3 Efficacy and safety evaluation of artificial intelligence-identified antimicrobial peptides for

4 use against avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in the poultry industry

Emre Demirsoy[*,a,b,c], Teagan I. Parkin[*,d], Anna H. Dema[d], Shaleen E. Mihalynuk[d], Lorissa
Corrie[d], Marika E. Heilker[d], Haley N. Kuecks-Winger[d], Anat Yanai[e,f], Uluc B. Birol[f], Michael
McIlwee[g], Kay de Wet[g], Mathijs Knipscheer[g], Victoria Bowes[g], Vanessa Tuytel[g], Liam Ritchie[g],
Wolfgang Köster[h], Emil Berberov[h], William R. Cox[f], Monica Kotkoff[e], Vanessa C. Thompson[d],
Rene L. Warren[a], Erin Fraser[i,j], Linda M.N. Hoang[b,k], Fraser Hof[l], Fatih Birol[f], Caren C.
Helbing[d], Inanc Birol[b,c,e,f,m,1]
12

[a] BC Cancer, Vancouver, BC V5Z 1L3, Canada
[b] British Columbia Centre for Disease Control, Public Health Laboratory, Vancouver, BC
V6Z R4R, Canada
[c] Genome Science and Technology Graduate Program, University of British Columbia,
Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada
[d] Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC,
V8P 5C2, Canada
[e] Canada's Michael Smith Genome Sciences Centre at BC Cancer, Vancouver, BC V5Z
4S6, Canada
[f] Amphoraxe Life Sciences Inc., BC V6L 3C9, Canada
[g] SJ Ritchie Research Farms, Abbotsford, BC V4X 2N4 Canada
[h] Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization (VIDO), University of Saskatchewan, SK,
S7N 5E3, Canada
[i] Communicable Disease Prevention and Response, British Columbia Centre for Disease
Control, Vancouver, BC V6Z R4R, Canada
[j] School of Population and Public Health, The University of British Columbia,
Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z3, Canada
[k] Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of British Columbia,
Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada
[l] Department of Chemistry, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, V8P 5C2, Canada
[m] Department of Medical Genetics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T
1Z3, Canada
35

36 [1]Corresponding author: inanc.birol@ubc.ca

37 [*]These two authors contributed equally to this work.

ABSTRACT

The overuse of antibiotics in both veterinary and human medicine has resulted in the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, prompting a search for effective alternatives. Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are short, often cationic, peptide-based molecules with antimicrobial and immunomodulatory activity, which makes them promising alternatives to conventional antibiotics in poultry production. From a prior machine-learning-guided screen of 875 candidate AMPs, 62 exhibited activity against avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (APEC) and low in vitro hemolytic and cytotoxic activity. We selected three lead AMPs from this list (named TeRu4, TeBi1, and PeNi4), and evaluated their in vitro and in vivo efficacy, safety, and immunomodulatory potential for use in poultry farming. In animal experiments, AMPs were administered via in ovo injection on day 18 of embryonic development. In APEC challenge trials, yolk sacs were inoculated with APEC post-hatch to assess early chick mortality, while in pen trials, birds were raised in a commercial production setting for 35 days. For challenged birds, TeBi1 (10 μg/egg) significantly reduced bacterial detection in the air sac and pericardium, increased body weight by 50% and reduced cytokine transcript levels by 10-30% on day 7 post hatch. In HD11 chicken macrophage-like cultured cells, TeRu4 (16 μg/mL) suppressed LPS-induced pro-inflammatory cytokine transcript levels. In pen trials, TeRu4 (20 μg/egg) increased the survival probability of female birds by 4.9%, while TeBi1 (20 μg/egg) increased the survival probability of all birds by 4.4%, by day 35. Gene expression analysis revealed AMP- and sex-specific cytokine responses. In pen trials, no significant differences were observed in mean weights, feed conversion ratio, and flock uniformity on day 35. These findings demonstrate that the three selected AMPs are safe antibiotic alternatives that improve survival, modulate immune responses, and maintain normal growth performance in broiler chickens.

66   **INTRODUCTION**

Avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (APEC) is a Gram-negative bacterium that poses a

severe threat to the poultry industry. APEC infections induce colibacillosis and early chick

mortalities in poultry, the primary cause of mortality and morbidity in the industry (Cuperus et

al., 2016; Allan et al., 2018; Rezaee et al., 2021; Nguyen et al., 2021; Sarfraz et al., 2022; Hu et

al., 2022). Antibiotics have been used to treat bacterial infections since the early 20th century. In

the poultry industry, antibiotics have been used as growth promoters and prophylactic agents

since the 1950s (Bean-Hodgins and Kiarie, 2021). Commonly, antibiotics are added to feed or

water at subtherapeutic levels to increase weight gain and feed efficiency, as well as to prevent

disease outbreaks in healthy flocks (Bean-Hodgins and Kiarie, 2021). However, overuse of

antibiotics has contributed to the rise of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in both veterinary and human

medicine (Bean-Hodgins and Kiarie, 2021; Richter et al., 2022). Moreover, policy changes in

Canada's commercial poultry industry that have mandated limits on medically important

antimicrobial use has left fewer antimicrobial options to control pathogens in poultry flocks

(Bean-Hodgins and Kiarie, 2021). The One Health approach recognizes the linkages between

human, animal, and environmental health, and highlights the urgency of addressing antibiotic

resistance as a global crisis (Destoumieux-Garzón et al., 2018). Unfortunately, novel antibiotics

are not approved at a pace sufficient to combat developing antibiotic-resistant bacteria (Richter

et al., 2022). Moreover, the last classes of antibiotics to be discovered, including lipopeptides

(daptomycin) and oxazolidinones (linezolid), were identified during the 1980s (Durand et al.,

2019). Consequently, there is growing interest in alternative antimicrobial strategies, such as

antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), to address this therapeutic gap.

AMPs are peptide-based biomolecules composed of 5 to 100 amino acids and are

typically cationic (Bahar and Ren, 2013). In nature, they are produced by the innate immune

system and act as the first line of defense against infections in a wide variety of organisms,

including humans, animals, and plants (Bahar and Ren, 2013; Durand et al., 2019). Most

conventional antibiotics have specific cellular targets, including cellular machinery involved in

DNA and protein synthesis and components of bacterial cell walls (Bahar and Ren, 2013). In

contrast, AMPs primarily cause rapid cell death by binding to anionic bacterial cell membranes

and disrupting their integrity, leading to cell lysis (Bahar and Ren, 2013; Durand et al., 2019).

AMPs typically do not target eukaryotic cells, as their membranes usually have low anionic

charge (Bahar and Ren, 2013). However, at higher concentrations, some AMPs may exhibit

cytotoxic effects on eukaryotic cells (Bahar and Ren, 2013). Therefore, rigorous safety

evaluation of AMPs is essential before regulatory approval, including vie in vitro assays, such as

hemolysis and cytotoxicity tests, as well as in vivo experiments.

AMPs exert less selective pressure on bacteria than conventional antibiotics due to their

nonspecific targets and diverse mechanisms of action, thus reducing the development of

antimicrobial resistance (Zasloff, 2002; Hancock and Sahl, 2006; Fjell et al., 2012; Richter et al.,

2022). In addition to their direct antimicrobial activity, some AMPs demonstrate

immunomodulatory properties, recruiting immune cells or regulating cytokine levels to enhance

the host response to infection (Rima et al., 2021; Richter et al., 2022). Cytokines are important

signaling molecules that facilitate the growth, differentiation, activation of immune cells (Garcia

et al., 2021). Interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-8, IL-6, and IL-10, interferon regulator factor 4 (IRF-4), and

interferon gamma (IFN-γ). IL-1β, IL-8, and IL-6 are associated with activation of inflammation

in chickens (Kogut, 2002; Garcia et al., 2021). Furthermore, IL-8 recruits heterophils to the

infection site (Kogut, 2002). Conversely, IL-10, plays a role in tissue repair, angiogenesis, and

attenuation of the inflammatory response and is an inducible feedback regulator of the immune

response in chickens (Rothwell et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2016; Garcia et al., 2021). The roles of

IRF-4 and IFN-γ are not well-characterized in chickens; however, there is evidence that IRF-4

plays a critical role in B cell development and maturation, similar to its mammalian counterpart

(Yu et al., 2021), while IFN-γ generally functions to bridge the adaptive and innate immune

systems (Yuk et al., 2016; Santhakumar et al., 2017).

Previously, we screened 875 AMP candidates, identified using our artificial intelligence

based prediction tools: AMPlify (Li et al., 2022), rAMPage (Lin et al., 2022), and AMPd-Up (Li

et al., 2024), and used in vitro assays to characterize their antibacterial, hemolytic, and cytotoxic

properties. In this study, we selected three lead AMPs (named TeBi1, TeRu4, and PeNi4) for

further testing, due to their high antimicrobial activity and low toxicity. The three AMPs were

tested in vivo as a prophylactic treatment prior to APEC inoculation (challenge trials).

Immunomodulatory effects of these AMPs were tested in tissue harvested from animals involved

in the challenge trials and in HD11 cells, a chicken macrophage-like cell line. Lastly, the AMPs

were tested for their safety in a commercial production setting (pen trials). Overall, TeBi1 and

TeRu4 showed efficacy in challenge trials and cell culture while maintaining safety in

commercial production settings, supporting their potential for future translational studies.

130   **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In Silico Peptide Selection

Candidate AMP sequences were predicted using three machine-learning-based software

programs developed by our team: AMPlify, rAMPage, and AMPd-Up (Li et al., 2022, 2024; Lin

et al., 2022). Candidate AMPs were evaluated for potential cytotoxicity using tAMPer

(Ebrahimikondori et al., 2024), a machine-learning-based toxicity prediction tool, and those

predicted to be cytotoxic were excluded from further evaluation.

Peptide Synthesis

All AMPs for this study were purchased from GenScript (Piscataway, NJ, USA). AMP

purity of ≥85% was verified using analytical HPLC. For in vitro assays and APEC challenge

trials, AMPs were synthesized in 80 µg aliquots, while AMPs selected for pen trials were

synthesized in 125, 250, or 500 mg aliquots.

Bacterial Species and Growth.

APEC strain EC317 (Allan et al., 1993) (clinical turkey isolate, obtained from VIDO-

InterVac, Saskatchewan, CA), was used as the bacterial target for AMPs. Glycerol stocks were

stored at -80°C. The primary streaks were done by plating the stocks on 5% Columbia blood agar

(Oxoid ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and incubating for 20-24 hours at 37°C

under aerobic conditions, as described by Richter et al. (Richter et al., 2022). The secondary

streaks were done by transferring 2-3 colonies from the primary streak on a new 5% Columbia

blood agar plate. Colonies from the secondary streak were used for downstream analysis.

Bacterial growth medium was prepared by dissolving 10.5 g Mueller Hinton Broth (Sigma-

Aldrich, St. Louis. MO, USA) powder in 500 ml distilled water and autoclaving for sterility.

In Vitro Lead Peptide Selection

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of candidate AMPs were determined in vitro

against EC317, using ASTs as previously described (Richter et al., 2022). For the selection

process, candidate AMPs with MICs ≤ 32 µg/mL against EC317 were designated as hit AMPs

and selected for further in vitro testing. Following the protocol by Richter et al. (Richter et al.,

2022), hemolytic activities of hit AMPs were evaluated in porcine red blood cells (RBCs). Those

with low hemolytic activity ($HC_{50} \geq 128$ µg/mL, where HC_{50} is the AMP concentration that lyses

50% RBCs) were subsequently tested for cytotoxicity in human kidney HEK293 cells according

to the protocol described (Richter et al., 2022). AMPs with $CC_{50} \geq 128$ µg/mL (CC_{50}, the AMP

concentration that reduces cell viability to 50%) were designated as lead AMPs (Table 1).

Care and Use of Animals

All animal experiments were approved by the University of Saskatchewan and University

of Victoria Animal Care Committees and conducted in accordance with the Canadian Council on

Animal Care Protocols #19940213 and AE-23-012, respectively.

APEC Challenge Trials

On day 18 of incubation (trial day -3), 50-57 fertilized Ross broiler chicken eggs per

treatment were injected with either 1, 5, 10, or 20 µg of AMP, or PBS control. When the birds

hatched on day 21 post-fertilization (trial day 0), they were neck-tagged with unique

identification numbers and co-housed on Biofresh bedding (Early's Farm and Garden, Saskatoon,

Canada), with a light:dark cycle of 23L:1D. Egg hatchability rates and average bird weights were

recorded for each treatment group. One day after hatch, the birds were challenged with 5×10^4

cfu EC317.

Before inoculation, APEC was cultured overnight at 37 °C in LB broth (Difco, Becton

Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA) in a shaking incubator (Model No: 1570, Sheldon Manufacturing,

Inc., Cornelius, OR, USA) at 240 rpm. This culture was sub-cultured to a pre-determined OD_{600}

of 0.7, at which time the bacteria were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in PBS at the

desired concentration, and administered to the birds by yolk sac injection.

The birds were given food (Masterfeeds, Humboldt, SK, Canada) and water *ad libitum*.

Four days after hatch, approximately half of the birds (between 8-17) were euthanized. The

remaining birds were euthanized seven days after hatch. Birds were monitored two to four times

per day for symptoms, and birds reaching a humane intervention point were euthanized. Only the

birds euthanized on schedule on days four and seven were included in the analyses. Bird survival

was also tracked.

All analyzed birds were weighed after death, and swabs were taken aseptically from the air

sac and pericardium and streaked on MacConkey agar (Difco, Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD,

USA) for a semi-quantitative assessment of APEC growth. Plates were scored from 0-3,

representing no, low, medium, and severe levels of growth, respectively. Samples from the air

sacs, cecal tonsils, and spleens of birds euthanized on scheduled days were preserved in

RNALater (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at –20 °C for analysis by quantitative PCR (qPCR).

Immunomodulatory Activity Assays with HD11 Cells

The effects of AMPs on in vitro cytokine production were assessed using an HD11 chicken

semi-adherent macrophage-like cell line (Obtained from VIDO-InterVac). HD11 cells were

cultured in RPMI medium with 1X Glutamax and 10% FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 41°C

(normal chicken body temperature) and 5% CO_2 and were routinely passaged every two days.

Cell Plating. HD11 cells were plated for experimentation between passages three to twelve.

Suspended cells were collected with the growth medium, and adherent cells were obtained after

washing with PBS and trypsinization with 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA in PBS (both from Thermo

Fisher Scientific). The cells were centrifuged at 200 g for seven minutes and the pellet was

resuspended in fresh medium. Trypan Blue (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to a sample of

cells and live cells were counted on a Hausser Scientific Bright-Line Phase Hemacytometer

(Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH, USA). HD11 cells were plated at 2.5×10^4 cells per well on

Nunclon Delta 96-well plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and incubated overnight.

HD11 Experiment Overview. In all experiments, HD11 growth medium was used to resuspend

and dilute AMPs and other reagents, and all incubations were carried out at 41°C and 5% CO_2.

All experiments included a medium-only control and an LPS-only control (final concentration of

25 ng/mL). In all experiments other than the AMP-only Screen, 8 μg/mL Polymyxin B sulfate

(PB) was used as a positive control as it is known to attenuate the LPS-triggered inflammatory

response (Schromm et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2024). Prior to treatment, the supernatant was

removed from the wells, therefore only the adherent cell monolayer was used during the

experiments.

Four experimental approaches were devised to investigate the immunomodulatory activity

of AMPs. First, HD11 cells were treated solely with AMP (AMP-Only Screen). Second, cells

were treated with a range of AMP concentrations followed by treatment with lipopolysaccharide

(LPS) from *E. coli* O111:B4 (Sigma-Aldrich), to test if the AMPs could modulate the immune

response triggered by LPS (AMP Preincubation Screen). If an AMP showed immunomodulatory

activity in the AMP Preincubation Screen, it was then tested in the AMP Preincubation with

Wash Step and the AMP and LPS Coaddition experiments.

AMP-Only Screen. HD11 cells were treated with 100 μL 16 μg/mL PeNi4, TeBi1, or TeRu4 and

incubated for 6 h.

AMP Preincubation Screen. HD11 cells were treated with 100 μL of 1, 2, 4, 8, or 16 μg/mL

PeNi4, TeBi1, or TeRu4 and incubated for 3 h. After the incubation, 100 μL LPS (50 ng/mL)

was administered to the cells, resulting in a final concentration of 25 ng/mL LPS. The cells were

incubated for an additional 3 h.

AMP Preincubation with Wash Step. The cells were treated identically to the AMP

Preincubation Screen but with the addition of a wash step prior to the addition of LPS. Briefly,

after the first 3 h incubation, the medium (containing the AMP or PB) was removed from the

wells and the cell monolayer was washed three times using fresh medium. One hundred µL LPS

(25 ng/mL) was administered, and the cells were incubated for an additional 3 h.

AMP and LPS Coaddition. AMP was resuspended and diluted to prepare a 2X concentration

curve of 32, 16, 8, 4, and 2 µg/mL. PB and LPS were prepared at 2X concentrations of 16 µg/mL

and 50 ng/mL, respectively. At regular time intervals, 2X AMP or 2X PB was added in equal

volume to 2X LPS to yield a 1X mixture of AMP or PB, and LPS. Mixtures were vortexed for

one min and incubated for 30 min prior to being administered to cells at regular time intervals in

the order in which they were made. The cells were incubated for 3 h.

Cell Harvest and RNA Isolation. Supernatant was removed from HD11 cells after the final

incubation. Buffer RLT from the miRNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen, Mississauga, ON, Canada) with

2M DL-dithiothreitol (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to lyse adherent cells at a volume of 260 µL

across technical replicates, with each technical replicate comprising two wells in the 96-well

plate. HD11 cells in lysis buffer were disrupted by pipetting up and down, vortexed, and stored

at -80 °C until RNA isolation. In total, three technical replicates per treatment were collected for

RNA isolation and qPCR analysis.

Commercial Pen Trials

Each pen trial examined the safety of a single AMP. Cobb 500 or Ross 308AP broiler

chicken breeds were used in pen trials (Supplementary Table S1). All breeds were sourced from

a commercial hatchery (Abbotsford, BC, Canada), and the specific breed used in each trial is

summarized in Supplementary Table S1. For pen trials, birds were sourced from older breeder

flocks (a different flock for each trial) and separated by sex and treatment into individual mini

pens following hatching.

AMPs were solubilized in 400 mL sterile diluent (SD) bags, obtained from the hatchery, to

reach a concentration of 0.4 or 0.2 mg/mL. An AMP-free SD bag was used for control. The

AMP and control solutions were then taken to the hatchery, for in ovo injections on day 18 post-

fertilization, where 50 µL AMP or SD solution was injected into the air sac of 1,680 to 2,352

eggs using the Embrex Inovoject injection machine (Zoetis Inc., Parsippany, NJ, USA). After the

injections, eggs were incubated for three days. On the hatching day (day 0), pips, culls, late-stage

embryo mortalities (deaths occurring between day 15 and 21 of incubation), post-hatch

mortalities, and nonviable eggs/embryos (total of pips, culls, late-stage embryo mortalities, and

post-hatch mortalities) were counted and recorded.

The birds were then transferred to SJ Ritchie Research Farms (Abbotsford, BC, Canada)

where they were cloacally sexed by professionals and then separated by sex and treatment. A

total of 24 mini pens, within six blocks, were set up. The birds were weighed individually, then

50 birds were placed into each mini pen according to their sex and treatment, with each block

containing each of the four experimental groups in a random order. Birds were provided *ad*

libitum access to water and an all-vegetable, raised without antibiotic (RWA) feed (Trouw

Nutrition, Chilliwack, BC, Canada). A step-down lighting program was implemented: from day

0 to day 21, birds experienced 6 h darkness per 24 h cycle; from day 21 to 28, 4 h darkness; and

from day 28 to the end of the trial, 1 h darkness per day. Number of birds in each mini-pen was

reduced to 12 on day 10 to prevent overcrowding of the mini-pens. Birds were checked three

times per day throughout the trial and were weighed individually on days 10, 21, and 35. Any

mortality during the pen trial was noted.

qPCR Analyses

Multiplex qPCR assays were designed and separately validated for three chicken tissues:

air sac, cecal tonsil, and spleen; and for the HD11 macrophage-like cell line. Primers and probes

were designed for the normalizer genes *ribosomal protein L8* (*rpl8*) and *ribosomal protein S10*

(*rps10)*; the cytokine genes *IL-1β, IL-6*, *IL-8*, *IL-10*, and *interferon gamma (IFN-γ);* and the gene

for the transcription factor *interferon regulatory factor 4 (IRF-4)*. The primer and probe

sequences are reported in Supplementary Table S2.

RNA was extracted from tissues with the miRNeasy Tissue/Cells Advanced Mini Kit and

Qiavac vacuum manifold (Qiagen). Samples were homogenized in 450 μL buffer RLT reduced

with DTT, loaded on the RNeasy mini spin column, washed on the Qiavac vacuum manifold,

and eluted in 50 μL RNAse-free water. RNA was extracted from HD11 cells using the using the

QIAGEN miRNeasy Tissue/Cells Advanced Micro Kit, per the manufacturer's instructions,

except for the final elution, where 11.2 μL RNase-free water was added to the column,

centrifuged, collected, added back to the column, and centrifuged again. All other protocol steps

were per the manufacturer's instructions.

The concentration of RNA from tissue samples was measured by NanoDrop (NanoDrop

1000 3.8.1; Thermos Fisher Scientific) and diluted to a concentration of approximately 100

ng/μL before cDNA synthesis, while RNA from HD11 cells was used neat due to the low

concentration. All RNA samples were converted to cDNA using the Applied Biosystems High-

Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), per the manufacturer's

instructions.

cDNA from HD11 cells and chicken tissues was diluted 1/20 after synthesis and analysed

by their respective qPCR assays with reaction conditions outlined in Supplementary Table S3.

All samples and controls were run in technical quadruplicates, and all plates included a non-

template (negative) control and inter-plate standard as a positive control. Standard deviations

between the Cycle Threshold (Ct) values of technical replicates were computed. If any deviation

was greater than 0.5 cycles, the outlier value in that set of replicates was removed. Normalizer

stability was verified by BestKeeper (Pfaffl et al., 2004). Mean values between technical

replicates were taken into the next stage of analysis. Data was normalised against the geometric

mean of *rpl8* and *rps10*, and fold change was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method, as previously

described (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Final relative fold changes for each sample and

cytokine were determined by comparing to the relevant control: median of the PBS-treated birds

from day 4 (for challenge trials), median of the PBS-treated female birds (for pen trials), or the

median of medium-treated HD11 cells (for cell culture experiments).

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses of the qPCR results were conducted in R version 3.6.3 (R Core Team,

2024). The data were tested for normality by the Shapiro–Wilk and Levene's tests. They were

then subjected to pairwise analyses by the Kruskal-Wallis and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests for non-

parametric data with a significance threshold of $\alpha = 0.1$. For the challenge and pen trials, this

significance cut off was selected due to the high variability of in vivo data. For the HD11

experiments, this threshold was appropriate as the smallest p-value achievable using a rank-sum

test with n = 3 was approximately 0.08.

The rest of the challenge trial data was analyzed in R version 4.4.0 and 4.5.1(R Core Team,

2024). Hatchability rates of treated eggs compared to control eggs, as well as rates of bacterial

detection in the birds' air sacs and pericardia, were evaluated using the Fischer's exact test.

Cumulative weight gain of birds euthanized on days four and seven was evaluated using a

Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and survival was graphed and evaluated using the log-rank test and the

"survminer" package (Kassambara et al., 2016). Other graphs were constructed using the

packages "tidyverse," "readr," "janitor," "superb," "ggsignif," "rstatix,", "DescTools", and

"ggpubr" (Signorell, 2014; Wickham et al., 2015b; a, 2019; Kassambara, 2016, 2019; Firke,

2016; Ahlmann-Eltze and Patil, 2017; Cousineau, 2021).

An additional analysis was conducted to compare the relative cytokine abundance in bird

air sacs to the air sac bacterial load scores of the same birds. The difference in cytokine

abundance for each bacterial level (light, moderate, severe) was compared to the cytokine

abundance for no growth using a Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and additionally, a correlation

coefficient was determined using Spearman's Rho.

Statistical analyses of hatchability, survival, mean weights, feed conversion ratio (FCR),

and flock uniformity in pen trials were conducted using R (version 4.1.3) and the packages:

"survival", "survminer", "openxlsx", "readxl", "tidyverse, "zoo", "car", "ggplot2", "ggsignif",

and "MHTdiscrete" (Fox et al., 2001; Therneau, 2001; Zeileis et al., 2004; Wickham et al., 2007,

2019; Schauberger and Walker, 2014; Wickham and Bryan, 2015; Kassambara et al., 2016;

Yalin Zhu, Wenge Guo, 2016; Ahlmann-Eltze and Patil, 2017). For pen trials, statistical

comparisons between treatment and control groups used Wilcoxon rank-sum tests (hatchability

and production parameters) and log-rank tests (survival), with production and survival analyzed

separately by sex. P-values were corrected for multiple comparisons using the Šidák method.

337   **RESULTS**

In Vitro Peptide Selection

The 875 candidate AMPs identified by rAMPage (Lin et al., 2022), AMPlify (Li et al.,

2022), and AMPd-Up (Li et al., 2024) were previously tested for antimicrobial activity and

cellular toxicity in vitro (Richter et al., 2022). Of these, 331 with MICs $\leq$ 32 µg/mL against

EC317 were designated as hit AMPs. Among hit AMPs, 275 exhibited minimal hemolytic

activity ($HC_{50} \geq$ 128 µg/mL) against porcine RBCs. Of these, 62 also demonstrated minimal

cytotoxicity ($CC_{50} \geq$ 128 µg/mL) in HEK293 cells. These were classified as lead AMPs. Three

representative lead AMPs (TeRu4, TeBi1, and PeNi4) were selected for further experiments.

TeRu4 and TeBi1 demonstrated lower MIC values than PeNi4. The physiochemical properties of

these AMPs and their in vitro activities are summarized in Table 1. These AMPs were evaluated

in vitro using HD11 cells and in vivo using APEC challenge trials and pen trials.

qPCR Primer Design and Validation

The sequences of the qPCR primers and probes, reaction conditions, and assay efficiencies

are reported in Supplementary Tables S2-4. Primer efficiencies ranged from 93-108% in the air

sac, 92-107% in the cecal tonsil, 87-107% in the spleen, and 84-101% in HD11 cells.

APEC Challenge Trials

To assess the prophylactic efficacy of TeBi1, TeRu4, and PeNi4 in chickens, fertilized

eggs were injected in ovo at day 18 of incubation with either 1, 5, 10, or 20 µg AMP, or a PBS

control. One day after hatch, the birds were challenged with 5×10^4 cfu APEC strain EC317 by

yolk sac injection.

Egg hatchability was between 90-98% in all treatment groups, with no significant

differences between treated birds and control birds (data not shown). Notably, when birds were

treated with 20 µg AMP (Supplementary Figure S1), treated birds appeared to die faster than

untreated birds within the first few days post-infection, though there were no significant

differences in overall survival. The spleens of birds treated with 20 μg AMP were analyzed by

qPCR, and some differences were observed, suggesting that the peptides were bioactive, but the

qPCR results were not conclusive (data not shown), and subsequent challenge trials tested the

AMPs on a dose curve at 1, 5, or 10 μg. In the latter trials, there were no significant differences

in survival probability with any dose of AMP ($\leq$10 μg) compared to control (Supplementary

Figure S2).

Birds treated with TeBi1 had significantly higher weights on day 7 than control birds

(Figure 1a). Birds treated with 1 or 5 μg of TeBi1 were approximately 25% heavier than control

birds (p = 0.03 and p = 0.07, respectively), and birds treated with 10 μg TeBi1 were

approximately 50% heavier than control birds (p = 2×10^{-4}). There were no significant

differences in weight gain in birds treated with TeRu4 or PeNi4 (Supplementary Figure S3).

On day 7, birds treated with 10 μg TeBi1 had significantly lower rates of infection in both

the air sac (p = 0.01) (Figure 1b) and pericardium (p = 0.03) (Figure 1c). There were no

significant differences in bacterial detection rates in the air sacs and pericardia of birds treated

with TeRu4 or PeNi4 (Supplementary Figure S4).

Increased bacterial load in the air sac was correlated with increased levels of transcript

abundance of certain cytokines in the air sac (Figure 2). Across all three challenge trials, birds

with light, moderate or heavy levels of active APEC infection in their air sacs had significantly

higher relative abundance of *IL-1β* transcripts (p = 2×10^{-4}, 1×10^{-6}, and 7×10^{-13}, respectively)

than birds with no bacterial growth in their air sacs (Figure 2a). They are also positively

correlated by Spearman's rho, with $\rho = 0.58$ and p = 1×10^{-19}. The same trend, though weaker, is

observed with *IL-8*. While $\rho = 0.32$ (p = 3×10^{-6}) overall, birds with light, moderate, or heavy

levels of APEC infection also had significantly higher relative abundance of *IL-8* transcripts (p =

0.03, 3×10^{-6}, and 5×10^{-6}, respectively) than birds with no bacterial growth in their air sacs

(Figure 2b).

In the cecal tonsil (Supplementary Figure S5), qPCR results show minimal changes due to

treatment with PeNi4, with only a 2-fold increase in the inflammatory cytokine *IL-8* in the 10 µg

group on day 4 (p = 0.1); and with TeRu4, a 2-fold decrease in *IL-8* in the 1 µg group on day 4 (p

= 0.1). In contrast, there are numerous changes due to treatment with TeBi1, including a 2.4-fold

increase in *IL-8* transcripts in the 5 µg group on day 4 (p = 0.1), and a 5-fold decrease in *IL-8*

transcripts in the 10 µg group on day 7 (p = 0.06). *IFN-γ* expression increased 1.4-fold (p = 0.03)

and 2.5-fold (p = 0.03) in the 5 and 10 µg groups, respectively, on day 4, but decreased 1.4-fold

(p = 0.03) and 1.1-fold (p = 0.09) in the 1 and 10 µg groups, respectively, on day 7. With respect

to *IL-1β,* on day 7, the TeBi1 5 µg group showed a 5-fold decrease (p = 0.07). For the anti-

inflammatory transcript *IL-10*, there is a 2.5-fold decrease (p = 0.07) with 5 µg TeBi1 on day 7.

Finally, TeBi1 treatment was also associated with changes in *IRF-4* transcripts: the 10 µg group

was associated with a 1.7-fold increase on day 4 (p = 0.04), and the 5 µg group was associated

with a 1.5-fold increase on day 7 (p = 0.1).

In the spleen (Supplementary Figure S6), fewer responses are observed. For TeRu4, there

is a 2-fold decrease in IL8 transcripts on day 7 (p = 0.1) after treatment with 1 µg. For PeNi4,

there is a 5-fold increase in *IL-10* transcripts on day 7 (p = 0.09) after treatment with 5 µg. For

TeBi1, while there are no differences in the inflammatory cytokines *IFN-γ*, *IL1β*, or *IL-8*, there is

a 3-fold increase in *IL-10* transcripts on day 4 (p = 0.03) after treatment with 10 µg. There are

also multiple increases in *IRF-4* transcripts: on day 4, a 2-fold increase after treatment with 1 µg

(p = 0.1), a 3-fold increase after treatment with 5 μg (p = 0.1); and on day 7, a 1.3-fold decrease

after treatment with 1 μg (p = 0.1).

In the air sac (Figure 3), all observed changes are on day 7, after treatment with 10 μg

TeBi1 relative to the PBS control. There is a 10-fold decrease in *IFN-γ* transcripts (p = 0.1), a 3-

fold decrease in *IL-1β* transcripts (p = 0.07), and a 5-fold decrease in *IL-8* transcripts (p = 0.02).

Additionally, there is a 50-fold decrease in *IL-10* transcripts (p = 0.1), and a 10-fold decrease in

IRF-4 transcripts (p = 0.1).

HD11 Experiments

In addition to challenge trials, the ability of TeRu4, TeBi1, and PeNi4 to influence the

cellular immune response was tested in an HD11 cell model system. In all HD11 experiments

(n=21), the LPS induction control resulted in a wide-ranging, but consistent increase in relative

fold change (RFC) compared to the medium control for *IL-1β* (14- 677), *IL-8* (8 - 249), and *IL-6*

(55 - 3,284) (Supplementary Figures S6 and S7, and Figure 4). Therefore, all experimental

manipulations with AMPs were compared with their experimentally paired LPS controls. The

induction of cytokine transcripts by LPS was significantly attenuated by PB in all but a single

experiment (n=15), with fold change decreases compared to LPS alone ranging from 6-166 for

IL-1β, 2-34 for *IL-8*, and 11-335 for *IL-6* (Supplementary Figure S8). While only one

representative graph is shown for each experiment, the preincubation, preincubation with wash

step, and coaddition experiments were performed in biological triplicate, and the AMP-only

experiments in biological duplicate. Only data consistently reproduced across all replicates are

highlighted, unless otherwise stated.

Induction of Inflammatory Cytokines in HD11 cells by TeRu4, TeBi1, and PeNi4. To assess

the ability of AMPs alone to induce cytokine production in HD11 cells, cells were incubated

with 16 µg/mL of each AMP or 8 µg/mL PB. Treatment with TeRu4 resulted in a 2-fold increase

in *IL-1β* (in one of two biological replicates) and *IL-8*, while TeBi1 lead to a 4-fold decrease in

IL-6 (Supplementary Figure S7). Treatment with PeNi4 did not significantly alter the abundance

of any of the three cytokines, while TeBi1 had no significant effect on *IL-1β* and *IL-8*, and

TeRu4 had no significant effect on *IL-6* (Supplementary Figure S7). Treatment with PB alone

did not significantly or consistently change *IL-1β, IL-8,* and *IL-6* levels (Supplementary Figure

S7).

Preincubation Screen of TeRu4, TeBi1, and PeNi4. To examine if AMPs affect HD11 response

to LPS, cells were preincubated with AMP or PB (positive control), followed by treatment with

LPS. Here and in subsequent experiments, medium only and LPS only served as negative and

positive controls, respectively. A significant 2.8-fold decrease was observed for *IL-1β* and *IL-6*

following preincubation with 8 µg/mL TeRu4 compared to the LPS control (Supplementary

Figure S8). Preincubation with 16 µg/mL TeRu4 led to a significant reduction in *IL-1β*, *IL-8*, and

IL-6 levels by 13.6-fold, 2.9-fold, and 22.0-fold, respectively, compared to LPS only control

(Supplementary Figure S8). Similarly, treatment with 8 µg/mL PB significantly decreased *IL-1β,*

IL-8 (in 2 of 3 biological replicates), and *IL-6* abundance relative to LPS alone by 8.8-fold, 3.4-

fold, and 20.4-fold, respectively (Supplementary Figure S8). While a significant 2.8-fold

increase was observed for *IL-8* at 4 µg/mL TeRu4 compared to the LPS control, this observation

was only seen in two of three biological replicates (Supplementary Figure S8). Preincubation

with TeBi1 and PeNi4 did not consistently induce a significant change in *IL-1β*, *IL-6*, and *IL-8*

transcript levels compared to the LPS control across biological replicates (Supplementary Figure

S8). The attenuation of LPS-induced responses of *IL-1β, IL-8,* and *IL-6* transcripts after TeRu4

preincubation prompted further investigation via the subsequent experiments to provide some

insight into possible mechanism of action.

Preincubation Experiment with Wash Step. The effects on HD11 cells of transient exposure to

TeRu4, followed by its removal and the addition of LPS, was examined. A significant decrease

in *IL-1β, IL-8,* and *IL-6* transcripts relative to the LPS control remained after preincubation with

8 µg/mL PB (21-, 5-, and 80-fold respectively) and 16 µg/mL TeRu4 (3-, 2-, and 4-fold,

respectively) (Figure 4). Preincubation with 8 µg/mL TeRu4 induced a significant decrease in

IL-1β levels by 2.0-fold and IL-6 by 1.9-fold in two of three biological replicates relative to the

LPS only control (Figure 4). Unlike in the previous preincubation experiment (without a wash

step), treatment with 1 and 2 µg/mL TeRu4 followed by the wash step, frequently (but not

consistently in all biological replicates) resulted in a significant increase in *IL-1β* (1.3- and 1.7-

fold respectively) and *IL-8* (1.3- and 1.6-fold respectively) transcript levels relative to the LPS

only control (Figure 4). The significant increase in *IL-6* (2-fold for relative to LPS only) at these

low concentrations was consistent across all three biological replicates (Figure 4).

Coincubation of TeRu4 and LPS. The impact of co-incubating TeRu4 and LPS prior to treating

HD11 cells on cytokine transcript expression was assessed. Coaddition of LPS with either PB or

TeRu4 (at concentrations ranging from 4 to 16 µg/mL) resulted in significant decreases in all

cytokine transcripts compared to the LPS control across all three biological replicates (Figure

10). Specifically, co-treatment with PB and LPS led to reductions of 101-fold for *IL-1β*, 27-fold

for *IL-8*, and 260-fold for *IL-6* (Figure 4). Similarly, co-treatment with 16 µg/mL TeRu4 and

LPS decreased *IL-1β* abundance by 75-fold, 20-fold for *IL-8*, and 409-fold for *IL-6* (Figure 4).

LPS and 8 µg/mL TeRu4 reduced *IL-1β* transcripts by 97-fold, *IL-8* by 23-fold, and *IL-6* by 368-

fold compared to LPS alone (Figure 4). LPS and 4 µg/mL TeRu4 resulted in reductions of 15-

fold for *IL-1β*, 6-fold for *IL-8*, and 47-fold for *IL-6* compared to LPS alone (Figure 4). For *IL-6*,

4-16 µg/mL TeRu4 repressed the LPS induction so much that it was indistinguishable from the

medium only negative control (observed in two of three replicates at 4 µg/mL) (Figure 4). Like

what was observed in the previous experiment, 1 and 2 µg/mL of TeRu4 resulted in significantly

increased transcript abundance in *IL-1β* (2-fold), *IL-8* (2-fold), and *IL-6* (3-fold) relative to the

LPS control, but unlike the previous experiment, these results were consistent across three

biological replicates (Figure 4).

Commercial Pen Trials

Pen trials were designed to test the safety of treating chickens with TeBi1, TeRu4, and

PeNi4 in a commercial production setting. Late-stage viability (viable embryos from day 15 of

incubation until placement in pens) was between 88 and 98% in all pen trials. No statistically

significant differences were detected between the treatment and control groups (Supplementary

Figure S9). There were no significant differences between the treatment and control groups for

post-hatch mortality, culls, pips, and late embryo mortality.

For the first 10 days, there were no statistical differences between the survival probability

of males and females (Supplementary Figure S10). Thus, the sexes were combined for

subsequent analyses. No significant differences in survival probability were detected between

treatment and control groups for any AMP for the first 10 days of the pen trials (Supplementary

Figure S11). However, for the full duration of the pen trials (35 days), sex-specific differences in

survival probabilities were observed for TeRu4 at 20 µg and TeBi1 at 10 µg (Supplementary

Figure S12). For these two pen trials, the sexes were kept separate for analysis (Figure 5,

Supplementary Figure S13). Notably, TeRu4 at 20 µg significantly increased the survival

probability of female birds compared to the controls by approximately 4.9 % by day 35 (p =

0.037). Moreover, TeBi1 at 20 µg significantly increased the survival probability of the birds

compared to the control by approximately 4.4% by day 35 (p = 0.02). There was no other

difference in survival probabilities between the treatment and control groups by day 35. In the 10

µg TeRu4 and TeBi1 trials, survival curves for both sexes closely overlapped (Supplementary

Figure S13).

Significant differences in mean weight between treatment and control groups were

observed only in the 20 µg TeBi1 trial (Supplementary Figure S14). Notably, on day 0, mean

weights in the treatment groups were approximately 2.8% lower in males and 2.4% lower in

females compared to controls (p = 0.017 and 0.034). However, on day 10, no significant

difference in mean weights was observed. Moreover, in the 10 µg TeRu4 trial, numerous birds of

both sexes exhibited lower body weights on days 21 and 35, particularly in the treatment group,

although this difference was not statistically significant. This observation may suggest

subclinical effects of TeRu4 at lower doses, high biological variability among individual birds,

or environmental effects, all of which warrant further investigation. By day 35, no significant

differences in mean weight were detected (Supplementary Figure S14).

No statistically significant differences were detected between FCR of the treatment and

control groups on day 35 (Supplementary Figure S15). Of interest, there were occasions where

FCR values were below 1 (Supplementary Figure S15). One was observed between days 0 and

10, in the 10 µg TeBi1 trial (FCR = 0.997). Further, in the 20 µg TeRu4 trial, two FCR values

were below 1 (FCR = 0.923 and 0.961) in the second interval, between days 11 and 21. Overall,

the mean FCR values were consistently the lowest in the first interval, and the highest in the last

interval, between days 21 and 35, for both sexes. These findings suggest that while AMPs did

not significantly alter FCR under the tested conditions, and observations during the first interval

of certain pen trials warrant further investigation.

Coefficient of variation (CV) percentages were calculated as a measure of flock

uniformity. There was no significant difference in the CV percentages of the treatment and

control groups on day 35 (Supplementary Figure S16). Among males, on day 10 in the 20 µg

TeBi1 trial, the treatment group had an approximately 2.8% lower CV compared to the control

group, but this difference did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.067) (Supplementary Figure

S16). Moreover, the birds in the 10 µg TeRu4 trial exhibited greater variability in flock

uniformity on days 21 and 35, with CV values exhibiting greater range than those in the control,

consistent with the presence of birds with low body weight.

The qPCR results were analyzed with sexes separated, and significant differences are

reported for both comparisons between birds in the same sex group that received different

treatments, and for birds in the same treatment group with differences between sexes. As there

were some significant differences in transcript abundance between male and female birds in the

same treatment groups, the results are shown with sexes separated in Figure 6.

After treatment with 10 µg of TeRu4, female birds had a 1.8-fold increase (p = 0.1) in *IFN-*

γ transcripts relative to (female) control birds, and in that trial, male controls birds had a 1.2-fold

increase (p = 0.1) in *IRF-4* transcripts relative to female control birds. After treatment with 20 µg

of TeRu4, male birds had a 1.3-fold increase (p = 0.08) in *IRF-4* transcripts relative to control

birds.

After treatment with 20 µg PeNi4, female birds had a 1.4-fold increase (p = 0.02) in *IRF-4*

transcripts relative to control birds. Additionally, in that trial, male control birds had a 1.3-fold

increase (p = 0.01) in *IRF-4* transcripts and a 1.6-fold increase (p = 0.04) in *IL-8* transcripts

relative to female control birds.

When considering the sexes separately, there were no significant differences in transcript

abundance in birds treated with 10 µg TeBi1 (Figure 6). As there were no significant differences

between sexes in this trial, qPCR results from that trial were also combined (Supplementary

Figure S17). Here, a 1.2-fold increase (p = 0.1) in *IL-1β* transcripts was observed. Examination

of the transcript abundances in birds treated with 20 µg TeBi1 revealed numerous differences

relative to PBS controls.

After treatment with 20 µg TeBi1, male birds had a 2-fold decrease in *IFN-γ* and *IRF-4*

transcripts (p = 0.02 for both), and a 3-fold decrease in *IL-10* and *IL-8* transcripts (p = 0.03 and

0.02, respectively) relative to control birds. In that trial, male control birds had higher abundance

of all tested transcripts than female control birds: 2-fold more *IFN-γ* transcripts (p = 0.1), 3-fold

more *IL-10* transcripts (p = 0.06), 1.7-fold more *IL-1β* transcripts (p = 0.008), 3-fold more *IL-8*

transcripts (p = 0.01), and 2-fold more *IRF-4* transcripts (p = 0.1). Additionally, male birds

treated with 20 µg TeBi1 had significantly lower abundance of certain transcripts than female

birds in the same treatment group, a 1.3-fold decrease in both *IFN-γ* and *IRF-4* transcripts (p =

0.09 for both).

559   **DISCUSSION**

This study demonstrates that antimicrobial peptides can improve early health outcomes in

broiler chickens while maintaining production performance under commercial conditions. Across

complementary in vivo and in vitro assays, two of the three lead AMPs, TeBi1 and TeRu4,

showed efficacy and immunomodulatory activity consistent with their intended roles as

antibiotic alternatives for preventing APEC-associated disease. Here, AI-assisted discovery is

relevant for enabling large-scale candidate generation and triage that would be impractical by

hand. All outcome data from the in vivo and in vitro assays were analyzed using nonparametric

methods, Kruskal–Wallis for omnibus comparisons and Wilcoxon rank-sum for pairwise

contrasts. Given the inherent variability of in vivo data and the exploratory nature of this study, a

significance threshold of $\alpha = 0.1$ was used, as specified in the methods.

First, in controlled challenge trials, pre-hatch administration of TeBi1 was associated

with reduced post-hatch bacterial burden and improved early growth. At 10 µg per egg, TeBi1

significantly lowered culture detection of APEC in the air sac and pericardium by day 7 and

yielded an approximate 50% increase in body weight relative to controls at the same time point.

These findings support a direct antibacterial contribution in vivo and align with TeBi1's

favorable in vitro profile (Lin et al., 2022). Concordant decreases in pro-inflammatory cytokine

transcripts in air sac tissue at day 7 (Figure 3) further suggest that limiting bacterial load

mitigates downstream inflammatory responses. In contrast, TeRu4 and PeNi4 did not

reproducibly reduce organ-specific bacterial detection in the challenge setting at tested doses up

to 10 µg, indicating peptide-specific differences in in vivo antibacterial activity despite their

acceptable in vitro safety profiles. Consistent with this, positive correlations between air sac

bacterial loads and IL-1β ($\rho = 0.58$) and IL-8 ($\rho = 0.32$) expression (Figure 2) both support the

validity of the infection model and indicate that AMP-mediated reductions in bacterial burden

translate to dampened inflammatory signaling.

Second, the HD11 macrophage-like cell experiments demonstrate the potential for TeRu4

to attenuate the effects of LPS. Preincubation with TeRu4 and its coaddition with LPS elicited

robust and dose-dependent attenuation of *IL-1β*, *IL-6*, and *IL-8* transcripts, with effects at higher

exposures of TeRu4 that were comparable in direction and magnitude to polymyxin B sulfate

(PB). While the persistence of attenuation throughout the experiments (Figure 4) may indicate

that TeRu4 can interact both with LPS and with HD11 cells to mitigate inflammation, further

study is required to confirm these mechanisms. Notably, a biphasic pattern was observed:

attenuation of *IL-1β, IL-6,* and *IL-8* at higher exposures of TeRu4, but mild potentiation at sub-

threshold doses. Taken together, these data support an immunomodulatory profile for TeRu4 that

could help contain excessive inflammation induced by LPS, even when direct antibacterial

effects are limited in vivo.

Third, pen trials provide critical evidence of field-relevant safety and performance. In ovo

administration of TeBi1 or TeRu4 at 10 or 20 μg per egg did not adversely affect hatchability,

FCR, or flock uniformity through day 35. Importantly, survival probabilities improved modestly

but significantly in two settings: a 4.9% increase in females with 20 μg TeRu4 and a 4.4%

increase in all birds with 20 μg TeBi1 by day 35. Although effect sizes were small, they are

operationally meaningful at commercial scale and were achieved without detectable adverse

effects in growth or efficiency. These findings support a favorable benefit-risk profile for both

peptides when delivered through standard in ovo automation.

Together, the in vivo and in vitro data outline complementary roles for these leads. TeBi1

appears to act primarily through direct antibacterial activity in the immediate post-hatch period,

reducing tissue colonization and the associated inflammatory response. TeRu4 shows consistent

anti-inflammatory activity in a macrophage model and over 35 days translates to a survival

advantage in females at higher dose, suggesting that immunomodulation can contribute to

resilience under farm conditions. These sex-specific responses may reflect differences in immune

system maturation rates or hormone-immune interactions, as male broilers typically show

different growth and immune development patterns than females (Leitner et al., 1989; Younis et

al., 2023). The patterns observed in both survival and cytokine transcript baselines warrant

additional investigation into sex-dependent pharmacodynamics (PD), immune set-points, and

potential interactions with breeder age and genetics.

While the results presented here are encouraging, this study also has several limitations.

First, the challenge trials used a single APEC strain and a prophylactic in ovo regimen. Broader

pathogen panels, additional exposure routes, and therapeutic or peri-hatch dosing schedules

should be evaluated to define the generalizability and optimal use cases. Second, although this

study shows safety and performance neutrality in pens, pharmacokinetics (PK), peptide stability

in the embryonic and early post-hatch milieu, and residue depletion profiles remain to be

established. Third, gene expression endpoints were measured at discrete time points and in a

single cell line or selected tissues. High-resolution temporal profiling, including protein-level

cytokine measurements and cellular phenotyping in multiple cell lines and tissues, would

sharpen mechanistic inference and help explain biphasic responses at lower TeRu4

concentrations. Further, some FCR values below 1.0 in early trial periods likely reflect

measurement artifacts related to initial hydration status rather than true feed conversion,

highlighting the importance of standardized weighing protocols in early post-hatch periods.

Finally, while the analyses used conservative non-parametric tests and multiple-comparison

corrections, some findings were near the chosen α threshold of 0.1, reflecting expected

variability in vivo and underscoring the need for replication across flocks and sites.

The results have practical implications for poultry health programs. The in ovo delivery

method offers multiple advantages: it integrates cleanly into existing hatchery workflows, avoids

post-hatch handling, and ensures uniform exposure across flocks. This delivery approach,

combined with a demonstrated safety profile, minimizes barriers to commercial adoption. From

an economic perspective, even modest survival improvements translate to substantial numbers at

commercial scale, while early bacterial control reduces the need for subsequent therapeutic

interventions. The complementary mechanisms of TeBi1 (direct antibacterial) and TeRu4

(immunomodulatory) suggest potential for combination strategies that leverage both activities.

Future work should benchmark these AMPs against current animal husbandry standards and

evaluate alternative delivery formats, such as water supplementation during high-risk periods, to

optimize protection throughout the production cycle.

In conclusion, AI-discovered AMPs TeBi1 and TeRu4 show complementary efficacy and

safety signals in broilers when administered in ovo. TeBi1 reduces early APEC burden and

inflammation and improves early growth, whereas TeRu4 attenuates macrophage inflammatory

responses and improves survival under commercial conditions without compromising

performance. These findings support advancement to dose-optimization, PK and residue studies,

expanded pathogen panels, and multi-site validation, with an eye toward integrated antimicrobial

stewardship in poultry production.

649   **DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST**

Inanc Birol is a founder, Chief Scientific Officer, and equity holder of Amphoraxe Life

Sciences Inc., which has licensed intellectual property related to antimicrobial peptides described

in this manuscript. The following authors are named inventors on related intellectual property:

Caren C. Helbing, Erin Fraser, Fraser Hof, Lorissa Corrie, René L. Warren, Vanessa C.

Thompson, Anat Yanai, Inanc Birol, and Linda M. N. Hoang. Monica Kotkoff is also a

stakeholder on the IP. Emre Demirsoy, Teagan I. Parkin, and Anna H. Dema received internship

funding from Amphoraxe through Mitacs. Amphoraxe contributed to aspects of the work,

including study design for selected experiments, resource allocation, collaborative experimental

activities, internship support, and participation in manuscript preparation. The authors retained

control over data collection, analysis, interpretation, and the decision to submit the manuscript

for publication. All other authors declare no competing interests.

662   **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors thank Genome Canada and Genome British Columbia for financial support

through the large scale applied projects program (#291PEP) to IB, CCH, LMNH, EF, and FH.

Additional funding was provided by Chicken Farmers of Canada, Canadian Hatching Egg

Producers, Investment Agriculture Foundation of British Columbia (INV106, INV247), and

Amphoraxe Life Sciences Inc. Partial support for trainees were provided by Mitacs (IT28945,

IT43363), University of Victoria, University of British Columbia, and Amphoraxe Life Sciences

Inc.

671   **REFERENCES**

Ahlmann-Eltze, C., and I. Patil. 2017. ggsignif: Significance Brackets for "ggplot2." :0.6.4
Available at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggsignif (verified 4 July 2025).

Allan, B. J., J. V. van den Hurk, and A. A. Potter. 1993. Characterization of Escherichia coli
isolated from cases of avian colibacillosis. Can. J. Vet. Res. Rev. Can. Rech. Veterinaire
57:146–151.

Allan, B., C. Wheler, W. Köster, M. Sarfraz, A. Potter, V. Gerdts, and A. Dar. 2018. In Ovo
Administration of Innate Immune Stimulants and Protection from Early Chick Mortalities
due to Yolk Sac Infection. Avian Dis. 62:316–321.

Bahar, A. A., and D. Ren. 2013. Antimicrobial peptides. Pharm. Basel Switz. 6:1543–1575.

Bean-Hodgins, L., and E. G. Kiarie. 2021. Mandated restrictions on the use of medically
important antibiotics in broiler chicken production in Canada: implications, emerging

challenges, and opportunities for bolstering gastrointestinal function and health — a
review. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 101:602–629.

Cousineau, D. 2021. superb: Summary Plots with Adjusted Error Bars. :0.95.19 Available at
https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=superb (verified 4 July 2025).

Cuperus, T., A. van Dijk, M. G. R. Matthijs, E. J. A. Veldhuizen, and H. P. Haagsman. 2016.
Protective effect of in ovo treatment with the chicken cathelicidin analog D-CATH-2
against avian pathogenic E. coli. Sci. Rep. 6:26622.

Destoumieux-Garzón, D., P. Mavingui, G. Boetsch, J. Boissier, F. Darriet, P. Duboz, C. Fritsch,
P. Giraudoux, F. Le Roux, S. Morand, C. Paillard, D. Pontier, C. Sueur, and Y. Voituron.
2018. The One Health Concept: 10 Years Old and a Long Road Ahead. Front. Vet. Sci. 5
Available at https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/veterinary-
science/articles/10.3389/fvets.2018.00014/full (verified 6 February 2025).

Durand, G. A., D. Raoult, and G. Dubourg. 2019. Antibiotic discovery: history, methods and
perspectives. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 53:371–382.

Ebrahimikondori, H., D. Sutherland, A. Yanai, A. Richter, A. Salehi, C. Li, L. Coombe, M.
Kotkoff, R. L. Warren, and I. Birol. 2024. Structure-aware deep learning model for
peptide toxicity prediction. Protein Sci. 33:e5076.

Firke, S. 2016. janitor: Simple Tools for Examining and Cleaning Dirty Data. :2.2.1 Available at
https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=janitor (verified 4 July 2025).

Fjell, C. D., J. A. Hiss, R. E. W. Hancock, and G. Schneider. 2012. Designing antimicrobial
peptides: form follows function. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 11:37–51.

Fox, J., S. Weisberg, and B. Price. 2001. car: Companion to Applied Regression. :3.1-3
Available at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=car (verified 5 September 2025).

Garcia, P., Y. Wang, J. Viallet, and Z. Macek Jilkova. 2021. The Chicken Embryo Model: A
Novel and Relevant Model for Immune-Based Studies. Front. Immunol. 12:791081.

Hancock, R. E. W., and H.-G. Sahl. 2006. Antimicrobial and host-defense peptides as new anti-
infective therapeutic strategies. Nat. Biotechnol. 24:1551–1557.

Hu, J., D. J. A. Afayibo, B. Zhang, H. Zhu, L. Yao, W. Guo, X. Wang, Z. Wang, D. Wang, H.
Peng, M. Tian, J. Qi, and S. Wang. 2022. Characteristics, pathogenic mechanism,
zoonotic potential, drug resistance, and prevention of avian pathogenic Escherichia coli
(APEC). Front. Microbiol. 13:1049391.

Kassambara, A. 2016. ggpubr: "ggplot2" Based Publication Ready Plots. :0.6.1 Available at
https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggpubr (verified 4 July 2025).

Kassambara, A. 2019. rstatix: Pipe-Friendly Framework for Basic Statistical Tests. :0.7.2
Available at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rstatix (verified 4 July 2025).

Kassambara, A., M. Kosinski, and P. Biecek. 2016. survminer: Drawing Survival Curves using
719   "ggplot2." :0.5.0 Available at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=survminer (verified 4
720   July 2025).

721   Kogut, M. H. 2002. Dynamics of a protective avian inflammatory response: the role of an IL-8-
722   like cytokine in the recruitment of heterophils to the site of organ invasion by Salmonella
723   enteritidis. Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 25:159–172.

724   Leitner, G., E. Dan Heller, and A. Friedman. 1989. Sex-related differences in immune response
725   and survival rate of broiler chickens. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 21:249–260.

726   Li, C., D. Sutherland, S. A. Hammond, C. Yang, F. Taho, L. Bergman, S. Houston, R. L.
727   Warren, T. Wong, L. M. N. Hoang, C. E. Cameron, C. C. Helbing, and I. Birol. 2022.
728   AMPlify: attentive deep learning model for discovery of novel antimicrobial peptides
729   effective against WHO priority pathogens. BMC Genomics 23:77.

730   Li, C., D. Sutherland, A. Richter, L. Coombe, A. Yanai, R. L. Warren, M. Kotkoff, F. Hof, L. M.
731   N. Hoang, C. C. Helbing, and I. Birol. 2024. De novo synthetic antimicrobial peptide
732   design with a recurrent neural network. Protein Sci. 33:e5088.

733   Lin, D., D. Sutherland, S. I. Aninta, N. Louie, K. M. Nip, C. Li, A. Yanai, L. Coombe, R. L.
734   Warren, C. C. Helbing, L. M. N. Hoang, and I. Birol. 2022. Mining Amphibian and
735   Insect Transcriptomes for Antimicrobial Peptide Sequences with rAMPage. Antibiotics
736   11:952.

737   Livak, K. J., and T. D. Schmittgen. 2001. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using
738   Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the $2-\Delta\Delta CT$ Method. Methods 25:402–408.

739   Nguyen, T. T. T., B. Allan, C. Wheler, W. Köster, V. Gerdts, and A. Dar. 2021. Avian
740   antimicrobial peptides: in vitro and in ovo characterization and protection from early
741   chick mortality caused by yolk sac infection. Sci. Rep. 11:2132.

742   Pfaffl, M. W., A. Tichopad, C. Prgomet, and T. P. Neuvians. 2004. Determination of stable
743   housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity:
744   BestKeeper – Excel-based tool using pair-wise correlations. Biotechnol. Lett. 26:509–
745   515.

746   R Core Team. 2024. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical   Computing. Available at
747   https://www.R-project.org/.

748   Rezaee, M. S., D. Liebhart, C. Hess, M. Hess, and S. Paudel. 2021. Bacterial Infection in
749   Chicken Embryos and Consequences of Yolk Sac Constitution for Embryo Survival. Vet.
750   Pathol. 58:71–79.

751   Richter, A., D. Sutherland, H. Ebrahimikondori, A. Babcock, N. Louie, C. Li, L. Coombe, D.
752   Lin, R. L. Warren, A. Yanai, M. Kotkoff, C. C. Helbing, F. Hof, L. M. N. Hoang, and I.
753   Birol. 2022. Associating Biological Activity and Predicted Structure of Antimicrobial
754   Peptides from Amphibians and Insects. Antibiot. Basel Switz. 11:1710.

Rima, M., M. Rima, Z. Fajloun, J.-M. Sabatier, B. Bechinger, and T. Naas. 2021. Antimicrobial
Peptides: A Potent Alternative to Antibiotics. Antibiotics 10:1095.

Rothwell, L., J. R. Young, R. Zoorob, C. A. Whittaker, P. Hesketh, A. Archer, A. L. Smith, and
P. Kaiser. 2004. Cloning and Characterization of Chicken IL-10 and Its Role in the
Immune Response to *Eimeria maxima*. J. Immunol. 173:2675–2682.

Santhakumar, D., D. Rubbenstroth, L. Martinez-Sobrido, and M. Munir. 2017. Avian Interferons
and Their Antiviral Effectors. Front. Immunol. 8 Available at
http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fimmu.2017.00049/full (verified 26 June
2025).

Sarfraz, M., T. T. T. Nguyen, C. Wheler, W. Köster, V. Gerdts, and A. Dar. 2022.
Characterization of Dosage Levels for In Ovo Administration of Innate Immune
Stimulants for Prevention of Yolk Sac Infection in Chicks. Vet. Sci. 9:203.

Schauberger, P., and A. Walker. 2014. openxlsx: Read, Write and Edit xlsx Files. :4.2.8
Available at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=openxlsx (verified 5 September 2025).

Schromm, A. B., L. Paulowski, Y. Kaconis, F. Kopp, M. Koistinen, A. Donoghue, S. Keese, C.
Nehls, J. Wernecke, P. Garidel, E. Sevcsik, K. Lohner, S. Sanchez-Gomez, G. Martinez-
de-Tejada, K. Brandenburg, M. Brameshuber, G. J. Schütz, J. Andrä, and T. Gutsmann.
2021. Cathelicidin and PMB neutralize endotoxins by multifactorial mechanisms
including LPS interaction and targeting of host cell membranes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
118:e2101721118.

Signorell, A. 2014. DescTools: Tools for Descriptive Statistics. :0.99.60 Available at
https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=DescTools (verified 12 September 2025).

Therneau, T. M. 2001. survival: Survival Analysis. :3.8-3 Available at https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=survival (verified 5 September 2025).

Wickham, H., M. Averick, J. Bryan, W. Chang, L. McGowan, R. François, G. Grolemund, A.
Hayes, L. Henry, J. Hester, M. Kuhn, T. Pedersen, E. Miller, S. Bache, K. Müller, J.
Ooms, D. Robinson, D. Seidel, V. Spinu, K. Takahashi, D. Vaughan, C. Wilke, K. Woo,
and H. Yutani. 2019. Welcome to the Tidyverse. J. Open Source Softw. 4:1686.

Wickham, H., and J. Bryan. 2015. readxl: Read Excel Files. :1.4.5 Available at https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=readxl (verified 5 September 2025).

Wickham, H., W. Chang, L. Henry, T. L. Pedersen, K. Takahashi, C. Wilke, K. Woo, H. Yutani,
D. Dunnington, and T. Van Den Brand. 2007. ggplot2: Create Elegant Data
Visualisations Using the Grammar of Graphics. :3.5.2 Available at https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=ggplot2 (verified 4 July 2025).

Wickham, H., L. Henry, T. L. Pedersen, T. J. Luciani, M. Decorde, and V. Lise. 2015a. svglite:
An "SVG" Graphics Device. :2.2.1 Available at https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=svglite (verified 15 September 2025).

792 Wickham, H., J. Hester, and J. Bryan. 2015b. readr: Read Rectangular Text Data. :2.1.5
793   Available at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=readr (verified 4 July 2025).

794 Wu, Z., T. Hu, L. Rothwell, L. Vervelde, P. Kaiser, K. Boulton, M. J. Nolan, F. M. Tomley, D.
795   P. Blake, and D. A. Hume. 2016. Analysis of the function of IL-10 in chickens using
796   specific neutralising antibodies and a sensitive capture ELISA. Dev. Comp. Immunol.
797   63:206–212.

798 Yalin Zhu, Wenge Guo. 2016. MHTdiscrete: Multiple Hypotheses Testing for Discrete Data.
799   :1.0.1 Available at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MHTdiscrete (verified 4 July
800   2025).

801 Yang, S., H. Wang, D. Zhao, S. Zhang, and C. Hu. 2024. Polymyxins: recent advances and
802   challenges. Front. Pharmacol. 15:1424765.

803 Younis, M. E. M., F. A. Jaber, K. A. Majrashi, H. A. Ghoneim, M. Shukry, M. E. Shafi, N. M.
804   Albaqami, M. E. Abd El-Hack, and M. M. Abo Ghanima. 2023. Impacts of synthetic
805   androgen and estrogenic antagonist administration on growth performance, sex steroids
806   hormones, and immune markers of male and female broilers. Poult. Sci. 102:102244.

807 Yu, Y., L. Cheng, Z. Xu, Y. Zhang, C. Ou, Q. Wang, P. Gao, and J. Ma. 2021. Tissue
808   distribution and developmental changes of interferon regulatory factors in chickens and
809   effects of infectious bursal disease virus infection. Microb. Pathog. 152:104601.

810 Yuk, S.-S., D.-H. Lee, J.-K. Park, E.-O. Tseren-Ochir, J.-H. Kwon, J.-Y. Noh, J.-B. Lee, S.-Y.
811   Park, I.-S. Choi, and C.-S. Song. 2016. Pre-immune state induced by chicken interferon
812   gamma inhibits the replication of H1N1 human and H9N2 avian influenza viruses in
813   chicken embryo fibroblasts. Virol. J. 13:71.

814 Zasloff, M. 2002. Antimicrobial peptides of multicellular organisms. Nature 415:389–395.

815 Zeileis, A., G. Grothendieck, and J. A. Ryan. 2004. zoo: S3 Infrastructure for Regular and
816   Irregular Time Series (Z's Ordered Observations). :1.8-14 Available at https://CRAN.R-
817   project.org/package=zoo (verified 5 September 2025).

818
819
820
821

TABLES

Table 1. Physiochemical properties and in vitro activities of three lead antimicrobial peptides

(AMPs) TeRu4, TeBi1, and PeNi4. Listed for each AMP are their sequence length, net charge,

and amino acid sequence. In vitro activities include the antimicrobial activity against APEC

EC317 (minimum inhibitory concentration, MIC), the hemolytic activity against porcine red

blood cells (RBCs) (hemolytic concentration 50%, HC50), and cytotoxicity towards HEK293

human cells (cytotoxic concentration 50%, CC50).

AMP	Sequence length	Net charge (+)	Amino acid sequence	EC317 MIC (µg/mL)	RBC HC50 (µg/mL)	HEK293 CC50 (µg/mL)
TeRu4	30	8	SWLSKSVKKLVNKKNYTRLE KLAKKKLFNE	1.3	>128	>128
TeBi1	23	6	KIKIPWGKVKDFLVGGMKAV GKK	1.75	>128	128
PeNi4	15	3	GLLGKVLGVGKKVLC	32	>128	>128

FIGURES

Figure 1: a) Weight gain of TeBi1 treated birds between day 4 and 7. Birds, euthanized on

schedule, were weighed individually, and values shown are median ± mean absolute deviation

(MAD). On day 7, birds treated with 1 or 5 μg TeBi1 were approximately 25% heavier than

control birds, and birds treated with 10 μg TeBi1 were approximately 50% heavier than control

birds. Significance ($p \leq 0.05$) relative to the PBS control is indicated by an asterisk. b/c) Percent

of TeBi1 treated birds infected by APEC at on day 4 and 7 post-hatch in the b) air sacs and c)

pericardia. Birds were euthanized as scheduled and aseptic swabs were taken from the tissues

and streaked onto MacConkey agar. The percentage of birds in each treatment group that

resulted in bacterial growth is shown ± a 90% Wilson confidence interval. Each treatment group

was compared to the PBS control by Fisher's Exact Test. Significance ($p \leq 0.05$) relative to the

PBS control is indicated by an asterisk. On day 7, there were significantly fewer birds with

detectable bacteria in the 10 μg TeBi1 treatment group than the control group, in both tissues.

856 **Figure 2.** Relative transcript abundance of a) *IL-1β* and b) *IL-8* in the air sacs of birds treated

857 with ≤10 μg TeRu4, TeBi1, or PeNi4. Each data point represents the air sac of a single bird. The

858 light, moderate, and heavy infection groups were compared to the non-infected group (None)

859 using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Significance ($p \leq 0.05$) relative to non-infected group is

860 indicated by an asterisk.

Figure 3. Relative fold change values of cytokine transcripts in the air sac of APEC challenged birds treated before hatch with 1, 5, or 10 μg AMP. Birds were euthanized on day 4 or 7 after hatch, and all values are relative to the PBS median on their respective days. Asterisks indicate significance at p ≤ 0.1. *IFN*, interferon; *IL,* interleukin; *IRF*, interferon regulatory factor.

Figure 4. Relative fold change of *IL-1β*, *IL-8*, and *IL-6* from HD11 cells as determined by qPCR

in the "preincubation with wash step" (left column) and "AMP and LPS coaddition" experiments

(right column). In the preincubation with wash step experiment, cells were incubated for 3 h with

medium, a range of 2-fold serially diluted AMP (1 to 16 µg/mL TeRu4), or 8 µg/mL polymyxin

B sulfate (PB), followed by three washes prior to the addition of 25 ng/mL LPS for an additional

3 h. In the coaddition experiment, cells were incubated for 3 h with medium, or with 25 ng/mL

LPS mixed with a range of 2-fold serially diluted AMP (1 to 16 µg/mL TeRu4), or 8 µg/mL

polymyxin B sulfate (PB). A significant difference from the medium control is indicated by "*",

and "#" indicates a significant difference from LPS treatment (p-value < 0.1, Mann-Whitney-U

test). Open circles represent the fold change of one technical replicate relative to the medium

control. The horizontal lines represent the median of the three technical replicates within each

treatment condition, the boxes show the interquartile range, and the vertical whiskers represent

881   the minimum and maximum values. Shown are representative experiments of three biological

882   replicates.

Figure 5. Kaplan-Meier curves depicting the survival probabilities of experimental groups

during pen trials across different AMPs and dosages. Columns represent AMPs at specific

dosages and each AMP–dosage combination corresponds to a separate pen trial. Sex-specific

differences in survival probabilities were observed in the TeRu4 (20 µg) and TeBi1 (10 µg)

trials. For these trials, males and females were analyzed separately, and each panel is labeled

with "F" for females or "M" for males in the top right corner to indicate the sex of the birds

included in the analysis. P-values, as determined by Log-rank tests, are displayed in the bottom-

left corner of each panel.

Figure 6. Relative fold change values of cytokine transcripts in the spleens of pen trial birds (no

pathogen challenge) treated with 10 or 20 µg TeRu4, TeBi1, or PeNi4. Birds were euthanized 10

days after hatch. Asterisks indicate significance at p ≤ 0.1. F, female birds; M, males birds.

Additional details are available in the Figure 5 legend.