1 **EEG Phase Slips Reveal Detailed Brain Activity Patterns of Novice Vipassana Meditators**
2 **During Decision Making Tasks**
3

4 Ratna Jyothi Kakumanu[1]¶, Ajay Kumar Nair[2]¶, Arun Sasidharan[3], Rahul Venugopal[3], Ravindra P
5 Nagendra[3]*, Bindu Kutty[3], Ceon Ramon[4, 5]*
6
1.   Innovation Incubator Inc., Manhasset Hills, NY 11040, USA
2.   Center for Brain and Mind (CBM), Department of Psychiatry, National Institute of
Mental Health and Neuro Sciences (NIMHANS), Bengaluru, Karnataka, India.
3.   Center for Consciousness Studies (CCS), Department of Neurophysiology, National
Institute of Mental Health and Neuro Sciences (NIMHANS), Bengaluru, Karnataka, India
4, 5   Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering[4] and Regional Epilepsy Center[5],
University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, U.S.A.
14
Ratna Jyothi Kakumanu   jyothi.maanu@gmail.com   ORCID: 0000-0003-3918-2772
Ajay Kumar Nair   ajay.nimhans@gmail.com   ORCID: 0000-0003-3892-6979
Arun Sasidharan   arunsasi84@gmail.com   ORCID: 0000-0001-7090-2315
Rahul Venugopal   rahul.nimhans@gmail.com   ORICID: 0000-0001-5348-8845
Ravendra P Nagendra   ravindrapinna@gmail.com   ORCID: 0000-0002-8243-8684
Bindu Kutty   bindu.nimhans@gmail.com   ORCID: 0000-0001-7483-9675
Ceon Ramon   ceon@uw.edu, ceon@u.washington.edu   ORCID: 0000-0001-8675-613X
22
23 * Corresponding authors for publication: Ravindra P Nagendra, Ceon Ramon
24 * Corresponding author for review: Ceon Ramon. ceon@uw.edu, ceon@u.washington.edu
25
26 ¶ These authors contributed equally to this work

27
28 Short Title: EEG phase slips for Novice Vipassana Meditators
29
30 ---------
31   yyyy-mm-dd
32 Revision 0:   2025-09-05   (Original Submission)

Abstract

Our study adopted a novel approach, utilizing four biomarkers, namely EEG potentials, their first-order derivatives, and phase slip rates derived from each, to discern the differences between novice Vipassana (NVP) subjects and non-meditator controls (NMC). Phase slip rates are discontinuities in instantaneous phase that represent cortical phase transitions, indicating a significant change in the overall brain state. We employed 128-channel EEG data from eight NMC and eight NVP subjects, collected during a gamified protocol, to investigate object identification within a visual oddball paradigm. EEG was continuously acquired during the first 50 trials for each subject. We retained 44 artifact-free trials per subject (the minimum common across all subjects) and computed within-subject averages. The EEGs were then averaged over NMC and NVP subjects. The EEG was filtered in the alpha band, and the phase was extracted using the Hilbert transform, unwrapped, and the phase slip rates were computed. A montage layout of electrodes was used to make the spatiotemporal plots of biomarkers. Our findings revealed that the spatiotemporal profiles of all four biomarkers differed significantly between the two groups of subjects. Furthermore, the NVP subjects demonstrated faster object recognition. These results not only provide a unique method to use phase slip rates to quantify cognitive differences between NMC and NVP subjects but also present a promising set of biomarkers for quantitative task-based EEG analyses.

Keywords: EEG phase slips, Cortical Phase Transitions, EEG derivatives, Vipassana EEG, Phase Slip Biomarkers, Meditation.

Abbreviations

55	**Abbreviations**	
56		
57	EEG	Electroencephalogram or Electroencephalography
58	EEG′	First order derivative (d/dt) of EEG
59	NMC	Non-Meditator Controls
60	NVP	Novice Vipassana
61	RDM*	Relative Difference Measure
62	PSR	Phase Slip Rate or Phase Slip Rates
63	PSR1	Phase Slip Rate extracted from EEG
64	PSR2	Phase Slip Rate extracted from EEG′
65	Poststimulus+	Combined duration of stimulus and poststimulus period

A.   Introduction

Phase slips derived from the EEG, a critical biomarker, have been extensively studied to

understand cortical neurodynamics under various conditions. These conditions include visual

object naming tasks, insight moments [1], dynamics of epileptic seizure evolutions [2], and the

formation of propagating spatiotemporal phase cone structures on the cortical surfaces [2, 3]. In

all of these studies, there is often additional information about the cortical activity not seen in the

power spectral density or phase synchronization analysis of EEG data. Our objective in the

present study is to investigate whether additional information can be derived from phase slips

extracted from the EEG of meditative subjects, particularly for novice Vipassana (NVP) subjects

in decision-making tasks. Our results indicate that this approach is feasible, offering a promising

avenue for future research in meditation and EEG data analysis.

Phase slips are generally extracted from the EEG data using Hilbert transform techniques. Phase

slips are generally extracted from the EEG data using Hilbert transform techniques. However, we

have recently demonstrated that these phase slips can also be extracted from the derivatives of

EEG data [4], which provides additional information about cortical neurodynamics compared to

the phase slips extracted from EEG alone. Here we have written the first order derivative (d/dt)

of EEG as EEG′. The phase slip rates extracted from EEG are written as PSR1, and those

extracted from EEG′ as PSR2. In the present study, we examined the spatiotemporal behavior of

four quantities, viz., EEG, EEG′, PSR1, and PSR2 of novice Vipassana (NVP) meditation

subjects and compared them with the spatiotemporal behavior of non-meditator control (NMC)

subjects. As summarized in the abstract, our results indicate there are quantifiable differences

between NMC and NVP subjects. In addition, we examined the different stages of visual object

recognition between NMC and NVP subjects, as well as the time it takes to recognize an object.

A detailed analysis of N1, P1, and P2 visual evoked potentials [5] and their corresponding phase

slip rates was performed, and there were noticeable differences between NMC and NVP subjects.

The insight moments are also called 'Eureka' moments when one recognizes an object or

spontaneously finds a solution to a problem [1, 6]. To our knowledge, these results are new and

open a new way to quantify the effects of meditation on cortical neurodynamics by use of

biomarkers based on phase slip rates, such as PSR1 and PSR2.

In the following sections, we provide a brief overview of the necessary background material on

cortical phase transitions, phase slips, Vipassana meditation, and insight moments, which will

help clarify our methods and results. Details of the methods, results, and discussions are

presented in the subsequent sections.

B.   Background Material

B.1   Criticality, Phase Transitions, and Phase Slips

Criticality refers to the condition of a system that is finely balanced between order and disorder

such that a small perturbation can yield large effects [7]. The sudden large shift in the state of the

system is called a phase transition. An example of the critical point is the triple point (273.16

Kelvin, 611.73 Pascal) of water, where it can exist in all three phases of solid, liquid, and gas,

and a slight change in the temperature or pressure could cause a shift from one state to the other,

e.g., from solid to liquid, etc. Other examples of criticality and phase transitions in nature include

superconductivity, ferromagnetism, neuronal activity, and swarm technology [8, 9]. Another

typical example of self-organized criticality is the sandpile model [10, 11], where one continually

adds sand to a vertical pile until it collapses, triggering cascading avalanches.

Phase slips are discontinuities in rhythmic activity [12, 13] and arise due to the shift from the

coordinated synchronous rhythmic activity to more independent asynchronous firing of a group

of neurons in the cortex. This generally happens at mesoscopic scales in the brain, but could also

occur at larger interconnected areas in the whole brain. The short (i.e., local) and the long (i.e.,

global) range electrical interconnections in the brain happen over the white matter fiber

pathways. Due to these interconnected regions, one can observe phase slips in the invasive ECoG

(Electrocorticogram) recordings and noninvasive EEG (Electroencephalogram) recordings. These

phase slips represent cortical phase transitions, indicating a significant change in the overall brain

state. These changes in brain states could be related to cognitive processes, such as learning or

memory, or changes in internal or external stimuli [1, 13]. Examples of internal stimuli include a

shift in attention or a change in an internal thought process. Examples of external stimuli include

audio or visual stimuli, temperature, pressure, or even social interactions. All of these could

cause a phase transition leading toward the formation of a phase slip.

Phase transitions in the brain occur because the electrical activity of the whole cortex is generally

in a metastable state, very close to a critical point, and a slight disturbance could cause a phase

transition [14, 15]. The phase transitions produce bursts of neural oscillations, which show up as

small perturbations in the EEG, MEG, or ECoG data, which becomes a site for the formation of

phase slips. Most of these behaviors of criticality in nature can be modeled with an Ising model

of ferromagnetism, which has also been applied to the modeling of avalanches in networked

neurons [16]. Some other models based on nonlinear many-body field dynamics have also been

proposed for the formation of phase slips at mesoscopic scales in the brain [13, 17, 18]. Thus, in

summary, one can consider phase slips as a reliable biomarker to study brain behavior, which has

been applied to study the cognitive behavior of the brain from EEG [1] and localization of

epileptogenic sites from ECoG [3] and EEG [19] data. In the present report, we are applying

these phase slip techniques to study the cognitive behavior of novice Vipassana subjects during

decision-making processes.

B.2   Vipassana Meditation and Brain Processes

Vipassana meditation, as outlined in the Pāli Canon, is rooted in the direct experience of the

Buddha and the precise method he used to attain enlightenment. As such, it occupies a central

place in Buddhist teachings. Vipassana is a comprehensive system of mental cultivation and a

transformative process aimed at elevating consciousness [20]. In contemporary neuropsychology,

Vipassana is often equated with "mindfulness" and has been widely adopted as a clinical

intervention for managing emotional distress, maladaptive behaviors, and psychosomatic

conditions [21]. An expanding body of research highlights its efficacy in enhancing

psychological and physical well-being [22, 23], making it one of the most extensively studied

psychotherapeutic practices globally. The practice has attracted increasing interest in

neuroscience for its potential to foster cognitive flexibility, emotional regulation, and

psychological resilience [24, 25].

Despite the growing empirical interest, many studies have neglected the underlying philosophical

context of meditation and its broader implications for consciousness and well-being [26].

Moreover, existing research often centers on Western adaptations of Vipassana. Studies on

incarcerated populations completing the traditional 10-day courses have found reduced

recidivism, depression, anxiety, hostility, and substance use [27]. Studies among experienced

Vipassana practitioners in the classical tradition of Sayagyi U Ba Khin, as taught by S.N.

Goenka, have identified proficiency-specific psycho-neural correlates of well-being [28, 29].

This tradition aims at a radical transformation of consciousness through a sequence of three

interdependent meditative techniques designed to accommodate a wide range of temperaments

while maintaining internal coherence (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the Vipassana practice in the tradition of Sayagyi U Ba Khin

173   as taught by S.N. Goenka.

The training begins with Ānāpāna Sati (Focused Attention Meditation), in which attention is

anchored to the breath. This practice cultivates sustained attention, heightened awareness, and

perceptual acuity while reducing habitual mind-wandering [30].

Next, during Vipassana Bhāvanā (Insight Meditation, Mindfulness or Open Monitoring

Meditation), practitioners learn to experience the phenomena within the mind-body continuum

with objective awareness. These phenomena, including thoughts and feelings, both pleasant and

unpleasant, are seen as transient, insubstantial, and ultimately dissatisfactory. Through this

sustained, non-reactive observation, the practitioner gains direct insight into the impermanent

and impersonal nature of phenomena. Over time, one learns to disidentify from habitual

responses of craving and aversion, seen as sources of suffering, and begins to experience

increasing inner stability and self-mastery [30, 31, 32].

Finally, Mettā Bhāvanā (Loving-Kindness/Compassion Meditation) involves the deliberate

cultivation and radiance of goodwill toward oneself and all beings, free from bias or reservation.

This phase helps dissolve self-centered patterns and reinforce the equanimity gained through

Vipassana, supporting the integration of insight into daily life [30]. Throughout Mettā practice,

the practitioner maintains an objective stance, extending compassionate awareness without

expectation.

These three practices are not discrete but are interwoven, each reinforcing the others in a unified

framework for inner transformation [33]. Taken together, they engage cognitive, affective,

attitudinal, and ethical dimensions, forming a deeply introspective and socially relevant practice

[20].

B.3   Insight Moments and Visual Evoked Potentials

Insight moments are also called 'Aha!' or 'Eureka' moments, which relate to suddenly or

spontaneously finding a solution to a problem. A well-known example is the famous 'Eureka'

moment of Archimedes. The 'Eureka' moment is part of the four stages of creativity suggested by

Graham Wallas in 1926, which are commonly called: Preparation, Incubation, Illumination, and

Verification [34, 35, 36]. Illumination is the 'Eureka' moment. These insight moments can be

studied with EEGs, either in the resting state or in evoked response potentials studies, such as

looking at a picture on a computer screen while collecting the EEG data [1, 6, 37, 38, 39].

The prominent peaks of visual evoked potentials, P1, N1, and P2, are integral to insight

moments, which are generally observed within the first 300 ms from the start of the stimulus

onset. After that, within the 0.3 s to 1.2 s time frame from the stimulus onset, several other

prominent peaks are also related to different stages of insight moments, allowing the subject to

recognize the name, shape, and form of the object on the computer screen and compare them

with what is stored in the subject's memory [1]. Thus, analysis of spatial profiles of EEG and

phase slip rates for these peaks and their differences between NMC and NVP subjects is an

important topic of investigation. An interesting observation from our results was that there was

no remarkable difference in the latency of P1, N1, and P2 peaks for the NMC and NVP subjects.

However, there were some noticeable differences in the spatial profiles of these peaks for NMC

and NVP subjects. More details are given in the results section.

C.   Materials and Methods

C.1   Data Collection Protocols

High-density EEG data of 16 healthy adult participants (8 NMC, 8 NVP) were used in this study.

Their data sets were previously collected as part of a larger study [28. 29] at the National Institute

of Mental Health and Neurosciences (NIMHANS), Bangalore, in accordance with the approved

guidelines for human subjects research. A 128-channel EGI system (Electrical Geodesics, Inc.,

Eugene, OR, USA; now part of Magstim Inc., 1626 Terrace Dr, Roseville, MN 55113) was used

for the data collection. Details on the task parameters have been previously reported [40]. In

brief, participants engaged in 'ANGEL', a gamified audiovisual oddball task with three levels of

complexity, presented in 16 blocks each. The first two blocks of data from the second level

('Whodunnit'), comprising of 50 trials were used for the present study. Each block had a salient

image (black and white face, shape, or a distorted image) presented on one side of a fixation sign,

and a non-salient image (black and white checkerboard) presented on the other side. Further,

images of one salient image type were presented frequently on one side in a block (for example, a

face presented on the right of the fixation) and represented the 'frequent' condition. About 20%

of the time ('rare' trial condition), a salient image of one of the other three image types was

presented on the other side (for example, shape to the left of the fixation). Participants were

asked to press one of two buttons to indicate if the salient image appeared on the left or the right

of the fixation. Figure 2 shows an example of the sequence of images.

Fig. 2. Protocol Diagram from earlier publication. Representative examples of two types of task

247   blocks. (From Nair et al., 2016).

C.2   Preprocessing of the EEG Data

We used MATLAB (MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA) and EEGLAB software 41] for

preprocessing the EEG data, phase slip computations, and visualization of results. The data was

filtered with an equiripple filter in a 3–49 Hz broadband and then re-referenced to the common

averaged reference. The upper frequency of 49 Hz was selected to eliminate the contamination

from the power-line frequency of 50 Hz in India, where the data sets were collected. Using the

ICA (independent component analysis) techniques, the muscle, eyeblink, and heartbeat artifacts

were removed and then referenced to the common average reference. After that, the data were

averaged over trials for each subject. For one subject, we found 44 good trials out of 50. For

consistency, we chose 44 good trials out of 50 for all subjects. The averaged trials for eight NMC

and eight VPN subjects were averaged. This way, at the end, we had one grand-averaged data set

for NMC subjects and another similar data set for NVP subjects. These data sets were then

referenced to the common averaged reference for each channel, filtered in the alpha (7–12 Hz)

band with an equiripple filter, and then used for phase slip extraction and PSR computations.

An example of the EEG data after preprocessing is shown in Fig. 3. It is only for two electrodes,

one in the frontocentral area (Fig. 3A) and the other in the right visual area (Fig. 3B). Their

spatial location is shown in Fig. 3C. The periods of prestimulus, stimulus, and poststimulus

period are marked on the top of the EEG plot in Fig. 3A. For analysis and display the time scale

for the prestimulus period is -1.0 s to 0.0 s, for the stimulus period is from 0.0 s to 0.240 s, and

for the poststimulus period is from 0.240 s to 2.0 s, respectively. The prestimulus period is also

commonly referred to as the baseline period. Additionally, we use the terminology

'poststimulus+' to refer to the combined duration of the stimulus and poststimulus period from

0.0 s to 2.0 s.

Fig. 3. An example of an EEG trace after preprocessing for two electrodes in a 3-49 Hz

broadband. (A) EEG from one of the electrodes in the frontocentral area, (B) EEG from

one of the electrodes in the right visual area, and (C) spatial profile of EEG potentials at

the P1 peak of the NVP subject. Note that the time series profiles of PSRs at two

electrodes are significantly different during the 0.0-1.0 s period, when the subject is

visualizing and analyzing the image of the object during covert object naming tasks.

The EEG plot of the right visual electrode (Fig. 3B) has three prominent peaks marked as P1, N1,

and P2, which are typical visual event-related potentials [5] observed when one begins to look at

an object. It is part of the perception cycle during the stimulus period. The same peaks are also

marked in Fig. 3A for the frontocentral electrode. Notice that the polarity of the peaks is reversed

in Fig. 3A compared to Fig. 3B. For simplicity, we will use the positive and negative

nomenclature of the peaks from the visual electrode in Fig. 3B.

An interesting feature is that these peaks for NMC and NVP subjects are very close. This would

suggest two things. First, our data collection and stimulus protocol are robust, so the data

collected over several days does not smear the prominent peaks in the EEG data. The second

point is that the initial response of the brain to a visual stimulus is very similar in NMC and NVP

subjects. For the NVP subjects, these P1, N1, and P2 peaks are located at 115 ms, 214 ms, and

303 ms, respectively, from the start of the stimulus period at 0.0 s. The location of these peaks

for the NMC subjects is at 122 ms, 190 ms, and 305 ms, respectively.

During the period of 0.4 to 1.0 s, there are several prominent peaks with different locations are

observed for NMC and NVP subjects. These peaks belong to the various stages of the insight

moments [1, 37] related to the decision-making and object recognition processes, such as

searching for the name and form of the object in the memory [1]. These insight moments are also

called "Aha" moments or "Eureka" moments, and can be studied during both the stimulus period

[6] and/or during the poststimulus period [1, 42, 43]. A detailed analysis of these insight

moments for NMC and NVP subjects is given in the Results section.

A note about the spatial plot in Fig. 3C, which will also apply to subsequent spatial plots. The

head circle represents the largest possible diameter of the head, as seen from the top, and it

covers most of the electrodes on top of the head, positioned slightly above the level of the

eyebrows. In addition, there are several lower-level electrodes positioned around the head, which

are located below the eyebrows and extending down to ear level. The electrical activity on these

electrodes is shown outside the head circle, and electrical activity will be picked up from the

lower, i.e., inferior, and deeper parts of the brain. There are some electrodes on the forehead,

whose electrical activity will be included outside the head circle above the nose in spatial plots.

A few of the electrodes on the face are not included in the spatial plots. More details about this

can be inferred from the topographic plot script (topoplot.m) included in the EEGLAB software.

C.3   Phase Slip Rate Computations

The procedures to compute PSR are described in our previous studies [1, 4]. Similar procedures

have been used here. The sawtooth patterns of the phase were extracted from the EEG data using

the Hilbert transform, which, on unwrapping and detrending, shows phase slips at the locations

of small perturbations in the EEG data related to cortical phase transitions. A pictorial

representation of phase slip extraction from EEG and its first derivative is given in Fig. 4. It

shows the EEG and its first order derivative, EEG′ from one of the electrodes in the front central

area, sawtooth pattern of the phase, unwrapped phase with one of the large episodic phase shifts,

and several of the large sharp and small broad phase slips. Our interest will be only in the phase

slips in the alpha band of 7-12 Hz, and, thus, larger phase slips will not be counted in PSR

computations. The PSR1 is from the EEG, and the PSR2 is from the first derivative of the EEG,

respectively. Here, EEG voltage is represented as a time series data: $y = y_1 + y_2 + ... + y_n$, and y'

is *(dy/dt)* of the time series. The y' was computed by the first order differencing of the time series

y and then dividing it by the digitization interval. A similar representation has been used earlier

[4, 44].

Fig. 4. A pictorial representation of phase slip extraction steps. Plots in the left column are

marked in (A) for the phase slips extraction from the EEG, and, similarly, the right

column (B) is for the phase slips extraction from EEG′, i.e., from the first order

derivative of EEG. The top row is the EEG and EEG′, the second row from the top is the

sawtooth pattern of the phase, the third row from the top is the unwrapped phase, and the

bottom row shows some of the phase slips.

The PSR from the phase slips was calculated in a stepping window of 10 ms duration with a step

size of one digitization point equal to 1.0 ms based on the sampling rate of 1 kHz. The window

size is selected based on the Shanon entropy function [45, 46, 47] such that it is short enough to

capture the information (lower entropy) but not wide enough to smear (higher entropy) the

information. For our case, a window size of 10 ms will give us a maximum value of PSR of 10

counts/10 ms if there were phase slips at each digitization point. In general, it will be difficult to

find phase slips at each digitization point, and thus, PSR will be less than 10 counts in each

window. The step size of one digitization point, i.e., 1.0 ms, is 10% of the window size, which

provides smooth transitions without smearing the information content in going from one stepping

window to the next. Also, this window size is approximately 7% of the time period of the lowest

frequency (7 Hz) of interest in the alpha band, and the step size is adequate to avoid aliasing

errors due to undersampling.

These procedures were used for computing phase slip rates, PSR1 from the EEG data, and PSR2

from the first order derivative of the EEG data. The spatial plots of EEG, PSR1, and PSR2 were

constructed with a montage layout of 128 electrode positions on a flat surface.

To separate the phase slips arising from the biological processes versus the random noise, several

criteria were applied, which we have used before [4]. These include: (1) phase slip frequency is

within the alpha band of 7–12 Hz, (2) sign of the positive or negative peaks did not change for at

least three consecutive time steps, and (3) the magnitude of the three consecutive peaks was

within the mean $\pm 1.05\sigma$ of the three peaks, where σ is the standard deviation of the mean. The

application of these criteria significantly reduced the counting of phase slips due to random

noise, while simultaneously maximizing the counting of phase slips from biological processes.

C.4   Surrogate Data Testing

After filtering in the alpha band, the PSR of random noise was computed from the randomly

shuffled EEG data. We used the 'randperm' command in the MATLAB software for this. These

techniques have been used before by us [1, 2, 4] and also described in a recent paper [48] on

surrogate data analysis. The power spectral density of the randomly shuffled EEG data was

significantly different from the original EEG data, and it was close to a white noise. The phase

slips were extracted from the randomized EEG data and then randomly shuffled. After that, the

PSR1 was computed in a stepping window of 10 ms duration with a step size of one digitization

point. The mean and standard deviations of PSR1 were found to be zero after averaging over

n = 100 trials. A similar analysis was also performed on the PSR2 derived from the first order

derivatives of the randomly shuffled EEG data. It was found that in this case also, the mean and

standard deviations of PSR2 from the shuffled data were zero after averaging over n = 100 trials.

This shows that our reported results are from the biological processes extracted from the EEG

data and are above the results from the random noise.

C.5   Statistical Analysis

To compare two data sets, we used a paired Student's t-test or histogram distributions. However,

these two tests often fail if the means and standard deviations of two distributions are very close

to each other. Similarly, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test also fails if two cumulative distribution

functions are similar, even though there are observable and quantifiable differences in their

spatial plots. In such situations, the Relative Difference Measure (*RDM**) and the Magnification

Factor (*MAG*) are considered to be better choices than the Student's t-test, the

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, or the histogram distribution to compare two spatial plots of similar

values [2, 45]. It is a prevalent technique to quantify the differences between two spatial plots of

data, such as in EEG spatial plots [2, 45]. Most of our results are spatial plots of EEG or phase

slip rates. The *RDM** is defined as:

$$RDM^* = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left[\frac{Z_k^{RM}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(Z_k^{RM}\right)^2}} - \frac{Z_k^{TM}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(Z_k^{TM}\right)^2}}\right]^2} \qquad (1)$$

401   where index, $j=1{:}m$, runs over all 128 electrodes. The Z_k^{RM} are the values for the reference

402   measurement, and Z_k^{TM} are the values of the test measurements. As an example, it could be the

403   EEG potential at the kth electrode for the reference measurements (RM) and the test

404   measurements (TM), respectively. The magnification factor is defined as:

$$MAG = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(Z_k^{TM}\right)^2}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(Z_k^{RM}\right)^2}} \qquad (2)$$

The *RDM** is a measure of the difference in spatial profiles of two data sets, and *MAG* is a

measure of differences in the magnitude of the two data sets. If two data sets are the same, *RDM**

will be zero and *MAG* will be unity. The degree of differences is quantified with higher values of

RDM* in the range of 0.0 to 1.0. The maximum value of *RDM** is 1.0 if the test measurements

are zero. The variation in the value of *MAG* from unity will quantify the differences in the

magnitude of the two data sets. Values of *MAG* greater than 1.0 would mean that the test

measurements (TM) are higher in magnitude as compared with the reference measurements

(RM). And the reverse is true if *MAG* is less than 1.0.

To compare the means of more than two data sets, we used ANOVA (Analysis of Variance).

Histogram plots of data sets were also made. Mean and standard deviations of two histogram

plots were computed and compared. In addition, two histogram distributions were also compared

with their RMS bin count differences, *RMS H$_{diff}$*, defined as:

$$RMS\ H_{diff} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}((H_1(i) - H_2(i))^2} \qquad \textbf{(3)}$$

where H_1 and H_2 are two histogram distributions with N bins.

C.6   Computational Resources

The data analysis was performed on a desktop computer with an 8-core CPU and 112 GB of

memory using EEGLAB [41]. For computations of PSR requiring more significant (>112 GB)

memory, we used MATLAB software at the UCSD (University of California, San Diego)

supercomputing center using their NSG (Neuroscience Gateway) portal.

D.   Results

D.1   Non-meditator Control (NMC) Subjects

The temporal plots of EEG, EEG′, PSR1, and PSR2 of one of the electrodes in the front central

area are given in Fig. 5. During the stimulus and poststimulus periods, the prominent

event-related potential peaks are recognizable in the top left plot of broadband EEG in the 3-49

Hz, which are difficult to see in the alpha band EEG plot, (left and right middle plots). The

prominent peaks in the EEG are difficult to recognize in the plot of the EEG′ (top right plot).

They tend to disappear with the first-order differentiation of the EEG. However, the small

high-frequency perturbations in the EEG are amplified due to the removal of linear trends

through differentiation. In the alpha band, one can see the presence of the alpha wave spindling

and small perturbations in the EEG and also in the EEG′.

Fig. 5. Temporal plots of quantities of one of the electrodes in the front central area for non-

meditator control (NMC) subjects. (Top left) EEG in 3-49 Hz band, (top right) EEG′ in

3-49 Hz band, (middle left) EEG filtered in the alpha band of 7-12 Hz, (middle right)

EEG′ filtered in the alpha band of 7-12 Hz, (bottom left) phase slip rate PSR1 extracted

from EEG in the alpha band, and (bottom right) phase slip rate PSR2 extracted from

EEG′ in the alpha band. Notice the prominent peaks in PSR1 and PSR2 related to brain

activity during the visual object recognition tasks. The prestimulus period is from -1.0 to

0.0 s. The combined stimulus and poststimulus period, referred to as the poststimulus+

period, spans from 0.0 to 2.0 seconds.

The PSR1, computed from the EEG data filtered in the alpha (7-12 Hz) band, provides crucial

insights. Notably, the PSR1 plot reveals a small and sharp large peak between -0.9 s and -0.45 s

during the prestimulus period. These peaks are associated with the background activity of the

brain while looking at the checkerboard pattern during the prestimulus period. The broad peak

during the poststimulus period, stretching from 0.15 s to 0.95 s, with the prominent peak centered

at 0.6 s, is equally significant. This peak is related to the initial response of the visual cortex and

the recognition of the object in the language and memory areas of the brain, a common

occurrence in the insight moment analysis of visual object naming [1]. The broad peak between

1.35 and 1.9 s is probably related to the brain returning to the background activity after the end of

visual object naming processes.

The plot of PSR2 reveals the rate of change in the neuronal population participating in the

formation of scalp EEG. It exhibits a bifurcated peak between -0.8 and -0.2 s during the

prestimulus period, which correlates with the background activity of the brain while viewing the

checkerboard pattern. During the first second of the combined stimulus and poststimulus period,

a broad peak is observed from 0.0 to 0.8 s, with the main peak at 0.45 s, which is likely related to

the recognition of the object in memory and language areas [1, 37]. The several peaks from 1.0 s

to 2.0 s correspond to the return to background activity.

The spatial plots of the root mean square (RMS) of EEG and EEG′, and mean values of PSR1

and PSR2 for NMC subjects are given in Fig. 6. These values were computed within a stepping

window of 0.5 s covering the period of -1.0 to 2.0 s.

Fig. 6. Spatiotemporal plots of the root mean square (RMS) values of EEG and its first order

derivative (EEG′) and their associated mean values of phase slip rates for non-meditator

482   control (NMC) subjects. The RMS and mean values were computed within a 0.5 s

483   stepping window. The temporal duration for each frame is given at the top. (A) The top

484   two rows are for the RMS EEG and the mean PSR1, respectively. (B) The bottom two

485   rows are for the RMS EEG′ and the mean PSR2. Notice the shifting spatial patterns of all

486   four quantities.

Fig. 6 is divided into sections (A) and (B). The top two rows in section (A) present the spatial

plots of RMS EEG and the mean values of associated phase slip rate, i.e., mean of PSR1

(#/(10 ms)), respectively. The RMS and mean values were computed within a 0.5-s stepping

window. Similarly, the bottom two rows marked as section (B) are the spatial plots of the RMS

value of the first-order derivative of EEG, i.e., EEG′, and the mean values of its associated phase

slip rate, PSR2 (#/(10 ms)), respectively. The frame numbers and their temporal durations are

given at the top. The first two frames, i.e., Frame 1 and Frame 2, are during the prestimulus

period. The Frame 3 from 0.0 s to 0.5 s is for the combined period of stimulus (0.0 to 0.240 s)

and part of the poststimulus (0.240 s to 0.5 s) period. The remaining three frames are for the

poststimulus period.

In all frames, RMS EEG activity is intense in the forehead area above the nasion point,

corresponding to the brain activity in the brain's frontal area responsible for the emotional

responses to external stimuli, such as visual images on the computer screen. In Frame 1 and

Frame 2, it is subdued, begins to increase in Frame 3, and becomes strongest in Frame 4. After

that, it starts to decrease in subsequent Frames 5 and 6. There is another activity spot in the left

frontocentral area, which is present in all six frames. It is of moderate strength (0.2 µV) during

the prestimulus period but becomes stronger during the poststimulus period, with the most

substantial value of 0.3 µV in Frame 4. The mean PSR1 plots (Fig. 6A, bottom row) differ from

RMS EEG plots. There is a bright spot near the right ear in the central right temporal area. It is

present during the stimulus period and also during the poststimulus period. Its activity is

noticeable in Frames 3 to 4 during the poststimulus period and almost disappears in Frame 6.

There is also an active spot in the right frontocentral area, located just to the right side, below the

nose. The activity at this spot is high in Frames 3 and 4, which matches the several peaks of

event-related potentials in the EEG during this period (Fig. 5, top left plot). An interesting feature

to note is that mean PSR1 activity is widespread in the lower half of the plot, with some areas in

the central and parietal regions, as well as others near the left and right edges of the plot. The

electrodes located below the skull capture the electrical activity from the deeper areas of the

brain, including the thalamus and amygdala. These corresponding features are not visible in the

plots of the RMS EEG.

The spatial plots of RMS EEG′ and the corresponding mean PSR2 are given in Fig. 6B. The

spatial features in RMS EEG and RMS EEG′ are very similar, which is expected because EEG′

is the first order derivative of the EEG. Similarly, the spatial patterns of mean PSR2 are similar

to those of mean PSR1, representing the rate of change of the neuronal population involved in

cortical electrical activity.

A one-way ANOVA analysis was performed on the six frames of RMS EEG, and it was found

that the mean of all six frames were significantly ($p < 0.01$) different from each other. A similar

analysis was performed on the remaining three quantities, namely, RMS EEG′, mean PSR1, and

mean PSR2. Results were similar, i.e., the means of the six frames for each quantity were

significantly ($p < 0.01$) different from one another. A comparative analysis of the six spatial plots

of EEG and PSR1 was done with the paired Student's t-test, and it was found that each frame was

significantly ($p < 0.01$) different from the others. A similar analysis was performed on each frame

of RMS EEG′ and the mean PSR2, and it was found that they were significantly ($p < 0.01$)

different from each other.

D.3   Novice Vipassana (NVP) Subjects

All quantities related to one of the frontocentral electrodes for NVP subjects are given in Fig. 7.

There are well-defined and recognizable peaks in the EEG during the prestimulus, stimulus, and

poststimulus periods. During the prestimulus period, the prominent peaks are located at -0.88 s,

-0.793 s, and -0.67 s. These peaks are related to the background activity of looking at the

checkerboard pattern on the computer screen. During the stimulus and poststimulus periods, the

prominent peaks are at: 0.172 s, 0.4 s, 0.56 s, 0.702 s, and 0.903 s. These peaks are related to

insight moments [37] for visualization and recognition of the object during the stimulus and

poststimulus periods [1]. Their temporal location for NVP subjects differs from that of the NMC

subjects. This is also reflected in the plot of PSR1. The prominent peaks are at -0.544 s, 0.436 s,

0.65 s, 0.823 s, and 1.503 s. These plots suggest that the timings for the object recognition steps

for NVP subjects are slightly different from those of NMC subjects.

Fig. 7. Temporal plots of quantities of one of the electrodes in the front central area for novice

552   Vipassana (NVP) subjects. (Top left) EEG in 3-49 Hz band, (top right) EEG' in 3-49 Hz

553   band, (middle left) EEG filtered in the alpha band of 7-12 Hz, (middle right) EEG'

554   filtered in the alpha band of 7-12 Hz, (bottom left) phase slip rate PSR1 extracted from

555   EEG in the alpha band, and (bottom right) phase slip rate PSR2 extracted from EEG' in

556   the alpha band. Notice the prominent peaks in PSR1 and PSR2 related to brain activity

557   during the visual object recognition tasks

The spatiotemporal plots of brain activity for NVP subjects are given in Fig. 8. These plots are

slightly different from the corresponding plots of NMC subjects. There is a bright spot near the

nose in the RMS EEG plot in Frame 1. The activity related to the orbital brain is picked up by the

electrodes on the forehead above the nasion point. This disappears in the subsequent frames. In

Frame 3, there is a large bright spot at the bottom, which relates to the first impressions of the

visual stimulus during the stimulus and the start of the poststimulus period. In the following two

frames, frames 4 and 5, a low level (~ 0.1 µV) RMS EEG activity is spread in the broader area in

the left frontal, central, and left central parietal areas. These are probably related to a search for

the name and form of the stimulus object in the language and memory areas of the brain. Frame 6

represents the return to the brain's background activity while looking at the checkerboard pattern.

Fig. 8. Spatiotemporal plots of the root mean square (RMS) values of EEG and EEG′ and their

associated mean values of phase slip rates for novice Vipassana (NVP) subjects. The

RMS and mean values were computed in the stepping window of 0.5 s duration. The

temporal duration for each frame is given at the top. (A) The top two rows are for the

RMS EEG and the mean PSR1, and (B) the bottom two rows are for the RMS EEG′ and

the mean PSR2, respectively. Notice the shifting spatial patterns of all four quantities

from Frame 1 to Frame 6.

The spatial patterns of mean PSR1 plots are different from the RMS EEG spatial plots. This is

particularly noticeable in frames 3, 4, and 5 when the bulk of the brain activity happens to

recognize the visual stimuli on the screen. The brain activity is widespread in different parts of

the brain and becomes less in Frame 5. In Frame 6, the PSR1 activity is similar to that in Frame

1, signifying that brain activity has returned to the background level at the end of the trial. The

spatial patterns of RMS EEG and RMS EEG′ are similar. Similarly, the spatial patterns of mean

PSR1 and PSR2 are very similar except in Frame 6. The PSR2 activity has two bright spots, one

near the left central temporal area and one in the left posterior area, which are absent in the PSR1

spatial plot.

A one-way ANOVA analysis was performed on the six frames of four quantities, viz., RMS

EEG, RMS EEG′, PSR1, and PSR2. It was found that the means of all six frames were

significantly (p < 0.01) different from each other for each of the four quantities. A comparative

analysis of the six spatial plots of EEG and PSR1 shown in Fig. 8 for NVP subjects was

performed using the paired Student's t-test, and it was found that each frame was significantly

different from the others (p < 0.01). A similar analysis was performed on each frame of RMS

EEG′ and the mean PSR2, and it was found that they were also significantly different from each

other (p < 0.01).

D.3   Differences between NMC and NVP Subjects

D.3.1   EEG and PSR1 Differences between NMC and NVP Subjects

The poststimulus+ values above the prestimulus, i.e., baseline values, were computed for the

NMC and NVP subjects. For these purposes, the stimulus period of 0 to 240 ms was combined

with the poststimulus from 0.24 s to 2.0 s, and it is called the poststimulus+ period. In general,

the majority of the brain response happens between 0.04 s and 1.2 s from the start of the visual

stimulus at 0.0 s [1]. The RMS EEG was computed for the pre- and poststimulus+ periods

separately. Then the prestimulus values were subtracted from the poststimulus+ values to obtain

the values above the baseline, i.e., above the prestimulus values. The same procedures were

repeated for RMS EEG′, mean PSR1, and PSR2.

Fig. 9. (A) Spatial plots of RMS EEG above the baseline of NMC and NVP subjects, (B)

corresponding spatial plots of mean PSR1 above the baseline, (C) histogram distribution

of RMS EEG values shown in spatial plots of A, and (D) histogram distribution of

PSR1values shown in spatial plots of B.

RMS EEG and mean PSR1 above the prestimulus are given in Fig. 9. The spatial plots of RMS

EEG are shown in Fig. 9A, and the mean PSR1 are given in Fig. 9B. The left plot is for NMC

subjects, and the right plot is for NVP subjects. For NMC subjects, the RMS EEG activity is very

strong at the top of the plots, which is from the electrodes on the forehead area and near the eyes.

We have removed the artifacts related to eye motion and eye blinks; thus, these electrodes show

the activity from the orbital and frontal lobes of the brain. In comparison, the frontal activity is

low for NVP subjects. In addition, for NVP subjects, there is more activity outside the head circle

in the left temporal areas. Inside the head circle for NMC subjects, there are bright spots in the

frontal and left central regions, which are less intense for NVP subjects. The paired Student's

t-test showed a significant ($p < 0.01$) difference between the spatial plots of the RMS EEG of

NMC and NVP subjects. Similarly, the RDM* and MAG values of 1.36 and 1.04, respectively,

suggest some noticeable differences between the two spatial plots. The RDM* of 1.36 would

suggest a 36% difference in spatial distribution. The MAG of 1.04 would indicate that there is a

4% difference in the magnitude between the two plots. This is very much evident in the

differences in the relative magnitude of bright spots at similar locations in the two plots. These

differences are also visible in the histogram plot of Fig. 9C. The mean values of histogram counts

are the same for both groups, but the standard deviations, σ, are different. The RMS values of

histogram bin counts for NMC and NVP subjects are 22.33 and 19.49 counts, respectively. The

RMS values of histogram bin counts for NMC and NVP subjects are 22.33 and 19.49 counts,

respectively. The RMS difference of histogram bin counts, RMS H_{diff} is 17.55 counts. This

translates to about a 42% difference for the total bin counts, and could be considered a significant

difference between the NMC and NVP subjects.

The spatial plots of PSR1 are given in Fig. 9B, and the corresponding histogram distributions are

given in Fig. 9D. For NMC subjects, the mean PSR1 is distributed all over the plot. In contrast,

for the NVP subjects, it is strong in the left and right temporal areas. Also, a bright spot near the

right ear, which does not have a corresponding high activity in the EEG plot. A paired Student's

t-test was performed on the absolute values of mean PSR1 for the NMC and NVP subjects, and it

was found that they were significantly (p < 0.05) different from each other. The *RDM** and *MAG*

values are 1.41 and 1.38, which will also suggest that the two plots are significantly different.

The mean values of histogram counts of PSR1 are the same for both groups, but the standard

deviations, σ, are different. The RMS values of histogram bin counts of PSR1 for NMC and NVP

subjects are 20.74 and 18.88 counts, respectively. The RMS difference of histogram bin counts is

6.52 counts. This translates to about a 16% difference when normalized to the total number of

bins, and could be considered a noticeable difference in the histogram counts of PSR1 between

the NMC and NVP subjects.

D.3.2 EEG′ and PSR2 Differences between NMC and NVP Subjects

RMS EEG′, mean PSR2 above the prestimulus values, and their corresponding histogram

distributions are given in Fig. 10. For NMC subjects, the RMS EEG′ activity is very strong at the

top of the plots, which is from the electrodes on the forehead area and near the eyes. In contrast,

for NVP subjects, the RMS EEG′ activity is in the left side temporal regions of the plots. The

differences in their spatial distributions are exemplified by the *RDM** value of 1.27, while their

magnitudes are very similar, as indicated by the *MAG* value of 1.08. A paired Student's t-test was

performed on the RMS EEG′ values for the NMC and NVP subjects, and it was found that they

were significantly ($p < 0.01$) different from each other. A histogram distribution of these values

are given in Fig. 10C. The mean values of histogram counts are the same for both groups, but the

standard deviations, σ, are different. The σ is ±18.56 and ±13.65 for the NMC and NVP subjects,

respectively. This translates to about a 26% difference between σ for the NMC and NVP subjects

and could be considered a significant difference between the two σ values. The RMS values of

histogram bin counts for NMC and NVP subjects are 21.79 counts and 18.21 counts,

respectively. The RMS difference of histogram bin counts, RMS H_{diff} is 16.95 counts. This

translates to about a 42% difference for the total bin counts, and could be considered a significant

difference between the NMC and NVP subjects.

Fig. 10.   (A) Spatial plots of RMS EEG′ above the baseline of NMC and NVP subjects,

                    (B) corresponding spatial plots of mean PSR2 above the baseline, (C) histogram

678   distribution of the RMS EEG′ values shown in spatial plots of A, and (D)

679   histogram distribution of PSR2 values shown in spatial plots of B.

The spatial plots of PSR2 for the NMC and NVP subjects are given in Fig. 10B. For the NMC

subject, the hot spots in PSR2 match fairly well with the hot spots in RMS EEG′. However, there

are additional hot spots for the NVP subjects in the right parietal areas, which are absent in the

RMS EEG′. A paired Student's t-test failed to show any significant differences between the

PSR2 values for the NMC and NVP subjects. However, the *RDM** of 1.34 suggests that spatial

distributions of PSR2 are significantly different from each other, as shown in Fig. 10B.

A histogram distribution of PSR2 is given in Fig. 10C. The mean values of histogram counts are

the same for both groups, but the standard deviations, σ, are different. The σ is ±11.49 and

±13.10 for the NMC and NVP subjects, respectively. This translates to about a 14% difference

between σ for the NMC and NVP subjects. The RMS values of histogram bin counts for NMC

and NVP subjects are 16.81 counts and 17.84 counts, respectively. The RMS difference of

histogram bin counts, RMS H_{diff} is 5.58 counts. This translates to approximately a 16% difference

in total bin counts, which could be considered a slight difference between the NMC and NVP

subjects.

D.4   Insight Moments of Object Recognition

EEG potentials in the broad band (3-49 Hz) and the corresponding phase slip rates in the alpha

(7-12 Hz) at one of the electrodes in the right visual cortex are presented in Fig. 11. A choice was

made to dispaly EEG potentials in the broad band because the P1, N1 and P2 peaks are easily

recognizable which are difficult to see in the EEF filtered in the alpha (7-12 Hz) band. For

reference, see Fig. 4 for NMC subjects and Fig. 6 for NVP subjects. The visual evoked potential

peaks, i.e., P1, N1, and P2, during the stimulus period (0-240 ms) are related to the perception

cycle when the subject looks at an object on the computer screen. The latency of these peaks, i.e.,

the delay between the start of the stimulus (0.0 s) and brain response, is very similar for the NMC

and NVP subjects. For NMC subjects, these peaks are located at 122 ms, 190 ms, and 305 ms,

respectively. For NVP subjects, these peaks are located at 115 ms, 214 ms, and 303 ms,

respectively. Remarkably, these peaks for the two groups of subjects are very close to each other.

Here, one group consists of eight NMC subjects, and the other consists of eight NVP subjects.

Fig. 11.   EEG potentials in the broad (3-49 Hz) band and corresponding phase slip rates in

the alpha (7-12 Hz) at one of the electrodes in the right visual cortex. (Top) EEG

potentials with prominent P1, N1, and P2 peaks marked, (middle) corresponding

PSR1, and (bottom) corresponding PSR2. Notice the subtle differences in EEG

peaks and remarkable differences in PSR1 and PSR2 between NMC and NVP

subjects.

During the poststimulus period (0.24 - 2.0 s), there are also prominent peaks in the EEG related

to brain responses while the subject is analyzing and recognizing the name and form of the object

[1]. These perception and decision-making processes extend from 0.0 s to about 1.2 s during the

stimulus and poststimulus periods. After that, between 1.2 s and 2.0 s, brain activity begins to

return to the regular background activity. Here, the background activity is related to a

checkerboard pattern, which is displayed during the prestimulus and poststimulus periods. There

are several peaks in the EEG during the poststimulus period of 0.0 to 1.2 s, which are at slightly

different locations for NMC and NVP subjects, signifying that brain processes are slightly

different for the two groups of subjects during the object recognition process.

The differences between the two groups of subjects are more pronounced when one looks at the

temporal patterns of phase slip rates, viz., PSR1 and PSR2, given in the middle and the bottom

plots of Fig. 11. The PSR1 is higher for NVP subjects as compared with NMC subjects, while

PSR2 has the opposite trend. The PSR2 represents the net rate of number of neurons taking part

in the genesis of EEG which one measures at the scalp or subdural electrodes. This suggests that

the brain processes of the NMC subjects are changing more rapidly than those of the NVP

subjects. One could probably say that the brain processes are more stable and gradually changing

for NVP subjects as compared with NMC subjects.

As stated earlier, the visual evoked potentials, P1, N1, and P2, play a prominent role in the

brain's initial response to the object on the screen. Therefore, their spatial analysis is essential and

is given in Fig. 12. There are noticeable differences in the spatial profiles of EEG for NMC and

NVP subjects. The EEG potentials of P1 for NMC subjects show strong activity in a large area in

the lower half of the plot, primarily in the visual, parietal, central, and left temporal regions. In

comparison, for NVP subjects, it is mostly concentrated in visual, parietal, and central areas with

a slight tilt toward the right side. The N1 positive potential activity for NMC subjects is

distributed mainly in the left front and central areas, while for the NVP subjects, it is the left and

right top portions of the plots. The negative components for both groups of subjects are

distributed in the lower central and right areas. For NMC subjects, it is more focused, while for

NVP subjects, it is distributed in larger areas in the lower right area of the plots. For the P2

potentials, both groups show some activity near the nose in the plots, which refers to the brain

activity measured at electrodes on the forehead related to cortical activity in the frontal and

orbital brain areas. The stark differences are evident in the lower posterior areas, where the NMC

subjects exhibit less activity, while the NVP subjects show strong and distributed activity. There

is a bright spot in the left frontal area for both groups of subjects.

Fig. 12.   Spatial profiles of EEG, PSR1, and PSR2 for visual evoked response potentials,

760   P1, N1, and P2 for NMC and NVP subjects. (Top row) EEG potentials in the

761   broad (3-49 Hz), (middle row) PSR1, and (bottom row) PSR2. The PSR1 and

762   PSR2 are in the alpha (7-12 Hz) band.

The spatial patterns for PSR1 (Fig. 12, middle row) are remarkably different from those of EEG

potentials for all three peaks. For the P1 peak of NMC subjects, there is a bright spot near the

right ear related to right temporal and auditory cortical brain activity. In contrast, for NVP

subjects, there is a bright spot above the left ear, referring to the electrical activity of the left

temporal and auditory cortices. Another noticeable difference in PSR1 plots is that NMC subjects

have bright spots in the right frontal and central areas, which are missing for NVP subjects. This

would suggest that there are some differences in the cortical activity of P1 peaks between NMC

and NVP subjects. The PSR1 activity of the N1 peak is also different between NMC and NVP

subjects. For the NMC subjects, it has bright spots near the right ear, right frontal, and left

posterior central areas. In contrast, for the NVP subjects, PSR1 activity is distributed in the

posterior region, near the right ear, and also exhibits some focused bright spots in the left central

and parietal midline areas. There are also noticeable differences in the PSR1 plots of P2 peaks for

NMC and NVP subjects. A noticeable difference is seen in the right front temporal and central

posterior areas. The spatial plots of PSR2 have bright spots, which are well correlated with the

bright spots in the PSR1 plots for all three peaks.

The *RDM** and *MAG* values were computed for a comparative analysis between NMC and NVP

subjects for all three peaks. These are listed in Table 1. Here, the reference model is the NMC

subjects, and the test model is the NVP subjects. As stated earlier, if the reference and test

models are the same, then *RDM** will be zero and the *MAG* will be unity. From the values given

in the table, it can be inferred that the EEG, PSR1, and PSR2 are significantly different for the

NMC and NVP subjects across all three peaks.

Table 1.   The *RDM** and *MAG* values for NMC and NVP subjects at the P1, N1, and P2

789   peaks.

	P1 Peak		N1 Peak		P2 Peak	
	RDM*	*MAG*	*RDM**	*MAG*	*RDM**	*MAG*
EEG	0.486	1.585	0.976	0.866	0.673	2.290
PSR1	0.849	0.943	0.656	1.430	0.687	1.025
PSR2	0.768	0.880	0.705	0.985	0.635	0.869

D.5   Time Frames of Insight Moments for NMC and NVP Subjects

There are several stages involved in recognizing the name and form of an object from memory

[1], and our results indicate that there are subtle timing differences in these stages for NMC and

NVP subjects. This is what we are investigating in here. These different stages of insight

moments have been described in the literature [1, 36, 49, 43]. Stage I is the first impression of the

object in the visual cortex, and it is called the "Awe" moment in the knowledge cycle. It is

generally identified with the P1 wave of the visual evoked potentials. Stage II is related to the

rapid exploration of the nature of the object in language and memory areas of the brain. The

Stage III is the "Eureka" moment, also called the "Illumination" moment, when one recognizes

and identifies the object. The Stage IV is called the "Verification" stage [1] and it is related to the

integration of the new knowledge in the memory for immediate future use, such as in visual

object naming tasks. Stage V is the start of the return to the background activity of the brain.

These different stages for a frontocentral electrode potentials are marked in Fig. 13A. The EEG

potentials are in the 3-49 Hz band. Some of the prominent peaks are also marked, which are also

shown in Fig. 3A and Fig. 11.

Fig. 13.   (A) EEG potentials in the 3-49 Hz band for one of the electrodes in the

818   frontocentral areas and the associated phase slip rates, PSR1. Different stages of

819   the insight moments are also marked for NMC and NVP subjects. (B) Spatial

820   plots of EEG and PSR1 of their prominent peaks for NMC and NVP subjects, and

821   note their differences.

The initial first three stages of insight moments are similar, with only minor differences of a few

milliseconds for NMC and NVP subjects. However, the duration of the fourth (IV) and fifth (V)

stages is different. Stage I is from 0.0 s to the location of the P1 visual evoked potential. This will

be the first impression of the object in the visual cortex. In the knowledge cycle, it is also called

the 'Awe' moment [1, 43, 49]. The endpoint of stage II is where the P2 peak ends, which can be

well recognized in the visual evoked potentials given in Figs. 2 and 10. During this stage, there is

rapid exploration of the nature of the object in language and memory areas of the brain [1]. Stage

III (305–561 ms) is the "Eureka" moment, also called the "Illumination" moment, when one

recognizes and identifies the object [1, 36].

Stage IV in the knowledge cycle is called the "Verification" stage, and it is related to integrating

the new knowledge into memory [1]. The Stage IV for the NVP subjects is from 561 to 702 ms,

i.e., with a duration of 141 ms. In contrast, the Stage IV duration for NMC subjects is longer,

ranging from 561 ms to 831 ms, with a total duration of 270 ms. Stages III and IV are critical

stages in the process of identifying and assimilating new knowledge into memory. NVP subjects

seem to be quicker at assimilating new knowledge into memory than NMC subjects. This is also

reflected in the bimodal shape of the PSR1 activity for NVP subjects (lower plot in Fig. 13A).

So, for NVP subjects, it takes 702 ms from the start of the stimulus to identify and assimilate the

new knowledge into memory. In comparison, for the same task, it took 831 ms for NMC subjects.

Stage V marks the beginning of a return to background brain activity [1, 43].

The additional prominent peaks during the knowledge cycle, identified in Fig. 13A, are N707,

P787, and N905. The spatial plots of EEG (3-49 Hz) potentials and their respective PSR1 are

given in Fig. 13 B. The spatial plots of the EEG potentials for NMC and NVP subjects are

significantly different ($p < 0.01$), which was confirmed by the paired Student's t-test. Spatial

differences are also noticeable for these three peaks between NMC and NVP subjects. For the

N707 peak, the RDM* and MAG between NMC and NVP subjects were: 0.697 and 1.19. The

same comparative values for the peak P787 were: 0.787 and 1.03. For the peak N905, these were:

0.85 and 1.29. These values suggest that the spatial plots of PSR1 for the NMC and NVP

subjects are significantly different from each other.

E.   Discussions

Our primary objective was to quantify the differences in decision-making processes between

NMC and NVP subjects. Our results demonstrate quantifiable differences in four biomarkers that

we utilized in this study. The temporal and spatial patterns of EEG, EEG′, PSR1, and PSR2

indicate that different brain regions are activated in NMC and NVP subjects. Another remarkable

finding is that NVP subjects are quicker (702 ms) compared to NMC subjects (831 ms) in

completing the visual task of identifying and assimilating new knowledge into memory. This is a

remarkable finding that suggests people can be trained to perform tasks more efficiently for

visual object recognition. Similar results may also be observed in other tasks, such as motor

function-related tasks, which should be examined through carefully designed studies comparing

NMC subjects with those who have undergone meditative training.

Although many studies have been conducted to examine the efficacy of Vipassana in the context

of mindfulness-based interventions, relatively few studies have focused on traditional Vipassana

practitioners. Prior work from our group has examined EEG profiles of three proficiency-linked

groups of Vipassana meditation practitioners while they engaged in a structured sequence of

meditation practice (Anapana or focused attention, Vipassana or mindfulness, and Metta or

loving-kindness) and found that long-term meditators (seniors and teachers) showed widespread

trait and state differences as compared to novice meditators [28]. Examination of P300 dynamics

in the same three groups of meditators during the performance of the ANGEL task had revealed

significant state-trait influences of meditation practice [29]. Future work could therefore evaluate

the applicability of the four biomarkers employed in the current study across distinct meditation

states in NVPs and in long-term meditators.

Our work with novice Vipassana-trained subjects was facilitated by the availability of their data

sets and can be readily applied to other datasets from Vipassana meditators [50]. However, the

techniques and tools developed for this work are generic and versatile, and can be potentially

applied to any EEG data set to augment the existing set of measures. For instance, these tools can

be used to study the behavior of default mode networks in the spontaneous EEG or evoked

potential studies [51]. Similarly, our tools are applicable across diverse meditation practices,

including Zen, Mindfulness, Insight, other Buddhist and non-Buddhist traditions [52, 53, 54, 55].

Indeed, recent work examining EEG data from four different meditation traditions revealed both

a common core and tradition-specificity in the neurodynamic profiles [56]. When the mind is

trained to remain stable and focused, tools from our current work can augment traditional

measures in detecting subtle changes in brain activity from EEG data [57, 58]. Their sensitivity

extends to calm-abiding practices that cultivate stability and insight (Samatha & Vipassana) and

to practices that foster compassion, generosity, morality, joyous effort, and loving-kindness [59,

60, 61]. We hope that other investigators will adopt these tools in their research work.

We have used four biomarkers in this study. The spatial profiles of EEG and EEG′ are similar,

with some minor differences which are not significant. Similarly, the spatial differences in the

PSR1 and PSR2 plots are also minor. However, there are some significant differences between

the spatial plots of EEG and its related PSR1, as well as between EEG′ and PSR2, that require

explanation. Refer to Figs. 6 and 8. We first introduced these biomarkers in our recent work [4],

where we mentioned that the first-order derivative of the EEG data removes linear trends and

amplifies nonlinear trends and oscillatory activity in the data. The computation of the phase from

EEG or EEG′ by use of the Hilbert transform does not depend upon the amplitude of the data but

rather on large and small perturbations in the data. Even at the small perturbations in the data, a

$\pm\pi$ phase shift is introduced, which could influence the computations of PSR1 and PSR2 at

numerous spatial locations. Additionally, within a given time slice of the EEG (or EEG′) data,

large amplitude values may occur on a few channels, while small perturbations in the data may

occur on other channels. Thus, the spatial locations of PSR1 and PSR2 could be different from

the spatial locations of EEG or EEG′, respectively. These could be the reasons why the EEG and

PSR1, as well as EEG′ and PSR2, show some significant differences in the spatial plots. One

could verify these by source location analysis from EEG and PSR1, or from EEG' and PSR2.

This is a significantly big task, perhaps suitable for a separate study.

Our results, in line with earlier research [4], underscore the robustness of phase slip activity

across broader brain areas, even when EEG potentials are low or near the zero-crossing lines.

This robustness suggests that phase slip rates could be a more sensitive biomarker than EEG or

EEG′ for detecting changes in brain activity. Importantly, this could significantly enhance our

understanding of brain functions, particularly in scenarios such as the study of the EEG of

anesthetized patients [62], the EEG data of subjects engaged in the Tukdum practice of Buddhist

meditation near the time of death [63], or the EEG of subjects going through near-death

experiences [64]. In these situations, the use of phase slip rate biomarkers derived from EEG, as

well as the first and second-order derivatives of EEG, could provide valuable insights into brain

activity, where EEG alone may not be sensitive enough to detect widespread changes in brain

activity [4]. Furthermore, phase slip rates could serve as a sensitive gauge to monitor the level of

anesthesia or the patient's level of consciousness during surgery or meditation.

Here, we have limited our work to the alpha (7-12 Hz) band only, which, in general, is the most

predominant band in the power spectrum and also plays a crucial role in visual object recognition

and insight moment analysis studies [1]. In future studies, this work could be extended to other

EEG bands, such as theta, alpha, beta, and gamma bands, which may provide additional insights

into the similarities and differences between NMC and NVP subjects in decision making

processes. Additionally, phase amplitude couplings between different bands, such as theta-alpha

or beta-gamma bands, may be another area to explore.

In summary, we demonstrated that cortical phase transitions alter during decision making and

that brain functions differ between non-meditators and novice Vipassana meditators. These

methods and results may aid future experimental designs in visual cognition and mindfulness

research, as well as contribute to educational neuroscience and the development of

neurofeedback technologies for clinical applications. This is our hope.

F.   Data availability statement

Publicly available data sets were used in this study. This data can be found at: https://osf.io.

G.   Author contributions

RJK: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

AKN: Data curation, Data analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

AS: Data curation, Data analysis, Writing – review & editing.

RV: Data Curation.

PNR: Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

BK: Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

CR: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Data analysis, Software, Visualization,

Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

H.   Funding

No funding for this project

I.   Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or

financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the Vipassana practice in the tradition of Sayagyi U Ba Khin

as taught by S.N. Goenka.

Fig. 2. Protocol Diagram from earlier publication. Representative examples from two types of

task blocks. (From Nair et al., 2016).

Fig. 3. An example of an EEG trace after preprocessing for two electrodes in a 3-49 Hz

broadband. (A) EEG from one of the electrodes in the frontocentral area, (B) EEG from

one of the electrodes in the right visual area, and (C) spatial profile of EEG potentials at

the P1 peak of the NVP subject. Note that the time series profiles of PSRs at two

electrodes are significantly different during the 0.0-1.0 s period, when the subject is

visualizing and analyzing the image of the object during covert object naming tasks.

Fig. 4. A pictorial representation of phase slip extraction steps. Plots in the left column are

marked in (A) for the phase slips extraction from the EEG, and, similarly, the right

column (B) is for the phase slips extraction from EEG′, i.e., from the first order

derivative of EEG. The top row is the EEG and EEG′, the second row from the top is the

sawtooth pattern of the phase, the third row from the top is the unwrapped phase, and the

bottom row shows some of the phase slips.

Fig. 5. Temporal plots of quantities of one of the electrodes in the front central area for non-

meditator control (NMC) subjects. (Top left) EEG in 3-49 Hz band, (top right) EEG′ in

3-49 Hz band, (middle left) EEG filtered in the alpha band of 7-12 Hz, (middle right)

EEG′ filtered in the alpha band of 7-12 Hz, (bottom left) phase slip rate PSR1 extracted

from EEG in the alpha band, and (bottom right) phase slip rate PSR2 extracted from

EEG′ in the alpha band. Notice the prominent peaks in PSR1 and PSR2 related to brain

activity during the visual object recognition tasks. The prestimulus period is from -1.0 to

0.0 s. The combined stimulus and poststimulus period, referred to as the poststimulus+

period, spans from 0.0 to 2.0 seconds.

Fig. 6. Spatiotemporal plots of the root mean square (RMS) values of EEG and its first order

derivative (EEG′) and their associated mean values of phase slip rates for non-meditator

control (NMC) subjects. The RMS and mean values were computed within a 0.5 s

stepping window. The temporal duration for each frame is given at the top. (A) The top

two rows are for the RMS EEG and the mean PSR1, respectively. (B) The bottom two

rows are for the RMS EEG′ and the mean PSR2. Notice the shifting spatial patterns of all

four quantities.

1003

Fig. 7. Temporal plots of quantities of one of the electrodes in the front central area for novice

Vipassana (NVP) subjects. (Top left) EEG in 3-49 Hz band, (top right) EEG′ in 3-49 Hz

band, (middle left) EEG filtered in the alpha band of 7-12 Hz, (middle right) EEG′

filtered in the alpha band of 7-12 Hz, (bottom left) phase slip rate PSR1 extracted from

EEG in the alpha band, and (bottom right) phase slip rate PSR2 extracted from EEG′ in

the alpha band. Notice the prominent peaks in PSR1 and PSR2 related to brain activity

during the visual object recognition tasks.

1011

Fig. 8. Spatiotemporal plots of the root mean square (RMS) values of EEG and EEG′ and their

associated mean values of phase slip rates for novice Vipassana (NVP) subjects. The

RMS and mean values were computed in the stepping window of 0.5 s duration. The

temporal duration for each frame is given at the top. (A) The top two rows are for the

RMS EEG and the mean PSR1, and (B) the bottom two rows are for the RMS EEG′ and

the mean PSR2, respectively. Notice the shifting spatial patterns of all four quantities

from Frame 1 to Frame 6.

1019

1020   Fig. 9. (A) Spatial plots of RMS EEG above the baseline of NMC and NVP subjects, (B)

1021   corresponding spatial plots of mean PSR1 above the baseline, (C) histogram distribution

1022   of RMS EEG values shown in spatial plots of A, and (D) histogram distribution of

1023   PSR1values shown in spatial plots of B.

1024

1025   Fig. 10.   (A) Spatial plots of RMS EEG′ above the baseline of NMC and NVP subjects,

1026   (B) corresponding spatial plots of mean PSR2 above the baseline, (C) histogram

1027   distribution of the RMS EEG′ values shown in spatial plots of A, and (D)

1028   histogram distribution of PSR2 values shown in spatial plots of B.

1029

1030	Fig. 11.	EEG potentials in the broad (3-49 Hz) band and corresponding phase slip rates in
1031		the alpha (7-12 Hz) at one of the electrodes in the right visual cortex. (Top) EEG
1032		potentials with prominent P1, N1, and P2 peaks marked, (middle) corresponding
1033		PSR1, and (bottom) corresponding PSR2. Notice the subtle differences in EEG
1034		peaks and remarkable differences in PSR1 and PSR2 between NMC and NVP
1035		subjects.

1036

1037	Fig. 12.	Spatial profiles of EEG, PSR1, and PSR2 for visual evoked response potentials,
1038		P1, N1, and P2 for NMC and NVP subjects. (Top row) EEG potentials in the
1039		broad (3-49 Hz) band, (middle row) PSR1, and (bottom row) PSR2. The PSR1
1040		and PSR2 are in the alpha (7-12 Hz) band.

1041

1042	Fig. 13.	(A) EEG potentials in the 3-49 Hz band for one of the electrodes in the
1043		frontocentral areas and the associated phase slip rates, PSR1. Different stages of
1044		the insight moments are also marked for NMC and NVP subjects. (B) Spatial
1045		plots of EEG and PSR1 of their prominent peaks for NMC and NVP subjects, and
1046		note their differences.

1047

References

1. Ramon C, Graichen U, Gargiulo P, Zanow F, Knösche TR, Haueisen J. Spatiotemporal phase slip patterns for visual evoked potentials, covert object naming tasks, and insight moments extracted from 256 channel EEG recordings. Front Integr Neurosci. 2023 Jun 13;17: 1087976. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2023.1087976.

2. Ramon C, Doud A, Holmes MD. Decrease in phase slip rates and phase cone structures during seizure evolution and epileptogenic activities derived from microgrid ECoG data. Curr Res Neurobiol. 2024 Feb 19;6: 100126. doi: 10.1016/j.crneur.2024.100126.

3. Freeman WJ, Holmes MD, West GA, Vanhatalo S. Fine spatiotemporal structure of phase in human intracranial EEG. Clin Neurophysiol. 2006 Jun;117(6): 1228-43. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2006.03.012.

4. Ramon C, Gargiulo P. Phase slips extracted from derivatives of EEG data provide a deeper insight into the formation of cortical phase transitions. Front Integr Neurosci. 2025 Feb 25;19: 1471120. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2025.1471120.

5. Woodman GF. A brief introduction to the use of event-related potentials in studies of perception and attention. Atten Percept Psychophys. 2010 Nov;72(8): 2031-46. doi: 10.3758/APP.72.8.2031.

6. Kounios J, Fleck JI, Green DL, Payne L, Stevenson JL, Bowden EM, Jung-Beeman M. The origins of insight in resting-state brain activity. Neuropsychologia. 2008 Jan 15;46(1): 281-91. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.07.013. Epub 2007 Jul 27.

7.   Cocchi L, Gollo LL, Zalesky A, Breakspear M. Criticality in the brain: A synthesis of

neurobiology, models and cognition. Prog Neurobiol. 2017 Nov;158: 132-152. doi:

10.1016/j.pneurobio.2017.07.002. Epub 2017 Jul 19.

8.   Heffern EFW, Huelskamp H, Bahar S, Inglis RF. Phase transitions in biology: from bird

flocks to population dynamics. Proc Biol Sci. 2021 Oct 27;288(1961): 20211111. doi:

10.1098/rspb.2021.1111. Epub 2021 Oct 20

9.   Wang SH, Siebenhühner F, Arnulfo G, Myrov V, Nobili L, Breakspear M, Palva S, Palva

JM. Critical-like Brain Dynamics in a Continuum from Second- to First-Order Phase

Transition. J Neurosci. 2023 Nov 8;43(45): 7642-7656. doi:

10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1889-22.2023. Epub 2023 Oct 10.

10.   Bak P, Tang C, Wiesenfeld K. Self-organized criticality: An explanation of the 1/f noise.

Phys Rev Lett. 1987 Jul 27;59(4): 381-384. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.381.

11.   Dhar D. Self-organized critical state of sandpile automaton models. Phys Rev Lett. 1990

Apr 2;64(14): 1613-1616. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.1613.

12.   Holt AB, Kormann E, Gulberti A, Pötter-Nerger M, McNamara CG, Cagnan H, Baaske

MK, Little S, Köppen JA, Buhmann C, Westphal M, Gerloff C, Engel AK, Brown P,

Hamel W, Moll CKE, Sharott A. Phase-Dependent Suppression of Beta Oscillations in

Parkinson's Disease Patients. J Neurosci. 2019 Feb 6;39(6): 1119-1134. doi:

10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1913-18.2018. Epub 2018 Dec 14.

13.   di Santo S, Villegas P, Burioni R, Muñoz MA. Landau-Ginzburg theory of cortex

dynamics: Scale-free avalanches emerge at the edge of synchronization. Proc Natl Acad

Sci U S A. 2018 Feb 13;115(7): E1356-E1365. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1712989115. Epub

2018 Jan 29.

14.   Tognoli E, Kelso JA. The metastable brain. Neuron. 2014 Jan 8;81(1): 35-48. doi:

10.1016/j.neuron.2013.12.022.

15.   Kozma R, Freeman WJ. Cinematic Operation of the Cerebral Cortex Interpreted via

Critical Transitions in Self-Organized Dynamic Systems. Front Syst Neurosci. 2017 Mar

14;11: 10. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2017.00010.

16.   Beggs JM, Timme N. Being critical of criticality in the brain. Front Physiol. 2012 Jun

7;3: 163. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2012.00163.

17.   Freeman WJ, Vitiello G. Nonlinear brain dynamics as macroscopic manifestation of

underlying many-body field dynamics. Phys. Life Rev. 2006; 3(2): 93–118. doi:

10.1016/j.plrev.2006.02.001.

18.   Freeman WJ, Vitiello G. Vortices in brain waves. International Journal of Modern

Physics B. 2010 Jul 10;24(17): 3269-95. doi: 10.1142/S0217979210056025.

19.   Ramon C, Holmes MD, Wise MV, Tucker D, Jenson K, Kinn SR. Oscillatory Patterns of

Phase Cone Formations near to Epileptic Spikes Derived from 256-Channel Scalp EEG

Data. Comput Math Methods Med. 2018 Nov 21;2018: 9034543. doi:

10.1155/2018/9034543.

20.   Grossman, P. Mindfulness for Psychologists: Paying Kind Attention to the Perceptible.

Mindfulness. 2010; 1: 87–97. doi: 10.1007/s12671-010-0012-7.

21.   Bishop SR, Lau M, Shapiro S, Carlson L, Anderson ND, Carmody J, Segal ZV, Abbey S,

1111   Speca M, Velting D, Devins G. Mindfulness: A Proposed Operational Definition. Clinical

1112   Psychology: Science and Practice. 2004; 11: 230-241. doi: 10.1093/clipsy.bph077.

1113   22.   Walsh R. Meditation. In: Corsini RJ, editor. Handbook of innovative psychotherapy. New

1114   York: John Wiley & Sons Inc.; 2001. pp. 368-380.

1115   23.   Attrey S, Joshi S, Bhatt V. Mindful path to psychological wellbeing: a comprehensive

1116   review. Journal of Behavioral and Cognitive Therapy. 2025; 35(4), 100537. doi:

1117   10.1016/j.jbct.2025.100537.

1118   24.   Siegel DJ. Mindfulness training and neural integration: differentiation of distinct streams

1119   of awareness and the cultivation of well-being. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci. 2007

1120   Dec;2(4): 259–63. doi: 10.1093/scan/nsm034.://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsm034.

1121   25.   Tang YY, Hölzel BK, Posner MI. The neuroscience of mindfulness meditation. Nat Rev

1122   Neurosci. 2015 Apr;16(4): 213-25. doi: 10.1038/nrn3916. Epub 2015 Mar 18.

1123   26.   Awasthi B. Issues and perspectives in meditation research: in search for a definition.

1124   Front Psychol. 2013 Jan 10;3: 613. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00613.

1125   27.   Ivanovski B, Malhi GS. The psychological and neurophysiological concomitants of

1126   mindfulness forms of meditation. Acta Neuropsychiatr. 2007 Apr;19(2): 76-91. doi:

1127   10.1111/j.1601-5215.2007.00175.x.

1128   28.   Kakumanu RJ, Nair AK, Venugopal R, Sasidharan A, Ghosh PK, John JP, Mehrotra S,

1129   Panth R, Kutty BM. Dissociating meditation proficiency and experience dependent EEG

1130   changes during traditional Vipassana meditation practice. Biol Psychol. 2018 May;135:

1131   65-75. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2018.03.004. Epub 2018

1132   29.   Kakumanu RJ, Nair AK, Sasidharan A, John JP, Mehrotra S, Panth R, Kutty BM.

1133   State-trait influences of Vipassana meditation practice on P3 EEG dynamics. Prog Brain

1134   Res. 2019;244: 115-136. doi: 10.1016/bs.pbr.2018.10.027. Epub 2019 Jan 22.

1135   30.   Goenka SN. The Discourse Summaries. Igatpuri, India: Vipassana Research Institute;

1136   1987.

1137   31.   Goenka, S. N. (1991). Buddha's Path is to Experience Reality. Sayagyi U Ba Khin

1138   Journal. 1991; 109: 13.

1139   32.   U Ba Khin S. The Essentials of Buddha-Dhamma in Meditative Practice. In: Sayagyi U

1140   Ba Khin. Onalaska, WA, USA: Vipassana Research Publications, Pariyatti Publishing;

1141   1991. pp. 12-22.

1142   33.   Ñanamoli T. The Practice of Loving-Kindness (Metta): As Taught by the Buddha in the

1143   Pali Canon. In The Four Sublime States Contemplations on Love, Compassion,

1144   Sympathetic Joy, and Equanimity (BPS online). Kandy, Sri Lanka: Buddhist Publication

1145   Society. 1994; Retrieved on 25 August 2025,

1146   http://www.accesstoinsight.org/lib/authors/nanamoli/wheel007.html

1147   34.   Wallas G. The Art of Thought. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Company; 1926. pp. 10.

1148   35.   Gell-Mann M. The Quark and the Jaguar: Adventures in the Simple and the Complex.

1149   New York: W.H. Freeman; 1994. pp. 264–265.

1150   36.   Sadler-Smith E. Wallas' Four-Stage model of the creative process: more than meets the

1151   eye?. Creativity Research Journal. 2015; 27(4): 342-352. doi:

1152   10.1080/10400419.2015.1087277.

37.   Kounios J, Beeman M. The cognitive neuroscience of insight. Annu Rev Psychol.

2014;65: 71-93. doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115154.

38.   Oh Y, Chesebrough C, Erickson B, Zhang F, Kounios J. An insight-related neural reward

signal. Neuroimage. 2020 Jul 1;214: 116757. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116757.

Epub 2020 Mar 16.

39.   Salvi C, Bricolo E, Franconeri SL, Kounios J, Beeman M. Sudden insight is associated

with shutting out visual inputs. Psychon Bull Rev. 2015 Dec;22(6): 1814-9. doi:

10.3758/s13423-015-0845-0.

40.   Nair AK, Sasidharan A, John JP, Mehrotra S, Kutty BM. Assessing Neurocognition via

Gamified Experimental Logic: A Novel Approach to Simultaneous Acquisition of

Multiple ERPs. Front Neurosci. 2016 Jan 29;10: 1. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2016.00001.

41.   Delorme A, Makeig S. EEGLAB: an open source toolbox for analysis of single-trial EEG

dynamics including independent component analysis. J Neurosci Methods. 2004 Mar

15;134(1): 9-21. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2003.10.009.

42.   Davis JJ, Kozma R, Freeman WJ. Neurophysiological evidence of the cognitive cycle and

the emergence of awareness. In: 2013 International Joint Conference on Awareness

Science and Technology & Ubi-Media Computing (iCAST 2013 & UMEDIA 2013) 2013

Nov 2 (pp. 149-157). IEEE. doi.: 10.1109/ICAwST.2013.6765425.

43.   Myers MH, Kozma R, Davis JJ, Ilin R. (2014). Phase cone detection optimization in EEG

data. In: Proceedings of the 2014 international joint conference on neural networks

(IJCNN). Piscataway, NJ: IEEE; 2014. pp. 2504–2511. doi:

10.1109/IJCNN.2014.6889880

44.   Kobayashi K, Shibata T, Tsuchiya H, Akiyama T. Exclusion of the Possibility of "False

Ripples" From Ripple Band High-Frequency Oscillations Recorded From Scalp

Electroencephalogram in Children With Epilepsy. Front Hum Neurosci. 2021 Jun 15;15:

696882. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2021.696882.

45.   Ramon C, Holmes MD. Stochastic Behavior of Phase Synchronization Index and

Cross-Frequency Couplings in Epileptogenic Zones during Interictal Periods Measured

with Scalp dEEG. Front Neurol. 2013 May 16;4: 57. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2013.00057.

46.   Freeman WJ, Rogers LJ. Fine temporal resolution of analytic phase reveals episodic

synchronization by state transitions in gamma EEGs. J Neurophysiol. 2002 Feb;87(2):

937-45. doi: 10.1152/jn.00254.2001.

47.   Varela F, Lachaux JP, Rodriguez E, Martinerie J. The brainweb: phase synchronization

and large-scale integration. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2001 Apr;2(4): 229-39. doi:

10.1038/35067550.

48.   Lancaster G, Iatsenko D, Pidde A, Ticcinelli V, Stefanovska A. Surrogate data for

hypothesis testing of physical systems. Physics Reports. 2018 Jul 18; 748: 1-60. doi:

10.1016/j.physrep.2018.06.001.

49.   Lee JY, Lindquist KA, Nam CS. Emotional Granularity Effects on Event-Related Brain

Potentials during Affective Picture Processing. Front Hum Neurosci. 2017 Mar 24;11:

133. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00133.

50.   Cahn BR, Delorme A, Polich J. Occipital gamma activation during Vipassana meditation.

Cogn Process. 2010 Feb;11(1): 39-56. doi: 10.1007/s10339-009-0352-1. Epub 2009 Dec

16.

51.   Berkovich-Ohana A, Glicksohn J, Goldstein A. Studying the default mode and its

mindfulness-induced changes using EEG functional connectivity. Soc Cogn Affect

Neurosci. 2014 Oct;9(10): 1616-24. doi: 10.1093/scan/nst153. Epub 2013 Nov 4.

52.   Brefczynski-Lewis JA, Lutz A, Schaefer HS, Levinson DB, Davidson RJ. Neural

correlates of attentional expertise in long-term meditation practitioners. Proc Natl Acad

Sci U S A. 2007 Jul 3;104(27): 11483-8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0606552104. Epub 2007 Jun

27.

53.   Hinterberger T, Schmidt S, Kamei T, Walach H. Decreased electrophysiological activity

represents the conscious state of emptiness in meditation. Front Psychol. 2014 Feb 17;5:

99. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00099.

54.   Lomas T, Ivtzan I, Fu CH. A systematic review of the neurophysiology of mindfulness on

EEG oscillations. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2015 Oct;57: 401-10. doi:

10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.09.018. Epub 2015 Oct 9.

55.   Schoenberg PLA, Ruf A, Churchill J, Brown DP, Brewer JA. Mapping complex mind

states: EEG neural substrates of meditative unified compassionate awareness. Conscious

Cogn. 2018 Jan;57: 41-53. doi: 10.1016/j.concog.2017.11.003. Epub 2017 Nov 21.

56.   Shrimali P, Sasidharan A, Malipeddi S, Ventura B, Venugopal R, Nair A K, et al. Similar

States, Different Paths: Neurodynamics of diverse meditation techniques. bioRxiv

2025.06.20.660652 [Preprint] 2025 [cited 2025 August 28]. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.06.20.660652

57.   Malipeddi S, Sasidharan A, Pinna Nagendra R, Mehrotra S, P John J, M Kutty B. Data

from "Relaxed alertness in novice and advanced meditators – A neurophysiological and

psychological study of Isha Yoga practices". Mindfullness. Zenodo; 2024 Oct;

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13969788.

58.   Nair AK, Sasidharan A, John JP, Mehrotra S, Kutty BM. Just a minute meditation: Rapid

voluntary conscious state shifts in long term meditators. Conscious Cogn. 2017 Aug;53:

176-184. doi: 10.1016/j.concog.2017.06.002. Epub 2017 Jul 5.

59.   Huang C, Kaliman P, Epel ES, Saron CD. Insight meditation and telomere biology: The

effects of intensive retreat and the moderating role of personality. Brain Behav Immun.

2018 May;70: 233-245. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2018.03.003. Epub 2018 Mar 5. Erratum in:

Brain Behav Immun. 2018 Oct;73: 736-737. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2018.07.015.

60.   Nair AK, John JP, Mehrotra S, Kutty BM. What contributes to wellbeing gains –

proficiency or duration of meditation related practices?. International Journal of

Wellbeing. 2018 Dec 8; 8(2). doi: 10.5502/ijw.v8i2.696.

61.   Sankar Sylapan B, Nair AK, Jayanna K, Mallipeddi S, Sathyanarayana S, Kutty BM.

Meditation, well-being and cognition in heartfulness meditators - A pilot study.

Conscious Cogn. 2020 Nov;86: 103032. doi: 10.1016/j.concog.2020.103032. Epub 2020

Oct 20.

62.   Purdon PL, Sampson A, Pavone KJ, Brown EN. Clinical Electroencephalography for

Anesthesiologists: Part I: Background and Basic Signatures. Anesthesiology. 2015

Oct;123(4): 937-60. doi: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000000841.

63.   Lott DT, Yeshi T, Norchung N, Dolma S, Tsering N, Jinpa N, Woser T, Dorjee K, Desel

T, Fitch D, Finley AJ, Goldman R, Bernal AMO, Ragazzi R, Aroor K, Koger J, Francis

A, Perlman DM, Wielgosz J, Bachhuber DRW, Tamdin T, Sadutshang TD, Dunne JD,

Lutz A, Davidson RJ. No Detectable Electroencephalographic Activity After Clinical

Declaration of Death Among Tibetan Buddhist Meditators in Apparent Tukdam, a

Putative Postmortem Meditation State. Front Psychol. 2021 Jan 28;11: 599190. doi:

10.3389/fpsyg.2020.599190.

64.   Martial C, Fritz P, Gosseries O, Bonhomme V, Kondziella D, Nelson K, Lejeune N. A

neuroscientific model of near-death experiences. Nat Rev Neurol. 2025 Jun;21(6):

297-311. doi: 10.1038/s41582-025-01072-z. Epub 2025 Mar 31. Erratum in: Nat Rev

Neurol. 2025 Aug;21(8):465. doi: 10.1038/s41582-025-01111-9.

1249

1250

Oddball Trials (20%)

Trials

Standard Trials (80%)

Non-Meditator Control (NMC) Subjects

Non-Meditator Control (NMC) Subjects

(A)

| | Frame 1
(-1)-(-0.5) s | Frame 2
(-0.5)-0 s | Frame 3
0-0.5 s | Frame 4
0.5-1 s | Frame 5
1-1.5 s | Frame 6
1.5-2 s ← Time |

RMS EEG — μV (0.1, 0.2, 0.3)

mean PSR1 — #/(10 ms) (2, 4, 6)

(B)

(-1)-(-0.5) s · (-0.5)-0 s · 0-0.5 s · 0.5-1 s · 1-1.5 s · 1.5-2 s ← Time

RMS EEG′ — ×10⁻³ μV/s (5, 10, 15)

mean PSR2 — #/(10 ms) (1, 2, 3)

Novice Vipassana (NVP) Subjects

Novice Vipassana (NVP) Subjects

(A)

Frame 1 (-1)-(-0.5) s | Frame 2 (-0.5)-0 s | Frame 3 0-0.5 s | Frame 4 0.5-1 s | Frame 5 1-1.5 s | Frame 6 1.5-2 s ← Time

RMS EEG
0.15 0.1 0.05 μV

mean PSR1
6 4 2 #/(10 ms)

(B)

(-1)-(-0.5) s | (-0.5)-0 s | 0-0.5 s | 0.5-1 s | 1-1.5 s | 1.5-2 s ← Time

RMS EEG'
×10⁻³
8 6 4 2 μV/s

mean PSR2
2.5 2 1.5 1 0.5 #/(10 ms)

RMS EEG and Mean PSR1 above Prestimulus Values

RMS EEG' and Mean PSR2 above Prestimulus Values