Polyelectrolyte domains in intrinsically disordered regions differentially regulate intracellular biomolecular condensation

Yoon Jeong Choi[1,2,3], Yujin Lee[1,6], Soojin Cho[1,6], Yaqi Pan [1,6], Yemuk Kang[1,6], Changi Pack[4,5], Youngtae Jeong[2,3], and Kiwon Song[1,*]

[1]Department of Biochemistry, College of Life Science and Biotechnology, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, Republic of Korea

[2]Department of New Biology, DGIST, Daegu, 43014, Korea

[3]New Biology Research Center, DGIST, Daegu, 43014, Korea

[4]Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul 05505, South Korea

[5]Convergence Medicine Research Center, Asan Institute for Life Sciences, Asan Medical Center, Seoul 05505, South Korea

[6]These authors contributed equally.

*Correspondence: bc5012@yonsei.ac.kr

SUMMARY

Intracellular condensation is a hallmark of many proteins containing intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs). Decoding the regulatory mechanisms of condensation encoded within the amino acid sequences of condensate-forming proteins is essential for understanding both how intracellular condensates assemble and how they contribute to various disease pathologies. Here, we investigated the budding yeast P-body proteins Nst1 and Edc3, which exhibit pronounced intracellular self-condensation, and identified charged amino acid clusters in the low complexity regions (LCRs) that modulate their phase behavior. Guided by the stickers-and-spacers framework, we hypothesized that clusters of charged residues within IDRs

function in promoting intracellular condensation process. Using a sliding-window approach, we designated

and dissected the polyelectrolyte (PE) domains of Nst1 and Edc3 and established their essential

contributions to condensate coalescence. In Nst1, the polyanionic (PA) subdomain enhances

intermolecular attraction and promotes coalescence, whereas the polyampholyte (PAM) subdomain imparts

rigidity to the condensate as a distinct modulatory element and functions as a platform in condensation

process of other P-body components. PA of Edc3 also regulates coalescence. Extending these findings to

the neurodegenerative disease-associated protein TDP-43, we show that its PA regions are critical for

coalescence, consistent with the presence of negatively charged amino acid clusters as potent molecular

stickers functioning in condensate coalescence in cells. These results highlight charged-residue clusters

as important sequence determinants of protein phase behavior and suggest that they represent promising

novel regulatory targets for modulating TDP-43 condensation in cells.

KEYWORDS

biomolecular condensates, intrinsically disordered region (IDR), stickers and spacers model,

polyelectrolyte, coalescence, polyampholyte, polyanion, P-body, Nst1, Edc3, TDP-43

INTRODUCTION

Biomolecular condensation observed in cells not only deepens our understanding of molecular dynamics

in response to various physiological conditions, but also shed light on the mechanisms underlying protein

amyloid formation (Alberti and Hyman, 2021; Alshareedah et al., 2024; Banani et al., 2017; Niu et al., 2023).

These condensations occur within the crowded and complex cellular environment, exhibiting diverse

physical properties depending on the degree of condensation.

Among these behaviors, liquid-like demixing has been extensively studied as one prominent mechanism

of condensate formation, in which dynamic, droplet-like assemblies are distinguished from more rigid,

amyloid-like protein aggregates (Ranganathan et al., 2022). Neurodegeneration-associated proteins

usually act as scaffolds that drive the formation of liquid-like condensates with self-condensation ability and

high multivalency. Recent studies have increasingly reported the co-occurrence of amyloid fibrils and liquid-

like condensates of pathogenic proteins, such as TDP-43, both in the brain tissues of patients with

neurodegenerative diseases and in cellular models of these diseases (Ahmad et al., 2022; Alshareedah *et*

al., 2024; Gruijs da Silva et al., 2022; Sneideriene et al., 2025). This observation strongly suggests that the

aggregation of pathogenic proteins does not proceed through direct solid aggregation, but rather through

prior enrichment into liquid-like condensates, followed by a structural conversion into amyloid assemblies.

In other words, dysregulation of these liquid-like condensates is expected to promote the formation of

protein amyloids implicated in neurodegenerative disorders (Hurtle et al., 2023; Nam and Gwon, 2023;

Visser et al., 2024). Therefore, elucidating the underlying mechanisms of liquid-like condensation is critical

both for understanding the molecular dynamics underlying physiological condensate formation, and for

discovering therapeutic strategies to regulate pathological condensate formation (Alberti and Hyman, 2021;

Choi et al., 2024; Gao et al., 2023; Lu et al., 2024; Mehta and Zhang, 2022).

Stickers-and-spacers model is a leading framework of a molecular mechanism for biomolecular liquid-like

condensation (Banjade and Rosen, 2014; Choi et al., 2020; Sood and Zhang, 2024). This model defines

"stickers" as molecular elements that mediate attractive interactions, and "spacers" as flexible linkers that

separate stickers and modulate condensate properties (Choi *et al.*, 2020). Amino acids in intrinsically

disordered regions (IDRs), capable of functioning as stickers, were precisely identified through *in vitro*

studies. The cation-π interaction between tyrosine and arginine, as well as the interaction between lysine

and RNA, were revealed to act as stickers (Ukmar-Godec et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2018b). The sequential

pattern of these components significantly influences the dynamic characteristics of the condensate

(Alshareedah *et al.*, 2024; Alshareedah et al., 2021; Wang *et al.*, 2018b). Notably, when sticker amino acids

are clustered within the sequence, rather than dispersed, the resultant condensate tends towards

solidification (Alshareedah *et al.*, 2024; Alshareedah *et al.*, 2021; Wang *et al.*, 2018b). Thus, the stickers-

and-spacers model offers a solid basis for elucidating the condensation characteristics of molecules *in vitro*,

offering valuable insights into the behavior of biomolecular condensates.

Despite the explanatory power of the stickers-and-spacers model, the molecular grammar underlying

intracellular biomolecular condensation remains enigmatic. First, the morphology and physical properties

of condensates depend on the characteristics of condensate-forming proteins and the intracellular

environment, and these properties can change over time as condensation progresses. Thus, the stickers

that function may vary depending on the phase of condensates. Second, although the molecular grammar

of condensation has been extensively characterized for prion-like low-complexity domains (PLCDs) - such

as poly Q/N tracts in yeast amyloidogenic proteins and the RGG motifs in disease-related proteins like FUS

and TDP-43 (Patel et al., 2015; Sanders et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2018), other intrinsically disordered

regions (IDRs) with distinct combinations of sticker amino acids remain largely unexplored. Critically, many

IDRs lack canonical PLCD features but still drive phase separation, suggesting the existence of alternative

molecular grammars.

Recent *in vitro* and simulation studies have reported that the charge distribution within amino acid

sequences strongly affects the viscosity, surface tension, and dynamic properties of condensates (Biswas

and Potoyan, 2024; Linsenmeier et al., 2023; Sundaravadivelu Devarajan et al., 2024). These reports

strongly indicate the need for research on the regulation of cellular condensation process by novel low

complexity regions (LCRs) composed of sticker amino acids such as charged amino acid clusters, in

addition to the previously studied PLCDs.

In this study, we aimed to elucidate the role of the polyelectrolyte region in the cellular condensation of

biomolecules via Nst1. Both Nst1 and Edc3, established P-body components in budding yeast, possess

intrinsic self-condensation capabilities. Upon Nst1 and Edc3 overexpression, cells initially exhibit numerous

small condensates of Nst1 and Edc3, which progressively fuse into larger structures as protein levels

accumulate over time, thereby reducing the overall number of observed condensate (Fig. 1A). Utilizing this

model, we examined how the polyelectrolyte region affects both the molecular dynamics of NST1

condensates and the condensate formation of P-body components mediated by NST1. Furthermore, we

investigated whether the function of the polyelectrolyte region in Nst1 condensation could be extrapolated

to TDP-43, a stress granule constituent that is closely linked to the pathology of neurodegenerative

diseases.

RESULTS

106   ***Overexpression of Nst1 and Edc3 leads to mature condensate formation, whereas overexpression***

107   ***of their polyelectrolyte (PE) domain deletion mutants within the IDR maintains stabilized***

108   ***microphase-separated state***

109

110   In our previous study, we reported that GFP-tagged Nst1 and Edc3, when overexpressed under the

111   control of the GAL promoter, formed condensates that were larger and denser than those formed by Dcp2

112   or Dhh1 (Choi et al., 2022). Here, we monitored the formation dynamics of these condensates from the

113   early phase of Nst1 and Edc3 overexpression until their intracellular expression levels reached saturation

114   (Fig. 1A). Numerous small condensates—here referred to as microphase-separated condensates—began

115   to appear simultaneously throughout the cell as early as 1 hour after galactose induction and were observed

116   in nearly all cells by 2 hours. When we analyze the mean integrated density and the number of condensates

117   of each cell at 4 hours post-induction, the number of condensates per cell had decreased, while their internal

118   density had increased markedly, indicative of a transition to a mature condensate state (Fig. 1B). These

119   observations suggest that microphase Nst1 condensates progressively undergo coalescence as the

120   intracellular concentration of Nst1 rises.

121   Next, we confirmed this distinctive self-condensation potential of Nst1 and Edc3 through analysis of puncta

122   formation patterns in correlation with cytoplasmic protein concentration (Fig. 1C-D). Each protein exhibits

123   a distinct critical concentration for condensation, and a lower critical concentration indicates a higher

124   potential for molecular condensation. By measuring the cytoplasmic concentration of proteins and

125   correlating it with the degree of puncta formation (microphase separation, mature condensates), we can

126   compare condensation patterns between proteins under cellular conditions. Using a galactose promoter,

127   GFP-tagged P-body components such as Nst1, Edc3, Dcp2, and Dhh1, along with the stress granule

128   component Pab1, were overexpressed for 4 hours. Afterward, the cytoplasmic concentration of the

129   overexpressed proteins and the degree of self-condensation were measured using fluorescence correlation

130   spectroscopy (FCS) (Fig.1 A, C-D). We classified the patterns of puncta formation into three categories:

131   diffuse state, microphase separation, and mature condensates (Fig. 1A). GFP control did not exhibit puncta

132   formation at any measured cytoplasmic concentration, whereas Nst1 and Edc3 demonstrated mature

condensates at remarkably low concentrations (Fig. 1C-D). Another P-body component, Dcp2 and Dhh1,

exhibited microphase separation at higher cytoplasmic concentrations than the concentrations required for

mature condensate formation by Nst1 and Edc3. However, mature condensates similar to those observed

with Nst1 and Edc3 were not detected for Dcp2 and Dhh1 (Fig. 1C-D). These results confirm that, compared

to Dcp2 and Dhh1, Nst1 and Edc3 have a greater intrinsic propensity for self-condensation in cells, which

indicates their intrinsic potential for condensation.

Next, we hypothesized that a particular charged-amino acid cluster within intrinsically disordered regions

(IDRs) in Nst1 protein sequence may function as a "sticker" domain during condensate formation. In our

previous study, we identified that PAM (polyampholyte domain, previously described as PD), a segment of

a charged-amino acid cluster in Nst1, functions in the condensation of other P-body components in cells

overexpressing Nst1 (Choi *et al.*, 2022). However, Nst1 has extended charged amino acid regions beyond

PAM. We systematically searched for polyelectrolyte-like clusters of charged amino acids in the IDRs of

Nst1 and Edc3 using a sliding window approach, as described in Supplementary Figure 1E (Fig. 1E).

Charged amino acids (K, R, H, D, E) were classified, and their proportion within each window was calculated

to generate a scoring system. Subsequently, a density plot of charged amino acids was created based on

their positions within the sequence (Fig. 1E, S1, S2). Using this method, we segmented polyelectrolyte (PE)

amino acid sequence regions spanning residues 65–205 in Edc3 and 460–752 in Nst1 (Fig. 1F, S1, S2).

Then, we generated deletion mutant clones of Nst1ΔPE and Edc3ΔPE, overexpressed each protein, and

observed the cells after 4 hours of induction. Consistent with previous findings, overexpression of Nst1

deleted with the aggregation prone domain (APD), Nst1ΔAPD, did not result in condensate formation (Choi

et al., 2022) even at cytoplasmic concentrations comparable to those where wild-type Nst1 forms

condensates (Fig. 1G-J). Interestingly, cells overexpressing the PE deletion mutants of both Nst1 and Edc3

exhibited microphase separation, even when their cytoplasmic concentrations were comparable with those

of wild type Nst1 and Edc3 (Fig. 1G-J). To rule out insufficient expression or time as the cause of

microphase separation, we extended the culture to 24 hours in galactose medium. However, even after

prolonged induction, both Nst1ΔPE and Edc3ΔPE failed to form mature condensates and continued to

exhibit microphase separation (Fig. 1G, H). These results indicate that each polyelectrolyte (PE) is

necessary for the coalescence of both Nst1 and Edc3 condensates, thereby facilitating the formation of

mature condensates of Nst1 and Edc3.

Polyanion (PA) domain of the Polyelectrolyte (PE) region specifically promotes the coalescence of

Nst1 condensates, whereas the polyampholyte (PAM) domain in the PE region does not.

Since the PE region of Nst1 exhibits a unique pattern of charged amino acid arrangement compared to

the PE region of Edc3, the PE region of Nst1 was further characterized. Analysis of the Nst1 PE region

using FELLS (http://protein.bio.unipd.it/fells/ BioComputing UP) revealed two distinct segments: a

polyanion (PA) region spanning residues 460–631, a cluster consisting of remarkably high proportion of

negatively charged amino acids, and a polyampholyte (PAM) domain spanning residues 632–752 (Fig. 2

A, B). In our previous study, we reported that the PAM domain (PD in the previous report) is required for

promoting the condensation of other P-body components such as Dcp2 and Dhh1, which is induced by

Nst1 condensation, but does not affect the self-condensation of Nst1 (Choi *et al.*, 2022).

To validate whether the effects of PE on Nst1 condensation were specifically due to the PA domain, we

observed the time-dependent pattern of puncta formation of each GFP-tagged Nst1 domain deletion mutant

in *Δnst1* cells. When overexpressed for 1 hour using the galactose induction system, the wild-type Nst1

(Nst1 full-length, F.L.), Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM, and Nst1ΔPE all exhibited microphase separation (Fig. 2C).

After 4 hours of induction, wild-type Nst1 and Nst1ΔPAM exhibited mature condensates with a reduced

number of puncta per cell, indicating that the numerous small puncta observed after 1 hour of induction

coalesced into fewer, larger puncta as the cytoplasmic concentration increased over time. (Fig. 2C).

However, cells overexpressing Nst1ΔPA and Nst1ΔPE failed to undergo coalescence, and showed an

increased number of puncta and decreased signal intensities compared to cells overexpressing wildtype

Nst1 and Nst1ΔPAM (Fig. 2C). Even after 24 hours of induction, wild-type Nst1 and Nst1ΔPAM formed

mature condensates with intense fluorescence signals (Fig. 2C). However, cells expressing Nst1ΔPA and

Nst1ΔPE did not show a reduction in puncta number, although some puncta increased in size and intensity

compared to those observed after 4 hours of induction (Fig. 2C). This result indicates that PA domain is

essential for coalescence of Nst1 condensates. Since several mutations in a protein can alter the physical

property of condensates (Banani et al., 2022; Sanfeliu-Cerdan et al., 2023), we also determined whether

these condensates exhibit liquid-like or amyloid-like characteristics. Cells overexpressing each domain

deletion mutant for 4 hours were treated with 1,6-hexanediol for 1 hour, then observed. Most puncta

generated by each mutant dispersed upon treatment, indicating that none of the domains significantly alters

the liquid-like properties of these condensates (Fig. 2D).

To evaluate the condensation potential of each domain deletion mutant, we measured the protein

concentrations associated with each intracellular puncta formation pattern for each Nst1 variant after 4

hours of induction by FCS (Fig. 2E). Cells expressing GFP or Nst1ΔAPD remained diffuse, whereas cells

expressing Nst1ΔPA or Nst1ΔPE exhibited microphase separation without forming mature condensates,

despite having cytoplasmic protein concentrations comparable to those of wild-type Nst1 and Nst1ΔPAM

(Fig. 2E).

When we initially constructed the Nst1ΔPA mutant, positively charged amino acids near the C-terminal

portion of the defined PA region were included in the deletion. To better distinguish the function of negatively

charged clusters within this region, we generated an additional mutant called Nst1ΔPA-1 that excluded

positively charged amino acids from deletion while maintaining the integrity of the negatively-charged

domain within PA. Consistently, cells overexpressing Nst1ΔPA-1 displayed numerous micro-condensates

from 1hour to 24 hours induction, similar to cells overexpressing Nst1ΔPA (Fig. S4). These findings indicate

that the overexpression phenotype observed in Nst1ΔPA is due to the polyanion located within the PA

region.

Next, we investigated whether the results from the Nst1 domain deletion mutants could be applied in

condensate formation of endogenous Nst1. Since endogenously expressed Nst1 forms condensates from

diauxic shift to stationary phase (Fig. 2F), we examined the puncta generation patterns by condensation of

endogenously expressed full-length Nst1, the Nst1ΔPA, the Nst1ΔPAM, and the Nst1ΔAPD from early log

phase to stationary phase (Fig. 2F).

We cultured cells expressing the chromosome-integrated GFP-tagged versions of each domain deletion

mutant under the control of the endogenous Nst1 promoter in a *Δnst1* strain and observed them at 16, 30,

48, and 80 hours after seeding at an initial $OD_{600} = 0.1$ (Fig. 2G). These mutants exhibited similar puncta

generation patterns to those observed in cells overexpressing these deletion mutants. Endogenously

expressed Nst1ΔAPD formed few condensates, confirming that the aggregation-prone region, which is

critical for hydrophobic core assembly, is essential for condensate formation (Fig. 2H-I). Among the tested

mutants, Nst1ΔPA was the first to initiate condensation, starting at 10 hours during the early log phase (Fig.

2H-I), and notably during the mid-log phase (14–18 hours). While the wild-type Nst1 and other mutants had

not yet begun puncta formation, Nst1ΔPA condensates increased significantly (Fig. 2H-I). After 18 hours,

condensation began for the full-length Nst1 and Nst1ΔPAM. Interestingly, starting at 22 hours, as cells

entered the stationary phase, the intensity of Nst1ΔPAM condensates were markedly higher than those of

the wild-type Nst1 and Nst1ΔPA condensates (Fig. 2I). At 46 hours, during the stationary phase, we

observed that the intensities of Nst1ΔPA condensates were lower than those of wild-type Nst1 and

Nst1ΔPAM condensates (Fig. 2J). Together, these findings demonstrate that the differential propensities

for condensate formation observed among mutants in overexpression experiments are also recapitulated

under physiological conditions.

Polyanion (PA) domain exclusively functions in coalescence of Nst1 condensates.

Next, we investigated whether the contribution of the PA domain to Nst1 condensation is unique to the PA

region, or whether other sequence regions of similar size can also play a similar role, thereby assessing

potential non-specific effects arising from deletions of comparable regions. To clarify this, we generated

five additional domain deletion mutants of similar size as the PA domain in Nst1: Nst1ΔD1[2-122], Nst1ΔD2[123-

293], Nst1ΔD3[294-459], Nst1ΔD4[753-844], Nst1ΔD5[845-1015] (Fig. 3A). The *Δnst1* w303a cells were transformed with

plasmids that can overexpress each GFP-tagged Nst1 domain deletion mutant (D1~D5). All strains were

induced with galactose and observed over time using a fluorescence microscope at specified time points

after the induction (Fig. 3B).

Except the Nst1ΔAPD deletion mutant, the overexpression of D1~D5 deletion mutants began to induce

condensates approximately 1 hour after galactose induction (Fig. 3B). Similar to the Nst1ΔPAM (Fig. 2C,

3B), the condensates of the deletion mutants from D1 to D5, increased in size as the number of

condensates per cell decreased, except for the Nst1ΔPA (Fig. 3B). When cytoplasmic protein

concentrations were measured by FCS after 4 hours of induction, Nst1ΔPA exhibited microphase

separation at all measured concentrations, whereas all other mutants formed mature condensates at similar

cytoplasmic concentrations (Fig. 3C). Even after 24 hours of induction, Nst1ΔPA failed to generate mature

condensates, while all the other mutants, ΔD1 to ΔD5, successfully formed mature condensates (Fig. 3B).

These results further support that the PA region of Nst1 plays a unique and critical role in driving condensate

coalescence during biomolecular condensation.

The polyanion (PA) domain of Nst1 enhances intermolecular interaction within condensates,

whereas the polyampholyte (PAM) domain of Nst1 increases the rigidity of Nst1 condensates.

Next, we examined whether the PA and PAM domains influence the dynamics of Nst1 condensates by

employing fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP). Since the condensates of the

overexpressed Nst1ΔPA did not coalescence and retained minimal puncta size, the abnormal function in

the coalescence process is likely related to the molecular dynamics affected by the PA domain deletion.

We examined GFP-tagged the full-length Nst1, as well as the Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM, and Nst1ΔD1

mutants, with GFP control after 4 hours of induction (Fig. 4A). Condensates from each mutant were imaged,

photobleached, and fluorescence recovery was monitored over time (Fig. 4B). Prior to be analyzed,

recovery curves from each mutant FRAP analysis were fitted and normalized (Fig. 4C-D, S6 A-E). Because

molecular dynamics can be affected by molecular size (Pack, 2021), the Nst1ΔD1 mutant was also used

as a size control for the analyses of Nst1ΔPA and Nst1ΔPAM.

We measured the maximal recovery velocity and recovery half-life for each Nst1 domain deletion mutant

(Fig. 4C, F-G). The maximal recovery velocity represents the rate at which unbleached fluorescent

molecules exchange with bleached molecules within puncta; a lower maximal recovery velocity indicates

stronger intermolecular interactions within condensates, while a higher velocity suggests weaker

interactions and increased molecular dynamics. The recovery half-life reflects the time required for

fluorescence in the bleached region to reach half of its maximum equilibrium intensity, serving as an

additional measure of molecular dynamics.

The full-length Nst1 exhibited the slowest and lowest maximal recovery velocity among all samples,

whereas the PAM-, PA-, and D1-deleted mutants recovered more rapidly (Fig. 4D-F), indicating that

molecules with smaller molecular weight are generally more dynamic in the condensates. Notably, Nst1ΔPA

puncta showed the fastest maximal recovery velocity among all deletion mutants (Fig. 4F). In contrast, the

maximal recovery velocity and half-life of Nst1ΔPAM were comparable to those of Nst1ΔD1 (Fig. 4F-G).

These results suggest that Nst1ΔPA exhibits particularly increased molecular dynamics due to weaker and

less stable intermolecular interactions compared to Nst1ΔPAM and Nst1ΔD1.

Regarding mobile fraction as area under curve (AUC, %), the full-length Nst1 had the lowest AUC

compared with these Nst1 deletion mutant proteins (Fig. 4E). The AUC of the Nst1Δ PAM was significantly

higher than those of the Nst1ΔPA and Nst1ΔD1 (Fig. 4H). This indicates that puncta formed by Nst1ΔPAM

have a higher proportion of mobile fractions compared to those formed by Nst1ΔPA and Nst1ΔD1. This

suggests that the PAM domain of Nst1 enhances the rigidity of Nst1 condensates.

Overall, our results strongly suggest that polyampholyte (PAM) deletion weakens cohesion of internal

networks, increasing overall molecular mobility within the condensate, while the polyanion (PA) deletion

specifically enhances single-molecule dynamics by reducing electrostatic molecular affinity. That is,

polyanions might participate in intermolecular interactions, enforcing molecular affinity, while

polyampholytes contribute to restricting the movement of molecules in the confined state within

condensates. These results highlight how different charged domains contribute uniquely to condensate

properties and molecular behavior.

The PAM domain is an RNA-independent multivalent interaction domain for interaction with a P-

body component, Dcp2.

In our previous study, we found that overexpression of Nst1 induces the formation of Nst1 condensates,

which simultaneously promote the condensation of other P-body components, including Dcp2 (Choi *et al.*,

2022). We suggested that the PAM domain, previously described as PD, is a multivalent interaction region

responsible for the condensation of P-body components such as Dcp2 (Choi *et al.*, 2022).

Since the function of PAM domain was resolved by measuring endogenously expressing GFP-tagged

Dcp2, Dhh1, and Xrn1 in cells overexpressing non-tagged Nst1 variants (Choi *et al.*, 2022), we need to

confirm the function of PAM domain using a direct approach that can elucidate its role in inducing Dcp2

condensation. We utilized the chromosomally mKate2-tagged Dcp2 strain overexpressing GFP-tagged

Nst1 variants, including Nst1ΔPAM, Nst1ΔPA, and Nst1ΔD1. The cells were induced to generate

condensates of each Nst1 variant by 4 hour-overexpression, then treated with cycloheximide which binds

to the ribosomal A site and inhibits translation elongation, resulting in decrease of free RNA level

(Schneider-Poetsch et al., 2010). The reduction of the cytoplasmic concentration of free RNA, thereby

eliminates Dcp2 condensates that are formed by free RNA (Teixeira et al., 2005; Van Treeck and Parker,

2018). With this strategy, the majority of Dcp2 condensates formed by Nst1 variants could be observed

under these conditions (Fig. 5A).

Using high-resolution confocal microscopy, we clearly observed the formation of multiphasic condensates

composed of Nst1 and Dcp2 (Fig. 5B). Most wild-type Nst1 condensates were co-localized with mKate2-

tagged Dcp2, suggesting that Nst1 condensates promote the formation of Dcp2 condensates (Fig. 5C).

Interestingly, the fluorescence signals from Nst1 and Dcp2 condensates did not completely overlap; instead,

the two signals appeared as if distinct condensates were docked together (Fig. 5B).

Both Nst1ΔPA and Nst1ΔD1 condensates also exhibited co-localization with Dcp2-mKate2 condensates

(Fig. 5B-C). Although Nst1ΔPA condensates were able to induce Dcp2 condensation, their size was

relatively smaller compared to other Nst1 variant condensates, consistent with the unique role of PA in

coalescence of Nst1's microphase condensates (Fig. 5B). In contrast, Nst1ΔPAM condensates rarely

promoted the formation of Dcp2 condensates, highlighting the critical role of the PAM domain in facilitating

Dcp2 condensation upon Nst1 overexpression (Fig. 5B). Condensates formed by overexpression of GFP-

tagged Nst1 and its mutants demonstrated no change in the integrated density of puncta before and after

CHX treatment (S7. A). This indicates that a decrease in cytoplasmic concentration of ribosomal free RNA

induced by CHX treatment does not affect Nst1 condensation.

To confirm the role of PAM on Dcp2 condensation, we employed an additional experimental approach to

quantitatively measure Dcp2 condensation induced by overexpression of Nst1ΔPA and Nst1ΔPAM in each

cell. If the PAM domain induces Dcp2 condensation directly, rather than via RNA, then the number of Dcp2

condensates should not be reduced in Nst1ΔPA cells even after cycloheximide treatment, because

Nst1ΔPA condensates, which contain the PAM domain, fail to coalesce and instead increase in number. In

contrast, Nst1ΔPAM should lead to a significant reduction in the number of Dcp2 condensates (Fig. 5D).

For the quantitative analysis of Dcp2 condensates induced by condensation of wild-type Nst1 and its

domain deletion mutants, instead of relying on the weak signals from mKate2-tagged Dcp2, we employed

a GFP-tagged Dcp2 strain that allowed more robust quantification to further validate the function of the

PAM domain (Fig. 5D). Cells overexpressing either non-tagged full-length Nst1 or the deletion mutants

(Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM) for 4 hours were treated with cycloheximide for 10 minutes prior to imaging.

Subsequently, the puncta formed by chromosomally EGFP-tagged Dcp2 in these overexpression

conditions were segmented and quantified (Fig. 5D-E). Notably, cells overexpressing Nst1ΔPA exhibited a

substantially higher number of Dcp2 puncta per cell compared to cells overexpressing wild-type Nst1 (Fig.

5E), suggesting that the increased number of Nst1ΔPA condensates containing the PAM domain may

promote the formation of a greater number of Dcp2 condensates than wild-type Nst1. In contrast, the

number of Dcp2 puncta per cell was reduced in Nst1ΔPAM-overexpressing cells relative to the wild-type

(Fig. 5E), indicating that the PAM domain provides a physical platform necessary for efficient Dcp2

condensation.

The Polyanion (PA) domain of human TDP-43 promotes coalescence of condensates, similar to

Nst1.

We expanded the functional analysis of PA and PAM subdomains to the scaffold protein Edc3 focusing

on their roles in biomolecular condensation. Since Edc3 also has a distinctive negative charged amino acid

cluster in PE domain, we divided the PE domain of Edc3 into two parts: the PA region, characterized by a

cluster of negatively charged amino acids, and the PAM region, where positively and negatively charged

amino acids are more evenly distributed (Fig. S2, S8). We constructed plasmids for the overexpression of

GFP-tagged Edc3ΔPAM and Edc3ΔPA mutants, and overexpressed them together with GFP-tagged Edc3,

Edc3ΔPE, and Edc3ΔAPD in the Δedc3 strain to examine their condensate phenotypes. Remarkably, as

observed with Nst1, after 4 hours of overexpression, Edc3 formed mature condensates, whereas Edc3ΔPA,

similar to Edc3ΔPE, exhibited microphase separation in all cells (Fig. S8). Even after 24 hours of induction,

Edc3ΔPA failed to form mature condensates in almost all cells, and only microphase-separated

condensates were observed, demonstrating the role of PA in coalescence of Edc3 condensates.

Edc3ΔAPD, consistent with the result of Nst1, did not form condensates (Fig. S8).

We then investigated whether the phenotype of PA deletion mutants observed in the budding yeast

proteins Nst1 and Edc3 is also present in proteins with self-condensation potential that are implicated in

neurodegenerative diseases. Since TDP-43 are known to undergo self-condensation upon overexpression

in budding yeast (Fushimi et al., 2011; Johnson et al., 2008; Outeiro and Lindquist, 2003; Sun et al., 2011),

we first confirmed TDP-43 aggregation upon overexpression in budding yeast as been previously reported.

Under the same overexpression conditions used for Nst1 and Edc3, TDP-43 also underwent self-

condensation in budding yeast (Fig. 6B). Two PA domains (PA1, PA2) in the TDP-43 sequence were

designated with using the sliding window approach (Fig. 6A, S3). The low complexity region in the C-

terminal domain (CTD) has been known to be critical for TDP-43 amyloid aggregation (Chien et al., 2021;

Li et al., 2021; Shenoy et al., 2023). The CTD has a relatively low charged amino acid cluster score, but

within the CTD, residues 255-276, which have a relatively high density of charged amino acid, were

designated as the PAM domain. To identify intrinsic sequence determinants that consists of charged amino

acid cluster of TDP-43 aggregation, we generated and overexpressed those deletion mutants of TDP-43 in

the budding yeast system and monitored them over time. Strikingly, the PA1 and PA2 deletion mutants

failed to form mature condensates at 4 hours of overexpression compared to the full-length TDP-43,

suggesting their roles in coalescence of condensates as observed role of PA in Nst1 and Edc3 (Fig. 6B).

The previously characterized CTD deletion mutant having NLS sequence also formed condensates when

overexpressed, similar to the reported study (Johnson *et al.*, 2008; Wang et al., 2018a). The morphology

of these condensates differed from that of the full-length TDP-43: while the full-length TDP-43 formed both

large and small, irregularly shaped condensates, the CTD deletion mutant produced very large, round

condensates in much lower numbers per cell (Fig. 6B). Interestingly, the condensate morphology of the

TDP-43ΔCTD mutant was recapitulated in the TDP-43ΔPAM mutant in the nucleus, suggesting that the

phenotype in the nucleus might be attributable to the loss of the PAM domain within the CTD rather than

the entire NTD existence or CTD deletion. This implies that the charged amino acid cluster within the CTD

may play a key role in determining the physical properties and dynamics of TDP-43 condensates in the

nucleus. Since these TDP-43 mutants generated retain the nuclear localization signal (NLS), all

overexpressed mutants predominantly localize to and form condensates in the nucleus. To observe the

condensates of mutants in the cytoplasm, we additionally generated NLS-mutated TDP-43 variants. These

variants exhibited phenotypes similar to those observed in the nucleus, except for the CTD-deleted TDP-

43 variant: the PA1 and PA2 deletion mutants failed to form mature condensates (Fig 6B). Notably, while

overexpressed TDP-43ΔCTD forms condensates in the nucleus, it remains diffusely distributed in the

cytoplasm (Fig. 6B). The phenotypic pattern observed for each mutant after 4 hours of induction remained

unchanged in cells induced for 8, 10, or 12 hours (S9).

To determine whether the condensate phenotypes of PA1, PA2, PAM, and CTD deletion mutants of TDP-

43 observed in budding yeast are also present in mammalian cells, we overexpressed GFP-fused TDP-43

and TDP-43 mutants in U2OS cells. Consistent with our findings in budding yeast, the PA1 and PA2 deletion

mutants formed less mature condensates in the nucleus, compared to the wildtype TDP-43 (Fig. 6C). In

particular, the PA2 deletion mutant rarely formed condensates in the nucleus among all cells expressing

the protein, as indicated by the presence of GFP signal (Fig. 6C).

To observe the condensation of TDP-43 derivatives in the cytoplasm, we introduced an additional NLS

mutation (K82A, R83A, K84A) into each GFP-fused TDP-43 domain deletion mutant and examined their

expression in U2OS cells (Lu et al., 2022). The cytoplasmic expression of these TDP-43 derivatives

displayed a similar pattern of puncta formation to their nuclear counterparts. In the case of the ΔPA1ΔPA2

double deletion, condensate formation was further inhibited. These data confirm that PA domains are a key

contributor to condensation of TDP-43. Notably, the PAM domain deletion mutant exhibited a markedly

larger size and higher fluorescence intensity of puncta compared to other mutants both in nucleus and

cytoplasm (Fig. 6C).

We next investigated whether the TDP-43 coalescence tendency observed for the PA1 and PA2 mutants

was attributable to the negative charges of the clustered amino acids. To this end, we generated *PA1-1*,

PA1-2, *PA2-1*, and *PA2-2* mutants in which the glutamate (E) and aspartate (D) residues in the partial N-

and C-terminal regions of PA1 and PA2 were individually substituted with glutamine (Q) and asparagine

(N), respectively, as well as *PA1* and *PA2* mutants in which all glutamate and aspartate residues were

replaced with glutamine and asparagine (Fig. 6D).

Upon galactose induction in budding yeast cells, the PA1 and PA2 mutants with all negatively charged

amino acids replaced by uncharged glutamine and asparagine exhibited a completely different profile in

puncta formation compared to the wildtype TDP-43 protein (Fig. 6E). Unlike the ΔPA1 mutant, the *PA1*

mutant exhibited a distinct phenotype: while the overexpressed ΔPA1 mutant displayed microphase

separation, the overexpressed *PA1* showed a pronounced inhibition of condensate seeding (Fig. 6E). The

PA1-1 mutant, with partial N-terminal substitution, exhibited morphology identical to wildtype condensates,

whereas *PA1-2* which includes E17Q and E21Q, resembled the *PA1* mutant, suggesting consistency with

previous findings that E17 and E21 in the TDP-43 N-terminal domain are critical residues required for

oligomerization (Afroz et al., 2017).

Notably, the results for the PA2 domain are remarkable. The *PA2* exhibited condensate morphology

identical to that of ΔPA2 and displayed a pronounced tendency toward microphase separation, unlike the

wildtype TDP-43 which forms mature condensates, (Fig. 6D). Unlike the *PA1-1* and *PA1-2* mutants, both

the *PA2-1,* the *PA2-2* mutant which have partial substitutions of negatively charged amino acids in either

the N-terminal or C-terminal regions failed to fully recapitulate the puncta generation patterns of ΔPA2 (Fig.

6D). These results indicate that it is not specific negatively charged residues within PA2 that are critical, but

rather that the overall clusters of negatively charged amino acids in PA2 contribute to TDP-43 coalescence.

We introduced the same amino acid substitution mutants into U2OS cells. Microscopic images were

captured for each mutant, and the portion of puncta-forming cells was quantified across the GFP-expressing

cell population (Fig. 6F–G). While the TDP-43 mutant lacking the NLS but harboring no additional mutations

formed small yet distinct cytoplasmic puncta, puncta formation was markedly inhibited in all substitution

mutants with the exception of *PA1-1* (Fig. 6F–G). These results suggest the possibility that negative

charges within the negatively charged amino acid clusters serve as critical regulators of TDP-43 phase

behavior.

DISCUSSION

Protein condensation in cell is not a binary switch between soluble and demixed states, but rather a multi-

step process involving distinct kinetic phases: evolving from dynamic droplets into more stable, gel-like, or

solid states within the cellular environment (Shen et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2021). The maturation of

condensates is essentially driven by thermodynamic coarsening mechanisms—such as coalescence and

Ostwald ripening—and is not merely a physical hardening but a biochemical cascade that facilitates

pathological transitions(Banani *et al.*, 2017). By concentrating condensation-prone proteins through these

coarsening dynamics, condensates lower the energetic barrier for amyloidogenesis, creating a fertile

environment where initial oligomeric species can form and act as seeds to template the conversion of native

proteins into amyloid fibrils (Berry et al., 2015; Dickson and Golding, 2022; Sim and Creamer, 2002; Visser

et al., 2024). LCRs are closely associated with phenotypic variation (Fondon and Garner, 2004) and

function as multivalent interaction hubs, playing a critical role in protein condensation and amyloidogenesis

(Banjade and Rosen, 2014; Linsenmeier *et al.*, 2023). Collectively, these findings strongly suggest that

LCRs are physiologically and pathologically important components in complex biological systems.

Charged amino acid clusters within LCRs represent one of the most frequently observed sequence motifs

within proteins consisting of RNP granules (Niedner-Boblenz et al., 2024; Regy et al., 2020; Saitoh et al.,

2004). Within intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs), there have been numerous clues that certain charged

amino acids may contribute to the regulation of condensation, either by promoting or inhibiting condensation

(Saar et al., 2021). Both negatively and positively charged amino acids are thought to modulate

intermolecular affinity via electrostatic interactions, where the pattern of charged residues along the

sequence plays a pivotal role. As mentioned previously, when charged residues are uniformly distributed,

the driving force for phase separation is attenuated; in contrast, when charged residues are clustered or

segregated from other residues, the propensity for phase separation is enhanced (Borcherds et al., 2021;

Saitoh *et al.*, 2004; Wang *et al.*, 2018b). This study presents the first report on the differential roles of low-

complexity regions (LCRs) composed of charged amino acids as sequence elements specifically

regulating phase of the condensates.

With budding yeast proteins, Nst1 and Edc3, we demonstrate that the specific deletion and mutation of

the negatively charged amino acid clusters termed polyanion (PA) domain in Nst1 and Edc3 lead to a

distinct defect in coalescence, without abolishing the initial nucleation capability. This implies that the

grammar governing assembly is distinct from the grammar governing fluidity and fusion. While multivalent

interactions via PAM domains or RNA binding may drive nucleation (Van Treeck and Parker, 2018), the

negative charged amino acid clusters in Nst1 and Edc3 appear to be a prerequisite for this maturation

trajectory and act as 'cohesion-tuners' that enable the fusion between microphase condensates. FRAP

analysis of Nst1 provides a mechanistic explanation for these phenotypes. The significantly rapid FRAP

recovery of the Nst1ΔPA mutant suggests that the failure to coalesce is due to a loss of cohesive force

(surface tension) rather than rigidification. Specifically, the observed "hyper-dynamic but non-coalescing"

state suggests that this is not merely due to a loss of molecular stickiness, but rather because the interfacial

tension between the droplets and the surrounding cytoplasm is reduced to a point where there is no

energetic favorability to drive Ostwald ripening or coalescence (Brangwynne et al., 2009).

Another important aspect of this study is that this "coalescence grammar" is conserved in the human

disease protein TDP-43. While both the ΔPA1 and ΔPA2 mutants exhibited coalescence-inhibited

condensates, their corresponding negative charge substitution mutants diverged significantly. The PA1 led

to a complete loss of condensates, a phenotype faithfully reproduced by the PA1-2 mutant, which

completely suppressed condensate nucleation. This result corroborates previous findings that residues E17

and E21 are critical for TDP-43 oligomerization(Afroz *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2018a). In contrast, the *PA2*

displayed a phenotype of impaired condensate coarsening, which was identical to that of the ΔPA2.

Furthermore, the observation that neither the *PA2-1* nor the *PA2-2* partial substitution mutants could fully

recapitulate the complete *PA2* phenotype suggests that the entire negative charge cluster within the PA2

region collectively functions in regulating condensate coarsening.

Taken together, these findings imply that the functional role of a negatively charged amino acid residue in

the condensation process is highly context-dependent. This underscores the necessity for a more nuanced

investigation into the diverse functions and positional effects of negative charge clusters in the regulation

of protein condensation. Although we did not directly measure the biophysical properties of TDP-43 mutants,

the phenotypic similarity strongly suggests a conserved mechanism: these negatively charged residues

likely provide the necessary cohesive potential to form and mature TDP-43 condensates in cells (Afroz *et*

al., 2017; Conicella et al., 2016). Thus, the negative charge clusters are essential for tuning the phase

separation threshold and condensate properties, presumably acting as a molecular rheostat that controls

whether TDP-43 achieves sufficient condensate maturation to propagate pathological states or remains in

a more soluble, dispersed form.

A remarkable region within TDP-43 is the PAM domain, which appears to play a critical role in regulating

condensate dynamics. In U2OS cells, we observed an unexpected phenotype: the deletion of the PAM

domain—regardless of NLS mutation status—resulted in significantly enlarged condensates. This

phenotype, which was not evident in budding yeast, implies that the PAM domain functions to inhibit

condensate coarsening, standing in direct contrast to the PA domain.

Notably, the PAM domain encompasses Lysine 263 (K263), a residue critical for RNA interaction. The

K263E mutation has been identified as a pathogenic variant that drastically reduces RNA binding affinity

by disrupting electrostatic interactions with the RNA backbone, leading to severe neurotoxicity (Austin et

al., 2014; Imaizumi et al., 2022). Paradoxically, this loss of binding capacity is accompanied by increased

thermal stability, rendering the protein structurally rigid and prone to aggregation (Austin *et al.*, 2014; Chen

et al., 2019). These findings suggest that the enlarged condensates observed in PAM-deleted TDP-43 are

presumably driven by a similar mechanism: a reduction in RNA binding affinity. Therefore, a detailed

investigation into the role of charged amino acids within the PAM domain is essential to fully understand

the molecular mechanisms underlying these observations. Given its pivotal role in preventing aberrant

condensate growth, the PAM domain offers a critical foundation for elucidating TDP-43 phase separation

dynamics and represents a promising target for future therapeutic investigations.

Finally, our study underscores the indispensability of investigating condensate physics directly within the

cellular environment. The distinction between a "matured condensates" and a "hyper-dynamic, non-

coalescing seed", as observed in Nst1, is impossible to discern using static imaging or pure in vitro systems

lacking cellular crowding and energy (Snead and Gladfelter, 2019). By employing live-cell biophysical tools,

we established a more physiologically relevant framework for understanding condensate dynamics. This

approach suggests that future therapeutic strategies should focus on modulating these specific sequence

motifs to restore the material properties of condensates within the living cell.

STAR★METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT OR RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
goat anti-GFP	ROCKLAND, USA	Cat# 600-101-215
mouse anti-Tub1	Sigma, USA	Cat# T5168
anti-goat (secondary antibody)	Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc, USA	Cat# 705-035-003
anti-Mouse (secondary antibody)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA	Cat# sc-2005
Bacterial and virus strains		
Escherichia coli DH5α cells One Shot™ TOP10 Chemically Competent *E. coli*	Invitrogen, USA	Cat# C404003
Biological samples		
Salmon sperm DNA (Deoxyribonucleic acid sodium salt from salmon testes)	Sigma, USA	Cat# D1626

Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
1,6-Hexanediol	DAEJUNG CHEMICAL & METALS CO., LTD, South Korea	Cat# 629-11-8
4% paraformaldehyde	T&I, South Korea	Cat# BPP-9004
	Cytiva,USA,	Cat# SH30088.03
WestGlowTM PICO PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate	BIOMAX, South Korea	Cat# BWP0200
20X TBS-T (20X Tris-Buffered Saline/1% Tween-20)	T&I, South Korea	Cat# BTT-9120
Antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Anti-Anti)	Gibco, USA,	Cat# 15240-062
Experimental models: Cell lines		
U2OS cells Human osteosarcoma cell line	ATCC	HTB-96
Experimental models: Organisms/strains		
Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a *leu2-3,112 trp1-1 can1-100 ura3-1 ade2-1 his3-11,15*	S. Elledge	YSK3549
Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a except as *Δedc3::KANMX*	this study	YSK3707
Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a except as *Δnst1::KANMX*	this study	YSK3490
Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a except as *NST1-EGFP::KANMX*	K.Song	YSK3498
Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a except as *DCP2-EGFP::HIS3MX6*	K.Song	YSK3527
Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a except as *EDC3-EGFP::HIS3MX6*	K.Song	YSK3528
Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a except as *Δnst1::KANMX pNST1-GFP-NST1::URA3*	this study	YSK3700
Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a except as *Δnst1::KANMX pNST1-GFP-NST1ΔPA::URA3*	this study	YSK3701
Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a except as *Δnst1::KANMX pNST1-GFP-NST1ΔPAM::URA3*	this study	YSK3702
Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a except as *Δnst1::KANMX pNST1-GFP-NST1ΔAPD::URA3*	this study	YSK3714
Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a except as *Δnst1::KANMX PAB1-EGFP::HIS3MX6*	this study	YSK3704
Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a expect as *Δnst1::KANMX EDC3-EGFP::HIS3MX6*	this study	YSK3694
Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a expect as *Δnst1::KANMX DHH1-EGFP::HIS3MX6*	this study	YSK3695

Saccharomyces cerevisiae W303a expect as Δnst1::KANMX DCP2-EGFP::HIS3MX6	this study	YSK3709
Saccharomyces cerevisiae BY4741expect as Δnst1::KANMX DCP2-mKate2-sphis5	this study	YSK3663
Oligonucleotides		
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ2-122 deletion top 5' ATTACACATGGCATGGATGAACGAGCTCAGTTTAATGTCATAAGGAATTACCAGGACGAT 3'	this study	KS2262
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ2-122 deletion bottom 5' ATCGTCCTGGTAATTCCTTATGACATTAAACTGAGCTCGTTCATCCATGCCATGTGTAAT 3'	this study	KS2263
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ123-293 deletion top 5' ACTATTCTACGAATCGAAAAGGAGGAAGTACAAAAAATGATGATGTATCCGAATATTAGA 3'	this study	KS2384
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ123-293 deletion bottom 5' TCTAATATTCGGATACATCATCATTTTTTGTACTTCCTCCTTTTCGATTCGTAGAATAGT 3'	this study	KS2385
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ294-459 deletion top 5' TCACATCCCAAAATAGCAGAGGAGTATGTAGAAGATGAAGATGAGGAAGACTATGATGAC 3'	this study	KS2386
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ294-459 deletion bottom 5' GTCATCATAGTCTTCCTCATCTTCATCTTCTACATACTCCTCTGCTATTTTGGGATGTGA 3'	this study	KS2387
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ460-630 deletion top 5' GATACTTCGTTAGATACTGATTCTATATATCTAGAAGAAGAAAAAGAAAGAAAGAGAG 3'	this study	KS2280
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ460-630 deletion bottom 5' CTCTCTTTTCTTTCTTTTTTCTTCTTCTAGATATATAGAATCAGTATCTAACGAAGTATC 3'	this study	KS2281
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ755-844 deletion top 5' AGAGAACAGAAAAGGCTAGAACAAGAAAAGGATCTACTTCTTCCTTCAACTAACAACCAG 3'	this study	KS2397

pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ755-844 deletion bottom 5' CTGGTTGTTAGTTGAAGGAAGAAGTAGATCCTTTTCTTGTTCTAGCCTTTTCTGTT CTCT 3'	this study	KS2398
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ845-1015 deletion top 5' CCAGTTTCACCCACAGGCTTTAACGTTCATGATCAAAAAAGCTCATTTTCGGGACC GTCG 3'	this study	KS2388
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ845-1015 deletion bottom 5' CGACGGTCCCGAAAATGAGCTTTTTTGATCATGAACGTTAAAGCCTGTGGGTGAA ACTGG 3'	this study	KS2389
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ460-596 deletion top 5' GATACTTCGTTAGATACTGATTCTATATATCGGAAATTGATTCAGATAGCCATTACA AAG 3'	this study	KS2437
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ460-596 deletion bottom 5'GATACTTCGTTAGATACTGATTCTATATATCGGAAATTGATTCAGATAGCCATTA CAAAG 3'	this study	KS2438
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-EDC3Δ65-205 deletion top 5' AGGTTCTAACTGTTGCCTCTCCCAAATACCTACCAATAAC 3'	this study	KS2360
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-EDC3Δ65-205 deletion bottom 5' GTTATTGGTAGGTATTTGGGAGAGGCAACAGTTAGAACCT 3'	this study	KS2361
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-EDC3Δ65-180 deletion top 5' AAGGACTTAAAGGTTCTAACTGTTGCCTCTCCGCAACAAGATGCTCAAGATGATCT GGTT 3'	this study	KS2446
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-EDC3Δ65-180 deletion bottom 5' AACCAGATCATCTTGAGCATCTTGTTGCGGAGAGGCAACAGTTAGAACCTTTAAG TCCTT 3'	this study	KS2447
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-EDC3Δ181-205 deletion top 5' AGAAATGAGCAAAGCACACACCAATCTCAGCCCAAATACCTACCAATAACTCAGT CTTTG 3'	this study	KS2444
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-EDC3Δ181-205 deletion bottom 5' CAAAGACTGAGTTATTGGTAGGTATTTGGGCTGAGATTGGTGTGTGCTTTGCTCAT TTCT 3'	this study	KS2445
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-EDC3Δ330-380 deletion top 5' AACTAGGTGGCCGTGCACGTCTACAGGATTCCATGGTCAA 3'	this study	KS2362

pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-EDC3Δ330-380 deletion bottom 5' TTGACCATGGAATCCTGTAGACGTGCACGGCCACCTAGTT 3'	this study	KS2363
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBP infusion cloning top 5' GCATGGATGAACGAGCTTCTGAATATATTCGGGTAAC 3'	this study	KS2401
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBP infusion cloning bottom 5' GTCGACTCTAGAGGATCCCTACATTCCCCAGCCAGAAG 3'	this study	KS2402
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ2-29 deletion top 5' GGGATTACACATGGCATGGATGAACGAGCTACGGTTACAGCCCAGTTTCCAGGG GCGTGT 3'	this study	KS2429
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ2-29 deletion bottom 5' ACACGCCCCTGGAAACTGGGCTGTAACCGTAGCTCGTTCATCCATGCCATGTGTA ATCCC 3'	this study	KS2430
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ197-220 deletion top 5' CCTTTGAGAAGCAGAAAAGTGTTTGTGGGGTTCATCCCCAAGCCATTCAGGGCCT TTGCC 3'	this study	KS2448
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ197-220 deletion bottom 5' GGCAAAGGCCCTGAATGGCTTGGGGATGAACCCCACAAACACTTTTCTGCTTCTC AAAGG 3'	this study	KS2449
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ255-276 deletion top 5' GGAGAGGACTTGATCATTAAAGGAATCAGCGGTGGTAATCCAGGTGGCTTTGGG AATCAG 3'	this study	KS2431
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ255-276 deletion bottom 5' CTGATTCCCAAAGCCACCTGGATTACCACCGCTGATTCCTTTAATGATCAAGTCCT CTCC 3'	this study	KS2432
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ255-414 deletion top 5' GGACTTGATCATTAAAGGAATCAGCTAGGGATCCTCTAGAGTCGACCTGC 3'	this study	KS2439
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ255-414 deletion bottom 5' GCAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGATCCCTAGCTGATTCCTTTAATGATCAAGTCC 3'	this study	KS2440
TARDBPΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A) top 5' GTGTATGTTGTCAACTATCCAAAAGATAACGCAGCAGCAATGGATGAGACAGATG CTTCATCAGCAGTG 3'	this study	KS2472

TARDBPΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A) bottom 5' CACTGCTGATGAAGCATCTGTCTCATCCATTGCTGCTGCGTTATCTTTTGGATAGT TGACAACATACAC 3'	this study	KS2473
pRS306-pNST1-GFP-NST1 infusion cloning top 5' CGTCCCGGGATGAGTAAAGGAGAAGAACTTTTC 3'	this study	KS2238
pRS306-pNST1-GFP-NST1 infusion cloning bottom 5' CGTCTCGAGCAAATTTAAGACGTTTGCGTAG 3'	this study	KS2239
pRS306-pNST1-GFP-NST1Δ460-630 top 5' GATACTTCGTTAGATACTGATTCTATATATCTAGAAGAAGAAAAAGAAAGAAAG AGAG 3'	this study	Ks2280
pRS306-pNST1-GFP-NST1Δ460-630 bottom 5' CTCTCTTTTCTTTCTTTTTTCTTCTTCTAGATATATAGAATCAGTATCTAACGAAGTA TC 3'	this study	Ks2281
pRS306-pNST1-GFP-NST1Δ631-752 top 5' GATAATAACAGACTAAAACTTCTACAAGAACTACAGAAAGAAAAAGAAGAAGAAGA GAGA 3'	this study	KS2176
pRS306-pNST1-GFP-NST1Δ631-752 bottom 5' TCTCTCTTCTTCTTCTTTTTCTTTCTGTAGTTCTTGTAGAAGTTTTAGTCTGTTATTA TC 3'	this study	KS2177
pRS306-pNST1-GFP-NST1Δ1016-1240 top 5' GGCATGGATGAACGAGCTCAGGATCAAAAAAGCTCATTTTCG 3'	this study	KS2194
pRS306-pNST1-GFP-NST1Δ1016-1240 bottom 5' CGAAAATGAGCTTTTTTGATCCTGAGCTCGTTCATCCATGCC 3'	this study	KS2195
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA-GFP-TARDBP infusion cloning top 5'AGCTGGCTAGTTAAGCTTGGTACCATGAGTAAAGGAGAAGAACTTTTC 3'	this study	KS2455
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA-GFP-TARDBP infusion cloning bottom 5'GCGGCCGCCACTGTGCTGGATATCCTACATTCCCCAGCCAGAAGACTT 3'	this study	KS2456
TARDBP *PA1-1* (E3Q, E9Q, D10N, E11Q) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A) top 5' GCATGGATGAACGAGCTTCTCAGTATATTCGGGTAACCCAGAACCAGAACGATGA GCCCATTGAAAT 3'	this study	KS2492
TARDBP *PA1-1* (E3Q, E9Q, D10N, E11Q) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A) bottom 5' ATTTCAATGGGCTCATCGTTCTGGTTCTGGGTTACCCGAATATACTGAGAAGCTC GTTCATCCATGC 3'	this study	KS2493

TARDBP *PA1-2* (D13N, E14Q, E17Q, E21Q, D22N, D23N) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A) top 5' GGGTAACCGAAGATGAGAACAACCAGCCCATTCAGATACCATCGCAGAACAACG GGACGGTGCTGCTCTCCAC 3'	this study	KS2494
TARDBP *PA1-2* (D13N, E14Q, E17Q, E21Q, D22N, D23N) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A) bottom 5' GTGGAGAGCAGCACCGTCCCGTTGTTCTGCGATGGTATCTGAATGGGCTGGTTG TTCTCATCTTCGGTTACCC 3'	this study	KS2495
TARDBP *PA1-1PA1-2* (E3Q, E9Q, D10N, E11Q, D13N, E14Q, E17Q, E21Q, D22N, D23N) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A) top 5' GCATGGATGAACGAGCTTCTCAGTATATTCGGGTAACCCAGAACCAGAACAACCA GCCCATTCAGAT 3'	this study	KS2500
TARDBP *PA1-1PA1-2* (E3Q, E9Q, D10N, E11Q, D13N, E14Q, E17Q, E21Q, D22N, D23N) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A) bottom 5' ATCTGAATGGGCTGGTTGTTCTGGTTCTGGGTTACCCGAATATACTGAGAAGCTC GTTCATCCATGC 3'	this study	KS2501
TARDBP *PA2-1* (E200Q, D201N, E204Q, D205N, E206Q, E209Q) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A) top 5' TGTTTGTGGGGCGCTGTACACAGAACATGACTCAGAACCAGCTGCGGCAGTTCTT CTCTCAGTACGGGGA 3'	this study	KS2484
TARDBP *PA2-1* (E200Q, D201N, E204Q, D205N, E206Q, E209Q) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A) bottom 5' TCCCCGTACTGAGAGAAGAACTGCCGCAGCTGGTTCTGAGTCATGTTCTGTGTAC AGCGCCCCACAAACA 3'	this study	KS2485
TARDBP *PA2-2* (D216N, D219N) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A) top 5' AGTTCTTCTCTCAGTACGGGAACGTGATGAACGTCTTCATCCCCAAGCCATT 3'	this study	KS2486
TARDBP *PA2-2* (D216N, D219N) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A) bottom 5' AATGGCTTGGGGATGAAGACGTTCATCACGTTCCCGTACTGAGAGAAGAACT 3'	this study	KS2487
Recombinant DNA		
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ2-122	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ123-293	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ294-459	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ460-752	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ460-630	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ631-752	This study	

pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ753-844	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ845-1015	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ1016-1240	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-NST1Δ460-596	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-EDC3	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-EDC3Δ65-205	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-EDC3Δ65-180	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-EDC3Δ181-205	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-EDC3Δ330-380	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBP	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ2-29	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ197-220	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ255-276	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ255-414	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ2-29ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ197-220ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ255-276ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBPΔ255-414ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pRS306-pNST1-GFP-NST1	This study	
pRS306-pNST1-GFP-NST1Δ460-630	This study	
pRS306-pNST1-GFP-NST1Δ631-752	This study	
pRS306-pNST1-GFP-NST1Δ1016-1240	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA-GFP-TARDBP	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA-GFP-TARDBPΔ2-29	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA-GFP-TARDBPΔ255-276	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA-GFP-TARDBPΔ255-414	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA-GFP-TARDBPΔ197-220	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA -GFP-TARDBPΔNLS(K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA -GFP-TARDBPΔ2-29ΔNLS(K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA -GFP-TARDBPΔ197-220ΔNLS(K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA -GFP-TARDBPΔ255-276ΔNLS(K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	

pcDNA3.1myc-HisA -GFP-TARDBPΔ255-414ΔNLS(K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBP *PA1-1*(E3Q, E9Q, D10N, E11Q) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBP *PA1-2*(D13N, E14Q, E17Q, E21Q, D22N, D23N) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBP *PA1* (E3Q, E9Q, D10N, E11Q, D13N, E14Q, E17Q, E21Q, D22N, D23N) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBP *PA2-1* (E200Q, D201N, E204Q, D205N, E206Q, E209Q) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBP *PA2-2* (D216N, D219N) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-TARDBP *PA2* (E200Q, D201N, E204Q, D205N, E206Q, E209Q, D216N, D219N) ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA -GFP-TARDBP *PA1-1*(E3Q, E9Q, D10N, E11Q) ΔNLS(K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA -GFP-TARDBP *PA1-2*(D13N, E14Q, E17Q, E21Q, D22N, D23N) ΔNLS(K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA -GFP-TARDBP *PA1*(E3Q, E9Q, D10N, E11Q, D13N, E14Q, E17Q, E21Q, D22N, D23N) ΔNLS(K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA -GFP-TARDBP *PA2-1*(E200Q, D201N, E204Q, D205N, E206Q, E209Q) ΔNLS(K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA -GFP-TARDBP *PA2-2*(D216N, D219N) ΔNLS(K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
pcDNA3.1myc-HisA -GFP-TARDBP *PA2*(E200Q, D201N, E204Q, D205N, E206Q, E209Q, D216N, D219N) ΔNLS(K82A, R83A, K84A)	This study	
Software and algorithms		
AIUPRed – Prediction of Intrinsically Unstructured Proteins	Eötvös Loránd University (ELTE), MTA-ELTE Momentum Bioinformatics Research Group, Hungary	AIUPred
Disopred3	University College London (UCL), Bioinformatics Group	PSIPRED Workbench

PrDOS – Protein Disorder Prediction System	Osaka University, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology	PrDOS - Protein disorder prediction server
PASTA 2.0 – prediction of amyloid structural aggregation	University of Padova, Department of Biomedical Sciences, INFN, Italy	Aggregation Prediction with PASTA
Aggrescan – prediction of "hot spot" of aggregation on polypeptides	Autònoma University of Barcelona, Institute of Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Spain	Aggrescan: Prediction of "hot spots" of aggregation in polypeptides
FELLS – Fast Estimator of Latent Local Structure	BioComputing Up, University of Padua, Italy	FELLS > Submit
GraphPad Prism 5	GraphPad	https://www.graphpad.com/
GraphPad Prism 10	GraphPad	https://www.graphpad.com/
ImageJ	Schindelin et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods.* 2012; 9:676-682	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
Custom Python scripts	This paper	Available upon request
Other		
1.8 ml bead-beating tube	SIMPORT, Canada	Cat# T335-6SPR
Acid-washed 0.5 mm bead	Biospec, USA	Cat# 11079105
Polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane	Merk Millipore, USA	Cat# IPVH00010

520 **METHOD DETAILS**

Yeast cell culture and transformation

Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast cells were grown in YPAD medium until reaching the exponential growth phase (OD$_{600}$ 0.5). Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4,000 rpm for 5 min at room temperature (RT). The cell pellet was gently resuspended in 1 mL of 0.1 M LiOAc (Sigma-Aldrich, USA, L6883-250G) and incubated at RT for 5 min, followed by another centrifugation under the same condition. For transformation, cells were gently resuspended in 360 μL of yeast transformation mixture consisting of 50% polyethylene glycol (Sigma-Aldrich, USA, P4338-500G), 1 M LiOAc, 2 mg/mL salmon sperm DNA (Sigma, USA, D1626), and ddH$_2$O. 100 ng plasmid DNA was added to the cell suspension, and the mixture was incubated at RT for 30 min. Heat shock was performed by incubating the cells at 42°C for 30 min, followed by cooling at RT for 3 min. Cells were harvested again by centrifugation at 4,000 rpm for 5 min at RT, and plated onto SC-Ura plates. Plates were incubated at 25°C until colonies appeared typically 2–3 days.

Protein overexpression in yeast

The cells transformed with plasmids containing NST1, EDC3, *TARDBP* and their deletion mutants were cultured in a SC-U + 2% glucose medium up to the exponential growth phase (OD$_{600}$ 0.5) and harvested. The cells were washed with a SC-U + 2% raffinose + 0.1% glucose medium three times, diluted to half its concentration, and cultured for an additional 3 hours in SC-U + 2% raffinose + 0.1% glucose. Thereafter, galactose was added to a final 2% concentration and incubated for designated hours.

1,6-Hexanediol treatment of yeast

Yeast cells induced for protein overexpression in 2% galactose for 4 hours were harvested by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 5 minutes, and the resulting pellet was collected. The cell pellet was then resuspended and incubated in SC-U medium supplemented with 2% galactose, 2% raffinose, 0.1% glucose, and 10% 1,6-hexanediol (Daejung Chemical & Metals Co., LTD, South Korea, 629-11-8) for 1 hour.

Chromosomal integration of genes in yeast

Various NST1 deletion mutants were acquired by PCR-mediated deletion cloning with the full length pRS306-pNST1-NST1 and verified by sequencing. Plasmids pRS306-pNST1-NST1 and its deletion

546 mutants were treated with EcoRV to make a single cut at the URA3 and were transformed into *Δnst1* cells

547 (YSK3490) by 42 ˚C heat shock. Integration into the chromosome occurred at low frequency

548 via homologous recombination at the URA3. The transformed cells were plated on the SC plate without

549 uracil and colony PCR was performed to confirm whether the fragment was successfully transformed.

Infusion cloning of genes

The PCR-amplified coding sequences were generated using primers containing 15-bp extensions

homologous to the vector ends. The destination vector pcDNA3.1-myc-His was linearized by restriction

enzyme digestion at 37°C for 3 h. The digested vector and PCR fragments were then subjected to infusion

cloning reaction (EZ-HT cloning kit, Enzynomics, Korea, EZ016TM), which utilizes single-strand annealing

activity to join DNA fragments with complementary ends, circumventing the need for conventional ligation.

The reaction products were transformed into chemically competent *E. coli* DH5α cells, and transformants

were selected on LB agar plates supplemented with ampicillin (100 μg/ml). The integrity of the resulting

constructs was verified by DNA sequencing.

TDP-43 PA1 and PA2 Domain Mutagenesis

PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the plasmid pMW20(U)-pGAL-GFP-

TARDBPΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A) and pcDNA3.1-myc-hisA-GFP-TARDBPΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A)

as a template to introduce targeted amino acid substitutions within the TDP-43 PA1 and PA2 domain. The

PA1-1 mutant was generated with primers KS2492 and KS2493, and the *PA1-2* mutant with KS2494 and

KS2495. The *PA2-1* mutant was generated with primers KS2484 and KS2485, and the *PA2-2* mutant with

primers KS2486 and KS2487. The *PA1-1PA1-2* and *PA2-1PA2-2* mutants, which harbor both the *PA1-1*

and *PA1-2* mutations or *PA2-1* and *PA2-2* mutations, were constructed using the *PA1-2* or *PA2-1* plasmid

as a template with the *PA1-1PA1-2* primers (KS2500 and KS2501) or the *PA2-2* primers (KS2486 and

KS2487), respectively. The sequences of all primers were listed in the KEY RESOURCES TABLE. All

mutant strains were verified by DNA sequencing.

Widefield microscopy of cells and image analysis

571   GFP-labeled proteins expressed in the cells were visualized using an Axioplan2 microscope (Carl

572   Zeiss,Jena, Germany) equipped with a 100x Plan-Neofluar oil immersion objective. Consistent culture

573   conditions, exposure times, and fluorescence intensities were maintained across all strains in this study to

574   ensure a comparative analysis of puncta intensity. Image analysis was performed using FIJI ImageJ

575   (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

Confocal microscopy of cells and image analysis

GFP-labeled proteins expressed in the cells were imaged using both a ZEISS LSM900 laser scanning

confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) equipped with high-sensitivity GaAsP-PMT detectors (2

standard and 1 additional GaAsP-PMT), and a ZEISS LSM980 confocal microscope with an Airyscan 2

detector (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). For Airyscan super-resolution imaging with the LSM980, the Airyscan

Joint Deconvolution mode was utilized, achieving lateral resolution down to ~90 nm. Both systems were

fitted with a 63x/1.4 NA oil immersion objective, and all images were acquired at room temperature.

Microscope settings, including laser power, detector gain, and pinhole size, were kept identical for all

samples to ensure quantitative comparability. Image acquisition and processing were performed using

ZEISS Zen software (version 3.9, Carl Zeiss).

FCS measurements and data analysis

All FCS measurements were performed at 25°C on a confocal microscope (LSM780; Carl Zeiss, Inc.

Germany) combined with a ConfoCor3 and a 40×/1.2 NA water-immersion objective (Carl Zeiss, Inc.).

Details of the analysis of fluorescence auto-correlation functions (FAFs) were described in the previous

study (Kawai-Noma et al., 2006; Pack et al., 2014), FAFs were fitted to a two-component model with a

triplet term.

For each cell, the cytoplasmic region was identified by visual inspection of the fluorescence image, avoiding

the GFP visualized puncta. At least five independent measurements (each lasting 10 s) were recorded per

cell. Over 15 cells were analyzed per condition.

Autocorrelation curves were generated using ZEN black software (Carl Zeiss, Inc.) and fitted with two-

component 3D diffusion model including a triplet term:

$$G_x(\tau) = 1 + \frac{1}{N}\sum_i F_i(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_i})^{-1}(1 + \frac{\tau}{s^2\tau_i})^{-1/2}$$

Where F_i and τ_i are the fraction and diffusion time of component I, respectively; N is the average number

of fluorescent molecules in the confocal volume defined by the radius w_0 and the length $2z_0$; and s is the

structure parameter representing the ratio $s = z_0/w_0$ (axial/lateral radius ratio of the confocal volume). The

structural parameter was calibrated using a known concentration of Rh6G standard solution at room

temperature. The relationship between absolute concentration and calculated N was calibrated using a

known concentration of Rh6G or recombinant mGFP solution. The effect of photobleaching on evaluation

of concentration was determined according to a previous study. Fluoprescence correlation functions (FCFs)

in live cells were measured sequentially 6 times with duration of 10s to minimize photobleaching effect.

The cytoplasmic concentration of the target protein was calculated as

$$C = \frac{N}{N_A V_{eff}}$$

608 Where N_A is Avogadro's number and V_{eff} is the effective confocal volume determined from calibration

609 measurements with Rhodamine 6G solutdion.

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) in living yeast cells

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) was performed on puncta induced by GAL promoter

using a Zeiss LSM900 laser scanning confocal microscope with a 100×/1.40 NA oil immersion objective

(Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany). Cells were mounted on agarose pads, composed of SC 2%

raffinose/ 2% galactose media and 1~3% agarose, to minimize the delicate movements of cells. For the

confocal imaging, 0.6% 488 nm wavelength laser and GaAsP-PMT detector were used. Due to the low

GFP signals of proteins and the small size of yeast, the pinhole was opened to 3 Airy units, about 240 µm.

For fast imaging, the acquisition mode was set to 512 px X 512 px frame size, 8 bits per pixel, 9 (about 1

µs of pixel times) scan speed, one-way, and none averaging scanning. For the FRAP experiments, the

region of interest (ROI) was selected, and 200 cycles with no interval of time series were set. After snapping

five images, bleaching on ROI was performed repeatedly three times with the power of 10~100% 488 nm

laser beam. Bleached signals on ROI were recovered, and these signals were acquired for the left 194

cycles. All the experiment was repeated about 20~30 foci of each strain. If the foci were out of focus for

200 cycles, these data were discarded.

Image analysis for FRAP

625   The mean intensity in ROI at each cycle was analyzed and drawn as graphs using Fiji with the Stowers

626   plugin. All the graphs were normalized to 0-1, and the average curves of these graphs were calculated

627   with GraphPad Prism 10 (GraphPad Software, CA, USA). These normalized curves were fitted with Eq.1.

628
$$y = y_{max} \times (1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}) \tag{1}$$

629   In Eq.1, y_{max} is the y value at infinite time ($t \approx \infty$), and τ (tau) is the time point when the y value is equal

630   to 63.2% of y_{max} value. The first derivative functions of the fitted curves were analyzed with GraphPad

631   Prism 10 to calculate the maximum velocity of the recovery curves.

Fixation and DAPI staining of yeast cells

Following 4 hours of galactose-mediated induction, yeast cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4,000

rpm for 5 minutes at RT. The supernatant was carefully removed, and cell pellets were resuspended in 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA) dissolved in Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) (T&I, South Korea,

BPP-9004) for fixation by gentle inversion mixing for 1 h at RT. To remove residual PFA, cells were

subjected to two successive wash cycles consisting of centrifugation (4,000 rpm, 2 minutes) and

resuspension in fresh DPBS (Welgene, South Korea, LB 001-02). The final cell pellet was reconstituted in

DPBS and evenly distributed onto microscope slides. Vectashield mounting medium for fluorescence with

DAPI (Vector Laboratories, Inc., USA, H-1200) was applied to each sample, followed by gentle mixing using

a pipette tip to ensure homogeneous distribution of cells. Cellular morphology and fluorescence signals

were subsequently analyzed using a Axioplan2 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) equipped with a

DAPI-specific filter set.

U2OS cell culture and transfection

U2OS cells (ATCC, CRL-1427, HTB 96, Manassas, VA, USA) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle

Medium (DMEM with high glucose; Cytiva, USA SH30023.01) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum

(FBS; Cytiva, USA, SH30088.03) and 1X antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Anti-Anti; Gibco, USA ,15240-062)

at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO_2. Once the cells reached 70% confluence, they were

transfected with pcDNA3.1-myc-hisA expression vectors encoding GFP-TDP-43, its IDR deletion mutants

and charge neutralized mutants using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen, USA, L300015), following the

manufacturer's protocol. After transfection, the cells were selected with 600 ng/µL Geneticin™ Selective

Antibiotic (G418 Sulfate) （Gibco, USA, 10131035）.

Fixation and DAPI staining of U2OS cells

Forty-eight hours after transfection, U2OS cells cultured on a cover slide were fixed with 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA, T&I, South Korea, BPP-9004) at RT for 1 h, washed three times with phosphate-

buffered saline (PBS, WELGENE, South Korea, LB 001-02)., and mounted using a DAPI-containing

mounting solution (Vector Laboratories, Inc., USA, H-1200). Fluorescence images were acquired using an

Axioplan2 fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) equipped with a 20x/0.5 Ph2 Plan-

Neofluar objective.

Western blotting analysis

Protein extraction from yeast cells was obtained using the alkaline lysis as described in Choi and Song

（*Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2022*, *23*, 2501） U2OS cell extract was obtained as previously described (PLOS ONE |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0302936 May 7, 2024). Protein samples were separated by sodium

dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred onto polyvinylidene

difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Merck Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA) using a semi-dry transfer system.

Anti-GFP antibody (600-101-215 ROCKLAND, Limerick, PA, USA, 1:1000 dilution) was used for Western

blotting. To confirm equal protein loading, the membranes were stripped of the anti-GFP antibody prior to

re-probing with an anti-Tubulin antibody anti-Tubulin (Mouse, Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# T5168, 1:10000 dilution)

and subsequently with HRP-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat#

sc-2005, 1:10000 dilution).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

673 Only statistically analyzed data are shown as mean ± SEM. Statistical analyses were performed using

674 GraphPad Prism. Statistical significance was determined using unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test with

675 Welch's correction. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

677 Custom algorithms were implemented in Python 3.10 by the authors. The analysis pipeline included

678 modules written with NumPy and SciPy and was used to process experimental data shown in Figure 1. The

679 code used in this study was written by the authors and is available from the corresponding author upon

680 reasonable request.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

683 N/A

DISCLOSURE

686 A preprint version of this manuscript is available on bioRxiv (DOI: )

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

689 The authors (K.S., Y.J.C., S.C., and Y.P.) have applied for a patent entitled "Specific Amino Acid Sequence

690 Deletion Mutants for Inhibiting TDP-43 Aggregation Causing Degenerative Brain Diseases, and Method for

691 Identifying Mutant Sequences (DP 2025-0349)" with the Korean Intellectual Property Office. The authors

692 declare no other competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Cellular Imaging Core Facility at the ConveRgence mEDIcine research cenTer (CREDIT),

Asan Medical Center, for technical support. The authors would like to thank Ewha Women's University

Fluorescence Core Imaging Center for valuable guidance in FRAP analysis. We specially appreciate the

technical assistance provided by professor Dongmin Kang, the Director, and Research Technician Sinae

Jang, of Ewha Fluorescence Core Imaging Center. TDP-43 gene clone was kindly provided by Dr. Hyung-

Jun Kim in Korea Brain Research Institute. This work was in part supported by the National Research

Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant provided to K. Song (RS-2023-00243284).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, K.S. and Y.J.C.; methodology, K.S., Y.J.C, and C.P.; Investigation, Y.J.C, Y.L., S.C.,

and Y.P.; writing—original draft, K.S. and Y.J.C.; writing—review & editing, K.S., Y.J.C, C.P, and Y.J.;

funding acquisition, K.S.; resources, K.S. and C.K.B.; supervision, K.S., and Y.J.C

REFERENCES

Afroz, T., Hock, E.M., Ernst, P., Foglieni, C., Jambeau, M., Gilhespy, L.A.B., Laferriere, F., Maniecka, Z.,
Pluckthun, A., Mittl, P., et al. (2017). Functional and dynamic polymerization of the ALS-linked protein
TDP-43 antagonizes its pathologic aggregation. Nat Commun *8*, 45. 10.1038/s41467-017-00062-0.
Ahmad, A., Uversky, V.N., and Khan, R.H. (2022). Aberrant liquid-liquid phase separation and amyloid
aggregation of proteins related to neurodegenerative diseases. Int J Biol Macromol *220*, 703-720.
10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.08.132.
Alberti, S., and Hyman, A.A. (2021). Biomolecular condensates at the nexus of cellular stress, protein
aggregation disease and ageing. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *22*, 196-213. 10.1038/s41580-020-00326-6.
Alshareedah, I., Borcherds, W.M., Cohen, S.R., Singh, A., Posey, A.E., Farag, M., Bremer, A., Strout, G.W.,
Tomares, D.T., Pappu, R.V., et al. (2024). Sequence-specific interactions determine viscoelasticity and
aging dynamics of protein condensates. Nat Phys *20*, 1482-1491. 10.1038/s41567-024-02558-1.
Alshareedah, I., Moosa, M.M., Pham, M., Potoyan, D.A., and Banerjee, P.R. (2021). Programmable
viscoelasticity in protein-RNA condensates with disordered sticker-spacer polypeptides. Nat Commun
12, 6620. 10.1038/s41467-021-26733-7.
Austin, J.A., Wright, G.S., Watanabe, S., Grossmann, J.G., Antonyuk, S.V., Yamanaka, K., and Hasnain, S.S.
(2014). Disease causing mutants of TDP-43 nucleic acid binding domains are resistant to aggregation and
have increased stability and half-life. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *111*, 4309-4314.
10.1073/pnas.1317317111.

Banani, S.F., Afeyan, L.K., Hawken, S.W., Henninger, J.E., Dall'Agnese, A., Clark, V.E., Platt, J.M., Oksuz,
O., Hannett, N.M., Sagi, I., et al. (2022). Genetic variation associated with condensate dysregulation in
disease. Dev Cell *57*, 1776-1788 e1778. 10.1016/j.devcel.2022.06.010.
Banani, S.F., Lee, H.O., Hyman, A.A., and Rosen, M.K. (2017). Biomolecular condensates: organizers of
cellular biochemistry. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *18*, 285-298. 10.1038/nrm.2017.7.
Banjade, S., and Rosen, M.K. (2014). Phase Transitions of Multivalent Proteins Can Promote Clustering of
Membrane Receptors. Elife *3*. ARTN e04123

10.7554/eLife.04123.
Berry, J., Weber, S.C., Vaidya, N., Haataja, M., and Brangwynne, C.P. (2015). RNA transcription
modulates phase transition-driven nuclear body assembly. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *112*, E5237-5245.
10.1073/pnas.1509317112.
Biswas, S., and Potoyan, D.A. (2024). Decoding Biomolecular Condensate Dynamics: An Energy
Landscape Approach. bioRxiv. 10.1101/2024.09.24.614805.
Borcherds, W., Bremer, A., Borgia, M.B., and Mittag, T. (2021). How do intrinsically disordered protein
regions encode a driving force for liquid-liquid phase separation? Curr Opin Struc Biol *67*, 41-50.
10.1016/j.sbi.2020.09.004.
Brangwynne, C.P., Eckmann, C.R., Courson, D.S., Rybarska, A., Hoege, C., Gharakhani, J., Jülicher, F., and
Hyman, A.A. (2009). Germline P Granules Are Liquid Droplets That Localize by Controlled
Dissolution/Condensation. Science *324*, 1729-1732. 10.1126/science.1172046.
Chen, H.J., Topp, S.D., Hui, H.S., Zacco, E., Katarya, M., McLoughlin, C., King, A., Smith, B.N., Troakes, C.,
Pastore, A., and Shaw, C.E. (2019). RRM adjacent TARDBP mutations disrupt RNA binding and enhance
TDP-43 proteinopathy. Brain *142*, 3753-3770. 10.1093/brain/awz313.
Chien, H.M., Lee, C.C., and Huang, J.J. (2021). The Different Faces of the TDP-43 Low-Complexity
Domain: The Formation of Liquid Droplets and Amyloid Fibrils. Int J Mol Sci *22*. 10.3390/ijms22158213.
Choi, C.H., Lee, D.S.W., Sanders, D.W., and Brangwynne, C.P. (2024). Condensate interfaces can
accelerate protein aggregation. Biophysical Journal *123*, 1404-1413. 10.1016/j.bpj.2023.10.009.
Choi, J.M., Holehouse, A.S., and Pappu, R.V. (2020). Physical Principles Underlying the Complex Biology
of Intracellular Phase Transitions. Annu Rev Biophys *49*, 107-133. 10.1146/annurev-biophys-121219-
081629.
Choi, Y.J., Lee, Y., Lin, Y., Heo, Y., Lee, Y.H., and Song, K. (2022). The Multivalent Polyampholyte Domain
of Nst1, a P-Body-Associated Saccharomyces cerevisiae Protein, Provides a Platform for Interacting with
P-Body Components. Int J Mol Sci *23*. 10.3390/ijms23137380.
Conicella, A.E., Zerze, G.H., Mittal, J., and Fawzi, N.L. (2016). ALS Mutations Disrupt Phase Separation
Mediated by α-Helical Structure in the TDP-43 Low-Complexity C-Terminal Domain. Structure *24*, 1537-
1549. 10.1016/j.str.2016.07.007.
Dickson, Z.W., and Golding, G.B. (2022). Low Complexity Regions in Mammalian Proteins are Associated
with Low Protein Abundance and High Transcript Abundance. Mol Biol Evol *39*.
10.1093/molbev/msac087.
Fondon, J.W., 3rd, and Garner, H.R. (2004). Molecular origins of rapid and continuous morphological
evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *101*, 18058-18063. 10.1073/pnas.0408118101.
Fushimi, K., Long, C., Jayaram, N., Chen, X., Li, L., and Wu, J.Y. (2011). Expression of human FUS/TLS in
yeast leads to protein aggregation and cytotoxicity, recapitulating key features of FUS proteinopathy.
Protein Cell *2*, 141-149. 10.1007/s13238-011-1014-5.
Gao, G., Sumrall, E.S., Pitchiaya, S., Bitzer, M., Alberti, S., and Walter, N.G. (2023). Biomolecular
condensates in kidney physiology and disease. Nat Rev Nephrol *19*, 756-770. 10.1038/s41581-023-
00767-0.

Gruijs da Silva, L.A., Simonetti, F., Hutten, S., Riemenschneider, H., Sternburg, E.L., Pietrek, L.M., Gebel,
J., Dotsch, V., Edbauer, D., Hummer, G., et al. (2022). Disease-linked TDP-43 hyperphosphorylation
suppresses TDP-43 condensation and aggregation. EMBO J *41*, e108443. 10.15252/embj.2021108443.
Hurtle, B.T., Xie, L., and Donnelly, C.J. (2023). Disrupting pathologic phase transitions in
neurodegeneration. J Clin Invest *133*. 10.1172/JCI168549.
Imaizumi, K., Ideno, H., Sato, T., Morimoto, S., and Okano, H. (2022). Pathogenic Mutation of TDP-43
Impairs RNA Processing in a Cell Type-Specific Manner: Implications for the Pathogenesis of ALS/FTLD.
eNeuro *9*. 10.1523/ENEURO.0061-22.2022.
Johnson, B.S., McCaffery, J.M., Lindquist, S., and Gitler, A.D. (2008). A yeast TDP-43 proteinopathy
model: Exploring the molecular determinants of TDP-43 aggregation and cellular toxicity. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A *105*, 6439-6444. 10.1073/pnas.0802082105.
Kawai-Noma, S., Ayano, S., Pack, C.G., Kinjo, M., Yoshida, M., Yasuda, K., and Taguchi, H. (2006).
Dynamics of yeast prion aggregates in single living cells. Genes Cells *11*, 1085-1096. 10.1111/j.1365-
2443.2006.01004.x.
Li, Q., Babinchak, W.M., and Surewicz, W.K. (2021). Cryo-EM structure of amyloid fibrils formed by the
entire low complexity domain of TDP-43. Nat Commun *12*, 1620. 10.1038/s41467-021-21912-y.
Linsenmeier, M., Faltova, L., Morelli, C., Capasso Palmiero, U., Seiffert, C., Kuffner, A.M., Pinotsi, D.,
Zhou, J., Mezzenga, R., and Arosio, P. (2023). The interface of condensates of the hnRNPA1 low-
complexity domain promotes formation of amyloid fibrils. Nat Chem *15*, 1340-1349. 10.1038/s41557-
023-01289-9.
Lu, B., Qiu, R., Wei, J., Wang, L., Zhang, Q., Li, M., Zhan, X., Chen, J., Hsieh, I.Y., Yang, C., et al. (2024).
Phase separation of phospho-HDAC6 drives aberrant chromatin architecture in triple-negative breast
cancer. Nat Cancer. 10.1038/s43018-024-00816-y.
Lu, S., Hu, J., Arogundade, O.A., Goginashvili, A., Vazquez-Sanchez, S., Diedrich, J.K., Gu, J., Blum, J.,
Oung, S., Ye, Q., et al. (2022). Heat-shock chaperone HSPB1 regulates cytoplasmic TDP-43 phase
separation and liquid-to-gel transition. Nat Cell Biol *24*, 1378-1393. 10.1038/s41556-022-00988-8.
Mehta, S., and Zhang, J. (2022). Liquid-liquid phase separation drives cellular function and dysfunction in
cancer. Nat Rev Cancer *22*, 239-252. 10.1038/s41568-022-00444-7.
Nam, J., and Gwon, Y. (2023). Neuronal biomolecular condensates and their implications in
neurodegenerative diseases. Front Aging Neurosci *15*, 1145420. 10.3389/fnagi.2023.1145420.
Niedner-Boblenz, A., Monecke, T., Hennig, J., Klostermann, M., Hofweber, M., Davydova, E., Gerber,
A.P., Anosova, I., Mayer, W., Muller, M., et al. (2024). Intrinsically disordered RNA-binding motifs
cooperate to catalyze RNA folding and drive phase separation. Nucleic Acids Res *52*, 14205-14228.
10.1093/nar/gkae1107.
Niu, X., Zhang, L., Wu, Y., Zong, Z., Wang, B., Liu, J., Zhang, L., and Zhou, F. (2023). Biomolecular
condensates: Formation mechanisms, biological functions, and therapeutic targets. MedComm (2020) *4*,
e223. 10.1002/mco2.223.
Outeiro, T.F., and Lindquist, S. (2003). Yeast cells provide insight into alpha-synuclein biology and
pathobiology. Science *302*, 1772-1775. 10.1126/science.1090439.
Pack, C.G. (2021). Application of quantitative cell imaging using label-free optical diffraction
tomography. Biophys Physicobiol *18*, 244-253. 10.2142/biophysico.bppb-v18.027.
Pack, C.G., Yukii, H., Toh-e, A., Kudo, T., Tsuchiya, H., Kaiho, A., Sakata, E., Murata, S., Yokosawa, H.,
Sako, Y., et al. (2014). Quantitative live-cell imaging reveals spatio-temporal dynamics and cytoplasmic
assembly of the 26S proteasome. Nat Commun *5*, 3396. 10.1038/ncomms4396.
Ranganathan, S., Liu, J., and Shakhnovich, E. (2022). Different states and the associated fates of
biomolecular condensates. Essays Biochem *66*, 849-862. 10.1042/EBC20220054.

Regy, R.M., Dignon, G.L., Zheng, W., Kim, Y.C., and Mittal, J. (2020). Sequence dependent phase
separation of protein-polynucleotide mixtures elucidated using molecular simulations. Nucleic Acids Res
48, 12593-12603. 10.1093/nar/gkaa1099.
Saar, K.L., Morgunov, A.S., Qi, R., Arter, W.E., Krainer, G., Lee, A.A., and Knowles, T.P.J. (2021). Learning
the molecular grammar of protein condensates from sequence determinants and embeddings. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A *118*. 10.1073/pnas.2019053118.
Saitoh, N., Spahr, C.S., Patterson, S.D., Bubulya, P., Neuwald, A.F., and Spector, D.L. (2004). Proteomic
analysis of interchromatin granule clusters. Mol Biol Cell *15*, 3876-3890. 10.1091/mbc.e04-03-0253.
Sanfeliu-Cerdan, N., Catala-Castro, F., Mateos, B., Garcia-Cabau, C., Ribera, M., Ruider, I., Porta-de-la-
Riva, M., Canals-Calderon, A., Wieser, S., Salvatella, X., and Krieg, M. (2023). A MEC-2/stomatin
condensate liquid-to-solid phase transition controls neuronal mechanotransduction during touch
sensing. Nat Cell Biol *25*, 1590-1599. 10.1038/s41556-023-01247-0.
Schneider-Poetsch, T., Ju, J., Eyler, D.E., Dang, Y., Bhat, S., Merrick, W.C., Green, R., Shen, B., and Liu, J.O.
(2010). Inhibition of eukaryotic translation elongation by cycloheximide and lactimidomycin. Nat Chem
Biol *6*, 209-217. 10.1038/nchembio.304.
Shen, Y., Chen, A., Wang, W., Shen, Y., Ruggeri, F.S., Aime, S., Wang, Z., Qamar, S., Espinosa, J.R.,
Garaizar, A., et al. (2023). The liquid-to-solid transition of FUS is promoted by the condensate surface.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *120*, e2301366120. 10.1073/pnas.2301366120.
Shenoy, J., Lends, A., Berbon, M., Bilal, M., El Mammeri, N., Bertoni, M., Saad, A., Morvan, E., Grelard, A.,
Lecomte, S., et al. (2023). Structural polymorphism of the low-complexity C-terminal domain of TDP-43
amyloid aggregates revealed by solid-state NMR. Front Mol Biosci *10*, 1148302.
10.3389/fmolb.2023.1148302.
Sim, K.L., and Creamer, T.P. (2002). Abundance and distributions of eukaryote protein simple sequences.
Mol Cell Proteomics *1*, 983-995. 10.1074/mcp.m200032-mcp200.
Snead, W.T., and Gladfelter, A.S. (2019). The Control Centers of Biomolecular Phase Separation: How
Membrane Surfaces, PTMs, and Active Processes Regulate Condensation. Mol Cell *76*, 295-305.
10.1016/j.molcel.2019.09.016.
Sneideriene, G., Gonzalez Diaz, A., Adhikari, S.D., Wei, J., Michaels, T., Sneideris, T., Linse, S.,
Vendruscolo, M., Garai, K., and Knowles, T.P.J. (2025). Lipid-induced condensate formation from the
Alzheimer's Abeta peptide triggers amyloid aggregation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *122*, e2401307122.
10.1073/pnas.2401307122.
Sood, A., and Zhang, B. (2024). Preserving condensate structure and composition by lowering sequence
complexity. Biophys J *123*, 1815-1826. 10.1016/j.bpj.2024.05.026.
Sun, Z., Diaz, Z., Fang, X., Hart, M.P., Chesi, A., Shorter, J., and Gitler, A.D. (2011). Molecular
determinants and genetic modifiers of aggregation and toxicity for the ALS disease protein FUS/TLS.
PLoS Biol *9*, e1000614. 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000614.
Sundaravadivelu Devarajan, D., Wang, J., Szala-Mendyk, B., Rekhi, S., Nikoubashman, A., Kim, Y.C., and
Mittal, J. (2024). Sequence-dependent material properties of biomolecular condensates and their
relation to dilute phase conformations. Nat Commun *15*, 1912. 10.1038/s41467-024-46223-w.
Teixeira, D., Sheth, U., Valencia-Sanchez, M.A., Brengues, M., and Parker, R. (2005). Processing bodies
require RNA for assembly and contain nontranslating mRNAs. RNA *11*, 371-382. 10.1261/rna.7258505.
Ukmar-Godec, T., Hutten, S., Grieshop, M.P., Rezaei-Ghaleh, N., Cima-Omori, M.S., Biernat, J.,
Mandelkow, E., Soding, J., Dormann, D., and Zweckstetter, M. (2019). Lysine/RNA-interactions drive and
regulate biomolecular condensation. Nat Commun *10*, 2909. 10.1038/s41467-019-10792-y.
Van Treeck, B., and Parker, R. (2018). Emerging Roles for Intermolecular RNA-RNA Interactions in RNP
Assemblies. Cell *174*, 791-802. 10.1016/j.cell.2018.07.023.
Visser, B.S., Lipinski, W.P., and Spruijt, E. (2024). The role of biomolecular condensates in protein
aggregation. Nat Rev Chem *8*, 686-700. 10.1038/s41570-024-00635-w.

Wang, A., Conicella, A.E., Schmidt, H.B., Martin, E.W., Rhoads, S.N., Reeb, A.N., Nourse, A., Ramirez
Montero, D., Ryan, V.H., Rohatgi, R., et al. (2018a). A single N-terminal phosphomimic disrupts TDP-43
polymerization, phase separation, and RNA splicing. EMBO J *37*. 10.15252/embj.201797452.
Wang, B., Zhang, L., Dai, T., Qin, Z., Lu, H., Zhang, L., and Zhou, F. (2021). Liquid-liquid phase separation
in human health and diseases. Signal Transduct Target Ther *6*, 290. 10.1038/s41392-021-00678-1.
Wang, J., Choi, J.M., Holehouse, A.S., Lee, H.O., Zhang, X., Jahnel, M., Maharana, S., Lemaitre, R.,
Pozniakovsky, A., Drechsel, D., et al. (2018b). A Molecular Grammar Governing the Driving Forces for
Phase Separation of Prion-like RNA Binding Proteins. Cell *174*, 688-699 e616. 10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.006.

Figure 1

Figure 1. *Overexpression of Nst1 and Edc3 leads to mature condensate formation, whereas*

overexpression of their polyelectrolyte (PE) domain deletion mutants within the IDR maintains a

stabilized microphase-separated state.

(A) Schematic representation of budding yeast overexpression system to observe intracellular

condensates.

(B) Diagram of puncta generation pattern of cells overexpressing GFP tagged Nst1 for 2 h (green) and 4 h

(purple). *Δnst1* (YSK3490) cells transformed with pMW20-pGAL-GFP-NST1 were induced for 2 hours

(green) and 4 hours (purple) under the GAL promoter. Cells were imaged and analyzed; mean integrated

density and the number of puncta of each cell were measured and plotted.

(C-D) Representative fluorescence microscopy images and quantitative phase separation analysis of cells

overexpressing GFP and GFP-tagged Nst1, Edc3, Dcp3, Dhh1, and Pab1. (C) Scale bar: 10 μm. (D)

Cytoplasmic protein concentrations were measured via fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) as

described in Materials and Methods. The puncta formation patterns in cells were classified into three

categories: diffuse phase, microphase separation, and mature condensates. Box plot analysis of the

correlation between the pattern of protein puncta formation and cytoplasmic concentration was presented.

(E) Schematic representation of polyelectrolyte (PE) domain segmentation.

(F) Sequence diagram of Nst1 and Edc3. The red box indicates the polyelectrolyte (PE) region, while the

blue box represents the aggregation-prone domain (APD). Each sequence shown corresponds to the PE

region. Positively charged amino acids, lysine (K) and arginine (R),, are highlighted in red and marked with

a (+) sign below, whereas negatively charged amino acids, glutamic acid (E) and aspartic acid (D), are

highlighted in blue and marked with a (−) sign below.

(G) Fluorescence microscopy images showing puncta formation in cells overexpressing Edc3 and each

domain deletion mutant after 4 and 24 hours of galactose induction. In *Δedc3* cells (YSK3707), GFP-tagged

full-length Edc3 (pMW20-pGAL-GFP-EDC3), Edc3ΔPE (pMW20-pGAL-GFP-EDC3ΔPE), and Edc3ΔAPD

(pMW20-pGAL-GFP-EDC3ΔAPD) were overexpressed. Representative images were selected from an

average of more than 100 cells per strain at each time point. Scale bar: 5 μm.

(H) Fluorescence microscopy images showing puncta formation in cells expressing Nst1 and each Nst1

domain deletion mutant after 4 and 24 hours of induction as in (E). GFP-tagged full-length Nst1 (pMW20-

pGAL-GFP-NST1), Nst1ΔPE (pMW20-pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔPE), and Nst1ΔAPD (pMW20-pGAL-GFP-

NST1ΔAPD) were overexpressed in Δnst1 (YSK3490) cells. Representative images were selected from an

average of more than 100 cells per strain at each time point. Scale bar: 5 μm.

(I) Box plot analysis of the correlation between the puncta formation pattern and cytoplasmic concentration

of the Edc3 and Edc3 derivatives. The puncta formation patterns in cells were classified into three

categories: diffuse phase, microphase separation, and mature condensates. Cytoplasmic concentrations

were measured in cells expressing each Edc3 domain deletion mutant after 4 hours of induction by

fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) as described in Materials and Methods. The measured

cytoplasmic concentrations of cells were analyzed with respect to puncta formation patterns.

(J) Box plot analysis of the correlation between the puncta formation pattern and cytoplasmic concentration

of the Nst1 and Nst1 derivatives. The cytoplasmic protein concentration measurement and puncta formation

analysis were performed same as (F).

Figure 2

A

B

C

	GFP-Nst1	GFP-Nst1ΔPA	GFP-Nst1ΔPAM	GFP-Nst1ΔPE	GFP-Nst1ΔAPD
30 min					
45 min					
60 min					
75 min					
90 min					
110 min					
130 min					
4 h					
5 h					
24 h					

D

E

F

G

H

I

J

Figure 2. *Polyanion (PA) domain of the Polyelectrolyte (PE) region specifically promotes the*

coalescence of Nst1 condensates, whereas the polyampholyte (PAM) domain in the PE region does

not.

(A) Schematic representation of Polyanion (PA) and Polyampholyte (PAM) domain segmentation with a

sliding window approach.

(B) Diagram of Nst1 with its domain architectures and sequences. The black line indicates the PE region,

while the green, red, and blue boxes denote the PA, PAM, and APD regions, respectively. The amino acid

sequences of the PA and PAM regions are shown below the diagram. Charges were annotated as

described in Figure 1D.

(C) Fluorescence microscopy images of condensate formation in cells overexpressing each GFP-tagged

Nst1 and its domain deletion mutants. Expression of GFP-tagged full-length Nst1 (pMW20-pGAL-GFP-

NST1) or NST1 lacking specific domains - Nst1ΔPE (pMW20-pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔPE), Nst1ΔPA (pMW20-

pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔPA), Nst1ΔPAM (pMW20-pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔPAM), and Nst1ΔAPD (pMW20-pGAL-

GFP-NST1ΔAPD) - was induced in *Δnst1* (YSK3490) cells. Each domain deletion mutant was

overexpressed by galactose induction for the indicated time periods. At each time point, fluorescence

images were collected from at least 100 cells to ensure representativeness. Scale bar: 5 μm.

(D) Fluorescence microscopy images of GFP-tagged Nst1 condensates induced for 4 hours, followed by a

1-hour treatment with 1,6-hexanediol. Scale bar: 5 μm.

(E) Box plot analysis of the correlation between the puncta formation pattern and cytoplasmic concentration

of cells overexpressing GFP-tagged Nst1, Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM, Nst1ΔPE, and Nst1ΔAPD. Cytoplasmic

protein concentrations were measured via fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS). The puncta

formation patterns in cells were classified into three categories: diffuse phase, microphase separation, and

mature condensates.

(F-J) Biomolecular condensate formation of endogenously expressed GFP-tagged Nst1, Nst1ΔPA,

Nst1ΔPAM and Nst1ΔAPD during chronological aging in yeast. (F) The growth phase diagram of general

budding yeast with observation time points designated. The inverted triangles (▼) on the x-axis represent

the observed time points (10, 14, 18, 22, 26, and 46 hours). (G) Line chart showing the optical densities

(O.D.600) of cell cultures endogenously expressing GFP-tagged Nst1, Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM and

Nst1ΔAPD under native NST1 promoter. The growth of *Δnst1* (YSK3490) cells integrated with pRS306-

pNST1-GFP-NST1 full-length and various NST1 deletion mutants (YSK3700, YSK3701, YSK3702,

YSK3714) was monitored over time. The 10 h,14 h and 18 h time points represent the log phase, 22 h the

diauxic shift, and 26 h and 46 h the stationary phase. (H) Condensates were segmented using Fiji (ImageJ)

and visualized in green. Shown are GFP images merged with corresponding phase-contrast images. Scale

bar: 10 µm. (I) Bar plot showing the average integrated GFP fluorescence density per cell for each yeast

strain expressing full-length Nst1 and its deletion mutants (Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM, Nst1ΔAPD), as shown in

(H). For each condition, more than 300 cells were analyzed using Fiji (ImageJ). Results are presented using

GraphPad Prism 10. (J) Box plot showing the integrated GFP intensity of condensates in stationary-phase

(46 h) yeast cells expressing Nst1, Nst1ΔPA and Nst1ΔPAM. For each condition, more than 300 cells were

quantified using Fiji (ImageJ). Data from three independent biological replicates were plotted with GraphPad

Prism 10.

Figure 3

Figure 3. *Polyanion (PA) domain exclusively functions in coalescence of Nst1 condensates.*

(A) A diagram of Nst1 with domain architectures. Residues 2-122 were designated as D1, 123-293 as D2,

294-459 as D3, 753-844 as D4, and 845-1015 as D5. The lengths of D1-D5 are similar to that of PA, and

all of the domains are comparable in size.

(B) Representative fluorescence microscopy images comparing time-dependent condensate formation in

the PA deletion mutant and other domain deletion mutants (ΔD1-D5, ΔAPD). In *Δnst1* (YSK3490) cells,

GFP-tagged Nst1ΔPA (pMW20-pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔPA), Nst1ΔD1 (pMW20-pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔD1),

Nst1ΔD2 (pMW20-pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔD2), Nst1ΔD3 (pMW20-pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔD3), Nst1ΔD4 (pMW20-

pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔD4), Nst1ΔD5 (pMW20-pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔD5), and Nst1ΔAPD (pMW20-pGAL-GFP-

NST1ΔAPD) were overexpressed. Overexpression of each Nst1 derivative was induced by galactose, and

images were obtained at the designated time point after the induction. Representative images were

selected among more than 100 cells. Scale bar: 5 µm.

(C) Box plot analysis showing the correlation between puncta formation patterns and cytoplasmic

concentrations in the cells overexpressing GFP-tagged Nst1, Nst1ΔPA, NstΔD1-ΔD5, and Nst1ΔAPD after

4 hours of induction. Cytoplasmic protein concentrations were measured via fluorescence correlation

spectroscopy (FCS). The puncta formation patterns in cells were classified into three categories: diffuse

phase, microphase separation, and mature condensates.

Figure 4

A

	Phase	GFP
GFP		
GFP-Nst1		
GFP-Nst1ΔPA		
GFP-Nst1ΔPAM		
GFP-Nst1ΔD1		

Δnst1

B

Pre-bleach   Post-bleach   Fluorescence recovery

Nst1

Nst1ΔPA

Nst1ΔPAM

Nst1ΔD1

C

$$y = y_{max} \times (1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}})$$

D

Nst1

Nst1ΔPA

Nst1ΔPAM

Nst1ΔD1

Relative fluorescence intensity (I)

Time (sec)

E

Relative fluorescence intensity (I)

Time (sec)

— Nst1
···· Nst1ΔPA
···· Nst1ΔPAM
···· Nst1ΔD1
— GFP

F

Max velocity of the FRAP curve

Nst1   Nst1ΔPA   Nst1ΔPAM   Nst1ΔD1   GFP

****   ns

G

half-life

Nst1   Nst1ΔPA   Nst1ΔPAM   Nst1ΔD1   GFP

****   ns

H

AUC (%)

Nst1   Nst1ΔPA   Nst1ΔPAM   Nst1ΔD1   GFP

ns   *

Figure 4. *The polyanion (PA) domain of Nst1 enhances intermolecular interaction within*

condensates, whereas the polyampholyte (PAM) domain increases the rigidity of Nst1 condensates.

(A-H) GAL promoter induction was performed for *Δnst1* (YSK3490) cells transformed with pMW20-pGAL -

GFP-NST1, pMW20-pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔPA, pMW20-pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔPAM, pMW20-pGAL-GFP-

NST1ΔD1. Fluorescence Recovery After Photobleaching (FRAP) experiments were performed on induced

condensates of these cells as described in Materials and Methods.

(A) Representative fluorescence microscopy images of cells overexpressing GFP-tagged full-length Nst1,

Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM, Nst1ΔD1, and GFP alone (control) in the *Δnst1* strain. Scale bar: 10 μm.

(B) Representative fluorescence microscopy images at pre-beach point (cycle 1 of 200), post-bleach (cycle

6 of 200), and fluorescence recovery (cycle 200 of 200) during FRAP analysis. The stitched lines indicate

the region of interest (ROI) selected for bleaching the puncta in cells. All experiments were performed with

more than 20 puncta each. Scale bar: 1 μm.

(C) Predicted FRAP recovery curve modeled as a single exponential function. t is time, y_{max} is the y value

at infinite time ($t \approx \infty$), τ (tau) is the time point when the y value is equal to 63.2% of y_{max} value, and c is

half-life.

(D) The average FRAP recovery curves of Nst1 and its mutants shown in (B). Each graph for full-length

Nst1, Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM, and Nst1ΔD1. The x-axis of these average graphs represents the time (sec)

taken in 200 cycles, and the y-axis indicates the relative fluorescence intensity.

(E) The average FRAP recovery curves for Nst1 and all Nst1 mutants in (D) were plotted together for direct

comparison. Full-length Nst1 (black line), Nst1ΔPA (pink dotted line), Nst1ΔPAM (blue dotted line),

Nst1ΔD1 (black dotted line), and GFP (grey line) as a control. The x-axis and y-axis represent the same

values as in (D).

(F) The maximum recovery rate of the FRAP curves for condensates of full-length Nst1, Nst1ΔPA,

Nst1ΔPAM, and Nst1ΔD1. Akima spline interpolation was applied to all FRAP curves. For each Nst1

mutant, the first derivative (slope) at the point of maximal recovery rate was calculated using GraphPad

Prism 10. The y-axis represents the maximum recovery rate (maximum slope) of the fitted FRAP curve.

Statistical significance was determined using unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test (**** p<0.0001) with

Welch's correction. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

(G) The half-lives of FRAP curves for condensates of full-length Nst1, Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM, and Nst1ΔD1.

The x-values corresponding to half-maximal recovery (y = 0.5) were calculated and averaged using

GraphPad Prism 10. Statistical significance was determined using unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test (****

p<0.0001) with Welch's correction. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

(H) The area under the curve (AUC) of the FRAP recovery curves for condensates of full-length Nst1,

Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM, and Nst1ΔD1. The AUC was calculated for each normalized FRAP recovery curve

using GraphPad Prism 10. Statistical significance was determined using unpaired two-tailed Student's t-

test (* p<0.01) with Welch's correction. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Figure 5

1017

1018 **Figure 5.** *The PAM domain is an RNA-independent multivalent interaction domain for interaction*

1019 *with the P-body component Dcp2.*

1020

(A) Schematic representation of the experiments (B-C) designed to examine the effect of RNA on P-body

condensate formation of Nst1 and its PA and PAM deletion mutants.

(B) High-resolution confocal microscopy images showing the formation of mKate2-tagged Dcp2

condensates induced by condensates of GFP-tagged Nst1, Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM, and Nst1ΔD1. W303a

Δnst1 cells endogenously expressing Dcp2-mKate2 (YSK3663) were transformed with pMW20-pGAL-

GFP-NST1*,* pMW20-pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔPA*,* pMW20-pGAL-GFP-NST1ΔPAM, or vector only (control).

Following galactose induction for 4 hours, cells were treated with cycloheximide (CHX, 100 μg/ml) for 10

minutes and imaged using a Zeiss LSM 980 confocal microscope equipped with an Airyscan 2 detector

(Carl Zeiss). The right panel shows a 2× magnified view of selected puncta in the merged images. GFP

and mKate2 channels are shown in green and red, respectively. Scale bar: 5 μm. Representative images

are shown.

(C) Quantitative analysis of the spatial co-localization between Dcp2 and Nst1 condensates shown in (B)

after CHX-treatment. The co-localization ratio was calculated as the proportion of Dcp2 condensates

overlapping with Nst1 and its mutants-derived puncta. Puncta were segmented and quantified using Fiji

(ImageJ) software. For each Nst1 and its mutants, at least 100 condensates were analyzed per experiment

across three independent replicates. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired t-test

(***$p < 0.001$) using GraphPad Prism 10.

(D) Schematic representation of the proposed Dcp2 condensation model based on the functional roles of

the PA and PAM domains of Nst1.

(E) Quantification of Dcp2-EGFP puncta formation in yeast cells (YSK3709) imaged by widefield

epifluorescence microscopy. Cells endogenously expressing Dcp2-EGFP were transformed to overexpress

Nst1, Nst1ΔPA, or Nst1ΔPAM for 4 hours. Images were acquired using identical microscope settings for all

conditions. Dcp2-EGFP puncta were segmented in FIJI (ImageJ) by intensity thresholding. The number of

puncta per cell was quantified and normalized by cell number, with more than 300 cells analyzed for each

condition. Data are presented as bar graphs generated using GraphPad Prism 10.

Figure 6

1046

Figure 6. *The Polyanion (PA) domain of Edc3 and human TDP-43 promote coalescence of condensates, similar to Nst1.*

(A) Schematic representation of TDP-43 domain architecture. Residues 2–29 and 197–220 were identified as clusters of negatively charged amino acids, designated PA1 and PA2, based on a sliding window approach and prediction tools, as described in Supplementary Figure 3. The region spanning residue 255-276 was denoted as PAM, while residues 255-414 were characterized as the C-terminal domain. See also Supplementary figure 3.

(B) Representative widefield fluorescence microscopy images of budding yeast (W303a) cells after 4 hours of overexpression of TDP-43 and its domain deletion mutants (ΔPA1, ΔPA2, ΔPAM, ΔCTD and ΔPA1ΔPA2) with or without NLS (Nuclear localization signal) sequence under a galactose-inducible promoter. Cells were fixed and stained with DAPI to visualize nuclei. Scale bar: 5 µm.

(C) Representative wildfield fluorescence microscopy images of cells expressing GFP-tagged TDP-43 and its variants (ΔPA1, ΔPA2, ΔPAM, ΔCTD and ΔPA1ΔPA2) in U2OS cells at 48 hours after transfection. U2OS cells were transfected with plasmids encoding GFP-tagged TDP-43 variants under CMV promoter as described in Materials and Methods. After 48 hours, cells were observed using fluorescence microscopy to assess condensation pattern of each TDP-43 variant. Scale bar: 20 µm.

(D) The amino acid sequence of the PA1 and PA2 domains of TDP-43, along with its *PA1-1, PA1-2, PA1, PA2-1, PA2-2,* and *PA2* mutant variants, is depicted at the top. In the *PA1-1, PA1-2, PA1, PA2-1, PA2-2,* and *PA2* mutants, the negatively charged glutamic acid (E) and aspartic acid (D) residues were individually substituted with glutamine (Q) and asparagine (N), respectively, as indicated.

(E) Representative widefield fluorescence microscopy images are shown for budding yeast (W303a) cells overexpressing ΔNLS TDP-43 full-length (F.L.), each PA domain deletion mutants (ΔPA1 and ΔPA2), as well as the *PA1, PA1-1, PA1-2, PA2, PA2-1*, and *PA2-2* variants, all of which lack the NLS. Images were

taken following 4 and 12 hours of galactose induction. For each strain and time point, more than 100 cells

were examined, and representative images are presented. Scale bar: 5 µm.

(F) Representative fluorescence microscopy images of U2OS cells expressing GFP-tagged ΔNLS TDP-43

and its variants (ΔPA1, *PA1*, *PA1-1*, *PA1-2*, ΔPA2, *PA2*, *PA2-1* and *PA2-2*) 48 hours post-transfection.

U2OS cells were transfected with plasmids encoding each GFP-tagged ΔNLS TDP-43 construct under the

control of the CMV promoter, as detailed in the Materials and Methods. After 48 hours, cells were imaged

by fluorescence microscopy to evaluate the condensation behavior of each variant.

(G) Bar plot showing the percentage of cells containing TDP-43 puncta in U2OS cells expressing TDP-43

lacking the NLS and its charge-removal mutants. Scale bar, 20 µm.

 Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1

A

Nst1

B

PA

PAM

```
   1 MPPNSKSKRR KNKSKQHNKK NGNSDPEQSI NPTQLVPRME PELYHTESDY PTSRVIKRAP
  61 NGDVIVEPIN TDDDKKERTA NLTHNKDSMD SASSLAFTLD SHWESLSPEE KKTILRIEKE
 121 EVFNVIRNYQ DDHSCSCSVC GRRHLAMDQE MERIYNTLYA MDKDKDPETN PIKFHLGIIK
 181 ELQISKNQQQ NDLSSTKGEV VKNFLSSSTV GSLKEEVLHF KQKQLSKQEQ AHNETADNTS
 241 LLEENLNNIH INKTSSEISA NFNSVSDEEL QQKYSNFTKT FISSHPKIAE EYVQKMMMYP
 301 NIRALTDDLM NSNGQGFLNA IEDFVRDGQI QASKKDDSIT EDEASSTDLT DPKEFTTMLH
 361 SGKPLTEDEY ADLQRNIAER MTNAYDTASK KFKDVSQLEK ELFTRFMSGR DKKSFRELII
 421 QSFKNKFDGE LGPSVLAATL SSCFSSQSKD TSLDTDSIYE DEDEEDYDDY SEYAEDSEEV
 481 SEYEGIEAVE KPEHDEKSNG IRETLHLSYD HDHKRQNHPH HHYHSTSTHS EDELSEEEYI
 541 SDIELPHDPH KHFHRDDDIL DGDEDEPEEE DENEGDDEED TYDSGLDETD RLEEGRKLIQ
 601 IAITKLLQSR IMASYHEKQA DNNRLKLLQE LEEEKRKKRE KEEKKQKKRE KEKEKKRLQQ
 661 LAKEEEKRKR EEEEKERLKKE LEEREMRRRE AQRKKVEEAK RKKDEERKRR LEEQQRREEM
 721 QEKQRKQKEE LKRKREEEKK RIREQKRLEQ EKLQKEKEEE ERQRLIAEDA LRKQKLNEEQ
 781 TSANILSAKP FTENGVGNPV SSQSHPNMTN YQEDNSCSIN DEILKMVNSV AASKPVSPTG
 841 FNVHDLLLPS TNNQMPAMEQ SHLPQPGNQN NHFGTTTIPN ALDLATKSSL QTENNYLMNS
 901 QTLENTSLLM HNNSSPTKLL PNDFGLSSWG GLTNTMSINP TCKPPVIQTS EMESQAHKSS
 961 PQATMPSFGL PNGGTHRKSF TDELNTLTSM LSSSGFADTS LSSSGFPPSQ RSVVWNDQKSS
1021 FSGPSTAGNF NNSSIQSGML LAPTLGSVES FPNRTSIWDS STTPMMNKSE LSGRNITSTA
1081 QDSPAFMASN IWSSNSQYNS PYLTSNVLQS PQISSGVDES HILDSIYNTY LAISPQDSLN
1141 PYIAIGTLFQ NLVGLNLDYS TFINKLISMQ GAYNCEFFTD NNGSITHVRF ARQTPAGHSK
1201 GLLNQLFSGL NDPTATPFTS RPHTSTRASF PIASSTTQTS *
```

- Polyanion (PA)
- Polyampholyte (PAM)
- Aggregation Prone Domain (APD)

C IDR prediction

PASTA

IUPred2A

Disopred3

PrDOS

D APD prediction

PASTA

Aggrescan

E Charged Amino Acid Density Across Sequence

(A) A diagram of Nst1 showing domain architecture predicted by prediction tools. The PE region spanning residues 460–752 is indicated by a black line, while the PA region (residues 460–630), PAM region (residues 631–752), and APD region (residues 1016–1240) are denoted by green, red, and blue, respectively.

(B) Diagram illustrating the full amino acid sequence of Nst1, highlighting the charge-rich regions designated as PA and PAM. In the PA and PAM sequence shown above, lysine (K) and arginine (R) residues, which are positively charged, are indicated in red with a (+) sign shown beneath each residue, while negatively charged glutamic acid (E) and aspartic acid (D) residues are indicated in blue with a (−) sign displayed below. In the full-length sequence, the PA, PAM, and APD regions are highlighted in green, red, and blue, respectively.

(C-D) Data analysis and graph generation were conducted using GraphPad Prism 5.

(C) Prediction of Intrinsically Disordered Regions (IDRs) using multiple computational tools: PASTA 2.0 (green), IUPred2A (pink), Disopred3 (yellow), and PrDOS (purple). Residues with scores > 0.5 consistently predicted by all four tools were classified as IDRs. The red box denotes the PAM region, while the green box indicates the PA region.

(D) Prediction of Aggregation Prone Domains (APDs) utilizing PASTA 2.0 (blue) and Aggrescan (red). Regions exhibiting high positive scores were designated as APDs, as indicated by the blue box.

(E) The density of charged amino acids along the Nst1 sequence was analyzed using a sliding window approach. A window of 10 consecutive amino acids was moved along each sequence in single-residue steps. For each window, the proportion of charged residues—defined as lysine (K), arginine (R), histidine (H), aspartic acid (D), and glutamic acid (E)—was calculated by dividing the number of these residues by the window size. Regions with a ratio equal to or greater than 0.5 were designated as high-density charged segments. The red and green boxes indicate the PAM and PA regions, respectively.

Supplementary Figure 2

(A) Schematic representation of Edc3 domain organization as predicted by computational tools. The PE

region (residues 65-205) is represented by a black line, whereas the PA (181-205), PAM (65-180), and

APD (330-380) regions are highlighted in green, red, and blue, respectively.

(B) Amino acid sequence map of Edc3, emphasizing regions rich in charged residues: the PA and PAM.

The annotation of charged amino acids and the color scheme for the sequences were applied as in

Supplementary Figure 1B. In the full-length amino acid sequence, the PA region is indicated in green, the

PAM region in red, and the APD region in blue.

(C-D) Graphical analysis and plotting were performed using GraphPad Prism 5.

(C) Computational prediction of Intrinsically Disordered Regions (IDRs) in Edc3 using a suite of

bioinformatics tools: PASTA 2.0 (green), IUPred2A (pink), Disopred3 (yellow), and PrDOS (purple). IDRs

were defined as regions where all four algorithms consistently predicted disorder scores exceeding 0.5.

The PAM and PA regions are demarcated by red and green boxes, respectively.

(D) Identification of Aggregation Prone Domains (APDs) in Edc3 through analysis with PASTA 2.0 (blue)

and Aggrescan (red). Regions displaying elevated positive scores were classified as APDs, highlighted by

blue boxes.

(E) The density of charged amino acids along the Edc3 sequence was analyzed using a sliding window

approach. The detailed method is the same as described in Supplementary Figure 1E. The red and green

boxes indicate the PAM and PA regions, respectively.

Supplementary Figure 3

A

B

PA1 2 SEYIRVTEDENDEPIEIPSEDDGTVLLS 29

PA2 197 RCTEDMTEDELREFFSQYGDVMDV 220

PAM 255 VHISNAEPKHNSNRQLERSGRF 276

CTD 255 VHISNAEPKHNSNRQLERSGRFGGNPGGFGNQGGFGNSRGGGAGLGNNQGSNMGGGMNFGAFSINPAMMAAAQAALQSSWGMMGMLASQQNQSGPSGNNQNQGNMQREPNQAFGSGNNSYSGSNSGAAIGWGSASNAGSGSGFNGGFGSSMDSKSSGWGM 414

```
  1 MSEYIRVTED ENDEPIEIPS EDDGTVLLST VTAQFPGACG LRYRNPVSQC MRGVRLVEGI
 61 LHAPDAGWGN LVYVVNYPKD NKRKMDETDA SSAVKVKRAV QKTSDLIVLG LPWKTTEQDL
121 KEYFSTFGEV LMVQVKKDLK TGHSKGFGFV RFTEYETQVK VMSQRHMIDG RWCDCKLPNS
181 KQSQDEPLRS RKVFVGRCTE DMTEDELREF FSQYGDVMDV FIPKPFRAFA FVTFADDQIA
241 QSLCGEDLII KGISVHISNA EPKHNSNRQL ERSGRFGGNP GGFGNQGGFG NSRGGGAGLG
301 NNQGSNMGGG MNFGAFSINP AMMAAAQAAL QSSWGMMGML ASQQNQSGPS GNNQNQGNMQ
361 REPNQAFGSG NNSYSGSNSG AAIGWGSASN AGSGSGFNGG FGSSMDSKSS GWGM*
```

- Polyanion_1 (PA1)
- Polyanion_2 (PA2)
- Polyampholyte (PAM)
- C-terminal domain (CTD)

C

D

1125

(A) A scheme of domain prediction of TDP-43. The CTD region, comprising residues 255–414, is depicted

as a black line. The PA1 (2-29), PA2 (197-220), and PAM (255-276) regions are shown in red, pink, and

green, respectively.

(B) Amino acid sequence of TDP-43, highlighting the charged amino acid clusters (PA1, PA2 and PAM)

and CTD. The annotation of charged amino acids was implemented in accordance with Supplementary

Figure 1B. Each domain was indicated using the same box colors as in A, and the CTD is denoted with an

underline.

(C) Graphical representations were created and analyzed with GraphPad Prism 5. Computational analysis

of Intrinsically Disordered Regions (IDRs) within TDP-43 employing multiple bioinformatics algorithms:

PASTA 2.0 (green), IUPred2A (pink), Disopred3 (yellow), and PrDOS (purple). IDRs were defined as

regions with disorder propensity scores above 0.2 and a high proportion of charged amino acids. The PA1

region is indicated by red boxes, and the PA2 region is indicated by pink boxes. The PAM region is indicated

by green box, and the CTD is indicated by blue box.

(D) The density of charged amino acids along the TDP-43 sequence was analyzed using a sliding window

approach. The detailed method is the same as described in Supplementary Figure 1E. The PA1 region and

the PA2 region is indicated by red box and pink box, respectively, while the PAM region is indicated by

green box.

Supplementary Figure 4

A

Nst1

B

1144   (A) Sequence of PA and PA-1 domains in Nst1

1145   (B) Fluorescence microscopy images showing the formation of condensates by GFP-tagged Nst1 and

1146   Nst1ΔPA-1 in *Δnst1* (YSK3490) cells after GAL-induction. Images were acquired at the indicated time point

1147   following galactose induction. Scale bar: 10 μm.

Supplementary Figure 5

Supplementary Figure 5

G

H

I

1149

(A–B) Western blot analysis confirming the overexpression for GFP-tagged Nst1 domain deletion mutants,

as shown in Figures 2C and 3B. Anti-GFP (600-101-215, Rockland) and anti-Tub1 (T5168, Sigma)

antibodies were used to detect GFP-tagged fusion proteins and the Tub1 housekeeping protein,

respectively.

(A) The predicted molecular weights for Nst1 full-length, Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM, Nst1ΔPE, Nst1ΔD1,

Nst1ΔAPD, and Tub1 are 168.3 kDa, 148.1 kDa, 152.3 kDa, 132.1 kDa, 154.4 kDa, 144.1 kDa, and 49.8

kDa, respectively.

(B) The predicted molecular weights for Nst1 full-length, Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔD1, Nst1ΔD2, Nst1ΔD3,

Nst1ΔPAM, Nst1ΔD4, Nst1ΔD5, Nst1ΔAPD, and Tub1 are 148.1 kDa, 154.4 kDa, 148.7 kDa, 149.6 kDa,

152.3 kDa, 158.1 kDa, 149.9 kDa, 144.1 kDa, and 49.8 kDa, respectively.

(C) Western blot verification of the overexpression of GFP-tagged Nst1 domain deletion mutants for FRAP

analysis, as shown in Figure 4A. The predicted molecular weights for GFP (vector control), Nst1 full-length,

Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM, Nst1ΔD1, Nst1ΔAPD, and Tub1 are 26.8 kDa, 168.3 kDa, 148.1 kDa, 152.3 kDa,

154.4 kDa, 144.1 kDa, and 49.8 kDa, respectively.

(D) Western blot analysis verifying protein expression prior to RNase inhibitor treatment, as shown in

Figures 5G and 5I. The predicted molecular weights for Nst1-EGFP, Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM, Dcp2-EGFP,

Edc3-EGFP, and Tub1 are 168.3 kDa, 148.1 kDa, 152.3 kDa, 137.2 kDa, 88.1 kDa, and 49.8 kDa,

respectively.

(E) Western blot analysis confirming endogenous expression of GFP-tagged Nst1 full-length and deletion

mutants (ΔPA, ΔPAM, ΔAPD) in log-phase Δnst1 (YSK3490) cells, as shown in Figure 2H. Tub1 served as

a loading control. The predicted molecular weights are: Nst1 full-length, 168.3 kDa; Nst1ΔPA, 148.1 kDa;

Nst1ΔPAM, 152.3 kDa; Nst1ΔAPD, 144.1 kDa; Tub1, 49.8 kDa.

(F) Western blot confirmation of the overexpression of GFP-tagged Edc3 domain deletion mutants, as

shown in Figure 6B. The predicted molecular weights for Edc3 full-length, Edc3ΔPE, Edc3ΔPA,

Edc3ΔPAM, Edc3ΔAPD, and Tub1 are 88.1 kDa, 71.2 kDa, 85.2 kDa, 74.2 kDa, 82.6 kDa, and 49.8 kDa,

respectively.

(G) Western blot analysis of Dcp2-EGFP (135.5 kDa) expression and Tub1 (49.8 kDa, loading control) in

yeast cells used in Figure 5E. Cells were either untreated or treated with cycloheximide (CHX).

(H) Western blot confirmation of the overexpression of GFP-tagged TDP-43 domain deletion mutants in

budding yeast, as shown in Figure 6D. Constructs with intact nuclear localization signal (NLS) and

corresponding NLS-deletion variants were analyzed. The predicted molecular weights are: TDP-43 full-

length, 71.3 kDa; TDP-43ΔPA1, 68.1 kDa; TDP-43ΔPA2, 68.4 kDa; TDP-43ΔPAM, 68.7 kDa; TDP-

43ΔCTD, 55.4 kDa. For NLS-deleted constructs: TDP-43ΔNLS full-length, 71.1 kDa; TDP-43ΔNLSΔPA1,

67.9 kDa; TDP-43ΔNLSΔPA2, 68.2 kDa; TDP-43ΔNLSΔPAM, 68.5 kDa; TDP-43ΔNLSΔCTD, 55.2 kDa.

Tub1 served as a loading control (49.8 kDa).

(I) Western blot analysis of pcDNAmyc-hisA-GFP-*TARDBP* constructs expressed in U2OS cells, as shown

in Figure 6E. Both NLS-intact and NLS-deleted variants were examined. The predicted molecular weights

are: TDP-43 full-length, 71.3 kDa; TDP-43ΔPA1, 68.1 kDa; TDP-43ΔPA2, 68.4 kDa; TDP-43ΔPAM, 68.7

kDa; TDP-43ΔCTD, 55.4 kDa. For NLS-deleted constructs: TDP-43ΔNLS full-length, 71.1 kDa; TDP-

43ΔNLSΔPA1, 67.9 kDa; TDP-43ΔNLSΔPA2, 68.2 kDa; TDP-43ΔNLSΔPAM, 68.5 kDa; TDP-

43ΔNLSΔCTD, 55.2 kDa. Tub1 served as a loading control (49.8 kDa).

Supplementary Figure 6

A   Nst1

Time (sec)

Relative fluorescence intensity (I)

Supplementary Figure 6

B   Nst1ΔPA

Supplementary Figure 6

C   Nst1ΔPAM

Supplementary Figure 6

D Nst1ΔD1

Supplementary Figure 6

E   GFP control

Supplementary Figure 7

A

1196

1197   (A) Box plot of condensate formation, measured by integrated fluorescence intensity (Integrated Density),

1198   for condensates generated by galactose-induced, GFP-tagged Nst1, Nst1ΔPA, Nst1ΔPAM, and Nst1ΔD1

1199   before and after CHX treatment. Fluorescence microscopy images were analyzed using Fiji (ImageJ) to

1200   quantify the integrated density of condensates. Data from more than 100 cells per condition were visualized

1201   using GraphPad Prism 10.

Supplementary Figure 8

A

B

	GFP-Edc3	GFP-Edc3ΔPE	GFP-Edc3ΔPA	GFP-Edc3ΔPAM	GFP-Edc3ΔAPD
4 h					
24 h					

(A) Schematic representation of Edc3 domain architecture. The region spanning residues 65–205 was

predicted to be the PE domain using a sliding window approach and various IDR prediction tools. See also

Supplementary figure 2. The region from 330–380 was identified as the APD domain based on PASTA and

Aggrescan analyses. The PE domain of Edc3 was further characterized according to its charge distribution:

residues 181–205 exhibited a high density of negative charges and were thus designated as the PA domain.

The remaining portion of the PE segment, encompassing residues 65–180 and containing a relatively

balanced proportion of positively and negatively charged amino acids, was defined as the PAM domain.

The charged amino acids in the charge-rich PAM and PA sequences were annotated as described in Figure

1D.

(B) Fluorescence microscopy images showing condensate formation upon overexpression of Edc3,

Edc3ΔPE, Edc3ΔPA, Edc3ΔPAM, and Edc3ΔAPD in *Δedc3* cells (YSK3707). Condensate formation for

each Edc3 variant was imaged after 4 and 24 hours of galactose induction. For each strain and time point,

representative images were selected from examinations of at least 100 cells.

Supplementary Figure 9

A

GFP-TDP-43 | GFP-TDP-43ΔPA1 | GFP-TDP-43ΔPA2 | GFP-TDP-43ΔPAM | GFP-TDP-43ΔCTD | GFP-TDP-43ΔPA1ΔPA2

4 h, 8 h, 10 h, 12 h

B

GFP-TDP-43ΔNLS (K82A, R83A, K84A)

F.L. | ΔPA1 | ΔPA2 | ΔPAM | ΔCTD | ΔPA1ΔPA2

4 h, 8 h, 10 h, 12 h

1217   (A-B) Fluorescence microscopy images of budding yeast (W303a) cells after 4, 8, 10, 12 hours of

1218   overexpression of TDP-43 and its domain deletion mutants (ΔPA1, ΔPA2, ΔPAM, ΔCTD and ΔPA1ΔPA2)

1219   with or without NLS (Nuclear localization signal) sequence under a galactose-inducible promoter. Scale

1220   bar: 5 μm.