LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ acts as a dominant interfering mutant in the context of iron overload

Andres Goldman[1,5] 0000-0002-0322-139X, Mai Nguyen[1,5], Joel Lanoix[2,5] 0000-0001-7073-9298, Ahmed Fahmy[3,5] 0000-0003-0444-383X, Yong Zhong Xu[4,5], Erwin Schurr[4,5] 0000-0002-2640-536X, Pierre Thibault[2,5] 0000-0001-5993-0331, Michel Desjardins[3,5] 0000-0002-5684-5980 and Heidi M. McBride[1,5,*] 0000-0003-4666-2280

[1]Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University 3801 University Ave, Montreal, Quebec
[2]Institute for Research in Immunology and Cancer (IRIC), Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada; Department of Chemistry, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada.
[3]Département de pathologie et biologie cellulaire, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada
[4]Program in Infectious Diseases and Immunity in Global Health, The Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre; Montreal, QC, Canada and Departments of Human Genetics and Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
[5]ASAP Aligning Science Across Parkinsons

*to whom correspondence be addressed.

Abstract:

A key pathological feature of Parkinson's Disease (PD) is the loss of neuromelanin, an iron chelator within the dopaminergic neurons, which results in iron toxicity thought to result in selective neuronal vulnerability. This implicated iron handling pathways as an early target of research in PD. Given the critical role of PD-related activating mutations in LRRK2 (leucine-rich repeat protein kinase 2) within membrane trafficking pathways we examined the impact of mutant LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ on iron homeostasis within a model macrophage cell line known to have high iron capacity. Proteomics analysis revealed a dysregulation of iron-related proteins in steady state with highly elevated levels of ferritin light chain and a reduction of ferritin heavy chain. LRRK2 mutant cells showed efficient ferritinophagy upon iron chelation, but upon iron overload there was a near complete block in the degradation of the ferritinophagy adaptor NCOA4. Surprisingly, NCOA4 levels were not rescued upon inhibition of the LRRK2 kinase activity in iron overload conditions, nor was the phosphorylation of substrate Rab GTPases. We therefore generated a CRISPR mutation to delete the kinase domain of LRRK2 and express only the Rab-binding armadillo repeat domain. Although the kinase domain was deleted, the truncation mutant of LRRK2 showed strong Rab8 phosphorylation in conditions of iron overload, similar to LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ cells, with the phosphorylated Rab8 accumulating at the plasma membrane. These data indicate that the G2019S mutation acts as a kinase independent, dominant-interfering mutant specifically in conditions of iron overload. Together, our data implicate LRRK2 as a key regulator of iron homeostasis and point to the need for an increased focus on the mechanisms of iron dysregulation in PD.

Introduction:

Age-related neurodegenerative diseases are an increasing burden on the global population. Detailing the precise mechanisms responsible pathology in these complex diseases has been challenging. Hallmark features of Parkinson's Disease (PD) are motor symptoms, namely tremor and rigidity, and selective death of neuromelanin-containing dopamine neurons in the *substantia nigra pars compacta* (SNpc) and *locus coeruleus*. About 5-15% of PD is familial and currently estimates recognize over 50 causal variants and 100 risk alleles (Lim et al., 2024). These highlight contributions of mitochondria and lysosomal function, the spreading of prion-like synuclein filaments, innate and adaptive immune pathways, and more (Cannon and Gruenheid, 2022; Johnson et al., 2019; Tansey et al., 2022). In the remaining ~90% of sporadic PD, it is unclear what the underlying causes may be, although environmental factors like heavy metals or pesticides (Aravindan et al., 2024), and various types of infection (Cannon and Gruenheid, 2022) are under intense investigation.

An early candidate cause of selective vulnerability of dopamine neurons in PD was their high dependence on iron metabolism required for synthesis of dopamine, and production of neuromelanin, which captures and stores excess iron (Jenner, 1989; Sulzer et al., 2000). PD patients show dramatic losses of pigmented neuromelanin, which is a hallmark feature in autopsied brain. The loss of neuromelanin results in higher levels of free iron, which is currently being explored as a biomarker in early-stage PD patients, by high-resolution MRI quantitative susceptibility mapping (Guan et al., 2024). The mechanisms by which iron may be mishandled in the SNpc are understudied, and causality to PD pathology is not clearly established. However, there is increasing speculation that in PD increased iron toxicity may cause ferroptotic cell death, making iron the Achilles' heel of dopaminergic neurons (Jenner, 1989; Masaldan et al., 2019; Riederer et al., 2023). To test these ideas clinically, a series of trials were initiated employing iron chelators in PD patients, with mixed results (Devos et al., 2025). New formulations and approaches are still under investigation, highlighting the importance of a better understanding of the impact of iron on PD pathology.

A common risk factor for inherited PD are variants in the *LRRK2* gene which encodes a large kinase with a complex array of domains including armadillo repeat, ankyrin, WD40, ROC-COR (Ras of complex proteins [ROC] and C-terminal of ROC [COR]) GTPase domains, leucine-rich repeat, and a kinase domain (Alessi and Pfeffer, 2024). The penetrance of PD in carriers of LRRK2 pathogenic variants is typically ~50% at age 70, rising to 75% at 80 years, implicating additional genetic and / or environmental factors in disease progression (Mata et al., 2023). LRRK2 variants have been the subject of intense research efforts which have demonstrated an involvement in cellular functions that span from subcellular protein trafficking (Singh and Muqit, 2020), lysosomal repair/function (Bentley-DeSousa et al., 2025), cytoskeletal dynamics (Leschziner and Reck-Peterson, 2021), ciliogenesis and centrosome cohesion (Jaimon et al., 2025; Li et al., 2024; Lin et al., 2025; Madero-Perez et al., 2018), mitochondrial function (Buck and Sanders, 2025), synaptic transmission (Kuhlmann & Milnerwood 2020), and immune responses (Peter and Strober, 2023). Despite much progress, the molecular basis of LRRK2 pathogenicity remains unclear.

All pathogenic LRRK2 variants, within and outside of LRRK2's kinase domain, increase its serine-threonine kinase activity, including the most common pathogenic substitution p.G2019S (LRRK2$_{G2019S}$) (Mata et al., 2023). In addition to autophosphorylation, LRRK2 substrates include numerous Rab GTPases that regulate vesicular transport; these are hyperphosphorylated in peripheral and central cells from LRRK2 PD patients and models (Alessi

and Pfeffer, 2024; Steger et al., 2016). The downstream impact of each phosphorylated Rab on
membrane transport and signaling pathways appears highly dependent on the cell type and
context, and the field has not reached consensus on which of the many implicated LRRK2
variant phenotypes are the driver of disease. However, Rab hyperphosphorylation is observed in
other genetic forms of PD (e,g., VPS35 (Kadgien et al., 2021; Mir et al., 2018), and idiopathic
PD brains (Di Maio et al., 2018). Thus, there is a breadth of evidence associating LRRK2 kinase
gain-of-function with PD pathogenesis.
In the context of iron handling, previous work reported context-specific changes in iron
homeostasis in cells carrying the LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ mutation in HEK293T cells and iPSC-derived
microglia (Mamais et al., 2021). It was shown that the phosphorylation of Rab8, a key LRRK2
substrate, reduced its interaction with its GTP exchange factor Rabin8, which in turn led to the
lysosomal degradation of the transferrin receptor. Mamais et al (2021) also observed the
accumulation of ferritin and free iron in microglia of LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ mice following injections
with LPS, although the mechanisms underlying these changes *in vivo* were not established.
While iron phenotypes have not been observed consistently within LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ mutant cells, a
series of single-cell RNA sequencing experiments did report a cell-specific loss in ferritin heavy
chain mRNA within LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ mice, specifically in astrocytes, microglia, and
oligodendrocytes (Khan et al., 2024).
Given evidence supporting a contributing role of dysregulated iron homeostasis in PD,
we explored the impact of LRRK2 mutations on iron transport and handling. We report the
impact of LRRK2 variants and fragments on iron homeostasis in a cultured macrophage model.
The data provide evidence that LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ exerts a dominant interfering effect on the response
to iron overload, which is resistant to LRRK2 kinase inhibition. Iron overload conditions are not
common in healthy physiology but are a significant factor in PD brains. Should this aspect of
LRRK2 mutant function be relevant to the death of dopaminergic neurons, our results question
the kinase *gain-of-function* mechanism of LRRK2 pathogenicity and argue for caution in the use
of kinase inhibitors as a therapeutic approach in patients.
116

Results:

LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ RAW macrophages have altered iron homeostasis
Macrophages ingest aged red blood cells and have a unique capacity to handle high levels
of iron (Winn et al., 2020). Therefore, we considered macrophages, given the high levels of
LRRK2 expression (Gardet et al., 2010), as a good model system to interrogate the impact of
pathogenic LRRK2 mutations on iron handling. To this end we generated a CRISPR-knock-in
RAW264.7 macrophage cell line carrying the LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ mutation. To confirm the increased
in LRRK2 kinase activity in this line we probed cell extracts with two phospho-specific
antibodies (**Fig. 1A**). LRRK2 autophosphorylation was increased, as seen with an antibody
recognizing LRRK2$_{pS1292}$. A second antibody recognizing phosphorylated Rab8$_{T72}$ (with some
lower specificity for p-Rab10 and p-Rab35) (Lis et al., 2018), further confirmed an increased
kinase activity in the CRISPR-edited LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages (**Fig1A**). Both phosphorylation
events were reversed upon treatment with the LRRK2 kinase inhibitor MLi2 (**Fig. 1A**). These
data confirm the successful generation of the *gain-of-function* variant. We then performed
unbiased whole cell proteomics and found significant changes in many proteins important for
iron homeostasis (**Fig. 1B,C**). Protein quantification by western blot validated downregulation of
the transferrin receptor (TfR) responsible for iron uptake, downregulation of the ferritin heavy
chain (FTH), and upregulation of ferritin light chain (FTL), both critical for iron storage (**Fig.**

1D, quantified in Supp Fig. 1A). Iron is found inside the cell in its reactive ferrous (Fe^{2+}) or
mineralized ferric (Fe^{3+}) state. Fe^{2+} is the form required by the cell to forge iron sulfur clusters
and heme groups, while excess free ferrous iron can catalyze the generation of free radical
species through the Fenton reaction (Galy et al., 2024). This makes Fe^{2+} essential, yet potentially
toxic, for the cell. Excess Fe^{2+} is rapidly captured by cytosolic ferritin where iron is harmlessly
stored in the mineralized ferric Fe^{3+} state (Chasteen and Harrison, 1999; Melman and Bou-
Abdallah, 2020). FTH and FTL together form "cage-like" complexes that can hold up to ~4500
atoms of Fe^{3+}. FTH has enzymatic ferroxidase activity required to oxidize Fe^{2+} into Fe^{3+} for safe
storage (Melman and Bou-Abdallah, 2020), thus the near total lack of FTH expression in
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ cells should dramatically affect cellular iron storage. We quantified the iron
content and composition and found that LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages have reduced total iron
content, marked by a selective loss of mineralized iron Fe^{3+}, and large 2-fold increase in Fe^{2+}
content, compared to WT control (**Fig. 1 E).** This result was validated using the fluorescent
FerroOrange probe, which reported a similar 2-fold increase of labile Fe^{2+} in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$
macrophages (Niwa et al., 2014) (**Supp Fig. 1B,C**).
150   The iron quantification revealed a cellular reduction in total iron, yet we observed excess
FTL and reduced TfR which canonically suggests a translational response to iron overload
through the iron-response elements (IRE) within their mRNA(Galy et al., 2024; Rouault, 2006).
We therefore tested a series of additional iron-related proteins regulated by either the iron-sulfur
cluster binding iron responsive protein 1 (IRP1), or the Fe2+ regulated iron response protein 2
(IRP2). LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ expression did not elicit any changes in the levels of HERC2/FBXL5/IRP2
axis (**Supp Fig. 1D**), indicating IRP2 is not activated. In addition, we found the levels of other
proteins harboring IREs such as DMT1 or ferroportin were unaffected in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$
macrophages (**Supp Fig. 1D**), implying the IRP1/2 axis is not involved in the upregulation of
FTL or downregulation of TfR. This indicates that the changes in FTL and FTH levels are not
through canonical iron response element mechanisms.
161   We further tested the enzymatic activity of the iron-sulfur containing IRP1 (also called
Aconitase 1 or ACO1) which is often used as a proxy to monitor any deficits in iron-sulfur
cluster assemblies (Beinert and Kennedy, 1993). As expected, given the evidence that the
translational regulation by IRP1 was unaltered, LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages did not show any
change in ACO1 activity (**Supp Fig. 1E**). This further indicates that the delivery of ferrous Fe^{2+}
iron to mitochondria for the biogenesis of iron-sulfur complexes remained intact. Therefore,
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ leads to a significant reduction in total cellular iron (**Fig. 1E**), primarily due to the
loss of stored ferric Fe^{3+}, and an increase in ferrous Fe^{2+}, without an impairment of functional
delivery of $Fe^{2+}$to mitochondria or the modulation of iron related protein synthesis pathways
(**Supp Figs. 1D,E**).
171   FTH is critical for the lysosomal degradation of ferritin complexes through an autophagic
process termed ferritinophagy (Dowdle et al., 2014; Kuno et al., 2022; Mancias et al., 2015;
Mancias et al., 2014; Ohshima et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2024). Given the absence of FTH
expression, we considered this could cause a block in complex turnover, resulting in the
observed increases in FTL levels. To monitor the turnover of FTL we generated WT and
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages stably expressing N-terminal cherry tagged FTL to visualize its
cellular distribution (Ohshima et al., 2022). In untreated WT cells the cherry-FTL signal is
primarily distributed within lysotracker green positive lysosomes, with some cytosolic signal
(**Fig 1F, quantified in G**). In contrast, LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages show a highly diffuse cherry-
FTL signal in the cytosol and faint lysosomal localization (**Fig 1F third row,G**). This

observation is consistent with impaired or inefficient lysosomal targeting of FTL in steady state
conditions.
To determine whether LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages were capable of induced ferritin
degradation, we induced ferritinophagy with the iron chelator desferoxamine (DFO) to remove
iron from the cytoplasm (**Fig. 1F-H**). Ferritinophagy is regulated by the action of nuclear
receptor coactivator 4 (NCOA4), the adaptor protein that binds ferritin heavy chain and delivers
ferritin cages into the autophagosome (Dowdle et al., 2014; Kuno et al., 2022; Mancias et al.,
2014; Ohshima et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2024)**.** NCOA4 is an iron and iron sulfur cluster binding
protein (Kuno et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2024), and iron levels modulate NCOA4-ferritin
interactions allowing the fine tuning of ferritin turnover through formation of liquid-liquid phase
separated condensates (LLPS) (Ohshima et al., 2022). Looking first at FTL, DFO treatment
increased in the appearance of FTL in lysosomes in WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages,
consistent with functional ferritinophagy (**Fig. 1F second and fourth rows,G**). By western blot
we confirmed that iron chelation led to the clearance of FTL in WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$
macrophages (**Fig. 1H**). With longer exposure the degradative products of FTL were visible in
DFO treated LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages (**Fig. 1H** black arrow). The ferritinophagy adaptor
NCOA4 was also highly elevated in LRRK2 mutant cells at steady state (**Fig. 1H**), and we
observed that iron chelation led to successful clearance of NCOA4 in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$
macrophages, consistent with successful ferritinophagy.
The inverse of iron chelation is the response to iron overload, which requires NCOA4 to
segregate away from ferritin cages, resulting in a diffuse cytosolic distribution of ferritin that
stably mineralizes and stores excess iron as Fe^{3+} (Galy et al., 2024). In high iron conditions
NCOA4 binds iron sulfur clusters, which promotes binding of the ubiquitin E3 ligase HERC2 to
drive NCOA4 degradation through the proteasome (Zhao et al., 2024). In a second degradation
pathway, ferric Fe3+ bound NCOA4 can drive assembly into phase-condensed foci that become
internalized into lysosomes through a microautophagy pathway (Kuno et al., 2022). At later time
points of iron overload NCOA4 can also carry low levels of ferritin into the lysosomes through
microautophagy to maintain pools of labile iron (Kuno et al., 2022). The precise regulation of
these distinct mechanisms remains under intense investigation. In our experiments with ferrous
ammonium sulfate (FAS) to induce iron overload, we observe the expected diffuse cytosolic
staining of FTL in WT cells (**Supp Fig. 2A,B**). In LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages, FTL is already
diffuse in untreated cells, which remains the case upon iron overload, with the addition of a few
bright foci resembling phase-condensed foci (**Supp Fig. 2A,B**).
A critical distinction emerged between the genotypes when imaging cherry-NCOA4. In
WT untreated cells cherry-NCOA4 is seen primarily within lysosomes (**Fig. 2A**), but in
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages cherry-NCOA4 had limited lysosomal co-localization and appeared
in bright cytosolic foci (**Fig. 2A, quantified in 2B,C**). In WT cells treated with FAS NCOA4
appeared in bright cytosolic foci and within lysosomes, which became enlarged. In contrast,
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages showed NCOA4 accumulating in larger bright cytosolic (non-
lysosomal) foci, suggesting very little internalization into lysosomes in high iron. Quantification
of foci size showed that LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages had fewer NCOA4 foci with a significant
increase in their size relative to WT cells (**Fig. 2B,C**). These quantifications revealed that upon
FAS / iron-loading, the number of NCOA4 structures increased ~2-fold in WT cells, whereas the
area NCOA4 condensates increased >10 fold in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages, relative to WT.
Time-lapse imaging of NCOA4 foci revealed their entry into enlarged lysosomes in FAS
treated WT cells, as previously described (**Fig. 2D, Supp Video 1**) (Ohshima et al., 2022).

Conversely, in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ cells NCOA4 foci did not associate with lysosomes and were thus
seemingly unable to undergo microautophagy (**Fig. 2D, Supp Video 2**). To biochemically
confirm bright cytosolic foci reflected the formation of phase-separated condensates, we
examined the amount of NCOA4 within Triton-X100 insoluble fractionations (Ohshima et al.,
2022). Consistently, total insoluble NCOA4 levels were increased in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages
(**Fig. 2E**). Addition of bafilomycin to block degradation in lysosomes led to accumulation of
NCOA4 in WT cells in both untreated and iron loaded / FAS conditions (**Fig. 2E**). NCOA4 also
accumulated in bafilomycin exposed LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ cells, however, in bafilomycin the FAS / iron
overload failed to dramatically increase NCOA4 levels further, indicating a block in lysosomal
flux (**Fig. 2E**).
Live and fixed imaging of lysosomal morphology revealed a significant enlargement of
lysosomes in control cells during iron overload, a phenotype that was much reduced in
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages (**Fig. 2A,D with quantifications in Supp. Fig. 2C-E**). To test the
functionality of these lysosomes we employed a proteolytic sensor DQ-BSA red that is
internalized into endolysosomes and becomes fluorescent upon cleavage by lysosomal
hydrolyases (Marwaha and Sharma, 2017). In steady state there was little difference in lysosomal
hydrolytic activity in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages, relative to WT (**Fig. 2F**). Upon iron overload
we observe a ~50% decrease in activity in control cells, as observed previously (Fernandez et al.,
2016). This decrease was exacerbated in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages, where lysosomes did not
dramatically enlarge (**Supp. Fig. 2C-E**), and showed an ~90% reduction in hydrolytic capacity
reported by the probe (**Fig. 2F**). This was not due to a lack of acidification or total lysosomal
content, as demonstrated by lysotracker probes that showed increased signal upon iron overload
in both genotypes (**Supp Fig. 2F**).
To examine the expected LRRK2 kinase-dependency of these phenotypes, we employed
the LRRK2 inhibitor MLi-2 (Fell et al., 2015). We applied the drug to untreated and iron
overloaded LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages after FAS treatment. As expected, we observed a clear
indication of autophosphorylation activity of LRRK2 (at S1292), along with Rab
phosphorylation (**Fig. 2G,H**). Both of these phosphorylation signals were lost upon MLi-2
treatment in steady-state conditions (**Fig. 2G,H**). Additionally, MLi-2 reversed the accumulation
of NCOA4 in steady-state conditions, indicating that NCOA4 levels are coupled to LRRK2
kinase activity (**Fig. 2G,H**). However, upon iron overload the increased levels of NCOA4 and
phosphorylated Rab8 were resistant to LRRK2 kinase inhibition, while LRRK2
autophosphorylation was efficiently lost, demonstrating target engagement (**Fig. 2G,H**).
Moreover, MLi2 treatment did not rescue the alterations in ferritin proteins in untreated cells, nor
did it rescue the lysosomal morphology linked to iron overload (**Supp Fig. 2G, H**). This finding
indicates that the block in NCOA4 degradation induced by iron overload within LRRK2$_{G2019S}$
cells is LRRK2-kinase-independent.
264
LRRK$_{G2019S}$ impact on iron metabolism is LRRK2-kinase-independent.
To understand how the LRRK2 kinase *gain-of-function* mutation could result in kinase-
independent phenotypes related to iron handling, we compared a full LRRK2 knockout (LRRK2^{-}
$^{/-}$ RAW macrophage line; **LKO**), with a CRISPR edited macrophage line expressing a truncated
LRRK2 that retains the N-terminal armadillo repeats, but with the kinase and ROC/COR
domains absent (herein; ARM. **Fig 3A**). We suspected that the armadillo domain may play a
critical role in the kinase-independent action of the LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ mutant during iron overload.
This is because the armadillo domain is responsible for the initial recruitment of LRRK2 to

membranes, which could potentially induce an autosomal-dominant function in the context of iron. The armadillo domain binds the GTP-loaded form of either Rab29, Rab32 or Rab38 to drive LRRK2 recruitment, depending on the cell type and context (McGrath et al., 2021; Unapanta et al., 2023). The domain has two additional Rab-binding sites, where the second site was shown to bind Rabs 8 and 10 for phosphorylation, and the third binds Rab12 (Alessi and Pfeffer, 2024; Dhekne et al., 2023). In this way the armadillo domain allows a feed-forward amplification of Rab phosphorylation upon LRRK2 membrane recruitment and activation.

We first examined the levels of ferritins and NCOA4 in LKO macrophages, which showed no alteration in these protein levels, indicating that the LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ iron-related phenotypes are not a loss-of-function (**Fig. 3B**). However, LRRK2$_{ARM}$ macrophages showed a pronounced accumulation of NCOA4 and FTL, relative to WT (**Fig. 3B**). To ensure the results were on target, we used CRISPR to introduce a frame-shift at exon 1 of LRRK2 in LRRK2$_{ARM}$ cells to generate a second null mutant; this fully rescued the increased NCOA4 and FTL / FTH changes (**Fig. 3D**). The data demonstrate that LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ and LRRK2$_{ARM}$ expression both alter iron homeostasis in a similar manner. Furthermore, this is distinct from *loss-of-function*, is kinase independent, and conveyed by the ARM-containing N-terminus.

Similarly to the pattern observed in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages (**Fig. 2**), LRRK2$_{ARM}$ cells exhibited larger and fewer cherry-NCOA4 and cherry-FTL extra-lysosomal aggregates, both in basal and FAS / iron overload conditions (**Fig. 3C-E, Supp Fig. 3A,B**). The extra-lysosomal FTL and NCOA4 formations in LRRK2$_{ARM}$ cells were much larger than those observed in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages and lack the spherical shape characteristic of phase-separated compartments (Ohshima et al., 2022). Biochemical analysis of detergent-insolubility confirmed NCOA4 primarily within the detergent-insoluble fraction, which greatly exceeded that of LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages (**Fig. 3F, long exposure**). Given the biochemical evidence that LRRK2$_{ARM}$ cells exhibited increased detergent-insoluble NCOA4 and FTL (**Fig. 3C**), the immunofluorescence observations likely reflect formation of NCOA4 and FTL aggregates. Additionally, flux experiments using bafilomycin in steady state conditions revealed an acute inability of LRRK2$_{ARM}$ macrophages to target NCOA4 or FTL to lysosomes for degradation (**Fig. 3G**). Moreover, FAS / iron overload impaired the redistribution of cherry-FTL into its cytoplasmic state in LRRK2$_{ARM}$ cells (**Supp Fig. 3A,B**), a phenotype distinct from LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages. Therefore, the turnover of NCOA4 and ferritin is effectively blocked in these cells at steady state. Interestingly, while LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ cells did not exhibit the normal lysosomal enlargement upon iron loading, LRRK2$_{ARM}$ cells demonstrated increased size and roundness of lysosomes upon iron loading, similar to WT cells (**Supp. Fig. 3C-E**).

Our evidence that both kinase hyperactive and kinase dead truncated LRRK2 exert significant changes to iron handling led us to examine the impact of both on LRRK2 Rab substrates during iron overload. We observed Rab8 hyper-phosphorylation in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ cells (**Fig. 1A, 2G**). Consistent with the lack of kinase domain in LRRK2$_{ARM}$ cells, we did not observe increased Rab phosphorylation at steady state (**Fig. 4A**), however we unexpectedly observed hyperphosphorylation of Rab8 in conditions of iron overload at 8 and 24 hours, similar to what is seen in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ cells. Given the unexpected resistance to MLi-2 in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ cells upon iron overload / FAS conditions (**Fig. 2G**), and the clear increase in Rab phosphorylation in LRRK2$_{ARM}$ macrophages (**Fig. 4A**), we explored the cellular localization of phosphorylated Rab signal in these conditions. We first validated the phospho-specific Rab8 antibody for immunofluorescence in cells treated with LLOME, where it has been established that LRRK2-mediated Rab8 phosphorylation leads to Rab8 recruitment to damaged lysosomes (**Supp Fig.**

4A) (Herbst et al., 2020; Mamais et al., 2021). We also observed signal consistent with the
previously described recruitment of pRab8 to the centrioles in mitotic cells (Madero-Perez et al.,
2018) (**Supp Fig. 4B**). In all genotypes pRab8 was barely detected at steady state (**Fig. 4C**). Iron
overload / FAS did not change this pattern in WT or LRRK2$_{ARM-KO}$ cells; however, in both
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ and LRRK2$_{ARM}$ cells FAS induced a pronounced upregulation and accumulation of
pRab8 signal on plasma membrane ruffles and induced blebbing (**Fig. 4B,C**). A similar
recruitment of Rab8 to plasma membrane ruffles was previously observed upon activation of
Toll-like receptors (Luo et al., 2018; Tong et al., 2021; Wall et al., 2017). There, it was
established that TLR-activation of Rab8 recruits its effector PI3Kγ, to generate a signaling
platform required to activate AKT/mTOR. We therefore examined AKT phosphorylation at S473
as a functional readout of pRab8 recruitment to the cell surface over a time course of FAS
treatment. We observed AKT phosphorylation in WT cells, upon FAS / iron overload at 8 hours
which was much more pronounced in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$, and LRRK2$_{ARM}$ macrophages (**Fig. 4D**).
While this supports the idea that plasma membrane associated pRab8 is activating established
Rab8 function, the impact of AKT phosphorylation in these conditions is unclear. Activated
AKT is a well characterized driver of cell growth and proliferation; however, our examination of
cell growth curves showed a selective block of proliferation in both LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ and
LRRK2$_{ARM}$ cells during iron overload (**Fig. 4E**). AKT has also been shown to be protective
against ferroptosis through the induction of redox protective pathways, including the
phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of NRF2 (Su et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2025).
Consistent with this, we found a significant increase in NRF2 nuclear translocation in all cells
upon iron overload, which was increased in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$, and even more so in LRRK2$_{ARM}$,
macrophages (**Fig. 4F,G**). Taken together these data provide new evidence for unexpected roles
of LRRK mutations on iron handling and the behavior of p-Rab substrates that are resistant to
LRRK2 kinase inhibition.
344
DISCUSSION
The literature describing pathogenic LRRK2 mutations in PD overwhelmingly supports a
model where a host of different mutations across the protein all result in a gain-of-function
kinase activity that has focused clinical trials on LRRK2 kinase inhibitors. Previous studies have
identified iron mishandling in LRRK2 mutant cells, and MRI approaches identify iron
accumulation as a biomarker in patient carriers of the mutation (Fernandez et al., 2022; Jia et al.,
2023; Khan et al., 2024; Mamais et al., 2021; Martinez et al., 2023; Nazish et al., 2023; Oun et
al., 2022). Our work supports previous studies and further highlights dysregulated iron
metabolism as a potential pathway of interest in LRRK2-related PD. Importantly, we identify
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$-related phenotypes that are resistant to MLi2, something that will be of
considerable importance in ongoing therapeutic approaches.
We identified a very specific condition of iron overload where the introduction of the
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ mutation appears to be dominant interfering, where phenotypes overlap with a
truncation mutant lacking the kinase domain entirely. Complete loss of LRRK2 could then
rescue the phenotypes including the return of FTL and FTH levels. The most unexpected finding
in our study was the clear induction of Rab phosphorylation in LRRK2$_{ARM}$ cells upon iron
overload, even though this cell line lacks the LRRK2 kinase domain. We further observed the
recruitment of the phosphorylated Rab signal to the plasma membrane in conditions of iron
overload specific to the LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ mutant and the LRRK2$_{ARM}$ cells. This may implicate an
additional Rab kinase that is activated within LRRK2 mutant cells upon iron overload.

LRRK2's function has been described as driving a "feed-forward" reaction to promote
the assembly of activated, phosphorylated Rab GTPases on specific membranes (Alessi and
Pfeffer, 2024). The phosphorylated Rab GTPases have been shown to lose binding affinity to
GAPs and GDI, reversibility that is critical to constrain and regulate LRRK2 function. This has
been shown through the identification of the phosphatase PPM1H (Berndsen et al., 2019;
Yeshaw et al., 2023), which allows the dephosphorylation and renewed access to GAPs and GDI
to extract the Rabs from the membrane. We speculate that the sequestration of the Rabs through
the armadillo domain interferes with the dynamic capacity to degrade NCOA4 by
microautophagy, for example. We cannot exclude that LRRK2 may itself have iron sensing
capacity that could impact the status of the mutant proteins upon iron overload. One study
identified the NCOA4 ubiquitin ligase HERC2 as a LRRK2 binding protein (Imai et al., 2015),
although no follow-up studies have confirmed this. One possibility is that LRRK2 could interfere
with HERC2s capacity to degrade NCOA4. Given the unexpected nature of some of these
findings it will take significant additional work to resolve the underlying mechanisms and
context specific actions of LRRK2 in iron metabolism. Instead, we provide an experimental
foundation for further exploration of LRRK2s impact in conditions of iron overload. Given the
historical focus on iron toxicity within the substantia nigra pars compacta and locus cerulean of
PD patients, this may be an important physiological niche where LRRK2 mutations may play
pathological roles. With the ongoing clinical trials focused on LRRK2 kinase inhibitors it will be
important to monitor their efficacy with biomarkers linked to iron metabolism, perhaps including
quantitative susceptibility mapping MRI (Guan et al., 2024; Martinez et al., 2023).
386

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and reagents

RAW264.7 cells were cultured in DMEM containing high glucose, GLUTA-PLUS and sodium pyruvate (Wisent 319-027-CL) supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (Wisent 098159) and antibiotics (Gibco 15-140-122). Cells were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO2 in a humidified incubator and regularly tested for mycoplasma.

Bafilomycin A1 (B1793), Ammonium iron (II) sulfate hexahydrate (FAS) (09719), Deferroxamine mesylate salt (DFO) (D9533) and LLOME (L7393) were purchased from Millipore-Sigma, MLi-2 was purchased from Abcam (ab254528).

LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ proteomics analysis

Samples were reconstituted in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate with 10 mM TCEP [Tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine hydrochloride; Thermo Fisher Scientific], and vortexed for 1 h at 37°C. Chloroacetamide (Sigma-Aldrich) was added for alkylation to a final concentration of 55 mM. Samples were vortexed for another hour at 37°C. One microgram of trypsin was added, and digestion was performed for 8 h at 37°C. Samples were dried down and solubilized in 5% ACN-4% formic acid (FA). The samples were loaded on a 1.5 µl pre-column (Optimize Technologies, Oregon City, OR). For each run, 1µg of peptides were separated on an home-made reversed-phase column (150-µm i.d. by 200 mm) with a 116-min gradient from 10 to 30% ACN-0.2% FA and a 600-nl/min flow rate on a Easy nLC-1200 connected to a Exploris 480 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) with the FAIMS interface. Each proteome was analyzed with 4 separate LC-MS/MS runs acquired with a different set of compensation voltages (CV) and a different m/z range: run1 was performed with the CV set-44V-54V-64V-74V from m/z 350 to 453, run 2 was performed with the CV set -40V-48V-56V-64V-72V-80V from m/z 451 to 542, run 3 was performed with the CV set -40V-48V-56V-64V-72V-80V from m/z 540 to 661, run 4 was performed with the CV set -35V-46V-57V from m/z 659 to 890. Each full MS spectrum acquired at a resolution of 120,000 was followed by tandem-MS (MS-MS) spectra acquisition on the most abundant multiply charged precursor ions for 3s. Tandem-MS experiments were performed using higher energy collision dissociation (HCD) at a collision energy of 34% with an AGC of 50% a resolution of 15,000 and a injection time of 28ms. The data were processed using PEAKS X Pro (Bioinformatics Solutions, Waterloo, ON) and a Uniprot mouse database (16977 entries) with trypsin as digestion mode. Mass tolerances on precursor and fragment ions were 10 ppm and 0.01 Da, respectively. Fixed modification was carbamidomethyl (C). Variable selected posttranslational modifications were acetylation (N-ter), oxidation (M), deamidation (NQ), phosphorylation (STY).

Cell lysates, PAGE and immunoblotting

Cells were collected by scraping, washed twice with cold PBS buffer and resuspended in X1 PBS containing protease inhibitors (Roche 04693132001) and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche 04906837001). Total protein content was quantified by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad 5000006) equal quantities of samples were lysed in Laemmli buffer (10% (vol/vol) glycerol, 2% (wt/vol) SDS, 0.005% (wt/vol) bromophenol blue, 60 mMTris-HCl pH 6.8 and 10% (vol/vol) b-mercaptoethanol), and heated 5 minutes at 95 °C prior loading into an SDS-PAGE. Proteins were

size separated and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad 1620097), blocked for one
hour in blocking solution (PBS-tween 0.5% (vol/vol) (PBS-T) containing 5% (w/v) skim milk).
After blocking, the membranes were incubated overnight (ON) with primary antibody solution
(1:1000 dilution of the antibodies in PBS-T unless otherwise specified). Rabbit anti- FTL
(Proteintech 10727-1-AP), FTH (Cell Signaling 4393, clone D1D4), LRRK2 (Abcam,
ab133474), GAPDH (Santa Cruz, sc-25778), IRP2 (Proteintech, 29976-1-AP), p-LRRK2 s1292
(Abcam, ab203181), NCOA4 (ARA70) (Bethyl, A302-271A), TOMM40 (Proteintech, 18409-1-
AP), ferroportin (Alomone labs, AIT-001), p-RAB8A (1:250) (phospho T72) (Abcam,
ab230260), RAB8A (1:250) (Cell Signaling, cs-6975), AKT (Cell Signaling, cs-9272), pAKT
S473 (Cell Signaling, cs-4060), or mouse anti- TfR (Invitrogen 136800), HERC2 (BD
Bioscience, 612366), FBXL5 (Santa Cruz, sc-390102), DMT1 (SLC-11A2) (Santa Cruz, sc-
166884), beta-actin (Santa Cruz, sc-8432), PCNA (ZYMED, 13-3900), vinculin (Sigma, V4505)
were used in this study. After primary antibody incubation, membranes were washed five times
for 10 minutes in PBS-T and incubated for one hour with HRP conjugated secondary antibodies,
Donkey anti-mouse (Cytiva, NA931) and donkey anti-rabbit (Cytiva, NA934) in blocking
solution (1:5000). Finally, membranes were washed and developed using INTAS ChemoCam
(INTAS Science Imaging GmbH) using Western-Lightning PLUS-ECL (Perkin-Elmer).
450

Iron quantification
Fe^{2+}, Fe^{3+} and total iron content was quantified using colorimetric Iron assay kit from Abcam
(ab83366) according to manufacturer instructions. Briefly, 2.5 x10^6 cells were seeded in 6 well
plate and treated with 100 μM FAS for 16 hrs. Each sample was lysed in 120 μl of assay buffer,
ad 50 μl were separated into two wells for quantification of Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} content. The rest of the
sample was kept for protein concentration estimation. Iron content was obtained by measuring
the Abs593 nm and the values were interpolated into the standard curve and then normalized to
protein concentration.
459

Aconitase activity assay
Aconitase activity was quantified using Abcam's colorimetric Aconitase activity assay kit
(ab83459), according to manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 2.5 x10^6 cells were harvested,
washed with PBS and resuspended in Assay Buffer. Insoluble materials were cleared by
centrifuging samples at 800g, the resulting fraction was further centrifuged at 20.000 g for 15
minutes and the supernatant was utilized to quantify cytoplasmic aconitase activity. Aconitase
activity was measured colorimetrical by measuring absorbance 450 nm, and the enzymatic
activity calculated based on the standard curve and normalized to protein concentration.
468

Generation of CRIPSR KI/KO and stable cell lines
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ and LRRK2$_{ARM}$ cell lines

The gRNAs for the generation of LRRK2$_{ARM}$ were synthesized using GeneArt precision
gRNA synthesis kits (Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The gRNA
for generation of LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ was designed using TrueDesign Genome editor and
synthesized by Thermo Fisher (sequence U*U*G*CGAAGAUUGCGGACUAC + modified
scaffold). The LRRK2$_{ARM}$ HDR template used was
5'TTCTGTCTAGCCAAAGAGAAGAAATTCAAGTTTTGTG
TCCACTTCTTCTTTTTTGTGAAACTAGGTATGTGAGAAAGAGAGCAGTCTTAAA
TTGGTGGAACTGTTGCTTAATGGTGGTTGTCGCGAACAAGATGTACGGAAGGCC

CTGACCGTAAGCATCCAAAAGGGCGACAGCCAGGTCATCAGCT-3',   and   the
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ HDR template used was 5'- G*T*A*AACTTGTTCTCCTACCTGGGGTGC
CCTCTGATGTCTTTATTCCCATCCTGCAGCAGTACTGTGCGATCGAGTAGTCCGC
AATCTTCGCAATGATGGCAGCATTGGGATACAGGGTAAAAAGCAGCACATTGT
GGGGCTTCAGGTCACGGTAAATAATCATGGCTGAGTGG*A*G*A-3'.   Raw   cells
were scraped, washed with PBS and electroporated with Cas9 protein and donor HDR
templates   using Neon transfection system (Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer's
instructions. After electroporation, cells were plated and cultured for 4 days. Afterwards
single cells were seeded in 96 wells and genomic DNA was isolated from single clones. The
gRNA target region was amplified by PCR using AmpliTaq Gold 360 master mix (Thermo
Fisher). PCR amplicons were sequenced using standard Sanger sequencing.
490
Crispr KO of LRRK2$_{ARM}$ *(LRRK2$_{ARM-KO}$)*
To disrupt the LRRK2 loci in LRRK2$_{ARM}$ macrophages, gRNAs were designed using the
webtool CHOP CHOP (https://chopchop.cbu.uib.no), and exons 1 and 7 of mouse *LRRK2* gene
were targeted. sgRNA exon1 GTGTTCACCTACTCGGACCGCGG and sgRNA exon 7
TGTCCGGTGCTACAATCTTGTGG were purchased from Synthego. Transfection was
performed according to manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, ribonucleoparticles (RNPs) were
assembled by incubating gRNAs with Cas9 enzyme, followed by reverse transfection using
lipofectamine RNAi max transfection reagent (Invitrogen, 13778150) diluted in Optimem (Gibco
31985070). Approximately 75,000 cells were seeded in a 24 well together RNPs-transfection
solution. 24 hours post transfection, single cells were seeded in 96 wells and several colonies
were monitored for the expression of FTH, one clone (D) was isolated with a two base pair
deletion ed in exon1 and the maternal mutation in exon 19 detected by Sanger sequencing.
503
Stable cell lines
The stable cells lines expressing mCherry-FTL and mCherry-NCOA4 were generated using
retroviral transduction system and stably integrated into the genome. Using Gateway system
(Thermo Fisher), cDNAs of FTL (subcloned from Addgene #100153), NCOA4 (DNASU
HsCD00043681), were cloned into a pBABE-puro-mCHerrry-GTW, which was engineered by
cloning mCherry2 (Addgene # 54563) into pBABEpuro-gateway (Addgene # 51070). For the
generation of the retroviral particles, HEK293 phoenix cells were transfected with the different
constructs using jetPrime transfection reagent (Polyplus 101000027). Media of the cells was
collected 48 hours post-transfection, 0.45 μm filtered and transduced into the macrophages. After
24 hours of viral transduction, media was changed and cells selected with 10 μg/ml puromycin
until the appearance of resistant colonies. Stable cell lines were used as polyclones.
Macrophage cells stably expressing TMEM192-HA (Addgene # 102930) were generated using
lentivirus. Lentiviral particles were generated in HEK293T cells using the Lenti-X packaging
(Takara 631275) according to manufacturer instructions, after 36 hours media was collected,
0.45 μm filtered and added to the RAW macrophages. 24 hours post-transduction cells were
selected in 10 μg /ml puromycin until the appearance of resistant colonies. TMEM192-HA
expression was confirmed by western blot, and polyclonal cell lines were used in this study.
521
Immunofluorescence and live imaging conditions
Cells were seeded in 13 mm sterile glass coverslips (Thermo Scientific 174950), fixed with 6%
PFA for 20 min at 37 °C, washed three times with PBS, and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-
100 in PBS for 20 minutes. After permeabilization, cells were blocked for 30 min at room

temperature in PBS 5% BSA and probed overnight 4 °C with primary antibodies. Rabbit anti
LAMP1 (Abcam ab208943), anti p-RAB8 (Abcam, ab230260), mouse anti HA (Sigma H9658)
and rat anti LAMP1 (DSHB ab528127) were used in this study. For pRAB8 staining, after PFA
fixation, cells were permeabilized with ice cold methanol for 20 minutes at -20^0C before
blocking. After washing primary antibodies, cells were incubated with the appropriate secondary
antibodies (1:3000), donkey anti rabbit coupled to Alexa 488 (Invitrogen, A11006), coupled to
Alexa 647 (Invitrogen, 21244), donkey anti rat coupled to Alexa 488 (Invitrogen, A11070),
coupled to Alexa 647 (Invitrogen, 21247) or donkey and mouse coupled to Alexa 488
(Invitrogen, A11029). After incubation with secondary antibody, slides were washed three
additional times with PBS, stained with DAPI (Invitrogen, D1306), washed with double distilled
water. Finally, coverslips were mounted on slides using fluorescent mounting media (Dako,
S302380-2) prior to imaging.

For live imaging approximately 100.000 cells were seeded in glass bottom imaging plates
(Cellvis D35C4-20-1.5-N) and stained using normal growing media supplemented with 20 mM
HEPES for pH stabilization. For FerroOrange staining cells were incubated for 1 hour in 1 μM
FerroOrange (Dojindo F374), in complete media prior to imaging. For labelling late endosomes
and lysosomes, cells were incubated in 100 nM LysoTracker Green (Thermo Fisher Scientific,
L7526) or LysoTrackerRed (Thermo Fisher Scientific, L7528) for 30 minutes. To label
lysosomes to quantify proteolytic activity control or treated cells were incubated for 1 hour with
10 μg/mL DQ-BSA red (Thermo Fisher Scientific, D12051) combined with 100 nM
LysoTracker Green. Before imaging, HEPES buffer was added to a final concentration of 20
mM. For time lapse microscopy, a rate of 1 frame every 2 seconds was acquired. After staining
with different dyes and then imaged under controlled temperature and humidity conditions.
Immunofluorescence and live imaging experiment were acquired using an Olympus IX83
inverted microscope with Yokogawa spinning disk confocal microscope using an UPLANSAPO
×100/1.40 NA oil objective an Andor iXon Ultra EMCCD camera. All the samples were
measured under same acquisition and laser intensity conditions.

Quantification of FTL subcellular distribution
Distribution of cherry-FTL was classified into four categories: 1) *diffuse* where most of FTL
signal was homogenously distributed across the cellular cytoplasm: 2) *lysosomal*, where the
brightest signal inside the cell colocalize with Lamp1 or lysotracker positive structures, 3) *diffuse*
and lysosomal, where FTL signal is largely diffuse and dim structures positive for Lamp1 or
lysotracker could be detected, and 4) *diffuse with condensates*, were FTL distribution was largely
diffuse and cytoplasmic but accumulation of bright Lamp1 or lysotracker negative structures
were detected. This analysis was not performed blinded.
561
Biochemical fractionation of Triton X-100 soluble and insoluble fractions
To fractionate triton soluble from insoluble fraction biochemically, cells were first resuspended
in PBS, protein concentration was estimated, equal amounts of different samples were
centrifuged at 600 g for 5 minutes, and cell pellets were resuspended in triton lysis buffer (50
mM HEPES pH7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1%, triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA) supplemented with protease
and phosphatase inhibitors (referenced in cell lysate section). Cell lysates were vortexed
thoroughly and incubated for 10 minutes on ice followed by centrifugation at 20,000 x g, 4 °C
for 20 minutes. Supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube and diluted in Laemmli buffer to

generate 1X triton soluble fraction, while the remaining triton insoluble pellet was resuspended
in 1X Laemmli sample buffer to the same final volume of the soluble fraction. Samples were
then analyzed by SDS-PAGE.
573

Quantification of condensate number and size with image analysis
We used FIJI to quantify the number and area of extra-lysosomal condensates. Images of fixed
cells expressing either mCherry-NCOA4, mCherry-FTL or mCherry-FTH and Lamp1 lysosomal
marker were acquired using the same laser and exposure conditions and analyzed with the
following workflow. This is a cell-based analysis, so first step single cells were selected with a
ROI, while the rest of the field was excluded from the analysis. Next, the Lamp1 channel was
selected, background subtracted and binarized by automatic thresholding using Otsu method, to
obtain a lysosomal mask. Using this lysosomal mask, particle analysis was performed and the
ROIs obtained were overlayed with the channel of interest (mCherry- NCOA4, mCherry-FTL or
mCherry-FTH), and all the pixels inside this ROIs (lysosomes) were deleted. Finally, the
resulting image of the channel of interest was again background subtracted and binarized by
automatic thresholding to obtain a mask which was then particle analyzed to quantify the number
and size of extra-lysosomal structures.
587

588 *Quantification of FerroOrange integrated fluorescence intensity*
589 FerroOrange fluorescence intensity was quantified in live cells using confocal microscopy and
590 quantified by image analysis using FIJI, several cells per field were selected and their integrated
591 fluorescence intensity was measured. The experiment was repeated 4 times
592

Quantification of lysosomal size and number with image analysis
We used FIJI to analyze and quantified the number and size of lysosomes in single planes. Is
important to note that RAW macrophages are not flat cells, thus this number only represents the
single plane information and not the total cellular volume. For the analysis lysosomes in
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages we used cells expressing TMEM192-HA due to its high levels of
expression and clear distribution in the lysosomal membrane allowed better edge detection. For
LRRK2$_{ARM}$ analysis, LTG was used and the same work flow was followed. Briefly, images were
acquired under the same laser power and time exposure conditions and afterwards analyzed with
the following workflow. First step, single cells were selected with a ROI while the rest of the
field was excluded from the analysis. Next, HA/LTG channel was selected, background
subtracted and binarized by automatic Otsu thresholding to obtain a lysosomal mask. Using this
lysosomal mask, particle analysis was performed, and the average number and size of lysosomes
was recorded per each studied cell.
606

Quantification of lysosomal proteolytic activity by flow cytometry
Cells were seeded and treated with 100 μM FAS for 48 hrs or left untreated for control. To
quantify lysosomal proteolytic activity cells were stained with 10 μg/ml of DQ-BSA red and 100
nM LysoTracker Green for 1 hour, under the same conditions described in live imaging section.
after staining, cells were collected and transferred to a fresh tube in a suspension of 1 X10^6 cells/
ml.
For acquisition single cells suspensions were acquired on an Attune NxT (Thermo Fisher).
CS&T performance tracking was done prior to cell acquisition by recommendation of

manufacturer. PMT voltages were determined by Daily CS&T performance tracking.
Compensation controls were also acquired. 50 000 events were acquired per sample.
Finally, median DQ-BSA red fluorescence and median LysoTracker Green fluorescence from
three different repetitions is shown.

Quantification of pRAB8 and NRF2 subcellular distribution
Quantification of pRAB8 and NRF2 distribution was performed qualitatively. Briefly, control or
FAS treated cells were stained with endogenous antibodies, and signal from the channel
corresponding to the studied protein were equally thresholded among all the cell lines and
treatments. The number of cells displaying bright detectable pRAB8 signal at the plasma
membrane or NRF2 signal at the nucleus were counted as positive and the total number of cells
assessed by DAPI nuclear staining was used to estimate the percentage of positive stained cells.
Experiments were repeated at least three times.
627

628 *Growth curves*
629 To assess growth, on day 0, 150.000 cells were seeded in 12 well plates, and on day 1 cells were
630 either left untreated for control or incubated with 100 µM FAS, quadruplicates measurements
631 were performed in each condition, and cell number was followed for the period of 72 hours.
632

Statistical analysis
All experiments were performed independently at least three times and quantifications and/or
representative images are provided. One-way ANOVA statistical analysis was performed using
the GraphPad Prism software (version 8.4.3). Experiments with more than two samples included
the multiple comparison analysis. The arithmetic mean with standard deviation of at least three
independent experiments are depicted. In addition, the values of all individual cells and the mean
of each experiment are shown for the quantifications of size and number of condensates as well
as lysosomes. No statistical method was used to predetermine the sample size. The experiments
were not randomized; the investigators were not blinded to allocation during experiments and
outcome assessment.
643

644 ACKNOWLDEGMENTS
645 We thank Rodolfo Zunino and all members of lab for their help throughout the study. We thank
646 the Desjardins ASAP team and Austen Milnerwood (McGill) for critical comments on the
647 manuscript. We are grateful to Dr. Shawn M Ferguson (Yale) for sharing insightful datasets and
648 discussions during the course of the study.
649

FUNDING:
Aligning Science Across Parkinson's (MD, HMM)
The study is funded by the joint efforts of Aligning Science Across Parkinson's (ASAP)
initiative (to MD, HMM) and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (to HMM). MJFF
administers the grant ASAP-000525 on behalf of ASAP and itself. For the purpose of open
access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright license to all Author Accepted
Manuscripts arising from this submission.
657

658 Canadian Institutes of Health Research Project Grant (HMM)
659

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS:
Conceptualization: AG, MD, HMM
Methodology: AG, MN, RZ, JL, AF, YZX, ES, PT, CT, AM
Investigation: AG, MN, JL
Visualization: AG, HMM
Funding acquisition: HMM
Project administration: HMM
Supervision: HMM
Writing – original draft: AG, HMM
Writing – review & editing: AG, MN, MD, HMM
670
671 Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests
672

673 ## Data and materials availability:
674 The data, code, and key lab materials used and generated in this study are listed in a Key
675 Resource Table alongside their persistent identifiers at [Zenodo DOI – (*to be uploaded after*
676 *review upon Biorxiv submission*)]. Protocols for this manuscript can be found at protocols.io here
677 (*to be uploaded*): Proteomics datasets are uploaded here: (*to be uploaded*)
678
679

REFERENCES

Alessi, D.R., and S.R. Pfeffer. 2024. Leucine-Rich Repeat Kinases. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 93:261-
287.
Aravindan, A., M.E. Newell, and R.U. Halden. 2024. Literature review and meta-analysis of
environmental toxins associated with increased risk of Parkinson's disease. *Sci Total
Environ*. 931:172838.
Beinert, H., and M.C. Kennedy. 1993. Aconitase, a two-faced protein: enzyme and iron
regulatory factor. *FASEB J*. 7:1442-1449.
Bentley-DeSousa, A., D. Clegg, and S.M. Ferguson. 2025. LRRK2, lysosome damage, and
Parkinson's disease. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*. 93:102482.
Berndsen, K., P. Lis, W.M. Yeshaw, P.S. Wawro, R.S. Nirujogi, M. Wightman, T. Macartney,
M. Dorward, A. Knebel, F. Tonelli, S.R. Pfeffer, and D.R. Alessi. 2019. PPM1H
phosphatase counteracts LRRK2 signaling by selectively dephosphorylating Rab
proteins. *Elife*. 8.
Buck, S.A., and L.H. Sanders. 2025. LRRK2-mediated mitochondrial dysfunction in Parkinson's
disease. *Biochem J*. 482:721-739.
Cannon, T., and S. Gruenheid. 2022. Microbes and Parkinson's disease: from associations to
mechanisms. *Trends in microbiology*. 30:749-760.
Chasteen, N.D., and P.M. Harrison. 1999. Mineralization in ferritin: an efficient means of iron
storage. *J Struct Biol*. 126:182-194.
Devos, D., O. Rascol, W.G. Meissner, A. Foubert-Samier, S. Lewis, C. Tranchant, M. Anheim,
D. Maltete, P. Remy, K. Eggert, H. Pape, C. Geny, P. Couratier, C. Carroll, R. Sheridan,
D. Burn, N. Pavese, J. Raw, D. Berg, O. Suchowersky, L.V. Kalia, A. Evans, S. Drapier,
T. Danaila, A. Schnitzler, J.C. Corvol, G. Defer, N.T. Temin, C. Fradette, F. Tricta, C.
Moreau, and g. a Parkinson's disease study. 2025. Therapeutic modalities of deferiprone
in Parkinson's disease: SKY and EMBARK studies. *J Parkinsons Dis*. 15:72-86.
Dhekne, H.S., F. Tonelli, W.M. Yeshaw, C.Y. Chiang, C. Limouse, E. Jaimon, E. Purlyte, D.R.
Alessi, and S.R. Pfeffer. 2023. Genome-wide screen reveals Rab12 GTPase as a critical
activator of Parkinson's disease-linked LRRK2 kinase. *Elife*. 12.
Di Maio, R., E.K. Hoffman, E.M. Rocha, M.T. Keeney, L.H. Sanders, B.R. De Miranda, A.
Zharikov, A. Van Laar, A.F. Stepan, T.A. Lanz, J.K. Kofler, E.A. Burton, D.R. Alessi,
T.G. Hastings, and J.T. Greenamyre. 2018. LRRK2 activation in idiopathic Parkinson's
disease. *Sci Transl Med*. 10.
Dowdle, W.E., B. Nyfeler, J. Nagel, R.A. Elling, S. Liu, E. Triantafellow, S. Menon, Z. Wang,
A. Honda, G. Pardee, J. Cantwell, C. Luu, I. Cornella-Taracido, E. Harrington, P. Fekkes,
H. Lei, Q. Fang, M.E. Digan, D. Burdick, A.F. Powers, S.B. Helliwell, S. D'Aquin, J.
Bastien, H. Wang, D. Wiederschain, J. Kuerth, P. Bergman, D. Schwalb, J. Thomas, S.
Ugwonali, F. Harbinski, J. Tallarico, C.J. Wilson, V.E. Myer, J.A. Porter, D.E. Bussiere,
P.M. Finan, M.A. Labow, X. Mao, L.G. Hamann, B.D. Manning, R.A. Valdez, T.
Nicholson, M. Schirle, M.S. Knapp, E.P. Keaney, and L.O. Murphy. 2014. Selective
VPS34 inhibitor blocks autophagy and uncovers a role for NCOA4 in ferritin degradation
and iron homeostasis in vivo. *Nat Cell Biol*. 16:1069-1079.
Fell, M.J., C. Mirescu, K. Basu, B. Cheewatrakoolpong, D.E. DeMong, J.M. Ellis, L.A. Hyde, Y.
Lin, C.G. Markgraf, H. Mei, M. Miller, F.M. Poulet, J.D. Scott, M.D. Smith, Z. Yin, X.
Zhou, E.M. Parker, M.E. Kennedy, and J.A. Morrow. 2015. MLi-2, a Potent, Selective,

and Centrally Active Compound for Exploring the Therapeutic Potential and Safety of
LRRK2 Kinase Inhibition. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 355:397-409.
Fernandez, B., E. Fdez, P. Gomez-Suaga, F. Gil, I. Molina-Villalba, I. Ferrer, S. Patel, G.C.
Churchill, and S. Hilfiker. 2016. Iron overload causes endolysosomal deficits modulated
by NAADP-regulated 2-pore channels and RAB7A. *Autophagy*. 12:1487-1506.
Fernandez, B., P. Olmedo, F. Gil, E. Fdez, Y. Naaldijk, P. Rivero-Rios, F. Bracher, C. Grimm,
G.C. Churchill, and S. Hilfiker. 2022. Iron-induced cytotoxicity mediated by
endolysosomal TRPML1 channels is reverted by TFEB. *Cell death & disease*. 13:1047.
Galy, B., M. Conrad, and M. Muckenthaler. 2024. Mechanisms controlling cellular and systemic
iron homeostasis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 25:133-155.
Gardet, A., Y. Benita, C. Li, B.E. Sands, I. Ballester, C. Stevens, J.R. Korzenik, J.D. Rioux, M.J.
Daly, R.J. Xavier, and D.K. Podolsky. 2010. LRRK2 is involved in the IFN-gamma
response and host response to pathogens. *J Immunol*. 185:5577-5585.
Guan, X., M. Lancione, S. Ayton, P. Dusek, C. Langkammer, and M. Zhang. 2024.
Neuroimaging of Parkinson's disease by quantitative susceptibility mapping.
Neuroimage. 289:120547.
Herbst, S., P. Campbell, J. Harvey, E.M. Bernard, V. Papayannopoulos, N.W. Wood, H.R.
Morris, and M.G. Gutierrez. 2020. LRRK2 activation controls the repair of damaged
endomembranes in macrophages. *EMBO J*. 39:e104494.
Imai, Y., Y. Kobayashi, T. Inoshita, H. Meng, T. Arano, K. Uemura, T. Asano, K. Yoshimi, C.L.
Zhang, G. Matsumoto, T. Ohtsuka, R. Kageyama, H. Kiyonari, G. Shioi, N. Nukina, N.
Hattori, and R. Takahashi. 2015. The Parkinson's Disease-Associated Protein Kinase
LRRK2 Modulates Notch Signaling through the Endosomal Pathway. *PloS Genet*.
11:e1005503.
Jaimon, E., Y.E. Lin, F. Tonelli, O. Antico, D.R. Alessi, and S.R. Pfeffer. 2025. Restoration of
striatal neuroprotective pathways by kinase inhibitor treatment of Parkinson's disease-
linked LRRK2-mutant mice. *Sci Signal*. 18:eads5761.
Jenner, P. 1989. Clues to the mechanism underlying dopamine cell death in Parkinson's disease.
J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. Suppl:22-28.
Jia, R., Y. Liu, K. Shuai, C. Zhou, L. Chen, L. Zhu, and X.M. Wu. 2023. The Relationship
between Iron and LRRK2 in a 6-OHDA-Induced Parkinson's Disease Model. *Int J Mol*
Sci. 24.
Johnson, M.E., B. Stecher, V. Labrie, L. Brundin, and P. Brundin. 2019. Triggers, Facilitators,
and Aggravators: Redefining Parkinson's Disease Pathogenesis. *Trends Neurosci*. 42:4-
13.
Kadgien, C.A., A. Kamesh, and A.J. Milnerwood. 2021. Endosomal traffic and glutamate
synapse activity are increased in VPS35 D620N mutant knock-in mouse neurons, and
resistant to LRRK2 kinase inhibition. *Mol Brain*. 14:143.
Khan, S.S., E. Jaimon, Y.E. Lin, J. Nikoloff, F. Tonelli, D.R. Alessi, and S.R. Pfeffer. 2024. Loss
of primary cilia and dopaminergic neuroprotection in pathogenic LRRK2-driven and
idiopathic Parkinson's disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 121:e2402206121.
Kuno, S., H. Fujita, Y.K. Tanaka, Y. Ogra, and K. Iwai. 2022. Iron-induced NCOA4
condensation regulates ferritin fate and iron homeostasis. *EMBO Rep*. 23:e54278.
Leschziner, A.E., and S.L. Reck-Peterson. 2021. Structural Biology of LRRK2 and its
Interaction with Microtubules. *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement*
Disorder Society. 36:2494-2504.

Li, X., H. Zhu, B.T. Huang, X. Li, H. Kim, H. Tan, Y. Zhang, I. Choi, J. Peng, P. Xu, J. Sun, and
773   Z. Yue. 2024. RAB12-LRRK2 complex suppresses primary ciliogenesis and regulates
774   centrosome homeostasis in astrocytes. *Nat Commun*. 15:8434.
775   Lim, S.Y., A.H. Tan, A. Ahmad-Annuar, N.U. Okubadejo, K. Lohmann, H.R. Morris, T.S. Toh,
776   Y.W. Tay, L.M. Lange, S. Bandres-Ciga, I. Mata, J.N. Foo, E. Sammler, J.C.E. Ooi, A.J.
777   Noyce, N. Bahr, W. Luo, R. Ojha, A.B. Singleton, C. Blauwendraat, and C. Klein. 2024.
778   Uncovering the genetic basis of Parkinson's disease globally: from discoveries to the
779   clinic. *Lancet Neurol*. 23:1267-1280.
780   Lin, Y.E., E. Jaimon, F. Tonelli, and S.R. Pfeffer. 2025. Pathogenic LRRK2 mutations cause loss
781   of primary cilia and Neurturin in striatal parvalbumin interneurons. *Life Sci Alliance*. 8.
782   Lis, P., S. Burel, M. Steger, M. Mann, F. Brown, F. Diez, F. Tonelli, J.L. Holton, P.W. Ho, S.L.
783   Ho, M.Y. Chou, N.K. Polinski, T.N. Martinez, P. Davies, and D.R. Alessi. 2018.
784   Development of phospho-specific Rab protein antibodies to monitor in vivo activity of
785   the LRRK2 Parkinson's disease kinase. *Biochem J*. 475:1-22.
786   Luo, L., A.A. Wall, S.J. Tong, Y. Hung, Z. Xiao, A.A. Tarique, P.D. Sly, E. Fantino, M.P.
787   Marzolo, and J.L. Stow. 2018. TLR Crosstalk Activates LRP1 to Recruit Rab8a and
788   PI3Kgamma for Suppression of Inflammatory Responses. *Cell reports*. 24:3033-3044.
789   Madero-Perez, J., E. Fdez, B. Fernandez, A.J. Lara Ordonez, M. Blanca Ramirez, P. Gomez-
790   Suaga, D. Waschbusch, E. Lobbestael, V. Baekelandt, A.C. Nairn, J. Ruiz-Martinez, A.
791   Aiastui, A. Lopez de Munain, P. Lis, T. Comptdaer, J.M. Taymans, M.C. Chartier-Harlin,
792   A. Beilina, A. Gonnelli, M.R. Cookson, E. Greggio, and S. Hilfiker. 2018. Parkinson
793   disease-associated mutations in LRRK2 cause centrosomal defects via Rab8a
794   phosphorylation. *Mol Neurodegener*. 13:3.
795   Mamais, A., J.H. Kluss, L. Bonet-Ponce, N. Landeck, R.G. Langston, N. Smith, A. Beilina, A.
796   Kaganovich, M.C. Ghosh, L. Pellegrini, R. Kumaran, I. Papazoglou, G.R. Heaton, R.
797   Bandopadhyay, N. Maio, C. Kim, M.J. LaVoie, D.C. Gershlick, and M.R. Cookson.
798   2021. Mutations in LRRK2 linked to Parkinson disease sequester Rab8a to damaged
799   lysosomes and regulate transferrin-mediated iron uptake in microglia. *PloS Biol*.
800   19:e3001480.
801   Mancias, J.D., L. Pontano Vaites, S. Nissim, D.E. Biancur, A.J. Kim, X. Wang, Y. Liu, W.
802   Goessling, A.C. Kimmelman, and J.W. Harper. 2015. Ferritinophagy via NCOA4 is
803   required for erythropoiesis and is regulated by iron dependent HERC2-mediated
804   proteolysis. *Elife*. 4.
805   Mancias, J.D., X. Wang, S.P. Gygi, J.W. Harper, and A.C. Kimmelman. 2014. Quantitative
806   proteomics identifies NCOA4 as the cargo receptor mediating ferritinophagy. *Nature*.
807   509:105-109.
808   Martinez, M., M. Ariz, I. Alvarez, G. Castellanos, M. Aguilar, J. Hernandez-Vara, N. Caballol,
809   A. Garrido, A. Bayes, D. Vilas, M.J. Marti, C. Catalonian Neuroimaging Parkinson's
810   disease, P. Pastor, C.O. de Solorzano, and M.A. Pastor. 2023. Brainstem neuromelanin
811   and iron MRI reveals a precise signature for idiopathic and LRRK2 Parkinson's disease.
812   *NPJ Parkinsons Dis*. 9:62.
813   Marwaha, R., and M. Sharma. 2017. DQ-Red BSA Trafficking Assay in Cultured Cells to Assess
814   Cargo Delivery to Lysosomes. *Bio Protoc*. 7.
815   Masaldan, S., A.I. Bush, D. Devos, A.S. Rolland, and C. Moreau. 2019. Striking while the iron is
816   hot: Iron metabolism and ferroptosis in neurodegeneration. *Free Radic Biol Med*.
817   133:221-233.

Mata, I., P. Salles, M. Cornejo-Olivas, P. Saffie, O.A. Ross, X. Reed, and S. Bandres-Ciga. 2023.
LRRK2: Genetic mechanisms vs genetic subtypes. *Handb Clin Neurol*. 193:133-154.
McGrath, E., D. Waschbusch, B.M. Baker, and A.R. Khan. 2021. LRRK2 binds to the Rab32
subfamily in a GTP-dependent manner via its armadillo domain. *Small GTPases*. 12:133-
146.
Melman, A., and F. Bou-Abdallah. 2020. Iron mineralization and core dissociation in
mammalian homopolymeric H-ferritin: Current understanding and future perspectives.
Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj. 1864:129700.
Mir, R., F. Tonelli, P. Lis, T. Macartney, N.K. Polinski, T.N. Martinez, M.Y. Chou, A.J.M.
Howden, T. Konig, C. Hotzy, I. Milenkovic, T. Brucke, A. Zimprich, E. Sammler, and
D.R. Alessi. 2018. The Parkinson's disease VPS35[D620N] mutation enhances LRRK2-
mediated Rab protein phosphorylation in mouse and human. *Biochem J*. 475:1861-1883.
Nazish, I., A. Mamais, A. Mallach, C. Bettencourt, A. Kaganovich, T. Warner, J. Hardy, P.A.
Lewis, J. Pocock, M.R. Cookson, and R. Bandopadhyay. 2023. Differential LRRK2
Signalling and Gene Expression in WT-LRRK2 and G2019S-LRRK2 Mouse Microglia
Treated with Zymosan and Mli2. *Cells*. 13.
Niwa, M., T. Hirayama, K. Okuda, and H. Nagasawa. 2014. A new class of high-contrast Fe(II)
selective fluorescent probes based on spirocyclized scaffolds for visualization of
intracellular labile iron delivered by transferrin. *Org Biomol Chem*. 12:6590-6597.
Ohshima, T., H. Yamamoto, Y. Sakamaki, C. Saito, and N. Mizushima. 2022. NCOA4 drives
ferritin phase separation to facilitate macroferritinophagy and microferritinophagy. *J Cell
Biol*. 221.
Oun, A., A. Soliman, M. Trombetta-Lima, A. Tzepapadaki, D. Tsagkari, A. Kortholt, and A.M.
Dolga. 2022. LRRK2 protects immune cells against erastin-induced ferroptosis.
Neurobiol Dis. 175:105917.
Peter, I., and W. Strober. 2023. Immunological Features of LRRK2 Function and Its Role in the
Gut-Brain Axis Governing Parkinson's Disease. *J Parkinsons Dis*. 13:279-296.
Riederer, P., T. Nagatsu, M.B.H. Youdim, M. Wulf, J.M. Dijkstra, and J. Sian-Huelsmann. 2023.
Lewy bodies, iron, inflammation and neuromelanin: pathological aspects underlying
Parkinson's disease. *J Neural Transm (Vienna)*. 130:627-646.
Rouault, T.A. 2006. The role of iron regulatory proteins in mammalian iron homeostasis and
disease. *Nature chemical biology*. 2:406-414.
Singh, P.K., and M.M.K. Muqit. 2020. Parkinson's: A Disease of Aberrant Vesicle Trafficking.
Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 36:237-264.
Steger, M., F. Tonelli, G. Ito, P. Davies, M. Trost, M. Vetter, S. Wachter, E. Lorentzen, G.
Duddy, S. Wilson, M.A. Baptista, B.K. Fiske, M.J. Fell, J.A. Morrow, A.D. Reith, D.R.
Alessi, and M. Mann. 2016. Phosphoproteomics reveals that Parkinson's disease kinase
LRRK2 regulates a subset of Rab GTPases. *Elife*. 5.
Su, H., C. Peng, and Y. Liu. 2024. Regulation of ferroptosis by PI3K/Akt signaling pathway: a
promising therapeutic axis in cancer. *Front Cell Dev Biol*. 12:1372330.
Sulzer, D., J. Bogulavsky, K.E. Larsen, G. Behr, E. Karatekin, M.H. Kleinman, N. Turro, D.
Krantz, R.H. Edwards, L.A. Greene, and L. Zecca. 2000. Neuromelanin biosynthesis is
driven by excess cytosolic catecholamines not accumulated by synaptic vesicles. *Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 97:11869-11874.

Tansey, M.G., R.L. Wallings, M.C. Houser, M.K. Herrick, C.E. Keating, and V. Joers. 2022.
Inflammation and immune dysfunction in Parkinson disease. *Nature reviews.*
Immunology. 22:657-673.
Tong, S.J., A.A. Wall, Y. Hung, L. Luo, and J.L. Stow. 2021. Guanine nucleotide exchange
factors activate Rab8a for Toll-like receptor signalling. *Small GTPases*. 12:27-43.
Unapanta, A., F. Shavarebi, J. Porath, Y. Shen, C. Balen, A. Nguyen, J. Tseng, W.S. Leong, M.
Liu, P. Lis, S.M. Di Pietro, and A. Hiniker. 2023. Endogenous Rab38 regulates LRRK2's
membrane recruitment and substrate Rab phosphorylation in melanocytes. *J Biol Chem*.
299:105192.
Wall, A.A., L. Luo, Y. Hung, S.J. Tong, N.D. Condon, A. Blumenthal, M.J. Sweet, and J.L.
Stow. 2017. Small GTPase Rab8a-recruited Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase gamma
Regulates Signaling and Cytokine Outputs from Endosomal Toll-like Receptors. *J Biol*
Chem. 292:4411-4422.
Wang, L., W. Yang, and Y. Song. 2025. Impairing Ferroptosis Through the PI3K/Akt/Nrf2
Pathway: The Way for Nerve Growth Factor to Mitigate Hypoxia-induced
Cardiomyocyte Damage. *Cell Biochem Biophys*. 83:2023-2033.
Winn, N.C., K.M. Volk, and A.H. Hasty. 2020. Regulation of tissue iron homeostasis: the
macrophage "ferrostat". *JCI Insight*. 5.
Yeshaw, W.M., A. Adhikari, C.Y. Chiang, H.S. Dhekne, P.S. Wawro, and S.R. Pfeffer. 2023.
Localization of PPM1H phosphatase tunes Parkinson's disease-linked LRRK2 kinase-
mediated Rab GTPase phosphorylation and ciliogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.
120:e2315171120.
Zhao, H., Y. Lu, J. Zhang, Z. Sun, C. Cheng, Y. Liu, L. Wu, M. Zhang, W. He, S. Hao, and K.
Li. 2024. NCOA4 requires a [3Fe-4S] to sense and maintain the iron homeostasis. *J Biol*
Chem. 300:105612.
887

 FIGURE LEGENDS
889

Figure 1: LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ RAW macrophages show changes in iron homeostasis
A- Western blot analysis on whole cell lysates of pRAB8$_{T72}$ and pLRRK2$_{S1292}$ in WT and
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages. PCNA serves as loading control (C). **B-**Volcano plot showing
differentially expressed proteins in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ compared to WT macrophages. On the x axis
fold change (FC) and in the y axis -log base 10 of the adjusted statistical significance (p.adj) are
plotted. Dashed lines represent the cut-offs for analysis, proteins with a fold change larger than -
/+ 1-fold and p.adj greater than 1.3 (p<0.05) were considered as differentially expressed. Red
dots depict some of the differentially expressed proteins important for iron metabolism. **C** – Heat
map and table depicting the fold change and the role of the main iron related proteins
differentially expressed in LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages. FC= fold change. Raw data found in
Supplementary Table 1. **D-** Western blot analysis on whole cell lysates of iron markers in WT
and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages. GAPDH serves as loading control. **E-** Levels of ferric (Fe^{3+}) and
ferrous (Fe^{2+}) iron in WT and LRRK2 $_{G2019S}$ macrophages. Iron is quantified as μmoles of iron
per mg of protein. Prior to iron quantification, cells were fed overnight with 100 μM ferrous
ammonium sulfate (FAS). The means of three separate experiment are shown. **F-** Representative
images of cherry-FTL distribution in WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages control or treated with
DFO 250 μM for 24 hours. Lysosomes were stained with LTG. Scale bar 4 μm, inset 1μm.**G-**
Qualitative classification of cherry-FTL distribution shown in F. The means of three separate
experiment are shown. **H-** Western blot analysis of NCOA4, FTL and FTH and TfR levels on
whole cell lysates of WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages, control or treated for 24 hours with
250 μM DFO. PCNA serves as loading control. Black arrow indicates proteolytic cleavage
product of FTL.
912

Supplementary Figure 1: LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ RAW macrophages show changes in iron homeostasis
A- Densitometry analysis of FTH, FTL and TfR levels in WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages.
Band intensities were normalized to loading controls, the means of three separate experiment are
shown. One-way ANOVA statistical analysis and standard deviation (SD) are shown. **B-**
Representative images of WT and LRRK2 $_{G2019S}$ macrophages stained with Fe^{2+}sensor
FerroOrange. Fluorescence intensity of the images was color coded using FIJIs thermal LUT.
Scale bar 5 μm. **C-** Quantification of FerroOrange fluorescence intensity of data shown in B. The
means and single values of three separate experiment are shown. One-way ANOVA statistical
analysis and standard deviation (SD) are shown.**D-** Western blot analysis on whole cell lysates of
IRP2 FBXL5 HERC2, ferroportin (FPT) and DMT1 in WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages.
GAPDH serves as loading control. **E-** Quantification of cytosolic Aconitase activity in WT and
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ RAW macrophages, expressed as activity units per mg of protein. The means of
three separate experiment are shown. One-way ANOVA statistical analysis and standard
deviation (SD) are shown.
927

Figure 2: LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ expressing cells cannot clear NCOA4 phase-separated condensates upon
iron overload
A- Representative images of cherry-NCOA4 distribution in WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages
control or treated for 16 hours with FAS 100 μM. Lysosomes were stained with anti LAMP1
antibody. Scale bar 4 μm, inset 1μm. **B-** Quantification of the average size of cytoplasmic
cherry-NCOA4 condensates calculated as μm^2 per cell of data shown in A. The means and single

values of three separate experiment are shown. Multiple comparison one-way ANOVA statistical
analysis and standard deviation (SD) are shown. **C**-Quantification of average number of
cytoplasmic cherry-NCOA4 condensates per cell of data shown in A. The means and single
values of three separate experiment are shown. Multiple comparison one-way ANOVA statistical
analysis and standard deviation (SD) are shown. **D**- Representative time-lapse sequences of
cherry-NCOA4 condensates in WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages treated for 48 hours with
FAS 100 µM. Lysosomes were stained with LTG. Time-lapse experiments were acquired for
5 minutes, capturing both channels every 2 seconds. Scale bar 4 µm, inset 1µm. **E**- Western blot
analysis of triton x-100 soluble and triton x-100 insoluble NCOA4 levels in WT and
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ RAW macrophages control or treated with 25 nM bafilomycin for 3 hours. **F**- Flow
cytometric measurement of median BSA-DQ red fluorescence intensity in WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$
macrophages control or treated for 48 hours with FAS 100 µM. The median of three separate
experiment is shown. **G**- Western blot analysis of NCOA4 and pRAB8 on whole cell extracts of
LRRK2$_{G2019S}$macrophages treated for 16 hours with FAS 100 µM and then treated with 1 µM
MLi-2 for 8 hours. Phosphorylation levels of LRRK2 on S1292 is used as positive control,
ACTIN serves as loading control. **H**- Densitometry analysis of data shown in G. Band intensities
were normalized to loading controls and the means of three separate experiment are shown.
951

Supplementary Figure 2: LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ expressing cells cannot clear NCOA4 phase-separated
condensates upon iron overload
A- Representative images of cherry-FTL distribution in WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages
control or treated for 16 hours with FAS 100 µM. Lysosomes were stained with LTG. Scale bar
4 µm, inset 1µm. **B**- Qualitative classification of cherry-FTL distribution of data shown in A.
The means of three separate experiment are shown. **C**- Representative images of lysosomal
morphology of WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages control or treated for 24 or 48 hours with
FAS 100 µM. Cells expressing TMEM192-HA in their lysosomal surface were stained with anti
HA antibody. Scale bar 4 µm. **D**- Quantification of average number of lysosomes per cell of the
experiment shown in C. The means and single values of three separate experiment are shown. **E**-
Quantification of the average lysosomal size per cell of data shown in C. The means and single
values of three separate experiment are shown. **F**- Flow cytometric measurement of median LTG
fluorescence intensity in WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages control or treated for 48 hours with
FAS 100 µM. The median of three separate experiment is shown. **G**- Western blot analysis of
FTL and FTH levels on whole cell extracts of WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages control or
treated for 4 or 8 hours with 1 µM MLi-2. Phosphorylation levels of LRRK2 on S1292 were
used as positive control, ACTIN serves as loading control. **H**- Representative images of
lysosome morphology of WT and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages treated for 48 hours with FAS 100
µM and then incubated for 8 hours with 1 µM MLi-2 in the presence of iron. Lysosomes were
stained with anti LAMP1 antibody. Scale bar 5 µm.
972

Figure 3: LRRK2 truncation lacking kinase domain reveals similar phenotypes to kinase-active
mutation
A- Schematic representation of LRRK2's domain composition. LRRK2$_{ARM}$ clone was generated
by directing a gRNAs to exon 19, ARM= armadillo, ANK=ankryn, LRR= leucine rich repeat,
ROC+COR = GTPase domain, KIN=kinase domain and WD40 domain. **B**- Western blot
analysis on whole cell lysates of NCOA4, FTL, FTH and TfR in WT and LRRK2$_{KO}$, LRRK2$_{ARM}$

and LRRK2 $_{ARM-KO}$ macrophages. PCNA serves as loading control. **C-** Representative images of
cherry-NCOA4 distribution in WT and LRRK2$_{ARM}$ macrophages control or treated for 16 hours
with FAS 100 µM. Lysosomes were stained with anti LAMP1 antibody. Scale bar 4 µm, inset
1µm. **D-** Quantification of the average size of cytoplasmic cherry-NCOA4 condensates
calculated as µm^2 per cell of data shown in C. The means and single values of three separate
experiment are shown. Multiple comparison one-way ANOVA statistical analysis and standard
deviation (SD) are shown **E-** Quantification of average number of cytoplasmic cherry-NCOA4
condensates per cell of data shown in C. The means and single values of three separate
experiment are shown. One-way ANOVA statistical analysis and standard deviation (SD) are
shown. **F-** Western blot analysis of NCOA4, FTL and FTH in triton x-100 soluble and triton x-
100 insoluble fractions of WT, LRRK$_{ARM}$ and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages. GAPDH and serve as
loading control. **G** - Western blot analysis of bafilomycin dependent lysosomal flux of NCOA4,
FTL and FTH in WT and LRRK2$_{ARM}$ macrophages. Cells were treated for 2 and 16 hours with
25 nM bafilomycin. PCNA serves as loading control.
994
Supplementary Figure 3: LRRK2 truncation lacking kinase domain reveals similar phenotypes to
kinase-active mutation
A- Representative images of cherry-FTL distribution in WT and LRRK2 ARM macrophages
control or treated for 16 hours with FAS 100 µM. Lysosomes were stained with anti LAMP1
antibody. Scale bar 4 µm, inset 1µm. **B-** Quantification of the average size of cytoplasmic
cherry-FTL condensates calculated as µm^2 per cell of data shown in A. The means and single
values of three separate experiment are shown. Multiple comparison one-way ANOVA statistical
analysis and standard deviation (SD) are shown. **C-** Representative images of lysosome
morphology of WT and LRRK2$_{ARM}$ macrophages treated for 48 hours with FAS 100 µM.
Lysosomes were stained with LTG. Scale bar 4 µm. **D-** Quantification of average number of
lysosomes per cell of the experiment shown in C. The means and single values of three separate
experiment are shown. Multiple comparison one-way ANOVA statistical analysis and standard
deviation (SD) are shown. **E-** Quantification of the average lysosomal size per cell of data
shown in C. The means and single values of three separate experiment are shown. Multiple
comparison one-way ANOVA statistical analysis and standard deviation (SD) are shown.
1010
1011 Figure 4: LRRK2 mutations drive dominant, aberrant localization of phosphorylated Rab8 and Akt
1012 activation upon iron overload
1013
A- Western blot analysis of p-RAB8 in WT and LRRK2$_{ARM}$ and LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ macrophages
control or treated with FAS 100 µM. VINCULIN serves as loading control **B-** Representative
images of endogenous pRAB8A distribution in WT, LRRK2$_{G2019S}$, LRRK2$_{ARM}$ and LRRK2$_{ARM}$
$_{KO}$ macrophages control of treated for 8 hours with FAS 100 µM. Lysosomes were stained with
anti LAMP1 antibody. Scale bar 10 µm, inset 5 µm. **C-** Quantification of the percentage of cells
showing positive pRAB8 signal at plasma membrane. The means of three separate experiments
are shown. **D-** Western blot analysis of p-AKT S473 in WT, LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ and LRRK2$_{ARM}$
macrophages control or treated with FAS 100 µM. PCNA serves as loading control. **E-** Growth
curve of WT, LRRK2$_{G2019S}$, LRRK$_{ARM}$ and LRRK2 $_{ARM KO}$ macrophages. Cell count was
followed for 3 days in the presence or absence of 100 µM FAS. Each point shows the average
and standard deviation of 4 measurement. **F-** Representative images of endogenous NRF2
distribution in WT, LRRK2$_{G2019S}$ and LRRK$_{ARM}$ macrophages for 48 hours with FAS 100 µM.

Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI, lysosomes were stained with anti LAMP1 antibody. Scale
bar 10 µm. **G-** Quantification of the percentage of cells showing nuclear NRF2 translocation.
The means and single values of three separate experiment are shown. One-way ANOVA
statistical analysis and standard deviation (SD) are shown.
1030
1031   Supplementary Figure 4: LRRK2 mutations drive dominant, aberrant localization of
1032   phosphorylated Rab8 and Akt activation upon iron overload
1033
1034   **A-**Representative images of endogenous pRAB8A distribution in WT macrophages control of
1035   treated for 3 hours with LLOME 1 mM. Lysosomes were stained with anti LAMP1 antibody.
1036   Scale bar 5 µm. **B-**Representative images of endogenous pRAB8A distribution in mitotic WT
1037   macrophages. Nuclei are stained with DAPI. Scale bar 5 µm.
1038
1039   Supplementary Table 1:
1040   Proteomics dataset from LRRK2G2019S and wild type RAW macrophages.
1041
1042
1043

FIGURE 1

A
G2019S
WT | C | MLi-2
25 — pRAB8$_{T72}$
25 — RAB8
250 — pLRRK2$_{S1292}$
250 — LRRK2
35 — PCNA

B
$-\log_{10}(p.adj)$
Ftl1
Fth1
Tfrc
Cisd3
Slc39a14
$\log_2(FC)$ LRRK2 G2019S vs WT

C
	FC	
Ftl1	4.410	Iron storage and buffering
Slc39a14	2.750	Non-transferrin bound iron uptake
Isca1	2.640	Fe-S cluster assembly in mitochondria
Mt2	1.550	Metal budffering and detoxification
Cisd3	1.540	Mitochondria iron sensing
Sfxn2	1.470	Mitochondrial serine/iron transporter
Egln1	1.450	Iron dependent dioxygenase
Ciapin1	-0.524	Cytosolic Fe-S cluster assembly
Bola2	-0.858	Regulator of cyosolic Fe-S biogenesis
Tfrc	-1.210	Uptake of iron bound to transferrin
Fth1	-1.810	Iron storage and buffering
FRRS1	-2.750	Putative reducer of ferric into ferrous iron

FC: 4, 2, 0, -2

D
WT G2019S
25 — FTL
25 — FTH
100 — TfR
250 — LRRK2
35 — GAPDH

E
Iron concentration ($\mu Mxmg^{-1}$)
Fe^{2+}
Fe^{3+}
WT G2019S

F
cherry-FTL LTG | cherry-FTL | LTG | MERGE
WT — CTRL
WT — DFO
G2019S — CTRL
G2019S — DFO

G
SUBCELLULAR FTL DISTRIBUTION
LYSOSOMAL
DIFFUSE
DIFFUSE AND LYSOSOMAL
WT CTRL | G2019S CTRL | WT DFO | G2019S DFO

H
WT | G2019S
C DFO | C DFO
20 — FTL
20 — FTL LONG
15 —
20 — FTH
100 — TfR
70 — NCOA4
250 — LRRK2
35 — PCNA

SUPPLEMENTARY FIG1

FIGURE2

SUPPLEMENTARY FIG 2

FIGURE 3

SUPPLEMENTARY FIG 3

FIGURE 4

A

FAS   0   8   24   48   0   8   24   48   0   8   24   48   Hrs

WT   ARM   G2019S

25-   pRAB8 T72
25-   RAB8
250-   LRRK2
100-   VINCULIN

B

CONTROL   FAS 8 Hrs

pRAB8 LAMP1   INSET   pRAB8 LAMP1   INSET

WT, G2019S, ARM, ARM KO

C

PERCENTAGE OF CELLS WITH pRAB8 IN PLASMA MEMBRANE

- CONTROL
- FAS 8 HRS

WT   G2019S   ARM   ARM KO

D

FAS   0   8   24   48   0   8   24   48   0   8   24   48   Hrs

WT   ARM   G2019S

70-   pAKT S473
70-   AKT
250-   LRRK2
35-   PCNA

E

GROWTH CURVE

NUMBER OF CELLS (x10^3)

DAYS

- WT
- WT + FAS
- G2019S
- G2019S + FAS
- ARM
- ARM + FAS
- ARM KO
- ARM KO + FAS

F

NRF2   DAPI   LAMP1   MERGE

WT, G2019S, ARM

G

PERCENTAGE OF CELLS WITH NUCLEAR NRF2

<0.0001
<0.0001
<0.0001

- CONTROL
- FAS 48 hrs

WT   G2019S   ARM

SUPPLEMENTARY FIG 4

A

B