The cow udder is a potential mixing vessel for influenza A viruses

Authors

Rute Maria Pinto[1!*], Colin P Sharp[1*], Maia Beeson[1], Nunticha Pankaew[1], Jack A. Hassard[2], Alexander Moxom[1], Callum Magill[3], Laura Tuck[1], Stephen Meek[1], Hui Min Lee[1], Kirsty Jensen[1], Inga Dry[1], Pedro Melo[1], Jiayun Yang[4], Ashwin Ashok Raut[5], Anamika Mishra[5], Sjaak de Wit[6,7], J. Ross Fitzgerald[1], Jayne C. Hope[1], Joanne Stevens[1], Tom Burdon[1], Kate Sutton[1], Cristina L. Esteves[1], F. Xavier Donadeu[1], Ian Brown[4], Wendy Barclay[8], Thomas P. Peacock[4] Daniel H. Goldhill[9], Munir Iqbal[4], Pablo R. Murcia[3], Stuart M. Haslam[2], Eleanor Gaunt[1!], Paul Digard[1!]

[1]The Roslin Institute, The University of Edinburgh, Easter Bush Campus, Midlothian EH25 9RG, UK

[2]Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK

[3]MRC-University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research, Garscube Campus, 464 Bearsden Road, Glasgow G61 1QH, UK

[4]The Pirbright Institute, Ash Road, Pirbright, Woking, GU24 0NF, UK

[5]Indian Council of Agricultural Research-National Institute of High Security Animal Diseases, Bhopal, MP, India- 462022

[6]Royal GD, Deventer, the Netherlands

[7]Veterinary Faculty, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands

[8]Department of Infectious Disease, Imperial College London, London, UK

[9]The Royal Veterinary College, Hawkshead Lane, North Mimms, Hatfield, Hertfordshire, AL9 7TA, UK

[*]equal contribution

[!]co-corresponding

Abstract

The incursion of high pathogenicity avian influenza A virus (IAV) into US dairy cows is unprecedented in the era of molecular diagnosis and pathogen sequencing. This raises questions over the likelihood of further outbreaks and whether dairy cattle could be a mixing vessel for novel strains of IAV. Using a panel of BSL2-safe reassortant viruses representing clade 2.3.4.4b H5 epizootic lineages circulating since 2020, we found that a cow B3.13 isolate displayed enhanced replication in cow mammary gland cells, along with increased viral polymerase activity and stronger interferon antagonism in cow cells compared to an earlier EA-2020-C genotype virus. However, multiple avian and mammalian IAV strains, including other clade 2.3.4.4b high pathogenicity genotypes, were replication competent in bovine cells, particularly those of the mammary gland, suggesting that there is a diverse circulating IAV pool with the potential to infect cows. Moreover, we show that cow mammary cells co-express α-2,3 and α-2,6 – linked sialic acids, and are susceptible to co-infection with human and avian IAVs. We conclude that the US cow influenza outbreak does not simply reflect a unique adaptation of the B3.13 genotype virus; rather, the bovine udder represents a permissive niche for IAV and a plausible site for reassortment, underscoring its potential role in generating novel influenza viruses with pandemic risk.

212 words

Introduction

Since 2020, the H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b of influenza A virus (IAV) has spread globally, threatening diverse wild bird species and causing economic devastation to the poultry industry. This panzootic has also resulted in multiple intra-phylum spill-over events, including farmed mink, semi-aquatic mammals and, in 2024, dairy cows [1, 2]). Since the detection of the B3.13 genotype H5N1 in cattle in March 2024, it has demonstrated sustained, widespread inter-state transmission in dairy cattle [3, 4]. This was unexpected, as until this event, fully verified evidence of IAV infection in cows has been limited, especially with regards to sequenced virus isolates available for further study [5]. While IAV is generally respiratory-tropic in mammals, the primary clinical indicator in the current bovine outbreak is an abrupt drop in milk production [6], correlating with high viral loads in milk [2]. Initial evolutionary and molecular analyses of cattle B3.13 viruses suggested that these viruses had quickly acquired mammalian associated adaptations in several genes [7]. Isolates were replication competent in human cell lines, were highly pathogenic in mice and ferrets [8, 9] and concomitantly, there have been multiple independent reports of zoonotic infections in dairy farm workers [10-12]. These events raised important questions around the likelihood of further IAV incursions into cattle and the pandemic potential of such outbreaks. We show here that a range of cow-derived cells are susceptible to infection with various IAV strains of avian, human or swine origin, that primary cow mammary cells express both α-2,3 and α-2,6 N-acetylneuraminic acid and that these cells can be co-infected with human and avian IAVs. We conclude that other IAV strains have the potential to infect cows and that this could yield reassortant viruses with zoonotic and pandemic potential.

Results

Cow mammary epithelial cells are susceptible to infection with diverse IAV strains.

While IAV is traditionally considered to be a respiratory virus in mammalian species, transmission of B3.13 viruses between dairy cows has been associated with the use of milking

equipment [13]. We therefore asked whether cow mammary gland epithelial cells are more

susceptible to IAV infection than other cell types. Cow primary and immortalised cells

originating from different anatomical sites (Figure 1A) were infected with a variety of IAV

strains representative of four categories: laboratory-adapted, human, swine and avian.

Infections were performed at low multiplicity of infection (MOI) and viral growth kinetic

analyses were conducted up to 48 hours post infection (hpi). Of all tested cells, mammary

cells showed the highest susceptibility to infection, with lab-adapted strains reaching viral titres

of 10^8 PFU/ml. Titres of 10^{6-7} PFU/ml were detected for viruses of human, swine and avian

origins. Respiratory, anatomically diverse fibroblast, and immune cells were less permissive

to IAV infection, with peak titres typically 2 to 3 orders of magnitude lower than those achieved

in mammary cells across all virus strains (Figure 1B, Supplementary Figures S1-3). This

further correlates with the ability of cow mammary cells to support the polymerase activity of

diverse IAV strains (Figure 1C). The tropism of B3.13 for mammary gland epithelial cells

therefore appears to be a generalisable phenomenon, observed across diverse IAV strains

isolated from hosts spanning multiple taxonomic classes.

B3.13 internal gene constellation confers better virus replication in cow cells.

The inherent permissiveness of cow mammary cells to IAV infection does not explain

why B3.13 was particularly successful at sustaining onward transmission in cows. We

hypothesised that the unexpected dissemination of B3.13 infections in dairy cows could be

explained by a fitness advantage of these viruses over other genotypes. To test this, biosafety

level 2 viruses representative of an early B3.13 cow isolate ('Cattle Texas') and an avian

precursor (AIV07, EURL genotype EA-2020-C) were generated harbouring the glycoproteins

of the laboratory-adapted strain A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 H1N1 (PR8). These viruses were used

to infect bovine cells from different tissues including mammary epithelial cells (bMEC), type II

pneumocytes, skin and heart fibroblasts and blood-derived macrophages (BDϕ) as well as

mammary gland explants and surface-grown intestinal organoid cultures (2D enteroids). With

the exception of bMECs, where AIV07 replicated slightly better, Cattle Texas replicated to 10

to 10,000-fold higher titres compared to AIV07 (Figure 2). Therefore, Cattle Texas

demonstrated a fitness advantage in bovine cells compared to a representative avian virus

precursor.

After entering North America through the Atlantic bird migratory route, EA-2020-C

viruses like AIV07 reassorted with the local low pathogenic avian influenza (LPAI) pool, giving

rise to the B3.13 genotype via acquisition of new viral genome segments 1, 2, and 5 (encoding

the PB2, PB1 and NP components of the viral ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex respectively),

[14] as well as segment 8 (encoding NS1 and NS2) [7, 15, 16] (Figure 3A). Therefore, we

investigated whether the new RNP segments improved viral transcription activity in cow cells

using a bovine-specific minigenome reporter assay [17]. Cattle Texas RNPs were 20-times

more efficient than AIV07 (Figure 3B, open blue and yellow bars). To determine which

segments contributed to this improved activity, Cattle Texas and AIV07 RNPs were

reconstituted with reciprocal single gene replacements, including segment 3 (encoding PA),

the final component of the viral polymerase. Replacing Cattle Texas PB1 with the AIV07

counterpart had no effect, while swapping NP increased RNP activity in MAC-T cells (Figure

3B, yellow cross-hatched bars). In contrast, PB2 or PA from AIV07 significantly decreased

Cattle Texas minireplicon activity. In the reciprocal swaps, AIV07 polymerase activity was

improved by up to 5-fold by Cattle Texas segments 1, 2 or 3, but replacement of segment 5

had an 8-fold negative impact on polymerase efficiency (Figure 3B, green cross-hatched bars).

Thus, the increased polymerase activity of Cattle Texas is attributable to the PB2- and PA-

encoding segments. To test this in a viral context, we made reassortant viruses in which Cattle

Texas PB2 or all polymerase segments were replaced with those of AIV07. Both PB2 and full

polymerase swap viruses exhibited reduced replication in cow mammary cells (Figure 3C),

confirming the importance of these segments.

NS1 is the primary IAV IFN antagonist, working through multiple partially redundant

but also strain-specific mechanisms, including both general repression of cellular gene

expression at transcriptional and translational levels as well as specific inhibition of IFN

induction [18]. As an initial test of whether reassortment of segment 8 was phenotypically

important, we assessed host cell shut off by infecting MAC-T cells with the PR8 6:2 Cattle

Texas or a PR8 2:5:1 Cattle Texas harbouring AIV07 segment 8 and pulsed the cells with

puromycin at various times post infection. Western blotting for the puromycin-labelled

prematurely terminated polypeptides indicated that while both viruses repressed cellular

translation at late times post infection, there was no major difference between them (Figure

S4A). As a more quantitative measure of shut off, we co-transfected MAC-T cells with segment

8 plasmids from the two viruses alongside a constitutive expression plasmid encoding Renilla

luciferase and measured the resulting luminescence. Both NS1 proteins showed dose-

dependent inhibition in this assay with Cattle Texas showing a modest improvement over

AIV07 (Figure S4B). The PA-X accessory gene expressed from segment 3 also contributes to

IAV host cell shut off [14]. Although segment 3 reassortment did not occur between genotypes

EA-2020-C and B3.13, genetic drift led to four amino acid differences between the PA-Xs of

AIV07 and Cattle Texas, three of which lie within the "X-ORF" (Figure S4C). Therefore, we

similarly compared the ability of AIV07 and Cattle Texas PA-X proteins to inhibit host gene

expression, but no significant differences were observed (Figure S4D).

To test specific IFN antagonism by NS1, the AIV07 and Cattle Texas segment 8

plasmids were transfected into MAC-T cells alongside an IFN-β reporter plasmid and the cells

stimulated with poly I:C as a mimic for viral RNA. This showed that, at similar expression

levels, Cattle Texas NS1 had a significantly higher ability to counteract type I IFN induction

than AIV07 NS1 (Figure 3D). To assess the contribution of this to overall virus fitness,

replication of the 2:5:1 Cattle Texas reassortant virus harbouring AIV07 segment 8 was

characterised in MAC-T and BAT-II cells. Introduction of AIV07 NS into Cattle Texas resulted

in a slight but non-statistically significant delay in virus propagation in mammary epithelial

cells, but had a much larger effect in the pneumocyte cell line (Figure 3E), suggesting that

changes in segment 8 also contribute to the improved fitness of Cattle Texas over AIV07.

Thus overall, the reassortment events that replaced segments 1, 2 and 8 alongside drift

adaptations in segment 3 may have contributed to the ability of the B3.13 genotype virus to

infect cattle.

Spill-back of the cattle B3.13 virus into poultry has been observed on multiple

occasions [3, 19], despite its evident fitness adaptations for bovines. The 2:6 Cattle Texas

virus replicated to slightly lower titres in chicken lung epithelial cells than AIV07, while its

polymerase and NS1 exhibited similar activities to those of the AIV07 proteins in the same

cells (Supplementary Figure S5). Thus the enhanced fitness of Cattle Texas in bovine cells is

specific to bovine cells but does not carry a high fitness penalty in chicken cells.

Cow mammary cells are susceptible to European 2.3.4.4b genotype viruses and support

co-infection by human and avian viruses.

Detections of IAV in US dairy cattle are mostly of North American H5N1 2.3.4.4b

genotypes B3.13 and, more recently, D1.1 viruses. Therefore, we asked whether similar dairy

cattle incursions could happen in countries where the B3.13 and D1.1 genotypes are not

circulating. To that end, we compared the growth kinetics of Cattle Texas with other avian

European 2.3.4.4b genotypes in primary and continuous bovine mammary cells and explants,

again all as 2:6 reassortants with PR8 glycoproteins to permit work at BSL2. In addition to

AIV07 (genotype EA-2020-C), these included a 2.3.4.4b 2020 H5N8 precursor (H5N8-20) and

EURL genotypes EA-2021-AB (AIV09) and EA-2022-BB (AIV48) (Figure 4A). In all three

systems, Cattle Texas replicated to the highest titres, perhaps as expected for a virus with

bovine-adapted internal segments, but other unadapted 2.3.4.4b strains (in particular BB

genotype AIV48) also replicated to high titres (Figure 4A). Cow mammary explants showed

the greatest range of virus titres, with H5N8-20 and AIV07 exhibiting very poor fitness in this

model, such that many of the explants were refractory to infection or only yielded low titres

(Figure S6). This suggests that recent Clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 genotypes on both sides of the

North Atlantic have the potential to cause dairy cattle infections.

Human IAV pandemics classically arise from reassortment between avian and

mammalian strains [20, 21]. Historical data suggest the incursion of human seasonal strains

into cattle [5], so in the face of an ongoing H5N1 epidemic in birds and cattle, the possibility

of the cow udder as a "mixing vessel" arises. However, co-infection of a single cell harbouring

both avian and mammalian receptor types is needed for this mixing to occur. Lectin staining

analyses indicate the presence of α-2,3 linked sialic acid receptors for circulating avian H5

viruses in cow mammary glands [22-24], while our own infectivity data (Figures 1, S1) suggest

the presence of human α-2,6 linked sialic acids on bovine mammary epithelial cells. To

establish the amounts of each receptor type in the cells used here, we next performed

quantitative glycomic analyses on the immortalised and therefore likely relatively

homogeneous (MAC-T) and primary, potentially heterogeneous (bMEC) cell populations. This

identified complex N-glycans containing a mix of α-2,3 and α-2,6 linked sialic acids, with a

higher proportion of α-2,6 linkages present on the bMECs compared to MAC-T cells (Figure

4B, C; Supplementary Figures S7-S10). Cow mammary cells therefore express the sialic acids

required for infection with both avian and mammalian IAVs; however, this does not

demonstrate that the two sialic acid conformations are present at functionally relevant levels

on the same cell. To determine whether individual cow mammary gland cells could be co-

infected by human and avian strains of virus, bMEC cells were inoculated with an α-2,6 sialic

acid-dependent human seasonal H1N1 strain and an α-2,3 sialic acid-dependent low

pathogenicity avian virus, either singly or together. Infected cells were fixed and stained with

anti-H1 or H3 HA and analysed by flow cytometry. The individual infections confirmed antibody

specificity, while a dual-infected population was clearly visible in the co-infection sample

(Figure 4D). We therefore conclude that co-infections of human and avian viruses within the

cow mammary glands are possible, supporting the possibility of reassortment events.

Discussion

The unexpected influenza outbreak in US dairy cows requires careful consideration to

understand why it occurred, and its potential implications for both livestock and human health

[15]. At one extreme, it could have resulted from a rare adaptation of the B3.13 genotype to

allow infection of a species usually refractory to infection with most strains of IAV; at the other,

an unusually large and well documented epidemic of a not uncommon spillover event that

mostly goes undetected. To experimentally test these possibilities, we compiled a variety of

bovine cell culture systems and performed a systematic analysis of their susceptibility to IAV

infection. We found that while there are clear virus strain and cell-type differences in the

susceptibility of bovine cells to IAV infection, many cell types are susceptible to infection with

a diverse range of avian and mammal viruses. Notably however, mammary gland epithelial

cells are the most susceptible to infection compared to respiratory, immune or fibroblast cells,

consistent with the clinical manifestations of mastitis and high viral loads present in milk [4,

25].

The ability of cow mammary cells to be infected with and support high levels of

replication of a wide range of IAV strains here described argues against the extreme

hypothesis of a truly unique phenotypic trait of the B3.13 strain that permits infection of cows.

These observations are consistent with recent studies showing that other avian 2.3.4.4b

isolates are able to replicate in bovine cells [26-28] and udders [25, 29], as well as the more

recently detected D1.1 genotype spillover into US dairy cattle [30]. However, our findings of

wide variation in the ability of specific strains of IAV to replicate in bovine cells suggests that

adaptation does play a role. Thus, we sought to determine what, if anything, predicted the

emergence and transmission of Cattle Texas above other avian IAV strains. We found a

multifactorial scenario, with improvements in polymerase efficiency and the ability of NS1 to

counteract type I interferon induction. These improvements were apparent in cow but not

chicken cells, indicative of evolutionary adaptations to the new host. This is consistent with

other studies showing a replicative advantage of cattle isolates over an avian precursor [8,

29]. For PB2 and PA, the amino acid mutations PB2 M631L and PA K497R present in the

Cattle Texas strain we used have recently been reported to enhance polymerase efficiency

and virus replication in cow mammary cells [3, 17, 19]. Furthermore, impairment to

transcriptional efficiencies rendered by the newly acquired segment 5 imply that there is still

the potential for further fitness gains of the B3.13 genotype in cattle.

Pigs are the classic mixing vessel of IAV, the respiratory tract of which is unusual in its

ability to support infection with both avian and mammalian IAV strains and from which the

most recent influenza pandemic in 2009 arose [31, 32]. However, HPAI H5 infections in pigs

are rare; pigs were either not susceptible to H5N1 2.3.4.4b strains following nasal exposure

[33], or when infected no transmission was observed [34]. Therefore, reassortment of H5 HPAI

with other mammalian IAV strains within the swine respiratory tract can be considered unlikely.

However, our observations on the ability of avian and mammalian IAV strains to infect cow

mammary glands raised the question of whether cow udders could serve as mixing vessels

for the generation of novel strains containing human-adapted and H5 HPAI-origin segments,

analogous to the pig reassortment events that are conjectured to have precipitated previous

pandemics. The fact that cow mammary cells can be co-infected with human and avian IAV

strains supported by the mixed distribution of α-2,3 and α-2,6 linked sialic acid observed in

these cells, indicates that cow udders do have this potential.

Infection of cows with human and other IAV strains has been suggested in the past. In

1997, dairy cows in the southwest of England presented with sporadic drops in milk

production, which the reporting authors considered to be attributable to IAV [35, 36]. Additional

studies have reported seroconversion against circulating seasonal H3N2 virus in British cattle,

which was associated with reduced milk yield and respiratory disease [37, 38]. Despite the

occasional respiratory signs, such as nasal discharge and increased respiratory rate followed

by seroconversion, virus isolation from respiratory swabs from these seroconverted animals

was unsuccessful. With hindsight, isolation from milk may have had a higher chance of

success. Consequently, the idea that "cows could foster flu pandemics" was considered back

in the early 2000s [39]. Moreover, the recent seroconversion for H5N1 2.3.4.4b in sheep and

detections of IAV RNA in milk [40, 41] further suggests that other ruminant livestock species

may hold similar potential.

Overall, our findings point to requirements for reconfigured and enhanced policy

procedures and surveillance of IAV incursions into ruminant livestock species. Currently,

mastitis outbreaks are not routinely investigated for the presence of IAV. Adding IAV testing

to mastitis diagnostic assessments as a differential when other common causes have been

excluded will be beneficial to livestock. This will allow early detection of emerging events with

implementation of appropriate infection control measures and justify contact tracing of farm

workers.

Materials and Methods

Ethics

All reverse genetics work used a loss-of-function approach and was carried out under

a license (GMRA1811) from the UK Health & Safety Executive. All work with avian viruses

was carried out in a segregated BSL2 laboratory in the Roslin Institute, separate from where

mammalian IAV strains are handled.

All animal experimental work was completed under license and in accordance with the

Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and with approval from the Roslin Institute animal

welfare and ethics committee under the project licence number PP0612543. All studies were

performed in line with the Animal Research: Reporting of *In Vivo* Experiments (ARRIVE)

Guidelines [42].

Cell line maintenance, primary cell isolation, derivation and culture

Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) (American Type Culture Collection, ATCC),

MDCK expressing galline ANP32A (MDCK-GgANP32A) [43], MDCK expressing

Sialyltransferase 1 (MDCK-SIAT) [44], human embryonic kidney (HEK293T) and immortalised

mammary epithelial cells (MAC-T) [45] were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% foetal

bovine serum (FBS), 2mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. MDCK-

SIAT cells were further supplemented with 50 µg/ml geneticin. MAC-T cells were further

supplemented with 5 µg/ml insulin and 1 µg/ml hydrocortisone. Chicken lung cells (CLEC213)

[46] were cultured with DMEM/Nutrient Mixture F-12 also supplemented with 8% FBS, 100

U/ml penicillin and 100µg/ml streptomycin. BAT-II cells [47, 48] were maintained in Small

Airway Growth medium (Lonza) further supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin and

100µg/ml streptomycin. All immortalised cells were regularly tested for mycoplasma

contamination and passaged once or twice weekly.

Primary cow mammary epithelial cell (bMEC) lines generated from the mammary

glands of Aberdeen Angus cross cows as previously described [49]. Glands were sprayed with

70% ethanol and skin was removed. Cuboidal epithelial tissue fragments (5 cm in length) were

cut from the gland, submerged in 70% ethanol for 30s and washed 5 times in PBS containing

antibiotics and 2.5 µg/ml amphotericin B. Tissue fragments were transferred to a glass petri

dish and minced with scalpels to 2-3 mm pieces. Next, 10-15g of minced tissue was

dissociated overnight with low shaking in 20 ml of DMEM/Nutrient Mixture F-12 supplemented

with 15 mM HEPES and 0.075% sodium bicarbonate, 2% Bovine serum albumin (BSA), 300

U/ml Collagenase Type I and 100 U/ml Hyaluronidase from bovine testes. Dissociation media

was removed by centrifugation and pellet was washed twice with DMEM/F12. This yielded

small aggregates of part-digested tissue which were resuspended in freezing medium and

stored at -150ºC. To obtain a single cell suspension, aliquots of part-digested tissue

aggregated were thawed, washed with Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) supplemented

with 2% FBS, resuspended in warm trypsin and vigorously pipetted for 3 mins and washed

with HBSS/2% FBS, resuspended in a warm Dispase/DNAse solution and gently pipetted for

3 minutes. Ice cold HBSS/ 2% FBS was added and the suspension was filtered on a 70 µM

strainer, cells were washed by centrifugation, resuspended in growth media (DMEM/F12

supplemented with 0.1% BSA, 10 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF), 10 ng/ml cholera toxin,

1 µg/ml bovine insulin, 0.5 µg/ml hydrocortisone, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin

and 250 µg/ml gentamycin) and seeded onto collagen coated wells/flasks. bMECs were

examined everyday by light microscopy to check for signs of contamination, viability,

morphology, and confluence. Media was refreshed daily. Growth media was further

supplemented with 2.5 µg/ml amphotericin B, the concentration of which was halved every

day until the cells had reached sufficient confluency to be expanded.

Primary fibroblasts were extracted from skin and brain tissue explants dissociated with

5 mg/ml Collagenase type I at 37ºC, shaking for 3h. The dissociated material was passed

through a 70 μm filter and washed with HBSS. Cells were cultured on bovine gelatine-coated

tissue culture plastic and expanded in Glasgow's MEM) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine

serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1x MEM non-essential amino acids, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 0.1 mM

2-mercaptoethanol, 100 U/ml penicillin-streptomycin, 50 μg/ml gentamicin and 2.5 μg/ml

amphotericin B. Established cultures were maintained in the same medium without antibiotics.

Cow PBMCs were collected from Holstein-Friesian cattle housed at the University of

Edinburgh farm using published procedures [50]. Cow blood derived macrophages (BDΦ)

were generated from PBMCs as described previously [50] except that the macrophages were

cultured in BDΦ medium (RPMI-1640 supplemented with 20% FBS, 4 mM L-glutamine and

50 μM β-mercaptoethanol, 100 U/ml penicillin-streptomycin and 20 ng/ml His-tagged

recombinant bovine CSF1 - the latter supplied by the Roslin Immunological Toolbox). BDΦ

medium was replaced on day 7. On day 12 the adherent cells were rigorously washed with

phosphate-buffered saline PBS and detached with TrypLE Express. Purified BDΦs were

resuspended at 1.5 x 10^5 cells/ml in BD Φ medium without CSF1, aliquoted into 24 well plates

(1ml/well) and cultured for 48h before infection on day 14.

Bovine 3D enteroids were derived from five 6-month-old healthy male British Holstein–

Friesian calves housed at the University of Edinburgh farm. Calves were subject to Schedule

1 method of cull and sections of distal ileum were obtained post-mortem and stored in HBSS

on ice until further use. Crypts were isolated and 3D enteroids were cultured as previously

described [51]. Bovine 3D organoid cultures were used to generate 2D enteroids as previously

described [52].

Bovine mammary gland explants were extracted from udder tissue collected at

Sandyford Abattoir (Paisley, Scotland) from healthy lactating cows that had been culled for

the food chain. Excess tissue was removed, teat and gland cistern were dissected and

transferred to transport media comprising of chilled DMEM supplemented with 100 μg/ml

Penicillin/ 100 μg/ml streptomycin and 5 μg/ml fungizone. Every 45 minutes, tissues were

transferred to 500 ml of fresh transport media. Inside class II biological safety cabinet, explants

were made using a 5mm biopsy punch. Biopsies were added to 24 well plates with 500ul of
transport media further supplemented with 10% FBS and incubated for 24h at 37ºC, 5% CO_2
prior to infection.

Validation of bovine origin of the primary and continuous cell lines was performed by
PCR. DNA was extracted from cell pellets, and the cytochrome B gene was amplified by PCR
with degenerate primers (CGAGACGTVAAYTAYGGMTGA and
ACBGTDGCYCCTCARAADGATATTTG). Cycling conditions were 94°C for 2 minutes,
followed by 50 cycles of 94°C for 18 seconds, 50°C for 21 seconds then 72°C for 30 seconds
with a final extension of 72°C for 5 minutes. Amplicons were sent for Sanger sequencing and
resultant sequences were aligned to the Bos taurus mitochondrion complete genome
reference sequence (NCBI accession number NC_006853.1).

All cells, organoids and explants were kept at 37˚C and 5% CO_2 under normoxic
conditions.

Viruses

A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 H1N1 ("PR8") [53], mouse adapted A/California/04/2009 H1N1
("Cal04") [54], A/WSN/33 H1N1 ("WSN"), swine adapted A/mallard/Netherlands/10-Cam/1999
H1N1 ("Mallard") [55], A/Udorn/307/1972 H3N2 ("Udorn"), A/England/195/2009 pH1N1
("Eng195"), A/swine/England/87842/1990 H3N2 ("Swine H3N2") and 2:6 reassortants of
2.3.4.4b H5N1 viruses containing PR8 segments 4 and 6 viruses were generated by reverse
genetics as previously described [56]. Briefly, 2×10^6 HEK293T cells were transfected in
OptiMEM with pDUAL reverse genetics plasmids (250 ng of plasmid for each virus segment),
using 4 µl of Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer's instructions. At 24 hours
post transfection, the media was replaced by serum-free DMEM supplemented with 0.14%
(w/v) fraction V BSA and 1 µg/ml of l-(tosylamido-2-phenyl) ethyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK)-
treated trypsin. Virus-containing supernatant was collected after a further 2-days incubation
(here described as a "P0 stock"). P0 stocks of PR8, Swine H3N2 and Udorn were propagated
in MDCK cells. P0 stocks of Mallard, Eng195 and PR8 2:6 2.3.4.4b H5N1 reassortants were

further propagated in 10-day embryonated hen's eggs. Allantoic fluids were collected 48hpi.

Cal04 P0 stocks were propagated in MDCK-SIAT cells. Reassortants between A/dairy

cattle/Texas/24-008749-001-original/2024   H5N1   ("Cattle   Texas")   and

A/chicken/England/053052/2021 H5N1 ("AIV07") were propagated in MDCK-GgANP32A.

Isolates of A/USSR/90/1977 H1N1 ("USSR") A/Brisbane/10/2007 H3N2 ("Brisbane"),

A/Norway/3433/ 2018 H1N1 ("Norway") and A/swine/453/England/2006 H1N1 ("Swine H1N1")

isolates were propagated in MDCK cells. Clarified supernatants were typically collected at 36–

72 hpi. Isolates of A/chicken/Belgium/460/2019 H3N1 ("H3N1"), A/chicken Belgium/150/1999

H5N2   ("H5N2"),   A/chicken/Netherlands/917/2010   H6N1   ("H6N1")   and   A/chicken/Saudi

Arabia/2525/2000 H9N2 ("H9N2") isolates were propagated in hen's eggs and allantoic fluids

were collected and clarified at 48 hpi. All viruses were sequenced to confirm identity using

Nanopore sequencing (described below).

Table 1: Virus strains, abbreviations and uses in this study.

Virus strain name	Abbreviation	Panel	GISAID ID	Type of virus/assay
A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 H1N1	PR8	Lab-adapted		Whole virus, minireplicon
A/WSN/33 H1N1	WSN	Lab-adapted		Whole virus
A/California/04/2009 H1N1	Cal04	Lab-adapted		Whole virus, minireplicon
A/mallard/Netherlands/10-Cam/1999 H1N1	Mallard	Lab-adapted		Whole virus, minireplicon
A/USSR/90/1977 H1N1	USSR	Human		Whole virus
A/Udorn/307/1972 H3N2	Udorn	Human		Whole virus, minireplicon
A/Brisbane/10/2007 H3N2	Brisbane	Human		Whole virus
A/England/195/2009	Eng195	Human	EPI_ISL_29994	Whole virus, minireplicon

A/Norway/3433/2018 H1N1	Norway	Human	EPI_ISL_391292	Whole virus
A/swine/453/England/2006 H1N1	Swine H1N1	Swine		Whole virus
A/swine/England/87842/1990 H3N2	Swine H3N2	Swine		Whole virus
A/chicken/Belgium/460/2019 H3N1	H3N1	Avian		Whole virus, minireplicon
A/chicken Belgium/150/1999 H5N2	H5N2	Avian		Whole virus
A/chicken/Netherlands/917/2010 H6N1	H6N1	Avian		Whole virus
A/chicken/Saudi Arabia/2525/2000 H9N2	H9N2	Avian		Whole virus
A/duck/Tripura/103597/2008 H5N1	Tripura	Avian		Minireplicon
A/chicken/England/030786/2020	H5N8-20	2.3.4.4b H5N1		PR8 2:6 reassortant
A/chicken/England/053052/2021 H5N1	AIV07	2.3.4.4b H5N1	EPI_ISL_9012457	PR8 2:6 reassortant, minireplicon
A/chicken/Scotland/054477/2021 H5N1	AIV09	2.3.4.4b H5N1	EPI_ISL_9012696	PR8 2:6 reassortant
A/chicken/England/085598/2022 H5N1	AIV48	2.3.4.4b H5N1	EPI_ISL_13782459	PR8 2:6 reassortant, minireplicon
A/dairy cattle/Texas/24-008749-001-original/2024 H5N1	Cattle Texas	2.3.4.4b H5N1	EPI_ISL_19094762	PR8 2:6 reassortant, minireplicon

RNA extraction and Multi-segment RT-PCR

To confirm the genome sequences of the virus strains used, viral RNA was extracted

from cell- and egg-grown viral stocks using the QIAamp Viral extraction mini kit (QIAGEN) as

per manufacturer's instructions. Viral genomes were amplified using the Superscript III One-

Step RT-PCR kit (Invitrogen), 5 µl of extracted viral RNA, GGGGGGAGCAAAAGCAGG and

GGGGGGAGCGAAAGCAGG as forward and GGGGTTATTAGTAGAAACAAGG as reverse

primers [57]. Thermocycling conditions for RT-PCR reaction were: 55°C for 2 mins, 42°C for

60 mins, 94°C for 2 mins; 5 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 44°C for 30 s and 68°C for 3 mins 30 s;

26 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 57°C for 30 s and 68°C for 3 mins 30 s and 10 mins hold at 68°C.

Resulting PCR was analysed on an 1% agarose gel

Nanopore sequencing and data analysis

RT-PCR products were pooled and prepared for sequencing using a ligation

sequencing amplicon kit (SQK-NBD114.24, Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK) and

sequenced on a FLO-MIN114 flow cell (R10.4.1., Oxford Nanopore Technologies) on an in-

house GridION device. Final library concentration was approximately 10 fmol. Molarity was

determined using Oxford Nanopore recommended tables, assuming an average multi-

segment RT-PCR fragment size of 1.3 kb. Reads were called using the high accuracy basecall

setting on the MinKNOW UI (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). The "passed" FASTQ output

files were used in the sequencing analysis. Data was analysed using the EPI2ME (Oxford

Nanopore Technologies) and Sequeduct (Edinburgh Genome Foundry) [58]pipelines. The

processed FASTQ files were aligned against expected sequences. For the Sequeduct

pipeline, reference sequences were converted to Genbank format. Consensus sequence

output from the "analysis" workflow was used to align against expected sequences.

Plaque assays

Confluent monolayers of MDCK cells (1×10^6 cells seeded in 12-well plates the day

prior to infection) were washed once with PBS, then infected with 250 µl of tenfold serial

dilutions of virus. Following a 1 h incubation at 37 °C that allowed virus adsorption, cells were

overlaid with DMEM supplemented with 0.14% fraction V BSA, 1 µg/ml TPCK-treated trypsin

and 1.2% Avicel. Following a 48h undisturbed incubation at 37 °C, cells were fixed with 10%

Neutral Buffered Formalin for at least 20 minutes and stained with a 0.1% toluidine blue

solution for at least 1 h and plaques were then counted. Plaque assays for avian viruses or

PR8 2:6 viruses with avian origin PB2 were performed as described but using MDCK-

GgANP32A cells. Plaque assays for human viruses Eng195, Cal04, Brisbane and Norway

H1N1 were performed using MDCK-SIAT cells and incubation temperatures of 35 °C for 72h.

Virus replication kinetics

Monolayers of cells were washed once with PBS and infected with virus diluted in

serum-free medium for 1 h at 37 °C (the multiplicity of infections used is specified in each figure

legend). Infectious medium was replaced with serum-free medium supplemented with 0.14%

fraction V BSA and 1 µg/ml TPCK-treated bovine pancreas trypsin.

Individual mammary explants were transferred to 96-well plates and infected with 5000

PFU of virus in 100 µl of serum free DMEM for 2h at 37ºC. Explants were transferred to clean

24-well plates, washed 3x with warm PBS and overlayed with 1ml of DMEM supplemented

with 0.14% fraction V BSA and 1µg/ml TPCK. Supernatant was collected at different

timepoints post infection and infectious titres were determined by plaque assay.

Immunoblotting

Cells were lysed in Laemmli buffer, heated at 80 °C for 5 min and subjected to

polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis using sodium dodecyl sulfate-based buffer. Peptides were

transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes for 90 minutes at 32 V. Membranes were

blocked for 1 h with 1× Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween20 (TBST)/5% milk, washed three

times with TBST and stained with primary antibodies diluted in TBST/5% BSA overnight at

4 °C. After three 5-minute TBST washes, secondary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer

and incubated for 1 h at room temperature protected from direct light. Following three more

washes in TBST, membranes were imaged using the LI-COR Fc-Odyssey Imaging platform

using the Image Studio Lite Software.

Plasmid-based IFN- β promoter reporter assays

453   Under confluent monolayers of MAC-T or CLEC213 cells were co-transfected with

454   500ng or 100 ng of reporter plasmid encoding firefly luciferase under the control of human [59]

or chicken IFN-β [60] promoter and 1000ng of segment 8 pDUAL plasmids using 2 μl of Lipofectamine 2000. 24 hours post transfection, cells were stimulated with 5 μg of Poly I:C by transfection using 2 μl of Lipofectamine/well. Mock-stimulated cells were also included where Poly I:C was replaced by water. 24 hours post-stimulation, cells were lysed with 100 μl of reporter lysis buffer and luciferase activity was measured from 40 μl of lysate in opaque 96-well plates by using 25 μl of luciferase assay reagent in Cytation3 Plate Reader and Imager (integration time 1 second).

Cellular gene expression shutoff transfection-based assays

Sub-confluent monolayers of MAC-T and CLEC213 cells were co-transfected in triplicate with a range of pDUAL segment 3 or 8 effector plasmids and 200ng of pRL using 2μL of Lipofectamine2000. Forty eight hours post transfection, cells were lysed and renilla luciferase expression was quantified using a Renilla Luciferase Assay kit. Briefly, cells were lysed with 100μL of 1× lysis buffer and frozen overnight at -20°C. Cells were scraped off the plate, cell debris was removed by centrifugation (8000rpm, 5 minutes, 4°C). Luminescence was measured from the mix of 20 μl of lysate and 50 μl of 1× Renilla luciferase substrate using a Cytation3 Plate Reader and Imager (integration time 1 second).

Puromycin-labelling of nascent proteins

Subconfluent monolayers of MAC-T cells were infected at MOI 5. At 9, 12, 15 and 18 hpi, supernatant was replaced with fresh medium supplemented with 20 μg/ml of puromycin dihydrochloride for 1h. Cells were washed twice with PBS, lysed in Laemmli buffer and subjected to immunoblotting using a primary anti-puromycin antibody (Millipore; MABE343).

RNP reconstitution (minireplicon) assays

Sub-confluent monolayers of MAC-T or CLEC213 cells (1×10^5 cells seeded in 24-well plates the previous day) were co-transfected in triplicate with 50 ng of each pDUAL plasmid encoding PB2, PB1, PA and NP along with 20 ng of a bovine PolI-driven vRNA-like firefly

luciferase reporter plasmid [17]. As negative controls, transfections lacking the PB2 plasmid

(with empty pDUAL vector used to balance plasmid amount) were also performed. Two days

post transfection, cells were lysed with 120 µl of reporter lysis buffer (Promega E4030).

Lysates were cleared and luminescence was measured from 40 µl of lysate in opaque 96-well

plates by using 25 µl of luciferase assay reagent in a Cytation3 Plate Reader and Imager

(integration time 1 second).

Tissue homogenisation

Mammary explants were added to 1ml of serum-free DMEM and a 5mm diameter

stainless steel bead in 2ml tubes and homogenised using a Tissue Lyser II (Qiagen) system

at 28kHz for 3 sets of 2 minutes. Homogenised tissue was clarified by centrifugation (3000

rpm, 5 min, 4°C). Homogenates were titrated for infectious virus by plaque assay.

Glycomic analysis of MAC-T and bMEC cells

Approximately 10 million cultured MAC-T and bMEC cells were washed and scraped

into cold PBS. Cells were then pelleted and washed several times with cold PBS prior to

processing [61]. Briefly, cells were lysed by sonication, dialysed and lyophilised. Reduction

and carboxymethylation reactions were carried out on the lyophilised material, which was

subsequently dialysed and lyophilised. Samples were digested with trypsin (Sigma) and the

resultant glycopeptides purified by Sep-Pak C18 chromatography. N-glycans were released

by PNGase-F (Roche) and purified by Sep-Pak C18 chromatography. N-glycan samples were

then split into three aliquots. Two of the three aliquots were treated with either sialidase-S or

sialidase-A (Agilent Technologies) and then purified by C18 Sep-Pak chromatography, the

third aliquot was untreated. Untreated and treated glycans were then permethylated and

analysed using a 4800 MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems).

MS and MS/MS data were visualised using Data Explorer (Applied Biosystems) and

$[M + Na]^+$ molecular ions were annotated manually with the assistance of GlycoWorkbench

[62]. Glycan structures were predicted based on composition and knowledge of biosynthetic

pathways, with MS/MS analysis used to confirm assignments. Figures were prepared using

Adobe Illustrator.

Glycomic data was quantified using an R programme described in Wu et al., 2024 [63]

which detects glycans according to their isotopic peak pattern and calculates the relative

intensity across the isotope cluster. An R programme was then used to calculate the

proportions of α-2,3 and α−2,6 sialic acid. First, the relative intensity of each glycan was

normalised to the sum of the relative intensities of all the glycans in the sample. For sialylated

glycans, normalised intensities were then weighted based on the number of sialic acid

residues present on each glycan. The proportion of α-2,6 linked sialic acid was determined by

summing the weighted normalised intensities of sialylated molecular ion peaks remaining after

sialidase-S digestion. The proportion of α-2,3 linked sialic acid was calculated by subtracting

the weighted normalised intensities of sialylated molecular ions in the sialidase-S sample from

the weighted normalised intensities of sialylated molecular ions from the untreated sample

(raw data in Supplementary File) [64].

Cell staining and flow cytometry

Infected bMECs were trypsinised, resuspended and fixed in PBS/4% formaldehyde.

Following a PBS wash by centrifugation, cells were blocked with PBS/2%BSA for 1h. Cells

were stained with rabbit anti-H3 (c33) or mouse anti-H1 (2-12C, absolute antibody) primary

antibodies diluted 1:5000 in blocking buffer for 1h at room temperature and with constant

agitation. Cells were then washed twice 2x with blocking buffer and resuspended in a

secondary antibody solution containing donkey anti-rabbit647 (ab150075, abcam) and donkey

anti-mouse 488 (A11011, Invitrogen) for another 30 min. Cells were centrifuged, washed twice

with blocking buffer and analysed using a BD LSR Fortessa X20 cytometer.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Prof Massimo Palmarini (MRC-University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research) and Dr John McCauley (recently retired from Crick Institute) for providing MDCK-GgANP32A and MDCK-SIAT cells, respectively. BAT-II cells were kindly provided by Dr Diane Lee (University of Surrey). Chicken lung cells (CLEC213) were kindly provided by Dr Sascha Trapp of the INRA Centre Val de Loire. We thank Dr Laurence Tiley (The University of Cambridge), Dr Ron Fouchier (Erasmus MC) and Dr Daniel Perez (The University of Georgia) for providing the reverse genetics systems for A/mallard/Netherlands/10-Cam/1999 (H1N1), A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (H1N1) and A/California/04-061-MA/2009 (H1N1) viruses, respectively, as well as colleagues at the Animal and Plant Health Agency for early provision of viral genetic data to the FluMap consortium. Human IFN-β::firefly luciferase and chicken IFN2::firefly luciferase reporter plasmids were kindly gifted by Prof Richard Randall (University of St Andrews) and Dr Holly Shelton (previously at Pirbright Institute), respectively. We gratefully acknowledge the expert assistance of staff at the University of Edinburgh Large Animal Research Imaging Facility and Langhill Farm.

Funding

UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council grant BB/CCG2270/1 (The Roslin Immunological Toolbox)

UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council Institute Strategic Programme funding grants BBS/E/RL/230002A and BBS/E/RL/230002C (RMP, JRF, JH, JS, TB, KS, FXD, EG and PD)

UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council Institute Strategic Programme funding grant BBS/E/PI/230002B and National Bioscience Research Infrastructure funding BBS/E/PI/23NB0004 and BBS/E/PI/23NB0003 (TPP, IB and MI)

UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council grant BB/V004697/1 (PRM)

UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council grant BB/V011286/1 (PD)

UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Capability to Deliver funding

NE/Y001591/1 (EG and PD)

UK Medical Research Council FluTrailMAP-One Health consortium grant MR/Y03368X/1

(RMP, TPP, IB, WB, DHG, MI, PRM, SMH and PD

UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council and UK Department for

Environment, Food & Rural Affairs FluMap consortium grants BB/X006123/1 and

BB/X006166/1 (PD, MI)

UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council and UK Department for

Environment, Food & Rural Affairs FluTrailmap consortium grants BB/Y007352/1 and

BB/Y007298/1 (RMP, EG, PD, TPP, IB, MI)

UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council and Department of

Biotechnology, Government of India grants BB/L004666/1 and BT/IN/Indo-

UK/FADH/48/AM/2013 (AAR, AM and PD).

UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council and Department of

Biotechnology and ERA-net ICRAD project FluNuance grant number BB/V0119899/1 (SdW,

KS and PD)

Wellcome Trust Sir Henry Dale fellowship award 211222/Z/18/Z (EG).

UK Academy of Medical Sciences Springboard Grant 1049 (DHG)

University of Edinburgh Chancellor's Fellowship (RMP)

Roslin Foundation PhD studentship (MB)

UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council EastBio Doctoral Training

Partnership PhD studentship BB/T00875X/1 (AM).

Credit contributions

Rute Maria Pinto – RMP – Conceptualisation, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis,

Investigation, Resources, Data curation, Visualisation, Writing – original draft, Writing –

reviewing and editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition

Colin P Sharp – CS -Conceptualisation, Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Resources,

Data curation, Writing – reviewing and editing, Supervision, Project administration

Maia Beeson – MB – Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Data curation, Writing –

reviewing and editing

Nunticha Pankaew – NP – Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Data curation, Writing –

reviewing and editing

Jack A. Hassard - JAH – Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data

curation, Visualisation, Writing – original draft, Writing – reviewing and editing

Alexander Moxom – AM – Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Writing – original draft,

Writing – reviewing and editing

Callum Magill – CM – Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Writing – original draft

Laura Tuck – LT – Methodology, Validation, Writing – reviewing and editing

Stephen Meek – SM – Methodology, Writing – reviewing and editing

Hui Min Lee – HML – Methodology, Resources

Kirsty Jensen – JK – Methodology, Writing – reviewing and editing

Inga Dry – ID – Methodology, Validation, Writing – reviewing and editing

Pedro Melo – PM – Methodology, Resources, Writing – reviewing and editing

Jiayun Yang – JY .- Methodology, Resources, Writing – reviewing and editing

Ashwin Ashok Raut – AAR - Resources

Anamika Mishra – AM - Resources

Sjaak de Wit – SdW – Resources, Writing – reviewing and editing

J. Ross Fitzgerald – JRF – Methodology, Resources, Writing – reviewing and editing, Funding

acquisition

Jayne C. Hope – JCH – Methodology, Resources, Writing – reviewing and editing, Funding

acquisition

Joanne Stevens – JS – Methodology, Resources, Funding acquisition

Tom Burdon – TB – Methodology, Resources, Funding acquisition

Kate Sutton – KS – Methodology, Resources, Writing – reviewing and editing, Funding

acquisition

Cristina L. Esteves – CLE – Methodology, Resources

F. Xavier Donadeu – FXD – Methodology, Resources, Writing – reviewing and editing,

Funding acquisition

Thomas P. Peacock – TPP – Methodology, Writing – reviewing and editing, Funding

acquisition.

Ian Brown - IB - Project administration, Funding acquisition

Wendy Barclay – WB - Project administration, Funding acquisition

Daniel H. Goldhill – DHG – Methodology, Resources, Writing – reviewing and editing, Funding

acquisition

Munir Iqbal – MI – Methodology, Resources, Writing – reviewing and editing, Funding

acquisition

Pablo R. Murcia – PMR – Methodology, Resources, Writing – reviewing and editing, Funding

acquisition

Stuart M. Haslam – SMH – Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Project administration,

Funding acquisition

Eleanor Gaunt – EG – Conceptualisation, Methodology, Resources, Data curation,

Visualisation, Writing – original draft, Writing – reviewing and editing, Supervision, Project

administration, Funding acquisition

Paul Digard – PD – Conceptualisation, Methodology, Resources, Data curation, Writing –

original draft, Writing – reviewing and editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding

acquisition

References

1. Bellido-Martín, B., et al., *Evolution, spread and impact of highly pathogenic H5 avian influenza A viruses.* Nature Reviews Microbiology, 2025.
2. Baker, A.L., et al., *Dairy cows inoculated with highly pathogenic avian influenza virus H5N1.* Nature, 2025. **637**(8047): p. 913-920.
3. Nguyen, T.-Q., et al., *Emergence and interstate spread of highly pathogenic avian influenza A(H5N1) in dairy cattle in the United States.* Science, 2025. **388**(6745): p. eadq0900.
4. Caserta, L.C., et al., *Spillover of highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 virus to dairy cattle.* Nature, 2024. **634**(8034): p. 669-676.
5. Sreenivasan, C.C., et al., *Influenza A in Bovine Species: A Narrative Literature Review.* Viruses, 2019. **11**(6): p. 561.
6. Burrough, E.R., et al., *Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza A(H5N1) Clade 2.3.4.4b Virus Infection in Domestic Dairy Cattle and Cats, United States, 2024.* Emerg Infect Dis, 2024. **30**(7): p. 1335-1343.
7. Sanchez-Rojas, I.C., et al., *Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (H5N1) Clade 2.3.4.4b in Cattle: A Rising One Health Concern.* Animals (Basel), 2025. **15**(13).
8. Octaviani, C.P., et al., *Superior replication, pathogenicity, and immune evasion of a Texas dairy cattle H5N1 virus compared to a historical avian isolate.* Scientific Reports, 2025. **15**(1): p. 8797.
9. Fabrizio, T.P., et al., *Genotype B3.13 influenza A(H5N1) viruses isolated from dairy cattle demonstrate high virulence in laboratory models, but retain avian virus-like properties.* Nature Communications, 2025. **16**(1): p. 6771.
10. Uyeki, T.M., et al., *Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza A(H5N1) Virus Infection in a Dairy Farm Worker.* New England Journal of Medicine, 2024. **390**(21): p. 2028-2029.
11. Morse, J., et al., *Influenza A(H5N1) Virus Infection in Two Dairy Farm Workers in Michigan.* New England Journal of Medicine, 2024. **391**(10): p. 963-964.
12. Gu, C., et al., *A human isolate of bovine H5N1 is transmissible and lethal in animal models.* Nature, 2024. **636**(8043): p. 711-718.
13. Campbell, A.J., K. Brizuela, and S.S. Lakdawala, *mGem: Transmission and exposure risks of dairy cow H5N1 influenza virus.* mBio, 2025. **16**(3): p. e02944-24.
14. Jagger, B.W., et al., *An overlapping protein-coding region in influenza A virus segment 3 modulates the host response.* Science, 2012. **337**(6091): p. 199-204.
15. Mostafa, A., A. Nogales, and L. Martinez-Sobrido, *Highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 in the United States: recent incursions and spillover to cattle.* npj Viruses, 2025. **3**(1): p. 54.
16. Peacock, T.P., et al., *The global H5N1 influenza panzootic in mammals.* Nature, 2025. **637**(8045): p. 304-313.
17. Dholakia, V., et al., *Polymerase mutations underlie early adaptation of H5N1 influenza virus to dairy cattle and other mammals.* bioRxiv, 2025: p. 2025.01.06.631435.
18. Hale, B.G., et al., *The multifunctional NS1 protein of influenza A viruses.* Journal of General Virology, 2008. **89**(10): p. 2359-2376.
19. Mostafa, A., et al., *Avian influenza A (H5N1) virus in dairy cattle: origin, evolution, and cross-species transmission.* mBio, 2024. **15**(12): p. e02542-24.
20. Belshe, R.B., *The Origins of Pandemic Influenza — Lessons from the 1918 Virus.* New England Journal of Medicine, 2005. **353**(21): p. 2209-2211.

21. Harrington, W.N., C.M. Kackos, and R.J. Webby, *The evolution and future of influenza pandemic preparedness.* Experimental & Molecular Medicine, 2021. **53**(5): p. 737-749.

22. Ríos Carrasco, M., et al., *The mammary glands of cows abundantly display receptors for circulating avian H5 viruses.* Journal of Virology, 2024. **98**(11): p. e01052-24.

23. Nelli, R.K., et al., *Sialic Acid Receptor Specificity in Mammary Gland of Dairy Cattle Infected with Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza A(H5N1) Virus.* Emerg Infect Dis, 2024. **30**(7): p. 1361-1373.

24. Nelli, R.K., et al., *Exploring influenza A virus receptor distribution in the lactating mammary gland of domesticated livestock and in human breast tissue.* bioRxiv, 2025: p. 2025.04.16.649193.

25. Halwe, N.J., et al., *H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b dynamics in experimentally infected calves and cows.* Nature, 2025. **637**(8047): p. 903-912.

26. Palme , D.I., et al., *Strain-dependent variations in replication of European clade 2.3.4.4b influenza A(H5N1) viruses in bovine cells and thermal inactivation in semi-skimmed or whole milk.* Eurosurveillance, 2024. **29**(30): p. 2400436.

27. Xiong, J., et al., *Clade 2.3.4.4b highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza viruses from birds in China replicate effectively in bovine cells and pose potential public health risk.* Emerging Microbes & Infections, 2025. **14**(1): p. 2505649.

28. Bordes, L., et al., *H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b avian influenza viruses replicate in differentiated bovine airway epithelial cells cultured at air-liquid interface.* Journal of General Virology, 2024. **105**(6).

29. Imai, M., et al., *Highly pathogenic avian H5N1 influenza A virus replication in ex vivo cultures of bovine mammary gland and teat tissues.* Emerging Microbes & Infections, 2025. **14**(1): p. 2450029.

30. Lowen Anice, C., et al., *Pandemic risk stemming from the bovine H5N1 outbreak: an account of the knowns and unknowns.* Journal of Virology, 2025. **99**(4): p. e00052-25.

31. Schnitzler, S.U. and P. Schnitzler, *An update on swine-origin influenza virus A/H1N1: a review.* Virus Genes, 2009. **39**(3): p. 279-292.

32. Ma, W., R.E. Kahn, and J.A. Richt, *The pig as a mixing vessel for influenza viruses: Human and veterinary implications.* J Mol Genet Med, 2008. **3**(1): p. 158-66.

33. Graaf, A., et al., *Low Susceptibility of Pigs against Experimental Infection with HPAI Virus H5N1 Clade 2.3.4.4b.* Emerging Infectious Disease journal, 2023. **29**(7): p. 1492.

34. Kwon, T., et al., *Pigs are highly susceptible to but do not transmit mink-derived highly pathogenic avian influenza virus H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b.* Emerging Microbes & Infections, 2024. **13**(1): p. 2353292.

35. Gunning, R.F. and G.C. Pritchard, *Unexplained sporadic milk drop in dairy cows.* Vet Rec, 1997. **140**(18): p. 488.

36. Gunning, R., *Sporadic milk drop in dairy cows: a new causal agent to consider?* In Practice, 2002. **24**(8): p. 469-470.

37. Brown, I., et al., *Detection of antibodies to influenza virus A in cattle in association with respiratory disease and reduced milk yield.* Veterinary Record, 1998. **143**(23): p. 637–638.

38. Crawshaw, T.R. and I. Brown, *Bovine influenza.* Vet Rec, 1998. **143**(13): p. 372.

39. Pearson, H., *Cows could foster flu pandemics.* Nature, 2002.

40. Government, U. *Influenza of avian origin confirmed in a sheep in Yorkshire*. 2025; Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/news/influenza-of-avian-origin-confirmed-in-a-sheep-in-yorkshire.

41. Banyard, A.C., et al., *Detection of clade 2.3.4.4b H5N1 high pathogenicity avian influenza virus in a sheep in Great Britain, 2025.* bioRxiv, 2025: p. 2025.06.27.661969.

42. Percie du Sert, N., et al., *The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: Updated guidelines for reporting animal research.* PLOS Biology, 2020. **18**(7): p. e3000410.

43. Pinto, R.M., et al., *BTN3A3 evasion promotes the zoonotic potential of influenza A viruses.* Nature, 2023. **619**(7969): p. 338-347.

44. Matrosovich, M., et al., *Overexpression of the α-2,6-Sialyltransferase in MDCK Cells Increases*
Influenza Virus Sensitivity to Neuraminidase Inhibitors. Journal of Virology, 2003. **77**(15): p.
8418-8425.
45. Huynh, H.T., G. Robitaille, and J.D. Turner, *Establishment of bovine mammary epithelial cells*
(MAC-T): an in vitro model for bovine lactation. Exp Cell Res, 1991. **197**(2): p. 191-9.
46. Esnault, E., et al., *A novel chicken lung epithelial cell line: Characterization and response to low*
pathogenicity avian influenza virus. Virus Research, 2011. **159**(1): p. 32-42.
47. Lee, D. and M. Chambers, *A co-culture model of the bovine alveolus [version 2; peer review: 3*
approved]. F1000Research, 2019. **8**(357).
48. Lee, D.F., et al., *Isolation and characterisation of alveolar type II pneumocytes from adult*
bovine lung. Scientific Reports, 2018. **8**(1): p. 11927.
49. Martignani, E., et al., *Bovine Mammary Organoids: A Model to Study Epithelial Mammary*
Cells, in *Epithelial Cell Culture: Methods and Protocols*, M. Baratta, Editor. 2018, Springer New
York: New York, NY. p. 137-144.
50. Mathie, H.A., et al., *Quantifying Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis infection*
of bovine monocyte derived macrophages by confocal microscopy. Journal of Microbiological
Methods, 2020. **168**: p. 105779.
51. Hamilton, C.A., et al., *Development of in vitro enteroids derived from bovine small intestinal*
crypts. Veterinary Research, 2018. **49**(1): p. 54.
52. Sutton, K.M., et al., *Establishment of bovine 3D enteroid-derived 2D monolayers.* Vet Res,
2022. **53**(1): p. 15.
53. de Wit, E., et al., *Efficient generation and growth of influenza virus A/PR/8/34 from eight cDNA*
fragments. Virus Res, 2004. **103**(1-2): p. 155-61.
54. Ye, J., et al., *Variations in the Hemagglutinin of the 2009 H1N1 Pandemic Virus: Potential for*
Strains with Altered Virulence Phenotype? PLOS Pathogens, 2010. **6**(10): p. e1001145.
55. Bourret, V., et al., *Whole-genome, deep pyrosequencing analysis of a duck influenza A virus*
evolution in swine cells. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 2013. **18**: p. 31-41.
56. Wise Helen, M., et al., *A Complicated Message: Identification of a Novel PB1-Related Protein*
Translated from Influenza A Virus Segment 2 mRNA. Journal of Virology, 2009. **83**(16): p. 8021-
8031.
57. Ip, H.S., et al., *An Evaluation of Avian Influenza Virus Whole-Genome Sequencing Approaches*
Using Nanopore Technology. Microorganisms, 2023. **11**(2): p. 529.
58. Vegh, P., et al., *Biofoundry-Scale DNA Assembly Validation Using Cost-Effective High-*
Throughput Long-Read Sequencing. ACS Synthetic Biology, 2024. **13**(2): p. 683-686.
59. King, P. and S. Goodbourn, *The beta-interferon promoter responds to priming through multiple*
independent regulatory elements. J Biol Chem, 1994. **269**(48): p. 30609-15.
60. James, J., et al., *The cellular localization of avian influenza virus PB1-F2 protein alters the*
magnitude of IFN2 promoter and NFκB-dependent promoter antagonism in chicken cells.
Journal of General Virology, 2019. **100**(3): p. 414-430.
61. Jang-Lee, J., et al., *Glycomic Profiling of Cells and Tissues by Mass Spectrometry: Fingerprinting*
and Sequencing Methodologies, in *Methods in Enzymology*. 2006, Academic Press. p. 59-86.
62. Ceroni, A., et al., *GlycoWorkbench: A Tool for the Computer-Assisted Annotation of Mass*
Spectra of Glycans. Journal of Proteome Research, 2008. **7**(4): p. 1650-1659.
63. Wu, G., et al., *Glycomics of cervicovaginal fluid from women at risk of preterm birth reveals*
immuno-regulatory epitopes that are hallmarks of cancer and viral glycosylation. Scientific
Reports, 2024. **14**(1): p. 20813.
64. Neelamegham, S., et al., *Updates to the Symbol Nomenclature for Glycans guidelines.*
Glycobiology, 2019. **29**(9): p. 620-624.
790
791

Figure 1: Cow cells are susceptible to infection with diverse IAV strains. A). Schematic representation of the anatomical source of immortalised (green) and primary (black) cells used in this study. B) The indicated cells were infected with a variety of viral strains at MOI 0.1 (bMEC) or MOI 0.01 (for the remaining cells) and virus titres measured by plaque assay at 48 hpi. Viruses were subcategorised into lab-adapted, human, swine and avian strains as indicated. Circles represent individual data points from 3 independently performed experiments, except PBMC (n = 1). Lines represent median. C) RNP-reconstitution assays (minireplicons) were performed in MAC-T cells by transfecting pDUAL plasmids encoding segments 1, 2, 3 and 5 from the indicated viruses alongside a firefly luciferase-expressing vRNA-like reporter plasmid. Luminescence levels were measured at 48h post transfection. Data represent mean ± SEM from three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

Figure S1: IAV replication kinetics in cow mammary and respiratory cells. MAC-T (A), bMEC (B), BAT-II (C) and nasal turbinates (D) were infected with the indicated viruses at MOI 0.1 (for bMEC) or 0.01 (for the remaining cells) and supernatants were collected at 0, 24 and 48 hpi. Viral titres were determined by plaque assay. Data represent mean ± SEM from three independent experiments each performed in duplicate.

Figure S2: IAV replication kinetics in cow fibroblasts. Skin (A), cardiac (B) and brain (C) primary
fibroblasts were infected with the indicated viruses at MOI 0.01 and supernatants were collected at
0, 24 and 48 hpi. Viral titres were determined by plaque assay. Data represent mean ± SEM from three
independent experiments each performed in duplicate.

Figure S3: IAV replication kinetics in immune cells. Blood-derived macrophages (BDφ) (A) or peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) (B) were infected with the indicated viruses at MOI 0.01 and supernatants were collected at 0, 24 and 48 hpi. Viral titres were determined by plaque assay. Data represent mean ± SEM from three independent (BDφs) or a single (PBMCs) experiments each performed in duplicate.

Figure 2: Cattle Texas is fitter in cow cells than an avian EURL genotype EA-2020-C precursor. The
indicated cow cell systems were infected with 2:6 reassortant viruses with PR8 glycoproteins and
internal gene constellations of either AIV07 (blue) or Cattle Texas (yellow) (MOI 0.1 in B, 5000
PFU/explant in C and MOI 0.01 for all other systems). Supernatants were collected at the indicated
times post infection and virus titres determined by plaque assay. Data represent mean ± SEM from
two/three independent experiments each performed in duplicate. Statistical annotations represent
the results of unpaired t-tests performed for each individual time post infection. Cell types were
immortalised mammary epithelial (MAC-T; A), primary mammary epithelial (bMEC; B), mammary
gland explant (C), immortalised pneumocytes (BAT-II; D), skin fibroblast (E), cardiac fibroblast (F),
blood derived macrophage (BD Φ), and enteroids (H).

Figure 3: Increased fitness of Cattle Texas in cow cells correlates with enhanced viral polymerase activity and better NS1-driven inhibition of type I IFN induction. (A). Schematic representation of AIV07 and Cattle Texas segment origins. Blue and yellow represent European and North American ancestry, respectively. (B). RNP-reconstitution assays (minireplicons) were performed in MAC-T cells by transfecting pDUAL plasmids encoding segments 1, 2, 3 and 5 from AIV07 and Cattle Texas in the indicated combinations alongside a firefly luciferase-expressing vRNA-like reporter plasmid. Luminescence levels were measured at 48h post transfection. Data represent mean ± SEM from three independent experiments each performed in triplicate. Statistical annotations are the result of one-way ANOVA analysis. Multiple comparisons were made against full AIV07 3PNP (blue asterisks) or Cattle Texas 3PNP (yellow asterisks) using a Dunnett's test (C). MAC-T cells were infected with polymerase-segment reassortment viruses at MOI 0.01. Supernatants were collected at the indicated time points and virus titres measured by plaque assay. Data represent mean ± SEM from three independent experiments each performed in technical duplicate. (D). MAC-T cells were transfected with an IFN-β firefly luciferase-coding reporter alongside AIV07 or Cattle Texas segment 8 plasmids, and poly I:C treated 24h later. Luminescence readings were acquired after a further 24h. Data (left hand panel) represent mean ± SEM from three independent experiments each performed in technical triplicate. Statistical annotations are the result of one-way ANOVA analysis followed by a multiple comparison Dunnett's test. A western blot (right hand panel) was also performed to detect NS1, using α-Tubulin as a loading control. (E). MAC-T cells were infected with segment 8 reassortant viruses at MOI 0.01. Supernatants were collected at the indicated time points and virus titres measured by plaque assay. For all shown statistical annotations: NS – non significant, *p-value <0.05, **p-value <0.01, ***p-value <0.001 and ****p-value <0.0001 .

Figure S4: Cattle Texas host shutoff activity is similar to that of AIV07. (A). MAC-T cells were infected at MOI 5 and pulsed with puromycin at the indicated times post infection for 1h. Cells were lysed and new protein synthesis was measured by western blotting for puromycin. NS1 and PB1 were detected to validate infection and α-Tubulin as a loading control. (B). MAC-T cells were transfected with a reporter plasmid constitutively expressing *Renilla* luciferase under the control of a CMV promoter alongside a dose range of pDUAL plasmids expressing AIV07 or Cattle Texas NS1s. A dilution series of pDUAL plasmid expressing segment 3 of A/Chicken/Rostock/1934 H7N1 (FPV) was used as a positive control. Data represent the mean ± SEM of three independent experiments each performed in triplicate. Statistical annotations are the result of one-way ANOVA analysis performed for each plasmid dosage. Shown multiple comparisons were made between AIV07 and Cattle Texas using a Dunnett's test at given segment 8 dosages. Immunoblotting was performed to detect increasing levels of PB1 and NS1 expression, using α-Tubulin as loading control. (C) Schematic representation of PA-X and NS1 mutations between AIV07 and Cattle Texas. Open reading frames from frames 1 and 2 are represented by white and mint boxes, respectively. Amino acid differences between AIV07 (blue) and Cattle Texas in PA-X and NS1 are highlighted. (D) MAC-T cells were transfected with a reporter plasmid constitutively expressing *Renilla* luciferase under the control of a CMV promoter alongside segment 3 pDUAL plasmids (expressing PA-X). Data represent mean ± SEM from three independent experiments each performed in triplicate. Statistical annotations are the result of one-way ANOVA analysis and multiple comparisons were performed using a Dunnett's test. For (B) and (D) Luminescence readings were measured 48h post transfection.

Figure S5: Cattle Texas is not fitter in chicken cells. (A) CLEC213 chicken lung epithelial cells were
infected with the indicated viruses at MOI 0.01. Supernatants were collected at the indicated times
post infection and virus titres were determined by plaque assay. Data represent mean ± SEM from
three independent experiments each performed in duplicate. (B) CLEC213 cells were transfected with
pDUAL plasmids encoding segments 1-3 and 5 of the indicated combinations of AIV07 and Cattle Texas
RNP complexes alongside a firefly luciferase-expressing vRNA-like reporter plasmid. Luminescence
levels were measured at 48h post transfection. Data represent mean ± SEM from three independent
experiments each performed in duplicate. Statistical annotations are the result of an unpaired t-test.
(C) Expression of polymerase components from (B) was examined by western blot. α-Tubulin was used
as loading control. (D) CLEC213 cells were transfected with a reporter plasmid constitutively
expressing *Renilla* luciferase under the control of a CMV promoter alongside AIV07 or Cattle Texas
segment 8 or empty vector plasmids and luminescence readings measured 48h later. Data represent
mean ± SEM from four independent experiments each performed in triplicate. Statistical annotations
are the result of one-way ANOVA analysis. (E) CLEC213 cells were transfected with a chicken IFN2
promoter-driven firefly luciferase reporter alongside AIV07 or Cattle Texas segment 8 plasmids, and
poly I:C treated 24h later. Luminescence readings were acquired after a further 24h. Data represent
mean ± SEM from three independent experiments each performed in duplicate. Statistical annotations

are the result of one-way ANOVA analysis. (F) NS1 expression from (A) and (B) was tested by western
blot. α-Tubulin was used as loading control. For D and F, multiple comparisons were performed using
a Dunnett's test. For all shown statistical annotations: NS – non significant and ****p-value <0.0001 .

Figure 4: Cow mammary cells are infectable with viruses of human and avian origin. (A) MAC-T (MOI 0.01), bMEC (MOI 0.1) cells and mammary explants (5000 PFU/explant) were infected with the indicated PR8 2:6 viruses. Supernatants were collected at the indicated times post infection and virus titres determined by plaque assay. Statistical analysis was performed by a 2-way ANOVA and multiple comparisons were performed against Cattle Texas in specific time points using a Dunnett's test. (B) Annotated MALDI-TOF spectra of permethylated N-glycans from MAC-T and bMEC cells. Annotations show [M + Na]$^{+}$ molecular ions. Peak annotation is based on composition, biosynthetic knowledge and MS/MS analysis. (C) Proportions of α-2,3 and α-2,6 linked sialic acid in MAC-T and bMEC N-glycans.

909   (D) MAC-T cells were infected with combinations of Norway 2018 (pH1N1) and/or Belgium H3N1 at
910   MOI 5 for 16h. Cells were fixed, stained with anti-H1 and H3 before quantification was performed by
911   FACS analysis. Data represent mean ± SEM from three independent experiments each performed in
912   technical duplicate. For all shown statistical annotations: ****p-value <0.0001.

Figure S6: Infections in bovine mammary explants. (A). Schematic representation of the experimental design. (B). Multiple explants were extracted from the mammary glands from 3 donors and infected with 5000 PFU/explant. Supernatants were collected at the indicated times post infection and virus titres determined by plaque assay. Data are from individual explants coloured by donor: donor 1 (n=12), donor 2 (n=12), donor 3 (n=10). (C). Weights of explants at 72 hpi. (D). Explants were homogenised, viral titres measured by plaque assay (left panel) and normalised to individual explant weights (right panel). For C-D, black lines indicate means, circles indicate data for individual explants colour-coded by donor. Statistical annotations are the result of a one-way ANOVA test. Statistical comparisons are given against Cattle Texas using a Dunnet's test.

Figure S7: Structures of N-glycans from MAC-T cells. Proposed structures of the N-glycans from MAC-T cells. Structures were based on composition, biosynthetic knowledge and MS/MS analysis. Structures in bold have been confirmed directly by MS/MS. Structures were drawn according to the Symbol Nomenclature for Glycans (SNFG) guidelines.

932 **Figure S8: Structures of N-glycans from bMEC cells.** Proposed structures of the N-glycans from bMEC
933 cells. Structures were based on composition, biosynthetic knowledge and MS/MS analysis. Structures
934 in bold have been confirmed directly by MS/MS. Structures were drawn according to the Symbol
935 Nomenclature for Glycans (SNFG) guidelines.
936

MAC-T N-Glycans

Figure S9: MALDI-TOF spectra of permethylated N-glycans from MAC-T cells following sialidase
digestion. MALDI-TOF spectra of permethylated N-glycans from MAC-T cells treated with sialidase-S
or sialidase-A. Annotations show [M + Na]$^+$ molecular ions and include major structures which were
unsialylated (black) and sialylated (red).

bMEC N-Glycans

Figure S10: MALDI-TOF spectra of permethylated N-glycans from bMEC cells following sialidase digestion. MALDI-TOF spectra of permethylated N-glycans from MAC-T cells treated with sialidase-S

945   or sialidase-A. Annotations show $[M + Na]^+$ molecular ions and include major structures which were
946   unsialylated (black) and sialylated (red).