Manuscript type: Article

Quantifying a trait-mediated indirect effect of an environmental

stressor on population dynamics

Last revision: September 4, 2025

Shota Shibasaki[1#] & Masato Yamamichi[1,2,3,4,5,6*]

1. Center for Frontier Research, National Institute of Genetics, 1111 Yata, Mishima,
Shizuoka, 411-8540 Japan

2. Genetics Program, Graduate Institute for Advanced Studies, SOKENDAI (The
Graduate University for Advanced Studies), 1111 Yata, Mishima, Shizuoka
411-8540, Japan

3. School of the Environment, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Brisbane,
Queensland 4072, Australia

4. Department of International Health and Medical Anthropology, Institute of
Tropical Medicine, Nagasaki University, 1-12-4 Sakamoto, Nagasaki 852-8523,
Japan

5. Institute for Multidisciplinary Sciences, Yokohama National University, 79-5
Tokiwadai, Hodogaya, Yokohama, Kanagawa 240-8501, Japan

6. Department of Ecosystem Studies, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life
Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8657,
Japan

[*]To whom correspondence: M.Y. myamamichi@nig.ac.jp
[#]Current affiliation: Faculty of Culture and Information Science, Doshisha University,
Kyotanabe, Kyoto 610-0394, Japan
ORCID: SS 0000-0002-8196-0745, MY 0000-0003-2136-3399

Keywords: *Brachionus calyciflorus*, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Chlamydomonas*
sphaeroides, *Chlorella vulgaris*, environmental stressor, Lotka-Volterra model,
plankton, predator-prey dynamics, salinity stress, trait-mediated indirect effect

No. of words in the main text: 4555; No. of words in Abstract: 339; No. of references:
62; No. of figures: 4; No. of tables: 2

Abstract

Trait-mediated indirect interactions have been intensively studied to understand ecological dynamics in biological communities. On the other hand, little is known about the relative importance of trait-mediated indirect effects of environmental stressors on population dynamics. How does an environmental stressor indirectly affect the population dynamics of a focal species by altering the traits of interacting species and the strength of interspecific interactions? Here, we quantified the direct and trait-mediated indirect effects of an environmental stressor by combining rotifer-alga experiments and Bayesian parameter estimation of a dynamic model. These days, human activities salinize freshwater lakes globally, thereby increasing (decreasing) the death (birth) rates of plankton species. Salinity stress is also known to induce clump formation in certain phytoplankton species. As larger clumps work as a defense trait against gape-limited predation of zooplankton, the salinity stress can affect zooplankton not only directly but also indirectly through phytoplankton trait changes. We first show that a green alga *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* formed larger clumps than the two model species of green algae (*Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and *Chlorella vulgaris*) under a moderate salinity stress (0.06M NaCl). Then, by tracking the clump size distributions of *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides*, we confirmed that small clumps are more vulnerable to predation by rotifers *Brachionus calyciflorus* as previous studies demonstrated. Finally, we co-cultured the green algae and rotifers for a week with and without salinity stress and fitted the Lotka-Volterra predator-prey model. We first estimated how salinity stress increased the mortality rate of rotifers by using *Chlorella vulgaris*, which seldom showed clump formation. Then, we estimated how salinity stress decreased the attack rate of rotifers on *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* due to clump formation. We found that salinity stress increased the rotifer's mortality rate by 86% and decreased the attack rate on *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* by 15%. These results indicate that salinity stress can weaken the predator-prey interaction, and thus salinization can harm freshwater zooplankton species through increasing the mortality rates and decreasing the attack rates. This will be an important step for a quantitative understanding of how environmental stressors can affect community dynamics via trait modifications.

1 Introduction

Classic studies in ecology tended to focus on interspecific interactions mediated by population densities, but recent studies have demonstrated the importance of considering trait dynamics driven by behavioral changes, phenotypic plasticity, or rapid evolution (Bolker et al. 2003, Miner et al. 2005, Bolnick et al. 2011, Hendry 2017). Particularly, trait-mediated indirect interactions (TMII) have been intensively studied to understand community dynamics (Werner and Peacor 2003, Schmitz et al. 2004, Utsumi et al. 2010, Ohgushi et al. 2012, Golubski et al. 2016). For example, trophic cascades were traditionally investigated through the perspective of density-mediated indirect interactions: carnivores reduce the population density of herbivores, which increases plant biomass (Hairston et al. 1960). Studies on TMII, in contrast, emphasized the importance of behavioral change of intermediary species with the foraging-predation risk trade-off: carnivores may change the behavior of herbivores ("landscape of fear"), which can further increase or even decrease local plant biomass (Schmitz et al. 2004).

On the other hand, little is known about the relative importance of direct and trait-mediated indirect effects of environmental stressors on population dynamics. Human activities are currently affecting various species negatively, and researchers tend to focus on the loss of biodiversity thorough the direct effects of environmental stressors on death or birth rates. But if some species change their traits in response to anthropogenic disturbances, it can alter the demography of other interacting species (i.e., the trait-mediated indirect effects) (Abrams 1995, Werner and Peacor 2003, Peacor et al. 2020). For example, human activities can invoke fear in some species and reduce their foraging behaviors (Smith et al. 2024), which can increase their prey's performance (Leighton et al. 2010, Mehlhoop et al. 2022). While an increasing number of studies proposed the potential importance of trait-mediated indirect effects, it was in general difficult to quantify the relative contributions of direct and indirect pathways of environmental stressor to focal species' population dynamics.

Here we use a rotifer-alga experimental system (Fig. 1) for quantifying trait-mediated indirect effects. The rotifer-alga system has been used in various studies to understand species coexistence (Rothhaupt 1988), predator-prey population cycles (Fussmann et al. 2000, Verschoor et al. 2004, Scheuerl and Stelzer 2019, Blasius et al. 2020), and eco-evolutionary dynamics (Fussmann et al. 2003, Yoshida et al. 2003, Yoshida et al. 2007, Becks et al. 2010, Becks et al. 2012, Hiltunen et al. 2014, Kasada et al. 2014, Declerck et al. 2015, Haafke et al. 2016, Hermann and Becks 2022). Particularly, many studies focused on eco-evolutionary dynamics driven by rapid

evolution of algal defense against rotifer predation (reviewed in Yamamichi 2020). In the freshwater unicellular green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, the defense trait is palmelloid clump formation, which is too big to be eaten by gape-limited predators including rotifers (Lurling and Beekman 2006, Sathe and Durand 2016, Réveillon and Becks 2024), and such multicellularity is heritable and can evolve in laboratory experiments (Becks et al. 2010, Herron et al. 2019, Bernardes et al. 2021). Interestingly, predation is not a sole driver of clump formation: clump formation in green algae is also induced by environmental stressors (Cheloni and Slaveykova 2021, de Carpentier et al. 2022, Cornwallis et al. 2023) including salinity stress (Khona et al. 2016, Farkas et al. 2023). Human activities salinized freshwater systems globally through, for example, roadway de-icing (Dugan et al. 2017, Kaushal et al. 2021, Cunillera-Montcusí et al. 2022), impeding population growth of freshwater phyto- and zooplankton species (Sarma et al. 2006, Venâncio et al. 2019). Therefore, human activities may not only directly increase death rates of zooplankton species, but also indirectly harm them by inducing palmelloid clump formation of green algae.

Here, to understand trait-mediated indirect effects of salinity stress, we conducted laboratory experiments using four freshwater plankton species: a rotifer (*Brachionus calyciflorus*) as a predator and three green algae (*Chlamydomonas sphaeroides*, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, and *Chlorella vulgaris*) as prey. *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* is not a model organism, but phylogenetically close to *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (Yumoto et al. 2013) and was used as a food of *B. calyciflorus* in previous experiments (Rothhaupt 1988). We first show that *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* formed remarkably larger clumps than the other two algal species under a moderate salinity stress (0.06M NaCl) by phenotypic plasticity. Then, we show that large clumps of *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* were less likely to be consumed by rotifer by comparing the clump size distributions before and after exposure to predation under salinity stress. Finally, we show that the rotifer's attack rate on the green alga decreased by 15% under salinity stress by Bayesian parameter estimation of the Lotka-Volterra model based on rotifer-alga co-culture time-series data with and without salinity stress. These results indicate that salinity-induced clump formation can weaken predator-prey interactions in freshwater ecosystem, highlighting the importance of understanding trait-mediated indirect effects of environmental stressors to understand community dynamics in changing environments.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Strain Information

The unicellular green algae, *Chlorella vulgaris* (NIES-227), *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (NIES-2236), and *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* (NIES-2242), were obtained from the National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Japan. The green algae were maintained in the C medium (Ichimura 1971) at 23□ with the light cycle 14:10. The details of the culture conditions of the green algae were identical to our previous study (Shibasaki and Yamamichi 2024).

The facultative parthenogenetic rotifer *Brachionus calyciflorus* (*elevetus*) (*sensu* Otake et al. 2025) was isolated from a water sample in Kanazawa Castle Park, Ishikawa prefecture, Japan, obtained in November 2023 by Dr. Yurie Otake at Kyoto University. The rotifer has been maintained in C medium with *Chlorella vulgaris* as prey under 25□ with the light cycle 14:10. The culture of the rotifer has been transferred into a fresh C medium with a weekly dilution rate ca. 10 % while adding 1 ml culture of *Chlorella vulgaris*.

Before adding the rotifers to experimental flasks, the stock culture of rotifers was filtered twice with a 40 µm cell strainer, left for rotifers to starve for four hours in the dark at 25□, and then filtered and washed again so that *Chlorella vulgaris* did not contaminate the experimental flasks. This protocol follows a previous study with a similar experimental system (Hermann and Becks 2022).

2.2 Comparing the clump sizes

We first compared the clump sizes of the three green algae in the following four conditions with three replicates in each: (i) control (C medium), (ii) with predators (adding rotifers at initial density ca. one female individual/ml), (iii) with salt (0.06M NaCl C medium), and (iv) with predators and salt (adding rotifers at initial density ca. one female individual/ml to 0.06M NaCl C medium). We grew the green algae (and rotifers, if applicable) in 50 ml of the media in a 300 ml Erlenmeyer flask for seven days at 25□ with the light cycle 14:10 (light intensity 145 ± 5 µmol m^{-2}s^{-1}) while shaking (60 rpm). After the cultivation, we collected 1 ml of culture from each flask and added 10 µl of Lugol's solution (20 g of potassium iodide and 10 g of iodine mixed in 200 ml of distilled water) to fix the green algae. We measured the sizes (i.e., the number of cells per clump) of 300 clumps per sample under microscopy.

2.3 Evaluating salinity-induced clump formation as a defense trait

Next, we examined whether large clumps of *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* induced by the salinity stress reduced the rotifer predation. We first grew *Chlamydomonas*

sphaeroides in 50 ml of 0.06M NaCl C medium for seven days in six 300 ml

Erlenmeyer flasks so that the green algae formed clumps. Then, we sampled the 5 ml of

culture from each flask and fixed them using 50 μl of Lugol's solution. We measured

the sizes of 300 clumps in each. 5 ml of rotifer cultures (in 0.06M NaCl C medium)

were added to three of the six flasks (i.e., test cases) so that the initial density of rotifers

was 0.1 individual/ml, while we added 5 ml of fresh 0.06M NaCl C medium to the rest

of the three flasks (controls). The six cultures were grown for six hours under light

(light intensity 145 ± 5 μmol m^{-2}s^{-1}) while shaking (60 rpm) at 25□. We then sampled

the 5 ml of culture from each flask, fixed them with Lugol's solution, and measured the

clump sizes as explained above.

2.4 Predator-prey dynamics and parameter estimation

To investigate how the salinity stress alters the predator-prey dynamics, we grew the

rotifers and green algae with and without salinity stress (C medium with 0.06M NaCl

and 0M NaCl, respectively) for seven days. The plankton species were grown in 50 ml

of the growth medium in a 300 ml-Erlenmeyer flask at 25□ with the light cycle 14:10

(light intensity 145 ± 5 μmol m^{-2}s^{-1}) while shaking (60 rpm). We estimated the

population densities of green algae and rotifers daily by sampling 1 ml of culture from

each flask. Because it was difficult to estimate the algal densities with clumps using an

automated cell counter Countess II FL (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), we centrifuged

the sampled cultures (4□, 2120 x g, 10 min), removed the supernatant, added 1 ml of C

medium to each, and left them for two hours at 25□ under lights. This protocol resolved

many clumps because the clump formation in this system was caused by phenotypic

plasticity (Khona et al. 2016; see also Fig. S11). After two hours, we fixed the samples

by adding 10 μl of Lugol's solution to each. For each sample, we measured the densities

of the green algae three times by Countess II FL (using 30 μl culture) and counted

female rotifers under microscopy.

To distinguish the direct (i.e., increasing the mortality rate) and indirect (i.e.,

decreasing the attack rate due to the salinity-induced clump formation of prey) impacts

of salinity stress on the rotifer's population dynamics, we fitted our experimental data to

the following Lotka-Volterra predator-prey model with prey logistic growth and

predator's linear (Holling type I) functional response:

$$\frac{dX_i}{dt} = X_i \left[r_{ij} \left(1 - \frac{X_i}{K_{ij}} \right) - a_{ij} Y \right],$$

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = Y\left(c_{ij}a_{ij}X_i - m_j\right)$$

201   (1)

where X_i is the $\log_{10}$ density of the green algae i, Y is the $\log_{10}$ density of female rotifers,

r_{ij} is the intrinsic growth rate of the green algae i in the absence ($j = 1$) or presence ($j =$

2) of the 0.06M NaCl salinity stress, K_{ij} is the carrying capacity of the green algae i in

the absence ($j = 1$) or presence ($j = 2$) of the 0.06M NaCl salinity stress, a_{ij} is the

rotifer's attack rate on green algae i in the absence ($j = 1$) or presence ($j = 2$) of the

0.06M NaCl salinity stress, c_{ij} is the conversion rate of the green algae i to the rotifer's

density the absence ($j = 1$) or presence ($j = 2$) of the 0.06M NaCl salinity stress, and m_j

is the mortality rate of the rotifers in the absence ($j = 1$) or presence ($j = 2$) of the 0.06M

NaCl salinity stress.

212   The parameter values were estimated using Bayesian statistics (see Supporting

Information for technical details). First, we estimated posterior distributions of the

intrinsic growth rates r_{ij} and the carrying capacities K_{ij} of *Chlorella vulgaris* ($i = 1$) and

Chlamydomonas sphaeroides ($i = 2$), respectively, in the absence ($j = 1$) or presence ($j =$

2) of the 0.06M NaCl salinity stress from nine-day mono-culture experiments

(Supporting Information S1.1 and Figs. S1-S4). Next, we estimated the mortality rates

of rotifers m_j in the absence ($j = 1$) or presence ($j = 2$) of the 0.06M NaCl salinity stress

based on the seven-day co-culture experiments with *Chlorella vulgaris* (Supporting

Information S1.2 and Figs. S5-S7). We assumed that the negative impact of salinity on

rotifers come through the mortality rate only because *Chlorella vulgaris* seldom formed

clumps in the presence of the 0.06M NaCl salinity stress (see Results). Indeed, the

difference in the estimated attack rates a_{1j} with and without salinity stress was

negligibly small (at most 10%) and 95% credible intervals (CIs) were overlapping with

zero (Fig. S7: note that we assumed $c_{1j} = 1$ for convergence of posterior distributions).

Finally, we estimated the attack rates on *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* a_{2j} in the absence

($j = 1$) or presence ($j = 2$) of the 0.06M NaCl salinity stress along with the conversion

efficiency c_{2j} (Supporting Information S1.3 and Figs. S8-S9) utilizing that the estimated

mortality rates m_j based on the co-culture experiments with *Chlorella vulgaris*.

2.5 Experimental evolution of clumping formation

We also cultivated *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* under salinity stress for 91 days to see

whether larger clumps can evolve or not. Previous studies showed that palmelloid

clump formation of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* can evolve rapidly in laboratory

experiments (Becks et al. 2010). Although clump formation of *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* is phenotypic plasticity, we expected that we might be able to observe genetic assimilation, where clumps originally formed in response to salinity stress later become genetically fixed via selection (Pigliucci et al. 2006). Such evolved strains would allow us to investigate whether larger clumps were more unlikely to be consumed by rotifers or not in the absence of salinity stress. We grew the ten lines of the green algae in 20 ml of C medium in 200 ml Erlenmeyer flasks at 25☐ with the light cycle 14:10 (light intensity 145 ± 5 μmol m^{-2}s^{-1}) while shaking (120 rpm). Within the ten lines, five lines were grown under salinity stress (0.06M NaCl) while the rest five were without salt (0M NaCl). The initial algal density was approximately 1×10^5 cells/ml. Every week, we collected 200 μl of culture medium from each flask, centrifuged the sampled cultures (4☐, 2120 x g, 10 min), removed the supernatant, and added each sample to 20 ml of fresh C medium with or without NaCl. Note that we accidentally sampled 1000 μl, instead of 200 μl, of cultures and transferred into fresh media on day 21.

We weekly measured the algae densities and mean diameter of particles (i.e., either single cells or multi-cellular clumps) by an automatic cell counter analyzer, CASY TT (Innovatis). In addition, we also examined whether *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* under salinity stress lost the phenotypic plasticity. We collected 5 ml of culture medium from each line under salinity stress, centrifuged the sampled cultures, removed the supernatant, and grew in 2 ml of fresh C medium with and without NaCl, respectively, for six hours. After six hours, we measured the mean diameter of the particles by CASY TT. If the green algae lost phenotypic plasticity, the mean diameter without salinity stress was expected to be as high as with salinity stress. In contrast, the six-hour culture under salinity stress would not affect the mean diameters. Note that we do not have a part of the data on the mean diameter on days 42 and 91, because we could not use CASY TT due to its trouble on December 31st, 2024, and February 18th, 2025, respectively.

2.6 Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed in R version 4.3.1 (R Core Team 2024). The effects of salt and rotifers on clump sizes of the green algae were analyzed by the non-parametric two-way ANOVA with the aligned rank transformation in ARTool library version 0.11.1 (Kay et al. 2025). The linear mixed model was implemented by lmerTest library version 3.1.3 (Kuznetsova et al. 2017). The parameter values in the

270 predator-prey model were estimated by RStan library version 2.32.6 (Stan Development
271 Team 2025).
272

3 Results

3.1 *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* generates large clumps under salt

We first compared the clump sizes of the three freshwater green algae, *Chlorella*
vulgaris, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, and *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides*, in the
presence of either or both of two stressors: salt and predators (rotifers). Although all
four planktons in this study are freshwater species, they can survive in the C medium
containing 0.06M NaCl (see Figs. S1-S9 as examples) (Shibasaki and Yamamichi 2024).
Fig. 2 shows that the median clump sizes of the three green algae were ones in the
control cases, confirming that they were unicellular algae. While *Chlorella vulgaris*
remained almost unicellular in the presence of salt and rotifers, two *Chlamydomonas*
species increased their clump sizes in the presence of salt (0.06M NaCl). The median
clump size of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* increased from one to two due to the salinity
stress, but their typical clump sizes were less than four cells per clump. *Chlamydomonas*
sphaeroides increased the median clump size from one to eight or more cells under
salinity stress, and we even observed more than 30 cells existed in a single clump (see
the bottom panels of Fig. 2). The statistical analysis also suggested that the salinity had
a large impact on the clump sizes of *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* (Table 1). Because
the green algae immediately dissolved the clumps when the salinity stress was removed
(Fig. S11), the palmelloid clump formation in response to salinity stress seems
phenotypic plasticity, not evolution.
293

3.2 Larger clumps impeded the predation by the rotifers

Next, we examined whether large clumps of *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* under
salinity stress could work as a defense trait against gape-limited predation by rotifers.
We addressed this question by comparing the clump size distribution before and after
exposure to rotifer predation for six hours. Fig. 3 shows how the distributions of clump
sizes changed with (Fig. 3A) and without (Fig. 3B) rotifers. In the absence of rotifers,
the median clump sizes decreased or seldom changed in six hours (Fig. 3B). On the
other hand, the median clump sizes clearly increased in the presence of rotifers (Fig.
3A). The violin plots also show that the density of small clumps (four or less cells per
clump) decreased with predators. The linear mixed model also suggested that the clump
sizes, on average, decreased in six hours but increased in the presence of the rotifers

(Table 2). These results suggest that rotifers consumed small clumps but did not eat
large clumps.

We also examined how salinity stress changed predator-prey dynamics by
conducting co-culture experiments of the green algae and rotifers for seven days. While
the green algae *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* densities did not change significantly
depending on salinity stress (Fig. 4A), we observed a remarkable decrease in rotifer's
population density in the presence of salinity stress (Fig. 4B). To disentangle the
relative importance of direct and trait-mediated indirect impacts of salinity stress, we
fitted the experimental data to the Lotka-Volterra predator-prey model (Eq. 1) by
performing the Bayesian estimation (see Supporting Information and Figs. S1-S9 for
more details). Fig. 4C shows that the attack rate on *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* was
15% (95% CI [−0.01, 0.37]) larger in the absence of salinity stress (a_{21}) than in the
presence of salinity stress (a_{22}). Although the 95% CI included zero (the vertical dashed
line in Fig. 4C), the posterior distribution indicated that the attack rate without salinity
stress was larger than that with salinity stress in 93%. The mortality rate was, on the
other hand, 86% (95% CI [−0.98, −0.71]) larger in the presence of salinity stress (m_2)
than without salinity stress (m_1). Taken together, the salinity-induced clump formation
was likely to decrease the growth rate of the rotifers by decreasing the attack rate, but
this impact was less intensive than the increase in the rotifer's mortality rate.

3.3 The salinity stress did not lead to the evolution of larger clumps or loss
of phenotypic plasticity.

We also examined whether the clump size evolved or not when *Chlamydomonas*
sphaeroides were grown under salinity for 91 days. Fig. S10 shows that, while all five
lines without salinity stress (i.e., controls; orange in Fig. S10A) grew constantly during
the experiment, the lines under salinity stress (blue in Fig. S10A) gradually decreased
the growth rates from day 7 with some variation: one line (Salt-1) maintained a
moderate population density (approximately $1\text{-}2\times10^5$ cells/ml) during the experiment,
whereas another (Salt-4) continued to decrease its density from day 70 and went extinct
(its density was <100 cells/ml) at the end of the experiment. Fig. S10B shows the
dynamics of mean diameters of the particles (single cells and multicellular clumps)
during the experiment. Without salinity stress, the mean diameter remained around 10
μm, suggesting that the green algae were unicellular. On the other hand, the mean
diameter under salinity stress was about 20 μm. This indicates that, if a single cell size
did not change, a single clump would be composed of $2^3 = 8$ cells on average, which is

consistent with our observation under microscopy (Figs. 1-2). However, there was no
evidence that clump size evolved to be larger under salinity stress.
We also investigated whether *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* lost phenotypic
plasticity (i.e., forming clumps without salt) during the experiment by transferring the
green algae into fresh C media with and without NaCl for six hours. Fig. S11 shows the
mean diameter returned to approximately 10 μm without salinity stress, while the mean
diameter remained 20 μm with the 0.06M NaCl salinity stress. These results indicate
that the green algae dissolved the clumps when the salinity stress was removed, and the
phenotypic plasticity was kept during the 91-day experiment.
349

4 Discussion

While human activities can directly threaten organisms by reducing their habitats or
harvesting, these impacts can also propagate communities by altering species
interactions: i.e., trait-mediated indirect effects (Abrams 1995, Werner and Peacor
2003). Despite its potential importance, the relative importance of direct and indirect
effects was unclear. Here, we used a rotifer-alga system to quantify a trait-mediated
indirect effect in freshwater communities. We found that the 0.06M NaCl salinity stress
induced the algal clump formation (Fig. 2), and it weakened the interspecific interaction
(predation) between the green algae and rotifers (Figs. 3-4). In freshwater systems,
salinization is a severe problem caused by human activities (Dugan et al. 2017, Kaushal
et al. 2021, Cunillera-Montcusí et al. 2022). Our results demonstrate the importance of a
quantitative understanding of how environmental stressors can affect community
dynamics via trait modifications.
Previous studies investigated the clump formation of *Chlamydomonas*
reinhardtii as a defense trait against predation (Lurling and Beekman 2006, Becks et al.
2010, Becks et al. 2012, Sathe and Durand 2016, Bernardes et al. 2021). However,
green algae form multicellular clumps under other kinds of stress (Cheloni and
Slaveykova 2021, de Carpentier et al. 2022, Cornwallis et al. 2023) including salinity
stress (Khona et al. 2016, Farkas et al. 2023). Our study shows that *Chlamydomonas*
sphaeroides was sensitive to the salinity stress and formed larger clumps than the two
model green algae, *Chlorella vulgaris* and *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, under 0.06M
NaCl (Fig. 2). The salinity-induced clump formation was caused by phenotypic
plasticity because the green algae dissolved the clumps and returned unicellular when
the salinity was removed from the media (Fig. S11). The green algae formed clumps
without predators (Figs. 2 and S10B), and thus its effect on the predator-prey interaction

is a by-product of adaptation to salinity stress. Nevertheless, the salinity-induced clump

formation worked as a defense trait against predation by rotifers as shown in previous

studies (Becks et al. 2010, Réveillon and Becks 2024): our experiments showed that the

rotifers were unlikely to consume large clumps (Fig. 3) and their attack rate on

Chlamydomonas sphaeroides decreased under salinity (Fig. 4C). Our results, therefore,

indicate that salinity stress weakened the predator-prey interaction by inducing the algae

clump formation.

We found that the trait-mediated indirect effect of salinity stress (decreasing

the attack rate on *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* a_{2j} by 15%) was less intensive than the

direct effect (increasing the rotifer's mortality rate a_{2j} by 86%) (Fig. 4). However, when

we extrapolate the results, it can have a significant effect: if we assume that the attack

and mortality rates change linearly in response to salinity (the black solid lines in Figs.

S12A-B), the rotifer populations are expected to go extinct at ca. 0.2M NaCl (the solid

curve in Fig. S12C) based on the Lotka-Volterra predator-prey model (Eq. 1). On the

other hand, if we assume that the attack rate does not change in response to salinity (the

black dashed line in Fig. S12B), rotifer extinction occurs at ca. 0.35M NaCl (the dashed

curve in Fig. S12C). Thus, clump formation may greatly promote rotifer extinction.

This is in stark contrast to the idea of indirect evolutionary rescue, where prey defense

evolution prevents predator extinction (Yamamichi and Miner 2015, Cortez and

Yamamichi 2019, Hermann and Becks 2022). Hermann and Becks (2022) showed that

increasing salinity reduces the rotifer density through the direct effect, and the reduced

predation pressure selects for less defended *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* due to the

defense-growth trade-off (Becks et al. 2010, Réveillon and Becks 2024). Because of the

reduced frequency of defended algae, in turn, rotifer populations may be rescued from

extinction. But in our system, clump formation is induced by salinity stress, and it

increases the extinction risk of rotifers (Fig. S12). It will be interesting to integrate these

two opposite consequences of clump formation for better understanding how clump

formation under salinity stress can pleiotropically affect salt tolerance and predator-prey

dynamics.

Reducing the attack rate in response to salinity stress can potentially cause

other complex dynamics: the hydra effect (Abrams 2009). When we assume that the the

attack rate is more sensitive to salinity (the gray line in Fig. S12B), the rotifer density

can increase in response to the increasing salinity stress (Fig. S12D). This is because,

despite the direct effect increasing the mortality rate, salinity stress decreases the attack

rate, thereby increasing the population densities of algae and rotifers. The hydra effect

has often been investigated in the context of rapid evolution and eco-evolutionary

dynamics (Cortez and Yamamichi 2019), but it will be important to explore its
possibility with phenotypic plasticity as well.

Expanding our experimental system may provide deeper insights into how
human salinization activities disturb freshwater communities. For example, we will be
able to combine two or more stressors (e.g., pH, temperature) to experimentally show
how realistic environmental changes alter ecological dynamics (Kawaguchi and
Yamamichi 2025). Although we focused on interactions between two species, we can
introduce another green alga that may be less sensitive to salinity stress (e.g., *Chlorella*
vulgaris) to the rotifer-*Chlamydomonas* system to investigate how salt changes the
outcome of exploitative and apparent competition between the two algae species.
Another possibility is introducing a zooplankton species that consumes (e.g.,
Asplanchna brightwelli) (Verschoor et al. 2004) or competes with (e.g., *B. rubens*)
(Rothhaupt 1988) *B. calyciflorus*. Such expansion would allow us to investigate how
salinization would change the stability and structure of complex food webs. Shibasaki
and Terui (2024) recently showed that species richness and three-species subgraph in a
food web (i.e., motifs: Milo et al. 2002) alter food chain length, which represents the
vertical dimension of biodiversity (Wang and Brose 2018). Because salinity stress
would reduce the number of freshwater species by decreasing their growth rates and
simplify the food web by the trait-mediated indirect effects, salinization may shorten
food chain length in freshwater systems. Future studies can test this hypothesis by
extending our experimental system.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that rotifer-alga system is useful
for investigating trait-mediated interaction effects caused by environmental stressors.
We focused on the salinization of freshwater systems and analyzed how salinity stress
changes the predator-prey interaction between green algae *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides*
and rotifers. In addition to the direct effect of salinity stress increasing the rotifer
mortality rate, salinity stress induced the multicellular clump formation of the green
algae, which worked as a by-product defense trait against gape-limited predation by
rotifers. Therefore, the salinity impeded the growth of rotifers both directly and
indirectly. Future study could investigate how freshwater salinization alters food webs
by extending this experimental system.
442

443 Acknowledgment

from the National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Japan. We thank Yurie
Otake for sharing the water sample from which we isolated *Brachionus calyciflorus*. We
also appreciate Mayumi Aono for helping with the experiments as a technician. This
study was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS)
Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI) JP22H02688, JP22H04983, and
JP25K02340, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST) CREST JPMJCR23N5,
Inamori Research Grant 2024, and Research Organization for Information and Systems
(ROIS) Research Grant of Strategic Research Project 2024-SRP-10 to M. Y.
454

Author contribution

456 S.S. and M. Y. conceived the project, S.S. performed the experiment, conducted the
457 statistical analyses, and wrote the initial draft. M.Y. supervised the project and acquired
458 the funding. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.
459

Conflict of interest

461 The authors declare no conflict of interest.
462

Data availability

464 The experimental codes and programming cades will be deposited to a public repository
465 upon acceptance.

Reference

Abrams, P. A. 1995. Implications of dynamically variable traits for identifying,
classifying, and measuring direct and indirect effects in ecological communities.
The American Naturalist **146**:112-134.
Abrams, P. A. 2009. When does greater mortality increase population size? The long
history and diverse mechanisms underlying the hydra effect. Ecology Letters
12:462-474.
Becks, L., S. P. Ellner, L. E. Jones, and N. G. Hairston, Jr. 2010. Reduction of adaptive
genetic diversity radically alters eco-evolutionary community dynamics.
Ecology Letters **13**:989-997.

Becks, L., S. P. Ellner, L. E. Jones, and N. G. Hairston, Jr. 2012. The functional
477   genomics of an eco-evolutionary feedback loop: linking gene expression, trait
478   evolution, and community dynamics. Ecology Letters **15**:492-501.

479   Bernardes, J. P., U. John, N. Woltermann, M. Valiadi, R. J. Hermann, and L. Becks.
480   2021. The evolution of convex trade-offs enables the transition towards
481   multicellularity. Nature Communications **12**:4222.

482   Blasius, B., L. Rudolf, G. Weithoff, U. Gaedke, and G. F. Fussmann. 2020. Long-term
483   cyclic persistence in an experimental predator–prey system. Nature
484   **577**:226-230.

485   Bolker, B., M. Holyoak, V. Křivan, L. Rowe, and O. Schmitz. 2003. Connecting
486   theoretical and empirical studies of trait-mediated interactions. Ecology
487   **84**:1101-1114.

488   Bolnick, D. I., P. Amarasekare, M. S. Araújo, R. Bürger, J. M. Levine, M. Novak, V. H.
489   W. Rudolf, S. J. Schreiber, M. C. Urban, and D. A. Vasseur. 2011. Why
490   intraspecific trait variation matters in community ecology. Trends in Ecology &
491   Evolution **26**:183-192.

492   Cheloni, G., and V. I. Slaveykova. 2021. Morphological plasticity in *Chlamydomonas*
493   *reinhardtii* and acclimation to micropollutant stress. Aquatic Toxicology
494   **231**:105711.

495   Cornwallis, C. K., M. Svensson-Coelho, M. Lindh, Q. Li, F. Stábile, L.-A. Hansson,
496   and K. Rengefors. 2023. Single-cell adaptations shape evolutionary transitions
497   to multicellularity in green algae. Nature Ecology & Evolution **7**:889-902.

498   Cortez, M. H., and M. Yamamichi. 2019. How (co)evolution alters predator responses
499   to increased mortality: extinction thresholds and hydra effects. Ecology
500   **100**:e02789.

501   Cunillera-Montcusí, D., M. Beklioğlu, M. Cañedo-Argüelles, E. Jeppesen, R. Ptacnik, C.
502   A. Amorim, S. E. Arnott, S. A. Berger, S. Brucet, H. A. Dugan, M. Gerhard, Z.
503   Horváth, S. Langenheder, J. C. Nejstgaard, M. Reinikainen, M. Striebel, P.
504   Urrutia-Cordero, C. F. Vad, E. Zadereev, and M. Matias. 2022. Freshwater
505   salinisation: a research agenda for a saltier world. Trends in Ecology &
506   Evolution **37**:440-453.

507   de Carpentier, F., A. Maes, C. H. Marchand, C. Chung, C. Durand, P. Crozet, S. D.
508   Lemaire, and A. Danon. 2022. How abiotic stress-induced socialization leads to
509   the formation of massive aggregates in *Chlamydomonas*. Plant Physiology
510   **190**:1927-1940.

Declerck, S. A. J., A. R. Malo, S. Diehl, D. Waasdorp, K. D. Lemmen, K. Proios, and S.
Papakostas. 2015. Rapid adaptation of herbivore consumers to nutrient
limitation: eco-evolutionary feedbacks to population demography and resource
control. Ecology Letters **18**:553-562.
Dugan, H. A., S. L. Bartlett, S. M. Burke, J. P. Doubek, F. E. Krivak-Tetley, N. K.
Skaff, J. C. Summers, K. J. Farrell, I. M. McCullough, A. M. Morales-Williams,
D. C. Roberts, Z. Ouyang, F. Scordo, P. C. Hanson, and K. C. Weathers. 2017.
Salting our freshwater lakes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
of the United States of America **114**:4453-4458.
Farkas, A., B. Pap, O. Zsíros, R. Patai, P. Shetty, G. Garab, T. Bíró, V. Ördög, and G.
Maróti. 2023. Salinity stress provokes diverse physiological responses of
eukaryotic unicellular microalgae. Algal Research **73**:103155.
Fussmann, G. F., S. P. Ellner, and N. G. Hairston, Jr. 2003. Evolution as a critical
component of plankton dynamics. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:
Biological Sciences **270**:1015-1022.
Fussmann, G. F., S. P. Ellner, K. W. Shertzer, and N. G. Hairston, Jr. 2000. Crossing
the Hopf bifurcation in a live predator-prey system. science **290**:1358-1360.
Golubski, A. J., E. E. Westlund, J. Vandermeer, and M. Pascual. 2016. Ecological
networks over the edge: hypergraph trait-mediated indirect interaction (TMII)
structure. Trends in Ecology & Evolution **31**:344-354.
Haafke, J., M. Abou Chakra, and L. Becks. 2016. Eco☐evolutionary feedback promotes
Red Queen dynamics and selects for sex in predator populations. Evolution
70:641-652.
Hairston, N. G., Sr., F. E. Smith, and L. B. Slobodkin. 1960. Community structure,
population control, and competition. The American Naturalist **94**:421-425.
Hendry, A. P. 2017. Eco-evolutionary Dynamics. Princeton University Press, Princeton,
NJ.
Hermann, R. J., and L. Becks. 2022. Change in prey genotype frequency rescues
predator from extinction. Royal Society open science **9**:220211.
Herron, M. D., J. M. Borin, J. C. Boswell, J. Walker, I.-C. K. Chen, C. A. Knox, M.
Boyd, F. Rosenzweig, and W. C. Ratcliff. 2019. *De novo* origins of
multicellularity in response to predation. Scientific Reports **9**:2328.
Hiltunen, T., S. P. Ellner, G. Hooker, L. E. Jones, and N. G. Hairston Jr. 2014.
Eco-evolutionary dynamics in a three-species food web with intraguild
predation: intriguingly complex. Advances in Ecological Research **50**:41-73.

Ichimura, T. 1971. Sexual cell division and conjugation-papilla formation in sexual
reproduction of *Closterium strigosum*. Pages 208-214 *in* Proceedings of the 7th
International Seaweed Symposium. The University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo.
Kasada, M., M. Yamamichi, and T. Yoshida. 2014. Form of an evolutionary tradeoff
affects eco-evolutionary dynamics in a predator-prey system. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
111:16035-16040.
Kaushal, S. S., G. E. Likens, M. L. Pace, J. E. Reimer, C. M. Maas, J. G. Galella, R. M.
Utz, S. Duan, J. R. Kryger, A. M. Yaculak, W. L. Boger, N. W. Bailey, S. Haq,
K. L. Wood, B. M. Wessel, C. E. Park, D. C. Collison, B. Y. a. I. Aisin, T. M.
Gedeon, S. K. Chaudhary, J. Widmer, C. R. Blackwood, C. M. Bolster, M. L.
Devilbiss, D. L. Garrison, S. Halevi, G. Q. Kese, E. K. Quach, C. M. P. Rogelio,
M. L. Tan, H. J. S. Wald, and S. A. Woglo. 2021. Freshwater salinization
syndrome: from emerging global problem to managing risks. Biogeochemistry
154:255-292.
Kawaguchi, Y. W., and M. Yamamichi. 2025. A single episode of sexual reproduction
can produce large variation in population growth rates under dual stressors.
Journal of Evolutionary Biology **38**:778-785.
Kay, M., L. A. Elkin, J. J. Higgins, and J. O. Wobbrock. 2025. ARTool: Aligned Rank
Transform for Nonparametric Factorial ANOVAs. R package version 0.11.2.
Khona, D. K., S. M. Shirolikar, K. K. Gawde, E. Hom, M. A. Deodhar, and J. S.
D'Souza. 2016. Characterization of salt stress-induced palmelloids in the green
alga, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Algal Research **16**:434-448.
Kuznetsova, A., P. B. Brockhoff, and R. H. B. Christensen. 2017. lmerTest package:
tests in linear mixed effects models. Journal of statistical software **82**:1-26.
Leighton, P. A., J. A. Horrocks, and D. L. Kramer. 2010. Conservation and the
scarecrow effect: Can human activity benefit threatened species by displacing
predators? Biological Conservation **143**:2156-2163.
Lurling, M., and W. Beekman. 2006. Palmelloids formation in *Chlamydomonas*
reinhardtii: defence against rotifer predators? International Journal of
Limnology **42**:65-72.
Mehlhoop, A. C., B. Van Moorter, C. M. Rolandsen, D. Hagen, A. Granhus, R. Eriksen,
T. H. Ringsby, and E. J. Solberg. 2022. Moose in our neighborhood: Does
perceived hunting risk have cascading effects on tree performance in vicinity of
roads and houses? Ecology and Evolution **12**:e8795.

Milo, R., S. Shen-Orr, S. Itzkovitz, N. Kashtan, D. Chklovskii, and U. Alon. 2002.
Network motifs: simple building blocks of complex networks. science
298:824-827.

Miner, B. G., S. E. Sultan, S. G. Morgan, D. K. Padilla, and R. A. Relyea. 2005.
Ecological consequences of phenotypic plasticity. Trends in Ecology &
Evolution **20**:685-692.

Ohgushi, T., O. Schmitz, and R. D. Holt. 2012. Trait-Mediated Indirect Interactions:
Ecological and Evolutionary Perspectives. Cambridge University Press,
Cambridge, UK.

Otake, Y., M. Kurokawa, N. Maruoka, M. Nakagawa, W. Makino, and J. Urabe. 2025.
Taxonomic re-evaluation of the *Brachionus calyciflorus* species complex
(Rotifera) in Japanese freshwaters. Limnology **26**:153-167.

Peacor, S. D., B. T. Barton, D. L. Kimbro, A. Sih, and M. J. Sheriff. 2020. A framework
and standardized terminology to facilitate the study of predation☐risk effects.
Ecology **101**:e03152.

Pigliucci, M., C. J. Murren, and C. D. Schlichting. 2006. Phenotypic plasticity and
evolution by genetic assimilation. Journal of Experimental Biology
209:2362-2367.

R Core Team. 2024. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Réveillon, T., and L. Becks. 2024. Trade☐offs between defense and competitive traits
in a planktonic predator–prey system. Ecology **105**:e4456.

Rothhaupt, K. O. 1988. Mechanistic resource competition theory applied to laboratory
experiments with zooplankton. Nature **333**:660-662.

Sarma, S. S. S., S. Nandini, J. Morales-Ventura, I. Delgado-Martínez, and L.
González-Valverde. 2006. Effects of NaCl salinity on the population dynamics
of freshwater zooplankton (rotifers and cladocerans). Aquatic Ecology
40:349-360.

Sathe, S., and P. M. Durand. 2016. Cellular aggregation in *Chlamydomonas*
(Chlorophyceae) is chimaeric and depends on traits like cell size and motility.
European Journal of Phycology **51**:129-138.

Scheuerl, T., and C. P. Stelzer. 2019. Asexual reproduction changes predator population
dynamics in a life predator–prey system. Population Ecology **61**:210-216.

Schmitz, O. J., V. Krivan, and O. Ovadia. 2004. Trophic cascades: the primacy of
trait-mediated indirect interactions. Ecology Letters **7**:153-163.

Shibasaki, S., and A. Terui. 2024. Food web complexity modulates environmental
617   impacts on food chain length. Oikos **2024**:e10331.

618   Shibasaki, S., and M. Yamamichi. 2024. The double-edged effect of environmental
619   fluctuations on evolutionary rescue. BioRxiv.

620   Smith, J. A., M. E. McDaniels, S. D. Peacor, E. C. Bolas, M. J. Cherry, N. J. Dorn, O. K.
621   Feldman, D. L. Kimbro, E. K. Leonhardt, N. E. Peckham, M. J. Sheriff, and K.
622   M. Gaynor. 2024. Population and community consequences of perceived risk
623   from humans in wildlife. Ecology Letters **27**:e14456.

624   Stan Development Team. 2025. RStan: the R interface to Stan.

625   Utsumi, S., O. Kishida, and T. Ohgushi. 2010. Trait-mediated indirect interactions in
626   ecological communities. Population Ecology **52**:457-459.

627   Venâncio, C., B. B. Castro, R. Ribeiro, S. C. Antunes, N. Abrantes, A. M. V. M. Soares,
628   and I. Lopes. 2019. Sensitivity of freshwater species under single and
629   multigenerational exposure to seawater intrusion. Philosophical Transactions of
630   the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **374**:20180252.

631   Verschoor, A. M., M. Vos, and I. van der Stap. 2004. Inducible defences prevent strong
632   population fluctuations in bi- and tritrophic food chains. Ecology Letters
633   **7**:1143-1148.

634   Wang, S., and U. Brose. 2018. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in food webs:
635   the vertical diversity hypothesis. Ecology Letters **21**:9-20.

636   Werner, E. E., and S. D. Peacor. 2003. A review of trait-mediated indirect interactions
637   in ecological communities. Ecology **84**:1083-1100.

638   Yamamichi, M. 2020. Effects of Rapid Evolution on Population Cycles and Extinction
639   in Predator–Prey Systems. Pages 19-49 *in* A. Mougi, editor. Diversity of
640   Functional Traits and Interactions. Springer, Singapore.

641   Yamamichi, M., and B. E. Miner. 2015. Indirect evolutionary rescue: prey adapts,
642   predator avoids extinction. Evolutionary Applications **8**:787-795.

643   Yoshida, T., S. P. Ellner, L. E. Jones, B. J. M. Bohannan, R. E. Lenski, and N. G.
644   Hairston, Jr. 2007. Cryptic population dynamics: Rapid evolution masks trophic
645   interactions. PLOS Biology **5**:1868-1879.

646   Yoshida, T., L. E. Jones, S. P. Ellner, G. F. Fussmann, and N. G. Hairston, Jr. 2003.
647   Rapid evolution drives ecological dynamics in a predator-prey system. Nature
648   **424**:303-306.

649   Yumoto, K., F. Kasai, and M. Kawachi. 2013. Taxonomic re-examination of
650   *Chlamydomonas* strains maintained in the NIES-Collection. Microbiology and
651   Culture Collections **29**:1-12.

652
653

654 # Tables

655 **Table 1**. Non-parametric two-way ANOVA and its effect size (partial η^2). Df is the
656 degree of freedom.

Species	Stressor	Df	Df.res	F value	p value	partial η^2
Chlamydomonas sphaeroides	Salt	1	1196	1626.542	0	0.576
	Predator	1	1196	65.765	0	0.052
	Slat*Predator	1	1196	21.373	0	0.018
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii	Salt	1	1196	241.7099	0	0.168
	Predator	1	1196	14.1246	0	0.012
	Slat*Predator	1	1196	3.3138	0.069	0.003
Chlorella vulgaris	Salt	1	1196	7.1755	0.008	0.006
	Predator	1	1196	20.3152	0	0.017
	Slat*Predator	1	1196	4.5742	0.033	0.004

658   **Table 2**. Fixed effect in the linear mixed model on the clump sizes after predation. S.E.

659   is standard error and Df is the degree of freedom.

Variable	Estimate	S.E.	Df	*t* value	*p* value
Intercept	2.054	0.081	5.157	25.307	0
6 hours	−0.169	0.056	3592	−3.015	0.003
Predators	−0.27	0.115	5.157	−2.354	0.064
6 hours with predators	0.343	0.115	5.157	2.991	0.029

661 Figures

662
Figure 1. Schematic representation of the system.
The green algae *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* is consumed by rotifers *Brachionus*
calyciflorus (the solid and dashed oblique arrows between them). While the salinity
directly decreases the growth of both species (the three arrows from the salt), it also
induces the clump formation of the green algae (the horizontal arrow). The clumps
weaken the predator-prey interaction because rotifers are gape-limited predators (i.e.,
the trait-mediated indirect effect of salinity stress: the dashed oblique arrows). The salt
icon by Servier https://smart.servier.com/ is licensed under CC-BY 3.0 Unported
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/. Photos were taken by S. Shibasaki.

Figure 2. Large clump formation was induced by salinity stress in *Chlamydomonas*
sphaeroides.

Top: The distributions of clump sizes of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (left),
Chlamydomonas sphaeroides (middle), and *Chlorella vulgaris* (right) under four
conditions (orange: control, blue: with rotifers, green: with 0.06M NaCl, and yellow:
with rotifers and 0.06M NaCl) after seven days. The black dots represent the median
clump sizes of 900 clumps. Bottom: examples of clump sizes of *Chlamydomonas*
sphaeroides in the control condition (left) and in the presence of salinity stress and
rotifers (right). The white bars represent the scale (50 µm). Photos were taken by S.
Shibasaki.

Figure 3. Large clumps were not consumed by rotifers.

The distributions of clump sizes of *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* in the presence (A) or

absence (B) of rotifers in 0.06M NaCl C medium with three replicates (flasks). By

comparing the size distributions before (blue) and after (yellow) the exposure to rotifers

for six hours, it is clear that rotifers consumed smaller clumps (A) and salinity stress

cannot explain the difference (B). The black dots represent the median clump size of

300 clumps.

Figure 4. Predator-prey dynamics with or without salinity stress.
A-B: Population dynamics of *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* (A) and rotifers (B) in the presence (triangle: 0.06M NaCl) or absence (circle: 0M NaCl) salinity stress with three replicates (shown by different colors). The error bars in A represent the standard errors while the markers show the mean values. C-D: Posterior distributions of the relative differences in the attack rate on *Chlamydomonas sphaeroides* (a_{2j}: C) and the mortality rate (m_2: D) of rotifers in the presence ($j = 2$) and absence ($j = 1$) of salinity stress. The shaded areas represent 95% credible intervals (CIs). The three digits indicate the boundaries of the 95% CIs and the median values.