Title: Organ-confined mRNA delivery and protein expression during *ex vivo* perfusion of

porcine donor kidneys

One sentence summary: Using a clinically compatible normothermic machine

perfusion model, we demonstrate that lipid nanoparticle-mediated mRNA delivery

enables rapid, organ-wide protein expression in human-sized porcine donor kidneys.

Authors: Cristina Ballester[1,2][†]**,** Ping Song[3][†], Katerina B Knudsen[1,2], Chris Jaynes[4,5],

Henri Leuvenink[4,5], Victor R Llorente[1,2], Annick Van Furth[4], Henrik Hager[1], Rikke

Nørregaard[1], Jan-Luuk Hillebrands[6], Anna Krarup Keller[1,7], Bente Jespersen[1,2], Jørgen

Kjems[3,8][#], Marco Eijken[1,2][#]

Affiliations: [1]Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

[2]Department of Renal Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

[3]Interdisciplinary Nanoscience Center (iNANO), Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

[4]Department of Surgery, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The

Netherlands

[5]34Lives, Public Benefit Company, West-Lafayette, Indiana, United States

[6]Department of Pathology, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The

Netherlands

[7]Department of Urology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

[8]Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

[†] Shared first authors

[#] Shared last authors

Corresponding author: Cristina Ballester Bergada. Department of Clinical Medicine-

Renal Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark. Addressee: Palle Juul-Jensens

Blvd. 82, 8200 Aarhus, Denmark address. Mail: crisballester@clin.au.dk.

Running title: mRNA delivery during donor kidney perfusion

Abstract

Achieving efficient mRNA delivery and expression in intact solid organs beyond the liver

remains a major challenge for advancing mRNA therapeutics. Normothermic machine

perfusion (NMP) provides a clinically relevant *ex vivo* platform for targeted intervention

during donor organ preservation, minimizing systemic exposure and enabling organ-

confined mRNA delivery. Here, we demonstrate that intra-arterial infusion of lipid

nanoparticles (LNPs) encapsulating reporter mRNAs during kidney NMP enables

robust, organ-wide protein expression in human-sized porcine donor kidneys.

Engineered LNP formulations were first evaluated for stability and efficacy in kidney cell

lines, where LNPs pegylated with TPGS (D-α-tocopherol polyethylene glycol 1000

succinate) achieved the highest reporter protein expression levels. Next, the LNP-

encapsulated mRNAs were introduced into porcine kidneys via a 5-minute infusion

during NMP. mCherry and human erythropoietin (hEPO) mRNAs were delivered via the

renal artery, serving as intracellular and secreted reporters, respectively. The perfusion

was continued for 6-12h after LNP-mRNA infusion with the erythrocyte-based perfusate

at 37 °C. LNP-mRNA administration resulted in widespread parenchymal mRNA uptake

and rapid, robust reporter protein expression that continued to increase over the

perfusion period. hEPO protein was detected in perfusate and urine, while mCherry

expression localized to endothelial and tubular cells within the renal parenchyma.

Consistent with the *in vitro* data, TPGS-LNPs produced the highest expression during

NMP. Importantly, LNP-mediated mRNA delivery during NMP did not affect perfusion

parameters or histological integrity. These findings demonstrate successful mRNA-

driven protein expression in intact donor kidneys, establishing NMP as a clinically

relevant route for organ-specific RNA delivery and protein expression modulation.

INTRODUCTION

Recent advancements in mRNA-based therapeutics have significantly expanded their

potential applications in healthcare. The clinical success of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA

vaccines and recent progress in mRNA cancer vaccines highlight the versatility of this

platform(1-3). Beyond vaccines, synthetic mRNAs are being developed for therapeutic

protein replacement, immunotherapies, genome editing and tissue repair(4). Numerous

clinical trials exploring mRNA therapeutics are ongoing across a wide range of

diseases, including cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) mRNA

for cystic fibrosis and vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) mRNA for

myocardial infarction(5, 6), reflecting the growing therapeutic potential of this platform.

Delivery of therapeutic mRNAs requires safe, effective and stable delivery systems to

avoid nucleic acid degradation and overcome biological barriers. Lipid nanoparticles

(LNPs) have been widely used as an efficient delivery platform to enhance mRNA

stability and cellular uptake(7, 8). For non-vaccine applications, therapeutic mRNAs

typically require higher dosing, alternative routes of administration beyond intramuscular

injections, and formulation strategies for enhanced targeted delivery and functional

expression. In the bloodstream, certain LNPs can bind to apolipoprotein E (APOE),

which, in turn, interacts with the abundant low-density lipoprotein receptors (LDLRs) in

the liver. This interaction promotes preferential uptake by hepatocytes and limits

distribution to other tissues(9, 10) Accordingly, local delivery routes such as direct

injection or nebulization have been explored to improve organ-specific delivery, yet

achieving consistant and widespread distribution within a complex organ remains

challenging(5, 11). An alternative strategy is e*x vivo* organ machine perfusion, a

technique that circulates an oxygenated preservation solution or immune cell-depleted

blood through the organ's vascular network to maintain its function and viability, which is

increasingly adopted in clinical organ transplantation practice(12-14). The perfusion can

be performed under hypothermic conditions (typically 4-10°C), which minimizes

metabolic activity and cellular damage, or under normothermic conditions (35–37°C),

closely mimicking physiological conditions and metabolic rates. This dynamic organ

preservation technique offers a unique opportunity for *ex vivo* delivery of therapeutics to

repair or improve the organ prior to transplantation. Despite advances in transplantation,

the persistent shortage of suitable donor organs and the frequent presence of ischemic

or other injury-related damage continue to limit graft function and long-term survival,

underscoring the need for novel strategies to improve donor organ quality before

transplantation(15-17). Delivering synthetic mRNAs during machine perfusion enables

controlled, organ-wide delivery with minimal off-target exposure, which remains a critical

barrier in the mRNA field. In particular, normothermic machine perfusion (NMP)

supports active metabolism, which can facilitate LNP uptake and initiate mRNA

translation prior to transplantation of the organ. PEG-lipids strongly influence LNP

biophysical and biological behavior, including stability in biological fluids and

interactions with tissues(18, 19). Our previous work demonstrated that altering the PEG-

lipid structure modulates mRNA delivery efficiency, making PEG-lipid chemistry a

rational parameter to optimize for ex vivo mRNA delivery(20). In this study, we

evaluated the feasibility of the *ex vivo* delivery of therapeutic mRNAs during NMP.

Reporter mRNAs were included to assess delivery efficiency, spatial distribution, and

biosafety, providing a foundation for mRNA-based therapies to restore and enhance

donor organ function.

RESULTS

Preparation and characterization of LNPs

In the present study, we developed three LNP formulations based on our in-house

synthesized ionizable lipid, C14120 (Supplemental figure 1), using different coating

materials: PEG-lipid TPGS (TPGS-LNP), PEG-lipid DSPE-PEG2000 (DSPE-LNP), and

a PEG-based block copolymer (F127-LNP). The LNPs ranged approximately between

150 nm to 175 nm in diameter and exhibited a positive surface charge with zeta

potential over 30 mV at pH 5.5 and generally low polydispersity (<0.2) (Figure 1a-c).

LNPs were loaded with mRNA through electrostatic interactions between ionizable lipids

and negatively charged mRNAs. mRNAs were loaded freshed right before the treatment

both *in vitro* and *ex vivo*.   mRNA encoding mCherry protein was encapsulated within

the three LNP formulations at N/P ratios (the stoichiometry between protonatable

nitrogen atoms (N) in ionizable lipid and anionic phosphor atoms(P) in a nucleic acid) of

6, 12, and 16 and evaluated for translational output in OK cells.

DSPE-LNP showed an increase in expression with rising N/P ratios whereas the F127-

LNP formulation peaked at N/P 12. TPGS-LNP produced consistently higher expression

than the other formulations across all ratios, with higest expression at N/P 16.

Importantly, no apparent cytotoxicity was observed after 24 hours of incubation with any

of the three LNP formulations at these N/P ratios (Figure 1e). Based on the balance of

efficacy and safety, an N/P ratio of 12 was selected for all subsequent experiments. At

this ratio, all three LNP types showed high mRNA encapsulation efficiency ranging from

80 to 90% (Figure 1f).

To assess the stability of the encapsulated mRNA, LNPs-encapsulated mCherry mRNA

and non-encapsulated mCherry mRNA were incubated in the kidney perfusion solution

(RBC-free) at 37°C for up to 24 hours, with samples collected at multiple time points.

The remaining mCherry mRNA levels were quantified by RT-qPCR. Free mRNA

exhibited rapid degradation, with a sharp decline in detectable levels within the first hour

of incubation. In comparison, mRNA encapsulated within all three LNP formulations

remained stable over 24 hours (Figure 1g).

The transfection efficiency of F127-, TPGS- and DSPE-LNP for mRNA delivery was

assessed in vitro by quantifying uptake of translationally inactive Cy5-labeled mRNA

encoding EGFP (Cy5-EGFP) in OK cells by flow cytometry and by measuring the

expression of mRNA encoding EGFP (EGFP) in HKC-8 cells using flow cytometry. Both

mRNA uptake (Cy5 signal) and protein expression (EGFP signal) were quantified using

flow cytometry. No significant difference in uptake was observed among the three

formulations at 4 hours, while DSPE-LNP exhibited the highest cellular uptake after 24

hours (Figure 2a,b). Regarding mRNA-mediated protein expression, TPGS-LNP

demonstrated the highest EGFP levels at 24 hours (Figure 2 a,c). Lipofectamine 2000

(LIPO) was used as a positive control and exhibited higher mRNA uptake while lower

reporter protein expression compared with all three LNP formulations.

To further evaluate secreted protein expression in a non-invasive manner, we selected

human erythropoietin (EPO) as a model reporter, as its secretion allows quantification in

perfusate and urine. As an initial assessment, OK cells were transfected with hEPO

mRNA encapsulated in LNPs, and tested in both cell lysate and cell culture supernatant.

(Supplemental figure 2). Similar trends were observed using both flow cytometry and

ELISA with these alternative reporter proteins, with TPGS-LNP consistently being the

most efficiently expressing candidate.

hEPO mRNA delivery and translation during kidney-NMP

To evaluate mRNA delivery and translation during NMP, human erythropoietin (hEPO)

encoding mRNA was chosen as a reporter, enabling direct measurement of secreted

hEPO in both the perfusate and urine. Porcine kidneys were connected to an NMP

setup and a 5 mL solution containg 150 µg of DSPE (n=4) or TPGS (n=3) encapsulated

hEPO mRNA (DSPE-hEPO and TPGS-hEPO, respectively) was infused intra-arterially

at 120 mL/h. The contralateral kidneys (n=6) served as non-mRNA treated controls.

After LNP-mRNA infusion, kidneys remained on NMP for an additional six hours for

follow-up. hEPO was measured in the perfusate as early as 2 hours following mRNA

administration, with levels gradually increasing to about 4 mIU/mL for DSPE-hEPO after

6 hours. TPGS-hEPO treated kidneys showed significantly higher hEPO levels with up

to 360 mIU/mL after 6 hours (Figure 3a). hEPO was also detected in the urine reaching

up to 6 mIU/mL and 420 mIU/mL for DSPE-hEPO and TPGS-hEPO, respectively,

measured between 4 and 6 hours after administration depending on individual kidney

urine output (Figure 3b).

Perfusion characteristics, including renal blood flow, oxygen consumption and urine

production, were similar between porcine kidneys infused with DSPE-hEPO, TPGS-

hEPO and non-treated controls (Supplemental Figure 3). Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)

as well as periodic acid-schiff (PAS) staining of the tissue sections showed no signs of

LNP-induced structural damage (Supplemental Figure 4).

mCherry mRNA delivery and translation during kidney-NMP

To asses the possibility for localized expression of an intracellular protein, mRNA

encoding mCherry was administered to porcine kidneys using both DSPE- and TPGS-

LNP, following the same protocol as in the hEPO studies. Pilot studies showed initial

mCherry protein expression around 6 hours, therefore perfusion time was extended to 9

hours.

In tissue biopsies taken hourly up to 9 hours of NMP, western blotting showed apparent

mCherry protein expression after 4-5 hours for TPGS-LNP and 7-8 hours for DSPE-

LNP, with protein levels increasing progressively toward the end of the perfusion period

(9 hours; Figure 4a, b). Consistent with the findings observed for hEPO expression,

TPGS-LNPs exhibited greater overall efficacy compared with DSPE-LNPs (Figure 4a,

b). Analysis of cortical samples obtained from both kidney poles, as well as medullary

tissue, confirmed that the delivered mRNA reached all anatomical regions of the organ.

Nevertheless, substantial inter-kidney variability in mCherry protein levels was

observed.

During the 9-hour follow-up period, high intrinsic autofluorescence in porcine kidney

tissue precluded reliable detection of native mCherry fluorescence, limiting direct

fluorescence-based assessment of protein expression. Therefore,

immunohistochemistry (IHC) was used to evaluate mCherry protein localization at the

cellular level. IHC revealed specific mCherry immunoreactivity in kidneys treated with

mRNA-LNPs, whereas untreated control kidneys showed no detectable signal (Figure

5a, c, e). mCherry protein expression was predominantly localized to scattered tubular

epithelial cells and displayed a heterogeneous, patchy distribution across the renal

parenchyma. Glomerular and vascular structures showed minimal to no detectable

mCherry protein staining.

To further characterize the intrarenal distribution of the delivered transcript, branched

RNA in situ hybridization (BRISH) was performed to detect mCherry mRNA. BRISH

analysis demonstrated widespread intracellular mCherry mRNA signal throughout the

tissue in treated kidneys, whereas untreated control kidneys showed no detectable

signal, highlighting the effective tissue penetration of both LNPs after *ex vivo* intra-

arterial administration (Figure 5b, d, f). mCherry mRNA was detected in multiple renal

compartments, including glomeruli, tubular epithelial cells, and vascular-rich regions,

consistent with effective tissue penetration following intra-arterial delivery during

normothermic machine perfusion.

DISCUSSION

This study establishes a clinically compatible strategy for organ-directed mRNA delivery

during *ex vivo* perfusion. By delivering mRNA to the isolated organ, off-target effects

commonly associated with systemic mRNA delivery are minimized, including hepatic

interactions (9, 10, 21). Intra-vascular LNP administration via the renal artery achieved

effective tissue penetration and biodistribution of the reporter mRNAs. hEPO expression

became detectable approximately 2 hours after administration in both perfusate and

urine, while mCherry expression was observed in the renal parenchyma after 6 hours.

Building upon previously validated ionizable lipid-based LNP formulations (20, 22), we

evaluated three different PEG-lipids: Pluronic F127, TPGS, and DSPE-PEG2000, each

contributing unique properties (23-26). While all formulations showed comparable

physical characteristics, DSPE-PEG2000 and F127 exhibited slightly higher mRNA

encapsulation efficiencies than TPGS, potentially due to TPGS's smaller lipid head

group and shorter PEG chain, which may compromise particle stability.

In spite of this, our results indicate that during ex vivo delivery to whole porcine kidneys

, TPGS-containing LNPs achieved markedly higher protein expression compared to the

conventional DSPE-PEG2000. This correlates with the *in vitro* findings, where TPGS-

LNP outperformed the other formulations in terms of expression of the reporter protein.

The enhanced potency of TPGS-containing LNPs under organ-confined *ex vivo*

conditions may be partly explained by the ability of TPGS to inhibit P-glycoprotein

activity(24, 27, 28) which is highly expressed in proximal tubule cells and plays a central

role in efflux transport. Additionally, the improved solubility and permeability conferred

by TPGS may enhance biocompatibility and tissue accumulation, further enhancing

delivery efficiency(29).

Our previous *in vivo* work in rodents using systemic administration reported effective

dosing from 0.01 to 1 mg mRNA/kg(22), while clinical studies employing local mRNA

delivery-such as epicardial injection or nebulized pulmonary administration-have used

substantially higher absolute doses in the 3–24 mg mRNA/kg range (5, 11). Although *ex*

vivo perfusion differs fundamentally from *in vivo* delivery, these data was used as a

general benchmark for establishing a starting dose. Therefore, based on these and

previous works (30-32), we selected a dose of 0.15 mg mRNA per porcine kidney to

assess feasibility in the *ex vivo* organ perfusion setting. Notably, the relatively low dose

of 0.15 mg mRNA per porcine kidney (weighing approximately 100–150 g) combined

with the intra-arterial organ-confined administration, resulted in high levels of the

reporter protein human EPO reaching up to 400 mIU/mL in the perfusate. For

comparison, normal circulating EPO concentrations in healthy humans range from 2.8

to 17.1 mIU/mL(33).

Ex vivo mRNA delivery was successfully achieved using human-sized porcine kidneys.

Furthermore, LNPs were administered through a simple infusion via the arterial port of

the NMP setup, confirming the clinical feasibility of the approach.

LNP-mRNA treatment was well tolerated during NMP, with preserved perfusion

parameters and renal architecture. No evidence of cytotoxicity was observed *in vitro*

and *ex vivo*. Importantly, the potential adverse effects associated with systemically

delivered LNP-mRNA studies are not anticipated in our ex vivo approach, as systemic

exposure is minimized. Moreover, following NMP, it is standard clinical procedure to

flush the kidney and/or return it to hypothermic machine perfusion prior to

transplantation, which facilitates the removal of any free LNPs.

The rapid appearance of the mRNA provide a window in which the encoded protein may

become available during the critical post-transplant period, potentially driving new

therapeutic strategies to mitigate ischemia reperfusion injury (IRI), promote tissue

regeneration, and transiently modify the donor organ during preservation, enhancing

graft resilience during the critical period immediately following transplantation(15, 17,

34). Given the complex and multifactorial nature of IRI, it may be necessary to target

multiple pathways(17). Donor kidneys would greatly benefit from a combined and

individualized treatment strategy and mRNA therapy offers a flexible platform for such

an approach, as it can encode both gain-of-function activators and inhibitors, which

could potentially be combined into a single solution. Assessing post-transplant benefit in

transplant models is a logical next step but beyond the present ex vivo feasibility study.

In summary, we have successfully developed and screened LNPs for efficient kidney-

confined mRNA delivery and on-demand protein expression during NMP and potentially

after implantation. TPGS-based LNPs has emerged as a promising vector for mRNA

delivery and expression to an isolated kidney, demonstrating their efficacy both *in vitro*

and *ex vivo* in human-sized porcine kidneys. Furthermore, we established a practical

strategy for using mRNA therapeutics in donor organs, providing a foundation for their

application in *ex vivo* NMP as a precision medicine platform prior to organ

transplantation.

METHODS

Cell culture

Opossum kidney cells (OK cells) were cultured in low glucose Dulbecco's Modified

Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA), supplemented with 10% fetal

bovine serum (FBS, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin

(Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA) at 37°C, 5% CO_2 and 100% humidity.

Preparation of nanoparticles

Lipid nanoparticles (LNP) were synthesized through nanoprecipitation. Briefly, Pluronic

(F127, Sigma-Aldrich), C14120(35), cholesterol (Sigma-Aldrich), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-

glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC, Avanti Polar Lipids) and d-α-tocopheryl

polyethyleneglycol 1000 succinate (TPGS, Sigma-Aldrich) were dissolved in 100%

ethanol. For F127-LNP, F127 and C14120 were combined at the weight ratio of 2:1. For

DSPE-LNP and TPGS-LNP, C14120, cholesterol, DOPC, and the PEG-Lipid either

TPGS or 2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[methoxy(polyethylene

glycol)-2000] (DSPE-PEG2000, Avanti Polar Lipids) were combined at weight ratio of

4:2:1:1. Subsequently, sodium acetate (200mM, pH 5.5) was rapidly injected into the

organic lipid mixture with vigorous stirring, leading to be self-assembly of empty

nanoparticles. Ethanol was removed by dialysis against 200 mM sodium acetate pH 5.5

using a 3.5 kDa dialysis tube (Thermo Scientific). LNPs were stored at 4°C before

further experiments.

mRNA encapsulation and delivery

The following mRNAs were used: CleanCap EPO mRNA (5moU, Trilink, L-7209),

CleanCap mCherry mRNA (5moU, Trilink, L-7203) and CleanCap Cy5 eGFP mRNA (5

moU, Trilink, L-7701). mRNA was encapsulated through electrostatic interaction when

thoroughly mixed with the LNPs.

For in vitro studies, LNPs (1 mg/mL, concentration of C14120) in 200 mM sodium

acetate buffer pH 5.5) were mixed with mRNA (at 200 ng/µL) at different N/P ratios.

100 ng of mRNA was mixed with 0.75 µL, 1.5 µL, and 2 µL of LNPs to obtain N/P

ratios of 6, 12 and 16, respectively. The LNP/mRNA mixture was incubated for 10

minutes at room temperature (RT) and added to the culture medium.

For delivery during kidney NMP, 1100 µg LNPs (in 2.5 mL 200 mM acetate buffer, pH

5.5) were mixed with 150 µg of mRNA (prediluted in 0.5 mL of nuclease-free water) and

incubated for 10 minutes at RT. Prior to the infusion, 2 mL of isotonic saline (0.9 mg/mL

NaCl Fresenius Kabi, B05BB01) was added. This solution was transferred to a 20 mL

syringe and administered via the arterial side of the NMP circuit at an infusion rate of

120 mL/h, starting 30 minutes after the initiation of kidney-NMP. Following the initial

infusion, the line was flushed with 3 mL of isotonic saline.

Characterization of LNPs

The hydrodynamic diameter and surface charge of the LNPs were determined by

photon correlation spectroscopy (PCS) and Laser Doppler Velocimetry (LDV) at 25 °C

on a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). The encapsulation

efficiency of mRNA was quantified using the RiboGreen assay (Invitrogen,

Copenhagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 50 µL of LNP/mRNA

solution was mixed with 50 µL of RiboGreen working solution (1:100 in TE buffer: 10

mM Tris, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 7.5). After 5 minutes of incubation at RT, the fluorescence

intensity was measured by FLUOstar OPTIMA (Moritex BioSience) at an

excitation/emission wavelength of 480/520 nm.

Cell viability test

Cell viability was evaluated via AlarmaBlue (Molecular Probes, Life Technologies) assay

according to the instructions from the manufacturer. OK cells were seeded in a 96-well

plate at a density of 1×10^4 cells/well. The following day, the growth medium was

refreshed and each well was transfected with 33 ng LNP-encapsulated mCherry mRNA.

After 24 hours, growth medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) of AlarmaBlue reagent

was added. After 2 hours of incubation, 80 µL of the supernatant was transferred to an

opaque 96-well NUNC plate (Sigma-Aldrich, P8616), and the fluorescence intensity was

measured using a FLUOstar OPTIMA plate reader (Moritex BioScience) at excitation

and emission wavelengths of 540 nm and 590 nm, respectively.

Stability of mRNA encapsulated in LNPs

To assess stability, 88 ng of LNP-encapsulated mCherry mRNA was incubated in 45 μL

of NMP perfusate (see supplemental table 1) at 37°C for 0 minutes (right after mixing),

15 minutes, 30 minutes, 1 hour, 2 hours, 4 hours, 6 hours and 24 hours. After

incubation, lysis buffer (0.25% Igepal CA-630, 10mM Tris·HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl,

and 1 U/μL RNase inhibitor) was added and samples were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5

minutes. Next, 10 μL of supernatant was used directly for cDNA preparation using

Revert Aid RT Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher). The cDNA was diluted 40

times, and 4 μL was used as template for quantitative real-time PCR using SYBR Green

Master Mix (Thermo Fisher), running on a Light Cycler 480 Real-Time PCR System

(Roche). The primer sequences for mCherry are: Forward 5′-

CGGGTGATGAACTTCGAGGA-3′ and Reverse 5′-TCGAAGTTCATCACCCGCTC-3′.

In vitro performance of LNPs for mRNA delivery

OK cells were seeded in 24-well plate (1×10^5 cells/well). The next day, growth medium

was refreshed and 200 ng LNP-encapsulated mRNA was added per well. After 24

hours, cells were detached using 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA)

and fluorescent intensity was quantified by flow cytometry (NovoCyte, ACEA

Biosciences).

For microscopy studies, OK cells were seeded in 8-well µ-Slide (ibiTreat, ibidi; $5x10^4$

cells/well). The next day, the growth medium was refreshed and 100 ng LNP-

encapsulated mRNA was added per well. After 24 hours, medium was replaced by

FluoroBrite DMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), and cells were imaged by

confocal laser scanning microscopy (LSM 710, Zeiss, Germany) and analyzed by Fiji

ImageJ software 2.3.0.

Organ procurement and normothermic machine perfusion

A comprehensive account of the ethical approval process, surgical techniques and

blood processing procedures is available in Supplemental Material 1.

Porcine kidneys were procured under general anesthesia following a standardized

surgical protocol, including midline laparotomy and bilateral nephrectomy with a warm

ischemia time as in a living donation procedure. Special care was taken to preserve

vascular integrity and obtain sufficient arterial length for optimal cannulation. The renal

artery was cannulated with a straight t-cannula immediately post-nephrectomy and with

minimal warm ischemic time. Then, the kidney was flushed with heparinized saline

followed by cold preservation solution before being stored at 4 ºC. Further procedural

details are provided in the Supplemental Material 1.

After a maximum of 25 hours of cold ischemia, all kidneys were connected to an open

clinically compatible NMP system via the arterial cannula and venous outflow drained

freely into the reservoir under gravity, permitted via an uncannulated renal vein.

The NMP circuit was primed with 600 mL human albumin erythrocyte-based solution

(see composition supplemental material 2). The perfusate temperature was kept at 37ºC

and oxygenated with 0.5 L/minute carbogen gas (95% O_2, 5% CO_2). After the kidney

was connected to the system, a mean arterial pressure of 80 mmHg was maintained.

Gas analysis was carried out every hour (ABL90 FLEX, Radiometer) and hourly

perfusate, urine and biopsies were taken. Urine output was measured, and the rest of

the urine was recirculated. During NMP, glucose and pH were adjusted if glucose

dropped under 5 mmol and pH under 7.35 by adding a bolus of glucose 5% (50 mg/mL,

Fresenius Kabi, 7340022100429) or sodium bicarbonate 8.4% (8.4 g/mL, Fresenius

Kabi, 03058282) directly to the organ chamber.

Sampling and storage

During follow-up on NMP, perfusate from the vein and urine samples were collected.

Samples were centrifuged for 12 minutes at 3000 g at 4°C and supernatant was stored

at -80°C. Blood gases from arterial and venous perfusate as well as urine, were

measured hourly (ABL90 FLEX, Radiometer) to monitor the perfusion process. Each 6

mm biopsy was stored in RNA-later (Merck KGaA) at -80°C. At the end of the perfusion

1x1 cm biopsies with both cortex and medulla were taken and formalin fixed (Biopsafe,

3178-200-03) for about 24 hours at 4°C, and were then changed to phosphate buffered

saline until embedding.

Human EPO ELISA

Human EPO protein expression in kidney NMP perfusate and urine samples was

quantified by a Human EPO ELISA (Invitrogen, BMS2035-2). Human EPO in OK cell

cultures was quantified by an EPO DuoSet ELISA (R&D Systems, DY286-05) according

to the manufacturer's protocols.

mCherry Western Blot

In total, 25 mg of kidney tissue was homogenized in M tubes (Miltenyi

Biotec,130093236) in 500 µL of Pierce RIPA buffer (Thermo Scientific, 89901)

supplemented with cOmplete Mini protease inhibitor cocktail (Merck KGaA,

11836153001). Lysates were centrifuged (10 minutes, 3000 g, 4°C) and supernatant

was stored at -80ºC until further use. Total protein was quantified using Pierce BCA

Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher, 23225) according to the manufacturer's protocols. In

total, 30 µg of protein was run on an Any kD CriterionTM TGX Stain-Free gel (BIO-RAD,

5678124) and transferred onto nitrocellulose Trans-Blot®TurboTM membranes (BIO-

RAD, 1704159). Blots were blocked using 5% Skim milk powder (Millipore, 999999-99-

4) and washed in 20 mM TBS-T (Tris-buffered saline, pH 7.6, 0.1% TWEEN 20).

Membranes were incubated with 0.35 µg/ml DSHB-mCherry-3A11-s antibody

(Development Studies Hybridoma Bank, DSHB) in 20 mL of TBS-T overnight at 4°C,

followed by 1 hour of incubation at RT. After being washed in TBS-T, blots were

incubated with 0.4 µg/ml polyclonal Goat Anti-mouse Immunoglobulins/HRP antibody

(Aligent, P0447) in TBS-T for 1 hour at RT. After wash, blots were incubated with 3 mL

ECLTM Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagent (Cytvia, RPN2236) for 5 minutes at

RT, and imaged using the ChemiDocTM MP Imaging System (BIO-RAD). Quantification

of bands in the blot was done with ImageLab (version 6.0.1, 2017) and signal was

corrected for total protein of the respective gel.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and microscopy

The formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples were sectioned at 2.5 μm with a

microtome (Thermo Scientific, HM3555). Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and Periodic

Acid–Schiff (PAS) staining were performed on FFPE kidney sections (3–4 μm thick)

using standard protocols. H&E was used to evaluate general tissue morphology, while

PAS staining was used to assess glomerular basement membranes, tubular brush

borders, and mesangial matrix integrity. Histological scoring was performed by a trained

pathologist blinded to the treatment groups. Kidney sections were evaluated for features

including tubular damage, dilatation, cast formation, vacuolization, glomerular injury,

interstitial inflammation, and edema. Each parameter was semi-quantitatively scored

based on predefined criteria, and overall tissue integrity was assessed to compare

damage and structural preservation between groups.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on FFPE porcine kidney sections using a

Ventana Discovery Ultra automated staining platform (Ventana Medical Systems).

Sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated using the manufacturer's standard

automated protocols. Antigen retrieval was performed using CC2 buffer (Ventana

Medical Systems) at 99 °C for 30 min. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked

prior to primary antibody incubation. A rabbit monoclonal antibody against mCherry

(EPR20579; Abcam; RRID: AB_213511) was applied at a dilution of 1:100 and

incubated for 32 min at 36 °C. Signal amplification was achieved using DISCOVERY

OmniMap anti-rabbit HRP (Ventana Medical Systems). Visualization was performed

using the OptiView DAB IHC Detection Kit (760-700; Ventana Medical Systems),

followed by hematoxylin counterstaining. Negative controls consisted of kidneys

perfused under identical conditions but without mRNA-LNP administration. Whole-slide

images were acquired using a Hamamatsu NanoZoomer XR digital slide scanner.

Digital image analysis and visualization were performed using NDPview2 software

(version 2.9.29; Hamamatsu, macOS).

Brightfield RNA In Situ Hybridization (BRISH)

RNA-ISH was performed by using the RNAscope Probe-mCherry-O10-C1 (Cat. No.

1264111-C1, target region 2-714 bp), which is a probe specific mCherry mRNA. For

RNA quality controls, probes targeting the housekeeping gene peptidylprolyl isomerase

B (cyclophilin B) were used as follows: Ss-PPIB (Cat. No. 428591, target region 16-642

bp) for pig tissue, and Hs-PPIB (Cat. No. probe. 313901, target region 139-989 bp) for

cells.

As specificity control for mCherry detection, about 100.000 HEK293 cells were

transfected with 200 ng mCherry mRNA carried by 1.5 μg of TPGS-LNP for 24 hours.

HEK293 cells incubated for 24 hours without transfection were used as controls. Cells

were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 1 hour and placed in agar solution (0.18

g of agar in 10 mL of demineralized water). Followed by a second fixation with 4% PFA

and paraffin embedding.

RNA-BRISH was performed on 5-µm-thick FFPE sections on SuperFrost PLUS

microscope slides. Pretreatment was done following the RNAscope Sample Preparation

and Pretreatment Guide for FFPE Tissue (Cat. No. 322381). This included blocking

H_2O_2 by adding the RNAscope hydrogen peroxide to the slides and incubating them for

10 minutes at RT. Antigen retrieval was performed by heating the slides for 18 minutes

in the RNAscope antigen retrieval buffer (1:10 dilution and 98-100 °C) using a steamer.

Then, protease treatment was performed by adding the RNAscope Protease Plus to the

sections and incubating them at 40°C for 30 minutes in the HybEZ oven, followed by

probe hybridization overnight. Signals were detected by using the RNAscope 2.0 HD

Detection Kit (Cat. No. 322310). This included amplification by a series of amplification

steps and signal detection by using DAB (3,3'-Diaminobenzidine). The reactivity was

visualized as brown dots. Following BRISH, nuclear counterstaining was performed

using hematoxylin. Sections were coverslipped and digitized using an Olympus

SLIDEVIEW VS200 slide scanner (Olympus Nederland B.V., Hoofddorp, Netherlands).

Data analysis

GraphPad Prism (version 10.2.2) was used to perform statistical analyses and compose

graphs. Data are presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise stated. Statistical tests

included two-way ANOVA, t-tests, and appropriate non-parametric tests based on data

distribution. Statistical significance was set to $p < 0.05$.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Raw numerical data underlying Figures 1-4 and Supplementary Figures S1-S4

(GraphPad Prism files) are available upon request.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Mirjiam Mastik for her valuable

assistance in carrying out the BRISH studies. Scoring of kidney tissue sections was

supported by pathologist Søren Rasmus Palmelund Krag. And lastly, the authors would

like to acknowledge Lene Mejer Rosenlund, Maria Arge and Birgitte Kildevæd Sahl for

their expert biotechnician assitance. This work was supported by Augustinus Fonden

and Novo Nordisk Foundation grant NNF23OC0081177 (RNA-META).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ME, JK, BJ, AK, RN, HL, CBB and PS conceptualized the research design. CBB, PS,

KBK, VRL, CJ and AVF participated in the performance of the experiments. CBB, PS,

HH and JH participated in the analysis. CBB and PS drafted the manuscript. ME

performed critical revision. ME, JK, BJ and AK supervised the project and reviewed the

final version of this manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

There are no conflicts of interest.

KEY WORDS

mRNA therapeutics, donor organs, kidney machine perfusion, graft optimization, LNPs

REFERENCES

1.   Walsh EE, Frenck RW, Jr., Falsey AR, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, et al.
Safety and Immunogenicity of Two RNA-Based Covid-19 Vaccine Candidates. N Engl J
Med. 2020;383(25):2439-50.
2.   Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, Kotloff K, Frey S, Novak R, et al. Efficacy and
Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(5):403-16.
3.   Sahin U, Oehm P, Derhovanessian E, Jabulowsky RA, Vormehr M, Gold M, et al.
An RNA vaccine drives immunity in checkpoint-inhibitor-treated melanoma. Nature.
2020;585(7823):107-12.
4.   Parhiz H, Atochina-Vasserman EN, Weissman D. mRNA-based therapeutics:
looking beyond COVID-19 vaccines. Lancet. 2024;403(10432):1192-204.
5.   Rowe SM, Zuckerman JB, Dorgan D, Lascano J, McCoy K, Jain M, et al. Inhaled
mRNA therapy for treatment of cystic fibrosis: Interim results of a randomized, double-
blind, placebo-controlled phase 1/2 clinical study. J Cyst Fibros. 2023;22(4):656-64.
6.   Anttila V, Saraste A, Knuuti J, Jaakkola P, Hedman M, Svedlund S, et al.
Synthetic mRNA Encoding VEGF-A in Patients Undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass

Grafting: Design of a Phase 2a Clinical Trial. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev. 2020;18:464-
72.
7.   Hou X, Zaks T, Langer R, Dong Y. Lipid nanoparticles for mRNA delivery. Nat
Rev Mater. 2021;6(12):1078-94.
8.   Whitehead KA, Langer R, Anderson DG. Knocking down barriers: advances in
siRNA delivery. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2009;8(2):129-38.
9.   Sebastiani F, Yanez Arteta M, Lerche M, Porcar L, Lang C, Bragg RA, et al.
Apolipoprotein E Binding Drives Structural and Compositional Rearrangement of
mRNA-Containing Lipid Nanoparticles. ACS Nano. 2021;15(4):6709-22.
10.   Akinc A, Querbes W, De S, Qin J, Frank-Kamenetsky M, Jayaprakash KN, et al.
Targeted delivery of RNAi therapeutics with endogenous and exogenous ligand-based
mechanisms. Mol Ther. 2010;18(7):1357-64.
11.   Anttila V, Saraste A, Knuuti J, Hedman M, Jaakkola P, Laugwitz KL, et al. Direct
intramyocardial injection of VEGF mRNA in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass
grafting. Mol Ther. 2023;31(3):866-74.
12.   Moers C, Smits JM, Maathuis MH, Treckmann J, van Gelder F, Napieralski BP,
et al. Machine perfusion or cold storage in deceased-donor kidney transplantation. N
Engl J Med. 2009;360(1):7-19.
13.   Ceresa CDL, Nasralla D, Pollok JM, Friend PJ. Machine perfusion of the liver:
applications in transplantation and beyond. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.
2022;19(3):199-209.
14.   Rega F, Lebreton G, Para M, Michel S, Schramm R, Begot E, et al. Hypothermic
oxygenated perfusion of the donor heart in heart transplantation: the short-term
outcome from a randomised, controlled, open-label, multicentre clinical trial. Lancet.
2024;404(10453):670-82.
15.   Ponticelli C. Ischaemia-reperfusion injury: a major protagonist in kidney
transplantation. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2014;29(6):1134-40.
16.   Lasorsa F, Rutigliano M, Milella M, d'Amati A, Crocetto F, Pandolfo SD, et al.
Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury in Kidney Transplantation: Mechanisms and Potential
Therapeutic Targets. Int J Mol Sci. 2024;25(8).
17.   Ogurlu B, Hamelink TL, Van Tricht IM, Leuvenink HGD, De Borst MH, Moers C,
et al. Utilizing pathophysiological concepts of ischemia-reperfusion injury to design
renoprotective strategies and therapeutic interventions for normothermic ex vivo kidney
perfusion. Am J Transplant. 2024;24(7):1110-26.
18.   Kulkarni JA, Witzigmann D, Thomson SB, Chen S, Leavitt BR, Cullis PR, et al.
The current landscape of nucleic acid therapeutics. Nat Nanotechnol. 2021;16(6):630-
43.
19.   Mui BL, Tam YK, Jayaraman M, Ansell SM, Du X, Tam YY, et al. Influence of
Polyethylene Glycol Lipid Desorption Rates on Pharmacokinetics and
Pharmacodynamics of siRNA Lipid Nanoparticles. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids.
2013;2(12):e139.
20.   Ping Song JS, Camilla Lilly Kristine Vraa, Maria Gockert, Søren Fjelstrup, Henrik
Hager, Jørgen Kjems. Optimizing C14120-based LNPs for in vitro and in vivo mRNA
delivery. bioRxiv2025.
21.   Amoretti M, Amsler C, Bonomi G, Bouchta A, Bowe P, Carraro C, et al.
Production and detection of cold antihydrogen atoms. Nature. 2002;419(6906):456-9.

22. Song P, Yang C, Thomsen JS, Dagnaes-Hansen F, Jakobsen M, Bruel A, et al.
Lipidoid-siRNA Nanoparticle-Mediated IL-1beta Gene Silencing for Systemic Arthritis
Therapy in a Mouse Model. Mol Ther. 2019;27(8):1424-35.
23. Hald Albertsen C, Kulkarni JA, Witzigmann D, Lind M, Petersson K, Simonsen
JB. The role of lipid components in lipid nanoparticles for vaccines and gene therapy.
Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2022;188:114416.
24. Yang C, Wu T, Qi Y, Zhang Z. Recent Advances in the Application of Vitamin E
TPGS for Drug Delivery. Theranostics. 2018;8(2):464-85.
25. Yu H, Dyett BP, Zhai J, Strachan JB, Drummond CJ, Conn CE. Formation of
particulate lipid lyotropic liquid crystalline nanocarriers using a microfluidic platform. J
Colloid Interface Sci. 2023;634:279-89.
26. Akash MS, Rehman K. Recent progress in biomedical applications of Pluronic
(PF127): Pharmaceutical perspectives. J Control Release. 2015;209:120-38.
27. Banan Sadeghian R, Ueno R, Takata Y, Kawakami A, Ma C, Araoka T, et al.
Cells sorted off hiPSC-derived kidney organoids coupled with immortalized cells reliably
model the proximal tubule. Commun Biol. 2023;6(1):483.
28. Collnot EM, Baldes C, Wempe MF, Kappl R, Huttermann J, Hyatt JA, et al.
Mechanism of inhibition of P-glycoprotein mediated efflux by vitamin E TPGS: influence
on ATPase activity and membrane fluidity. Mol Pharm. 2007;4(3):465-74.
29. Zhang Z, Tan S, Feng SS. Vitamin E TPGS as a molecular biomaterial for drug
delivery. Biomaterials. 2012;33(19):4889-906.
30. Evers MJW, Du W, Yang Q, Kooijmans SAA, Vink A, van Steenbergen M, et al.
Delivery of modified mRNA to damaged myocardium by systemic administration of lipid
nanoparticles. J Control Release. 2022;343:207-16.
31. Jiang Y, Zhang Y, Liu C, Liu J, Xue W, Wang Z, et al. Tumor-activated IL-2
mRNA delivered by lipid nanoparticles for cancer immunotherapy. J Control Release.
2024;368:663-75.
32. Zhao S, Gao K, Han H, Stenzel M, Yin B, Song H, et al. Acid-degradable lipid
nanoparticles enhance the delivery of mRNA. Nat Nanotechnol. 2024;19(11):1702-11.
33. Grote Beverborg N, Verweij N, Klip IT, van der Wal HH, Voors AA, van
Veldhuisen DJ, et al. Erythropoietin in the general population: reference ranges and
clinical, biochemical and genetic correlates. PLoS One. 2015;10(4):e0125215.
34. Legrand M, Mik EG, Johannes T, Payen D, Ince C. Renal hypoxia and dysoxia
after reperfusion of the ischemic kidney. Mol Med. 2008;14(7-8):502-16.
35. Love KT, Mahon KP, Levins CG, Whitehead KA, Querbes W, Dorkin JR, et al.
Lipid-like materials for low-dose, in vivo gene silencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2010;107(5):1864-9.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: In vitro Characterization of F127-LNP, TPGS-LNP and DSPE-LNP. (a)

Hydrodynamic diameter. (b) Polydispersity index (PDI). (c) Zeta potential. (d) mCherry

protein expression and cell viability (e) in OK cells after transfection with LNP-

encapsulated mCherry mRNA at N/P ratios of 6, 12 and 16. (f) The encapsulation

efficiency of F127-LNP, TPGS-LNP and DSPE-LNP at N/P ratio of 12. (g) Stability of

free and LNP-encapsulated mCherry mRNA (F127-LNP, TPGS-LNP and DSPE-LNP) in

kidney perfusion solution over 24 hours at 37 °C, indicated by ct values measured by

RT-qPCR and presented as Mean ± SD (n = 3).

Figure 2: mRNA uptake and protein expression in OK cells transfected with DSPE-LNP,

TPGS-LNP and F127-LNP. (a) Representative confocal images of OK cells transfected

with LNP encapsulated with Cy5-labeled eGFP mRNA. Green: eGFP protein

expression; red: Cy5-labeled eGFP mRNA (mRNA-Cy5); blue: nucleus stained with

Hoechst 33342. (b) Quantitative analysis of cellular uptake of Cy-5 labeled eGFP mRNA

and (c) eGFP protein expression. Data are presented as Mean ± SD (n = 3). Statistical

significance was determined by multiple mixed effects analysis.* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$,

*** $p < 0.001$.

Figure 3: Levels of human erythropoietin (hEPO) detected in perfusate (kidney

perfusion solution) and urine following arterial infusion of 150 μg hEPO mRNA via

DSPE- and TPGS-LNP during NMP of porcine kidneys. hEPO levels detected by

ELISA. (a) Human EPO levels measured in the perfusate over 6 hours. Significance of

TPGS-LNP or DSPE-LNP against the control is determined by 2-way ANOVA test with

Šídák's multiple comparisons . * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. (b) Human EPO

levels detected in the urine 4-6 hours after mRNA infusion. Significance is determined

by Kruskal-Wallis. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Figure 4: Levels of mCherry detected by western blot throughout several kidney regions

following arterial infusion of 150 μg mCherry mRNA via DSPE- and TPGS-LNP during

NMP of porcine kidneys. (a) Representative western blot showing mCherry expression

(28 kDa) in kidney cortical tissue after delivery with DSPE- and TPGS-LNPs. (b) Bar

plot quantifying mCherry levels in different kidney regions, including the cortex (cranial,

lateral, caudal) and medulla obtained after the end of the perfusion Signals were

quantified using ImageLab software and normalized to total protein signal from the

corresponding stain-free gel. Significance is determined by two-way ANOVA with

Šídák's multiple comparisons. $* p < 0.05$, $** p < 0.01$, $*** p < 0.001$.

Figure 5: mCherry mRNA and mCherry protein presence in kidney tissue following

arterial infusion of 150 µg mCherry mRNA via DSPE- and TPGS-LNP during NMP of

porcine kidneys. mCherry protein was detected by immunohistochemistry (IHC), and

mCherry mRNA was detected by brightfield RNA in situ hybridization (BRISH). (A, C, E)

Representative brightfield images of mCherry protein expression visualized by IHC

using a brown DAB chromogen. (B, D, F) Representative brightfield images of mCherry

mRNA detected by BRISH with hematoxylin counterstaining. Panels A and B show

tissue from an untreated control kidney perfused under identical conditions without

mRNA-LNP administration, panels C and D show tissue from a kidney treated with

TPGS-LNPs, and panels E and F show tissue from a kidney treated with DSPE-LNPs.

Positive mCherry signal is indicated by arrowheads. Images are shown at high

magnification. Scale bars represent 50 µm.

a

Time after mRNA delivery (hours)

b

Fig 5.