Decoding spine nanostructure in cultured neurons derived from mouse models
of mental disorder reveals a schizophrenia-linked role for Ecrg4

Yutaro Kashiwagi[1], Qingrui Liu[1], Yasuhiro Go[2, 3,4], Ryo Saito[5], Atsu Aiba[5], Takanobu
Nakazawa[6], and Shigeo Okabe[1,7,*]

[1]Department of Cellular Neurobiology, Graduate School of Medicine, the University of
Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

[2]Graduate School of Information Science, University of Hyogo, Kobe 650-0047, Japan

[3]National Institute for Physiological Sciences (NIPS), National Institutes of Natural
Sciences, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan

[4]Exploratory Research Center on Life and Living Systems (ExCELLS), National
Institutes of Natural Sciences, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan

[5]Laboratory of Animal Resources, Center for Disease Biology and Integrated Medicine,
Graduate School of Medicine, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan

[6]Department of Bioscience, Faculty of Life Sciences, Tokyo University of Agriculture,
Tokyo 156-8502, Japan

[7] Laboratory for Imaging Neural Dynamics, RIKEN Center for Brain Science, Saitama
351-0198, Japan

[*]Corresponding author: Shigeo Okabe

Laboratory for Imaging Neural Dynamics, RIKEN Center for Brain Science, 2-1
Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

Phone: +81-(0)50-3500-7186

E-mail: shigeo.okabe@riken.jp
26
27

Abstract

Dendritic spine dysfunction may contribute to the etiology and symptom expression of

neuropsychiatric disorders. The intimate relationship between spine morphology and

function suggests that decoding disease-related abnormalities from spine morphology

can aid in developing synapse-targeted interventions. Here, we describe a population

analysis of dendritic spine nanostructure applied to the objective grouping of multiple

mouse models of neuropsychiatric disorders. This method has identified two major

groups of spine phenotypes linked to schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorder

(ASD). An increase in spine subpopulation with small volumes characterized the spines

of schizophrenia-associated mouse models, whereas a spine subset with large

volumes increased in ASD models. Schizophrenia-associated mouse models showed

higher similarity in spine morphology, driven by reduced size and growth of nascent

spines. The expression of Ecrg4, a gene encoding small secretory peptides, was

increased in schizophrenia-associated mouse models, and functional studies confirmed

its critical involvement in impaired spine dynamics and shape. These results suggest

that population-level spine analysis provides rich insights into heterogeneous spine

pathology, facilitating the identification of new molecular targets related to core synaptic

dysfunction.

Introduction

Information processing in the neocortex and hippocampus relies on fast excitatory

synaptic transmission between pyramidal neurons. Many excitatory synapses that

release glutamate project onto tiny structures protruding from dendritic shafts termed

spines, and the morphological properties of these spines strongly influence synaptic

transmission and function, including the activity-dependent synaptic plasticity

implicated in learning and memory[1,2]. In general, larger spines contain a greater

number of glutamate receptors and are functionally stronger[3]. The morphological

parameters defining spine shape, such as length, radius, and volume, are also highly

dynamic. For instance, small nascent spines can be enlarged by high-frequency

stimuli, inducing long-term potentiation (LTP) of synaptic transmission[4,5]; alternatively,

spine volume can be reduced by low-frequency stimuli that induce long-term

depression (LTD)[5,6]. Due to these dynamic changes, spines on pyramidal neurons

exhibit substantial morphological variation, even within the same dendritic branch. In

addition to direct synaptic activation inducing LTP or LTD, spine morphology can be

altered by cyclical hormonal changes, neuromodulators, and various activity-

independent processes[7–10]. In turn, these changes in spine morphology are associated

with marked changes in neural function and behavior.

Recent advances in super-resolution microscopy have provided new

opportunities for the study of spine structural diversity and dynamics. An investigation

using stimulated emission depletion (STED) imaging reported an increase in spine

neck size after LTP, resulting in stronger electrical coupling between the spine head

and the dendritic shaft[11]. A structured illumination microscopy (SIM) imaging study of

cultured hippocampal neurons revealed expansion of the spine head convexity after

LTP induction[12], and suggested that this structural transformation may increase the

adhesion of presynaptic and postsynaptic membranes. SIM imaging has also been

applied to record the dynamic properties of spinules[13], thin protrusions extending from

existing spines that may function in secondary synapse formation. Collectively, these

reports implicate spine nanostructural dynamics in multiple core synaptic properties

and functions, including neurotransmission, mechanical stability, synaptogenesis, and

plasticity.

Genetic mutations and variants that disrupt synaptic function are strongly

implicated in the pathogenesis of neuropsychiatric disorders such as autism spectrum

disorder (ASD) and schizophrenia[14–20]. Based on these genomic aberrations, numerous

mouse models of ASD and schizophrenia have been established and subsequently

shown to harbor abnormalities in both spine morphology and physiology[19,21–24].

Moreover, several studies have reported synaptic dysfunction in neurons differentiated

from patient-derived pluripotent stem cells [25–28]. Schizophrenia and ASD share several

clinical features, suggesting common genetic risk factors and etiology[29,30]. However, a

diametrical model emphasizes the distinct social-cognitive properties of these two

major psychiatric disorders[31,32]. Both ASD and schizophrenia also show highly

heterogeneous clinical phenotypes, potentially stemming from distinct pathogenic

processes within disease categories. Therefore, in addition to clinical features,

comparative biological phenotyping of multiple ASD and schizophrenia models may

reveal shared as well as disease-specific pathogenic processes.

Genetic studies on ASD and schizophrenia have identified copy-number

variants and protein-disrupting single-nucleotide variants that confer higher disease

risk, and mouse models harboring these genetic abnormalities frequently exhibit

behavioral and neurological features resembling those of the clinical conditions (termed

endophenotypes)[19,33]. However, only a limited number of studies objectively compare

phenotypes related to synaptic structure and function across disease models. An *in*

vivo two-photon imaging study reported accelerated spine turnover in three genetically

distinct mouse models of ASD[34]. Also, multiple mouse models of ASD were found to

exhibit a similar imbalance in the activity of excitatory and inhibitory neurons[35]. These

studies support the idea that distinct genetic mutations may induce similar synaptic and

circuit phenotypes in ASD-associated mouse models. However, differences in synaptic

properties between ASD and schizophrenia have not yet been comprehensively

examined.

In this study, we developed an objective method for identifying population-

level differences in spine nanostructure. This method, which has been applied to

multiple mouse models of psychiatric disorders, identified two distinct groups

corresponding to ASD and schizophrenia. An increase in a specific spine

subpopulation with small volumes characterized the spines of mouse models of

schizophrenia. In turn, ASD-related mouse models showed an opposite spine

phenotype. The schizophrenia-related phenotype was associated with reduced size

and growth of nascent spines and enhanced spine turnover. Further gene expression

analysis identified the overexpression of Ecrg4, a gene encoding a precursor of

hormone-like peptides, as a candidate mediator of this schizophrenia-associated spine

phenotype. Population-level analysis of spine nanostructures is a powerful approach

for understanding heterogeneous synaptic impairments in psychiatric disorders.

Results

Spine nanostructure imaging and generation of the spine density plot

We have designed a method for objectively comparing spine properties among multiple

mouse models of psychiatric disorders at the population level using SIM imaging.

Previous results demonstrated that high-resolution SIM images of dendritic spines in

cultured hippocampal neurons can provide reliable information about spine size and

morphological properties[12]. In the current study, the analytical procedures for SIM

images were further improved for quantitative comparisons. Three-dimensional SIM

images of dendritic spines were captured in DiI-stained cultured neurons derived from

control and disease-model mouse hippocampus (Figure 1A). This staining procedure

provided a better image quality than fluorescent protein-based labeling because of the

higher fluorescence signal from the plasma membrane. SIM images were processed

using custom-made scripts to identify and segment the individual dendritic spines

(Figure 1B–D). We previously reported a set of scripts designed to isolate dendritic

spines automatically[12]. However, the segmentation accuracy still necessitated manual

inspection. In the newly developed scripts, we introduced a step to readjust the spine–

shaft boundary, enabling fully automated measurement of multiple morphological

parameters, including length, surface area, and volume. In addition, the isolated spines

were further divided into 160-nm-thick longitudinal segments, yielding a total of 64

shape descriptors.

138   Spine shape parameters measured by conventional methods are difficult to

compare directly when images are obtained from multiple independent experiments.

This problem is caused by several factors, but the main factors are variability in image

intensity, signal-to-noise ratio, and image aberration introduced by unoptimized

imaging conditions. To test the stability of SIM-based spine imaging, we compared the

spine size distribution across four samples prepared at time points separated by >2

months (Supplementary Figure 1). The cumulative distribution curves of the four

datasets showed extensive overlap, confirming the reproducibility of the SIM-based

spine shape measurements using independent culture samples.

Another technical issue in comparing spine shape is the heterogeneity of

spine shapes and the absence of defined subclasses. Population-level spine analysis,

therefore, requires a new strategy that enables objective comparisons of large numbers

of spines with a continuum of morphologic features. We previously demonstrated that

principal component analysis (PCA) was effective for comparing high-dimensional

spine shape features (descriptors) in a reduced-dimensional feature space[12]. Indeed, a

PCA-based dimensional reduction of a large number of spines, based on their

descriptors, generated a two-dimensional plot of spine shape that closely matched

conventional spine subtypes, such as thin, mushroom, and stubby (Figure 1E). To

objectively compare the two spine datasets (control and disease model), we first

generated plots of relative spine densities (number per area in feature space) mapped

to 80 × 80 square blocks (Figure 1F), and then subtracted the corresponding plot pairs

defined by genotype (control vs. mutant) or culture conditions. The resulting subtracted

density plots contain comprehensive information about the differences in relative spine

numbers with similar shape properties. As expected, there was some variation in the

density plot patterns across experiments. However, subtracting the distributional data

from wild-type and mutant samples effectively canceled out these differences.

Moreover, the difference between the two wild-type samples was generally smaller

than that between wild-type and mutant samples.

Comparison and grouping of multiple mouse models based on morphological

spine similarity

The subtracted density plots contain rich information about the population-level

differences in spine shape between samples. Therefore, the generation and

comparison of the plots for both ASD- and schizophrenia-associated mouse models will

be useful for their unbiased grouping. We selected the following eight representative

mouse models harboring either copy-number variation or single gene mutations

associated with psychiatric disorders: male hemizygous or female homozygous mutant

mice for neuroligin-3 R451C mutation (Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$)[36,37], heterozygous Syngap1

mutant mice (Syngap1$^{+/-}$)[38], heterozygous POGZ mutant mice (POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$)[39], mice

paternal duplication of chromosome 7C (15q11-13$^{dup/+}$) corresponding to human 15q11-

13 duplication[40], mice with heterozygous deletion of chromosome 16B2,3 (3q29$^{del/+}$)

corresponding to human 3q29 deletion[41], mice heterozygous for deletion on

chromosome 16qA13 (22q11.2$^{del/+}$) corresponding to 1.5 Mb deletion on human

22q11.2[42], mice heterozygous for Setd1a mutation (Setd1a$^{+/-}$)[43], and Ca^{2+}/calmodulin-

dependent protein kinase IIα kinase-dead mutant mice (CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$)[44]. Previous

studies on these mouse models confirmed the presence of multiple sensory, memory,

and social endophenotypes of psychiatric disorders compared to controls. Of these,

Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$, and 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$ models mimic genetic variations

found in ASD. Human mutations in the SYNGAP1 gene are associated with intellectual

disability and autistic behaviors. The 3q29$^{del/+}$, 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, and Setd1a$^{+/-}$ models harbor

genetic mutations associated with higher schizophrenia risk. CaMKII-related signaling

pathway disruption has been implicated in the working memory deficits found in

schizophrenia patients[45,46]. CAMK2A mutations in humans are linked to multiple mental

disorders, including developmental disorders, ASD, and schizophrenia[47]. The K42R

mutation of CAMK2A does not correspond to any known human genetic variant, but the

CAMK2A R8H mutation is linked to schizophrenia[48]. Both R8H and K42R mutations in

the N-terminal domain of CaMKIIα eliminate kinase activity; these mutations may have

a similar impact on human mental disorders. Taken together, these mouse models

cover a broad spectrum of human genetic aberrations associated with psychiatric

disorders. Direct comparison of spine phenotypes could therefore provide important

clues to underlying shared and disease-specific synaptic pathologies.

For these comparisons, we prepared three independent cultures of embryonic

hippocampi from each control–mutant pair and obtained 700–1,500 3D-SIM spine

images 3 weeks after plating for both mutant and control samples (Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$,

n = 1,134 and 1,204; Syngap1$^{+/-}$, n = 991 and 1,371; POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$, n = 1,341 and

1,271; 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$, n = 1,208 and 1,099; 3q29$^{del/+}$, n = 1,429 and 1,408; 22q11.2$^{del/+}$,

n = 1,143 and 914; Setd1a$^{+/-}$, n = 1,381 and 1,405; CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$; n = 880 and 763,

control and mutant, respectively, Supplementary Table 1). Initial comparison of the

cumulative frequency plots for spine length, spine surface area, and spine volume

alone did not provide sufficient information to infer their structural similarity

(Supplementary Figure 2), indicating that simple structural parameters are not effective

in identifying disease-specific spine shape characteristics. We next generated

subtracted density plots from 8 mouse models. These plots were variable, but there

were certain similarities between the specific mutants (Figure 2A). We evaluated

pairwise similarities by calculating the 2D cross-correlations of the subtracted density

plots. The matrix of these 2D cross-correlation values yielded two major groups with

high within-group similarity (Figure 2B), and this grouping was further confirmed by

unbiased clustering analysis (Figure 2C). The ASD models $Nlgn3^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$,

$Syngap1^{+/-,}$ and $POGZ^{Q1038R/+}$ were in the first group, while the second group contained

the schizophrenia models $3q29^{del/+}$, $22q11.2^{del/+}$, and $Setd1a^{+/-}$. This result is striking, as

it indicates that information about spine shape alone can distinguish whether the

culture preparation is derived from ASD- or schizophrenia-associated mouse models. It

is also of note that there were greater similarities among the schizophrenia-associated

mouse models than among the ASD-associated mouse models. This finding suggests

convergence in spine pathophysiology across schizophrenia-associated mouse

models.

In addition to the eight mouse models of psychiatric disorders, we generated

subtracted density plots for three additional conditions: immature culture samples (13

days in vitro, DIV), treatment with the AMPA subtype glutamate receptor blocker

CNQX, and treatment with the $GABA_A$ receptor blocker bicuculline (Figure 2A). The

subtracted density plots from the 13 DIV culture and the CNQX treatment were similar

to those of schizophrenia-associated mouse models. This finding suggests that the

hippocampal synapses in mouse models of schizophrenia are immature and inactive.

In contrast, the subtracted density plot of the bicuculline-treated sample was distinct

from that of both the schizophrenia and ASD-associated mouse models.

Distinct spine shapes between ASD- and schizophrenia-associated mouse

models

The subtracted density plots provide information on the structural properties of specific spine subsets that either increased or decreased in disease mouse models. We first defined the four areas within the PC1-PC2 plane with distinct shapes: (1) small and short, (2) small and long, (3) large and short, and (4) large and long (Figure 3A and B). We also identified the wildtype-enriched area (blue areas in Figure 3C and D) and the mutant-enriched area (yellow areas in Figure 3C and D) in the same projection plane. The comparison of these two types of areas suggests that larger spines are enriched in the Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ mouse model, while small spines are enriched in the 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ mouse model. Next, we calculated the normalized spine counts in the four areas for both wild-type and mutant samples and obtained the relative abundance (mutant/wild-type) for each area (Figure 3E and F). The average of three independent culture preparations confirmed the opposite trend between Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ and 22q11.2$^{del/+}$.

Figure 3G-J summarizes the relative abundance of mutant spines in four areas with distinct spine shapes. We found that the relative abundance of mutant spines in area 2 (small and long spines) differed significantly between genotypes (ANOVA, $p <$ 0.001). Group comparisons by Tukey's post hoc test indicate significant differences between Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ mutant and three schizophrenia-related mutants; 3q29$^{del/+}$, 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, and Setd1a$^{+/-}$ (Figure 3G). The opposite trend between areas 2 (small and long spines) and 3 (large and short spines) further confirms distinct spine-shape properties in ASD- and schizophrenia-related mouse models. The areas within the

subtracted density plots with enriched control or mutant spines illustrate the

characteristics of spine populations across mouse models (Supplementary Figures 3

and 4). The shape profiles of spines confirmed smaller spine volume of schizophrenia-

related mouse models (Supplementary Figure 5).

Altered initial growth of dendritic spines in mouse models of schizophrenia

The subtracted density plots reveal common changes in the spine population across

schizophrenia-associated mouse models. The mutant-spine-enriched areas also

contain small, elongated spines, suggesting dysfunction in either the generation of

nascent spines or the shrinkage of existing spines. To examine potential abnormalities

in spine dynamics, we performed time-lapse imaging of hippocampal neuron cultures

derived from two schizophrenia-associated mouse models, $22q11.2^{del/+}$ and $Setd1a^{+/-}$,

and the $Nlgn3^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ mouse model as a reference (Figure 4).

A linear mixed-effects model indicated significant effects of genotype in spine

turnover rate in both $22q11.2^{del/+}$ and $Setd1a^{+/-}$ models ($F(1,25) = 5.79$, $p = 0.024$ for

$22q11.2^{del/+}$ and $F(1,22) = 7.33$, $p = 0.013$ for $Setd1a^{+/-}$). In contrast, no significant

effects were observed in the $Nlgn3^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ model (Figure 5A-C). There was a

significant effect of genotype in both $22q11.2^{del/+}$ and $Setd1a^{+/-}$ models also for the

prolonged lifetime of transient spines (a linear mixed-effects model: $22q11.2^{del/+}$;

$F(1,336)=5.33$, $p=0.022$, $Setd1a^{+/-}$; $F(1,282)=6.38$, $p=0.012$), with no significant

difference in $Nlgn3^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ model (Figure 5D-F).

We further compared the initial increase in spine volume between genotypes

(Figure 5G-I). Linear mixed-effects models incorporating nested random effects

revealed significantly smaller initial spine volumes in both 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and Setd1a$^{+/-}$

models (genotype effect: $p < 0.001$ for 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and $p < 10^{-7}$ for Setd1a$^{+/-}$). The

spines in both mutants also displayed a significant reduction in spine volume increase

($p < 0.001$). In contrast, newly formed spines in the Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y \text{ or } R451C)}$ neurons were

significantly larger than those in wild-type neurons ($p < 10^{-4}$) with preserved time-

course of spine growth.

Similar analyses of spine volume reduction before spine loss using linear

mixed-effects models identified significantly smaller initial spine size in the 22q11.2$^{del/+}$

model ($p < 10^{-6}$), while no significant differences in the initial spine volume were found

for the Setd1a$^{+/-}$ model (Figure 5J-L). The temporal trajectories of spine shrinkage

before their loss were not significantly altered in both 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and Setd1a$^{+/-}$ models.

The Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y \text{ or } R451C)}$ model showed a significantly different time-course of spine

shrinkage ($p < 0.05$), while the initial spine size was not altered. Taken together, these

results suggest that the smaller size of the initial spine, slower growth of nascent

spines, and enhanced spine turnover contribute to the development of schizophrenia-

related spine phenotypes.

To further examine the relationship between the spine growth rate and

lifetime, we performed simulations using the computational model shown in Figure 6A.

In this model, new spines appear randomly along the dendritic shaft according to a

density-dependent probability function, then increase in volume with speed V1 (phase

1), are eliminated with probability P1 (phase 2), and are converted to stable spines

upon reaching a volume threshold (phase 3). These stable spines are subsequently

destabilized with low probability P2 (phase 4) and start to shrink with speed V2 (phase

5). When these shrinking spines reach a threshold, they are permanently removed. We

did not incorporate the initial spine size as a model parameter because of its large

variability, which made parameter optimization difficult. This model was run over 10

days of neuronal differentiation in culture (corresponding to the period of spine density

increase from 8 to 17 DIV) with 625 different combinations of V1, V2, P1, and P2 to

identify the combination with the best fit to the experimental data for spine turnover of

15–18 DIV neurons derived from mutants 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, Setd1a$^{+/-}$, and Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or}$

$^{R451C)}$ over 24 h (Figure 6B and Supplementary Figure 6A). The best-fitted models all

yielded spine lifespan distributions matching observations of the three mouse mutants

(Figure 6C). The two characteristics of the spines in 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and Setd1a$^{+/-}$ were

also preserved in the best-fitted model. First, spine density in the schizophrenia-

associated mouse models was lower in both the simulation and experimental data

(simulation: 0.57 $\pm$ 0.029 /µm and 0.40 $\pm$ 0.027 /µm for the control and the best-fitted

for schizophrenia models, shown in Supplementary Figure 6B, experiment; 0.69 $\pm$ 0.17

/µm and 0.56 $\pm$ 0.20 /µm for the control and 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, 0.70 $\pm$ 0.15 /µm and 0.64 $\pm$

0.16 /µm for the control and Setd1a$^{+/-}$). Second, the size of transient spines was

smaller in schizophrenia-associated mouse models in the simulation (0.33 $\pm$ 0.033 and

0.20 $\pm$ 0.024 (a.u.) for the control and the best-fitted schizophrenia, Supplementary

Figure 6C), consistent with data on spine nanostructure (Figure 3G and J).

After the selection of the best-fitted parameters for three mouse models, we

compared the optimal values of V1, V2, P1, and P2 between mouse models (Figure

6D). The nascent spine volume increase (V1) was slower in schizophrenia models than

in corresponding controls. In turn, the probability of nascent spine loss (P1) was higher

in the ASD model. In all three disease models, the probability of spine destabilization

(P2) was moderately higher than that in the corresponding controls. Additional

simulation results with different P2 values indicate that P2 has a small effect on the

overall pattern of spine dynamics. These results suggest that a slow spine volume

increase in schizophrenia model mice results in the accumulation of nascent spines

with smaller volumes. On the other hand, the spines of ASD model mice grow at a rate

similar to that of control spines, but are more likely to be eliminated both in the nascent

stage and after maturation.

Simulated trajectory plots of individual spines along the dendritic shaft, using

the best-fit parameters, also reflected the differential patterns of spine turnover in

culture preparations derived from control and schizophrenia model mice (Figure 6E). In

culture preparations derived from schizophrenia-associated mouse models, there were

fewer spines with a lifetime longer than 2 or 3 days, while there was a greater number

of spines formed and eliminated within 24 h. This increase in the number of less stable

spines explains the pattern of spine lifetimes shown in Figure 5D-E. In summary, these

simulations suggest that a reduced spine maturation rate can account for three key

properties of schizophrenia-related spines: the greater number of small-volume spines,

enhanced turnover, and reduced spine density.

Screening of molecules responsible for altered spine properties in

schizophrenia-associated mouse models

Both SIM-based imaging and time-lapse imaging suggest that the initial growth phase

of spines is slower in schizophrenia model mice. To identify candidate genes that may

contribute to this growth impairment, we performed RNA sequencing of libraries

derived from the cultured neurons of eight psychiatric disorder mouse models and

corresponding controls, and filtered the results for differentially expressed genes

(DEGs) (Supplementary Table 2 and 3). The DEGs specific to more than two

schizophrenia-related mouse models are listed in Supplementary Figure 7. In the

hippocampi of schizophrenia model mice, the expression levels of Met, Osr1,

Arhgap15, and Rnf170-ps were downregulated, whereas those of Cip4, Ecrg4, and

Npas4 were upregulated. The majority of these DEGs have been previously implicated

in the pathology of schizophrenia (Met)[49],   synaptic plasticity (Npas4)[50,51], or signaling

pathways in the nervous system (Arhgap15[52], Cip4[53], and Ecrg4[54]).

If these genes do regulate spines in schizophrenia-associated mouse models,

manipulation of these genes in wild-type neurons may induce a spine phenotype

similar to that observed in these models. To test this possibility, we downregulated Met

and Arhgap15, and upregulated Cip4, Ecrg4, and Npas4 in separate wild-type

hippocampal neuron cultures and measured spine dynamics using time-lapse imaging

(Figure 7A and B, Supplementary Figure 8). The application of a linear mixed-effects

model to knockdown experiments revealed no significant effect of treatment ($F(2,6)$ =

0.29, p = 0.76). In the overexpression experiment, the analysis using a linear mixed-

effects model showed a significant main effect of treatment ($F(3,8)$ = 4.59, p = 0.038),

with a significant increase in spine turnover rate by Ecrg4 overexpression (β = 0.49 $\pm$

0.16 SE, $t(8)$ = 3.16, p = 0.013). Thus, the aberrant expression of Ecrg4 may be related

to the spine phenotype of schizophrenia model mice.

Ecrg4 encodes a precursor protein that yields several short hormone-like

peptides via multiple cleavage sites[55]. Ecrg4 has been reported to function in cell

proliferation, inflammation, and tumor suppression. However, there is limited

information on the neuronal functions of Ecrg4. Therefore, we further analyzed the

cellular distribution of Ecrg4 in wild-type hippocampal neurons to assess its potential

synaptic functions. The Ecrg4 protein was detected in the membrane fraction of

cultured hippocampal neurons (Supplementary Figure 9A). In addition, HA-tagged

Ecrg4 showed punctate localization patterns within the neuronal cytoplasm (Figure 7C).

A fraction of HA-tagged Ecrg4 puncta localized at axonal varicosities (arrowheads) or

dendritic spines (arrows), together with some extracellular clusters (asterisks). HA-

tagged Ecrg4 also colocalized with GFP-tagged neuropeptide Y (NPY) (Supplementary

Figure 9B and C), suggesting transport in dense-core vesicles. Surface labeling of live

cells also revealed clusters of Ecrg4 on axonal boutons and dendritic spines

(Supplementary Figure 9D and E), supporting active exocytosis and tethering via its

binding partners to the plasma membrane. Exogenous application of the synthetic

Ecrg4 peptide enhanced spine turnover (Supplementary Figure 9F and G). Collectively,

these observations suggest that the intracellular machinery for transporting and

releasing Ecrg4 may be actively involved in regulating synapse formation and

maturation.

Rescue of the synaptic phenotype in schizophrenia-associated mouse models by

Ecrg4 suppression

The spatial localization of Ecrg4 protein in wild-type neurons and upregulated gene

expression in the hippocampus of schizophrenia model mice strongly suggest a

contribution to the observed spine abnormalities. To examine this possibility, we tested

whether Ecrg4 suppression by Ecrg4-shRNA rescues the synaptic phenotype of

neurons derived from schizophrenia-associated mouse models (Supplementary Figure

8H and I). We introduced a GFP expression plasmid along with the Ecrg4-shRNA

plasmid or the control shRNA plasmid into neurons derived from 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and

Setd1a$^{+/-}$ mice (Supplementary Table 1). The spine density plots generated from GFP-

expressing neurons differed from those generated from DiI-labeled neurons. This

difference may be due to the properties of SIM images obtained from either cell-surface

DiI labeling or cytoplasmic GFP expression. Nevertheless, the subtracted (differential)

distribution patterns between mutant and wild-type spines under the control shRNA

condition indicate the enrichment of small spines (Figure 8A and J). From these plots,

we identified the area showing enrichment of mutant spines (Figure 8B and K) and that

of wild-type spines (Figure 8C and L). The pattern of small spine enrichment in mutant

neurons shown in Figure 8A and J was no longer present in the plots generated by the

subtraction of the wild-type spines under control shRNA from the mutant spines under

Ecrg4 shRNA, indicating the possible rescue of the phenotype (Figure 8D and M).

Consistent with this interpretation, enrichment in both the mutant- and wild-type–

dominant regions was attenuated (Figure 8E, F, N, and O).

To determine whether the effect of Ecrg4 shRNA exceeded that expected from

random variation in spine counts, we performed a permutation analysis. Spine

identities were randomly reassigned between the two conditions while preserving the

total number of spines in each dataset, and the differential density maps were

recalculated for each permutation. This procedure generated a null distribution of

enrichment values expected under random assignment (Figure 8H, I, Q, and R). The

level of attenuation observed in both 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and Setd1a$^{+/-}$ neurons exceeded the

95th percentile of the permuted distributions ($p < 0.05$), indicating that the obtained

data were unlikely to be explained by stochastic variation alone (Figure 8G and P). This

analysis supports the idea that Ecrg4 downregulation can rescue the spine-shape

impairment observed in schizophrenia-related mouse models.

Discussion

We developed an objective method for identifying population-level differences in spine nanostructures and applied it to cultured hippocampal neurons derived from multiple mouse models of psychiatric disorders. We identified two distinct groups of mouse models based on population-level spine properties. Notably, these two objectively identified groups correspond to mouse models with ASD-related gene mutations and schizophrenia-related gene mutations. A higher fraction of the small spine population was a common characteristic of schizophrenia-associated mouse models. Time-lapse imaging of spine dynamics revealed that this smaller spine phenotype is associated with a reduced initial size and growth of spines and their enhanced turnover. Screening for genes differentially expressed between mutant and control mice identified Ecrg4 overexpression as a potential molecular mechanism contributing to the schizophrenia spine phenotype. Indeed, suppression of Ecrg4 expression normalized the spine phenotype in neurons derived from schizophrenia-associated mouse models. These findings support the use of population-level analysis of spine nanostructures as a powerful approach to elucidating the heterogeneous synaptic impairments underlying psychiatric disorders.

Spine nanostructures provide information about developmental history, prior activity, and current functional properties, but these fine structural details were not accessible by conventional light microscopy. However, recent advances in super-resolution microscopy allow for the study of nanoscale spine morphology, including in living preparations, and have revealed new structural features related to important synaptic functions[11–13]. Another challenge to spine nanoscale imaging is the structural

heterogeneity across large spine populations, which may obscure important population-

level differences. Nonetheless, to understand the physiology and pathology of neural

networks, it is essential to obtain comprehensive information about a large number of

spines within a single neuron and across local neuronal networks. We previously

reported a dimensional reduction technique coupled with machine learning for

evaluating spine properties at the population level [12], and have further refined this

method for the current investigation to extract population-level nanostructural features

of spines in multiple mouse models of psychiatric disorders. This method enabled us to

compare spine phenotypes across different mouse models objectively and group

disease models based on similarities and differences in the spine population. Notably,

the two identified groups corresponded to ASD- and schizophrenia-related gene

mutations, supporting the idea that population-level analyses of spine nanostructures

provide meaningful information on synaptic abnormalities and dysfunction.

Population-level spine properties were more homogeneous in schizophrenia

models (those with gene mutations implicated in schizophrenia) than in the other 4

models studied, in part due to a shared tendency for smaller spines. This observation

is consistent with previous studies on Setd1a mutant mice, which showed reduced

spine width, decreased mushroom-type spines, and lower spine density in the

prefrontal cortex[43,56,57]. In contrast to these findings, several previous studies reported

reduced numbers of small spines in the postmortem cortical tissues of schizophrenia

patients[22,58]. However, this discrepancy may be attributed to the developmental period,

as we examined fetal neuronal spines after 3 weeks of in vitro differentiation, whereas

the aforementioned studies included postmortem samples from patients over 40 years

of age. One possible scenario that may help unify these observations is that spine

density is reduced in the early postnatal period, and that this reduction triggers

homeostatic plasticity, which, in turn, reduces the fraction of small spines in later life.

Another potential explanation is that schizophrenia differentially affects regional spine

properties (e.g., hippocampus versus cortex). Previous *in vivo* two-photon imaging

studies reported higher spine turnover in the hippocampus than the cortex[59,60].

Therefore, the spine phenotype identified in this study may be specific to hippocampal

pyramidal neurons. Finally, while the spine phenotype identified in the human

postmortem brain undoubtedly resulted from complex interactions among genetic

background, environmental influences, and regulation by non-neuronal cells, data from

pure neuronal cultures are more likely to reflect the direct effects of schizophrenia-

related gene mutations on synaptic functions. This property may be advantageous for

identifying synaptic molecules that regulate synapse phenotypes in schizophrenia-

related mouse models. However, the phenotype observed in the culture system

requires confirmation using in vivo experiments of mouse models or human tissue

samples. Efficient in vitro screening combined with reliable in vivo evaluation of

synapses will facilitate translational research on mental disorders.

In addition to Ecrg4, these analyses identified several other DEGs common to

mice harboring schizophrenia-related mutations. However, time-lapse imaging

identified Ecrg4 as the most effective spine regulator, and we also found that Ecrg4

suppression reversed the abnormal spine phenotype in neurons derived from

schizophrenia model mice. Ecrg4 encodes a precursor protein of hormone-like

peptides [61]. Exogenously expressed Ecrg4 exhibited a punctate pattern in both axonal

and dendritic compartments, with a fraction of clusters present on the cell surface. This

observation indicates that Ecrg4 may be secreted locally by axons or dendrites,

thereby influencing synapses and adjacent neurons. Several signaling pathways act

downstream of Ecrg4, including the stress-related NF-κB pathway and PI3K/Akt/mTOR

pathway[55]. Ecrg4-derived peptides may also act through Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) or

multiple scavenger receptors, such as LOX1[61]. While Ecrg4-related signaling pathways

have been studied primarily in the context of inflammation and infection, there are few

studies on Ecrg4-related signaling pathways in neurons. However, an Ecrg4-deficient

mouse model demonstrated enhancements in neural stem cell proliferation and spatial

learning, suggesting that overexpression may disrupt hippocampal function[54]. We

suggest that Ecrg4 may be a critical regulator of neural development and

synaptogenesis in the hippocampus. Further studies are warranted to identify Ecrg4

receptors and downstream signaling pathways and to investigate the potential

dysfunction of these pathways in schizophrenia-associated mouse models.

The nanoscale features of dendritic spines in ASD-associated mouse models

were more variable than those in schizophrenia-associated mouse models. This

difference may be related to the broader clinical spectrum of ASD, which ranges from

mild impairments in social skills to severe intellectual disability. The four ASD-

associated mouse models examined in this study, $Nlgn3^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, $Syngap1^{+/-}$,

$POGZ^{Q1038R/+}$, and $15q11\text{-}13^{dup/+}$, may represent subgroups with different levels of

hippocampal dysfunction. Among the four ASD-associated mouse models, 15q11-

$13^{dup/+}$ showed population-level spine properties closer to those of the schizophrenia

models. To understand this similarity, further analysis of neural circuit changes in both

ASD- and schizophrenia-associated mouse models will be necessary. Analysis of the

relationships between rare genetic variants and synapse phenotypes in mouse models

may contribute to their eventual categorization. This information should be useful to

understand the underlying mechanisms of the broader clinical spectrum of ASD.

The most important advantage of our analytical method is reproducibility,

despite the substantial heterogeneity in genotype and phenotype among these mouse

models. Thus, we are confident that the spine population analysis described here will

help researchers in different laboratories obtain reliable and comprehensive information

on spine properties. Further, this method could facilitate comparisons across a large

number of mouse models harboring mutations associated with mental disorders. Spine

morphology as measured in a reduced culture system can also demonstrate direct

effects of gene mutations on neuronal phenotypes in the absence of indirect influences

from nonneuronal cells or specific environments. Ultimately, these studies may identify

the shared and specific pathogenic mechanisms of psychiatric disorders and lead to

improved diagnosis and treatments.

Materials and Methods

Neuronal culture and genotyping of tissue samples from genetically modified mice

The following mouse models of psychiatric disorders were examined for spine nanostructural characteristics: male hemizygous or female homozygous neuroligin-3 R451C mutant (Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$), heterozygous Syngap1 mutant mice (Syngap1$^{+/-}$), heterozygous POGZ mutant mice (POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$), mice with duplication of chromosome 7C (15q11-13$^{dup/+}$; corresponding to human 15q11-13 duplication), mice heterozygous for deletion on chromosome 16B2,3 (3q29$^{del/+}$; corresponding to human 3q29 deletion), mice heterozygous for deletion on chromosome 16qA13 (22q11.2$^{del/+}$; corresponding to 1.5 Mb deletion on human 22q11.2), mice heterozygous for Setd1a mutantion (Setd1a$^{+/-}$), and Ca^{2+}/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase IIα kinase-dead mutant mice (CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$). All animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Tokyo (permission number A2023M031-02).

For the production of embryos harboring heterozygous mutations, male heterozygous mutants were bred with wild-type female C57BL/6J or C57BL/6N mice (Japan SLC). Because neuronal cultures were prepared from wild-type and mutant littermates sharing the same genetic background, differences between the C57BL/6J and C57BL/6N sub-strains are unlikely to have affected the analysis. For the production of Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ embryos, Nlgn3$^{R451C/y}$ males and Nlgn3$^{R451C/+}$ females were crossed. Embryos of CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$ mice were obtained by crossing heterozygous CaMKIIα$^{K42R/+}$ males and females. Both male and female embryos were used for the

primary culture. Control cultures were prepared from embryos without disease-related

mutations on the background of either C57BL/6J or C57BL/6N.

Genomic DNA was purified from E16 embryos prior to brain dissection using the

QuickGene DNA tissue kit (WAKO), and genotypes were determined by PCR using

either KapaTaq (Kapa Biosystems) or KOD FX Neo (TOYOBO) following the standard

protocols provided by the manufacturers. The primer sequences used for genotyping

were as follows:

$Nlgn3^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ genotyping:

Sense primer: 5′- GGTCAGAGCTGTCATTGTCAC-3′

Antisense primer: 5'- TGTACCAGGAATGGGAAGCAG-3'

$Syngap1^{+/-}$ genotyping:

Sense primer for WT: 5'-GTCAGTGGGACATGGAAGTAG-3'

Sense primer for mutant: 5′-CTTCCTCGTGCTTTACGGTATC-3′

Antisense primer (common): 5'-CTGATCAGCCTGTCAGCAATG-3'

$POGZ^{Q1038R/+}$ genotyping:

Sense primer for WT: 5'- TCTGTGAAGAAGCTTCGGGTAGTAC-3'

Antisense primer for WT: 5′-GTCTCCTCATTTACAGGGAGCT-3′

Sense primer for mutant: 5'- GCAGCGGCTCCCCGTTAAC-3'

Antisense primer for mutant: 5′- AGCGCACAGCCCACTCATAG-3′

$15q11\text{-}13^{dup/+}$ genotyping:

Sense primer: 5'- AGAGGAGGGCCTTACTAATTACTTA-3'

Antisense primer: 5′-ATATGTACTTTTGCATATAGTATAC -3′

$3q29^{del/+}$ genotyping:

Sense primer for WT: 5'- TTGGCACCACTCGCCCAAGTTATATCCACC-3'

Sense primer for mutant: 5'- CAAGGGGGAGGATTGGGAAGACAATAGCAG-3'

Antisense primer (common): 5'- GGTCATGCAAATTCTAGCAGTGAGTCATGAC-3'

22q11.2$^{del/+}$ genotyping:

Sense primer for WT: 5'- GAGAAAGTGGGTGGGAAGGC -3'

Antisense primer for WT: 5'- GTCCCTCGCCACAGTCATAA -3'

Sense primer for mutant: 5'- CTAAGGAATGGTTCCGGCCA -3'

Antisense primer for mutant: 5'- TTTCACGGAGGCGGTATTCA-3'

Setd1a$^{+/-}$ genotyping:

Sense primer: 5'-CTCGCCGCCATTTCTCTACATC-3'

Antisense primer: 5'-GTTCTGGAGGTTCTGGAGGTG-3'

CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$ genotyping:

Sense primer: 5'-GGTCTTGAAGACTGTCTGGTGTGAGA-3'

Antisense primer: 5'-CACAGGCCAGTTTAGGTCTTGCTAGG-3'

After genotyping, primary hippocampal neuron cultures were prepared from mutant and

corresponding control embryonic samples as described previously[12,62]. In brief, E16

hippocampi were dissected, minced, treated with trypsin (Gibco) and DNase (SIGMA),

and dissociated mechanically into a cell suspension. Cells were resuspended in

minimum essential medium supplemented with B18 supplement, L-glutamine (Gibco),

and 5% fetal calf serum (Equitech-Bio), and plated onto glass-bottom dishes (MatTek,

#1.5) precoated with poly-L-lysine (SIGMA). Glial proliferation was prevented by adding

5 µM Ara-C (SIGMA) 2 days after plating. For the comparison of spine nanostructure

between wild-type and mutant neurons, culture samples were processed for imaging at

18-22 DIV. For comparison of 8 mutant mouse models using SIM imaging, all imaging

data were obtained from the samples fixed at 19 DIV. For pharmacological treatment,

10 µM CNQX or 20 µM bicuculline was applied to the culture medium at 13 DIV, and

samples were processed for imaging at 19 DIV.

Transfection and fluorescence labeling of primary hippocampal neurons

Primary neurons were transfected with the indicated vectors using the calcium

phosphate precipitation method at 8–9 DIV according to a previously described

procedure[63]. All fluorescent proteins were expressed under the control of the β-actin

promoter.

The expression of the shRNA constructs was induced using the pSilencer vector

system. Briefly, the 19-nt target sequence and the reverse complement sequence of

the target were ligated into the shRNA expression vector separated by a 9-nt spacer

loop (TCTCTTGAA) (pSilencer 2.0-U6; Invitrogen). Stealth RNAi Negative Control

Duplexes (Invitrogen) were used as control shRNAs. The targeting sequences for

mouse Met, Arhgap15, and Ecrg4 are as follows:

Met RNAi sequence:

5'-GCAGTGAATTAGTTCGCTA-3

Arhgap15 RNAi sequence:

5'-AAGACAGATGTGAACATAC-3

Ecrg4 RNAi sequence:

5'- GAGGCTAAATTTGAAGAT-3'

The suppression of target protein expression by these transfected shRNAs was tested

by immunoblotting lysates of COS-7 cells co-transfected with a target gene construct

fused to a sequence encoding amino acids 98–106 of human influenza hemagglutinin

(HA), all under the control of the β-actin promoter. Overexpression of Cip4, Ecrg4, and

Npas4, genes upregulated in cultures derived from mouse models of schizophrenia,

was induced by transfection of plasmids containing the corresponding HA-fused cDNA

under the control of the β-actin promoter. Briefly, mouse Trip10 transcript variant 4

(Cip4; NM_001242391) and mouse Ecrg4 (NM_024283) were cloned, and an HA tag

was inserted into the C-termini. Mouse Npas4 (NM_153553) was cloned according to a

previous report[64] and fused with a Flag-HA tag at its C-terminus. Human neuropeptide

Y (NPY) tagged with green fluorescent protein (GFP, a kind gift from Dr. J. Takaska,

NIH) was expressed under the control of the β-actin promoter.

For cell surface labeling of individual neurons in culture, cells were fixed and stained

with 1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate (DiI; Molecular

Probes) as described previously[12].

Immunocytochemistry

Cultured hippocampal neurons expressing HA-tagged constructs were fixed with 2%

paraformaldehyde in PBS, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS, blocked with

5% normal goat serum, and reacted with rabbit anti-HA antibody (1:500; MBL), mouse

anti-HA antibody (1:100; Biolegend), mouse anti-β-galactosidase antibody (1:500,

SIGMA), rabbit anti-Ecrg4 (1:100, SIGMA), mouse anti-GM130 antibody (1:100, BD

Biosciences), rabbit anti-Cip4/TRIP10 antibody (1:100, Proteintech), rabbit anti-NPAS4

antibody (1:100, Activity Signaling), and chicken anti-MAP2 antibody (1:500,

PhosphoSolutions). After washing with PBS, samples were reacted with Alexa Fluor

546-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (1:500; Invitrogen) and Alexa Fluor 647-

labeled goat anti-mouse IgG antibody or anti-chicken IgY antibodies (1:500;

Invitrogen). For cell surface labeling with GFP, neurons expressing Ecrg4-HA-SEP

(superecliptic pHluorin) were reacted with anti-GFP VHH/nanobody conjugated to

Alexa Fluor 647 (1:4,000; Chromotek) in culture medium at 37°C.

Structured illumination microscopy (SIM) imaging

For 3D-SIM imaging, we used an inverted microscope (ECLIPSE Ti-E, NIKON)

equipped with an N-SIM-E system, 405, 488, 561, and 640 nm diode lasers (LU-NV,

NIKON), and an oil-immersion TIRF objective lens (SR Apo TIRF 100 ×, N.A. 1.49,

NIKON). All SIM images were acquired under strictly controlled conditions, including a

stage temperature of 28–29°C, to minimize the position and aberration fluctuations.

Spherical aberrations induced by refractive index mismatch were reduced by manually

adjusting the objective lens correction collar. Images were acquired using an EMCCD

camera (iXon3 DU-897E, Andor Technology) with 512 × 512 pixels (each pixel, 16 µm

square) operated in the read-out mode at 1 MHz with 16-bit analog-to-digital

conversion of the EM gain. All image-processing steps were performed in three

dimensions. The acquired image datasets were computationally reconstructed using

the reconstruction stack algorithm V2.10 of NIS-Elements AR (NIKON). An image stack

consisted of 63 axial (z) planes of 512 × 512 pixels in the x- and y-dimensions, with a

pixel size of 32 × 32 nm. All 3D images were reconstructed in 120-nm z-steps spanning

7.56 µm in the z-axis. The voxel size met the Nyquist criterion. Only dendritic segments

spatially isolated from other fluorescent objects were selected for analysis because

objects brighter than the targeted dendritic segments made image thresholding

unreliable. Quality checks of the acquired SIM images were performed as described

previously using the SIMcheck plugin for ImageJ[65].

Spine isolation and shape measurement

Spines were isolated by automated image thresholding using a previously described

method[12]. Briefly, the reconstructed SIM image stacks were first processed by

multilevel thresholding using the MATLAB built-in function [multithresh()] to produce

binary images, which were further processed by geodesic active contours using the

MATLAB built-in function [activecontour()]. The binary image stacks generated by

thresholding the SIM images were then processed for the automated detection of

spines. First, the dendritic shafts were fitted with elliptic cylinders and voxel clusters

outside the best-fit elliptic cylinders were identified as spine candidates. Next, the spine

candidates were classified by volume and shape. After selection, the junction between

the dendritic shaft and spine was determined. Finally, the nanostructural parameters of

isolated spines were measured. These steps are basically the same as those in our

previous algorithm, but several points were improved, as described in the following

sections (The original code for SIM image processing is available at

https://shigeookabe.github.io/download-page-SIM/

with a password of "simspineimage").

(1) Dendritic shaft fitting

We first estimated the shaft volume using the custom-made function "SIM_Fitdendrite"

as previously described. In the new algorithm, the candidate spines were subsequently

evaluated to determine whether their bases were large and spread on the shaft

surface. If the spine base was large, the basal voxels were removed using the function

"SIM_Removevoxel."

(2) Selection of the spines

Using the custom-made function "SIM_Position," spines with volumes too small, too

elongated, or located at the image edge were removed as previously described. Small

spine candidates with volumes less than twice the volume of a rectangular cuboid with

edge lengths equal to the theoretical resolutions of SIM images (<0.01 μm^3) were

rejected.

(3) Adjustment of the spine–shaft junction

We determined the location of spine–shaft junction using the custom-made function

"SIM_Junction." This step was identical to our previous algorithm. Next, spines with

more than one connection site to the shaft were detected using the function

"SIM_Neck" and eliminated from further analysis.

(4) Measurement of nanostructural parameters

First, the angle of the spines was adjusted to be perpendicular to the long axis of the

dendritic shaft and within the xy-plane using the function "SIM_Angle." Next,

nanostructural parameters were measured using the function "SIM_Shape." In our

previous spine shape measurement study, a polygon mesh-based calculation was

performed. In the current study, we took a different approach using voxel-based

measurement. The calculation of structural parameters using voxel data was more

straightforward and required fewer calculation steps than the method based on polygon

mesh data. Spines were divided into 160-nm segments along the long axis using the

function "SIM_Shape." These spine segments were used to calculate the following 64

nanostructural parameters: spine length, surface area, base surface area, total volume,

volume of each spine segment (20 segments), convex hull volume of each spine

segment (20 segments), and convex hull ratio of each spine segment (20 segments).

Analysis of the spine population data

Differences in spine phenotype among model mice were analyzed at the population

level by PCA. We selected five parameters (spine length, base surface, total volume,

and volumes of the fifth and fifteenth spine segments) for the PCA-based dimensional

reduction. Two parameters that reflect the principal structural features (length and

volume) were first selected. Second, three other parameters (base surface, volumes of

the fifth and fifteenth spine segments) that were mutually independent and also

independent from the first two parameters were chosen (pairwise correlation

coefficients < 0.3). The initial 64 parameters included the volume of each spine

segment (20 segments), the convex hull volume of each spine segment (20 segments),

and the convex hull ratio of each spine segment (20 segments). These parameters

showed higher correlation coefficients but did not improve PCA performance. We also

confirmed that PCA using all 64 parameters yields a cross-correlation map similar to

that shown in Fig. 2B. The first three principal components (PC1–PC3) covered

approximately 90% of the variance in the data.

The 2D presentation of spine population data using PC1 and PC2 reflected the overall

pattern of the spine shape distribution, with thin, mushroom, and stubby spines

separated in the feature space. We found that the overall pattern of spine population

data projected to the PC1-PC2 plane differs between DiI-labeled neurons and GFP-

expressing neurons. This difference may be due to the properties of SIM images

obtained from either cell-surface labeling or cytoplasmic GFP expression. To facilitate

the direct comparison of the spine population data in Figure 3 (DiI-labeled neurons)

and Figure 8 (GFP-expressing neurons), we transformed the raw data of GFP-

expressing neurons using the weight matrix calculated from the data for DiI-labeled

neurons.

After PCA, relative spine densities (spine number per area in the feature space) were

mapped onto an 80 × 80 grid spanning ±4 standard deviations along PC1 and PC2.

Using this procedure, two density plots were generated: one from culture samples of

disease model mice and the other from corresponding control cultures. The two density

plots were then subtracted to extract the local differences in spine numbers. Because

each grid contains spines with similar structural parameters, the subtracted plot reveals

regions of mutant-dominant and wild-type-dominant spine distributions in the PC1–PC2

plane.

The similarity between the two subtracted density plots was evaluated by calculating

the 2D cross-correlation C using the following equation

762
$$C = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} X(m,n)Y(m,n)}{\sqrt{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} X(m,n)X(m,n)} \sqrt{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} Y(m,n)Y(m,n)}},$$

where X and Y correspond to the two subtracted density matrices. The 11 different

subtracted density plots shown in Figure 2 were clustered using the MATLAB function

"linkage," and the average distance between all pairs of elements in the two samples

was calculated using the cluster algorithm.

For Figure 3, the PC1–PC2 feature space was divided into four subareas with distinct

shape properties: (1) small and short, (2) small and long, (3) large and short, and (4)

large and long. The median spine volume and length were first calculated from the

wild-type population, and spine samples were classified into four subgroups based on

these median values. Each position of the 80 × 80 grid was then labeled according to

the dominant subgroup of spines it contained, yielding a map of four subareas

corresponding to the four spine shape categories.

In Figure 3, 8, and Supplementary Figure 3, areas in the feature space enriched with

mutant or control spines were extracted by searching the 80 × 80 grid and identifying

those where the difference in relative density was larger than the mean plus 3 × SD.

Spine axial profiles in Supplementary Figure 5 were then analyzed within areas

enriched with either mutant or control spines.

Time-lapse imaging of dendritic spines and image analysis

Live cell 3D imaging was performed using an A1 confocal laser scanning microscope

(NIKON) equipped with 405, 488, 561, and 640 nm diode lasers (LU-NV, NIKON). Cells

at 15–18 DIV were maintained at 37°C under a continuous flow of 5% CO_2 to maintain

medium pH using a heater stage system (INUG2H-TIZSH, Tokai Hit) with a lid

designed for a glass-bottom dish to minimize evaporation. Horizontal and vertical drifts

were controlled by a motorized stage and a perfect focus system, respectively. Images

were acquired with an oil immersion objective lens (60×, NA 1.4, NIKON) at a confocal

aperture of 1 AU. The imaged volume was 30.7 × 30.7 × 7.56 µm in x, y, and z

directions, respectively, and image stacks consisted of 17 planes separated by 500 nm.

Time-lapse images were recorded either at short intervals (15 min intervals for 24 h) or

long intervals (two time points separated by 24 h). Cultured neurons imaged at a 24-h

interval were then fixed and immunostained with anti-HA to confirm the expression

levels of the transfected genes. The effect of exogenously applied Ecrg4 peptide

(CΔ16; the C-terminal ECRG4 fragment of 16 aa, 5.4 µM, BEX CO., LTD.) was

evaluated by taking time-lapse images at 24 h intervals, with the first image taken just

before the peptide application.

The image stacks obtained by time-lapse imaging were analyzed using custom-made

MATLAB scripts. First, 2D images were generated by maximal intensity projection of z-

stack images. These 2D images were processed to transform the outlines of dendritic

shafts to be aligned with straight lines. Spines were subsequently identified as objects

extending beyond the straight edges of the dendritic shafts. Identified spines were

segmented, and total fluorescence intensities were measured as a proxy for spine

volume. We classified the spines as stable (present throughout the imaging period),

newly formed (appearing within 24 h imaging period), and eliminated (lost within 24 h

imaging period). For newly formed and eliminated spines, we generated temporal

profiles of growth and shrinkage using the total fluorescence intensity values.

RNA sequencing and gene expression analysis

Total RNA was isolated from cultured hippocampal neurons at 19 DIV using the

RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) with the RNase-Free DNase Set (QIAGEN) according to

the manufacturer's protocols. The quality of the extracted RNA was initially assessed

using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer, and the RNA integrity number (RIN) score was

calculated for each sample using a Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). The yields were

quantified using a Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sequencing libraries

were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for directional

libraries (New England BioLabs) and the KAPA HTP Library Preparation Kit (KAPA

Biosystems) according to the manufacturers' protocols. Paired-end RNA-seq libraries

were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq and MGI DNBSEQ-G400 platforms. Three

independent culture preparations were used for RNA sequencing of each mouse model

and control library. Following quality control, the reads were aligned with the mouse

reference genome GRCm38/mm10 (GenBank assembly ID: GCA_000001635.2).

Expression levels of genes were analyzed based on the transcripts per million and

differential expression analysis was conducted using the DESeq2 package in R[66].

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were selected according to an adjusted P <

0.05 and a (log2)-fold change greater than 0.5.

Simulation of spine development

Spine growth was simulated using a dendrite model consisting of 1,000 segments,

each 0.25 µm in length (250 µm in total). The spine distribution was updated every 20

min over 10 days, covering the initial phase of spine development from 8 DIV to 17

DIV. The initial probability of new spine generation was set at 7.5×10^{-3} per segment

per hour and decreased thereafter in proportion to the accrued spine density increase

(by the final 24 h, the probability of spine generation [mean ± SD] was $3.2 \times 10^{-3} \pm 0.23$

$\times 10^{-3}$/segment/h). This probability function yielded an average of 1.2 ± 0.22 new

spines per 10 µm of dendrite every 24 h, in good agreement with the spine dynamics

measured by time-lapse imaging from 15–18 DIV (1.3 ± 0.63 new spines per 10 µm of

dendrite every 24 h). The model also set the increase in spine volume (V1) from $6.7 \times$

10^{-3} to 3.3×10^{-2} in 20 min. Spines in the early growth phase were treated as unstable,

with loss probability P1 ranging from 9.0×10^{-3} to 0.1 every 20 min. Spines were

modeled as stabilized when the individual volume reached a relative value of 1.0.

These stabilized spines then entered a shrinking phase with a probability P2 ranging

from 2.9×10^{-3} to 4.0×10^{-3} in 20 min. The shrinking rate V2 was set from 6.7×10^{-3} to

3.3×10^{-2} per 20 min. In simulations, the four parameters V1, V2, P1, and P2 were

varied within these indicated ranges to find the combination producing the best fit with

experimental data on the difference in spine lifetime distribution profile and turnover

rate at 24 h (the actual measurement interval). The simulation with the best-fit

parameters yielded a spine density comparable to measured data (0.57 ± 0.029 vs.

0.70 ± 0.17 spines/μm of dendrite).

Western blotting

Total protein extracts from cultured hippocampal neurons were separated into cytosolic

and membrane fractions using Trident Membrane Protein Extraction Kit (GeneTex)

according to the manufacturer's protocol and separated on 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate

(SDS)-polyacrylamide gels or 15%–20% Tricine-SDS-polyacrylamide gels. The

separated proteins were then transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Millipore) or

PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad) using a wet transfer system. Membranes were blocked

with 5% bovine serum albumin in Tris-buffered saline plus Tween-20 (TBS-T, 20 mM

Tris-HCl, 137 mM NaCl, 0.2% Tween-20) for 1 h at room temperature, then incubated

overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-Ecrg4 (1:1,000, SIGMA), rabbit anti-GluA1 (1:1,000,

Nittobo Medical), rabbit anti-GFP (1:3,000, MBL), rabbit anti-dsRed2 (1:4,000, Nittobo

Medical), mouse anti-α-tubulin (1:3,000, SIGMA) as indicated. The membranes were

washed and incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody against mouse or

rabbit IgG (1:10,000, Amersham) for 2 h. Signals from the target protein bands were

detected using a SuperSignal™ West Femto or Atto detection kit (Pierce) and imaged

for quantitation (densitometry) using a ChemiDoc imaging system (Bio-Rad). We

isolated the fraction of synaptosomes using Syn-PER™ Synaptic Protein Extraction

Reagent (Thermo Scientific) in Supplementary Figure 9A, according to the

manufacture's protocols.

Statistics

The statistical tests used for each experiment and the sample sizes (spines, dendrites,

cells, and plates) are indicated in the corresponding text or figure legends. Statistical

significance was determined as follows:

Figure 3G-J: One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test.

Figure 5A-C: A linear mixed-effects model with genotype as a fixed effect and plate,

cell, and dendrite as nested random effects.

Figure 5D-F: A linear mixed-effects model with genotype as a fixed effect and plate,

cell, dendrite, and spine as nested random effects.

Figure 5G-L: A linear mixed-effects model with genotype as a fixed effect and plate,

cell, dendrite, spine, and time as nested random effects.

Figure 7A: A linear mixed-effects model with treatment as a fixed effect and plate, cell,

and dendrite as nested random effects.

Figure 8: Three independent imaging datasets evaluated by permutation tests.

Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

Acknowledgments

We thank Toru Takumi for the 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$ mice, Katsuhiko Tabuchi for Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or}$

$^{R451C)}$ mice, Yoko Yamagata for CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$ mice, and Justin Taraska for the NPY

tagged with GFP. This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research

(25H01038, 20H00481, 20H05894, 20H05895 to S.O.), the Japan Agency for Medical

Research and Development (JP19gm1310003 to S.O., T. N., and Y. G.,

JP22jm0210097, JP25wm0625001h0003 to S.O., JP19dm0207071 to A. A.), the Naito

Foundation, and the Uehara Memorial Foundation.

Author contributions

Y.K. and S.O. conceived of the project and designed the methodology. Y.K. and Q.L.

performed the experiments. R. S., A.A. and T.N. provided the animal resources and

provided advice on analysis. Y.G. conducted the gene expression analysis. S.O. and

Y.K. wrote the manuscript, with contributions from A.A., T.N., and Y.G.

Declarations of interests

The authors declare no competing interests. Additional affiliation of the authors is as

follows; Shigeo Okabe; Director, RIKEN Center for Brain Science.

Declarations of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

The authors utilized Grammarly (https://app.grammarly.com/) for editing the text. The

authors take full responsibility for the contents of the manuscript.

Resource availability

The original code for SIM image processing is available at

https://shigeookabe.github.io/download-page-SIM/

with a password of "simspineimage".

References

1.   Rochefort, N.L., and Konnerth, A. (2012). Dendritic spines: from structure to in
vivo function. EMBO Rep. *13*, 699–708.
https://doi.org/10.1038/EMBOR.2012.102.

2.   Sala, C., and Segal, M. (2014). Dendritic spines: the locus of structural and
functional plasticity. Physiol. Rev. *94*, 141–188.
https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00012.2013.

3.   Matsuzaki, M., Ellis-Davies, G.C.R., Nemoto, T., Miyashita, Y., Iino, M., and Kasai,
H. (2001). Dendritic spine geometry is critical for AMPA receptor expression in
hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. Nat. Neurosci. *4*, 1086–1092.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NN736.

4.   Matsuzaki, M., Honkura, N., Ellis-Davies, G.C.R., and Kasai, H. (2004). Structural
basis of long-term potentiation in single dendritic spines. Nature *429*, 761–766.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02617.

5.   Nägerl, U.V., Eberhorn, N., Cambridge, S.B., and Bonhoeffer, T. (2004).
Bidirectional activity-dependent morphological plasticity in hippocampal neurons.
Neuron *44*, 759–767. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.11.016.

6.   Zhou, Q., Homma, K.J., and Poo, M.M. (2004). Shrinkage of dendritic spines
associated with long-term depression of hippocampal synapses. Neuron *44*, 749–
757. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.11.011.

7.   Loewenstein, Y., Kuras, A., and Rumpel, S. (2011). Multiplicative dynamics
underlie the emergence of the log-normal distribution of spine sizes in the
neocortex in vivo. Journal of Neuroscience *31*, 9481–9488.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6130-10.2011.

8.   Loewenstein, Y., Yanover, U., and Rumpel, S. (2015). Predicting the dynamics of
network connectivity in the neocortex. Journal of Neuroscience *35*, 12535–12544.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2917-14.2015.

9.   Statman, A., Kaufman, M., Minerbi, A., Ziv, N.E., and Brenner, N. (2014). Synaptic
size dynamics as an effectively stochastic process. PLoS Comput. Biol. *10*.
https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PCBI.1003846.

10.   Hazan, L., and Ziv, N.E. (2020). Activity dependent and independent determinants
of synaptic size diversity. J. Neurosci. *40*, 2828–2848.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2181-19.2020.

11.   Tønnesen, J., Katona, G., Rózsa, B., and Nägerl, U.V. (2014). Spine neck
plasticity regulates compartmentalization of synapses. Nat. Neurosci. *17*, 678–
685. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3682.

12. Kashiwagi, Y., Higashi, T., Obashi, K., Sato, Y., Komiyama, N.H., Grant, S.G.N.,
and Okabe, S. (2019). Computational geometry analysis of dendritic spines by
structured illumination microscopy. Nat. Commun. *10*, 1285.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09337-0.
13. Zaccard, C.R., Shapiro, L., Martin-de-Saavedra, M.D., Pratt, C., Myczek, K., Song,
A., Forrest, M.P., and Penzes, P. (2020). Rapid 3D enhanced resolution
microscopy reveals diversity in dendritic spinule dynamics, regulation, and
function. Neuron *107*, 522–537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.04.025.
14. Penzes, P., Cahill, M.E., Jones, K.A., VanLeeuwen, J.-E., and Woolfrey, K.M.
(2011). Dendritic spine pathology in neuropsychiatric disorders. Nat. Neurosci. *14*,
285–293. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2741.
15. Fromer, M., Pocklington, A.J., Kavanagh, D.H., Williams, H.J., Dwyer, S., Gormley,
P., Georgieva, L., Rees, E., Palta, P., Ruderfer, D.M., et al. (2014). De novo
mutations in schizophrenia implicate synaptic networks. Nature *506*, 179–184.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE12929.
16. Rodriguez-Murillo, L., Gogos, J.A., and Karayiorgou, M. (2012). The genetic
architecture of schizophrenia: new mutations and emerging paradigms. Annu. Rev.
Med. *63*, 63–80. https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-MED-072010-091100.
17. Gulsuner, S., Walsh, T., Watts, A.C., Lee, M.K., Thornton, A.M., Casadei, S.,
Rippey, C., Shahin, H., Nimgaonkar, V.L., Go, R.C.P., et al. (2013). Spatial and
temporal mapping of de novo mutations in schizophrenia to a fetal prefrontal
cortical network. Cell *154*, 518–529. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2013.06.049.
18. Bourgeron, T. (2015). From the genetic architecture to synaptic plasticity in autism
spectrum disorder. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *16*, 551–563.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NRN3992.
19. Terashima, H., Minatohara, K., Maruoka, H., and Okabe, S. (2022). Imaging
neural circuit pathology of autism spectrum disorders: autism-associated genes,
animal models and the application of in vivo two-photon imaging. Microscopy (Oxf).
71, I81–I99. https://doi.org/10.1093/JMICRO/DFAB039.
20. Zieger, H.L., and Choquet, D. (2021). Nanoscale synapse organization and
dysfunction in neurodevelopmental disorders. Neurobiol. Dis. *158*, 105453.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NBD.2021.105453.
21. Yilmaz, M., Yalcin, E., Presumey, J., Aw, E., Ma, M., Whelan, C.W., Stevens, B.,
McCarroll, S.A., and Carroll, M.C. (2021). Overexpression of schizophrenia
susceptibility factor human complement C4A promotes excessive synaptic loss
and behavioral changes in mice. Nat. Neurosci. *24*, 214–224.

https://doi.org/10.1038/S41593-020-00763-8.

22.   Obi-Nagata, K., Suzuki, N., Miyake, R., MacDonald, M.L., Fish, K.N., Ozawa, K.,
Nagahama, K., Okimura, T., Tanaka, S., Kano, M., et al. (2023). Distorted
neurocomputation by a small number of extra-large spines in psychiatric disorders.
Sci. Adv. *9*, eade5973. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIADV.ADE5973.

23.   Mukai, J., Dhilla, A., Drew, L.J., Stark, K.L., Cao, L., MacDermott, A.B.,
Karayiorgou, M., and Gogos, J.A. (2008). Palmitoylation-dependent
neurodevelopmental deficits in a mouse model of 22q11 microdeletion. Nat.
Neurosci. *11*, 1302–1310. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2204.

24.   Nakai, N., Takumi, T., Nakai, J., and Sato, M. (2018). Common defects of spine
dynamics and circuit function in neurodevelopmental disorders: A systematic
review of findings from in vivo optical imaging of mouse models. Front. Neurosci.
12. https://doi.org/10.3389/FNINS.2018.00412/PDF.

25.   Shcheglovitov, A., Shcheglovitova, O., Yazawa, M., Portmann, T., Shu, R.,
Sebastiano, V., Krawisz, A., Froehlich, W., Bernstein, J.A., Hallmayer, J.F., et al.
(2013). SHANK3 and IGF1 restore synaptic deficits in neurons from 22q13
deletion syndrome patients. Nature *503*, 267–271.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE12618.

26.   Llamosas, N., Arora, V., Vij, R., Kilinc, M., Bijoch, L., Rojas, C., Reich, A.,
Sridharan, B.P., Willems, E., Piper, D.R., et al. (2020). SYNGAP1 controls the
maturation of dendrites, synaptic function, and network activity in developing
human neurons. J. Neurosci. *40*, 7980–7994.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1367-20.2020.

27.   Yamamoto, K., Kuriu, T., Matsumura, K., Nagayasu, K., Tsurusaki, Y., Miyake, N.,
Yamamori, H., Yasuda, Y., Fujimoto, M., Fujiwara, M., et al. (2021). Multiple
alterations in glutamatergic transmission and dopamine D2 receptor splicing in
induced pluripotent stem cell-derived neurons from patients with familial
schizophrenia. Transl. Psychiatry *11*, 548. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41398-021-
01676-1.

28.   Wen, Z., Nguyen, H.N., Guo, Z., Lalli, M.A., Wang, X., Su, Y., Kim, N.S., Yoon,
K.J., Shin, J., Zhang, C., et al. (2014). Synaptic dysregulation in a human iPS cell
model of mental disorders. Nature *515*, 414–418.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE13716.

29.   Chisholm, K., Lin, A., Abu-Akel, A., and Wood, S.J. (2015). The association
between autism and schizophrenia spectrum disorders: A review of eight alternate
models of co-occurrence. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. *55*, 173–183.

1019   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUBIOREV.2015.04.012.

30.   De Crescenzo, F., Postorino, V., Siracusano, M., Riccioni, A., Armando, M.,
Curatolo, P., and Mazzone, L. (2019). Autistic symptoms in schizophrenia
spectrum disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Front. Psychiatry *10*.
https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYT.2019.00078/PDF.

31.   Nenadić, I., Meller, T., Evermann, U., Schmitt, S., Pfarr, J.K., Abu-Akel, A., and
Grezellschak, S. (2021). Subclinical schizotypal vs. autistic traits show
overlapping and diametrically opposed facets in a non-clinical population.
Schizophr. Res. *231*, 32–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCHRES.2021.02.018.

32.   Crespi, B., and Badcock, C. (2008). Psychosis and autism as diametrical
disorders of the social brain. Behav. Brain Sci. *31*, 241–261.
https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X08004214.

33.   Pratt, J., Winchester, C., Dawson, N., and Morris, B. (2012). Advancing
schizophrenia drug discovery: optimizing rodent models to bridge the translational
gap. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. *11*, 560–570. https://doi.org/10.1038/NRD3649.

34.   Isshiki, M., Tanaka, S., Kuriu, T., Tabuchi, K., Takumi, T., and Okabe, S. (2014).
Enhanced synapse remodelling as a common phenotype in mouse models of
autism. Nat. Commun. *5*, 4742. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5742.

35.   Lee, E., Lee, J., and Kim, E. (2017). Excitation/inhibition imbalance in animal
models of autism spectrum disorders. Biol. Psychiatry *81*, 838–847.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOPSYCH.2016.05.011.

36.   Tabuchi, K., Blundell, J., Etherton, M.R., Hammer, R.E., Liu, X., Powell, C.M., and
Südhof, T.C. (2007). A neuroligin-3 mutation implicated in autism increases
inhibitory synaptic transmission in mice. Science *318*, 71–76.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1146221.

37.   Etherton, M., Földy, C., Sharma, M., Tabuchi, K., Liu, X., Shamloo, M., Malenka,
R.C., and Südhof, T.C. (2011). Autism-linked neuroligin-3 R451C mutation
differentially alters hippocampal and cortical synaptic function. Proc. Natl. Acad.
Sci. U. S. A. *108*, 13764–13769. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1111093108.

38.   Komiyama, N.H., Watabe, A.M., Carlisle, H.J., Porter, K., Charlesworth, P., Monti,
J., Strathdee, D.J.C., O'Carroll, C.M., Martin, S.J., Morris, R.G.M., et al. (2002).
SynGAP regulates ERK/MAPK signaling, synaptic plasticity, and learning in the
complex with postsynaptic density 95 and NMDA receptor. The Journal of
Neuroscience *22*, 9721–9732. https://doi.org/22/22/9721 [pii].

39.   Matsumura, K., Seiriki, K., Okada, S., Nagase, M., Ayabe, S., Yamada, I., Furuse,
T., Shibuya, H., Yasuda, Y., Yamamori, H., et al. (2020). Pathogenic POGZ

mutation causes impaired cortical development and reversible autism-like
phenotypes. Nat. Commun. *11*, 859. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14697-z.

40. Nakatani, J., Tamada, K., Hatanaka, F., Ise, S., Ohta, H., Inoue, K., Tomonaga, S.,
Watanabe, Y., Chung, Y.J., Banerjee, R., et al. (2009). Abnormal behavior in a
chromosome- engineered mouse model for human 15q11-13 duplication seen in
autism. Cell *137*, 1235–1246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.04.024.

41. Baba, M., Yokoyama, K., Seiriki, K., Naka, Y., Matsumura, K., Kondo, M.,
Yamamoto, K., Hayashida, M., Kasai, A., Ago, Y., et al. (2019). Psychiatric-
disorder-related behavioral phenotypes and cortical hyperactivity in a mouse
model of 3q29 deletion syndrome. Neuropsychopharmacology *44*, 2125–2135.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-019-0441-5.

42. Saito, R., Miyoshi, C., Koebis, M., Kushima, I., Nakao, K., Mori, D., Ozaki, N.,
Funato, H., Yanagisawa, M., and Aiba, A. (2021). Two novel mouse models
mimicking minor deletions in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome revealed the contribution
of each deleted region to psychiatric disorders. Mol. Brain *14*.
https://doi.org/10.1186/S13041-021-00778-7.

43. Nagahama, K., Sakoori, K., Watanabe, T., Kishi, Y., Kawaji, K., Koebis, M., Nakao,
K., Gotoh, Y., Aiba, A., Uesaka, N., et al. (2020). Setd1a insufficiency in mice
attenuates excitatory synaptic function and recapitulates schizophrenia-related
behavioral abnormalities. Cell Rep. *32*, 108126.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELREP.2020.108126.

44. Yamagata, Y., Kobayashi, S., Umeda, T., Inoue, A., Sakagami, H., Fukaya, M.,
Watanabe, M., Hatanaka, N., Totsuka, M., Yagi, T., et al. (2009). Kinase-dead
knock-in mouse reveals an essential role of kinase activity of Ca^{2+}/calmodulin-
dependent protein kinase IIα in dendritic spine enlargement, long-term
potentiation, and learning. Journal of Neuroscience *29*, 7607–7618.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0707-09.2009.

45. Yamasaki, N., Maekawa, M., Kobayashi, K., Kajii, Y., Maeda, J., Soma, M., Takao,
K., Tanda, K., Ohira, K., Toyama, K., et al. (2008). Alpha-CaMKII deficiency causes
immature dentate gyrus, a novel candidate endophenotype of psychiatric
disorders. Mol. Brain *1*, 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-6606-1-6.

46. Featherstone, R.E., Shimada, T., Crown, L.M., Melnychenko, O., Yi, J.,
Matsumoto, M., Tajinda, K., Mihara, T., Adachi, M., and Siegel, S.J. (2022).
Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase IIα heterozygous knockout mice
show electroencephalogram and behavioral changes characteristic of a
subpopulation of schizophrenia and intellectual impairment. Neuroscience *499*,

104–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROSCIENCE.2022.07.023.

47.   Stephenson, J.R., Wang, X., Perfitt, T.L., Parrish, W.P., Shonesy, B.C., Marks,
C.R., Mortlock, D.P., Nakagawa, T., Sutcliffe, J.S., and Colbran, R.J. (2017). A
Novel Human CAMK2A Mutation Disrupts Dendritic Morphology and Synaptic
Transmission, and Causes ASD-Related Behaviors. J. Neurosci. *37*, 2216–2233.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2068-16.2017.

48.   Brown, C.N., Cook, S.G., Allen, H.F., Crosby, K.C., Singh, T., Coultrap, S.J., and
Bayer, K.U. (2021). Characterization of six CaMKIIα variants found in patients with
schizophrenia. iScience *24*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.103184.

49.   Qiu, S., Lu, Z., and Levitt, P. (2014). MET receptor tyrosine kinase controls
dendritic complexity, spine morphogenesis, and glutamatergic synapse
maturation in the hippocampus. J. Neurosci. *34*, 16166–16179.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2580-14.2014.

50.   Bloodgood, B.L., Sharma, N., Browne, H.A., Trepman, A.Z., and Greenberg, M.E.
(2013). The activity-dependent transcription factor NPAS4 regulates domain-
specific inhibition. Nature *503*, 121–125. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12743.

51.   Spiegel, I., Mardinly, A.R., Gabel, H.W., Bazinet, J.E., Couch, C.H., Tzeng, C.P.,
Harmin, D.A., and Greenberg, M.E. (2014). Npas4 regulates excitatory-inhibitory
balance within neural circuits through cell-type-specific gene programs. Cell *157*,
1216–1229. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2014.03.058.

52.   Zamboni, V., Armentano, M., Saró, G., Ciraolo, E., Ghigo, A., Germena, G.,
Umbach, A., Valnegri, P., Passafaro, M., Carabelli, V., et al. (2016). Disruption of
ArhGAP15 results in hyperactive Rac1, affects the architecture and function of
hippocampal inhibitory neurons and causes cognitive deficits. Sci. Rep. *6*.
https://doi.org/10.1038/SREP34877.

53.   Ba, W., Selten, M.M., van der Raadt, J., van Veen, H., Li, L.L., Benevento, M.,
Oudakker, A.R., Lasabuda, R.S.E., Letteboer, S.J., Roepman, R., et al. (2016).
ARHGAP12 functions as a developmental brake on excitatory synapse function.
Cell Rep. *14*, 1355–1368. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELREP.2016.01.037.

54.   Nakatani, Y., Kiyonari, H., and Kondo, T. (2019). Ecrg4 deficiency extends the
replicative capacity of neural stem cells in a Foxg1-dependent manner.
Development *146*, dev168120. https://doi.org/10.1242/DEV.168120.

55.   Richter, M., Lalli, E., and Ruggiero, C. (2023). Complex and pleiotropic signaling
pathways regulated by the secreted protein augurin. Cell Commun. Signal. *21*, 69.
https://doi.org/10.1186/S12964-023-01090-8.

56.   Chen, R., Liu, Y., Djekidel, M.N., Chen, W., Bhattacherjee, A., Chen, Z., Scolnick,

E., and Zhang, Y. (2022). Cell type-specific mechanism of Setd1a heterozygosity
in schizophrenia pathogenesis. Sci. Adv. *8*, 1077.
https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abm1077.

57.   Mukai, J., Cannavò, E., Crabtree, G.W., Sun, Z., Diamantopoulou, A., Thakur, P.,
Chang, C.Y., Cai, Y., Lomvardas, S., Takata, A., et al. (2019). Recapitulation and
Reversal of Schizophrenia-Related Phenotypes in Setd1a-Deficient Mice. Neuron
104, 471-487.e12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.09.014.

58.   MacDonald, M.L., Alhassan, J., Newman, J.T., Richard, M., Gu, H., Kelly, R.M.,
Sampson, A.R., Fish, K.N., Penzes, P., Wills, Z.P., et al. (2017). Selective loss of
smaller spines in Schizophrenia. American Journal of Psychiatry *174*, 586–594.
https://doi.org/10.1176/APPI.AJP.2017.16070814/SUPPL_FILE/APPI.AJP.2017.
16070814.DS001.PDF.

59.   Pfeiffer, T., Poll, S., Bancelin, S., Angibaud, J., Inavalli, V.K., Keppler, K., Mittag,
M., Fuhrmann, M., and Nägerl, U.V. (2018). Chronic 2P-STED imaging reveals
high turnover of dendritic spines in the hippocampus in vivo. Elife *7*.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34700.

60.   Attardo, A., Fitzgerald, J.E., and Schnitzer, M.J. (2015). Impermanence of
dendritic spines in live adult CA1 hippocampus. Nature *523*, 592–596.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14467.

61.   Moriguchi, T., Takeda, S., Iwashita, S., Enomoto, K., Sawamura, T., Koshimizu, U.,
and Kondo, T. (2018). Ecrg4 peptide is the ligand of multiple scavenger receptors.
Sci. Rep. *8*. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41598-018-22440-4.

62.   Okabe, S., Kim, H.D., Miwa, A., Kuriu, T., and Okado, H. (1999). Continual
remodeling of postsynaptic density and its regulation by synaptic activity. Nat.
Neurosci. *2*, 804–811. https://doi.org/10.1038/12175.

63.   Shin, E., Kashiwagi, Y., Kuriu, T., Iwasaki, H., Tanaka, T., Koizumi, H., Gleeson,
J.G., and Okabe, S. (2013). Doublecortin-like kinase enhances dendritic
remodelling and negatively regulates synapse maturation. Nat. Commun. *4*, 1440.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2443.

64.   Ooe, N., Saito, K., Mikami, N., Nakatuka, I., and Kaneko, H. (2004). Identification
of a novel basic helix-loop-helix-PAS factor, NXF, reveals a Sim2 competitive,
positive regulatory role in dendritic-cytoskeleton modulator drebrin gene
expression. Mol. Cell. Biol. *24*, 608–616. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.24.2.608-
616.2004.

65.   Ball, G., Demmerle, J., Kaufmann, R., Davis, I., Dobbie, I.M., and Schermelleh, L.
(2015). SIMcheck: a toolbox for successful super-resolution structured illumination

microscopy. Sci. Rep. *5*, 15915. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15915.

66.   Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change

and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. *15*.

https://doi.org/10.1186/S13059-014-0550-8.

1167

Figure 1

1168
1169

Figure 1

SIM imaging of dendritic spines, automated measurements of spine morphology, and

generation of subtracted spine density plots for population-level analysis. (A) Original

SIM image of a dendritic shaft stained with lipophilic membrane dye DiI. (B) Binarized

image of the same dendrite (top) and segmented spines numbered from 1 to 24

(middle). The bottom image shows segmented spines (white) and the dendritic shaft

(blue). (C) Enlarged images of the individual spines shown in (B). The pseudocolor

images indicating the relative positions of the spine segments from the base (blue) to

the tip (yellow). (D) 3D views of three spines (No. 7, 15, and 24) viewed from different

angles. (E) Principal component analysis (PCA)-based dimensional reduction of spine

characteristics plotted in the plane of principal components (PC)1 and PC2. (F)

Process of generating a subtracted density plot. The scatter plot of spine distribution in

the PC1–PC2 plane based on morphological parameters was converted into a density

plot for each culture source (genotype or treatment group), and the corresponding plots

were subtracted to reveal differences in spine morphology at the population level. Bars,

4 μm for A, B, and E, 1 μm for C.

1186

Figure 2

1189

Figure 2

Spine population profiles for each model and corresponding control mouse line

presented as subtracted density plots. (A) The subtracted density plots for eight

disease mouse models (Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, Syngap1$^{+/-}$, POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$, 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$,

3q29$^{del/+}$, 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, Setd1a$^{+/-}$, and CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$) and three different culture

conditions (immature culture at 13 DIV, AMPA glutamate receptor blocker CNQX

treatment, and GABA$_A$ receptor blocker bicuculine treatment). The areas with a higher

density of spines from mutant disease model mice are shown in yellow, and the areas

with reduced density are shown in blue. The total number of spines (first number, n)

analyzed from control and mutant mouse neurons and the corresponding number of

dendrites (number in parentheses) are as follows: Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$; n = 1,134 (58)

and 1,204 (59), Syngap1$^{+/-}$; n = 991 (65) and 1,371 (83), POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$; n = 1,341 (72)

and 1,271 (72), 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$; n = 1, 208 (68) and 1,099 (63), 3q29$^{del/+}$; n = 1,429 (66)

and 1,408 (66), 22q11.2$^{del/+}$; n = 1,143 (66) and 914 (63), Setd1a$^{+/-}$; n = 1,381 (71) and

1,405 (71), CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$; n = 880 (58) and 763 (54). All data are from three

independent culture preparations. (B) Matrix of the 2D cross-correlations among

subtracted density plots. In the lower right area, a spine group showing similar

morphological changes can be identified. This group corresponded to the mouse

models of schizophrenia. (C) Unbiased clustering of spine samples showing two

distinct groups corresponding to schizophrenia (cyan) and ASD (red).

1210

Figure 3

1211
1212

Figure 3

Distinct morphological properties of spines in cultured neurons derived from

schizophrenia model mice compared with ASD model mice.

(A, C, and E) Relative enrichment of four different subgroups of spines in Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or}$

$^{R451C)}$ neurons compared with wild-type neurons. In the projection plane of PC1 and

PC2, four areas with distinct structural properties, namely (1) small and short, (2) small

and long, (3) large and short, and (4) large and long, were defined (A). The map of

areas with large differences (> 3 × SD) between control and mutant mice in spine

number per area in the feature space (C) indicates that the area enriched with wild-type

spines (area B, yellow region) overlaps with the area of large spines. The area

enriched with the mutant spines overlaps with the area of small spines (area A, blue

region). The relative enrichment of mutant spines was summarized in (E).

(B, D, and F) Similar analysis of mutant spine enrichment in 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ neurons. The

map of spine distribution with four different properties (B), the map of areas enriched

with wild-type or mutant spines (D), and the graph of relative enrichment of mutant

spines (F) show that the mutant neurons were enriched with small and long spines.

(G-J) Relative abundance of the four subgroups of spines in 8 mutant mouse models

(1: Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, 2: Syngap1$^{+/-}$, 3: POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$, 4: 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$, 5: 3q29$^{del/+}$, 6:

22q11.2$^{del/+}$, 7: Setd1a$^{+/-}$, 8: CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$). Three independent culture experiments

with paired wild-type and mutant samples were performed. Group comparisons were

performed using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test. (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p <$

0.01, *** $p < 0.005$).

1235

1236

Figure 4

1237

1238

1239

1240

Figure 4

Time-lapse imaging of neurons derived from 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ mice and corresponding

control mice. (A and B) Images of dendritic segments from control neurons (A) and

22q11.2$^{del/+}$ neurons (B) at two different time points. (C and D) Montages of time-lapse

images from control neurons (C) and 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ neurons (D). The curved dendrites

were straightened, revealing newly formed spines (arrowheads) as fluorescent objects

appearing at the edge of the dendritic shafts. Bar, 4 μm.

1248

1249

Figure 5

1250

1251

1252

Figure 5

Turnover rate, lifetime, and growth/shrinkage profiles of dendritic spines in cultured

neurons derived from 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, Setd1a$^{+/-}$, and Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y \text{ or } R451C)}$ mice, as well as

the corresponding controls. (A-C) Spine turnover rates in the three mutant mouse

models compared to the controls. Spine turnover rates were analyzed using a linear

mixed-effects model with genotype as a fixed effect and plate, cell, and dendrite as

nested random effects, showing a significant effect of genotype only in 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and

Setd1a$^{+/-}$ mice (n = 16 dendrites/9 cells/5 plates in control and 11 dendrites/6 cells/4

plates in 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, n = 10 dendrites/7 cells/4 plates in control and n = 14 dendrites/9

cells/6 plates in Setd1a$^{+/-}$, n = 8 dendrites/8 cells/3 plates in control and n = 8

dendrites/8 cells/3 plates in Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y \text{ or } R451C)}$). (D-F) Lifetimes of transient spines for

the three mutant mouse models compared with corresponding controls. Spine lifetime

was analyzed using a linear mixed-effects model accounting for the hierarchical

structure of the data (spines nested within dendrites, cells, and culture plates). The

analysis revealed a significant effect of genotype only in 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and Setd1a$^{+/-}$

neurons (n = 186 spines/11 dendrites/7 cells/5 plates in control and n = 166 spines/7

dendrites/4 cells/4 plates in 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, n = 82 spines/5 dendrites/5 cells/4 plates in

control and n = 202 spines/8 dendrites/8 cells/5 plates in Setd1a$^{+/-}$, n = 98 spines/8

dendrites/8 cells/3 plates in control and n = 125 spines/8 dendrites/8 cells/3 platess in

Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y \text{ or } R451C)}$). (G-I) Temporal patterns of spine growth (n = 60 spines/11

neurons/7 cells/5 plates in control and n = 50 spines/7 dendrites/4 cells/4 plates in

22q11.2$^{del/+}$, n = 25 spines/5 dendrites/5 cells/4 plates in control and n = 65 spines /8

dendrites/8 cells/5 plates in Setd1a$^{+/-}$, n = 43 spines/8 dendrites/8 cells/3 plates in

control and n = 41 spines/7 dendrites/7 cells/2 plates in Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y \text{ or } R451C)}$). Spine

volume trajectories were analyzed using linear mixed-effects models incorporating

nested random effects (spine within dendrite within cell within culture plate) to account

for the hierarchical structure of the data. Newly formed spines in both 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ and

Setd1a$^{+/-}$ neurons were significantly smaller than those in wild-type neurons. In

contrast, newly formed spines in Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ neurons were significantly larger

than those in wild-type neurons. (J-L) Temporal patterns of spine shrinkage (n = 39

spines/10 dendrites/6 cells/5 plates in control and n = 37 spines/7 dendrites/4 cells/4

plates in 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, n = 15 spines/5 dendrites/5 cells/4 plates in control and n = 55

spines/8 dendrites/8 cells/5 plates in Setd1a$^{+/-}$, n = 28 spines/8 dendrites/8 cells/3

plates in control and n = 35 spines/8 dendrites/8 cells/3 plates Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$

neurons). Spine volume trajectories were analyzed using linear mixed-effects models

as in (G-I). In the 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ neurons, spines undergoing elimination were significantly

smaller than those in wild-type neurons. This effect was not detected in Setd1a$^{+/-}$ and

Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$ neurons.

1291

1292

Figure 6

1293
1294

1295

1296

1297

Figure 6

Simulations of long-term spine turnover. (A) The model of spine dynamics. Five

successive phases of spine state transitions were defined. Phase 1: newly formed

spines grow at speed V1. Phase 2: nascent spines are eliminated with probability P1.

Phase 3: nascent spines are stabilized when volume reaches an upper threshold.

Phase 4: stable spines are destabilized with probability P2. Phase 5: spines shrinking

at a rate V2 are lost after reaching a lower threshold. (B) Pseudocolor maps of 625

different combinations of these parameters to identify those best fitting the

experimental data. The color-coded values indicate the fitness of the simulation results

to both the spine lifetime distribution profile and the turnover rate. (C) Frequency

histograms of spine lifetimes for the three mouse models and controls (experimental

data), and the results of simulations. The bin with a lifetime of 24 h corresponds to the

spines that persisted throughout the imaging period. (D) Differences in V1, V2, P1, and

P2 between mutant mice and controls, expressed as differences in the four parameters

normalized by the control condition values. (E) Plots of individual spine turnover

simulated using parameters that best fit the experimental data from control and

schizophrenia-associated mouse models. The upper plots show the progression of

spine formation along 200 dendritic segments (50 µm) over 10 days. The lower plots

show the enlargement of the last 24 h of spine turnover. Color indicates spine size.

1317

Figure 7

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

Figure 7

Manipulation of candidate gene expression in wild-type hippocampal neurons and its

effects on spine turnover. (A) Turnover rates of dendritic spines in neurons transfected

with an overexpression plasmid encoding Cip4, Npas4, or Ecrg4, or a plasmid

encoding an shRNA targeting Met or Arhgap15, together with a GFP expression

plasmid. Spine turnover rates were calculated from the images of GFP-expressing

dendrites taken at an interval of 24 h. Among the five DEGs, only the upregulation of

Ecrg4 selectively increased the spine turnover rate. Linear mixed-effects modeling with

experiment as a random factor revealed a significant effect of overexpression treatment

($F(3,8)=4.59$, $p=0.038$), driven by Ecrg4 ($p=0.013$), whereas shRNA manipulations

showed no significant effect ($F(2,6)=0.29$, $p=0.76$). (results from n = 22 dendrites/11

neurons/3 culture plates derived from independent primary culture for all conditions

except the Met shRNA groups, where n = 21 dendrites from 11 neurons were included

in the analysis). (B) Fluorescence images of dendritic segments expressing GFP or

GFP plus Ecrg4 on days 1 and 2. Newly formed spines are marked by asterisks. Bar, 2

μm. (C) Images of dendrites and axons expressing HA-tagged Ecrg4 together with

GFP. Anti-HA immunocytochemistry revealed the presence of immunopositive puncta

both in the dendrites (arrows) and axons (arrowheads). Some clusters could be

detected in the extracellular space (asterisks). The upper image is the overlay of the

anti-HA signal (magenta) and GFP (green). The lower image shows the distribution of

the anti-HA signals. Bar, 5 μm.

1349

Figure 8

Figure 8

Altered spine population profiles after suppression of Ecrg4 expression in neurons

derived from two schizophrenia-associated mouse models: the 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ mouse

model (A-I) and Setd1a$^{+/-}$ mouse model (J-R). (A and J) Density plot obtained by

subtracting wild-type spines from mutant spines. Both wild-type and mutant neurons

expressed control shRNA. (B and K) Area enriched with the mutant spines under the

control shRNA condition. (C and L) Area depleted of the mutant spines under the

control shRNA condition. (D and M) Density plot obtained by subtraction of the wild-

type spines under control shRNA from the mutant spines under Ecrg4 shRNA. This

subtraction illustrates the normalizing effect of Ecrg4 shRNA. (E and N) Reduction of

mutant spines in area A by Ecrg4 shRNA. The density plot, obtained by subtracting the

mutant spines under control shRNA from those under Ecrg4 shRNA, shows the extent

of normalization by Ecrg4 shRNA. (F and O) The increase of mutant spines in area B

by Ecrg4 shRNA using the same subtraction method. (G and P) The extent of rescue

by Ecrg4 shRNA in Area A and B for three independent culture experiments using

either 22q11.2$^{del/+}$ or Setd1a$^{+/-}$ mouse models. The red lines show the values

corresponding to statistical significance (p = 0.05), estimated from permutation

analyses shown in (H, I, Q, and R). (H and Q) Permutation analysis for Area A to

estimate the 95th percentile of the shuffled data. (I and R) Permutation analysis for

Area B.

1371

1372 **Supplementary Figures and Legends**

Supplementary Figure 1

1373

Supplementary Figure 1

Cumulative frequency plots of spine length, surface area, and volume measured in four

independent experiments performed > 2 months apart. The cumulative frequency

distributions of spine length (A), spine surface area (B), and spine volume (C) were

obtained from wild-type data acquired by SIM imaging across four mouse models:

Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y \text{ or } R451C)}$ (S1), Syngap1$^{+/-}$ (S2), POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$ (S3), and 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$ (S4).

The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test detected significant differences in only three of 18

possible pairwise comparisons: surface area of (S1) vs. (S3) (p = 0.017), volume of

(S1) vs. (S3) (p = 0.032), and volume of (S3) vs. (S4) (p = 0.038).

Supplementary Figure 2

1383

1384 **Supplementary Figure 2**

1385 Cumulative frequency plots of spine length, surface area, and volume for the eight

1386 mouse mutants: Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, Syngap1$^{+/-}$, POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$, 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$, 3q29$^{del/+}$,

1387 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, Setd1a$^{+/-}$, and CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$.

A: wild type > mutant
B: mutant > wild type

Supplementary Figure 3

1388

1389

1390

Supplementary Figure 3

Areas where control (A: blue) or mutant (B: yellow) spines show a higher density within

the feature space of the PC1-PC2 plane. The plots for all 8 mouse models are

presented. The differences in population-level spine properties between ASD- and

schizophrenia-associated mouse models were preserved within two groups. The areas

enriched with mutant spines in Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, Syngap1$^{+/-,}$ and POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$

models were on the right side of the plot, suggesting the abundance of large spines in

this group. In contrast, the areas enriched with mutant spines in 3q29$^{del/+}$, 22q11.2$^{del/+}$,

Setd1a$^{+/-}$, and CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$ models were positioned on the left side of the plot,

indicating the abundance of small spines.

1401

1402

Supplementary Figure 4

1403

1404

1405   **Supplementary Figure 4**

1406   The relative numbers of spines within areas A and B in the feature space from

1407   Supplementary Figure 3. The densities of mutant spines were higher in area B than in

1408   area A.

1409

A: wild type > mutant
B: mutant > wild type

Supplementary Figure 5

1410

Supplementary Figure 5

Profiles of different spine populations (spines in the control-dominant area A and the

mutant-dominant area B for both wild-type and mutant neurons) were visualized by

plotting the radius along the long axis. The numbers of control and mutant spines

included in the analysis are as follows: Nlgn3$^{R451C/(y\ or\ R451C)}$, n = 775 in area A and n =

513 in area B; Syngap1$^{+/-}$, n = 668 in area A and n = 500 in area B; POGZ$^{Q1038R/+}$, n =

580 in area A and n = 815 in area B; 15q11-13$^{dup/+}$, n = 378 in area A and n = 797 in

area B; 3q29$^{del/+}$, n = 627 in area A and n = 824 in area B; 22q11.2$^{del/+}$, n = 308 in area

A and n = 575 in area B; Setd1a$^{+/-}$, n = 472 in area A and n = 808 in area B;

CaMKIIα$^{K42R/K42R}$, n = 237 in area A and n = 634 in area B.

1421

Supplementary Figure 6

1422

1423 **Supplementary Figure 6**

1424 Spine turnover (A), density (B), and size (C) from simulation data. Simulation

1425 parameters were tuned to fit experimental results from control and mutant models.

1426 Means and standard deviations are shown.

1427

1428

Supplementary Figure 7

Supplementary Figure 7

Pseudocolor presentation of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between mutant

and corresponding control mice. The number of shared DEGs was higher in the

schizophrenia-related mouse models than in the ASD-related mouse models. Mouse

gene identifiers (ENSMUSG) and gene names for DEGs shared by three or four

schizophrenia-associated mouse models and not differentially expressed in ASD-

associated mouse models are presented. DEGs analyzed for their effects on spines

are shown in red. Color indicates the number of DEGs.

The lower table shows all DEGs shared by three or four mouse models, irrespective of

their grouping as ASD-related or schizophrenia-related.

1441

1442

1443

1444

Supplementary Figure 8

1445

1446

1447

Supplementary Figure 8

(A-C) Validation of overexpressed tagged constructs by immunocytochemistry in

hippocampal neurons. Bar = 20 µm. The data are presented as mean ± SEM (N = 5

neurons each). Red allows; transfected neurons, white arrows; non-transfected

neurons. (A) Immunocytochemistry of hippocampal neurons expressing Ecrg4-HA with

GFP using anti-Ecrg4 antibody. Anti-GM130 antibody staining detected the Golgi

apparatus. (B) Immunocytochemistry of hippocampal neurons expressing Cip4-HA with

GFP using anti-Cip4 antibody. Anti-MAP2 antibody detected neuronal dendrites. (C)

Anti-NPAS4 and anti-HA immunocytochemistry of hippocampal neurons expressing

NPAS4-Flag-HA with GFP.

(D-E) Immunoblot verification of tagged construct expression in COS-7 cells. (D)

Immunoblotting in total cell lysate from COS-7 cells expressing Cip4-HA or NPAS4-

Flag-HA. The estimated molecular weights of Cip4-HA and NPAS4-Flag-HA are 64

kDa and 89 kDa, respectively. (E) Immunoblotting using both anti-HA and anti-Ecrg4 in

total cell lysate from COS-7 cells expressing Ecrg4-HA or Ecrg4-HA-SEP. The

estimated molecular weights of Ecrg4, Ecrg4-HA, and Ecrg4-HA-SEP are 17 kDa, 18

kDa, and 45 kDa, respectively.

(F-H) Verification of knock-down effects of shRNAs by immunoblotting of COS-7 cells.

Immunoblotting in total cell lysates from COS-7 cells transfected with the expression

vectors of either Met-GFP (F), ArhGAP15-GFP (G), or Ecrg4-HA (H), together with

dsRed2 and shRNAs targeting the corresponding transcripts.

(I) Measurement of spine turnover rate in neurons transfected with a control shRNA

construct together with GFP expression vectors. For the experimental control, we used

neurons transfected only with GFP. The data are presented as mean ± SEM (n = 15

dendrites from 9 neurons for GFP control, n = 16 dendrites from 8 neurons for GFP

plus control shRNA).

1474

1475

1476

Supplementary Figure 9

1477

1478

Supplementary Figure 9

Expression and distribution of Ecrg4 in cultured hippocampal neurons. (A) Preferential

localization of Ecrg4 protein in the membrane fraction. Immunoblotting of Ecrg4,

GluA1AMPA-type glutamate receptor, and α-tubulin in the remaining fraction after

removal of nuclei (S1), supernatant after centrifugation (S2), and resulting pellet (P2).

(B) Images of an immunostained hippocampal neuron expressing HA-tagged Ecrg4

and NPY-GFP. The fluorescence signals were partially colocalized, suggesting Ecrg4

protein accumulation in dense-core vesicles. Bar = 2 μm. (C) Quantification of the

overlap between puncta immunopositive for HA-tagged Ecrg4 and NPY-GFP. N = 4

cells from 1 dish. (D) Surface labeling with the anti-GFP nanobody revealing clusters of

SEP-tagged Ecrg4 in dsRed2-positive axons (arrows) and dendrites (arrowheads). A

nanobody was applied at time t = 0 min. Bar = 2 μm. (E) Enlarged image of a single

Ecrg4 puncta in the axon, marked by a yellow square in (D), with the fluorescence

intensity profile along the dashed arrow. Bar = 0.5 μm. (F) The spine density of cultured

hippocampal neurons 24 hours after administration of either Ecrg4 peptides or

scrambled peptides (n = 26 dendrites and 13 neurons for each condition). (G) Spine

turnover rate of neurons treated with Ecrg4 peptides or scrambled peptides (n = 26

dendrites and 13 neurons for each condition).

1497