Title

A scalable human-zebrafish xenotransplantation model reveals gastrosome-mediated processing of dying neurons by human microglia

Authors

Ambra Villani^, Jana Wittmann*, Tamara Wyss*, Izaskun Mallona Gonzalez, Irene Santisteban Ortiz, Nathalie Tichy, Monique Pena, Ayush Aditya Pal, Darren Gilmour, Simon T. Schafer and Francesca Peri^

* Contributed equally

^ Corresponding author

Abstract

Microglia engulf dying neurons through efferocytosis, a critical function in both development and disease. How microglia process the engulfed neuronal material—especially lipids—remains poorly understood, despite its central role in neurodegeneration. Thus, we developed HuZIBRA, a scalable in vivo xenotransplantation model in which human iPSC-derived microglia-like cells (iMGLs) are introduced into the developing zebrafish brain (zf-hiMG), a system characterized by high levels of neuronal cell death and amenable to precise genetic and pharmacological manipulation. We show that human microglia-like cells recognize and engulf apoptotic zebrafish neurons, indicating conserved efferocytic mechanisms. In these cells, engulfed neuronal material accumulates into a distinct, lipid-rich intracellular compartment, the gastrosome, which we also observed in iMGLs placed in a human brain-like environment. The size of the human gastrosome dynamically reflects neuronal cell death levels and is regulated by key genes, including *TREM2* and *SLC37A2*. Pharmacological inhibition of the cholesterol transporter NPC1 induces gastrosome expansion and lipid accumulation, recapitulating pathological features of Niemann-Pick disease type C. Thus, HuZIBRA provides a powerful in vivo platform to uncover cell-autonomous adaptive responses of human microglia to apoptotic and metabolic stress, with the gastrosome emerging as a key integrator of neuronal debris processing and disease-relevant lipid metabolism.

Introduction

Microglia, the resident immune cells of the brain, play a central role in clearing dead neurons through a process known as efferocytosis. This function is essential during brain development and in several neurodegenerative diseases characterised by high levels of neuronal cell death[1–4]. Interestingly, microglia exhibit similar transcriptional profiles in both contexts, suggesting shared functional responses to neuronal cell death[5,6] (and reviewed in[7,8]). Efferocytosis of neurons presents unique challenges for microglia, requiring efficient degradation of neuronal components and sorting of resulting byproducts such as lipids. This process becomes especially critical in disease states, where widespread neuronal death drives microglia to adopt an amoeboid morphology often marked by accumulation of lipid inclusions[9–12]. Although the importance of neuronal processing by microglia is increasingly recognized, the underlying cellular mechanisms and the impact of defective processing on microglial shape, plasticity, and function remain largely unknown.

Live imaging studies in zebrafish have shown that microglial phagosomes containing neuronal material
fuse with a single compartment called the gastrosome, which serves as a central collection and
processing hub[13,14]. This compartment is characterized by a set of unique characteristics such as an
electron-lucent lumen containing membrane fragments and lipids[13]. The gastrosome expands in
response to increased neuronal cell death and mutations in *slc37a2*[13]. In phagocytic microglia lacking
the NPC1 cholesterol transporter, the gastrosome accumulates gangliosides and cholesterol, driving a
shift to an amoeboid morphology[14]. This morphological change is further amplified by elevated
neuronal cell death, suggesting the gastrosome is an important lipid trafficking compartment highly
sensitive to neuronal apoptotic stress.

Given the critical role of microglia in maintaining human brain health, it is essential to determine
whether human microglia also possess a gastrosome and how this specialized compartment responds
to changes in neuronal cell death. Several studies suggest that genetic differences between animals
and humans may lead to variations in microglial behaviour and function[15–17], indicating that animal
models may not fully capture the complexity of human microglia biology. To address this, human-
induced pluripotent stem cell-derived microglia-like cells (iMGL) have emerged as a valuable model
for studying human-specific mechanisms. However, because the brain microenvironment plays a
critical role in shaping microglial identity, responsiveness, and dynamics[18,19], placing human microglia-
like cells in a more physiologically representative context has proven essential. Approaches such as
colonizing brain organoids with microglia-like cells[20–23] (and reviewed in[24,25]) and generating chimeric
human/rodent models[17,26–28] have improved the physiological relevance by providing more accurate
brain environments. However, these methods are often time-consuming, resource-intensive, and
limited in scalability, which slows experimental throughput and restricts systematic manipulation of
microenvironmental factors. Therefore, there is a pressing need to develop scalable approaches that
allow precise control over the brain microenvironment, enabling more efficient and detailed
investigations into microglial function and their dynamic responses to environmental cues.

In this study, we introduce HuZIBRA (Human Zebrafish Immune-Brain), a scalable in vivo platform for
transplanting human iPSC-derived microglia into the zebrafish brain to study their responses to
neuronal apoptosis. Live imaging reveals that human microglia complement endogenous microglia
and engulf apoptotic neurons, demonstrating high conservation of the efferocytic machinery across
species. In these cells we identify the lipid-rich gastrosome, whose size correlates with neuronal death
and is regulated by key genes such as *TREM2* and *SLC37A2*. Inhibiting NPC1 expands the gastrosome
and induces lipid accumulation, mimicking Niemann–Pick type C pathology. Thus, HuZIBRA provides a
physiologically relevant and scalable system to probe neuronal processing and adaptive responses of
human microglia in vivo.

Results

A scalable *in vivo* pipeline for xenotransplantation of human iPSC-derived microglia-
like (iMGL) into the zebrafish embryonic brain

We obtained human iPSC-derived microglia (iMGL) by adopting an established two-step
protocol[29] (see schematics in FIG 1A) where green cytoplasmic (WTC-mEGFP-AAVS1-cl6) or red
membrane (WTC-mTagRFPT-CAAX-AAVS1-cl91) fluorescently labelled iPSC were first differentiated
into hematopoietic progenitors (iHPC), as confirmed by the expression of typical markers for these
cells (SUPPL. FIG1A). Subsequently, iHPC were transferred into microglial differentiation and

maturation media (see schematics in FIG 1A). The resulting induced microglia-like cells (iMGLs)
displayed a rudimentary branched morphology (FIG 1B), phagocytic activity (FIG 1C) and expressed
key microglial markers (FIG 1D and SUPP FIG 1B). Expression of canonical microglial genes in iMGLs
was further confirmed both by transcriptome analysis, which showed that these cells cluster together
with published human iPSC-derived microglia datasets[29] (FIG 1E and SUPP FIG 1C, D), and by in situ
hybridization (HCR), validating mRNA expression of microglial-specific genes *in vitro* (SUPP FIG 1E).
These analyses confirmed that iMGLs were transcriptionally distinct from other cell types, such as iHPC
precursors and iPSCs (FIG 1E), and multidimensional scaling revealed strong similarities with both fetal
and adult human microglia (FIG 1E).

To mimic a physiologically relevant brain environment, we transplanted GFP labelled iMGL directly
into the optic tectum (OT) of two-day post-fertilization (dpf) zebrafish embryos, a developmental
stage marked by extensive neuronal apoptosis and the establishment of the endogenous microglial
population (~25 cells)[30] (FIG 1F). The combination of high iMGL culture yields and an efficient injection
protocol enabled semi-high-throughput transplantation at a rate of approximately 20 chimeric
embryos per operator per hour. We first transplanted iMG into *Irf8*^{st95} mutants, which lack
endogenous microglia and macrophages[31] (FIG 1G). iMG were detected in the OT of approximately
65% of the transplanted embryos (these cells are hereafter referred to as zf-hiMG; SUPPL. FIG1F, left
bar). The number of transplanted zf-hiMG varied, from as few as 1 to over 40 cells per embryo, with
an average of 18 (SUPPL. FIG1G, left bar), highlighting the scalability of the model. We confirmed the
survival of zf-hiMG (SUPPL. FIG1H) and validated their human microglial identity using *in vivo*
Hybridization Chain Reaction analysis (HCR), which demonstrated the expression of key markers, such
as *TMEM119*, *P2Y12*, *IBA1*, and *TREM2* (SUPPL. FIG1I). Additionally, TREM2 expression was further
confirmed also at the protein level (SUPPL. FIG1J). Together these data provide evidence that zf-hiMG
maintain a typical human microglial identity within the zebrafish brain.

The small size and optical transparency of zebrafish embryos enable high spatiotemporal resolution
imaging, which we leveraged to investigate the morphology and behavior of transplanted zf-hiMG. To
capture these dynamics, we performed whole-brain time-lapse imaging using spinning disk and single-
plane illumination microscopy (SPIM)—two high-speed imaging modalities characterized by negligible
phototoxicity (Jemielita et al., 2013; Keller and Stelzer, 2008). Transplanted cells exhibited a more
elaborate branched morphology compared to iMGLs cultured in vitro (Fig. 1H–J), with significantly
longer processes (Fig. 1H–I, K) and enhanced motility, marked by continuous cycles of extension and
retraction (Supp. Fig. 1K–L; Fig. 2B; Video 3). Time-lapse imaging further revealed that zf-hiMG were
highly dynamic (Fig. 2A; Videos 1–2), suggesting that the zebrafish brain microenvironment supports
key microglial properties, including complex morphology and branching dynamics.

To further assess functional engagement with the neural environment, we transplanted iMGL into
Irf8^{st95} mutant zebrafish embryos expressing a fluorescent reporter for apoptotic neurons
(Tg(nbt:dLexPR-LexOP:secA5-BFP))[32]. Live imaging revealed transient contacts between zf-hiMG
processes and nearby apoptotic neurons (FIG 2C, video 4), suggesting active sensing of the local
microenvironment. Next, we asked whether zf-hiMG could survive and function alongside
endogenous zebrafish microglia that we labelled with mCherry. Transplantation efficiency was
comparable in zebrafish hosts with or without endogenous microglia (SUPP FIG 1F-G), and both
populations co-existed within the same brain environment (SUPP FIG 2A and video 5).

Taken together, these findings indicate that this newly developed human-zebrafish
xenotransplantation platform (HuZIBRA) represents a powerful tool for *in vivo* exploration of human
microglial behaviour and cellular interactions within an accessible brain environment.

Functional conservation of microglial engulfment mechanisms in a zebrafish-human chimeric model

A key function of microglia during development is the engulfment of dying neurons through efferocytosis[33–36]. In *Irf8*[st95] zebrafish mutant embryos, which lack microglia, uncollected apoptotic neurons accumulate in the developing optic tectum (OT)[31], as visualized by Acridine Orange (AO) staining (FIG 2D'). Remarkably, transplantation of iMGL into into *Irf8*[st95] embryos significantly reduced the number of uncollected apoptotic nuclei (FIG 2D'-E). This was further validated using a real-time apoptotic reporter (Tg(nbt:dLexPR-LexOP:secA5-BFP))[32], which revealed fluorescent apoptotic material inside zf-hiMG vesicles, indicating active uptake of labelled apoptotic neurons (FIG 2F). Live time-lapse imaging further revealed that zf-hiMG actively engulf endogenous apoptotic neurons by extending branches and forming phagocytic cups around dying cells (FIG 2G; video 6). These cups either successfully enclosed the target, forming ~5 μm phagosomes that were retracted toward the microglial cell body, or failed to close, resulting in aborted engulfment attempts (FIG 2H, Video 7), a phenomenon previously observed in zebrafish microglia[32,37]. Notably, failed attempts were often followed by re-engagement and successful engulfment (FIG 2H, video 7).

To further evaluate the efferocytic capacity of zf-hiMG, we tested their response to induced apoptosis in endogenous microglia. To this aim, we used a genetic system allowing selective ablation of zebrafish microglia (Schematic in FIG 2I). GFP-labeled iMGL were transplanted into slc37a2-deficient embryos, in which endogenous microglia expressed a nitroreductase–mCherry fusion protein (Tg(fms:Gal4,UAS:nfsB-mCherry)). These slc37a2-deficient microglia are readily identifiable by their abnormally enlarged gastrosome, a consequence of impaired neuronal digestion[13] (FIG 2J, SUPP FIG 2B'', C-C'). Metronidazole (MTZ) treatment triggered Caspase-3-dependent apoptosis specifically in nitroreductase-expressing microglia[30,38–40] (SUPP FIG 2B, compare B'' with B'''), but had no effect in non-transgenic controls[30] (SUPP FIG 2C-C'). Within 24 hours, red fluorescent apoptotic material was visible inside zf-hiMG (FIG 2K, L), indicating they could recognize and engulf dying endogenous microglia via conserved "find me" and "eat me" signals. Furthermore, zf-hiMG responded to targeted neuronal laser ablation by polarizing and migrating toward these injury sites (SUPP FIG 2D, video 8), indicating their capacity to detect and respond to local damage-associated cues.

Finally, we examined whether zf-hiMG could assist endogenous *slc37a2* zebrafish microglia that have an enlarged gastrosome due to neuronal processing defects[13]. iMG transplantation into *slc37a2* mutants led to a marked reduction in gastrosome size within the endogenous *slc37a2* microglia population (SUPP FIG 2E-G compare F'' with E', quantification in SUPP 2G). Because the size of the gastrosome in these mutants is known to depend on the rate of neuronal engulfment[13], this reduction suggests that zf-hiMG alleviate the burden by clearing apoptotic neurons, thereby functionally complementing the endogenous microglial population.

Together, these results highlight the evolutionary conservation of efferocytic mechanisms between zebrafish and humans and establish this chimeric model as a powerful platform to study human microglial responses to neuronal cell death in a physiologically relevant context.

Xenotransplantation of human microglia-like cells into zebrafish reveals gastrosome adaptation under phagocytic stress

Building on our observations that zf-hiMG can recognize apoptotic zebrafish neurons, we next examined how they process this material. Tracking neuron-derived apoptotic cargo within zf-hiMG revealed progressive intracellular aggregation (FIG 3A; Video 9), a phenomenon consistent with the

presence of the gastrosome—an electron-lucent phagocytic compartment where engulfed apoptotic
material accumulates (Villani et al., 2019). To determine whether a similar process occurs in iMGLs,
we exposed these cells in culture to fluorescent latex beads and monitored intracellular cargo
distribution over a 24-hour period. The beads progressively clustered within the cells (SUPP FIG 3A),
and electron microscopy (EM) revealed the presence of a single enlarged vesicle displaying key
features of the gastrosome, including an electron-lucent lumen enclosed by a single membrane and
containing membrane fragments[13,14] (FIG 3B). While no molecular marker uniquely defines the
gastrosome, its ultrastructural characteristics, perinuclear position, size enlargement in response to
increased neuronal cell death and lipid content, enable its reliable identification across different
contexts[13,14,41]. Given that in vitro cultures inherently experience a certain degree of spontaneous
apoptosis (Supp. Fig. 3B–C), some human iMGLs also displayed an enlarged single vesicle in the
absence of latex beads. As shown by EM and 3D reconstructions, this compartment shares the same
morphological features as the gastrosome observed across different microglial contexts[13,14] (FIG 3C
and SUPP FIG 3 D-D'). We next asked whether human microglia that differentiate within a human
brain-like environment would also form a gastrosome, pointing to conserved neuronal processing in
these cells. Brain organoids provide such a human brain-like environment and neuronal cell death is
an intrinsic feature of their growth and maturation[23]. Indeed, we have previously shown that long-
term organoid culture leads to necrotic core formation and the emergence of developmentally
stressful microenvironments[23]. Consistent with this, EM imaging and 3D segmentation of enlarged
amoeboid microglia within organoids revealed a single, perinuclear, electron-lucent vesicle containing
cellular debris, further supporting the presence of a gastrosome-like compartment in human microglia
under these conditions (FIG 3D-D" and Video 10).

As high levels of neuronal apoptosis is a hallmark of many human disease contexts, including
neurodegenerative disorders and brain injuries, we next examined how the microglial gastrosome
responds to apoptotic stress and increased engulfment demands. To this end, we transplanted WT zf-
hiMG into three different zebrafish models characterised by different levels of apoptosis: WT (low
burden), *slc37a2* mutants (moderate burden)[13], and *Irf8*[st95] (high burden)[31]. Gastrosome size
increased progressively across these conditions, correlating with the apoptotic load (FIG 3E, leftmost
column: grey, pink and orange boxplots for WT zf-hiMG). Imaging in the *Irf8*[st95] background, combined
with a marker for apoptotic neurons, confirmed that enlarged gastrosomes contained neuron-derived
material (FIG 3F). The dose-dependent enlargement underscores the gastrosome's adaptive
responsiveness to increasing levels of apoptotic stress, further supporting its role as a specialized
phagocytic compartment for processing engulfed cellular debris.

Given the observed correlation between gastrosome size and neuronal cell death[13,14], we next asked
whether this compartment's responsiveness would be altered in microglia with impaired phagocytic
function. TREM2, a key regulator of microglial phagocytic functions and a well-established risk factor
in multiple neurodegenerative diseases[5,42–44], appeared as a suitable candidate to test this hypothesis.
To this end, we generated *TREM2*-deficient hiPSC using CRISPR-Cas9, targeting exon 2 with a
previously published sgRNA[44] (SUPP FIG 4A). We obtained a clone carrying a homozygous small
deletion (SUPP FIG 4A'), which led to significantly reduced transcript levels as confirmed by RNA-seq
(SUPP FIG 4B). The edited cells retained pluripotency (data not shown) and differentiated efficiently
into iMGL (*TREM2* iMGL) expressing canonical microglial markers at levels comparable to WT iMGL
(SUPP FIG 4B). Transplantation of *TREM2* zf-hiMG into *Irf8*[st95] embryos resulted in a significantly higher
number of uncollected apoptotic neurons compared to embryos transplanted with WT zf-hiMG (FIG
4A). Notably, the number of unengulfed apoptotic neurons was comparable to that observed in non-
transplanted *Irf8*[st95] embryos, indicating that *TREM2*-deficient zf-hiMG fail to compensate for the

absence of endogenous zebrafish microglia. This functional impairment is consistent with previous
studies in other systems[45–50]. In line with this, *TREM2* zf-hiMG in the *Irf8*[st95] background displayed a
significantly smaller gastrosome compared to WT zf-hiMG in the same apoptotic context (FIG 3E,
orange boxplots, rightmost vs. leftmost column; FIG 4B for a representative example). When
transplanted into *slc37a2* mutant embryos, which retain endogenous microglia, *TREM2* zf-hiMG
showed an even further reduction in gastrosome size, likely reflecting reduced access to apoptotic
material due to competitive engulfment (FIG 3E, rightmost column, compare orange to pink boxplot).
Despite their diminished phagocytic performance, *TREM2* zf-hiMG retained dynamic morphology and
active scanning behavior, indicating that they remained viable and responsive *in vivo* (SUPP FIG 4D,
Video 11). Together these findings demonstrate that the gastrosome in human microglia-like cells
adapts to the rate of neuronal cell death and is sensitive to genetic perturbations in efferocytosis
pathways. The observed reduction in gastrosome size in TRME2-deficient cells further underscores
the role of this compartment in neuronal clearance and establishes the gastrosome as a conserved,
functionally responsive structure central to microglial phagocytic competence.
232
233

In vivo characterization of the gastrosome in human microglia-like cells reveals a conserved role in lipids handling

To further explore the functional relevance of the gastrosome in human microglia, we next focused
on its regulation and size. Given that *SLC37A2* has been implicated as a critical regulator of the
gastrosome[13,14], we used CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing to generate *SLC37A2*-deficient human iPSCs by
targeting either exon 2 or exon 6 (SUPP FIG 4C). Both resulting clones harbored indels confirmed by
sequencing (SUPP FIG 4C') and showed a reduction in transcript levels by RNA-seq (SUPP FIG 4B).
Pluripotency was retained in hiPSCs (data not shown) and the mutant cells were successfully
differentiated into iMGL (*SLC37A2* iMGL) expressing canonical microglial markers at levels comparable
to WT iMGL (SUPP FIG 4B). We transplanted *SLC37A2*-deficient iMGL into *Irf8*[st95] embryos and labeled
apoptotic neurons using AO. Quantification revealed that both xenotransplanted *SLC37A2-deficient*
lines significantly reduced apoptotic burden compared to non-transplanted controls, reaching levels
similar to those achieved by WT zf-hiMG (FIG 4A). However, despite this preserved engulfment
capacity, *SLC37A2-deficient* zf-hiMG showed a markedly enlarged gastrosome 48hrs post
transplantation compared to WT zf-hiMG in the same background (FIG 3E, compare orange boxplots
in middle vs. left columns; FIG 4C for representative images) indicating a defect in downstream
processing of engulfed material. To further investigate this phenotype, we transplanted green-labelled
SLC37A2-defient iMGL into *slc37a2* mutant embryos, in which endogenous microglia were labelled
with mCherry. Two days post-transplantation, human and zebrafish *SLC37A2*-deficient microglia
exhibited highly similar morphologies, appearing nearly indistinguishable (FIG 4D). Interestingly, the
gastrosome size in *SLC37A2-deficient* zf-hiMG was smaller in *slc37a2* mutant embryos than in Irf8[st95]
embryos, likely reflecting reduced availability of apoptotic substrates due to the presence of resident
microglia (FIG 3E, middle column; compare pink vs. orange boxplots; FIG 4C).

To assess the biochemical content of the enlarged gastrosome, we stained WT and *SLC37A2-deficient*
zf-hiMG in the *Irf8*[st95] mutant background with cholera toxin B (CtxB), a marker for GM1 ganglioside.
This revealed an accumulation of GM1 gangliosides within the enlarged gastrosome of *SLC37A2-
deficient* zf-hiMG, indicating lipid buildup (SUPP FIG 4E). Lipid accumulation is a well-described feature
of microglia in neurodegenerative disease contexts and is thought to reflect impaired degradation or
trafficking of lipid-rich neuronal debris[5,6,9–12,14]. To test whether gastrosomal expansion reflects a
general response to disrupted lipid trafficking, we transplanted human iMGL into *Irf8*[st95] embryos and

exposed these embryos to U18666A, a well-characterized inhibitor of NPC1 - a cholesterol transporter
whose mutation causes Niemann-Pick disease type C[51]. NPC1 is essential for proper microglial
function, and microglial somatic enlargement has been reported in this disease context[14,52]. Upon
NPC1 inhibition, we observed a robust expansion of the gastrosome in zf-hiMG mirroring the response
of endogenous microglia within the same embryo (SUPP FIG 4F-F'). Staining with HCS LipidTOX and
CtxB confirmed the accumulation of neutral lipids and gangliosides within the enlarged gastrosome
(FIG 4E-E' for neutral lipids and FIG 4F-F' for gangliosides).

Together, these results demonstrate that the gastrosome is a conserved and functionally responsive
compartment in human microglia. Its expansion in response to genetic and pharmacological disruption
of lipid processing highlights the gastrosome's essential role in the degradation and clearance of lipid-
rich neuronal debris – a function critical for sustaining microglial homeostasis in both healthy and
disease-associated states.

Discussion

Impaired phagocytosis and disrupted lipid processing in microglia are increasingly recognized as
contributing factors in the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases. To investigate how human
microglia process neuron-derived material, iPSC-derived microglia (iMGL) offer a powerful tool,
providing a human genetic background and avoiding species-specific differences in gene regulation
and behavior. However, to accurately capture microglial function, these cells must be studied in
environments that mimic native tissue architecture and cues. Rodent xenotransplantation models and
brain organoids provide valuable complexity and physiological relevance, but they are also time-
consuming, technically demanding, and limited in throughput. In this context, HuZIBRA offers a
complementary approach. Transplantation of iMGL into the developing zebrafish brain is technically
simple, scalable, and allows for semi-throughput experimentation. While questions related to long-
term integration of human microglia may be better suited to organoid or rodent systems, HuZIBRA
can help with capturing acute, cell-autonomous microglial responses to neuronal apoptotic and
metabolic stress. The zebrafish brain provides a permissive, in vivo environment in which human
microglia exhibit highly branched morphologies and significantly more dynamic behavior than in vitro.
Although some behavioral features may be dependent on the specific zebrafish context, the model's
small size and optical transparency enable high-temporal-resolution imaging of human microglia in
real time. This reduces the risk of underestimating cell speed or oversimplifying migratory
trajectories—limitations commonly encountered in slower or lower-resolution imaging platforms.
Within HuZIBRA, human microglia are observed making transient, repeated contacts with dying
zebrafish neurons. Such interactions resemble behaviors reported for endogenous zebrafish microglia
[32,37], and echo transient synaptic contacts observed in rodent models[53] (for review on the topic see[54]).
Together, these findings point to a conserved feature of microglial surveillance and efferocytosis
across species, highlighting HuZIBRA as a powerful tool for investigating core aspects of human
microglial behavior in vivo. While the HuZIBRA model offers clear advantages in terms of scalability,
accessibility, and imaging resolution, it also has some limitations. In particular, observations are
typically limited to the first three days post-transplantation, when the embryos remain optically
transparent—a key factor that dictates the feasible imaging window. Since human microglia do not
proliferate during this time window, the model is less suited for applications that require large
amounts of material, such as bulk or single-cell omics. However, this limitation is likely to become less
relevant with the continued advancement of spatial transcriptomics—a rapidly evolving field to which
HuZIBRA is well positioned to contribute in the future.

A key outcome of this study is the demonstration of conserved microglial efferocytosis across species.
When transplanted into the zebrafish brain, human iPSC-derived microglia effectively recognize and
engulf dying zebrafish neurons, indicating that core molecular cues guiding this process are
functionally preserved. This cross-species compatibility provides a unique opportunity to model
human microglial responses to neuronal cell death in vivo, and to dissect how genetic or
pharmacological perturbations influence their clearance behavior. These dynamic processes are often
understudied, partly due to the technical difficulty in imaging highly dynamic processes deep in the
brain. Yet, they are highly relevant to understand how microglia respond to high levels of neuronal
apoptosis that are typical of development and neurodegenerative conditions. To date, omics
approaches have identified key gene expression programs and regulatory networks, however, our
understanding of how these programs translate into core cellular processes, such as debris clearance,
lipid metabolism, and dynamic environmental responses, remains limited. In this study, we observed
that human microglia process apoptotic neuronal material through a coordinated pathway that
involves the lipid-rich gastrosome. This compartment expands in response to increased neuronal
death and is significantly reduced in microglia lacking functional TREM2, a receptor linked to
neurodegenerative disease. These findings establish a mechanistic connection between gene
expression programs and key cellular functions, namely efferocytosis and lipid metabolism, and
underscore the value of HuZIBRA as a platform for dissecting both intrinsic and environmental
regulators of microglial behavior in real time.

Authors contribution

A.V. designed the study together with F.P, performed experiments, conducted data analysis, and
contributed to conceptualization, writing, and editing of the manuscript. **T.W.** generated CRISPR-
edited hiPSC lines. **J.W.** developed scripts for EM segmentation and provided feedback on data
analysis. **I.M.G.** performed the bulk RNA-seq analysis. **I.S.O.** generated EM data of iMGL within human
brain organoids. **N.T.** performed HCR experiments. **M.P.** contributed to EM data acquisition. **A.A.P**
generated the Tg(UAS:lyn-miRFP670) fishline. **D.G.** provided feedback throughout the project, and
manuscript review. **S.T.S.** supervised EM experiments and contributed to manuscript feedback. **F.P.**
designed the study together with A.V. and contributed to conceptualization, writing, and editing of
the manuscript.

Acknowledgements
We are grateful to Cornelia Henkel for the fish care. The authors acknowledge the support of the
Center for Microscopy and Image Analysis (ZMB), University of Zurich for light and electron microscopy
experiments and of the Cytometry Facility for the support with FACS experiments. We are thankful to
the Functional Genomic Center Zurich (FGCZ) of University of Zurich and ETH Zurich for the support
performing the Genomics experiments. We are thankful to the Allen Institute for Cell Science for the
generation and characterization of the labelled hiPSC lines. This project was supported by Swiss
National Science Foundation Grants 310030_212794.

Material and Methods

Animal Model
Zebrafish (Danio rerio) were raised, maintained, and bred according to standard procedures as
described in "Zebrafish – A practical approach" (Nüsslein-Volhard, 2012). All experiments were

performed on embryos younger than 5dpf, in accordance with the European Union Directive
2010/62/EU and local authorities (Kantonales Veterinäramt; Fishroom licence TVHa Nr. 178). Live
embryos were kept at 28°C in E3 solution and staging was done according to[55]. In this study sex
determination is not relevant since in zebrafish all individuals develop initially immature ovaries, and
the process of gonadal differentiation and sex determination takes place around 25 days post-
fertilization[56]. To avoid pigmentation 0.003% 1-phenyl-2-thiourea was added at 1dpf. The following
lines were used in this study *irf8*[st95] [31], *slc37a2*[NY007] [13], Tg(mpeg1:GFP-caax)[13], Tg(nbt:dLexPR-
LexOP:secA5-BFP)[32], TgBAC(fms:Gal4,UAS:nfsB-mCherry)[57], the Tg(UAS:lyn-miRFP670) plasmid was
obtained by cloning the lyn-miRFP670 fusion protein downstream of the UAS promoter using the
Gateway cloning kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). This construct, flanked by Tol2 sites, was injected into
1-cell-stage Tg(fms:Gal4) embryos to generate transgenic lines expressing membrane-labeled, far-red
microglia.

Maintenance of hESC and iPSC and generation of fluorescnet reporter lines

Labelled human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) AICS-0036-006 (WTC-mEGFP-
Safeharborlocus(AAVS1)-cl6(mono-allelic tag)) and AICS-0054-091 (WTC-mTagRFPT-CAAX-
Safeharborlocus(AAVS1)-cl91 (mono-allelic tag)) were developed at the Allen Institute for Cell Science
(allencell.org/cell-catalog) and available through Coriell. The lines were cultured in mTeSR1 or mTeSR
Plus (STEM CELL Technologies) in a humified incubator at 5% CO2, 37°C by following the guidelines
provided by STEMCELL Technologies. All cell lines were regularly tested for mycoplasma
contamination.

In experiments using hiPSC-derived microglia in brain organoids the protocol for the use of human
embryonic stem cell lines (H1 ESC, WiCell) as well as human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) lines
for this study was approved by Salk Institute's IRB Committee (FWA 00005316) and the Embryonic
Stem Cell Research and Oversight Committee. The Salk Institute is committed to protecting the rights
and welfare of human research participants and ensures compliance with all applicable ethical and
legal requirements. The iPSC lines used in this study have previously been described[58] and were
reprogrammed in the same facility (Salk Institute for Biological Studies, Laboratory of Genetics) and
under the same conditions. Briefly, fibroblasts were transduced with retroviruses containing SOX2,
OCT4, KLF4 and MYC to induce overexpression of these genes and were transferred to a co-culture
system with murine embryonic fibroblasts. iPSC colonies were identified after around 2 weeks in this
culture system, plated onto Matrigel-coated plates (BD Biosciences) and maintained in iPS-Brew
media (Miltenyi). All cell lines were regularly tested for mycoplasma contamination. The following line
was used: Neurotypical control iPSC line Cent 3-6 as previously described[58]. To generate fluorescent
reporter lines, we infected iPSCs with LV-CAG::tdT[23]. Five days after infection, cells were isolated using
FACS and re-plated on Matrigel-coated plates in iPS-Brew media (Miltenyi) supplemented with CloneR
(Stem Cell Technologies). Upon recovery, cell lines were expanded and used for subsequent
experiments.

CRISPR-mediated editing of SLC37A2 and TREM2

gRNA targeting the second exon of *TREM2* (5'-CTCTCCCAGCTGGCGGCACC-3')[44], or the second (5'-
CTATCAGTATCGTCAAGGTG-3') or sixth (5'-AATGGACTCGTCCAGACCAC-3') exons of *SLC37A2* were
designed using the CRISPR/Cas9 target online predictor CHOPCHOP[59] and ordered from IDT as crRNA.
The RNP complex was obtained following the manufacturer guidelines (IDT). Human iPSC were
cultured in StemFlex Medium (ThermoFisher) and the RNP complex was introduced by electroporation

(Lonza). Cells were diluted and seeded as single cells to obtain monoclonal lines using the isoCell-
isoHub system (iota biosciences, Alameda US) by following the manufacturer guidelines. Successful
targeting was confirmed by PCR and sequencing.

Target	Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Tm (°C)
TREM2	forward	CTGCAGAGCAAGCAAGAGTG	52
	reverse	CTGGTAGAGACCCGCATCAT	
SLC37A2 (exon 2)	forward	AGAGTTGGTGGTCCTCAGGC	54
	reverse	AAAGCAGCCACATCAGACCC	
SLC37A2 (exon 6)	forward	ACTTCCAATGCACGAGAGGC	54
	reverse	CCTGCTCTATGGGTTAAAGCC	

396   **Table1.** List of primers for CRISPR sequencing

397   Differentiation of iPSC-microglia (iMGL) from iPSCs

398   Human iPSC were differentiated to hemotopoietic progenitors (iHPC) using the Hematopoietic Kit
399   (STEMCELL Technologies) and then to mature microglia (iMGL) using the Microglia Differentiation and
400   Microglia Maturation kits (STEMCELL Technologies) by following the manufacturer guidelines.

Generation of forebrain organoids

Subject-derived iPSC lines were used to generate forebrain organoids as described previously with minor modifications[58,60]. Human iPSC colonies were detached before reaching confluency with collagenase Type IV (Gibco) and transferred to an Ultra-Low attachment 10-cm plate (Corning Costar) containing 10 ml hPSC medium consisting of DMEM:F12 (Invitrogen), 20% Knockout Serum Replacement (Gibco), 1× Non-essential Amino Acids (Invitrogen), 1× 2-mercaptoethanol (Gibco), 1× GlutaMAX (Invitrogen), 10 ng ml–1 FGF-2 (Peprotech) and ROCK inhibitor Y27632 (10 μM). Twenty-four hours later, the medium was replaced with induction medium containing hPSC media without FGF-2, 2 μM dorsomorphin (Tocris) and 2 μM A-083 (Tocris). At day 5 the media was replaced with neural induction medium consisting of DMEM:F12 (Invitrogen), 1× N2 Supplement (Invitrogen), 1× Non-essential Amino Acids (Invitrogen), 1× GlutaMAX (Invitrogen), 10 μg ml–1 Heparin (Tocris), 1× Penicillin/Streptomycin (Gibco), 10 μM CHIR99021 (Tocris) and 1 μM SB-431542 (Tocris). Seven days after induction, organoids were embedded in 20-μl Matrigel (CultrexTM, Bio-Techne) droplets and continued to grow for an additional week in 6 cm Ultra-Low attachment plates (Corning Costar). From day 14 onwards, organoids were cultured in differentiation medium consisting of DMEM:F12 (Invitrogen), 1× N2 and B27 Supplements (Invitrogen), 1× Non-essential Amino Acids (Invitrogen), 1× GlutaMAX (Invitrogen), 1× 2-Mercaptoethanol (Gibco), 1× Penicillin/Streptomycin (Gibco) and 2.5 μg ml–1 Insulin (Sigma), and transferred to an orbital shaker (65-75 rpm). At day 20, residual Matrigel was removed and media changes were performed every 2-3 days using the aforementioned differentiation medium.

Colonization of cortical forebrain organoids through erythromyeloid progenitors (EMPs)

EMPs were generated as previously described with minor modifications[29]. Briefly, hESCs/iPSCs were dissociated using TrypLE (Invitrogen) and plated in a 6-cm tissue culture-treated plate (CytoOne USA Scientific) at a density of 400,000 cells per well with 10 μM ROCK inhibitor (Tocris). The next day, cells were changed to basal hematopoietic differentiation media supplemented with FGF2 (50 ng ml-1, Miltenyi), BMP4 (50 ng ml-1, Proteintech) Activin A (12.5 ng ml-1, Miltenyi) ROCK inhibitor (1 μM, Tocris) and LiCl (2mM, Sigma) and grown under hypoxic conditions (5% CO_2, 5% O_2). Hematopoietic differentiation media consisted of IMDM (50%, Thermo Fisher Scientific), DMEM/F12 (50%), ITSG-X, (2% v/v, Thermo Fisher Scientific), L-ascorbic acid 2-Phosphate magnesium (64 mg ml-1; Sigma), monothioglycerol (400 mM), PVA (10 mg ml-1; Sigma), Glutamax (1X, Thermo Fisher Scientific), chemically defined lipid concentrate (1X, Thermo Fisher Scientific), non-essential amino acids (NEAA, Thermo Fisher Scientific 1X), Penicillin/Streptomycin (P/S Thermo Fisher Scientific, 1% V/V). On day 2, media was changed and supplemented with FGF2 (50 ng ml-1, Miltenyi) and VEGF (50 ng ml-1, Miltenyi) and returned to hypoxic conditions. Following day 4, cells were placed into norm-oxic conditions (5% CO_2, 21% O_2) and kept in basal hematopoietic differentiation media supplemented with FGF2 (50 ng ml-1, Tocris), VEGF (50 ng ml-1, Miltenyi) TPO (50 ng ml-1, Miltenyi), SCF (10 ng ml-1,Miltenyi), IL-6 (50 ng ml-1, Miltenyi) and IL-3 (10 ng ml-1, Miltenyi) until colonies released hematopoietic stem cells (usually between day 14 and 16). EMPs were then isolated using Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) by gating on tdT- and CD43-FITC (Biolegend, 315204)-positive cells. Co-culture of isolated EMPs with cortical organoids was performed using up to 100,000 cells in the above-indicated organoid differentiation media supplemented with 25 ng ml-1 M-CSF (Miltenyi), 100 ng ml-1 IL34 (Miltenyi) and 50 ng ml-1 TGFβ1 (Miltenyi).

Flow cytometry

On day 12 of iHPC differentiation, hematopoietic markers were tested via antibody staining and FACS
analysis. $5*10^4 - 2*10^5$ cells/sample were incubated with antibodies diluted in FACS Buffer (D-PBS
without Mg^{++} and Ca^{++} with 2% FBS) for 30min at 4°C. The cells were washed twice with FACS buffer
and resuspended in 300ul sorting buffer (FACS buffer with 1mM EDTA and 25mM HEPES) for analysis.
On day 24 of iMGL differentiation, microglial markers were tested via antibody staining and FACS
analysis. $5*10^4 - 2*10^5$ cells/sample were resuspended in 100 µl of FACS buffer and blocked with 100ul
of 10µg/ml CD32 (20min at 4°C). The samples were then incubated 45 min at 4°C with the primary
antibodies (see list below), and then 20 min at room temperature with secondary antibodies. The cells
were washed twice with FACS buffer and then resuspended in 300ul sorting buffer for analysis. The
samples were analyzed at a BD LSR II Fortessa Analyzer and processed in FlowJo.

Phagocytosis assay *in vitro*

Mature iMGL seeded at a density of $1*10^5$ cells/cm^2 on Matrigel-coated cell culture vessels were
exposed to fluorescent Amyloid-β (Aβ) 1-42 (0.55ug/cm^2), ovalbumin (4.21 ug/cm^2) or 1µm large latex
beads (diluted in a 1:5 ratio in not heat-inactivated FBS). 12-24 h after the feeding the cells were
analyzed either by FACS or light microscopy.

Staining procedures

HCR RNA-FISH *in vitro* and *in vivo*

The mRNA of selected targets was stained by HCR RNA-FISH (Molecular Instruments). HCR buffers,
reagents, hairpins and probes were obtained from the manufacturer. The target mRNA sequences for
the human-specific HCR probes were based on the MANE select transcript variants available on NCBI
(Table 2). HCR probes were designed to reduce cross-reactivity between zebrafish and human genes
(Table 5). For *in vitro* HCR experiments, mature iMGL were fixed by first adding 8% PFA directly to the
culture medium to achieve a final concentration of 4% PFA (20 min at room temperature), followed
by replacement with fresh 4% PFA in PBS which was incubated for 10 min at room temperature. HCR
was then performed by following the manufacturer guidelines for mammalian cells on a chambered
slide. For *in vivo* HCR experiments, 4 dpf zebrafish embryos were fixed overnight in PFA 4% at 4°C and
dehydrated and permeabilized as by manufacturer guidelines with a proteinase K incubation of 30
minutes (protocol for whole-mount zebrafish embryos and larvae).

Gene of interest	NCBI accession number	HCR Amplifier	Hairpins
P2RY12	NM_022788.5	B2	red (λ=594nm), far red (λ=647nm)
AIF1	NM_001623.5	B1	red (λ=594nm), far red (λ=647nm)
TREM2	NM_018965.4	B1	red (λ=594nm), far red (λ=647nm)
TMEM119	NM_181724.3	B2	red (λ=594nm), far red (λ=647nm)

Table 2. List of genes of interest, amplifiers and hairpins for HCR experiments

Immunohistochemistry in cell culture

Mature iMGL were fixed by first adding 8% PFA directly to the culture medium to achieve a final
concentration of 4% PFA (10-20 min at room temperature), followed by replacement with fresh 4%
PFA in PBS which was incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. The cells
were then rinsed in PBS and permeabilized for 7min at room temperature (0.2% Triton-X-100/PBS).
After blocking for 1h at room temperature (3%BSA/0.2%Triton-X-100/PBS) the cells were incubated

with the primary antibody diluted in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. The cells were rinsed 3 times
with PBS1x and incubated 1h at room temperature with the secondary antibody and DAPI in blocking
solution.

AnnexinV staining

484 A red labelled AnnexinV detection kit (ThermoFisher) was used to detect apoptosis *in vitro* by following
485 the manufacturer guidelines. The samples were then analyzed by FACS. To analyse the staining by light
486 microscopy, 3 µl of AnnexinV conjugate were added directly to a well of mature iMGL which were
487 immediately imaged by spinning disk microscopy.

Acridine Orange

489 To visualize apoptotic cells *in vivo*, 3 dpf control and transplanted embryos were stained with acridine
490 orange (AO, Merck, Sigma-Aldrich). The larvae were incubated in the dark for 1 h at 28 °C in 10 µg/ml
491 AO solution in E3 and washed extensively before imaging.

GM1 ganglioside staining in zebrafish

493 To visualize GM1 gangliosides, a staining with Cholera Toxin subunit B (CtxB recombinant) conjugated
494 with Alexa Fluor 549 or Alexa Fluor 647 (ThermoFisher Scientific) was performed on transplanted 4
495 dpf zebrafish embryos as previously reported (Zareba et al, 2024).

LipidTOX

497 To visualize neutral lipids, HCS LipidTOX Deep Red (ThermoFisher Scientific) was added 1:1000 to live
498 4dpf embryos for 1 hour at 28 degrees. The embryos were rinsed and mounted for live imaging.

RNA sequencing

Library preparation

Total RNA was extracted from mature iMGL (1*106 cells) using either the RNeasy Micro kit (Qiagen)
or the Direct-zol RNA miniprep (Zymo Research), according to the manufacturers' protocols. The
quality of the isolated RNA was determined with a Qubit® (1.0) Fluorometer (Life Technologies,
California, USA) and a Fragment Analyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, California, USA). Only those samples
with a 260 nm/280 nm ratio between 1.8–2.1 and a 28S/18S ratio within 1.5–2 were further
processed. The TruSeq Stranded mRNA (Illumina, Inc, California, USA) was used in the succeeding
steps. Briefly, total RNA samples (100-1000 ng) were polyA enriched and then reverse-transcribed into
double-stranded cDNA. The cDNA samples was fragmented, end-repaired and adenylated before
ligation of TruSeq adapters containing unique dual indices (UDI) for multiplexing. Fragments
containing TruSeq adapters on both ends were selectively enriched with PCR. The quality and quantity
of the enriched libraries were validated using Qubit® (1.0) Fluorometer and the Fragment Analyzer
(Agilent, Santa Clara, California, USA). The product is a smear with an average fragment size of
approximately 260 bp. The libraries were normalized to 10nM in Tris-Cl 10 mM, pH8.5 with 0.1%
Tween 20.

Cluster Generation and Sequencing

516 The Novaseq 6000 (Illumina, Inc, California, USA) was used for cluster generation and sequencing
517 according to standard protocol. Sequencing was single end 100 bp.

Xenotransplantations of mature iMGL into Zebrafish embryos

2 days post fertilization (dpf) zebrafish embryos were anaesthetized and embedded ventrally (head up) as single embryos in small droplets of 1% low-melting agarose. The excess of agar was removed using a scalpel. Mature iMGL were harvested and filtered (mesh size 30-50 µm) after resuspension in HBSS with 1% Phenol Red to a final concentration of ~2.4*10^6 cells/ml. The cell suspension was loaded into a 30 µm beveled needle and injected directly into the optic tectum of the mounted embryos using an oil injector (Eppendorf). 10-20 minutes after injection, the embryos were unmounted and used for further experiments.

Light Microscopy

Confocal, spinning disk or light sheet microscopy were used to image the *in vitro* and *in vivo* samples, as specified below.

Light microscopy of cells

Confocal analysis of live or fixed cells was performed with an Andor Dragonfly 200 Sona spinning-disc microscope with a Nikon 20x/NA 0.95 water or 40x/NA 1.25 silicon oil objectives and 40 µm spinning disc or a Leica SP8 with a 20x/NA0.75 or 40x/NA1.1 water objectives to capture stacks with a z-step of 0.5-1 µm. All images were analyzed in Fiji[61] or Imaris (Oxford Instruments).

Light microscopy of zebrafish embryos

Embryos were anaesthetized during mounting procedures and experiments using 0.01% tricaine (Merck) and pre-screened based on the expression of the desired fluorophore (when appropriate), using a Nikon ZMZ18 fluorescent stereoscope. Embryos were embedded in 1–1.5% low-melting (LM) agarose (PeqGOLD Low Melt Agarose, PeqLab Biotechnologie GmbH), dissolved in E3 medium with 0.01% tricaine and mounted as appropriate for the microscope used. For confocal microscopy, an Andor Dragonfly 200 Sona spinning-disc microscope with a Nikon 20x/NA 0.95 water or 40x/NA 1.25 silicon oil objectives and 40 µm spinning disc or a Leica SP8 with a 20x/NA0.75 or 40x/NA1.1 water objectives to capture stacks with a z-step of 0.5-1 µm. The same conditions were used for fixed zebrafish embryos. For light sheet microscopy we used either a Leica Viventis LS2 Live microscope with two Nikon 10x/0.2 NA objectives or a Bruker Luxendo TruLive3D Imager with two Nikon CFI Plan Fluor 10x/0.3 NA illumination objectives and a Nikon CFI APO LWD 25x/1.1 NA detection objective, coupled with a tube lens (f=400mm) to acquire images at 50x magnification. For time lapse images, the stacks were taken at an interval of 30 sec, 1 min, 3 min or 5 min. All images were analyzed in Fiji (Schindelin, J. et al., 2012) or Imaris.

Chemical perturbations

U18666a

3dpf zebrafish embryos were incubated in 100 µM U18666a (Merck, Sigma-Aldrich) solution overnight at RT. Embryos were mounted and imaged.

Metronidazole

To induce apoptosis of endogenous zebrafish microglia, *slc37a2^{NY007}* TgBAC(fms:Gal4,UAS:nfsB-mCherry) 3 dpf embryos were incubated with 10 mM Metronidazole (MTZ, Merck, Sigma-Aldrich) solution in E3 medium with 0.2% (v/v) DMSO for 24 h. Embryos were washed extensively with E3 before mounting and imaging.

Laser mediated injury

Injuries in the brain were performed at the Olympus IXplore SpinSR10 spinning disk confocal microscope equipped with the photomanipulation unit (Rapp OptoElectronic) using a 355 nm ablation laser (pulsed, UGA- 42 Caliburn). For imaging, a 60x/1.3 NA objective was used, and for injury a circular pattern with 3% laser intensity was used.

Electron Microscopy (EM)

TEM on iMGL

Transmission Electron Microscopy on iMGL (control or fed with latex beads) was performed at the Center for Microscopy and Image Analysis (ZMB) at the University of Zurich. Cells were grown as by differentiation protocol in 6 well plates and fixed with 2.5 % glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.35, pre-warmed to 37°C), centrifugated at 3000 rpm for 6 min and washed in cacodylate buffer followed by 1% OsO4 for 1 hour in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer at 0°C, and 1% aqueous uranyl acetate for 1 hour at 4°C. Afterwards, cells were then first embedded in 2% agar, dehydrated in an ethanol series, followed by propylene oxide and embedded in Epon/Araldite (Sigma-Aldrich). Ultrathin (70 nm) sections were post-stained with lead citrate and examined with a Talos 120 transmission electron microscope at an acceleration voltage of 120 KV using a bottom mounted Ceta camera and the MAPS software for automatic image acquisition (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Eindhoven, The Netherlands).

Array Tomography on mature iMGL

Serial ultrathin sections (100nm) were collected on 10mm x 20mm silicon wafers using an ultramicrotome (Artos 3D, Leica Microsystems, Vienna, Austria)[62] and contrasted with lead citrate for 7 min.

Sections were imaged in an Apreo 2 VS scanning electron microscope using the MAPS software package for automatic serial section recognition and image acquisition (Thermo Fisher, Eindhoven, The Netherlands). The array tomography workflow includes serial sections recognition, image region definition, autofunctions, and image acquisition[63]. Region of interest was imaged on every section using the OptiPlan mode of the system and the T1 detector with following parameters: Pixel size of 4 nm, pixel dwell time of 1 us, electron high tension of 1.8 keV, beam current of 0.1 nA. Serial section Tiff images were aligned using the plugin TrakEM2[64] in Fiji[61].

Electron microscopy on human iPSC-microglia in human brain organoids

Fixed sample processing

Microglia-containing forebrain organoids were fixed at 6/7 weeks post integration using 2% PFA / 2% Glutaraldehyde in 0.1M Phosphate Buffer (pH= 6.8) for 2 hours at room temperature, followed by two washes in 0.1M Phosphate Buffer (pH= 6.8). The fixed organoid samples were rinsed in 0.1M cacodylate buffer and the localization of fluorescently labeled cells was determined by widefield fluorescence microscopy for tdTomato. The sample was microdissected for the fluorescently labeled region and embedded in 4% low melting point agarose made with 1X PBS. A Leica VT1000 vibratome was used to collect 100µm sections of the sample. Sections were stored in cryoprotectant (4:3:3 - PBS:ethylene glycol:glycerol) at -20°C until further processing. The sample was imaged on a Zeiss 880 microscope with a 20X air objective (NA 0.8) using the Airyscan detector. A comprehensive map of all tdTomato+ cells was generated using tile scanning and z-stack modules (reporting pixel size and volume size of whole ROI and sub-ROIs).

vEM sample preparation

Materials were sourced from Electron Microscopy Sciences (Hatfield, PA) unless otherwise stated. Samples were rinsed repeatedly with ice-cold buffer (0.1M sodium cacodylate, 3mM calcium chloride) before further fixation with reduced osmium (1% osmium tetroxide, 1.5% potassium ferrocyanide, 0.1M sodium cacodylate, 3mM calcium chloride). Samples were rinsed repeatedly with ice-cold water and stained with 1% aqueous uranyl acetate for one hour. Samples were rinsed thoroughly with ice cold water and serially dehydrated in ascending concentrations of ice-cold ethanol. Samples were finally rinsed in three changes of anhydrous ethanol at room temperature before infiltration with a 1:1 mixture of anhydrous ethanol and epoxy resin (Eponate 12, hard formulation; Ted Pella) for 4 hours on a rotating mixer, followed by infiltration in pure resin overnight on a rotating mixer. The samples were embedded in two steps: first, the infiltrated sample was polymerized overnight at 70°C on polypropylene bottlecap in a thin layer of resin with a gel capsule pressed into the resin around the sample. The following day, the gel capsule was backfilled with more resin and left to polymerize for another 48 hours.

Serial ultrathin sections (100nm) were collected from the blockface. Briefly, the blockface was trimmed using a 90° diamond trimming knife (Diatome) around the entire tissue section to a frustum of approximately 80µm in height. A silicon chip (35x7mm; University Wafer, Boston, MA) was hydrophilized in a plasma cleaner (Harrick), rinsed in pure water, and partially immersed in a Diatome Histo knife, with one end sticking out of the water at the back of the boat. Four drops of pure ethanol were added to the water in the boat to attenuate surface tension, and an ionizing gun (Leica EM Crion) was activated and oriented towards the cutting edge of the knife mounted on the ultramicrotome. Ribbons of approximately 100-150 serial sections of a nominal 100nm thickness were collected onto a series of chips, for a total of approximately 650 sections or 65µm of tissue volume. When ribbons of sufficient quality and length were generated, they were released from the knife edge using a single-eyelash brush and carefully positioned over the chip. The water level was then slowly lowered, and sections were allowed to dry down on the silicon substrate over a few minutes. Chips were further dried on a hot plate set to 60°C for approximately 5 minutes.

vEM imaging

Samples were loaded into a Zeiss Sigma VP scanning EM microscope (SEM) and imaged the array tomography software module of Atlas5 (FIBICS). Images were collected using a backscattered electron

detector (Gatan) at a working distance of approximately 6mm, with accelerating voltage set to 3kV, a
30μm aperture, and the beam in high current mode. Low magnification (50-100nm/px) overviews of
each section were collected and aligned using TrakEM2[64] in Fiji[61]. These stacks were used for
correlation with light microscopy, and subsequent selection of regions of interest for high resolution
vEM. Correlative light-electron microscopy (CLEM) was achieved using BigWarp[65] in Fiji[61] between
the tdTomato fluorescence and the microglia in the vEM. In sparse vEM regions, the only cells present
were microglia, which had stereotypical ultrastructural features (eg., electron dense ER, large clear
endosomes, and complexes formed from lipid droplets). The presence of these distinguishing features
supported the positive identification of tdTomato+ microglia in the vEM data from more dense cellular
regions.

EM Segmentation and visualization

Regions of interest including positively identified tdTomato+ microglia were imaged at high resolution
(8nm/px) through continuous serial sections and aligned as described above. For segmentation of
human cells in *in vitro* monoculture, first EM image stacks were registered using the StackReg FIJI
plugin[66]. For segmentation we used the scikit-image toolkit[67]. For distinguishing between cytosol,
background and gastrosome, we thresholded based on the mean intensity of SLIC super-
pixels[68] (parameters: n_seg=20000, compactness_slic=0.2, sigma_slic=4, min_size_factor_slic=0.5,
max_size_factor_slic=3). For segmenting the nucleus, we used a seeded watershed, the seeds were
manually defined in napari[69] and interpolated between layers. Rough masks were created in napari
to exclude neighouring cells. The resulting segmentation was checked in napari and manually
improved it where appropriate. For segmentations of human iPSC-derived microglia in brain
organoids, because of the lower contrast of this data set, the slices of the EM stack were segmented
manually in napari. After segmentation, in both cases the resulting masks were imported in Imaris
(RRID:SCR_007370) for visualisation purposes.

Data Analysis

RNA sequencing

In-house RNA-seq raw reads were processed using ARMOR (PMC6643886). Reads were aligned and
counted against the human genome GRCh38 assembly and Gencode release 43 annotation using
salmon v1.4.0 and STAR 2.7.7a. Abud's and iPSC data were downloaded from the Sequence Read
Archive (SRA) accessions SRP092075 and SRP155574, respectively, using recount3 (PMID 34844637),
with GRCh38 as the reference genome and Gencode's annotation. The salmon-generated in-house
count data were modelled with quasi-likelihood (QL) negative binomial generalized log-linear models,
and differential expression analysis was performed using edgeR v3.36.0 on salmon outputs. Multi-
dimensional scaling (MDS) plots were generated based on normalized count data using edgeR v3.36.0.
Briefly, MDS plots were used to depict similarities between samples (including replicates and batches)
in an unsupervised manner, showing the two leading fold-change dimensions which explain the largest
proportion of variation in gene expression across samples. Batch correction was performed using
ComBat from the R package sva v3.42.0, with the origin (Abud's or in-house) used as the batch
variable. Gene expression plots were generated to depict logCPMs as calculated by edgeR's
cpm(assay(x, 'counts'), log = TRUE, prior.count = 2) or scaled and centered logCPMs, as reported in
figure legends. Gene set enrichment analyses were performed using camera from limma v3.50.3
(PMC3458527) and mSigDB annotations on differentially expressed genes as reported by edgeR.

Selected gene sets included: C2, curated gene sets from online pathway databases, publications in
PubMed, and domain expert knowledge; C5, Gene Ontology; and C8, cell type signatures.

Transplants statistics

For each transplantation experiment, the total number of injected embryos was recorded. All embryos
were imaged, and transplantation efficiency was calculated as the percentage of embryos showing zf-
hiMG in the optic tectum (i.e., transplanted and correctly localized) over the total number injected.
These embryos were then used to quantify the number of transplanted cells per embryo by manual
counting in Fiji[61] . For survival analyses, embryos were repeatedly imaged from 2 days post-fertilization
(dpf) to 5 dpf (corresponding to 0 to 3 days post-injection, dpi). Embryos were unmounted and
remounted daily. Survival was expressed as the percentage of remaining cells over time, normalized
to the initial number of cells at 0 dpi.

Morphology analysis

685 Morphological features of iMGL and zf-hiMG were manually analyzed on 2D maximum intensity
686 projections using Fiji[61]. The area of the cell body was measured using the segmented line tool. To
687 estimate the total area covered by a cell, the convex hull was manually drawn by connecting the distal
688 tips of the branches to form the smallest possible polygon encompassing the entire cell. Additionally,
689 the number of primary branches directly emerging from the cell body was manually counted.

Branch motility

691 Branch dynamics were analyzed on 2D maximum intensity projections from light-sheet microscopy
692 data. When cells were migrating, the imaging frame was cropped to center the cell. Raw 3D volumes
693 were converted to H5 format using Fiji BigDataProcessor[70] . Individual branches were tracked
694 manually using the mTrackJ plugin[71] in Fiji[61], both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Cell body motility

696 Cell body motility was quantified in 2D using using the mTrackJ plugin[71] in Fiji[61]. For the qualitative
697 representation of zf-hiMG cell body motility, microglia were tracked semi-automatically using Imaris
698 spot detection over time. Spot detection was automated and manually corrected to ensure accurate
699 placement and tracking throughout the time-lapse.

Acridine Orange (AO) quantification

Quantification of uncollected apoptotic neurons was performed using acridine orange (AO) staining
(described above) and Imaris spot detection. 3D volumes were analyzed to detect AO+ spots within
the optic tectum, using a spot diameter of 4 μm. Automated detection was manually corrected. In
transplanted samples, the segmentation channel was duplicated and overlaid with the zf-hiMG
channel to exclude AO+ spots located within zf-hiMG. In experiments with green zf-hiMG, AO+ clusters
recognizable as single, intense aggregates were excluded from quantification, as they were considered
internalized by microglia. This exclusion procedure was applied when needed to both WT and mutant
groups.

Fluorescence intensity

710 To confirm colocalization of apoptotic material within vesicular compartments (e.g., phagosomes,
711 gastrosomes), fluorescence intensity profiles were extracted in Fiji[61]. A line was drawn across the

712 vesicle of interest, and intensity values for both the zf-hiMG signal and the apoptotic neuron signal
713 were recorded and overlayed.

Gastrosome diameter

715 The diameter of gastrosomes was quantified manually in Fiji[61] on 3D image stacks. For each cell, the
716 entire volume was scanned, and the largest vesicle was identified. Using the straight line tool, the
717 longest possible axis within the vesicle was measured and recorded as the gastrosome diameter.
718 Only the largest vesicle per cell was included in the analysis.

Statistical Analysis

720 Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 9 (GraphPad), Python, or R. Unless otherwise
721 specified, conditions were compared using an unpaired, two-tailed, nonparametric Mann-Whitney U
722 test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. The following p-value thresholds were
723 used to report statistical significance:

p-value	Wording	Summary
< 0.0001	Very highly significant	****
0.0001 – 0.001	Highly significant	***
0.001 – 0.01	Very significant	**
0.01 – 0.05	Significant	*
≥ 0.05	Not significant	ns

724 **Table 2.** Summary of Statistical Significance Thresholds and Reporting Symbols

728 Key resource tables

729 List of Materials

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic reagent (*Danio rerio*)	*irf8*^{st95}	Shiau, C. E. et al, 2015	ZDB-FISH-150901-4256	
Genetic reagent (*Danio rerio*)	*slc37a2*^{NY007}	Villani et al, 2019	ZDB-FISH-191226-5	
Genetic reagent (*Danio rerio*)	Tg(mpeg1:GFP-caax)	Villani et al., 2019	ZDB-TGCONSTRCT-191211-1	
Genetic reagent (*Danio rerio*)	Tg(nbt:dLexPR-LexOP:secA5-BFP)	Mazaheri et al., 2014	ZDB-TGCONSTRCT-170110-1	
Genetic reagent (*Danio rerio*)	TgBAC(fms:Gal4,UAS:nfsB-mCherry)	Gray et al., 2011	ZDB-ALT-110707-2	
Genetic reagent (*Danio rerio*)	Tg(UAS:lyn-miRFP670)	This paper	NA	
Cell line	TC-mEGFP-Safeharborlocus(AAVS1)-cl6(mono-allelic tag)	Allen Institute for Cell Science	AICS-0036-006	
Cell line	WTC-mTagRFPT-CAAX-Safeharborlocus(AAVS1)-cl91 (mono-allelic tag)	Allen Institute for Cell Science	AICS-0054-091	
Commercial assay or kit	MultiSite Gateway Pro	ThermoFisher Scientific	12537100	
Commercial assay or kit	STEMdiff Hematopoietic Kit	STEMCELL Technologies	05310	
Commercial assay or kit	STEMdiff Microglia Differentiation Kit	STEMCELL Technologies	100-0019	
Commercial assay or kit	STEMdiff Microglia Maturation Kit	STEMCELL Technologies	100-0020	
Commercial assay or kit	Annexin V			
Commercial assay or kit	RNeasy Micro kit	Qiagen	74004	
Commercial assay or kit	Direct-zol RNA miniprep	Zymo Research	R2050	
Reagent	mTeSR1	STEMCELL Technologies	85850	
Reagent	MTeSR Plus	STEMCELL Technologies	100-0276	
Reagent	ReLeSR	STEMCELL Technologies	05872	
Reagent	Matrigel hESC-Qualified Matrix	Corning	354277	
Reagent	Matrigel Growth Factor Reduced	Corning	354230	

	(GFR) Basement Membrane Matrix			
Reagent	Y-27632 (Rock-Inhibitor)	STEMCELL Technologies	05888	
Reagent	Accutase	STEMCELL Technologies	07922	
Reagent	Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 crRNA	IDT		
Reagent	Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 tracrRNA	IDT	IDT (1072533)	
Reagent	Alt-R® S.p. HiFi Cas9 Nuclease V3 (stock 61uM)	IDT	IDT (1081060)	
Reagent	Nuclease-free IDTE	IDT	IDT (11-01-01-01)	
Reagent	StemFlexTM Medium	ThermoFisher Scientific	A3349401	
Reagent	Human Stem Cell NucleofectorTM Kit 1	Lonza	VPH-5012	
Reagent	Alt-R® Cas9 Electroporation Enhancer	IDT	1075915	
Reagent	CloneR	STEMCELL Technologies	05888	
Reagent	TrypLE Express Enzyme (1X), no phenol red	ThermoFisher Scientific	12604013	
Reagent	Acridine Orange	Merck (Sigma-Aldrich)	**A6014**	
Reagent	CtxB 549	ThermoFisher Scientific	C22842	
Reagent	CtxB 647	ThermoFisher Scientific	C34778	
Reagent	HCS LipidTOX Deep Red	ThermoFisher Scientific	H34477	
Reagent	Tricaine	Merck	A5040	
Reagent	U18666a	Merck (Sigma-Aldrich)	U3633	
Antibody	Anti-human CD43	STEMCELL Technologies	60085AZ	1:100
Antibody	Anti-human CD45	STEMCELL Technologies	60018AZ.1	1:100
Antibody	Anti-human CD34	ThermoFisher Scientific	CD34-581-04	1:100
Antibody	Anti-human CD45	STEMCELL Technologies	60018AZ.1	1:200
Antibody	Anti-human CD11b	STEMCELL Technologies	60040PE.1	1:100
Antibody	Anti-human CD14	STEMCELL Technologies	60004AZ.1	1:200
Antibody	Anti-human TREM2	R&D Systems	AF1828	1:20 (FACS), 10ug/ml (IHC)
Antibody	Anti-human TMEM119	Abcam	ab185333	1:500 (FACS), 1:1000 (IHC)

Antibody	Anti-human pU.1	Cell Signaling	2266S	1:40 (FACS), 1:1000 (IHC)
Antibody	Anti-human P2Y12	Merck	HPA014518	1:25
Antibody	Anti-human IBA1	Synaptic Systems	234003	1:250 (FACS), 1:1000 (IHC)
Antibody	Anti-goat AF546	ThermoFisher Scientific	A-11056	1:500
Antibody	Anti-rabbit AF568	Molecular Probes	A11011	1:500
Instrument	NucleofectorTM 2b	Lonza	AAB-1001	
Instrument	IsoCell-isoHub	IotaSciences		
Instrument	BD LSR II Fortessa Analyzer			
Instrument	CellTram 4r Oil	Eppendorf		
Instrument	Andor Dragonfly 200	Andor		
Instrument	SP8	Leica		
Instrument	Viventis LS2 Live	Leica		
Instrument	TruLive3D Imager	Brucker, luxendo		
Instrument	IXplore SpinSR10	Olympus		
Software	CHOPCHOP		Labun, K. et al, 2019	
Software	FlowJo			
Software	Fiji			
Software	Imaris	Oxford Instruments		

730 **Table 3.** Materials

List of CRISPR sequences

Gene	Targeted Exon	crRNA (5'-3')	PAM	Transcript ID	Reference
TREM2	Exon2	CTCTCCCAGCTGGCGGCACC	AGG	ENST00000373113.8	Mc Quade et al., 2020
Slc37a2	Exon2	CTATCAGTATCGTCAAGGTG	AGG	ENST00000403796.7	NA
Slc37a2	Exon6	AATGGACTCGTCCAGACCAC	AGG	ENST00000403796.7	NA

732 **Table 4.** List of CRISPR sequences

List of HCR probes sequences

Gene	NCBI Accession Number	Sequence
TREM2	NM_018965.4	gatcctctcttttctgcagttcaagggaaagacgagatcttgcacaaggcACTCTGCTTCTGCCCTTGGCTGGGGAAGGGTGGCATGGAGCCTCTCCGGCTGCTCATCTTACTCTTTGTCACAGAGCTGTCCGGAGCCCACAACACCACAGTGTTCCAGGGCGTGGCGGGCCAGTCCCTGCAGGTGTCTTGCCCCTATGACTCCATGAAGCACTGGGGGAGGCGCAAGGCCTGGTGCCGCCAGCTGGGAGAGAAGGGCCCATGCCAGCGTGTGGTCAGCACGCACAACTTGTGGCTGCTGTCCTTCCTGAGGAGGTGGAATGGGAGCACAGCCATCACAGACGATACCCTGGGTGGCACTCTCACCATTACGCTGCGGAATCTACAACCCCATGATGCGGGTCTCTACCAGTGCCAGAGCCTCCATGGCAGTGAGGCTGACACCCTCAGGAAGGTCCTGGTGGAGGTGCTGGCAGACCCCCTGGATCACCGGGATGCTGGAGATCTCTGGTTCCCCGGGGAGTCTGAGAGCTTCGAGGATGCCCATGTGGAGCACAGCATCTCCAGGAGCCTCTTGGAAGGAGAAATCCCCTTCCCACCCACTTCCATCCTTCTCCTCCTGGCCTGCATCTTTCTCATCAAGATTCTAGCAGCCAGCGCCCTCTGGGCTGCAGCCTGGCATGGACAGAAGCCAGGGACACATCCACCCAGTGAACTGGACTGTGGCCATGACCCAGGGTATCAGCTCCAAACTCTGCCAGGGCTGAGAGACACGTGAAGGAAGATGATGGGAGGAAAAGCCCAGGAGAAGTCCCACCAGGGACCAGCCCAGCCTGCATACTTGCCACTTGGCCACCAGGACTCCTTGTTCTGCTCTGGCAAGAGACTACTCTGCCTGAACACTGCTTCTCCTGGACCCTGGAAGCAGGGACTGGTTGAGGGAGTGGGGAGGTGGTAAGAACACCTGACAACTTCTGAATATTGGACATTTTAAACACTTACAAATAAATCCAAGACTGTCATATTTAGCTGGAtagttttgggcatcatgaaagtctcattttcttccacaggggtaagaaaa

733 **List of HCR probes sequences**

Gene	Accession	Sequence
P2RY12	NM_022788.5	acacaacaaacatcatagtgctgaaatgacaaaaatccagggtagtgatcACAATCAGAAGACAGGAGCTGCAGAACAGAACACTTTCTCATGTCCAGGGTCAGATTACAAGAGCACTCAAGACTTTACTGACGAAAACTCAGGAAATCCTCTATCACAAAGAGGTTTGGCAACTAAACTAAGACATTAAAAGGAAAATACCAGATGCCACTCTGCAGGTTGCAATAACTACTACTTACTGGATACATTCAAACCCTCCAGAATCAACAGTTATCAGGTAACCAACAAGAAATGCAAGCCGTCGACAACCTCACCTCTGCGCCTGGTAACACCAGTCTGTGCACCAGAGACTACAAAATCACCCAGGTCCTCTTCCCACTGCTCTACACTGTCCTGTTTTTTGTTGGACTTATCACAAATGGCCTGGCGATGAGGATTTTCTTTCAAATCCGGAGTAAATCAAACTTTATTATTTTTCTTAAGAACACAGTCATTTCTGATCTTCTCATGATTCTGACTTTTCCATTCAAAATTCTTAGTGATGCCAAACTGGGAACAGGACCACTGAGAACTTTTGTGTGTCAAGTTACCTCCGTCATATTTTATTTCACAATGTATATCAGTATTTCATTCCTGGGACTGATAACTATCGATCGCTACCAGAAGACCACCAGGCCATTTAAAACATCCAACCCCAAAAATCTCTTGGGGGCTAAGATTCTCTCTGTTGTCATCTGGGCATTCATGTTCTTACTCTCTTTGCCTAACATGATTCTGACCAACAGGCAGCCGAGAGACAAGAATGTGAAGAAATGCTCTTTCCTTAAATCAGAGTTCGGTCTAGTCTGGCATGAAATAGTAAATTACATCTGTCAAGTCATTTTCTGGATTAATTTCTTAATTGTTATTGTATGTTATACACTCATTACAAAAGAACTGTACCGGTCATACGTAAGAACGAGGGGTGTAGGTAAAGTCCCCAGGAAAAAGGTGAACGTCAAAGTTTTCATTATCATTGCTGTATTCTTTATTTGTTTTGTTCCTTTCCATTTTGCCCGAATTCCTTACACCCTGAGCCAAACCCGGGATGTCTTTGACTGCACTGCTGAAAATACTCTGTTCTATGTGAAAGAGAGCACTCTGTGGTTAACTTCCTTAAATGCATGCCTGGATCCGTTCATCTATTTTTTCCTTTGCAAGTCCTTCAGAAATTCCTTGATAAGTATGCTGAAGTGCCCCAATTCTGCAACATCTCTGTCCCAGGACAATAGGAAAAAAGAACAGGATGGTGGTGACCCAAATGAAGAGACTCCAATGTAAACAAATTAACTAAGGAAATATTTCAATCTCTTTGTGTTCAGAACTCGTTAAAGCAAAGCGCTAAGTAAAAATATTAACTGACGAAGAAGCAACTAAGTTAATAATAATGACTCTAAAGAAACAGAAGATTACAAAAGCAATTTTCATTTACCTTTCCAGTATGAAAAGCTATCTTAAAATATAGAAAACTAATCTAAACTGTAGCTGTATTAGCAGCAAAACAAACGACATCCAATTGTCATGCTGCATGCAAAACTACACAGAATTCATGTTTTGCAGAGTTTTGCCAAAATGAGTAATCATATAATATTTACTGTAATTTTTAAAATACATTATCGTTCACAATTTTATTTTTTCATAATCAACTAAGGAAGAACGATCAATTGGATATAATCTTCTTACCAAAAATGATAGTTAAAATGTATATATATCCTAGTCCCCTAACCAAATCCTGACCTATTGGGATACTTATAAAAATTTAAGTAAGTGGGATACACAAAGAATAATAACTATTAACTTTTCATTATTAGCAAAAACCTAAGGGATTTAAACTAATTGAAACTGTATTTGATTGGACTTAATTTTTTATGTTTATTTAGAAGATAAAGATTTAAAGAAGACCTTTACAATAAAGAGAAGAAATATCGAAGTCATTAAAATAAGGAGACTTACTTTTATGACATTCTAATACTAAAAAATATAGAAATATTTCCTTAATTCTAGAGAAACTAGTTTTACTAATTTTTTACAACTTCAATAATACCATCATTGACACTTACCTTTATTAATTAGCTTCTAGAAAATAGCTGCTAATTAGGTTAATGAACATTTTACCTTAGTGAAAAAAATTAATTAAATATGATTACAAAGTTGCACAGCATAACTACTGAGAGGAAAGTGATTGATCTGTTTGTAATTACTTGTTTGTATTGGTGTGTATAAAATACAAAATTTACATTAAACTCTAAATCATTctttgttgtaaattctttgttcaaagatattagataccatgactcaccat
AIF1 (IBA1)	NM_001623.5	ctgggaggcagaggaggaaggaatgaggggaaaggggaagtttgggaggaAGGCTTCTGAGAAGACTGGTGGGAGAGAAGGAGAGCCTGCAGACAGAGGCCTCCAGCTTGGTCTGTCTCCCCACCTCTACCAGCATCTGCTGAGCTATGAGCCAAACCAGGGGATTTACAGGGAGGAAAAGCTTTCGGACTGCTGAAGGCCCAGCAGGAAGAGAGGCTGGATGAGATCAACAAGCAATTCCTAGACGATCCCAAATATAGCAGTGATGAGGATCTGCCCTCCAAACTGGAAGGCTTCAAAGAGAAATACATGGAGTTTGACCTTAATGGAAATGGCGATATTGATATCATGTCCCTGAAACGAATGCTGGAGAAACTTGGAGTCCCCAAGACTCACCTAGAGCTAAAGAAATTAATTGGAGAGGTGTCCAGTGGCTCCGGGGAGACGTTCAGCTACCCTGACTTTCTCAGGATGATGCTGGGCAAGAGATCTGCCATCCTAAAAATGATCCTGATGTATGAGGAAAAAGCGAGAGAAAAGGAAAAGCCAACAGGCCCCCCAGCCAAGAAAGCTATCTCTGAGTTGCCCTGATTTGAAGGGAAAAGGGATGATGGGATTGAAGGGGCTTCTAATGACCCAGATATGGAAACAGAAGACAAAATTGTAAGCCAGAGTCAACAAATTAAATAAATTACCCCCTCCTCCAgatcaagtcagcttagtttttatttgggtgatttttttcctgggtttggg
TMEM119	NM_181724.3	gggaggaaggaggagggacgggaggagaggacgggaggaggaggagggcgggcAGGCGCCAGCCCAGAGCAGCCCCGGGCACCAGCACGGACTCTCTCTTCCAGCCCAGGTGCCCCCCACTCTCGCTCCATTCGGCGGGAGCACCCAGTCCTGTACGCCAAGGAACTGGTCCTGGGGGCACCATGGTTTCGGCGGCAGCCCCCAGCCTCCTCATCCTTCTGTTGCTGCTCCTGGGGTCTGTGCCTGCTACCGACGCCCGCTCTGTGCCCCTGAAGGCCACGTTCCTGGAGGATGTGGCGGGTAGTGGGGAGGCCGAGGGCTCGTCGGCCTCCTCCCCGAGCCTCCCGCCACCCTGGACCCCGGCCCTCAGCCCCACATCGATGGGGCCCCAGCCCATAACCCTGGGGGGGCCCATCACCCCCCACCAACTTCCTGGATGGGATAGTGGACTTCTTCCGCCAGTACGTGATGCTGATTGCTGTGGTGGGCTCCCTGGCCTTTCTGCTGATGTTCATCGTCTGTGCCGCGGTCATCACCCGGCAGAAGCAGAAGGCCTCGGCCTATTACCCATCGTCCTTCCCCAAGAAGAAGTACGTGGACCAGAGTGACCGGGCCGGGGGCCCCCGGGCCTTCAGTGAGGTCCCCGACAGAGCCCCCGACAGCAGGCCCGAGGAAGCCCTGGATTCCTCCCGGCAGCTCCAGGCCGACATCTTGGCCGCCACCCAGAACCTCAAGTCCCCCACCAGGGCTGCACTGGGCGGTGGGGACGGAGCCAGGATGGTGGAGGGCAGGGGCGCAGAGGAAGAGGAGAAGGGCAGCCAGGAGGGGGACCAGGAAGTCCAGGGACATGGGGTCCCAGTGGAGACACCAGAGGCGCAGGAGGAGCCGTGCTCAGGGGTCCTTGAGGGGGCTGTGGTGGCCGGTGAGGGCCAAGGGGAGCTGGAAGGGTCTCTCTTGTTAGCCCAGGAAGCCCAGGGACCAGTGGGTCCCCCCGAAAGCCCCTGTGCTTGCAGCAGTGTCCACCCCAGTGTCTAACAGTCCTCCCGGGCTGCCAGCCCTGACTGTCGGGCCCCCAAGTGGTCACCTCCCCGTGTATGAAAAGGCCTTCAGCCCTGACTGCTTCCTGACACTCCCTCCTTGGCCTCCCTGTGGTGCCAATCCCAGCCATGTGCTGATTCTACAGCAGGCAGAAATGCTGGTCCCCGGTGCCCCGGAGGAATCTTACCAAGTGCCATCATCCTTCACCTCAGCAGCCCCAAAGGGCTACATCCTACAGCACAGCTCCCCTGACAAAGTGAGGGAGGGCACGTGTCCCTGTGACAGCCAGGATAAAACATCCCTCAAGGCTGGGTGTGGAGGCTCACACCTGTAATCTCAGCATTTTGGGAGGCCAAGTGCGGACATCACTTGAGACCAGGAGTTCCAAACCAGCCCTGGCAACATTGTGAGACCCATCTCTATAAAA

| | | ACAAAAAAACAGTCCACTAAATCAGCCACATTAATTGGGAATCATATGTCACAGGTCATTTGTGG GGCAAACATCACTGCTTTTCCATCAAGGGAGCAAAGAATAAGTGGGAGTTTTGGAAGAGTTTG GATGAGAGACGCCAAGGTGCCCAGCGTTCGTAAGGCAACATGCAGCCTGGCGTGAAGCAGTA TTTTGAAACTGCAAATGGTTCCCTTCTTGTGTCCTTTCAATGCTGAATATTTTAGTGTCATTCTGC CTGTGCTCAGAGCTCCAGCAGCAGAAGTGTGTGTGGTTAATTAGGACACTTCTGTGAGAGGAC CCCTGCACACATTACGACAGGTCCCTAAATGAGCATTTCCCAAACTAGGTTCCCCGAGGCACTC TACGGAAACAAGATTTTTTTTTTTGAGACGGAGTCTCGGTCTGTCACCCAGGCTGGAGTGCAGT GGTGCAATCTTGGTGCAATCTTGGCTCAGTGCAATCCGCCTCCCAGGTTCAAGCGATTCTCCCG CCTCAGTAGCTGGGATTACAGGTGCCCACCACTGCGCCCAGCTAAATTTTGTATTTTTAGTAGA GATGGGGCTTCACCATGTTGGCCAGGCTGGTCTGGAACTCCTGACCTTCAGGTGATCCACCTGC CTCTGCCTCCCAAAGTGCTGGGATTACAGGCGTGAGCCACCGTGCCCGGCCCAAACTACTTTTT AAAACAGCTACAGGGTAAAATCCTGCAGCACCCACTCTGGAAAATACTGCTCTTAATTTTCCTG AAGGTGGCCCCCTGTTTCTAGTTGGTCCAGGATTAGGGATGTGGGGTATAGGGCATTTAAATC CTCTCAAGCGCTCTCCAAGCACCCCCGGCCTGGGGGTGAGTTTCTCATCCCGCTACTGCTGCTG GGATCAGGTTGAATGAATGGAACTCTTCCTGTCTGGCCTCCAAAGCAGCCTAGAAGCTGAGGG GCTGTGTTTGAGGGGACCTCCACCCTGGGGAAGTCCGAGGGGCTGGGGAAGGGTTTCTGACG CCCAGCCTGGAGCAGGGGGGCCCTGGCCACCCCCTGTTGCTCACACATTGTCTGGCAGCCTGT GTCCACAATATTCGTCAGTCCTCGACAGGGAGCCTGGGCTCCGTCCTGCTTTAGGGAGGCTCTG GCAGGAGGTCCTCTCCCCCATCCCTCCATCTGGGGCTCCCCCAACCTCTGCACAGCTCTCCAGGT GCTGAGATATAATGCACCAGCACAATAAACCTTTATTCCG GCctgaagtctcaccagttttctgggggggtgggggaaatgagcctgctgggg |

734   **Table 5**. List of HCR sequences

References

1. Ashwell, K. Microglia and cell death in the developing mouse cerebellum. *Dev. Brain Res.* **55**, 219–230 (1990).

2. Ueno, M. *et al.* Layer V cortical neurons require microglial support for survival during postnatal development. *Nat. Neurosci.* **16**, 543–551 (2013).

3. Li, Q. & Barres, B. A. Microglia and macrophages in brain homeostasis and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol* **18**, 225–242 (2018).

4. Schlegelmilch, T., Henke, K. & Peri, F. Microglia in the developing brain: from immunity to behaviour. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **21**, 5–10 (2011).

5. Keren-Shaul, H. *et al.* A Unique Microglia Type Associated with Restricting Development of Alzheimer's Disease. *Cell* **169**, 1276-1290.e17 (2017).

6. Li, Q. *et al.* Developmental Heterogeneity of Microglia and Brain Myeloid Cells Revealed by Deep Single-Cell RNA Sequencing. *Neuron* **101**, 207-223.e10 (2019).

7. Anderson, S. R. & Vetter, M. L. Developmental roles of microglia: A window into mechanisms of disease. *Dev. Dyn.* **248**, 98–117 (2019).

8. Zareba, J. & Peri, F. Microglial 'fat shaming' in development and disease. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **73**, 105–109 (2021).

9. Cantuti-Castelvetri, L. *et al.* Defective cholesterol clearance limits remyelination in the aged central nervous system. *Science* **359**, 684–688 (2018).

10. Brekk, O. R., Honey, J. R., Lee, S., Hallett, P. J. & Isacson, O. Cell type-specific lipid storage changes in Parkinson's disease patient brains are recapitulated by experimental glycolipid disturbance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **117**, 27646–27654 (2020).

11. Marschallinger, J. *et al.* Lipid-droplet-accumulating microglia represent a dysfunctional and proinflammatory state in the aging brain. *Nature Neuroscience* 1–30 (2020) doi:10.1038/s41593-019-0566-1.

12. Arbaizar-Rovirosa, M. *et al.* Aged lipid-laden microglia display impaired responses to stroke. *EMBO Mol. Med.* **15**, EMMM202217175 (2023).

13. Villani, A. *et al.* Clearance by Microglia Depends on Packaging of Phagosomes into a Unique Cellular Compartment. *Developmental Cell* **49**, 77-88.e7 (2019).

14. Zareba, J. *et al.* NPC1 links cholesterol trafficking to microglial morphology via the gastrosome. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 8638 (2024).

15. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Human and mouse single-nucleus transcriptomics reveal TREM2-dependent and -independent cellular responses in Alzheimer's disease. *J. Immunol.* **204**, 64.2-64.2 (2020).

16. Masuda, T. *et al.* Spatial and temporal heterogeneity of mouse and human microglia at single-cell
resolution. *Nature* **566**, 1–23 (2019).

17. Mancuso, R. *et al.* Stem-cell-derived human microglia transplanted in mouse brain to study
human disease. *Nature Neuroscience* **22**, 1–24 (2019).

18. Gosselin, D. *et al.* Environment Drives Selection and Function of Enhancers Controlling Tissue-
Specific Macrophage Identities. *Cell* **159**, 1327–1340 (2014).

19. Gosselin, D. *et al.* An environment-dependent transcriptional network specifies human microglia
identity. *Science* **356**, eaal3222-28 (2017).

20. Ormel, P. R. *et al.* Microglia innately develop within cerebral organoids. *Nature Communications*
9, 4167 (2018).

21. Fagerlund, I. *et al.* Microglia orchestrate neuronal activity in brain organoids. *bioRxiv* 1–42 (2020)
doi:10.1101/2020.12.08.416388.

22. Popova, G. *et al.* Human microglia states are conserved across experimental models and regulate
neural stem cell responses in chimeric organoids. *Cell Stem Cell* (2021)
doi:10.1016/j.stem.2021.08.015.

23. Schafer, S. T. *et al.* An in vivo neuroimmune organoid model to study human microglia
phenotypes. *Cell* **186**, 2111-2126.e20 (2023).

24. Sabate-Soler, S., Bernini, M. & Schwamborn, J. Immunocompetent brain organoids – Microglia
enter the stage. *Prog Biomed Eng* (2022) doi:10.1088/2516-1091/ac8dcf.

25. Zhang, W. *et al.* Microglia-containing human brain organoids for the study of brain development
and pathology. *Mol Psychiatr* 1–12 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41380-022-01892-1.

26. Xu, R. *et al.* Human iPSC-derived mature microglia retain their identity and functionally integrate
in the chimeric mouse brain. *Nature Communications* **11**, 1–16 (2020).

27. Svoboda, D. S. *et al.* Human iPSC-derived microglia assume a primary microglia-like state after
transplantation into the neonatal mouse brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
116, 1–11 (2019).

28. Hasselmann, J. *et al.* Development of a Chimeric Model to Study and Manipulate Human
Microglia In Vivo. *Neuron* **103**, 1016-1033.e10 (2019).

29. Abud, E. M. *et al.* iPSC-Derived Human Microglia-like Cells to Study Neurological Diseases.
Neuron **94**, 278-293.e9 (2017).

30. Casano, A. M., Albert, M. & Peri, F. Developmental Apoptosis Mediates Entry and Positioning of
Microglia in the Zebrafish Brain. *CellReports* **16**, 897–906 (2016).

31. Shiau, C. E., Kaufman, Z., Meireles, A. M. & Talbot, W. S. Differential Requirement for irf8 in
Formation of Embryonic and Adult Macrophages in Zebrafish. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0117513-15 (2015).

32. Mazaheri, F. *et al.* Distinct roles for BAI1 and TIM-4 in the engulfment of dying neurons by
microglia. *Nature Communications* **5**, 1–11 (2014).

33. Casano, A. M. & Peri, F. Microglia: Multitasking Specialists of the Brain. *Developmental Cell* **32**,
469–477 (2015).

34. Peri, F. & Nüsslein-Volhard, C. Live Imaging of Neuronal Degradation by Microglia Reveals a Role
for v0-ATPase a1 in Phagosomal Fusion In Vivo. *Cell* **133**, 916–927 (2008).

35. Sierra, A. *et al.* Microglia Shape Adult Hippocampal Neurogenesis through Apoptosis-Coupled
Phagocytosis. *Cell Stem Cell* **7**, 483–495 (2010).

36. Sierra, A., Abiega, O., Shahraz, A. & Neumann, H. Janus-faced microglia: beneficial and
detrimental consequences of microglial phagocytosis. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **7**, 6 (2013).

37. Möller, K. *et al.* A role for the centrosome in regulating the rate of neuronal efferocytosis by
microglia in vivo. *Elife* **11**, e82094 (2022).

38. Curado, S. *et al.* Conditional targeted cell ablation in zebrafish: A new tool for regeneration
studies. *Dev. Dyn.* **236**, 1025–1035 (2007).

39. Pisharath, H., Rhee, J. M., Swanson, M. A., Leach, S. D. & Parsons, M. J. Targeted ablation of beta
cells in the embryonic zebrafish pancreas using E. coli nitroreductase. *Mech. Dev.* **124**, 218–229
(2007).

40. Ham, T. J. van *et al.* Intravital correlated microscopy reveals differential macrophage and
microglial dynamics during resolution of neuroinflammation. *Disease Models & Mechanisms* **7**, 857–
869 (2014).

41. Ohtonen, S. *et al.* Traffic-related diesel pollution particles impair the lysosomal functions of
human iPSC-derived microglia. *Environ. Int.* **199**, 109467 (2025).

42. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Human and mouse single-nucleus transcriptomics reveal TREM2-dependent and
TREM2-independent cellular responses in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Med* **26**, 131–142 (2020).

43. Krasemann, S. *et al.* The TREM2-APOE Pathway Drives the Transcriptional Phenotype of
Dysfunctional Microglia in Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Immunity* **47**, 566-581.e9 (2017).

44. McQuade, A. *et al.* Gene expression and functional deficits underlie TREM2-knockout microglia
responses in human models of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature Communications* **11**, 1–17 (2020).

45. Peshoff, M. M. *et al.* Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2) regulates
phagocytosis in glioblastoma. *Neuro-Oncol.* **26**, 826–839 (2024).

46. Zhao, Y. & Lukiw, W. J. TREM2 signaling, miRNA-34a and the extinction of phagocytosis. *Front.*
Cell. Neurosci. **7**, 131 (2013).

47. Hsieh, C. L. *et al.* A role for TREM2 ligands in the phagocytosis of apoptotic neuronal cells by
microglia. *J. Neurochem.* **109**, 1144–1156 (2009).

48. Filipello, F. *et al.* The Microglial Innate Immune Receptor TREM2 Is Required for Synapse
Elimination and Normal Brain Connectivity. *Immunity* **48**, 979-991.e8 (2018).

49. Rueda-Carrasco, J. *et al.* Microglia-synapse engulfment via PtdSer-TREM2 ameliorates neuronal
hyperactivity in Alzheimer's disease models. *EMBO J.* e113246 (2023)
doi:10.15252/embj.2022113246.

50. Bosco, D. B. *et al.* Microglial TREM2 promotes phagocytic clearance of damaged neurons after
status epilepticus. *Brain, Behav., Immun.* (2024) doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2024.09.034.

51. Lu, F. *et al.* Identification of NPC1 as the target of U18666A, an inhibitor of lysosomal cholesterol
export and Ebola infection. *eLife* **4**, e12177 (2015).

52. Colombo, A. *et al.* Loss of NPC1 enhances phagocytic uptake and impairs lipid trafficking in
microglia. *Nat Commun* **12**, 1158 (2021).

53. Langley, R. C., Canty, A. J. & Ziebell, J. M. Repeated Measurement of Microglia-Dendritic Spine
Interactions Using Multi-Photon Imaging. *Curr. Protoc.* **3**, e791 (2023).

54. Miyamoto, A., Wake, H., Moorhouse, A. J. & Nabekura, J. Microglia and synapse interactions: fine
tuning neural circuits and candidate molecules. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **7**, 70 (2013).

55. Kimmel, C. B., Ballard, W. W., Kimmel, S. R., Ullmann, B. & Schilling, T. F. Stages of embryonic
development of the zebrafish. *Developmental Dynamics* **203**, 253–310 (1995).

56. Santos, D., Luzio, A. & Coimbra, A. M. Zebrafish sex differentiation and gonad development: A
review on the impact of environmental factors. *Aquat. Toxicol.* **191**, 141–163 (2017).

57. Gray, C. *et al.* Simultaneous intravital imaging of macrophage and neutrophil behaviour during
inflammation using a novel transgenic zebrafish. *Thrombosis and Haemostasis* 1–9 (2011)
doi:10.1160/th10-08-0525.

58. Schafer, S. T. *et al.* Pathological priming causes developmental gene network heterochronicity in
autistic subject-derived neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* **22**, 243–255 (2019).

59. Labun, K. *et al.* CHOPCHOP v3: expanding the CRISPR web toolbox beyond genome editing.
Nucleic Acids Res. **47**, W171–W174 (2019).

60. Qian, X. *et al.* Brain-Region-Specific Organoids Using Mini-bioreactors for Modeling ZIKV
Exposure. *Cell* **165**, 1238–1254 (2016).

61. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9**,
676–682 (2012).

62. White, I. J. & Burden, J. J. A practical guide to starting SEM array tomography—An accessible
volume EM technique. *Methods Cell Biol.* **177**, 171–196 (2023).

63. Franke, T. & Kolotuev, I. Array Tomography Workflow for the Targeted Acquisition of Volume
Information using Scanning Electron Microscopy. *J. Vis. Exp.* (2021) doi:10.3791/61847.

64. Cardona, A. *et al.* TrakEM2 Software for Neural Circuit Reconstruction. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e38011
(2012).

65. Bogovic, J. A., Hanslovsky, P., Wong, A. & Saalfeld, S. Robust Registration of Calcium Images by
Learned Contrast Synthesis. *2016 IEEE 13th Int. Symp. Biomed. Imaging (ISBI)* 1123–1126 (2016)
doi:10.1109/isbi.2016.7493463.

66. Thevenaz, P., Ruttimann, U. E. & Unser, M. A pyramid approach to subpixel registration based on
intensity. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* **7**, 27–41 (1998).

67. Walt, S. van der *et al.* scikit-image: image processing in Python. *PeerJ* **2**, e453 (2014).

68. Achanta, R. *et al.* SLIC Superpixels Compared to State-of-the-Art Superpixel Methods. *IEEE Trans.*
Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. **34**, 2274–2282 (2012).

69. contributors, N. *Napari*. (2019).

70. Tischer, C. *et al.* BigDataProcessor2: A free and open-source Fiji plugin for inspection and
processing of TB sized image data. *Bioinformatics* btab106- (2021)
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btab106.

71. Meijering, E., Dzyubachyk, O. & Smal, I. Chapter nine Methods for Cell and Particle Tracking.
Methods Enzym. **504**, 183–200 (2012).

Figure 1. A scalable pipeline for the xenotransplantation of human iPSC-derived microglia (iMGL) into the zebrafish embryonic brain.

(A) Schematic overview of the two-step differentiation protocol used to generate hiPSC-derived microglia (iMGL) from fluorescently labelled human iPSCs. **(B)** Bright field image of WT iMGL in vitro at day 34 of microglia differentiation; scale bar 20 μm. **(C)** Phagocytic assay in vitro: GFP labelled WT iMGL are fed with fluorescently labelled 1μm latex beads, ovalbumin or Amyloid-beta and analysed by FACS (upper row) or light microscopy (lower row). Scale bars 10 μm. **(D)** Immunostaining of microglial markers on GFP-labelled WT iMGL analysed by FACS and light microscopy; scale bars 10 μm. **(E)** Multidimensional scaling (MDS) comparing WT iMGL obtained in this study (triangles) to iMGL and other cell types obtained by Abud et al. 2019 (circles). **(F)** Schematic of the xenotransplantation protocol of fluorescently labelled iMGL into the embryonic zebrafish optic tectum (zf-hiMG) at 2 days post fertilization (dpf). **(G)** Representative image of a *Irf8^{st95}* zebrafish brain at 4dpf, 2 dpi (days post injection), dorsal view, xenotransplanted with GFP-labelled WT iMGL (zf-hiMG); scale bars 50 μm (overview) and 10 μm (zoomed crop). **(H)** Comparison of representative cells *in vitro* (iMGL, left) versus *in vivo* (zf-hiMG, right); scale bars 10 μm. **(I–L)** Comparison of microglial morphology *in vitro* (iMGL) versus *in vivo* (zf-hiMG). Quantification of number of primary branches **(J)**; branch length (average per cell) **(K)** and convex hull of the cell body or entire cell **(L)** as described in the schematic in **(I)**; N=3, n=81(*in vitro*), n=74 (*in vivo*); N=experiments, n=cells.

Figure 2. Functional conservation of microglial engulfment mechanisms in a zebrafish–human chimeric model

(A) Segmentation and tracking of GFP-labelled WT zf-hiMG cell body in a 3 dpf, 1 dpi *Irf8^{st95}* zebrafish OT; 5 minutes time resolution; scale bar 10 μm. **(B)** Time-projection of GFP-labelled WT zf-hiMG in a 5 dpf, 3 dpi zebrafish OT; 1 minute time resolution; scale bar 10 μm. **(C)** Time lapse mages showing transient contacts (arrowheads) between GFP-labelled WT zf-hiMG branches and nearby apoptotic neurons (Tg(nbt:secA5-BFP)) in *Irf8^{st95}* 3dpf, 1dpi embryos; 5 min time resolution; scale bar 5 μm. **(D)** Representative images of Acridine Orange (AO) staining and segmentation in 3 dpf *Irf8^{st95}* embryos; **(D')** control and **(D'')** with membrane RFP labelled WT zf-hiMG transplantation; scale bars 50 μm. **(E)** Quantification of uncollected AO+ apoptotic nuclei in the optic tectum of *Irf8^{st95}* embryos ± iMGL transplantation; N=3, n=73 (CTR), n=70 (zf-hiMG). **(F)** Image showing a GFP-labelled WT zf-hiMG transplanted in *Irf8^{st95}* containing secA5+ apoptotic neuronal material (Tg(nbt:secA5-BFP)), with quantification of intracellular fluorescent signal; 3 dpf, 1 dpi; scale bar 5 μm. **(G)** Time-lapse imagies showing GFP-labelled WT zf-hiMG transplanted in *Irf8^{st95}* and engulfing an apoptotic neuron (Tg(nbt:secA5-BFP)) by forming a phagocytic cup (arrowhead); track of phagosome retraction in red; 5 minutes time resolution; scale bar 5 μm. **(H)** Time-lapse images of a GFP-labelled WT zf-hiMG in *Irf8^{st95}* attempting sequential engulfment of a dying neuron (Tg(nbt:secA5-BFP)): top, failed phagocytic cup formation attempt and abortion (red arrowhead); bottom, successful re-engagement and engulfment (white arrowhead); 5 minutes time resolution; scale bars 5 μm. **(I)** Schematic of metronidazole (MTZ)-induced microglial ablation in *slc37a2* KO Tg(fms:Gal4;UAS:nfsB-mCherry) embryos expressing NTR in endogenous zebrafish microglia (MG). **(J–K)** Representative images of **(J)** control and **(K)** MTZ-treated *slc37a2* KO embryos showing selective apoptosis of endogenous NTR+ microglia and uptake of apoptotic material by WT zf-hiMG; scale bars 50 μm (overview) and 10 μm (zoomed crop). **(L)** Quantification of fluorescent apoptotic signal in zf-hiMG 24 hrs after MTZ treatment and correspondent qualitative image; scale bar 5 μm.

Figure 3. The gastrosome in human microglia-like cells is a conserved, lipid-rich compartment regulated by efferocytic activity.

(A) Time-lapse images of GFP-labelled WT zf-hiMG in Irf8^st95^ embryos, showing interaction with neurons marked for apoptosis (Tg(nbt:dLexPR-LexOP:secA5-BFP); 30 seconds time resolution; 3 dpf, 1 dpi; scale bars 10 µm (overview) and 5 µm (zoomed crop). **(B)** Electron micrograph (single plane) of 24 hrs bead-fed WT iMGL in monoculture; N = nucleus, G = gastrosome, * = beads-containing phagosomes; scale bar 10 µm. **(C)** 3D volume reconstruction of SEM data showing the cytoplasm (green), nucleus (blue) and gastrosome (purple) in WT iMGL in vitro (monoculture); scale bar 2 µm. **(D)** Single slice from an SEM array of hiPSC-derived microglia integrated into a 95 days old brain organoid; scale bars 5 µm (overview) and 2 µm (zoomed crop); **(D')** segmentation of **(D)** showing the cytoplasm (green), nucleus (blue) and gastrosome (purple); **(D'')** 3D volume reconstruction of the segmented cell; scale bar 2 µm. **(E)** Quantification of the gastrosome's diameter in GFP-labeled zf-hiMG across different zebrafish backgrounds with increasing apoptotic burden: WT (grey), *slc37a2* KO (pink), and *Irf8⁻/⁻* (orange). Boxplots show WT zf-hiMG (left column), *SLC37A2* zf-hiMG (middle), and *TREM2* zf-hiMG (right); N (fish), n (cells) in the table below (data from 5 independent experiments). **(F)** Representative image and fluorescence intensity quantification of GFP-labelled WT zf-hiMG in *Irf8-/* background with neuronal apoptotic marker (Tg(nbt:dLexPR-LexOP:secA5-BFP); 4dpf, 2 dpi; scale bar 5 µm.

Cell genotype	Background genotype	N (fish)	n (cells)
WT	WT	13	271
WT	*Slc37a2*	11	83
WT	*Irf8^st95^*	13	141
SLC37A2	*Slc37a2*	18	83
SLC37A2	*Irf8^st95^*	20	262
TREM2	*Slc37a2*	12	192
TREM2	*Irf8^st95^*	11	244

Figure 4. Genetic and functional perturbations alter gastrosome dynamics and lipid accumulation in human microglia-like cells *in vivo*.

(A) Quantification of uncollected Acridine Orange (AO) positive apoptotic neurons in *Irf8*[st95] embryos without (CTR, grey dots) or with transplantation of WT (green dots), *SLC37A2* mutant (orange dots, exon 2 and exon 6 clones) or *TREM2* mutant (blue dots) zf-hiMG ; 3 dpf, 1dpi; N = 6 independent experiments, n (embryos) = 16 (CTR), 39 (WT zf-hiMG), 16 (*SLC37A2* ex2 zf-hiMG), 18 (*SLC37A2* ex6 zf-hiMG), 18 (*TREM2* zf-hiMG). **(B)** Representative image of GFP-labelled *TREM2* zf-hiMG in *Irf8*[st95] embryos with neuronal apoptotic marker (Tg(nbt:dLexPR-LexOP:secA5-BFP), arrowhead pointing at the largest vesicle in the cell; dorsal view; scale bars 30 µm (overview) and 10 µm (zoomed crop). **(C)** Bright field and fluorescence representative images of *SLC37A2* zf-hiMG in *Irf8*[st95] and *slc37a2* KO backgrounds; dorsal view, 4dpf, 2 dpi; scale bars 30 µm (overview) and 10 µm (zoomed crop). **(D)** GFP-labelled *SLC37A2* zf-hiMG into *slc37a2* KO embryos with red-labelled endogenous microglia; dorsal view, 4dpf, 2 dpi; scale bars 30 µm (overview) and 10 µm (zoomed crop). **(E-E')** Neutral lipid staining (HCS LipidTox) in xenotransplanted GFP-labelled WT zf-hiMG in *Irf8*[st95]; with **(E')** or without **(E)** pharmacological inhibition of NPC1; dorsal view; 4 dpf, 2 dpi; scale bars 50 µm (overview) and 10 µm (zoomed crop). **(F)** Staining with fluorescently labelled cholera toxin B (CtxB) to visualize GM1 gangliosides in GFP labelled WT zf-hiMG transplanted into *Irf8*[st95] with **(F')** or without **(F)** NPC1 inhibition; 4 dpf, 2 dpi; dorsal view; scale bars 10 µm.

Supplementary Figure 1. Characterization and validation of iMGL and their engraftment in zebrafish (zf-hiMG).

(A) Flow cytometry analysis of iHPC generated from fluorescently labelled hiPSC, based on typical HPC marker expression; N=5 (experiments), number of cells in x axes. **(B)** Histogram showing FACS quantification of microglial protein markers in iMGL; N=9 (experiments), number of cells in x axes. **(C)** Heatmap of bulk RNA-seq data comparing WT iMGL generated in this study to published datasets of iMGL and other cell types (Abud et al. 2019). **(D)** Violin plots showing normalized expression levels of canonical microglial genes in WT iMGL. **(E)** *In vitro* Hybridization Chain Reaction (HCR) of key microglial transcripts in WT iMGL; scale bars 10 μm. **(F–G)** Quantification of xenotransplantation efficiency. **(F)** Percentage of *Irf8*^{st95} embryos containing fluorescently labelled iMGL in the Optic Tectum (OT); N=10, n=530 (w/o endogenous); N=5, n=190 (with endogenous). **(G)** Number of zf-hiMG per embryo; N=8, n=171 (w/o endogenous); N=5, n=107 (with endogenous). **(H)** Quantification of zf-hiMG every 24hrs, from 2 dpf, 0 dpi to 5 dpf, 3 dpi; N=2, n=56. **(I)** *In vivo* HCR on zf-hiMG of human-specific microglial transcripts TMEM119, P2Y12, IBA1, and TREM2; scale bars 10 μm. **(J)** *In vivo* immunofluorescence staining of human TREM2 in zf-hiMG; scale bar 10 μm. **(K–L)** Analysis of zf-hiMG motility (*in vivo*) compared to iMGL (*in vitro*). **(K)** Quantification of branch extension/retraction speed *in vitro* versus *in vivo*; N=3, n=10 (*in vitro*), n=7 (*in vivo*). **(L)** Qualitative tracking of branch motility over time in representative iMGL (left) and zf-hiMG (right); scale bars 10 μm. N=experiments, n=embryos (F-H)/cells (K).

Supplementary Figure 2. Coexistence and functional complementation of human and zebrafish microglia in vivo.

(A) GFP labelled WT zf-hiMG transplanted into WT zebrafish embryos with red-labelled endogenous microglia (Tg(fms:Gal4;UAS:nfsB-mCherry)), OT dorsal view, 3 dpf, 1 dpi; 3 minutes time resolution; scale bar 10 µm. **(B)** Dorsal view anatomical map of a zebrafish embryo showing segmented regions of the eyes, brain, and optic tectum (OT); **(B')** Bright field image of a control *slc37a2* KO embryo at 4 dpf; **(B'')** Image of control *slc37a2* KO endogenous microglia (Tg(fms:Gal4;UAS:nfsB-mCherry)) at 4 dpf; **(B''')** Image of MTZ-treated *slc37a2* KO endogenous microglia (Tg(fms:Gal4;UAS:nfsB-mCherry)) at 4 dpf; scale bars 50 µm. **(C–C')** Fluorescence and bright field images of *slc37a2* KO embryos at 4 dpf lacking NTR expression (Tg(mpeg1:eGFP-caax)) without **(C)** or with **(C')** MTZ treatment; scale bar 50 µm. **(D)** Injury response of GFP-labelled WT zf-hiMG in *Irf8*[st95] 4 dpf, 2 dpi zebrafish embryo; 5 minutes time resolution; scale bar 10 µm. **(E–F)** Dorsal views of *slc37a2* KO 4 dpf embryos (Tg(fms:Gal4;UAS:nfsB-mCherry)); scale bars 50 µm (overviews) and 10 µm (cropped zooms): **(E)** without zf-hiMG transplant; **(F)** with zf-hiMG transplant (2 dpi). **(G)** Quantification of E-F: gastrosome diameter of endogenous *slc37a2* KO microglia ± zf-hiMG transplantation; N=7, n=138 (*slc37a2* KO); N=9, n=202 (*slc37a2* KO+zf-hiMG); N=embryos, n=cells.

Supplementary Figure 3. In iMGL the gastrosome is a collective phagocytic compartment characterized by distinct ultrastructure characteristics.

(A) WT iMGL monoculture fed with 1 μm fluorescent latex beads and imaged after 24 h; scale bar 30 μm. **(B)** Light microscopy of WT iMGL monocultures stained with Annexin V to label apoptotic structures. Asterisks (*) indicate dead iMGL, while arrowheads mark Annexin V-positive debris within healthy, neighbouring iMGL; Scale bar: 20 μm. **(C)** Flow cytometry analysis of WT iMGL stained with Annexin V (yellow) compared to unstained control (gray). **(D)** Singe slice from an array SEM of WT iMGL in vitro (monoculture) with segmentation **(D')** featuring the cytoplasm (green), nucleus (blue) and gastrosome (purple); scale bars 1 μm. **(E)** Electron micrograph single plane of WT iMGL in monoculture; N = nucleus, G = gastrosome; scale bar 5 μm.

Supplementary Figure 4. *In vitro* and *in vivo* characterization of perturbed human microglia-like cells.

(A) Schematic of CRISPR-Cas9–mediated targeting strategy for TREM2 in GFP labelled human iPSCs, using a guide RNA designed to exon 2. **(A')** Sequencing results of the TREM2 mutant clone (targeted exon). **(B)** Bulk RNA-sequencing analysis of WT, *SLC37A2* mutant and *TREM2* mutant iMGL, showing reduced *SL37A2* transcript levels in *SLC37A2* mutants compared to WT (ex2 $\log_2$FC = –1.63, p = 0.000093, FDR = 0.056; not significant after correction; ex6 $\log_2$FC = –3.37, p = 0.000000162, FDR = 0.00030, significant after correction), reduced *TREM2* transcript levels in *TREM2* mutants compared to WT ($\log_2$FC = –1.37, p = 0.0000343, FDR = 0.0147 , significant after correction) and preserved expression of canonical microglial markers for all genotypes. **(C)** Schematic of CRISPR-Cas9 targeting strategy for *SLC37A2* in GFP-labelled human iPSC, using a guide RNA designed to exon 2 and exon 6. **(C')** Sequencing results of the two *SLC37A2* mutant clones (targeted exons). **(D)** Time lapse images of GFP-labelled *TREM2* zf-hiMG in *Irf8*^{st95} embryos with neuronal apoptotic marker (Tg(nbt:dLexPR-LexOP:secA5-BFP); temporal projection of the same cell (right panel); dorsal view; 30 seconds time resolution; 4dpf, 2 dpi; scale bars 10 μm. **(E)** CtxB staining to detect GM1 gangliosides in GFP-labelled *SLC37A2* zf-hiMG xenotransplanted into *Irf8*^{st95} zebrafish embryos; 4 dpf, 2 dpi; dorsal view; scale bar 10 μm. **(F-F')** Morphological comparison of NPC1 inhibition **(F')** versus control **(F)** in GFP-labelled WT zf-hiMG transplanted into WT embryos with microglia labelled with Tg(fms:Gal4; UAS:lyn-miRFP670); 4 dpf, 2 dpi; dorsal view; scale bars scale bars 30 μm (overview) and 10 μm (zoomed crop).