Title: The First of Us: *Ophiocordyceps* use a novel scramblase-binding peptide to manipulate zombie ants

Authors: William C. Beckerson[1,2*†], Steffen Werner[3], Maite Goebbels[1], João J. Ramalho[2], Andrew J. M. Swafford[4], Ilia Soroka[5], Sinah T. Wingert[1], Mike Boxem[2], Suzan Ruijtenberg[2], Greg J. Stephens[5,6], Sander van den Heuvel[2], Charissa de Bekker[1*]

Affiliations:

[1]Molecular Microbiology, Environmental Biology, Department of Biology, Utrecht University; Utrecht, the Netherlands.

[2]Developmental Biology, Biodynamics and Biocomplexity, Department of Biology, Utrecht University; Utrecht, the Netherlands.

[3]Experimental Zoology Group, Wageningen University & Research; Wageningen, the Netherlands.

[4]Biology Department, Middlebury College; Middlebury, Vermont, United States of America

[5]Department of Physics and Astronomy, VU University Amsterdam; Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

[6]Biological Physics Theory Unit, OIST Graduate University; Okinawa, Japan

*Corresponding authors

†Present address: Wageningen University & Research, Experimental Zoology Group, Wageningen, the Netherlands

Key Words:

Neuroparasitology, Neuroethology, Behavior, Invertebrate, Fungus, Parasite, *C. elegans*

Abstract:

Parasite-adaptive manipulation of behavior is a widespread natural phenomenon. While *Ophiocordyceps* zombie fungi are well-known for hijacking ant behavior to increase their fitness, functionally characterizing the biomolecules involved in behavior manipulation remains difficult in these non-model organisms. To circumvent this problem, we have adopted the powerful genetics toolbox of *Caenorhabditis elegans* to identify molecular targets and neurophysiological effects of candidate *Ophiocordyceps* effectors. With this approach, we discovered a novel cysteine-rich, small secreted fungal peptide that binds to well-conserved calcium-dependent scramblase channels in neurons, particularly those associated with sensory tissues. This binding suppressed nematode motor coordination and dampened ant olfactory systems vital for communication. Our findings are the thus first to directly connect an *Ophiocordyceps* effector with its extended phenotype, demonstrating the neuroethological role of Scramblase-1.

Main Text:

Introduction

Reciprocal coevolutionary pressures experienced between parasites and their hosts can lead to the evolution of intense host specialization and unique infection strategies over time[1]. In some cases, the intimate symbiotic relationship between organisms can link the genome of parasites with unique phenotypes in the hosts, leading to the emergence of extended phenotypes[2-3]. Behavior manipulation is a common example of extended phenotypes found across the tree of life[4]. Using effectors to alter host behavior, parasites can increase their own fitness by augmenting the development and/or transmission of parasitic propagules. Fungal parasites are especially well known for their behavior manipulation strategies, inspiring pop culture media like *The Last of Us*[5] and *The Girl with All the Gifts*[6]. Among these behavior-manipulating parasites, the biodiverse species complex *Ophiocordyceps unilateralis*[7-8] is well known for temporally inducing multiple conspicuous behavioral changes in infected ants. First, the fungus disrupts the social behaviors of it's the ants, driving infected "zombie ants" to communicate less with nestmates. They then abandon their foraging roles and eventually leave the nest. These behavioral manipulations ultimately help avoid detection and destruction of the parasite as part of nestmate social immunity responses[9-10]. Next, the ants exhibit summit disease[11], climbing nearby vegetation to a vantage point that aids in fruiting body development and spore dispersal[12]. Finally, the ant bites down irreversibly to the substrate at this elevated position, ensuring it remains anchored after death when the fungus transitions to its reproductive stage. By stimulating its host to climb to a higher location, the fungus is better positioned for wind to spread its spores and infect new ants. While these extended phenotypes may seem uniquely adapted to the life cycle of social ant species, examples of similar behavioral changes (e.g., summit disease) can be found in other parasite-host interactions as well[13-15]. This suggests that many behavioral manipulation strategies many behavioral manipulation strategies appear to have evolved convergently[16], implying that different parasites may exploit the same core neurobiological pathways in animal hosts. Understanding which pathways are involved and how these pathways are exploited by these parasites is an important step towards understanding unifying neuroethological processes in insects, particularly those with agricultural implications. By exploring behavioral effectors and understanding their impact on conserved insect neurobiology, we open the door for the discovery of new biocontrol agents to help combat destructive pests.

Dozens of new behavior-manipulating parasites are found each year, but molecular studies are hindered by the lack of bioengineering techniques for these non-model organisms. The same is true for many of their hosts and other agricultural pests, which often lose natural behaviors and stress resilience under laboratory conditions[17-18]. While multiomic tools can help identify putative behavioral effectors in some of these systems, characterizing their effects *in vivo* remains difficult. To address this problem, this project sought to find a workaround by integrating tools from more genetically tractable model organisms like *Caenorhabditis elegans*. With the tools available to this system, were able to reverse engineer the function of putative zombie-making biomolecules and identify targeted conserved pathways to inform further studies in the native host. This involved the utilization of a Yeast Two-Hybrid (Y2H) system generated from the *C. elegans* genome and several behavioral assays involving *C. elegans* exposed to putative behavioral effectors. These approaches allowed us to identify both the neurological target proteins of putative *Ophiocordyceps* effectors and their overall effect on behavior. This resulted in the identification of a cysteine-rich, small secreted peptide that binds to calcium-dependent scramblase proteins (SCRM-1 and SCRM-

2) in the neurological tissues of nematodes. While this previously undescribed fungal peptide lacks any known protein family domains or gene ontology annotations, cys-rich peptides are well-known contributors to the neurotoxic effects of arachnid venoms[19]. The capacity of these types of neuropeptides to interfere with ion channels[20] thus makes them efficient insecticidal compounds[21].

While scramblase channels have traditionally been implicated in membrane remodeling through phospholipid scrambling[22], more recent findings have challenged this limited scope, proposing other roles when expressed in neurons[23-24]. In both mouse and fly models, scramblases were found to be involved in synaptic transmission, with homologs for scramblases 1 and 2 playing a particularly vital role in neurotransmitter vesicle release and accumulation at neuromuscular junctions[23-24]. Moreover, these scramblases were prominently found in fly antenna[24], indicating a putative association with invertebrate olfactory sensing. Olfactory disruption during infection could facilitate *Ophiocordyceps*-adaptive abandonment of nestmates and social roles by interfering with the host's ability to detect communicative pheromones used by ants to communicate[25]. We therefore hypothesized that this cys-rich peptide is involved in the nest abandonment behavior of manipulated ants. Through a combination of Y2H assays, computational modeling, colocalization imaging, and behavioral experiments, we were able to show that this *Ophiocordyceps* peptide from *Ophiocordyceps camponoti-floridani* binds to Scramblase 1 channels and causes olfactory sensing-related behavioral changes in *Camponotus floridanus*. By incorporating the vast molecular toolboxes of model organisms like *C. elegans*, we have demonstrated the power of interdisciplinary research and provided an alternative way to study the neuroethology of behavioral effectors in difficult to work with systems. In doing so, we have successfully characterized the first *bona fide Ophiocordyceps* behavioral effector.

Results

Identification of a putative Ophiocordyceps effector involved in behavioral manipulation

It has long been hypothesized that *O. camponoti-floridani* manipulates ant behavior using secreted proteins[9]. To identify which of these secreted proteins may be involved in behavior manipulation during infection, we compared the secretome of *O. camponoti-floridani*, determined using a rigorous computational analysis[26] (Data S1), with transcriptomics data. This revealed a novel small secreted peptide (Fig. 1A) putatively involved in *Ophiocordyceps* manipulation of ant behavior. In fact, this gene (*Ophcf2|06345* in *O. camponoti-floridani*) showed one of the highest expression increases during manipulated summiting of *C. floridanus* ants when compared to fungal growth in insect medium (log2-fold change = 8.45[9]; Fig. 1B). Its homolog in *O. kimflemingiae* (Ophio5|1675) showed a similar expression pattern during *Camponotus castaneus* manipulation (log2-fold change = 4.51[27]; Fig. 1B). Moreover, homologs with predicted secretion signals exist in other behavior manipulating *Ophiocordyceps* species as well (Fig. 1C), some of which infect other species of insects (e.g., *Ophiocordyceps sinensis* infection of caterpillars[28]).

Fig. 1. Features of the Ophcf2|06345 protein. (A) 3D structural model of Ophcf2|06345 as predicted by AlphaFold2 with high confidence folding shown in orange and low confidence regions shown in white. The position of the cystine residues connected by disulfide bonds are shown in yellow. (B) Expression levels of the Ophcf2|06345 protein produced by fungi grown on Grace's insect media compared to infection of carpenter ant hosts. The expression levels for *O. camponoti-floridani* are shown on the left and the expression levels for the homolog in *O. kimflemingae* are shown on the right. (C) Full polypeptide sequence for Ophcf2|06345, top, and the amino acid sequence comparison of the mature peptide regions for homologs found in the *Ophiocordyceps* genus (Oc-f = *O. camponoti-floridani*, Ok = *O. kimflemingae*, Oc-l = *O. camponoti-leonardi*, Os = *O. sinensis*).

These homologs all share a high degree of sequence conservation in the mature peptide region, and while there was variation in the signal peptide regions they are all predicted to be secreted (SignalP-6.0; Data S2), suggesting that this putative effector might play an important role in general behavior manipulation. The model also shows structural parallels with well-known neurotoxic cys-rich peptides[20], possessing 2 ShK-like α-helices[29] and stable antiparallel β-strands that form a disulfide-directed β-hairpin fold[30], providing support for further investigation into potential neurological binding partners in the host. All homologs demonstrated a strong conservation of the cysteine residues, which accounted for approximately 13% of the mature peptide (6/46 amino acids), and maintained identical spacing across sequences (Fig. 1C). Structural predictions of the secreted peptide from *O. camponoti-floridani* with AlphaFold2 (fig. S1) showed that these equidistant cysteines create 3 tightly connected disulfide bonds characteristic of stable cysteine knots[19-20] (Fig. 1A). However, unlike traditional cysteine knots, our predicted model does not show threading of the disulfide bonds, but rather a lattice-like conformation (Fig. 1A).

Identification of scramblase lipid channels as a target for inhibitory binding by the putative Ophiocordyceps effector

To identify candidate binding partners for the Ophcf2|06345 peptide, we performed a series of Y2H assays with the cDNA and ORFeome libraries prepared from the *C. elegans* genome. While these libraries do not include all of the potential targets that could be found in the native ant host, we reasoned that any hits with conserved neurological proteins may hint at conserved behavioral effects as well. These screens yielded 51 and 44 diploid colonies with robust growth on selective media, respectively (Data S4). Sequencing of prey vectors present in each of these colonies revealed several binding partners (Data S4). Of these, an uncharacterized gene predicted to play a role in serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity (*Y69H2.3*), the genes activated in blocked unfolded protein response (*abu*), and phospholipid scramblases (*scrm*) were found in both libraries with more than 2 replicates. Given that *abu* genes also commonly appeared in Y2H screens with other *Ophiocordyceps* effector candidates, these interactions were presumably caused by autoactivation and removed from further analysis. We then used BlastP to search the *C. floridanus* proteome (taxid:104421, NCBI) for homologs of the remaining *C. elegans* binding partners. This analysis identified matches for both queries: Y69H2.3 (Camfl2|XM_025412121.1) and SCRM (Camfl2|XM_025407014.1). A closer look at the predicted function revealed that Y69H2.3 homologs had no known function in either worms or ants. In contrast, both of the identified *C. elegans* SCRM binding partners, SCRM-1 and SCRM-2, aligned with a single ant protein, Phospholipid Scramblase 1 (PS1), and shared the same calcium-dependent lipid transporter function. We therefore focused the Y2H assay on interactions between *Ophcf2|06345* with SCRM-1 and SCRM-2 (Fig. 2A).

Fig. 2. Yeast Two-Hybrid (Y2H) mating assay and computationally predicted binding sites. (A) Mating between yeast strains harboring the coding region for *Ophcf2|06345* protein (SBP) and those harboring the cDNA or ORFeome library from *C. elegans*. The spot assay on the left is plated on double dropout media (DDO) lacking the essential amino acids Leu and Trp to select for successfully mated colonies. The spot assay on the right is plated on triple dropout media (TDO) additionally lacking the amino acid His and with added 3AT to select for robust protein-protein

interactions and x-α-Gal to induce a blue colorimetric phenotype. Yeast strains and plasmid names are show in black text and abbreviated with BD for the binding domain or AD for the activation domain components of the corresponding vectors. *C. elegans* genes shown in blue, *O. camponoti-floridani* genes shown in orange, and *C. floridanus* genes shown in red. Rows 1-2 demonstrate the inability of haploid yeast cells containing the bait vector (pMB27) or the prey vector (pMB27) to grow on either media types. Row 3 demonstrates the ability for diploid mated cells without robust protein-protein interactions to grow on the DDO media, but not the TDO media. Rows 4-6 represent positive controls for the *C. elegans* Y2H libraries, with dpm-1 x fars-1 showing weak x-α-gal catabolism, rfc-3 x eif-3.D showing efficient x-α-gal catabolism, and dp-1 x ef2-1 showing a very strong rate of x-α-gal catabolism associated with accumulation that affects colony growth. (B) ScanNet predicted binding residues for SBP, orange, with the *C. elegans* scramblases 1 and 2, blue, and *C. floridanus* scramblase-1 proteins, red. Interacting residues are shown via text overlay alongside their numerical position in each protein. (C) Pairwise mating assay between yeast strains harboring SBP and the *C. floridanus* Phospholipid Scramblases 1, 3, and 4.

In total, nematodes have 8 scramblase proteins. This is a comparatively large number compared to other organisms (e.g., 5 in *Homo sapiens* and *Mus musculus*, and only 2 in *Drosophila melanogaster*), while *C. floridanus* ants have just 3 (PS1, PS3, and PS4). We compared the 3D structures of SCRM-1, SCRM-1, and PS1 to determine if binding was likely between the Ophcfe|06345 peptide with PS1 as well, particularly because the amino acid similarity with PS1 is low (35% for SCRM-1 and 36% with SCRM-2, fig. S2). 3D comparisons using AlphaFold2 models performed with Foldseek[31] and PyMOL v 2.5.8 (Schrödinger, LLC) showed a much higher degree of similarity (75% and 81% TM scores, respectively), particularly in the core region (fig. S2). This structural similarity was also found between PS1 and the 2 phospholipid scramblases present in the *D. melanogaster* genome (SCRAMB1, 88%, and SCRAMB2, 76%, TM scores; fig. S2). Additional computational modeling of molecular docking between PS1 and the *Ophcf2|06345* peptide, indicate that the peptide binds to the surface of PS1, putatively acting as a cap to interfere with lipid transport (Fig. 2B). Similar results were obtained with SCRM-1; however, SCRM-2 showed a preference for binding from the side, making it a less strong potential candidate (Fig. 2B). While this sort of binding would be possible with free-floating proteins in the nucleus during Y2H analyses, it would be unlikely to occur *in vivo* based on the transmembrane localization of SCRM proteins. To verify if the *Ophiocordyceps* effector binds to PS1, and to test whether it binds to any of the other ant scramblase proteins, a series of pairwise Y2H assays was performed between *Ophcf2|06345* and all 3 phospholipid scramblase proteins from the *C. floridanus* genome. The results showed that *Ophcf2|06345* only binds to PS1 in *C. floridanus* (Fig. 2C).

Given that SCRM-2 is expressed in very low quantities across all life stages of nematode development (fig. S3), and that knockout studies in *Drosophila* have demonstrated that repair of *SCRAMB1* alone was enough to rescue wild-type phenotypes in Δ*SCRAMB1;* Δ*SCRAMB2* double mutants[24], we suggest that Scramblase 2 might play a redundant role to Scramblase 1 in neuronal tissues. For this reason, we further narrowed the scope of this study to investigate the relationship between the *Ophcf2|06345* peptide and Scramblase-1, exclusively. With this direction, we endogenously tagged the Scramblase-1 protein with mKate 2 and expressed a GFP-tagged version of *Ophcf2|06345* in *C. elegans* to demonstrated that the binding observed in our Y2H assays also occurs *in vivo.* This was confirmed through by a high degree of colocalization (Fig. 3). These images also showed that SCRM-1 is largely expressed in the nervous system of *C. elegans*, particularly in the sensory organs (Fig. 3A) and the nerve ring (Fig. 3B; Movies S1-2). Taken together, the co-localization data, alongside Y2H and computational evidence, indicate that the

previously undescribed Ophcf2|06345 peptide binds to SCRM-1. We therefore named it the Scramblase-Binding Peptide (SBP).

Fig. 3. Colocalization of *Ophiocordyceps* Ophcf2|06345 protein (SBP) and *C. elegans* Scramblase-1. The top images represent spinning disc confocal imagery of the *C. elegans* amphid region, (A), and nerve ring, (B). The top row of fluorescent microscopy images was taken with a 561 nm laser to excite the mKate2 fluorophore linked to SCRM-1, shown in magenta. The middle row of images was taken with a 491 nm laser to excite the GFP fluorophore linked to a codon-optimized variant of SBP, shown in green. The bottom row of images represents a series of composite images with high degrees of colocalization shown in white. The left column in both A and B shows autofluorescence in CGC1 worms while the right columns show fluorescence of the fluorophores in transgenic *scrm-1(he439[mKate2::scrm-1])* worms expressing pPrab-3_CelOptSBP-(G4S)3-GFP_Tlet-858 (Data S7). Colocalization can be seen to a higher degree in the sensilar tissues, outer labial quadrant neurons (OLQs), and the nerve ring (NR).

Ophiocordyceps Scramblase-Binding Peptide causes behavioral changes in C. elegans and C. floridanus

To determine if the binding of fungal SBP to invertebrate Scramblase 1 can alter behavioral phenotypes, a series of behavioral assays was performed in *C. elegans* and analyzed with a new MATLAB software for detecting changes in nematode body position[32]. First, we performed 2 behavioral experiments involving nematodes exposed to SBP. In these tests, the worms were either 1) fed SBP-expressing Rosetta[TM] 2 bacteria (Novagen) or 2) genetically modified to express codon-optimized SBP. The results from these tests were then compared to behavioral tests based involving the removal or repression of SCRM-1. These inhibitory tests were conducted using knockout strains (Caenorhabditis Genetics Center strain CU2904) and RNAi-mediated repression[33] of SCRM-1. By comparing the results from all 4 tests, we aimed to link any behavioral changes caused by SBP, at least in part, with the inhibition of SCRM-1 lipid channel transport. In all 4 conditions, we observed lower body wave amplitudes during nematode crawling, which are

captured by the ring-shaped probability distribution of the first two modes of a principal component analysis (Fig. 4A; fig. S4; Movie S3). However, despite a lower average diameter in all 4 groups, only the results from the SBP-exposure tests were statistically significant when compared with their respective controls (two-tailed t-test, p-values 2.32e-3** and 1.91e-8***, respectively; Fig. 4B).

Fig. 4. Behavioral analysis of *C. elegans* in response to SBP exposure and SCRM-1 inhibition. The data is organized into rows based on experimental parameters. The top row represents tests performed with wt nematodes (CGC1) fed SBP-expressing Rosetta™ 2 and controls fed empty vector Rosetta™ 2, the top middle row represents tests performed with transgenic worms self-expressing SBP and transgenic controls expressing GFP, the bottom middle row represents tests performed with *scrm-1*-knockout worms (CU2904) and wt control worms, and the bottom row represents KP3948 worms fed HT115(DE3) cells expressing dsRNA for *scrm-1* (Vidal RNAi library; Rual et al., 2004) compared to controls producing dsRNA for GFP. (A) Principal component analyses (PCA) of the body curvature of crawling C. elegans worms. The joint probability distributions of the amplitudes a_1 (x-axis) and a_2 (y-axis) of the first two modes with the largest variances shows a circular structure. This corresponds to travelling waves along the worm body during motion via lateral undulations. Color denotes the relative fraction of data points in ppm. (B) Average radial distance $<\sqrt{a_1^2+a_2^2}>$ in the space of the first 2 eigenmodes for each worm. Mean and standard deviation are shown for each data set in black. Significance was determined using a two-tailed t-test. (C) Reconstructed worm shapes from the average contributions of only the first 2 modes illustrate that the smaller radius corresponds to waves with smaller amplitudes, i.e., smaller peak curvatures. Base figures and statistical analyses were generated with RStudio Version 2023.06.0+421, the code for which can be found in the "Worm Code" section of Data S6.

The results from our behavioral tests, in conjunction with colocalization assays that show an abundance of SCRM-1 to neurons in the nerve ring and sensilar organs of *C. elegans*, suggest that SBP can induce behavioral changes presumably through the inhibition of neuromuscular and/or chemosensory systems. Working from this hypothesis, we conducted further tests in the native ant hosts, *C. floridanus*.

Similar to the *C. elegans* behavioral assays, we 1) injected ants with SBP extracted from the periplasmic space of the same Rosetta 2 cells fed to nematodes during their exposure tests and 2) knocked down PS1 by injecting dsRNA targeting *ps1*. To validate proper disulfide bond formation had occurred during bacterial expression of the peptide, a western blot was performed comparing native periplasmic protein exactions to those additionally treated with DTT (fig. S5). We then recorded the behavior of ants and used a new computational tracking software[34] to identify changes in movement and/or chemosensory-related ant behaviors. Compared to controls, both SBP-injected and PS1-repressed ants spent significantly more time near filter pads soaked with citronella oil, which is commonly used to repel insects (one-tailed pairwise t-test, p = 2.48e-3** and 0.0121*, respectively; Fig. 5A)

Fig. 5. Olfactory systems disruption in *C. floridanus*. (A) The average percentage of ants in the aversion zone calculated as the sum total time spent by each ant in the zone divided by the total sum time of the recording (4 cm radius surrounding citronella pad). Ants injected with dsRNA Phospholipid Scramblase 1 (∇PS1) were compared to those injected with dsRNA for GFP (∇GFP), left, while ants injected with periplasmic protein fractions containing SBP (SBP) produced by Rosetta[TM] 2 cells using the pET-22b expression vector were compared to those injected with bacterial periplasmic extracts from Rosetta[TM] 2 cells expressing an empty pET-22b plasmid (Sham), right. The average time spent in the aversion zone was calculated by counting the total number of ants within the zone every 0.5 sec for the first 4 min of recording (480 frames) and dividing this number by the total number of ants in each frame. Statistical significance was determined between the means of each group using a one-tailed paired Student's t-test. (B) The number of antennation events, examples of which are shown on the right. Statistical significance was determined using a two-tailed independent Student's t-test. (C) A difference area plot for the spatio-temporal distribution of ants in a nest environment after injection with either sham treatment or SBP. Numbers on the positive axis represent a greater degree of separation between ants in the

SBP nests while numbers on the negative axis represent the inverse. Measurements were taken every 0.5 sec by calculating the nearest-neighbor distance between each ant and averaging across the total number of ants in each frame. The values are shown as a rolling average (k = 5) with time on the x-axis. Statistical significance was determined using a linear mixed-effects model. Base figures and statistical analyses were generated with RStudio Version 2023.06.0+421, the code for which can be found in the "Ant Code" section of Data S6, subcategorized by experiment.

These results indicate that the repression of PS1 and exposure to SBP both dampen ant olfactory sensing. This effect was most apparent in the first 4 minutes of recording, right after the addition of the citronella-soaked pad (fig. S6). Additional tests observing pair-wise interactions between ants further demonstrated that this olfactory dampening significantly reduced antennation events compared to controls (two-tailed t-test, p = 0.0285*; Fig. 5B). To see if this reduction in communication affected social structures of the nest, we also analyzed video footage from the 5 min just prior to addition of the citronella-soaked pad, which was approximately 5 min post injection of the final ant. In these videos, we detected a significant reduction in typical clustering behavior within the nest, an effect that increased in the SBP-injected group over time (linear mixed-effects model, p < 3.893e-7***; Fig. 5C).

In a couple of instances, we also observed ants injected with SBP attacking nestmates later introduced to their arena during the injection phase, suggesting that SBP exposure could be interfering with proper detection of cuticular hydrocarbon profiles necessary for nestmate recognition. In total, we saw this behavior 1 time during antennation tests preparations (not recorded) and 3 times during the preparations for SBP aversion tests (Movie S4). Only 1 instance of fighting was observed in control groups across all tests (Gen19 control 2; 10.5281/zenodo.16760416), which was categorically different from those observed in the SBP groups in that the behavior was both transient and occurred after the addition of the citronella pad. A closer look showed that this particular fight occurred between a major, which did the biting, and a minor which had just walked on top of the newly added citronella pad. We therefore expect that this temporary fight was more related to the defense response of the major trying to prevent the citronella-coated ant from "entering" the nest area.

Discussion

Using model organisms to reverse engineer extended phenotypes in host/pathogen symbioses has enabled us to explore the molecular biology of zombie ants in a way that was previously inaccessible given the recalcitrant nature of working with these non-model organisms in the lab. By using *C. elegans* to identify the molecular targets of a behavioral effector, we were able to inform follow-up experiments in the native hosts. These experiments, in turn, demonstrate the extended phenotypic effects related to the molecular interactions, and in this case have led to the successful characterization of olfactory system inhibition by a novel scramblase-binding peptide.

Disruption of sensory systems in eusocial insects provides a pathway for parasite-adaptive behavior manipulation at multiple stages of the *Ophiocordyceps* life cycle. Carpenter ants rely heavily on antennal sensilla to identify nest mates, communicate with 1 another, regulate division of labor, and detect sick individuals[34-35]. By dampening olfactory sensing, fungal SBPs may thus aid parasitic fitness by disconnecting the host from its social network through preventing nestmate recognition[36] and thwarting recruitment to its nest via pheromones used for maintenance of social roles[37]. Disrupting smell may also prevent the host itself from recognizing changes in its own cuticular hydrocarbon profile during infection[38], which could help prevent self-grooming

behaviors or seemingly altruistic acts of self-sacrifice and kin selection common in eusocial due to their high degree of relatedness[39]. Furthermore, without the aid of olfactory systems, infected insects might instead rely more heavily on visual systems to guide decision making, contributing to the movement towards light-guided summiting positions clearly defined in later stages of behavior manipulation[11]. In demonstrating that the *O. camponoti-floridani* SBP directly induces behavioral changes in line with important steps of the fungus's life cycle, this work is the first to characterize the extended phenotypic effects of a bonafide *Ophiocordyceps* behavioral effector.

Despite finding clear olfactory effects the ant behavior tests, our preliminary work screening for physiological differences in individual ant movement was unable to replicate the neuromuscular effects observed in *C. elegans*. We therefore did not explore this further within the scope of this project. This may be due to a difference in sensitivity of the programs used to screen for these effects. While our analysis of worm movements was performed using a new MATLAB-based (The MathWorks, Inc.) computational tools created specifically for detection of microscopic nematode behaviors[32], our preliminary screening for neuromuscular changes in ants coopted existing tools for simple object tracking (i.e., MARGO[40]). The difference between these 2 programs is likely further compounded by the physical difference in movement between nematodes and ants. Nematodes move in a snaking manner with a travelling body wave[41], allowing us to use wave function mathematics to more easily characterize changes in body positions. This allowed us to detect far more subtle differences in body moment compared to the ant analyses, which relied more heavily on simple metrics like overall activity, speed during movements, and path traveled.

While we were unable to detect any neuromuscular effects of SBP in ants with the tools used during this study, there is at least speculative evidence that suggests subtle motor coordination may still be present in ants exposed to SBP. The abundance of SBPs localization to neuronal tissues observed throughout the body of *C. elegans* is consistent with findings in *Drosophila* that also found expression of Scramblase 1 and 2 homologs at neuromuscular junctions[24] (Fig. 4). Scramblase 1 homologs have also been detected in the brains of mice and are implicated in neurotransmission and synaptic vesicle retrieval of the cerebellum[23]. Given that the cerebellum plays a crucial role in muscle coordination, fine motor movements, and linking sensory detection with movement behaviors[42-44], it makes sense that we can detect such effects in our more sensitive nematode models. Furthermore, motor coordination dysregulation is another well-defined step of late-stage behavior manipulation in *C. floridanus* infected with *O. camponoti-floridani*[45]. Towards the end of the process, ants exhibit "staggers syndrome", characterized by a loss of coordination and balance, making the ants appear to "stagger" when walking[46]. However, recent work suggests that secondary metabolites produced by the fungus are more likely to be involved in this process[46]. The detection of such subtle behavioral effects leads even more credence to the value of using behavioral assays in *C. elegans* as a preliminary way to identify putative behavioral effectors.

By using *C. elegans* to facilitate the study of insect neuroethology in the context of exposure to neurotoxic compounds, we present a novel approach for others seeking to test biocontrol agents for agricultural pests. Our approach provides new lab-amenable solution for barriers facing the exploration of insecticidal compounds as biocontrol agents for agricultural pests. Furthermore, our findings suggest that scramblase proteins and their role in neurotransmitter release could provide an alternative target for pre/postsynaptic blockade. Neurotoxic peptides, particularly those between 10 and 80 amino acids in length and stabilized by disulfide bonds, are known to bind to various types of ion-dependent channels of the nervous system, resulting in pain, muscle paralysis, or even death[20,47-48]. This provides a new set of guidelines for screening

proteomes for effectors, particularly those without previously defined protein families. The further exploration of neuropeptides similar to SBP and their effect on odor-mediated communication in social insects presents a new pathway for the generation of novel insecticidal compounds useful for use against social insects, providing biocontrol solutions that may spare natural fauna.

References and Notes

1. Buckingham LJ, Ashby B. Coevolutionary theory of hosts and parasites. Journal of Evolutionary Biology. 2022;35(2):205–224. doi:10.1111/jeb.13981
2. Dawkins R. The extended phenotype: the long reach of the gene. Reprinted. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1990. (Oxford paperbacks).
3. Dawkins R. The selfish gene. Repr. with corr. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Pr; 1981.
4. Hughes DP, Libersat F. Neuroparasitology of Parasite–Insect Associations. Annual Review of Entomology. 2018;63(1):471–487. doi:10.1146/annurev-ento-020117-043234
5. Mazin, C. & Druckmann, N. The last of us [TV series]. HBO. 2023. https://www.hbo.com/the-last-of-us
6. McCarthy, C. The girl with all the gifts [Film]. BFI; Creative England; Altitude Film Distribution. 2016.
7. Araújo JPM, Evans HC, Fernandes IO, Ishler MJ, Hughes DP. Zombie-ant fungi cross continents: II. Myrmecophilous hymenostilboid species and a novel zombie lineage. Mycologia. 2020;112(6):1138–1170. doi:10.1080/00275514.2020.1822093
8. Araújo JPM, Evans HC, Kepler R, Hughes DP. Zombie-ant fungi across continents: 15 new species and new combinations within *Ophiocordyceps* . I. Myrmecophilous hirsutelloidÂ species. Studies in Mycology. 2018;90(1):119–160. doi:10.1016/j.simyco.2017.12.002
9. Will I, Das B, Trinh T, Brachmann A, Ohm RA, De Bekker C. Genetic Underpinnings of Host Manipulation by *Ophiocordyceps* as Revealed by Comparative Transcriptomics. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 2020;10(7):2275–2296. doi:10.1534/g3.120.401290
10. Trinh T, Ouellette R, De Bekker C. Getting lost: the fungal hijacking of ant foraging behaviour in space and time. Animal Behaviour. 2021;181:165–184. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2021.09.003
11. Will I, Linehan S, Jenkins DG, De Bekker C. Natural history and ecological effects on the establishment and fate of Florida carpenter ant cadavers infected by the parasitic manipulator *Ophiocordyceps camponoti-floridani*. Functional Ecology. 2023;37(4):886–899. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.14224
12. Andriolli FS, Cardoso Neto JA, De Morais JW, Baccaro FB. With the dead under the mat: the zombie ant extended phenotype under a new perspective. The Science of Nature. 2024;111(4). doi:10.1007/s00114-024-01920-w
13. Ataev GL, Usmanova RR, Vinogradova AA, Prokhorova EE, Tokmakova AS. Development and reproduction of sporocysts of *Leucochloridium paradoxum* (Trematoda). Invertebrate Biology. 2024;143(4):e12443. doi:10.1111/ivb.12443
14. Martin S, Lesny P, Glenner H, Hecht J, Vilcinskas A, Bartolomaeus T, Podsiadlowski L. Genomic Adaptations to an Endoparasitic Lifestyle in the Morphologically Atypical Crustacean *Sacculina carcini* (Cirripedia: Rhizocephala). Huchon D, editor. Genome Biology and Evolution. 2022;14(10):evac149. doi:10.1093/gbe/evac149
15. Steinkraus DC, Hajek AE, Liebherr JK. Zombie soldier beetles: Epizootics in the goldenrod soldier beetle, Chauliognathus pensylvanicus (Coleoptera: Cantharidae) caused by Eryniopsis

lampyridarum (Entomophthoromycotina: Entomophthoraceae). Journal of Invertebrate Pathology. 2017;148:51–59. doi:10.1016/j.jip.2017.05.002

16. De Bekker C, Beckerson WC, Elya C. Mechanisms behind the Madness: How Do Zombie-Making Fungal Entomopathogens Affect Host Behavior To Increase Transmission? Garsin DA, editor. mBio. 2021;12(5):e01872-21. doi:10.1128/mBio.01872-21

17. Parra JRP, Coelho A. Insect Rearing Techniques for Biological Control Programs, a Component of Sustainable Agriculture in Brazil. Insects. 2022;13(1):105. doi:10.3390/insects13010105

18. Huynh MP, Shelby KS, Coudron TA. Recent Advances in Insect Rearing Methodology to Promote Scientific Research and Mass Production. Insects. 2021;12(11):961. doi:10.3390/insects12110961

19. Camperi SA, Acosta G, Barredo GR, Iglesias-García LC, Alves Da Silva Caldeira C, Martínez-Ceron MC, Giudicessi SL, Cascone O, Albericio F. Synthetic peptides to produce antivenoms against the Cys-rich toxins of arachnids. Toxicon: X. 2020;6:100038. doi:10.1016/j.toxcx.2020.100038

20. Cardoso FC, Lewis RJ. Structure–Function and Therapeutic Potential of Spider Venom-Derived Cysteine Knot Peptides Targeting Sodium Channels. Frontiers in Pharmacology. 2019;10:366. doi:10.3389/fphar.2019.00366

21. Ho TNT, Turner A, Pham SH, Nguyen HT, Nguyen LTT, Nguyen LT, Dang TT. Cysteine-rich peptides: From bioactivity to bioinsecticide applications. Toxicon. 2023;230:107173. doi:10.1016/j.toxicon.2023.107173

22. Wiedmer T, Zhao J, Li L, Zhou Q, Hevener A, Olefsky JM, Curtiss LK, Sims PJ. Adiposity, dyslipidemia, and insulin resistance in mice with targeted deletion of phospholipid scramblase 3 (*PLSCR3*). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2004;101(36):13296–13301. doi:10.1073/pnas.0405354101

23. Caputo M, Ivanova D, Chasserot-Golaz S, Doussau F, Haeberlé A-M, Royer C, Ozkan S, Ecard J, Vitale N, Cousin MA, et al. Phospholipid Scramblase 1 Controls Efficient Neurotransmission and Synaptic Vesicle Retrieval at Cerebellar Synapses. The Journal of Neuroscience. 2024;44(27):e0042242024. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0042-24.2024

24. Acharya U, Edwards MB, Jorquera RA, Silva H, Nagashima K, Labarca P, Acharya JK. *Drosophila melanogaster* Scramblases modulate synaptic transmission. The Journal of Cell Biology. 2006;173(1):69–82. doi:10.1083/jcb.200506159

25. Vander Meer RK, Breed MD, Winston M, Espelie KE. Pheromone Communication in Social Insects: Ants, Wasps, Bees, and Termites. 1st ed. Vander Meer RK, Breed MD, Espelie KE, Winston ML, editors. CRC Press; 2019 [accessed 2025 May 9].

26. Beckerson WC, Rodríguez De La Vega RC, Hartmann FE, Duhamel M, Giraud T, Perlin MH. Cause and Effectors: Whole-Genome Comparisons Reveal Shared but Rapidly Evolving Effector Sets among Host-Specific Plant-Castrating Fungi. Taylor JW, editor. mBio. 2019;10(6):e02391-19. doi:10.1128/mBio.02391-19

27. De Bekker C, Ohm RA, Loreto RG, Sebastian A, Albert I, Merrow M, Brachmann A, Hughes DP. Gene expression during zombie ant biting behavior reflects the complexity underlying fungal parasitic behavioral manipulation. BMC Genomics. 2015;16(1). doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1812-x

28. Zhang Y, Li E, Wang C, Li Y, Liu X. *Ophiocordyceps sinensis*, the flagship fungus of China: terminology, life strategy and ecology. Mycology. 2012;3(1):2–10. doi:10.1080/21501203.2011.654354

29. Liao Q, Gong G, Siu S, Wong C, Yu H, Tse Y, Rádis-Baptista G, Lee S. A Novel ShK-Like Toxic Peptide from the Transcriptome of the Cnidarian Palythoa caribaeorum Displays

Neuroprotection and Cardioprotection in Zebrafish. Toxins. 2018;10(6):238. doi:10.3390/toxins10060238

30. Robinson SD, Chhabra S, Belgi A, Chittoor B, Safavi-Hemami H, Robinson AJ, Papenfuss AT, Purcell AW, Norton RS. A Naturally Occurring Peptide with an Elementary Single Disulfide-Directed β-Hairpin Fold. Structure. 2016;24(2):293–299. doi:10.1016/j.str.2015.11.015

31. Van Kempen M, Kim SS, Tumescheit C, Mirdita M, Lee J, Gilchrist CLM, Söding J, Steinegger M. Fast and accurate protein structure search with Foldseek. Nature Biotechnology. 2024;42(2):243–246. doi:10.1038/s41587-023-01773-0

32. Beckerson WC & Werner S. NematodeMovementAnalysis_v1. 2025. https://github.com/stwerner/NematodeMovementAnalysis_v1

33. Rual J-F, Ceron J, Koreth J, Hao T, Nicot A-S, Hirozane-Kishikawa T, Vandenhaute J, Orkin SH, Hill DE, Van Den Heuvel S, et al. Toward Improving *Caenorhabditis elegans* Phenome Mapping With an ORFeome-Based RNAi Library. Genome Research. 2004;14(10b):2162–2168. doi:10.1101/gr.2505604

34. Zube C, Kleineidam CJ, Kirschner S, Neef J, Rössler W. Organization of the olfactory pathway and odor processing in the antennal lobe of the ant *Camponotus floridanus*. Journal of Comparative Neurology. 2008;506(3):425–441. doi:10.1002/cne.21548

35. Wagner D, Tissot M, Cuevas W, Gordon DM. Harvester Ants Utilize Cuticular Hydrocarbons in Nestmate Recognition. Journal of Chemical Ecology. 2000;26(10):2245–2257. doi:10.1023/A:1005529224856

36. Sharma KR, Enzmann BL, Schmidt Y, Moore D, Jones GR, Parker J, Berger SL, Reinberg D, Zwiebel LJ, Breit B, et al. Cuticular Hydrocarbon Pheromones for Social Behavior and Their Coding in the Ant Antenna. Cell Reports. 2015;12(8):1261–1271. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.07.031

37. Kohl E, Hölldobler B, Bestmann HJ. Trail and recruitment pheromones in Camponotus socius (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): Chemoecology. 2001;11(2):67–73. doi:10.1007/PL00001834

38. Frank ErikT, Kesner L, Liberti J, Helleu Q, LeBoeuf AC, Dascalu A, Sponsler DB, Azuma F, Economo EP, Waridel P, et al. Targeted treatment of injured nestmates with antimicrobial compounds in an ant society. Nature Communications. 2023;14(1):8446. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-43885-w

39. Kennedy P, Radford AN. Sibling quality and the haplodiploidy hypothesis. Biology Letters. 2020;16(3):20190764. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2019.0764

40. Werkhoven Z, Rohrsen C, Qin C, Brembs B, De Bivort B. MARGO (Massively Automated Real-time GUI for Object-tracking), a platform for high-throughput ethology. Gilestro GF, editor. PLOS ONE. 2019;14(11):e0224243. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0224243

41. Stephens GJ, Johnson-Kerner B, Bialek W, Ryu WS. Dimensionality and Dynamics in the Behavior of C. elegans. Sporns O, editor. PLoS Computational Biology. 2008;4(4):e1000028. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000028

42. Gaffield MA, Sauerbrei BA, Christie JM. Cerebellum encodes and influences the initiation, performance, and termination of discontinuous movements in mice. eLife. 2022;11:e71464. doi:10.7554/eLife.71464

43. Lee RX, Huang J-J, Huang C, Tsai M-L, Yen C-T. Plasticity of cerebellar Purkinje cells in behavioral training of body balance control. Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience. 2015 [accessed 2025 Apr 30];9. http://journal.frontiersin.org/Article/10.3389/fnsys.2015.00113/abstract. doi:10.3389/fnsys.2015.00113

44. Merrick C, Godwin CA, Geisler MW, Morsella E. The olfactory system as the gateway to the neural correlates of consciousness. Frontiers in Psychology. 2014 [accessed 2025 Apr 30];4. http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.01011/abstract. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2013.01011

45. Hughes DP, Andersen SB, Hywel-Jones NL, Himaman W, Billen J, Boomsma JJ. Behavioral mechanisms and morphological symptoms of zombie ants dying from fungal infection. BMC Ecology. 2011;11(1):13. doi:10.1186/1472-6785-11-13

46. Beckerson WC, Krider C, Mohammad UA, De Bekker C. 28 minutes later: investigating the role of aflatrem-like compounds in Ophiocordyceps parasite manipulation of zombie ants. Animal Behaviour. 2023;203:225–240. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2023.06.011

47. Reeks TA, Fry BG, Alewood PF. Privileged frameworks from snake venom. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2015;72(10):1939–1958. doi:10.1007/s00018-015-1844-z

48. Ueberheide BM, Fenyö D, Alewood PF, Chait BT. Rapid sensitive analysis of cysteine rich peptide venom components. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2009;106(17):6910–6915. doi:10.1073/pnas.0900745106

49. Wong B. Points of view: Color blindness. Nature Methods. 2011;8(6):441–441. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1618

50. Crameri F, Shephard GE, Heron PJ. The misuse of colour in science communication. Nature Communications. 2020 [accessed 2025 Jul 10];11(1). https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-020-19160-7. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19160-7

Acknowledgments:

General:

We would like to thank The Lili's Proto Lab at Utrecht University for assistance in printing the replica plating apparatus used for the Y2H analyses, Savvas Tzavellas for assistance with setting up and running The AlphaFold Colab notebook (GitHub: AlphaFold.ipynb), Ruben Schmidt for training with the DIC microscope, and Robin R. Jonkergouw and Emmeline Roosmalen for collecting ants later used as part of this research. The knockout strain CU2904 was provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) funded by the NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440).

Funding:

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 101108298, awarded to William C. Beckerson, and by the HORIZON European Research Council Consolidator Grant, Grant Agreement ID: 101124277, awarded to Charissa de Bekker.

Author contributions:

1. Conceptualization: WCB, CdB
2. Methodology: WCB, SW, MG, AJMS, STW, MB, SR, GJS, SvdH, CdB
3. Software: SW, AJMS, GJS
4. Validation: WCB, SW, MG, JJR, AJMS

5. Formal Analysis: WCB, SW, MG, JJR, AJMS, IS, CdB

6. Investigation: WCB, SW, MG, JJR, AJMS, IS

7. Resources: WCB, SW, JJR, MB, SR, SvdH, CdB

8. Data Curation: WCB

9. Writing – Original Draft: WCB

10. Writing – Review & Editing: WCB, SW, MG, JJR, AJMS, IL, STW, MB, SR, GJS, CdB

11. Visualization: WCB, SW, MG, JJR, AJMS, IS, GJS

12. Supervision: WCB, SvdH, CdB

13. Project Administration: WCB

14. Funding Acquisition: WCB, CdB

Diversity, equity, ethics, and inclusion:

All experiments performed as part of this study were conducted following ethical guidelines for animal research. This included upholding the standards of 3Rs principles; 1) Replacing the use of living invertebrates with alternatives like computer models and cell cultures where possible, 2) Reducing the number of invertebrates used in experiments to the smallest sample size necessary to achieve statistically significant results, and 3) Refinement of protocols to minimize distress or harm caused to invertebrates both during experimentation and during their prior housing. To make the resulting data and figures more accessible for all audiences, the authors also used a custom colorblind-friendly palette (Table S1) based on prior work published by Wong et al.[49] A separate color scheme was used for the coexpression analysis based on Fiji options and (Table S2). Furthermore, to ensure accurate and ethical demonstration of results, figures involving the use of color gradients used a color scheme with perceptual uniformity following guidelines published by Crameri et al.[50]

Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: Files for 3D printing the replica plating apparatus used in this study can be found at https://github.com/WCBeckerson/3D-Print-Replica-Plating-Apparatus. All 512 nematode and 88 ant video files analyzed in this study are deposited at Zenodo under the DOIs 10.5281/zenodo.16760960 and 10.5281/zenodo.16760416, respectively.

Supplementary Materials for

The First of Us: Ophiocordyceps use a novel scramblase-binding peptide to manipulate zombie ants

William C. Beckerson[1,2*†], Steffen Werner[3], Maite Goebbels[1], João Jacob Ramalho[2], Andrew J. M. Swafford[4], Ilia Soroka[5], Sinah T. Wingert[1], Suzan Ruijtenberg[2], Mike Boxem[2], Greg J. Stephens[5], Sander van den Heuvel[2], Charissa de Bekker[1*]

The PDF file includes:

Materials and Methods
Supplementary Text
Figs. S1 to S8
Tables S1 to S5
References

Other Supplementary Materials for this manuscript include the following:

Movies S1 to S4
Data S1 to S12

Materials and Methods

Computational predictions of Ophiocordyceps camponoti-floridani effectors

Bioinformatic screening for secreted effectors

The *O. camponoti-floridani* secretome predicted by Will et al.[9] using SignalP v4.1[51] was further analyzed using SignalP v6.0[52], TMHMM 2.0[53] Phobius[54], Prosite[55], PredGPI[56], NucPred[57], and TargetP v2.0[58] to create a more conservative secretome. This approach reduced the number of predicted secreted proteins from 801 to 586 (Data S1). The remaining 586 candidates were further screened for upregulation in the heads of *Camponotus floridanus* ants during manipulated summing behavior compared to fungal growth in Grace's insect media (Sigma) using the RNAseq data from Will et al.[9]. While several genes were found to be highly upregulated during infection, the expression levels of *Ophcf2|06234* (Scramblase-1-Binding Peptide "SBP") were particularly noteworthy with one of the highest log2 fold changes in the secretome. We used BLASTp analysis (online BLAST+ 2.15.0 tool[59]) to align this peptide against the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) library to investigate the presence of its homologs in other members of the Ophiocordycipitaceae family (Fig. 1A).

AlphaFold2 modeling of SBP

The full-length amino acid sequence for SBP was used to predict 3-dimensional folding using the default parameters of AlphaFold2 v2.3.1 via ColabFold v1.5.3[60]. The resulting .PDB files were visualized using PyMOL v 2.5.8 (Schrödinger, LLC). The SBP model showed a high level of folding confidence for the structural region of the peptide, but a low confidence for the secretion signal region (fig. S2). Because this region is cleaved off during transport of the protein across the fungal membrane, it was removed for subsequent structural analyses (Fig. 1A).

Yeast Two-Hybrid mating assays

C. elegans cDNA and ORFeome library screening with SBP

The mature peptide region of *SBP* was amplified from cDNA samples of *O. camponoti-floridani*-infected *C. floridanus* using the primers pMB27_Ophcf2|06345 and pMB27_Ophcf2|06345-Rv (Data S7), synthesized by Biolegio (Nijmegen, NL), using Q5® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs). The primers included 20 bp of additional sequence at the 5' ends complementary to regions of the Y2H bait vector pMB27 (pPC97+4xGly+AscI-NotI) just up/downstream of the restriction sites AscI and NotI (Data S8). Gibson Overlap PCR via the NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit (New England Biolabs) was used to assemble the amplicon with AscI- and NotI-digested pMB27, placing the insert downstream and in-frame with the encoded GAL4 DNA binding domain.

The resulting plasmid pMB27_Ophcf2|06345 (Data S8) was transformed into the *S. cerevisiae* strain Y8930[61] (*MATα trp1−901 leu2−3,112 ura3−52 his3−200 gal4Δ gal80Δ cyh2R GAL1::HIS3@LYS2 GAL2::ADE2 GAL7::LacZ@met2*) using the Frozen-EZ Yeast Transformation II Kit (Zymo Research). Putative transformants were selected on single dropout media (SDO) lacking leucine (SDO$_{-Leu}$) before verification through yeast colony PCR with the primers Y2H Bait Screen Fw & Rv (Data S7). All dropout media used in this study also contained 100 μg/mL ampicillin to help prevent contamination by bacteria. Verified Y8930 transformants were mated against Y8800 cells (*MATa trp1−901 leu2−3,112 ura3−52 his3− 200 gal4Δ gal80Δ cyh2R GAL1::HIS3@LYS2 GAL2::ADE2 GAL7::LacZ@met2*) housing either the cDNA[62] or ORFeome[63] prey vector libraries for *C. elegans* cloned into the multiple cloning site (MCS) of pPC86 (Asc-Not + CyH2) (Data S8). Y2H mating assays were performed based on the protocol published by Li et al.[64], which includes multiple screening options to help rule out weak interactions and false positives. Diploids were considered to result from strong protein-protein

interactions when the following conditions were observed: 1) Robust growth on media lacking adenosine, 2) Robust growth on media lacking histidine, 3) Robust growth on media lacking histidine and including the competitive binding 3AT, and 4) a lack of growth on media containing cycloheximide[64]. Notably, blue/white screening was not as robust for these strains as it is in other Y2H kits (e.g., the Matchmaker™ Yeast Two-Hybrid system[65]).

To screen for protein-protein interactions, mated colonies were first plated on triple dropout (TDO) media lacking the amino acids leucine, tryptophan, and histidine (TDO $_{-Leu\ -Trp\ -His}$). These plates were incubated at 30°C for 72 h to allow for sufficient growth under stressful conditions. To calculate mating efficiency, a 10,000X diluted sample of the mating suspension was also plated onto double dropout (DDO) media lacking only leucine and tryptophan (DDO $_{-Leu\ -Trp}$), which were incubated for 48 h before colony counting. Colonies that grew on the TDO $_{-Leu\ -Trp\ -His}$ plates were replica plated onto the following 4 additional media types to screen for robust protein-protein interactions; 1) TDO $_{-Leu\ -Trp\ -His}$ containing 2 mM 3-AT, 2) TDO $_{-Leu\ -Trp\ -Ade}$, 3) DDO $_{-Leu\ -His}$ containing 1 μg/mL cyclohexamide, and 4) DDO $_{-Leu\ -Trp}$. Replica plating was performed using cotton velveteen cloth and a custom 3D-printed 94 mm diameter replica plating apparatus (https://github.com/WCBeckerson/3D-Print-Replica-Plating-Apparatus).

Colonies that demonstrated robust growth on the first plate, white color on the second plate, no growth on the third plate, and growth on the fourth plate were considered robust interactions and selected for retrieval of the prey vector. The coding region in each of these prey vectors was amplified via yeast colony PCR (DreamTaq, ThermoFisher Scientific) and sent for sequencing (Macrogen) using the universal primers Y2H_Prey_Screen-Fw and Y2H_Prey_Screen-Rv (Data S7) to identify the protein-protein binding partners of SBP. Because the length of the encoded gene in each pPC86 (Asc-Not + CyH2) plasmid (Data S8) was unknown,

an extension period of 3 min was used in all PCR reactions. Any binding partner sequence that was not identified more than 2 times, in both libraries, or which was identified in other Y2H assays for unrelated bait genes, was considered likely false positives due to autoactivation or other phenomena and removed from further consideration. These screening criteria yielded 2 unique and robust protein binding partners for SBP; Y69H2.3 and Scramblases SCRM-1 and SCRM-2 (Data S4).

Pairwise assay between SBP and C. floridanus scramblase homologs

BLASTp analysis of the *C. floridanus* genome for homologs of the *C. elegans* SCRM-1 and SCRM-2 interaction partners was performed using NCBI. Results showed a shared match for both nematode scramblases with the ant Phospholipid Scramblase 1 (PS1) (Camfl2|XM_025407014.1). To verify if protein-protein interaction also occurs between the SBP and *C. floridanus* PS1, we amplified the coding sequence for PS1 from cDNA of *C. floridanus* using the primers PS1 Fw and PS1 Rv (Data S7) for cloning into the pPC86 (Asc-Not + CyH2) prey vector (Data S8). The resulting plasmid was named pPC86_PS1 (Data S8). To investigate binding specificity, we also created prey vectors for the other 2 phospholipid scramblase genes present in the *C. floridanus* genome (PS3 and PS4) using the primers PS3 Fw and PS3 Rv, and PS4 Fw and PS4 Rv to generate the vectors pPC86_PS3 and pPC86_PS4, respectively (Data S8). All 3 constructs were transformed into Y8800 cells and mated against the same Y8930 strain containing the SBP prey vector used for mating against the *C. elegans* libraries (Fig. 2C).

Computational analysis of Scramblase homologs

Bioinformatic comparison of Scramblase homologs

While BLASTp alignments resulted in relatively low sequence similarities between ant PS1 and the nematode SCRM-1 and SCRM-2 proteins, comparisons of predicted 3D protein models showed a much higher degree of similarity (fig. S3). This was determined by comparing the predicted 3D structures of scramblase homologs of *C. floridanus* (PS1; AF-E2ATE7), *C. elegans* (SCRM-1; AF-O45799 and SCRM-2; AF-G5EEQ3), and *D. melanogaster* (SCRAMB1; AF-Q9VT88 and SCRAMB2; AF-Q9VZW1) with PyMOL v 2.5.7 (Schrödinger, LLC) using the align command to run a seq alignment with structural superposition.

SBP-Scramblase binding residue predictions

We used ScanNet[66] to predict protein binding regions of the 3D models for SBP and scramblase binding partners confirmed by Y2H assays (SCRM-1, SCRM-2, and PS1). Surface residues predicted to have binding propensity scores exceeding 50% were selected as potential interaction sites and used to define active regions for protein-peptide docking. Molecular docking simulations were performed using the standard protocol in HADDOCK v2.4 with resulting clusters scored and ranked by HADDOCK[67]. The 3 top-ranked models were further analyzed for interface contacts (Fig 2B).

Co-localization of SBP with SCRM-1 in C. elegans

CRISPR-Cas9-mediated tagging of SCRM-1 with mKate2

To visualize the internal localization of SCRM-1 in nematodes, transgenic worms were created using CRISPR-Cas9-mediated insertion of the mKate2 cassette at the N-terminus of the SCRM-1 coding region. The N-terminus was chosen to ensure that all 4 transcript variants of *scrm-1* were tagged with the fluorescent marker. This approach is also in line with methods used by Acharya et al. to identify SCRAMB1 localization in fruit flies[24]. The *scrm-1* gene was edited at the +4 position

using 0.5 µL of 10 µg/µL *S. pyogenes* Cas9-3NLS protein combined *ex vivo* with 5 µL of universal 0.4 µg/µL tracrRNA (Integrated DNA Technologies) and 2.8 µL of the 0.4 µg/µL crRNA SCRM1 target seq (Data S7). The mixture was then incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes before a repair template was added to a final concentration of 25 ng/µL. The repair template was designed by amplifying the mKate2 gene from pMS01 Pmcm-4_cdk-2 sensor_mKate2_tbb-2UTR_ttTi5605 (Data S8) with Q5® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs). To facilitate homologous recombination *in vivo*, the primers used to amplify mKate2, SCRM1-mKate2 Fw and SCRM1-mKate2 Rv, were designed with 35 bp of 5' complementary sequence to either side of the CRISPR-Cas9 incision point. Following amplification via PCR, the amplicon was denatured and reannealed using the following stepwise thermocycler program before its addition to the Cas9 complex: 95°C – 2:00 min, 85°C – 10 sec, 75°C – 10 sec, 65°C – 10 sec, 55°C – 30 sec, 45°C – 30 sec, 35°C – 10 sec, 25°C – 10 sec, 4°C – hold. The resulting Cas9 complex and repair template were then centrifuged together at max speed for 2 min and the supernatant was moved to a new tube. This was done to pellet any particulate matter in the tube before proceeding with transformation via microinjection of CGC1 worms.

Putatively transformed nematodes were isolated individually on NGM plates seeded with OP50 and allowed to recover overnight at 20°C in a dark incubator. F1 progeny from each putative transformant were lysed to extract genomic material to screen for the presence of mKate2 using PCR and the primers SCRM1-mKate2 Seq Fw and SCRM1-mKate2 Seq Rv (Data S7). Worms that showed bands around 1,200 bp and 350 bp, indicative of heterozygous insertion, were selected and allowed to reproduce for a further 3 generations. The F4 progenies were then re-screened with the same PCR approach to look for transformants with a single band around 1,200 bp, indicating homozygous fixation of the mKate2 gene. These homozygous transformants were sent for sequencing (Macrogen) to identify worms with proper in-frame insertion of the *mKate2* sequence

at the 5' end of the *scrm-1* gene. A single successful transformant was selected and named *scrm-1*(he439[*mKate2::scrm-1*]) (strain name: SV2604). Progeny of this transformant were used for transformation of the GFP-tagged SBP expression vector for colocalization assays (Fig. 3).

Plasmid creation for expression of GFP-tagged SBP in C. elegans

To build an expression vector for GFP-tagged SBP in *C. elegans*, the mature peptide sequence from *O. camponoti-floridani* was codon optimized using WormBuilder Transgene Adaptation Beta (WormBuilder; Data S9). The endogenous signal peptide region was replaced with the nematode secretion signal *spp-1*[68] (Data S9). The resulting sequence was checked against the IDT gBlocks™ Gene Fragments Entry Tool (Integrated DNA Technologies) and ordered as a single oligonucleotide from GeneArt Strings (Thermo Fisher Scientific). This "Codon Optimized SBP" fragment (Data S7) was bridged with the *rab-3* promoter for post-differentiation pan-neuronal expression to mimic the measured expression of *SBP* in ant heads during infection and manipulation by *Ophiocordyceps* fungi. The *let-858* terminator was added to the 3' end of the sequence via Gibson Overlap PCR with the NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Kit (New England Biolabs). Both the promoter and terminator fragments were amplified using Q5® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs) and the primer pairs Gib_rab-3 Fw/Gib_rab-3 Rv and Gib_let-858 Fw/Gib_let-858 Rv, respectively (Data S7). The resulting plasmid was further augmented with a GFP fluorophore added to the 3' end of the coding region. The sequence for GFP was amplified from the vector pMyo2_GFP (Data S8) with the primers (G4S)3-CelOptOphcf2|06345 RV and (G4S)3-CelGFP Fw (Data S7). These primers contained an additional sequence that introduced a (G4S)₃ linker bridging the GFP sequence with the codon-optimized SBP sequence. The resulting plasmid was named pPrab-3_CelOptSBP-(G4S)3-

GFP_Tlet-858 (Data S8) and injected into transgenic *scrm-1*(he439[*mKate2::scrm-1*]) worms for co-localization assays using fluorescent microscopy (Fig. 3).

Microinjection of C. elegans

To prepare young adult worms for microinjection, L4 worms were moved to an OP50-seeded NGM plate the day before injection and incubated overnight at 20°C. Injection pads were prepared by flattening a drop of 2% agarose (Fisher bioreagents) between 2 coverslips. Microcapillary Borosilicate glass needles (World Precision Instruments) were prepared by pulling the glass microcapillaries in a Model P-1000 Flaming/Brown micropipette puller (Sutter Instrument) set to the following parameters: 1 Line, Heat – ramp to 480, Pull – 50, Vel – 60, Delay – 90, Pressure – 200, 2.5 x 2.5 mm Box, 1 x 0.75 mm. Needle tips were opened by dragging them gently across the length of another glass capillary tube under halocarbon oil in a lateral, perpendicular motion.

To inject, young adult worms were transferred to a droplet of ~5 µL halocarbon oil 700 (Sigma) on a 2% agarose pad using a size 15 series 170 MICRO-NOVA synthetic fiber brush (da Vinci Brush Maker). The brush was also used to straighten and embed them into the agarose pad. Once positioned, we proceeded with microinjection using a high-resolution Observer.A1 Axio confocal microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a TransferMan® 4r remote-controlled robotic injecting arm (Eppendorf) and a FemtoJet 4x microinjector (Eppendorf). Injections were performed in the distal regions of both gonad arms with the following microinjector settings: injection pressure (pi) – 28.03 PSI, injection time (ti) – 0.72 s, and compensation pressure (pc) – 0.40 PSI. These settings were slightly adjusted for each series of injections depending on the degree of breakage in the needle tip.

Preliminary analysis of pPrab-3_CelOptSBP-(G4S)3-GFP_Tlet-858 transformations indicated that the GFP-tagged SBP did not concentrate anywhere enough to be used as a screening

marker for transformation. Therefore, injection of worms with this plasmid also included the addition of the pRF4 helper plasmid (Data S8) expressing *rol-6*(su1006) that produces a dominant roller phenotype as a co-injection marker. After injection, each worm was placed into its own individual NGM plate seeded with OP50 and freed from the halocarbon oil using a 5 μL droplet of M9 buffer (22 mM KH2PO4, 42 mM Na2HPO4, 86 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgSO4) before being incubated overnight at 20°C in a dark incubator to recover and reproduce. Putatively transformed progeny in the F1 generation were screened for their selectable phenotypes, either GFP or roller phenotype, over the next couple days as they matured. All transformants were further verified using DNA extraction via single worm lysis and PCR.

Fluorescent microscopy

Prior to imaging, all *C. elegans* strains were cultured following standard conditions[69] using hermaphrodites grown at 20°C on nematode growth medium (NGM) agar plates. Imaging was performed on the following strains: wt (CGC1), scrm-1(he439[mKate2::scrm-1]) I (SV2604), heEx618[SBP-GFP, pRF4]; scrm-1(he439) line1 (SV2606), and heEx619[SBP-GFP, pRF4]; scrm-1(he439) line2 (SV2607). Larvae and adults were paralyzed in a 20 mM tetramisole solution in M9 buffer and mounted on glass slides coated with pads of 5% agarose in M9 buffer. Confocal spinning disk microscopy was performed on a Nikon Eclipse Ti2-E microscope equipped with a Yokogawa CSU-X1-M1 spinning disk, an Omicron LightHUB 6 laser box (GFP excitation 488 (4.48 mW), mKate2 excitation 561 (3.18 mW)), Nikon CFI Plan Apo lambda 60x/1.4 NA or 100x/1.45 NA oil immersion objectives, and a PrimeBSI sCMOS camera for signal detection. During acquisition the microscope was controlled using MetaMorph software (v7.10; Molecular Devices) and settings were kept constant in animals of each strain. Z-stack step size 0.25 μm. Epifluorescence microscopy was done on an Axioplan2 upright microscope (Zeiss), equipped with

a DIC polarizer, an Axiocam MRm CCD monochrome camera, and a Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.4 Oil DIC M27 objective

Behavioral tests in C. elegans

Age standardization of worms

Age synchronization was implemented by picking 15 adult worms onto fresh NGM media seeded with OP50 5 days prior to recording and letting them grow until the next generation reached their egg-laying stage (78 h). The plates were then washed with M9 solution and pelleted via centrifugation for 10 sec at 360 x g. Once the worms were at the bottom of the centrifugation tubes, the supernatant was removed and replaced with 2 mL of 0.25 M NaOH 1% bleach solution. The bleach/worm suspension was vortexed for 60 sec before repeating centrifugation to re-pellet dead worms. The bleach supernatant was then replaced with 2 mL of fresh bleach solution and vortexed again until approximately 2/3 of the adult worms had dissolved, releasing their eggs into suspension. The remaining eggs and worm fragments were pelleted and washed 4 times with 5 mL of M9 solution to remove the bleach before resuspension in 2 mL of M9. The resulting egg suspension was transferred to a Sterile CELLSTAR® PS, 60 x 15 mm, vented culture dish (Greiner) for incubation at 20°C overnight (15 h). The next morning, the culture dishes were gently agitated to dislodge L1 paused worms and filtered through a 20 mM Hydrophilic, Nonsterile Nylon Net (Sigma-Aldrich) to remove any remaining unhatched eggs. The flowthrough was collected in a 15 mL Falcon tube and subsequently centrifuged for 10 sec at 360 x g to pellet the L1 worms before removing most of the supernatant, leaving 100 μL. The remaining 100 μL of worm suspension was then transferred to a fresh NGM plate seeded with OP50 and returned to the 20°C incubator for 30 h to allow the now synchronized L1 worms to develop into their L4 stage for recording.

Heterologous production and feeding of SBP to C. elegans

The *O. camponoti-floridani* SBP was heterologously produced in Rosetta[TM] 2 *E. coli* cells. This was done using the pET-22b vector containing an IPTG-inducible T7 promoter (Data S8). The native sequence for SBP without its signal peptide region was amplified from cDNA of *O. camponoti-floridani*-infected *C. floridanus* using Q5® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs) PCR and the primers SBP_NoSig Fw and SBP_NoSig RV (Data S7). The resulting amplicon was cloned into the MCS of pET-22b digested with NcoI and NotI (New England Biolabs) using the NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Kit (New England Biolabs). This placed the gene in frame with a *pelB* signal sequence for transport of the translated SBP to the periplasmic space. Secretion of the protein product provides an oxidizing environment that is important for proper disulfide bond formation and folding of eukaryotic proteins. Furthermore, a 6xHis tag was added to the C-terminus of the protein for verification of expression via Western Blot. Cloning was facilitated by the NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Kit (New England Biolabs). The resulting pET-22B_SBP-6xHis vector (Data S8) was then transformed into Rosetta[TM] 2 (DE3) Competent Cells (Novagen) using conventional $CaCl_2$-facilitated heat shock transformation. Putative transformants were identified via colony PCR and verified using whole plasmid nanopore sequencing (Plasmidsaurus).

To express SBP, cells were grown in a 30 mL tube (Dispolab) containing 25 mL of LB media with 100 μg/mL ampicillin and 25 μg/mL chloramphenicol to carrying capacity by shaking at 200 rpm in a 37°C incubator overnight for ~16 h. In the morning, IPTG (dioxane-free, Thermo-Scientific) was added to a final concentration of 1 mM and the temperature was reduced to 28°C. After 1 h of IPTG induction, cells were resuspended to an $OD_{600} = 5.0$ in fresh media and 250 μL was spotted onto NGM plates containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin, 25 μg/mL chloramphenicol, and 1 mM IPTG (dioxane-free, Thermo-Scientific). These plates were stored at room temperature in

the dark for 48 h to dry and to allow for cells to grow before adding worms. Age-synchronized CGC1 worms in L1 pause were then transferred to these plates and incubated in the dark at 20°C for 30 h before behavioral observations. The behavior of these worms was compared to worms fed Rosetta™ 2 bacteria transformed with the empty pET-22b vector (Data S8), also grown on NGM plates containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin, 25 μg/mL chloramphenicol, and 1 mM IPTG (dioxane-free, Thermo-Scientific).

Confirmation of disulfide bond formation in Rosetta™ 2-produced SBP

To test if the disulfide bonds were properly forming in SBP produced by the Rosetta™ 2 expression strains, we used an assay based on work performed on *Schizophyllum commune* proteins[70]. Protein samples were prepared with 4X Laemmli sample buffer, with or without 50 mM DTT and boiled for 10 min. These samples were resolved by electrophoresis on 12% SDS-PAGE gels. Following electrophoresis, proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane for immunoblotting. Membranes were probed with a mouse monoclonal 6x-His tag antibody (clone MA-21315, Invitrogen), followed by incubation with a horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (A16072, Invitrogen). Chemiluminescent detection was performed using the Clarity™ Western ECL Substrate (Bio-Rad) according to manufacturer's instructions. Blots were visualized using a Bio-Rad Gel Doc Imaging system (fig. S5).

Heterologous expression of O. camponoti-floridani SBP in C. elegans

Expression of SBP in nematodes for use in behavioral tests was facilitated by an alternative version of the pPrab-3_CelOptSBP-(G4S)3-GFP_Tlet-858 vector created by replacing the (G4S)$_3$ linker with a T2A linker. This plasmid was named pPrab-3_CelOptSBP-T2A-GFP_Tlet-858 (Data S8). This T2A linker induces ribosomal skipping, releasing the GFP and allowing it to accumulate in

the cell whilst SBP is secreted and free of allosteric effects from the comparatively much larger fluorescent tag (SBP 7.64 kDa; GFP fluorophore 27 kDa). Cloning of this plasmid was done using the same method as pPrab-3_CelOptSBP-(G4S)3-GFP_Tlet-858 with the primers T2A-CelGFP Fw and T2A-CelOptOphcf2|06345 RV (Data S7). pPrab-3_CelOptSBP-T2A-GFP_Tlet-858 was subsequently transformed into CGC1 worms via microinjection and preliminary screening of transformants indicated that the concentration of GFP maintained within the cells was high enough for robust selection of transformants (fig. S7). These SBP-expressing worms were compared with transgenic CGC1 worms transformed with a truncated version of the plasmid, pPrab-3_GFP_Tlet-858, expressing and secreting the GFP region without the SBP (Data S8). Both transformants were grown on OP50-seeded NGM plates prior to behavioral tests.

C. elegans SCRM-1 knockout

The nematode strain CU2904 with genotype scrm-1(tm698) I. was ordered from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) for use in behavioral tests. This strain was passaged for 4 consecutive generations under non-stressful growth conditions (OP50-seeded NGM plates, 20°C, no longer than 3 days to prevent overcrowding) before conducting behavioral experiments to help eliminate any transgenerational effects of stress[71]. These worms were compared to CGC1 worms also grown on OP50-seeded NGM plates.

dsRNA-induced down regulation of SCRM-1 in C. elegans

To test the behaviors of CGC1 worms with functional but down regulated SCRM-1 proteins, worms were fed HT115 (DE3) bacteria producing dsRNA for *C. elegans scrm-1* from the Vidal library[33]. Because RNAi is less effective in the neurological tissue of wild type *C. elegans*[72], we utilized the KP3948 strain with the genotype eri-1(mg366) IV; lin-15B(n744) since *eri-1* mutations

have been demonstrated to increase the response of neurons to dsRNA[73]. These worms were age synchronized in a similar manner to those fed pET-22b-expressing Rosetta™ 2 cells, with a notable departure following L1 pause where worms were instead plated onto NGM plates containing 100 mM IPTG (dioxane-free, Thermo-Scientific), 100 μg/mL Ampicillin, and 10 μg/mL Tetracycline, and seeded with 250 μL of HT115(DE3) at a concentration of $OD_{600} = 5.0$. Like the plates used for pET-22b expression, these plates were also incubated at room temperature in the dark at 20°C for 48 h before adding L1 paused worms. After a 30-h incubation period for the worms, their behavior was recorded and compared to worms fed the same HT115 (DE3) bacteria producing dsRNA for GFP codon optimized for *O. camponoti-floridani* (pL4440_OcfOptGFP; Data S8) instead.

Video recording and computational analysis of C. elegans behavior

For the recording of nematode behavior, an Eo*Sens*® 25CXP High-Speed CMOS Camera was suspended above a plexiglass panel with a 24 VDC inferred LED light (Metaphase Technologies, Inc.) shining upwards towards the camera. A plastic-lined black curtain was draped over the setup to shroud the viewing area in darkness. Approximately 10 worms were picked onto each 35 mm petri dish (Greiner) containing 5 mL of NGM media. These plates were then placed onto the plexiglass platform in the viewing area for recording without the lid. After placement, the drape was lowered to block out any light from the experiment and recordings were performed in 6 min intervals following protocols outlined in Beckerson et al.[30] The recordings were performed in a sequential randomized block format, alternating between randomized control and treatment blocks to account for any temporal, development, temperature, or light exposure effects during picking (Table S3). Analysis of the resulting videos was performed using a custom computational pipeline run with MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc.) using the same parameters and code published in

Beckerson et al.[32] The individual worm videos cropped from the larger worm arenas are deposited at Zenodo under the DOIs 10.5281/zenodo.16760960 and the raw data output for each analysis is included in Data S10.

Behavioral tests in C. floridanus

Ant collection

A total of 6 *C. floridanus* colonies were collected from 3 separate field sites in Central Florida, USA (Data S11). These collections were permitted by Seminole County Natural Lands. Exact

10 collection location and characterization (i.e., natural nesting substrate and relative colony size) each of the colonies used for the various behavioral tests can be found in Table S4. Colonies were housed in National Sanitation Foundation certified BPA Free 10 L, 15 x 32.5 x 26.5 cm polypropene Gastronorm containers (Araven) lined with talcum powder (Fisher Scientific) applied dry to the walls to prevent ants from climbing out of the containers. Ants were also provided with

15 makeshift nesting tubes made from ROTILABO® aluminium foil (Carl ROTH)-wrapped 50 mL Falcon tubes (Cellstar) with moisten cotton balls (Etos) at the bottom for humidity. Colonies were fed autoclaved crickets (Size 4, ~7 mm) twice a week and provided with 10 mL glass tubes of tap water and 10% sucrose dissolved in Milli-Q water plugged with cotton (Etos) *ad libitum*. Prior to the study, these housing boxes were kept at room temperature (~25°C) on a shelving unit with a

20 12 h/12 h day-night cycle facilitated by LED strips (LedKoning; 120 leds/meter, IP65, 24 Volt, 5500K) on a timer.

dsRNA production

The *C. floridanus* genome includes a *sid-1* orthologue[74] that presumably facilitates systemic RNAi through the same passive bi-directional dsRNA transport system as *C. elegans*[75]. However, the ideal fragment size for RNA-mediated knockdown in insects differs from nematodes, ranging from 140-520 nucleotides[76]. In *C. floridanus*, a fragment size of around 400 bp has been previously shown to result in successful knock down[74] and can be introduced via injection[77]. The dsRNA fragment was designed using the NCBI primer blast tool with default settings. The product size was set from 200-400 bp and the organism was set to Camponotus floridanus (taxid: 104421). The primer pair was selected from candidates with a GC content of 40-60%, a GC-clamp, self-complementary values < 7, 3' complementary values < 4, and without any homopolymer stretches longer than 3 nucleotides and synthesized by Biolegio (Nijmegen, NL). We also screened for potential 3' and self-annealing hairpin formation using the Oligonucleotide Properties Calculator (v3.10). This resulted in the selection of a dsRNA fragment 206 bp in length (PS1 dsRNA sequence in Data S8). This fragment matches all 3 transcript variants of PS1. This fragment was amplified with Q5® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs) from ant cDNA using the primers pL4440_PS1 Fw and pL4440_PS1 Rv (Data S7) and cloned into the same pL4440 vector used to knock down *scrm-1* in *C. elegans*. Cloning was performed via Gibson Overlap PCR using the NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit (New England Biolabs) and pL4440 linearized with EcoRV (New England Biolabs). The resulting plasmid was named pL4440_PS1 (Data S8).

To isolate the dsRNA for injection into ants, HT115 strains were transformed with pL4400_PS1 to produce dsRNA for PS1 and pL4440_OcfOptGFP to produce dsRNA for GFP to use as a control. Transformation followed conventional $CaCl_2$-facilitated heat shock protocols and was validated through colony PCR with the primers pL4440 Screen Fw & Rv (Data S7). Resulting transformants were shaken overnight at 200 rpm in 5 mL of LB + 100 µg/mL Amp + 10 µg/mL Tet liquid media at 37°C. The next morning, the OD_{600} of each tube was checked and 500 µL was

transferred to 50 mL of TB + 100 µg/mL Amp + 10 µg/mL Tet in a 500 mL flask. The flask was shaken at 200 rpm in a 37°C incubator until it reached an $OD_{600} = 0.4$, after which IPTG (dioxane-free, Thermo-Scientific) was added to the flask to a final concentration of 100 mM and the flask was moved to a 20°C shaker at 180 rpm and shaken overnight. The culture was then transferred to 50 mL Flacon tubes for centrifugation at 5,000 x g for 5 min to pellet the cells. Supernatant was removed and the cells were resuspended in 800 µL of PBS + 0.1% SDS and transferred to 2 mL microcentrifuge tubes for extraction. dsRNA was extracted by vortexing each tube with 800 µL of Trizol and incubating at room temperature for 5 min. The extracted dsRNA was then isolated using alcohol precipitation and treated with Turbo™ DNaseI and RNase A before purifying with PCI 25:24:1. Resulting dsRNA extracts were resuspended in PBS to a concentration of ~2.5 µg/µL for injection.

SBP production

Periplasmic extracts of *O. camponoti-floridani* SBP were produced using the same Rosetta™ 2 strain that was used for the feeding exposure tests in *C. elegans*. Because the pET-22b plasmids share the same DE3 background as the pL4440 plasmids, protein production was performed using nearly the same protocol as the aforementioned dsRNA preparation. Cells harboring the pL4440_SBP and pL4440_OcfOptGFP plasmids were streaked onto LB agar plates supplemented with 100 µg/mL ampicillin and 25 µg/mL chloramphenicol (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and incubated overnight at 37 °C. The following day, single colonies were used to inoculate 5 mL LB medium with the same antibiotics and grown overnight at 37 °C, shaking at 200 rpm. The resulting suspensions were then used to inoculate a 50 mL starter culture at an OD_{600} of 0.2 in Terrific Broth (0.6 g/L tryptone, 1.2 g/L yeast extract, 43.45 mz glycerol, 27 mM potassium phosphate dibasic, and 8.67 mM potassium phosphate monobasic) supplemented with 100 µg/mL ampicillin and

25 µg/mL chloramphenicol. The resulting cultures were incubated at 37 °C with shaking at 200 rpm until they reached an OD_{600} of 0.8–1.0, at which point protein expression was induced by adding IPTG (dioxane-free, Thermo-Scientific) to a concentration of 1 mM. The temperature was lowered to 28°C to favor protein production and incubated for an additional 2 h, shaking at 200 rpm.

Protein was harvested from the periplasmic space by first pelleting the cells via centrifugation at 3,000 x g for 10 minutes at 4°C. The pellet was carefully resuspended in cold TES buffer (30 mM Tris-HCL, 500 mM sucrose, and 1 mM EDTA at pH 8.0) at 3 mL per 1 g wet cell weight using a wide-bore pipette tip. The new cell suspension was then incubated on ice for 30 minutes with gentle stirring. The outer membrane was then ruptured using 1:1 cold osmotic shock buffer (5 mM MgSO4) and incubated on ice for an additional 10 minutes with gentle stirring. The culture was then centrifuged at 7.500 x g for 10 minutes at 4°C to pellet the spheroplasts and collect the supernatant containing the periplasmic protein. The protein was concentrated using ultracentrifugation by loading the periplasmic fraction onto 15 mL 3.000 MWCO Amicon® Ultra Centrifugal Filters and centrifugating for 30 minutes at 4.000 x g in a swinging rotor at 4°C. The supernatant containing the protein was resuspended and used for protein visualization and injections.

Microinjection of C. floridanus with dsRNA and SBP

Injections were performed using borosilicate glass micropipettes (Fisher) pulled with a glass puller (model: PC-100, Narishige) configured to use a single heating step at 62°C with all weights. To prepare the ants for injection with dsRNA, we first synchronized their circadian rhythm by transferring groups of approximately 20 ants from their colony housing to new housing containers (Denox) lined with talcum powder (Fisher Scientific). These containers were also fitted

with a 2 mL microcentrifuge tube (Greiner) of 10% sucrose, a 2 mL microcentrifuge tube (Greiner) of tap water plugged with cotton balls (Etos), and a small housing unit created by cutting a small hole in a 89 mm Fisherbrand™ Hexagonal Antistatic Weighing Boat (Fisher) lined with ROTILABO® aluminium foil (Carl ROTH). Once acclimated, these housing units were moved to a Micro Clima-Series™ Economic Lux Chamber (Snijders Labs) under constant light with a temperature of 25°C and 70% humidity for 2 days. After 2 days, the parameters were changed to a 12 hr day/night cycle with a temperature of 25°C with 70% humidity during the day and a temperature of 20°C with 70% humidity during the night. 1 day prior to injection, ants were starved for 24 h by removing the tubes containing the sugar and water. After 24 h, these containers were moved to the lab bench in the light at room temperature for injection. SBP injections were performed in a similar manner but did not separate ants from colony until injection, provided food and water in 15 mL tubes, and were provided larger housing units prepared from 50 mL Falcon tubes wrapped in aluminum foil.

All ants were injected with 0.5 μL using the technique outlined in Beckerson et al.[46] Following injection, ants were placed into open-air viewing arenas and briefly observed for any signs of physical trauma from the injection process that would interfere with normal ant movement (e.g., paralysis or disablement of any limbs). Any ants that exhibited signs of trauma were immediately anesthetized using a petri dish (Cellstar) on ice and later euthanized by moving the petri dish to -20°C for 48 h. Importantly, any arenas that held a traumatized ant were also promptly discarded and a new arena was used for the next injection to prevent any effects from the pheromones left behind by the prior occupants. If the ants did not show signs of trauma, we moved them to a viewing arena corresponding to their respective experiments.

Video recording of C. floridanus

　　All the videos for each set of tests were recorded using a randomized block format to mitigate any temporal variables that might affect behavior (Table S5). For the antennation tests, arenas were prepared by dry brushing talcum powder (Fisher Scientific) onto the walls of "tall-wall" 60 mm x 20 mm petri dish (Cellstar), which preliminary studies showed was sufficient to prevent escape of the ant without a lid allowing us to improve video quality by reducing reflection and glare. A piece of uncoated woodfree computer paper cut to the dimensions of the arena was then fastened to the inside bottom of the dish with 2 strips of double-sided adhesive roller tape (Pritt) to help prevent slipping due to the talcum powder. The paper also further reduced reflection and glare and provided better contrast between the ant and the arena background. Just prior to injection, ants were randomly painted with either silver or black non-toxic paint (Table S5). This was done to help keep track of which ant was injected with either the treatment or control in a random way that is not detectable during manual scoring of the resulting videos. Ants were then paired 2 per arena and experiments were recorded at 60 fps for 10 min using 2 or 3 different infrared GoPro Hero6s (GoPro, Inc.) in a rolling fashion in the light.

　　For the aversion assays and preceding nest behavior analyses, injected ants were first transferred to a new National Sanitation Foundation certified BPA Free 1.1 L, 6.5 x 17.6 x 16.2 cm polypropene Gastronox containers (Denox) lined with talcum powder (Fisher Scientific) lined with the same computer paper used in the antennation tests. For ants injected with dsRNA, each arena was provided with the same shelter and 2 mL microcentrifuge tubes (Greiner) of 10% sucrose and tap water as their previous container and returned to the Micro Clima-Series™ Economic Lux Chamber (Snijders Labs) for 36 h. After their 36-h incubation, the ants were then moved to the viewing area in complete darkness for group behavior observations. These recordings started ZT 9-12, which marks the beginning of their natural foraging period. A 2 x 2 cm piece of

Whatman paper cut from a 46 x 57 cm sheet (VWR) spotted with 50 µL of citronella oil (De Tuinen) and placed into the corner of the arena and recordings were started. The videos were captured in high definition at 30 FPS for 10 min using an infrared USB 2.0 Camera HD (ELP) and the Movavi Screen Recorder (v23.1.0).

For ants injected with SBP extracts, no shelter, food, or water was provided. Instead, the arenas were moved directly to the viewing area in the light for group behavior observations. These recordings started immediately in the first hour of daylight, when natural foraging declines but during which there is still some activity. We performed these tests during the day because the injections themselves required light to perform. During the recordings, ants were allowed to acclimate for 10 min to allow the effects of SBP to take hold before the citronella pads were added to the arena. This duration was determined by performing a small pilot test to screen for behavioral effects of SBP injection after 10-, 20-, and 30-min acclimation. After addition of the pad, the recordings continued for an additional 10 min. Videos were recorded using the same USB 2.0 Camera HD (ELP) as the RNAi tests, but under light conditions due to the necessity of injecting ants during their day-time rhythms. Resulting videos were processed into 10 min clips immediately following addition of the citronella pads for the aversion test analyses and 5 min clips directly prior to addition of the citronella pads for nest behavior analyses. The later clips were made shorter to ensure that even the most recently injected ants had at least 5 min of SBP exposure before analysis. This post-processing was done using Movavi Video Editor Plus (v22.4.1). All of the processed videos used in the analysis phase of this study have been deposited at Zenodo under the DOI 10.5281/zenodo.16760416.

Manual scoring and computational analysis of C. floridanus behavior

The antennation tests were scored manually by counting the number of antennation events, examples of which are provided in Fig. 5B. This scoring was done in a blinded fashion with each video being assigned a randomized 2 Greek letter identifier viewing. After scoring, the metadata for each experiment was used to unblind the results for further analysis. The aversion tests, both dsRNA, SBP, and the nest behavior tests were analyzed using FIJI and trackmate. We converted each 30 fps video to AVI format, keeping every 15th frame (0.5 sec) to help avoid oversampling while still capturing dynamic behaviors. To assess the spatio-temporal distribution of ants in response to the addition of a citronella pad, we used a combination of Trackmate[78] in FIJI and Python[79]. Once imported into FIJI, we segmented ants using the threshold option in trackmate[78] (threshold = 182) and applied filters based on spot size and quality to exclude erroneous spots. We then calculated the distance of every ant on every frame from the citronella spot on that frame. A similar approach was also used for the nest behavior tests. After framerate decimation and ant segmentation through FIJI, we calculated the nearest-neighbor distance for every ant on every frame and reported an average of these values for each frame. We favored this approach over clustering algorithms due to the scarcity of data points on each frame to inform clustering cutoffs and the fact that nearest neighbor measurements give a metric that combines both group clustering and individual interactions. Over the course of the videos, ants that cluster or spend time more closely interacting show a lower overall average of nearest-neighbor distance compared to ants which have shorter interactions or more diffuse and unstable groups. The raw data output for each of these tests is included in Data S12, subcategorized by experiment in subfolders.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using R and R-studio (Version 2023.06.0+421). The statistical tests, packages used, and R code are provided in Data S6.

Supplementary Text

Sup. Text 1. Colorblind-friendly code for PyMOL

```
     show cartoon
5    bg_color black
     set antialias=1
     set orthoscopic=1
     set gamma=1.15
     set cartoon_fancy_helices, 1
10   set cartoon_fancy_sheets, 1
     set_color SunnyOrange, [255,194,10]
     set_color BrightSkyBlue, [0,202,255]
     set_color AbsoluteRed, [255,0,0]
     set_color Megaviolet, [189,3,186]
15   set_color PlainBlack, [0,0,0]
     set_color PlainYellow, [255,255,0]
     set_color PlainWhite, [255,255,255]
     set ray_shadows, 0
     set ray_trance_fog, 1
20   util.cbac
     spectrum b, white ###name of corresponding set_color here###
```

Supplementary Figures

fig. S1. Sequence coverage and Predicted Aligned Error (PAE) scores for Ophcf2|06345. Multiple sequence alignments against homologs for Ophcf2|06345 peptide found by AlphaFold2 v2.3.1 and their level of sequence identity is shown on the left. The top 4 highest-ranked models for PAE plots are shown on the right. Both results reflect the scores for the entire length of the Ophcf2|06345 peptide sequence, including both the signal peptide region and the mature protein region. The low confidence regions illustrated in red are found within the signal peptide region with a high level of certainty for folding in the mature peptide region (Data S3).

fig. S2. Computational modeling and structural comparison of Scramblase homologs. AlphaFold2 predicted models for *C. floridanus* Phospholipid Scramblase 1 (PS1 in red) and its Scramblase proteins 1 and 2 homologs in *C. elegans* (SCRM-1 and SCRM-2 in blue) and *D. melanogaster* (SCRAMB1 and SCRAMB2 in purple). Superimpositions of PS1 with these homologs demonstrate the high degree of structural similarity between homologs. The TM scores for each of these comparisons are shown in the top right of the matrix chart with the amino acid sequence identity for each comparison shown in the bottom left.

fig. S3. Expression levels for SCRM-1 and SCRM-2 across the *C. elegans life cycle*. Expression levels for Scramblase-1 and Scramblase-2 are represented by spherical modules. The diameter of these spheres is based on the FPKM values for each stage of nematode development collected from WormBase (Harris et al., 2019). Images of each life cycle stage were drawn using the Procreate app.

fig. S4. Posture analysis during C. elegans crawling using PCA and differential eigenvalue spectrum analysis. The data is organized into rows based on experimental parameters like in Fig. 4. (A) A Principal components analysis (PCA) is performed separately for each experiment. The curvature along the worm body is decomposed into PCA coefficients (sometimes referred to as "eigenworms") with a respective amplitude (given in Fig. 4). The first two PCA coefficients with the largest eigenvalues look almost sinusoidal and a combination of these multiplied by the respective time-varying amplitudes yields the undulatory body wave observed in C. elegans locomotion. (B) Eigenvalue spectrum of the difference covariance matrix Cov_pert – Cov_ctrl. Outlier differential modes (DM), for which the variance is much larger in either the perturbation or the control, are labelled and further analyzed. (C) The coefficients of the identified DMs largely look similar to the first two PCA modes. (D) Indeed, the large absolute Pearson correlation values between the most important DMs and the first two PCA modes indicate that the difference in shape between perturbation and control arises from a difference in a curvature amplitude (i.e. a worm bends more strongly) rather than a difference in shape.

Size
(kDa)

50
37

25
20

Size standard

Sham boiled

SBP boiled

SBP boiled with DTT

Sham boiled with DTT

Size standard

fig. S5. Evidence of disulfide-bond formation in the scramblase binding peptide (SBP). Western blot of periplasmic protein samples expressing SBP fused to a C-terminal 6XHis-tag under reducing (dithiothreitol (DTT) and non-reducing conditions, alongside an empty vector (EV) negative control. Under non-reducing conditions, SBP exhibits slower migration on SDS-PAGE, whereas reduction with DTT demonstrates faster migration, consistent with the formation of intramolecular disulfide bonds. SBP is expected at 7.64 kD size. Boiled bacterial lysates are in lanes 2 and 3. Boiled lysates with the addition of of DTT are in lanes 5 and 6. Lanes 1 and 7 contain size standards with units displayed in line with bands to the left of the figure.

fig. S6. Aversion zone proximity of ants during exposure to citronella oil. The percentage of ants within the aversion zone (4 cm from the center of the citronella pad) every 0.5 sec across a 10 min recording. Each data point is shown with a high degree of transparency in the background. The first 4 min of recording depict an active response to the addition of a foreign object with citronella oil into the nest arena. After 4 min, the ants settle down with a majority of them positioning themselves away from the citronella pad. A small portion of the group, ~25%, continues with exploratory behaviors resulting in re-exploration of the pad in the final 6 min of the video. Base figures were generated with RStudio Version 2023.06.0+421 (Data S6).

fig. S7. Expression profiles of GFP in *C. elegans*. Spinning disc confocal imagery of the *C. elegans* amphid region, left, and nerve ring, right, captured with a 491 nm laser to excite the GFP fluorophore, shown in green. The images on the top row depict worms expressing *pPrab-3_CelOptSBP-(G4S)3-GFP_Tlet-858*, which codes for GFP tethered to secreted SBP with a $(G4S)_3$ linker. The images on the bottom row depict worms expressing pPrab-3_CelOptSBP-T2A-GFP_Tlet-858, which codes for polycistronic expression of GFP fluorophore separated from SBP via ribosomal skipping facilitated by a T2A linker. The brightness is standardized between the left and right image for each row, but not between each row. This is due to the sheer brightness of the bottom row images, which can be noted by the lack of visible autofluorescence anterior to the nerve right in the bottom right picture.

fig. S8. Size standardization of worms per behavioral experiment. Graphs depict the length of each worm used in this study separated by experiment and listed from smallest to largest. While the duration of each age standardization step executed prior to video recording of each experiment was the same, strains and growth conditions used were different across experiments. Importantly, these variables were standardized within each experiment, allowing for a robust comparison between control and treatment but not between experiments. The different variables between experiments resulted in worms of slightly different length; however, very similar size-distributions for the control and treatment groups of each experiment. A few outlier worms (14 in total) were removed from the analysis, indicated by the empty fill circles.

Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Colorblind friendly palette for scientific figures.

Color Name	True	Prot.	Deut.	Trit.
Sunny Orange	#FFC20A			
Bright Sky Blue	#00CAFF			
Absolute Red	#FF0000			
True Black	#000000			
Bright Yellow	#FFFF00			
Mega Magenta	#BD03BA			

Table S2. Colorblind friendly palette for colocalization imaging.

Color Name	True	Prot.	Deut.	Trit.
Fiji Green	#08FA02			
Fiji Magenta	#FF3AFF			

Table S3. The randomized block format for recording worm behavior.

Feeding SBP

Block 1	SBP_1	$Sham_1$
Block 2	$Sham_2$	SBP_2
Block 3	$Sham_3$	SBP_3
Block 4	SBP_4	$Sham_4$
Block 5	$Sham_5$	SBP_5
Block 6	$Sham_6$	SBP_6

Expressing SBP

Block 1	$SBP+GFP_1$	GFP_1
Block 2	$SBP+GFP_2$	GFP_2
Block 3	GFP_3	$SBP+GFP_3$
Block 4	$SBP+GFP_4$	GFP_4
Block 5	n/a	GFP_5

Δscrm-1

Block 1	$CU2904_1$	CGC_1
Block 2	CGC_2	$CU2904_2$
Block 3	CGC_3	$CU2904_3$
Block 4	n/a	CGC_4
Block 5	n/a	CGC_5

∇scrm-1

Block 1	$dsRNA\text{-}GFP_1$	$dsRNA\text{-}scrm\text{-}1_1$
Block 2	$dsRNA\text{-}GFP_2$	$dsRNA\text{-}scrm\text{-}1_2$
Block 3	$dsRNA\text{-}GFP_3$	$dsRNA\text{-}scrm\text{-}1_3$
Block 4	$dsRNA\text{-}GFP_4$	$dsRNA\text{-}scrm\text{-}1_4$
Block 5	$dsRNA\text{-}GFP_5$	$dsRNA\text{-}scrm\text{-}1_5$
Block 6	$dsRNA\text{-}scrm\text{-}1_6$	$dsRNA\text{-}GFP_6$

Table S4. Ant colony information

Name	Wilderness Area	Substrate	Size*	Coordinates
2024Chul5	Chuluota	Log	16 tubes	28°37′10.82″ N, 81°3′44.02″W
2024Gen17	Geneva	Log/Ground	5 tubes	28°42′18.83″ N, 81°7′31.23″ W
2024LH15	Lake Harney	Tree stump	7 tubes	28°47′16.56″ N, 81°3′52.35″ W
2024LH11	Lake Harney	Log	8 tubes	28° 47' 15.03" N, 81° 3' 55.03" W
2024Gen19	Geneva	Tree stump	5 tubes	28° 42' 6.18" N, 81° 7' 30.63" W
2024LH14	Lake Harney	Tree stump	8 tubes	28°47′15.03″ N, 81°3′55.03″ W

*Ant colony size was determined by allowing ants to self-assort into 50 mL Falcon tubes.

Table S5. The randomized block format for recording ant behavior.

RNAi Aversion Test

Block 1	Chul5 GFP	Chul5 PS1	Gen17 GFP	Gen17 PS1	LH15 PS1	LH15 GFP
Block 2	LH15 PS1	LH15 GFP	Chul5 PS1	Chul5 GFP	Gen17 PS1	Gen17 GFP
Block 3	Chul5 GFP	Chul5 PS1	Gen17 GFP	Gen17 PS1	LH15 PS1	LH15 GFP

SBP-injection Antennation Test – Day 1

Block 1	LH14 Sham-Sham[s]	LH14 SBP -SBP[s]
Block 2	LH14 SBP-SBP[s]	LH14 Sham-Sham[s]
Block 3	LH14 Sham-Sham[s]	LH14 SBP-SBP[s]
Block 4	LH14 SBP -SBP[s]	LH14 Sham-Sham[s]
Block 5	LH14 Sham-Sham[s]	LH14 SBP-SBP[s]

SBP-injection Antennation Test – Day 2

Block 1	Chul5 Sham-Sham[s]	Chul5 SBP[s]-SBP
Block 2	LH11 SBP[s]-SBP	LH11 Sham[s]-Sham
Block 3	Chul5 SBP[s]-SBP	Chul5 Sham-Sham[s]
Block 4	LH11 Sham[s]-Sham	LH11 SBP-SBP[s]
Block 5	Chul5 Sham[s]-Sham	Chul5 SBP[s]-SBP
Block 6	LH11 Sham-Sham[s]	LH11 SBP[s]-SBP
Block 7	Chul5 Sham[s]-Sham	Chul5 SBP-SBP[s]
Block 8	LH11 SBP-SBP[s]	LH11 Sham[s]-Sham
Block 9	Chul5 Sham[s]-Sham	Chul5 SBP-SBP[s]
Block 10	LH11 SBP[s]-SBP	LH11 Sham[s]-Sham

*Superscript "s" indicates the ants with silver-painted abdomens

SBP-injection Aversion Tests and Nest Behavior Tests – Day 1

Block 1	LH14 Sham	LH14 SBP

SBP-injection Aversion Tests and Nest Behavior Tests – Day 2

Block 1	Chul5 Sham	Chul5 SBP	LH11 Sham	LH11 SBP
Block 2	LH11 Sham	LH11 SBP	Chul5 Sham	Chul5 SBP
Block 3	Chul5 SBP	Chul5 Sham	LH11 Sham	LH11 SBP

SBP-injection Aversion Tests and Nest Behavior Tests – Day 3

Block 1	Gen19 Sham	Gen19 SBP
Block 2	Gen19 Sham	Gen19 SBP
Block 3	Gen19 Sham	Gen19 SBP

Supplementary References and Notes

51. Almagro Armenteros JJ, Tsirigos KD, Sønderby CK, Petersen TN, Winther O, Brunak S, Von Heijne G, Nielsen H. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks. Nature Biotechnology. 2019;37(4):420–423. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0036-z

52. Teufel F, Almagro Armenteros JJ, Johansen AR, Gíslason MH, Pihl SI, Tsirigos KD, Winther O, Brunak S, Von Heijne G, Nielsen H. SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein language models. Nature Biotechnology. 2022;40(7):1023–1025. doi:10.1038/s41587-021-01156-3

53. Krogh A, Larsson B, Von Heijne G, Sonnhammer ELL. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden markov model: application to complete genomes11Edited by F. Cohen. Journal of Molecular Biology. 2001;305(3):567–580. doi:10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315

54. Kall L, Krogh A, Sonnhammer ELL. Advantages of combined transmembrane topology and signal peptide prediction--the Phobius web server. Nucleic Acids Research. 2007;35(Web Server):W429–W432. doi:10.1093/nar/gkm256

55. Sigrist CJA, De Castro E, Cerutti L, Cuche BA, Hulo N, Bridge A, Bougueleret L, Xenarios I. New and continuing developments at PROSITE. Nucleic Acids Research. 2012;41(D1):D344–D347. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1067

56. Pierleoni A, Martelli PL, Casadio R. PredGPI: a GPI-anchor predictor. BMC Bioinformatics. 2008;9(1):392. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-392

57. Brameier M, Krings A, MacCallum RM. NucPred—Predicting nuclear localization of proteins. Bioinformatics. 2007;23(9):1159–1160. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm066

58. Almagro Armenteros JJ, Salvatore M, Emanuelsson O, Winther O, Von Heijne G, Elofsson A, Nielsen H. Detecting sequence signals in targeting peptides using deep learning. Life Science Alliance. 2019;2(5):e201900429. doi:10.26508/lsa.201900429

59. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10(1):421. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

60. Mirdita M, Schütze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M. ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. Nature Methods. 2022;19(6):679–682. doi:10.1038/s41592-022-01488-1

61. James P, Halladay J, Craig EA. Genomic Libraries and a Host Strain Designed for Highly Efficient Two-Hybrid Selection in Yeast. Genetics. 1996;144(4):1425–1436. doi:10.1093/genetics/144.4.1425

62. Xin X, Gfeller D, Cheng J, Tonikian R, Sun L, Guo A, Lopez L, Pavlenco A, Akintobi A, Zhang Y, et al. SH3 interactome conserves general function over specific form. Molecular Systems Biology. 2013;9(1):652. doi:10.1038/msb.2013.9

63. Lamesch P, Milstein S, Hao T, Rosenberg J, Li N, Sequerra R, Bosak S, Doucette-Stamm L, Vandenhaute J, Hill DE, et al. *C. elegans* ORFeome Version 3.1: Increasing the Coverage of ORFeome Resources With Improved Gene Predictions. Genome Research. 2004;14(10b):2064–2069. doi:10.1101/gr.2496804

64. Li S, Armstrong CM, Bertin N, Ge H, Milstein S, Boxem M, Vidalain P-O, Han J-DJ, Chesneau A, Hao T, et al. A Map of the Interactome Network of the Metazoan *C. elegans*. Science. 2004;303(5657):540–543. doi:10.1126/science.1091403

65. Tsai M-C, Barati MT, Kuppireddy VS, Beckerson WC, Long G, Perlin MH. Characterization of Microbotryum lychnidis-dioicae Secreted Effector Proteins, Their Potential Host Targets, and Localization in a Heterologous Host Plant. Journal of Fungi. 2024;10(4):262. doi:10.3390/jof10040262

66. Tubiana J, Schneidman-Duhovny D, Wolfson HJ. ScanNet: an interpretable geometric deep learning model for structure-based protein binding site prediction. Nature Methods. 2022;19(6):730–739. doi:10.1038/s41592-022-01490-7

67. Honorato RV, Trellet ME, Jiménez-García B, Schaarschmidt JJ, Giulini M, Reys V, Koukos PI, Rodrigues JPGLM, Karaca E, Van Zundert GCP, et al. The HADDOCK2.4 web server for integrative modeling of biomolecular complexes. Nature Protocols. 2024;19(11):3219–3241. doi:10.1038/s41596-024-01011-0

68. Brenner S. THE GENETICS OF *CAENORHABDITIS ELEGANS*. Genetics. 1974;77(1):71–94. doi:10.1093/genetics/77.1.71

69. Lubisch M, Moyzio S, Kaiser CS, Krafeld I, Leusder D, Scholz M, Hoepfner L, Hippler M, Liebau E, Kahl J. Using Caenorhabditis elegans to produce functional secretory proteins of parasitic nematodes. Acta Tropica. 2022;225:106176. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2021.106176

70. De Vries OMH, Fekkes MP, Wösten HAB, Wessels JGH. Insoluble hydrophobin complexes in the walls of Schizophyllum commune and other filamentous fungi. Archives of Microbiology. 1993;159(4):330–335. doi:10.1007/BF00290915

71. Zhang Q, Wang Z, Zhang W, Wen Q, Li X, Zhou J, Wu X, Guo Y, Liu Y, Wei C, et al. The memory of neuronal mitochondrial stress is inherited transgenerationally via elevated mitochondrial DNA levels. Nature Cell Biology. 2021;23(8):870–880. doi:10.1038/s41556-021-00724-8

72. Asikainen S, Vartiainen S, Lakso M, Nass R, Wong G. Selective sensitivity of Caenorhabditis elegans neurons to RNA interference: NeuroReport. 2005;16(18):1995–1999. doi:10.1097/00001756-200512190-00005

73. Calixto A, Chelur D, Topalidou I, Chen X, Chalfie M. Enhanced neuronal RNAi in C. elegans using SID-1. Nature Methods. 2010;7(7):554–559. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1463

74. Ratzka C, Gross R, Feldhaar H. Systemic gene knockdown in *Camponotus floridanus* workers by feeding of dsRNA. Insectes Sociaux. 2013;60(4):475–484. doi:10.1007/s00040-013-0314-6

75. Li W, Koutmou KS, Leahy DJ, Li M. Systemic RNA Interference Deficiency-1 (SID-1) Extracellular Domain Selectively Binds Long Double-stranded RNA and Is Required for RNA Transport by SID-1. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2015;290(31):18904–18913. doi:10.1074/jbc.M115.658864

76. Choudhary C, Meghwanshi KK, Shukla N, Shukla JN. Innate and adaptive resistance to RNAi: a major challenge and hurdle to the development of double stranded RNA-based pesticides. 3 Biotech. 2021;11(12):498. doi:10.1007/s13205-021-03049-3

77. Simola DF, Graham RJ, Brady CM, Enzmann BL, Desplan C, Ray A, Zwiebel LJ, Bonasio R, Reinberg D, Liebig J, et al. Epigenetic (re)programming of caste-specific behavior in the ant *Camponotus floridanus*. Science. 2016;351(6268):aac6633. doi:10.1126/science.aac6633

78. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods. 2012;9(7):676–682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019

79. Ershov D, Phan M-S, Pylvänäinen JW, Rigaud SU, Le Blanc L, Charles-Orszag A, Conway JRW, Laine RF, Roy NH, Bonazzi D, et al. TrackMate 7: integrating state-of-the-art segmentation algorithms into tracking pipelines. Nature Methods. 2022;19(7):829–832. doi:10.1038/s41592-022-01507-1

Supplementary Movies

Movie S1. Z-stack imaging of SBP/SCRM-1 colocalization in the amphid region. A series of images captured at different focal planes along the z-axis of transgenic *scrm*-1(he439[*mKate2::scrm*-1]) nematodes expressing pPrab-3_CelOptSBP-(G4S)3-GFP_Tlet-858. GFP-tagged SBP is shown in green and mKate2-tagged SCRM-1 is shown in magenta.

Movie S2. Z-stack imaging of SBP/SCRM-1 colocalization in the head ganglia. A series of images captured at different focal planes along the z-axis of transgenic *scrm*-1(he439[*mKate2::scrm*-1]) nematodes expressing pPrab-3_CelOptSBP-(G4S)3-GFP_Tlet-858. GFP-tagged SBP is shown in green and mKate2-tagged SCRM-1 is shown in magenta.

Movie S3. Median worm behaviors. A composite video of worm behavior for the median worm, based on PCA diameter, from each test group as seen by the our computational analysis pipeline. The worm IDs for each panel are SBP Feeding Control Worm 11 and Treatment Worm 4, SBP Expression Control Worm 3 and Treatment Worm 4, SCRM-1 Knockout Control Worm 10 and Treatment Worm 1, and SCRM-1 Knockdown Control Worm 3 and Treatment Worm 4. A midline for each worm is generated in red and superimposed onto each worm. All instances of turning and worm collisions have been removed and appear as black screens for the duration of these events in the video.

Movie S4. Nestmate Fighting Behavior. Video example for nestmates being attacked upon placement into arenas by ants exposed to SBP approximately 5-10 min prior.

Supplementary Data

Data S1. Bioinformatic pipeline.xlsx

Data S2. Secretion signal predictions for SBP homologs.xlsx

Data S3. SBP cystine lattice structure.pse

Data S4. Y2H assay results.xlsx

Data S5. PS1-SBP polar contacts.pse

Data S6. R code.R

Data S7. Primer list.xlsx

Data S8. Plasmid maps.zip

Data S9. Codon optimized sequence for SBP expression in nematodes

Data S10. Worm behavior tests data.zip

Data S11. KML map of ant colonies used in this study.kml

Data S12. Ant behavior tests data.zip