A molecularly defined basalo-prefrontal-thalamic circuit regulates
sensory and affective dimensions of pain

Guoguang Xie[1,2,3], Yiqiong Liu[1,2,3], Xuetao Qi[1,2,3], Aritra Bhattacherjee[1,2,3],

Chao Zhang[1,2,3], and Yi Zhang[1,2,3,4,5*]

[1]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA; [2]Program in Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA; [3]Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Pediatrics, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA; [4]Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA; [5]Harvard Stem Cell Institute, WAB-149G, 200 Longwood Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA.

[*]To whom correspondence should be addressed

E-mail: yzhang@genetics.med.harvard.edu

Keywords: Pain, mPFC, thalamus, *Foxp2*-expressing neuron

Manuscript information: 49 pages, 8 Figures, 7 Extended Data Figures

Abstract

Both the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and thalamus have been implicated in pain regulation.

However, the roles of the mPFC-thalamus connection in pain and how the mPFC modulates

nociceptive processing within the brain remain unclear. Here, we show that the mPFC neurons that

project to thalamus are marked by *Foxp2* expression and deactivated in both acute and chronic

pain. Persistent inactivation of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons enhances nociceptive sensitivity, while

their activation alleviates multiple aspects of pain. Circuit-specific manipulations revealed that the

projections to parataenial nucleus, mediodorsal and ventromedial thalamus differentially modulate

sensory and affective pain. Additionally, the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons receive cholinergic input from

the basal forebrain, particularly the horizonal diagonal band (HDB). Notably, activation of the

α4β2-containing nicotinic acetylcholine receptor in mPFC exerts antinociceptive effects in *Foxp2*$^+$

neuron-dependent manner. Together, our study defines an HDB→mPFC^{Foxp2}→thalamus circuit

essential for sensory and affective pain modulation and underscores the therapeutic potential of

targeting mPFC cholinergic signaling in chronic pain management.

Introduction

Pain is an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage and is essential to protect the body from potentially harmful stimuli[1, 2]. Chronic pain, however, is not just a temporal continuum of acute pain but one of the major causes of human suffering, affecting about 20% of the global population with immense clinical and economic consequences[3, 4]. Unfortunately, current pain management strategies are largely unsatisfactory with inadequate pain relief and multiple adverse effects[5, 6]. Thus, understanding the mechanisms underlying pain processing remains to be an urgent need for developing effective treatments.

Accumulating evidence supports the crucial roles of medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) in regulating multiple aspects of pain, including the sensory, affective and cognitive dimensions[7-11]. Neuroimaging studies in humans have showed functional deactivation and altered connectivity of mPFC in patients with chronic pain[12-14]. Consistently, preclinical studies have also revealed the diminished activities of mPFC in several forms of chronic pain and the critical roles of mPFC in pain relief[15-18]. The mPFC is widely connected with other brain regions, including the periaqueductal gray (PAG), thalamus, amygdala and basal nuclei[19]. As part of the descending modulation pathway that governs the noxious inputs in the spinal cord, the mPFC to PAG circuit in pain processing has been well documented[5, 16, 17]. However, it is much less clear about the roles of the mPFC outputs to other brain regions in pain regulation as well as how mPFC modulates the processing of noxious information in the brain.

Thalamus, a major target of the mPFC output, serves as a key hub for integrating and processing nociceptive information ascending from the spinal cord and modulates both sensory and affective dimensions of pain through the lateral and medial pathways[5, 20]. Imaging studies have linked chronic pain to thalamic alterations, including reduced volume, activity and imbalanced transmitters[12, 14, 21]. Recent findings also highlight the critical functions of the connections between

thalamus and other cortices, such as motor cortex and ACC, in regulating affective pain[22, 23].

Despite the strong anatomical connections between mPFC and thalamus and their critical roles in

pain and other behaviors such as attention and cognition[24, 25], the specific functions of the mPFC

to thalamus circuit in pain processing remain largely unexplored.

The mPFC receives inputs from multiple brain regions[19]. Among these, the strengthened

glutamatergic input from basolateral amygdala (BLA), which synapses onto the inhibitory neurons

in mPFC and sends feedforward inhibition to the pyramidal neurons, has been reported as a key

mechanism underlying the deactivation of mPFC in chronic pain.[17, 18]. However, the roles of other

mPFC inputs in chronic pain remain largely unknown. Notably, the mPFC receives dense

cholinergic innervation from the basal forebrain, a pathway involved in various functions such as

learning, attention and arousal[26]. Although the clinical importance of cholinergic signaling in pain

has been well recognized[27], the roles and the circuit mechanism of cholinergic modulation of

mPFC in pain are not fully understood. Moreover, the mPFC is highly heterogeneous, with

differential structural and functional dysregulation of mPFC in chronic pain[8]. The variable

functional responses, either pain relief or exacerbation following mPFC activation[8, 28, 29], might

result from the cell heterogeneity across subregions and layers of mPFC, which requires higher

cell-type and projection-specific resolution to accurately delineate.

In this study, we identify *Foxp2* as a marker of the mPFC neurons that project to the thalamus and

show that these neurons are deactivated under acute and chronic pain. Using cell type-specific and

circuit-specific manipulations, we demonstrate that activation of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons

alleviates both the sensory and affective components of pain by targeting distinct thalamic

subregions. Additionally, we show that the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons receive cholinergic innervation

from the basal forebrain, particularly the horizontal diagonal band of Broca (HDB), and that

activation of the cholinergic projection is antinociceptive. Notably, we found that activation of the

α4β2-containing nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) in mPFC relieves pain, which requires

the *Foxp2*$^+$ neuronal activity. Collectively, our study reveals a novel mechanism by which the

mPFC regulates pain through projection to thalamus, and highlights mPFC cholinergic signaling

as a potential therapeutic target for chronic pain treatment.

Results

***Foxp2* specifically marks the mPFC outputs to thalamus**

The cerebral cortex is organized into layers with diverse neuron subtypes that have distinct

projection patterns distributed in laminar pattern[30]. In the mPFC, the projections to thalamus, a

hub for pain processing, primarily originate from the layer (L) 6 corticothalamic (CT) neurons[31,

32]. Surprisingly, single molecule fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (smFISH) revealed that *Ntsr1*,

a widely used L6 CT neuron marker in the cortex[22, 33, 34], is broadly expressed from L2 to L6 in the

mPFC (Extended Data Fig. 1a), indicating the different organization of the mPFC compared to

other cortex. Integrative analysis of our previous single-cell RNA sequencing and spatial

transcriptomic data indicated *Foxp2* and *Oprk1* respectively mark the L6 CT and L6

intratelencephalic (IT) neurons[35, 36], the two major excitatory neuron types in L6 (Extended Data

Fig. 1b). This is consistent with previous studies suggesting exclusive expression of *Foxp2* in CT

neurons of the sensory cortex[33, 37-40].

To determine whether *Foxp2* can serve as a marker for L6 CT neurons in the mPFC of adult mice,

we injected cholera toxin subunit B (CTB) 555, a retrograde tracer, into the medial dorsal thalamus

(MD) and performed smFISH for *Foxp2* in the mPFC (Fig. 1a). We found that the CTB$^+$ cells are

mainly located in L6 with over 90% of them express *Foxp2* (Fig. 1a), indicating that the *Foxp2*$^+$

neurons account for the major outputs of mPFC to thalamus. Around 60% of the *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons

are labeled by CTB, which could be due to the limited area of CTB diffusion and that the mPFC

Foxp2$^+$ neurons may project to other thalamic regions. To examine whether the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$

neurons project to other major mPFC targets, CTB was also injected into the PAG (Fig. 1b),

contralateral mPFC (Extended Data Fig. 1c), amygdala (Extended Data Fig. 1d) or nucleus

accumbens (NAc) (Extended Data Fig. 1e). Minimal colocalization of CTB and *Foxp2* was

observed in these conditions (Fig. 1b and Extended Data Fig. 1c-e). These findings confirm that

Foxp2 specifically marks the major outputs of mPFC to thalamus.

Given the outputs of mPFC to thalamus mainly originate from the L6 CT neurons, we then asked

whether *Foxp2* specifically marks this distinct neuronal subtype. To this end, we performed

smFISH for *Foxp2*, *Slc17a7* (a marker for glutamatergic neurons) and *Gad1* (a marker for

inhibitory neurons), which revealed that over 95% of $Foxp2^+$ cells express *Slc17a7* (Fig. 1c),

indicating that $Foxp2^+$ cells are predominantly glutamatergic neurons. To further characterize the

distribution of $Foxp2^+$ cells in the mPFC, smFISH for *Foxp2, Etv1* (a marker for L5), and *Ctgf* (a

marker for L6b) was performed with the mPFC sections along the anterior-posterior axis (Fig. 1d

and Extended Data Fig. 2). Quantification of the fluorescence signals confirmed the expression of

Foxp2 in L6 including L6b, with about 10% of $Foxp2^+$ cells also expressing *Etv1* (Fig. 1d and

Extended Data Fig. 2a-c). Further quantification of the fluorescence signals in the mPFC from pia

to white matter revealed that the $Foxp2^+$ cells are mainly located in L6 and to a much less extent

in deep L5, especially in the anterior part of mPFC (Extended Data Fig. 2d). Since *Foxp2* and

Oprk1 are indicated to respectively mark the L6 CT and IT neurons (Extended Data Fig. 1b), we

examined their expression in the mPFC and found that they label two distinct neuronal populations

in L6 with minimal overlap (Fig. 1e). Because there are also a small number of $Foxp2^+$ neurons in

L5, where resides the L5 IT neurons, as well as the extratelencephalic (ET) neurons labeled by

Pou3f1[35] and near-projecting (NP) neurons labeled by *Tshz2*[41], we then performed smFISH and

found little overlap between *Foxp2* and *Pou3f1* (Fig. 1f) or *Tshz2* (Fig. 1g). Collectively, these

results indicate that *Foxp2* labels a distinct neuronal subtype in L6 different from the IT, ET and

NP neurons in the mPFC, consistent with the tracing results.

To further confirm that the mPFC $Foxp2^+$ neurons specifically project to the thalamus, Cre

recombinase (Cre)-dependent adeno-associated virus (AAV) encoding mGFP and synaptophysin-

fused mRuby that labels axon terminals was injected into the mPFC of *Foxp2*-Cre mice (Fig. 1h).

The virus expression was confirmed by *post hoc* histological examination (Extended Data Fig. 1f).

Consistent with the fact that *Foxp2* labels the L6 CT neurons in the mPFC, whole brain mapping

revealed that the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons primarily project to thalamus, with the highest intensity

of mRuby signals in parataenial thalamic nucleus (PTN), mediodorsal (MD) and ventromedial

(VM) thalamus (Fig. 1h). Weaker signals were observed in the nucleus reuniens (Re),

paraventricular nucleus of thalamus (PVT) and the medial striatum (Str), while no signal was

detected in other downstream targets of mPFC such as NAc, amygdala, PAG, hippocampus,

hypothalamus and ventral tegmental area (VTA) (Fig. 1h and Extended Data Fig. 1g-m).

Quantification of the average axon-labeling mRuby signals indicated that the medial thalamic

nuclei, including PTN, MD and VM, are the main downstream targets of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+}

neurons (Fig. 1i). Collectively, these results demonstrate that *Foxp2* specifically labels the mPFC

outputs to thalamus (Fig. 1j).

The mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons are deactivated in acute and chronic pain

To determine whether the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons are involved in pain processing, *in vivo*

miniscopic calcium (Ca^{2+}) imaging was performed to monitor the activity of the individual mPFC

Foxp2^{+} neurons under various pain states. To this end, Cre-dependent AAV encoding the Ca^{2+}

indicator GCaMP7s was injected into the prelimbic cortex (PL), a subregion of mPFC critical for

pain regulation[8], of *Foxp2*-Cre mice, followed by the implantation of a gradient refractive index

(GRIN) lens above the injection site two weeks later (Fig. 2a). Virus expression and proper GRIN

lens implantation were confirmed by *post hoc* histological examination (Fig. 2a). Four weeks after

the virus injection, fluorescence signals of the individual *Foxp2*^{+} neurons were recorded through

the GRIN lens using a head-mounted miniaturized microscope, and the Ca^{2+} signal traces of

individual neurons were identified and extracted (Fig. 2b). The mice were subjected to acute

noxious stimuli and complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) treatment to induce chronic inflammatory

pain, with the Ca^{2+} signals recorded at the indicated time points (Fig. 2c).

To examine the activities of the *Foxp2*^{+} neurons in response to noxious mechanical stimulation,

we recorded the baseline activity for 2 minutes, then subjected the mice to tail pinch for 1 minute,

and monitored an additional 9 minutes for recovery (Fig. 2d). We found that most of the *Foxp2*$^+$

neurons exhibited a decreased frequency of Ca^{2+} events during pinch stimulation, followed by a

gradual recovery (Fig. 2e). A small subset of the *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons displayed the opposite response

(Fig. 2e). Quantitative analysis revealed that around 80% of the *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons exhibited a

significant decrease in the Ca^{2+} event frequency during pinch stimulation compared to the baseline

(Fig. 2f and Extended Data Fig. 3a). A significant decrease in the overall Ca^{2+} event frequency

across all *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons was also observed (Fig. 2g), while the amplitude was unaltered

(Extended Data Fig. 3b). These results indicate that the overall *Foxp2*$^+$ neuronal activity is

decreased in response to noxious mechanical stimulation.

The mice were also subjected to formalin treatment (Fig. 2h), which induces biphasic pain

responses as previously described[42]. Enhanced coping behaviors were observed during the first 5

minutes (phase 1) and between 15 to 30 minutes (phase 2) following the formalin injection

(Extended Data Fig. 3c). Similar to the responses to noxious mechanical stimulation, a large subset

of the *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons exhibited a decrease in the frequency of Ca^{2+} events during phase 1, while

a small subset showed increased activity (Fig. 2i). Quantitative analysis revealed a significant

decrease in the Ca^{2+} event frequency during both phases, with approximately 30% of *Foxp2*$^+$

neurons significantly deactivated in the phase 1 (Fig. 2j and Extended Data Fig. 3d). The overall

Ca^{2+} event frequency but not amplitude across all *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons was also significantly decreased

in both phases (Fig. 2k and Extended Data Fig. 3e), indicating a decreased *Foxp2*$^+$ neuronal activity

in response to formalin treatment. Collectively, these results indicate that the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$

neurons are deactivated in acute nociception.

Finally, we asked whether the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons are involved in chronic pain. To this end, we

subjected the mice to CFA treatment to induce chronic inflammatory pain (Fig. 2l). The overall

frequency of Ca^{2+} events in the recorded mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons significantly decreased in both

day 1 and day 7 after the CFA injection compared to the baseline (Fig. 2m), indicating a decrease

in the *Foxp2*^{+} neuronal activity in chronic inflammatory pain. To better compare the Ca^{2+} events

of the individual *Foxp2*^{+} neurons among different days, we identified and analyzed the same

neurons recorded in different days (Extended Data Fig. 3f). We found that a large proportion of

the *Foxp2*^{+} neurons exhibited decreased Ca^{2+} activities on day 1 and day 7 after CFA injection (Fig.

2n, o). Quantitative analysis revealed the decreased Ca^{2+} events frequency of the registered *Foxp2*^{+}

neurons in both day 1 and day 7 (Fig. 2p), and that 25.8% and 43.5% of *Foxp2*^{+} neurons were

significantly deactivated on day 1 and day 7, respectively (Fig. 2q, r). Together, these results

demonstrate that the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons are deactivated in CFA-induced chronic inflammatory

pain.

Persistent inactivation of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons enhances nociceptive sensitivity, while

activation of these neurons alleviates different aspects of pain

Given the critical roles of mPFC and thalamus in pain processing and the observed deactivation of

the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons in both acute and chronic pain (Fig. 2), we asked whether these neurons

play a causal role in pain regulation. To this end, Cre-dependent AAVs expressing EYFP or tetanus

neurotoxin (TeNT) were bilaterally injected into the PL mPFC of *Foxp2*-Cre mice to persistently

block the synaptic output of the *Foxp2*^{+} neurons (Fig. 3a). Behavioral tests were conducted five

weeks later, with virus expression confirmed by *post hoc* histological examination (Fig. 3a). We

first performed von Frey test (VFT) to measure the mechanical sensitivity of the mice. The TeNT

group exhibited a significantly decreased paw withdrawal threshold compared to the control group,

indicating that persistent inactivation of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons induces mechanical

hypersensitivity of the mice (Fig. 3b). Similarly, a significant decrease in paw withdrawal latency

in the hot plate test (HPT) was also observed in the TeNT group, indicating thermal

hypersensitivity in these mice (Fig. 3c). Together, these results indicate that persistent inactivation

of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons enhances nociceptive sensitivity in mice.

We next asked whether short term manipulation of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons affects the

nociception. To this end, we bilaterally injected Cre-dependent AAVs encoding mCherry or

hM3Dq, an activating DREADD (designer receptors exclusively activated by designer drug), into

the PL mPFC of the *Foxp2*-Cre mice (Fig. 3d). Virus expression was confirmed by *post hoc*

histological examination (Fig. 3d). Three weeks later, behavioral assays were performed 20 min

after intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of clozapine-N-oxide (CNO). In both the VFT and HPT, the

hM3Dq group showed a significant increase in paw withdrawal threshold and latency (Fig. 3e),

indicating the antinociceptive effects induced by the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neuron activation. To examine

the roles of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons in coping behaviors, formalin test was performed which

revealed a significant decrease in licking duration in both phase 1 and phase 2 in the hM3Dq group

(Fig. 3f), further supporting the antinociceptive effects of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neuron activation.

We next assessed the roles of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons in chronic pain by treating the mice with

CFA to induce chronic inflammatory pain. VFT and HPT were performed 2 or 3 days after CFA

injection which revealed significant increases in paw withdrawal threshold and latency in the

hM3Dq group (Fig. 3g), indicating activation of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons alleviates the

mechanical and thermal hypersensitivity in chronic inflammatory pain. To determine the

contribution of these neurons to the affective components of pain, we subjected the mice to a

conditioned place preference (CPP) test. The mice were conditioned with saline in one chamber

and CNO in another (Fig. 3h). After three days of training, the hM3Dq group displayed a

preference for the chamber paired with CNO treatment, as indicated by increased time spent in the

chamber and a higher CPP score (Fig. 3h), suggesting that activation of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons

could alleviate affective aspects of chronic inflammatory pain. Collectively, these results indicate

that activation of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons relieves both nociceptive hypersensitivity and

negative affects of chronic inflammatory pain.

To evaluate the effects of short-term inactivation, we bilaterally injected Cre-dependent AAVs

expressing mCherry or hM4Di, an inhibitory DREADD, into the PL mPFC of the *Foxp2*-Cre mice

(Extended Data Fig. 4a). Surprisingly, no significant effects were observed in the nociceptive

sensitivity, coping behaviors or negative affects of pain as indicated by VFT, HPT, formalin test

and CPP test (Extended Data Fig. 4b-d). The efficiency of chemogenetic manipulation was

confirmed as the expression of an immediate-early gene cFos was respectively decreased or

increased after CNO treatment in the hM4Di or hM3Dq group (Extended Data Fig. 4e).

Collectively, these results indicate that persistent inactivation of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons

enhances nociceptive sensitivity, while activation of these neurons exerts robust antinociceptive

effects, alleviating both the sensory and affective components of inflammatory pain.

Projection-specific roles of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons in regulating acute and chronic pain

Having demonstrated the crucial roles of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons in regulating pain, we next

investigated the underlying circuit mechanisms. We have revealed that the PTN, MD and VM

thalamic subregions are the major downstream targets of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons (Fig. 1h, i and

Extended Data Fig. 1f-m). Previous studies have revealed the roles of MD and VM in regulating

affective, but not sensory aspects of pain[7, 22, 23], while the PTN is much less studied but implicated

in regulating pain sensitivity in mice[43]. To determine the roles of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} projections in

regulating pain, Cre-dependent AAVs encoding EYFP or channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) were

injected into the PL mPFC of *Foxp2*-Cre mice, and optical fibers were implanted above the PTN

(Fig. 4a), MD (Fig. 4b) or VM (Fig. 4c), respectively for projection-specific activation. Virus

expression and fiber implantation were confirmed by *post hoc* histological examination (Fig. 4a-

c). Three weeks later, VFT and HPT were conducted. We found that optogenetic activation of the

mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} projection to PTN significantly increased the paw withdrawal threshold in VFT and

latency in HPT compared to the baseline or the control group (Fig. 4d), indicating reduced

mechanical and thermal sensitivity. In contrast, activation of the projections to MD or VM had no

significant effect on nociceptive sensitivity (Fig. 4e, f). These results suggest that mPFC *Foxp2*^{+}

neurons reduce mechanical and thermal sensitivity through projecting to PTN, but not the MD or

VM of thalamus.

To examine the roles of the projections in coping behaviors under tonic pain, we subjected the

mice to formalin test with optogenetic activation of the specific projections. We found that

activation of the projections to PTN and VM, but not MD, significantly reduced the licking

duration in both phase 1 and phase 2 of the formalin test compared to the control group (Fig. 4g-

i). These results indicate that the projections of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons to the PTN and VM

reduce the coping behaviors of the mice in response to tonic pain. Collectively, these results

demonstrate that the mPFC^{Foxp2}→PTN circuit modulates both the nociceptive sensitivity and

coping behavior and the mPFC^{Foxp2}→VM circuit specifically modulate the coping behavior, while

the mPFC^{Foxp2}→MD circuit is not involved in either.

To assess the roles of the projections in chronic pain, we treated the mice with CFA to induce

chronic inflammatory pain and performed the pain-related behavioral assays with optogenetic

stimulation (Fig. 5a). Two and three days after the CFA injection, VFT and HPT were performed,

respectively, to measure mechanical allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia in chronic inflammatory

pain. We found that activation of the PTN projection significantly increased the paw withdrawal

threshold and latency (Fig. 5b), indicating reduced mechanical and thermal hypersensitivity of the

mice. In contrast, no significant change in the VFT and HPT was observed by activating the MD

or VM projections (Fig. 5c, d). These results demonstrate that the PTN projection is primarily

responsible for the roles of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons in alleviating nociceptive hypersensitivity

in chronic pain.

To investigate the roles of the projections in regulating affective aspects of chronic pain, we

subjected the mice to the CPP test, pairing one chamber with optogenetic stimulation (Fig. 5a).

The mice with activation of the PTN or MD projections exhibited a significant increase in the time

spent in the light-paired chamber as well as a higher CPP score (Fig. 5e, f), indicating the alleviated

negative affects of pain in these mice. Activation of the VM projection, however, had no significant

effects on the chamber preference (Fig. 5g). These results indicate that both the mPFC^{Foxp2}→PTN

and mPFC^{Foxp2}→MD circuits alleviate the affective aspects of chronic inflammatory pain, while

the mPFC^{Foxp2}→VM circuit is not involved.

Collectively, these results demonstrate that while the mPFC^{Foxp2}→PTN circuit is involved in

regulating nociceptive sensitivity, coping behaviors and negative affects of pain, the

mPFC^{Foxp2}→MD circuit modulates the negative pain affects and the mPFC^{Foxp2}→VM circuit

regulates coping behaviors, respectively.

The mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons receive antinociceptive cholinergic inputs from HDB

Having demonstrated the functional deactivation of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons in pain and their

pain-relieving effects through projections to distinct thalamic nuclei, we next explored the circuit

mechanism regulating the activity of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons. Previous studies have shown that

mPFC receives intense cholinergic innervation from the basal forebrain and is strongly modulated

by acetylcholine (ACh) [26, 44-46]. Notably, cholinergic modulation of the mPFC is severely impaired

in neuropathic pain, while activating the cholinergic projection from the nucleus basalis

magnocellularis to the mPFC induces antinociceptive effects[47, 48]. These observations prompted us

to investigate whether the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons are modulated by cholinergic innervation.

To determine whether the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons receive direct monosynaptic cholinergic inputs,

rabies virus (RV)-based monosynaptic retrograde tracing was performed (Fig. 6a). Cre-dependent

AAV helpers encoding TVA-mCherry and rabies G protein were injected into the PL mPFC of

Foxp2-Cre mice, followed by EnvA-ΔG rabies virus expressing EGFP injected into the same

region 4 weeks later. One week after the RV injection, the virus expression and starter cells were

confirmed in the mPFC (Fig. 6a). Brain-wide analysis revealed RV-EGFP labeled neurons in

several brain regions, including the basal forebrain, insular cortex, thalamus, ventral hippocampus

and basolateral amygdala, while fewer labeled neurons were also observed in hypothalamus, dorsal

raphe nucleus and parabrachial nucleus (Fig. 6b and Extended Data Fig. 5a, b). Notably, a

substantial number of neurons were labeled with RV-EGFP in basal forebrain, particularly the

HDB, with the RV-EGFP labeled neurons distributed from anterior to posterior part of HDB (Fig.

6b). Further immunostaining showed that most RV-EGFP labeled neurons HDB also express

choline acetyltransferase (ChAT), a marker for cholinergic neurons (Fig. 6c), indicating the direct

monosynaptic cholinergic inputs from HDB to the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons. These results suggest

that the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons receive monosynaptic inputs from the HDB cholinergic neurons.

To examine the functions of the HDB inputs to the mPFC in pain, Cre-dependent AAVs encoding

EYFP or ChR2 were injected into the HDB of the *ChAT*-Cre mice and an optical fiber was

implanted above the PL mPFC region to enable specific activation of the HDB^{ChAT}→mPFC circuit

(Fig. 6d). The virus expression as well as the fiber implantation were confirmed by *post hoc*

histological examination (Fig. 6d). Three weeks after the surgery, VFT and HPT were performed

and a significant increase in the VFT was observed in response to optogenetic activation of the

circuit (Fig. 6e), indicating reduced mechanical sensitivity of the mice. However, no significant

effect was observed in the HPT (Fig. 6e), indicating that the baseline thermal sensitivity is

unaffected. To further evaluate the roles of this circuit in chronic pain, the mice were treated with

CFA to induce chronic inflammatory pain. VFT and HPT analyses indicated that optogenetic

activation of the HDB cholinergic terminals in mPFC significantly alleviated both the mechanical

and thermal hypersensitivity in chronic pain, as indicated by increased paw withdrawal threshold

and latency (Fig. 6f). Together, these results demonstrate that the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons receive

direct monosynaptic cholinergic innervation from the HDB, which regulates the nociceptive

sensitivity in mice.

The mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons highly express α4β2 nAChR which facilitates pain relief

Given the critical roles of the cholinergic signaling in pain processing and the innervation of mPFC

Foxp2⁺ neurons by cholinergic inputs, we next sought to identify the specific acetylcholine

receptor (AChR) responsible for modulating the mPFC *Foxp2⁺* neurons. In the cerebral cortex,

there are mainly two types of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChR), the α7 and the α4β2

nAChRs, and two types of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (mAChR), the M1 and M2

receptors[46]. To this end, we performed smFISH analyses for these receptors as well as *Foxp2* and

Oprk1. The results showed that over 95% of the *Foxp2⁺* cells express *Chrna4*, the gene encoding

the α4 subunit of α4β2 nAChR, while very few express *Chrna7* (Fig. 7a). Further smFISH analysis

of *Chrna4* and *Chrnb2*, which encodes the β2 subunit, revealed that around 93% of the *Foxp2⁺*

cells are positive for both (Extended Data Fig. 6a), while less than 10% of *Oprk1⁺* cells express

both (Fig. 7b and Extended Data Fig. 6b), indicating the predominant expression of α4β2 nAChR

in the *Foxp2⁺* neurons. smFISH analysis of mAChRs showed that *Chrm1*, encoding the M1

receptor, was widely expressed across the mPFC, with expression in nearly all *Foxp2⁺* and *Oprk1⁺*

cells in L6 (Fig. 7c and Extended Data Fig. 6c), while minimal expression of *Chrm2* in *Foxp2⁺*

cells were observed (Fig. 7d and Extended Data Fig. 6d). Notably, quantitative analysis revealed

the selective expression of the α4β2 nAChRs in *Foxp2⁺* cells in mPFC L6, with nearly 80% of

α4β2 nAChR-expressing cells being *Foxp2⁺* and only a minority is *Oprk1⁺* (Fig. 7e). Collectively,

these results indicate that while α7 nAChR and M2 expressions are relatively low and M1 is

broadly expressed in mPFC, the α4β2 nAChR is highly expressed and enriched in the L6 *Foxp2⁺*

neurons of mPFC (Fig. 7f).

Given the critical roles of the mPFC *Foxp2⁺* neurons in pain processing and the strong effects of

ACh in modulating neuronal activity in mPFC[46], we next asked whether the α4β2 nAChR in mPFC

regulates pain. To this end, we bilaterally implanted guided cannula for intracranial drug infusion

into the mPFC of WT mice, confirmed by *post hoc* histological examination (Fig. 7g). We first

infused artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) or ABT-594, a specific α4β2 nAChR angonist[27], at

different dosages (2.5, 5, and 25 pmol/site) into the mPFC and performed VFT to measure the

mechanical sensitivity of the mice. We found that ABT-594 at 5 or 25 pmol per site significantly

increase the paw withdrawal threshold in VFT compared to both baseline and the ACSF control

(Fig. 7h and Extended Data Fig. 7a), indicating reduced mechanical sensitivity by activating the

α4β2 nAChR. Since the antinociceptive effect is sufficiently elicited by the treatment of ABT-594

at the dosage of 5 pmol per site, this dosage is used in all the subsequent experiments. Similar

antinociceptive effects were observed in the hot plate test (HPT), with increased paw withdrawal

latency following ABT-594 treatment (Fig. 7h). To test whether the α4β2 nAChR is also involved

in regulating chronic pain, we treated the mice with CFA to induce chronic inflammatory pain. We

found that ABT-594 treatment significantly increased the paw withdrawal threshold in VFT and

latency in HPT (Fig. 7i), indicating the treatment alleviated mechanical and thermal

hypersensitivity in chronic inflammatory pain. However, CPP test revealed no significant effect of

ABT-594 on the affective components of pain, although a trend of increased CPP score was

observed (Extended Data Fig. 7b), indicating that ABT-594 treatment is not sufficient to relieve

the affective components of pain.

The high levels of α4β2 nAChR expression in the mPFC *Foxp2*+ neurons prompted us to ask

whether the antinociceptive effects of ABT-594 depend on activation of the mPFC *Foxp2*+ neurons.

To this end, Cre-dependent AAVs encoding mCherry or hM4Di were bilaterally injected into the

PL mPFC of the *Foxp2*-Cre mice, followed by guided cannula implantation for intracranial drug

delivery (Fig. 7j). The virus expression and the cannula implantation were confirmed by *post hoc*

histological examination (Fig. 7j). Three weeks after the surgery, VFT and HPT were performed.

In both the mCherry and hM4Di groups, intracranial ABT-594 delivery significantly increased the

paw withdrawal threshold in VFT and latency in HPT (Fig. 7k). Notably, systemic administration

of CNO, which selectively inhibits hM4Di-expressing mPFC *Foxp2*+ neurons, abolished these

effects in the hM4Di group, while no significant changes were observed in the mCherry group

(Fig. 7k). These results indicate that the antinociceptive effects of α4β2 nAChR activation are

mediated by the mPFC *Foxp2*+ neuron activity.

Collectively, these results demonstrate that the α4β2 nAChR is highly expressed in the mPFC

Foxp2^{+} neurons and activation of this receptor is antinociceptive, which depends on the activation

of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons.

Discussion

The present study reveals how the mPFC modulates different dimensions of pain through different

thalamic projections and uncovers the critical roles of the cholinergic signaling in mPFC in pain

regulation (Fig. 8). We identified *Foxp2* as a marker for the thalamus-projecting neurons in mPFC

by neural tracing and smFISH (Fig. 1). *In vivo* recordings showed that these neurons are

deactivated in both acute and chronic pain (Fig. 2). Combined cell-type specific and circuit-

specific manipulation studies revealed the critical roles of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons in regulating

sensory and affective pain through discrete thalamic projections (Fig. 3-5). We also showed the

cholinergic innervation from HDB and the expression of α4β2 nAChR, which is antinociceptive,

in the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons, underscoring the roles of the HDB^{ChAT}→mPFC^{Foxp2}→thalamus

circuit in pain regulation (Fig. 6, 7).

The cerebral cortex is organized into layers with intermingled distribution of projection neurons

that target diverse brain regions[37]. While our understanding of cortical circuitry has predominantly

emerged from sensory cortices[19, 49], recent studies have revealed some distinct features of cellular

organization and connections of the mPFC [19, 35, 36, 50]. Notably, we found that *Ntsr1*, a widely used

marker for L6 CT neurons in sensory cortex and motor cortex[22, 33, 34], is broadly expressed across

multiple layers in mPFC. The expression of *Foxp2* has been well described in developing brain

and the sensory cortex of adult brain[37-40], but it is not clear whether *Foxp2* is specifically expressed

in the L6 CT neurons in mPFC of adult mice. Our smFISH results showed that the *Foxp2*

expression is restricted in the glutamatergic neurons of the deep layers in mPFC, mostly in L6, and

has few overlaps with the markers for other projection neurons such as IT, ET and NP neurons

(Fig. 1c-g). Retrograde labeling further confirmed that *Foxp2* specifically labels the mPFC neurons

projecting to thalamus, but not those to cPFC, PAG, NAc or BLA (Fig. 1a, b and Extended Data

Fig. 1c-e). Consistently, axon terminal labeling revealed that the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons almost

exclusively project to thalamus with much weaker signals in medial striatum, and no detectable

signal in NAc, BLA and PAG (Fig. 1h, i and Extended Data Fig. 1f-m). Interestingly, it is reported

that a subset of *Foxp2*^{+} neurons in PFC project to VTA[39], but we did not observe axon-labeling

signals in VTA (Extended Data Fig. 1m). One possible explanation is that the reported VTA-

projecting *Foxp2*^{+} neurons are mainly located in the ventral part of PFC, especially the dorsal

peduncular nucleus (DPn) which show different projection patterns from that of the mPFC[51].

Moreover, we observed limited number of neurons in L5 that express *Foxp2* and found that these

neurons do not express markers of IT, ET and NP neurons in mPFC (Fig. 1e, g), consistent with

tracing results. Our study therefore provides multiple lines of evidence supporting *Foxp2* as a

specific marker for the thalamus-projecting neurons in mPFC.

Substantial evidence supports the involvement and critical roles of mPFC in pain regulation[8, 10]

and previous studies have uncovered the roles of the mPFC L5 ET neurons projecting to PAG, a

key node for the descending modulatory pathway that dictates the processing of noxious

information in the spinal cord[16, 17]. However, although the functions of the mPFC IT neurons that

project to BLA and striatum have also been indicated[8, 9], it is much less clear how the mPFC

modulates the processing of noxious information in the brain, particularly for the roles of the output

to thalamus[30]. Thalamus is a key hub for integrating noxious information and regulates multiple

aspects of pain[5]. We showed that the thalamus-projecting *Foxp2*-expressing neurons in mPFC are

deactivated in response to various noxious stimulation and recover gradually after the removal of

the stimuli (Fig. 2), indicating the involvement of these neurons in both acute and chronic pain. In

contrast to the motor cortex to thalamus circuit that mainly involved in affective pain[22], we found

that persistent inactivation of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons sensitizes nociception (Fig. 3a-c), while

activation relieves both sensory and affective components of pain (Fig. 3d-h), demonstrating the

crucial functions of the mPFC CT neurons in the endogenous pain relief pathway. Interestingly,

short-term chemogenetic inhibition of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons has few effects on pain-related

behaviors (Extended Data Fig. 4a-d). Indeed, the organization of the mPFC-thalamus connection

is consistent with the canonical model, in which the L6 CT neurons as "modulators" project to

thalamus with low initial release probability, but are able to evoke robust firing in thalamus by

strongly facilitating synapses[52, 53]. Our results indicate that short-term inhibition of the mPFC

Foxp2^{+} neurons may not induce adaptive alterations in the mPFC-thalamus connection and long-

term adaptations in this pathway are responsible for the sensitized nociceptive responses induced

by persistent inhibition of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons.

Our study also delineates the circuit mechanism underlying the roles of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons

in regulating pain. The thalamus receives nociceptive information directly from the spinothalamic

tract and is widely connected to other brain regions[54]. It has been proposed that the lateral thalamic

system mediates discriminative features of pain, while the medial system mediates the emotional

aspects of pain[5]. Our results show PTN, MD and VM of thalamus as the major targets of the mPFC

Foxp2^{+} neurons (Fig. 1h, i) and reveal the critical roles of mPFC in modulating the nociceptive

processing by these thalamic projections (Fig. 4, 5). We found that while the projection of the

mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons to PTN regulates both sensory and affective pain, the projection to MD

relieves affective pain and the one to VM regulates coping behaviors specifically (Fig. 4, 5). These

findings support the idea that segregated circuit mechanisms are responsible for different

dimensions of pain[5, 20]. Our results reveal PTN, which is a relatively less studied region with the

functions and circuits remained to be fully characterized, as a master hub in the medial thalamus

for regulating both the sensory and affective aspects of pain. Future study is needed to further

clarify the circuit mechanism underlying the critical roles of PTN in pain regulation. In summary,

our study reveals the critical roles and the segregated circuit mechanisms of mPFC in governing

the nociceptive processing in the thalamus.

The mPFC is broadly connected to a wide range of brain regions[19]. While the strengthened input

from BLA to the inhibitory neurons in mPFC has been proposed to be a key mechanism underlying

the functional deactivation of mPFC in chronic pain[17], the contributions of other inputs are far

from fully understood. The mPFC receives dense cholinergic innervation from the basal forebrain

and expresses high levels of cholinergic receptors[26, 46]. It is reported that the ACh receptors are

expressed in a layer-specific manner, with some expression of α4β2 nAChR in the inhibitory

neurons of L2/3 and much higher expression in the excitatory neurons in L5/6 of mPFC[44].

Consistently, our results showed that the expression of α4β2 nAChR is enriched in deep layers of

mPFC and more specifically in the L6 CT neurons, while the α7 nAChR is expressed in IT neurons

(Fig. 7a-f and Extended Data Fig. 6). We further revealed that the cholinergic inputs to the *Foxp2+*

neurons are from the basal forebrain, particularly the HDB, by monosynaptic retrograde tracing

(Fig. 6a-c and Extended Data Fig. 5). Our study thus revealed the cell-type specific expression

patterns of the AChRs in mPFC as well as the cholinergic inputs to the mPFC L6 CT neurons.

Cholinergic signaling has been extensively studied in the context of pain and analgesia, with

significant insights into its roles in the peripheral, spinal cord and descending modulation

pathway[55-58]. However, the functions and circuit mechanisms of cholinergic system in the brain in

nociceptive processing are not fully understood[47]. While cholinergic input is known to be essential

for the mPFC functions such as attention and cognition, its role in pain regulation is less clear[26, 45,

46]. Notably, cholinergic signaling in the mPFC is markedly impaired in neuropathic pain models[48],

and activation of cholinergic projections from the nucleus basalis magnocellularis to the mPFC

has been shown to produce antinociceptive effects[47]. Our study revealed that the mPFC *Foxp2+*

neurons receive cholinergic inputs from the HDB, which regulates the sensory aspects of pain (Fig.

6d-f). We also found that targeting the α4β2 nAChR in mPFC with a specific agonist ABT-594,

which is antinociceptive through systemic administration or brainstem injection[27], alleviates pain

(Fig. 7g-i). Importantly, this antinociceptive effect requires the activity of the mPFC *Foxp2+*

neurons (Fig. 7j, k). Notably, desensitization is an important property of the nAChRs, and the

receptors are desensitized in response to high concentration or affinity of agonist[59]. In our study,

we used a relatively low concentration of agonist that has been found to activate the neurons in

brainstem[27]. On the other hand, the L6 pyramidal neurons in cortex express *Chrna5*, which encodes

an accessory subunit of the α5-containing α4β2 nAChR[60]. This receptor complex could increase

conductance and prolong inward currents in response to persistent nicotine application and is

important for the tonic effects of ACh[46, 61]. Thus, the α4β2 nAChR in *Foxp2*^{+} neurons could play

an important role in maintaining the baseline neuronal activity and activating the endogenous pain

relief pathway. While further studies are still needed to fully elucidate how the cholinergic inputs

from HDB modulate the mPFC activity in chronic pain, our results support the crucial role of the

cholinergic signaling in the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons in pain processing.

Besides the cholinergic inputs, we also revealed other monosynaptic inputs to the mPFC L6 CT

neurons, including the IC and BLA (Extended Data Fig. 5), which are important for pain

processing[5, 62]. It is well-known that in chronic pain, BLA sends strengthened feedforward

inhibition to the L5 ET neurons of mPFC that further project to the PAG to regulate pain[17].

However, the effects of the BLA inputs to the L6 CT neurons are unknown. Future studies will

reveal the respective contributions of these specific inputs.

Collectively, our study uncovers the crucial roles of the mPFC in modulating sensory and affective

aspects of pain through the discrete HDB[ChAT]→mPFC[*Foxp2*]→thalamic nuclei circuits and raises the

possibility of managing chronic pain by targeting the mPFC L6 CT neurons.

Materials and Methods

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY PARTICIPANT DETAILS

Animals

All experiments were conducted in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for

Care and Use of Laboratory animals and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee (IACUC) of Boston Children's Hospital and Harvard Medical School. The wild-type

C57BL/6 mice (Jax, 000664), B6.Cg-*Foxp2*[tm1.1(cre)Rpa]/J mice (Jax, 030541) and B6.129S6-

Chat[tm2(cre)lowl]/J mice (Jax, 006410) were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. Mice were housed

with littermates (3-5 mice/cage) in 12-hr light/dark cycle (light on from 7:00 to 19:00) with food

and water *ad libitum* unless otherwise specified. Ambient temperature (23-25°C) and humidity

(55-62%) were automatically controlled. For the present study, 2-4-month-old male mice were

used and all mice were randomly assigned into different groups.

METHODS DETAILS

Single molecule Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (smFISH) and immunofluorescence (IF)
staining

Mice were euthanized by inhalation of CO_2 and transcardially perfused with PBS and 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA) before the brains were collected and fixed in 4% PFA at 4 °C overnight,

followed by dehydration in 30% sucrose solution for 3 days. The brains were then frozen in

Optimal Cutting Temperature embedding media (Sakura, #4583) and coronal sections (14 μm for

smFISH and 30 μm for IF) were cut using a cryostat (Leica, #CM3050S). The smFISH was

performed using a RNAscope Fluorescent Multiplex Assay kit (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, ACD)

following the manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, the brain slices were fixed with fixed with 4%

PFA and washed with PBS, then dehydrated with ethanol and treated with hydrogen peroxide. The

samples were then treated with the target retrieval reagents and protease for 10 minutes, after which

the samples were hybridized with the probes in 40°C for 2 hours. Then the signals were amplified

using the reagents included in the kit, followed by the labeling of relative channels with the TSA

fluorophores. The samples were treated with the included blocking buffer before proceeding to

DAPI staining and imaging. For the combined smFISH with CTB retrograde tracing, the brain

samples were prepared from the mice with CTB injected into the relative regions. The samples

were treated following the manufacturer's instruction as described above till the labeling with TSA

fluorophore without being treated with the blocking buffer. The samples were then directing

stained with DAPI and the images were captured immediately. Probes (ACD) used were as

followed: Foxp2 (Cat. No. 428791-C1; Cat. No. 428791-C2); Slc17a7 (Cat. No. 416631-C3);

Gad1 (Cat. No. 400951-C2); Ctgf (Cat. No. 314541-C1); Etv1 (Cat. No. 557891-C3); Oprk1 (Cat.

No. 316111-C1; Cat. No. 316111-C2); Tshz2 (Cat. No. 431061-C3); Pou3f1 (Cat. No. 436421-C2);

Chrna4 (Cat. No. 429871-C3); Chrna7 (Cat. No. 465161-C2); Chrnb2 (Cat. No. 449231-C2);

Chrm1 (Cat. No. 495291-C2); Chrm2 (Cat. No. 495311-C1).

For IF staining, the brain slices were washed in PBS twice for 10 min, followed by incubation in

blocking buffer (5% goat serum, 5% BSA and 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS) for 40 min at room

temperature. The samples were then incubated at 4°C for 24 h with primary antibody diluted in

PBS containing 1% BSA. After the incubation, the samples were washed three times with washing

buffer (0.1% Tween-20 in PBS) and incubated with the Alexa Fluor conjugated secondary

antibodies for 2 h at room temperature. The sections were washed for three times, mounted and

imaged using a Zeiss LSM800 confocal microscope or Keyence BZ-X810 microscope. Antibodies

used were as followed: rabbit anti-cFos (1:1000, Synaptic Systems, #226003), rabbit anti-ChAT

(1:1000, Milliopore, #ZRB1012-25UL), Donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Scientific,

#A21206), Donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 568 (Thermo Scientific, # A10042).

Stereotaxic surgeries

All stereotaxic surgeries were performed using a small-animal stereotaxic instrument (David Kopf

Instruments, model 940) under general anesthesia by isoflurane ($0.8\,l\,min^{-1}$; isoflurane

concentration 1.5%) in oxygen. A feedback heater was used to maintain the body temperature of

the mice. The eyes of the mice were kept moist using ophthalmic ointment throughout the surgery.

A small craniotomy above the target brain region was performed using a dental drill. The viruses

and CTB were injected into the target regions using a glass micropipette (10-20 μm in diameter at

the tip) that was connected to a nanoliter injector (Nanoject III, Drummond Scientific, #3-200-

207). For each site, 120 nl of viruses were injected at a flow rate of $1\ \mathrm{nl\,s^{-1}}$ unless otherwise

specified, allowing an additional 5 min for viral particles to diffuse before the pipette was slowly

withdrawn. After the withdrawal, the wound was sutured and the mice were allowed to recover in

a warm blanket before being transferred to the housing cages. The mice were treated with

meloxicam (Covetrus, Cat. No. 049756) subcutaneously daily for 3 days and monitored for another

2 days.

The coordinates of viral injection and implantation sites are based on previous literature and The

Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates (third edition) relative to the bregma (anterior-posterior,

mediolateral, dorsoventral axis in mm): PL (1.94, 0.45, -2.50), PTN (-0.46, 0.30, -3.70), MD (-

1.22, 0.50, -3.20), VM (-1.34, 0.75, -4.20), PAG (-4.75, 0.55, -2.70), NAc (+1.20, 0.60, 4.50), BLA

(-1.34, 2.90, -4.50), HDB (-0.10, 1.45, -5.50).

Chemogenetic and optogenetic manipulations

For chemogenetic manipulations of the PL mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons, AAV5-hSyn-DIO-mCherry,

AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM4D(Gi)-mCherry or AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry was bilaterally

injected into the PL mPFC of *Foxp2*-Cre mice. The behavioral assays were performed 3 weeks

later, and the mice were intraperitoneally injected with CNO (Cayman, Cat# 16882) at 1 mg/kg

(for activation) or 5 mg/kg (for inactivation) in saline 20 min before each behavioral test as

previously described[63, 64]. For persistent chemogenetic inactivation, the mice were

intraperitoneally injected with CNO twice per day for 5 consecutive days. For persistent

inactivation of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons, rAAV2/9-hSyn-DIO-EYFP or rAAV2/9-hSyn-DIO-

TeNT-EYFP was bilaterally injected into the mPFC of *Foxp2*-Cre mice and behavioral assays were

performed 5 weeks later.

For optogenetic activation of the terminals of PL mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons, rAAV2/9-EF1a-DIO-

EYFP or rAAV2/9-EF1a-DIO-ChR2-EYFP was bilaterally injected into the PL mPFC of *Foxp2*-

Cre mice, followed by the bilateral implantation of optical cannulas (diameter: 200 μm; N.A., 0.37;

length, 4.0 mm; Inper Inc., China) 100 μm above the PTN/MD/VM region and secured with dental

cement (Parkell, no. S380). For optogenetic activation of the cholinergic inputs to PL mPFC, the

same viruses were injected into the HDB region of *ChAT*-Cre mice, and the optical cannulas were

implanted above the PL mPFC. For all optogenetic manipulation, the mice were connected to the

optical fiber for acclimation for 3 days before the behavioral tests and the light pulses (473 nm,

1.5 mW at the tip of the fiber, 20 Hz, 5-ms pulse) at 10-s ON/OFF cycle were generated by a 473-

nm laser (OEM laser/OptoEngine) and used throughout the behavioral tests controlled by a

waveform generator (Keysight).

Neuronal tracing

For CTB retrograde tracing, 120 nl of CTB-555 was unilaterally injected into the MD, mPFC,

PAG, NAc or amygdala in individual mice, respectively. The brain tissues were collected 1 week

later for smFISH. For specific axon labeling of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons, pAAV1-hSyn-DIO-

mGFP-Synaptophysin-mRuby was unilaterally injected into the mPFC of *Foxp2*-Cre mice. For

specific axon labeling of the HDB cholinergic neurons, the same virus together with rAAV2/9-

ChAT-Cre-EGFP was injected into the HDB region of WT mice. The brain tissues were collected

3 weeks after the surgery.

For monosynaptic retrograde rabies tracing, 150 nl mixture of AAV helpers (rAAV2/9-EF1a-DIO-

mCherry-TVA and rAAV2/9-EF1a-DIO-RVG) was unilaterally injected into the PL mPFC of the

Foxp2-Cre mice. Four weeks later, the same mice were injected with 250 nl of rabies virus (RV-

ENVA-ΔG-EGFP) to the same location. The brain tissues were collected 7 days later for imaging

and examination.

Intracranial drug delivery

For intracranial drug delivery into the PL mPFC, the double cannula (Guide cannula: M3.5, C.C 1.0mm, C=2.5mm; Injector: G1=0.5mm; Dummy cannula: G2=0, mates with M3.5; RWD Life Science) with dust caps targeting both sides were directly implanted 0.5 mm above the PL mPFC and were secured with dental cement. Two weeks later, an injector (G2=0, mates with M3.5; RWD Life Science) filled with mineral oil and ABT-594 (Cayman Chemical, Cat. No. 22822) was connected to a pump (RWD Life Science) to slowly infuse the drug into both sides of mPFC (200 nl/site, 5 min) and 5 min were allowed for drug diffusion before the injector was slowly removed. The behavioral tests were performed another 5 min later. For intracranial drug delivery combined with chemogenetic inhibition, AAV5-hSyn-DIO-mCherry or AAV5-hSyn-DIO-hM4D(Gi)-mCherry was bilaterally injected into the mPFC, followed by the implantation of the double cannula. Three weeks later, the behavioral tests were performed 20 min after CNO injection (i.p.) and 5 min after ABT594 infusion (intracranially).

In vivo miniscopic calcium imaging and data processing

To probe the activity of the PL mPFC _Foxp2_[+] neurons in response to pain, _in vivo_ miniscopic calcium imaging was performed as previously described[64, 65]. Firstly, AAV1-hSyn-DIO-jGCaMP7s was unilaterally injected into the PL mPFC of the _Foxp2_-Cre mice. Two weeks later, the mice were anesthetized and fixed on a stereotaxic frame. The skull above the target region was carefully removed using a dental drilling and the brain tissue above the target region was aspirated using a 27-gauge needle with a blunt tip. Then an integrated GRIN lens (diameter 1.0 mm, length 4.0 mm; Inscopix) connected to a data acquisition system for monitoring the calcium signal was slowly lowered (~100 μm/min) down to 300 μm above the target region. The GRIN lens was further inserted to obtain an optimal field of view to image calcium signals and then the GRIN lens was secured to the mice's skull with dental cement attached to a baseplate cover for protection. Saline was continuously added to avoid bleeding or drying of the tissue during the surgery. After the

surgery, the mice were individually housed for 2 weeks before being used in imaging experiments.

Prior to calcium imaging recording, the mice were connected to the microscope through the head-

fixed baseplate and acclimated to the handling of the experimenter and the testing chamber for 30

min each day for 3 days. On the day of data acquisition, the mice were connected to the microscope

and allowed to move freely in the testing chamber. After 10 min of acclimation, the calcium images

were obtained for 20-30 min using nVoke system (Inscopix) at 20 Hz with 0.6-1.2 mW of LED

power and 1.5 of gain. For individual mice, the imaging parameters were kept consistent across

days.

For tail pinch stimulation, after acclimatation the mice were placed in the testing chamber without

stimulation for 2 min and then an alligator clip was applied to the tail for 1 min, after which the

clip was removed and the mice were allowed to recover for 9 min, and the calcium signals were

recorded during the entire process. For formalin stimulation, after acclimatation the mice were

recorded in the testing chamber without stimulation for 5 min, and then 20 μl of formalin was

injected to the plantar of the mice, after which the mice were recorded for another 30 min in the

chamber.

To detect the spontaneous activity of the neurons, the mice were acclimated to the microscope and

testing environment with fixed brightness, temperature and noise level one hour before the

recordings. To avoid the potential effects of light-dark cycle on the neuronal activity, all the

recordings were performed in the afternoon and at the fixed time points for each individual animal.

After the acclimation, the calcium activities were recorded for 15 minutes for the baseline. Then

the mice were subjected to saline or CFA injection under isoflurane anesthesia. One or seven days

after the injection, the calcium activities were recorded again at the same time point after

acclimation without any additional stimulation.

For data processing, the calcium traces were extracted and analyzed using the Inscopix Data

Processing Software (version 1.9, Inscopix). The raw images were cropped, temporally

downsampled (2x), spatially downsampled (2x), and further spatially filtered as well as motion-

corrected using the default settings. The signals of putative individual cells were identified using

an extended constrained non-negative matrix factorization (CNMF-E) algorithm and were

normalized to ΔF over noise (settings: cell diameter: 8 pixels; minimum pixel correlation: 0.85;

minimum peak-to-noise ratio: 15). The identified individual cells were then manually checked to

be included based on their anatomy (size, shape, location), signal-to-noise ratio as well as overlap

in spatial location and signal with other cells. The calcium signal traces were further deconvoluted

using OASIS (online active set method to infer spikes) methods to detect the potential calcium

transients with the default noise ranges and spike signal-to-noise ratio of 8.0. Across the different

days of recording for chronic pain, we first analyzed the calcium images to identify individual

neurons in each recording and compared the spontaneous calcium activities of all the identified

neurons among different days. Then the identified individual neurons were longitudinally

registered with minimum correlation of 0.9 and the matched neurons were further manually

checked to be the same neurons across different days based on the locations and other visible

landmarks (such as blood vessels).

To identify the neurons with significant change in the firing rate in response to tail pinch

stimulation, the calcium traces were extracted and deconvoluted using OASIS to identify the

calcium transients. We pooled together the identified transients of 2 min before and 1 min during

the tail pinch stimulation, and used a permutation method to create 10,000 shuffled distributions

of the transients. Then the shuffled transient change was calculated by subtracting shuffled 0-2 min

from that of the 3rd min. The neurons with actual transient change ranked higher than 99.5th

percentile of the shuffled transient change were classified as activity-increased neurons, and those

ranked lower than 0.5th percentile were classified as activity-decreased neurons. For formalin test,

the calcium signals of -5 min to 0 min and that of 0 min to 5 min were compared. For CFA-induced

chronic inflammatory pain, the calcium signals of the baseline, CFA D1 and CFA D7 were

compared using the same method.

Behavioral assays

von Frey test. The mechanical sensory threshold of the mice was measured with a series of von

Frey filaments (ranging from 0.04-2.0 g, Stoelting) using the Up-Down method as previously

described[66]. Briefly, the mice were placed in a plastic chamber with a metal mesh floor and allowed

to move freely. After 20 min of acclimation of the mice, the von Frey filaments were applied to

the mid-plantar surface of the hindpaw through the mesh floor and kept for 2 s, or until there was

a positive response (paw withdrawal, flinching, licking or shaking). To avoid the potential false

positive responses caused by movement, the measurement was conducted again if a positive

response was followed by other voluntary behaviors such as locomotion, exploratory or grooming

behaviors.

Hot plate test. The hot plate test was used to measure thermal nociception of the mice. After being

acclimated in the testing room for 20 min, the mice were placed on a hot plate (Ugo Basile) set at

52 °C and the latencies to flinching or licking of hindpaws were measured. All animals were tested

for 3 times with a minimum interval of 30 min. To avoid tissue injury, a cutoff latency was set at

30 s for the test.

Formalin test. Formalin test was performed as previously described[42]. Briefly, 20 μl of 2%

formalin (Sigma-Aldrich, HT5011-1CS) was injected subcutaneously into the plantar surface of

one hind paw of the mice with a 30-gauge syringe as quickly as possible. The licking behaviors

were measured for 45 min starting the injection of formalin and the licking duration were

calculated in 5-min bins. The licking duration of the mice during phase 1 (defined as 0 - 5 min

after formalin injection) and phase 2 (defined as 15 - 45 min after formalin injection) were analyzed.

Complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) injection. For CFA-induced inflammatory pain, 15 μl of CFA

(Sigma, F5881-10ML) was subcutaneously injected into the plantar surface of the right hind paw

of the mice under brief isoflurane anesthesia.

Conditioned place preference. The conditioned place preference test was used to measure the

negative affection of pain and the effects of pain relief as previously described[64]. The testing

apparatus consists of an infrared photobeam detectors for tracking the mice trajectories and two

compartments, one of which is with rod style floor and the other one is with grid style floor (Med

Associates). For chemogenetic manipulations, individual mice were placed in the chamber and

allowed to freely explore the entire apparatus for 30 min (pretest) on day 1. On day 2-4, mice

injected with saline were placed in the compartment with grid floor (unconditioned compartment)

for 30 min in the morning, while mice injected with CNO were placed in the opposite compartment

with rod floor (conditioned compartment) of the same apparatus for 30 min in the afternoon (with

a minimum interval of 6 h between the two conditioning). On day 5, like day 1, the mice were

placed in the chamber and allowed to freely explore the entire apparatus for 30 min (posttest). For

optogenetic activation, the pretest and posttest on the first and last day were similarly performed.

On day 2-4, the mice were connected with optical fiber without light stimulation and placed in the

compartment with grid floor for 30 min in the morning, and in the afternoon, the mice were

connected with the optical fiber with light stimulation as described above, and placed in the

compartment with rod floor for 30 min. For ABT-594 treatment, the pretest and posttest on the first

and last day were similarly performed. On day 2-4, the mice were intracranially injected with

ACSF and placed in the compartment with grid floor for 30 min in the morning, and in the

afternoon, the mice were injected with ABT-594 and placed in another compartment for 30 min.

To calculate the CPP score, the time that mice spent in the conditioned compartment during pretest

was subtracted from the one during posttest.

Statistics

The mice were randomly assigned to the groups and the investigators were blind to the allocation

of the groups or the outcome assessment. No statistical method was used to predetermine the

sample size, but our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications. Mice that,

after histological inspection, had the location of the viral injection (reporter protein), cannula

implantation, or the optic fiber(s) outside the area of interest were excluded. The data are presented

as means ± SEM. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (version 8.0).

Student's t-test and one-way or two-way RM ANOVA test with Tukey's or Sidak's *post hoc*

multiple comparisons were applied to determine statistical differences. Statistical significance was

set at $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$.

Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article and its Supplementary

Information files.

Code availability

Data and custom MATLAB code are available upon request.

Acknowledgements

We thank the support of Mouse Behavior Core of Harvard Medical School and its director Dr. Barbara Caldarone. We thank Dr. Zhengdong Zhao for the help in using *in vivo* miniscopic calcium recording. This project was supported by the Open Philanthropy Foundation, the National Institutes of Health (1R01DA050589), and the HHMI. Y.Z. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. This article is subject to HHMI's Open Access to Publications policy. HHMI lab heads have previously granted a nonexclusive CC BY 4.0 license to the public and a sublicensable license to HHMI in their research articles. Pursuant to those licenses, the author-accepted manuscript of this article can be made freely available under a CC BY 4.0 license immediately upon publication.

Author information

Authors and Affiliations

Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA
Guoguang Xie, Yiqiong Liu, Xuetao Qi, Aritra Battatrajee, Chao Zhang & Yi Zhang

Program in Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA
Guoguang Xie, Yiqiong Liu, Xuetao Qi, Aritra Battatrajee, Chao Zhang & Yi Zhang

Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Pediatrics, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA
Guoguang Xie, Yiqiong Liu, Xuetao Qi, Aritra Battatrajee, Chao Zhang & Yi Zhang

Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
Yi Zhang

Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Boston, MA, USA
Yi Zhang

Contributions

Y.Z. conceived the project; G.X. designed the experiments; G.X. and Y.L. performed the experiments; X.Q. and G.X. analyzed the calcium imaging results; A.B. acquired the *Foxp2*-Cre

mouse line; C.Z. helped to analyze the results. All authors are involved in data interpretation; G.X.

and Y.Z. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

Corresponding author

Correspondence to Yi Zhang.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interest.

References

1. Raja, S.N.*, et al.* The revised International Association for the Study of Pain definition of pain: concepts, challenges, and compromises. *Pain* **161**, 1976-1982 (2020).

2. Treede, R.D.*, et al.* Chronic pain as a symptom or a disease: the IASP Classification of Chronic Pain for the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11). *Pain* **160**, 19-27 (2019).

3. Kang, Y., Trewern, L., Jackman, J., McCartney, D. & Soni, A. Chronic pain: definitions and diagnosis. *BMJ* **381**, e076036 (2023).

4. Cohen, S.P., Vase, L. & Hooten, W.M. Chronic pain: an update on burden, best practices, and new advances. *Lancet* **397**, 2082-2097 (2021).

5. Kuner, R. & Kuner, T. Cellular Circuits in the Brain and Their Modulation in Acute and Chronic Pain. *Physiol Rev* **101**, 213-258 (2021).

6. Descalzi, G., Ikegami, D., Ushijima, T., Nestler, E.J., Zachariou, V. & Narita, M. Epigenetic mechanisms of chronic pain. *Trends Neurosci* **38**, 237-246 (2015).

7. Mercer Lindsay, N., Chen, C., Gilam, G., Mackey, S. & Scherrer, G. Brain circuits for pain and its treatment. *Sci Transl Med* **13**, eabj7360 (2021).

8. Tan, L.L. & Kuner, R. Neocortical circuits in pain and pain relief. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **22**, 458-471 (2021).

9. Kummer, K.K., Mitric, M., Kalpachidou, T. & Kress, M. The Medial Prefrontal Cortex as a Central Hub for Mental Comorbidities Associated with Chronic Pain. *Int J Mol Sci* **21** (2020).

10. Ong, W.Y., Stohler, C.S. & Herr, D.R. Role of the Prefrontal Cortex in Pain Processing. *Mol Neurobiol* **56**, 1137-1166 (2019).

11. Kuner, R. & Flor, H. Structural plasticity and reorganisation in chronic pain. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **18**, 20-30 (2016).

12. Apkarian, A.V.*, et al.* Chronic back pain is associated with decreased prefrontal and thalamic gray matter density. *J Neurosci* **24**, 10410-10415 (2004).

13. Seminowicz, D.A. & Moayedi, M. The Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex in Acute and Chronic Pain. *The journal of pain* **18**, 1027-1035 (2017).

14. Moisset, X. & Bouhassira, D. Brain imaging of neuropathic pain. *NeuroImage* **37 Suppl 1**, S80-88 (2007).

15. Ji, G. & Neugebauer, V. Pain-related deactivation of medial prefrontal cortical neurons involves mGluR1 and GABA(A) receptors. *J Neurophysiol* **106**, 2642-2652 (2011).

16. Wang, G.Q.*, et al.* Deactivation of excitatory neurons in the prelimbic cortex via Cdk5 promotes pain sensation and anxiety. *Nat Commun* **6**, 7660 (2015).

17. Huang, J.*, et al.* A neuronal circuit for activating descending modulation of neuropathic pain. *Nat Neurosci* **22**, 1659-1668 (2019).

18. Cheriyan, J. & Sheets, P.L. Altered Excitability and Local Connectivity of mPFC-PAG Neurons in a Mouse Model of Neuropathic Pain. *J Neurosci* **38**, 4829-4839 (2018).

19. Anastasiades, P.G. & Carter, A.G. Circuit organization of the rodent medial prefrontal cortex. *Trends Neurosci* **44**, 550-563 (2021).

20. Ma, Q. A functional subdivision within the somatosensory system and its implications for pain research. *Neuron* **110**, 749-769 (2022).

21. Gustin, S.M., Peck, C.C., Wilcox, S.L., Nash, P.G., Murray, G.M. & Henderson, L.A. Different pain, different brain:

thalamic anatomy in neuropathic and non-neuropathic chronic pain syndromes. *J Neurosci* **31**, 5956-5964 (2011).

22.   Gan, Z*., et al.* Layer-specific pain relief pathways originating from primary motor cortex. *Science* **378**, 1336-1343
(2022).

23.   Meda, K.S*., et al.* Microcircuit Mechanisms through which Mediodorsal Thalamic Input to Anterior Cingulate
Cortex Exacerbates Pain-Related Aversion. *Neuron* **102**, 944-959 e943 (2019).

24.   Spellman, T., Svei, M., Kaminsky, J., Manzano-Nieves, G. & Liston, C. Prefrontal deep projection neurons enable
cognitive flexibility via persistent feedback monitoring. *Cell* **184**, 2750-2766 e2717 (2021).

25.   Lui, J.H*., et al.* Differential encoding in prefrontal cortex projection neuron classes across cognitive tasks. *Cell*
184, 489-506 e426 (2021).

26.   Ballinger, E.C., Ananth, M., Talmage, D.A. & Role, L.W. Basal Forebrain Cholinergic Circuits and Signaling in
Cognition and Cognitive Decline. *Neuron* **91**, 1199-1218 (2016).

27.   Bannon, A.W*., et al.* Broad-spectrum, non-opioid analgesic activity by selective modulation of neuronal nicotinic
acetylcholine receptors. *Science* **279**, 77-81 (1998).

28.   Pan, Q*., et al.* Representation and control of pain and itch by distinct prefrontal neural ensembles. *Neuron* **111**,
2414-2431 e2417 (2023).

29.   Li, M., Zhou, H., Teng, S. & Yang, G. Activation of VIP interneurons in the prefrontal cortex ameliorates
neuropathic pain aversiveness. *Cell Rep* **40**, 111333 (2022).

30.   Harris, K.D. & Shepherd, G.M. The neocortical circuit: themes and variations. *Nat Neurosci* **18**, 170-181 (2015).

31.   Hodge, R.D*., et al.* Conserved cell types with divergent features in human versus mouse cortex. *Nature* **573**, 61-
68 (2019).

32.   Harris, J.A*., et al.* Hierarchical organization of cortical and thalamic connectivity. *Nature* **575**, 195-202 (2019).

33.   Sundberg, S.C., Lindstrom, S.H., Sanchez, G.M. & Granseth, B. Cre-expressing neurons in visual cortex of Ntsr1-
Cre GN220 mice are corticothalamic and are depolarized by acetylcholine. *J Comp Neurol* **526**, 120-132 (2018).

34.   Olsen, S.R., Bortone, D.S., Adesnik, H. & Scanziani, M. Gain control by layer six in cortical circuits of vision. *Nature*
483, 47-52 (2012).

35.   Bhattacherjee, A., Djekidel, M.N., Chen, R., Chen, W., Tuesta, L.M. & Zhang, Y. Cell type-specific transcriptional
programs in mouse prefrontal cortex during adolescence and addiction. *Nat Commun* **10**, 4169 (2019).

36.   Bhattacherjee, A., Zhang, C., Watson, B.R., Djekidel, M.N., Moffitt, J.R. & Zhang, Y. Spatial transcriptomics
reveals the distinct organization of mouse prefrontal cortex and neuronal subtypes regulating chronic pain. *Nat*
Neurosci **26**, 1880-1893 (2023).

37.   Tasic, B*., et al.* Shared and distinct transcriptomic cell types across neocortical areas. *Nature* **563**, 72-78 (2018).

38.   Hisaoka, T., Nakamura, Y., Senba, E. & Morikawa, Y. The forkhead transcription factors, Foxp1 and Foxp2, identify
different subpopulations of projection neurons in the mouse cerebral cortex. *Neuroscience* **166**, 551-563 (2010).

39.   Babiczky, A. & Matyas, F. Molecular characteristics and laminar distribution of prefrontal neurons projecting to
the mesolimbic system. *Elife* **11** (2022).

40.   Kast, R.J., Lanjewar, A.L., Smith, C.D. & Levitt, P. FOXP2 exhibits projection neuron class specific expression, but
is not required for multiple aspects of cortical histogenesis. *Elife* **8** (2019).

41.   Yao, Z*., et al.* A transcriptomic and epigenomic cell atlas of the mouse primary motor cortex. *Nature* **598**, 103-
110 (2021).

42.   Hunskaar, S. & Hole, K. The formalin test in mice: dissociation between inflammatory and non-inflammatory
pain. *Pain* **30**, 103-114 (1987).

43. Liu, J.*, et al.* Activation of Parvalbumin Neurons in the Rostro-Dorsal Sector of the Thalamic Reticular Nucleus Promotes Sensitivity to Pain in Mice. *Neuroscience* **366**, 113-123 (2017).

44. Poorthuis, R.B., Bloem, B., Schak, B., Wester, J., de Kock, C.P. & Mansvelder, H.D. Layer-specific modulation of the prefrontal cortex by nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Cereb Cortex* **23**, 148-161 (2013).

45. Guillem, K.*, et al.* Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor β2 subunits in the medial prefrontal cortex control attention. *Science* **333**, 888-891 (2011).

46. Bloem, B., Poorthuis, R.B. & Mansvelder, H.D. Cholinergic modulation of the medial prefrontal cortex: the role of nicotinic receptors in attention and regulation of neuronal activity. *Front Neural Circuits* **8**, 17 (2014).

47. Oswald, M.J.*, et al.* Cholinergic basal forebrain nucleus of Meynert regulates chronic pain-like behavior via modulation of the prelimbic cortex. *Nat Commun* **13**, 5014 (2022).

48. Radzicki, D., Pollema-Mays, S.L., Sanz-Clemente, A. & Martina, M. Loss of M1 Receptor Dependent Cholinergic Excitation Contributes to mPFC Deactivation in Neuropathic Pain. *J Neurosci* **37**, 2292-2304 (2017).

49. Peron, S.*, et al.* Recurrent interactions in local cortical circuits. *Nature* **579**, 256-259 (2020).

50. Le Merre, P., Ahrlund-Richter, S. & Carlen, M. The mouse prefrontal cortex: Unity in diversity. *Neuron* **109**, 1925-1944 (2021).

51. Smith, A.C.W.*, et al.* A master regulator of opioid reward in the ventral prefrontal cortex. *Science* **384**, eadn0886 (2024).

52. Sherman, S.M. Thalamus plays a central role in ongoing cortical functioning. *Nat Neurosci* **19**, 533-541 (2016).

53. Collins, D.P., Anastasiades, P.G., Marlin, J.J. & Carter, A.G. Reciprocal Circuits Linking the Prefrontal Cortex with Dorsal and Ventral Thalamic Nuclei. *Neuron* **98**, 366-379 e364 (2018).

54. De Ridder, D., Adhia, D. & Vanneste, S. The anatomy of pain and suffering in the brain and its clinical implications. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **130**, 125-146 (2021).

55. Naser, P.V. & Kuner, R. Molecular, Cellular and Circuit Basis of Cholinergic Modulation of Pain. *Neuroscience* **387**, 135-148 (2018).

56. Sullere, S., Kunczt, A. & McGehee, D.S. A cholinergic circuit that relieves pain despite opioid tolerance. *Neuron* **111**, 3414-3434 e3415 (2023).

57. Umana, I.C., Daniele, C.A. & McGehee, D.S. Neuronal nicotinic receptors as analgesic targets: it's a winding road. *Biochem Pharmacol* **86**, 1208-1214 (2013).

58. Nirogi, R., Goura, V., Abraham, R. & Jayarajan, P. alpha4beta2* neuronal nicotinic receptor ligands (agonist, partial agonist and positive allosteric modulators) as therapeutic prospects for pain. *Eur J Pharmacol* **712**, 22-29 (2013).

59. Mansvelder, H.D., Keath, J.R. & McGehee, D.S. Synaptic mechanisms underlie nicotine-induced excitability of brain reward areas. *Neuron* **33**, 905-919 (2002).

60. Bailey, C.D., Alves, N.C., Nashmi, R., De Biasi, M. & Lambe, E.K. Nicotinic α5 subunits drive developmental changes in the activation and morphology of prefrontal cortex layer VI neurons. *Biol Psychiatry* **71**, 120-128 (2012).

61. Ramirez-Latorre, J., Yu, C.R., Qu, X., Perin, F., Karlin, A. & Role, L. Functional contributions of alpha5 subunit to neuronal acetylcholine receptor channels. *Nature* **380**, 347-351 (1996).

62. Labrakakis, C. The Role of the Insular Cortex in Pain. *Int J Mol Sci* **24** (2023).

63. Liu, Y.*, et al.* A subset of dopamine receptor-expressing neurons in the nucleus accumbens controls feeding and energy homeostasis. *Nat Metab* **6**, 1616-1631 (2024).

64. Zhao, Z.D.*, et al.* A molecularly defined D1 medium spiny neuron subtype negatively regulates cocaine addiction.

973   *Sci Adv* **8**, eabn3552 (2022).

974   65.   Corder, G., Ahanonu, B., Grewe, B.F., Wang, D., Schnitzer, M.J. & Scherrer, G. An amygdalar neural ensemble

975   that encodes the unpleasantness of pain. *Science* **363**, 276-281 (2019).

976   66.   Chaplan, S.R., Bach, F.W., Pogrel, J.W., Chung, J.M. & Yaksh, T.L. Quantitative assessment of tactile allodynia in

977   the rat paw. *J Neurosci Methods* **53**, 55-63 (1994).

Figure legends

Figure 1. *Foxp2* specifically marks the outputs of mPFC to thalamus

a, Diagram of the injections of CTB into the thalamus of wildtype mice (left). Representative images of the mPFC in mice with CTB injected into the thalamic area (middle, left); the enlarged images of the indicated regions (middle, top); the injection site of CTB (middle, bottom). Percentage of the *Foxp2*$^+$ or *Foxp2*$^-$ cells of all CTB$^+$ neurons (top right); Percentage of the CTB$^+$ or CTB$^-$ cells of all *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons (bottom right). Scale bars: middle left, 200 µm; middle top, 20 µm; middle bottom, 500 µm. **b**, Same as **a** with CTB injected into the PAG. Scale bars: middle left, 200 µm; middle top, 20 µm; middle bottom, 500 µm. **c**, Representative image of the smFISH for *Foxp2* (red), *Slc17a7* (green) and *Gad1* (white) in the mPFC (left) and the enlarged image of the indicated region (top right), as well as the percentages of the *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons co-expressing *Slc17a7* or *Gad1* (bottom right). Scale bars: left, 200 µm; top right, 20 µm. **d**, Representative image of smFISH for *Foxp2* (red), *Etv1* (white) and *Ctgf* (green) in the mPFC (left) and the percentages of the *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons co-expressing *Etv1* or *Ctgf* (right). Scale bar, 200 µm. **e-g**, Representative image of the smFISH for *Foxp2* (red) and *Oprk1* (green) (**e**), *Pou3f1* (green) (**f**), and *Tshz2* (green (**g**) in the mPFC (left) and the enlarged image of the indicated region (top right), as well as the percentages of the *Foxp2*-only and *Foxp2-Oprk1/Pou3f1/Tshz2* double positive cells in the mPFC (bottom right). Scale bars: left, 200 µm; top right, 20 µm. **h**, Diagram of the axon labeling strategy (left), and representative images showing the mGFP and mRuby signals in the thalamic subregions (middle and right). Enlarged images of the indicated regions in PTN, MD and VM are shown in the inserted boxes. Scale bars: 100 µm; inserted boxes, 10 µm. **i**, Quantification of the mean mRuby fluorescence intensity per area in different brain regions. **j**, Diagram of the projection pattern of mPFC L6 neurons.

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. 3V, third ventricle; AD, anterodorsal thalamus; Amy, amygdala; CTB: cholera toxin subunit B; DLPAG, dorsal lateral periaqueductal grey; NAc, nucleus accumbens; Hb, habenula nucleus; HPC, hippocampus; LD, laterodorsal thalamus; LPAG,

1007 lateral periaqueductal grey; MD, mediodorsal thalamus; PAG: periaqueductal gray; PTN,

1008 parataenial thalamic nucleus; PVT, paraventricular thalamus; Re, reuniens nucleus; Str, striatum;

1009 VM, ventromedial thalamus.

1010

Figure 2. The mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons are deactivated in response to acute and chronic pain

a, Diagram of the *in vivo* miniscopic calcium imaging (left) and a representative image of virus

expression and GRIN lens implantation (right), Scale bar: 200 μm. **b**, An example field of view

(left) and identified contours of neuronal regions of interest (right). **c**, Timeline of treatments and

calcium recordings. **d**, Diagram of calcium recording for noxious heat stimulation. **e**, Left, heatmap

of the calcium event frequency averaged to 1 min of bin of all neurons in response to and after the

tail pinch stimulation (n = 270). The white dotted lines indicate the start and end of tail pinch,

respectively. Right, representative traces showed fluorescence intensity changes (ΔF/F) from the

identified individual neurons in response to and after the tail pinch stimulation. The red and the

blue background indicate the time of during and after the tail pinch stimulation, respectively. **f**,

Cumulative distribution of calcium event frequencies of baseline (BL) and during the tail pinch

stimulation (left). Percentages of neurons that show no change (grey), decrease (red), and

increase (yellow) in frequency in response to noxious heat during the last minute compared to

the baseline (right). n = 248. **g**, Average calcium event frequencies of all identified neurons in

baseline and during the last minute of heating. n = 248. **h-k**, Same as **d-g** but for formalin

treatment. n = 302. **l**, Diagram of calcium recording before and after CFA injection. **m**, Averaged

frequency of spontaneous calcium events of all the identified neurons in the baseline (n = 297),

day 1 (n = 297) and day 7 (n = 344) after CFA injection. **n**, Heatmap of the calcium transient

frequency averaged to 3 min of bin of the registered same neurons across different days (n = 124).

o, Representative calcium traces of the same individual neurons that show decrease (red), no

change (black), and increase (yellow) in the frequency in the baseline, day 1 and day 7 after CFA

injection. **p**, Cumulative distribution of the calcium event frequency of the registered same neurons

in baseline, day 1 and day 7 after CFA injection. **q**, Comparison of baseline and day 1 events (left);

the percentages of the neurons that show no change (grey), decrease (red), and increase (yellow)

in frequency in 1 day after CFA injection (right). **r**, Same as **q** except it is for comparison of day 7

after CFA injection.

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. Each dot indicates one

individual neuron.

1039

Figure 3. The mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons regulate both sensory and affective aspects of pain

a, Diagram of virus injection (left) and a representative image of TeNT-EYFP expression in the PL

mPFC of *Foxp2*-Cre mice (right). Scale bar: 100 μm. **b**, Diagram of the von Frey test (VFT) (left).

Paw withdrawal threshold of the mice assessed in VFT (right). **c**, Diagram of the hot plate test

(HPT) (left). Paw withdrawal latency of the mice assessed in HPT (right). **d**, Diagram of the virus

injection into the PL mPFC of the *Foxp2*-Cre mice (left) and a representative image of hM3Dq-

mCherry expression in the mPFC (right). Scale bar: 100 μm. **e**, Paw withdrawal threshold (left)

and latency (right) of the mice with mCherry or hM3Dq-mCherry expression assessed in VFT and

HPT, respectively. **f**, Diagram of formalin test (left). Licking duration of the mice in response to

formalin injection after CNO treatment and summarized licking duration in the phase 1 and phase

2 (right 3 panels). **g**, Diagram of CFA injection (left) and paw withdrawal threshold/latency

assessed by VFT on post CFA day 2 (middle) and HPT on post CFA day 3 (right). **h**, Diagram of

the conditioned place preference (CPP) test starting from post CFA day 5 (left). The time that mice

spent in the chamber before and after the conditioning (middle) and CPP scores (right) of the mice

in CPP test. The CPP score is calculated by subtracting the time that the mice spent in chamber

coupled with CNO treatment in the pre-test from the one in the post-test.

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Each dot indicated one mouse. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p

< 0.001; ns, no significant difference.

1058

Figure 4. Projection-specific effects of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons on acute nociception

a, Diagram of virus injection and optical fiber implantation in the *Foxp2*-Cre mice (left).

Representative images showing the injection site in PL mPFC (middle) and the cannula

implantation above the projection to PTN (right). Scale bars: 100 μm. **b-c**, Diagram of surgery in

the *Foxp2*-Cre mice (left) and representative images (right) showing the implantation of the optical

fiber above the projection to MD (**b**) or VM (**c**). Scale bars: 100 μm. **d**, Paw withdrawal

threshold/latency assessed by VFT (left) and HPT (right) of the GFP-expressing and ChR2-

expressing mice with (on) or without (off) light stimulation targeting the projection to PTN. **e**, **f**,

Same as the **d** but targeting the projection to MD (**e**) or VM (**f**). **g**, Diagram of formalin injection

(left). Licking duration of the mice in response to formalin injection with light stimulation (second

panel), and summarized licking duration in phase 1 and phase 2 (right two panels) targeting the

projection to PTN. **h**, **i**, Same as **g** but targeting the projection to MD (**h**) or VM (**i**).

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Each dot in bar graph indicated one mouse. $*p < 0.05$, $***p$

< 0.001; ns, no significant difference.

1073

Figure 5. Projection-specific effects of the mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons on chronic inflammatory

pain

a, Schematic diagram of the behavioral tests. **b**, Paw withdrawal threshold/latency assessed by

VFT (left) and HPT (right) of the EYFP- or ChR2-expressing mice with (On) or without (Off) light

stimulation targeting the projection to PTN. **c**, **d**, Same as **b** but targeting the projection to MD (**c**)

or VM (**d**). **e**, The time spent in the chamber paired with light stimulation (left) and the CPP scores

(right) of the mice targeting the projection to PTN. **f**, **g**, Same as **e** but targeting the projection to

MD (**f**) or VM (**g**).

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Each dot indicated one mouse. $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p$

< 0.001; ns, no significant difference.

1084

Figure 6. The mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons receive cholinergic innervation from HDB which is

antinociceptive

a, Monosynaptic retrograde rabies tracing of the PL mPFC *Foxp2*^{+} neurons. The timeline and

diagram of virus injection (left). Representative image showing the virus expression in the

injection site and magnified image of the indicated region (right). Scale bars: 200 µm; inserted box,

20 µm. **b**, Representative images showing the RV-EGFP labeled cells from the anterior to posterior

HDB (left), and quantification of the labeled cells in HDB (right) along the Anterior-Posterior axis.

Scale bars: 200 µm. **c**, Immunostaining of ChAT in HDB showing colocalization with RV-EGFP

labeling (left) and an enlarged image of the indicated region (middle). Quantification of the ChAT^{+}

cells among the GFP^{+} cells (right). Scale bars: left, 200 µm; right, 20 µm. **d**, Diagram of the surgery

of *ChAT*-Cre mice (left), and representative images showing the expression of the virus in HDB

and fiber implantation in the PL mPFC (middle) as well as a magnified image of the indicated

region (right). Scale bars, middle, 200 µm; right, 20 µm. **e**, Paw withdrawal threshold (left) and

latency (right) of the mice expressing EYFP or ChR2 with (on) or without (off) light stimulation

assessed in VFT and HPT, respectively. **f**, Diagram of CFA injection to induce chronic pain (left),

and the paw withdrawal threshold (middle) and latency (right) of the mice with (on) or without

(off) light stimulation after CFA treatment.

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Each dot indicated one mouse. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***p

< 0.001; ns, no significant difference.

1104

Figure 7. Cell-type specific expression pattern of acetylcholine receptors in mPFC, and the

α4β2 nAChR relieves pain through the *Foxp2*$^{+}$ neurons in mPFC

a, Left: smFISH for *Foxp2* (red), *Chrna4* (green) and *Chrna7* (white) in the mPFC and the enlarged

image of the indicated region. Right: quantification of *Chrna4*$^{+}$ and *Chrna7*$^{+}$ cells among the

Foxp2^{+} cells. Scale bars: 200 µm; inserted box, 20 µm. **b**, Same as **a** but for *Oprk1* (green), *Chrna4*

(red) and *Chrna7* (white). **c**, Same as **a** but for *Foxp2* (red) and *Chrm1* (green). **d**, Same as **a** but

for *Foxp2* (red) and *Chrm2* (green). **e**, Percentages of the *Foxp2*$^{+}$ cells (left) and the *Oprk1*$^{+}$ cells

(right) among the cells expressing different types of acetylcholine receptor. **f**, Summary of the

expression pattern of acetylcholine receptors in the mPFC. **g**, Diagram (left) and validation (right)

of the cannula implantation into the PL mPFC. Scale bar: 200 µm. **h**, Paw withdrawal threshold

(left) and latency (right) of the mice assessed in VFT and HPT before and after the intracranial

injection of ABT-594 (5 pmol/site) into the mPFC. **i**, Diagram of CFA injection (left) and paw

withdrawal threshold (middle) and latency (right) of the mice assessed in VFT and HPT in response

to intracranial injection of ABT-594. **j**, Diagram (left) and validation (right) of the virus injection

and cannula implantation. Scale bar: 200 μm. **k**, Paw withdrawal threshold in VFT (left) and

latency in HPT (right) of the mice in response to ABT-594 treatment and CNO treatment.

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Each dot indicated one mouse. $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p$

< 0.001; ns, no significant difference.

1123

Figure 8. Graphic summary of the HDB^{ChAT}→mPFC^{Foxp2}→thalamus connections in

regulating different aspects of pain

In the mPFC, the major outputs to thalamus originate from the corticothalamic neurons, which are

specifically marked by the expression of *Foxp2*. These neurons are drastically deactivated in

response to various acute noxious stimulation and in chronic inflammatory pain, and activation of

these neurons regulates different aspects of pain through segregated thalamic projections. The

mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons project to PTN are involved in relieving both the sensory and affective

aspects of pain, while the projection to MD relieves affective pain and the one to VM regulates

coping behaviors, respectively. The mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons receive cholinergic innervation from

the HDB and highly express the α4β2 nAChR, which relieves pain by activating the *Foxp2*$^+$

neurons in mPFC.

1135

Extended data

Extended Data Fig. 1. *Foxp2* specifically marks the L6 corticothalamic neurons in the mPFC.

a, smFISH for *Ntsr1* (red) in the mPFC. Scale bar: 200 μm. **b**, UMAP showing the results of an integrated analysis of single-cell RNA sequencing and spatial transcriptomics with neuron types and layer information (left). Predicted expression patterns of *Foxp2* (middle left) and *Oprk1* (middle right). L, layer; CT, corticothalamic neurons; ET, extratelencephalic neurons; IT, intratelencephalic neurons; NP, near-projecting neurons. Predicted expression levels of *Foxp2* and *Oprk1* in the mPFC neuronal subtypes with layer information (right). **c**, Diagram of the injections of CTB into the contralateral mPFC (cPFC) of wildtype mice (left). Representative images of the mPFC in mice with CTB injected into cPFC (middle, left); the enlarged images of the indicated regions (middle, top); injection site of the CTB (middle, bottom). Percentage of the *Foxp2*$^+$ or *Foxp2*$^-$ cells of all CTB$^+$ neurons (top right); Percentage of the CTB$^+$ or CTB$^-$ cells of all *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons (bottom right). Scale bars: middle left, 200 μm; middle top, 20 μm; middle bottom, 500 μm. **d**, **e**, Same as **c** but for CTB injected into the amygdala (**d**) or NAc (**e**). **f**, Representative image showing the virus expression in the mPFC of *Foxp2*-Cre mice as indicated in the Figure 1H. **g-m**, The mGFP and synaptophysin-fused mRuby signals labeling the axon puncta of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons in the known targets of the mPFC, including striatum (**g**), NAc (**h**), amygdala (**i**), PAG (**j**), HPC (**k**), HY (**l**) and VTA (**m**).

3V, third ventricle; AQ, cerebral aqueduct; BMA, basomedial amygdala; CeA, central amygdala; DG, dentate gyrus; Hip, hippocampus; HY, hypothalamus; IPN, interpeduncular nucleus; LV, lateral ventricle; MRN, midbrain reticular nucleus; NAc, nucleus accumbens; SNr, substantia nigra, reticular part; VTA, ventral tegmental area.

Extended Data Fig. 2. Expression pattern of *Foxp2* in the mPFC along the anterior-posterior axis.

a, smFISH for *Foxp2* (red), *Etv1* (white) and *Ctgf* (green) in the mPFC at 2.3 mm anterior to the

bregma (left) and the percentages of the *Foxp2*⁺ neurons co-expressing *Etv1* or *Ctgf* (right). Scale

bar, 200 μm. **b**, **c**, Same as **a** but for the mPFC region 2.1 mm (**b**) or 1.7 mm (**c**) anterior to the

bregma. **d**, Quantification of the normalized fluorescence signals of *Etv1* (blue), *Foxp2* (red) and

Ctgf (green) in the mPFC from the pia to the white matter at the indicated sections of mPFC along

the anterior-posterior axis.

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Each dot indicated one mouse.

1169

Extended Data Fig. 3. *In vivo* miniscopic calcium imaging of the mPFC *Foxp2*⁺ neurons.

a, Frequency of the calcium events of the identified neurons during baseline (BL) and during tail

pinch stimulation. The numbers of the neurons with increased or decreased frequency are indicated.

b, Amplitude of the calcium events of the identified neurons during BL and during tail pinch

stimulation. Only the neurons that exhibited calcium events during both sessions were included (n

= 34). **c**, Licking duration of the mice before and after formalin injection. **d**, Same as **a** but for the

formalin stimulation comparing BL with phase 1 (left) or BL with phase 2 (right). **e**, Same as **b**

but for the formalin stimulation comparing BL with phase 1 and phase 2. Only the neurons that

exhibited calcium events during all sessions were included (n = 196). **f**, Representative field of

view images of the mice during recording in baseline, 1 day and 7 days after CFA injection. Some

neuronal contours in the same locations are identified in different days and longitudinally

registered as the same individual neurons, which are labeled in the images

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Each dot indicates an individual neuron. ns, no significant

difference.

1184

Extended Data Fig. 4. Effects of inactivating the mPFC *Foxp2*⁺ neurons on pain-related

behaviors.

a, Diagram of the virus injection (left) and a representative image of hM4Di-mCherry expression

in the PL mPFC of *Foxp2*-Cre mice (right). Scale bar: 100 μm. **b**, Paw withdrawal threshold and

latency of the mice assessed by VFT (left) and HPT (right). **c**, Diagram of formalin test (left).

Licking duration of the mice in response to formalin injection after CNO treatment and

summarized licking duration in the phase 1 and phase 2 (right 3 panels). **d**, Diagram of the

conditioned place avoidance (CPA) test (left). The time that mice (CFA treated) spent in the

chamber paired with CNO treatment (middle) and CPA scores (right) of the mice in CPA test. **e**,

Representative images of the cFos expression in the mPFC after CNO treatment in the mCherry-

expressing, hM4Di-mCherry-expressing or hM3Dq-mCherry-expressing mice. Right:

quantification of the cFos$^+$ cells in the mPFC L6. Scale bar: 100 μm.

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Each dot indicated one mouse. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ns, no

significant difference.

1199

Extended Data Fig. 5. Inputs of the mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons.

a, Monosynaptic retrograde rabies tracing of the PL mPFC *Foxp2*$^+$ neurons (top left) and whole

brain mapping. Representative images of brain regions with RV-EGFP labeled cells, including IC

(top, 2nd), TH (top, 3rd), Hip (top, 4th), BLA (bottom left), HY (bottom, 2nd), PAG (bottom, 3rd) and

PBN (bottom, 4th). Scale bars: 200 μm. **b**, Quantification of the retrogradely labeled cells in

different brain regions.

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Each dot indicated one mouse. 3V, third ventricle. Aq,

cerebral aqueduct; BLA, basolateral amygdala; BMA, basomedial amygdalar nucleus; CeA,

central amygdalar nucleus; CP, caudoputamen; CLA, claustrum; DR, dorsal raphe nucleus; HDB,

horizonal diagonal band of Broca; HY, hypothalamus; IC, insula cortex; LA, lateral amygdalar

nucleus; LC, locus ceruleus; LH, lateral hypothalamic area; LPO, lateral preoptic area; MA,

magnocellular nucleus; MD, mediodorsal thalamus; OT, olfactory tube; PAG, periaqueductal gray;

PBN, parabrachial nucleus; Pir, piriform area; Re, nucleus of reuniens; scp, superioi cerebellar

pedunles; SI, substantial insominata; TH, thalamus; vHip, ventral hippocampus; VM,

ventromedial thalamus; ZI, zona incerta.

1215

Extended Data Fig. 6. Cell type-specific expression patterns of acetylcholine receptor in the

mPFC.

a, Left, representative images of smFISH experiments in the mPFC for *Foxp2* (red), *Chrna4* (green)

and *Chrnb2* (white). An enlarged image of the indicated region is inserted in the bottom left box.

Right: Quantification of *Chrna4$^+$Chrnb2$^+$Foxp2$^+$* cells among all the *Foxp2$^+$* cells. Scale bars: 200

μm; inserted box, 20 μm. **b**, Left: same as **a** but for *Oprk1* (green), *Chrna4* (red) and *Chrnb2*

(white). Right: Quantification of *Chrna4$^+$Chrnb2$^+$Oprk1$^+$* cells among all the *Oprk1$^+$* cells. **c**, Left:

smFISH of *Oprk1* (red) and *Chrm1* (green) in the mPFC. Right: quantification of the

Chrm1$^+$Oprk1$^+$ cells among all the *Oprk1$^+$* cells. Scale bars: 200 μm; inserted box, 20 μm. **d**, Same

as **c** but for *Oprk1* (red) and *Chrm2* (green) in the mPFC.

1226

Extended Data Fig. 7. Effects of activating the α4β2 nAChR in the mPFC.

a, Dosage test of ABT-594. Paw withdrawal threshold of the mice assessed in VFT before and after

the intracranial injection of ABT-594 (2.5 pmol/site) (left) or ABT-594 (25 pmol/site) (right) into

the mPFC compared to ACSF treatment. **b**, Schematic diagram for CPP test paired with ACSF or

ABT-594 treatment (left) and the time in the chamber paired with ABT-594 treatment before and

after the conditioning (middle) as well as the CPP scores of the mice (right).

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Each dot indicated one mouse. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***p

< 0.001; ns, no significant difference.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

a
Week
0 → AAV-DIO-mCherry-TVA
    AAV-DIO-oRVG
4 → RV-ENVA-ΔG-EGFP
5 → Perfuse

PL

Foxp2-Cre

DAPI mCherry EGFP
L1   L6

b
DAPI EGFP
LPO   NAc
VDB
HDB
AP +0.6 mm

LPO   SI
          MA
HDB
          OT
AP +0.1 mm

LH   SI
          MA
HDB   AAA
          OT
AP -0.3 mm

RV-EGFP⁺ cells in HDB

c
DAPI ChAT EGFP
LPO   SI
          MA
HDB   AAA
          OT

% GFP⁺ cells
ChAT⁺ ChAT⁻

d
ChAT-Cre
Fiber
PL
HDB
DIO-EYFP or
DIO-ChR2-EYFP

DAPI GFP
LPO   SI
          MA
HDB
          OT

Fiber
PL   fa

e
VFT   ■ EYFP ■ ChR2
Off On   Off On

HPT
Off On   Off On

f
CFA

VFT
(Post CFA day 2)
■ EYFP ■ ChR2
Off On   Off On

HPT
(Post CFA day 3)
Off On   Off On

Figure 7

a DAPI Foxp2 Chrna4 Chrna7 — L1 — L6

Among *Foxp2+*
Chrna7+ / *Others*
Chrna4+ (95.94 ± 0.92%)

b DAPI Oprk1 Chrna4 Chrna7 — L1 — L6

Among *Oprk1+*
Chrna4+ / *Chrna7+*
Others (77.70 ± 1.49%)

c DAPI Chrm1 Foxp2 — L1 — L6

Among *Foxp2+*
Chrm1-
Chrm1+ (97.84 ± 1.34%)

d DAPI Foxp2 Chrm2 — L1 — L6

Among *Foxp2+*
Chrm2+ (0.99 ± 0.19%)
Chrm2-

e Enrichment in *Foxp2+* cells
Foxp2+ AChR+/AChR+ (%)
α4β2 α7 M1 M2

Enrichment in *Oprk1+* cells
Oprk1+ AChR+/AChR+ (%)
α4β2 α7 M1 M2

f mPFC — Foxp2+ — Oprk1+ — Others
M1 AChR
α4β2 AChR
α7 AChR

g ACSF or ABT-594 — Cannula — PL — WT
DAPI Cannula fa

h ACSF ABT-594 (5 pmol)
VFT
Threshold (g)
Before After Before After
** **

HPT
Latency (s)
Before After Before After
* *

i CFA
VFT (Post CFA day 2)
Threshold (g)
Before After Before After
*** ***

HPT (Post CFA day 3)
Latency (s)
ACSF ABT-594
*

j ACSF or ABT-594 — AAV-DIO--hM4Di-mCherry — PL — i.p. CNO — *Foxp2*-Cre
DAPI mCherry Cannula fa

k VFT
Threshold (g)
ACSF + Saline ABT + Saline ABT + CNO ACSF + Saline ABT + Saline ABT + CNO
*** ns ** **

HPT
Threshold (g)
ACSF + Saline ABT + Saline ABT + CNO ACSF + Saline ABT + Saline ABT + CNO
* ns ** *

mCherry
hM4Di

Figure 8

Extended Data Fig. 1

Extended Data Fig. 2

Extended Data Fig. 3

a BL vs Pinch

b

ns

c

d BL vs Phase 1

BL vs Phase 2

e

ns

ns

f

Baseline   CFA Day 1   CFA Day 7

Extended Data Fig. 4

Extended Data Fig. 5

Extended Data Fig. 6

a

DAPI Foxp2
Chrna4 Chrnb2

L1   L6

Among *Foxp2+*

Others

Chrna4+ Chrnb2+
(93.82 ± 1.42%)

b

DAPI Oprk1
Chrna4 Chrnb2

L1   L6

Among *Oprk1+*

Chrna4+ Chrnb2+
(9.13 ± 1.97%)

Others

c

DAPI Oprk1
Chrm1

L1   L6

Among *Oprk1+*

Chrm1-

Chrm1+
(98.79 ± 0.89%)

d

DAPI Oprk1
Chrm2

L1   L6

Among *Oprk1+*

Chrm2+
(16.11 ± 1.37%)

Chrm2-

Extended Data Fig. 7