Comparative Landscape of Small RNAs in Tissue and Liquid Biopsies for Liver Transplant Outcomes

Monima Anam[1], Christine Watkins[2], Grace Rucker[2], Katherine Marlow[1], Matthew Khalil[2], Murat Dogan[2], James Eason [2,3,4], Jason Vanatta [2,3], Corey Eymard [2,3], Cem Kuscu[2], Zhangli Su*[1], Canan Kuscu*[2]

[1] Department of Genetics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, 35233, United States

[2] Transplant Research Institute, Department of Surgery, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, 38163, United States

[3] Methodist University Hospital, Memphis, TN, 38163, United States

[4] Current address: HCA Florida Hospitals, Largo, FL, 33770, United States

*Correspondence: Canan Kuscu (ckuscu@uthsc.edu) and Zhangli Su (zsu@uab.edu)

Abstract:

Background:

Ischemia-reperfusion injury (IRI) is an inevitable consequence of liver transplantation, arising during donor organ procurement and reoxygenation. Severe IRI is a leading contributor to early allograft dysfunction (EAD), a post-transplant complication associated with reduced graft survival. Current postoperative biomarkers provide limited time for intervention, highlighting a need to identify preoperative biomarkers of IRI. Meanwhile,

tRNA fragments (tRFs) have emerged as novel biomarkers in various diseases but

remain unexplored in the context of liver transplant.

Results:

We performed small RNA sequencing on 96 paired donor liver biopsies from 48 patients

to investigate IRI-associated transcript changes. In parallel, 161 donor liver perfusates

were analyzed as a non-invasive surrogate for tissue. Across samples, microRNAs

(miRNAs) and tRFs were the most abundant. Perfusate expression strongly correlated

with biopsies, supporting their value as a non-invasive source of small RNAs. Comparison

between post-reperfusion and pre-implantation biopsies revealed that IRI reprogrammed

tRF expression. Stratification by clinical outcome showed that patients who developed

EAD exhibited specific small RNA signatures in both biopsy and perfusate. Receiver

operating characteristic (ROC) analysis revealed a miRNA-based model that achieved an

AUC of 0.772, outperforming donor risk index alone (AUC = 0.665), representing a 10.7%

increase in discriminative capacity.

Conclusions:

These results are the first to establish tRFs as IRI-responsive biomolecules abundant in

both donor liver tissue and non-invasive perfusate. In particular, various small RNAs

emerged as promising candidate biomarkers for early detection of EAD. These results lay

the foundation to further investigate the prognostic utility of tRFs/miRNAs in liver

transplantation.

Keywords (3-10):

tRFs, miRNAs, non-invasive biomarkers, ischemia-reperfusion injury, early allograft

dysfunction, liver transplantation

Background

Ischemia-reperfusion injury (IRI) is an inevitable consequence of solid organ transplantation, initiated during donor organ procurement and cold storage, and exacerbated upon reperfusion in the recipient. The sudden reoxygenation of ischemic tissue induces a complex interplay of oxidative stress, metabolic disturbances, sterile inflammation, and cell death pathways that impair graft integrity (1). Severe IRI is a principal contributor to early allograft dysfunction (EAD), a clinical syndrome presenting within the first week post liver transplant, associated with increased morbidity, primary nonfunction, and diminished long-term graft survival (2). Current diagnostic criteria for EAD primarily rely on postoperative serum biochemical markers such as alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST), which provide limited mechanistic insight and insufficient lead time for intervention (3). This underscores the need for high-resolution molecular biomarkers capable of detecting early transcriptional and post-transcriptional responses to IRI in graft tissue and accessible biofluids, thereby enabling predictive and mechanism-informed monitoring after liver transplantation.

Small RNAs are central regulators of post-transcriptional gene expression, modulating mRNA stability, translation, and chromatin organization across diverse biological contexts. Beyond well-characterized microRNAs (miRNAs) and piRNAs, transfer RNA-derived fragments (tRFs) and stress-induced tRNA halves (tiRs) have recently emerged as abundant and functionally versatile small RNA species generated by precise cleavage of precursor or mature tRNAs (4). Their biogenesis is strongly induced by cellular stressors, including oxidative injury, nutrient deprivation, hypoxia, and immune activation (5,6). Functionally, tRFs repress global protein synthesis, promote

stress granule assembly, displace messenger RNAs from RNA-binding proteins such as

YBX1, and participate in RNA silencing pathways via Argonaute association (7–10). A

recent publication also demonstrated that hypoxia induced tRF promotes cellular

homeostasis and protects against kidney injury by enhancing autophagic flux (11).

Through these mechanisms, tRFs act as dynamic modulators of cellular adaptation to

stress, influencing survival, metabolism, and signaling, positioning them as promising

molecular sentinels of stress phenotypes in both experimental and clinical settings (12,13).

Recent advances in transcriptomic profiling have identified small RNA signatures

as a promising class of molecular biomarkers for disease diagnosis, prognosis, and

therapeutic monitoring. Their inherent stability in biofluids, resistance to RNase

degradation, and ability to reflect dynamic physiological states make them well-suited for

minimally invasive diagnostics (14,15). miRNAs have been explored as potential

biomarkers in kidney and liver transplant (16–18). In liver transplantation, liver-specific

miR-122 detected in recipient serum was shown to be correlated with ALT and AST levels,

thus IRI severity and EAD (19). Furthermore, donor organ preservation solution

(perfusate) has emerged as an attractive biospecimen for biomarker discovery, capturing

molecules released directly from the donor liver during storage and perfusion (20,21).

While these investigations provide important proof-of-concepts, they focused on targeted

RNA species rather than unbiased transcriptome-wide profiling. Consequently, the

broader RNA repertoire within perfusate, including stress-responsive small RNAs such

as tRFs and tiRs, remains largely unexplored. Here, we report the first transcriptome-wide

small RNA profiling of 96 paired liver transplant biopsies from 48 patients alongside

perfusate samples from 161 donor organs, aimed at uncovering mechanistically linked

early non-invasive biomarkers of graft function that complement tissue-based assays.

Results

Small RNAs and tRFs are abundantly expressed in donor liver transplant biopsy

samples.

To characterize small RNAs present in donor liver tissue and determine whether

they change from pre- to post-transplantation, we collected paired donor liver biopsy

samples (n = 48) at two timepoints: pre-implantation (L1) and post-reperfusion (L2),

followed by small RNA sequencing analysis (**Fig. 1A**). Principal component analysis (PCA)

of all 96 samples revealed distinct separation between L1 and L2 small RNA expression

profiles, with PC1 and PC2 accounting for 19% and 12% of the variance, respectively

(**Fig. 1B).** A variety of small RNA species were detected through sequencing; however,

miRNAs comprised the largest proportion of mapped reads, followed by tRFs (**Fig. 1C**).

Four tRF subtypes dominated the tRF landscape: tiR5, tRF5, tRF3CCA, and tRF1 species

(**Fig. 1D and E**). tiR5s are known as 5' tRNA halves, which are typically 30–35 nucleotides

(nt) in length, while tRF5s are shorter 5' tRNA fragments that range from 15–29 nt long.

tRF3CCAs are 3' tRNA fragments that retain 3' CCA tails, a feature of mature tRNAs.

While tRF1s are the remnant 3' trailer sequences that are cleaved from precursor tRNAs

to form mature tRNAs. These four tRF subtypes represented the majority of mapped tRF

reads across samples. Additionally, tRFs derived from Glu- and Gly-carrying parental

tRNAs were highly enriched relative to other amino acid isotypes (**Fig. S1A**). Furthermore,

multiple specific tRF species rivaled the expression of the topmost expressed miRNAs,

such as Glu CTC tiR5 and Gly GCC tiR5, which were the fourth- and sixth-most highly

expressed small RNAs across samples (**Fig. S1A-B**). Overall, we observe that tRFs are

steadily abundant across L1 and L2 samples, serving as promising candidates for further

investigation.

Comparison of L2 vs. L1 expression profiles reveals IRI-associated tRF changes.

We next examined small RNAs differentially expressed in response to IRI by

comparing L2 and L1 samples, as L2 samples were collected post-reperfusion and

therefore serve as a proxy for transplant-associated IRI. DESeq2 analysis of paired L2

vs. L1 small RNA profiles revealed 182 differentially expressed small RNAs, including 116

tRFs and 66 miRNAs (**Supplementary Table 1**). Of these, 102 (58 tRFs, 44 miRNAs)

were upregulated and 80 (58 tRFs, 22 miRNAs) were downregulated in L2 compared to

L1 (**Fig. 2A and B**). Strikingly, tRFs of the same subtype displayed uniform directional

changes. Among the most abundant tRF classes, differentially expressed tiR5s were

consistently enriched in L2, while significant tRF3CCA species were consistently depleted

in L2. Similar trends were observed for differentially expressed tRF1 and tRF5 species,

with the majority showing upregulation following IRI (**Fig. 2B**). Boxplots of select tiR5 and

tRF3CCA species likewise exemplify and highlight this trend (**Fig. 2C-E**). Together, these

findings indicate that IRI is associated with subtype-specific changes in tRF expression,

suggesting that tRF dysregulation may be a characteristic feature of IRI.

IRI-associated tRF changes are stratified by transplant outcome.

Next, we stratified patients by early transplant outcome to assess whether IRI-

associated tRF changes were uniquely associated with graft dysfunction. Transplant

outcomes were based on day 1 AST values (2), where high AST values (>2000)

corresponded to early allograft dysfunction (EAD, n = 18) and moderate/low AST values

corresponded to normal function (NF, n = 30) **(Fig. 3A and S2A)**. DESeq2 analysis of L2

vs. L1 in each outcome group (EAD or NF) revealed group-dependent regulation of tRFs.

In the EAD group, L2 biopsies showed unique upregulation of numerous tiR5 species not

observed in NF samples **(Fig. 3B and C)**. In contrast, the NF group exhibited unique

upregulation of multiple tRF1 species in L2 vs. L1 comparisons **(Fig. 3B, S2C and D)**.

Notably, no tiR5 species were downregulated in L2 in either group **(Fig. S2E)**.

Downregulated RNAs in L2 were largely shared between groups and consisted primarily

of tRF3CCA species **(Fig. S2E and F)**. The complete comparison is also included in

Supplementary Table 1. Overall, we observe that post-IRI tRF changes are uniquely

dysregulated in EAD and NF grafts, suggesting that tRFs may be prognostically linked to

graft outcome.

Comparison of EAD vs. NF small RNA profiles reveals transplant outcome-

associated small RNA changes, independent of IRI.

To evaluate whether small RNA profiles differed between EAD and NF grafts, we

performed differential expression analysis (EAD vs. NF) separately within L1 and L2

samples, including batch correction. This approach allowed us to identify small RNAs

associated with transplant outcome independent of IRI-induced changes. DESeq2

analysis revealed multiple differentially expressed small RNAs that were shared across

EAD vs. NF comparisons (**Supplementary Table 2**). Specifically, miR-452-5p, miR-224-

5p, and miR-296-3p were significantly upregulated in EAD samples compared to NF

samples (**Fig. 4A–E and Fig. S3A**). In contrast, two small RNAs were consistently

downregulated in EAD, including Gly TCC tRF3CCA and miR-1247-5p (**Fig. S3B**). To

understand potential biological functions of EAD-associated miRNAs, we performed

pathway enrichment analysis of predicted mRNA targets of the three miRNAs consistently

upregulated in EAD samples. Network analysis revealed that miR-224-5p, miR-452-5p,

and miR-296-3p share overlapping target gene networks (**Fig. 4F**). Reactome pathway

enrichment analysis of these miRNA targets identified significant enrichment of pathways

related to cellular stress response, various signaling pathways (SCF-KIT, ERBB4, FCERI),

and chromatin organization and modification (**Fig. 4F**). Notably, the most significantly

enriched pathway was "cellular response to stress" (FDR = 0.000286), suggesting that

dysregulation of stress pathways may underlie the association between these miRNAs

and EAD. No significant pathways were identified for the two small RNAs (Gly TCC

tRF3CCA and miR-1247-5p) consistently downregulated in EAD samples. Together,

these findings demonstrate that small RNA profiles differ between EAD and NF groups,

regardless of IRI status, suggesting that pre-implantation profiles may be sufficiently

informative to aid in predicting EAD.

Pre-transplant liver perfusate is enriched with tRFs and small RNA species.

To determine whether liver biopsy-associated tRF and miRNA changes could be

captured non-invasively, we analyzed small RNAs in donor liver preservation solution

(now referred to as perfusate). Donor livers were submerged in preservation solution and

transported on ice prior to transplantation. Immediately before implantation, perfusate

was collected and analyzed based on EAD (n = 49) and NF (n = 112) presence (**Fig.**

S4A). RNA was extracted from the perfusate and a robust signal corresponding to small

RNA size was detected after library construction (**Fig. 5A and Fig. S4B**). The size

distribution from the perfusate appears different from the one derived from tissue,

highlighting specific enrichment of small RNAs in the perfusate (**Fig. S4B**). As a readily

accessible biofluid that does not require tissue biopsy, liver perfusate represents a

promising non-invasive source for biomarker discovery. Small RNA sequencing revealed

a high abundance of small RNAs in perfusate, with tRFs as the most prevalent class,

followed by mitochondrial tRFs (MtRFs) (**Fig. 5B**). Comparisons between perfusates from

EAD versus NF donors showed no significant differences in the overall distribution of

small RNA classes; tRFs and miRNAs were similarly abundant across both groups (**Fig.**

5C). Pearson correlations revealed moderate to strong relationships between perfusate

and both L1 (mean R = 0.70) and L2 (mean R = 0.72) tissue profiles (**Fig. S5A and S5B**),

indicating that perfusate partially recapitulates the tissue molecular landscape. Despite

the lack of global differences, the consistently high levels of tRFs/miRNAs and other small

RNAs in perfusate highlight its potential as a platform for identifying novel RNA

biomarkers predictive of donor liver quality and transplant outcomes.

Pre-transplant perfusate small RNAs are analogous to tissue profiles and are

differentially expressed in EAD.

Given the variable level of tRFs/miRNAs across samples (**Fig. 5C**), we evaluated whether

perfusate small RNA composition correlated with donor clinical variables, starting with the

donor risk index (DRI), a composite metric that incorporates donor age, cold ischemia

time, cause of death, and race (22). We observed that miRNA percentage was

significantly positively correlated with DRI (**Fig. 6A**). Similarly, tRF percentage was

significancy negatively correlated with DRI (**Fig. 6B**). These correlations suggest that DRI

is associated with the overall perfusate small RNA composition. Examination of the

individual DRI components indicated that cause of death was the primary contributor to

this association (**Fig. S5C**). We next investigated whether pre-transplant perfusate small

RNAs could reflect donor liver quality independent from known clinical variables and

serve as potential biomarkers for EAD. To do this, we performed differential expression

analysis comparing EAD vs. NF groups using DESeq2 with correction for batch and donor

risk index (22). Multiple small RNA species showed differential expression in EAD (**Fig.**

6C and D, Supplementary Table 2), suggesting these small RNAs could be potential

predictors of EAD independent of donor clinical information. Notably, multiple differentially

expressed small RNAs were among the top fifty most highly expressed small RNAs in

perfusate samples (**Fig. 6E**). These include Gly GCC/CCC tiR5, Glu CTC/TTC tiR5, Lys

CTT tiR5, and miR-26a-5p, all of which were increased in EAD samples, as well as let-

7b-5p, which was decreased in EAD samples. Collectively, these findings demonstrate

that pre-transplant liver perfusate can serve as a non-invasive source of small RNAs that

partially reflect donor liver tissue profiles. The presence and differential expression of

perfusate-derived small RNAs further support their potential utility as non-invasive

biomarkers for predicting EAD.

EAD-downregulated perfusate miRNAs improve prediction of early allograft dysfunction.

To evaluate whether perfusate small RNAs differentially expressed between EAD and NF could serve as predictive biomarkers, we performed 5-fold cross-validated ROC analyses using multiple feature sets derived from DESeq2 results using 155 patients with available clinical parameters. Predictive performance was compared against using DRI alone. Models incorporating EAD-upregulated perfusate small RNAs did not outperform DRI alone (AUC = 0.665; 95% CI, 0.576–0.754). Notably, upregulated miRNAs performed poorly both alone and in combination with DRI (AUC = 0.596; 95% CI, 0.490–0.701; **Fig. S5D**). In contrast, models based on EAD-downregulated perfusate small RNAs demonstrated improved discriminatory capacity. A combined set of downregulated miRNAs and tRFs achieved an AUC of 0.756 (95% CI, 0.668–0.844) alone and 0.764 (95% CI, 0.677–0.851) when combined with DRI (**Fig. 7A**). Stratification by small RNA class revealed that this improvement was driven primarily by miRNAs. Downregulated perfusate miRNAs alone achieved an AUC of 0.762 (95% CI, 0.675–0.850), which further increased to 0.772 (95% CI, 0.686–0.859) when combined with DRI, representing an 10.7% improvement over DRI alone (**Fig. 7B**). DeLong's test revealed no significant difference between the miRNA-alone and DRI-alone models (p = 0.106), indicating comparable predictive performance. In contrast, the combined miRNA + DRI model significantly outperformed the DRI-only model (p = 0.033), demonstrating that the addition of these miRNAs resulted in a significant improvement in discriminatory capacity.

 This miRNA model comprised nine miRNAs (let-7b-5p, miR-128-2-3p, miR-223-5p, miR-375-3p, miR-383-5p, miR-4448-3p, miR-486-3p, miR-499-5p, and miR-514a-1-

5p) significantly downregulated in EAD perfusate samples (**Fig. 7C**), six of which showed

negative correlations with post-transplant day 1 AST and/or ALT levels (**Fig. S6B**). In

contrast, downregulated perfusate tRFs did not improve predictive performance beyond

DRI (AUC = 0.648; 95% CI, 0.552–0.743) and failed to show significant correlations with

early post-transplant liver injury markers (**Fig. S6B and C**). To explore potential biological

mechanisms underlying these associations, we performed pathway enrichment analysis

of predicted mRNA targets of the nine EAD-downregulated perfusate miRNAs. Network

analysis demonstrated substantial overlap in target gene networks among these miRNAs

(**Fig. 7C**). Reactome pathway enrichment analysis identified significant enrichment of

multiple signaling pathways, including SOS-mediated signaling, FCERI, EGFR, leptin,

RAF/MAP kinase, and SCF-KIT signaling pathways (**Fig. 7D and Supplementary Table**

3). Notably, "cellular responses to stress" re-emerged as the most significantly enriched

pathway (FDR = 6.08×10^{-8}), consistent with findings from tissue-based EAD versus NF

comparisons (**Fig. 4F** and **Fig. 7D**), while "SOS-mediated signaling" was the second most

significantly enriched pathway (FDR = 2.68×10^{-7}). Together, these results demonstrate

that EAD-downregulated perfusate miRNAs could serve as predictive biomarkers for early

allograft dysfunction independent from established clinical risk metrics. Importantly, these

miRNAs can be non-invasively measured in pre-transplant perfusate, highlighting their

potential utility for enhancing donor risk stratification prior to transplantation.

Discussion

In this study, we performed small RNA sequencing on donor liver biopsies from 48

cases and reported abundant tRFs (**Fig. 1**). Analysis of paired post-reperfusion versus

pre-implantation biopsies revealed that ischemia-reperfusion injury increased tiR5 and

tRF1 species, alongside a decrease in tRF3CCA (**Fig. 2**). Notably, tiR5 was particularly

enriched in post-reperfusion L2 tissues from patients who developed EAD, whereas tRF1

upregulation was specific to those with NF graft outcomes (**Fig. 3**). In parallel, comparison

of EAD vs NF in L1 and L2 independently highlighted miRNAs and tRFs which are

important for EAD pathology (**Fig. 4**). As a proof of concept to use organ preservation

solution (perfusate) for non-invasive biomarker discovery, we sequenced perfusate small

RNAs from 161 samples and observed abundant tRFs and miRNAs that are likely derived

from liver tissues (**Fig. 5**). Comparison of perfusate small RNAs in patients with EAD

versus NF identified multiple differentially expressed small RNAs including tRFs and

miRNAs (**Fig. 6**). Importantly, a set of nine downregulated perfusate miRNAs can predict

post-transplant outcome more effectively than using donor clinical information alone (**Fig.**

7). Our integrated analysis of liver biopsies and perfusate revealed that both

compartments contain a shared repertoire of small RNAs, highlighting the potential utility

of these small RNAs as non-invasive biomarkers for IRI and post-transplant outcomes.

The observed increase of multiple tiR5 species in L2 (**Fig. 2B-D**) is likely due to

the hypoxia and oxidative stress incurred during static cold storage and reperfusion,

consistent with the well-established role of tiR5s as stress-induced RNAs, including in

hypoxic contexts (4,13). During cellular stress, these tiR5s have been shown to bind to

translation initiation factors such as eIF4G/A and displace the eIF4F complex from

mRNAs, resulting in global suppression of protein synthesis while permitting selective

translation of stress-adaptive transcripts (23). In addition, tiR5s facilitate the assembly of

stress granules, sequestering non-essential mRNAs to further conserve resources and

prioritize production of proteins critical for survival and recovery (8). Beyond stress

adaptation, infection-induced tiR5s have also been implicated in immune activation,

particularly through Toll-like receptor 7 interaction (24–26). Given that IRI is characterized

as a sterile inflammatory process in which innate immunity plays a central role, the

observed tiR5 induction may represent a mechanistic link between cellular stress and

immune activation. In particular, the greater tiR5 increase in patients who developed EAD

(**Fig. 3B-C**) could indicate more tissue damage or immune activation in those transplants.

Conversely, the specific increase in tRF1s upon reperfusion in patients with NF (**Fig. 3B**

and Fig. S2D) raises the possibility of a protective role for tRF1 that warrants further

mechanistic exploration. Future investigation to identify the molecular targets of these

clinically relevant liver tRFs will be needed to reveal their biological functions in the

context of IRI stress and organ transplantation (**Fig. 8A**).

Comparisons of EAD versus NF samples in both L1 and L2 tissue cohorts

independently identified upregulated miRNAs, including miR-452-5p, miR-224-5p, and

miR-296-3p (**Fig. 4A–E**). Target-gene enrichment analysis showed that these miRNAs

converge on SOS-mediated and stress-response pathways (**Fig. 4F–G**). Functionally,

miR-452-5p has been shown to promote proliferation and cell-cycle progression in

hepatocellular carcinoma (27), suggesting a potential role in supporting regenerative

responses following injury. Similarly, E2F1-driven induction of miR-224-5p is required for

liver cancer progression and invasion (28), indicating that miR-224-5p may enhance cell

survival under stress. In contrast, miR-296-3p has been reported to attenuate liver fibrosis

(29). Together, these observations suggest a coordinated response in EAD patients in

which miR-452-5p and miR-224-5p may promote proliferation and regeneration, while

miR-296-3p may contribute to fibrosis suppression and injury resolution (**Fig. 8B**).

The identification of tRFs as dominant small RNAs in perfusate is particularly

exciting and demonstrates their potential for use in liquid biopsy monitoring (**Fig. 5**). This

is particularly important for evaluating marginal organs and machine perfusion settings,

where clinically validated, predictive molecular markers are still lacking. Previously,

Verhoeven et al. identified mRNAs and microRNAs in graft preservation solution

(perfusate) correlating with early injury (20), while Matton et al. reported that miRNA

profiles in normothermic perfusion solution could inform liver viability assessments (21).

Both studies were limited to targeted approaches. Darden et al. recently compared

miRNA profiles across donor types and recipient outcomes using liver preservation

solution and identified distinct miRNA signatures for each donor category, although the

study was limited by a small patient cohort (30). Our application of unbiased, next-

generation sequencing to perfusate along with tissue small RNA profiling identified

clinically relevant miRNAs and tRFs (**Fig. 6 and Fig 7**).

Intriguingly, the set of downregulated miRNAs that enhance EAD prediction (let-

7b-5p, miR-128-2-3p, miR-223-5p, miR-375-3p, miR-383-5p, miR-4448-3p, miR-486-3p,

miR-499-5p, and miR-514a-1-5p) is enriched in SOS-mediated and broader cellular

stress-response pathways (**Fig. 7 and Fig. 8C**). SOS functions as a key upstream

activator of Ras proteins, initiating the canonical Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK signaling cascade.

This pathway plays a crucial role in promoting the proliferation and survival of hepatocytes

and hepatic stellate cells, particularly during cellular stress and liver regeneration (31).

Among these miRNAs, let-7b-5p and miR-514a-5p are well-characterized tumor

suppressors in hepatocellular carcinoma (32,33). Their reduced expression in EAD may

relieve inhibitory constraints on hepatocyte proliferation, thereby supporting early survival

responses following graft injury. Furthermore, previous experimental studies have shown

that inhibition of miR-128-3p attenuates liver ischemia–reperfusion injury in mice (34),

which is consistent with our observation that miR-128-3p is also downregulated in EAD

patients. Together, these findings suggest that clinically relevant stress-responsive

miRNAs may actively modulate hepatocyte survival pathways during early allograft injury.

Targeting or modulating these miRNAs could represent a promising strategy to enhance

cellular recovery and improve postoperative outcomes in EAD (**Fig. 8**). In addition,

although these small RNAs predict the initial post-transplant outcome, their roles and

potential influence in long-term clinical trajectories such as rejection and overall graft

lifespan requires further investigation.

Studies of this kind will ultimately enable the transplant community to identify

robust small RNA–based biomarkers and accelerate the development of practical

detection platforms for real-world application. qPCR-based miRNA and tRF panels, for

example, could be implemented during organ procurement or machine perfusion to

support rapid, on-site assessment of graft quality. In parallel, emerging CRISPR-based

RNA detection technologies, such as the Cas13-driven SHERLOCK system (35), offer

highly sensitive, rapid, and field applicable alternatives that could further strengthen

intraoperative decision making. Moreover, recent advances in serum and plasma small

RNA detection for cancer associated miRNAs (36,37) provide a strong technical

foundation that is readily translatable to organ transplantation workflows.

While these opportunities are compelling, several technical considerations

inherent to small RNA sequencing must be acknowledged. Small RNA-seq library

preparation is prone to sequence-specific biases, including differences in adapter ligation

efficiency, GC content, and secondary structure, which can lead to over- or under-

representation of certain small RNA species. These biases, however, are well described

and can be minimized through standardized protocols and appropriate normalization

strategies, both of which were applied in our analysis. Although variation in sequencing

depth can affect detection of very low-abundance miRNAs, the depth achieved here was

sufficient to reliably characterize the predominant small RNA populations in all sample

types. Due to limited RNA availability, particularly in perfusate samples, we were unable

to perform additional qPCR validation, and therefore our findings rely on sequencing-

derived quantification alone. As such, while the identified small RNA signatures are

biologically plausible and reinforced by pathway-level analyses, they should be validated

in future studies using independent cohorts and targeted assays.

Conclusion

Despite the technical limitations, this study provides the first transcriptome-wide

characterization of small RNAs in both human liver tissue and donor organ perfusate

during transplantation. We demonstrate that specific tRFs and miRNAs are closely linked

to reperfusion and EAD. The prevalence of tRFs and miRNAs in perfusate highlights their

potential as non-invasive biomarkers, bridging molecular insights from tissue with

clinically accessible diagnostics. By uncovering both shared and compartment-specific

signatures, our findings lay a foundation for future validation in larger cohorts and under

machine perfusion, advancing systems-level small RNAs toward clinical application for

improved pre-operative organ assessment and peri-operative transplant monitoring.

Figures and Figure Legends

 Figure 1

A

B

C

D

E

Figure 1. Abundance of tRNA fragments (tRFs) in 96 donor liver transplant biopsy samples.

(A) Paired liver biopsy samples were obtained from donor livers at two time points: pre-implantation (L1, n = 48) and post-reperfusion (L2, n = 48), followed by small RNA sequencing. **(B)** Principal component analysis (PCA) of small RNA sequencing data reveals distinct clustering between L1 and L2 small RNA profiles. **(C)** Stacked bar plot displaying the proportion of mapped reads for each small RNA type in L1 and L2 samples. For each patient (x-axis), the bars represent the relative proportion of total reads (y-axis) assigned to each small RNA type. Across samples, miRNAs comprised the largest majority of mapped reads, followed by tRFs. **(D)** Schematic illustrating four highlighted tRF subtypes. The tRF nomenclature echoes the region of tRNA from which tRFs are derived. The fragments shown are examples of each tRF subtype and do not represent all possible variants. tRF-1 species (green) are derived from precursor tRNAs (not pictured), while tiR5, tiR3, and tRF3CCA species (pink) are cleaved from mature tRNAs (blue). **(E)** Heatmap displaying the relative expression (Log2 RPM) of different tRF subtypes across L1 and L2 samples. Overall, biopsy samples showed abundant tRF expression.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Significant tRF alterations associated with ischemia-reperfusion injury (IRI) during liver transplantation.

(A) Volcano plot of differentially expressed small RNAs between paired L2 and L1 samples, identified using DESeq2. The x-axis shows the log2 fold change (L2 vs. L1), and the y-axis shows statistical significance (-log10 adjusted p-value). The top 10 most significantly altered small RNAs are labeled, many being tRF3CCA species downregulated in L2. **(B)** MA plot of differentially expressed small RNAs (L2 vs. L1) identified using DESeq2 (p. adj < 0.05, |Log2FoldChange| > 0.5). The x-axis represents gene expression (log2 normalized counts), and the y-axis shows the log2 fold change. Differentially expressed RNAs are color-coded by RNA type (tRF subtype or miRNA). Select highly abundant, significantly altered tRFs are labeled, including many tiR5 species that are upregulated in L2. **(C)** Primary and secondary structure of parental tRNA-Glu-CTC-1-3, with its most abundant tiR5 fragment highlighted in red (positions 1-35). Cleavage sites that generate the second and third most abundant Glu CTC tiR5 isoforms from tRNA-Glu-CTC are marked in green and blue. **D)** Boxplot of Glu CTC tiR5 expression in L1 (n = 48) vs. L2 (n = 48) samples, showing significant upregulation in L2, consistent with IRI-associated induction. **(E)** Primary and secondary structure of parental tRNA-Gln-CTG-1-4, with its corresponding tRF3CCA fragment highlighted in red (positions 58–75). **(F)** Boxplot of Gln CTG tRF3CCA expression in L1 (n = 48) vs. L2 (n = 48) samples, showing significant downregulation in L2, indicative of IRI-associated suppression.

Figure 3

A

L1/L2 Biopsy sample summary (n = 48)

Group	EAD	NF	p value
Number of patients	18	30	
Recipient mean age	57.3	56.6	N.S.
Recipient gender (F/M)	7/11	10/19	N.S.
Donor mean age	47.4	37.7	0.012 *
Donor gender (F/M)	9/9	16/14	N.S.
Mean CIT (hours)	4.89	4.34	N.S.
Mean AST (Day 1)	5472.8	1072.4	2.7e-04 ***
Mean ALT (Day 1)	1618.3	542.5	0.0015 **

C

Gly GCC tiR5

B

UP-regulated in L2 (post) vs L1 (pre)

Gly GCC tiR5, Gly CCC tiR5, Glu TTC tiR5, His GTG tiR5, Asp GTC tiR5, Val TAC tiR5, SeC TCA tiR5, Gly GCC tRF5, Glu TTC tRF5, Glu CTC tRF5, Gly CCC tRF5, Glu TTC tRF3, miR-27a-5p, miR-1246-5p, miR-3178-5p, miR-5195-3p, miR-8069-2-3p

EAD 17   14   NF 4

Arg ACG tRF1, Arg TCG tRF1, Val CAC tRF1, Val CAG tRF5

Tyr GTA tRF1, Thr TGT tRF1, Lys TTT tiR5, Lys CTT tiR5, Val CAC tiR5, Glu CTC tiR5, Pro CGG tiR5, Val TAC tiR3, Glu CTC tiR3, Gly CCC tiR3, miR-486-5p, miR-451a, miR-451a-5p, miR-4284-5p

D

Glu TTC tiR5

Figure 3. IRI-associated tRF alterations are transplant outcome specific.

(A) Clinical and demographic characteristics of the patient cohort, stratified by transplant outcome (EAD or NF), which was classified based on day 1 post-transplant aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels. Cold ischemia time (CIT), the duration the donor liver remained on ice prior to transplantation, averaged 4.6 hours across groups. **(B)** Differential expression analysis of paired L2 vs. L1 samples was performed separately within EAD and NF groups (p. adj < 0.05). The Venn diagram displays small RNAs upregulated in L2 relative to L1 for each group. In the EAD group (n = 18), uniquely upregulated RNAs were predominantly tiR5 species, whereas in the NF group (n = 30), uniquely upregulated RNAs were mainly tRF1 species. A subset of tiR5 and tRF1 species was commonly upregulated in both groups, alongside other tRF and miRNA species. **(C)** and **(D)** Boxplots showing normalized expression (log10 transformed) of representative tiR5 species (Gly-GCC and Glu-TTC), stratified by transplant outcome (EAD vs. NF) and biopsy timepoint (L2 vs. L1). Statistical comparisons were performed using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests; p-values are indicated above comparisons. Both panels exemplify the EAD-specific upregulation of tiR5s, showing significant upregulation in L2 compared to L1 exclusively in EAD samples (Student's t-test).

Figure 4

Figure 4. Transplant outcome-associated differences in liver biopsy small RNA expression.

(A) Volcano plot of differentially expressed small RNAs between early EAD and NF in L1 samples (n = 18 and 30 respectively), identified using DESeq2 (p. adj < 0.1). The x-axis represents log2 fold change (EAD vs. NF), and the y-axis indicates statistical significance (−log10 adjusted p-value). The topmost significantly altered small RNAs are labeled. **(B)** Volcano plot of differentially expressed small RNAs between EAD and NF in L2 biopsy samples (n = 18 and 30 respectively). The topmost significantly altered small RNAs are labeled. **(C – E)** Boxplots of normalized expression (log10 transformed) of representative miRNAs (miR-452-5p, miR-224-5p, miR-296-3p), stratified by transplant outcome (EAD vs. NF) and biopsy timepoint (L1 vs. L2). All three miRNAs are consistently upregulated in EAD compared to NF, independent of time point. Statistical comparisons were performed using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests; p-values are shown above comparisons. **(F)** The lefthand side shows a network visualization of the three differentially expressed miRNAs, where red squares denote the miRNAs and surrounding circular nodes represent their predicted mRNA targets. Target nodes highlighted in blue correspond to genes belonging to the top enriched Reactome pathway, "Cellular responses to stress." **(G)** The pathway enrichment results generated from the combined miRNA target set (Reactome database, FDR cutoff = 0.01). For each pathway, the total number of annotated genes, expected overlap, observed hits, p-value, and FDR-adjusted significance are shown. "Cellular responses to stress" emerged as the most significantly enriched pathway, consistent with the clustering of blue target nodes in the network plot.

Figure 5

A

B

C

Figure 5. Pre-transplant liver perfusate solution is enriched with small RNAs.

(A) Donor livers were transported on ice in organ preservation solution prior to transplantation. Immediately before transplantation, the preservation solution (perfusate) was collected, and RNA was isolated for small RNA sequencing. **(B)** Composition of mapped small RNAs in 161 liver perfusate samples, stratified by EAD and NF groups. Across both groups, tRFs represented the predominant RNA species, followed by mitochondrial tRFs (MtRFs). **(C)** Relative abundance of mapped small RNA classes in liver perfusate samples, stratified by NF and EAD groups (n = 49 and 112 respectively). Each boxplot displays the percentage of total mapped small RNAs in each class, with each point representing an individual sample. RNA classes were similarly abundant in both EAD and NF samples, with no statistically significant difference between groups (Wilcoxon test).

Figure 6

A

$y = -5.4 + 9.9\ x$

$R = 0.3,\ p = 0.0011$

miR percentage

donor risk index

B

$y = 87 - 13\ x$

$R = -0.22,\ p = 0.019$

tRF percentage

donor risk index

C

tRF3CCA: 6   tRF5: 6   tiR3: 7
tRF3: 12   tiR5: 15   miRNA: 31

Perfusate: EAD

Perfusate: NF

log2 fold change

log2 mean normalized counts

D

Perfusate (EAD vs. NF)

NS   log2FC   padj & log2FC

-log10 padj

log2 fold change

E

Top 50 expressed small RNAs in perfusate

mean_RPM_EAD   mean_RPM_NF

Figure 6. Pre-transplant perfusate small RNAs are differentially expressed based on transplant outcome.

(A) Scatter plot depicting the relationship between donor risk index (DRI) and the percentage of small RNA sequencing reads mapped to miRNAs in perfusate samples. A significant positive correlation was observed between miRNA percentage and DRI (R = 0.30, p = 0.0011). The DRI incorporates donor age, cold ischemia time, cause of death, and race. **(B)** Scatter plot showing the relationship between DRI and the percentage of small RNA sequencing reads mapped to tRFs. A significant negative correlation was observed between DRI and tRF percentage (R = −0.22, p = 0.019). **(C)** MA plot of the same comparison (EAD vs. NF) in perfusate samples, where the x-axis represents $\log_2$ mean normalized counts and the y-axis represents $\log_2$ fold change. Significantly differentially expressed small RNAs (adjusted p-value < 0.1) are color-coded by RNA class (miRNA or tRF subtype). **(D)** Volcano plot showing differential expression of small RNAs in pre-transplant liver perfusate, identified using DESeq2. The x-axis represents $\log_2$ fold change (EAD vs. NF), and the y-axis shows $-\log_{10}$ adjusted p-value. For visualization, all -log10 p-adj values greater than 6 were capped and displayed as 6. Small RNAs meeting significance (adjusted p-value < 0.1) and fold-change thresholds ($|\log_2 FC| \geq 0.2$) are highlighted, while differentially expressed miRNAs labeled. **(E)** Bar plot showing expression levels (mean RPM) of the top fifty most abundant small RNAs detected in liver perfusate samples, stratified by transplant outcome (EAD, orange; NF, blue). Small RNAs that were significantly differentially expressed in EAD vs. NF comparisons are outlined in red.

Figure 7

E

Enriched pathways for miR targets (Top 10)

Pathway	Total	Expected	Hits	Pval	FDR
Gene Expression	851	142	219	5.80E-13	6.84E-10
Cellular responses to stress	256	42.9	84	1.03E-10	6.08E-08
SOS-mediated signalling	14	2.34	13	9.09E-10	2.68E-07
GRB2 events in EGFR signaling	14	2.34	13	9.09E-10	2.68E-07
Fc epsilon receptor (FCERI) signaling	169	28.3	60	1.82E-09	4.30E-07
Signaling by Leptin	21	3.52	16	3.05E-09	6.00E-07
SHC1 events in EGFR signaling	15	2.51	13	5.77E-09	9.73E-07
RAF/MAP kinase cascade	10	1.67	10	1.67E-08	2.46E-06
Downstream signal transduction	151	25.3	53	2.56E-08	3.36E-06
Signaling by SCF-KIT	133	22.3	48	4.33E-08	5.11E-06

Figure 7. Downregulated perfusate miRNAs candidates improve EAD prediction.

(A) ROC curves comparing three models for predicting EAD: donor risk index (DRI; black), downregulated perfusate tRFs + miRNAs (blue), and a combined model incorporating both perfusate RNAs and DRI (red). The DRI model includes donor age, cold ischemia time, cause of death, and race. The RNA-only model incorporates expression levels of fourteen perfusate RNAs (miRNAs and tRFs) that were significantly downregulated in EAD compared with NF samples. Model performance, assessed by 5-fold cross-validation, yielded AUCs of 0.665 (DRI), 0.756 (downregulated miRNAs + tRFs), and 0.764 (combined model). Comparisons using DeLong's test showed no significant differences between the RNA-alone and DRI-alone models (RNA-only vs. DRI, p = 0.13), however the combined model (RNAs + DRI) vs. DRI revealed a significant improvement in AUC (p = 0.047). **(B)** ROC curves comparing DRI alone (black), downregulated perfusate miRNAs (blue), and a combined miRNA + DRI model (red). The miRNA-only model included nine miRNAs significantly downregulated in EAD perfusate samples relative to NF. The miRNA model outperformed DRI alone (AUC 0.762 vs. 0.665), and the combined model further improved discrimination (AUC 0.772), demonstrating that downregulated perfusate miRNAs add predictive value beyond established donor clinical variables. DeLong's test confirmed the statistically significant difference between the combined model and DRI-only model (p = 0.033; miRNA-only vs. DRI, p = 0.107). **(C)** Boxplots illustrating the expression of the nine EAD-downregulated perfusate miRNAs used in the ROC analysis (panel B). The y-axis shows $\log_2$ normalized counts, with individual points representing each donor perfusate sample. Reported p-values (Wilcoxon test) reflect significant downregulation of these five miRNAs in EAD relative to NF. **(D)**

Network visualization of the nine candidate miRNAs (downregulated in EAD vs. NF),

where red squares denote the miRNAs and surrounding circular nodes represent their

predicted mRNA targets. Target nodes highlighted in blue correspond to genes belonging

to the Reactome pathway, "Cellular responses to stress," while the nodes highlighted in

orange correspond to the top enriched pathway "SOS-mediated signaling." **(E)** Pathway

enrichment results generated from the combined miRNA target set (Reactome database,

top 10). For each pathway, the total number of annotated genes, expected overlap,

observed hits, p-value, and FDR-adjusted significance are shown.

Figure 8

Figure 8. Summary of findings and potential role of tRFs and miRNAs in IRI, EAD

and clinical outcome.

A) Overview of small RNAs differentially expressed in L2 relative to L1 tissue. **B)**

Summary of miRNAs and enriched pathways identified in EAD vs NF comparisons across

both L1 and L2 tissue. **C)** Summary of nine downregulated perfusate miRNAs with

predictive value for EAD and their associated enriched pathways.

Methods

<u>Patient recruitment and specimen collection</u>

Liver tissue and perfusate samples were obtained from adult orthotopic liver transplant (OLT) recipients (≥18 years old) at Methodist University Hospital after obtaining informed consent. All procedures were conducted under protocols approved by the University of Tennessee Health Science Center Institutional Review Board (IRB#20-07742-XM and IRB#20-07640-XP). Patients were followed for 2 years after transplant. EAD and NF cohorts were defined based on Day 1 AST values based on Olthoff et al EAD definition. Needle biopsy specimens collected pre-implantation (L1) and post-reperfusion (L2) from the same patients were immediately immersed in RNA*later* (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. #AM7021) and incubated at 4 °C overnight to ensure complete permeation. RNA*later* was then removed, and the samples were stored at –80 °C until RNA extraction.

Perfusate samples were collected at the end of organ procurement concurrently with pre-implantation liver (L1) biopsies. The solutions were centrifuged at 2,400 rpm for 30 minutes at room temperature to remove debris. The resulting supernatant was aliquoted and stored at –80 °C until RNA isolation.

Donor livers were transported on ice in the perfusate solution. Average cold ischemia time is 4.5 hours. The perfusate samples were collected in the operation room at the back table before organ was prepped for the transplant and directly placed on ice and transferred to fridge. The perfusate samples were centrifuged and debris was removed. Lastly, the samples were kept at -80°C until RNA isolation. If the perfusate sample had to stay in the fridge overnight and were not centrifuged and frozen on the same they, they were not used in this study.

<u>RNA isolation and small RNA library preparation</u>

Total RNA was extracted from needle biopsies using the Direct-zol RNA MicroPrep Kit (Zymo Research, Cat. #R2062) according to the manufacturer's instructions, including on-column DNase I treatment to remove genomic DNA contamination. For perfusate samples, RNA was isolated from 500 µl of solution using the same kit, following the manufacturer's protocol for liquid samples, and eluted in 15 µl of RNase-free water. RNA concentrations were ranging 50-300 ng/ µl. Purified RNA was stored at –80 °C, and repeated freeze–thaw cycles were avoided to minimize RNA degradation. RNA quality was evaluated using QIAxcel, and each tissue sample exhibited an RNA integrity score (RIS) greater than 6, consistent with high-quality RNA. Perfusate RNA is composed primarily of small RNAs and lacks sufficient intact ribosomal RNA, making it unsuitable for quality assessment using QIAxcel or the Illumina Bioanalyzer. Perfusate RNA concentrations were extremely low and impossible to detect using Qubit RNA HS Assay. Small RNA libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for Illumina (New England Biolabs, Cat. #E7330) following the manufacturer's instructions. For each sample, 100 ng of tissue RNA or 5 µl of perfusate RNA was used as input. Indexing was performed using the NEBNext Multiplex Oligos for Illumina, with PCR amplification carried out for 15 cycles for tissue RNA libraries and 18 cycles for perfusate RNA libraries. Amplified products were resolved on an 8% polyacrylamide gel (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. #EC6215BOX), and target bands were excised and purified. Equal amounts of libraries were pooled and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500 platform, generating approximately 10 million 75 bp single-end reads per sample.

The samples were divided into carefully planned batches. Tissue RNA libraries were

prepared in three batches and sequenced in three corresponding batches. For each

patient, L1 and L2 samples were always processed within the same batch, and every

batch included both EAD and NF samples. Perfusate samples were similarly organized

so that each batch contained a mix of EAD and NF samples, minimizing potential batch

effects.

<u>Small RNA-seq data analysis</u>

Raw small RNA sequencing reads were trimmed to remove adapter sequences using

Cutadapt (v5.1). Adapter-trimmed reads were then mapped and annotated using Unitas

(v1.7.3), a specialized tool for comprehensive small RNA annotation similar to previously

described (38). Briefly, unitas regular mode allows 1 internal mismatch and maps reads

first to human miRbase Release 22 (39), then to other small RNA sequences including

genomic tRNA database (40), SILVA rRNA database Release 132 (41) and Ensembl

Release 97. In the case of multi-mapping, a read was counted as fraction distributed

equally to avoid duplicate counts. For liver biopsy samples, read count matrices

generated by Unitas were imported into DESeq2 (v1.44.0) in R for normalization and

differential expression analysis. Prior to analysis, RNAs with zero counts in more than 80%

of samples were excluded to reduce low-abundance noise. Data visualization was

performed in R using ggplot2 (v3.5.1) and ggpubr (v0.6.0). For perfusate samples, read

count matrices generated by Unitas were imported into R and normalized using DESEq2

with batch correction and DRI in the model. For L2 vs L1 comparisons, DESeq2 design

includes patient for paired analysis, all DESeq2 output is included in **Supplementary**

Table 1. For EAD vs NF comparisons, DESeq2 design includes batch effect and DRI

scores, all DESeq2 output is included in **Supplementary Table 2**. The corresponding

sample number and significance threshold is indicated in the Figure legends. Data

visualization was carried out in R with ggplot2 (v3.5.1) and ggpubr (v0.6.0).

<u>Clinical correlation and ROC analysis</u>

Donor clinical variables were correlated with perfusate small RNA abundance using

Pearson correlation in R. Correlation matrices were visualized with the corrplot package

(v0.92). Donor Risk Index (DRI) scores were calculated according to the equation defined

by Feng et al. (22), which incorporates seven donor or graft characteristics that

independently predict graft failure: donor age, donor race, donor height, cause of death,

donation after cardiac death, split/partial graft status, and cold ischemia time. ROC curves

were generated in R using the ROCR package (v1.0-11). Models were trained using 5-

fold cross-validation, in which the dataset was randomly partitioned into five equal subsets;

four folds were used to train a logistic model, and the remaining fold served as a held-out

test set. This process was repeated five times so that each fold was used once for testing.

Reported AUC values represent the mean across give folds. Comparisons between AUCs

were performed using DeLong's test in the pROC package (v1.18.5), to assess whether

performance differences between models were statistically significant.

<u>miRNA target prediction and pathway analysis</u>

microRNA target network analysis was performed using miRNet2.0 (42). microRNA

targets are predicted by miRTarBase v9.0 (43) and pathway enrichment calculated using

hypergeometric test against Reactome pathways (44).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the University of

Tennessee Health Science Center Institutional Review Board, which approved all

protocols (IRB#20-07742-XM and IRB#20-07640-XP). Liver tissue and perfusate

samples were obtained from adult orthotopic liver transplant (OLT) recipients (≥18 years

old) at Methodist University Hospital after written informed consent was obtained from all

participants.

Availability of data and materials

The small RNA-seq data has been deposited to GEO (GSE311219 and GSE311223).

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Funding

This research was supported by NIH grants R00CA259526 (to Z.S.), T32NS121721 (to

M.A.), T32GM135028 (to K.M.), and Transplant Research Institute at UTHSC start up

fund (to C.K.).

Authors' contributions

684 C.W., G.R., and M.K. helped with patient sample processing, RNA isolation and small RNA library preparation. M.D. and Cem K. coordinated sample collection, storage and contributed to study design. J.E., J.V., and C.E. coordinated patient recruitment and sample collection at the Methodist Hospital. M.A., K.M. and Z.S. performed data analysis on RNA-seq. Canan K. and Z.S. supervised the study and wrote the manuscript with the help of M.A and K.M. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

692 We would like to thank the Methodist University Hospital transplant team for their assistance with patient recruitment, sample and clinical data collection. We are deeply grateful to the patients and their families for their participation and generous donations to this research. We also would like to acknowledge our colleagues at the Transplant Research Institute for their helpful discussions and ongoing support. We would like to thank IT Research Computing Team at the University of Alabama at Birmingham for NGS support.

References

1. Zhai Y, Petrowsky H, Hong JC, Busuttil RW, Kupiec-Weglinski JW. Ischaemia-

reperfusion injury in liver transplantation--from bench to bedside. Nat Rev

Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2013 Feb;10(2):79–89.

2. Olthoff KM, Kulik L, Samstein B, Kaminski M, Abecassis M, Emond J, et al. Validation

of a current definition of early allograft dysfunction in liver transplant recipients and

analysis of risk factors. Liver Transpl. 2010 Aug;16(8):943–9.

3. Giraudi PJ, Codotto G, Baccarani U, Baralle F, Gondolesi G, Licastro D, et al.

Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury and Outcomes in Liver Transplantation Assessed by

Omics Technologies: Where Do We Stand? Transplantation. 2025 Aug 13;

4. Kumar P, Kuscu C, Dutta A. Biogenesis and Function of Transfer RNA-Related

Fragments (tRFs). Trends Biochem Sci. 2016 Aug;41(8):679–89.

5. Li G, Manning AC, Bagi A, Yang X, Gokulnath P, Spanos M, et al. Distinct Stress-

Dependent Signatures of Cellular and Extracellular tRNA-Derived Small RNAs. Adv

Sci (Weinh). 2022 Jun;9(17):e2200829.

6. Su Z, Wilson B, Kumar P, Dutta A. Noncanonical Roles of tRNAs: tRNA Fragments

and Beyond. Annu Rev Genet. 2020 Nov 23;54:47–69.

7. Gebetsberger J, Wyss L, Mleczko AM, Reuther J, Polacek N. A tRNA-derived

fragment competes with mRNA for ribosome binding and regulates translation during

stress. RNA Biol. 2016 Nov 28;14(10):1364–73.

8.   Emara MM, Ivanov P, Hickman T, Dawra N, Tisdale S, Kedersha N, et al. Angiogenin-
induced tRNA-derived Stress-induced RNAs Promote Stress-induced Stress Granule
Assembly. J Biol Chem. 2010 Apr 2;285(14):10959–68.

9.   Goodarzi H, Liu X, Nguyen HCB, Zhang S, Fish L, Tavazoie SF. Endogenous tRNA-
Derived Fragments Suppress Breast Cancer Progression via YBX1 Displacement.
Cell. 2015 May 7;161(4):790–802.

10. Kuscu C, Kumar P, Kiran M, Su Z, Malik A, Dutta A. tRNA fragments (tRFs) guide Ago
to regulate gene expression post-transcriptionally in a Dicer-independent manner.
RNA. 2018 Aug;24(8):1093–105.

11. Li G, Sun L, Xin C, Hao T, Kharel P, Manning AC, et al. A hypoxia-responsive tRNA-
derived small RNA confers renal protection through RNA autophagy. Science. 2025
Aug 28;389(6763):eadp5384.

12. Anderson P, Ivanov P. tRNA fragments in human health and disease. FEBS Lett.
2014 Nov 28;588(23):4297–304.

13. Pandey KK, Madhry D, Ravi Kumar YS, Malvankar S, Sapra L, Srivastava RK, et al.
Regulatory roles of tRNA-derived RNA fragments in human pathophysiology. Mol
Ther Nucleic Acids. 2021 Dec 3;26:161–73.

14. Costa B, Li Calzi M, Castellano M, Blanco V, Cuevasanta E, Litvan I, et al. Nicked
tRNAs are stable reservoirs of tRNA halves in cells and biofluids. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A. 2023 Jan 24;120(4):e2216330120.

15. Srinivasan S, Yeri A, Cheah PS, Chung A, Danielson K, De Hoff P, et al. Small RNA

Sequencing across Diverse Biofluids Identifies Optimal Methods for exRNA Isolation.

Cell. 2019 Apr 4;177(2):446-462.e16.

16. Mas VR, Dumur CI, Scian MJ, Gehrau RC, Maluf DG. MicroRNAs as biomarkers in

solid organ transplantation. Am J Transplant. 2013 Jan;13(1):11–9.

17. van de Vrie M, Deegens JK, Eikmans M, van der Vlag J, Hilbrands LB. Urinary

MicroRNA as Biomarker in Renal Transplantation. Am J Transplant. 2017

May;17(5):1160–6.

18. Koch PF, Ludwig K, Krenzien F, Hillebrandt KH, Schöning W, Pratschke J, et al.

miRNA as potential biomarkers after liver transplantation: A systematic review.

Transplant Rev (Orlando). 2024 Apr;38(2):100831.

19. Farid WRR, Pan Q, van der Meer AJP, de Ruiter PE, Ramakrishnaiah V, de Jonge J,

et al. Hepatocyte-derived microRNAs as serum biomarkers of hepatic injury and

rejection after liver transplantation. Liver Transpl. 2012 Mar;18(3):290–7.

20. Khorsandi SE, Quaglia A, Salehi S, Jassem W, Vilca-Melendez H, Prachalias A, et al.

The microRNA Expression Profile in Donation after Cardiac Death (DCD) Livers and

Its Ability to Identify Primary Non Function. PLOS ONE. 2015 May 15;10(5):e0127073.

21. Matton APM, Selten JW, Roest HP, de Jonge J, IJzermans JNM, de Meijer VE, et al.

Cell-free microRNAs as early predictors of graft viability during ex vivo normothermic

machine perfusion of human donor livers. Clin Transplant. 2020 Mar;34(3):e13790.

22. Feng S, Goodrich NP, Bragg-Gresham JL, Dykstra DM, Punch JD, DebRoy MA, et al.

Characteristics associated with liver graft failure: the concept of a donor risk index.

Am J Transplant. 2006 Apr;6(4):783–90.

23. Ivanov P, Emara MM, Villen J, Gygi SP, Anderson P. Angiogenin-induced tRNA

fragments inhibit translation initiation. Mol Cell. 2011 Aug 19;43(4):613–23.

24. Pawar K, Kawamura T, Kirino Y. The tRNAVal half: A strong endogenous Toll-like

receptor 7 ligand with a 5'-terminal universal sequence signature. Proc Natl Acad Sci

U S A. 2024 May 7;121(19):e2319569121.

25. Pawar K, Shigematsu M, Sharbati S, Kirino Y. Infection-induced 5′-half molecules of

tRNAHisGUG activate Toll-like receptor 7. PLOS Biology. 2020 Dec

17;18(12):e3000982.

26. Yu J, Zhang X, Cai C, Zhou T, Chen Q. Small RNA and Toll-like receptor interactions:

origins and disease mechanisms. Trends Biochem Sci. 2025 May;50(5):385–401.

27. Rong MH, Cai KT, Lu HP, Guo YN, Huang XY, Zhu ZH, et al. Overexpression of MiR-

452-5p in hepatocellular carcinoma tissues and its prospective signaling pathways.

Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2019 Nov 1;12(11):4041–56.

28. Li A, Wu N, Sun J. E2F1-induced microRNA-224-5p expression is associated with

hepatocellular carcinoma cell migration, invasion and epithelial-mesenchymal

transition via MREG. Oncol Lett. 2022 Mar;23(3):82.

29. Markovic J, Li R, Khanal R, Peng Q, Möbus S, Yuan Q, et al. Identification and
functional validation of miR-190b-5p and miR-296-3p as novel therapeutic attenuators
of liver fibrosis. J Hepatol. 2025 Feb;82(2):301–14.

30. Darden CM, Saracino G, Otajonova N, Vasu S, Wang X, Onaca N, et al. Exosomal
Small RNA From Donor Liver Preservation Solution Exhibits Molecular Signatures
That Distinguish Organ Quality and Posttransplant Complications. Transplant Direct.
2025 Sep 18;11(10):e1847.

31. Zhang C, Sun C, Zhao Y, Ye B, Yu G. Signaling pathways of liver regeneration:
Biological mechanisms and implications. iScience. 2024 Jan 19;27(1):108683.

32. Liang J, Li A, Chen J, Cao N, Zhu T, Cai R, et al. MicroRNA Let-7b-5p Targets IGF1R
to Inhibit the Progression of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Through the AKT/mTOR
Pathway. Cancer Med. 2025 Jun;14(12):e71000.

33. Zhou C, Chen Z, Peng C, Chen C, Li H. Long Noncoding RNA TRIM52-AS1 Sponges
miR-514a-5p to Facilitate Hepatocellular Carcinoma Progression Through Increasing
MRPS18A. Cancer Biother Radiopharm. 2021 Mar;36(2):211–9.

34. Mou T, Luo Y, Huang Z, Zheng D, Pu X, Shen A, et al. Inhibition of microRNA-128-3p
alleviates liver ischaemia-reperfusion injury in mice through repressing the Rnd3/NF-
κB axis. Innate Immun. 2020 Aug;26(6):528–36.

35. Kellner MJ, Koob JG, Gootenberg JS, Abudayyeh OO, Zhang F. SHERLOCK: nucleic
acid detection with CRISPR nucleases. Nat Protoc. 2019 Oct;14(10):2986–3012.

36. Zhao S, Zhang Q, Luo R, Sun J, Zhu C, Zhou D, et al. Amplification-free miRNA

detection with CRISPR/Cas12a system based on fragment complementary activation

strategy. Chem Sci. 2024 Oct 17;15(44):18347–54.

37. De Silva PIT, Hiniduma K, Canete R, Bhalerao KS, Shawky SM, Gunathilaka H, et al.

Multiplexed CRISPR Assay for Amplification-Free Detection of miRNAs. Biosensors

(Basel). 2025 May 29;15(6):346.

38. Su Z, Monshaugen I, Wilson B, Wang F, Klungland A, Ougland R, et al. TRMT6/61A-

dependent base methylation of tRNA-derived fragments regulates gene-silencing

activity and the unfolded protein response in bladder cancer. Nat Commun. 2022 Apr

20;13(1):2165.

39. Kozomara A, Birgaoanu M, Griffiths-Jones S. miRBase: from microRNA sequences

to function. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019 Jan 8;47(D1):D155–62.

40. Chan PP, Lowe TM. GtRNAdb 2.0: an expanded database of transfer RNA genes

identified in complete and draft genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan

4;44(D1):D184-189.

41. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA

ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based

tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013 Jan;41(Database issue):D590-596.

42. Chang L, Zhou G, Soufan O, Xia J. miRNet 2.0: network-based visual analytics for

miRNA functional analysis and systems biology. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020 Jul

2;48(W1):W244–51.

43. Skoufos G, Kakoulidis P, Tastsoglou S, Zacharopoulou E, Kotsira V, Miliotis M, et al.

TarBase-v9.0 extends experimentally supported miRNA–gene interactions to cell-

types and virally encoded miRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2024 Jan 5;52(D1):D304–10.

44. Milacic M, Beavers D, Conley P, Gong C, Gillespie M, Griss J, et al. The Reactome

Pathway Knowledgebase 2024. Nucleic Acids Res. 2024 Jan 5;52(D1):D672–8.