of salamander and zebrafish forebrain neuron classes. Correlations based on the intersection of genes that were differentially expressed in both zebrafish and salamander (143 total genes). Dots indicate statistically significant correlations. (J) UMAP showing forebrain neurons from developing salamander and zebrafish after Seurat CCA integration. Right: Zoom on integrated cluster 48 containing salamander *Tp73* neurons and zebrafish OB cells.

Abbreviations: BC, blood cells; CH/FB, chondrocytes/fibroblasts; EC, epithelial cells; Dien, diencephalon; GABA, GABAergic; Glut, glutamatergic; GSI, gene specificity index; ImN, immature neurons; MatN, mature neurons; MG, microglia; OEC, olfactory ensheathing cells; OPC/Olig, oligodendrocyte precursor cells/oligodendrocytes; PVM, perivascular macrophages; PR, photoreceptor cells; RG, radial glia; Telen, telencephalon; VC, vascular cells; see also Fig. 1.

Figure 3. Transcriptomic and spatial heterogeneity of salamander *Tp73*+ neurons
(A) UMAPs of the salamander *Tp73*+ neurons dataset (n= 982 cells). Left: *Tp73*+
neurons colored by cluster. Right: *Tp73*+ neurons colored by dataset (adult from Woych
et al [46]), and expression of *Snap25* and *Mki67*. (B) Violin plots showing the expression
of marker genes for *Tp73* neuronal clusters; m1 and m2 populations express different
sets of markers. (C)-(D) Closeups showing coexpression of *Tp73* and selected marker
genes detected by HCR in late active larvae (stages 46-55; see fig S8 for full images).
(C) Top: coexpression of *Stk32a* and *Tp73* in PthE and subpallium but not in OB and
hem/pallium. Bottom: *Ebf3* and *Tp73* coexpression in OB and hem/pallium but not
subpallium and PThEregions. Scale bar 10um. (D) Top: coexpression of *Nfix* and *Tp73*
in pallium but not OB. Bottom: coexpression of *Asic4* and *Tp73* in OB but not hem.
Scale bar: 10 um.
834
835 Abbreviations: im, immature; m, mature; see also Figure 1.
836

Figure 4. Transcriptomic similarity of CR and *Tp73*+ external tufted cells in mouse and salamander.

(A) UMAP of the mouse Allen Brain Cell atlas [39], highlighting the *Tp73*+ cells used for further analysis. (B) UMAPs showing salamander and mouse *Tp73*+ neurons after Seurat CCA integration, with cells colored by species. (C) Cross-species nearest neighbor proximity of mouse and salamander *Tp73*+ neurons in the integrated transcriptomic space. Higher score indicates higher reciprocal proximity of neurons of a given type in the integrated space (see Methods). (D) Pairwise GSI correlations of mouse and salamander *Tp73*+ types. Correlations based on the intersection of genes differentially expressed in both mouse and salamander (647 total). Dots indicate statistically significant correlations. (E).*Tp73*+ neurons in the OB glomerular layer of mouse (adult), salamander (adult), bichir fish (adult), zebrafish (3 mpf), and skate

852   (adult). Mouse *Trp73* colorimetric *in situ* hybridization adapted from mouse Allen Mouse
853   Brain Atlas, mouse.brain-map.org and atlas.brain-map.org [48]. All other images are *Tp73*
854   HCRs. Full image scale bars 200um. Zoom scale bars 10um.
855   Abbreviations: BF, basal forebrain; ETC, external tufted cells; GL, glomerular layer; see
856   also Figures 1, 2, and 3.

Figure 5. Transcription factor expression in CR cells and ETCs across vertebrates.

(A) Top: Violin plots showing expression of TFs expressed in both mouse CR cells and ETC compared to a cell type used as outgroup (OB mitral/tufted cells), and the expression of the same TFs in other vertebrate species. (B) Violin plots showing expression of TFs that differentiate CR cells and ETCs in both mouse and salamander, and the expression of the same TFs in chick CR cells and zebrafish ETCs. Data from [39,40,44,46,54] (see Methods for details). (C) HCR triple in situ hybridization for *Tp73*, *Zic1*, and *Nfia* in stage 29 skate telencephalon. (i) Coexpression of all three marker genes in pallial neurons suggesting a CR identity; (ii) *Tp73*+ in OB do not coexpress Zic1 and *Nfia*; (iii) coexpression of *Tp73* and *Zic1* but not *Nfia* in subpallium.

Figure 6. Transcriptomic divergence of vertebrate CR cells.
(A) HCRs showing *Reln* (green) and *Tp73* (magenta) expression on coronal sections of
developing stage E6 chick, st.50 salamander and 6 dpf zebrafish brains, and an
horizontal section of a stage 29 skate brain. Closed arrowheads indicate examples of
Tp73+ Reln+ cells. Open arrowheads indicate examples of *Tp73+ Reln*-negative cells.

Scale bar 50um (Coronal Section) 10 um (Zoom Panel). (B) Expression of marker
genes that differentiate CR cells from other pallial glutamatergic neurons (ETCs
excluded) in mouse and salamander developmental datasets. The plot shows the top 20
genes enriched in mouse but not salamander CR cells; the top 20 genes enriched in
both species, and the top 20 enriched in salamander but not mouse CR cells (see
Methods). Mouse data from Moreau et al 2021 and Moreau et al 2023 [29,33]. (C)
Quantification of the density of *Tp73*+ cells in salamander medial and dorsal pallium
across developmental stages. Black dot represents average value. n= 3 animals for
stages 36-50 and adult, n=2 for stage 53. (D) Proposed evolutionary relationship
between CR cells and ETCs. Vertebrate phylogenetic tree with cell type typogenetic
tree superimposed (inspired by[74]). Schematics of ETC and CR cells (dorsal view) based
on[75,76]. Dotted circle represents OB glomerulus.
Abbreviations: DP, dorsal pallium; LP, lateral pallium; MP, medial pallium; VP, ventral
pallium
919
920

Materials and Methods

Animals

Salamander: *Pleurodeles waltl* adults and larvae were obtained from a breeding colony established at Columbia University. Animals were maintained in an aquatics facility at 20°C under a 12L:12D cycle. All experiments were conducted in accordance with the NIH guidelines and with the approval of the Columbia University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Breeding was induced naturally by manipulating water level and temperature. Experiments were performed with adult (5-19 months) male and female salamanders, and stage 30, 33, 36, 41, 46, 50, 53, and 55 embryos and larvae, staged according to the Gallien and Durocher 1957 [77] staging criteria. Embryos and larvae were anesthetized by immersion in a solution of 0.04% MS-222 (tricaine) for 5 minutes, while for adults a solution of 0.2% MS-222 in tank water was used. For stages 30-46, the brain was extracted directly after anesthesia, while stage 50-55 larvae were first perfused transcardially with 2 ml ice-cold PBS and adults with 10 ml ice-cold PBS and 10 ml of 4% PFA in PBS and decapitated before brain extraction. After overnight incubation in 4% PFA in PBS at 4C, brains were progressively dehydrated to 100% MeOH and stored at -20C.

Bichir fish: Adult *Polypterus senegalus* (~10 cm long) were purchased from commercial suppliers and kept in aquaria at Columbia University at 27C under a 12L:12D light cycle. All experiments were conducted in accordance with the NIH guidelines and with the approval of the Columbia University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Individuals were anesthetized using 0.4% MS-222 in tank water and then perfused transcardially with 20 ml ice-cold PBS and 10 ml ice-cold 4% PFA in PBS. After decapitation, the brain was extracted and fixed overnight in 4% PFA in PBS at 4C and dehydrated to 100% MeOH for storage at -20C.

Chicken: Fertilized eggs of White Leghorn Chicken (*Gallus gallus*) (Charles River) were incubated in a humidified chamber at 38°C, and embryos were staged according to [78]. Brains were dissected in cold PBS from embryos at E6, E8 and E16 stages. E16 embryos were perfused transcardially with 10ml cold PBS before dissection. Brains were immediately fixed overnight in 4% PFA in PBS at 4C, then dehydrated to 100% MeOH and stored at -20C.

Zebrafish: All zebrafish experiments were performed in accordance with the applicable regulations and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Columbia University (protocol numbers AC-AABN3554 and AC-AACB9700). Wild-type AB zebrafish embryos at 2dpf and 3dpf, larvae at 6dpf and 15dpf, juvenile 45dpf juvenile, and adults of 3mpf and 6mpf were used. 2dpf and 3dpf (treated with PTU for depigmentation) embryos as well as whole 6dpf and 15dpf larvae were fixed overnight in 4% PFA. Additionally, whole brains from 6dpf, 15dpf, 45dpf, 3mpf and 6mpf zebrafish were also dissected after euthanization, and fixed in 4% PFA for overnight at 4°C. After fixation, next day samples were washed several times with PBS, then subjected to dehydration step by series of incubation in 25% MetOH - 75% PBS, 50% MetOH - 50% PBS and 75% MetOH - 25% PBS solutions for 10 minutes each, and finally transferred to 100% MetOH for storage at -20°C.

Skate: Little skate (*Leucoraja erinacea*) embryos were obtained from the Marine
Resources Center at the Marine Biological Laboratory (MBL) in Woods Hole, MA, USA.
All skate experiments were conducted according to protocols approved by the
Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the MBL. Embryos were reared to
stages S27, S29, S32 and hatchling stage as described in Gillis et al [79]. Embryos were
euthanized with an overdose of MS-222 (1 g/L in seawater), fixed overnight in 4% PFA
in PBS and stored in 100% MeOH at -20C. Hatchlings were anesthetized by immersion
in 0.5% MS-222 for 30 min, then perfused transcardially with 20 ml cold PBS and 10 ml
of 4% PFA in PBS. After decapitation, and brain extraction, brain tissue was fixed
overnight in 4% PFA in PBS at 4C and dehydrated to 100% MeOH for storage at -20C.
978
Analysis of scRNA-seq datasets
Selection of mature neurons from the developmental salamander dataset.
To analyze salamander neurons and compare them with neurons from other
species, we subsetted the full developmental dataset to include only mature neurons.
This selection allowed us to include data spanning multiple developmental stages where
we expected CR cells to be present, while preventing neuronal maturation from being
the dominant feature driving gene selection and downstream analyses.
Mature neurons from the full larval salamander data set (Deryckere et al 2025) [40] were
identified using the AddModuleScore_UCell function within the UCell package [80], and
using the following signature: positive genes: *Snap25, Syp, Stx1a, Mapt, Eno2, Map2*,
and negative genes: *Pcna* and *Mki67*. Cells were annotated as mature neurons if they
had a module score above 0.4. Mature neurons were only kept from clusters that
contained at least ⅔ mature neurons. This was done to remove sparse cells that had a
positive neuronal signature but were in primarily non-neuronal clusters. In order to
ensure all mature *Tp73*+ neurons were present for integration, special care was given to
these cells when identifying mature neurons. For any cell that was in the *Tp73*
subsetted object (see below), only cells in cluster clusters im1 and im2 were excluded
from the full mature neuron object.
Clusters were annotated by brain region (telencephalon, diencephalon, and midbrain)
based on marker genes curated from literature. Clusters were categorized as
Glutamatergic, Gabaergic or Other based on the expression of *Slc17a6*, *Slc17a7*, *Gad2*
and *Th*.
1001
Selection of mature neurons from the developmental mouse dataset.
All cells published in the developing mouse brain atlas (La Manno 2021) [41] were
filtered to remove cells with less than 2000 UMIs and with a percentage of mitochondrial
genes above 10%. Cells annotated as "Hindbrain" were then removed because
hindbrain cells were not included in the salamander and zebrafish datasets. Cells
annotated by La Manno et al. as "Bad cells" were also removed. The remaining dataset
was normalized using the Seurat SCTransform function while regressing for percent.mt,
nCount_RNA, Age, and CellCycle. Clusters were computed using the Seurat
FindClusters function with the parameters dims=35 and res = 8. Individual neurons were
determined to be mature based on receiving a class annotation as "Neuron" from
Lamanno et al. Clusters that contained at least ⅔ mature neurons were kept for further
analysis. Regional identity of a neuronal cluster was established using marker genes

curated from literature. Clusters were categorized as Glutamatergic, Gabaergic or Other based on the expression of *Slc17a6*, *Slc17a7*, *Gad2* and *Th*.

Selection of mature neurons from the developmental zebrafish dataset.

The published scRNA-seq atlas from the developing zebrafish brain by Pandley et al was filtered to keep mature neurons according to their annotated clusters. First, all cells sampled from adult stages were removed, leaving 6 and 15dpf samples. Olfactory bulb neurons were kept as OB. Subpallium_01 to Subpallium_08 clusters were re-annotated as Telen GABA. Pallium_01 to Pallium_08 clusters were re-annotated as Telen Glut. Pallium_09 was re-annotated as Diencephalon based on the expression of *lhx1a*, *tbr1b*, *barhl2*, and lack of *tac3b* expression, which uniquely correlates with PThE based on results in MapZeBrain.org [81]. Preoptic area (PoA) and Habenula clusters were also annotated as Diencephalon. These subsetted neurons were normalized using the Seurat SCTransform function while regressing for stage. The top 3000 variable genes were used for downstream analysis, and the first 35 PCs were used for UMAP embedding.

Salamander *Tp73*+ neurons dataset

The Salamander *Tp73*+ neurons dataset includes cells selected from two datasets:

(1) From the developmental salamander brain dataset (Deryckere et al)[40]: clusters were computed from the full salamander developing brain object using the FindClusters function in Seurat with the res parameter set to 4. Clusters where *Tp73* was expressed in more than 5% of cells, and where the average scaled expression of *Tp73* was above 0.1 were selected for further analysis.

(2) From the adult salamander forebrain dataset (Woych 2022)[46]: two *Tp73*+ clusters (TEGLU31 and DIMEGLU6) were selected after the application of the same filtering criteria described above.

These selected developmental and adult *Tp73*+ clusters were then merged, reclustered and further filtered to keep only *Tp73*+ neurons, by removing choroid plexus, epithelial cells, progenitors, and some *Tp73*-negative neurons that made it through the previous round of filtering (details of filtering in code, and fig. S6). These final subsetted 982 *Tp73*+ neurons were normalized using the Seurat SCTransform function. The top 1000 variable genes that were expressed in at least 2.5% of cells were used for downstream analysis, and the first 20 PCs were used for clustering and UMAP embedding, with clusters calculated using a resolution of 1.55.

Mouse *Trp73*+ neurons dataset

Trp73+ forebrain neurons were identified from the full Allen Brain Cell atlas (Yao 2023)[39] based on the expression of *Trp73* and their annotation as neurons (*Trp73* is also expressed in some non-neuronal cell types). Groups were selected regardless of their level in the taxonomy. The final selection included: subclass 118 ADP-MPO Trp73 and cluster 2111 LHA Barhl2 Glut_2 (grouped as PV), supertype 0132 OB Eomes Ms4a15 Glut_3 (external tufted cells), and subclass HPF CR Glut (labeled as CR). Raw count matrices and metadata were downloaded from the Allen Brain Cell atlas portal in March 2024. These data are organized by dissected regions. Therefore each raw data

1060 matrix was filtered to keep only cells belonging to the selected *Tp73*+ groups, and cells
1061 sequenced with the 10x v3 chemistry. This produced a final dataset of 2839 cells. This
1062 dataset was then normalized using the Seurat NormalizeData function, and the top
1063 3000 variable genes were computed for downstream analysis.
1064
<u>Calculation of Gene Specificity Index (GSI) correlations.</u>
GSI correlations between cell classes defined by region and primary
neurotransmitter (see above) were computed using the method established in Tosches
et al 2018. For the salamander-mouse comparison, differentially expressed genes were
identified after running the Seurat FindAllMarkers function, using a 0.75 logfc.threshold
and an adjusted p-value of 0.01. One-to-one orthologs were identified as described in
Woych et al 2022 [46]. For the zebrafish-salamander comparison, differentially expressed
genes were identified based on a 1 logfc.threshold and adjusted p-value of .001.
Because teleost ancestors underwent a whole-genome duplication and zebrafish have
several recently duplicated paralogs of tetrapod genes, an alternative to the standard
one-to-one orthologue filtering was implemented. Eggnog [82] was run using taxonomic
scope = vertebrates, Orthology restrictions = Any. For zebrafish genes that were
orthologous to multiple different vertebrate gene names, only the one with the lowest e-
value was kept. For multiple zebrafish genes that mapped to the same vertebrate gene
name, the counts were summed and the vertebrate gene name was assigned for cross
species comparison.
1081
<u>Marker gene enrichment analysis</u>
To determine CR cell marker genes, CR cells were compared to other mature
neurons (see above) in the La Manno et al. [41]. This list was filtered to keep genes that
had an avg_log2FC >2 and a difference in percent of cells expressing the gene greater
than .2 between the CR cells and other neurons. This list was then brought into
salamander gene naming convention as described above. Orthologous genes were kept
for module score calculation in salamander if they showed expression in the Seurat
object (*i.e.* were listed as a feature). This final list of 49 marker genes was used as input
to Seurat's AddModuleScore function. For the zebrafish analysis, the top marker genes
for salamander *Tp73*+ neurons were computed using the same approach, yielding 42
marker genes.
1093
<u>Cross-species scRNA-seq integration</u>
For cross species integration, genes between species were brought into the
same naming convention as described above. Mature neurons from developing
salamander, mouse and zebrafish were identified as described above, and further
subsetted to include only forebrain neurons in the case of salamander and mouse. For
Seurat CCA integration of mature neurons, we used functions within Seurat as follows:
We selected 2000 integration features using "SelectIntegrationFeatures", and then
subsetted this list for genes that were variable (based on variable.features.n = 4000)
and expressed in at least 0.25% of cells in both species separately. The resulting list of
integration features was passed to PrepSCTIntegration(). Then pairs of mutual nearest
neighbors (anchors) were identified using the FindIntegrationAnchors function with the
arguments reduction="cca" (Canonical Correlation Analysis) and normalization.method

= SCT, before ultimately performing integrations using IntegrateData with 90 dims (in
the case case of mouse to salamander) and 80 dims (in the case of salamander and
zebrafish). The same dimensions used for integration were used for UMAP embedding.
Clusters were calculated for the mouse-salamander integration using a clustering
resolution of 1. Clusters were calculated for the salamander-zebrafish integration using
a resolution of 2.
The same pipeline was used for integration of *Tp73*+ neurons with the following
exceptions: Initial identification of variable genes used a threshold of 8000 for each
species, that needed to be expressed in 1% of cells within each original species (for
zebrafish mouse integration, at least .1% of zebrafish cells to account for difference in
sequencing depth), which was used to filter a list of 5000 integration features. 50
dimensions were used for integration and UMAP embedding, and clustering was
performed using a 0.1 clustering resolution for chicken and salamander with mouse,
and .05 for zebrafish with mouse.
As an alternative to co-clustering analysis, we analyzed cell type neighborhoods
in the shared nearest-neighbor (SNN) graph computed by Seurat after integration. The
SNN graph is the output of the FindNeighbors function and the input used for clustering.
For each species and cell type, we counted the number of neighbors from the other
species in the integrated SNN graph, and classified them according to cell type identity.
This produced two matrices (*i.e.* salamander neighbors of mouse cells, and mouse
neighbors of salamander cells) that were normalized by the total number of neighbors
per source cell type, and then averaged to obtain the SNN proximity score.
1128
<u>Identification of differentially expressed genes between mouse *Tp73*+ neuron types</u>
Identification of marker genes for mouse *Tp73*+ CR and ETC cells were
determined relative to *Tp73*-negative mitral and tufted cells (MT), which were the
remaining supertypes in the 03-OB-CR Glut cell class in the Allen Brain Cell atlas.
These MT supertypes were added to the mouse *Tp73*+ neurons object (described
above), to generate a new object with 3516 cells, and were normalized using the Seurat
SCTransform function. The top 3000 variable genes were used for downstream
analysis. Marker genes were identified using the FindMarkers function within Seurat.
Genes were listed as upregulated in either CR or ETC if relative to MT cells, they:
had avg_log2FC>.5, had over a difference over 25% in the percentage of cells
expressing the gene (*i.e.* 36% in CR, 10% in MT), had at least twice the percentage
expressing the gene (*i.e.* 81% in CR, 40% in MT), and the differential expression of the
gene received a p-value below 0.001. Genes were considered shared between CR and
ETC if they were upregulated (relative to MT) in both lists. Genes were considered
specific for CR or ETC if they were upregulated for one cell type but not the other, and
were lowly expressed in the other cell type (below 25% of cells expressing the gene).
Genes were filtered for display in Violin plots based on GO term. In order to show the
conservation of gene expression across species, transcription factors that were
expressed in both mouse CR and ETC had their expression checked in chick [54],
salamander [40,46] and zebrafish [44]. TFs were included in Figure 4G if they were
expressed in at least 2 species.
For genes that differentially label CR cells from ETCs, Seurat FindMarkers was
run to compare CR cells against ETCs in both the salamander and mouse data with

test.use="wilcox". Transcription factors that had over 25% difference in percent
expression, an Avglog2FC above 2, below 35% expression in ETCs, and an adjusted
p.value below .05 were considered CR specific markers for a species. The intersection
of CR specific TFs between salamander and mouse is shown in figure 4. The same
analysis was run to identify ETC specific markers across species but no transcription
factors met the above significance threshold in both salamander and mouse.
1158
<u>Identification of differentially expressed CR markers between mouse and salamander</u>
Comparisons of mouse and salamander CR marker genes were performed after
computing differentially expressed genes between CR cells and other glutamatergic
pallial neurons in developmental datasets. We filtered our data to ensure that the only
Tp73+ cells were CR cells because we did not want to identify markers that are unique
to basal forebrain or ETC *Tp73*+ neurons. In salamander, the *Tp73*+ neuron object was
filtered to remove adult cells, as well as cells in clusters im1 and im2. Then, based on
the *Tp73* integration described above, cells that clustered with mouse CR cells were
labeled as salamander CR. This included all of m1.1 as well as a portion of m1.2. These
87 salamander CR cells were merged with 100 randomly selected mature neurons from
each of MP, DP, LP and VP to create an object with 487 total cells. To obtain high
quality developmental CR cells in mice we relied on published data from Moreau et al
2021 [33] for CR cells originating from hem, PThE, septum and ventral pallium, as well as
Moreau et al 2023 [29] for hem-derived CR cells. All cells labeled as CR in Moreau et al
2021 were taken for further analysis as well as all clusters that could be annotated as
dorsal, lateral or ventral pallium based on marker genes provided in their results. Data
from Moreau 2023 were subsetted to only include CR cells and Pallial neurons, which in
this case based on dissection and marker genes were all medial pallium. These cells
were normalized using the SCTransform function while regressing for RNA count. The
top 3000 variable genes were used for downstream analysis, and the first 50 PCs were
used for clustering analysis and UMAP embedding; Louvain clustering was performed
with a clustering resolution of 2. A random sample of 100 cells each was taken from the
most mature cluster of Cell.state Cajal_retzius_neurons_LN and Pallial_neurons_LN
based on the value of LN_signature1. Combining these cells with the subset from
Moreau et al 2021 resulted in an object with 449 cells.
We then found differentially expressed genes between *Tp73*+ CR cells and
Pallial glutamatergic neurons using the FindMarkers function with min.pct = .0001,
logfc.threshold= .001, and test.use="wilcox". We labeled a gene as a CR marker if it had
an average log-fold-change greater than 1 in CR cells relative to pallial neurons. We
also filtered by adjusted p-value, only accepting DE genes with an adjusted p-value
below 0.000001. Genes were categorized as species enriched CR genes if they
appeared in the list of CR upregulated genes for their species but not in the list for the
other, and the other species had below 33% expression of that gene in their CR cells.
Genes were categorized as shared if they were in the list of upregulated CR genes for
both species. For the plot in Figure 5A, the top 20 genes of each category were
selected based on order of average log-fold change relative to the other pallial neurons.
It is important to note that due to the selection of pallial glutamatergic neurons as the
term of comparison, some known CR marker genes (such as the NFI TFs) were absent
from the list, due to their widespread expression in medial and dorsal pallium.

Hybridization chain reaction (HCR) in situ hybridizations

Whole-mount HCR

Whole mount HCR (hybridization chain reaction[83] in situ hybridizations were conducted as previously described in [46,84]. Briefly, whole brains of salamander embryos, larvae and adults, chicken E6 and E8 embryos, zebrafish 15-day larvae, and *Polypterus* adults, as well as whole skate S27 and S29 embryos were delipidated with overnight incubation in 100% Dichloromethane (DCM, Sigma-Aldrich 34856) and bleached overnight with 5% hydrogen peroxide in MeOH. Chicken, *Polypterus* and skate specimens were further incubated in bleaching solution (5% Deionized formamide, 1.5% H2O2, 0.2× SSC in nuclease-free water) for 40 mins under strong light. HCR-3.0 probe pairs were designed using **insitu_probe_generator** [85] and synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT). Probes were added to Hybridization Buffer (Molecular Instruments) to a concentration of 12nM and incubated for three days at 37C. Samples were washed in Wash Buffer (Molecular Instruments) and SSCT (5xSSC + 0.1% TritonX) before incubation with 60pM snap-cooled HCR hairpins (Molecular Instruments) in Amplification Buffer (Molecular Instruments) for 3 days at 4C. Nuclear staining (DAPI or BOBO-1) was also added to the solution with a 1:1000 dilution. After washes in SSCT and 500 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0), samples were embedded in 4% agarose in 500 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0) and dehydrated to 100% MeOH overnight, then incubated with 66% DCM - 33% MeOH for 3 hours, 100% DCM for 30 min and 100% Dibenzyl ether (DBE, Sigma-Aldrich 108014) overnight. Cleared whole-brain samples were imaged using a LaVision UltraMicroscope II light-sheet microscope.

After staining and agarose embedding, some whole-mount brains and all skate embryos were embedded in 4% agarose prepared in 500 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0), and then sectioned at 70 μm using a vibratome. Sections were incubated in DAPI diluted in Tris-HCl for 30 minutes, then mounted in either Fluoromount-G® (SouthernBiotech) or DAKO fluorescent mounting medium (Agilent Technologies) and imaged with a Zeiss LSM800 laser scanning confocal microscope.

HCR on vibratome sections

Brains of E16 chicken embryos and of skate hatchlings, and whole-mount 6-day old zebrafish larvae and S32 skate embryos were processed for HCR on sections. Brains stored in 100% MeOH were gradually rehydrated to PBS, then embedded in 4% agarose in PBS and sectioned using a Leica VT1200S vibratome. 100 μm thick sections of skate hatchling brain and 150 μm thick sections of chick E16 brains were then processed for HCR following[86]. Sections were first treated with bleaching solution (5% Deionized formamide, 1.5% H2O2, 0.2× SSC in nuclease-free water) for 30min under strong light, then rinsed in PBS and permeabilized for 1h with a solution of 1% Triton-X and 1% DMSO in PBS. After rinsing in SSCT sections were pre-hybridized in Hybridization Buffer (Molecular Instruments) for 1.5h at 37C and then incubated overnight with probes at 12nM concentration in Hybridization Buffer at 37C. The following day, samples were washed in Wash Buffer (Molecular Instruments) and then in SSCT, then incubated in Amplification Buffer (Molecular Instruments) for 30 min. Sections were then incubated overnight in the dark at RT with snap-cooled HCR hairpins (Molecular Instruments) at a concentration of 0.3μM in Amplification Buffer. Samples were rinsed several times with SSCT, mounted on glass slides with

1243 Fluoromount-G (Invitrogen, 00-4958-02) and imaged with a Zeiss LSM800 laser
1244 scanning confocal microscope.
1245
<u>Quantification of medial and dorsal pallium *Tp73*+ neurons during salamander</u>
<u>development</u>
Coronal sections of pallium across developmental stages were stained and
imaged as described above, using the 546 channel for *Reln* and the 647 channel for
Tp73. Dense *Reln* expression in lateral pallium was used to delineate the boundary
between dorsal pallium and lateral pallium. In posterior sections of the telencephalon
the ventral boundary of the medial pallium was recognized from the clear separation of
the pallium from septum. In more anterior sections, this boundary was recognized from
a discontinuity of cell density between medial pallium and septum. For each coronal
section, medial and dorsal pallium *Tp73*+ cells were manually counted across the Z
width (with a z step of 3 um), and a *Tp73* density was calculated per section. These
density data were then averaged for each brain to generate the plot in 5B.
1258
1259