mRNA-Based Designer APCs Elicit Robust CD8^{+} and CD4^{+} T Cell Responses

Toan Van Le[1], Shota Imai[1], Iriya Fujitsuka[1], Makie Ueda[1] Sayuri Nakamae[3], Shusaku Mizukami[3], Rikinari Hanayama[1,2†] and Tomoyoshi Yamano[1,2†]

1. Department of Immunology, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan

2. WPI Nano Life Science Institute (NanoLSI), Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan

3. Department of Immune Regulation, SHIONOGI Global infectious Disease Division, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan

†Correspondence to T.Y (tomoyoshi.yamano@med.kanazawa-u.ac.jp) or R.H (rikinari-hanayama@umin.ac.jp)

Running title: Designer cells for T cell differentiation

Abstract

mRNA-based therapeutics have demonstrated notable success in SARS-CoV-2 vaccines and are emerging in cancer immunotherapy. However, conventional mRNA cancer vaccines are limited by the low immunogenicity of tumor-associated and neoantigens. We addressed this limitation by formulating a modular, liposome-based mRNA cocktail comprising three distinct mRNAs encoding tumor antigen, the co-stimulatory molecule CD80, and membrane-tethered IL-2. Administration of this mRNA mixture transforms somatic cells into 'designer antigen-presenting cells (APCs)' in vivo, which simultaneously express the antigen, a co-stimulatory molecule, and a cytokine. These designer APCs more effectively activated tumor antigen-specific CD8^{+} T cells than mRNA encoding the antigen alone and elicited robust anti-tumor immune responses. In addition, substituting IL-2 in the mRNA mixtures with membrane-tethered IL-12 led to the expansion and differentiation of endogenous antigen-specific Th1 helper T cells in vivo. Importantly, this platform activated NY-ESO-1-specific CD8^{+} T cells both in human PBMCs in vitro and in HLA-A*02:01-transgenic mice, highlighting its translational potential. This modular mRNA strategy reprograms somatic cells in situ into designer APCs, providing a flexible and translatable platform for precision immunotherapy.

Introduction

mRNA-based therapeutics have dramatically accelerated the development of infectious disease vaccines. This accelerated timeline is evident in the significant progress achieved in less than a year for SARS-CoV-2, a process that typically takes several years[1,2]. This advancement, highlighted by the practicality and safety of mRNA vaccines, has increased interest in mRNA therapeutics globally. As a result, the development of vaccines for various infectious diseases and cancer is being pursued[3,4].

In cancer immunotherapy, mRNA encoding cancer neoantigens is currently being advanced in clinical trials[4,5]. Recent efforts have focused on vaccines that encode multiple sequences of neoantigens found in cancer cells[6,7]. However, in the context of cancer vaccines, the effectiveness of mRNA on its own remains limited, often necessitating the concurrent use of immune checkpoint inhibitors and other anti-cancer treatments[8]. This highlights the need for more efficient methods to activate CD8^{+} T cells for enhanced anti-tumor responses.

The delivery of mRNA into cells triggers a cascade of events, starting with its translation into proteins, which are subsequently degraded by the proteasome. The resulting peptides are then complexed with major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC I) molecules and displayed on the cell surface for recognition by CD8^{+} T cells[9]. However, T cell activation is not solely dependent on T cell receptor (TCR) engagement; it also requires co-stimulatory signals[10]. While professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs) express these co-stimulatory molecules, non-APCs lack them and are unable to directly activate naïve CD8^{+} T cells upon mRNA uptake. In such cases, cross-presentation by professional APCs becomes

essential[11]. Non-APCs that have taken up mRNA-encoded antigens rely on antigen transfer to dendritic cells, particularly XCR1^{+} conventional type 1 DCs (cDC1s), which are specialized for cross-presentation and subsequent activation of CD8^{+} T cells[12]. This dependency implies that the therapeutic potential of mRNA vaccines may be constrained by the efficiency of antigen handover and the functionality of cross-presenting DC subsets. To address this limitation, recent efforts have focused on the development of lipid nanoparticles (LNPs) that preferentially target dendritic cells, including both cDC1s and migratory cDC2s. By engineering ionizable lipids or formulating mRNA with DC-targeting delivery platforms, such as lipid nanoparticles (LNPs) or lipoplexes (LPX), it is now possible to selectively deliver mRNA into DCs. This targeted approach bypasses the need for antigen transfer from non-APCs and enables direct expression of antigen and co-stimulatory molecules within dendritic cells[13,14]. These delivery systems have demonstrated enhanced CD8^{+} T cell priming and improved therapeutic efficacy in preclinical cancer models, reinforcing the rationale for APC-directed mRNA delivery as a key component of next-generation cancer vaccines.

In this study, we propose a novel approach to further enhance mRNA vaccine efficacy by introducing mRNA constructs that encode not only tumor antigens, but also co-stimulatory molecules and cytokines, thereby transiently reprogramming recipient cells into 'designer APCs'. This strategy complements the conventional reliance on natural APC subsets by functionally reprogramming transfected cells to acquire key immunostimulatory features, thereby providing an alternative route for antigen presentation. These designer APCs are capable of directly activating

CD8^{+} T cells and orchestrating a strong cytotoxic immune response. Moreover,

substituting IL-2 with cytokines such as IL-12 enables the in vivo differentiation of

antigen-specific Th1 helper T cells, highlighting the potential of this strategy to

precisely modulate T cell differentiation in a context-dependent manner.

Result

Generation of designer APCs for activation of antigen-specific CD8^{+} T cells

To engineer designer APCs capable of activating antigen-specific CD8^{+} T cells,

we synthesized a set of mRNAs encoding OVA-MITD (a model antigen containing

OT-I and OT-II epitopes, fused to a minimal MHC class I trafficking domain (MITD

to enhance antigen presentation)[15], the costimulatory molecule CD80, and

membrane-anchored IL-2 (achieved by fusing IL-2 to the extracellular domain of

CD8α). Plasmids used for in vitro transcription were engineered to include a 128-

nucleotide poly(A) tail for enhanced stability and incorporated N1-

methylpseudouridine triphosphate (m$^1\Psi$TP) in place of UTP (Fig.1a,

Supplementary Fig.1a-c)[15,16]. These mRNAs were transfected into MC38 cells,

resulting in robust cell surface expression of MHC I, CD80, and IL-2, as confirmed

by flow cytometry (Fig.1b, c). This indicated successful reprogramming of MC38

cells into 'designer APCs' simultaneously presenting antigen, co-stimulatory

molecule, and cytokine. When co-cultured with OVA-specific CD8^{+} T cells (OT-I),

MC38 cells expressing all three components, OVA-MITD, CD80, and IL-2,

induced the most robust OT-I proliferation, compared to cells expressing OVA-

MITD alone or in combination with either CD80 or IL-2 (Fig.1d, e, Supplementary

Fig.1d, e).

In vivo generation of designer APCs stimulates antigen-specific CD8$^+$ T cells

We examined whether in vivo generation of designer APCs could promote clonal expansion of endogenous antigen-specific CD8$^+$ T cells. Mice were administered control mRNA, OVA-MITD mRNA alone, or a mixture of OVA-MITD, CD80, and IL-2 mRNAs once weekly for three consecutive weeks. All mRNAs were formulated using the in vivo-jetRNA$^+$ liposomal delivery reagent for efficient systemic delivery. One week after the final injection, spleens were harvested and analyzed for OVA-specific CD8$^+$ T cells using MHC class I tetramer (Fig.2a). Consistent with the in vitro results, OVA-tetramer$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells expanded more robustly in the combination group (56%) than in the OVA-MITD group (24.3%) (Fig. 2b, c, Supplementary Fig. 2a). The expanded CD8$^+$ T cells exhibited a CD44^{hi}CD62L^{low} phenotype, characteristic of effector or effector memory T cells, and expressed IFN-γ (Fig.2d, Supplementary Fig.2b, c). Total splenocyte numbers were comparable across all groups (Supplementary Fig.2d), suggesting that the observed expansion of OVA-specific CD8$^+$ T cells was not due to non-specific activation, but rather reflects a targeted immune response.

To assess therapeutic efficacy, we employed a tumor model in which E.G7 cells (OVA-expressing EL-4 derivatives) were subcutaneously implanted into C57BL/6 mice. When tumors reached 100 mm³, mice received weekly intravenous injections of control mRNA, OVA-MITD mRNA alone or the combination mixture for three weeks (Fig.2e). While OVA-MITD mRNA monotherapy delayed tumor growth and extended survival relative to control, the combination treatment led to

even greater tumor suppression and prolonged survival (Fig.2f, g).

To elucidate the underlying mechanisms, we analyzed tumor-infiltrating

lymphocytes one week after mRNA administration (Fig.2h). CD8$^+$ T cells

constituted 4.6% of TILs in the OVA-MITD group, but increased to 13.5% in the

combination group (Fig.2i, j, Supplementary Fig.2e-g). Consistently, tumor

weights were significantly lower in the combination group (Supplementary Fig.2h).

Furthermore, a higher proportion of CD8$^+$ T cells expressed IFN-γ in the

combination group (Fig.2k), indicating enhanced infiltration and activation of

CD8$^+$ T cells in the tumor microenvironment. These results demonstrate that in

vivo-generated designer APCs expand antigen-specific CD8$^+$ T cells and elicit

potent anti-tumor immunity in the OVA antigen model.

In vivo generation of designer APCs expands neoantigen-specific CD8$^+$ T

cells

Using Rpl18 as a model neoantigen in the MC38 tumor system, we investigated

whether in vivo generation of designer APCs could expand neoantigen-specific

CD8$^+$ T cells. Mice were administered control mRNA, Rpl18-MITD mRNA alone,

or a mixture of Rpl18-MITD, CD80, and IL-2 mRNAs once weekly for three

consecutive weeks, in accordance with the administration protocol established in

the OVA model (Fig.3a, Supplementary Fig.3a). One week after the final injection,

spleens were harvested and analyzed for Rpl18-specific CD8$^+$ T cells using MHC

class I tetramers. Mice receiving Rpl18-MITD alone exhibited modest expansion

of tetramer-positive CD8$^+$ T cells, whereas the combination treatment induced

robust expansion and differentiation into CD44^{hi}CD62L^{low} effector and effector

memory phenotypes (Fig.3b-d, Supplementary Fig.3b-d). As observed in the OVA

model, total splenocyte numbers remained unchanged across groups

(Supplementary Fig.3e), suggesting that the response was antigen-specific

rather than due to non-specific activation.

To assess therapeutic efficacy, Rpl18-MC38 tumors were subcutaneously

implanted and allowed to grow to approximately 100 mm³. Following the same

weekly schedule as the OVA model, mice received either control mRNA, Rpl18-

MITD alone or the combination mRNA mixture once per week for three weeks

(Fig.3e). While Rpl18-MITD alone modestly delayed tumor growth, the

combination treatment resulted in significantly greater tumor suppression and

extended survival (Fig.3f, g). These results demonstrate that in vivo generation

of designer APCs not only promotes the expansion and functional differentiation

of neoantigen-specific CD8^{+} T cells, but also enhances anti-tumor immunity and

improves survival compared to antigen-only mRNA vaccination.

In vivo and in vitro evaluation of HLA-A*02:01-restricted responses induced

by humanized designer APCs

To assess the function of designer APCs in an HLA-A*02:01-restricted humanized

mouse model, we used HHD mice, which express a chimeric MHC class I

molecule composed of the α1 and α2 domains of human HLA-A*02:01, the α3

domain of murine H-2Db, and human β2-microglobulin[18]. This model enables the

evaluation of HLA-A*02:01-restricted CD8^{+} T cell responses in vivo. As a

representative tumor antigen, we selected NY-ESO-1, a cancer/testis antigen

widely expressed in various malignancies, and used its well-characterized HLA-

A*02:01-restricted epitope (amino acids 157-165)[19]. Mice were administered

either mRNA encoding NY-ESO-1-MITD alone or a combination of mRNAs

encoding NY-ESO-1-MITD, CD80, and IL-2 (Fig.4a, Supplementary Fig.4a). NY-

ESO-1-MITD alone failed to induce significant expansion of antigen-specific

CD8$^+$ T cells, whereas the combination treatment resulted in robust expansion

and differentiation of CD44^{hi}CD62L^{low} effector/memory CD8$^+$ T cells (Fig.4b-d,

Supplementary.4b-d). Consistent with earlier results, total splenocyte numbers

remained unchanged across groups, indicating antigen-specific activation

(Supplementary Fig.4e). To assess cytotoxic activity, we performed an in vivo

killing assay using a 1:1 mixture of splenocytes pulsed with NY-ESO-1 peptide

(CellTrace Violet-labeled) and control peptide (CFSE-labeled), injected into

mRNA-treated mice seven days after the final injection (Fig.4e). NY-ESO-1

peptide-pulsed target cells were selectively eliminated in mice treated with the

mRNA mixture, but not in those receiving control mRNA or NY-ESO-1-MITD

mRNA alone (Fig.4f, g). These findings demonstrate that in vivo-generated

designer APCs can effectively induce functional antigen-specific CTLs within an

HLA-A*02:01-restricted setting.

Following in vivo evaluation in the HHD mouse model, we next examined the

function of designer APCs in a fully human context by performing in vitro co-

culture assays using TCR-transduced primary human PBMCs obtained from an

HLA-A*02:01-positive donor. A NY-ESO-1-specific TCR was introduced into

PBMCs derived from an HLA-A*02:01-positive healthy donor via lentiviral

transduction[17]. HEK293T cells were then transfected with either control mRNA,

NY-ESO-1-MITD mRNA alone, or a combination of NY-ESO-1-MITD, human

CD80, and membrane-anchored human IL-2 mRNAs to generate designer APCs

(Fig.4h, Supplementary Fig.4f, g). When co-cultured with the TCR-engineered T

cells, only the designer APCs expressing all three components induced robust T

cell activation, as demonstrated by the expansion of antigen-specific T cells and

the production of IFN-γ (Fig.4i, j, Supplementary Fig.4h, i).

In vivo generation of designer APCs induces Th1 differentiation of antigen-

specific CD4$^+$ T cells

To extend the functionality of designer APCs beyond CD8$^+$ T cell activation, we

engineered constructs to promote the differentiation of antigen-specific CD4$^+$

helper T cells. We designed mRNAs encoding membrane-anchored IL-12 and a

fusion protein comprising the OVA peptide fused to the MHC class II molecule

(MHC-II) I-A^b via a flexible linker, enabling direct epitope presentation without

intracellular processing (Fig.5a, Supplementary Fig.5a, b). Mice were

intravenously injected with either OVA-MHCII mRNA alone or a combination of

OVA-MHCII, CD80, and IL-12 mRNAs once weekly for two consecutive weeks.

One week after the final injection, spleens were harvested and analyzed by flow

cytometry using MHC-II tetramers to identify OVA-specific CD4$^+$ T cells (Fig.5b).

In mice treated with OVA-MHCII mRNA alone, only minimal expansion of antigen-

specific CD4$^+$ T cells was observed. In contrast, mice receiving the combination

mRNA mixture exhibited a marked increase in the frequency of MHC-II tetramer$^+$

CD4$^+$ T cells (Fig. 5c, d, Supplementary Fig.5c, d). Among these cells, 27%

expressed T-bet, a master regulator of Th1 differentiation[20] (Fig.5e, f,

Supplementary Fig.5e). Furthermore, upon ex vivo stimulation with OVA peptide,

a substantial proportion of CD4$^+$ T cells from the combination group produced

IFN-γ, confirming functional Th1 polarization (Fig.5g, Supplementary Fig.5f).

These results demonstrate that in vivo generation of designer APCs encoding an

MHC-II -linked antigen and IL-12 effectively promotes the expansion and Th1

differentiation of antigen-specific CD4$^+$ T cells.

Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated that co-delivery of mRNAs encoding antigens, co-

stimulatory molecules, and immunomodulatory cytokines can transiently

reprogram non-professional somatic cells into designer APCs in vivo, thereby

markedly enhancing neoantigen-specific CD8$^+$ T cell activation and expansion,

overcoming the low immunogenicity and neoantigen-prediction challenges of

conventional mRNA cancer vaccines. Furthermore, our results demonstrate that

the cytokine composition of the mRNA mixture can be tuned to direct CD4$^+$ T-cell

differentiation. For example, inclusion of IL-12 selectively promoted Th1

polarization of antigen-specific CD4$^+$ T cells. Several recent reports have

examined IL-12 mRNA as a stand-alone adjuvant. Aunins *et al.* showed that

formulating a separate IL-12 mRNA-LNP with an antigen-encoding mRNA-LNP

boosts CD8$^+$ T cell expansion and effector differentiation, but CD4$^+$ T cell help

remained modest[21]. Lapuente *et al.* achieved stronger Th1 skewing by anchoring

membrane-tethered IL-12 in an adjuvant mRNA construct, yet the effect was still

dependent on endogenous dendritic cells for optimal priming[22]. Unlike two-vial IL-

12 mRNA adjuvant protocols, the designer APC mRNA cocktail, comprising

antigen, CD80, and membrane-bound IL-12 effectively induced the in vivo

expansion and Th1 polarization of endogenous OVA-specific CD4^{+} T cells, as

evidenced by T-bet expression and IFN-γ secretion. These findings highlight the

efficiency and modularity of the designer APC platform in directing antigen-

specific helper T cell responses.

Beyond IL-12, incorporating IL-15 or IL-21 could potentiate memory-precursor

CD8^{+} T cells[24,25], whereas co-expression of co-stimulatory molecules such as 4-

1BBL or OX40L may further amplify effector functions[25,26]. These observations

underscore the platform's modularity: tailored combinations of antigens, co-

stimulatory molecules and cytokines can fine-tune T cell responses to specific

needs. Beyond cancer vaccines, the approach could be adapted to induce

antigen-specific tolerance in autoimmune or allergic disorders by co-encoding

inhibitory cytokines or other regulatory cues[28,29]. Other applications, such as the

induction of long-lived memory T cells or the avoidance of T cell exhaustion, may

also be explored by further customizing the mRNA payload. Thus, designer APCs

offer a flexible and precise platform for immune modulation in a variety of disease

contexts.

In this study, we employed commercially available liposomes for mRNA delivery,

which efficiently facilitated uptake and expression in recipient cells. However, the

use of more advanced delivery vehicles, such as LNPs or LPX engineered for

targeted delivery to dendritic cells, may further enhance transfection efficiency

and therapeutic outcomes. Recent reports have shown that dendritic-cell-

targeting LPX, such as those developed by BioNTech (e.g., BNT111) and others,

can improve antigen presentation and anti-tumor immunity[14,29,30]. Beyond lipid-

based carriers, viral-like particles, polymer-lipid hybrid nanoparticles, and

engineered extracellular vesicles represent additional platforms with the potential

to increase cargo stability, cellular specificity, and endosomal escape[31–33].

Integration of the designer APC mRNA platform with advanced delivery systems

may further enhance anti-tumor immunity by improving the precision and

efficiency of mRNA delivery to immune-relevant cell populations.

While these advantages are compelling, the potent immune activation achieved

with designer APCs raises safety considerations. Excessive or systemic

expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines could precipitate cytokine-release

syndrome or off-target tissue damage[34,35]. Rigorous dose-finding studies, and

tissue-restricted delivery will therefore be critical components of future

translational efforts.

In summary, our study establishes a novel and modular mRNA-based platform

for the in vivo generation of designer APCs, enabling the precise activation and

programming of antigen-specific T cell responses. This work provides a

foundation for future investigations into optimized mRNA formulations, advanced

delivery systems, safety engineering, and translational applications in cancer

immunotherapy and immune regulation.

Methods

Cell lines

The OVA-expressing murine lymphoma cell line, a derivative of EL4 (E.G7; ATCC,

CRL-2113) was cultured in RPMI 1640 (Nacalai Tesque) supplemented with 10%

fetal calf serum (FCS; Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1× non-essential amino acids

(Nacalai Tesque), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Nacalai Tesque), 100 U/mL penicillin,

100 U/mL streptomycin (FUJIFILM Wako), and 0.05 µM 2-mercaptoethanol

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). To generate a stable MC38 cell line expressing mutant

Rpl18, we used a codon-optimized mouse Rpl18 gene containing the Q125R

mutation, which was previously reported in MC38 cells[36], but absent in the MC38

cells obtained from Kerafast. The mutated gene was fused to the transmembrane

domain of mouse CD8 via a 2A peptide and cloned into a third-generation

lentiviral vector (pLJM1, Addgene #91980) under the CMV promoter. MC38 cells

were transduced with lentiviral particles and stably expressed mutant Rpl18.

Rpl18-MC38 cells were cultured in DMEM (Nacalai Tesque) supplemented with

10% FCS, 2 mM L-glutamine (Nacalai Tesque), 1× non-essential amino acids,

1 mM sodium pyruvate, 10 mM HEPES (Nacalai Tesque), 50 µg/mL gentamicin

sulfate (Nacalai Tesque), and 100 U/mL penicillin-streptomycin. Human

embryonic kidney (HEK)-293T cells (ATCC, Cat# CRL-3216) were cultured in

DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 U/mL

streptomycin. All cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere

containing 5% CO_2.

Mice

C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Japan SLC. OT-I TCR transgenic mice[37] and

HLA-A*02:01 transgenic mice[18] mice were housed in a specific pathogen-free

facility. All animal experiments were performed following a protocol approved by

Kanazawa University.

mRNA construct and in vitro transcription

Plasmids for mRNA preparation were codon-optimized for murine expression

using VectorBuilder (VectorBuilder Ltd.). Each construct contained a consensus

Kozak sequence upstream of the start codon and three stop codons downstream

of the gene of interest. DNA fragments were synthesized by Eurofins Genomics

and cloned into the vector, which contains a T7 RNA polymerase promoter, 5′UTR,

coding region, 3′UTR, and a 128-base poly(A) tail. The 5′UTR, coding region, and

3′UTR sequences were based on those described in a previous study[38].

In vitro transcription was performed using the HiScribe® T7 High Yield RNA

Synthesis Kit (New England Biolabs). Transcribed mRNA was purified using the

Monarch® RNA Cleanup Kit (New England Biolabs). The quality of IVT-mRNA

was confirmed with the RNA ScreenTape kit (Agilent Technologies).

mRNA transfection in vitro

mRNA transfections were performed using the TransIT®-mRNA Transfection Kit

(Mirus Bio), a cationic lipid-based reagent. Briefly, mRNA was diluted in Opti-

MEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and mixed with TransIT-mRNA reagent

according to the manufacturer's protocol. The resulting RNA-lipid complexes

were added to the cells. Target protein expression was evaluated by flow

cytometry 18 hours after transfection.

In vitro T cells proliferation assay

Lymph node T cells were isolated from OT-I transgenic mice and labeled with

1 µM CellTrace™ Violet (CTV; Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 37 °C for 3 minutes. A

total of 2×10^5 CTV-labeled OT-I T cells were co-cultured with MC38 cells

transfected with mRNA encoding OVA-MITD, OVA-MITD + CD80, OVA-MITD +

membrane-anchored IL-2, or OVA-MITD + CD80 + membrane-anchored IL-2 at

a 4:1 T cell to MC38 cell ratio. Transfected MC38 cells were prepared according

to the mRNA transfection method described above. After 3 days of culture, T cell

proliferation was analyzed by flow cytometry.

mRNA formulation and intravenous administration

mRNA was formulated with in vivo-jetRNA+ (Polyplus) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, mRNA was diluted in a suitable buffer and

mixed with in vivo-jetRNA+ reagent. A total of 200 µL of the resulting nanoparticle

suspension was intravenously injected into each mouse.

In vivo tumor models and mRNA treatment regimen

A total of 1×10^5 E.G7 tumor cells suspended in 200 µL PBS (FUJIFILM Wako)

were subcutaneously injected into the right flank of C57BL/6 mice. For the Rpl18-

MC38 tumor model, 5×10^5 Rpl18-MC38 cells suspended in a 1:1 mixture of

100 µL sterile PBS and 100 µL Matrigel (Corning) were injected into the same site.

For both tumor models, when tumors reached approximately 100 mm³ in volume,

mice received weekly intravenous injections of mRNA for three consecutive

weeks. Treatment consisted of either OVA-MITD (5 µg) or Rpl18-MITD (5 µg)

combined with control mRNA (5 µg), or a mixture of OVA-MITD (5 µg) or Rpl18-

MITD (5 µg), CD80 mRNA (5 µg), and membrane-anchored IL-2 mRNA (5 µg). As

a negative control, control mRNA (15 µg) alone was administered. Akaluc-Venus

mRNA was used as the control mRNA in all treatment groups[39]. Tumor volumes

were measured two to three times per week using digital calipers and calculated

using the formula (length × width²) / 2, where length and width represent the

longest and shortest tumor diameters, respectively. Mice were euthanized when

tumors reached ≥2000 mm³, exhibited ulceration, or showed signs of severe

distress or moribundity, in accordance with predefined humane endpoint criteria.

Isolation and Preparation of Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes (TILs)

Tumors were harvested and minced into ~2-3 mm fragments. Tissue dissociation

was performed using the Tumor Dissociation Kit, mouse (Miltenyi Biotec)

following the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, tumor fragments were incubated

with enzyme mix in RPMI 1640 medium and processed on the GentleMACS™

Octo Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec). The resulting single-cell suspension was

filtered through a 70 µm strainer to remove debris. Cells were washed twice with

PBS containing 2% FCS and subsequently counted. Purified TILs were

resuspended in complete RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% FCS for

subsequent flow cytometric analysis.

TCR transduction of primary human T cells and co-culture experiment

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from HLA-A*02:01-positive healthy

donors were cultured in X-VIVO 15 medium (Lonza) containing 5ng/mL human

IL-2 (BioLegend) and stimulated using Dynabeads Human T-Activator CD3/CD28

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). After 48 hours, the beads were removed, and the

activated T cells were transduced with a lentiviral vector encoding a TCR specific

for the NY-ESO-1 peptide (amino acids 157-165, SLLMWITQC)[17]. The medium

was subsequently refreshed three days after transduction. NY-ESO-1 TCR-engineered T cells were labeled with 1 μM CTV at 37 °C for 3 minutes. Subsequently, 2×10^5 CTV-labeled T cells were co-cultured with HEK293T cells transfected with either control mRNA, NY-ESO-1-MITD mRNA alone, or NY-ESO-1-MITD combined with hCD80 and membrane-anchored hIL-2 mRNAs, at a T cell to HEK293T cell ratio of 4:1. CD69 expression was measured after 24 hours of co-culture, while IFN-γ production and T cell proliferation were evaluated by flow cytometry three days after co-culture initiation.

In vivo killing assay

HLA-A*02:01 transgenic (HHD) mice were intravenously injected with in vivo-jetRNA® complexes containing either a designer APC cocktail of NY-ESO-1-MITD (5 μg), murine CD80 (5 μg), and membrane-anchored murine IL-2-CD8 (5 μg); NY-ESO-1-MITD alone (5 μg); or Akaluc-Venus (15 μg) as a negative control. Seven days later, 4×10^6 syngeneic target splenocytes, prepared as a 1:1 mixture of NY-ESO-1-pulsed cells labeled with CTV and Wilms Tumor 1 peptide-pulsed cells labeled with CFSE (Thermo Fisher Scientific), were transferred intravenously. For peptide loading, HLA-A02:01 splenocytes (1×10^7 cells /ml) were incubated with 10 μmol peptide for 2 h at 37 °C and washed twice by centrifugation to remove unbound peptide. Twenty hours after target-cell transfer, spleens were harvested, converted to single-cell suspensions, and analyzed by flow cytometry; antigen-specific cytotoxicity was quantified from the preferential loss of CTV$^+$ NY-ESO-1-pulsed cells relative to CFSE$^+$ control peptide-pulsed cells.

Antibodies and Flow Cytometry

Antibody staining was performed according to standard procedures. Monoclonal antibodies for surface staining, including antibodies against mCD3 (clone: 17A2), mCD4 (clone: GK1.5), mCD8α (clone: 53-6.7), mCD44 (clone: IM7), mCD45 (clone: 30-F11), mCD62L (clone: MEL-14), mCD80 (clone: 16-10A1), mTCR-Vα2 (clone: B20.1), mH-2K^b bound to SIINFEKL-PE (clone: 25-D1.16), mIL-2 (clone: JES6-5H4), hCD4 (clone: RPA-T4), hCD8 (clone: SK1), hCD69 (clone: FN50) were purchased from BioLegend. Intracellular staining was performed using antibodies against mIFN-γ (clone: XMG1.2), hIFN-γ (clone: 4S.B3), mT-bet (clone:4B.10) in combination with the True-Nuclear Transcription Factor Buffer Set (BioLegend). OVA-specific CD8$^+$ T cells, Rpl18-specific CD8$^+$ T cells, NY-ESO-1-specific CD8$^+$ T cells, and OVA-specific CD4$^+$ T cells were quantified using the following tetramers obtained from the NIH Tetramer Core Facility: H-2K^b/OVA (257-264), H-2K^b/Rpl18 (KILTFDRL), HLA-A*02:01-H2K^b/NY-ESO-1 (SLLMWITQC), and I-A^b/OVA (323-339), respectively. Staining was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. For intracellular staining, cells were fixed and permeabilized using the True-Nuclear Transcription Factor Buffer Set (BioLegend) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells were incubated with fixation buffer for 60 minutes at room temperature in the dark, followed by two washes with permeabilization buffer. Cells were then stained with antibodies diluted 1:50 in permeabilization buffer for 45 minutes at room temperature in the dark. After washing, cells were resuspended in FACS buffer and subjected to flow cytometric analysis. Data were acquired using FACSDiva

software (BD). A FACSMelody (BD) was used for cell sorting. Data analysis was

performed using FlowJo v.10.4.1 software.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by World Premier International Research Center

Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan. We thank T. Yoshida for the helpful discussion. We

thank the NIH Tetramer Core Facility for providing H-2K^b/OVA (257-264), H-

2K^b/Rpl18 (KILTFDRL), HLA-A*02:01-H2K^b/NY-ESO-1 (SLLMWITQC), and I-

A^b/OVA (323-339) tetramers. This work was supported by World Premier

International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan, Doctoral Program

for World-leading Innovative & Smart Education (WISE) Program for Nano-

Precision Medicine (TVL, IS), Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST)

Fusion Oriented Research for Disruptive Science and Technology (FOREST)

grant no. JPMJFR2115 (TY), and Practical Research for Innovative Cancer

Control from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED)

grant no. 24ck0106967h0001 (TY). ChatGPT (GPT-4, OpenAI) was used to refine

the language and enhance readability. The authors take full responsibility for the

final content.

Data availability

Upon reasonable request, data can be obtained from the corresponding author.

Author Contributions

T.V.L.: Conceptualization, Investigation, Data curation, Formal analysis,

Visualization, Writing-original draft. S.I., I.F., M.U.: Investigation, Methodology,

Validation, Visualization. S.N., S.M.: Resources, Methodology. R.H.:

Conceptualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing-review & editing.

T.Y.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing

-review & editing.

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to disclose.

Ethics approval statement

All animal experiments were conducted in compliance with the ARRIVE

guidelines (https://arriveguidelines.org/) and approved by the Animal

Experimentation Committee of Kanazawa University (Kanazawa, Ishikawa,

Japan; approval number: AP-204131). Female C57BL/6 mice aged 6 to 8

weeks and weighing 18-22 g were used. A total of 120 animals were included.

Mice were group-housed (up to five per cage) under specific pathogen-free

conditions, with ad libitum access to standard rodent chow and water, and

maintained in a controlled environment (temperature, humidity, and 12-hour

light/dark cycle). Environmental enrichment (e.g., nesting materials and

shelters) was provided to minimize stress. At the end of the experiments,

animals were euthanized using CO_2 inhalation with a gradual fill rate, in

accordance with AVMA guidelines. PBMCs were collected with written informed

consent from single healthy volunteers following the guidelines approved by the

Research Ethics Committee of Kanazawa University.

References

1. mRNA vaccines — a new era in vaccinology | Nature Reviews Drug Discovery. https://www.nature.com/articles/nrd.2017.243.

2. Polack, F. P. *et al.* Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *New England Journal of Medicine* **383**, 2603–2615 (2020).

3. Pardi, N. & Krammer, F. mRNA vaccines for infectious diseases — advances, challenges and opportunities. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **23**, 838–861 (2024).

4. Yaremenko, A. V., Khan, M. M., Zhen, X., Tang, Y. & Tao, W. Clinical advances of mRNA vaccines for cancer immunotherapy. *Med* **6**, 100562 (2025).

5. Sayour, E. J., Boczkowski, D., Mitchell, D. A. & Nair, S. K. Cancer mRNA vaccines: clinical advances and future opportunities. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* **21**, 489–500 (2024).

6. Cafri, G. *et al.* mRNA vaccine–induced neoantigen-specific T cell immunity in

patients with gastrointestinal cancer. *J Clin Invest* **130**, 5976–5988 (2020).

7. Rojas, L. A. *et al.* Personalized RNA neoantigen vaccines stimulate T cells in pancreatic cancer. *Nature* **618**, 144–150 (2023).

8. Duan, L.-J., Wang, Q., Zhang, C., Yang, D.-X. & Zhang, X.-Y. Potentialities and Challenges of mRNA Vaccine in Cancer Immunotherapy. *Front. Immunol.* **13**, (2022).

9. Neefjes, J., Jongsma, M. L. M., Paul, P. & Bakke, O. Towards a systems understanding of MHC class I and MHC class II antigen presentation. *Nat Rev Immunol* **11**, 823–836 (2011).

10. Chen, L. & Flies, D. B. Molecular mechanisms of T cell co-stimulation and co-inhibition. *Nat Rev Immunol* **13**, 227–242 (2013).

11. Shi, Y. *et al.* Antigen transfer from non-APCs to APCs impacts the efficacy and safety of protein- and mRNA- based vaccines. *Nano Today* **41**, 101326 (2021).

12. Del Prete, A. *et al.* Dendritic cell subsets in cancer immunity and tumor antigen sensing. *Cell Mol Immunol* **20**, 432–447 (2023).

13. Oyama, R. *et al.* An Ionizable Lipid Material with a Vitamin E Scaffold as an mRNA Vaccine Platform for Efficient Cytotoxic T Cell Responses. *ACS Nano* **17**, 18758–18774 (2023).

14. Kranz, L. M. *et al.* Systemic RNA delivery to dendritic cells exploits antiviral

defence for cancer immunotherapy. *Nature* **534**, 396–401 (2016).

15. Kreiter, S. *et al.* Increased Antigen Presentation Efficiency by Coupling

Antigens to MHC Class I Trafficking Signals1. *The Journal of Immunology* **180**,

309–318 (2008).

16. Gallie, D. R. The cap and poly(A) tail function synergistically to regulate

mRNA translational efficiency. *Genes Dev* **5**, 2108–2116 (1991).

17. Karikó, K. *et al.* Incorporation of pseudouridine into mRNA yields superior

nonimmunogenic vector with increased translational capacity and biological

stability. *Mol Ther* **16**, 1833–1840 (2008).

18. Pascolo, S. *et al.* HLA-A2.1–restricted Education and Cytolytic Activity of

CD8+ T Lymphocytes from β2 Microglobulin (β2m) HLA-A2.1 Monochain

Transgenic H-2Db β2m Double Knockout Mice. *Journal of Experimental*

Medicine **185**, 2043–2051 (1997).

19. Bethune, M. T. *et al.* Isolation and characterization of NY-ESO-1–specific T

cell receptors restricted on various MHC molecules. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences **115**, E10702–E10711 (2018).

20. Robbins, P. F. *et al.* Single and dual amino acid substitutions in TCR CDRs

555 can enhance antigen-specific T cell functions. *J Immunol* **180**, 6116–6131

556 (2008).

557 21. Szabo, S. J. *et al.* A Novel Transcription Factor, T-bet, Directs Th1 Lineage

558 Commitment. *Cell* **100**, 655–669 (2000).

559 22. Aunins, E. A. *et al.* An Il12 mRNA-LNP adjuvant enhances mRNA vaccine–

560 induced CD8 T cell responses. *Science Immunology* **10**, eads1328 (2025).

561 23. Peng, K., Zhao, X., Li, H., Fu, Y.-X. & Liang, Y. Membrane-IL12 adjuvant

562 mRNA vaccine polarizes pre-effector T cells for optimized tumor control.

563 *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **222**, e20241454 (2025).

564 24. Guo, J. *et al.* IL-15 functionalized biomimetic hybrid mRNA vaccine for

565 enhanced NSCLC immunotherapy via synergistic activation of T cells and NK

566 cells. *Materials Today Bio* **32**, 101914 (2025).

567 25. Kvistad, D. *et al.* IL-21 enhances influenza vaccine responses in aged

568 macaques with suppressed SIV infection. *JCI Insight* **6**, e150888.

569 26. Singh, R., Kim, Y.-H., Lee, S.-J., Eom, H.-S. & Choi, B. K. 4-1BB

570 immunotherapy: advances and hurdles. *Exp Mol Med* **56**, 32–39 (2024).

571 27. Sato, A. *et al.* Generation and characterization of OX40-ligand fusion protein

572 that agonizes OX40 on T-Lymphocytes. *Front. Immunol.* **15**, (2025).

28. Liu, Y. *et al.* Generation of tolerogenic antigen-presenting cells in vivo via the delivery of mRNA encoding PDL1 within lipid nanoparticles. *Nat. Biomed. Eng* 1–15 (2025) doi:10.1038/s41551-025-01373-0.

29. Gál, L. *et al.* Restoration of Motor Function through Delayed Intraspinal Delivery of Human IL-10-Encoding Nucleoside-Modified mRNA after Spinal Cord Injury. *Research* **6**, 0056 (2023).

30. Sasaki, K., Sato, Y., Okuda, K., Iwakawa, K. & Harashima, H. mRNA-Loaded Lipid Nanoparticles Targeting Dendritic Cells for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Pharmaceutics* **14**, 1572 (2022).

31. Mahalingam, G. *et al.* SMART-lipid nanoparticles enabled mRNA vaccine elicits cross-reactive humoral responses against the omicron sub-variants. *Molecular Therapy* **32**, 1284–1297 (2024).

32. Yu, B. *et al.* Engineered cell entry links receptor biology with single-cell genomics. *Cell* **185**, 4904-4920.e22 (2022).

33. Maeki, M. *et al.* Development of Polymer–Lipid Hybrid Nanoparticles for Large-Sized Plasmid DNA Transfection. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* **16**, 2110–2119 (2023).

34. Kojima, R. *et al.* Designer exosomes produced by implanted cells

intracerebrally deliver therapeutic cargo for Parkinson's disease treatment.

Nat Commun **9**, 1305 (2018).

35. Bitounis, D., Jacquinet, E., Rogers, M. A. & Amiji, M. M. Strategies to reduce

the risks of mRNA drug and vaccine toxicity. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **23**, 281–

300 (2024).

36. Wang, J. *et al.* Recent Advances in Lipid Nanoparticles and Their Safety

Concerns for mRNA Delivery. *Vaccines (Basel)* **12**, 1148 (2024).

37. Hos, B. J. *et al.* Identification of a neo-epitope dominating endogenous CD8

T cell responses to MC-38 colorectal cancer. *OncoImmunology* **9**, 1673125

(2020).

38. Hogquist, K. A. *et al.* T cell receptor antagonist peptides induce positive

selection. *Cell* **76**, 17–27 (1994).

39. Gan, L.-M. *et al.* Intradermal delivery of modified mRNA encoding VEGF-A in

patients with type 2 diabetes. *Nat Commun* **10**, 871 (2019).

40. Single-cell bioluminescence imaging of deep tissue in freely moving animals

| Science. https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.aaq1067.

Fig 1. Generation of designer APCs for activation of antigen-specific CD8^{+}

T cells

(a) Schematic of each mRNA construct encoding OVA-MITD, mouse CD80 or membrane-anchored mouse IL-2. 5′ cap, 5′ UTR, open reading frame, 3′ UTR and 128-nt poly(A) tail are indicated. (b) Co-culture scheme. MC38 cells were harvested 18 h after mRNA transfection, mitomycin C (MMC)-treated, and co-cultured with CellTrace Violet-labelled OT-I CD8$^+$ T cells. (c) Flow-cytometric analysis of surface OVA-K^b complexes, CD80, and membrane-anchored IL-2 on MC38 cells 18 h after transfection. Filled gray histograms: mock-transfected MC38 cells; open black histograms: mRNA-transfected cells. Proliferation was analyzed 72 h later. (d) Frequencies of OT-I cells. (e) Frequencies of CTV^{low} OT-I cells. Data are mean ± SEM of technical triplicates. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple-comparison test.

Fig 2. In vivo generation of designer APCs stimulates antigen-specific CD8$^+$ T cells

(a) C57BL/6 mice received three weekly i.v. injections (days 0, 7, 14) of control mRNA, OVA-MITD mRNA (5 µg + 10 µg control), or OVA-MITD + CD80 + membrane-anchored IL-2 mRNAs (5 µg each; total 15 µg). Spleens were collected 7 d after the final dose. (b) Representative dot plots of OVA-specific CD8$^+$ T cells. (c) Number of tetramer$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (d) Number of IFN-γ$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells. (e) Mice were subcutaneously inoculated with OVA-expressing EL-4 cells (E.G7), followed by intravenous administration of mRNAs on days 7, 14, and 21. (f) Tumor growth curves are presented. (g) Kaplan-Meier survival curve. (h) E.G7 cells were subcutaneously implanted into C57BL/6 mice. When tumors reached ~100 mm³, mice received intravenous injections of control

mRNA, OVA-MITD mRNA alone, or a combination of mRNAs. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes were analyzed one week later. (i) Representative dot plots of TILs. (j) Number of tetramer$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells. (k) Number of IFN-γ$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells. Data are mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was assessed by using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test (c, d, j, k) and by the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test for survival analysis (g).

Fig 3. In vivo generation of designer APCs expands neoantigen-specific CD8$^+$ T cells

(a) C57BL/6 mice received three weekly i.v. injections (days 0, 7, 14) of control mRNA (15 μg), Rpl18-MITD mRNA (5 μg) + control mRNA (10 μg), or Rpl18-MITD (5 μg)+ CD80(5 μg) + membrane-anchored IL-2(5 μg) mRNAs. Spleens were collected 7 d after the final dose. (b) Representative dot plots of Rpl18-specific CD8$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (c) Number of tetramer$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (d) Number of IFN-γ$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (e) Mice were subcutaneously inoculated Rpl18-MC38 cells, followed by intravenous administration of mRNAs on days 7, 14, and 21. (f) Tumor growth curves are presented. (g) Kaplan-Meier survival curves of tumor-bearing mice. Data are mean ± SEM. Statistical significance for (c,d) was determined by using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test, and survival differences in (g) by the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test.

Fig 4. Generation of humanized designer APCs activates NY-ESO-1-specific CD8$^+$ T cells

(a) HLA-A*02:01 transgenic mice received a single i.v. dose of control mRNA (15 µg), NY-ESO-1-MITD mRNA (5 µg) plus control mRNA (10 µg), or NY-ESO-1-MITD (5 µg) + mouse CD80 (5 µg) + membrane-anchored mouse IL-2 (5 µg) mRNAs. The spleens were collected 7 d later. (b) Representative dot plots of NY-ESO-1-specific CD8$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (c) Number of tetramer$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (d) Number of IFN-γ$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells in the spleen. (e) In vivo killing assay: on day 0 mice were treated as in (a). On day 7, 1 × 10^6 NY-ESO-1-pulsed splenocytes (CTV-labelled) and 1 × 10^6 control-peptide-pulsed splenocytes (CFSE-labelled) were transferred i.v.; 24 h later, spleens were harvested and target-cell killing was analysed by flow cytometry. (f) Representative dot plots of CTV$^+$ and CFSE$^+$ target cells. (g) Ratio of CTV$^+$/CFSE$^+$ cells in spleen. (h) Schematic of the in vitro co-culture assay. (i) Representative dot plots of NY-ESO-1-TCR (Venus) positive CD8 T cells.   (j) IFN-γ expression in NYESO1-TCR$^+$ and polyclonal T cells after 3 days of stimulation with HEK293 cells transfected with control mRNA, NYESO1-MITD mRNA, or a mixture of NYESO1-MITD, hCD80, and hIL-2 mRNAs. Statistical significance was determined using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test for panels (c), (d), and (g), and using two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test for panel (j).

Fig 5. In vivo generation of designer APCs induces Th1 differentiation of antigen-specific CD4$^+$ T cells

(a) Schematic representation of the mRNA construct encoding OVA-MHC-II, CD80 and membrane-anchored IL-12. (b) C57BL/6 mice received two weekly i.v. injections of control mRNA (15 µg), OVA-MHC-II mRNA (5 µg) plus control mRNA

(10 µg), or OVA-MHC-II (5 µg) + CD80 (5 µg) + membrane-anchored-IL-12 (5 µg)

mRNAs. The spleens were collected 7 d after the final dose. (c) Representative

dot plots of OVA-specific CD4$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (d) Number of tetramer$^+$

CD4$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (e) Representative dot plots of T-bet$^+$ OVA-specific

CD4$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (f) Number of T-bet$^+$ OVA-specific CD4$^+$ T cells in the

spleens. (g) Number of IFN-γ$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells in the spleens. Data are mean ± SEM.

Statistical significance for panels (d,f,g) was determined by using an unpaired,

two-tailed Student's t-test.

Fig 1. Le, et al.

Fig 2. Le, et al.

Fig 4. Le, et al.

Fig 5. Le, et al.

a

OVA-MITD amino acids sequence

|← Signal sequence →| Linker |← OVA-peptide

MRVTAPRTLILLLSGALALTETWAGSGGSGGGGSGGSVSGLEQLESIINFEKLTEWTSSNVMEERKIKVYLPRMKMEEKYNLTSVLMAMGITDVFSSSANLSGISSAESLKISQAV

|← Linker →|← MHC class I trafficking domain sequence →|

HAAHAEINEAGREVVGSAEAGGSLGGGGSGIVGIVAGLAVLAVVVIGAVVATVMCRRKSSGGKGGSYSQAASSDSAQGSDVSLTA

b

Mouse CD80 amino acids sequence

|← Signal sequence →| mouse CD80

MACNCQLMQDTPLLKFPCPRLILLFVLLIRLSQVSSDVDEQLSKSVKDKVLLPCRYNSPHEDESEDRIYWQKHDKVVLSVIAGKLKVWPEYKNRTLYDNTTYSLIILGLVLSDRGTY

SCVVQKKERGTYEVKHLALVKLSIKADFSTPNITESGNPSADTKRITCFASGGFPKPRFSWLENGRELPGINTTISQDPESELYTISSQLDFNTTRNHTIKCLIKYGDAHVSEDFTWE

KPPEDPPDSKNTLVLFGAGFGAVITVVVIVVIIKCFCKHRSCFRRNEASRETNNSLTFGPEEALAEQTVFL

c

Mouse IL2-CD8 amino acids sequence

|← Signal sequence →|← mouse IL2

MYSMQLASCVTLTLVLLVNSAPTSSSTSSSTAEAQQQQQQQQQQQQHLEQLLMDLQELLSRMENYRNLKLPRMLTFKFYLPKQATELKDLQCLEDELGPLRHVLDLTQSKSFQ

|← Linker →|← mouse CD8α

LEDAENFISNIRVTVVKLKGSDNTFECQFDDESATVVDFLRRWIAFCQSIISTSPQGGGGSVISNSVMYFSSVVPVLQKVNSTTTKPVLRTPSPVHPTGTSQPQRPEDCRPRGSV

KGTGLDFACDIYIWAPLAGICVALLLSLIITLICYHRSRKRVCKCPRPLVRQEGKPRPSEKIV

d

e

Supplementary Figure 1. Le, et al.

a

Rpl18-MITD amino acids sequence

|← Signal sequence →|← Linker →|← Rpl18 peptide →|← Linker →|← MHC class I trafficking domain sequence

MRVTAPRTLILLLSGALALTETWAGSGGSGGGGSGGSRILKAGGKILTFDRLALESPKGRGGSLGGGGSGIVGIVAGLAVLAVVVIGAVVATVMCRRKSSGGKGGSYSQAASSD
SAQGSDVSLTA →|

b

% of Rpl18 specific CD8⁺ T cells

P < 0.0001
P < 0.0001

Control mRNA | Rpl18-MITD | Rpl18-MITD+CD80+IL2

c

# of effector Rpl18 specific CD8⁺ T cells (x10³)

P < 0.0001
P < 0.0001

Control mRNA | Rpl18-MITD | Rpl18-MITD+CD80+IL2

d

% of IFN-γ⁺ CD8⁺ T cells

P = 0.0083
P = 0.0138

Control mRNA | Rpl18-MITD | Rpl18-MITD+CD80+IL2

e

# of splenocytes (x10⁷)

P = 0.9138
P = 0.8071

Control mRNA | Rpl18-MITD | Rpl18-MITD+CD80+IL2

Supplementary Figure 3. L, et al.

a NY-ESO-1-MITD amino acids sequence

Signal sequence → ← Linker → ← NY-ESO-1 peptide → ← Linker → ← MHC class I trafficking domain sequence

MRVTAPRTLILLLSGALALTETWA GSGGSGGGGSGGSGGI ISSCLQQLSLLMWITQCFLPVFLAQ GGSLGGGGGSG IVGIVAGLAVLAVVVIGAVVATVMCRRKSSGGKGGSYSQAASS

DSAQGSDVSLTA →

f Human CD80 amino acid sequence

← Signal sequence → | human CD80

MGHTRRQGTSPSKCPYLNFFQLLVLAGLSHFCSG VIHVTKEVKEVATLSCGHNVSVEELAQTRIYWQKEKKMVLTMMSGDMNIWPEYKNRTIFDITNNLSIVILALRPSDEGTYE

CVVLKYEKDAFKREHLAEVTLSVKADFPTPSISDFEIPTSNIRRIICSTSGGFPEPHLSWLENGEELNAINTTVSQDPETELYAVSSKLDFNMTTNHSFMCLIKYGHLRVNQTFNW

NTTKQEHFPDNLLPSWAITLISVNGIFVICCLTYCFAPRCRERRRNERLRRESVRPV →

g Human IL2-CD8 amino acid sequence

← Signal sequence → ← | IL2

MYRMQLLSCIALSLALVTNS APTSSSTKKTQLQLEHLLLDLQMILNGINNYKNPKLTRMLTFKFYMPKKATELKHLQCLEEELKPLEEVLNLAQSKNFHLRPRDLISNINVIVLELKGS

← Linker → ← | human CD8

ETTFMCEYADETATIVEFLNRWITFCQSIISTLT GGGGS FVPVFLPAKPTTTPAPRPPTTQRFSGPAPTIASQPLSLRPEACRPAAGGAVHTRGLDFACDIYIWAPLAGTCGVLLLSLV

ITLYCNHRNRRRVCKCPRPVVKSGDKPSLSARYV →

Supplementary Figure 4. Le, et al.

a Mouse OVA-MHC-II aminoacids sequence

Signal sequence → | ← OVA peptide → | ← Linker → | ← I-Aβ

MALQIPSLLLSAAVVVLMVLSSPGTEGISQAVHAAHAEINEAGRGGGGSGGGGSGGDSERHFVYQFMGECYFTNGTQRIRYVTRYIYNREEYVRYDSDVGEHRAVTELGRPDA

EYWNSQPEILERTRAELDTVCRHNYEGPETHTSLRRLEQPNVVISLSRTEALNHHNTLVCSVTDFYPAKIKVRWFRNGQEETVGVSSTQLIRNGDWTFQVLVMLEMTPRRGEVY

TCHVEHPSLKSPITVEWRAQSESAWSKMLSGIGGCVLGVIFLGLGLFIRHRSQKGPRGPPPAGLLQ GSGATNFSLLKQAGDVEENPGP MPRSRALILGVLALTTMLSLCGGEDD

→ | ← 2A → | ←

I-Aα

IEADHVGTYGISVYQSPGDIGQYTFEFDGDELFYVDLDKKETVWMLPEFGQLASFDPQGGLQNIAVVKHNLGVLTKRSNSTPATNEAPQATVFPKSPVLLGQPNTLICFVDNIFPP

VINITWLRNSKSVADGVYETSFFVNRDYSFHKLSYLTFIPSDDDIYDCKVEHWGLEEPVLKHWEPEIPAPMSELTETVVCALGLSVGLVGIVVGTIFIIQGLRSGGTSRHPGPL

b

Mouse IL12-CD8 amino acids sequence

Signal sequence → | ← mouse IL12B

MCPQKLTISWFAIVLLVSPLMAMWELEKDVYVVEVDWTPDAPGETVNLTCDTPEEDDITWTSDQRHGVIGSGKTLTITVKEFLDAGQYTCHKGGETLSHS HLLLHKKENGIWST

EILKNFKNKTFLKCEAPNYSGRFTCSWLVQRNMDLKFNIKSSSSSPDSRAVTCGMASLSAEKVTLDQRDYEKYSVSCQEDVTCPTAEETLPIELALEARQQNKYENYSTSFFIRD

IIKPDPPKNLQMKPLKNSQVEVSWEYPDSWSTPHSYFSLKFFVRIQRKKEKMKETEEGCNQKGAFLVEKTSTEVQCKGGNVCVQAQDRYYNSSCSKWACVPCRVRSGGGGS

Linker → | ← mouse IL12A

GGGGSGGGGSRVIPVSGPARCLSQSRNLLKTTDDMVKTAREKLKHYSCTAEDIDHEDITRDQTSTLKTCLPLELHKNESCLATRETSSTTRGSCLPPQKTSLMMTLCLGSIYEDL

→ | ← Linker

KMYQTEFQAINAALQNHNHQQIILDKGMLVAIDELMQSLNHNGETLRQKPPVGEADPYRVKMKLCILLHAFSTRVVTINRVMGYLSSAGGGGS DYKDDDDKVISNSVMYFSSVV

→ |

mouse CD8

PVLQKVNSTTTKPVLRTPSPVHPTGTSQPQRPEDCRPRGSVKGTGLDFACDIYIWAPLAGICVALLLSLIITLICYHRSRKRVCKCPRPLVRQEGKPRPSEKIV

Supplementary Figure 5. Le, et al.

Supplementary Figure 1. Designer APC-mediated stimulation of CD8$^+$ T cells

(a-c) Amino acid sequences of OVA-MITD, mouse CD80, and membrane-anchored mouse IL-2 used for mRNA synthesis. (d, e) Representative flow cytometry plots showing OT-I CD8$^+$ T cells and proliferating (CTV^{low}) OT-I cells after co-culture with designer APCs.

Supplementary Figure 2. Designer APC-induced activation of antigen-specific CD8$^+$ T Cells in spleen and tumor

(a) Frequencies of OVA-specific CD8$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (b) Number of CD44^{hi}CD62L^{low} CD8$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (c) Frequencies of IFN-γ$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (d) Number of total splenocytes. (e) Frequencies of CD8$^+$ T cells in the tumors. (f) Quantification of CD8$^+$ T cells per 100 mg tumors. (g) Frequencies of OVA-specific CD8$^+$ T cells in TILs. (h) Tumor weight at harvest. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was assessed using unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test.

Supplementary Figure 3. Activation of neoantigen-specific CD8$^+$ T cells by designer APCs

(a) Amino acid sequence of Rpl18-MITD. (b) Frequencies of Rpl18-specific CD8$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (c) Number of CD4^{hi}CD62L^{low} CD8$^+$ T cell in the spleens. (d) Frequencies of IFN-γ$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (e) Number of total splenocytes. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was assessed using unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test.

Supplementary Figure 4. Humanized designer APCs activate antigen-specific CD8$^+$ T cells

(a) Amino acid sequence of NY-ESO-1-MITD. (b) Frequencies of NY-ESO-1 specific CD8$^+$ T cells. (c) Number of CD44^{hi}CD62L^{low} NY-ESO-1 specific CD8$^+$ T cell in the spleens. (d) Frequencies of IFN-γ$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells in the spleens. (e) Number of total splenocytes. (f) Amino acid sequence of human CD80. (g) Amino acid sequence of membrane-anchored human IL-2. (h) Frequencies of CD69$^+$ CD8 T cells. (i) Frequencies of CTV^{low} CD8$^+$ T cells. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test for panels (b-e), and two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test for panels (h) and (i).

Supplementary Figure 5. Induction of Th1 cells by designer APCs

(a) Amino acid sequence of membrane-anchored mouse IL-12. (b) Schematic of OVA peptide fused to MHC-II. (c) Frequencies of OVA-specific CD4$^+$ T cells. (d) Number of CD44^{hi}CD62L^{low} CD4$^+$ T cell phenotype. (e) Frequencies of T-bet$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells. (f) Frequencies of IFN-γ$^+$ OVA-specific CD4$^+$ T cells. (g) Number of total splenocytes. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was assessed using unpaired, two-tailed Student's *t*-test.