Development of charged nanobubble-containing media and their effects on iPSC-derived cell cultures

Yifan Liu[1,2], Takeshi Ohdaira*[1], Emi Kitakata[1], Michael A. Silverman[3], Jun Okubo[4], Yoshihisa Harada[1,5], and Kumiko Hayashi*[1,2]

[1]The Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa-no-ha 5-1-5, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan
[2]Department of Complexity Science and Engineering, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa-no-ha 5-1-5, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8561, Japan
[3]Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Centre for Cell Biology, Development, and Disease, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, V5A1S6, Canada
[4]Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Saitama University, Shimo-Okubo 255, Sakura-ku, Saitama 338-8570, Japan
[5]Department of Advanced Materials Science, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa-no-ha 5-1-5, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8561, Japan

*Correspondence to Kumiko Hayashi, Takeshi Ohdaira

hayashi@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp , takeshiws.ohdaira@nifty.com

ABSTRACT

Nanobubbles (NBs) are gas-filled, spherical structures less than 1 μm in diameter that exhibit unique physicochemical properties, including high surface charge and long-term stability. These features distinguish NBs from larger bubbles and enable diverse applications in fields such as environmental engineering and medicine. However, their use in biological contexts, particularly under neutral pH conditions such as those found in cell culture media, has been limited due to challenges in generating stable NBs and controlling their surface charge. In this study, we successfully generated both positively and negatively charged bulk NBs, using air as the gas type, with high zeta potentials in cell culture media (pH 7.4) for human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived neural progenitor cells (NPCs) and neurons, and demonstrated the stability of NBs in the media for over a month. We quantitatively assessed their biological effects in the NPCs and neurons by evaluating the number of live cells using fluorescence microscopy. Our findings reveal that positively charged NBs have a significantly greater effect on cell viability more than negatively charged NBs, which may be attributed to electrostatic interactions with negatively charged cell membranes. This work provides the first evidence for the stable incorporation of charged NBs into iPSC-derived neural cell culture systems and establishes a framework that enables systematic investigation of their molecular and cellular functions, paving the way for their application in regenerative medicine.

Introduction

Nanobubbles (NBs) are gas-filled, spherical bubbles with diameters of less than 1 μm, defined as ultrafine bubbles under the international standard ISO/TC281 on fine bubble technology. Similar to colloidal particles suspended in water, which are known to be charged, NBs also exhibit surface charges. Although the mechanism by which NBs acquire surface charges remains unclear, it is likely that the clustered structure of water molecules at the gas–liquid interface plays a key role. Even when the concentration of NBs is high, they do not readily coalesce due to electrostatic repulsion between them, which contributes to their stability.[1-3] Their electrically charged interfaces influence ion distribution in the surrounding solution.[4] Based on their ion distribution characteristics, NBs can be classified into surface NBs, which adhere to solid-liquid interfaces in solutions, and bulk NBs, which remain suspended in the liquid phase. [5]

Bulk NBs typically have a high specific surface area due to their small size and low buoyancy, which causes them to show Brownian motion. Both thermodynamics and kinetic analysis suggest that bulk NBs cannot remain stable for long periods.[6] Laplace pressure is the pressure generated by surface tension at the gas-liquid interface, and the smaller the bubble, the higher the Laplace pressure. In other words, theoretically, NBs should dissolve quickly due to their extremely high Laplace pressure. However, microscopy studies have shown that bulk NBs can remain stable for extended periods; for example, total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM) has been used to detect NBs,[7] while interference-enhanced reflection microscopy (IERM) has been applied to visualize NB surfaces.[8] A possible reason is that the surface charge of NBs can reduce the required gas concentration inside a bubble to balance the Laplace pressure.

NBs have high surface zeta potentials and can generate large amounts of hydroxyl radicals when they collapse.[9] These unique properties are entirely different from those of larger bubbles (microbubbles), and enable their extensive applications,[10] including wastewater treatment,[11] medicine, plant cultivation,[12] and biomedical applications.[13] Due to their small size, NBs can diffuse stably over longer distances in solution, compared to microbubbles, making them an effective gas carrier, as they can contain various types of gases, such as H_2, O_2, O_3, CO_2, and N_2. Notably, many studies have begun leveraging their gas-carrying capability for ecological restoration and wastewater treatment, facilitating the more efficient injection of air and oxygen into polluted water bodies to inhibit the growth of anaerobic bacteria.[14] NBs have the potential to greatly improve various technologies.

In this study, we aim to investigate whether charged bulk NBs can stably persist in cell culture media for extended periods, ranging from several days to over a month. Until now, it has been difficult to generate NBs that remain stable for extended periods in neutral media (pH 7.4), such as cell culture media. Cell culture media are complex liquids containing a variety of low- and high-molecular-weight compounds, including amino acids, and proteins. Although previous studies have generated NBs in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) for fibroblast cell culture,[13] they typically disappear within a few days, making it difficult to apply them to cell cultures that require long-term maintenance for differentiation, such as iPSC-derived cells.

We successfully generated NBs with an extremely high zeta potential and incorporated them into cell culture media for human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived neural progenitor cells (NPCs) and neurons. Here, the type of gas used for the NBs was air, and NBs were generated directly in the cell culture media, rather than being produced in a separate solution and subsequently mixed into it. In addition to achieving high zeta potentials, we succeeded in producing positively charged NBs, despite the longstanding challenge of generating them in a stable form. While previous studies have reported that NBs typically exhibit a negative charge in media at pH 7.4,[1-3] we found that positively charged NBs could remain stable in such environments for several days to over a month. To explore these effects, we compared iPSC-derived cell cultures exposed to either positively or negatively charged NBs. Because the cell membrane carries a negative charge,[15] positively charged NBs are considered to have a more pronounced impact on cells. Similar to their bactericidal effects, the addition of NBs also induced cell death in cultured cells[16], although the underlying mechanism of bacterial death remains unclear. Depending on the type of gas encapsulated, NBs have been reported to exert either positive or negative effects on cells and organisms,[13,16] and their impact remains to be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. In the present study, we observed that air-encapsulated NBs induced cell death in iPSC-derived cells. By measuring the number of live cells by using fluorescence micrographs, we were able to quantitatively assess differences in the charged properties of NBs. Furthermore, in terms of software, we developed software utilizing an overlapping ROI (region of interest) scanning method to enable accurate cell counting. Our approach enables such quantitative comparisons, allowing for systematic investigation of physical parameters such as surface charge, size, concentration, and gas composition of NBs. To our knowledge, this is the first report demonstrating the long-stable generation of charged NBs in cell culture media for iPSC-derived cells, enabling future studies on applications of regenerative medicine.

Results

Physical properties of charged NBs containing cell culture media

The air NB-containing cell culture medium for human iPSC-derived NPCs was prepared as described in the Methods section. NBs were not added to the cell culture medium afterward; instead, we generated NBs directly in the cell culture medium using an activation plate (Methods). Here, the pH of the cell culture medium was measured to be approximately 7.5 (Fig. 1a). Under such near-neutral conditions, only the generation of negatively charged NBs has been previously reported.[1-3] However, we successfully generated positively charged NBs in the cell culture medium at approximately pH 7.5 with a technology currently under patent application (Methods). These NBs exhibited long-term stability, as their Brownian motion was still observable in the medium by dark-field microscopy even after one month (43 days) (Fig. 1b). Their diffusional behavior for 10 seconds was consistent with the estimation from the Einstein relation for a submicron-scaled particle

(Supplementary Information). These results indicate that the particle size was indeed in the nanometer range, *i.e.*, below 1 μm.

We prepared six types of NB-containing media using cell culture media for iPSC-derived cells (Table 1). Among them, for NB1 and NB6 media, Figures 1c and 1d show the distributions of zeta potential and particle size, measured by using the NanoSight system (Malvern Instruments) (Methods). In the NanoSight system, particle size is determined from the mean squared displacement of scattered light signals using the Stokes–Einstein equation. Zeta potential is measured by applying an electric field between two platinum electrodes, calculating electrophoretic mobility from particle velocity (corrected for electro-osmotic flow), and converting it using the Smoluchowski approximation. The NanoSight has been used in NB research[3]. The nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) of the NanoSight has been compared with dynamic light scattering (DLS), and its accuracy has been evaluated.[17-19] Although the distributions of zeta potential were broad (Figs. 1c and 1d, top), it was evident that NB1 medium primarily contained positively charged NBs, whereas NB6 medium mainly contained negatively charged NBs. According to the size distributions, most of the particles were in the submicron range (Fig. 1c and 1d, bottom), confirming that they qualified as NBs in accordance with the international standard ISO/TC281.

Culture and observation of neural progenitor cells (NPCs)

Based on the timeline of the culture (Fig. 2a), on the fifth day of starting the culture of the human iPSC-derived NPCs (Methods), the expression of NPC-specific transcription factors SOX2 and PAX6[20] was confirmed using the immunocytochemistry (ICC) method (Methods) (Fig. 2b).[21] We defined the day as "Day 0" and performed subsequent observations using phase-contrast microscopy over the following three days (Fig. 2c). The live NPCs appeared phase dark because they adhered to the coverslip exhibiting typical morphology. In contrast, dead cells appeared phase bright because they shrank in volume, rounded up, and fell out of the primary focal plane (phase contrast images in Fig. 3a). Using a cell viability assay we confirmed the correlation between cell morphology and viability (Fig. 3a). Live cells adhered to the glass surface and appeared dark; they stained with Calcein AM but not with EthD, while dead cells lost adhesion, appeared phase bright, and stained with EthD but not with Calcein AM. In Fig. 2c, because the NPCs underwent cell division, we observed a gradual increase in cell density in the absence of NBs in the medium.

Addition of NB-containing NPC culture media

On the fifth day of starting the culture, after the expression of the transcription factors was confirmed (Fig. 2b), the culture medium was replaced with the NB-containing media (Methods). Note that the NB-containing media used here (NB1 and NB6 media in Table 1) were prepared approximately one month (43 days) before the experiment.

The timeline of the following experiments is shown in Fig. 3b. The observation period after NB addition (3 days) was determined with reference to a previous study on fibroblasts using NBs.[16] In Fig. 3c, NPCs were observed using phase-contrast microscopy from Day 1 to Day 3. Furthermore, the nuclei of NPCs were stained with Hoechst[22] (blue) to facilitate later detection of the cells and enable cell counting. In the NB1 medium containing positively charged NBs, as characterized in Fig. 1c, the cells shrank and rounded up due to loss of adhesion to the glass surface as the days passed. The NB1 medium containing positively charged NBs (Fig. 3c, top) rapidly reduced the number of live NPCs, whereas the NB6 medium with negatively charged NBs (Fig. 3c, bottom) caused a gradual decrease in the live cell numbers (cell counts are provided in the next section). Note that the results here are entirely different from those in Fig. 2c, where continuous cell growth was observed in the absence of NBs. These findings indicate that NBs generated nearly one month prior to use still had a significant effect on the cells. Such a cytotoxic effect has also been reported in fibroblasts.[13,16] Moreover, there was a clear difference between positively and negatively charged NBs, an issue that previous studies did not address because they were unable to generate positively charged NBs. This observed difference suggests that the cells function as a kind of natural detector capable of distinguishing between positively and negatively charged NBs. This may be due to the fact that the cell membrane is negatively charged (ranging from approximately −100 mV to −10 mV),[23] thereby repelling negatively charged NBs through electrostatic interactions.[15] This point will be further discussed in the Discussion section.

Counting the number of live NPCs.

To quantify the number of live NPCs, their nuclei were stained with Hoechst[22] (Fig. 3a), making them clearly visible and easier to detect as particles in the image analysis (Fig. 4a). We detected all of the live cells stained by Hoechst in the image (Fig. 4b, left) using our custom particle detection software (Fig. 4b, right). In this step, the nuclei of the NPCs were identified using the software by scanning the entire image with a nucleus-sized ROI (region of interest) (Supplementary Information). Here, the nuclei of dead cells exhibited strong fluorescence and showed clearly different fluorescence intensities (FIs) compared to live cells (Fig. 4c; p=7.7× 10^{-68}, two-tailed Student's T-test). The increased fluorescence in dead cells is primarily due to a sequence of events: enhanced membrane permeability, influx of nucleic acid-binding dyes, fluorescence enhancement upon binding, and cessation of dye efflux. Therefore, cells with an FI below 100 (a.u.) were counted as live cells. In the previous studies,[13,16] cells were counted manually or by using ImageJ (NIH), a general-purpose image analysis program, whereas we developed software based on the overlapping ROI scanning method (Supplementary Information) to enable larger-scale cell counting.

A single microscopic image covers an area of 1.77 mm^2, and 10 images were randomly taken to observe the cells plated on the dish, whose area was approximately 10 cm^2. Then, the live cell rate (LCR) as a function of the N-th day of culture was defined as:

$$\mathrm{LCR}(N) = \frac{[\text{Live Cell Concentration of Day } N\,]}{[\text{Live Cell Concentration of Day } 0]} \qquad (1)$$

Normalization to Day 0 values was performed to minimize variability in cell density. Here, the initial cell density is approximately 10^5 cells/well. The calculated values of $\mathrm{LCR}(N)$ for $N = 0, 1, 2, 3$ (N denotes the number of days passed) are shown in Fig. 4d for the positively charged NB1 medium (red) and negatively charged NB6 medium (blue), respectively. Note that by definition, $\mathrm{LCR}(0) = 1$. When NPCs were cultured in the medium without NBs (Fig. 2c), $\mathrm{LCR}(N)$ increased (Fig. 4e), whereas in the media containing NBs, $\mathrm{LCR}(N)$ decreased over time (Fig. 4d). The decrease in $\mathrm{LCR}(N)$ in the presence of NBs was more pronounced with positively charged NBs (NB1) (red line in Fig. 4d) than with negatively charged NBs (NB6) (blue line in Fig. 4d).

Effect of NB storage time on cell viability

In Table 1, NB2 and NB5 media are those in which NBs were generated immediately before use, and at most about a week had passed during the experiments. Figures 5a and 5b show the zeta potentials and size distributions of the NB2 and NB5 media, respectively. These distributions were measured using the NanoSight (Methods). Similar to the media prepared one month after NB generation (NB1 and NB6 media), both positively and negatively charged NBs exhibited high zeta potential values.

We also conducted cell viability experiments using NB2 and NB5 media. This investigation is important as evidence for the presence of stable NBs in the cell culture media independent of the apparatus (Nanosight), since NPCs can sense charged NBs (Fig. 4d). Figures 5c shows the $\mathrm{LCR}(N)$ defined by Eq. 1 for the cases of NB2 and NB5 media. The behaviors of $\mathrm{LCR}(N)$ for the cases of the NB2 and NB5 media were highly similar to those for NB1 and NB6 media (Fig. 4d). Note that the superposition of these graphs is provided in Supplementary Information, demonstrating their similarity. The results suggest that NB stability and their cellular effects remain largely unchanged between one week and one month after NB generation in the media, as confirmed by the cell viability experiments.

The case for human iPSC-derived neurons.

For the NB addition experiment targeting neurons, the iPSC-derived NPCs were differentiated into forebrain neurons, and the culture process along with the experimental timeline is presented in Fig. 6a. The NPCs were differentiated into neurons as previously described (Methods)[21] and after two weeks, neuronal maturation and neurite outgrowth were confirmed by phase-contrast microscopy (Fig. 6b). Additionally, the expression of neuron-specific proteins such as βIII-tubulin[24] and Tau[25] was confirmed by ICC (Fig. 6c) (Methods) indicating that the NPCs successfully differentiated into neurons. Then, Day 0 was set as the second week after the differentiation, and the medium was replaced with the NB3 medium, whose zeta potential was similar to that of NB2 medium for NPCs (Table 1). Here, we also succeeded in generating NBs not only in the medium for NPCs

but also in the maturation medium for iPSC-derived neurons. The results of live cell counting are shown in Fig. 6d, where $\mathrm{LCR}(N)$ (Eq. 1) for $N = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are plotted. A decrease in live cell number was observed due to the influence of the positively charged NB-containing medium. However, the effect of positively charged NBs was not as significant as that observed in NPCs. To further support these findings, we generated NB4 medium (Table 1). Even though NB4 medium exhibited a higher zeta potential than NB3 medium, its effect was not significant (Fig. 6e).

Summary and discussion

In this study, we demonstrate that both positively and negatively charged NBs can be stably generated in neutral solvents around pH 7, specifically in medium for the culture of iPSC-derived cells, and we provide supporting evidence. All of the results for the application of the NB-containing medium (Table 1) to the cells are summarized in Fig. 7. Because our NBs remained stable for up to one month, we attempted to generate them in cell culture media designed for iPSC-derived neurons, which typically require several weeks to approximately one month for differentiation. Here, the NBs were not generated and then added to the cell culture medium; rather, they were generated directly within the cell culture medium. Importantly, we succeeded in generating not only negatively charged NBs but also positively charged NBs, which had previously been unable to remain stable, in the culture medium. Moreover, the positively charged NBs induced stronger cell death in the iPSC-derived cells. While NBs have been reported to induce bacterial death through reactive oxygen species,[26] the effects of NBs on cells and organisms have been shown to vary, depending on the encapsulated gas species. Future studies should investigate the effects of NBs on cells at the molecular level to clarify the underlying causes.

Regarding the finding that positively charged NBs had a greater effect than negatively charged NBs (Figs. 4d and 5c), one possible reason is that the cell membrane is negatively charged (from −100 mV to −10 mV).[23] Because negatively charged particles may be less likely to approach cells, it is considered that uptake into cells via endocytosis and phagocytosis is considered to be limited.[15] This point has also been noted in previous studies on the application of NBs to fibroblasts.[13] Although not NBs, similar findings have been reported for silica nanoparticles. In particular, the study have shown that 300-nm nanoparticles with a zeta potential of +40 mV exhibit greater cellular uptake than those with −40 mV.[27] Fundamentally, the negatively charged cell membrane functions as a natural barrier to the entry of polyanionic nucleic acids, such as DNA, which are recognized as foreign molecules[28]. That is why the development of positively charged NBs is crucial when considering their applications to cells.

In the case of neurons (Fig. 6d), the NB3 medium did not reduce $\mathrm{LCR}(N)$ (Eq. 1) as much as in NPCs (Fig. 4d), despite having similar physical properties, such as zeta potential and concentration, to those of the NB2 medium (Table 1). One possible explanation is that terminally differentiated cells, such as neurons, have less active endocytosis than proliferating cells, which may lead to reduced uptake of NBs and consequently a smaller

impact.[29] In the future, it will be necessary to investigate the intracellular trafficking pathways of NBs and their interactions with biomolecules using biophysical and molecular biological approaches, such as super-resolution microscopy. By pursuing this line of investigation, it may be possible to eliminate proliferative cells such as glial cells, which are problematic in iPSC-derived neuron cultures. In this direction, our research holds the potential to apply NB-containing media to improve the quality of iPSC-derived cells for regenerative medicine.

Materials and Methods

Nanobubble (NB)-supplemented medium

The positively or negatively charged NB-supplemented culture media were prepared using the original charged NB production method developed by the co-author, Ohdaira (Ohdaira Laboratory Co., Ltd., Saitama, Japan). This methodology is currently under patent application. In this paper, the characteristics of the charged NBs were described based on data obtained from the NanoSight NS500 system (Malvern Panalytical Ltd., UK) (Figs. 1c, 5a and 5b). Using the developed NB production method, termed the "charge activation plate contact method," positively or negatively charged NBs were generated directly in cell culture media without introducing any externally added charging agents or applying electric currents. Two key characteristics of the NB production method are: (1) NBs can be directly charged within a solvent (cell culture medium) without any intervening substances between the solvent and the charged activation plate used as the NB generator, and (2) the desired charge polarity is maintained immediately upon NB generation. In this study, NBs were specifically generated in STEMdiff™ Neural Progenitor Medium (STEMCELL Technologies, Cat#05833; corresponding to NB1, NB2, NB5, and NB6 in Table 1) and STEMdiff™ Neuron Maturation Medium (STEMCELL Technologies, Cat#08605; corresponding to NB3 and NB4 in Table 1).

Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NanoSight NS500)

Zeta potentials and sizes of NBs were measured using the NanoSight NS500 system (Malvern Panalytical Ltd., UK), equipped with the Z-NTA (Zeta–Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis) module and controlled via the Z-NTA analysis software (NTA 3.2). 500 μL of NB-containing medium was loaded into the sample chamber using a syringe connected to the sample port. After flushing the system with clean diluent, the sample was introduced into the flow cell, and measurements were conducted at room temperature. The NanoSight NS500 combines a laser-based dark-field optical system with Z-NTA to determine particle size and zeta potential on a particle-by-particle basis. Scattered light from Brownian particles is recorded by a camera, and particle size is calculated from the mean squared displacement via the Stokes–Einstein equation. Zeta potential was obtained from electrophoretic mobility, measured under an applied electric field, with electro-osmotic flow corrected by multi-depth velocity profiling, using the Smoluchowski approximation.

pH measurement

The pH of STEMdiff™ Neural Progenitor Medium (STEMCELL Technologies, Cat#05833) was measured using a LAQUAact pH Meter (HORIBA Scientific, D-71) three times at room temperature under two conditions: one was equilibrated overnight in a 37 °C, 5% CO_2 incubator, and the other was equilibrated outside the CO_2 incubator.

Dark field microscopy

Dark-field microscopic observation of NBs in the cell culture medium (Fig.1b) was performed using an inverted microscope (ECLIPSE Ti2-E, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a dark field condenser (Cat#MBL12010, Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and a 100× oil immersion objective (CFI Plan Fluor 100×, Cat# MRH01902, Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Images were recorded at 33 frames per second with pixel size of 1.3 μm by a CMOS camera (ORCA-Flash 4.0 V2, Hamamatsu Photonics). Here, the NB-containing medium was loaded into a prepared chamber consisting of two cover glasses (24 × 24 mm and 24 × 32 mm; Matsunami Glass Ind., Ltd., Cat# C022430 and C022432), separated by two spacers of ∼50 μm thickness, for observation.

Preparation for human iPSC-derived NPCs

The human iPS-derived Neural Progenitor Cells (NPCs) line was obtained from STEMCELL Technologies (Cat#200-0620). The human iPS-derived NPCs were cultured and expanded based on the established protocols[21] with minor modifications. The surface of a 6-well plate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#140675) was coated with Corning Matrigel Matrix (Corning, Cat# 3038002), diluted in DMEM/F12（Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, Cat# 21041025) supplemented with 15 mM HEPES (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, Cat# 15630106). Cryopreserved NPCs were thawed at 37 °C, centrifuged (300 × g, 5 min, RT), and the supernatant discarded. The cell pellet was resuspended in STEMdiff™ Neural Progenitor Medium (STEMCELL Technologies, Cat#05833). The cells were then plated onto the Matrigel-coated 6-well plate at a density of 6.0×10^5 cells/well, counted using the EVE™ Automatic Cell Counter (NanoEnTek Inc., NESMU-EVE-001E), and incubated at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO_2 incubator. The medium was replaced every two days. NPCs were passaged once they reached approximately 70–80% confluency, typically 5–7 days after seeding, using 1 mL/well Accutase (STEMCELL Technologies, Cat#07920) at 37 °C for 5 min for detachment. After neutralization of Accutase with 2 mL/well DMEM/F12 and gentle mechanical dissociation, cell suspensions were centrifuged under the same conditions, resuspended in the fresh medium, and then seeded onto newly coated plates at an appropriate split ratio for expansion. All experiments in this paper were performed using NPCs at passages below 4.

Preparation for human iPSC-derived forebrain neurons

iPSC-derived forebrain neurons used in this paper were differentiated from the human iPSC-derived NPCs using STEMdiff™ Forebrain Neuron Differentiation Medium (STEMCELL Technologies, Cat# 08600), based on the

established protocols[21] with minor modifications. After exchanging the differentiation medium for 2mL/well, the cells were fed every 2-3 days with the differentiation medium and incubated for 5 days. For neuronal maturation, differentiated neurons were again detached using Accutase (STEMCELL Technologies, Cat#07920), washed, and resuspended in STEMdiff™ Neuron Maturation Medium (STEMCELL Technologies, Cat# 08605). Subsequently, the neurons were transferred onto plates coated with PLO (50 μg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# P3655) and Laminin (5 μg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# L2020) and seeded at a final density of 4.0×10^5 cells/mL, counted using the EVE™ Automatic Cell Counter (NanoEnTek Inc., NESMU-EVE-001E). Cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO_2 incubator. Half of the maturation medium was changed every 2–3 days. The neurons were used for observation after differentiation for around 2 weeks.

Immunocytochemistry (ICC)

The ICC experiments in this study were performed based on the established protocols[21]. For the ICC experiments, cells were cultured on coverslips (18 × 18 mm, IWAKI, Cat# 62512; AGC Techno Glass, Japan) after the first passage for NPCs and after maturation stage for neurons. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; FUJIFILM Wako, Cat# 163-20145) at 37 °C for 15 min. After fixation, the cells were rinsed twice with Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS; Gibco, Cat# 14080055) for 1 min each. Permeabilization was carried out in 0.25% Triton (Nacalai Tesque, Cat# 3550115) in DPBS for 5 min at room temperature, followed by three 5-min times washes in DPBS. Non-specific binding of antibodies to the glass surface was blocked in 0.5% fish-skin gelatin in PBS at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO_2 incubator for 1 h. Primary antibodies (PAX6 and SOX2 for NPCs; Tau and βIII-tubulin for neurons) were diluted in the blocking solution at the following concentrations and applied to cells overnight at 4 °C: mouse anti-PAX6 (1:500, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Cat# PRB-278P), mouse anti-SOX2 (1:200, DSHB, Cat# PCRP-SOX2-1B3), mouse anti-Tau (1:500, DSHB, AB_528487) and mouse anti-βIII-tubulin (1:500, DSHB, AB_528497). Secondary antibodies were diluted 1:500 in the blocking buffer and incubated with the cells for 1 h at 37 °C in the dark. The following secondary antibodies were used: goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L), Cy3-conjugated (1:500, Jackson ImmunoResearch, Cat# 115-165-146, Ex/Em: 545/605 nm) for SOX2 and PAX6; and goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L), Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated (1:500, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# A11001, Ex/Em: 470/525 nm) for the neuron-specific markers Tau and βIII-tubulin. Coverslips were washed in DPBS. Coverslips were mounted onto glass slides (25 × 75 mm, 1.0–1.2 mm thick, ASLAB) using ProLong™ Gold Antifade Mountant with DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# S36920), and then stored at 4°C overnight before imaging.

Live and dead cell viability assays

Cell viability was assessed using a Live/Dead assay kit (Biotium Cat # 30002, Fremont, CA, USA). Cells were incubated with 4 μM ethidium homodimer III (EthD-III, Ex/Em: 279, 532/625 nm) and 2 μM calcein AM (Ex/Em: 494/517 nm), which respectively label dead and live cells, for 5 min in the CO_2 incubator. Then cells were washed once with 1 mL Hank's balanced salt solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 14025-092). Cell images were acquired by fluorescence microscopy (see the "Fluorescence observation" section below).

Nucleus observation

Hoechst 34580 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# S0486, Ex/Em: 360/461 nm) was used for nuclear staining at a final concentration of 10 μg/mL. Hoechst 34580 was added to the plate and the cells were incubated for 5 min in the incubator. After incubation, the staining medium was removed, and the cells were washed once with 1 mL of DMEM/F12. Subsequently, 1 mL of fresh DMEM/F12 was added to each well of a 6-well plate for fluorescence observation (see the "Fluorescence observation" section below).

Phase-contrast microscopy

The human iPS-derived NPCs and neurons were observed by an inverted microscope (IX83, Evident Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), with a 10× phase objective lens (UPlanFLN 10X, Olympus). Images were recorded at 33 frames per second with pixel size of 1.3 μm by a CMOS camera (ORCA-Flash 4.0 V2, Hamamatsu Photonics).

Fluorescence observation

Fluorescence imaging was carried out on the same microscope that was used for phase-contrast microscopy, equipped with fluorescence filter cubes. A 40× objective lens (UPlanFLN 40×, Olympus) was used to acquire ICC images, and a 10× objective lens (UPlanFLN 10×, Olympus) was used for cell counting. Alexa Fluor 488, Cy3, and Hoechst 34580 signals were visualized using the GFP-3035D (Semrock, Cat# GFP-3035D-000), TRITC-B (Semrock, Cat# TRITC-B-000), and U-FUNA (Olympus, Cat# U-M723) filter sets, respectively. Cells stained with appropriate fluorescent markers were imaged using the corresponding excitation/emission wavelengths.

Cell counting software

Hoechst-stained fluorescence images were processed and analyzed by using Python (v3.x) with NumPy, SciPy, and OpenCV for automated cell counting based on the multiple ROI scanning method (Fig. 4b); details are provided in Supplementary Information.

Calculation of errors

For each NB-containing medium (Table1) for Day N, each microscopic image covered an area of 1.77 mm^2, and 10 images were randomly taken to observe the cells plated on the dish with an area of approximately 10 cm^2. $x_i(N)$ represents the number of live cells in the i-th image (i=1,2,3…10). Here, the number of live cells was counted using the self-made Python program (Supplementary Information). The average number $\bar{x}(N)$ was calculated as:

$$\bar{x}(N) = \frac{1}{10}\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i(N) \quad (2)$$

The standard deviation (SD) of $x_i(N)$ was computed as:

$$\sigma(N) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{10}\sum_{i=1}^{10}[x_i(N) - \bar{x}(N)]^2} \quad (3)$$

The corresponding average live cell concentration of Day N was calculated as:

$$[\text{Live cell concentration of Day } N] = \frac{\bar{x}(N)}{1.77\ \text{mm}^2} \quad (3)$$

The error of $\mathrm{LCR}(N)$ in Eq. 1 was calculated using the following standard error propagation formula:

$$\frac{M_1 \pm \varepsilon_1}{M_2 \pm \varepsilon_2} = \frac{M_1}{M_2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{M_1}\cdot \varepsilon_1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{M_2^2}\cdot \varepsilon_2\right)^2} \quad (4)$$

where $M_1 = \bar{x}(N)$, $M_2 = \bar{x}(0)$, $\varepsilon_1 = \sigma(N)$, and $\varepsilon_2 = \sigma(0)$. These analyses were performed using Python (version 3.X) with scipy.stats, numpy, and opencv.

t-test

Statistical comparisons between groups were performed using two-tailed unpaired Student's t-tests implemented in scipy.stats (Python). Mean intensity values were significantly different between live and dead cells (Fig. 4c; $t = -30.613$, $p = 7.7 \times 10^{-68}$). Statistical significance was defined as follows: $p < 0.05$, $p < 0.01$, and $p < 0.001$. A p-value < 0.001 was considered highly significant.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgment

We acknowledge Y. Zeng for help in revising Python code for analyzing distributions of zeta potential and size for NB media, and J. Sidhu for help in establishing the cell culture methods. This work was supported by JST SPRING, Grant Number JPMJSP2108 to Y.L. M.A.S. was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada (NSERC) grants ALLRP 581004-2023 and RGPIN-2024-06341.

Author contributions.

Y.L. performed the experiments and data analysis. T.O. provided NB supplemented culture media with the help of E.K., and associated protocols. M.A.S. taught Y.L. and K.H. the cell culture and ICC methods. J.O. developed the basic part of the particle detection program. K.H. designed the experiments together with T.O., and wrote the manuscript in collaboration with L.Y. and T.O. Y.H. participated in discussions related to the research and provided advice on NB research.

Competing interests

Co-author Dr. Ohdaira is the founder of Ohdaira Laboratory Co., Ltd. (Saitama, Japan), which developed the nanobubble production method used in this study. A patent application for this technology is currently pending. This relationship has been disclosed to the publisher and does not affect the objectivity or neutrality of the research.



Fig. 1 Physical properties of nanobubbles (NBs) in cell culture media. (a) pH of the NPC culture medium was approximately neutral (~pH 7.5) both before and after incubation in the CO_2 incubator (Methods). **(b)** The Brownian motion of a single positively charged NB, which was generated 43 days prior, was observed by dark-field microscopy at a recording rate of 33 frames per second (Methods). Scale bar: 10 μm. This observation demonstrated that the NBs could remain stable over extended periods. Using our self-developed software (Supplementary Information), its trajectory over 10 seconds was analyzed. **(c)(d)** Distributions of zeta potential and size (bubble diameter) for NB1 and NB6. See Table 1 for details of NB1 and NB6. Each line in each figure represents NBs measured at a different time interval using the NanoSight instrument (Malvern Instruments) (Methods).

NB medium	Zeta potential (mV)	Concentration ($\times 10^8$ particles/mL)	Medium preservation
NB1[a]	+12.2± 0.8	1.9±2.4	1 month
NB2[a]	+25.9± 0.7	4.4± 0.3	1 week
NB3[b]	+26.9± 2.1	1.0±0.3	1 week
NB4[b]	+37.1 ± 5.0	1.6±2.2	1 week
NB5[a]	-5.3 ± 0.3	7.7±1.6	1 week
NB6[a]	-14.8± 0.1	3.6±0.2	1 month

a: cell culture medium for human iPSC-derived NPC

b: cell culture medium for human iPSC-derived neurons

Table 1. Summary of the physical properties of the nanobubble (NB)-containing cell culture media.



Fig. 2 Culture of human iPSC-derived NPCs without nanobubbles (NBs). (a) Timeline of cell culture, ICC, and fluorescence observation. **(b)** Immunocytochemistry (ICC) fluorescence images of human iPSC-derived NPCs stained for the markers SOX2 and PAX6 using a secondary antibody conjugated with Cy3 (Methods). Scale bars: 100 μm. **(c)** Time-lapse phase-contrast images of NPCs over three consecutive days of culture. Day 1, Day 2, and Day 3 correspond to 24, 48, and 72 hours after Day 0, respectively. Live cells appear dark because they adhered to the glass surfaces. The cell density increased over time. Scale bars: 200 μm.



Fig. 3 Culture of human iPSC-derived NPCs with nanobubbles (NBs). (**a**) Representative images of live/dead NPCs stained with Hoechst (blue), Calcine AM (green), and EthD-III (red). Here, Hoechst stains cell nuclei, Calcein AM labels live cells, and EthD-III stains dead cells. The shrunken cells observed under phase-contrast microscopy, showing low fluorescence intensity (FI) for Calcine AM and high FI for EthD-III, were dead, whereas live cells showed the opposite pattern. Scale bar: 50 μm. (**b**) Timeline of NB-medium addition experiments. (**c**) Phase-contrast and fluorescence images, where the nuclei of cells were stained with Hoechst,

showing the culture of NPCs over three days in NB1 (top) and NB6 (bottom) media (Table 1). Scale bars: 200 μm.



Fig. 4 Quantification of nanobubble (NB) effects on NPCs by live/dead cell counts. (**a**) Example of cell counting using our custom particle detection software (Supplementary Information). Cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst, and cells were detected based on their fluorescence intensities (FI). Scale bars: 20 μm. (**b**) The number of NPCs in a single fluorescence image, covering an area of 1 mm^2, was automatically calculated by the software. For each day and nanobubble (NB) condition, 10 images were randomly taken to observe the cells plated in a well of a 6-well plate (area: ~10 cm^2). Scale bars: 200 μm. (**c**) Comparison of fluorescence intensities of live and dead cells (p=7.7× 10^{-68}, two-tailed Student's T-test). The dead cells exhibit strong fluorescence, and they can be distinguished from the live cells. Statistical significance is indicated as follows: *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, and ***$p < 0.001$. The error-bars represent SD. (**d**) Live cell concentration rates (Eq. 1) for $N = 0,1,2,3$ in the cases of the positively charged NB1 (red) and negatively charged NB6 (blue) media (Table 1), respectively. The error-bars represent SD. (**e**) Live cell concentration rate (Eq. 1) for $N = 0,1,2,3$ in the absence of NBs (Fig. 2c). NPCs proliferated in the absence of NBs.



Fig. 5 Nanobubble (NB) addition experiments on NPCs using NB2 and NB5 media. (a)(b) Distributions of zeta potential and size for NB2 and NB5. See Table 1 for the details of NB2 and NB5. Each line represents NBs measured in a different time interval using the NanoSight instrument (Malvern Instruments) (Methods). (**c**) Live cell concentration rates (Eq. 1) for $N = 0,1,2,3$ in the cases of the positively charged NB2 (red) and negatively charged NB5 (blue) media (Table 1), respectively. The error-bars represent SD.



Fig. 6 Culture of human iPSC-derived forebrain neurons with nanobubbles (NBs). (a) Timeline of NB-medium addition experiments for the neurons. (**b**) Phase-contrast images of human iPSC-derived neurons. Two weeks after the differentiation process (Methods), neurites had extended and the morphology had become neuron-like. Scale bar: 50 μm. (**c**) Immunocytochemistry (ICC) fluorescence images of the neurons stained with the markers βIII-tubulin and Tau, using secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (Methods). White arrows indicate axons and dendrites. Scale bars: 50 μm. The arrows indicate processes characteristic of neurons. (**d**, **e**) Live cell concentration rates (Eq. 1) for $N = 0,1,2,3$ in the cases of NB3 and NB4 media, respectively (Table 1). The error-bars represent SD.



Fig. 7 Summary of effect of nanobubbles (NBs) on human iPSC-derived NPCs and forebrain neurons. NB1 to NB4 represent positively charged NB-containing cell culture media (red and orange), whereas NB5 and NB6 are negatively charged (blue). NB3 and NB4 were applied to the neurons, while NB1, NB2, NB5, and NB6 were applied to the NPCs. The *y*-axis represents the 3-day average of the live cell concentration rates (Eq. 1). The similar values obtained for NB1 and NB2, NB3 and NB4, and NB5 and NB6 demonstrate the reproducibility of the results. The error-bars were calculated based on the standard error propagation formula (Eq. 4).

References

1 Takahashi, M. Zeta potential of microbubbles in aqueous solutions: electrical properties of the gas-water interface. *J Phys Chem B* **109**, 21858-21864, doi:10.1021/jp0445270 (2005).

2 Han, Z. *et al.* Nanobubble size distribution measurement by interactive force apparatus under an electric field. *Sci Rep* **13**, 3663, doi:10.1038/s41598-023-30811-9 (2023).

3 Nirmalkar, N., Pacek, A. W. & Barigou, M. On the Existence and Stability of Bulk Nanobubbles. *Langmuir* **34**, 10964-10973, doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.8b01163 (2018).

4 Alheshibri, M., Qian, J., Jehannin, M. & Craig, V. S. A History of Nanobubbles. *Langmuir* **32**, 11086-11100, doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.6b02489 (2016).

5 Lohse, D. & Zhang, X. H. Surface nanobubbles and nanodroplets. *Rev Mod Phys* **87**, 981-1035, doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.87.981 (2015).

6 Ljunggren, S. & Eriksson, J. C. The lifetime of a colloid-sized gas bubble in water and the cause of the hydrophobic attraction. *Colloid Surface A* **129**, 151-155, doi:Doi 10.1016/S0927-7757(97)00033-2 (1997).

7 Chan, C. U. & Ohl, C. D. Total-internal-reflection-fluorescence microscopy for the study of nanobubble dynamics. *Phys Rev Lett* **109**, 174501, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.174501 (2012).

8 Karpitschka, S. *et al.* Nonintrusive optical visualization of surface nanobubbles. *Phys Rev Lett* **109**, 066102, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.066102 (2012).

9 Fujita, T. *et al.* Free radical degradation in aqueous solution by blowing hydrogen and carbon dioxide nanobubbles. *Sci Rep* **11**, 3068, doi:10.1038/s41598-021-82717-z (2021).

10 Gupta, A. D. *et al.* A critical review on the properties and applications of bulk micro and nanobubbles for the degradation of organic pollutants in wastewater treatment. *Sci Total Environ* **976**, 179310, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2025.179310 (2025).

11 Agarwal, A., Ng, W. J. & Liu, Y. Principle and applications of microbubble and nanobubble technology for water treatment. *Chemosphere* **84**, 1175-1180, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.05.054 (2011).

12 Ebina, K. *et al.* Oxygen and Air Nanobubble Water Solution Promote the Growth of Plants, Fishes, and Mice. *Plos One* **8**, doi:ARTN e65339
10.1371/journal.pone.0065339 (2013).

13 Heo, H. *et al.* Proliferative effects of nanobubbles on fibroblasts. *Biomed Eng Lett* **12**, 393-400, doi:10.1007/s13534-022-00242-y (2022).

14 Xu, P. A. *et al.* Synthesis of iron oxide nanoparticles and their application in immobilization for Pb(II) removal. *Colloid Surface A* **419**, 147-155, doi:10.1016/j.colsurfa.2012.10.061 (2013).

15 Iversen, T. G., Skotland, T. & Sandvig, K. Endocytosis and intracellular transport of nanoparticles: Present knowledge and need for future studies. *Nano Today* **6**, 176-185, doi:10.1016/j.nantod.2011.02.003 (2011).

16 Sanno, M., Kusumoto, J., Terashi, H. & Sakakibara, S. Nanobubbles and Fibroblast Growth: An In Vitro Study on Cell Migration and Proliferation. *Cureus* **16**, e74775, doi:10.7759/cureus.74775 (2024).

17 Kim, A., Ng, W. B., Bernt, W. & Cho, N. J. Validation of Size Estimation of Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis on Polydisperse Macromolecule Assembly. *Sci Rep* **9**, 2639, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-38915-x (2019).

18 Fang, Z. *et al.* Formation and Stability of Surface/Bulk Nanobubbles Produced by Decompression at Lower Gas Concentration. *J Phys Chem C* **122**, 22418-22423, doi:10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b05688 (2018).
19 Ferraro, G., Jadhav, A. J. & Barigou, M. A Henry's law method for generating bulk nanobubbles. *Nanoscale* **12**, 15869-15879, doi:10.1039/d0nr03332d (2020).
20 Tian, C. *et al.* Characterization of induced neural progenitors from skin fibroblasts by a novel combination of defined factors. *Sci Rep* **3**, 1345, doi:10.1038/srep01345 (2013).
21 Keefe, A. J., Gabrych, D. R., Zhu, Y., Vocadlo, D. J. & Silverman, M. A. Axonal Transport of Lysosomes Is Unaffected in Glucocerebrosidase-Inhibited iPSC-Derived Forebrain Neurons. *eNeuro* **10**, doi:10.1523/ENEURO.0079-23.2023 (2023).
22 Chazotte, B. Labeling nuclear DNA with hoechst 33342. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc* **2011**, pdb prot5557, doi:10.1101/pdb.prot5557 (2011).
23 Nishino, M. *et al.* Measurement and visualization of cell membrane surface charge in fixed cultured cells related with cell morphology. *PLoS One* **15**, e0236373, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0236373 (2020).
24 Roskams, A. J., Cai, X. & Ronnett, G. V. Expression of neuron-specific beta-III tubulin during olfactory neurogenesis in the embryonic and adult rat. *Neuroscience* **83**, 191-200, doi:10.1016/s0306-4522(97)00344-8 (1998).
25 Kaech, S., Ludin, B. & Matus, A. Cytoskeletal plasticity in cells expressing neuronal microtubule-associated proteins. *Neuron* **17**, 1189-1199, doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80249-4 (1996).
26 Yamaguchi, M. *et al.* Bactericidal Activity of Bulk Nanobubbles through Active Oxygen Species Generation. *Langmuir*, doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.1c01578 (2021).
27 Kameda, R. *et al.* Intracellular Magnetic Control over the Reversible Assembly of Silica-Based Magnetic Composite Particles for Photothermal Therapy. *Acs Appl Nano Mater* **6**, 3873-3883, doi:10.1021/acsanm.2c05550 (2023).
28 Huo, S., Li, H., Boersma, A. J. & Herrmann, A. DNA Nanotechnology Enters Cell Membranes. *Adv Sci (Weinh)* **6**, 1900043, doi:10.1002/advs.201900043 (2019).
29 Hinze, C. & Boucrot, E. Endocytosis in proliferating, quiescent and terminally differentiated cells. *J Cell Sci* **131**, doi:10.1242/jcs.216804 (2018).