A Trypanosome Trifecta: an independently tunable Triple Inducer System for

genetic studies in *Trypanosoma brucei.*

Matt J. Romprey[1], Raveen Armstrong[1], Peter McGill[1] and Michele M. Klingbeil*[1,2].

[1]Department of Microbiology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA United States

of America

[2]Institute for Applied Life Sciences, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA United

States of America

*Corresponding author

E-mail: mklingb@umass.edu (MMK)

ABSTRACT

Trypanosoma brucei is a model unicellular parasite for cellular and molecular genetic

studies, but tools for more multiplexed experiments are limited. Tetracycline (Tet)-

inducible gene regulation has been a long-standing and effective approach for

overexpression, RNAi and genome wide screens. The single inducer Tet-On system has

been foundational for studying essential genes that are required for biological processes

and identifying potential drug targets. To achieve greater flexibility in experimental

design, we capitalized upon previously described dual inducer systems that combined

vanillic acid (Van) or cumate (Cym) with Tet as inducers. Here we report the

development of a triple inducer system combining Cym, Van and Tet repressor elements

to selectively regulate the expression of three genetic elements within a single cell line

called PHITER. As proof of principle to demonstrate independent control, we adapted

the previously characterized Van/Tet dual inducer RNAi complementation cell line,

IBComp^{VaT} for additional Cym inducible expression of an eGFP reporter. We provide

evidence that each of the inducer elements operate independently with no evidence of

leaky expression. In this system, Cym induction is specific and tunable. Additionally,

simultaneous triple induction for *POLIB* RNAi complementation with wildtype POLIB and

eGFP expression phenocopied the near complete rescue of *POLIB* RNAi previously

reported. This system enables the study of complex and pleiotropic phenotypes through

more sophisticated experimental design.

INTRODUCTION

The African trypanosome, *Trypanosoma brucei*, is an early diverging unicellular parasite

that causes the Neglected Tropical Disease human African trypanosomiasis and a

related wasting disease in cattle called nagana (1). In addition to medical and economic

importance, *T. brucei* has served as a tractable model organism with many advanced

genetics tools and insights into fundamental biological processes based on their

distinctive biology. Examples include extensive post-transcriptional gene regulation,

mitochondrial RNA editing which overturned years of molecular central dogma and

unusual metabolic adaptations (2, 3). Another example of extreme biology is their

mitochondrial DNA network called kinetoplast DNA (kDNA). Trypanosomes have one of

the most topologically complex mitochondrial genomes in nature composed of

maxicircles and minicircles that are catenated into a single network.

The kDNA structure and replication mechanism are divergent from all other eukaryotes

and is essential for parasite survival and life cycle completion (4). A hallmark of kDNA

replication is the topoisomerase II-mediated minicircle release and attachment

mechanism, while maxicircles replicate still catenated within the network (5, 6, 7).

Remarkably, minicircle progeny are attached to the network while still containing at least

one gap. This "mark" on replicated progeny is a possible mechanism to ensure each

circle is replicated just once per cell cycle. However, persistent gaps (ssDNA regions)

negatively impact genome stability and can lead to replication stress, suggesting that the

DNA damage tolerance response during kDNA replication is essential and therefore

notably different in trypanosomes (8, 9).

The complexity of kDNA replication is also reflected in the many additional factors not

present in mammalian nucleoids including 6 DNA polymerases (Pol), 6 helicases, 3

topoisomerases, 2 primases, 2 ligases and 2 origin binding proteins among others.

Greater than 50 proteins have roles in the replication and segregation of the DNA network

as well as maintaining the kDNA structural integrity and have been summarized

elsewhere (10, 11). Interestingly, many of the kDNA replication factors have non-

redundant roles discovered using RNAi for reverse genetic analysis of gene function. For

example, *T. brucei* uses three family A DNA Pol paralogs (POLIB, POLIC, POLID) that

are <u>independently essential</u> suggesting specialized roles in maintaining the kDNA

network (12, 13, 14, 15). The 29-13 tetracycline (Tet)-On single inducer system has

been crucial for single gene and genome-wide RNAi studies over the past 2 decades

(16).

Among the many improvements to trypanosome molecular biology constructs,

independent dual inducible systems were developed using Tet and vanillic acid (Van) or

Tet and cumate (Cym) (17, 18). We recently reported the use of a dual inducer system

for independently regulating RNAi and overexpression through the addition of Van and

Tet, respectively. Simultaneous induction in this system allowed for robust RNAi

complementation to study the kDNA replication protein POLIB (19). RNAi

complementation studies and their associated control experiments can now be

performed within a single cell line.

This highly tractable system could be expanded for further experimental flexibility by

adding a third inducer, thus allowing for the independent regulation of 3 genetic

elements in one cell line. In this triple inducer system, RNAi complementation could still

operate using the Van and Tet inducer elements to concurrently control RNAi and

overexpression, while the Cym inducer elements would allow for tunable regulation of

an additional gene. A triple inducer system would allow expression of an additional gene

during RNAi complementation, such as one that impacts kDNA genome stability. This

can allow dissection of protein domain function during normal replication fork

progression and possibly evaluate overlapping roles of kDNA replication and repair.

Here we describe the establishment of a triple inducer parental cell line that expresses

all three repressor elements and demonstrate independent, and tunable Cym inducible

expression using an eGFP reporter. To evaluate the full potential of a triple inducible

system, we built upon the previously characterized Van/Tet dual inducer cell line for

POLIB RNAi complementation (19) as proof of principle to demonstrate that all three

elements can function independently and simultaneously. This tool overcomes key

limitations and opens new avenues for trypanosomatid functional genomics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

For primer sequences refer to Table S1.

For cell lines and associated modifications used in this study refer to Table S2.

DNA constructs

Single marker cumate inducible system

The hygromycin resistance gene was PCR amplified from pKOHyg (20) using primers

UM147 and UM149 for subsequent Gibson assembly (NEB) with EcoRI and NcoI

digested pSmOxNUS (18) to create pSmOxNUSHyg. The cumate repressor (CymR)

gene and the hygromycin resistance gene (Hph) were then PCR amplified from

pSmOxNUSHyg using primers UM159 and UM160 for Gibson assembly with StuI and

BsiWI digested pDEX-CuO (18) to create pCuRO-eGFP.

Cumate repressor plasmid

The CymR and Hph genes were PCR amplified from pSmOxNUSHyg using primers UM192 and UM193 for subsequent Gibson assembly with NheI and SpeI digested pJ1173 (17) to create pCymRHyg thus removing the T7 RNA polymerase, tetracycline repressor (TetR) and a puromycin resistance gene from pJ1173.

Cumate inducible expression vector

The neomycin resistance gene was PCR amplified from pC-PTP-NEO (Shimanski 2005) using primers UM184 and UM185 for subsequent Gibson assembly (NEB) with BsiWI and StuI digested pDEX-CuO (18) to create pCuO-eGFP.

Trypanosome cell culture and transfection

The *Trypanosoma brucei brucei* procyclic cell line SMUMA (**S**ingle **M**arker **UMA**ss) contains the integrated plasmid pJ1173 (17) carrying T7 RNA polymerase (T7RNAP), tetracycline repressor (TetR) and vanillic acid repressor (VanR). SMUMA cells were cultured at 27 □C in SDM-79 medium supplemented with 15% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum and 1how to µg/ml puromycin (Puro) (19). The IBComp^{VaT} cell line (19) was cultured in SDM-79 medium supplemented with blasticidin (15 µg/mL), phleomycin (2.5 µg/mL) and puromycin (1 µg/mL).

To create the Cym triple inducer reporter cell line, CuRO-eGFP, NotI linearized pCuRO-

eGFP was transfected into SMUMA cells via nucleofection to integrate into the 177 bp

locus and selected with 50 µg/mL hygromycin (Hyg). To create the triple inducer

PHITER parental cell line that expresses three repressor elements (CymR, TetR, VanR),

SMUMA (19) was transfected with HindIII digested pCymRHyg via nucleofection to

integrate into the α/β tubulin array and selected with 50 µg/mL Hyg. Verification of all

three repressors elements in PHITER clones are provided in Fig S1. Four clonal cell

lines were chosen based on doubling times and subsequently transfected via

nucleofection with NotI linearized pCuO-eGFP for integration into the 177 bp repeat

region and selected with 50 µg/mL G418 to screen for Cym inducible protein

expression. These populations are called eGFP[PHITER] and were not further dilution

cloned. All other transfected cell lines were additionally supplemented with the

appropriate selectable drug prior to limiting dilution to obtain clonal cell lines.

The POLIB dual inducer cell line IBComp[VaT] clone P5D1 (19) was transfected with

HindIII digested pCymRHyg and selected with 50 µg/mL Hyg. Clone P1D8 was chosen

for this study based on doubling time and named IBComp[PHIT] (Puro, Hyg, Inducible

Triad). IBComp[PHIT] was subsequently transfected with NotI linearized pCuO-eGFP and

selected with 50 µg/mL G418 to create the IBComp-eGFP[PHIT] reporter cell line.

Repressor expression was verified by northern blot and clone P1F12 was chosen for

this study.

Inducible expression

Expression of eGFP was induced with 25 µg/mL of Cym for 48 hr for both triple inducer

reporter cell lines CuRO-eGFP and eGFP[PHITER]. In the proof-of-concept cell line,

IBComp-eGFP[PHIT], RNAi was induced by addition of Van (250 µM dissolved in DMSO)

and expression of an ectopic recoded version of wild type POLIB PTP-tagged variant

(POLIBWT) was induced by addition of Tet (4 µg/mL). Cultures were supplemented

daily with Van and/or Tet to maintain RNAi and protein expression (19). For eGFP

expression, cells were induced with Cym (3 µg/mL dissolved in DMSO) on transfer

days. To assess if Cym induction was reversible, IBComp-eGFP[PHIT] cells were grown for

2 days in the presence of 3 µg/mL Cym only or in the presence of all three inducers.

Cells were then pelleted, and then washed once in 1X phosphate buffered saline (PBS)

to remove the inducer. Cells were resuspended and grown in media lacking Cym for an

additional 3 days. Cells were then harvested at indicated time points for SDS-PAGE and

western blot analyses.

SDS PAGE & western blotting

Cells were pelleted, washed with 1X PBS, supplemented with 1X protease inhibitor

cocktail (Roche), resuspended and stored at -80 °C. Protein samples were fractionated

in a sodium-dodecyl-sulfate polyacrylamide gel (150 V) and transferred overnight (90

mA) onto a PVDF membrane. Membranes were then blocked in a 5% w/v non-fat dry

milk solution for 2 hrs.

PTP-tagged POLIB was detected with peroxidase anti-peroxidase soluble complex

(PAP, 1:2000, Sigma). eGFP was detected using anti-GFP rabbit polyclonal antibody

(1:1000, 1 hr, Abcam) followed by HRP conjugated goat anti-rabbit polyclonal antibody

(1:5000, 1 hr, Pierce). TetR was detected using anti-TetR mouse monoclonal antibody

(1:1000, 1 hr, Takara). Loading control was detected with mouse monoclonal anti-Ef1α1

(1:15000, 1 hr, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies) and HRP conjugated goat anti-mouse

polyclonal antibody (1:2500, 1 hr, Sigma). Membranes were washed thrice with 1X Tris

Buffered Saline + Tween 20 (TBST) after each antibody incubation. Chemiluminescent

substrate (SuperSignal West Pico Plus, ThermoFisher) was used to detect protein.

Band intensities were quantified using ImageJ software (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

RNA isolation and northern analysis

5×10^7 cell equivalents were pelleted and washed with PBS for total RNA isolation with

TRIreagent (Sigma-Aldrich). RNA was run on a 1.5% agarose/7% formaldehyde gel

overnight at 25 V then transferred to a Pall 60208 Biodyne B Membrane as previously

described (13). RNA was cross-linked at 1200 J/cm^2 using a UV Stratalinker 1800

(Stratagene). Specific ^{32}P-labelled probes were generated as described previously (14,

19) using PCR products for CymR, TetR, VanR, and POLIB (Table S1) using the

Random Primers DNA labeling system (Invitrogen). Tubulin probes were generated from

a 650 bp gel extracted product from pZJM (21). Hybridization and wash conditions were

described previously (21). Specific mRNAs were detected and quantified using a

Typhoon 9500 Phosphorimager (GE Healthcare) and normalized to the tubulin signal.

IF microscopy

Cells were harvested at 1000 *x g*, washed once and resuspended in 1X PBS then

adhered to poly-L-lysine coated slides (5 min). Cells were fixed with 3 %

paraformaldehyde (5 min), washed twice with PBS + 0.1 M Glycine, then permeabilized

with 0.05 % Triton X-100 (5 min). Cells were washed thrice with 1x PBS. POLIBWT was

detected with rabbit polyclonal anti-protein A (1:1000, 1 hr, Sigma) followed by

secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-rabbit (1:250, 1 hr, ThermoFisher). eGFP

was detected using rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP antibody (1:500, 1 hr, Abcam) followed by

secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit (1:250, 1 hr, ThermoFisher). DNA

was stained with 1 µg/ml 4′-6′-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and slides were

mounted with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories). Images were acquired using an

inverted Nikon Ti2-E wide-field fluorescence microscope with a Nikon Plan Apo $_\lambda$ 60x

1.45 numerical aperture objective lens. Z stacks with a step size of 0.2 µm were

deconvoluted using the Landweber algorithm within NIS Elements, a closed-source

analysis tool developed by Nikon Instruments.

RESULTS

Design and Establishing a Triple Inducer System

In our work to expand the molecular toolbox for functional genomics in *Trypanosoma*

brucei, we transitioned from using the well establish single inducer Tet-ON system (22)

to a dual inducer system for robust RNAi complementation studies. Dual induction relies

on the procyclic cell line SMUMA that constitutively expresses Tet and Van repressors

as well as T7 RNA polymerase (19). We identified an opportunity to provide additional

flexibility by incorporating the previously reported cumate (Cym) inducible components

(18, 23) that could allow for the independent regulation of three genetic elements in a

single cell line. In this triple inducer system, RNAi complementation would still operate

using the Van and Tet inducer elements, while the Cym inducer elements would allow

for tunable regulation of additional genes.

Initially, we set out to design a vector that housed both the cumate repressor (CymR)

and a cumate (Cym) inducible eGFP reporter under a single drug selectable marker to

integrate into the 177 bp locus (Supp Fig1A). We transfected pCuROeGFP into the dual

inducer parental cell line SMUMA. Of 10 clonal cell lines, only 4 displayed varied

cumate inducible eGFP expression following 48 hr of 25 μg/mL Cym induction with

detectable eGFP expression in uninduced controls indicating the system was leaky

(Supp Fig1B).

Our second attempt to create a triple inducer cell line relied upon separating the

elements onto 2 vectors similar to previous work from the He lab (18). The CymR gene

is housed within pCymRHyg to integrate into the α/β tubulin array and the expression

vector, pCuO-eGFP, contains 3 cumate operators upstream of an eGFP reporter gene

to integrate into the 177 bp repeat region (Fig.1A). SMUMA was transfected with

pCymRHyg to create a basal, triple repressor parental cell line called PHITER

(Puromycin Hygromycin Inducible Triad of Exogenous Repressors), and 10 clonal cell

lines were generated. Several PHITER clones were subsequently transfected with

pCuO-eGFP to characterize cumate inducible expression using eGFP as a reporter.

Following induction with 25 μg/mL Cym for 48 hr, four eGFP[PHITER] populations showed

varying levels of eGFP protein expression while no expression was detected in the

uninduced cells (Fig. 1B). Longer exposures (90 sec) showed no expression of eGFP in

the uninduced controls (Supp Fig1C). These results demonstrate that separating the

Cym elements onto 2 vectors eliminated the problem of leakiness in the uninduced

controls.

To demonstrate independent control of all three inducers, we adapted the previously

characterized RNAi complementation cell line, IBComp^{VaT} (19), to work in parallel with

the Cym inducible elements. IBComp^{VaT} was transfected with pCymRHyg and pCuO-

eGFP to create IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} thus allowing Cym inducible eGFP expression in

addition to Van inducible POLIB RNAi and Tet inducible POLIBWT overexpression

within a single cell line (Fig. 1C). Both the pCymRHyg and pJ1173 integration events

occur within the α/β tubulin repeat region.   Therefore, we performed northern blot

analyses to confirm expression of each repressor mRNA in the 4 clones using SMUMA

cells as a control (Fig. 1D). IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} clone P1F12 was selected as the cell line

to analyze proof-of concept for triple induction based on repressor expression, and

similar POLIB RNAi complementation results as previously published (19).

250
251

POLIB Triple Inducer System: Optimization of Cumate Inducible eGFP

To determine if the triple inducer cell line IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} was able to express eGFP

across a range of Cym concentrations (0.5 – 10 µg/mL) were added to the cells for 48

hr, cell pellets were collected and then analyzed by western blot analyses. No eGFP

expression was observed in uninduced cells even upon a longer exposure of 5 min (Fig.

S2A). Expression of eGFP was detected from 1 µg/mL Cym and consistently increased

as the Cym concentration increased to 10 µg/mL (Fig. S2A). Cells induced with 5 µg/mL

of Cym or greater showed degradation of eGFP (Fig. S2A). We further tested 3 and 5

µg/mL Cym for sustained expression of eGFP over an 8 day induction. While some

variation in the amount of eGFP was detected using 5 µg/mL, there was consistent

expression of eGFP using 3 µg/mL Cym throughout the 8 day induction (Fig S2B). We

also tested whether Cym concentrations impacted fitness of the cells. No significant

fitness impact was detected by inducing the cells with a range of Cym concentrations (3,

5, 10 µg/mL) or by expression of eGFP over an 8 day induction (Fig. S2C). Based on

these data, 3 µg/mL Cym was used for subsequent inductions.

Independent Gene Expression in IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} Cell Line

One advantage of the triple inducer system is that all the single inducer controls can be

performed in a single cell line. To determine whether the Van, Tet and Cym inducible

systems could operate independently of one another, each inducer was added

separately to the IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} cell line. Cells were cultured for 8 days with the

addition of either 3 µg/mL Cym, 4 µg/mL Tet, or 250 µM Van. After 48 hours, ectopic

POLIBWT and eGFP expression were assessed by western blotting and endogenous

POLIB mRNA was quantified via northern blotting. Cells induced with Tet expressed

POLIBWT (10.1 fold greater than SE) with no detectable eGFP, while cells induced with

Cym expressed eGFP with no detectable expression of POLIBWT (Fig 2A).

Induction with Van did not lead to expression of either POLIBWT or eGFP while

endogenous *POLIB* mRNA was depleted by 98% within 48 hr (Fig. 2B). Throughout the

8 day induction only the addition of Van led to a significant loss of fitness (LOF) with cell

doublings reaching only 9.8 compared to 21.5 for uninduced cells, a hallmark

associated *POLIB* RNAi (12, 14, 19). Cells induced with Tet and Cym reached 20.8 and

21 doublings, respectively (Fig. 2C). These data confirm that the three inducers operate

independently and did not lead to promiscuous expression.

To determine if POLIB RNAi complementation was still functioning in the triple induction

system, IBComp-eGFP[PHIT] cells were simultaneously induced with Van and Tet for 8

days. Consistent expression of POLIBWT was confirmed with a 7 fold increase

compared to the single expressor allelically tagged endogenous control (SE) (Fig. 2D).

Similar to RNAi alone, induction with Van and Tet resulted in a 99% knockdown of

endogenous *POLIB* mRNA after 48 hr of induction (Fig. 2B). Lastly, there was a near

complete rescue of the RNAi LOF during POLIB complementation with cells reaching

18.9 doublings by day 8 phenocopying results for the dual inducer system (19) (Fig.

2D).

Selective and Concurrent Gene Expression in IBComp-eGFP[PHIT] Cell Line

To assess whether Cym induction interfered with Van and/or Tet inductions we next

evaluated whether these inducers could operate simultaneously in IBComp-eGFP[PHIT]

cells. The following combinations of inducers were used across 8 days of induction;

Van/Cym (VC), Tet/Cym (TC), and Van/Tet/Cym (VTC) and assessed for POLIB mRNA

knockdown, POLIBWT expression, and eGFP expression after 48 hr. TC induction did

not have a significant impact on fitness (21.2 doublings) compared to uninduced cells

(21.6 doublings) and led to the highest amount of POLIBWT and eGFP expression with

no impact on endogenous *POLIB* mRNA (Fig. 3A, B, C). VC induction resulted in a

phenocopy of RNAi alone (Van) with the characteristic LOF (9.5 doublings), a 94%

reduction in *POLIB* mRNA and expression of eGFP (Fig. 3A, B, C). The full triple

induction (VTC) also led to a 97% reduction in *POLIB* mRNA, a similar near complete

rescue of the RNAi LOF and eGFP expression (Fig. 3A, B, C).

Compared to Tet alone, TC induction led to a 12.3 fold increase in POLIBWT, whereas

VT induction led to a slight decrease in POLIBWT (7 fold) that did not significantly

impact *POLIB* RNAi complementation (Fig. 2C, D, Fig. 3C). The triple induction with

VTC resulted in a 6.5 fold above endogenous POLIB levels that still allowed for

complementation. For VC, eGFP decreased 3.2 fold compared to Cym alone. With a

comparable decrease of 3.6 fold for the triple VTC inductions (Fig 3C). Although the

addition of Van seemed to impact the total amount of eGFP or POLIBWT being

produced, the simultaneous induction of three inducers still led to a near complete

rescue of the *POLIB* RNAi LOF with 18.8 doublings with consistent and sustained

expression of eGFP and POLIBWT (Fig 3A, D).

Lastly, fluorescence microscopy revealed that eGFP and POLIBWT expression were

homogenous in the cell population with POLIBWT localizing throughout the

mitochondrion and concentrating near the kDNA while eGFP was cytoplasmic with

some fluctuation in the total amount of protein being produced (Fig. 3E, F). These data

indicate that Cym induction did not significantly interfere with *POLIB* RNAi or

overexpression of POLIBWT and highlight that Van induction might lead to decreased

expression levels for genes under the control of Cym and Tet induction.

Temporal Expression of eGFP during RNAi Complementation

Another advantage of the triple induction system would be to control when a gene of

interest is expressed while performing RNAi complementation. Therefore, we tested

whether eGFP expression could be controlled temporally during Cym induction and

POLIB RNAi complementation. Following 48 hr of Cym induction, removal of Cym

resulted in a decrease in eGFP expression within 24 hr of removing Cym with some

residual eGFP expression detected the following day (Fig. 4A). Similarly, removal of

Cym during RNAi complementation resulted in more rapid reduction of eGFP

expression within 24 hr after removing Cym (Fig. 4B). Collectively these data confirm

the specificity of three independent inducers, ability to tune the amount of Cym and to

control when Cym induction occurs. Together the results establish proof of principle for

a triple inducer system in procyclic *T. brucei* that can be used for elegant molecular

genetic studies during RNAi complementation.

Discussion

The use of inducible expression for reverse genetics and functional genomics screens

has been critical for studying gene function in the model kinetoplastid *T. brucei.*

Advances include several RNAi library screens, and an overexpression gain-of-function

screen (16, 24). However, there are limitations to the single inducer Tet-On system that

have prohibited powerful multiplexed screens (25). Here, we have established a highly

tractable, triple inducer system capable of independently or simultaneously inducing the

expression of three ectopic genes within one cell line. A triple inducer cell line allows for

more flexibility to now perform sophisticated genetic screens, and mechanistic studies

that could never have been achieved with the single inducer Tet-On system or the

recently reported dual inducer system for RNAi complementation (19).

We first attempted to engineer a parental cell line for triple induction that only required

one additional drug marker to allow for more flexibility in the design of downstream

experiments. However, the pCuRO-eGFP construct that contained both the CymR

gene, and a cumate inducible reporter gene (eGFP) only produced clones that

displayed leaky eGFP expression (Fig S1B). At this time, we are not certain why this

arrangement and integration into the 177 bp repeat region resulted in uncontrolled

eGFP expression (Fig S1A B).

The basis for the triple inducer system is a parental cell line called PHITER (derived

from SMUMA) which separated the Cym elements onto two vectors for integration at

separate genomic loci. By capitalizing on the previously reported inducible elements

using Tet, Van and Cym to control gene expression in *T. brucei* (26, 17, 18), we

demonstrated constitutive expression of all the repressor elements CymR, VanR, and

TetR (Fig S3), and characterized cumate inducible eGFP in a single cell line (Fig 1B).

Longer exposures of up to 2 minutes confirmed that there was no evidence of leaky

expression in the uninduced cells. Clonal PHITER cell lines have doubling times (9-10

hrs) comparable to the parental strain SMUMA and are available to the field. In contrast,

the widely used 29-13 cell line for the single inducer Tet-On system grows more slowly

(~12.8 hr), expresses lower levels of TetR, and displays considerable divergence in the

minicircle genome (27).

As proof of concept, we evaluated the full potential of the triple inducer system using the

previously characterized Van/Tet dual inducer cell line for POLIB RNAi

complementation, IBComp^{VaT} (19). Consecutive transfections of pCymRHyg then pCuO-

eGFP produced IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT}, a cell line that could be used for RNAi and ectopic

expression of two genes independently or simultaneously (Fig 1C). Independent

induction with Cym, Tet, and Van was demonstrated with no evidence of promiscuous

expression (Fig 2A, B). Van induction resulted in *POLIB* mRNA depletion (98-99%

decreased) and Tet induction resulted ectopic expression of IBWT (10.1 fold) that

closely resembled those in the parental IBComp^{VaT} experiments (Fig 2A, B). In addition

to controlling gene expression independently, we also demonstrated that Cym induction

of eGFP could be tunable over a range of Cym concentrations (1 - 10 µg/mL) and that

there was sustained expression of Cym induced eGFP (Fig S2C, D). Even the highest

concentration of Cym do not impact fitness of cells when tested in the parental cell line

SMUMA (Fig S2C). Importantly, Van + Tet dual induction for RNAi complementation led

to a near complete rescue of the POLIB RNAi defect and sufficient overexpression of

ectopic protein (Fig 2C, D).

We also confirmed concurrent gene expression with various dual and finally the full

triple inducer conditions. Combining Van + Cym still resulted in the characteristic 98%

POLIB mRNA depletion and LOF, and Cym +Tet resulted in robust expression of both

eGFP and IBWT (Fig 3). Induction with all three inducers allowed for sustained

expression of ectopic POLIB (Fig 3D), expression of eGFP, knockdown of endogenous

POLIB (Fig 3C), and a near complete rescue of the RNAi fitness defect (Fig 3A). These

results demonstrated that all inducer combinations caused the expected expression

output.

Another important aspect of the triple inducible system is the ability to control timing of

independent inductions for more dynamic experimental applications. Control of RNAi

(removal of the Van inducer) was previously demonstrated by removing the Van inducer

to restore the expression of chromosomally epitope tagged POLIB (19). Here we

additionally demonstrate the temporal control of Cym inductions by removing the Cym

inducer after 48 hrs of eGFP expression. In single or the full triple induction conditions,

removal of Cym inducer resulted a rapid decline in eGFP expression within 24 hrs.

During the triple induction, POLIBWT ectopic expression remained consistent

throughout the induction demonstrating that cumate inducible protein could be

temporally expressed without impacting concurrent RNAi complementation (Fig 4C).

When designing the dual and triple inducer systems, relative fold increase in expression

levels were taken into consideration. To maximize overexpression of an ectopic protein

during RNAi complementation, we placed POLIBWT on a Tet expression vector that had

previously reported to give a greater fold increase compared to the Van expression

vector (250 fold vs 18 fold increase in fluorescence intensity (17). The POLIB RNAi

stemloop construct described previously (28) was then placed on a Van expression

vector and efficient RNAi knockdown using this system was previously reported (17,

19). Even though fold expression using Van induction was substantially lower, the long

dsRNA constructs used in *T. brucei* provide ample siRNAs for efficient knockdown. The

cumate inducible system was then used for expression of a third gene independent of

RNAi complementation due to the previously demonstrated ability to tune the amount of

protein and temporally control expression (18). We have not tried other design

combinations of the triple inducible system.

However, the Cym, Tet, and Van inducible elements each have limitations that should be

considered during experimental design. Tet is a common contaminant in FBS used to

culture parasites possibly leading to low levels of background protein expression.

Previously, 250 μM Van was reported to cause a slight fitness defect in *T. brucei* (19)

and has been demonstrated to exhibit dose-dependent anti-trypanosomal activity in *T.*

congolensi with a reported IC50 of 768 μg/ml. (29). Lastly, Cym and Tet inducible protein

expression is reduced in concurrent inductions with Van (Fig 3D), thus the concentration

of Cym used in combination with Van may need to be titrated to circumvent any

observed reduction in protein expression.

Our study provides proof of concept for the first dynamic triple control system that

allows for robust RNAi complementation and control of a third element based on Tet,

Van and Cym inducible gene expression, and expands the toolbox available for *T.*

brucei functional genomics. Similar to the dual induction system, the triple system

allows for independent and tunable gene expression to control multiple processes.

Additionally, the three elements can also be temporally modulated for simultaneous or

more elegant staggered (or contemporaneous) experimental designs such as

overexpressing proteins that specifically modulate kDNA stability prior to performing

RNAi complementation.

Figure Legends

FIG 1. Triple Inducer Systems. (A) Diagram of plasmids integrated into the eGFP[PHITER]

cell line. Tetracycline repressor (TetR), vanillic acid repressor (VanR), T7 RNA

polymerase (T7RNAP), puromycin-N-acetyl-transferase (PAC), cumate repressor

(CymR), hygromycin B phosphotransferase (HPH), neomycin phosphotransferase II

(G418), and cumate operator (CuO). **(B)** Western blot detection of eGFP and loading

control EF1α1 following a 48 hr induction of eGFP^{PHITER} clones with 25 µg/mL Cym. 2 x

10^6 cell equivalents were loaded per lane. **(C)** Diagram of plasmids integrated into the

IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} cell line. Blastacidin S-deaminase (BSD), vanillic acid operator

(VanO), tetracycline operators (TetO), bleomycin resistance gene. Other annotation as

described in A. **(D)** Northern blot of total RNA from IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} clonal cell lines.

SMUMA, parental cell line as a control. Membrane was probed for individual repressor

mRNAs, stripped and then reprobed. *Tubulin*, loading control.

FIG 2. Independent gene expression in IBComp-eGFPPHIT cells. (A) Western

blot detection of POLIB, eGFP and EF1α1 from IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} cells independently

induced with Tet (4 µg/ml), Van (250 µM) Cym (3 µg/ml) over an 8 day induction. SE,

single expressor cell line representing endogenous POLIB protein (4 x 10^6 cell

equivalents). 2 x 10^6 cell equivalents were loaded per lane. **(B)** Northern blot of total

RNA from IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} cells. U, uninduced; Cym, induced with 3 µg/ml Cym for 48

hr; Tet, induced with 4 µg/ml Tet for 48 hr; Van, induced with 250 µM Van for 48 hr; VaT,

induced with Van and Tet for 48 hr. Top, probing of *POLIB* mRNA. Bottom, probing of

Tubulin mRNA as a loading control. **(C)** IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} was grown in the absence of

presence of Tet (4 µg/ml), Van (250 µM) Cym (3 µg/ml), and Tet and Van combined.

Error bars represent ± s.d. of the mean from 3 biological replicates. **(D)** Western blot

detection of IBWT and loading control EF1α1 from IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} grown in 250 µM

Van and 4 µg/ml Tet for 8 days. SE, single expressor cell line control. 2 x 10^6 cell

equivalents were loaded per lane.

FIG 3. Concurrent Gene Expression in IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} cells. (A) IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} was grown in the absence or presence of Van (250 μM), or inducer combinations; Cym (3 μg/ml) and Tet (4 μg/ml), Cym and Van, and Cym, Tet, and Van for 8 days. Error bars represent ± s.d. of the mean from 3 biological replicates. **(B)** Northern blot of total RNA from IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} cultured for 48 hours in the presence of inducer combinations. U, uninduced; TC, Tet and Cym; VC, Van and Cym; VTC, Van, Tet and Cym; V, Van. Top, probing of *POLIB* mRNA. Bottom, probing of *Tubulin* mRNA as a loading control. **(C)** Western blot of POLIB, eGFP and EF1α1 loading control from IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} cells concurrently induced with Cym, Tet, and Van for 8 days. SE, single expressor cell line control. 2 x 10^6 cell equivalents were loaded per lane. **(D)** Western blot of eGFP, POLIB and EF1α1 loading control from IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} cells concurrently induced with Cym, Tet, and Van for 8 days. SE, single expressor cell line control. 2 x 10^6 cell equivalents were loaded per lane. **(E)** Representative images of eGFP expression for IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} in the presence or absence of Cym+Tet+Van for 48 hours. DAPI staining (yellow); eGFP expression (green). Size bar, 10 μm. **(F)** Representative images of POLIB IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} in the presence or absence of Cym+Tet+Van for 48 hours. DAPI staining (yellow); anti-protein A (red). Size bar, 10 μm.

FIG 4. Temporal Expression of eGFP in the Triple Inducer System. (A) Western blot detection of eGFP and EF1α1 loading control from IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} cells induced with Cym (3 μg/ml), for 2 days then in the absence of Cym for subsequent days. D2*, sample taken from cell before Cym inducer was removed. 2 x 10^6 cell equivalents were loaded per lane. **(B)** Western blot detection of eGFP, POLIB and EF1α1 loading control from

IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} cells induced with Van, Tet and Cym for 2 days then in the absence

of Cym for subsequent days. D2*, sample taken from cell before Cym inducer was

removed. SE, single expressor cell line control. 2×10^6 cell equivalents were loaded

per lane. **(C)** IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} was grown in the absence or presence of Cym (3 μg/ml)

or all inducers for 5 days. Red triangle, Cym inducer was removed and grown in

absence of Cym. Graphs represent averaged data from two biological replicates.

Supplemental Fig 1. Optimization of the Cumate Inducer System. (A)

Diagram of plasmids integrated into the CuRO-eGFP cell line. Cumate repressor

(CymR), (HPH), neomycin phosphotransferase II (G418), cumate operator (CuO),

tetracycline repressor (TetR), vanillic acid repressor (VanR), T7 RNA polymerase

(T7RNAP), puromycin-N-acetyl-transferase (PAC). **(B)** Western blot detection of eGFP

and EF1α1 loading control from CuRO-eGFP clonal cell lines. 2×10^6 cell equivalents

were loaded per lane. **(C)** Western blot detection of eGFP and EF1α1 loading control

from eGFP^{PHITER} clonal cell lines. 2×10^6 cell equivalents were loaded per lane. Image

represents a longer exposure (90 seconds) of the data presented in Figure 1B.

Supplemental Fig 2. Cumate Inducible eGFP Expression in IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT}.

(A) Western blot detection of eGFP and EF1α1 loading control from IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT}

cells induced with varying concentration of Cym for 48 hr. 2×10^6 cell equivalents were

loaded per lane**. (B)** Western blot detection of eGFP and EF1α1 loading control from

IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} cells induced with varying concentration of Cym for 8 days. **(C)**

IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} was grown in the absence of presence of varying concentrations of

Cym. Graphs represent averaged data from two biological replicates.

Supplemental Fig 3. Characterization of PHITER clonal cell lines. (A) Western blot

detection of TetR and EF1α1 loading control from PHITER clonal cell lines. 2 x 10^6 cell

equivalents were loaded per lane**. (B)** Northern blot of total RNA from PHITER clonal

cell lines. Left, probing for *CymR* mRNA. Right, probing for *VanR* mRNA. Bottom for

each, rRNA as a loading control.

Funding

This work was financially supported by Bridge Funding from the College of Natural

Sciences, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, the Donald P. Reed Legacy Fund and

R21AI183196-01. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

1. Morrison LJ, Steketee PC, Tettey MD, Matthews KR. Pathogenicity and virulence of
African trypanosomes: From laboratory models to clinically relevant hosts. Virulence.
2023 Dec 31;14(1):2150445.

2. Matthews KR. 25 years of African trypanosome research: From description to
molecular dissection and new drug discovery. Molecular and Biochemical
Parasitology. 2015 Mar;200(1–2):30–40.

3. Lukeš J, Speijer D, Zíková A, Alfonzo JD, Hashimi H, Field MC. Trypanosomes as a
magnifying glass for cell and molecular biology. Trends in Parasitology. 2023
Nov;39(11):902–12.

4.   Dewar CE, Casas-Sanchez A, Dieme C, Crouzols A, Haines LR, Acosta-Serrano Á,
et al. Oxidative Phosphorylation Is Required for Powering Motility and Development
of the Sleeping Sickness Parasite Trypanosoma brucei in the Tsetse Fly Vector.
Hajduk SL, editor. mBio. 2022 Feb 22;13(1):e02357-21.

5.   Shapiro TA, Englund PT. THE STRUCTURE AND REPLICATION OF
KINETOPLAST DNA. Annu Rev Microbiol. 1995 Oct;49(1):117–43.

6.   Jensen RE, Englund PT. Network News: The Replication of Kinetoplast DNA. Annu
Rev Microbiol. 2012 Oct 13;66(1):473–91.

7.   Amodeo S, Bregy I, Ochsenreiter T. Mitochondrial genome maintenance—the
kinetoplast story. FEMS Microbiology Reviews. 2023 Nov 1;47(6):fuac047.

8.   Kitchin PA, Klein VA, Fein BI, Englund PT. Gapped Minicircles. A novel replication
intermediate of kinetoplast DNA. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1984
Dec;259(24):15532–9.

9.   Hines JC, Ray DS. Structure of discontinuities in kinetoplast DNA-associated
minicircles during S phase in Crithidia fasciculata. Nucleic Acids Research. 2007
Nov 26;36(2):444–50.

10.   Schneider A, Ochsenreiter T. Failure is not an option – mitochondrial genome
segregation in trypanosomes. Journal of Cell Science. 2018 Sep
15;131(18):jcs221820.

11.   Aeschlimann S, Stettler P, Schneider A. DNA segregation in mitochondria and
beyond: insights from the trypanosomal tripartite attachment complex. Trends in
Biochemical Sciences. 2023 Dec;48(12):1058–70.

12.   Klingbeil MM, Motyka SA, Englund PT. Multiple Mitochondrial DNA Polymerases in
Trypanosoma brucei. Molecular Cell. 2002 Jul;10(1):175–86.

13.   Chandler J, Vandoros AV, Mozeleski B, Klingbeil MM. Stem-Loop Silencing Reveals
that a Third Mitochondrial DNA Polymerase, POLID, Is Required for Kinetoplast DNA
Replication in Trypanosomes. Eukaryot Cell. 2008 Dec;7(12):2141–6.

14.   Bruhn DF, Sammartino MP, Klingbeil MM. Three Mitochondrial DNA Polymerases
Are Essential for Kinetoplast DNA Replication and Survival of Bloodstream Form
Trypanosoma brucei. Eukaryot Cell. 2011 Jun;10(6):734–43.

15.   Miller JC, Delzell SB, Concepción-Acevedo J, Boucher MJ, Klingbeil MM. A DNA
polymerization-independent role for mitochondrial DNA polymerase I-like protein C
in African trypanosomes. Journal of Cell Science. 2020 May 1;133(9):jcs233072.

16.   Horn D. Genome-scale RNAi screens in African trypanosomes. Trends in
Parasitology. 2022 Feb;38(2):160–73.

17. Sunter JD. A vanillic acid inducible expression system for Trypanosoma brucei.
Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology. 2016 May;207(1):45–8.

18. Li FJ, Xu ZS, Aye HM, Brasseur A, Lun ZR, Tan KSW, et al. An efficient cumate-
inducible system for procyclic and bloodstream form Trypanosoma brucei. Molecular
and Biochemical Parasitology. 2017 Jun;214:101–4.

19. Armstrong R, Romprey MJ, Raughley HM, Delzell SB, Frost MP, Chambers J, et al.
An independently tunable dual control system for RNAi complementation in
Trypanosoma brucei. Yurchenko V, editor. PLoS One. 2025 May 12;20(5):e0321334.

20. Lamb JR, Fu V, Wirtz E, Bangs JD. Functional Analysis of the Trypanosomal AAA
ProteinTbVCP with trans-Dominant ATP Hydrolysis Mutants. Journal of Biological
Chemistry. 2001 Jun;276(24):21512–20.

21. Wang Z, Morris JC, Drew ME, Englund PT. Inhibition of Trypanosoma brucei Gene
Expression by RNA Interference Using an Integratable Vector with Opposing T7
Promoters. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2000 Dec;275(51):40174–9.

22. Wirtz E, Leal S, Ochatt C, Cross GeorgeAM. A tightly regulated inducible expression
system for conditional gene knock-outs and dominant-negative genetics in
Trypanosoma brucei. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology. 1999 Mar;99(1):89–
101.

23. Huang Y, Dong X, Sun SY, Lim TK, Lin Q, He CY. ARL3 GTPases facilitate ODA16
unloading from IFT in motile cilia. Sci Adv. 2024 Sep 6;10(36):eadq2950.

24. Carter M, Gomez S, Gritz S, Larson S, Silva-Herzog E, Kim HS, et al. A
Trypanosoma brucei ORFeome-Based Gain-of-Function Library Identifies Genes
That Promote Survival during Melarsoprol Treatment. Phillips M, editor. mSphere.
2020 Oct 28;5(5):e00769-20.

25. Budzak J, Siegel TN. Next generation genetic screens in kinetoplastids. Nucleic
Acids Research. 2025 Jun 6;53(11):gkaf515.

26. Wirtz E, Clayton C. Inducible Gene Expression in Trypanosomes Mediated by a
Prokaryotic Repressor. Science. 1995 May 26;268(5214):1179–83.

27. Zhao X, He Y, Zhang F, Aphasizheva I, Aphasizhev R, Zhang L. Comparative
mitochondrial genome and transcriptome analyses reveal strain-specific features of
RNA editing in *Trypanosoma brucei*. Nucleic Acids Research. 2025 Jul
8;53(13):gkaf661.

28. Bruhn DF, Mozeleski B, Falkin L, Klingbeil MM. Mitochondrial DNA polymerase
POLIB is essential for minicircle DNA replication in African trypanosomes. Molecular
Microbiology. 2010 Mar;75(6):1414–25.

29. Sadiq AY, Bilbis LS, Andrew O, Yusuf H. Synthesis and in vitro anti-trypanosomal
activity of Vanillic Acid and Para- Hydroxybenzoic Acid on T. congolense. 2021;

Figure 1: Triple Inducer Systems

Figure 2: Independent gene expression in IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} cells

Figure 3: Concurrent Gene Expression in IBComp-eGFP^{PHIT} cells

Figure 4: Temporal Expression of eGFP in the Triple Inducer System

A

B

C