Decoding Spine Nanostructure in Mental Disorders Reveals a Schizophrenia-
Linked Role for Ecrg4

Yutaro Kashiwagi[1], Qingrui Liu[1], Yasuhiro Go[2, 3,4], Ryo Saito[5], Atsu Aiba[5], Takanobu
Nakazawa[6], and Shigeo Okabe[1,7,*]

[1]Department of Cellular Neurobiology, Graduate School of Medicine, the University of
Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

[2]Graduate School of Information Science, University of Hyogo, Kobe 650-0047, Japan

[3]National Institute for Physiological Sciences (NIPS), National Institutes of Natural
Sciences, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan

[4]Exploratory Research Center on Life and Living Systems (ExCELLS), National
Institutes of Natural Sciences, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan

[5]Laboratory of Animal Resources, Center for Disease Biology and Integrated Medicine,
Graduate School of Medicine, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan

[6]Department of Bioscience, Faculty of Life Sciences, Tokyo University of Agriculture,
Tokyo 156-8502, Japan

[7]RIKEN Center for Brain Science, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

[*]Corresponding author: Shigeo Okabe

Department of Cellular Neurobiology, Graduate School of Medicine, the University of
Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo Bunkyo-ku 113-0033 Tokyo, Japan

Phone: 81-3-5841-1928 Fax: 81-3-5841-1930

E-mail: okabe@m.u-tokyo.ac.jp

26
27

Abstract

Dendritic spine dysfunction may contribute to the etiology and symptom expression of

neuropsychiatric disorders. The intimate relationship between spine morphology and

function suggests that decoding disease-related abnormalities from spine morphology

can aid in developing synapse-targeted interventions. Here, we describe a population

analysis of dendritic spine nanostructure applied to the objective grouping of multiple

mouse models of neuropsychiatric disorders. This method has identified two major

groups of spine phenotypes linked to schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorder

(ASD). An increase in spine subpopulation with small volumes characterized the spines

of schizophrenia mouse models, whereas a spine subset with large volumes increased

in ASD models. Schizophrenia mouse models showed higher similarity in spine

morphology, which was caused by a reduced speed of nascent spine growth. The

expression of Ecrg4, a gene encoding small secretory peptides, was increased in

schizophrenia mouse models, and functional studies confirmed its critical involvement

in impaired spine dynamics and shape in schizophrenia mouse models. These results

suggest that the population-level spine analysis provides rich information about the

heterogeneous spine pathology, which facilitates identification of new molecular targets

related to core synaptic dysfunction.

Introduction

Information processing in the neocortex and hippocampus relies on fast excitatory synaptic transmission between pyramidal neurons. Many excitatory synapses that release glutamate project onto tiny structures protruding from dendritic shafts termed spines, and the morphological properties of these spines strongly influence synaptic transmission and function, including the activity-dependent synaptic plasticity implicated in learning and memory[1,2]. In general, larger spines contain a greater number of glutamate receptors and are functionally stronger[3]. The morphological parameters defining spine shape, such as length, radius, and volume, are also highly dynamic. For instance, small nascent spines can be enlarged by high-frequency stimuli inducing long-term potentiation (LTP) of synaptic transmission[4,5]; alternatively, spine volume can be reduced by low-frequency stimuli that induce long-term depression (LTD)[5,6]. Due to these dynamic changes, spines on pyramidal neurons exhibit substantial morphological variation, even within the same dendritic branch. In addition to direct synaptic activation inducing LTP or LTD, spine morphology can be altered by cyclical hormonal changes, neuromodulators, and various activity-independent processes[7–10]. In turn, these changes in spine morphology are associated with marked changes in neural function and behavior.

Recent advances in super-resolution microscopy have provided new opportunities for the study of spine structural diversity and dynamics. A recent investigation using stimulated emission depletion (STED) imaging reported an increase in spine neck size after LTP, resulting in stronger electrical coupling between the spine head and dendritic shaft[11]. A structured illumination microscopy (SIM) imaging study of

cultured hippocampal neurons revealed expansion of the spine head convexity after

LTP induction[12], and suggested that this structural transformation may increase the

adhesion of presynaptic and postsynaptic membranes. SIM imaging has also been

applied to record the dynamic properties of spinules[13], thin protrusions extending from

existing spines that may function in secondary synapse formation. Collectively, these

reports implicate spine nanostructural dynamics in multiple core synaptic properties

and functions, including neurotransmission, mechanical stability, synaptogenesis, and

plasticity.

Genetic mutations and variants that disrupt synaptic function are strongly

implicated in the pathogenesis of neuropsychiatric disorders such as autism spectrum

disorder (ASD) and schizophrenia[14–20]. Based on these genomic aberrations, numerous

mouse models of ASD and schizophrenia have been established and subsequently

shown to harbor abnormalities in both spine morphology and physiology[19,21–24].

Moreover, several studies have reported synaptic dysfunction in neurons differentiated

from patient-derived pluripotent stem cells [25–28]. Schizophrenia and ASD share several

clinical features, suggesting common genetic risk factors and etiology[29,30]. However, a

diametrical model emphasizes the distinct social-cognitive properties of these two

major psychiatric disorders[31,32]. Both ASD and schizophrenia also show highly

heterogeneous clinical phenotypes, potentially stemming from distinct pathogenic

processes within disease categories. Therefore, in addition to clinical features,

comparative biological phenotyping of multiple ASD and schizophrenia models may

reveal shared as well as disease-specific pathogenic processes.

Genetic studies on ASD and schizophrenia have identified copy-number

variants and protein-disrupting single-nucleotide variants that confer higher disease

risk, and mouse models harboring these genetic abnormalities frequently exhibit

behavioral and neurological features resembling those of the clinical conditions (termed

endophenotypes)[19,33]. However, there are only a limited number of studies objectively

comparing phenotypes related to synaptic structure and function between disease

models. An *in vivo* two-photon imaging study reported accelerated spine turnover in

three genetically distinct ASD mouse models [34]. Also, multiple ASD mouse models

were found to exhibit a similar imbalance in the activity of excitatory and inhibitory

neurons[35]. These studies support the idea that distinct genetic mutations may induce

similar synaptic and circuit phenotypes in ASD mouse models. However, differences in

synaptic properties between ASD and schizophrenia have not yet been

comprehensively examined.

In this study, we developed an objective method for identifying population-

level differences in spine nanostructure. This method, which has been applied to

multiple mouse models of psychiatric disorders, identified two distinct groups

corresponding to ASD and schizophrenia. An increase in a specific spine

subpopulation with small volumes characterized the spines of schizophrenia mouse

models. In turn, ASD mouse models showed a tendency of decreasing spine

subpopulation with small volumes. The schizophrenia-related phenotype was

associated with slower volume growth and enhanced turnover. Further gene

expression analysis identified the overexpression of Ecrg4, a gene encoding a

precursor of hormone-like peptides, as a candidate mediator of this schizophrenia-

associated spine phenotype. Population-level analysis of spine nanostructures is a

powerful approach for understanding heterogeneous synaptic impairments in

psychiatric disorders.

Results

Spine nanostructure imaging and generation of the spine density plot

We have designed a method for objectively comparing spine properties among multiple

mouse models of psychiatric disorders at the population level using SIM imaging.

Previous results demonstrated that high-resolution SIM images of dendritic spines in

cultured hippocampal neurons can provide reliable information about spine size and

morphological properties[12]. In the current study, the analytical procedures for SIM

images were further improved for quantitative comparisons. Three-dimensional SIM

images of dendritic spines were captured in DiI-stained cultured neurons derived from

control and disease-model mouse hippocampus (Figure 1A). This staining procedure

provided a better image quality than fluorescent protein-based labeling because of the

higher fluorescence signal from the plasma membrane. SIM images were processed

using custom-made scripts to identify and segment the individual dendritic spines

(Figure 1B–D). We previously reported a set of scripts designed to isolate dendritic

spines automatically[12]. However, the segmentation accuracy still necessitated manual

inspection. In the newly developed scripts, we introduced a step for readjusting the

spine–shaft boundary that enabled fully automated measurement of multiple

morphological parameters, including length, surface area, and volume. In addition, the

isolated spines were further divided into 160-nm thick longitudinal segments for

calculating a total of 64 shape descriptors.

Spine shape parameters measured by conventional methods are difficult to

compare directly when images are obtained from multiple independent experiments.

This problem is caused by several reasons, but the main factors are variability in image

intensity, signal-to-noise ratio, and image aberration introduced by unoptimized

imaging conditions. To test the stability of the SIM-based spine imaging, we compared

the spine size distribution in four samples prepared at time points separated by >2

months from each other (Supplementary Figure 1). The cumulative distribution curves

of the four datasets showed extensive overlap, confirming the reproducibility of the

SIM-based spine shape measurements using independent culture samples.

Another technical issue related to the comparison of spine shape is the

heterogeneity of spine shape and the absence of defined subclasses. Population-level

spine analysis, therefore, requires a new strategy that enables objective comparisons

of large numbers of spines with a continuum of morphologic features. We previously

demonstrated that principal component analysis (PCA) was effective for comparing

high-dimensional spine shape features (descriptors) in a feature space with reduced

dimensions[12]. Indeed, a PCA-based dimensional reduction applied to a large number of

spines based on their descriptors generated a two-dimensional plot of spine shape that

closely matched conventional spine subtypes, such as thin, mushroom, and stubby

(Figure 1E). To objectively compare the two spine datasets (control and disease

model), we first generated plots of relative spine densities (number per area in feature

space) mapped to 80 × 80 square blocks (Figure 1F), and then subtracted the

corresponding plot pairs defined by genotype (control vs. mutant) or culture conditions.

The resulting subtracted density plots contain comprehensive information about the

differences in relative spine numbers with similar shape properties.

Comparison and grouping of multiple mouse models based on morphological

spine similarity

The subtracted density plots contain rich information about the population-level

differences in spine shape between samples. Therefore, the generation and

comparison of the plots for both ASD and schizophrenia mouse models will be useful

for their unbiased grouping. We selected the following eight representative mouse

models harboring either copy-number variation or single gene mutations associated

with psychiatric disorders: male hemizygous or female homozygous mutant mice for

neuroligin-3 R451C mutation (Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$)[36,37], heterozygous Syngap1 mutant

mice (Syngap1$^{+/−}$)[38], heterozygous POGZ mutant mice (POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$)[39], mice paternal

duplication of chromosome 7C (15q11-13$^{dup/+}$) corresponding to human 15q11-13

duplication[40], mice with heterozygous deletion of chromosome 16B2,3 (3q29$^{del/+}$)

corresponding to human 3q29 deletion[41], mice heterozygous for deletion on

chromosome 16qA13 (22q11.2$^{del/+}$) corresponding to 1.5 Mb deletion on human

22q11.2[42], mice heterozygous for Setd1a mutation (Setd1a$^{+/-}$)[43], and Ca^{2+}/calmodulin-

dependent protein kinase IIα kinase-dead mutant mice (CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$)[44]. Previous

studies on these mouse models confirmed the presence of multiple sensory, memory,

and social endophenotypes of psychiatric disorders compared to controls. Of these,

Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$, and 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$ models mimic genetic variations

found in ASD. Human mutations in the SYNGAP1 gene are associated with mental

retardation (MR) and autistic behaviors. The 3q29$^{del/+}$, 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, and Setd1a$^{+/-}$

models harbor genetic mutations associated with higher schizophrenia risk. The

CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$ mutation does not correspond to any known human genetic variant,

but CaMKII-related signaling pathway disruption has been implicated in the working

memory deficits found in schizophrenia patients[45,46]. Therefore, these mouse models

cover a broad spectrum of human genetic aberrations associated with psychiatric

disorders. Direct comparison of spine phenotypes could therefore provide important

clues to underlying shared and disease-specific synaptic pathologies.

For these comparisons, we prepared three independent culture samples from

each control–mutant pair and obtained 700–1,500 3D-SIM spine images 3 weeks after

plating for both mutant and control samples (Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, n = 1,134 and 1,204;

Syngap1$^{+/-}$, n = 991 and 1,371; POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$, n = 1,341 and 1,271; 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$, n =

1,208 and 1,099; 3q29$^{del/+}$, n = 1,429 and 1,408; 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, n = 1,143 and 914;

Setd1a$^{+/-}$, n = 1,381 and 1,405; CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$; n = 880 and 763, control and mutant,

respectively).   Initial comparison of the cumulative frequency plots for spine length,

spine surface area, and spine volume alone did not provide sufficient information to

infer their structural similarity (Supplementary Figure 2), indicating that simple structural

parameters are not effective in identifying disease-specific spine shape characteristics.

We next generated subtracted density plots from 8 mouse models. These plots were

variable, but there were certain similarities between the specific mutants (Figure 2A).

We evaluated pairwise similarities by calculating the 2D cross-correlations of the

subtracted density plots. The matrix of these 2D cross-correlation values yielded two

major groups with high within-group similarity (Figure 2B), and the presence of these

two groups was further confirmed by unbiased clustering analysis (Figure 2C). The first

group contained the ASD models Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, Syngap1$^{+/-,}$ and POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$,

while the second group consisted of the schizophrenia models 3q29$^{del/+}$, 22q11.2$^{del/+}$,

Setd1a$^{+/-}$, and CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$. This result is striking, as it indicates that the

information of spine shape alone can tell whether the culture preparation is derived

from ASD or schizophrenia mouse models. It is also of note that there were greater

similarities among the schizophrenia mouse models than among the ASD mouse

models. This finding suggests a convergence of spine pathophysiology in the

schizophrenia mouse models.

In addition to the eight mouse models of psychiatric disorders, we generated

subtracted density plots for three additional conditions: immature culture samples (13

days in vitro, DIV), treatment with the AMPA subtype glutamate receptor blocker

CNQX, and treatment with the $GABA_A$ receptor blocker bicuculline (Figure 2A). The

subtracted density plots of the 13 DIV culture and CNQX treatment were similar to

those of the schizophrenia mouse models. This finding suggests that the hippocampal

synapses in mouse models of schizophrenia are immature and inactive. In contrast, the

subtracted density plot of the bicuculline-treated sample was distinct from that of both

the schizophrenia and ASD mouse models.

Distinct spine shape between ASD and schizophrenia mouse models

The subtracted density plots provide information about the structural properties of

specific spine subsets, which either increased or decreased in disease mouse models.

We first defined the areas within the plots where the control or mutant spines showed

higher density. Next, we extracted the spines in the control-enriched area (A) and the

mutant-enriched area (B), and compared the volumes and shape profiles of spines in

these areas. For the 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ schizophrenia mouse model, the control-enriched area

(A) contained larger spines than the mutant-enriched area (B) (Figure 3B, D, F, H, and

J). In contrast, the mutant-enriched area (B) of the Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ ASD mouse

model overlapped with the domain of large spines, while the control-enriched area (A)

contained smaller spines (Figure 3A, C, E, G, and I). These differences in population-

level spine properties between ASD and schizophrenia mouse models were relatively

consistent (Supplementary Figures 3–7) in that smaller spines were observed in

mutant-enriched areas of all four schizophrenia mouse models ($3q29^{del/+}$, $22q11.2^{del/+}$,

Setd1a$^{+/-}$, and CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$) (Supplementary Figures 6), while large spines were

dominant in the mutant-enriched areas of the three ASD mouse models (Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or}$

$^{R451C)}$, Syngap1$^{+/-,}$ and POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$). The only exception was $15q11-13^{dup/+}$, which

showed enrichment of small spines in the mutant-dominant area. The fractions of

spines present in the control-enriched area (A) and mutant-enriched area (B) were

substantial in all eight mouse models (fraction of spines in area A: 0.24 ± 0.058 for

controls, 0.20 ± 0.063 for mutants; fraction of spines in area B: 0.26 ± 0.057 for

controls, 0.31 ± 0.062 for mutants, mean±SD), indicating that despite broad value

spectra for all datasets, approximately half of the total spine population was within

either area (A) or (B) in data from both the control mice (0.50 ± 0.036, mean±SD) and

mutant mice (0.51 ± 0.031, mean±SD).

Slow initial growth of dendritic spines in schizophrenia model mice

The subtracted density plots indicate the common changes in the spine population of

the schizophrenia mouse models. The mutant-enriched areas correspond to the

specific spine population with small morphology, suggesting underlying dysfunction in

either generation of nascent spines or shrinkage of existing spines. To examine

potential abnormalities in spine dynamics, we performed time-lapse imaging of

hippocampal neuron cultures derived from two schizophrenia mouse models,

22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and Setd1a$^{+/-}$, and the Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ ASD mouse model as a

reference (Figure 4). Time-lapse imaging over 24 h captured numerous *de novo* spine

formation and spine loss events. Compared to control neurons, neurons from the two

schizophrenia models exhibited slower growth of nascent spines (Figure 5G–L). Spine

turnover rate was upregulated in these schizophrenia mouse models (Figure 5A and

B), while the ASD model did not show significant upregulation (Figure 5C). The slow

growth of spines in neurons from the schizophrenia mouse models was associated with

a longer lifetime of transient spines (Figure 5D and E) compared to the ASD model

(Figure 5F). Taken together, these results suggest that the slower growth of nascent

spines and instability of existing spines contribute to the development of schizophrenia-

related spine phenotypes.

To further examine the relationship between the spine growth rate and

lifetime, we performed simulations using the computational model shown in Figure 6A.

In this model, new spines appear randomly along the dendritic shaft according to a

density-dependent probability function, then increase in volume with speed V1 (phase

1), are eliminated with probability P1 (phase 2), and are converted to stable spines

upon reaching a volume threshold (phase 3). These stable spines are subsequently

destabilized with low probability P2 (phase 4) and start to shrink with speed V2 (phase

5). When these shrinking spines reach a threshold, they are permanently removed.

This model was run over 10 days of neuronal differentiation in culture (corresponding to

the period of spine density increase from 8 to 17 DIV) with 625 different combinations

of V1, V2, P1, and P2 to identify the combination with the best fit to the experimental

data for spine turnover of 15–18 DIV neurons derived from mutants 22q11.2$^{del/+}$,

Setd1a$^{+/-}$, and Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ over 24 h (Figure 6B). The best-fitted models all

yielded spine lifespan distributions matching observations of the three mouse mutants

(Figure 6C). The three characteristics of the spines in 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and Setd1a$^{+/-}$ were

also preserved in the best-fitted model. First, the simulation showed higher turnover

rates for schizophrenia-related spines (21.4 $\pm$ 2.89% and 36.2 $\pm$ 4.16% for the best-

fitted models for the control and the schizophrenia mouse models, Supplementary

Figure 8A), as in the case of experimental data (Figure 5A and B). Second, spine

density in the schizophrenia mouse models was lower in both the simulation and

experimental data (simulation; 0.57 $\pm$ 0.029 /μm and 0.40 $\pm$ 0.027 /μm for the control

and the best-fitted for schizophrenia, shown in Supplementary Figure 8B, experiment;

0.69 $\pm$ 0.17 /μm and 0.56 $\pm$ 0.20 /μm for the control and 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, 0.70 $\pm$ 0.15 /μm

and 0.64 $\pm$ 0.16 /μm for the control and Setd1a$^{+/-}$). Third, the size of transient spines

was smaller in the schizophrenia mouse models in the simulation (0.33 $\pm$ 0.033 and

0.20 $\pm$ 0.024 (a.u.) for the control and the best-fitted for schizophrenia, Supplementary

Figure 8C), consistent with the data of spine nanostructure (Figure 3H).

298   After the selection of the best-fitted parameters for three mouse models, we

compared the optimal values of V1, V2, P1, and P2 between mouse models (Figure

6D). The nascent spine volume increase (V1) was slower in the schizophrenia models

compared to corresponding controls. In turn, the probability of nascent spine loss (P1)

was higher in the ASD model. In all three disease models, the probability of spine

destabilization (P2) was moderately higher than that in the corresponding controls.

These results suggest that a slow spine volume increase in schizophrenia model mice

results in the accumulation of nascent spines with smaller volumes. On the other hand,

the spines of ASD model mice grow at a rate similar to that of control spines, but are

more likely to be eliminated both in the nascent stage and after maturation.

The simulated trajectory plots of individual spines along the dendritic shaft

using the best-fit parameters also reflected the differential patterns of spine turnover in

culture preparations derived from the control and schizophrenia model mice (Figure

6E). In culture preparations derived from schizophrenia mouse models, there were

fewer spines with a lifetime longer than 2 or 3 days, while there was a greater number

of spines formed and eliminated within 24 h. This increase in the number of less stable

spines explains the pattern of spine lifetime in Figure 5D and E. In summary, these

simulations suggest that reduced spine maturation rate can account for the three key

properties of schizophrenia-related spines：the greater number of small volume

spines, the enhanced turnover, and the reduction in spine density observed in

schizophrenia model mice.

Screening of molecules responsible for altered spine properties in schizophrenia

mouse models

Both SIM-based imaging and time-lapse imaging suggest that the initial growth phase

of spines is slower in schizophrenia model mice. To identify candidate genes that may

contribute to this growth impairment, we performed RNA sequencing of libraries

derived from the cultured neurons of eight psychiatric disorder mouse models and

corresponding controls, and filtered the results for differentially expressed genes

(DEGs). The DEGs specific to more than two schizophrenia-related mouse models are

listed in Supplementary Figure 9. In the hippocampi of schizophrenia model mice, the

expression levels of Met, Osr1, Arhgap15, and Rnf170-ps were downregulated, while

Cip4, Ecrg4, and Npas4 expression levels were upregulated. The majority of these

DEGs have been previously implicated in the pathology of schizophrenia (Met)[47],

synaptic plasticity (Npas4)[48,49], or signaling pathways in the nervous system

(Arhgap15[50], Cip4[51], and Ecrg4[52]).

If these genes do regulate spines in schizophrenia mouse models,

manipulation of these genes in wild-type neurons may induce a spine phenotype

similar to schizophrenia mouse models. To test this possibility, we downregulated Met

and Arhgap15, and upregulated Cip4, Ecrg4, and Npas4 in separate wild-type

hippocampal neuron cultures and measured spine dynamics using time-lapse imaging.

Ecrg4 was the only DEG that modulated spine dynamics in the predicted direction

(Figure 7A and B). Thus, the aberrant expression of Ecrg4 may be related to the spine

phenotype of schizophrenia model mice.

Ecrg4 encodes a precursor protein that yields several short hormone-like

peptides via multiple cleavage sites[53]. Ecrg4 has been reported to function in cell

proliferation, inflammation, and tumor suppression. However, there is limited

information on the neuronal functions of Ecrg4. Therefore, we further analyzed the

cellular distribution of Ecrg4 in wild-type hippocampal neurons to assess its potential

functions at synapses. The Ecrg4 protein was detected in the membrane fraction of

cultured hippocampal neurons (Supplementary Figure 10A). In addition, HA-tagged

Ecrg4 showed punctate localization patterns within the neuronal cytoplasm (Figure 7C).

A fraction of HA-tagged Ecrg4 puncta localized at axonal varicosities (arrowheads) or

dendritic spines (arrows), together with some extracellular clusters (asterisks). HA-

tagged Ecrg4 also colocalized with GFP-tagged neuropeptide Y (NPY) (Supplementary

Figure 10B and C), suggesting transport in dense-core vesicles. Surface labeling of live

cells also revealed clusters of Ecrg4 on axonal boutons and dendritic spines

(Supplementary Figure 10D and E), supporting active exocytosis and tethering via its

binding partners to the plasma membrane. Collectively, these observations suggest

that the intracellular machinery for transporting and releasing Ecrg4 may be actively

involved in the regulation of synapse formation and maturation.

Rescue of the synaptic phenotype in schizophrenia mouse models by Ecrg4

suppression

The spatial localization of Ecrg4 protein in wild-type neurons and upregulated gene

expression in the hippocampus of schizophrenia model mice strongly suggest a

contribution to the observed spine abnormalities. To examine this possibility, we tested

whether Ecrg4 suppression rescues the synaptic phenotype of neurons derived from

schizophrenia mouse models. We introduced a GFP expression plasmid along with the

Ecrg4-shRNA plasmid or the control shRNA plasmid into neurons derived from

22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and Setd1a$^{+/-}$ mice (Figure 8A and Supplementary Figure 10G). While the

spine density plots generated from GFP-expressing neurons differed from those

generated from DiI-labeled neurons, the subtracted (differential) distribution pattern

between Ecrg4 shRNA and control shRNA neurons showed an increase in the larger

volume spine fraction (Figure 8B), suggesting that Ecrg4 suppression reversed the

spine phenotype observed in naïve neurons from schizophrenia model mice. In

contrast, the subtracted density plot between control shRNA-expressing neurons from

schizophrenia model mice and wild-type mice revealed a reduction in the fraction of

large volume spines (Supplementary Figure 11), consistent with comparisons of Dil-

labeled naïve neurons from these genotypes. These data support the idea that the

shRNA-mediated downregulation of Ecrg4 can rescue the spine shape impairment

observed in schizophrenia mouse models.

Discussion

We developed an objective method for identifying population-level differences in spine

nanostructures and applied it to cultured hippocampal neurons derived from multiple

mouse models of psychiatric disorders. We succeeded in identifying two distinct groups

of mouse models according to the population-level spine properties. Notably, these two

objectively identified groups correspond to mouse models with ASD-related gene

mutations and schizophrenia-related gene mutations. The higher fraction of small spine

population was the common characteristics of schizophrenia mouse models. Time-

lapse imaging of spine dynamics revealed that this smaller spine phenotype is

associated with a reduced speed of volume increase and enhanced turnover.

Screening for genes differentially expressed between mutant and control mice

identified Ecrg4 overexpression as a potential molecular mechanism contributing to the

schizophrenia spine phenotype. Indeed, suppression of Ecrg4 expression normalized

the spine phenotype in neurons derived from schizophrenia mouse models. These

findings support population-level analysis of spine nanostructures as a powerful

approach to elucidating the heterogeneous synaptic impairments underlying psychiatric

disorders.

Spine nanostructures provide information about developmental history,

previous activity, and current functional properties, but these fine structural details were

not accessible by conventional light microscopy. However, the recent advances of

super-resolution microscopy allow for the study of nanoscale spine morphology,

including in living preparations, and have revealed new structural features related to

important synaptic functions[11–13]. Another challenge to spine nanoscale imaging is the

structural heterogeneity across large spine populations, which may obscure important

population-level differences. Nonetheless, to understand the physiology and pathology

of neural networks, it is essential to obtain comprehensive information about a large

number of spines belonging to a single neuron and within local neuronal networks. We

previously reported a dimensional reduction technique coupled with machine learning

for evaluating spine properties at the population level [12], and have further refined this

method for the current investigation to extract population-level nanostructural features

of spines in multiple mouse models of psychiatric disorders. This method enabled us to

compare spine phenotypes among different mouse models objectively and group

disease models according to similarities and differences in the spine population.

Notably, the two identified groups corresponded to ASD-related gene mutations and

schizophrenia-related gene mutations, supporting the idea that population-level

analyses of spine nanostructures provide meaningful information on synaptic

abnormalities and dysfunction.

Population-level spine properties were more homogeneous in the

schizophrenia models (those with gene mutations implicated in schizophrenia) than in

the other 4 models studied, due in part to a shared tendency for smaller spines. In

contrast to these findings, several previous studies reported reduced numbers of small

spines in the postmortem cortical tissues of schizophrenia patients[22,54]. However, this

discrepancy may be attributed to developmental period as we examined fetal neuronal

spines after three weeks of *in vitro* differentiation while the aforementioned studies

included postmortem samples from patients over 40 years of age. One possible

scenario that may help unify these observations is that spine density is reduced in the

early postnatal period, and that this reduction evokes homeostatic plasticity, which in

turn reduces the fraction of small spines in later life. Another potential explanation is

that schizophrenia differentially affects regional spine properties (e.g., hippocampus

versus cortex). Previous *in vivo* two-photon imaging studies reported higher spine

turnover in the hippocampus than the cortex[55,56]. Therefore, the spine phenotype

identified in this study may be specific to hippocampal pyramidal neurons. Finally, while

the spine phenotype identified in the human postmortem brain undoubtedly resulted

from complex interactions among genetic background, environmental influences, and

regulation by nonneuronal cells, the data obtained from pure neuronal cultures are

more likely to reflect the direct impact of schizophrenia-related gene mutations on

synaptic properties. Therefore, our analytical method is advantageous in that it can

reveal the direct effects of genotype on spine phenotype, in this case identifying Ecrg4

overexpression as a potential driver of spine abnormalities in schizophrenia.

440   In addition to Ecrg4, these analyses identified several other DEGs common to

mice harboring schizophrenia-related mutations. However, time-lapse imaging

identified Ecrg4 as the most effective spine regulator, and we also found that Ecrg4

suppression reversed the abnormal spine phenotype in neurons derived from

schizophrenia model mice. Ecrg4 encodes a precursor protein of hormone-like

peptides [57]. Exogenously expressed Ecrg4 exhibited a punctate pattern in both axonal

and dendritic compartments, with a fraction of clusters present on the cell surface. This

observation indicates that Ecrg4 may be secreted locally by axons or dendrites,

thereby influencing synapses and adjacent neurons. Several signaling pathways act

downstream of Ecrg4, including the stress-related NF-κB pathway and PI3K/Akt/mTOR

pathway[53]. Ecrg4-derived peptides may also act through Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) or

multiple scavenger receptors, such as LOX1[57]. While Ecrg4-related signaling pathways

have been studied primarily in the context of inflammation and infection, there are few

studies on Ecrg4-related signaling pathways in neurons. However, an Ecrg4-deficient

mouse model demonstrated enhancements in neural stem cell proliferation and spatial

learning, suggesting that overexpression may disrupt hippocampal function[52]. We

suggest that Ecrg4 may be a critical regulator of neural development and

synaptogenesis in the hippocampus. Further studies are warranted to identify Ecrg4

receptors and downstream signaling pathways and to investigate the potential

dysfunction of these pathways in schizophrenia mouse models.

The nanoscale features of dendritic spines in ASD mouse models were more

variable than those in schizophrenia mouse models. This difference may be related to

the broader clinical spectrum of ASD, which ranges from mild impairments in social

skills to severe intellectual disability. It is possible that the four ASD mouse models

examined in this study, $Nlgn3^{R451C/(y \text{ or } R451C)}$, $Syngap1^{+/-}$, $POGZ^{Q1038R/+}$, and $15q11$-

$13^{dup/+}$, represent subgroups with different levels of hippocampal dysfunction. Among

the four ASD mouse models, $15q11$-$13^{dup/+}$ showed population-level spine properties

closer to those of the schizophrenia models, and several clinical studies have reported

that CNVs in the 15q11-13 chromosomal region also confer an increased risk of

schizophrenia[58,59]. Analysis of the relationships between rare genetic variants and

synapse phenotypes in mouse models may contribute to the eventual categorization of

diseases based on neural circuit function rather than heterogenous and overlapping

symptoms.

The most important advantage of our analytical method is reproducibility,

despite the substantial heterogeneity in genotype and phenotype among these mouse

models. Thus, we are confident that the spine population analysis described here will

help researchers in different laboratories obtain reliable and comprehensive information

on spine properties. Further, this method could facilitate comparisons among a large

number of mouse models harboring genetic mutations related to mental disorders.

Spine morphology as measured in a reduced culture system can also demonstrate

direct effects of gene mutations on neuronal phenotypes in the absence of indirect

influences from nonneuronal cells or specific environments. Ultimately, these studies

may identify the shared and specific pathogenic mechanisms of psychiatric disorders

and lead to improved diagnosis and treatments.

Materials and Methods

Neuronal culture and genotyping of tissue samples from genetically modified mice

The following mouse models of psychiatric disorders were examined for spine nanostructural characteristics: male hemizygous or female homozygous neuroligin-3 R451C mutant (Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$), heterozygous Syngap1 mutant mice (Syngap1$^{+/-}$), heterozygous POGZ mutant mice (POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$), mice with duplication of chromosome 7C (15q11-13$^{dup/+}$; corresponding to human 15q11-13 duplication), mice heterozygous for deletion on chromosome 16B2,3 (3q29$^{del/+}$; corresponding to human 3q29 deletion), mice heterozygous for deletion on chromosome 16qA13 (22q11.2$^{del/+}$; corresponding to 1.5 Mb deletion on human 22q11.2), mice heterozygous for Setd1a mutantion (Setd1a$^{+/-}$), and Ca^{2+}/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase IIα kinase-dead mutant mice (CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$). All animal experiments were reviewed and approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Tokyo (permission number A2023M031-02).

For the production of embryos harboring heterozygous mutations, male heterozygous mutants were bred with wild-type female C57BL/6J mice (Japan SLC). For the production of Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ embryos, Nlgn3$^{R451C/y}$ males and Nlgn3$^{R451C/+}$ females were crossed. Embryos of CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$ mice were obtained by crossing heterozygous CaMKIIα$^{K42R/+}$ males and females. Both male and female embryos were used for the primary culture. Control cultures were prepared from embryos without disease-related mutations on the background of C57BL/6J.

Genomic DNA was purified from E16 embryos prior to brain dissection using the QuickGene DNA tissue kit (WAKO), and genotypes were determined by PCR using

either KapaTaq (Kapa Biosystems) or KOD FX Neo (TOYOBO) following the standard

protocols provided by the manufacturers. The primer sequences used for genotyping

were as follows:

Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ genotyping:

Sense primer: 5′- GGTCAGAGCTGTCATTGTCAC-3′

Antisense primer: 5'- TGTACCAGGAATGGGAAGCAG-3'

Syngap1$^{+/-}$ genotyping:

Sense primer for WT: 5'-GTCAGTGGGACATGGAAGTAG-3'

Sense primer for mutant: 5′-CTTCCTCGTGCTTTACGGTATC-3′

Antisense primer (common): 5'-CTGATCAGCCTGTCAGCAATG-3'

POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$ genotyping:

Sense primer for WT: 5'- TCTGTGAAGAAGCTTCGGGTAGTAC-3'

Antisense primer for WT: 5′-GTCTCCTCATTTACAGGGAGCT-3′

Sense primer for mutant: 5'- GCAGCGGCTCCCCGTTAAC-3'

Antisense primer for mutant: 5′- AGCGCACAGCCCACTCATAG-3′

15q11-13$^{dup/+}$ genotyping:

Sense primer: 5'- AGAGGAGGGCCTTACTAATTACTTA-3'

Antisense primer: 5′-ATATGTACTTTTGCATATAGTATAC -3′

3q29$^{del/+}$ genotyping:

Sense primer for WT: 5'- TTGGCACCACTCGCCCAAGTTATATCCACC-3'

Sense primer for mutant: 5'- CAAGGGGGAGGATTGGGAAGACAATAGCAG-3'

Antisense primer (common): 5'- GGTCATGCAAATTCTAGCAGTGAGTCATGAC-3'

22q11.2$^{del/+}$ genotyping:

Sense primer for WT: 5'- GAGAAAGTGGGTGGGAAGGC -3'

Antisense primer for WT: 5'- GTCCCTCGCCACAGTCATAA -3'

Sense primer for mutant: 5'- CTAAGGAATGGTTCCGGCCA -3'

Antisense primer for mutant: 5′- TTTCACGGAGGCGGTATTCA-3′

Setd1a$^{+/-}$ genotyping:

Sense primer: 5′-CTCGCCGCCATTTCTCTACATC-3′

Antisense primer: 5′-GTTCTGGAGGTTCTGGAGGTG-3′

CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$ genotyping:

Sense primer: 5'-GGTCTTGAAGACTGTCTGGTGTGAGA-3'

Antisense primer: 5′-CACAGGCCAGTTTAGGTCTTGCTAGG-3′

After genotyping, primary hippocampal neuron cultures were prepared from mutant and

corresponding control lines as described previously[12,60]. In brief, E16 hippocampi were

dissected, minced, treated with trypsin (Gibco) and DNase (SIGMA), and dissociated

mechanically into a cell suspension. Cells were resuspended in minimum essential

medium supplemented with B18 supplement, L-glutamine (Gibco), and 5% fetal calf

serum (Equitech-Bio), and plated onto glass-bottom dishes (MatTek, #1.5) precoated

with poly-L-lysine (SIGMA). Glial proliferation was prevented by adding 5 µM Ara-C

(SIGMA) 2 days after plating. For the comparison of spine nanostructure between wild-

type and mutant neurons, culture samples were processed for imaging at 18-22 DIV.

For pharmacological treatment, 10 µM CNQX or 20 µM bicuculline was applied to the

culture medium at 13 DIV and samples were processed for imaging at 19 DIV.

Transfection and fluorescence labeling of primary hippocampal neurons

Primary neurons were transfected with the indicated vectors using the calcium

phosphate precipitation method at 8–9 DIV according to a previously described

procedure[61]. All fluorescent proteins were expressed under the control of the β-actin

promoter.

The expression of the shRNA constructs was induced using the pSilencer vector

system. Briefly, the 19-nt target sequence and the reverse complement sequence of

the target were ligated into the shRNA expression vector separated by a 9-nt spacer

loop (TCTCTTGAA) (pSilencer 2.0-U6; Invitrogen). Stealth RNAi Negative Control

Duplexes (Invitrogen) were used as control shRNAs. The targeting sequences for

mouse Met, Arhgap15, and Ecrg4 are as follows:

Met RNAi sequence:

5'-GCAGTGAATTAGTTCGCTA-3

Arhgap15 RNAi sequence:

5'-AAGACAGATGTGAACATAC-3

Ecrg4 RNAi sequence:

5'- GAGGCTAAATTTGAAGAT-3'

The suppression of target protein expression by these transfected shRNAs was tested

by immunoblotting lysates of COS-7 cells co-transfected with a target gene construct

fused to a sequence encoding amino acids 98–106 of human influenza hemagglutinin

(HA), all under the control of the β-actin promoter. Overexpression of Cip4, Ecrg4, and

Npas4, genes upregulated in cultures derived from mouse models of schizophrenia,

was induced by transfection of plasmids containing the corresponding HA-fused cDNA

under the control of the β-actin promoter. Briefly, mouse Trip10 transcript variant 4

(Cip4; NM_001242391) and mouse Ecrg4 (NM_024283) were cloned, and an HA tag

was inserted into the C-terminus. Mouse Npas4 (NM_153553) was cloned according to

a previous report[62] and fused with a Flag-HA tag at its C-terminus. Human

neuropeptide Y (NPY) tagged with green fluorescent protein (GFP, a kind gift from Dr.

J. Takaska, NIH) was expressed under the control of the β-actin promoter.

For cell surface labeling of individual neurons in culture, cells were fixed and stained

with 1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate (DiI; Molecular

Probes) as described previously[12].

Immunocytochemistry

Cultured hippocampal neurons expressing HA-tagged constructs were fixed with 2%

paraformaldehyde in PBS, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS, blocked with

5% normal goat serum, and reacted with rabbit anti-HA antibody (1:500; MBL) and

mouse anti-β-galactosidase antibody (1:500, SIGMA). After washing with PBS,

samples were reacted with Alexa Fluor 546-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody

(1:500; Invitrogen) and Alexa Fluor 647-labeled goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (1:500;

Invitrogen). For cell surface labeling with GFP, neurons expressing Ecrg4-HA-SEP

(superecliptic pHluorin) were reacted with anti-GFP VHH/nanobody conjugated to

Alexa Fluor 647 (1:4,000; Chromotek) in culture medium at 37°C.

Structured illumination microscopy (SIM) imaging

For 3D-SIM imaging, we used an inverted microscope (ECLIPSE Ti-E, NIKON)

equipped with an N-SIM-E system, 405, 488, 561, and 640 nm diode lasers (LU-NV,

NIKON), and an oil-immersion TIRF objective lens (SR Apo TIRF 100 ×, N.A. 1.49,

NIKON). All SIM images were acquired under strictly controlled conditions, including a

stage temperature of 28–29°C, to minimize the position and aberration fluctuations.

Spherical aberrations induced by refractive index mismatch were reduced by manually

adjusting the objective lens correction collar. Images were acquired using an EMCCD

camera (iXon3 DU-897E, Andor Technology) with 512 × 512 pixels (each pixel, 16 µm

square) operated in the read-out mode at 1 MHz with 16-bit analog-to-digital

conversion of the EM gain. All image-processing steps were performed in three

dimensions. The acquired image datasets were computationally reconstructed using

the reconstruction stack algorithm V2.10 of NIS-Elements AR (NIKON). An image stack

consisted of 63 axial (z) planes of 512 × 512 pixels in the x- and y-dimensions, with

pixel size of 32 × 32 nm. All 3D images were reconstructed in 120-nm z-steps spanning

7.56 µm in the z-axis. The voxel size fulfilled the Nyquist criterion requirements. Only

dendritic segments spatially isolated from other fluorescent objects were selected for

analysis because objects brighter than the targeted dendritic segments made image

thresholding unreliable. Quality checks of the acquired SIM images were performed as

described previously using the SIMcheck plugin for ImageJ[63].

Spine isolation and shape measurement

Spines were isolated by automated image thresholding using a previously described

method[12]. Briefly, the reconstructed SIM image stacks were first processed by

multilevel thresholding using the MATLAB built-in function [multithresh()] to produce

binary images, which were further processed by geodesic active contours using the

MATLAB built-in function [activecontour()]. The binary image stacks generated by

thresholding the SIM images were then processed for the automated detection of

spines. First, the dendritic shafts were fitted with elliptic cylinders and voxel clusters

outside the best-fit elliptic cylinders were identified as spine candidates. Next, the spine

candidates were classified by their volumes and shapes. After selection, the junction

between the dendritic shaft and spine was determined. Finally, the nanostructural

parameters of isolated spines were measured. These steps are basically the same as

those in our previous algorithm, but several points were improved, as described in the

following sections (The original code for SIM image processing is available at

https://shigeookabe.github.io/download-page-SIM/

with a password of "simspineimage").

(1) Dendritic shaft fitting

We first estimated the shaft volume using the custom-made function "SIM_Fitdendrite"

as previously described. In the new algorithm, the candidate spines were subsequently

evaluated to determine whether their bases were large and spread on the shaft

surface. If the spine base was large, the basal voxels were removed using the function

"SIM_Removevoxel."

(2) Selection of the spines

Using the custom-made function "SIM_Position," spines with volumes too small, too

elongated, or located at the image edge were removed as previously described. Small

spine candidates with volumes less than twice the volume of a rectangular cuboid with

edge lengths equal to the theoretical resolutions of SIM images (<0.01 μm^3) were

rejected.

(3) Adjustment of the spine–shaft junction

We determined the location of spine–shaft junction using the custom-made function

"SIM_Junction." This step was identical to our previous algorithm. Next, spines with

more than one connection site to the shaft were detected using the function

"SIM_Neck" and eliminated from further analysis.

(4) Measurement of nanostructural parameters

First, the angle of the spines was adjusted to be perpendicular to the long axis of the

dendritic shaft and within the xy-plane using the function "SIM_Angle." Next,

nanostructural parameters were measured using the function "SIM_Shape." In our

previous spine shape measurement study, a polygon mesh-based calculation was

performed. In the current study, we took a different approach using voxel-based

measurement. The calculation of structural parameters using voxel data was more

straightforward and required fewer calculation steps than the method based on polygon

mesh data. Spines were divided into 160-nm segments along the long axis using the

function "SIM_Shape." These spine segments were used to calculate the following 64

nanostructural parameters: spine length, surface area, base surface area, total volume,

volume of each spine segment (20 segments), convex hull volume of each spine

segment (20 segments), and convex hull ratio of each spine segment (20 segments).

Analysis of the spine population data

Differences in spine phenotype among model mice were analyzed at the population

level by PCA. We selected five parameters (spine length, base surface, total volume,

and volumes of the fifth and fifteenth spine segments) for the PCA-based dimensional

reduction. Two parameters that reflect the principal structural features (length and

volume) were first selected. Second, three other parameters that were mutually

independent and also independent from the first two parameters were chosen (pairwise

correlation coefficients < 0.3). The first three principal components (PC1–PC3) covered

approximately 90% of the variance in the data.

The 2D presentation of spine population data using PC1 and PC2 reflected the overall

pattern of the spine shape distribution, with thin, mushroom, and stubby spines

separated in the feature space. Next, relative spine densities (number per area in the

feature space) were mapped onto 80 × 80 square blocks spanning the standard

deviation of ±4. Subsequently, two density plots, one derived from culture samples of

disease model mice and the other from corresponding control mice, were subtracted to

extract the differences in spine numbers with similar shape properties. The similarity

between the two subtracted density plots was evaluated by calculating the 2D cross-

correlation C using the following equation

$$C = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} X(m,n)Y(m,n)}{\sqrt{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} X(m,n)X(m,n)} \sqrt{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} Y(m,n)Y(m,n)}},$$

where X and Y correspond to the two subtracted density matrices. The 11 different

subtracted density plots shown in Figure 2 were clustered using the MATLAB function

"linkage," and the average distance between all pairs of elements in the two samples

was calculated using the cluster algorithm.

Areas in the feature space enriched with mutant or control spines were then extracted

by searching the 80 × 80 square blocks and identifying those where the difference in

relative density was larger than the mean plus 3 × SD. Spine lengths, volumes, and

axial profiles were then analyzed within areas enriched with either mutant or control

spines.

700   **Time-lapse imaging of dendritic spines and image analysis**

701   Live cell 3D imaging was performed using an A1 confocal laser scanning microscope

702   (NIKON) equipped with 405, 488, 561, and 640 nm diode lasers (LU-NV, NIKON). Cells

703   at 15–18 DIV were maintained at 37°C under a continuous flow of 5% CO_2 to maintain

704   medium pH using a heater stage system (INUG2H-TIZSH, Tokai Hit) with a lid

705   designed for a glass-bottom dish to minimize evaporation. Horizontal and vertical drifts

706   were controlled by a motorized stage and a perfect focus system, respectively. Images

707   were acquired with an oil immersion objective lens (60×, NA) 1.4, NIKON) at a confocal

708   aperture of 1 AU. The imaged volume was 30.7 × 30.7 × 7.56 µm in x, y, and z

709   directions, respectively, and image stacks consisted of 17 planes separated by 500 nm.

710   Time-lapse images were recorded either at short intervals (15 min intervals for 24 h) or

711   long intervals (two time points separated by 24 h). Cultured neurons imaged at 24-h

712   interval were then fixed and immunostained with anti-HA to confirm the expression

713   levels of the transfected genes.

714   The image stacks obtained by time-lapse imaging were analyzed using custom-made

715   MATLAB scripts. First, 2D images were generated by maximal intensity projection of z-

716   stack images. These 2D images were processed to transform the outlines of dendritic

717   shafts to be aligned with straight lines. Spines were subsequently identified as objects

718   extending beyond the straight edges of the dendritic shafts. Identified spines were

719   segmented, and total fluorescence intensities were measured as a proxy for spine

720   volume. We classified the spines as stable (present throughout the imaging period),

721   newly formed (appearing within 24 h imaging period), and eliminated (lost within 24 h

722   imaging period). For newly formed and eliminated spines, we generated temporal

723   profiles of growth and shrinkage using the total fluorescence intensity values.

RNA sequencing and gene expression analysis

Total RNA was isolated from cultured hippocampal neurons at 19 DIV using the

RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) with the RNase-Free DNase Set (QIAGEN) according to

the manufacturer's protocols. The quality of the extracted RNA was initially assessed

using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer, and the RNA integrity number (RIN) score was

calculated for each sample using a Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). The yields were

quantified using a Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sequencing libraries

were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for directional

libraries (New England BioLabs) and the KAPA HTP Library Preparation Kit (KAPA

Biosystems) according to the manufacturers' protocols. Paired-end RNA-seq libraries

were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq and MGI DNBSEQ-G400 platforms. Three

independent culture preparations were used for RNA sequencing of each mouse model

and control library. Following quality control, the reads were aligned with the mouse

reference genome GRCm38/mm10 (GenBank assembly ID: GCA_000001635.2).

Expression levels of genes were analyzed based on the transcripts per million and

differential expression analysis was conducted using the DESeq2 package in R[64].

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were selected according to an adjusted P <

0.05 and a (log2)-fold change greater than 0.5.

Simulation of spine development

Spine growth was simulated using a dendrite model consisting of 1,000 segments,

each 0.25 μm in length (250 μm in total). The spine distribution was updated every 20

min over 10 days, covering the initial phase of spine development from 8 DIV to 17

DIV. The initial probability of new spine generation was set at 7.5×10^{-3} per segment

per hour and decreased thereafter in proportion to the accrued spine density increase

(by the final 24 h, the probability of spine generation [mean ± SD] was $3.2 \times 10^{-3} \pm 0.23$

$\times 10^{-3}$/segment/h). This probability function yielded an average of 1.2 ± 0.22 new

spines per 10 μm of dendrite every 24 h, in good agreement with the spine dynamics

measured by time-lapse imaging from 15–18 DIV (1.3 ± 0.63 new spines per 10 μm of

dendrite every 24 h). The model also set the increase in spine volume (V1) from $6.7 \times$

10^{-3} to 3.3×10^{-2} in 20 min. Spines in the early growth phase were treated as unstable,

with loss probability P1 ranging from 9.0×10^{-3} to 0.1 every 20 min. Spines were

modeled as stabilized when the individual volume reached a relative value of 1.0.

These stabilized spines then entered a shrinking phase with a probability P2 ranging

from 2.9×10^{-3} to 4.0×10^{-3} in 20 min. The shrinking rate V2 was set from 6.7×10^{-3} to

3.3×10^{-2} per 20 min. In simulations, the four parameters V1, V2, P1, and P2 were

varied within these indicated ranges to find the combination producing the best fit with

experimental data on the difference in spine lifetime distribution profile and turnover

rate at 24 h (the actual measurement interval). The simulation with the best-fit

parameters yielded a spine density comparable to measured data (0.57 ± 0.029 vs.

0.70 ± 0.17 spines

/μm of dendrite).

Western blotting

Total protein extracts from cultured hippocampal neurons were separated into cytosolic

and membrane fractions using Trident Membrane Protein Extraction Kit (GeneTex)

according to the manufacturer's protocol and separated on 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate

(SDS)-polyacrylamide gels or 15%–20% Tricine-SDS-polyacrylamide gels. The

separated proteins were then transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Millipore) or

PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad) using a wet transfer system. Membranes were blocked

with 5% bovine serum albumin in Tris-buffered saline plus Tween-20 (TBS-T, 20 mM

Tris-HCl, 137 mM NaCl, 0.2% Tween-20) for 1 h at room temperature, then incubated

overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-Ecrg4 (1:1,000, SIGMA), rabbit anti-GluA1 (1:1,000,

Nittobo Medical), and mouse anti-α-tubulin (1:1,000, SIGMA) as indicated. The

membranes were washed and incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody

against mouse or rabbit IgG (1:10,000, Amersham) for 2 h. Signals from the target

protein bands were detected using a SuperSignal™ West Atto detection kit (Pierce)

and imaged for quantitation (densitometry) using a ChemiDoc imaging system (Bio-

Rad).

Statistics

The statistical tests used for each experiment and the sample numbers (of neurons,

dendrites, or spines) are indicated in the corresponding figure legends. Statistical

significance was determined using the Wilcoxon rank sum test for two independent

samples (Figures 3, 5, and 8), two-way ANOVA for genotype and time (Figure 5), or

one-way ANOVA with post hoc Dunnett's tests between groups (Figure 7). Statistical

significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

Acknowledgments

We thank Toru Takumi for the 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$ mice, Katsuhiko Tabuchi for Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or}$ $^{R451C)}$ mice, Yoko Yamagata for CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$ mice, and Justin Taraska for the NPY tagged with GFP. This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (20H00481, 20H05894, 20H05895 to S.O.), the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (JP19gm1310003 to S.O., T. N., and Y. G., JP22jm0210097 to S.O., JP19dm0207071 to A. A.), the Naito Foundation, and the Uehara Memorial Foundation.

Author contributions

Y.K. and S.O. conceived of the project and designed the methodology. Y.K. and Q.L. performed the experiments. R. S., A.A. and T.N. provided the animal resources and provided advice on analysis. Y.G. conducted the gene expression analysis. S.O. and Y.K. wrote the manuscript, with contributions from A.A., T.N., and Y.G.

Declarations of interests

The authors declare no competing interests. Additional affiliation of the authors is as follows; Shigeo Okabe; Director, RIKEN Center for Brain Science.

Declarations of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

The authors utilized Grammarly (https://app.grammarly.com/) for editing the text. The authors take full responsibility for the contents of the manuscript.

Resource availability

The original code for SIM image processing is available at

https://shigeookabe.github.io/download-page-SIM/

with a password of "simspineimage".

References

1.   Rochefort, N.L., and Konnerth, A. (2012). Dendritic spines: from structure to in
vivo function. EMBO Rep *13*, 699–708.
https://doi.org/10.1038/EMBOR.2012.102.
2.   Sala, C., and Segal, M. (2014). Dendritic spines: the locus of structural and
functional plasticity. Physiol Rev *94*, 141–188.
https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00012.2013.
3.   Matsuzaki, M., Ellis-Davies, G.C.R., Nemoto, T., Miyashita, Y., Iino, M., and
Kasai, H. (2001). Dendritic spine geometry is critical for AMPA receptor
expression in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. Nat Neurosci *4*, 1086–1092.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NN736.
4.   Matsuzaki, M., Honkura, N., Ellis-Davies, G.C.R., and Kasai, H. (2004).
Structural basis of long-term potentiation in single dendritic spines. Nature *429*,
761–766. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02617.
5.   Nägerl, U.V., Eberhorn, N., Cambridge, S.B., and Bonhoeffer, T. (2004).
Bidirectional activity-dependent morphological plasticity in hippocampal neurons.
Neuron *44*, 759–767. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.11.016.
6.   Zhou, Q., Homma, K.J., and Poo, M.M. (2004). Shrinkage of dendritic spines
associated with long-term depression of hippocampal synapses. Neuron *44*,
749–757. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.11.011.
7.   Loewenstein, Y., Kuras, A., and Rumpel, S. (2011). Multiplicative dynamics
underlie the emergence of the log-normal distribution of spine sizes in the
neocortex in vivo. Journal of Neuroscience *31*, 9481–9488.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6130-10.2011.
8.   Loewenstein, Y., Yanover, U., and Rumpel, S. (2015). Predicting the dynamics of
network connectivity in the neocortex. Journal of Neuroscience *35*, 12535–
12544. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2917-14.2015.
9.   Statman, A., Kaufman, M., Minerbi, A., Ziv, N.E., and Brenner, N. (2014).
Synaptic size dynamics as an effectively stochastic process. PLoS Comput Biol
10. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PCBI.1003846.
10. Hazan, L., and Ziv, N.E. (2020). Activity dependent and independent
determinants of synaptic size diversity. J Neurosci *40*, 2828–2848.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2181-19.2020.
11. Tønnesen, J., Katona, G., Rózsa, B., and Nägerl, U.V. (2014). Spine neck
plasticity regulates compartmentalization of synapses. Nat Neurosci *17*, 678–
685. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3682.

853 12. Kashiwagi, Y., Higashi, T., Obashi, K., Sato, Y., Komiyama, N.H., Grant, S.G.N.,
854   and Okabe, S. (2019). Computational geometry analysis of dendritic spines by
855   structured illumination microscopy. Nat Commun *10*, 1285.
856   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09337-0.

857 13. Zaccard, C.R., Shapiro, L., Martin-de-Saavedra, M.D., Pratt, C., Myczek, K.,
858   Song, A., Forrest, M.P., and Penzes, P. (2020). Rapid 3D enhanced resolution
859   microscopy reveals diversity in dendritic spinule dynamics, regulation, and
860   function. Neuron *107*, 522–537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.04.025.

861 14. Penzes, P., Cahill, M.E., Jones, K.A., VanLeeuwen, J.-E., and Woolfrey, K.M.
862   (2011). Dendritic spine pathology in neuropsychiatric disorders. Nat Neurosci *14*,
863   285–293. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2741.

864 15. Fromer, M., Pocklington, A.J., Kavanagh, D.H., Williams, H.J., Dwyer, S.,
865   Gormley, P., Georgieva, L., Rees, E., Palta, P., Ruderfer, D.M., et al. (2014). De
866   novo mutations in schizophrenia implicate synaptic networks. Nature *506*, 179–
867   184. https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE12929.

868 16. Rodriguez-Murillo, L., Gogos, J.A., and Karayiorgou, M. (2012). The genetic
869   architecture of schizophrenia: new mutations and emerging paradigms. Annu
870   Rev Med *63*, 63–80. https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-MED-072010-091100.

871 17. Gulsuner, S., Walsh, T., Watts, A.C., Lee, M.K., Thornton, A.M., Casadei, S.,
872   Rippey, C., Shahin, H., Nimgaonkar, V.L., Go, R.C.P., et al. (2013). Spatial and
873   temporal mapping of de novo mutations in schizophrenia to a fetal prefrontal
874   cortical network. Cell *154*, 518–529.
875   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2013.06.049.

876 18. Bourgeron, T. (2015). From the genetic architecture to synaptic plasticity in
877   autism spectrum disorder. Nat Rev Neurosci *16*, 551–563.
878   https://doi.org/10.1038/NRN3992.

879 19. Terashima, H., Minatohara, K., Maruoka, H., and Okabe, S. (2022). Imaging
880   neural circuit pathology of autism spectrum disorders: autism-associated genes,
881   animal models and the application of in vivo two-photon imaging. Microscopy
882   (Oxf) *71*, I81–I99. https://doi.org/10.1093/JMICRO/DFAB039.

883 20. Zieger, H.L., and Choquet, D. (2021). Nanoscale synapse organization and
884   dysfunction in neurodevelopmental disorders. Neurobiol Dis *158,* 105453.
885   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NBD.2021.105453.

886 21. Yilmaz, M., Yalcin, E., Presumey, J., Aw, E., Ma, M., Whelan, C.W., Stevens, B.,
887   McCarroll, S.A., and Carroll, M.C. (2021). Overexpression of schizophrenia
888   susceptibility factor human complement C4A promotes excessive synaptic loss

and behavioral changes in mice. Nat Neurosci *24*, 214–224.

https://doi.org/10.1038/S41593-020-00763-8.

22. Obi-Nagata, K., Suzuki, N., Miyake, R., MacDonald, M.L., Fish, K.N., Ozawa, K.,

Nagahama, K., Okimura, T., Tanaka, S., Kano, M., et al. (2023). Distorted

neurocomputation by a small number of extra-large spines in psychiatric

disorders. Sci Adv *9*, eade5973. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIADV.ADE5973.

23. Mukai, J., Dhilla, A., Drew, L.J., Stark, K.L., Cao, L., MacDermott, A.B.,

Karayiorgou, M., and Gogos, J.A. (2008). Palmitoylation-dependent

neurodevelopmental deficits in a mouse model of 22q11 microdeletion. Nat

Neurosci *11*, 1302–1310. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2204.

24. Nakai, N., Takumi, T., Nakai, J., and Sato, M. (2018). Common defects of spine

dynamics and circuit function in neurodevelopmental disorders: A systematic

review of findings from in vivo optical imaging of mouse models. Front Neurosci

12. https://doi.org/10.3389/FNINS.2018.00412/PDF.

25. Shcheglovitov, A., Shcheglovitova, O., Yazawa, M., Portmann, T., Shu, R.,

Sebastiano, V., Krawisz, A., Froehlich, W., Bernstein, J.A., Hallmayer, J.F., et al.

(2013). SHANK3 and IGF1 restore synaptic deficits in neurons from 22q13

deletion syndrome patients. Nature *503*, 267–271.

https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE12618.

26. Llamosas, N., Arora, V., Vij, R., Kilinc, M., Bijoch, L., Rojas, C., Reich, A.,

Sridharan, B.P., Willems, E., Piper, D.R., et al. (2020). SYNGAP1 controls the

maturation of dendrites, synaptic function, and network activity in developing

human neurons. J Neurosci *40*, 7980–7994.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1367-20.2020.

27. Yamamoto, K., Kuriu, T., Matsumura, K., Nagayasu, K., Tsurusaki, Y., Miyake,

N., Yamamori, H., Yasuda, Y., Fujimoto, M., Fujiwara, M., et al. (2021). Multiple

alterations in glutamatergic transmission and dopamine D2 receptor splicing in

induced pluripotent stem cell-derived neurons from patients with familial

schizophrenia. Transl Psychiatry *11*, 548. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41398-021-

01676-1.

28. Wen, Z., Nguyen, H.N., Guo, Z., Lalli, M.A., Wang, X., Su, Y., Kim, N.S., Yoon,

K.J., Shin, J., Zhang, C., et al. (2014). Synaptic dysregulation in a human iPS

cell model of mental disorders. Nature *515*, 414–418.

https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE13716.

29. Chisholm, K., Lin, A., Abu-Akel, A., and Wood, S.J. (2015). The association

between autism and schizophrenia spectrum disorders: A review of eight

alternate models of co-occurrence. Neurosci Biobehav Rev *55*, 173–183.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUBIOREV.2015.04.012.

30.   De Crescenzo, F., Postorino, V., Siracusano, M., Riccioni, A., Armando, M.,

Curatolo, P., and Mazzone, L. (2019). Autistic symptoms in schizophrenia

spectrum disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Psychiatry *10*.

https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYT.2019.00078/PDF.

31.   Nenadić, I., Meller, T., Evermann, U., Schmitt, S., Pfarr, J.K., Abu-Akel, A., and

Grezellschak, S. (2021). Subclinical schizotypal vs. autistic traits show

overlapping and diametrically opposed facets in a non-clinical population.

Schizophr Res *231*, 32–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCHRES.2021.02.018.

32.   Crespi, B., and Badcock, C. (2008). Psychosis and autism as diametrical

disorders of the social brain. Behav Brain Sci *31*, 241–261.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X08004214.

33.   Pratt, J., Winchester, C., Dawson, N., and Morris, B. (2012). Advancing

schizophrenia drug discovery: optimizing rodent models to bridge the

translational gap. Nat Rev Drug Discov *11*, 560–570.

https://doi.org/10.1038/NRD3649.

34.   Isshiki, M., Tanaka, S., Kuriu, T., Tabuchi, K., Takumi, T., and Okabe, S. (2014).

Enhanced synapse remodelling as a common phenotype in mouse models of

autism. Nat Commun *5*, 4742. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5742.

35.   Lee, E., Lee, J., and Kim, E. (2017). Excitation/inhibition imbalance in animal

models of autism spectrum disorders. Biol Psychiatry *81*, 838–847.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOPSYCH.2016.05.011.

36.   Tabuchi, K., Blundell, J., Etherton, M.R., Hammer, R.E., Liu, X., Powell, C.M.,

and Südhof, T.C. (2007). A neuroligin-3 mutation implicated in autism increases

inhibitory synaptic transmission in mice. Science *318*, 71–76.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1146221.

37.   Etherton, M., Földy, C., Sharma, M., Tabuchi, K., Liu, X., Shamloo, M., Malenka,

R.C., and Südhof, T.C. (2011). Autism-linked neuroligin-3 R451C mutation

differentially alters hippocampal and cortical synaptic function. Proc Natl Acad

Sci U S A *108*, 13764–13769. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1111093108.

38.   Komiyama, N.H., Watabe, A.M., Carlisle, H.J., Porter, K., Charlesworth, P.,

Monti, J., Strathdee, D.J.C., O'Carroll, C.M., Martin, S.J., Morris, R.G.M., et al.

(2002). SynGAP regulates ERK/MAPK signaling, synaptic plasticity, and learning

in the complex with postsynaptic density 95 and NMDA receptor. The Journal of

Neuroscience *22*, 9721–9732. https://doi.org/22/22/9721 [pii].

961 39. Matsumura, K., Seiriki, K., Okada, S., Nagase, M., Ayabe, S., Yamada, I.,
962   Furuse, T., Shibuya, H., Yasuda, Y., Yamamori, H., et al. (2020). Pathogenic
963   POGZ mutation causes impaired cortical development and reversible autism-like
964   phenotypes. Nat Commun *11*, 859. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14697-z.

965 40. Nakatani, J., Tamada, K., Hatanaka, F., Ise, S., Ohta, H., Inoue, K., Tomonaga,
966   S., Watanabe, Y., Chung, Y.J., Banerjee, R., et al. (2009). Abnormal behavior in
967   a chromosome- engineered mouse model for human 15q11-13 duplication seen
968   in autism. Cell *137*, 1235–1246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.04.024.

969 41. Baba, M., Yokoyama, K., Seiriki, K., Naka, Y., Matsumura, K., Kondo, M.,
970   Yamamoto, K., Hayashida, M., Kasai, A., Ago, Y., et al. (2019). Psychiatric-
971   disorder-related behavioral phenotypes and cortical hyperactivity in a mouse
972   model of 3q29 deletion syndrome. Neuropsychopharmacology *44*, 2125–2135.
973   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-019-0441-5.

974 42. Saito, R., Miyoshi, C., Koebis, M., Kushima, I., Nakao, K., Mori, D., Ozaki, N.,
975   Funato, H., Yanagisawa, M., and Aiba, A. (2021). Two novel mouse models
976   mimicking minor deletions in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome revealed the
977   contribution of each deleted region to psychiatric disorders. Mol Brain *14*.
978   https://doi.org/10.1186/S13041-021-00778-7.

979 43. Nagahama, K., Sakoori, K., Watanabe, T., Kishi, Y., Kawaji, K., Koebis, M.,
980   Nakao, K., Gotoh, Y., Aiba, A., Uesaka, N., et al. (2020). Setd1a insufficiency in
981   mice attenuates excitatory synaptic function and recapitulates schizophrenia-
982   related behavioral abnormalities. Cell Rep *32*, 108126.
983   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELREP.2020.108126.

984 44. Yamagata, Y., Kobayashi, S., Umeda, T., Inoue, A., Sakagami, H., Fukaya, M.,
985   Watanabe, M., Hatanaka, N., Totsuka, M., Yagi, T., et al. (2009). Kinase-dead
986   knock-in mouse reveals an essential role of kinase activity of Ca^{2+}/calmodulin-
987   dependent protein kinase IIα in dendritic spine enlargement, long-term
988   potentiation, and learning. Journal of Neuroscience *29*, 7607–7618.
989   https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0707-09.2009.

990 45. Yamasaki, N., Maekawa, M., Kobayashi, K., Kajii, Y., Maeda, J., Soma, M.,
991   Takao, K., Tanda, K., Ohira, K., Toyama, K., et al. (2008). Alpha-CaMKII
992   deficiency causes immature dentate gyrus, a novel candidate endophenotype of
993   psychiatric disorders. Mol Brain *1*, 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-6606-1-6.

994 46. Featherstone, R.E., Shimada, T., Crown, L.M., Melnychenko, O., Yi, J.,
995   Matsumoto, M., Tajinda, K., Mihara, T., Adachi, M., and Siegel, S.J. (2022).
996   Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase IIα heterozygous knockout mice

show electroencephalogram and behavioral changes characteristic of a

subpopulation of schizophrenia and intellectual impairment. Neuroscience *499*,

104–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROSCIENCE.2022.07.023.

47.   Qiu, S., Lu, Z., and Levitt, P. (2014). MET receptor tyrosine kinase controls

dendritic complexity, spine morphogenesis, and glutamatergic synapse

maturation in the hippocampus. J Neurosci *34*, 16166–16179.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2580-14.2014.

48.   Bloodgood, B.L., Sharma, N., Browne, H.A., Trepman, A.Z., and Greenberg,

M.E. (2013). The activity-dependent transcription factor NPAS4 regulates

domain-specific inhibition. Nature *503*, 121–125.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12743.

49.   Spiegel, I., Mardinly, A.R., Gabel, H.W., Bazinet, J.E., Couch, C.H., Tzeng, C.P.,

Harmin, D.A., and Greenberg, M.E. (2014). Npas4 regulates excitatory-inhibitory

balance within neural circuits through cell-type-specific gene programs. Cell *157*,

1216–1229. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2014.03.058.

50.   Zamboni, V., Armentano, M., Saró, G., Ciraolo, E., Ghigo, A., Germena, G.,

Umbach, A., Valnegri, P., Passafaro, M., Carabelli, V., et al. (2016). Disruption of

ArhGAP15 results in hyperactive Rac1, affects the architecture and function of

hippocampal inhibitory neurons and causes cognitive deficits. Sci Rep *6*.

https://doi.org/10.1038/SREP34877.

51.   Ba, W., Selten, M.M., van der Raadt, J., van Veen, H., Li, L.L., Benevento, M.,

Oudakker, A.R., Lasabuda, R.S.E., Letteboer, S.J., Roepman, R., et al. (2016).

ARHGAP12 functions as a developmental brake on excitatory synapse function.

Cell Rep *14*, 1355–1368. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELREP.2016.01.037.

52.   Nakatani, Y., Kiyonari, H., and Kondo, T. (2019). Ecrg4 deficiency extends the

replicative capacity of neural stem cells in a Foxg1-dependent manner.

Development *146*, dev168120. https://doi.org/10.1242/DEV.168120.

53.   Richter, M., Lalli, E., and Ruggiero, C. (2023). Complex and pleiotropic signaling

pathways regulated by the secreted protein augurin. Cell Commun Signal *21*, 69.

https://doi.org/10.1186/S12964-023-01090-8.

54.   MacDonald, M.L., Alhassan, J., Newman, J.T., Richard, M., Gu, H., Kelly, R.M.,

Sampson, A.R., Fish, K.N., Penzes, P., Wills, Z.P., et al. (2017). Selective loss of

smaller spines in Schizophrenia. American Journal of Psychiatry *174*, 586–594.

https://doi.org/10.1176/APPI.AJP.2017.16070814/SUPPL_FILE/APPI.AJP.2017.

16070814.DS001.PDF.

55.   Pfeiffer, T., Poll, S., Bancelin, S., Angibaud, J., Inavalli, V.K., Keppler, K., Mittag,

M., Fuhrmann, M., and Nägerl, U.V. (2018). Chronic 2P-STED imaging reveals

high turnover of dendritic spines in the hippocampus in vivo. Elife *7*.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34700.

56. Attardo, A., Fitzgerald, J.E., and Schnitzer, M.J. (2015). Impermanence of

dendritic spines in live adult CA1 hippocampus. Nature *523*, 592–596.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14467.

57. Moriguchi, T., Takeda, S., Iwashita, S., Enomoto, K., Sawamura, T., Koshimizu,

U., and Kondo, T. (2018). Ecrg4 peptide is the ligand of multiple scavenger

receptors. Sci Rep *8*. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41598-018-22440-4.

58. Stewart, L.R., Hall, A.L., Kang, S.H.L., Shaw, C.A., and Beaudet, A.L. (2011).

High frequency of known copy number abnormalities and maternal duplication

15q11-q13 in patients with combined schizophrenia and epilepsy. BMC Med

Genet *12*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2350-12-154/TABLES/4.

59. Ingason, A., Kirov, G., Giegling, I., Hansen, T., Isles, A.R., Jakobsen, K.D.,

Kristinsson, K.T., Le Roux, L., Gustafsson, O., Craddock, N., et al. (2011).

Maternally derived microduplications at 15q11-q13: implication of imprinted

genes in psychotic illness. Am J Psychiatry *168*, 408–417.

https://doi.org/10.1176/APPI.AJP.2010.09111660.

60. Okabe, S., Kim, H.D., Miwa, A., Kuriu, T., and Okado, H. (1999). Continual

remodeling of postsynaptic density and its regulation by synaptic activity. Nat

Neurosci *2*, 804–811. https://doi.org/10.1038/12175.

61. Shin, E., Kashiwagi, Y., Kuriu, T., Iwasaki, H., Tanaka, T., Koizumi, H., Gleeson,

J.G., and Okabe, S. (2013). Doublecortin-like kinase enhances dendritic

remodelling and negatively regulates synapse maturation. Nat Commun *4*, 1440.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2443.

62. Ooe, N., Saito, K., Mikami, N., Nakatuka, I., and Kaneko, H. (2004). Identification

of a novel basic helix-loop-helix-PAS factor, NXF, reveals a Sim2 competitive,

positive regulatory role in dendritic-cytoskeleton modulator drebrin gene

expression. Mol Cell Biol *24*, 608–616. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.24.2.608-

616.2004.

63. Ball, G., Demmerle, J., Kaufmann, R., Davis, I., Dobbie, I.M., and Schermelleh,

L. (2015). SIMcheck: a toolbox for successful super-resolution structured

illumination microscopy. Sci Rep *5*, 15915. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15915.

64. Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold

change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol *15*.

https://doi.org/10.1186/S13059-014-0550-8.

1069

Figure 1

1070
1071

Figure 1

SIM imaging of dendritic spines, automated measurements of spine morphology, and

generation of subtracted spine density plots for population-level analysis. (A) Original

SIM image of a dendritic shaft stained with lipophilic membrane dye DiI. (B) Binarized

image of the same dendrite (top) and segmented spines numbered from 1 to 24

(middle). The bottom image shows segmented spines (white) and the dendritic shaft

(blue). (C) Enlarged images of the individual spines shown in (B). The pseudocolor

images indicating the relative positions of the spine segments from the base (blue) to

the tip (yellow). (D) 3D views of three spines (No. 7, 15, and 24) viewed from different

angles. (E) Principal component analysis (PCA)-based dimensional reduction of spine

characteristics plotted in the plane of principal component (PC)1 and PC2. (F) Process

of generating a subtracted density plot. The scatter plot of spine distribution in the

PC1–PC2 plane based on morphological parameters was converted into a density plot

for each culture source (genotype or treatment group), and the corresponding plots

were subtracted to reveal differences in spine morphology at the population level. Bars,

4 µm for A, B, and E, 1 µm for C.

1088

Figure 2

1091

Figure 2

Spine population profiles for each model and corresponding control mouse line

presented as subtracted density plots. (A) The subtracted density plots for eight

disease mouse models (Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, Syngap1$^{+/-}$, POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$, 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$,

3q29$^{del/+}$, 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, Setd1a$^{+/-}$, and CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$) and three different culture

conditions (immature culture at 13 DIV, AMPA glutamate receptor blocker CNQX

treatment, and GABA$_A$ receptor blocker bicuculine treatment). The areas with a higher

density of spines from mutant disease model mice are shown in yellow, and the areas

with reduced density are shown in blue. The total number of spines (first number, n)

analyzed from control and mutant mouse neurons and the corresponding number of

dendrites (number in parentheses) are as follows: Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$; n = 1,134 (58)

and 1,204 (59), Syngap1$^{+/-}$; n = 991 (65) and 1,371 (83), POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$; n = 1,341 (72)

and 1,271 (72), 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$; n = 1, 208 (68) and 1,099 (63), 3q29$^{del/+}$; n = 1,429 (66)

and 1,408 (66), 22q11.2$^{del/+}$; n = 1,143 (66) and 914 (63), Setd1a$^{+/-}$; n = 1,381 (71) and

1,405 (71), CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$; n = 880 (58) and 763 (54). All data are from three

independent culture preparations. (B) Matrix of the 2D cross-correlations among

subtracted density plots. In the lower right area, a spine group showing similar

morphological changes can be identified. This group corresponded to the mouse

models of schizophrenia. (C) Unbiased clustering of spine samples showing two

distinct groups corresponding to schizophrenia (cyan) and ASD (red).

1112

A: wild type > mutant
B: mutant > wild type

1113

Figure 3

1114

Figure 3

Distinct morphological properties of spines in cultured neurons derived from

schizophrenia model mice compared with ASD model mice. (A and B) Areas with large

differences (> 3 × SD) between control and mutant mice in terms of spine number per

area in the feature space. The areas either enriched in the control (area A, blue region)

or mutant (area B, yellow region) are shown. The positions of A and B are reversed

between Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ and 22q11.2$^{del/+}$. The total numbers of spines (n) in areas

A and B were as follows: Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, control in A = 409, mutant in A = 366,

control in B = 226, and mutant in B = 287; 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, control in A = 195, mutant in A =

113, control in B = 268, and mutant in B = 307. Subtraction of spine numbers per area

was performed after normalization by total spine numbers (Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, control

= 1134 and mutant = 1204; 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, control = 1143 and mutant = 914). (C and D)

The relative number of spines distributed in areas A and B. (E and F) Distributions of

spine lengths within the areas A and B. The Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ mouse model of ASD

was enriched in longer spines, whereas the 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ mouse model of schizophrenia

was enriched in shorter spines. (G and H) Spine volumes within areas A and B. The

Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ mouse model was enriched in large spines, while the 22q11.2$^{del/+}$

mouse model was enriched in small spines. (I and J) The profiles of spines along their

long axes. The trend observed for spine length and diameter was reversed between

Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ and 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ mouse models. Statistical significance was

determined using the Wilcoxon rank sum test (Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, n = 775 in area A

and n = 513 in area B; 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, n = 308 in area A and n = 575 in area B).

1137

1138

Figure 4

1139

1140

1141

Figure 4

Time-lapse imaging of neurons derived from 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ schizophrenia model mice and

corresponding control mice. (A and B) Images of dendritic segments from control

neurons (A) and 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ neurons (B) at two different times points. (C and D)

Montages of time-lapse images from control neurons (C) and 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ neurons (D).

The curved dendrites were straightened, revealing newly formed spines (arrowheads)

as fluorescent objects appearing at the edge of the dendritic shafts. Bar, 4 µm.

1149

1150

Figure 5

1151

1152

1153

Figure 5

Turnover rate, lifetime, and growth/shrinkage profiles of dendritic spines in cultured

neurons derived from 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, Setd1a$^{+/-}$, and Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ mice as well as

the corresponding controls. (A-C) Spine turnover rates in the three mutant mouse

models compared to the controls (n = 16 dendrites from control neurons and 11

dendrites from 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ neurons, n = 10 control and n = 14 Setd1a$^{+/-}$ dendrites, n =

8 control and n = 8 Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ dendrites). (D-F) Spine lifetimes for the three

mutant mouse models compared with corresponding controls. (n = 186 (11) control and

n = 166 (7) 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ spines (neurons), n = 82 (5) control and n = 202 (8) Setd1a$^{+/-}$

spines (neurons), n = 98 (8) control and n = 125 (8) Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ spines

(neurons)). (G-I) Temporal patterns of spine growth (n = 11 control and n = 7

22q11.2$^{del/+}$ neurons, n = 5 control and n = 8 Setd1a$^{+/-}$ neurons, n = 7 control and n = 8

Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ neurons). (J-K) Temporal patterns of spine shrinkage (n = 10

control and n = 7 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ neurons, n = 5 control and n = 8 Setd1a$^{+/-}$ neurons, n = 8

control and n = 8 Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ neurons). Statistical significance was determined

using the Wilcoxon rank sum test for two independent samples (A-F) or two-way

ANOVA for genotype and time (G-L).

1171

1172

Figure 6

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

Figure 6

Simulations of long-term spine turnover. (A) The model of spine dynamics. Five

successive phases of spine state transitions were defined. Phase 1: newly formed

spines grow at speed V1. Phase 2: nascent spines are eliminated with probability P1.

Phase 3: nascent spines are stabilized when volume reaches an upper threshold.

Phase 4: stable spines are destabilized with probability P2. Phase 5: spines shrinking

at rate V2 are lost after reaching a lower threshold. (B) Pseudocolor maps of 625

different combinations of these parameters to identify those best fitting the

experimental data. (C) Frequency histograms of spine lifetimes for the three mouse

models and controls (experimental data), and the results of simulations. The bin with a

lifetime of 24 h corresponds to the spines that persisted throughout the imaging period.

(D) Differences in V1, V2, P1, and P2 between mutant mice and controls. (E) Plots of

individual spine turnover simulated using parameters that best fit the experimental data

from control and schizophrenia mouse models. The upper plots show the progression

of spine formation along 200 dendritic segments (50 µm) over 10 days. The lower plots

show the enlargement of the last 24 h of spine turnover.

1194

1195

Figure 7

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

Figure 7

Manipulation of candidate gene expression in wild-type hippocampal neurons and its

effects on spine turnover. (A) Turnover rates of dendritic spines in neurons transfected

with an overexpression plasmid encoding Cip4, Npas4, or Ecrg4, or a plasmid

encoding an shRNA targeting Met or Arhgap15, together with a GFP expression

plasmid. Spine turnover rates were calculated from the images of GFP-expressing

dendrites taken at an interval of 24 h. Among the five DEGs, only the upregulation of

Ecrg4 selectively increased the spine turnover rate. Statistical significance was

determined by one-way ANOVA with post hoc Dunnett's tests between groups (results

from n = 22 dendrites from 11 neurons for all conditions except the Met siRNA groups

where n = 21 dendrites from 11 neurons were included in the analysis). (B)

Fluorescence images of dendritic segments expressing GFP or GFP plus Ecrg4 on

days 1 and 2. Newly formed spines are marked by asterisks. Bar, 2 µm. (C) Images of

dendrites and axons expressing HA-tagged Ecrg4 together with GFP. Anti-HA

immunocytochemistry revealed the presence of immunopositive puncta both in the

dendrites (arrows) and axons (arrowheads). Some clusters could be detected in the

extracellular space (asterisks). The upper image is the overlay of the anti-HA signal

(magenta) and GFP (green). The lower image shows the distribution of the anti-HA

signals. Bar, 5 µm.

1224

1225

Figure 8

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

Figure 8

Altered spine population profiles after suppression of Ecrg4 expression in neurons

derived from schizophrenia mouse models. (A) Transfection of the Ecrg4-shRNA

plasmid or control shRNA plasmid into neurons derived from 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and Setd1a$^{+/-}$

mice altered the subtracted density plots of spine populations. The left plots show the

effect of Ecrg4 shRNA on the mutant background. The middle plots show the

differences between mutant and wild-type neurons both expressing control shRNA. The

right plots show the difference between mutant neurons expressing Ecrg4 shRNA and

wild-type neurons expressing control shRNA. (B) Morphological properties of spines

enriched in neurons derived from 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and Setd1a$^{+/-}$ mice after transfection with

the Ecrg4 shRNA plasmid or the control shRNA plasmid. The areas with more spines

following Ecrg4 silencing are marked as B, while the areas with fewer spines are

marked as A. The spine volume was larger in area B for neurons derived from both

22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and Setd1a$^{+/-}$ mice. Statistical significance was determined using the

Wilcoxon rank sum test (22q11.2$^{del/+}$, n = 1076 in area A and n = 230 in area B;

Setd1a$^{+/-}$, n = 1142 in area A and n = 389 in area B). The profiles of spine radius along

the long axis also confirmed the larger sizes of spines when Ecrg4 expression was

downregulated by shRNA transfection. The numbers of spines and dendritic segments

analyzed (in brackets) for the three conditions (mutant neurons expressing Ecrg4

shRNA, mutant neurons expressing control shRNA, wild-type neurons expressing

control shRNA) are as follows: 22q11.2$^{del/+}$; n = 968 (65), 954 (66), and 965 (66);

Setd1a$^{+/-}$: n = 1,015 (66), 1,001 (66), and 1,168 (66).

1253

1254 **Supplementary Figures and Legends**

Supplementary Figure 1

1255

Supplementary Figure 1

Cumulative frequency plots of spine length, surface area, and volume measured in four

independent experiments performed > 2 months apart. The cumulative frequency

profiles shown as A to D were derived from mouse models Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ (S1),

Syngap1$^{+/-}$ (S2), POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$ (S3), and 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$ (S4). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov

test detected significant differences in only three of 18 possible pairwise comparisons,

surface area of (S1) vs. (S3) (p = 0.017), volume of (S1) vs. (S3) (p = 0.032), and

volume of (S3) vs. (S4) (p = 0.038).

1264

Supplementary Figure 2

1265

1266 **Supplementary Figure 2**

1267 Cumulative frequency plots of spine length, surface area, and volume for the eight

1268 mouse mutants; Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, Syngap1$^{+/-}$, POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$, 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$, 3q29$^{del/+}$,

1269 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, Setd1a$^{+/-}$, and CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$.

A: wild type > mutant
B: mutant > wild type

Supplementary Figure 3

1270

1271

1272 **Supplementary Figure 3**

1273 Areas where control (A: blue) or mutant (B: yellow) spines show a higher density within

1274 the feature space of the PC1-PC2 plane. The plots for all 8 mouse models are

1275 presented.

Supplementary Figure 4

1276

1277

1278 **Supplementary Figure 4**

1279 The relative numbers of spines within areas A and B in the feature space from

1280 Supplementary Figure 3. The densities of mutant spines were higher in area B than in

1281 area A.

1282

1283

Spine length

A: wild type > mutant
B: mutant > wild type

Supplementary Figure 5

1284

1285

Supplementary Figure 5

Spine lengths within areas A and B from Supplementary Figure 3. For three ASD

mouse models (Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, Syngap1$^{+/-}$, and POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$) and two

schizophrenia mouse models (3q29$^{del/+}$ and Setd1a$^{+/-}$), spines in mutant-dominant area

B were longer than in area A. For one ASD mouse model (15q11-13$^{dup/+}$) and two

schizophrenia mouse models (22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$), spines in mutant-

dominant area B were shorter than in area A. Statistical significance was determined

by the Wilcoxon rank sum test. The numbers of control and mutant spines included in

the analysis are as follows: Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, n = 775 in area A and n = 513 in area

B; Syngap1$^{+/-}$, n = 668 in area A and n = 500 in area B; POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$, n = 580 in area

A and n = 815 in area B; 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$, n = 378 in area A and n = 797 in area B;

3q29$^{del/+}$, n = 627 in area A and n = 824 in area B; 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, n = 308 in area A and n

= 575 in area B; Setd1a$^{+/-}$, n = 472 in area A and n = 808 in area B; CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$, n

= 237 in area A and n = 634 in area B.

1300

1301

1302

Supplementary Figure 6

1303

Supplementary Figure 6

Spine volumes within areas A and B from Supplementary Figure 3. For three ASD

mouse models (Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, Syngap1$^{+/-}$, and POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$), spines in mutant-

dominant area B were larger than in area A. For one ASD mouse model (15q11-13$^{dup/+}$)

and four schizophrenia mouse models (3q29$^{del/+}$, 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, Setd1a$^{+/-}$; and

CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$), spines in mutant-dominant area B were smaller than in area A.

Statistical significance was determined using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. The numbers

of spines included in the analysis are provided in the legend for Supplementary Figure

5.

1313

1314

A: wild type > mutant
B: mutant > wild type

Supplementary Figure 7

1315

1316

1317 **Supplementary Figure 7**

1318 Profiles of different spine populations (spines in the control-dominant area A and the

1319 mutant-dominant area B for both wild-type and mutant neurons) were visualized by

1320 plotting the radius along the long axis. Spine numbers included in the analysis are the

1321 same as in Supplementary Figure 5.

Supplementary Figure 8

1322

1323

1324 **Supplementary Figure 8**

1325 Spine turnover (A), density (B), and size (C) from simulation data. Simulation

1326 parameters were tuned to fit experimental results from control and mutant models.

1327 Means and standard deviations are shown.

1328

1329

Supplementary Figure 9

1330

1331

Supplementary Figure 9

Pseudocolor presentation of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between mutant

and corresponding control mice. The number of shared DEGs was higher in the

schizophrenia-related mouse models than in the ASD-related mouse models. Mouse

gene identifiers (ENSMUSG) and gene names for DEGs shared by more than two

schizophrenia mouse models and not differentially expressed in ASD mouse models

are presented. DEGs analyzed for their effects on spines are in red characters.

1339

1340

1341

Supplementary Figure 10

1342

1343

1344

1345

Supplementary Figure 10

Expression and distribution of Ecrg4 in cultured hippocampal neurons. (A) Preferential

localization of Ecrg4 protein in the membrane fraction. Immunoblotting of Ecrg4,

GluA1AMPA-type glutamate receptor, and α-tubulin in the remaining fraction after

removal of nuclei (S1), supernatant after centrifugation (S2), and resulting pellet (P2).

(B) Images of an immunostained hippocampal neuron expressing HA-tagged Ecrg4

and NPY-GFP. The fluorescence signals were partially colocalized, suggesting Ecrg4

protein accumulation in dense-core vesicles. Bar = 2 μm. (C) Quantification of the

overlap between puncta immunopositive for HA-tagged Ecrg4 and NPY-GFP. N = 4

cells from 1 dish. (D) Surface labeling with the anti-GFP nanobody revealing clusters of

SEP-tagged Ecrg4 in dsRed2-positive axons (arrows) and dendrites (arrowheads). A

nanobody was applied at time t = 0 min. Bar = 2 μm. (E) Enlarged image of a single

Ecrg4 puncta in the axon, marked by a yellow square in (D), with the fluorescence

intensity profile along the dashed arrow. Bar = 0.5 μm. (F) Immunoblotting of Ecrg4-HA

or Ecrg4-HA-SEP in total cell lysates from COS-7 cells. The molecular weights of these

exogenously expressed proteins detected using anti-HA and anti-Ecrg4 antibodies

were consistent with those of Ecrg4-HA (18 kDa) and Ecrg4-HA-SEP (45 kDa). (G)

Reduced Ecrg4-HA protein expression by transfection of Ecrg4 sh1 or sh2 in COS-7

cells expressing Ecrg4-HA and GFP. Bars, 5 μm.

1365

1366

1367

Supplementary Figure 11

1368

Supplementary Figure 11

Subtracted density plots between neurons from schizophrenia model mice (22q11.2$^{del/+}$

and Setd1a$^{+/-}$) and neurons from wild-type mice both expressing control shRNA. A

comparison of the spine populations within control-dominant area A and mutant-

dominant area B revealed smaller spine volumes in area B. The spine profiles along

the long axis revealed an elongated morphology in area A for both 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and

Setd1a$^{+/-}$ mutant mice. Statistical significance was determined using the Wilcoxon rank

sum test (22q11.2$^{del/+}$, n = 237 in area A and n = 789 in area B; Setd1a$^{+/-}$, n = 630 in

area A and n = 937 in area B).

1378

1379