Loss of Sox10 prevents tumor initiation and induces luminal-to-basal reprograming in a HER2+

mouse model.

Brennan Garland[1,3], Samuel Delisle[1,3], John Abou-Hamad, Christiano de Souza[3], Riana Zuccarini[1,3]

David P. Cook[1,3], Rebecca Auer[2,3,4] and Luc A. Sabourin[1,3]

1**.** Dept. of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, K1H8M5

2. Dept. of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Immunology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, K1H8M5

3. Dept. of Cancer Therapeutics, The Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, ON, K1H 8L6,

4. Dept. of Surgery, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, K1H 8M5

Corresponding author: Luc A. Sabourin, PhD.

Associate Professor, University of Ottawa

Senior Scientist, Cancer Therapeutics,

Ottawa Hospital Research Institute

501 Smyth Rd, Ottawa, ON, Canada, K1H 8L6

T: 613-737-7700 ext. 79963

lsabourin@ohri.ca

Classification: Biological Sciences, Genetics

Keywords: Sox10, MMTV-Neu, animal model, stem cell

<u>Abstract</u>

The SRY-HMG-Box transcription factor SOX10 plays a critical role in neural crest development,

but its function in epithelial tumorigenesis remains unclear. Here, we identify SOX10 as a key regulator

of tumor-initiating activity in Neu-driven mammary cancers. Genetic ablation of Sox10 in the luminal

compartment of MMTV-Neu (NIC) mice resulted in delayed but normal mammary gland development.

Sox10 deletion resulted in a reduction in mammary progenitors and tumor initiating activity with a

complete loss of tumor initiation in Sox10-deficient luminal cells. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated Sox10

inactivation in Neu-transformed tumor cells led to diminished self-renewal in mammosphere assays,

markedly impaired growth in orthotopic transplant models and profoundly reduced lung colonization

following tail vein injection, suggesting a depletion of cancer stem cell activity. Transcriptomic profiling

revealed that Sox10-deficiency in Neu+ tumor cells induces a luminal-to-basal/stem-like shift and the

downregulation of several genes associated with genetic networks regulating stemness. Collectively, these

findings demonstrate that Sox10 is required for a permissive luminal cell state for Neu-driven tumor

initiation and that it is critical for cancer stem cell activity and the establishment of metastases.

Significance

The Sox10 transcription factor is critical for mammary stem cell function and plasticity. Animal studies suggest that different subtypes of breast cancers arise from luminal epithelial cells and hypothesized the implication of luminal stem cells in breast cancer initiation. We show that Sox10 deletion in the luminal compartment of adult female mice abrogates tumor initiation. Sox10 inactivation impairs tumor cell growth, dissemination and reprograms luminal Neu+ tumor cells to a basal phenotype. Our data supports a model whereby luminal progenitors are required for tumor initiation, self-renewal and growth at distant sites. The findings suggest that therapies aimed at interfering with Sox10 activity or expression might be beneficial in the treatment of breast cancers.

Introduction

The HER2/ErbB2/Neu receptor tyrosine-kinase (RTK) is overexpressed in approximately 25-30% of human breast cancers (1, 2). Currently, the most effective form of treatment for HER2+ breast cancer remains chemotherapy in combination with trastuzumab (Herceptin). However, acquired or *de novo* resistance is observed in up to 70% of HER2+ metastatic breast cancers (3, 4). Murine models of HER2-positive breast cancer have confirmed the oncogenic role of HER2 in breast tumor initiation and progression (5, 6), yet identification of the cell population susceptible to HER2-mediated transformation remains largely undefined. This highlights the need to investigate regulators of lineage plasticity as a potential prerequisite for malignant reprogramming.

Sox10 (Sry-HMG Box 10) is a critical transcriptional regulator of cell fate determination and several developmental processes during embryogenesis (7, 8). Recently, it has been found that Sox10 expression is conserved in mammary epithelial cell populations, specifically in those with the highest degree of stem/progenitor cell activity (9). Consistent with this, conditional deletion of Sox10 in mice has been shown to disrupt normal mammary gland development, further highlighting its functional role in the maintenance of the mammary epithelial lineage (10).

Currently, the role of Sox10 in malignant progression remains elusive and controversial with different observations in various types of cancers (11, 12). In the context of breast cancer, we and others have shown that Sox10 is a marker for select basal-like carcinomas such as triple-negative breast cancers (13-17). Recenly, Sox10 has been shown to be highly expressed in luminal progenitors of the human mammary glands and is over-represented in TNBC brain metastases (18).

Using murine models of luminal-, and basal-like mammary tumorigenesis, Sox10-expressing tumours exhibited a de-differentiated state and acquired a more mesenchymal-like phenotype (19). Mechanistically, Sox10 was found to be directly bound to promoter regions of genes regulating epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), stem/progenitor cell activity, and neural crest identity (19). Functional studies using C3-TAg mice, a model of basal-like breast cancer, revealed that Sox10 haploinsufficiency significantly prolongs survival, directly implicating it in disease progression (19). Supporting this, using a

murine model of HER2+ breast cancer, we have shown that increased numbers of Sox10+ cells correlates

with a more rapid tumor initiation (20).

Our lab has recently shown that TCGA datasets revealed that approximately 15% of HER2+

breast cancers are Sox10^{hi} (21). Consistent with this, others have demonstrated substantial variability in

proportions of the Sox10+ populations (2% - 79%) among HER2+ tumour samples (20, 22-25).

Interestingly, Sox10^{hi} cohorts were associated with high EMT-like signatures, worse grade, and a stem-

like phenotype, suggesting that Sox10+/HER2+ tumors represent a more aggressive de-differentiated

subtype (20, 22, 25).

Despite these observations, whether the Sox10+ luminal population is critical for HER2-driven

tumorigenesis or represents a transitional state of cellular plasticity remains unresolved. Here, we

investigated the functional and genetic consequences of conditional Sox10 deletion in MMTV-NIC mice

(26). We find that luminal deletion of Sox10 delays mammary gland development but completely

abrogate hyperplasia development and tumor initiation in MMTV-NIC mice. CRISPR-mediated deletion

of Sox10 in established Neu+ cell lines impairs tumor growth in orthotopic assays and prevents tumor

expansion in lung colonization studies. Tumor cells devoid of Sox10 show a luminal to basal/stem-like

shift in gene signature. These results suggest that Sox10+ luminal progenitors are required for Neu-

induced tumorigenesis and that the loss of Sox10 can reprogram cancer cells to a basal-like state.

<u>Results</u>

Sox10 inactivation delays mammary gland development

Previous studies have shown that Sox10 is required for full development of the mammary gland

(10). However, the MMTV-Cre strains used have been shown to display intrinsic developmental defects

due to transgene positional effects and leaky expression in the myoepithelial/basal layer (27, 28). As the

MMTV-NIC and MMTV-Cre lines used here have been shown to be exclusively expressed in the luminal

compartment (26, 29-31), we first assessed mammary gland development in this background.

Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ mice (32) were crossed with MMTV-Cre mice (29) and mammary gland development was

assessed at 4 and 11 weeks of age. As previously reported (29), in a MMTV-Cre:ROSA26 background,

LacZ staining marked Cre expression in rudimentary mammary glands as early as P14 (Suppl. Fig.1A).

At 4-weeks of age, MMTV-Cre:Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ females showed a slight delay in mammary tree invasion, end

bud numbers and ductal branch point morphogenesis (Fig. 1A-C). However, by about 11-weeks of age,

no obvious defects were observed in post-pubertal virgin females and mammary glands were

indistinguishable from that of the wildtype group (Fig.1A-C). Furthermore, no defects in epithelial

organization were observed (Fig.1D) and similar proportions of luminal (CK8) and basal cells (SMA)

were present (Suppl. Fig. 1B,C). While basal cells remained Sox10+, immunofluorescence showed lower

expression of luminal Sox10 and approximately a 60% reduction in Sox10+ luminal cells in 11-week-old

in MMTV-Cre:Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ females (Fig. 1E, F). Inefficient but progressive deletion of this Sox10 allele

throughout the lifespan of the animals has also been observed previously (32). Supporting this, in 16

week-old MMTV-NIC mice, other than the basal layer, virtually all luminal cells were devoid of Sox10

(Suppl. Fig.1D,E). In contrast to a previous report (10), it is likely that delayed inactivation of Sox10 in

luminal progenitors in our model resulted in the development of mature mammary glands.

Sox10 deletion in MMTV-NIC mice inhibits tumor initiation

To assess the role of Sox10 in Neu-mediated mammary tumourigenesis, Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ mice were crossed

with transgenic MMTV-Neu-IRES-Cre (MMTV-NIC) mice (26), driving luminal-specific expression of a

bicistronic transcript containing both a constitutively active Neu/ErbB2 (NeuNDL2-5) and Cre

recombinase (29). Kaplan-Meier analyses showed a significant increase in disease-free survival (average

76-days) for MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{fl/+}$ heterozygote mice when compared to wild-type MMTV-

NIC:Sox10$^{+/+}$ (Fig. 2A). Surprisingly, MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ mice remained disease free for the duration

of the study, suggesting a complete absence of tumor initiation. Western blot and densitometry analysis of

tumour lysates from wildtype and MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{fl/+}$ mice revealed similar levels of Sox10

expression along with the luminal marker CK8 (Fig. 2B; see Suppl. Fig.8A). Although some variability

was observed, no significant differences were found in the levels of pAKT or pERK1/2, suggesting that

MAPK and PI3K signaling downstream of Neu is unaffected *in vivo*.

Palpable tumours were readily detectable in MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{+/+}$ about 80 days sooner than in

MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{fl/+}$ mice (Fig.2C). However, time from onset to endpoint was unchanged in either

group, suggesting that the growth of established tumors was unaffected (Fig. 2D). Supporting our onset to

endpoint data, no quantitative differences were observed for the proliferative and apoptotic markers, Ki-

67 and cleaved caspase-3, respectively (Suppl. Fig.2A-D).Although Sox10 expression was similar in

endpoint tumors (Fig.2B), one possibility is that Sox10 haploinsufficiency in non-transformed luminal

cells significantly delays tumor initiation. To further investigate the observed delay in tumour onset,

mammary glands were collected at 16-weeks of age, prior to tumor detection, and assessed for

hyperplastic lesions. Sox10 staining in 16 week-old MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ revealed a marked reduction in

Sox10+ luminal cells with numerous SMA+/Sox10+ basal cells (see Suppl. Fig.1,E), suggesting efficient

luminal Sox10 excision. Hematoxylin staining of 16-week-old mammary gland sections revealed the

presence of numerous hyperplastic lesions in wildtype MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{+/+}$ mice (Fig.2E,F; Suppl.

Fig.2G). However, no hyperplasia were observed in age matched MMTV-NIC: Sox10$^{fl/+}$ mice (Fig.2E,F;

Suppl. Fig.2H). On average, an additional 8 weeks was required for heterozygote mice to display

hyperplastic lesions detectable by haematoxylin stain (Fig.2E,F; Suppl. Fig.2I). Supporting an absence of

tumor formation, no hyperplasia was ever detected in MMTV-NIC: Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ mice, up to 420 days of age

(Suppl. Fig.2J). Together, these data suggest that tumor initiation is abrogated in Sox10-deficient luminal

cells.

The term LASP (luminal adaptive secretory precursor) has been proposed to describe the most

disparate group of mammary luminal cells encompassing luminal/alveolar progenitors/precursors, luminal

secretory and hormone receptor-negative (33). We will hereafter use LASPs to refer to luminal cells with

potential stem/progenitor activity. Genetic studies revealed that Sox10 is expressed in a progenitor subset

of LASPs (9, 18, 34-37). Because of the critical role of Sox10 in murine mammary stem/progenitor cell

function (9, 19), we assessed the LASP compartment using flow cytometry (38-43). Flow sorting of the

Lin$^-$/CD24^{hi}/CD49f$^+$ in 8 week-old MMTV-Cre virgin females showed no difference in the total luminal

populations between wild type and Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ mice (Fig.2G,H and Suppl. Fig8.C). However, further sorting

of the luminal compartment into CD24^{hi}/CD49f$^+$/CD61$^+$, enriched for progenitor activity (41-43), shows

a 2-fold reduction in the LASP population in Sox10-deficient mammary glands (Fig.2I and Suppl.

Fig.8D). In transgenic models of spontaneous mammary tumors, the Lin$^-$/CD49f$^+$/CD61$^+$ population was

found to be enriched for tumor initiating activity (44, 45). Supporting this, a two-fold reduction in the

Lin-/CD49f$^+$/CD61$^+$ population was observed in 16 week-old MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{fl/+}$ heterozygote mice,

suggesting a depletion of tumor initiating activity upon the loss of a single copy of Sox10 (Fig.2J and

Suppl. Fig.8E).

The Sox9 transcription factor has been shown to be critical for luminal lineage commitment and

tumor progression in a TNBC mouse model (36, 46, 47). Therefore, we assessed Sox9 expression in 16

week-old mammary glands. For all MMTV-NIC:Sox10 genotypes, strong Sox9 expression was observed

in both the basal and luminal layers (Suppl. Fig.2K), suggesting that the loss of Sox10 does not affect

Sox9 expression. Importantly, despite Sox9 expression, tumor initiation was impaired suggesting that

181 Sox9 cannot compensate for Sox10 in this process. Together, these data suggest a critical role for Sox10

182 in the maintenance of LASPs and in Neu-induced mammary tumourigenesis.

184 ***Sox10-deficient Neu$^+$ cell lines have reduced tumorigenic potential in vivo***

185  Immunohistochemical analyses of endpoint tumors revealed that all tumors from wildtype and

186 MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{fl/+}$ heterozygotes expressed Sox10 and histologically resembled solid/nodular

187 adenocarcinomas (6, 26) (Suppl. Fig.2E,F). However, as previously reported for human HER2☐ tumors

188 (20, 22, 23, 25), the number of Sox10+ nuclei was found to vary greatly between tumors (~15-80%; see

189 Suppl. Fig.2F), potentially reflecting the heterogeneity and the variable CSC content of those tumors.

190 Similarly, western blot analysis of MMTV-NIC- and MMTV-NDL-derived tumor cell lines showed

191 markedly different levels of Sox10 expression (Suppl. Fig.3A).

192  To further characterize the observed *in vivo* phenotype, we derived new low passage Sox10-

193 expressing tumor cell lines from endpoint tumors. Two independent tumor cell lines expressing Sox10

194 (BG1 and BG2; Suppl. Fig.3A) were successfully established from MMTV-NeuNDL tumour-bearing

195 mice (5, 48). Immunostaining showed on average approximately 75 and 90% *Sox10*+ nuclei for BG2

196 (Fig.3A) and BG1 cells (Suppl. Fig.3D), respectively. To inactivate Sox10, two guide RNAs

197 (sgRNA/RFP) targeting amino acids 168-175 (sgRNA-1) or 67-74 (sgRNA-2) were transduced into cell

198 lines expressing Cas9 and RFP+ cells were flow sorted. Sox10 knockout was then assessed by

199 immunofluorescence, western blotting and RT-qPCR. In both lines, transduction with sgRNA-2 resulted

200 in markedly reduced levels of Sox10 protein and less than 5% of *Sox10*+ nuclei (see Fig. 3A, B and

201 Suppl. Fig.3C). Therefore, the sgRNA-2 pools were selected for further studies. Both Neu+/Sox10$^-$ BG1

202 and BG2 cell lines exhibited growth rates similar to that of the non-targeting control (NTC) in culture

203 (Suppl. Fig.3E,F), suggesting that Sox10 is not required for tumor cell proliferation or cell viability *in*

204 *vitro*. Furthermore, the Sox10-deficient cell lines retained Neu expression, suggesting that the transgene is

205 not affected by the loss of Sox10. Although, no differences were observed in the levels of pERK1/2,

206 higher levels of pAKT were detected in the two BG1 Sox10-null clones (Suppl. Fig.3C and 8B).

Deletion of Sox10 did not have any effect on cell migration as tested in transwell chambers (Suppl.

Fig.3G,H). Supporting previous data in mammary organoids (9), Sox10-deficient cells showed a marked

reduction in secondary mammosphere formation, suggesting impaired stem/progenitor activity (Fig. 3D

and Suppl. Fig.3I). For all assays, both Sox10-deficient lines showed similar results. Although no effect

on proliferation was observed *in vitro*, orthotopic injection of pooled BG2-Sox10$^+$ and Sox10$^-$ tumor cells

into the mammary fat pad of immunodeficient mice revealed a marked delay in tumor growth in the

Sox10-deficient group (Fig. 3D, E). Interestingly, Sox10 immunohistochemistry on tumors at endpoint

showed that the proportion of Sox10+ cells was similar between Sox10+ and Sox10$^-$ cell lines (Suppl.

Fig.3J). As the pool of BG2-Sox10$^-$ injected cells did not show a complete loss of Sox10 (see Fig.3A), it

is likely that the tumors arising upon injection of Sox10$^-$ cells are due to residual Sox10-expressing

subpopulations. As Sox10 deletion does not affect cell proliferation *in vitro*, these data suggest that Sox10

loss impairs tumorigenic potential *in vivo*.

Western blot analyses show a wide range of Sox10 expression in NIC- and Neu-NDL-derived tumor

lines with some showing undetectable levels (see Suppl. Fig.3A), suggesting that Sox10 expression may

be lost upon prolonged passaging in culture. Supporting this, we have observed *Sox10* loss over time in

BG2 cells (see Suppl. Fig.3B). However, BG1 cells displayed stable Sox10 expression over multiple

passages and were selected for additional *in vivo* studies. To avoid the potential expansion of residual

Sox10$^+$ cells upon transplantation, the BG1sgRNA-2 pools were cloned and expanded. Two Sox10-

deficient clones were randomly selected for further studies (see Suppl. Fig.3C). For both clones,

sequencing analyses showed deletions or mutation of amino acids 76 and 77, resulting in premature stop

codons in exon 4.

To compare the tumorigenic potential and cancer stem cell (CSC) activity, ten-fold dilutions of

BG1Sox10$^+$ and Sox10$^-$ cells were injected orthotopically in NSG mice. Tumor volume measurements

over time showed no measurable growth in all animals injected with BG1 Sox10-deficient tumor cells

(Fig.4A). Supporting this, a marked reduction in tumor weight was observed in all animals bearing Sox10$^-$

cells (Suppl. Fig.4A,B). Other than rare small pockets of Sox10+ cells, immunohistochemical analysis of

residual tissue confirmed the absence of *Sox10* expression (Fig. 4B). Together, these data suggest that the

CSC activity is markedly impaired in Sox10-deficient cells.

To test whether Sox10-null cells could seed at distant sites, control and Sox10⁻ clones were injected

into the tail vein of immunodeficient mice. Supporting the orthotopic results, control cells displayed a

heavy metastatic burden with numerous lesions in the lungs whereas the Sox10-null cells failed to

produce readily detectable metastases (Fig.4C,D; Suppl. Fig.4C). Interestingly, staining of adjacent lung

sections from mice injected with Sox10⁻ cells shows areas of Cas9-positive cells without Sox10+ cells,

indicating the presence of Sox10-null BG1 cells (Fig.4D). One possibility is that Sox10-null cells can

colonize the lungs at very low efficiency. Alternatively, Sox10-deficient tumor cells can extravasate into

the lungs but cannot efficiently expand. As for adult mammary glands, Sox10-deficient Neu+ tumor cells

express Sox9 (Suppl. Fig.3K), suggesting that Sox9 expression is not sufficient to promote tumor growth

in vivo in the absence of Sox10. Together, these findings support the requirement for Sox10 for both CSC

maintenance and the establishment of metastatic niches in Neu-driven mammary cancer.

Sox10 depletion in Neu⁺ transformed cells induces basal reprogramming

To gain further insights into Sox10-dependent genetic programs in our model, we compared

RNA-Seq data from BG1 Sox10-knockout clones (sgRNA2-1, sgRNA2-2) to non-targeting control

(NTC) cells. Pearson-correlation matrix (Suppl. Fig. 5A) showed clustering of both Sox10 knockout

clones compared to the NTC. Comparison of the significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in

each knockout clone revealed >50% overlap of downregulated and upregulated genes between the two

clones with over 1500 common genes in both sets (Suppl. Fig. 5B). Unbiased analysis of common

differentially expressed genes and Q-PCR revealed that multiple luminal lineage markers as well as

luminal progenitor markers were found to be significantly downregulated in both Sox10 knockout clones.

(EpCAM, CK8, CK18, Foxa1, Ngfr, Etv1 and Elf5; Fig.5A,B,D and Suppl. Fig.3K-M). However, some

differences in expression (e.g.: ESR1) were observed between the two clones, suggesting that they might

represent different cell states. Nevertheless, both clones showed a marked luminal-to-basal shift based of

their gene expression profile. Consistent with re-programming upon the loss of Sox10, markers associated

with a mammary stem cell phenotype (MaSC; Lgr6, Id4, Snai2, Foxc1, and Wnt6) and classical basal

identity (keratins 5 and 14, Acta2, and Trp63) were upregulated (Fig.5A, C and Suppl. Fig. 3I). However,

only a subset of genes associated with the MaSC phenotype was upregulated in Sox10 knockout lines,

suggesting that the Sox10-null cells are functionally distinct from MaSC.

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) on the common DEGs using the C2:CGP (Curated - Chemical

and Genetic Perturbations) gene set collection identified multiple gene signatures associated with reduced

luminal and increased mesenchymal/MaSC identity (Fig. 5E). Using the Reactome database and

overrepresentation analysis on our list of common DEGs, we found that cytoskeletal remodelling, integrin

interactions and ECM production were all likely affected by Sox10 knockout (Suppl. Fig. 5D). Typically,

these processes are associated with basal/MaSC-like cells, supporting a basal/MaSC-like or EMT-like

shift and loss of luminal identity in Sox10-deficient tumor cells. Upon Sox10 deletion, PROGENY

activity scores show a reduction in MAPK and JAK-STAT signalling, important pathways for

maintaining luminal progenitor identity (49-51) (Suppl. Fig.5E). Although they display incomplete Sox10

knock out, GSEA and Reactome database analyses of the pooled CRISPR/Cas BG1 Sox10-null cells also

revealed signatures associated reduced luminal identity and integrin interactions and ECM production

(Suppl. Fig.6A, B).

To evaluate whether the Sox10+ signature is consistent with a Sox10-high program in human breast

cancers, we collected scRNA-Seq data comprising 278k cells from 91 breast tumours of diverse subtypes

(52-55) and observed that Sox10 expression is elevated in a subset of the epithelial fraction (Suppl.

Fig.7A, B). We then performed gene set scoring based on the relative expression of each gene across the

cells. Using the top 50 downregulated genes from our murine Sox10 knock-out signature, we found that

the activity of this gene set was notably higher in the Sox10-high subset of cells, in agreement with the

murine findings in Sox10+ tumor cells (Suppl.Fig.7C). This was also observed for the luminal/basal

signature obtained in Fig.5A (Suppl. Fig.7D-F). These data suggest that human Sox10^{hi} and our murine

Sox10+ breast cancer cells share significant overlap in their gene expression profile. Comparison to

previous genetic profiling of murine and human breast cancers, (39, 56, 57) also revealed that Sox10-

deficient Neu+ cells display a strong positive enrichment (NES = 1.84) for genes up-regulated in MaSCs

(Suppl. Fig.7G) but strong negative enrichment (NES = 2.16) for down-regulated genes (Suppl. Fig.7H).

Supporting this, Sox10 knockout negatively enriched (NES = -1.95) for genes up-regulated in luminal-

like breast cancer cell lines (Suppl. Fig.7I, J). Although differences are observed between the various

gene lists, GSEA analyses suggest that Sox10-knockout induces reprogramming resulting in a

mesenchymal/stem-like state. This is consistent with PROGENy pathway activity inference showing

elevated NFkB and hypoxia signalling, both of which have been associated with mammary

stem/progenitor populations (58, 59). Overall, genetic profiling of Sox10-deficient Neu+ tumor cells

reveals a gene expression shift towards a more basal/stem-like state.

<u>Discussion</u>

297   Previous studies have demonstrated the expression of Sox10 in murine and human mammary

progenitors (9, 10, 18, 19). Supporting a role for Sox10 in mammary stem cell function, its conditional

deletion in the basal and luminal compartments impairs mammary gland development (10). Variable

proportions of Sox10+ cells have been reported for both TNBC and HER2+ breast cancers (20, 22, 23,

25), suggesting that it is expressed in subpopulations of cancer cells. Using a conditional Sox10 allele, we

tested the effect of Sox10 luminal deletion on tumor progression in a HER2+ mouse model. In this

oncogenic background, our studies reveal an essential role for Sox10 in Neu-driven tumor initiation.

Consistent with previous data using a basal-like model (19), Sox10 heterozygote females showed

significantly delayed tumor initiation. These similarities across distinct models suggest that Sox10 dosage

plays a rate-limiting role in mammary tumorigenesis.

307   Using both *in vivo* and *in vitro* approaches, we demonstrate that Sox10 is required for tumor

initiation, the maintenance of CSC activity and lung colonization. Interestingly, in a MMTV-PyMT

model, the seeding of distant metastases was shown to be associated with tumor cells of luminal origin

(16). Here, the acquisition of a basal-like phenotype may also impair lung colonization. Inactivation of

Sox10 in Neu+ tumor cells results in the loss of luminal markers and the induction of a basal/stem-like

gene signature, suggesting genetic reprogramming. Importantly, despite partial basal/stem-like

reprogramming, Sox10-deficient cells showed reduced CSC activity, suggesting that stemness and cell

identity can be uncoupled.

In a large T antigen mouse model, Sox9 upregulation can drive luminal-to-basal plasticity and its

deletion prevents the progression of hyperplasia to breast carcinomas (46). Interestingly, Sox9 protein

levels were upregulated in Sox10-deficient Neu+ tumor cells (Suppl. Fig.3I), potentially contributing to

the upregulation of basal genes. We also found that Sox9 expression was readily detectable in luminal

cells following Sox10 deletion *in vivo*, suggesting that Sox9 is unable to compensate for Sox10 in driving

tumor initiation. Supporting this, endogenous Sox9 was also unable to rescue tumor growth in orthotopic

transplants of Sox10-deficient cells. Collectively, these findings suggest that Sox10 expression is required

to establish a "transformation-competent" state in mammary progenitors. This supports prior reports on

the role of Sox10 in transcriptional programs associated with multipotency and plasticity (43, 60-62). In

the absence of Sox10, oncogenic drivers such as HER2/Neu, fail to initiate tumors, highlighting its

gatekeeping role.

In contrast to the previously reported developmental arrest in Sox10-deficient mammary glands (10),

we only observed a delay in mammary gland development. This is likely due to MMTV-Cre strain

differences and genetic background. Whereas the MMTV promoter used here is restricted to the luminal

layer in an FVB/n background (26, 29-31), the MMTV-Cre lines used elsewhere show both luminal and

basal expression (27, 28), likely ablating all Sox10+ progenitors. Here, luminal deletion of Sox10 may

allow delayed reprogramming of the basal cells and the development of functional mammary glands.

Alternatively, progressive Cre-mediated deletion of Sox10 (32) could also account for the observed

developmental delay. Nevertheless, luminal deletion throughout the lifespan of the animals prevented

tumor onset in the MMTV-NIC model.

Importantly, the complete absence of mammary tumorigenesis was not due to impaired mammary

gland development, as MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ glands formed normally and retained ductal architecture.

Surprisingly, RNA-Seq analysis of Sox10-deficient tumor cell lines revealed a downregulation of luminal

markers, suggesting that Sox10 deletion induces a loss of luminal progenitor "identity". Sox10-deficient

tumor cells also upregulated a subset of basal/stem-like markers, suggesting that Sox10 may also recruit

or activate genes implicated in active repression of basal gene expression. One possibility is that Sox10-

deficient transformed cells may adopt a lineage-intermediate state with partial luminal identity without

full acquisition of a basal/stem-like lineage phenotype. This supports the notion that Sox10 can drive

lineage plasticity and is permissive for tumor initiation by sustaining a flexible luminal progenitor

transcriptional landscape. The loss of Sox10 may result in a hybrid/transitional state unable to support

tumorigenesis.

Previous studies in MMTV-Neu and MMTV-PyMT mice supported the view that mammary tumors

originate from stem/progenitor cells (63, 64). Similarly, lineage tracing studies showed that basal-like

breast tumors etiologically arise from luminal progenitors rather than from basal stem cells (65-67),

suggesting luminal-to-basal reprogramming. In a MMTV-PyMT model, Sox10 may be required for this

luminal to basal plasticity (16). In agreement with those findings, our data support a model whereby

breast tumor initiation in a HER2 model requires Sox10+ luminal cells.

Transcriptomic analyses of Sox10-deficient tumor lines revealed downregulation of gene

programs associated with stemness and luminal identity (see Fig.5). Although our analysis identified

similarities with previous genetic profiling of Sox10$^{hi/lo}$ tumor cells (19) (Etv1, Sox8, Tfap2c, Dlx1),

significant differences were also observed (LMO4, Snai2, Wnt10a, Zeb2). Such Sox10-associated

differences were also observed between tumor models and human TCGA data (19). This supports the

hypothesis that the "reprogramming" functions of Sox10 may be dependent on the oncogenic signal or

trigger (41, 62, 68). This could affect the complement of Sox10-associated co-factors and downstream

gene expression profile. This transcriptional flexibility would either maintain luminal identity or allow re-

programming toward basal-like states. This would also support a critical role for Sox10 across the

spectrum of breast cancer subtypes.

Genetic profiling suggests a re-programming to a basal/stem-like state in Sox10-null tumor cells.

Despite this basal/stem-like shift, orthotopic growth is markedly impaired, suggesting a depletion of CSC

activity. Although this CSC activity is likely to be different than the *de novo* tumor initiating population ,

flow sorting analyses revealed a significant reduction in the number of LASPs (MMTV-Cre:Sox10$^{fl/fl}$) and

mammary cells with tumor initiating activity (MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{fl/fl}$) upon Sox10 deletion (Fig.2). In

agreement with this, our data show that Sox10 loss may disrupt expression of canonical and non-

canonical Wnt signaling pathways as well as downregulate several stemness factors (eg. Notch3, Etv1,

Elf5, Msx1). Interestingly, Sox10 inactivation also upregulates *Sfrp1*, a Wnt suppressor upregulated upon

deletion of Ezh2 (69). Conversely, loss of Sox10 downregulates *Etv1*, shown to be required for the

maintenance of the TIC phenotype (70). It is then possible that Sox10-regulated luminal identity and

stemness networks involve distinct pathways and regulators. The loss of Sox10 can induce a shift to a

basal/stem-like state with a concomitant loss of critical regulators of stem cell function (e.g. Elf5, Etv1).

These findings position Sox10 as a key transcriptional regulator maintaining a hybrid

epithelial/mesenchymal state; a feature recognized as a hallmark of plastic, tumorigenic cells (62).

Although our Sox10-deficient tumor cell lines downregulate luminal markers, they retain Neu

expression *in vitro.* However, it is possible that Sox10-/- progenitors lose their luminal identity prior to

transformation *in vivo,* leading to an absence of tumor initiation. In established tumors and transformed

cell lines, Neu expression may become uncoupled from the luminal state. Nevertheless, the presence of

Sox10+ luminal cells *in vivo* appears to be necessary for Neu-mediated transformation and the

maintenance of CSC populations.

We find that Sox10 expression is progressively lost in most MMTV-NDL-derived tumor cell lines

with extended passaging in 2D cultures. Similarly, no Sox10 expression has been reported in the PY230

cell line (19) and several human TNBC (18). Our early passage BG1 and BG2 lines display ~75-90%

Sox10+ cells, suggesting heterogeneity within cell lines. Interestingly, only BG1 cells retained their

Sox10+ subpopulation upon passaging, suggesting that most cell lines lose their Sox10+ content over

time. This may be due to partial differentiation of that populations, resulting in reduced stem cell

potential. In contrast to a human TNBC line (18), CRISPR/Cas-mediated Sox10 gene inactivation in two

of our murine cell lines did not induce cell death or growth inhibition, suggesting that it is not required for

in vitro survival or proliferation. However, orthotopic transplants showed that Sox10 is essential for

maintaining stemness. These findings highlight the importance of using early-passage lines or the use of

in vivo systems for functional studies, as long-term cultures may evolve or enrich for different

subpopulations.

Overall, our data show that murine Neu+/Sox10+ cell lines share significant gene expression overlap

with human Sox10^{hi} breast cancer samples. In addition, we established a critical role for Sox10+ luminal

cells in Neu-induced tumor initiation and lung colonization. Whether acting to enforce lineage identity or

sustain self-renewal programs, Sox10 appears to be a regulator of tumor competency and progression

across distinct breast cancer subtypes. In luminal tumors, Sox10 may be required to sustain a progenitor-

like state permissive to transformation. In basal-like contexts, it may support lineage reprogramming from

luminal origins. This dual role suggests that therapeutic targeting of Sox10 or its downstream effectors

could impact not only tumor initiation, but also the maintenance of CSCs and the evolutionary trajectory

of tumors as they acquire more aggressive phenotypes.

Materials and Methods

Animals

       All animal experiments were pre-approved and conducted in accordance with University of Ottawa Animal Care and Veterinary Service guidelines. Rosa26R-LacZ, MMTV-NDL, MMTV-NIC, and MMTV-Cre transgenic mice were generously provided by Dr. William Muller (McGill University), while Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ mice were a kind gift from Dr. Michael Wegner (Friedrich-Alexander-Universität, Germany). Other than immune compromised hosts, all mice were maintained on an FVB/n background. Mice were weaned at P21, and genotyping was performed using DNA extracted from ear notches with the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Cat. No: 69504). PCR was performed using REDTaq DNA Polymerase (VWR, Cat. No: 76620-472). Primer sequences and annealing conditions are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Founder NCG mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories and bred in-house.

       For survival studies, NIC females were monitored biweekly for tumour development starting at 12 weeks of age. Tumour onset was defined by a total tumour burden of 5 mm³, and progression was tracked until endpoint of 17 mm³, at which point mice were euthanized and tumours collected. For hyperplasia analysis, NIC females were sacrificed at 16-, and 24-weeks of age. Fourth inguinal mammary glands were harvested, fixed in 10% buffered formalin for 72 hours, washed in PBS (3 × 5 min), and stored in 70% ethanol at 4°C until processing. Embedding, sectioning (4 μm), and mounting were performed by the University of Ottawa Pathology Core Facility. For whole-mount analysis, glands were dry-mounted on glass slides, defatted in 100% acetone for 7 days, stained in hematoxylin overnight, and destained in 70% EtOH:1% HCl for 48–72 hours. Glands were then dehydrated in 100% ethanol followed by xylene.

       For orthotopic injection studies, cell lines were resuspended in a 1:1 PBS:Matrigel mixture and injected into the third or fourth mammary gland of 12-week-old female NCG mice. Tumour development was monitored biweekly by palpation until endpoint was reached. For tail vein injections, 1×10^6 cells in PBS were injected into the left or right lateral tail vein of 12-week-old NCG mice. Mice were monitored

twice weekly for changes in body weight, respiration rate, and behavioral changes such as hunched

posture and lack of grooming until the end of the 28-day study period.

Western Blotting

Protein lysates were prepared from either cultured cell lines or freshly resected tumor tissue.

Samples were washed in ice-cold PBS, then homogenized and lysed in RIPA buffer Supplemented with

protease/phosphatase inhibitors and processed as described previously (21). Protein concentration was

determined using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay Dye Reagent Concentrate (Bio-Rad, cat: 5000006).

Equivalent amounts of total protein (30 μg) were resolved on polyacrylamide gels, transferred to

polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes and probed with the indicated antibodies.

Primary cell line isolation and CRISPR-Cas9 Gene Inactivation

At endpoint, tumors were mechanically dissociated, incubated overnight at 37°C in Gentle

Collagenase/Hyaluronidase digestion medium (1:10 in DMEM; StemCell, cat: 7919). Red blood cells

were then lysed in ACK buffer (50 mM NH☐Cl, 10 mM KHCO☐, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 7.2–7.4) for 5 min

on ice. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in 1 mg/mL DNase I (Sigma, cat: 10104159001) and filtered

through a 40 µm strainer. Lineage-negative (Lin☐) cells were isolated using the Mouse Mammary Stem

Cell Enrichment Kit (Stemcell Technologies, cat: 19757), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cells

were cultured in a 1:1 mix of Suppl.emented DMEM (Corning, cat# 17-207-CV) and Ham's F-12

(ThermoFisher, cat# 11765070) as previously described (21, 71).

For CRISPR knockout, guide RNAs targeting the Sox10 ORF in exons 2 and 3, respectively,

were designed using Benchling (Benchling.com) and cloned into BsmBI-digested pLKO H2B-mRFP-2A-

puro (gift from Dr. Daniel Schramek, University of Toronto). Target cells were first transduced with

pLenti-Cas9-Blast lentivirus and selected for blasticidin resistance and Cas9 expression. Cells were

subsequently transduced with the pLKO H2B-mRFP-2A-puro plasmid containing Sox10-targeting

guides, and RFP☐ cells were flow sorted using a Sony MA900.

Immunohistochemistry and fluorescence staining

Tissue samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, washed in PBS, and stored in 70% ethanol

at 4°C until processing. Embedding, sectioning (4 μm), and mounting were performed by the University

of Ottawa Pathology Core Facility. Sections were deparaffinized before undergoing antigen retrieval in

10 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) and quenched using 3% hydrogen peroxide (Sigma, cat: H1009).

Sections were permeabilized, blocked and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies (see

Suppl.ementary Table 1). Detection was achieved with SignalStain® Boost IHC Detection Reagent

(NEB, cat: 8125S/8114S) and Vector DAB Peroxidase Substrate Kit (MJS BioLynx, cat: VECTSK4100),

followed by hematoxylin/eosin counterstaining. Slides were imaged using a ZEISS™ Axioscan 7

microscope and analyzed with Zen 2.3 software.

For fluorescence immunostaining, cells grown on coverslips were fixed, permeabilized incubated

with primary antibodies (71) (Suppl.ementary Table 1). Antigens were visualized using Alexa Fluor-

conjugated secondary antibodies and counterstained with DAPI (1:5000, ThermoFisher, cat: D1306).

Mounted coverslips were imaged using a ZEISS™ Axio Imager 2 microscope with Zen 2.3 software.

Real-time Quantitative PCR

Cell line and tissue RNA isolation and subsequent cDNA preparation was completed using

TRIzol (ThermoFisher, cat: 15596026) and Superscript III (ThermoFisher, cat: 18080093) as per

manufactures' protocols. RT-qPCR was performed using an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time Fast

PCR thermocycler. Relative mRNA expression was calculated using the ΔΔCT method and normalizing

to total levels of ribosomal 18S.

Proliferation Assay and Boyden Chamber Assay

Growth curves were obtained as described using 2.5×10^4 cells plated in 6-well plate on Day 0.

Cell counts were performed at 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours using trypan blue exclusion Vi-Cell cell counter

(Beckman Coulter). For transwell assays, serum starved cells (5×10^4) were seeded in the upper chamber

of Collagen coated Corning™ Transwell™ inserts (8 µm pore size) containing 1% FBS DMEM/F12.

Migrated cells were counted using DAPI staining at 4 hours post-seeding (72). Absolute cell numbers

were counted using a ZEISS™ Axioscan™ 7 microscope and analyzed with Zen™ 2.3 software.

Mammosphere forming Assay and 3D Invasion Assay

Mammosphere assays were performed as described (21)using 2×10^3 cells in primary and

secondary assays into low-attachment 24-well plates (Sigma-Aldrich, cat# CLS3473). Spheres were

grown in DMEM/F12 Suppl.emented with Mammary Epithelial Growth Suppl.ement (ThermoFisher,

cat# S0155) and B-27 (ThermoFisher, cat# 17504044), and cultured for 7 days. Spheres $\geq$ 50 µm in

diameter were enumerated. 3D invasion assays were performed as described (73) using cell spheres

plated on a 1:1 Matrigel:Collagen type I mixture and cultured for 7 days. Spheres were imaged every 24-

hours using an EVOS™ M5000 (Invitrogen, cat: AMF5000SV).

494
495   *RNA-Sequencing Processing and Quantification*
496
Triplicate RNA samples were subjected to RNA-seq at the Genome Quebec Facility. Mouse

RNA-seq reads were quality filtered using the rfastp R package and filtered paired-end reads were aligned

to the mm10 reference genome using subjunc (Rsubread v2.14.2) (74, 75). Differentially expressed genes

were identified with DESeq2 (v1.40.2)(76). A DESeqDataSet was created from the raw count matrix

with group information (NTC, sgRNA2.1, sgRNA2.2) and genes with adjusted $p < 0.05$ (Benjamini–

Hochberg) and $|log2FC| > 1$ were considered significant. Rlog transformed counts were used to

compute a Pearson correlation matrix; sample distances were defined as 1 minus the correlation value.

The distance matrix was displayed as a heatmap using the pheatmap package (v1.0.12) (77) with

Euclidean distance used for hierarchical clustering. Pathway activity scores were computed with

PROGENy (v1.18.2) (78) using the top 100 downstream target genes per pathway to calculate scores. Z-

score-normalized samples were visualized using a heatmap with unsupervised hierarchical clustering.

Shared DEGs (adjusted p $<$ 0.05, |log2FC| $>$ 1) from both Sox10 knockout clones were

analysed using the enrichPathway() function from the ReactomePA package (v1.42.0) (79). The ten

most significant (adjusted p $<$ 0.05) pathways were selected for visualization. GSEA was performed

using the clusterProfiler package (v4.6.2) (80)with mouse gene sets from the MSigDB C2: Chemical and

Genetic Perturbations (CGP) collection obtained through msigdbr (v7.5.1) (81-83). The top 10 positively

and negatively enriched gene sets were visualized (gseaplot2) and ordered by normalized enrichment

score (NES). Rlog-transformed gene expression values were used to generate a heatmap for a curated list

of lineage-related genes. Expression values were row-scaled (z-score) and hierarchical clustering was

performed using Euclidean distance.

scRNA-seq processing and gene set scoring

Raw UMI count data from previously published (52-55) breast cancer scRNA-seq data was

downloaded from the source publications. The data was then integrated using scVI and scANVI with

default parameters, using sample identifiers as the batch variable. The scANVI embedding was then used

to generate subsequent clustering and UMAP embeddings. Gene set scoring was performed using the

AddModuleScore function implemented in Seurat.

Fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS)

Flow cytometry was carried out as previously described (84). Briefly, fourth inguinal mammary

glands were pooled and digested overnight at 37 °C in Gentle Collagenase/Hyaluronidase digestion

medium (1:10 in 5% serum DMEM; StemCell Technologies, cat. 7919). Following red blood cell lysis in

ACK buffer (50 mM NH$_4$Cl, 10 mM KHCO$_3$, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 7.2–7.4), cells were pelleted,

resuspended in 1 mg/mL DNase I (Sigma, cat. 10104159001) and passed through a 40 µm strainer to

obtain a single-cell suspension.

Cells were sequentially incubated with Zombie NIR viability dye (BioLegend, cat. 423106;

1:500) and TruStain FcX (anti-mouse CD16/32) Fc Block (BioLegend, cat. 101320; 1:200), with washes

in 1% BSA/DPBS between steps. Surface staining was then performed using the following antibodies:

biotinylated Ter-119 (BioLegend, cat. 116203; 1:200), CD31 (BioLegend, cat. 102503; 1:200), CD45

(BioLegend, cat. 103103; 1:200), and Ly-51 (BioLegend, cat. 108303; 1:200); Brilliant Violet 421-

conjugated CD49f (BioLegend, cat. 313623; 1:400); PE-Dazzle 594-conjugated CD61 (BioLegend, cat.

104321; 1:200); PerCP/Cyanine5.5-conjugated CD24 (BioLegend, cat. 101823; 1:200); and Brilliant

Violet 711 streptavidin (BioLegend, cat. 405241; 1:400) for secondary detection of biotinylated

antibodies. Flow cytometry acquisition was performed on a Cytek Aurora spectral cytometer.

For cell line staining, the following antibodies were used: Brilliant Violet 421-conjugated CD49f

(BioLegend, cat. 313623; 1:400), Brilliant Violet 421-conjugated CD66a (BioLegend, cat. 134531;

1:200), and Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated CD326 (BioLegend, cat. 118212; 1:400). Antibody surface flow

cytometry acquisition was performed on a Cytek Aurora spectral cytometer. For RFP sorting following

CRISPR/Cas inactivation of Sox10, cell suspensions were stained with FC block (BioLegend,

cat:101320) for 20 min and sorted for RFP+ populations. FACS sorting was completed using a Sony

MA900.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Michael Wegner (FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg) for providing the Sox10-floxed
mice and Dr William Muller (Mcgill University, Montreal) for the ROSA26R, MMTV-Cre and NIC
mice. We are also grateful to Dr William Muller and Dr Josie Ursini-Siegel (Montreal Jewish Hospital)
for providing various NDL and NIC tumor cell lines. We thank Dr. Daniel Schramek (University of
Toronto) for providing pLenti Cas9-Blast and pLKO H2B-mRFP-2A puro. We are grateful to Dr. John
Stingl for helpful discussions during the preparation of this manuscript. This work was supported by
grants to L.A.S. from the Canadian Institute of Health Research, the Cancer Research Society and Breast
Cancer Canada.

558
559 **Data sharing plan**

560 This paper did not generate any original code. RNA-Seq data will be deposited and publicly available as
561 of the date of publication.

562
563

564 **Declaration of interests**

565 The authors declare no competing interests.

566
567

 Figure Legends

 Figure 1. Luminal *Sox10*-deletion delays mammary gland development. (A) Representative images of

 hematoxylin stained MMTV-Cre:Sox10$^{+/+}$ and MMTV-Cre:Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ mammary gland whole-mounts at 4-,

 and 11-weeks of age. Quantification of epithelial end buds (B) and ductal branch points (C) in stained

 MMTV-Cre:Sox10$^{+/+}$; Sox10$^{+/fl}$ and Sox10$^{fl/fl}$. Data shown as mean $\pm$ SEM. n $\geq$ 10 mice/group. (D)

 Immunohistochemistry on MMTV-Cre:Sox10$^{+/+}$ and MMTV-Cre:Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ mammary glands at 11 weeks

 of age showing similar proportions of luminal (CK8) and basal (CK14) cells. See also Suppl.Fig.1. (E)

 MMTV-Cre:Sox10$^{+/+}$ and MMTV-Cre:Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ glands were stained for Sox10 expression and CK8 at 11

 weeks of age and the efficiency of luminal Sox10 deletion was quantitated in panel (F). Arrowhead

 shows a residual Sox10+ luminal cell. Scale bar 20μ. **,****p < 0.05, and 0.0005, respectively.

 Figure 2. Luminal *Sox10*-deletion impairs Neu-induced tumor initiation. (A) Kaplan-Meier disease-

 free survival curves in MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{+/+,fl/+,fl/fl}$ mice. Significance was assessed by log-rank test. n $\geq$

 8 mice/group. (**B**) Endpoint tumour lysates were assessed for the expression of Sox10, Neu, pAKT

 (S473), pERK1/2 (Y204) and CK8 using Western blot analysis. Time for tumour onset (0.5 cm^3) (**C**) and

 progression to endpoint (1.5 cm^3) (**D**) were measured for all MMTV-NIC genotypes. n$\geq$ 15 mice/group.

 Quantification of total mammary gland coverage (E) and average size of hyperplastic lesions (F) in 16-,

 and 24-week-old MMTV-NIC mice from all genotypes. n$\geq$ 6 mice/group. (G) Flow sorting strategy for

 measurements of the LASP compartment. Lin- cells were first sorted into the luminal population

 (CD24/CD49f; circled) and further quantitated using CD61/CD49f. (H) Quantitation of the luminal

 population (CD49f$^+$/CD24^{hi}) in 8-week old MMTV-Cre females. (n=3) (I) Quantitation of the

 CD24^{hi}/CD49f$^+$/CD61$^+$ populations in 8-week old MMTV-Cre females (n=3) (J) Quantitation of the

 CD49f$^+$/CD61$^+$ populations in 16-week old MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{fl/+}$ females (n=3). Data shown as mean $\pm$

 SEM. *,**,****p < 0.05,0.01, and 0.0005, respectively.

Figure 3. *Sox10*-deficient NeuNDL cell lines have reduced stemness and tumorigenic potential. (A)

Immunofluorescence and DAPI staining for Sox10 (green) and (B) proportions of Sox10+ nuclei

following CRISPR-Cas9 mediated *Sox10* ablation in NeuNDL BG2 cells using two independent guide

RNAs. Scale bar 50 μ. (**C**) Primary and secondary mammosphere efficiencies were measured in BG2-

sgRNA-1, sgRNA-2 and NTC pools after 7-days in ultra-low attachment plates and imaging. (D) 10^6

BG2-sgRNA-2 or NTC cells were injected orthotopically into NCG mice and tumour volumes were

recorded bi-weekly until an endpoint of $1.7cm^3$ was reached by the first tumour in the cohort. n = 4

mice/group. (**E**) Examples of resected tumors from cells injected in (D). Data shown as mean $\pm$ SEM.

*,***,****p < 0.05, 0,01 and 0.0005, respectively.

Figure 4. Sox10-deficient Neu+ cells show reduced CSC content and impaired lung colonization. (A)

NTC, BG1sgRNA-2.1 and BG1sgRNA-2.2 NDL clones were injected orthotopically at 10^6, 10^5 or 10^4

cells into NCG mice and tumour progression was monitored bi-weekly for 32 days. Data is shown as

mean $\pm$ SEM. n $\geq$ 4 mice/group. (B) Tumors or residual tissue at the injection sites were removed and

stained for Sox10. Scale bar 50 μm (C) Wet lung weight measurements from following tail vein injection

of 10^6 NTC, BG1sgRNA-2.1 or BG1sgRNA-2.2 Sox10$^{+/-}$ NDL cells. n$\geq$ 5 mice/group. (D) Top 3 panels:

Hematoxylin-eosin-stained lung tissues showing extensive colonization by BG2-NTC control cells.

Bottom 6 panels: Immunohistochemistry of adjacent lung sections for Sox10 or Cas9 showing widespread

presence of BG1-NTC cells but only small foci of Sox10-deficient BG1sgRNA-2 cells.   Scale bar

25μ. ***, ****p < 0.01 and <0.001, respectively.

614
Figure 5. Sox10 deletion in Neu+ tumor cells promotes a luminal to basal/stem-like reprogramming.

(**A**) Triplicate Rlog-transformed gene expression values were used to generate a heatmap for a curated list

of luminal and basal markers in BG1sgRNA2-1 and sgRNA2-2 cells after analysis of all differentially

expressed genes. Quatitative PCR (q-PCR) validation of selected luminal (**B**)**,** basal (C) and stemness (D)

markers in NTC, BG1sgRNA2-1 and sgRNA2-2 cells. (E) Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of

genes ranked by the average Wald statistic of both Sox10 knockout clones (sgRNA2-1 and sgRNA2-2).

Gene sets were derived from the MSigDB C2: Curated Gene Sets – Chemical and Genetic Perturbations

(CGP) collection for *Mus musculus*. The dot plot displays the top positively (activated in Sox10-

knockout) and negatively (supressed in Sox10-knockout) enriched gene sets by normalized enrichment

score (NES) with adjusted $p < 0.05$. Dot size reflects the number of contributing genes, and color

indicates adjusted p-value.

626
Supplemental Figure 1. Early Cre expression and Sox10 deletion in MMTV-Cre mice. (A) MMTV-

Cre:ROSA26R mice show LacZ expression as early as 2 weeks in newborn mice. (B) Cytokeratin 8

(CK8) and smooth muscle actin (SMA) stain for enumeration of luminal (CK8) and basal (SMA) cells in

MTTV-Cre:Sox10$^{+/+}$ and Sox10$^{fl/fl}$. (C) Proportions of luminal CK8+ cells in mammary ducts from

MTTV-Cre:Sox10$^{+/+}$ and Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ adult mice. Immunohistochemistry (D) and immunofluorescence (E)

showing efficient Sox10 deletion in 16-week old Sox10-floxed MMTV-NIC mice showing little to no

Sox10+/CK8+ luminal cells (n≥5 mice/genotype). Data shown as mean ± SEM.

Supplemental Figure 2. MMTV-NIC:Sox10-null luminal cells express Sox9 but do not develop

hyperplasias. Endpoint tumor sections were assessed for the proportion of Ki67+ (A,B), active Caspase3

(C, D) and Sox10+ cells (E, F). Representative FFPE sections of 16-week-old NIC:Sox10$^{+/+}$ (G) and

NIC:Sox10$^{+/fl}$ (H) mammary glands stained with hematoxylin to assess hyperplastic lesion coverage and

numbers as presented in Figure 2. (N: lymph node). (I) Representative H&E section showing small

hyperplasia (arrowhead) in NIC:Sox10$^{+/fl}$ mammary glands at 24 weeks of age. (J) Representative H&E

sections showing no hyperplastic lesion in a 420 day-old MMTV-NIC:Sox10-null female (N: lymph

node). An age-matched wildtype FVB female is shown for comparison. (K) Sox9 and Sox10

immunohistochemistry in 16 week-old NIC:Sox10$^{+/+}$ and NIC:Sox10$^{fl/fl}$ mice showing abundant luminal

and basal Sox9+ cells in Sox10-deficient mice. (n≥5 mice/genotype). Data shown as mean ± SEM.

Supplemental Figure 3. Sox10 inactivation in Neu+ cells does not impair proliferation or motility *in*

vitro. (A) Western blot comparison of Sox10 levels in a sample of established MMTV-NDL and MMTV-

NIC cell lines. (B) Western blot analysis for Sox10 expression in BG2 and BG3 cells at passage 8 (P8)

and 20 (P20). Sox10 expression was lost over time in culture. (C) Western blot analysis for Sox10, Neu,

pERK1/2(Y204) and pAKT(S473) in BG1 clones relative to the Sox10 knock-down pool (blk) and NTC

control. (D) Immunofluorescence and DAPI staining for Sox10 (red) NeuNDL BG1 cells showing >90%

Sox10+ cells. Cell growth was measured over 4 days using cell counts following the plating of 5×10^4

BG1-sgRNA-2 (E) or BG2-sgRNA-2 cells (F). Example of DAPI stain (G) and quantitation (H) of

collagen haptotactic migration assay for BG2-sgRNA-2 cells as assessed in Boyden chambers. For both,

BG1- and BG2-sgRNA-2, no proliferation or motility defects were observed. (I) Mammosphere

efficiencies were measured in BG1-sgRNA-2 and NTC pools after 7-days in ultra-low attachment plates

and imaging; $p < 0.05$. (J) Tumors excised at endpoint following injection of BG2-NTC or BG2sgRNA-2

pools were sectioned and stained for Sox10 expression. Tumors arising from BG2sgRNA-2 showed

numerous Sox10+ nuclei (~60% for both), suggesting the expansion of non-targeted subpopulations (n=5

mice/group). (K) Western blot analysis of BG1 clones showing CK14 and Sox9 upregulation in Sox10-

null cells. Examples of flow plots showing the downregulation of surface EpCAM (L) and the Sox10

target CEACAM1 (M) in Sox10-deficient BG1 cells. Data shown as mean ± SEM. Scale bars 50μ.

Supplemental Figure 4. Sox10 inactivation in Neu+ cells impairs tumor cell expansion and lung

colonization. Following the injection of 10^6, 10^5 or 10^4 BG1-NTC, BG1sgRNA2-1 or BG1sgRNA2-2

cells into NCG mice, tumors were excised (A) and weight was recorded (B) at the 32 day endpoint (n≥4

mice). (C) Representative images of wet lungs isolated from colonization assays using 10^6 BG1 cells.

Lung weights were recorded and reported in Figure 4C. Data shown as mean ± SEM. ***, ****; $p < 0.01$

and <0.005, respectively

Supplemental Figure 5. (A) Euclidean distance matrix of rlog-transformed RNA-seq data showing

sample clustering based on 1 minus Pearson correlation, comparing Sox10 knockout clones (BG1sgRNA-

2.1 and BG1sgRNA2-2) and non-targeting control (NTC). **(B)** Venn diagrams illustrating overlap of

significantly downregulated (blue) and upregulated (red) genes (adjusted p < 0.05, |log□FC| > 1)

identified in independent Sox10 knockout clones relative to NTC. **(C)** Volcano plot of differentially

expressed genes common to both Sox10 knockout clones. **(D)** Overrepresentation analysis of shared

differentially expressed genes (adjusted p < 0.05, |log□FC| > 1) using the Reactome database. The top 10

enriched pathways were selected based on adjusted p-value and are displayed ordered by gene ratio. Dot

size represents the number of enriched genes per pathway, and dot color indicates adjusted p-value. (E)

PROGENy pathway activity scores inferred from rlog-transformed gene expression of pathway target

genes, calculated per replicate. Scores were z-score normalized across samples (row-wise), and

hierarchical clustering was applied to both pathways and samples.

Supplemental Figure 6. (A) Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of genes ranked by the average

Wald statistic for the BG1Sox10 knockout pool. Gene sets were derived as described in Figure 5E for the

BG1 clones. The dot plot displays the top positively (activated in Sox10-knockout) and negatively

(supressed in Sox10-knockout) enriched gene sets by normalized enrichment score (NES) with adjusted *p*

< 0.05. (B) Overrepresentation analysis of shared differentially expressed genes (adjusted p < 0.05,

|log□FC| > 1) using the Reactome database for the BG1Sox10 knockout pool. The top 10 enriched

pathways were selected as described in Suppl. Figure 5D and are displayed ordered by gene ratio. Dot

size represents the number of enriched genes per pathway, and dot color indicates adjusted p-value.

Supplemental Figure 7. (A) UMAP plot of scRNA-Seq data processed from 91 human breast cancers

showing the various cell types in the tumor samples. (B) UMAP of Sox10 transcripts showing a Sox10^{hi}

cluster in the epithelial population of all breast cancer subtypes. Gene set scoring of the top 50 common

downregulated genes (C) or for the luminal-basal list from Figure5A (D) in the Sox10-deficient BG1

clones. High scoring was observed in the Sox10^{hi} cluster and in a hybrid mesenchymal/epithelial

population. Example of a basal marker (TP63; E) and c-Kit (F), up- and down-regulated in Sox10-null

cells, respectively. High TP63 counts were enriched in the mesenchymal/epithelial cluster whereas c-Kit

was highly represented in the Sox10^{hi} group. (G, H) Enrichment plots for the LIM_MAMMARY_

_STEM_CELL gene sets identified in Figure 5E. The UP gene set (genes elevated in mammary stem

cells) is positively enriched (NES = 1.84) in Sox10 knockout clones (G). The DN gene set (genes

downregulated in mammary stem cells) is negatively enriched (NES = -2.16) in Sox10 knockout clones

(H). (I and J) Enrichment plots for the CHARAFE_BREAST_CANCER_LUMINAL_VS_

MESENCHYMAL gene sets identified in Figure 5E. The DN gene set (genes elevated in mesenchymal

compared to luminal breast cancer) is positively enriched (NES = 1.91) in Sox10 knockout clones (I).

The UP gene set (genes elevated in luminal compared to mesenchymal breast cancer) is negatively

enriched (NES = -1.95) in Sox10 knockout clones (J).

Supplemental Figure 8. (A) Densitometric quantitation of Western blots shown in Figure 2B. Three

independent runs were used for the quantitation. Data is shown as the mean ± SEM. (B) Densitometric

quantitation of Western blots shown in Suppl. Figure 3C. Three independent runs were used for the

quantitation. Data is shown as the mean ± SEM. (C) Representative flow plot from 8-week old MMTV-

Cre mammary glands showing the Lin-/CD24^{hi}/CD49f^{+} luminal compartment (circled). (D)

Representative flow plot of the luminal compartment shown in (C) further sorted into the CD61^{+}/CD49f^{+}

fraction (boxed) enriched for progenitor cells. (E) Representative flow plot of 16-week old MMTV-NIC

mammary glands showing decreased Lin-/ CD61^{+}/CD49f^{+} compartment in MMTV-NIC:Sox10$^{fl/+}$ mice.

All flow data (n=3 mice) were used for quantitation shown in Figure 2H-J. Data shown as mean ± SEM.

*, **; p<0.05 and <0.01, respectively

	Supplier	Identifier
Antibody		
Sox10	Abcam	Cat#ab180862
		WB - 1:1000
		IHC - 1:400
Cleaved Caspase 3	Cell Signalling Tech	Cat#9661
		IHC - 1:400
Ki67	Santa Cruz	Cat#sc-23900
		IHC - 1:150
Cre	Cell Signalling Tech	Cat#ab53280
		IHC - 1:50
Keratin 8	Abcam	Cat#15036S
		WB - 1:1000
		IHC - 1:800
Keratin 14	Cell Signalling Tech	Cat#ab7800
		IHC - 1:100
Neu	Sigma	Cat#OP15
		WB - 1:2000
		IHC - 1:100
Actin	Sigma	Cat#A5316
		WB - 1:20000
Cas9	Cell Signalling Tech	Cat#14697S
		IHC - 1:100
Sox9	Cell Signalling Tech	Cat#82630S
		WB - 1:1000
phospho-AKT	Cell Signalling Tech	Cat#9271
		WB - 1:1000
AKT	Cell Signalling Tech	Cat#9272
		WB - 1:1000
phospho-ERK	Santa Cruz	Cat#sc-7383
		WB - 1:1000
ERK	Santa Cruz	Cat#sc-154
		WB - 1:1000
Vinculin	Cell Signalling Tech	Cat#13901
		WB - 1:1000
Goat anti mouse igG HRP conjugate	BioRad	Cat#1706516
Goat anti rabbit igG HRP conjugate	BioRad	Cat#1706515
Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor™ 488		*WB - 1:5000*

	BioRad	Cat#1706515
Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor™ 594		WB - 1:5000
	Invitrogen	Cat#A-11008
Brilliant Violet 711™ Streptavidin	BioLegend	Cat#405241
		Flow – 1:400
Biotin anti-mouse Ly-51 Antibody	BioLegend	Cat#108303
		Flow – 1:200
Biotin anti-mouse CD31 Antibody	BioLegend	Cat#102503
		Flow – 1:200
Biotin anti-mouse CD45 Antibody	BioLegend	Cat#103103
		Flow – 1:200
Biotin anti-mouse TER-119/Erythroid Cells Antibody	BioLegend	Cat#116203
		Flow – 1:200
Brilliant Violet 421 anti-human/mouse CD49f Antibody	BioLegend	Cat#313623
		Flow – 1:400
PEDazzle 594 anti-mouserat CD61 Antibody	BioLegend	Cat#104321
		Flow – 1:200
PerCP/Cyanine5.5 anti-mouse CD24 Antibody	BioLegend	Cat#101823
		Flow – 1:200
Brilliant Violet 421™ anti-mouse CD66a Antibody	BioLegend	Cat#134531
		Flow – 1:200
Alexa Fluor® 647 anti-mouse CD326 Antibody	BioLegend	Cat#118212
		Flow – 1:400
TruStain FcX™ (anti-mouse CD16/32) Antibody (FC Block)	BioLegend	Cat#101320
		Flow – 1:200
NIR Zombie Live Dead	BioLegend	Cat#423106
		Flow – 1:500
Oligonucleotides		IF: 1:1000
qPCR Primers	Invitrogen	Cat#A-11005
Krt8 Forward: TCCATCAGGGTGACTCAGAAA	This manuscript	IF: 1:1000
Krt8 Reverse: CCAGCTTCAAGGGGCTCAA	This manuscript	
Krt18 Forward: TCAAGATCATCGAAGACCTGAGG	This manuscript	N/A
Krt18 Reverse: GCGCATGGCTAGTTCTGTC	This manuscript	N/A
Elf5 Forward: ATGTTGGACTCCGTAACCCAT	This manuscript	N/A

Elf5 Reverse: GCAGGGTAGTAGTCTTCATTGCT	This manuscript	N/A
Foxa1 Forward: ATGAGAGCAACGACTGGAACA	This manuscript	N/A
Foxa1 Reverse: TCATGGAGTTCATAGAGCCCA	This manuscript	N/A
Etv1 Forward: GCAAGTGCCTTACGTGGTCA	This manuscript	N/A
Etv1 Reverse: GCTTCAGCAAGCCATGTTTCTT	This manuscript	N/A
Krt5 Forward: TCTGCCATCACCCCATCTGT	This manuscript	N/A
Krt5 Reverse: CCTCCGCCAGAACTGTAGGA	This manuscript	N/A
Krt14 Forward: AGCGGCAAGAGTGAGATTTCT	This manuscript	N/A
Krt14 Reverse: CCTCCAGGTTATTCTCCAGGG	This manuscript	N/A
Acta2 Forward: GTCCCAGACATCAGGGAGTAA	This manuscript	N/A
Acta2 Reverse: TCGGATACTTCAGCGTCAGGA	This manuscript	N/A
Trp63 Forward: TACTGCCCCGACCCTTACAT	This manuscript	N/A
Trp63 Reverse: GCTGAGGAACTCGCTTGTCTG	This manuscript	N/A
Sox10 Forward: AGGTTGCTGAACGAAAGTGAC	This manuscript	N/A
Sox10 Reverse: CCGAGGTTGGTACTTGTAGTCC	This manuscript	N/A
18S Forward: AGTCCCTGCCCTTTGTACACA	This manuscript	N/A
18S Reverse: GATCCGAGGCCTCACTAAAC	This manuscript	N/A
Genotyping Primers		N/A
Sox10 Forward: CAGGTGGGCGGGGCTCTT	This manuscript	N/A
Sox10 Reverse 1: GTGAGCCTGGATAGCAGCAG	This manuscript	
Sox10 Reverse 2: TCCCAGGCTAGCCCTAGTG	This manuscript	
		N/A
Cre Forward: TATTCGGATCATCAGCTACACCAGAG	This manuscript	N/A
Cre Reverse: GGGATTGCTTATAACACCCTGTTACG	This manuscript	N/A
Neu Forward: GTTTCCTGCAGCAGCCTACGC	This manuscript	N/A
Neu Reverse: TTCCGGAACCCACATCAGGCC	This manuscript	N/A

RosaR26R Forward: *AATCCATCTTGTTCAATGGCCGATC*	This manuscript	N/A
RosaR26R Reverse: *CCGGATTGATGGTAGTGGTC*	This manuscript	N/A
CRISPR sgRNA Guides		N/A
sgNTC: CACCGATCGGTATGTTTAGGGTT	This manuscript	N/A
sgNTC: AAACAACCCTAAACATAACCGATC	This manuscript	
sgSox10-1: *CACCGGTTGGTACTTGTAGTCCGGA*	This manuscript	N/A
sgSox10-1: *AAACTCCGGACTACAAGTACCAACC*	This manuscript	
sgSox10-2: *CACCGGTTCCCCGTGTGCATCCGCG*	This manuscript	N/A
sgSox10-2: *AAACCGCGGATGCACACGGGGAACC*	This manuscript	
Recombinant DNA		
pCMV-dR8.2 dvpr		
pCMV-VSV-G		N/A
pLenti Cas9-Blast	Addgene	Cat#8455
pLKO H2B-mRFP-2A puro	Addgene	Cat#8454
	Dr. D.Schramek; Toronto	N/A
	Dr. D.Schramek; Toronto	N/A

720
721   Supplemental Table 1.

722
723
724

References

1. T. Holbro, G. Civenni, N. E. Hynes, The ErbB receptors and their role in cancer progression. *Exp Cell Res* **284**, 99-110 (2003).
2. A. L. Schechter *et al.*, The neu gene: an erbB-homologous gene distinct from and unlinked to the gene encoding the EGF receptor. *Science* **229**, 976-978 (1985).
3. J. Kreutzfeldt, B. Rozeboom, N. Dey, P. De, The trastuzumab era: current and upcoming targeted HER2+ breast cancer therapies. *Am J Cancer Res* **10**, 1045-1067 (2020).
4. P. R. Pohlmann, I. A. Mayer, R. Mernaugh, Resistance to Trastuzumab in Breast Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **15**, 7479-7491 (2009).
5. C. T. Guy *et al.*, Expression of the neu protooncogene in the mammary epithelium of transgenic mice induces metastatic disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **89**, 10578-10582 (1992).
6. P. M. Siegel, E. D. Ryan, R. D. Cardiff, W. J. Muller, Elevated expression of activated forms of Neu/ErbB-2 and ErbB-3 are involved in the induction of mammary tumors in transgenic mice: implications for human breast cancer. *Embo J* **18**, 2149-2164 (1999).
7. N. Bondurand, M. H. Sham, The role of SOX10 during enteric nervous system development. *Dev Biol* **382**, 330-343 (2013).
8. V. Pingault, L. Zerad, W. Bertani-Torres, N. Bondurand, SOX10: 20 years of phenotypic plurality and current understanding of its developmental function. *J Med Genet* **59**, 105-114 (2022).
9. C. Dravis *et al.*, Sox10 Regulates Stem/Progenitor and Mesenchymal Cell States in Mammary Epithelial Cells. *Cell Rep* **12**, 2035-2048 (2015).
10. S. Mertelmeyer *et al.*, The transcription factor Sox10 is an essential determinant of branching morphogenesis and involution in the mouse mammary gland. *Sci Rep* **10**, 17807 (2020).
11. X. Tong *et al.*, SOX10, a novel HMG-box-containing tumor suppressor, inhibits growth and metastasis of digestive cancers by suppressing the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway. *Oncotarget* **5**, 10571-10583 (2014).
12. Y. R. Xu, W. X. Yang, SOX-mediated molecular crosstalk during the progression of tumorigenesis. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* **63**, 23-34 (2017).
13. K. N. Al-Zahrani, D. P. Cook, B. C. Vanderhyden, L. A. Sabourin, Assessing the efficacy of androgen receptor and Sox10 as independent markers of the triple-negative breast cancer subtype by transcriptome profiling. *Oncotarget* **9**, 33348-33359 (2018).
14. S. K. Jamidi *et al.*, Sry-related high-mobility-group/HMG box 10 (SOX10) as a sensitive marker for triple-negative breast cancer. *Histopathology* **77**, 936-948 (2020).
15. K. Kriegsmann *et al.*, Immunohistological Expression of SOX-10 in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer: A Descriptive Analysis of 113 Samples. *Int J Mol Sci* **21** (2020).
16. G. A. Mohamed *et al.*, Lineage plasticity enables low-ER luminal tumors to evolve and gain basal-like traits. *Breast Cancer Res* **25**, 23 (2023).
17. K. Yang, F. Yun, L. Shi, X. Liu, Y. F. Jia, SOX10 promotes the malignant biological behavior of basal-like breast cancer cells by regulating EMT process. *Heliyon* **9**, e23162 (2023).
18. J. M. Saunus *et al.*, Epigenome erosion and SOX10 drive neural crest phenotypic mimicry in triple-negative breast cancer. *NPJ Breast Cancer* **8**, 57 (2022).
19. C. Dravis *et al.*, Epigenetic and Transcriptomic Profiling of Mammary Gland Development and Tumor Models Disclose Regulators of Cell State Plasticity. *Cancer Cell* (2018).
20. P. Weisman, Q. Yu, J. Xu, Clinicopathological characteristics of the SOX10+ subset of HER2+ breast cancer. *Ann Diagn Pathol* **63**, 152087 (2023).
21. K. N. Al-Zahrani *et al.*, AKT-mediated phosphorylation of Sox9 induces Sox10 transcription in a murine model of HER2-positive breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res* **23**, 55 (2021).
22. K. N. Al-Zahrani *et al.*, Loss of the Ste20-like kinase induces a basal/stem-like phenotype in HER2-positive breast cancers. *Oncogene* **39**, 4592-4602 (2020).

23.   M. S. Qazi, S. M. McGregor, Combined use of SOX10 and GATA3 in mammary carcinoma.
Pathol Res Pract **216**, 152801 (2020).
24.   C. Aphivatanasiri *et al.*, Combined SOX10 GATA3 is most sensitive in detecting primary and
metastatic breast cancers: a comparative study of breast markers in multiple tumors. *Breast*
Cancer Res Treat **184**, 11-21 (2020).
25.   V. Pomp *et al.*, Differential expression of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and stem cell
markers in intrinsic subtypes of breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* **154**, 45-55 (2015).
26.   J. Ursini-Siegel *et al.*, ShcA signalling is essential for tumour progression in mouse models of
human breast cancer. *EMBO J* **27**, 910-920 (2008).
27.   G. W. Robinson, L. Hennighausen, MMTV-Cre transgenes can adversely affect lactation:
considerations for conditional gene deletion in mammary tissue. *Anal Biochem* **412**, 92-95
(2011).
28.   K. U. Wagner *et al.*, Spatial and temporal expression of the Cre gene under the control of the
MMTV-LTR in different lines of transgenic mice. *Transgenic Res* **10**, 545-553 (2001).
29.   E. R. Andrechek *et al.*, Amplification of the neu/erbB-2 oncogene in a mouse model of mammary
tumorigenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**, 3444-3449 (2000).
30.   E. R. Andrechek, D. White, W. J. Muller, Targeted disruption of ErbB2/Neu in the mammary
epithelium results in impaired ductal outgrowth. *Oncogene* **24**, 932-937 (2005).
31.   D. E. White *et al.*, Targeted disruption of beta1-integrin in a transgenic mouse model of human
breast cancer reveals an essential role in mammary tumor induction. *Cancer Cell* **6**, 159-170
(2004).
32.   M. Finzsch *et al.*, Sox10 is required for Schwann cell identity and progression beyond the
immature Schwann cell stage. *J Cell Biol* **189**, 701-712 (2010).
33.   G. K. Gray *et al.*, Defining breast epithelial cell types in the single-cell era. *Dev Cell* **60**, 2218-
2236 (2025).
34.   G. Domenici *et al.*, A Sox2-Sox9 signalling axis maintains human breast luminal progenitor and
breast cancer stem cells. *Oncogene* **38**, 3151-3169 (2018).
35.   W. Guo *et al.*, Slug and Sox9 cooperatively determine the mammary stem cell state. *Cell* **148**,
1015-1028 (2012).
36.   N. Kogata *et al.*, Sox9 regulates cell state and activity of embryonic mouse mammary progenitor
cells. *Commun Biol* **1**, 228 (2018).
37.   C. Wang, J. R. Christin, M. H. Oktay, W. Guo, Lineage-Biased Stem Cells Maintain Estrogen-
Receptor-Positive and -Negative Mouse Mammary Luminal Lineages. *Cell Rep* **18**, 2825-2835
(2017).
38.   J. Stingl *et al.*, Purification and unique properties of mammary epithelial stem cells. *Nature* **439**,
993-997 (2006).
39.   E. Lim *et al.*, Transcriptome analyses of mouse and human mammary cell subpopulations reveal
multiple conserved genes and pathways. *Breast Cancer Res* **12**, R21 (2010).
40.   M. Shehata *et al.*, Phenotypic and functional characterisation of the luminal cell hierarchy of the
mammary gland. *Breast Cancer Res* **14**, R134 (2012).
41.   R. Joyce, J. E. Visvader, Cells-of-Origin of Breast Cancer and Intertumoral Heterogeneity. *Adv*
Exp Med Biol **1464**, 151-165 (2025).
42.   J. E. Visvader, J. Stingl, Mammary stem cells and the differentiation hierarchy: current status and
perspectives. *Genes Dev* **28**, 1143-1158 (2014).
43.   N. Y. Fu, E. Nolan, G. J. Lindeman, J. E. Visvader, Stem Cells and the Differentiation Hierarchy
in Mammary Gland Development. *Physiol Rev* **100**, 489-523 (2020).
44.   F. Vaillant *et al.*, The mammary progenitor marker CD61/beta3 integrin identifies cancer stem
cells in mouse models of mammary tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res* **68**, 7711-7717 (2008).
45.   P. K. Lo *et al.*, CD49f and CD61 identify Her2/neu-induced mammary tumor-initiating cells that
are potentially derived from luminal progenitors and maintained by the integrin-TGFbeta
signaling. *Oncogene* **31**, 2614-2626 (2012).

46.   J. R. Christin *et al.*, Stem Cell Determinant SOX9 Promotes Lineage Plasticity and Progression in
Basal-like Breast Cancer. *Cell Rep* **31**, 107742 (2020).
47.   G. K. Malhotra *et al.*, The role of Sox9 in mouse mammary gland development and maintenance
of mammary stem and luminal progenitor cells. *BMC Dev Biol* **14**, 47 (2014).
48.   P. M. Siegel, D. L. Dankort, W. R. Hardy, W. J. Muller, Novel activating mutations in the neu
proto-oncogene involved in induction of mammary tumors. *Mol Cell Biol* **14**, 7068-7077 (1994).
49.   J. M. Balko *et al.*, The receptor tyrosine kinase ErbB3 maintains the balance between luminal and
basal breast epithelium. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 221-226 (2012).
50.   D. Yamaji *et al.*, Development of mammary luminal progenitor cells is controlled by the
transcription factor STAT5A. *Genes Dev* **23**, 2382-2387 (2009).
51.   V. Vafaizadeh *et al.*, Mammary epithelial reconstitution with gene-modified stem cells assigns
roles to Stat5 in luminal alveolar cell fate decisions, differentiation, involution, and mammary
tumor formation. *Stem Cells* **28**, 928-938 (2010).
52.   A. Bassez *et al.*, A single-cell map of intratumoral changes during anti-PD1 treatment of patients
with breast cancer. *Nat Med* **27**, 820-832 (2021).
53.   B. Pal *et al.*, A single-cell RNA expression atlas of normal, preoplastic and tumorigenic states
in the human breast. *Embo J* **40**, e107333 (2021).
54.   J. Qian *et al.*, A pan-cancer blueprint of the heterogeneous tumor microenvironment revealed by
single-cell profiling. *Cell Res* **30**, 745-762 (2020).
55.   S. Z. Wu *et al.*, A single-cell and spatially resolved atlas of human breast cancers. *Nat Genet* **53**,
1334-1347 (2021).
56.   B. Pal *et al.*, Single cell transcriptome atlas of mouse mammary epithelial cells across
development. *Breast Cancer Res* **23**, 69 (2021).
57.   E. Charafe-Jauffret *et al.*, Gene expression profiling of breast cell lines identifies potential new
basal markers. *Oncogene* **25**, 2273-2284 (2006).
58.   T. Wu, Y. Wang, R. Jiang, X. Lu, J. Tian, A pathways-based prediction model for classifying
breast cancer subtypes. *Oncotarget* **8**, 58809-58822 (2017).
59.   T. Gao *et al.*, The mechanism between epithelial mesenchymal transition in breast cancer and
hypoxia microenvironment. *Biomed Pharmacother* **80**, 393-405 (2016).
60.   E. Lee, R. Piranlioglu, M. S. Wicha, H. Korkaya, Plasticity and Potency of Mammary Stem Cell
Subsets During Mammary Gland Development. *Int J Mol Sci* **20** (2019).
61.   D. Pellacani, S. Tan, S. Lefort, C. J. Eaves, Transcriptional regulation of normal human
mammary cell heterogeneity and its perturbation in breast cancer. *Embo J* **38**, e100330 (2019).
62.   M. N. Wicker, K. U. Wagner, Cellular Plasticity in Mammary Gland Development and Breast
Cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* **15** (2023).
63.   F. Ahmed *et al.*, GFP expression in the mammary gland for imaging of mammary tumor cells in
transgenic mice. *Cancer Res* **62**, 7166-7169 (2002).
64.   M. D. Henry, A. A. Triplett, K. B. Oh, G. H. Smith, K. U. Wagner, Parity-induced mammary
epithelial cells facilitate tumorigenesis in MMTV-neu transgenic mice. *Oncogene* **23**, 6980-6985
(2004).
65.   P. D. Radler *et al.*, Highly metastatic claudin-low mammary cancers can originate from luminal
epithelial cells. *Nat Commun* **12**, 3742 (2021).
66.   G. Molyneux *et al.*, BRCA1 basal-like breast cancers originate from luminal epithelial
progenitors and not from basal stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **7**, 403-417 (2010).
67.   E. Lim *et al.*, Aberrant luminal progenitors as the candidate target population for basal tumor
development in BRCA1 mutation carriers. *Nat Med* **15**, 907-913 (2009).
68.   J. Zhao *et al.*, Oncogenic transcription factors instruct promoter-enhancer hubs in individual triple
negative breast cancer cells. *Sci Adv* **10**, eadl4043 (2024).
69.   L. Liu *et al.*, Ezh2 promotes mammary tumor initiation through epigenetic regulation of the Wnt
and mTORC1 signaling pathways. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **120**, e2303010120 (2023).

70. Z. Li *et al.*, Sumoylated Etv1 establishes mouse mammary cancer stem cells that support
tumorigenesis by non-stem cancer cells. *Dev Cell* (2025).
71. C. Labreche *et al.*, Periostin gene expression in neu-positive breast cancer cells is regulated by a
FGFR signaling cross talk with TGFbeta/PI3K/AKT pathways. *Breast Cancer Res* **23**, 107
(2021).
72. S. Wagner *et al.*, FAK/src-family dependent activation of the Ste20-like kinase SLK is required
for microtubule-dependent focal adhesion turnover and cell migration. *PLoS ONE* **3**, e1868
(2008).
73. C. Capparelli *et al.*, Targeting SOX10-deficient cells to reduce the dormant-invasive phenotype
state in melanoma. *Nat Commun* **13**, 1381 (2022).
74. Y. Liao, G. K. Smyth, W. Shi, The Subread aligner: fast, accurate and scalable read mapping by
seed-and-vote. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**, e108 (2013).
75. Y. Liao, G. K. Smyth, W. Shi, featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning
sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923-930 (2014).
76. M. I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).
77. R. Kolde, pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. R package version 1.0.12. (2019).
78. M. Schubert *et al.*, Perturbation-response genes reveal signaling footprints in cancer gene
expression. *Nat Commun* **9**, 20 (2018).
79. G. Yu, Q. Y. He, ReactomePA: an R/Bioconductor package for reactome pathway analysis and
visualization. *Mol Biosyst* **12**, 477-479 (2016).
80. G. Yu, Wang, L. G., & He, Q. Y., clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes
among gene clusters. *OMICS: A Journal of Integrative Biology* **16**, 284-287 (2015).
81. I. Dolgalev, msigdbr: MSigDB Gene Sets for Multiple Organisms in a Tidy Data Format. R
package version 25.1.0. *https://igordot.github.io/msigdbr/* (2025).
82. A. Liberzon *et al.*, The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection.
Cell Syst **1**, 417-425 (2015).
83. A. Liberzon *et al.*, Molecular signatures database (MSigDB) 3.0. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 1739-1740
(2011).
84. J. Abou-Hamad *et al.*, CEACAM1 is a direct SOX10 target and inhibits melanoma immune
infiltration and stemness. *iScience* **25**, 105524 (2022).
907
908

A.

B. **C.** **D.**

E.