1

Adipocyte-Derived Amino Acid Storage Proteins are Required for Germline

Stem Cell Maintenance in Adult *Drosophila* Females

Anna B. Zike[1], Mekenzi O. Hazen[1], Madison G. Abel[1], Eleanor B. Goldstone[1] Robert C.

Eisman[1], and Lesley N. Weaver[1]*

[1]Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA.

Running title: Storage protein regulation of ovarian stem cells

*Corresponding author. Email: lnweaver@iu.edu

ABSTRACT

Tissue homeostasis is dependent on precise coordination between endocrine organs in response to changes in organism physiology. Secreted circulating factors from adipocytes regulate the behavior of stem cell lineages in peripheral tissues in multiple organisms. In addition to their endocrine roles, *Drosophila* adipocytes store and secrete amino acid storage proteins throughout development. During the larval feeding period, adipocytes secrete storage proteins into the hemolymph, which are reabsorbed by the adipose tissue during metamorphosis to control adult organ size and fertility. Despite the known functions for storage proteins during the larval stages, their requirement during *Drosophila* adulthood and reproduction are uncharacterized. We discover that adipocyte-specific knockdown of the storage proteins *Larval serum protein 1* (*Lsp1*) $\alpha/\beta/\gamma$ and *Larval serum protein 2* (*Lsp2*) results in a decrease in GSC maintenance. We further reveal that decreased GSC number is due to downregulation of Target of Rapamycin (TOR) signaling in GSCs, suggesting compromised amino acid sensing directly in GSCs. We also find that the proteins that mediate storage protein adipocyte reabsorption, Fat body protein 1 (Fbp1) and Fat body protein 2 (Fbp2), are expressed in ovarian follicle cells. Intriguingly, Fbp1 nor Fbp2 appear to be required in follicle cells for GSC maintenance, suggesting undiscovered requirements for amino acid storage proteins in oogenesis. Our results highlight a novel role for *Drosophila* amino acid storage proteins during adulthood and in regulating tissue stem cell lineages.

KEYWORDS: Oogenesis, Fbp1, Fbp2, Lsp1, Lsp2

INTRODUCTION

Multicellular organisms rely on precise coordination of circulating factors between organs

to regulate developmental processes and maintain tissue homeostasis during adulthood

(Castillo-Armengol et al., 2019; Droujinine and Perrimon, 2016; Katagiri, 2023), and defects in

interorgan communication can result in tissue dysfunction and disease (Alvarez-Ochoa et al.,

2021). For example, fasting-induced intestinal secretion of the insulin peptide (INS-7)

coordinates the gut-to-brain axis to control fat mobilization in *C. elegans* (Liu et al., 2024).

Intermittent fasting in mammals activates adrenal glands to stimulate the release of free fatty

acids in the hair follicle stem cell (HFSC) niche, resulting in increased levels of reactive oxygen

species to induce HFSC death (Chen et al., 2025). Therefore, understanding the unique

mechanisms used by different organs to communicate their physiological state can provide

insight to how stem cell lineages and tissue homeostasis are maintained during adulthood.

The adult *Drosophila* ovary is an ideal model to understand interorgan control of stem

cell lineages due to the conservation of signaling pathways (Hales et al., 2015; Mohr, 2018) and

the unparalleled genetic toolkit that allows genetic manipulation of distinct cell types (Roote and

Prokop, 2013). Each female contains two ovaries that are arranged in repeating units of 16-20

ovarioles (**Fig. 1A**) with continuously developing egg chambers. Continuous follicle production is

dependent on the germline stem cell (GSC) population located in the anterior germarium (**Fig.**

1B). Each germarium houses two-to-three GSCs that divide asymmetrically to produce a self-

renewing GSC and differentiating stem cell progeny (Drummond-Barbosa, 2019). Oogenesis is

energetically intensive, and GSCs are sensitive to nutrient changes (Drummond-Barbosa, 2019;

Drummond-Barbosa and Spradling, 2001). For example, a protein poor diet reduces GSC

maintenance and results in death of differentiating progeny (Drummond-Barbosa and Spradling,

2001; Hsu and Drummond-Barbosa, 2009). Furthermore, brain-derived *Drosophila* insulin-like

peptides directly regulate GSC division (LaFever and Drummond-Barbosa, 2005) and GSCs

directly sense amino acids to activate Target of Rapamycin (TOR) signaling to influence GSC

self-renewal and proliferation (LaFever et al., 2010). These studies suggests that complex

coordination between organism physiology, organ homeostasis, and control of stem cell

lineages is highly regulated in *Drosophila* females.

The adipose tissue (also known as the "fat body" in *Drosophila*) is a major site of fat

storage and carbohydrate metabolism and has significant endocrine functions to mediate

interorgan homeostasis through regulated secretion of adipokines (Morigny et al., 2021; Sakers

et al., 2022). The fat body is composed of adipocytes and hepatocyte-like oenocytes, making it

similar to the mammalian adipose and liver tissue (Arrese and Soulages, 2010; Chatterjee and

Perrimon, 2021; Li et al., 2019). In *Drosophila*, adipocyte-specific metabolic activity impacts

GSC maintenance. For example, loss of fatty acid beta oxidation, iron transport, and amino acid

transport in adipocytes have been shown to decrease GSC number (Matsuoka et al., 2017).

Furthermore, we previously demonstrated that signaling downstream of the nuclear receptor

Seven up (Svp) in adipocytes is required to maintain GSC number and prevent death of

differentiating stem cell progeny (Weaver and Drummond-Barbosa, 2019). However, the precise

signaling mechanisms from adipocytes to GSCs have not been fully elucidated.

In addition to lipids and fats, insect adipose tissue stores proteins in the form of amino

acid storage proteins (hereafter referred to as "storage proteins") (Haunerland, 1996; Telfer and

Kunkel, 1991). Storage proteins are hexamerins composed of six identical or similar subunits

that range in molecular weight from 70 to 90 kDa each (Telfer and Kunkel, 1991). Storage

proteins are enriched for methionine or aromatic amino acids (phenylalanine, tryptophan, and

tyrosine) and show a high degree of sequence similarity to arthropod hemanocyins (Telfer and

Kunkel, 1991). In *Drosophila*, storage proteins have been extensively studied during the larval

stages and metamorphosis. The *Drosophila* genome contains four storage proteins, which

include the homohexamer, Larval serum protein 2 (Lsp2), and the heterohexamer composed of

Larval serum protein 1α (Lsp1α), Lsp1β, and Lsp1γ. During the larval feeding period Lsp1α/β/γ

and Lsp2 are synthesized by and secreted from the fat body (Burmester and Scheller, 1997;

Roberts et al., 1977). The proteins encoded by *Fat body protein 1* (*Fbp1*) and *Fat body protein 2*

(*Fbp2*) are the predicted receptors for LSPs (Akam et al., 1978; Wolfe et al., 1977). LSPs are

reabsorbed by adipocytes during metamorphosis in a Fbp1-dependent manner, where they are

utilized to control adult organ size and fitness (Valzania et al., 2024). However, Fbp1 and Fbp2

lack transmembrane domains and are found in the hemolymph (Valzania et al., 2024),

suggesting an unidentified receptor may also influence storage protein reabsorption in

adipocytes.

Storage proteins have also been observed in adult *Drosophila*. Larval serum protein 2

(Lsp2) was previously shown to be synthesized in the adult *Drosophila* fat body (Benes et al.,

1990). While no clear role has been defined for storage proteins in adult *Drosophila*, protein

levels of LSPs, Fbp1, and Fbp2 in adult female adipocytes have been shown to be sensitive to

dietary changes (Matsuoka et al., 2017) and we previously reported that storage protein

transcript levels are positively regulated by Svp (Weaver and Drummond-Barbosa, 2020).

Furthermore, homozygous *Drosophila Lsp1* mutants are infertile, suggesting undiscovered

mechanisms for storage protein regulation of fertility in *Drosophila* (Roberts et al., 1991). These

studies suggest that storage proteins may have roles in adult *Drosophila* to regulate tissue

homeostasis and reproduction.

In this study we investigated the role of storage proteins in the regulation of the adult

female *Drosophila* GSC lineage. Using tissue-specific manipulations, we found that adipocyte-

derived storage proteins are required to regulate GSC maintenance. We found that adipocyte-

derived Lsp1 and Lsp2 are required for activation of TOR signaling in GSCs for their

maintenance. We also generated a suite of CRISPR knock-in fusion lines and demonstrate that

storage proteins are expressed during adulthood and are also produced in the adult female

ovarian follicle cells. We also present intriguing results that although Fbp1 is required for

storage protein uptake in larval adipocytes, knockdown of *Fbp1* in adult female adipocytes does

not influence GSC number. These results suggest a role for a yet-to-be identified intermediary

processor of adipocyte-derived LSPs in maintaining GSCs. Together, our results highlight a

previously unknown role for adipocyte-derived storage proteins during adulthood in the

regulation of oogenesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drosophila strains and culture

Drosophila stocks were maintained on Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (BDSC)

Cornmeal Food that consists of 15.9 g/L inactive yeast, 9.2 g/L soy flour, 67 g/L yellow

cornmeal, 5.3 g/L agar, 70.6 g/L light corn syrup, 0.059 M propionic acid at 22-25°C. Medium

was supplemented with active wet yeast paste for all experiments. Flies were kept at a 12 hr/12

hr light/dark cycle at all temperatures unless otherwise noted. Previously described *Gal4* and

Gal80 transgenes were used, including *3.1Lsp2-Gal4* (Armstrong et al., 2014; Lazareva et al.,

2007), *Cg-Gal4* (Asha et al., 2003), and *tubGal80^{ts}* (McGuire et al., 2003). *UAS-Luc^{JF01355}*

(Matsuoka et al., 2017), *UAS-Fbp2^{GLC01665}* (Ni et al., 2011) (Transgenic RNAi Project;

fgr.hms.harvard.edu/), *mTOR^{ΔP}* (Zhang et al., 2000), *Thor^2* (Bernal and Kimbrell, 2000), *UAS-*

nucGFP, *UAS-2xEGFP*, *y^{1}w^1*, and *Oregon-R* were obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila*

Stock Center (BDSC; bdsc.indiana.edu/). *UAS-Fbp1^{GD5143}*, *UAS-Lsp1α^{KK106904}*, *Lsp1β^{GD12786}*,

UAS-Lsp1γ^{GD5358}, *UAS-Lsp2^{GD6088}*, and *UAS-Lsp2^{KK115182}* were obtained from the Vienna

Drosophila RNAi Stock Center (VDRC; https://shop.vbc.ac.at/vdrc_store/). Lines carrying

multiple genetic elements were generated by standard crosses. Balancer chromosomes and

other genetic elements are described on Flybase (www.flybase.org/).

Generation of CRISPR Knock-In GFP-tagged transgenes

Briefly, gRNA donors were designed to knock in eGFP at the C-terminal end of each

protein. Transgenesis was performed using either *yw; nosCas9 attp40* or *yw; nosCas9attp2*.

CRISPR knock-in eGFP transgenic lines were designed, microinjected, and balanced by

Rainbow Transgenic Fly, Inc (Camarillo, CA). Positive eGFP transgenic lines were verified by

screening for eGFP fluorescence intensity and western blot analysis.

Western blot analysis in whole larvae and adult females

For western blot analysis, 10 mid-to-late 3rd instar larvae, 10 whole females, 40

carcasses, or 40 pairs of ovaries from five-day old wet yeast fed females were collected and

flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were homogenized on dry ice by hand using a tissue

grinder, and 200 µl of lysis buffer [1X RIPA buffer (Fisher Scientific), 1X Halt Protease Inhibitor

Cocktail (Fisher Scientific)] was added. Samples were incubated on ice for 10 minutes and

centrifuged at 13,000 RPM at 4°C for 15 minutes. 150 µl of supernatant was added to 40 µl of

4X NuPAGE LDS Sample Buffer (1X, Invitrogen) plus 4% β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma Aldrich)

and samples were boiled at 70°C for 10 minutes. Protein concentration was determined using

the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific) according to manufacturer instructions.

30 µg of total protein from each sample was loaded on a 7.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel

and transferred to nitrocellulose. Total protein was stained by incubating membranes in

Ponceau (Thermo Scientific) followed by three 5-minute washes with TBST. Blots were blocked

in blocking buffer [20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl (TBS), 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST), and 5%

nonfat dry milk] and probed with polyclonal rabbit anti-GFP (gift from Claire Walczak, 0.25 – 1.9

µg/ml) diluted in Abdil-T (TBST, 2% BSA, and 0.1% sodium azide). Membranes were incubated

overnight at 4°C, washed in TBST followed by donkey anti-rabbit IgG HRP-linked secondary

antibody (1:5000 – 1:40,000) for 1 hour, washed in TBST, and detected with Clarity Western

ECL Substrate Working Reagent (Bio-Rad) according to manufacturer's instructions.

Larval adipocyte-specific RNAi interference (RNAi)

For knockdown of eGFP-tagged storage proteins in adipocytes, 3rd instar larvae carrying

the *UAS-hairpin* of interest (against *Fbp1*, *Lsp1g*, or *Lsp2*) in combination with *Cg-Gal4/CyO*;

storage protein::eGFP/TM6B (or in the case for Fbp2::eGFP, the eGFP-tagged protein was

combined with the *Fbp2 RNAi* transgene and crossed with *Cg-Gal4*) were raised at 29°C to

induce transgene expression during development. Amino acid storage protein knockdown

larvae and their sibling controls were flash frozen and harvested for western blot analysis as

described above.

Adult tissue-specific analyses

Females containing the *UAS-transgene* of interest (*2xEGFP*, *nucGFP*, *Luc RNAi*, *Fbp1*

RNAi, *Fbp2 RNAi*, *Lsp1α RNAi*, *Lsp1β RNAi*, or *Lsp2 RNAi*) in combination with *y w;*

tubGal80^{ts}/CyO; 3.1Lsp2-Gal4/TM3, y w; Thor^2/CyO; 3.1Lsp2-Gal4 tubGal80^{ts}, mTOR^{ΔP}/CyO;

3.1Lsp2-Gal4 tubGal80^{ts}, y w; tj-Gal4 tubGal80^{ts}/CyO, or *y w; tj-Gal4 tubGal80^{ts}/CyO; nSyb-*

Gal80/TM6B were raised at 18°C to block Gal4 activity (and therefore, transgene expression)

during development. Zero-to-2-day-old females (with *Oregon-R* males) were kept at 18°C for 2-3

days and subsequently switched to 29°C. *UAS-Luc^{JF01355}* was used as an RNAi control. As

additional controls, females of similar genotypes but without *Gal4/Gal80^{ts}* (*UAS*-alone controls)

were raised and maintained under similar conditions for 5-15 days after switching to 29°C. For

all conditions, medium was supplemented with wet active yeast paste.

Tissue immunostaining and fluorescence microscopy

Ovaries and other tissues were dissected in unsupplemented Grace's Insect Medium

(Gibco), fixed, and washed as described (Weaver and Drummond-Barbosa, 2019). Samples

were blocked for at least 3 hours at room temperature in 5% normal goat serum (NGS; MP

Biomedicals) plus 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma Aldrich) in phosphate-buffered saline

(PBS; 10 mM NaH_2PO_4/$NaHPO_4$, 175 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) containing 0.1% Triton X-100 (PBST),

and incubated overnight at 4°C in primary antibodies diluted in blocking solution as follows:

mouse monoclonal anti-α-Spectrin (3A9) (DSHB; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, 3

µg/ml); mouse monoclonal anti-Lamin C (LC28.26) (DSHB, 0.8 µg/ml); rat monoclonal anti-E-

Cadherin concentrate (DCAD2-c) (DSHB, 0.37 µg/ml); rabbit monoclonal anti-p4E-BP1

(Thr37/46) (Cell Signaling Technology, 1 µg/ml), rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (Fisher Scientific, 1

µg/ml), chicken polyclonal anti-GFP (Abcam), and rabbit polyclonal anti-Vasa (Boster Bio, 1

µg/ml). Samples were washed in PBST and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature in 1:200

Alexa Fluor 488- or 568-conjugated goat species-specific secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) in

blocking solution. Stained samples were washed, mounted in Vectashield containing 1.5 µg/ml

4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Vector Laboratories), and imaged using a Nikon SP8

confocal microscope.

GSCs and cap cells were identified as described (Weaver and Drummond-Barbosa,

2019), and two-way ANOVA with interaction (GraphPad Prism) was used to calculate the

statistical significance of any differences among genotypes in the rate of cap cell or GSC loss

from at least three independent experiments, as described (Armstrong et al., 2014).

For Dad::nlsGFP quantification, the densitometric mean of individual GSC nuclei was

measured from optical sections containing the largest nuclear diameter (visualized by DAPI)

using FIJI (https://fiji.sc/) (Armstrong et al., 2014). For E-Cadherin quantification, the total

densitometric value from maximum intensity projections around the cap cells (identified using

LamC staining) was measured with FIJI (Weaver and Drummond-Barbosa, 2018).

Quantification of E-Cadherin levels by immunofluorescence is a well-established method to

determine whether adherence of GSCs to the niche is compromised (Hsu and Drummond-

Barbosa, 2011). p4E-BP1 levels were determined by taking Z-stacks through the whole GSC

and total stack intensity was projected. Densitometric data for Dad::nlsGFP, E-Cadherin, and

p4E-BP1 levels were subjected to the Mann-Whitney U-test.

For lipid droplet visualization, fixed and washed fat bodies attached to abdominal

carcasses were incubated with 2.5X Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated phalloidin (Molecular Probes)

in PBST for 20 min, rinsed, and washed three times for 15 min each in PBST. Abdominal

carcasses were incubated in 25 ng/ml Nile Red dye for 10 min. Samples were stored in

Vectashield plus DAPI (Vector Laboratories) and fat bodies were scraped off the abdominal

carcass and imaged using a Nikon SP8 confocal. The largest cell area of each adipocyte (based

on phalloidin staining) used to measure adipocyte area; whereas the longest width of each

nucleus per adipocyte (based on DAPI) determined nuclear diameter. Each measurement was

performed using FIJI. Three independent experiments were performed, and statistical analysis

was performed using a Student's t test.

For analysis of eGFP-tagged amino acid storage protein localization in female

adipocytes, larval fat bodies were fixed in 5.3% formaldehyde in Grace's media for 13 min (20

min for adult female fat) at room temperature. Samples were subsequently rinsed and washed

three times for 15 min each in 1X PBS containing 0.5% Tween. Samples were blocked for at

least 3 hours in block solution containing 1X PBS, 0.5% Tween, 5% NGS, and 5% BSA.

Samples were incubated in polyclonal rabbit anti-GFP (Fisher Scientific, 1 µg/ml) at 4 degrees

overnight. Fat bodies were washed in PBS-0.5% Tween and incubated for 2 hours at room

temperature in 1:200 Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody

(Invitrogen) in blocking solution. Samples were subsequently incubated in 2.5X Alexa-594

phalloidin (Molecular Probes) in PBS-0.5% Tween for 20 min, rinsed, and washed three times

for 15 min each in PBS-0.5% Tween. Stained samples were washed, mounted in Vectashield

containing 1.5 µg/ml 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Vector Laboratories). Images were

collected on a Nikon SP8 confocal microscope at 0.5 µm intervals, and 3-5 z-planes were

combined for analysis. For adult fat eGFP analysis, puncta from at least 25 adipocytes were

measured from at least four independent experiments, and statistical analysis was performed

using a paired Student's *t* test.

ApopTag Assays

To detect dying germline cysts, the ApopTag Indirect *In Situ* Apoptosis Detection Kit (Millipore

Sigma) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions as previously described (Weaver

and Drummond-Barbosa, 2019). Briefly, fixed and teased ovaries were rinsed in equilibration

buffer twice for 5 minutes each at room temperature. Samples were incubated in 100 µl TdT

solution at 37°C for 1 hour with mixing at 15-minute intervals. Ovaries were washed three times

in 1X PBS followed by incubation in anti-digoxigenin conjugate for 30 min at room temperature

protected from light. Samples were washed four times in 1X PBS and processed for

immunofluorescence as described above.

RNA isolation

Abdominal carcasses from 100 females of each genotype were dissected in Grace's

medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma) as previously described

(Weaver and Drummond-Barbosa, 2020). Fat body cells were dissociated from abdominal

carcasses with 500 µl dissociation buffer [0.2% Trypsin (Sigma) plus 2 mg/ml collagenase

(Sigma) in 1x PBS] per 50 carcasses for 30 minutes at room temperature. Samples were gently

agitated every 5 minutes to facilitate separation of cells from the cuticle. 500 µl of Grace's media

plus 10% FBS was added to stop the enzymatic reaction, and supernatants were placed in new

tubes. Carcasses were rinsed with Grace's medium plus 10% FBS and the two supernatants

per genotype were combined. Dissociated cells were centrifuged at 3.3 rpm for 5 min at room

temperature. Supernatants were removed and cells were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Cells

were lysed in 250 µl lysis buffer from the RNAqueous-4PCR DNA-free RNA isolation for RT-

PCR kit (Ambion). RNA was extracted from all samples following the manufacturer's

instructions. Three independent experiments were performed for quantitative reverse-

transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis.

cDNA synthesis and quantitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-

PCR)

cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng of total RNA described above for each sample using

Superscript II Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for RT-

qPCR. The reactions for three independent biological replicates were performed in triplicate

using LightCycler 96 (Roche). Amplification fluorescence threshold was determined by

LightCycler 96 software, and ddCT were calculated using Microsoft Excel. Fold change of

transcript levels was calculated in Excel as described (Taylor et al., 2019). The primers used for

all PCR reactions are listed in Table S1. *Rp49* and *Act5C* transcript levels were used as

references.

Survival assays

For survival analysis, four-to-six vials containing 25 two-to-four-day old, mated females

were incubated at 29°C. Vials were flipped every 1–3 days until no living flies remained in the

vials. Death was recorded when the flies were flipped to fresh food. Data was plotted in

GraphPad Prism (GraphPad) and survival curve statistical analysis was performed using a log-

rank test.

Egg count assays

Egg count analysis was performed as previously described (Weaver and Drummond-

Barbosa, 2019). Briefly, five females of the appropriate genotype and five $y^1 w^1$ males were

maintained at 29°C in perforated plastic bottles capped with molasses/agar plates

supplemented with active yeast paste. Plates were changed twice daily, and the number of eggs

per day was counted in five replicates per genotype. Data was subjected to a paired Student's *t*-

test.

Fly Liquid-Food Interaction Counter (FLIC) assay

The Fly Liquid-Food Interaction Counter (FLIC) system was used to determine

differences in feeding behavior as previously described (Fleck et al., 2024; Ro et al., 2014).

FLIC *Drosophila* Feeding Monitors (DFMs, Sable Systems International, models DFMV2 and

DFMV3) were used in the single choice configuration and each chamber was loaded with liquid

food solution [4% sucrose (m/v), 1.5% yeast extract (m/v)]. Females incubated at 29°C for 10

days were switched from solid food to liquid food at 29°C overnight (~8-12 hours) prior to

running each experiment. Flies were aspirated into the DFM chambers and feeding behavior

was measured for 24 hours. Each FLIC experiment contains pooled data from at least 30 flies

for each genotype. FLIC data were analyzed using previously described custom R code (Ro et

al., 2014), which is available at https://github.com/PletcherLab/FLIC_R_Code. Default

thresholds were used for analysis except for the following: minimum feeding threshold = 10,

tasting threshold = (0,10). Animals that did not participate (i.e., returned zero values), whose

DFM returned an unstable baseline signal, or who produced extreme outliers (i.e., exceeding

twice the mean of the population) were excluded from analysis. Data were subjected to a Mann-

Whitney *U*-test.

RESULTS

Adipocyte-derived amino acid storage proteins are required for GSC maintenance.

Storage proteins are required for fecundity in many adult insects; however, the

requirement for storage proteins during oogenesis in *Drosophila* has been understudied. We

previously performed transcriptomics on the adult female fat body to identify signaling

components downstream of Svp. Analysis of this data revealed that *Lsp1α/β*, *Lsp2*, and *Fbp2*

transcripts were significantly downregulated in females where *svp* was depleted from the fat

body (**Fig. 1C**; (Weaver and Drummond-Barbosa, 2020); however, it is unknown whether LSPs

or Fbp2 are direct targets of Svp from this dataset. Furthermore, adipocyte-specific affinity

labeling of secreted peptides identified storage proteins in adult hemolymph (Droujinine et al.,

2021), and a previous proteomics analysis determined that storage proteins are sensitive to

changes in diet in adult female adipocytes [**Fig. 1D**; (Matsuoka et al., 2017)]. We therefore

decided to test the involvement of adipocyte-derived storage proteins in regulating the GSC

lineage.

To assess whether storage proteins are expressed in adult females, we utilized a

CRISPR knock-in strategy to express enhanced GFP (eGFP) at the C-terminal end of the

endogenous protein (Lsp1γ and Lsp2) and analyzed protein expression in female larval and

adult adipocytes by western blot analysis (**Fig. 1E and F; Fig. S1A and B**). To test the

specificity of our eGFP knock-in lines, we knocked down *Lsp1γ* and *Lsp2* in larval adipocytes

during development using the *Cg-Gal4* driver (Asha et al., 2003) and observed a significant

decrease in eGFP-tagged protein levels relative to controls (**Fig. S1A and B**). We next analyzed

the expression pattern in adipocytes by immunofluorescence using *Oregon-R* as a negative

control (**Fig. 1G and H**). In larval female adipocytes, Lsp1γ::eGFP (representing the

heterohexameric complex of Lsp1α/β/γ) and Lsp2::eGFP are abundantly expressed (**Fig. 1G**)

and form granule-like structures in the cytosol. In contrast, storage protein expression in adult

female adipocytes is significantly decreased compared to larval female adipocytes (**Fig. 1H**),

showing small Lsp1γ::eGFP and Lsp2::eGFP puncta (**Fig. 1I)**. Collectively, these results

suggest that although storage proteins are not as highly expressed in the adipocytes of adult

females compared to larvae, they are detected and may have functional roles during adulthood.

Adipocyte-derived amino acid storage proteins are required for GSC maintenance.

We next asked whether adipocyte-secreted Lsp1α/β/γ and Lsp2 are required for early

processes of oogenesis (GSC maintenance or survival of early germline cysts). Individual

knockdown of *Lsp1α* or *Lsp1β* is sufficient to decrease the transcript levels of all components of

the Lsp1 complex (**Fig. S2A, B, and D**; (Valzania et al., 2024). Therefore, we knocked down

Lsp1α, Lsp1β, or *Lsp2* (**Fig. S2C and E**) specifically in adult adipocytes using the previously

described *tubGal80^{ts}; 3.1Lsp2-Gal4* (*3.1Lsp2^{ts}*) driver (Armstrong et al., 2014) and assayed

GSC number over 15 days by staining ovaries with α-Spectrin to label GSCs and Laminin C to

label cap cell nuclear lamina (**Fig. 2A and B**). Knockdown of *Lsp1α/β* and *Lsp2* in adult female

adipocytes significantly increased the rate of GSC loss compared to *Luc* control (**Fig. 2C and D;**

Fig. S2F and G). The loss of GSCs was not due to leaky expression of the *UAS* transgenes, as

there was no significant decrease in GSC number of *UAS-alone* controls over time compared to

the *UAS-Luc* RNAi hairpin (**Fig. S2H and I**). In addition, the decrease in GSC maintenance was

not dependent on the size of the niche (Hsu and Drummond-Barbosa, 2009), as cap cell

numbers were unaffected (**Fig. S2J and K**). Furthermore, GSC loss was not due to gross

disruption of adipose tissue as measured by adipocyte nuclear or cell size (**Fig. S3**).

It was recently shown that dietary restriction due to reduction of *Lsp2* in larvae increases

lifespan during adulthood (Kosakamoto et al., 2025). Consistent this, we also observed a slight,

but significant lifespan enhancement when *Lsp1a* or *Lsp2* were knocked down specifically in

adult adipocytes (**Fig. S4A and B**), suggesting that dietary restriction (via lower storage protein

levels) may enhance lifespan at the expense of reproductive fitness. We also explored whether

loss of LSPs in adipocytes altered feeding behavior using the Fly Liquid food Interaction

Counter (FLIC) and did not observe any change in the number of feeding events or duration of

feeding compared to *Luc* RNAi control (**Fig. S4C-F**).

Notably, storage protein knockdown did not influence the number of eggs laid by

females compared to *Luc* control over a two-week period (**Fig. S4G**). It should be noted,

however, that egg count analyses do not always reveal subtle changes in oogenesis (Ma et al.,

2020; Weaver and Drummond-Barbosa, 2019), especially defects occurring at early stages in

the germline. Production of a mature oocyte from a GSC takes approximately seven days (He et

al., 2011) and changes in GSC maintenance due to adipocyte-specific storage protein

knockdown are not observed until 10 days after transgene expression; therefore, it is unlikely

that changes in egg production would be observed by 15 days. Furthermore, egg production is

significantly inhibited at higher temperatures in wild-type strains (Gandara and Drummond-

Barbosa, 2022), preventing long-term observation of consequences from GSC maintenance

defects. Our data suggest that although loss of *Lsp1a* and *Lsp2* enhances adult female lifespan,

additional physiological effects that could influence GSC maintenance were unaffected.

To assess whether knockdown of *Lsp1α/β/γ* or *Lsp2* influences survival of early germline

cysts, we labeled germaria with ApopTag, which is a TUNEL-based assay to detect significant

DNA fragmentation that is indicative of apoptosis (Drummond-Barbosa and Spradling, 2001).

Compared to *Luc* control, knockdown of *Lsp1α/β/γ* or *Lsp2* in adipocytes did not significantly

increase the percentage of ApopTag positive germline cysts 10 days after transgene expression

(**Fig. S5**). Collectively, these results suggest that Lsp1α/β/γ and Lsp2 are required in adult

female adipocytes to control GSC maintenance.

Storage protein reabsorption by Fbp1 or Fbp2 does not regulate GSC maintenance.

Reabsorption of storage proteins in adipocytes during pupariation is dependent on Fbp1

(Valzania et al., 2024). Considering Fbp1 and Fbp2 proteins are present in adult female fat and

are also regulated by a protein-rich diet, we hypothesized that Fbp1-mediated retrieval of

storage proteins may promote GSC self-renewal. We assessed Fbp1 and Fbp2 expression in

adult female adipocytes using our eGFP CRISPR knock-in constructs, which were confirmed to

be specific based on western blot analysis of knockdown of *Fbp1* or *Fbp2* in the larval fat body

compared to control (**Fig. S1C and D**).

Immunofluorescence analysis showed that Fbp1::eGFP is expressed in granules in

female larvae adipocytes, however Fbp2::eGFP has a more diffuse expression pattern (**Fig.**

3A). In contrast, Fbp1 and Fbp2 expression in adult female adipocytes is decreased and shows

variable puncta levels compared to the *Oregon-R* control (**Fig. 3B and C**). Given the lower

amounts of Fbp1 and Fbp2 in adult adipocytes compared to larvae, we confirmed Fbp1 and

Fbp2 expression in adult female fat bodies by western blot (**Fig. 3D and E**). Fbp1 is an

ecdysone-inducible gene and is cleaved to generate a 64 kDa fragment (Burmester et al.,

1999). Fbp1 is further cleaved when reabsorbed by adipocytes to generate a 47 kDa fragment

(Burmester et al., 1999). Taking into consideration the eGFP tag (~27 kDa), the multiple bands

present in the Fbp1::eGFP western blots are consistent with ecdysone-induced cleavage of

Fbp1.

To determine whether receptor-mediated adipocyte reabsorption of storage proteins is

required for regulation of GSC number, we knocked down *Fbp1* (as well as the other predicted

storage protein receptor, *Fbp2*) in adult female adipocytes (**Fig. S6A-C**) and analyzed GSC

maintenance. Relative to *Luc* control, knockdown of *Fbp1* or *Fbp2* did not significantly decrease

GSC maintenance over time (**Fig. 3F; Fig. S6D**). These results suggest that Fbp1 nor Fbp2 are

required in adult female adipocytes for maintaining GSC number.

Fbp1 and Fbp2 are expressed in ovarian follicle cells but are not required for GSC

maintenance.

Because Fbp1 and Fbp2 are not required in adult female adipocytes for regulation of

GSC number, we hypothesized that Fbp1 or Fbp2 may be required in the ovarian germline or

somatic cell populations for storage protein absorption. We therefore assayed ovarian

expression of our CRISPR eGFP knock-in lines for expression in the ovary. Lsp1γ::eGFP was

not detected in either the germline or the soma of the adult ovary by immunofluorescence or

western blot (**Fig. S7A and B**). Interestingly, we did detect Lsp2::eGFP in adult ovaries (**Fig.**

S7C), and immunofluorescence showed Lsp2::eGFP in the oocyte of egg chambers as early as

stage 9 (**Fig. S7D**). This suggests Lsp2 may be synthesized in oocytes or possibly transported

to the oocyte from another tissue (e.g., adipocytes) since *Lsp2* transcripts are not detected by

single cell sequencing of the ovary (Li et al., 2022; Rust et al., 2020). Notably, both Fbp1::eGFP

(**Fig. 4A**) and Fbp2::eGFP (**Fig. 4B**) are detected in the adult ovary by western blot.

Immunofluorescence analysis showed that relative to *Oregon-R* control (**Fig. 4C**), Fbp1::eGFP

localized to ovarian follicle cells starting in stage 3 egg chambers and decreased expression

after stage 5 (**Fig. 4D**). Fbp1::eGFP was also detected in the cytoplasm of nurse cells beginning

in stage 7 egg chambers. In contrast, Fbp2::eGFP exclusively localized to follicle cells (**Fig. 4E**),

beginning in the germarium and continuing through the remainder of oogenesis. To our

knowledge, this is the first reported localization of Lsp2, Fbp1, and Fbp2 in the adult *Drosophila*

ovary, suggesting functional roles in adult females.

To determine whether Fbp1 or Fbp2 are required in ovarian follicle cells for GSC

number, we designed a modified transgenic line of the commonly used follicle cell driver *tj-Gal4*

(Sahai-Hernandez and Nystul, 2013). In addition to follicle cell expression, *tj-Gal4* has ectopic

expression in the central nervous system, Malpighian tubules, and adipocytes (**Fig. S7E**;

(Weaver et al., 2020). To alleviate expression in the brain, we combined the temperature

inducible *tj-Gal4 tubGal80^{ts}* driver with *nSyb-Gal80* (to prevent neuronal Gal4 expression

independent of temperature), which significantly decreased expression in the brain when

females were incubated at 29°C (**Fig. S7F**). Note there is still significant expression in

adipocytes; however, because Fbp1 and Fbp2 are not required in adipocytes to regulate GSC

number, we reasoned any differences in GSC maintenance would be due primarily to their

requirement in follicle cells. However, knockdown of *Fbp1* or *Fbp2* using *tj-Gal4 tubGal80^{ts};*

nSyb-Gal80 did not significantly decrease the number of GSCs over 15 days relative to *Luc*

control (**Fig. 4F and G; Fig. S7G and H**). These results suggest that although Fbp1 and Fbp2

are expressed in the follicle cells of the ovary, they are not required for GSC maintenance.

Adipocyte-derived amino acid storage proteins do not influence BMP signaling and E-

Cadherin levels in GSCs.

GSCs are maintained in the niche primarily through Bone Morphogenic Protein (BMP)

signaling from cap cells (Song and Xie, 2002) and by E-Cadherin adhesion to cap cells (Song et

al., 2004) to prevent premature differentiation. We asked whether loss of GSCs due to

knockdown of *Lsp1α/β/γ* and *Lsp2* in adult adipocytes was mediated by decreased BMP

signaling or a reduction in E-Cadherin levels at the niche. To test whether BMP signaling was

affected, we measured the nuclear intensity of the Dad::nlsGFP BMP signaling reporter (Ayyaz

et al., 2015) when *Lsp1α/β* or *Lsp2* were knocked down specifically in adult female adipocytes

after 10 days. Storage protein loss specifically in adult female adipocytes did not have a

significant decrease on Dad::nlsGFP levels relative to *Luc* control (**Fig. S8A-C**), suggesting that

adipocyte-derived amino acid storage proteins do not regulate BMP signaling. Furthermore,

adipocyte-specific loss of storage proteins did not affect E-Cadherin levels in the GSC-niche

interface, suggesting a different mechanism is required to maintain GSCs (**Fig. S8D-F**).

Adipocyte-derived storage proteins regulate GSC Target of Rapamycin signaling.

mTOR is a conserved kinase that regulates cell growth and survival downstream of

amino acids (Gonzalez and Hall, 2017) and is required in adult female GSCs for stem cell

maintenance (LaFever et al., 2010). We hypothesized that adipocyte-derived storage proteins

may be transported to the ovary (or some other peripheral tissue) and are catabolized into

amino acids to promote TOR signaling. To test this hypothesis, we knocked down *Lsp1α/β* or

Lsp2 specifically in adult female adipocytes using *3.1Lsp2-Gal4^{ts}* and assayed the levels of

phosphorylated 4E-BP1 (p4E-BP1), the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E binding protein

that is phosphorylated by mTOR, which serves as a direct read-out of TOR activity (**Fig. 5A;**

(LaFever et al., 2010; Wei et al., 2019). In female GSCs, p4E-BP1 is present during M phase

but not G phase (LaFever et al., 2010), which limited the number of GSCs that could be

analyzed since mitotic pHH3+ GSCs are only observed in about 5% of the population (Hinnant

et al., 2017). Regardless, adipocyte-specific knockdown of amino acid storage proteins

significantly decreased p4E-BP1 levels (**Fig. 5B**). Notably, there was no difference in p4E-BP1

levels at different mitotic phases (e.g., prometaphase versus metaphase) within an RNAi

condition (**Fig. S9A and B**), suggesting the phosphorylation status of 4E-BP1 does not change

during early phases of mitosis.

To further test whether adipocyte-derived storage proteins regulate mTOR signaling in

GSCs, we examined genetic interactions between adipocyte-derived LSPs, *mTOR*, and *Thor*

(4E-BP1 in *Drosophila*, a negative regulator of TOR signaling). First, we reasoned that if

adipocyte LSPs regulate GSC maintenance though TOR signaling, decreasing the levels of

mTOR would enhance the GSC loss phenotype, whereas decreasing *Thor* levels would rescue

GSC loss. We first combined the *mTOR^{ΔP}* mutant allele [a P-element insertion that that removes

the translation start site and first 902 codons (Zhang et al., 2000)] with *3.1Lsp2^{ts}*. RT-qPCR

analysis confirmed a significant reduction in *mTOR* transcripts (**Fig. S9C**). However, the

3.1Lsp2-Gal4 driver in a heterozygous *mTOR^{ΔP}* background displayed less robust expression

compared to control (**Fig. 5C**), preventing further analysis.

We next generated a line combining *3.1Lsp2-Gal4^{ts}* with the *Thor^2* mutant [an amorphic

allele generated from an imprecise P-element excision that removes the entire coding sequence

(Bernal and Kimbrell, 2000)]. In this line, *Thor* mRNA was significantly reduced compared to

control (**Fig. S9C**) and driver expression was increased (**Fig. 5C**). GSC maintenance was

unaffected by one copy of *Thor²* in *Luc* control conditions (**Fig. 5D**, compare control and

experimental slopes). By contrast, GSC maintenance due to adipocyte loss of LSPs was

significantly rescued by one copy of *Thor²*. Collectively, our results suggest that adipocyte-

derived storage proteins act upstream of TOR in GSCs to control GSC maintenance.

DISCUSSION

Organism physiology is dependent on modulation of interorgan communication in

response to changes in macromolecule levels. Diet-derived amino acids and amino acid

sensing are required in multiple cell types to promote stem cell proliferation and self-renewal to

maintain tissue homeostasis. In insects, amino acid storage proteins are essential for

metamorphosis and organ growth, as well as reproduction. Our results herein show that

adipocyte-derived storage proteins are required in adult *Drosophila* females to regulate GSC

maintenance to facilitate egg production. Furthermore, our results highlight an uncharacterized

requirement for storage proteins in adulthood for female reproduction in *Drosophila*.

Storage proteins are expressed in adult insects.

Although the requirement of storage proteins has been primarily studied for their roles

during larval feeding and metamorphosis, storage protein production has also been observed in

adult insects (Benes et al., 1990; Martins et al., 2008; Wheeler and Buck, 1995, 1996; Yan et

al., 2022). For example, transcripts of the honeybee storage protein *hex 70a* are more abundant

in adult female workers compared to males, and there is a significant increase in *hex 70a* fat

body transcripts in workers with active ovaries compared to those with inactive ovaries (Martins

et al., 2008). Furthermore, *Lsp2* transcripts are detected in the adult *Drosophila* fat body, and

the protein accumulates in the hemolymph, albeit at levels significantly decreased compared to

larvae (Benes et al., 1990). These results are consistent with our detection of storage proteins

(Lsp2::GFP, Fbp1::GFP, and Fbp2::GFP) in adipocytes and the ovary (**Figure 1E and F; Figure**

3D and E; Figure 4A and B; Figure S7A and C), suggesting undescribed adult specific roles

for storage proteins in adult *Drosophila* females.

Consistent with our study focused on the roles of storage proteins in adult females, there

is evidence that storage protein expression in adult insects is regulated in a sex-specific

manner. Synthesis of both *Lsp1* and *Lsp2* occurs in adult females after transplantation of 2nd-

instar larval fat bodies, whereas only Lsp1 synthesis can occur after transplantation in males

(Butterworth et al., 1979; Jowett and Postlethwait, 1981). In *Bombyx mori*, females accumulate

more storage protein compared to males (Tojo et al., 1980), and multiple insects utilize storage

proteins to support egg development during adulthood (Wheeler and Buck, 1995, 1996). It has

also been shown that females transcribe different hexamerin subunits compared to males

(Martins et al., 2010; Tojo et al., 1980; Zakharkin et al., 2001), suggesting a female-specific

systemic factor may regulate storage protein synthesis during adulthood. One possible

candidate is the steroid hormone ecdysone, considering transcription of *LSPs* and *Fbp1* are

ecdysone induced (Burmester et al., 1999). In *Diptera* adults, ecdysone is primarily produced by

ovarian follicle cells, accumulating high levels in females but not males (Birnbaum et al., 1984;

Bownes et al., 1984; Ono et al., 2006). In *Drosophila* females, increased ecdysone levels and

ecdysone signaling in the nervous system promotes female feeding and the nutrient storage

required for oogenesis (Sieber and Spradling, 2015). Given that formation of storage granules in

larvae corresponds with an increase in ecdysone titers, female-specific activation of ecdysone

signaling may provide a feed-forward mechanism for continuous storage protein production to

support oogenesis and the developing egg.

Potential roles for storage proteins during oogenesis.

The presence of elevated storage proteins in adult female insects also suggests specific

functions during oogenesis. Adult females produce large quantities of vitellogenin to supply

developing oocytes with a surplus of amino acids to support embryonic development (Berg et

al., 2024). To support developing oocytes, *Lepidoptera* adults rely on larval-derived protein

reserves to promote egg production, whereas autogenous insects (such as mosquitos) store

glycogen, fat, and protein in the larval fat body that is carried into adulthood (Wheeler and Buck,

1996). In contrast, the monarch butterfly produces two methionine-rich hexamerins specifically

during adulthood to support egg development (Pan and Telfer, 1996). Other insects have been

shown to synthesize and accumulate hexamerins, with storage protein accumulation greater in

females that is quickly depleted upon vitellogenesis. For example, virgin queen ants store large

quantities of storage proteins that are quickly depleted during the rearing of the first worker ants

(Wheeler and Buck, 1995). Although storage proteins have been considered indispensable

during *Drosophila* adulthood in some studies, our results are consistent with another report

showing other adipocyte-derived factors that are primarily known for their roles in larvae also act

as regulators of adult oogenesis (Simmons et al., 2025). Furthermore, we found that

Lsp2::eGFP is present in the oocyte of developing egg chambers (**Figure S7D**) and both

Fbp1::eGFP and Fbp2::eGFP are present in ovarian follicle cells (**Figure 4D and E**), suggesting

additional roles during oogenesis [e.g., possibly mediating the uptake of Lsp2 or additional

adipocyte-derived yolk proteins into the oocyte (Berg et al., 2024)] or embryonic development

undiscovered by our analysis.

Adipocyte-derived factors are required for oogenesis.

Although present in other tissues, the primary site of hexamerin synthesis is in the insect

fat body (Burmester and Scheller, 1997; Haunerland, 1996; Telfer and Kunkel, 1991). The fat

body serves as a major endocrine organ in insects (Li et al., 2019) and fat body-specific

pathways indirectly influence oogenesis, including GSC maintenance (Armstrong and

Drummond-Barbosa, 2018; Armstrong et al., 2014; Matsuoka et al., 2017; Weaver and

Drummond-Barbosa, 2018, 2019). For example, adipocyte-secreted collagen IV is required to

maintain ovarian GSCs by promoting E-Cadherin mediated adhesion of GSCs to the niche

(Weaver and Drummond-Barbosa, 2018). In addition, multiple diet-dependent pathways that

regulate amino acid production are altered when adult *Drosophila* females are fed a protein-

poor diet (Matsuoka et al., 2017). Furthermore, amino acid sensing in adult female adipocytes

influences GSC number in a TOR-independent manner, whereas adipocyte-specific TOR

activity influences ovulation (Armstrong et al., 2014). Considering storage protein synthesis in

larval adipocytes occurs downstream of TOR (Valzania et al., 2024), it was interesting we did

not observe defects in ovulation for when *Lsp1α/β/γ* or *Lsp2* were knocked down in adipocytes

compared to control (0% of ovaries has 2 or more stage 14 embryos per ovariole for all

genotypes). Therefore, our results suggest production and secretion of adipocyte-derived

storage proteins may occur in a TOR-independent manner [possibly downstream of fat-specific

insulin or amino acid response pathways, which also regulate GSC number (Armstrong and

Drummond-Barbosa, 2018; Armstrong et al., 2014)]. Furthermore, it is possible that storage

proteins act directly downstream of Svp or ecdysone signaling in adult female fat. Interestingly,

publicly available data from modENCODE (Consortium, 2012; Roy et al., 2010) shows Svp

binding on each storage protein loci in pupae (**Fig. S10**). In contrast, EcR in does not show high

occupancy at this stage. Future studies should address whether Svp or EcR bind to regulatory

regions of storage proteins to regulate their expression specifically in adult female adipocytes.

Maintenance of GSCs requires activation of amino acid-dependent pathways.

Stem cells and cancer stem cells rapidly proliferate and require increased amino acid

metabolism to maintain their self-proliferative and differentiation activities (Gong et al., 2025). In

Drosophila, larval neuroblasts require diet-derived amino acids to support growth and

proliferation (Chell and Brand, 2010). Loss of the amino acid transporter *slimfast* in

hematopoietic progenitors causes premature differentiation, resulting in decreased progenitor

cell number (Shim et al., 2012). Storage proteins have recently been shown to accumulate in

Drosophila larval tumors where they are required to promote cancer progression (Valzania et

al., 2025). In addition, dietary methionine is required for activation of S-adenosylmethionine to

regulate the division rate of intestinal stem cells (Obata et al., 2018). Adult female GSCs directly

sense amino acids and activate TOR signaling to control GSC number (LaFever et al., 2010).

Our results suggest that adipocyte-derived amino acid storage proteins are required to promote

TOR signaling in GSCs (**Figure 5**), suggesting that storage proteins could be trafficked to the

ovary where they are catabolized to their amino acid precursors before transportation into

GSCs. In larval adipocytes, Fbp1 is required for storage protein reabsorption into the fat prior to

metamorphosis (Valzania et al., 2024). However, we did not observe Fbp1::eGFP localization in

the GSC niche area suggesting that Fbp1 may not be mediating trafficking of storage proteins

into GSCs. It is possible that Fbp1 (or Fbp2) are required in a separate tissue or cell type to

catabolize LSPs to regulate GSC maintenance. Single cell RNA sequencing analysis show that

Fbp2 transcripts are present in adult gut enterocytes (Li et al., 2022). Therefore, future studies

should systematically knock down *Fbp1* and *Fbp2* in different adult tissues to determine which

cell type may be required for LSP absorption to control GSC number. Furthermore, multiple

amino acid transporter transcripts are expressed in the ovary (Wright and Armstrong, 2024),

and single cell sequencing analysis suggests that multiple transporters are expressed in female

GSCs (Li et al., 2022). Future studies should also address the germline requirement for

transporters that traffic aromatic amino acids and their relationship with adipocyte-derived

storage proteins in regulating TOR signaling and GSC maintenance in the adult female ovary.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Drosophila strains are available from the Weaver lab upon request. However, any strains

that are available from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (BDSC) must be obtained

through the BDSC. All data generated for this study are included in the main text and figures or

in the supplemental file provided.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center [National Institutes of Health (NIH)

P40OD018537] and the Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center for *Drosophila* stocks. We are

thankful to Flybase (www.flybase.org/), an essential *Drosophila* research resource (NIH

5U41HG000739). The authors would like to thank the Indiana University Light Microscopy

Imaging Center (LMIC) for access to microscopy facilities. We are grateful to Deepika

Vasudevan and Andrew Zelhof for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported

by the NIH grants R00GM127605 and R35GM150517 to L.N.W.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants

R00GM127605 (L.N.W.) and R35GM150517 (L.N.W).

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

L.N.W. designed experiments, analyzed and interpreted data, and wrote the manuscript.

A.B.Z., M.O.H., M.G.A., E.B.G., R.C.E., and L.N.W. performed experiments.

REFERENCES

Akam, M.E., Roberts, D.B., Wolfe, J., 1978. Drosophila hemolymph proteins: purification,

characterization, and genetic mapping of larval serum protein 2 in D. melanogaster. Biochem

Genet 16, 101-119.

Alvarez-Ochoa, E., Froldi, F., Cheng, L.Y., 2021. Interorgan communication in development and

cancer. Wiley interdisciplinary reviews. Developmental biology 10, e394.

Armstrong, A.R., Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2018. Insulin signaling acts in adult adipocytes via

GSK-3beta and independently of FOXO to control Drosophila female germline stem cell

numbers. Developmental biology 440, 31-39.

Armstrong, A.R., Laws, K.M., Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2014. Adipocyte amino acid sensing

controls adult germline stem cell number via the amino acid response pathway and

independently of Target of Rapamycin signaling in Drosophila. Development 141, 4479-4488.

Arrese, E.L., Soulages, J.L., 2010. Insect fat body: energy, metabolism, and regulation. Annu

Rev Entomol 55, 207-225.

Asha, H., Nagy, I., Kovacs, G., Stetson, D., Ando, I., Dearolf, C.R., 2003. Analysis of Ras-

induced overproliferation in Drosophila hemocytes. Genetics 163, 203-215.

Ayyaz, A., Li, H., Jasper, H., 2015. Hemocytes control stem cell activity in the Drosophila

intestine. Nature Cell Biology 17, 736-748.

Benes, H., Edmondson, R.G., Fink, P., Kejzlarova-Lepesant, J., Lepesant, J.A., Miles, J.P.,

Spivey, D.W., 1990. Adult expression of the Drosophila Lsp-2 gene. Developmental biology 142,

138-146.

Berg, C., Sieber, M., Sun, J., 2024. Finishing the egg. Genetics 226.

Bernal, A., Kimbrell, D.A., 2000. Drosophila Thor participates in host immune defense and

connects a translational regulator with innate immunity. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences of the United States of America 97, 6019-6024.

Birnbaum, M.J., Kelly, T.J., Woods, C.W., Imberski, R.B., 1984. Hormonal regulation of ovarian

ecdysteroid production in the autogenous mosquito, Aedes atropalpus. Gen Comp Endocrinol

56, 9-18.

Bownes, M., Dübendorfer, A., Smith, T., 1984. Ecdysteroids in adult males and females of

Drosophila melanogaster. Journal of insect physiology 30, 823-830.

Burmester, T., Antoniewski, C., Lepesant, J.A., 1999. Ecdysone-regulation of synthesis and

processing of fat body protein 1, the larval serum protein receptor of Drosophila melanogaster.

Eur J Biochem 262, 49-55.

Burmester, T., Scheller, K., 1997. Conservation of hexamerin endocytosis in Diptera. Eur J

Biochem 244, 713-720.

Butterworth, F.M., Tysell, B., Waclawski, I., 1979. The effect of 20-hydroxyecdysone and protein

on granule formation in the in vitro cultured fat body of Drosophila. Journal of insect physiology

25, 855-860.

Castillo-Armengol, J., Fajas, L., Lopez-Mejia, I.C., 2019. Inter-organ communication: a

gatekeeper for metabolic health. EMBO reports 20.

Chatterjee, N., Perrimon, N., 2021. What fuels the fly: Energy metabolism in Drosophila and its

application to the study of obesity and diabetes. Sci Adv 7.

Chell, J.M., Brand, A.H., 2010. Nutrition-responsive glia control exit of neural stem cells from

quiescence. Cell 143, 1161-1173.

Chen, H., Liu, C., Cui, S., Xia, Y., Zhang, K., Cheng, H., Peng, J., Yu, X., Li, L., Yu, H., Zhang,

J., Zheng, J.S., Zhang, B., 2025. Intermittent fasting triggers interorgan communication to

suppress hair follicle regeneration. Cell 188, 157-174 e122.

Consortium, E.P., 2012. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome.

Nature 489, 57-74.

Droujinine, I.A., Meyer, A.S., Wang, D., Udeshi, N.D., Hu, Y., Rocco, D., McMahon, J.A., Yang,

R., Guo, J., Mu, L., Carey, D.K., Svinkina, T., Zeng, R., Branon, T., Tabatabai, A., Bosch, J.A.,

Asara, J.M., Ting, A.Y., Carr, S.A., McMahon, A.P., Perrimon, N., 2021. Proteomics of protein

trafficking by in vivo tissue-specific labeling. Nat Commun 12, 2382.

Droujinine, I.A., Perrimon, N., 2016. Interorgan Communication Pathways in Physiology: Focus

on Drosophila. Annu Rev Genet 50, 539-570.

Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2019. Local and Physiological Control of Germline Stem Cell Lineages

in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 213, 9-26.

Drummond-Barbosa, D., Spradling, A.C., 2001. Stem cells and their progeny respond to

nutritional changes during Drosophila oogenesis. Developmental biology 231, 265-278.

Fleck, S.A., Biswas, P., DeWitt, E.D., Knuteson, R.L., Eisman, R.C., Nemkov, T., D'Alessandro,

A., Tennessen, J.M., Rideout, E., Weaver, L.N., 2024. Auxin exposure disrupts feeding behavior

and fatty acid metabolism in adult Drosophila. eLife 12.

Gandara, A.C.P., Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2022. Warm and cold temperatures have distinct

germline stem cell lineage effects during Drosophila oogenesis. Development 149.

Gong, Y., Wang, X., Chen, W., Tsai, H.I., Liu, Y., 2025. Cancer stem cells amino acid

metabolism: Roles, mechanisms, and intervention strategies. Cell Signal 134, 111903.

Gonzalez, A., Hall, M.N., 2017. Nutrient sensing and TOR signaling in yeast and mammals. The

EMBO journal 36, 397-408.

Hales, K.G., Korey, C.A., Larracuente, A.M., Roberts, D.M., 2015. Genetics on the Fly: A Primer

on the Drosophila Model System. Genetics 201, 815-842.

Haunerland, N.H., 1996. Insect storage proteins: gene families and receptors. Insect Biochem

Mol Biol 26, 755-765.

He, L., Wang, X., Montell, D.J., 2011. Shining light on Drosophila oogenesis: live imaging of egg

development. Curr Opin Genet Dev 21, 612-619.

Hinnant, T.D., Alvarez, A.A., Ables, E.T., 2017. Temporal remodeling of the cell cycle

accompanies differentiation in the Drosophila germline. Developmental biology 429, 118-131.

Hsu, H.J., Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2009. Insulin levels control female germline stem cell

maintenance via the niche in Drosophila. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of

the United States of America 106, 1117-1121.

Hsu, H.J., Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2011. Insulin signals control the competence of the

Drosophila female germline stem cell niche to respond to Notch ligands. Developmental biology

350, 290-300.

Jowett, T., Postlethwait, J.H., 1981. Hormonal regulation of synthesis of yolk proteins and a

larval serum protein (LSP2) in Drosophila. Nature 292, 633-635.

Katagiri, H., 2023. Inter-organ communication involved in metabolic regulation at the whole-

body level. Inflamm Regen 43, 60.

Kosakamoto, H., Okada, R., Barker, C., Seita, J., Dohmae, N., Imami, K., Obata, F., 2025.

Storage Protein-Mediated Translation Control Links Juvenile Diet to Longevity in Drosophila.

bioRxiv, 2025.2005.2018.654697.

LaFever, L., Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2005. Direct control of germline stem cell division and cyst

growth by neural insulin in Drosophila. Science 309, 1071-1073.

LaFever, L., Feoktistov, A., Hsu, H.J., Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2010. Specific roles of Target of

rapamycin in the control of stem cells and their progeny in the Drosophila ovary. Development

137, 2117-2126.

Lazareva, A.A., Roman, G., Mattox, W., Hardin, P.E., Dauwalder, B., 2007. A role for the adult

fat body in Drosophila male courtship behavior. PLoS genetics 3, e16.

Li, H., Janssens, J., De Waegeneer, M., Kolluru, S.S., Davie, K., Gardeux, V., Saelens, W.,

David, F.P.A., Brbic, M., Spanier, K., Leskovec, J., McLaughlin, C.N., Xie, Q., Jones, R.C.,

Brueckner, K., Shim, J., Tattikota, S.G., Schnorrer, F., Rust, K., Nystul, T.G., Carvalho-Santos,

Z., Ribeiro, C., Pal, S., Mahadevaraju, S., Przytycka, T.M., Allen, A.M., Goodwin, S.F., Berry,

C.W., Fuller, M.T., White-Cooper, H., Matunis, E.L., DiNardo, S., Galenza, A., O'Brien, L.E.,

Dow, J.A.T., sign, F.C.A.C.s., Jasper, H., Oliver, B., Perrimon, N., Deplancke, B., Quake, S.R.,

Luo, L., Aerts, S., Agarwal, D., Ahmed-Braimah, Y., Arbeitman, M., Ariss, M.M., Augsburger, J.,

Ayush, K., Baker, C.C., Banisch, T., Birker, K., Bodmer, R., Bolival, B., Brantley, S.E., Brill, J.A.,

Brown, N.C., Buehner, N.A., Cai, X.T., Cardoso-Figueiredo, R., Casares, F., Chang, A.,

Clandinin, T.R., Crasta, S., Desplan, C., Detweiler, A.M., Dhakan, D.B., Dona, E., Engert, S.,

Floc'hlay, S., George, N., Gonzalez-Segarra, A.J., Groves, A.K., Gumbin, S., Guo, Y., Harris,

D.E., Heifetz, Y., Holtz, S.L., Horns, F., Hudry, B., Hung, R.J., Jan, Y.N., Jaszczak, J.S.,

Jefferis, G., Karkanias, J., Karr, T.L., Katheder, N.S., Kezos, J., Kim, A.A., Kim, S.K., Kockel, L.,

Konstantinides, N., Kornberg, T.B., Krause, H.M., Labott, A.T., Laturney, M., Lehmann, R.,

Leinwand, S., Li, J., Li, J.S.S., Li, K., Li, K., Li, L., Li, T., Litovchenko, M., Liu, H.H., Liu, Y., Lu,

T.C., Manning, J., Mase, A., Matera-Vatnick, M., Matias, N.R., McDonough-Goldstein, C.E.,

McGeever, A., McLachlan, A.D., Moreno-Roman, P., Neff, N., Neville, M., Ngo, S., Nielsen, T.,

O'Brien, C.E., Osumi-Sutherland, D., Ozel, M.N., Papatheodorou, I., Petkovic, M., Pilgrim, C.,

Pisco, A.O., Reisenman, C., Sanders, E.N., Dos Santos, G., Scott, K., Sherlekar, A., Shiu, P.,

Sims, D., Sit, R.V., Slaidina, M., Smith, H.E., Sterne, G., Su, Y.H., Sutton, D., Tamayo, M., Tan,

M., Tastekin, I., Treiber, C., Vacek, D., Vogler, G., Waddell, S., Wang, W., Wilson, R.I., Wolfner,

M.F., Wong, Y.E., Xie, A., Xu, J., Yamamoto, S., Yan, J., Yao, Z., Yoda, K., Zhu, R., Zinzen,

R.P., 2022. Fly Cell Atlas: A single-nucleus transcriptomic atlas of the adult fruit fly. Science

375, eabk2432.

Li, S., Yu, X., Feng, Q., 2019. Fat Body Biology in the Last Decade. Annu Rev Entomol 64, 315-

333.

Liu, C.C., Khan, A., Seban, N., Littlejohn, N., Shah, A., Srinivasan, S., 2024. A homeostatic gut-

to-brain insulin antagonist restrains neuronally stimulated fat loss. Nat Commun 15, 6869.

Ma, T., Matsuoka, S., Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2020. RNAi-based screens uncover a potential

new role for the orphan neuropeptide receptor Moody in Drosophila female germline stem cell

maintenance. PloS one 15, e0243756.

Martins, J.R., Nunes, F.M., Cristino, A.S., Simoes, Z.L., Bitondi, M.M., 2010. The four

hexamerin genes in the honey bee: structure, molecular evolution and function deduced from

expression patterns in queens, workers and drones. BMC Mol Biol 11, 23.

Martins, J.R., Nunes, F.M., Simoes, Z.L., Bitondi, M.M., 2008. A honeybee storage protein

gene, hex 70a, expressed in developing gonads and nutritionally regulated in adult fat body.

Journal of insect physiology 54, 867-877.

Matsuoka, S., Armstrong, A.R., Sampson, L.L., Laws, K.M., Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2017.

Adipocyte Metabolic Pathways Regulated by Diet Control the Female Germline Stem Cell

Lineage in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 206, 953-971.

Mohr, S.E., 2018. First in fly: Drosophila research and biological discovery. Harvard University

Press, United States.

Morigny, P., Boucher, J., Arner, P., Langin, D., 2021. Lipid and glucose metabolism in white

adipocytes: pathways, dysfunction and therapeutics. Nat Rev Endocrinol 17, 276-295.

Ni, J.Q., Zhou, R., Czech, B., Liu, L.P., Holderbaum, L., Yang-Zhou, D., Shim, H.S., Tao, R.,

Handler, D., Karpowicz, P., Binari, R., Booker, M., Brennecke, J., Perkins, L.A., Hannon, G.J.,

Perrimon, N., 2011. A genome-scale shRNA resource for transgenic RNAi in Drosophila. Nature

methods 8, 405-407.

Obata, F., Tsuda-Sakurai, K., Yamazaki, T., Nishio, R., Nishimura, K., Kimura, M., Funakoshi,

M., Miura, M., 2018. Nutritional Control of Stem Cell Division through S-Adenosylmethionine in

Drosophila Intestine. Developmental cell 44, 741-751 e743.

Ono, H., Rewitz, K.F., Shinoda, T., Itoyama, K., Petryk, A., Rybczynski, R., Jarcho, M., Warren,

J.T., Marques, G., Shimell, M.J., Gilbert, L.I., O'Connor, M.B., 2006. Spook and Spookier code

for stage-specific components of the ecdysone biosynthetic pathway in Diptera. Developmental

biology 298, 555-570.

Pan, M.L., Telfer, W.H., 1996. Methionine-rich hexamerin and arylphorin as precursor reservoirs

for reproduction and metamorphosis in female luna moths. Archives of insect biochemistry and

physiology 33, 149-162.

Ro, J., Harvanek, Z.M., Pletcher, S.D., 2014. FLIC: high-throughput, continuous analysis of

feeding behaviors in Drosophila. PloS one 9, e101107.

Roberts, D.B., Turing, J.D., Loughlin, S.A.R., 1991. The advantages that accrue to Drosophila

melanogaster possessing larval serum protein 1. Journal of insect physiology 37, 391-400.

Roberts, D.B., Wolfe, J., Akam, M.E., 1977. The developmental profiles of two major

haemolymph proteins from Drosophila melanogaster. Journal of insect physiology 23, 871-878.

Roote, J., Prokop, A., 2013. How to design a genetic mating scheme: a basic training package

for Drosophila genetics. G3 (Bethesda) 3, 353-358.

Roy, S., Ernst, J., Kharchenko, P.V., Kheradpour, P., Negre, N., Eaton, M.L., Landolin, J.M.,

Bristow, C.A., Ma, L., Lin, M.F., Washietl, S., Arshinoff, B.I., Ay, F., Meyer, P.E., Robine, N.,

Washington, N.L., Di Stefano, L., Berezikov, E., Brown, C.D., Candeias, R., Carlson, J.W., Carr,

A., Jungreis, I., Marbach, D., Sealfon, R., Tolstorukov, M.Y., Will, S., Alekseyenko, A.A., Artieri,

C., Booth, B.W., Brooks, A.N., Dai, Q., Davis, C.A., Duff, M.O., Feng, X., Gorchakov, A.A., Gu,

T., Henikoff, J.G., Kapranov, P., Li, R., MacAlpine, H.K., Malone, J., Minoda, A., Nordman, J.,

Okamura, K., Perry, M., Powell, S.K., Riddle, N.C., Sakai, A., Samsonova, A., Sandler, J.E.,

Schwartz, Y.B., Sher, N., Spokony, R., Sturgill, D., van Baren, M., Wan, K.H., Yang, L., Yu, C.,

Feingold, E., Good, P., Guyer, M., Lowdon, R., Ahmad, K., Andrews, J., Berger, B., Brenner,

S.E., Brent, M.R., Cherbas, L., Elgin, S.C., Gingeras, T.R., Grossman, R., Hoskins, R.A.,

Kaufman, T.C., Kent, W., Kuroda, M.I., Orr-Weaver, T., Perrimon, N., Pirrotta, V., Posakony,

J.W., Ren, B., Russell, S., Cherbas, P., Graveley, B.R., Lewis, S., Micklem, G., Oliver, B., Park,

P.J., Celniker, S.E., Henikoff, S., Karpen, G.H., Lai, E.C., MacAlpine, D.M., Stein, L.D., White,

K.P., Kellis, M., 2010. Identification of functional elements and regulatory circuits by Drosophila

modENCODE. Science 330, 1787-1797.

Rust, K., Byrnes, L.E., Yu, K.S., Park, J.S., Sneddon, J.B., Tward, A.D., Nystul, T.G., 2020. A

single-cell atlas and lineage analysis of the adult Drosophila ovary. Nat Commun 11, 5628.

Sahai-Hernandez, P., Nystul, T.G., 2013. A dynamic population of stromal cells contributes to

the follicle stem cell niche in the Drosophila ovary. Development 140, 4490-4498.

Sakers, A., De Siqueira, M.K., Seale, P., Villanueva, C.J., 2022. Adipose-tissue plasticity in

health and disease. Cell 185, 419-446.

Shim, J., Mukherjee, T., Banerjee, U., 2012. Direct sensing of systemic and nutritional signals

by haematopoietic progenitors in Drosophila. Nat Cell Biol 14, 394-400.

Sieber, M.H., Spradling, A.C., 2015. Steroid Signaling Establishes a Female Metabolic State

and Regulates SREBP to Control Oocyte Lipid Accumulation. Current biology : CB 25, 993-

1004.

Simmons, C., Williams, I.H., Bradshaw, T.W., Armstrong, A.R., 2025. Adipocyte-Derived

CCHamide-1, Eiger, Growth-Blocking Peptide 3, and Unpaired 2 Regulate Drosophila

melanogaster Oogenesis. Biomolecules 15.

Song, X., Wong, M.D., Kawase, E., Xi, R., Ding, B.C., McCarthy, J.J., Xie, T., 2004. Bmp

signals from niche cells directly repress transcription of a differentiation-promoting gene, bag of

marbles, in germline stem cells in the Drosophila ovary. Development 131, 1353-1364.

Song, X., Xie, T., 2002. DE-cadherin-mediated cell adhesion is essential for maintaining somatic

stem cells in the Drosophila ovary. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the

United States of America 99, 14813-14818.

Taylor, S.C., Nadeau, K., Abbasi, M., Lachance, C., Nguyen, M., Fenrich, J., 2019. The Ultimate

qPCR Experiment: Producing Publication Quality, Reproducible Data the First Time. Trends

Biotechnol 37, 761-774.

Telfer, W.H., Kunkel, J.G., 1991. The function and evolution of insect storage hexamers. Annu

Rev Entomol 36, 205-228.

Tojo, S., Nagata, M., Kobayashi, M., 1980. Storage proteins in the silkworm, Bombyx mori.

Insect Biochemistry 10, 289-303.

Valzania, L., Alami, A., Leopold, P., 2024. A temporal allocation of amino acid resources

ensures fitness and body allometry in Drosophila. Developmental cell 59, 2277-2286 e2276.

Valzania, L., Blanco-Obregon, D., Alami, A., Léopold, P., 2025. Drosophila storage proteins

promote both the rate and the duration of tumor growth. bioRxiv, 2025.2010.2002.680002.

Weaver, L.N., Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2018. Maintenance of Proper Germline Stem Cell

Number Requires Adipocyte Collagen in Adult Drosophila Females. Genetics 209, 1155-1166.

Weaver, L.N., Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2019. The nuclear receptor seven up functions in

adipocytes and oenocytes to control distinct steps of Drosophila oogenesis. Developmental

biology 456, 179-189.

Weaver, L.N., Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2020. The Nuclear Receptor Seven Up Regulates

Genes Involved in Immunity and Xenobiotic Response in the Adult Drosophila Female Fat Body.

G3 (Bethesda) 10, 4625-4635.

Weaver, L.N., Ma, T., Drummond-Barbosa, D., 2020. Analysis of Gal4 Expression Patterns in

Adult Drosophila Females. G3 (Bethesda) 10, 4147-4158.

Wei, Y., Bettedi, L., Ting, C.Y., Kim, K., Zhang, Y., Cai, J., Lilly, M.A., 2019. The GATOR

complex regulates an essential response to meiotic double-stranded breaks in Drosophila. eLife

8.

Wheeler, D.E., Buck, N.A., 1995. Storage proteins in ants during development and colony

founding. Journal of insect physiology 41, 885-894.

Wheeler, D.E., Buck, N.A., 1996. A role for storage proteins in autogenous reproduction in

Aedes atropalpus. Journal of insect physiology 42, 961-966.

Wolfe, J., Akam, M.E., Roberts, D.B., 1977. Biochemical and immunological studies on larval

serum protein 1, the major haemolymph protein of Drosophila melanogaster third-instar larvae.

Eur J Biochem 79, 47-53.

Wright, Y., Armstrong, A.R., 2024. Differential amino acid transporter expression in adult

Drosophila melanogaster tissues. MicroPubl Biol 2024.

Yan, J., Xue, Z., Dong, H., Pang, J., Liu, H., Gong, J., Xia, Q., Hou, Y., 2022. Nutrition regulates

the expression of storage proteins in Bombyx mori via insulin-like/FoxO signaling pathway.

Insect Biochem Mol Biol 149, 103847.

Zakharkin, S.O., Headley, V.V., Kumar, N.K., Buck, N.A., Wheeler, D.E., Benes, H., 2001.

Female-specific expression of a hexamerin gene in larvae of an autogenous mosquito. Eur J

Biochem 268, 5713-5722.

Zhang, H., Stallock, J.P., Ng, J.C., Reinhard, C., Neufeld, T.P., 2000. Regulation of cellular

growth by the Drosophila target of rapamycin dTOR. Genes & development 14, 2712-2724.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Amino acid storage proteins are present in larval and adult female fat tissue.

(A) Cartoon schematic of the adult *Drosophila* ovariole showing the anterior germarium and

progressively older egg chambers. Each egg chamber consists of germ cells (teal; 15 nurse

cells and one oocyte) and somatic follicle cells (gray). **(B)** Germline stem cells (GSCs; dark teal)

reside in the germarium and are maintained by a somatic niche that is composed of cap cells

(pink). Germline cysts are supported by follicle cells (gray) that facilitate budding of the egg

chamber. GSCs are identified by the fusome (yellow), which changes morphology as the

differentiated cyst undergoes mitotic divisions with incomplete cytokinesis. **(C)** Quantification of

normalized transcript expression (FPKM) for storage protein genes in control and adult fat body

svp RNAi from (Weaver and Drummond-Barbosa, 2020). Data shown as mean ± SEM from

three independent experiments. *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$, ****$P<0.0001$; Student's *t*-test.

(D) Abundance of amino acid storage proteins in adult females on a rich versus protein poor diet

identified in (Matsuoka et al., 2017). **(E,F)** Western blots from whole adult females or dissected

carcasses probed with anti-GFP antibodies to detect Lsp1γ::eGFP (E) or Lsp2::eGFP (F). **(G,H)**

Third-instar (G) or adult (H) female adipocytes from *Oregon-R* control and amino acid storage

eGFP::fusion CRISPR lines. eGFP (green), amino acid storage protein; α-spectrin (magenta),

cell outline; DAPI (blue), nuclei. Scale bar = 25 µm. **(I)** SuperPlot quantifying the number of GFP

puncta in *Oregon-R* control, Lsp1γ::eGFP, and Lsp2::eGFP in adult female adipocytes. Data

shown as mean ± SEM from four independent samples. *$P<0.05$, ****$P<0.0001$; Student's *t*-test.

Figure 2. Adult adipocyte-specific knockdown of larval serum proteins decreases GSC

maintenance.

(A,B) Germaria from *Luc* control (A) and adipocyte-specific *Lsp1α* knockdown (B) after 15 days

of transgene expression. Vasa (green), germ cells; α-spectrin (magenta), fusome; LamC

(magenta), cap cell nuclear lamina; DAPI (blue), nuclei. GSCs are outlined. Scale bar, 10 µm.

(C,D) Average number of GSCs over time in *Luc* control females and from adipocyte-specific

Lsp1α/β (C) or *Lsp2* (D) knockdown. Data shown as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM)

from three independent experiments. *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$, ****$P<0.0001$; two-way

ANOVA with interaction.

1007

Figure 3. Knockdown *Fbp1* or *Fbp2* in adult female adipocytes does not influence GSC

number.

(A,B) Third-instar (A) or adult (B) female adipocytes from *Oregon-R* control and Fat body

protein eGFP::fusion CRISPR lines. eGFP (green), amino acid storage protein; α-spectrin

(magenta), cell outline; DAPI (blue), nuclei. Scale bar = 25 µm. Note that the *Oregon-R* control

is identical to Figure 1G and H as all of the fat samples were collected and analyzed at the

same time and under the same conditions. **(C)** SuperPlot showing quantification of the number

of GFP puncta in *Oregon-R* control, Fbp1::eGFP, and Fbp2::eGFP in adult female adipocytes.

Data shown as mean ± SEM from four independent samples. **$P<0.01$, ****$P<0.0001$; Student's

t-test. **(D,E)** Western blots from whole adult females or dissected carcasses probed with anti-

GFP antibodies to detect Fbp1::eGFP (D) or Fbp2::eGFP (E). **(F)** Average number of GSCs

over time for adipocyte-specific *Fbp1* or *Fbp2* knockdown compared to *Luc* control. Data shown

as mean ± SEM. n.s., no significant differences; two-way ANOVA with interaction.

1021

Figure 4. Fbp1 and Fbp2 are expressed in adult ovarian follicle cells, but are not required

for GSC maintenance.

(A,B) Western blot analysis from whole adult females and carcasses probed with anti-GFP

antibody to detect Fbp1::eGFP (A) or Fbp2::eGFP (B). **(C-E)** Ovarioles from *Oregon-R* (C),

Fbp1::eGFP (D), and *Fbp2::eGFP* (E) adult females. eGFP (green), amino acid storage protein;

α-spectrin (magenta), fusome; LamC (magenta), cap cell nuclear lamina; DAPI (blue), nuclei.

Scale bar = 100 μm. **(F,G)** Average number of GSCs over 15 days from follicle cell knockdown

of *Fbp1* (F) and *Fbp2* (G). Data shown as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments.

n.s., no significant differences; two-way ANOVA with interaction.

1031

Figure 5. Adipocyte-specific knockdown of storage proteins decreases TOR signaling in

GSCs.

(A) Anterior portion of germaria from adipocyte-specific *Luc* control and *Lsp2* knockdown 10

days after transgene induction. Phosphorylated 4E-BP1 (p4E-BP1, green), a direct readout of

mTOR signaling (LaFever et al., 2010; Wei et al., 2019); α-spectrin (magenta), fusome; LamC

(magenta), cap cell nuclear lamina; DAPI (blue), nuclei. Scale bar = 2.5 μm. The dotted lines

outline GSCs. **(B)** SuperPlot of p4E-BP1 intensity per GSC for each genotype. At least 20 GSCs

per genotype were analyzed from three independent experiments. Data shown as mean ± 95%

confidence interval for each genotype. $*P < 0.05$, $****P < 0.0001$; Mann-Whitney *U*-test. **(C)**

Representative images of adult female adipocytes from *3.1Lsp2-Gal4^{ts}*, *mTOR^{DP}/+; Lsp2-Gal4^{ts}*,

or *Thor^2/+; Lsp2-Gal4^{ts}* expressing *UAS-2xEGFP*. EGFP (green), Phalloidin (cell membrane;

magenta), DAPI (blue), nuclei. Scale bar = 25 μm. **(D)** Frequencies of germaria containing zero-

or-one, two, three, or four-or-more GSCs at multiple times points of adipocyte-specific RNAi

against *Luc* (control), *Lsp1a*, or *Lsp2* in females carrying one copy of *Thor^2* compared to their

wild-type controls. The average number of GSCs per germarium is plotted in dark blue. The

number of germaria analyzed is shown inside the bars. Data shown as mean ± SEM. *$P < 0.05$,

****$P < 0.0001$; two-way ANOVA with interaction.

Zike et al.
Figure 5