# Parallel but distinct adaptive routes in the budding and fission yeasts after 10,000 generations of experimental evolution

**Authors**: Arnaud N'Guessan[1], Vivian Wang[1], Joao Ascensao[2], Christopher W. Bakerlee[2,3,4], Jenya Belousova[2], Greta Brenna[2], Megan E. Dillingham[5], Thomas Dupic[2], Shreyas Gopalakrishnan[2], Juhee Goyal[2,6], Misha Gupta[2], Caroline Holmes[2], Parris T. Humphrey[2,4], Tanush Jagdish[2,4,5], Elizabeth R. Jerison[2,7,8], Milo S. Johnson[2,4], Katya Kosheleva[2,8], Katherine R. Lawrence[2,4,9], Jiseon Min[2,3,4,6], Alief Moulana[2], Shreyas V. Pai[2,5], Angela M. Phillips[2], Julia C. Piper[8,10], Alexandra Poret[2,8,11], Ramya Purkanti[2,12], Artur Rego-Costa[2], Tatiana Ruiz-Bedoya[2], Cecilia Trivellin[2], Michael J. McDonald[2,13], Michael M. Desai[2,4,8], Alex N. Nguyen Ba[1,14]

1. Department of Cell and Systems Biology, University of Toronto, Ramsay Wright Laboratories, 25 Harbord St, M5S 3G5, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
2. Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138, USA
3. Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, United States.
4. NSF-Simons Center for Mathematical and Statistical Analysis of Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, United States.
5. Graduate Program in Systems, Synthetic, and Quantitative Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, United States
6. John A Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, United States.
7. Department of Applied Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, United States.
8. Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138, USA
9. Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, United States.
10. AeroLabs, Aeronaut Brewing Co, Somerville, United States.
11. Graduate Program in Biophysics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA
12. The Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Dresden, Germany.

13. School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia

14. Department of Biology, University of Toronto at Mississauga, 3359 Mississauga Rd, L5L 1C6, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada

## ABSTRACT

Quantitative genetics approaches designed to understand the evolution of traits have helped improve our understanding of the genetic basis of adaptation. However, they often overlook crucial aspects of adaptation, including the long-term temporal evolutionary dynamics, the predictability of evolutionary outcomes, the influence of past evolution on future evolutionary trajectories (contingency), and the diversity of molecular mechanisms underlying adaptation. Experimental evolution has been a useful tool for answering these questions, but extracting fundamental principles and predictive features of evolutionary outcomes from these datasets remains challenging due to the large number of covariates and confounding effects, such as differences in experimental setup, species lifestyle, gene content, and evolution rate. Here, we

sought to circumvent these challenges by comparing distant yeast species that share several
evolutionary features but differ mainly in evolutionary history and genome architecture, i.e.
*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Thus, we evolved 10 populations of
the fission yeast for 10,000 generations in the same conditions as a pre-existing budding yeast
dataset (i.e. high-sugar media and hypoxic conditions), allowing us to observe repeatable
evolutionary outcomes within species but diverse molecular mechanisms and targets of adaptation
across species. The most frequent adapting route in these conditions involved upregulating
fermentation genes and downregulating the glycolysis gene *pyk1*, which has not previously been
observed in *S. cerevisiae* evolved populations or in wild *Kluyveromyces lactis,* but similar
evolutionary paths have been observed in *Schizosaccharomyces japonicus* and in clinically
relevant populations, such as some cancer cells. This suggests that parallelism is pervasive in the
tree of life and that mechanisms of adaptation can be shared among closely related or distant
species. Despite similar gene content and identical environments, recurrent adaptation across *S.*
*pombe* populations involved different genes than in *S. cerevisiae* and was mostly detectable at the
transcriptomic level. This suggests that trans-regulatory effects may play an important role in
adaptation on short evolutionary timescales and that differences in evolutionary outcomes between
these species may be attributed to contingency.

## INTRODUCTION

Long-term experimental evolution has highlighted the predictability of high-level features of evolution like fitness trajectories[1–6]. While fitness gains follow a fairly reproducible pattern of declining adaptability, the exact mutations or the sequence in which they occur are typically unpredictable. Nevertheless, experimental evolution done in large replication with the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has revealed extensive parallelism at the gene or pathway level[3,7,8], even across strains that differed by thousands of polymorphisms[9]. These general patterns of reproducibility during experimental evolution are also seen in different organisms. For instance, during the *Escherichia coli* Long-Term Experimental Evolution (LTEE), half of the populations evolved a mutator phenotype and quasi-stable lineage coexistence emerged in 75% of the populations[4]. Further, substantial parallelism at the gene level can also be observed throughout the 12 populations over (now greater than) 60,000 generations[4].

Unsurprisingly, the molecular targets of selection during these experiments differ substantially between *S. cerevisiae* and *E. coli*[3,6]. Likewise, comparing microbial laboratory evolution to experimental evolution done in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Drosophila melanogaster* does not reveal universal targets of adaptation[10,11]. Notwithstanding their vastly different gene content and cellular form, part of the reason for these differences in molecular adaptation is the different selection environments between experiments (the LTEE was done in DM25 minimal media, while yeast was done in YPD rich media or in synthetic dextrose complete media). However, another more interesting hypothesis for these differences relates to the role of evolutionary contingency in shaping the dynamics and targets of selection.

Contingency is the product of past evolution, whereby epistasis and genome structures can strongly influence future adaptive potential[1,12,13]. Thus, species can be constrained to alternative adaptive paths, leading to profoundly different molecular signatures of evolution. Contingency has been explored in the context of strains with different gene deletions[13–16] and has even been observed in experimental evolution. For instance, across the 12 *E. coli* populations evolving in the same conditions during the LTEE, one population developed the ability to grow aerobically on citrate, a rare phenotype in this species resulting from a rare genome rearrangement. This phenotype is far more readily evolvable within that population after this rearrangement[17]. Further, while half of the LTEE populations evolved a mutator phenotype, and 75% evolved stable lineage coexistence, these phenomena are not observed in *S. cerevisiae* laboratory evolution experiments in standard rich media or in minimal media, despite being entirely capable of achieving these through genetic engineering[18].

While studying evolution using very distinct organisms has provided important insights into general features of evolutionary dynamics, it has made it difficult to discern the effect of life history from other idiosyncrasies on the molecular targets of selection. Would we find the same targets of selection (genes), and a preference for the same molecular mechanisms if we had evolved a species with substantially similar gene contents to that of *E. coli* or *S. cerevisiae*? The difficulty in answering this question is also reinforced by the wide variety of mechanisms of rapid adaptation revealed by long-term evolution studies, e.g. hybridization, selection on standing variation, cross-feeding interactions, or phenotypic plasticity involving behavioral or transcriptomic changes[11].

Evaluating the impact of differences between such species on quantitative trait evolution could
improve our understanding of the role of genetic architecture and life history in trait diversity.

One way to address how much these two factors might influence short- and long-term adaptation
is through the yeast subphylum. Although it exhibits immense trait diversity and is as genetically
diverse as the animal kingdom[19,20], species within have a comparable number of genes, and share
many genes and metabolic pathways such that most species can grow in the same media. As an
example, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* share about 4,500 genes
(out of approximately 6,000), but are so diverged that their genomes show no synteny[21,22].
Evolutionarily, they have similar spontaneous mutation rates and were both domesticated for their
ability to ferment. Some notable differences are that *S. pombe* is naturally a haploid in the wild[23,24],
while *S. cerevisiae* is usually found as a diploid[25,26]. This could affect the effectiveness of selection
because mutations can be recessive in diploids. Therefore, the extant genome might have
'footprints' of this accumulated genetic load in *S. cerevisiae*[3,27]. Supporting this, heterosis has been
observed in yeast from crosses of wild budding yeast strains[28,29]. Further, *S. cerevisiae* is within
the clade of yeasts that have undergone the so-called 'whole-genome duplication event', which is
thought to have profoundly shaped their metabolism due to functional divergence in paralogous
gene copies[30]. Another major difference is that *S. pombe* cannot survive the loss of its
mitochondrial DNA and relies on oxidative phosphorylation for growth, i.e. it is petite-negative,
while *S. cerevisiae* can survive without mitochondrial DNA, i.e. it is petite-positive, highlighting
a rare ability of budding yeast [31–33]. Thus, domestication, competition with other microorganisms,
and exploration of poorly oxygenated niches might have led *S. cerevisiae* to produce and process
ethanol more efficiently than *S. pombe* [34,35]. Therefore, their different life cycles and evolutionary
histories could lead them to different evolutionary outcomes

Hints of the effects of contrasting life and evolutionary histories on evolutionary signatures emerge
when comparing the molecular processes that are under selection and could potentially allow for
the prediction of molecular evolution that may occur during experimental evolution. For example,
*S. cerevisiae* gene content variation across strains is more frequent than in *S. pombe*, and loss-of-
function (LOF) mutations, such as nonsense mutations and frameshift deletions, tend to be
recurrently fixed across populations[36]. The rate of copy number variants (CNV) is also higher in
the budding yeast sub-telomeric regions and can contribute to its adaptation[36]. Additionally,
although *S. pombe* has a similar substitution rate to the budding yeast, it has a higher indel mutation
rate with a bias toward insertions[37]. Previous genomic analyses also showed that 43% of *S. pombe*
genes have introns compared to 5% in *S. cerevisiae* and upstream intergenic regions are larger in
the former[38]. The difference in extent of non-coding regions is also present at the whole-genome
level: annotated coding regions span around 70% of *S. cerevisiae* genome compared to 60% for *S.*
*pombe*[39–41]. It is currently unknown if this implies that *S. pombe* would preferably adapt through
regulatory changes while *S. cerevisiae* would do so through gene content changes and mutations
in protein-coding genes. However, the mutations in the non-coding regions of *S. pombe* are known
to be involved in transcription regulation and should affect trait evolution and adaptation[42]. Thus,
comparing the evolutionary processes and targets of adaptation in *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe*
through experimental evolution could help highlight factors explaining differences in evolutionary
outcomes across and within species.

To address the gap in understanding how species with similar gene content, but different life histories, might evolve in the same environment, we undertook a laboratory evolution experiment where we founded about 16 populations, each of 5 different budding yeast species, as well as the *S. pombe* fission yeast. Here, we describe the dynamics and molecular evolution of the fission yeast populations over the first 10,000 generations. Fission yeast is considered the outgroup of this set of species, and so it serves as a useful anchor for expectations within our chosen fungal phylum. We analyze the mutational spectrum, the molecular evolutionary dynamics, and identify, through pathway enrichment analysis and whole transcriptome sequencing, a case of parallel evolution where *S. pombe* populations have rewired their central carbon metabolism to reduce dependency on oxygen, which mirrors similar events in wild *S. pombe* populations and in related *Schizosaccharomyces*[32,35,35,43,44].

**RESULTS**

**Contrasting fitness gain of fission yeast during 10,000 generations of evolution**

To compare the evolutionary processes contributing to adaptation and selection targets between *S. pombe* and *S. cerevisiae*, we founded 15 *S. pombe* populations and performed experimental evolution for 10,000 generations, collecting and freezing samples approximately every 70 generations in 27% glycerol (**Methods; Fig. 1**). The populations were all founded from single colonies of the same *S. pombe* haploid laboratory strain (972h-) and serially propagated in batch culture in one well of a lidded, unshaken flat-bottom 96-well plate in rich media at 30°C with a daily $1:2^{10}$ dilution. 5 populations were lost during the first 10,000 generations of evolution, due to contamination or mechanical pipetting errors. Because of the plate lidding and the absence of shaking, these conditions correspond to a high-sugar hypoxic environment. The media and temperature are also identical to our previous experimental evolution study, which analyzed 10,000 generations of evolution for *S. cerevisiae* populations that were passaged simultaneously using liquid handling robotics[3]. Although these growing conditions may be sub-optimal for growth of *S. pombe* and so the selection pressure faced by the species may differ, our experiment allows us to control for some of the environmental variate for the comparison of evolutionary outcome.

**Figure 1 Experimental approach.** We evolved 15 *S. pombe* populations at 30°C in lidded YPD 96-well plates for 10,000 generations, collecting and freezing samples approximately every 70 generations in 27% glycerol at -80 °C and sequenced them every 1,000 generations. These populations were part of a larger experimental evolution project, which explains why they occupy two rows of the 96-well plates and were examined regularly for contamination (**Methods**). Because the experimental conditions yielded a doubling rate of 10 generations per day, we performed a 1/1024 serial dilution every day $(1/2^{10})$, which can be done by two consecutive 1:32 dilutions with 96-well plates. This yielded ~100 time points or samples from which we sequenced DNA to identify variants. We also sequenced RNA at the initial (WT) and final time points to quantify gene expression changes. To find targets of selection at the genomic levels, we identified multi-hit genes, i.e. the genes that were recurrently hit by fixed mutations (frequency >= 75%) that are not synonymous (indels, missense, or nonsense) in multiple populations. At the transcriptomic level, we identified genes that were differentially expressed across several evolved populations compared to WT or to populations not exhibiting a change in a phenotype of interest, e.g. sensitivity to oxidative stress (OS). These data allowed us to generate hypotheses about adaptation in the 10 populations surviving, i.e. G1-G5, G9, H9 and H11-12, which we tested experimentally. For instance, in the figure, G1 (blue) and G2 (red) both adapts to hypoxic stress (HS) at the cost of becoming more sensitive to OS.

To determine whether the evolved populations adapted to these conditions, we measured the fitness at the initial and final time points. We serially propagated each population and the ancestral strain separately in a mixture with a *S. cerevisiae* reference strain expressing green

fluorescent protein (GFP) for 3 days. We used a flow cytometer to measure the frequency of the
dark *S. pombe* populations and the green *S. cerevisiae* strain daily, and assessed fitness based on
changes in frequency (**Equation 1 in Methods**). The evolved populations are all fitter than the
ancestral strain (**Supplementary Fig. 1**) as observed in other experimental evolution studies[3,6].
However, the fitness gains of the *S. pombe* lineages after 10,000 generations of evolution are
significantly smaller than those of *S. cerevisiae*'s haploid populations in a similar rich-media
hypoxic environment (**Supplementary Fig. 1**). This slower rate of fitness gain is not due to *S.*
*pombe* being 'pre-adapted' to this environment, as the ancestor *S. cerevisiae* strain used in a
previous study is much fitter than the ancestor *S. pombe* strain used here. We presume that this is
the result of *S. cerevisiae* having higher glycolytic and fermentation rates and being able to more
readily adapt to the experimental conditions through a more diverse set of stress response pathways
as a result of having explored many anaerobic niches throughout its evolutionary history[32,34,35,45].
*S. pombe*, on the other hand, is a poor fermenter in anaerobic conditions[32] and has a less robust
hypoxic stress response[46]. Together, these factors may partly explain differences in adaptation
rates under the same environmental conditions, as each species may evolve through different
adaptation strategies with different associated costs.

**Differences in the genome architecture partially explain the different mutation spectra of *S.***
***pombe* and *S. cerevisiae***

To uncover potential differences in adaptation strategies between the two species and the
molecular mechanisms underlying them in the evolved populations, we performed whole-
population, whole-genome sequencing every 1,000 generations on the 10 *S. pombe* populations.
We successfully grew cells from 93 out of the 100 corresponding stored samples and sequenced
these time points using Illumina NovaSeq paired-end sequencing (mean coverage ~40X). The
remaining 7 time points were presumably lost during storage and were not associated to any
specific population or particular archival date. We then called single-nucleotide variants (SNVs)
and indels using Varscan2.4.6[47]. Because contamination, sequencing errors and low-complexity
regions can introduce variant calling errors, we applied some filters to the called variants [48,49] while
leveraging the time series for call consistency (**Methods**).

To validate the accuracy of the called variants, we measured the substitution rates and fixed
indel bias, e.g., the fixed insertion-to-deletion ratios, in each *S. pombe* population. We measured a
fixed insertion-to-deletion ratio ranging from 2.11 to 6.33 (median = 4.43, μ= 4.32, and σ= 1.22;
**Supplementary Fig. 2**), which is consistent with the insertion preference observed in previous *S.*
*pombe* genomic analyses [37]. As for the substitution rate, we measured an average of 1.91E-10 fixed
substitutions per site per generation (median = 1.72E-10, σ= 6.76E-11), which is similar to results
from mutation accumulation experiments in *S. pombe* (2.00E-10 in Farlow et al. 2015) and within
the range of *S. cerevisiae*'s substitution rate estimates (1.67 ± 0.04E-10 in Zhu et al. 2014 and
3.30± 0.80E-10 in Lynch et al. 2008)[37,50,51].

Although both species accumulate substitutions at a similar rate, their genome architectures
differ. *S. cerevisiae* is a domesticated post-WGD yeast species, contrary to *S. pombe* [52–55].

Furthermore, the extent of coding regions in *S. pombe*'s genome (~60%) is smaller than in *S.*
*cerevisiae* (~70%) [34,37,56,57]. Under a null model of evolution in which all loci have the same chance
of being hit by substitutions, the differences in the mutation spectra of these species should reflect
the differences in genome architecture. Deviations from this expectation would suggest the
presence of selection in certain loci and a preference for specific types of mutations. Thus, we
compared the frequency of different variants observed in the 10 *S. pombe* haploid populations to
the one observed in *S. cerevisiae* haploid populations' experimental evolution[3] (**Fig. 2A**).

**Figure 2 Comparison of the fixed variant spectra between the budding and the fission yeasts**
**and the fixation bias in *S. pombe* evolved populations.** A) The fixed variant (allele frequency
>= 75%) spectrum in *S. cerevisiae*'s haploid populations after 10,000 generations of experimental
evolution (left, data from Johnson et al. 2021) compared to *S. pombe*'s (right). The experimental
evolution protocol is the same for both species and is described in Johnson et al. 2021[3]. The null
model is obtained by calculating the expected number of fixed variants in each category based on

the species' evolution rate, genome size, genome architecture, and the proportion of single-
nucleotide mutations derived from the genetic code and associated with a specific category of
protein-coding region mutations (**Equations 2 and 3 in Methods**). B) The upper part of this panel
represents non-fixed variants, while the bottom part represents the fixed variants in each of the 10
evolved *S. pombe* populations.

The fixation of variants in the noncoding regions of *S. pombe* is higher than expected by the null
model, suggesting the predominance of positive selection in noncoding regions or purifying
selection in coding regions. The fission yeast populations mainly evolved through mutations in
non-coding regions with a bias toward fixing indels and not fixing coding regions SNVs (**Fig. 2B**),
which is different than what has been reported for the budding yeast[3]. To test if the stronger fixation
bias of indels in non-coding regions compared to protein-coding genes reflects a signal of purifying
selection in protein-coding genes, we compared the indel rate, i.e., the number of indels per kb,
based on whether the indel locus is coding or non-coding and whether the indel changes the DNA
reading frame or not (**Supplementary Fig. 3**). In protein-coding genes, controlling for increased
likelihood of shorter indels, we find that indels that do not cause frameshifts are enriched compared
to the ones that do (**Supplementary Fig. 3**). In contrast, indels in non-coding regions do not exhibit
such selective constraints on their length. This is similar to the observed frequencies of
polymorphisms in humans and budding yeast[58] and suggests stronger purifying selection in
protein-coding genes. This and the paucity of fixed coding mutations compared to *S. cerevisiae*
populations suggest that the genetic context of *S. pombe* is less amenable to tinkering through loss-
of-function mutations. One hypothesis for this may be that the whole-genome duplication event in
*S. cerevisiae* provided more genetic redundancy, which has been suggested to increase evolvability
through coding mutations or through rapid changes in functional gene content[30,59] (see Discussion).
An additional explanation is that *S. pombe* has a much higher essential gene load than S. cerevisiae
(26.1% vs 17.8%)[60]. Altogether, these results show that the differences in the mutation spectra of
*S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* are only partially explained by their genome architectures, revealing
signatures of selection in both coding and non-coding regions.

**The genomic targets of adaptation in *S. pombe* are genes involved in the response to hypoxic**
**and oxidative stresses**

Although the *S. pombe* mutation spectrum revealed a signal of purifying selection in protein-
coding genes, we cannot exclude the presence of loci evolving under positive selection. However,
we may expect the targets of adaptation to vary between fission and budding yeasts due to
differences in their evolutionary histories and genetic architectures. To detect such loci in 205 *S.*
*cerevisiae* populations, Johnson et al. (2021) looked for signals of convergent evolution. More
precisely, they defined a "hit" as a fixed variant that is not synonymous (missense, nonsense and
indels), and a multi-hit gene as a gene having hits in multiple populations. This enabled the
identification of 1,092 genes with hits in at least 3 out of 205 populations. Among these genes,
they identified a set of 189 genes with hits in at least 6 populations that were enriched in functions
related to the adenine biosynthesis pathway, the mating pathway, and negative regulators of the
Ras pathway. These signals of parallelism at the levels of biosynthetic and signaling pathways
were driven by LOF mutations, which were primarily frameshift deletions and nonsense mutations.

Using the same approach with a minimum threshold of 2 out of 10 populations in which a
gene has a hit, we identified 19 multi-hit genes with hits in either the cis-regulatory region or the
coding region (**Table 1**), which is comparable to what was expected from resampling fixed variants
from our previously studied *S. cerevisiae* populations (19 observed vs 23.8 expected, p-value =
0.15, one-tailed test), indicating a comparable signature of parallelism.

| Gene symbol/ systematic ID (Number of populations with a hit (PH)) | Function(s) (biological process) | Gene category (Link with the response to HS and OS) | Characterization of the hit(s) (Gene product truncation or potential LOF) |
|---|---|---|---|
| *bmc1*/ **SPBC2A9.10** (2) | Bin3 family RNA methyltransferase (snRNA metabolic process) | Others | • 1 frameshift insertion in both G1 and G3 (Truncation of 14 out of 268 residues) |
| *bop1*/ **SPAP32A8.03c** (2) | ubiquitin-protein ligase E3 (protein degradation) | HS-related (Ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation is ATP-dependent[61,62]. The response to HS requires specific E3 ligases that activate sterol regulatory elements (SRE) and the decrease of the activity of other E3 ligases that do not contribute to the HS response, e.g. Ubr1[63–65]) | • 1 frameshift insertion in both G11 and H12 (Truncation of 475 out of 513 residues) |
| *clg1*/ **SPBC1D7.03** (2) | Cyclin-like protein involved in autophagy (signaling, molecular activity regulation and autophagy) | HS- and OS-related (in *S. pombe*, *clg1* regulates autophagy[66]. Autophagy plays an important role in the response to | • 1 indel in the 5'UTR of the populations G2 and G3 |

| | | HS and OS by recycling biomolecules and removing damaged cell components[67,68]) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| *cmr2/* **SPAC56F8.02** (2) | acetyl-CoA biosynthesis (respiration) | HS- and OS-related (Acteyl-CoA is involved in the first step of the TCA cycle and respiration enzymes are involved in energy production and ROS removal[69]) | • 1 missense mutation in the AMP-dependent synthetase/ligase domain[40] in G1 <br>• 1 nonsense SNP in H11 (Truncation of 174 amino acid residues in the Dip2-like domain[40] out of 1517 protein residues) |
| *ctf18/* **SPBC902.02c** (4) | Ctf18 RFC-like complex subunit Ctf18 (DNA replication) | HS-related (Upregulated under HS[67]) | • 1 indel in the first intron of the gene in the populations G3, G5, H9, and H11 |
| *dep1/* **SPBC21C3.02c** (2) | Rpd3L histone deacetylase complex subunit (chromatin organization and transcription regulation) | HS-related (Upregulated under HS[67]. *dep1Δ* strain is more sensitive to hydrogen peroxide[70] than WT) | • 1 indel in 3'UTR in the populations G2 and G11 |
| *fet4/* **SPBP26C9.03c** (2) | plasma membrane iron/zinc ion transmembrane transporter (inorganic ion homeostasis and transmembrane transport) | HS- and OS-related (Iron cofactors support major biochemical processes like the heme biosynthesis, TCA cycle, electron transport chain, translation, and DNA repair[71]) | • 1 indel in 5'UTR in the populations G2 and H11 |
| *fhn1/* **SPBC1685.13** | Eisosome assembly protein | HS-related (Upregulated | • 1 indel in 3'UTR in the populations G3 and H11. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| (3) | (membrane organization) | during the response to hydrogen peroxide[72]) | 1 indel in 3'UTR in the populations G9 |
| *iss9/* **SPBC2A9.11c** (2) | SAC3/GANP/THP3 family protein (transcription or RNA surveillance) | Others | • 1 frameshift insertion in the populations G1 and G5 (Truncation of 315 out of 395 residues) |
| *pfl2* **SPAPB15E9.01c** (3) | cell surface glycoprotein, flocculin Pfl2 (cell adhesion) | HS- and OS-related (Flocculation and adhesion enhance survival by protecting against stressful conditions[73,74]) | • 5 missense hits in G3: chrI_A3989282G (R->G), chrI_G3989285A (A->T), chrI_G3989294A (G->S), chrI_G3989384A (G->S), chrI_G3989390A (A->T) 4 missense hits in G5: chrI_G3989877C (R->T), chrI_G3989879A (G->R), chrI_A3989878T (R->S), chrI_G3989877C (R->T) *Pfl2 is a disordered protein so it has many S, R and T residues[40,75] <br> • 2 indels in 5'UTR in the populations G1 and G5 |
| *pfl5/* **SPBC1289.15** (3) | cell surface glycoprotein, flocculin Pfl5 (cell adhesion) | HS- and OS-related (Flocculation and adhesion enhance survival by protecting against stressful conditions[73,74]) | • 2 Y→F missense hits in G2 and H12 around the middle of the protein. 1 K→E missense hit in G5 <br> • The same frameshift insertion in the populations G2 and H12 (Truncation of 518 out of 1283 residues around the middle of the protein). 1 deletion in G2 (Truncation of 528 out of 1283 residues around the middle of the protein) |
| *pof15/* **SPAPB1A10.14** (2) | Ubiquitin complex adaptor; F-box protein (protein degradation) | HS-related (Downregulated under HS[67,76]. Ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation is | • 1 N→D missense hit in G5 <br> • 1 frameshift deletion in the population H12 (Truncation of 135 out of 243 residues) |

| | | ATP-dependent[61,62]) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| *pqr1*/ **SPAC6B12.07c** (3) | SPX-RING-type ubiquitin-protein ligase regulating phosphate transport and homeostasis (protein degradation and transmembrane transport) | HS-related (Upregulated during the response to hydrogen peroxide[72]. Excess of intracellular phosphate and polyphosphate is associated with improper autophagy-dependent proteolysis in vacuoles[77]) | • 1 SNV in 3'UTR in G9, G11 and H11 |
| *prr1*/ **SPAC8C9.14** (2) | stress-responsive DNA-binding transcription factor Prr1 (transcription regulation and response to oxidative stress) | OS-related (*prr1* is a positive regulator of the OS response. It binds *pap1* as part of a positive regulation of transcription, and it regulates *ctt1*, *srx1*, *trr1* during the cellular response to OS[78,79]) | • 1 P→Q missense hit in the signal transduction response regulator/receiver domain in H12<br>• 1 frameshift insertion in the population H11 (Truncation of 517 out of 540 residues) |
| *pyk1*/ **SPAC4H3.10c** (5) | pyruvate kinase (carbohydrate metabolic process) | HS- and OS-related (The gene product catalyzes the last step of glycolysis. This influences the fermentation-respiration balance and thus biomass production and stress resistance[35]) | • 1 missense hit V→L in the barrel domain in H11<br>• 2 SNVs in 3' UTR in G1<br>• 1 SNV in 3' UTR in H12<br>• 1 deletion in the promoter (5' UTR) in G3<br>• 1 deletion in 5' UTR in G4 |

| Gene | Annotation | Stress association | LOF mutations |
|---|---|---|---|
| *pzh1*/ SPAC57A7.08 (2) | serine/threonine protein phosphatase (signaling) | HS- and OS-related (*pzh1* is a positive regulator of the response to OS[80]. It is also upregulated under HS[67]) | • 1 deletion of 2 residues (positions 73 and 74) in the N-terminal disordered region[40] in both G5 and G9 |
| *rpp1*/ SPAC3A12.04c (2) | RNase P and RNase MRP subunit p30 (ribosome biogenesis and tRNA metabolic process) | Others | • 1 SNV in the fourth intron of the gene in populations H11 and H12 |
| *seb1*/ SPAC222.09 (2) | poly(A)site selection protein (mRNA metabolic process and snoRNA metabolic process) | Others | • 1 missense T→I hit in the RNA recognition motif domain in G1 <br> • 1 missense K→N hit in the CTD-interacting domain in G2 |
| *ubp9*/ SPBC1703.12 (2) | ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase Ubp9 (protein degradation and vesicle-mediated transport) | HS- and OS-related (*ubp9Δ* strain is more sensitive to hydrogen peroxide[70]. Protein degradation and vesicle-mediated transport enable the recycling of biomolecules under stress conditions[61,62]) | • 1 frameshift insertion in the populations G3 and G9 (Truncation of 265 out of 585 residues, including most of the ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase domain) |

**Table 1 Multi-hit genes and their LOF mutations.** The gene annotations are based on Pombase data[40].

The presence of hits in multi-hit genes was more recurrent than expected under a null model where variants are fixed at the same rate across all the genes (**Methods**; Two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum p-value < 0.05; **Supplementary Fig. 4**). This signal of positive selection is also consistent with the fixation of these hits (**Fig. 3**) and the fixation of the same hit at different times in multiple populations (**Table 1**; **Fig. 3**).

**Figure 3 Time series of the hits' allele frequencies in evolved *S. pombe* populations and multi-hit genes.** Time series of the hits' allele frequencies in the *S. pombe* populations. We defined a "hit" as a fixed variant that is not synonymous (missense, nonsense and indels) and a multi-hit gene as a gene having hits in multiple populations. In each population, variants that were never fixed or that are not located in multi-hit genes are represented by more transparent lines. In the legend, PH represents the number of populations in which the gene is hit.

We also found evidence for adaptation through LOF mutations in the 10 evolved *S. pombe* populations, although these mutations are primarily frameshift insertions rather than deletions. This is consistent with a different indel bias than in *S. cerevisiae*[3] (**Table 1; Fig. 2**) and a preference for insertion, which we previously highlighted (**Supplementary Fig. 2**).

Most of the *S. pombe* multi-hit genes are involved in the response to hypoxic stress (HS) and sometimes to oxidative stress (OS) (**Table 1**), which may be due to growth in an unshaken and lidded environment. Adapting to HS requires compensating for the energy deficit caused by respiration deficiency through fermentation and removing the associated reactive oxygen species (ROS)[32]. Further, the increase in ethanol production through fermentation can decrease lipid membrane integrity. This includes the mitochondrial membrane, which harbors the electron transport chain and antioxidant components that stabilize ROS through electron transfer. Thus, the increase in fermentation in hypoxic conditions can lead to ROS production through electron leakage[45]. This is consistent with fixed mutations in genes involved in the removal of damaged cell components and ROS like *clg1*, *pqr1*, *pzh1* and *ubp9*. Fermentation is also known to yield smaller energy and biomass outputs than respiration [32,34], which can affect the synthesis of redox metabolism components. Finally, *S. pombe* is known to be sensitive to polypeptones included in the growth media of the experiment (due to cell lysis), and it is thought that the respiratory Coenzyme Q (CoQ10) plays a role in promoting this trait[81,82]. Under the same conditions, *S. cerevisiae*, being petite-positive, can rely on fermentation along with a robust antioxidant response to mitigate the ROS produced by fermentation and hypoxic stress[45], which may explain why such mutations are not typically observed in budding yeast experimental evolution studies.

Another piece of evidence supporting the importance of energy- and ROS-related processes in this environment is the fact that the strongest signal of convergent evolution is in the lower glycolysis gene *pyk1*, which had fixed mutations in 5 populations. This gene encodes for the enzyme involved in the synthesis of pyruvate, which influences respiration and fermentation as it can be transformed into Acetyl-CoA or into ethanol[35]. In the population H11, the glycolytic flux going towards respiration seems to be compromised by a fixed LOF mutation in *cmr2* (**Fig. 3**), which encodes for the enzyme converting pyruvate into Acetyl-CoA. The fixation of this LOF mutation might be related to a benefit in re-orienting the glycolytic flux mostly towards fermentation. In the same population, we also observed the fixation of a LOF mutation in *prr1*, which encodes a transcription factor involved in the response to OS. Because *prr1* is not known to be directly activated by HS, there might be other OS response genes involved in ROS removal under HS, and *prr1* might not be needed unless ROS levels exceed the removal capabilities of these genes. For instance, in *S. pombe,* the transcription factor Sre1 is activated under low oxygen levels and activates genes involved in ROS removal or protein catabolism like *ccs1* and *SPAC23C11.06c*[67,83]. The benefit of inactivating *prr1* while respiration is limited under HS might thus be related to conserving energy and cell resources. However, losing such response regulators and relying on fermentation may make *S. pombe* more sensitive to OS.

**Most evolved *S. pombe* populations are more sensitive to oxidative stress**

As we observed fixed LOF mutations in genes modulating OS response and the glycolytic flux towards respiration, we hypothesized that evolved populations might be more sensitive to OS. Populations adapting to hypoxic stress or to polypeptone-mediated lysis may exhibit decreased respiration rates, resulting in reduced energy and biomass production[32]. This could affect the synthesis of the components of the redox metabolism, e.g. glutathione (GSH) and ubiquinone (CoQ10)[69,84]. These enzymes and the ones involved in the respiratory chain rely on the biomass

produced by glycolysis and the TCA cycle as well as ions like iron and sulfur for their
functions[32,84–86]. Consistent with this, we observed the presence of hits in the iron transporter *fet4*
in multiple populations, which may compensate for respiration deficiency. Indeed, iron can help
capture or transport the low amount of oxygen available, and iron is a critical component of the
respiratory chain enzymes. Moreover, one *S. pombe* evolved population harbors a LOF insertion
in *prr1* (**Table1**, **Fig. 3**), an important transcription factor involved in the response to oxidative
stress[79]. As *prr1* activates the catalase *ctt1*, the sulfiredoxin *srx1*, and the thioredoxin *trr1*[78], a fixed
LOF mutation in this gene suggests that evolving under HS can decrease *S. pombe*'s ability to
manage OS, which is consistent with observations in the cousin species *S. japonicus*[32]. These
species likely rely on fermentation to adapt to hypoxic conditions, which may expose them to more
ROS[45]. Altogether, these observations led us to believe that the evolved *S. pombe* populations are
more sensitive to OS than WT as a result of their adaptation to HS.

To test this, we used hydrogen peroxide as a source of oxidative stress during a growth
assay (**Methods**) and compared the OS sensitivity of the WT to the OS sensitivity of the 10 evolved
populations (**Fig. 4A**).

**Figure 4 Comparison of the sensitivity levels to $H_2O_2$ between *S. pombe* WT and evolved populations**. A) Schematic illustrating the expected difference in sensitivity between WT and evolved populations. We inferred the degree of inhibition at each concentration of $H_2O_2$ based on the expression in Equation 4 and the sensitivity of each population based on Dose-Response analysis (**Methods**). The IC50 recapitulates the level of sensitivity of a population to hydrogen peroxide. Lower IC50 values can be interpreted as higher sensitivity to $H_2O_2$, which is what we expected for the evolved populations. B) The ratio between the AUC of a population at a certain

$H_2O_2$ concentration + YPD and the AUC in YPD without $H_2O_2$. This is another metric of
sensitivity with an expected maximum of 1 (red dotted line). The blue dotted line represents the
median sensitivity of the WT. The WT AUC ratio is compared to each evolved population using a
sign test on the ranks. The two-sided p-values of this test are encoded as follows: *** from 0 to
0.001 exclusively, ** from 0.001 to 0.01 exclusively, * from 0.01 to 0.05 exclusively, "." from
0.05 to 0.1 exclusively and N.S. otherwise, which is the acronym of "non-significant". C) Red
boxes represent the population clusters, which are based on the AUC ratios.

The evolved populations clustered into 3 groups: 3 out of 10 populations had a similar sensitivity
to $H_2O_2$ as the WT, 5 out of 10 populations were more sensitive than WT, and 2 out of 10 evolved
populations were much more sensitive than the WT strain, including H11, the population that lost
the OS response regulator *prr1* (**Fig. 4B** and **4C**). This increased sensitivity to OS after adapting
to HS has not been observed in *S. cerevisiae*, which may be explained by contingency, i.e. more
exposure to anaerobic environments during its evolutionary history and a better redox
metabolism[32,34]. However, it is reproducible in most of the evolved *S. pombe* populations, and has
likewise been observed in related species, including wild *S. japonicus*[32] (see Discussion).

**Transcriptomics analysis reveals many genes involved in the response to HS and downstream**
383
**effects explaining the sensitivity to OS in multiple populations**

The sensitivity to OS developed after adapting to HS was revealed by convergent evolution at the
genomic level, i.e. multi-hit genes. However, identifying transcriptomic differences between more
sensitive and WT-like populations could help reveal genes driving this evolutionary outcome and
explain how point mutations and indels contribute to the evolution of these traits through
transcriptomic regulation. Moreover, most of the mutations in the pyruvate kinase (*pyk1*) locus are
located in the untranslated regions flanking the gene, which contains cis-regulatory elements
(CRE) like the promoter (**Supplementary Fig. 5**). Hits in these loci could explain the adaptation
to HS through changes in transcript levels through cis-regulatory and trans-regulatory effects[87–91].
These questions thus led us to investigate the role of transcriptomic changes in the responses to
HS and OS. To do so, we performed RNA-seq of the WT ancestor and the final evolved
populations, and compared the transcriptomic profiles of populations more sensitive to $H_2O_2$ than
WT with those of populations as sensitive as WT (**Methods**).

Consistent with the need to compensate for biomass and energy deficit under HS due to
limited respiration[32], most evolved *S. pombe* populations upregulated genes involved in heme
metabolism/transport, the transport of biomolecules like amino acids or hexose, lipid metabolism,
fermentation, and ion transport (**Fig. 5, Supplementary Table 1**).

**Figure 5 Fission yeast cellular responses to hypoxic stress in populations with increased OS sensitivity.** Transcriptomic changes are determined by comparing the populations with increased OS sensitivity to populations with similar OS sensitivity than WT while genomic changes are obtained by comparing these evolved populations to WT (**Methods**). The green fill represents an upregulation while the red fill represents a downregulation. The grey fill represents molecules or processes that were not associated with a significant effect or not measured (no data). The orange lightning symbol represents LOF hits in H11, the only population with such variants in several multi-hit genes (dotted line stroke).

Another way the fission yeast populations could respond to HS is by improving the electron transport chain (ETC) to leverage the limited amount of oxygen available. The upregulation of the heme transporters *str3* and *shu1* in many evolved populations supports such a strategy (**Supplementary Table 1**). Heme can help capture and transport the small amount of oxygen available under HS and it is an essential component of the electron transport chain (ETC)[85].

Despite the presence of transcriptomic changes explaining HS adaptation and the OS sensitivity cost, most of the differentially expressed genes were different than the targets of selection identified at the genomic level, except for *pyk1*, *pzh1* and *ubp9*. For instance, the multi-hit gene *clg1*, which is a positive regulator of autophagy[40,66], is not differentially expressed between populations with different OS sensitivity, but 22 other genes involved in autophagy exhibit an expression level increase from 54.4% to 229% (**Supplementary Table 1**) and they are not mutated. Autophagy might be one of the few pathways that contribute to cross-resistance to both HS and OS by recycling biomolecules and removing damaged cell components[67,68]. This is

consistent with the upregulation of the multi-hit gene *pqr1*, the ubiquitin-protein ligase regulating
phosphate transport and homeostasis, such that autophagy is not compromised in vacuoles[77].
Another process linked to cross-resistance to multiple stresses is vesicular transport[92,93]. One of its
genes, i.e. *ubp9,* is one of the only three multi-hit genes to be differentially expressed in evolved
*S. pombe* populations that are more sensitive to OS than WT (log2FC = 0.885; FDR<0.05),
suggesting a moderate but significant link between vesicular transport and adaptation
(**Supplementary Table 1**). As for the multi-hit gene *pyk1*, it is downregulated by 36.5% in
populations with increased OS sensitivity (FDR<0.05; **Supplementary Fig. 6**). Adaptation to HS
may require decreasing the glycolytic flux allocated to respiration[32] and change the
respiration/fermentation balance. This is consistent with the decrease of Acetyl-CoA synthesis
through the downregulation of the Acetyl-CoA ligase *pcs60* (53.7% decrease; FDR<0.05) and
selected LOF mutations in *cmr2*, which may trigger the ETC optimization responses previously
described as a compensatory mechanism. Similar to mutations observed in *S. japonicus*, the LOF
mutations in lower glycolysis and Acetyl-CoA synthesis might also contribute to OS sensitivity in
*S. pombe* as it would decrease the biomass and energy output of respiration, which is used for the
synthesis of redox metabolism proteins[69,84]. The last differentially expressed multi-hit gene is *pzh1*
(log2FC = 0.752; FDR<0.05), which regulates the activity of the plasma membrane H+-ATPase[94].
This is consistent with the need to increase energy production to compensate for respiration
deficiency in hypoxic conditions.

Apart from these three multi-hit genes, transcriptomic changes shared by populations with
increased OS sensitivity involved genes that were not mutated at the genomic level
(**Supplementary Table 1; Table 1**). This is the case for many upregulated genes involved in
fermentation, e.g. *pdc201/202* and *atd1*, and in the synthesis of ETC components and their iron-
sulfur clusters, e.g. *cbp3-4, cbp6, coq9, sdh3, sdh8*, *qcr7-10*, *fxn1* and *isu1*. The lack of overlap
between selection targets at the genomic and transcriptomic levels suggests that the overall
changes in expression levels shared by many evolved populations are driven by trans-regulatory
rather than by cis-regulatory effects.

**DISCUSSION**

Over short periods of time, experimental evolution is a powerful tool to decipher molecular
pathways under selective constraints[3,11]. An important result from studies exploiting asexual
laboratory evolution is that evolution is usually not limited by mutation: adaptation usually
proceeds rapidly and is often dominated by large-effect mutations. In many cases, gene content
variation can occur (via ploidy changes), and mutations frequently inactivate gene function.
Perhaps the most interesting result from many of these studies is that laboratory evolution can
frequently recapitulate evolutionary outcomes from wild, uncontrolled evolution. Whole-genome
duplication, loss-of-function mutations, the rise of mutators, stable co-existence, and like here the
change of carbon flow towards increased fermentation, are all phenomena thought to have
occurred in various species at various times during their evolutionary history, but also notably in
cancer cells[11,95–97]. The fact that cancer cells are also evolving populations suggests that
experimental evolution in different single-cell organisms can reveal fundamental principles of the
molecular basis of adaptation. For instance, the pervasiveness of parallel evolution in the tree of
life could be explained by deep conservation of interconnected biological pathways.

Our study extends the discovery of shared molecular mechanisms of adaptation across scales in
diverse biological systems. For instance, *S. pombe* shares a distinct preference for insertions as a
mutational bias with *C. elegans*[38,]. On specific selection targets, mutations that lead to upregulation
of iron metabolism, autophagy regulators and vesicle transporters, and that lead to rewiring of the
glycolytic flux are common observations in populations adapting under iron and oxygen
limitation[99,100] (such as tumors). The fact that the orthologs *pykF* and *pyk1* in *E. coli* and *S. pombe*,
respectively, are both recurrently hit across multiple populations illustrates the conservation of
some selection targets at the gene level, even across distant species. Finally, parallel evolution
within model systems is frequent and has been observed in nearly all experimental evolution
studies to date, but the precise observed trait under selection varies (examples in [6,101] and reviewed
in[102]).

Despite all these common evolutionary mechanisms and outcomes, disparities can arise
due to differences in genome architecture and contingency. One of the major findings in
evolutionary dynamics is that low-fitness populations typically adapt faster than high-fitness
populations. This observation has been seen in several species, and in several environmental
contexts[3,6,11]. In the evolution environment of our study, the 'ancestor' *S. cerevisiae* is much fitter
than its *S. pombe* counterpart. Yet, the fitness gains by our *S. pombe* populations are relatively
modest (**Supplementary Fig. 1**). We propose that one explanation for this 'evolvability' is the
effect that we set out to study in the first place, which is evolutionary contingency. Indeed, in a
previous study, it was found that the identity of specific molecular pathways of gene deletions had
a strong impact on fitness gain after evolution[13].

Further supporting this, the most frequent targets of adaptation in the past *S. cerevisiae*
study were genes involved in adenine biosynthesis and the mating pathway, for which genomic
hits have high fitness effects[3]. However, these pathways are not targets of selection in these *S.*
*pombe* populations. Based on our understanding of the adenine biosynthetic pathways in both
species, mutations in adenine biosynthesis genes were not expected in our *S. pombe* populations
(which are ade+). In contrast, the *S. cerevisiae* populations were *ade2*-, which led them to
accumulate a toxic intermediate that can be suppressed by the observed adenine pathway mutations
after evolution. It is well known that similar effects can be observed in *ade6*- strains of *S. pombe*[103].
Similarly, mutations in the mating pathway might not be observed in *S. pombe* due to its
preferential haploid lifestyle and its mating pathway is not active in rich media[23,24].

A third pathway frequently mutated in the budding yeast laboratory evolution experiment
is the Ras-cAMP pathway[3,8,104]. Observed loss-of-function mutations in *IRA1* or *IRA2* (but usually
not both), relieve inhibition of Ras and lead to PKA activation [3,105]. Activation of PKA ultimately
leads to increased glycolysis through phosphorylation of Pyk1[106]. Our *S. pombe* populations never
mutated the *IRA1/2* ortholog (*gap1*), though we note that it is a single-copy gene in the fission
yeast. More surprisingly though, are the mutations observed in *pyk1*. These mutations are not the
usual loss-of-function nonsense or frameshift mutations. Instead, most mutations were found in
the regulatory region of the gene, which we initially believed to be an analogous way for *S. pombe*
to upregulate Pyk1 activity. However, whole-transcriptome analyses suggest that these mutations
downregulate Pyk1 activity (**Fig. 5**). Interestingly, similar mutations leading to lower Pyk1 activity

have been observed in wild *S. pombe* populations and in the common laboratory strain[35], suggesting that decreasing Pyk1 activity may be adaptive. In Kamrad et al. (2020), the authors showed that a decrease in Pyk1 activity does not increase growth rate nor biomass, seemingly contradicting the strong signature of adaptation from parallel evolution. However, the authors noted that lower Pyk1 activity might be an adaptive trade-off as lower glycolytic flux increases oxidative stress resistance through higher pentose-phosphate pathway flux and NADPH production. Despite transcriptomic analyses showing a slight decrease in *pyk1* expression levels in most evolved *S. pombe* populations, they were often more sensitive to OS than WT. This is explained by the fact that during our experiment, the slightly reduced glycolytic flux is mostly redirected toward fermentation, which is upregulated to compensate for the fission yeast respiration deficiency in hypoxic conditions.

Adaptation to our growth environment has led to an increased OS sensitivity for most evolved populations via multiple molecular mechanisms. First, Pyk1 activity can regulate carbon flow and, like the other mutations found in the wild, can favor fermentation rather than respiration, as our lidded and unshaking environment is likely to lead to hypoxic stress. Supporting this, we observed adaptations to HS, including LOF in enzymes responsible for the transition between glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation, and the upregulation of the fermentation rate. This leads to an increase in intracellular ROS through higher ethanol production and a deficiency in the production of biomass and energy, which sustains the redox metabolism[34,45]. In our dataset, 3 populations in which *pyk1* is downregulated have cis-regulatory mutations around the gene, while G2 is the only population in which *pyk1* is downregulated without such mutations (**Supplementary Figs. 5 and 6**). This suggests that *pyk1* downregulation can evolve more easily through cis-regulatory effects, but it can also evolve through trans-regulatory effects. The effect of reducing Pyk1 activity here is also consistent with the other mutations we observed that appear to modulate central carbon metabolism (**Figs. 3 and 5**). Second, *S. pombe* cells are sensitive to polypeptones, a major component of our environment[81]. Mutations that lower respiration and flux through the mitochondrial electron transport chain have been shown to increase resistance to polypeptones because this sensitivity requires CoQ10[81]. Strikingly, there is also evidence in *S. japonicus* that lower glycolysis is frequently being rewired in natural populations[32]. This suggests that adaptation to HS or polypeptones in petite-negative yeast species with relatively modest fermentation rates might often come at the expense of costly carbon flow rewiring, hindering the response to OS.

Multiple genomic variants and transcriptomic changes were involved in the apparent trade-off between HS and OS, e.g. the LOF or downregulation in lower glycolysis or Acetyl-CoA synthesis, the upregulation of fermentation, and the upregulation of the transport and metabolism of biomolecules and ions. Among these adaptations to HS, fermentation upregulation and LOF in lower glycolysis and genes involved in the response to oxidative stress such as *prr1*, explain the increased sensitivity to OS. However, some genes involved in OS response, autophagy and vesicle transport may contribute to cross-resistance to both stresses[92,93,107–109] and indeed we observed three populations (G5, G9, and H9) with hits in those pathways that did not appear to have increased OS sensitivity and those populations retain WT-like expression level of the lower glycolysis pathway. This cross-resistance is also observed in other species like *K. lactis, S.*

*cerevisiae*, and human cancer[110–113]. In *S. pombe*, the effect of the cross-resistance pathways was
at times insufficient to offset the cost of adapting to HS by rewiring of the glycolytic flux toward
fermentation and using it as the main source of biomass and energy. The fact that this trade-off has
not been observed in the budding yeast may be explained by its historical exposure to multiple
anaerobic environments and more optimal adaptations to HS[34]. In contrast, *S. pombe* and *S.*
*japonicus,* for which lower glycolysis is less active compared to *S. cerevisiae*, tend to adapt to HS
through LOF in lower glycolysis and upregulation of fermentation and upper glycolysis[32,35].
Furthermore, the fact that the response to HS mostly involved transcriptomic changes in genes that
were not mutated in coding or flanking regulatory regions suggests that the adaptive transcriptomic
changes observed across populations were driven by trans-regulatory rather than cis-regulatory
effects. This also shows that the transcriptome, an intermediate level of organization between
genotype and phenotype, is a complex trait that can exhibit evolutionary parallelism.

Despite the potential of these observations to improve evolutionary predictions, this study
shows that multiple mechanisms are involved and that contingency can lead to diverse
evolutionary outcomes, even within a single species and under the same conditions. One way that
future research could better predict adaptive trajectories under stress conditions is by leveraging
the presence of rapid transcriptomic changes in response to a new stress independently of
mutations, e.g. expression plasticity. These responses could provide hints toward adaptive cellular
changes that could later be cemented by mutations with similar effects, as is the case in bird species
that explore high-altitude conditions[11,114].

**METHODS**

**Experimental evolution and sequencing**

We evolved 15 *S. pombe* populations of the laboratory strain 972h- at 30 °C in wells of a lidded,
flat-bottom 96-well plate containing 128 µl of YPD plus antibiotics (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone,
2% dextrose, 100 µg/mL ampicillin, 25 µg/mL tetracycline) for 10,000 generations, collecting and
freezing samples at -80 °C every 70 generations. The remaining wells contained blank controls or
populations of other yeast species and will be described elsewhere. This is the same procedure
used to generate the compared *S. cerevisiae* dataset[3]. Because these conditions yield a doubling
rate of 10 generations per day, we performed a 1/1024 serial dilution every day ($1/2^{10}$). This
corresponds to an effective population size of ~6.00E04 and a bottleneck population size of
~8.00E03 [3,115]. We chose to perform whole-population whole-genome sequencing every 1,000
generations, and we were able to grow back cells from 93 out of these 100 samples after storage
and sequenced these time points with Illumina NovaSeq paired-end sequencing (mean effective
coverage    ~40X).    Raw    DNA    sequences    are    available    on    NCBI:
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA1315931.

**Measuring fitness in the *S. pombe* populations**

We grew the evolved populations, the WT, and a *S. cerevisiae* reference strain expressing GFP
(YAN438: *MATα his3Δ1 ura3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 can1::RPL39pr-ymGFP_STE2pr-*

*SpHIS5_STE3pr-LEU2*), for 24 hours. In contrast to our previous study[3], this *S. cerevisiae* strain
does not express a killer phenotype as it does not possess ScV-M1 killer-virus RNA. Next, because
the green *S. cerevisiae* strain grew much faster than *S. pombe,* we prepared mixtures of the "dark"
*S. pombe* populations and the green budding yeast at a 9:1 ratio and grew the mixed cultures for
24 hours. This ratio also reduces potential species interactions between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe*.
We then serially propagated each mixture for 3 days and used a Beckman-Coulter CytoFlex flow
cytometer to measure the frequency of the "dark" *S. pombe* and the green *S. cerevisiae* strain daily.
All particle counts were acquired at 30 μL/s rate for a maximum of 60 seconds or until 10,000
events were detected from a 96-well plate containing the mixtures. The data were analyzed using
the CytoFlex acquisition and analysis software CytExpert. The "dark" *S. pombe* cells were
distinguished from the green *S. cerevisiae* strain using FITC-A/PE-A gates. This process was
replicated on a different day for biological replicates. Using the cell counts, we then calculated the
frequency of each population in the mixture and inferred fitness based on the slope of a linear
regression between the logarithm of the frequency ratio and the number of generations:

$$\ln \left( \frac{frequency_{S.\ pombe}}{frequency_{green\ S.\ cerevisiae}} \right) = (Fitness * number\ of\ generations) + intercept \qquad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

The final fitness was the average of the two biological replicates.

**Variant calling**

We used Varscan2.4.6[47] to call single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) and indels. Because
contamination, sequencing errors and low-complexity regions can affect the variant calling, we
applied some filters to the called variants (Table 2). These filters ensure that the calls are supported
by reads, are time-consistent, and are not affected by possible errors in the reference genome, e.g.
avoiding confusion between ancestral alleles that were not present in the reference genome (972h-
) and fixed de novo mutations. Briefly, the filters used mapping and read base quality, allele
frequency and absence of strand biases. In addition, because of the relatively sparse sequencing of
our experiment (every 1000 generations), most genuine mutations will be fixed in cohorts or
throughout the experiment, we also employed a filter for genetic hitchhiking and clonality. The
variant calling pipeline is available at
https://github.com/arnaud00013/Experimental_evolution_S_pombe.

| Filter name | Criteria |
|---|---|
| Mapping quality | Mapping quality score ≥ 15 |
| Indels in low-complexity regions (short tandem repeats or homopolymers) | -Systematically eliminate the ones that are not supported by multiple samples<br>-For the recurrent ones, because they could reveal a signal of selection, they were manually investigated in IGV to make sure there are no mapping mistakes, and multiple reads in both strands should support them. We also ensured that they were not introduced or fixed at the same time across multiple |

| | populations or systematically with the same group of alleles (**Fig. 3**), which could indicate contamination. |
|---|---|
| Read bases quality | ≥ Q20 |
| Allele frequency | ≥ 0.05 |
| Coverage and supporting reads | Coverage ≥ 5 and at least one read in both strands support the variant (so at least 2 supporting reads in total, and always 2 supporting strands) |
| Contamination | Because the *S. pombe* populations were grown in parallel with populations from other yeast species (*C. glabrata* CBS138, *K. lactis* NRRL-Y-1140, *P. pastoris* EAMORG09, *S. cerevisiae* YJM978, *S. paradoxus* IFO1804; **Fig. 1**), we made sure that the reads we recovered from sequencing best map to *S. pombe* |
| Evidence for sub-clonality and linkage disequilibrium | \|Pearson r\| ≥ 0.9 with at least one mutation from the same population |
| Variation in non-fixed variant allele frequency | ≥ 0.1 |
| Initial allele frequency | Eliminate variants with an allele frequency ≥ 0.4 in at least a third of the populations |

**Table 2** List of variant calling filters.

### Identifying signatures of selection from the substitution spectrum

We defined substitutions as variants with an allele frequency >= 75%. Then, we compared the substitution spectrum of each population with the one expected after 10,000 generations of evolution under a neutral null model. The number of fixed variants in each mutation category is calculated based on the species' evolution rate, genome size, genome architecture (e.g. ratio of coding to non-coding regions in the genome), and the proportion of single-nucleotide mutations derived from the genetic code and associated with a specific category of mutations. This allowed to derive 2 equations:

$$Nb\_subs\_in\_category\_X\_nm = S_{rate} * t * G_{size} * r_x * p_x \qquad \text{(Eq. 2)}$$

$$Nb\_indels\_in\_category\_X\_nm = I_{rate} * t * G_{size} * r_x \qquad \text{(Eq. 3)}$$

where $Nb\_subs\_in\_category\_X\_nm$ is the expected number of substitutions in a mutation category X assuming a neutral model of evolution, $Nb\_indels\_in\_category\_X\_nm$ is the expected number of indels of type X assuming a neutral model of evolution, $S_{rate}$ is the species substitution rate, $I_{rate}$ is the species indel rate, t is the number of generations observed since the start of the experiment, $G_{size}$ is the species genome size, $r_x$ is the proportion of the genome covered by protein-coding or non-coding sites, depending on the mutation category X and $p_x$ is the

proportion of single-nucleotide mutations derived from the genetic code that can be classified as
mutations from category X.

Next, we sought signatures of parallelism and positive selection in protein-coding genes
among the fixed variants. We defined a "hit" as a fixed variant that is not synonymous (missense,
nonsense, or indel), and a multi-hit gene as a gene with hits in multiple populations. (in at least 2
out of 10 populations). We then compared the number of population hits (PH) in the set of multi-
hit genes to the PH expected under a null model, assuming that all genes evolve and fix variants
at the same rate. The expected PH was determined based on a number drawn from a Poisson
distribution where the argument λ (average number of events per interval) is the product of S.
pombe's substitution rate, the gene length, the generation time, the number of populations, and the
proportion of fixed variants that are not synonymous as determined in equations 2 and 3.

**Evaluating and comparing the sensitivity to hydrogen peroxide**

We grew the 10 evolved *S. pombe* populations and the WT ancestor in the rows of a 96-well plate
at 30°C for 36 hours. The media was YPD + hydrogen peroxide with $H_2O_2$ concentrations of 0
mM, 0.5 mM, 1 mM, 1.5 mM, 2 mM, 2.5 mM, 3 mM and 3.5 mM. Each row of the 96-well plate
corresponded to a different concentration of $H_2O_2$. The growth of each population was estimated
using the OD600 time series measured from a BioTek Synergy HTX plate reader. For each time
series, the area under the curve (AUC) was measured using the R package package
growthcurver[116]. Using the AUC as a proxy for growth, we then compared the AUC of each
population at different $H_2O_2$ concentrations to the AUC obtained when $H_2O_2$ is absent from the
media. Next, we inferred the degree of inhibition with:

654
$$Inhibition = k * \frac{AUC_{media}}{AUC_{control}} \hspace{2cm} \text{(Eq. 4)}$$

where $k$ is a normalizing factor that makes sure the inhibition metric maximum is 1, AUC is the
area under the growth curve, $AUC_{media}$ represents the growth at a certain concentration of
hydrogen peroxide and $AUC_{control}$ represents the growth in a YPD media without hydrogen
peroxide. This expression is negatively correlated to the AUC ratio and ranges from 0 to 1. Using
the Dose-Response Analysis R package drc[117], we then fitted a log-logistic model to this metric
and $H_2O_2$ concentrations to estimate the IC50, which recapitulates the level of sensitivity of a
population to hydrogen peroxide. Data in the manuscript is a representative result of the less noisy
biological replicate. We found that the hydrogen peroxide concentration tended to vary due to
instability of the chemical, which would at times shift the IC50, without changing the interpretation
of the experiment. Finally, we performed a cluster analysis on the populations based on their
distribution of AUC media-to-control ratio at different concentrations. We used the hclust function
from the package stats v4.1.2[118] to perform the clustering and the composition of each cluster was
identical no matter the distance metric tested (Gower, Manhattan, Euclidean, Bray-Curtis), which
we obtained with the function vegdist from the package vegan 2.7-2[119].

**RNA extraction, sequencing and transcriptomic analysis**

We extracted in two biological replicates the RNA of the evolved populations using an in-house
protocol that works well with *S. cerevisiae*. Briefly, cells were grown to mid-log phase in YPD by

diluted overnight saturated cultures and growing for 4-6 hours and lysed using 100 µl of 5 mg/mL Zymolyase + 10 mM DTT, incubated at 37 °Celsius for 5 minutes. The weakened cells were then incubated with 100 µl of 2% SDS for a final SDS concentration of 1%. 200 µl of lysis buffer was added (4.5 M Guanidine thiocyanate, 10 mM EDTA) and mixed gently by inverting. Lysate clarification indicated complete lysis. The SDS was then precipitated using 200 µl of 3M Potassium acetate at pH 5.

After centrifugation, the lysate was passed through a minipreparation silica column to bind DNA. Under these conditions, RNA does not bind to the silica columns. Therefore, we collected the flowthrough. We then mixed it with an equal volume of pure isopropanol and passed it through another minipreparation silica column (which will now bind RNA). The column was then washed with 400 µl of wash buffer (10% Guanidine thiocyanate, 25% Isopropanol, and 10 mM EDTA), and then twice with 600 µl of 80% Ethanol. After drying the column, the RNA was eluted with 50 µl of 10 mM Tris pH 8.5. RNA integrity was verified on an agarose gel. One population (H12) failed to get sufficiently high-quality RNA for sequencing.

We sequenced the successfully extracted RNA using NEBNext Ultra II on an Illumina NovaSeq X paired-end sequencing lane. This yielded 10.6E06 150-bp paired-end reads per sample on average (σ=1.32E06). Raw RNA-sequencing data are available on NCBI: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA1315931. We pre-processed the reads with fastp (version 0.23.1) with the arguments --trim_poly_x --trim_poly_g --cut_front --cut_front_mean_quality 20 --cut_tail --cut_tail_mean_quality 20 --detect_adapter_for_pe[120]. Next, we mapped the reads to the reference (972h-) using samtools v1.17, removed duplicates with picard v2.26.3 and counted the number of reads per gene using featureCounts from subread v2.0.6[121–123], and the counts were converted to FPKM. We then performed PCA analysis of the transcriptome and found two main clusters (PC1 and PC2 explained about 75% of the transcriptomic variance), one which contained the WT and the other contained only populations that were more sensitive to oxidative stress than WT. One population that was as sensitive as WT clustered independently, and two populations that clustered with the WT were more sensitive to oxidative stress. Upon analysis of their gene hits, we found that they have loss-of-function mutation in genes that relate to oxidative stress resistance.

To determine which genes and their expression differences were responsible for the increased oxidative stress sensitivity of most populations, we used the DESeq2 package to detect differentially expressed genes between the two clusters (i.e. populations that are as sensitive and more sensitive than WT)[124]. The RNA-seq analysis pipeline is available at https://github.com/arnaud00013/Experimental_evolution_S_pombe.

**REFERENCES**

1. Kryazhimskiy, S., Rice, D. P., Jerison, E. R. & Desai, M. M. Microbial evolution. Global epistasis makes adaptation predictable despite sequence-level stochasticity. *Science* **344**, 1519–1522 (2014).

2. Couce, A. & Tenaillon, O. A. The rule of declining adaptability in microbial evolution experiments. *Front Genet* **6**, 99 (2015).

3. Johnson, M. S. *et al.* Phenotypic and molecular evolution across 10,000 generations in laboratory budding yeast populations. *eLife* **10**, e63910 (2021).

4. Good, B. H., McDonald, M. J., Barrick, J. E., Lenski, R. E. & Desai, M. M. The dynamics of molecular evolution over 60,000 generations. *Nature* **551**, 45–50 (2017).

5. Kinnersley, M. *et al.* Ex Uno Plures: Clonal Reinforcement Drives Evolution of a Simple Microbial Community. *PLOS Genetics* **10**, e1004430 (2014).

6. Tenaillon, O. *et al.* Tempo and mode of genome evolution in a 50,000-generation experiment. *Nature* **536**, 165–170 (2016).

7. Lang, G. I. *et al.* Pervasive genetic hitchhiking and clonal interference in forty evolving yeast populations. *Nature* **500**, 571–574 (2013).

8. Venkataram, S. *et al.* Development of a Comprehensive Genotype-to-Fitness Map of Adaptation-Driving Mutations in Yeast. *Cell* **166**, 1585-1596.e22 (2016).

9. Jerison, E. R. *et al.* Genetic variation in adaptability and pleiotropy in budding yeast. *eLife* **6**, e27167.

10. Burke, M. K. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of a long-term evolution experiment with Drosophila. *Nature* **467**, 587–590 (2010).

11. Stroud, J. T. & Ratcliff, W. C. Long-term studies provide unique insights into evolution. *Nature* **639**, 589–601 (2025).

12. Bakerlee, C. W., Ba, A. N. N., Shulgina, Y., Echenique, J. I. R. & Desai, M. M. Idiosyncratic

epistasis leads to global fitness-correlated trends. *Science* **376**, 630–635 (2022).

13. Echenique, J. I. R., Kryazhimskiy, S., Ba, A. N. N. & Desai, M. M. Modular epistasis and the

compensatory evolution of gene deletion mutants. *PLOS Genetics* **15**, e1007958 (2019).

14. Helsen, J. *et al.* Gene Loss Predictably Drives Evolutionary Adaptation. *Molecular Biology and*

*Evolution* **37**, 2989–3002 (2020).

15. Teng, X. *et al.* Genome-wide consequences of deleting any single gene. *Mol Cell* **52**, 485–494

(2013).

16. Galardini, M. *et al.* The impact of the genetic background on gene deletion phenotypes in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol Syst Biol* **15**, e8831 (2019).

17. Blount, Z. D., Borland, C. Z. & Lenski, R. E. Historical contingency and the evolution of a key

innovation in an experimental population of Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**,

7899–7906 (2008).

18. Huang, M.-E., Rio, A.-G., Nicolas, A. & Kolodner, R. D. A genomewide screen in Saccharomyces

cerevisiae for genes that suppress the accumulation of mutations. *Proceedings of the National*

*Academy of Sciences* **100**, 11529–11534 (2003).

19. Opulente, D. A. *et al.* Genomic factors shape carbon and nitrogen metabolic niche breadth

across Saccharomycotina yeasts. *Science* **384**, eadj4503 (2024).

20. Shen, X.-X. *et al.* Tempo and Mode of Genome Evolution in the Budding Yeast Subphylum. *Cell*

**175**, 1533-1545.e20 (2018).

21. Frost, A. *et al.* Comparing S. pombe and S. cerevisiae Genetic Interactions Reveals Functional

Repurposing and Identifies New Organelle Homeostasis and Mitosis Control Genes. *Cell* **149**,

1339–1352 (2012).

22. Rhind, N. *et al.* Comparative Functional Genomics of the Fission Yeasts. *Science* **332**, 930–936

(2011).

23. Forsburg, S. L. Overview of. *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology* **64**, 13.14.1-13.14.3 (2003).

24. Vyas, A., Freitas, A. V., Ralston, Z. A. & Tang, Z. Fission Yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe: A

Unicellular "Micromammal" Model Organism. *Curr Protoc* **1**, e151 (2021).

25. Ward, C. M. *et al.* Recombination, admixture and genome instability shape the genomic

landscape of Saccharomyces cerevisiae derived from spontaneous grape ferments. *PLoS*

*Genet* **20**, e1011223 (2024).

26. Peter, J. *et al.* Genome evolution across 1,011 Saccharomyces cerevisiae isolates. *Nature* **556**,

339–344 (2018).

27. Chen, V. *et al.* Evolution of haploid and diploid populations reveals common, strong, and

variable pleiotropic effects in non-home environments. *eLife* **12**, e92899 (2023).

28. Shapira, R., Levy, T., Shaked, S., Fridman, E. & David, L. Extensive heterosis in growth of yeast

hybrids is explained by a combination of genetic models. *Heredity (Edinb)* **113**, 316–326 (2014).

29. Shapira, R. & David, L. Genes with a Combination of Over-Dominant and Epistatic Effects

Underlie Heterosis in Growth of Saccharomyces cerevisiae at High Temperature. *Front Genet*

**7**, 72 (2016).

30. Conant, G. C. & Wolfe, K. H. Increased glycolytic flux as an outcome of whole-genome

duplication in yeast. *Mol Syst Biol* **3**, 129 (2007).

31. Vowinckel, J. *et al.* The metabolic growth limitations of petite cells lacking the mitochondrial

genome. *Nat Metab* **3**, 1521–1535 (2021).

32. Alam, S., Gu, Y., Reichert, P., Bähler, J. & Oliferenko, S. Optimization of energy production and

central carbon metabolism in a non-respiring eukaryote. *Current Biology* **33**, 2175-2186.e5

(2023).

33. Chen, X. J. & Clark-Walker, G. D. The petite mutation in yeasts: 50 years on. *Int Rev Cytol* **194**,

197–238 (2000).

34. Dashko, S., Zhou, N., Compagno, C. & Piškur, J. Why, when, and how did yeast evolve alcoholic

fermentation? *FEMS Yeast Res* **14**, 826–832 (2014).

35. Kamrad, S. *et al.* Pyruvate kinase variant of fission yeast tunes carbon metabolism, cell

regulation, growth and stress resistance. *Mol Syst Biol* **16**, e9270 (2020).

36. Bergström, A. *et al.* A High-Definition View of Functional Genetic Variation from Natural Yeast

Genomes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **31**, 872–888 (2014).

37. Farlow, A. *et al.* The Spontaneous Mutation Rate in the Fission Yeast Schizosaccharomyces

pombe. *Genetics* **201**, 737–744 (2015).

38. Wood, V. *et al.* The genome sequence of Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Nature* **415**, 871–880

(2002).

39. Blevins, W. R. *et al.* Uncovering de novo gene birth in yeast using deep transcriptomics. *Nat

Commun* **12**, 604 (2021).

40. Harris, M. A. *et al.* Fission stories: using PomBase to understand Schizosaccharomyces pombe

biology. *Genetics* **220**, iyab222 (2022).

41. Behringer, M. G. & Hall, D. W. Genome-Wide Estimates of Mutation Rates and Spectrum in

Schizosaccharomyces pombe Indicate CpG Sites are Highly Mutagenic Despite the Absence of

DNA Methylation. *G3 (Bethesda)* **6**, 149–160 (2015).

42. Schlackow, M. & Gullerova, M. Understanding non-coding DNA regions in yeast. *Biochemical

Society Transactions* **41**, 1654–1659 (2013).

43. Ohsawa, S. *et al.* Nitrogen signaling factor triggers a respiration-like gene expression program

in fission yeast. *The EMBO Journal* **43**, 4604–4624 (2024).

44. Vassiliadis, D., Wong, K. H., Andrianopoulos, A. & Monahan, B. J. A genome-wide analysis of

carbon catabolite repression in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *BMC Genomics* **20**, 251 (2019).

45. Landolfo, S., Politi, H., Angelozzi, D. & Mannazzu, I. ROS accumulation and oxidative damage

to cell structures in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* wine strains during fermentation of high-sugar-

containing medium. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects* **1780**, 892–898

(2008).

46. Synnott, J. M., Guida, A., Mulhern-Haughey, S., Higgins, D. G. & Butler, G. Regulation of the

Hypoxic Response in Candida albicans. *Eukaryot Cell* **9**, 1734–1746 (2010).

47. Koboldt, D. C. *et al.* VarScan 2: somatic mutation and copy number alteration discovery in

cancer by exome sequencing. *Genome Res* **22**, 568–76 (2012).

48. Koboldt, D. C. Best practices for variant calling in clinical sequencing. *Genome Medicine* **12**, 91

(2020).

49. Li, H. Toward better understanding of artifacts in variant calling from high-coverage samples.

*Bioinformatics* **30**, 2843–2851 (2014).

50. Zhu, Y. O., Siegal, M. L., Hall, D. W. & Petrov, D. A. Precise estimates of mutation rate and

spectrum in yeast. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*

*America* **111**, E2310 (2014).

51. Lynch, M. *et al.* A genome-wide view of the spectrum of spontaneous mutations in yeast.

*Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **105**, 9272

(2008).

52. Schacherer, J., Shapiro, J. A., Ruderfer, D. M. & Kruglyak, L. Comprehensive polymorphism

survey elucidates population structure of S. cerevisiae. *Nature* **458**, 342–345 (2009).

53. Gallone, B. *et al.* Origins, evolution, domestication and diversity of *Saccharomyces* beer

yeasts. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* **49**, 148–155 (2018).

824 54. Duan, S.-F. *et al.* The origin and adaptive evolution of domesticated populations of yeast from

825   Far East Asia. *Nat Commun* **9**, 2690 (2018).

826 55. Chen, H., Blum, J. E., Thalacker-Mercer, A. & Gu, Z. Impact of the Whole Genome Duplication

827   Event on PYK Activity and Effects of a PYK1 Mutation on Metabolism in S. cerevisiae. *Front Mol*

828   *Biosci* **8**, 656461 (2021).

829 56. Frost, A. *et al.* Comparing S. pombe and S. cerevisiae Genetic Interactions Reveals Functional

830   Repurposing and Identifies New Organelle Homeostasis and Mitosis Control Genes. *Cell* **149**,

831   1339 (2012).

832 57. Aslett, M. & Wood, V. Gene Ontology annotation status of the fission yeast genome: preliminary

833   coverage approaches 100%. *Yeast* **23**, 913–919 (2006).

834 58. Khan, T., Douglas, G. M., Patel, P., Nguyen Ba, A. N. & Moses, A. M. Polymorphism Analysis

835   Reveals Reduced Negative Selection and Elevated Rate of Insertions and Deletions in

836   Intrinsically Disordered Protein Regions. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **7**, 1815–1826 (2015).

837 59. Dean, E. J., Davis, J. C., Davis, R. W. & Petrov, D. A. Pervasive and Persistent Redundancy

838   among Duplicated Genes in Yeast. *PLOS Genetics* **4**, e1000113 (2008).

839 60. Kim, D.-U. *et al.* Analysis of a genome-wide set of gene deletions in the fission yeast

840   Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Nat Biotechnol* **28**, 617–623 (2010).

841 61. Dupré, S., Urban-Grimal, D. & Haguenauer-Tsapis, R. Ubiquitin and endocytic internalization in

842   yeast and animal cells. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research* **1695**,

843   89–111 (2004).

844 62. Zattas, D. & Hochstrasser, M. Ubiquitin-dependent Protein Degradation at the Yeast

845   Endoplasmic Reticulum and Nuclear Envelope. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* **50**, 1–17 (2015).

846 63. Lee, C.-Y. S., Yeh, T.-L., Hughes, B. T. & Espenshade, P. J. Regulation of the Sre1 hypoxic

847   transcription factor by oxygen-dependent control of DNA binding. *Mol Cell* **44**, 225–234 (2011).

64. Hughes, B. T. & Espenshade, P. J. Oxygen-regulated degradation of fission yeast SREBP by

Ofd1, a prolyl hydroxylase family member. *EMBO J* **27**, 1491–1501 (2008).

65. Porter, J. R., Lee, C.-Y. S., Espenshade, P. J. & Iglesias, P. A. Regulation of SREBP during hypoxia

requires Ofd1-mediated control of both DNA bindingand degradation. *Mol Biol Cell* **23**, 3764–

3774 (2012).

66. Matsuda, S., Kikkawa, U., Uda, H. & Nakashima, A. The S. pombe CDK5 ortholog Pef1 regulates

sexual differentiation through control of the TORC1 pathway and autophagy. *Journal of Cell*

*Science* **133**, jcs247817 (2020).

67. Todd, B. L., Stewart, E. V., Burg, J. S., Hughes, A. L. & Espenshade, P. J. Sterol Regulatory

Element Binding Protein Is a Principal Regulator of Anaerobic Gene Expression in Fission Yeast.

*Mol Cell Biol* **26**, 2817–2831 (2006).

68. Farrugia, G. & Balzan, R. Oxidative Stress and Programmed Cell Death in Yeast. *Front. Oncol.* **2**,

(2012).

69. Kaino, T., Tonoko, K., Mochizuki, S., Takashima, Y. & Kawamukai, M. Schizosaccharomyces

japonicus has low levels of CoQ10 synthesis, respiration deficiency, and efficient ethanol

production. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry* **82**, 1031–1042 (2018).

70. Rodríguez-López, M. *et al.* Broad functional profiling of fission yeast proteins using phenomics

and machine learning. *eLife* **12**, RP88229 (2023).

71. Philpott, C. C., Leidgens, S. & Frey, A. G. Metabolic remodeling in iron-deficient fungi.

*Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research* **1823**, 1509–1520 (2012).

72. Rubio, A., Ghosh, S., Mülleder, M., Ralser, M. & Mata, J. Ribosome profiling reveals ribosome

stalling on tryptophan codons and ribosome queuing upon oxidative stress in fission yeast.

*Nucleic Acids Research* **49**, 383–399 (2021).

73. Kwon, E.-J. G. *et al.* Deciphering the Transcriptional-Regulatory Network of Flocculation in

Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *PLoS Genet* **8**, e1003104 (2012).

74. Stewart, G. G. Yeast Flocculation—Sedimentation and Flotation. *Fermentation* **4**, 28 (2018).

75. Dyson, H. J. & Wright, P. E. Intrinsically unstructured proteins and their functions. *Nat Rev Mol*

*Cell Biol* **6**, 197–208 (2005).

76. Chen, D. *et al.* Global Transcriptional Responses of Fission Yeast to Environmental Stress. *Mol*

*Biol Cell* **14**, 214–229 (2003).

77. Sawada, N., Ueno, S. & Takeda, K. Regulation of inorganic polyphosphate is required for proper

vacuolar proteolysis in fission yeast. *J Biol Chem* **297**, 100891 (2021).

78. Calvo, I. A., García, P., Ayté, J. & Hidalgo, E. The transcription factors Pap1 and Prr1 collaborate

to activate antioxidant, but not drug tolerance, genes in response to H2O2. *Nucleic Acids Res*

**40**, 4816–4824 (2012).

79. Quinn, J. *et al.* Two-Component Mediated Peroxide Sensing and Signal Transduction in Fission

Yeast. *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling* **15**, 153–165 (2011).

80. Leiter, É. *et al.* Protein phosphatase Z modulates oxidative stress response in fungi. *Fungal*

*Genet Biol* **49**, 708–716 (2012).

81. Matsuo, Y. *et al.* Polypeptone Induces Dramatic Cell Lysis in ura4 Deletion Mutants of Fission

Yeast. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e59887 (2013).

82. Kosugi, M. *et al.* Stereochemical assignment of the unique electron acceptor 5'-

hydroxyphylloquinone, a polar analog of vitamin K1 in photosystem I. *Biosci Biotechnol*

*Biochem* **81**, 2244–2252 (2017).

83. Hughes, A. L., Todd, B. L. & Espenshade, P. J. SREBP pathway responds to sterols and

functions as an oxygen sensor in fission yeast. *Cell* **120**, 831–842 (2005).

84.  Miki, R., Saiki, R., Ozoe, Y. & Kawamukai, M. Comparison of a coq7 deletion mutant with other

respiration-defective mutants in fission yeast. *The FEBS Journal* **275**, 5309–5324 (2008).

85.  Pluskal, T., Sajiki, K., Becker, J., Takeda, K. & Yanagida, M. Diverse fission yeast genes required

for responding to oxidative and metal stress: Comparative analysis of glutathione-related and

other defense gene deletions. *Genes to Cells* **21**, 530–542 (2016).

86.  Uchida, N. *et al.* Phenotypes of Fission Yeast Defective in Ubiquinone Production Due to

Disruption of the Gene for p-Hydroxybenzoate Polyprenyl Diphosphate Transferase. *J Bacteriol*

**182**, 6933–6939 (2000).

87.  Wittkopp, P. J., Haerum, B. K. & Clark, A. G. Evolutionary changes in cis and trans gene

regulation. *Nature* **430**, 85–88 (2004).

88.  Wittkopp, P. J. & Kalay, G. Cis-regulatory elements: molecular mechanisms and evolutionary

processes underlying divergence. *Nat Rev Genet* **13**, 59–69 (2011).

89.  Liu, X., Li, Y. I. & Pritchard, J. K. Trans Effects on Gene Expression Can Drive Omnigenic

Inheritance. *Cell* **177**, 1022-1034.e6 (2019).

90.  Yao, C. *et al.* Dynamic Role of trans Regulation of Gene Expression in Relation to Complex

Traits. *Am J Hum Genet* **100**, 571–580 (2017).

91.  Renganaath, K. & Albert, F. W. Trans-eQTL hotspots shape complex traits by modulating

cellular states. *bioRxiv* 2023.11.14.567054 (2024) doi:10.1101/2023.11.14.567054.

92.  Zakrzewska, A. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of yeast stress survival and tolerance acquisition

to analyze the central trade-off between growth rate and cellular robustness. *Mol Biol Cell* **22**,

4435–4446 (2011).

93.  Alejandro-Osorio, A. L. *et al.* The histone deacetylase Rpd3p is required for transient changes

in genomic expression in response to stress. *Genome Biol* **10**, R57 (2009).

94. Balcells, L. *et al*. The Pzh1 protein phosphatase and the Spm1 protein kinase are involved in the

regulation of the plasma membrane H+-ATPase in fission yeast. *FEBS Letters* **435**, 241–244

(1998).

95. Shahrouzi, P., Forouz, F., Mathelier, A., Kristensen, V. N. & Duijf, P. H. G. Copy number

alterations: a catastrophic orchestration of the breast cancer genome. *Trends in Molecular*

*Medicine* **30**, 750–764 (2024).

96. Al Tameemi, W., Dale, T. P., Al-Jumaily, R. M. K. & Forsyth, N. R. Hypoxia-Modified Cancer Cell

Metabolism. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **7**, (2019).

97. Steele, C. D. *et al*. Signatures of copy number alterations in human cancer. *Nature* **606**, 984–

991 (2022).

98. Denver, D. R., Morris, K., Lynch, M. & Thomas, W. K. High mutation rate and predominance of

insertions in the Caenorhabditis elegans nuclear genome. *Nature* **430**, 679–682 (2004).

99. Jensen, L. T. & Culotta, V. C. Regulation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae FET4* by Oxygen and Iron.

*Journal of Molecular Biology* **318**, 251–260 (2002).

100.    Daskalaki, I., Gkikas, I. & Tavernarakis, N. Hypoxia and Selective Autophagy in Cancer

Development and Therapy. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **6**, 104 (2018).

101.    Slomka, S. *et al*. Experimental Evolution of Bacillus subtilis Reveals the Evolutionary

Dynamics of Horizontal Gene Transfer and Suggests Adaptive and Neutral Effects. *Genetics*

**216**, 543–558 (2020).

102.    McDonald, M. J. Microbial Experimental Evolution - a proving ground for evolutionary theory

and a tool for discovery. *EMBO Rep* **20**, e46992 (2019).

103.    Chaudhuri, B., Ingavale, S. & Bachhawat, A. K. Apd1(+), a Gene Required for Red Pigment

Formation in Ade6 Mutants of Schizosaccharomyces Pombe, Encodes an Enzyme Required for

Glutathione Biosynthesis: A Role for Glutathione and a Glutathione-Conjugate Pump. *Genetics*

**145**, 75–83 (1997).

104.     Li, Y. *et al.* Hidden Complexity of Yeast Adaptation under Simple Evolutionary Conditions.

*Current Biology* **28**, 515-525.e6 (2018).

105.     Williamson, T., Adiamah, D., Schwartz, J.-M. & Stateva, L. Exploring the genetic control of

glycolytic oscillations in Saccharomyces Cerevisiae. *BMC Systems Biology* **6**, 108 (2012).

106.     Cytryńska, M., Frajnt, M. & Jakubowicz, T. Saccharomyces cerevisiae pyruvate kinase Pyk1

is PKA phosphorylation substrate in vitro. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **203**, 223–227 (2001).

107.     Yu, Z.-Q. *et al.* Atg38-Atg8 interaction in fission yeast establishes a positive feedback loop

to promote autophagy. *Autophagy* **16**, 2036–2051 (2020).

108.     Zhao, D. *et al.* Atg20- and Atg24-family proteins promote organelle autophagy in fission

yeast. *J Cell Sci* **129**, 4289–4304 (2016).

109.     Su, Y., Chen, C., Huang, L., Yan, J. & Huang, Y. Schizosaccharomyces pombe Homologs of

Human DJ-1 Are Stationary Phase-Associated Proteins That Are Involved in Autophagy and

Oxidative Stress Resistance. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0143888 (2015).

110.     Blanco, M. *et al.* An approach to the hypoxic and oxidative stress responses in

Kluyveromyces lactis by analysis of mRNA levels. *FEMS Yeast Research* **7**, 702–714 (2007).

111.     Liu, J. & Barrientos, A. Transcriptional Regulation of Yeast Oxidative Phosphorylation

Hypoxic Genes by Oxidative Stress. *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling* **19**, 1916–1927 (2013).

112.     Liu, J. & Wang, Z. Increased Oxidative Stress as a Selective Anticancer Therapy. *Oxid Med*

*Cell Longev* **2015**, 294303 (2015).

113.     Mendoza, E. N., Ciriolo, M. R. & Ciccarone, F. Hypoxia-Induced Reactive Oxygen Species:

Their Role in Cancer Resistance and Emerging Therapies to Overcome It. *Antioxidants* **14**, 94

(2025).

114.    She, H. *et al.* Gene expression plasticity followed by genetic change during colonization a

high-elevation environment. *eLife* **12**, (2023).

115.    Wahl, L. M. & Gerrish, P. J. The probability that beneficial mutations are lost in populations

with periodic bottlenecks. *Evolution* **55**, 2606–2610 (2001).

116.    Sprouffske, K. & Wagner, A. Growthcurver: an R package for obtaining interpretable metrics

from microbial growth curves. *BMC Bioinformatics* **17**, 172 (2016).

117.    Ritz, C., Baty, F., Streibig, J. C. & Gerhard, D. Dose-Response Analysis Using R. *PLOS ONE*

**10**, e0146021 (2015).

118.    R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. (R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2021).

119.    Oksanen, J. *et al. Vegan: Community Ecology Package*. (2025).

120.    Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y. & Gu, J. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.

*Bioinformatics* **34**, i884–i890 (2018).

121.    Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078–

2079 (2009).

122.    Picard toolkit. *Broad Institute, GitHub repository* (2019).

123.    Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for

assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2014).

124.    Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).