Structural Basis for Sarbecovirus Rc-o319 Spike Adaptation to *Rhinolophus*
***cornutus* Bat ACE2 and Constraints on Switching to Human ACE2**

Jingjing Wang[1,2,†], Zexuan Li[1,3,†], Yong Ma[1,2,†], Zimu Li[1,4,5], Hang Yuan[1,3], Chuanying Niu[1,6],
Banghui Liu[1,2], Mei Li[4], Min Zhou[4], Wenxiu Liu[4], Huimin Feng[1], Jing Chen[4], Jun He[1,2], Xinwen
Chen[4,*], Xiaoli Xiong[1,2,*]

1. State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Stem

Cell and Regenerative Medicine, Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory for Stem Cell and

Regenerative Medicine, Guangzhou Institutes of Biomedicine and Health, Chinese Academy of

Sciences, Guangzhou 510530, China.

2. Graduate School of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou Medical University-

Guangzhou Institutes of Biomedicine and Health Joint School of Life Sciences, Guangzhou

Medical University, Guangzhou 511436, China.

3. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100864, China.

4. Guangzhou National Laboratory, Guangzhou 510005, China.

5. Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou 511436, China.

6. University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, Anhui, China

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author. Email: chen_xinwen@gzlab.ac.cn (X.C.); xiong_xiaoli@gibh.ac.cn

(X.X.)

Abstract

Bat sarbecoviruses often exhibit species-dependent ACE2 specificity. Understanding the determinants of receptor specificity enables better assessment of the cross-species transmission potential of sarbecoviruses. Here, we characterize the S-protein of Rc-o319, a sarbecovirus identified in Japanese *Rhinolophus cornutus* bats. Featuring an unusual 9-amino-acid deletion within its receptor binding motif (RBM), Rc-o319 S-protein utilizes its cognate *R. cornutus* ACE2 ($bACE2_{R.cor}$) but not human ACE2 (hACE2), demonstrating highly restricted receptor specificity. Cryo-EM structures reveal two locked prefusion conformations of the Rc-o319 S-trimer and define a novel Type-4 receptor-binding domain (RBD), featuring a distinct beta-loop (BL) within the RBM due to the RBM-deletion. The Rc-o319-RBD:$bACE2_{R.cor}$ complex structure reveals unique interactions mediated by the specialized BL and RBM-loop of Rc-o319-RBD and by a $bACE2_{R.cor}$ glycan. Structure-guided mutagenesis demonstrates that changes in BL and RBM-loop within the Rc-o319 S-RBD must occur simultaneously to allow medium-to-high-affinity hACE2 binding. Comparative assays further show that the $bACE2_{R.cor}$ receptor supports only a subset of sarbecoviruses, highlighting its restricted sarbecovirus compatibility. Our findings establish the Rc-o319 S-protein as a structurally and functionally specialized adaptation to *R. cornutus* ACE2 and identify the structural constraints limiting its cross-species transmission potential.

Introduction

The SARS-CoV-1 (also known as SARS-CoV) outbreak[1-4] and the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic have profoundly impacted global public health. Surveillance efforts have since detected multiple SARS-related coronaviruses (SARSr-CoVs) primarily in horseshoe bats (genus *Rhinolophus*) worldwide [5-9], suggesting they are the likely natural reservoir for SARSr-CoVs [10-12]. Along with SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2, SARSr-CoVs have been classified into the *Sarbecovirus* subgenus in the *Betacoronavirus* family (https://ictv.global/taxonomy). Sarbecoviruses share considerable similarity in their spike (S) proteins with amino-acid (AA) sequence identity > 63% [13-18]. Like other coronaviruses (CoVs), sarbecovirus S-protein assembles into a large, glycosylated homo-trimer on the virion surface. Each S-protein protomer can be divided into two parts: the S1 part, responsible for cell attachment, and the S2 part, facilitating virus-cell membrane fusion [19-21]. For a sarbecovirus S-protein, its S1 parts can be further divided as the N-terminal domain (NTD), receptor binding domain (RBD), Domain C (also called subdomain-1, SD-1) and Domain D (also called subdomain-2, SD-2) [17, 19, 22-25]. The S-RBD can be further divided into a core domain, onto which a receptor binding motif (RBM) region is attached [17, 26]. The RBM primarily contacts the receptor, forming the interaction interface with the receptor [24, 26-28].

For both SARS-CoV-1 [26, 29] and SARS-CoV-2 [30, 31] their S-RBDs bind with the human ACE2 (hACE) receptor with high affinities in the nano-molar range, suggesting that high-affinity hACE2 binding is likely a key prerequisite for spill-over into the human population. Of note, not all bat SARSr-CoVs can bind hACE2 with high-affinity [5, 7, 12-16, 32, 33]. We previously reported that sarbecovirus S-protein can be classified into three types based on their RBM structural features. Four featured RBM regions have been defined, namely the large-loop (LL), lamella (LM), small-loop (SL), and finally the anchor-loop (AL) [17]. Type-1 S-proteins, including SARS-CoV-1, SARS-CoV-2 and WIV1, possess intact LL and SL regions. Type-1 S-proteins often bind hACE2, albeit with varying affinities: SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 bind hACE2 with high affinities, whereas WIV1 binds hACE2 with a moderate affinity. We found high-affinity hACE2 binding

requires synergistic favourable hACE2 interactions across the four featured RBM
regions. Type-2 S-proteins, including BM48-31 and BtKY72, have an intact LL
but a deleted SL. They generally lack hACE binding but can bind selected bat
ACE2 (bACE2) orthologs with intermediate affinities. Type-3 S-proteins,
including HeB2013 and RmYN02, have both their LL and SL regions deleted.
They have yet been reported to bind neither hACE2 nor bACE2.

Ongoing surveillance in Japan has recently discovered several new SARSr-CoVs
in the little Japanese horseshoe bat - *Rhinolophus cornutus* (*R.cor*) detected in
2020 using samples collected in 2013 (7). The presentative virus, Rc-o319, is
distantly related to SARS-CoV-2, sharing 81% whole-genome identity.
Interestingly, although its S-protein has 81% AA identity to SARS-CoV-2 S-
protein, its RBM contains a unique nine-amino-acid deletion relative to SARS-
CoV-2 that removes residues identified critical for ACE2 binding in other SARSr-
CoVs and likely alters its RBM structure (7). Deletions in the RBMs of SARSr-
CoVs have been shown to greatly affect ACE2 binding (28, 34). In this study, we
surveyed binding of Rc-o319 S-protein to various ACE2 orthologs to understand
its specificity. Further, we determined the structures of the Rc-o319 S-trimers and
of the Rc-o319-RBD bound to *R. cornutus* ACE2 (bACE2$_{R.cor}$), thereby
establishing the Rc-o319 RBD as a novel Type-4 RBD. By analysing the molecular
determinants of receptor specificity revealed by our structures, we identify the
changes necessary to switch the specificity of the Rc-o319 S-protein to hACE2.
These findings provide insights into Rc-o319's adaptations to various ACE2
orthologs and provide an assessment of its cross-species transmission potential.

Results

Rc-o319 S utilizes a narrow range of *Rhinolophus* bat ACE2 orthologs as receptors

We used a S:ACE2 interaction mediated cell-cell fusion assay to identify ACE2 orthologs that can interact with Rc-o319-S-protein (35). Effector cells expressing Rc-o319 S-proteins were tested against receptor cells expressing a panel of ACE2 orthologs for fusion activity (**Fig. 1A**). In this assay, several *Rhinolophus affinis* (*Ra*) and *Rhinolophus sinicus* (*Rs*) ACE2 molecules, including *Ra*5538, *Ra*9479, *Rs*1446, *Rs*3359, and *Rs*3366, were found to mediate varying levels of cell-cell fusion, with *Ra*9479 showing the highest activity and *Rs*3366 the lowest. However, all were substantially weaker than the cognate bACE2$_{R.cor}$ receptor. Rc-o319 S-protein is unable to utilize pangolin and human ACE2 (hACE2) orthologs for fusion. Receptor binding assays using biolayer interferometry (BLI) show that Rc-o319-RBD-Fc and Rc-o319-S-trimer bind bACE2$_{R.cor}$ with moderate-to-high affinities (**Fig.1B** left panels, 76 nM for RBD-Fc and 27 nM for S-trimer**)**. Although Rc-o319 appears to somewhat use bACE2$_{Ra9479}$, showing weak fusion activity in the cell-cell fusion assay. BLI assays were unable to detect bACE2$_{Ra9479}$ binding by the Rc-o319 S-trimer and dimeric Rc-o319-RBD-Fc (**Fig.1B**, middle panels**)**. Rc-o319-S-trimer binds bACE2$_{R.cor}$ with relative weak responses and an estimated affinity of 27 nM, tighter than that observed for the dimeric Rc-o319-RBD-Fc, indicating avidity-amplified receptor binding (**Fig.1B,** left panels). Consistent with the cell-cell fusion assay results, neither Rc-o319-RBD-Fc nor Rc-o319-S-trimer is able to bind hACE2 (**Fig.1B**, right panels**)**. These results demonstrate that Rc-o319-S-protein has a restricted ACE2 specificity, with a clear preference towards its cognate bACE2$_{R.cor}$ receptor (**Fig.1A** and **B**).

Structures of the Rc-o319 S-protein identify a new type of RBM

To understand the unusual restricted receptor specificity of Rc-o319 S-protein, purified Rc-o319 S-protein ectodomain was imaged by cryo-electron microscopy (Cryo-EM) (**Fig. S1**), revealing that Rc-o319 S-trimers adopt two distinct conformations (**Fig. 1D-I, Fig. S1, Fig. S2** and **Fig. S3A**). In both conformations, the 3 RBDs in each S-trimer are all in "down" positions (**Fig. 1D** and **G**). Detailed

structural analysis reveals tight trimer packing for both conformations and based
on their structural features, the two conformations have been identified as "locked-
1" and "locked-2" conformations previously identified for both SARS-CoV-1 and
SARS-CoV-2 S-trimers (22, 25, 36-38) (**Fig. 2A** and **B** and **Fig. S2**).

In the locked-1 Rc-o319 S-trimer, each RBD contains a density that can be
modelled as a fatty acid with 18-carbon atoms, previous mass-spectrometry
analysis identified it being either linoleic acid (39, 40) or oleic acid (41) (**Fig. 1E**
and **Fig. S3C**). Consistent with previous observations, the aliphatic tail of the fatty
acid is bound within the hydrophobic fatty-acid binding pocket of one RBD, while
its carboxylate group forms salt-bridges with $R382_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ of a neighbouring RBD
(**Fig. 1E** and **Fig. S3C**); these fatty acid mediated inter-protomer interactions likely
stabilise the S-trimer in the observed locked conformation. Each NTD also
contains a density corresponding to a biliverdin molecule. Binding of biliverdin
has been correlated with evasion of antibody immunity (42, 43) (**Fig. 1D** and **1G**
and **Fig. S3D**). Consistent with being in a locked conformation, the fusion peptide
proximal region (FPPR) is ordered (**Fig. 1F**). Finally, consistent with the locked-1
conformation observed for the S-proteins of SARS-CoV-1 (25) and SARS-CoV-2
(38), residues $580\text{-}605_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ form a large disordered loop within Domain D of the
Rc-o319 S-trimer (**Fig. 1D** and **F**).

In the locked-2 conformation, no fatty acid density was observed within the RBD
fatty-acid binding pocket (**Fig. 1H**). This is accompanied with a restructuring of
the fatty-acid binding pocket (**Fig. 1H** and **Fig. S3C**) as observed when the fatty-
acid binding pockets were vacated in other sarbecovirus S-trimers (39, 44). Each
NTD still contains a density corresponds to a biliverdin (**Fig. 1D** and **1G**). The
FPPR is ordered (**Fig. 1I**). Differently, the disordered Domain D loop in the
locked-1 conformation refolds and forms a large ordered loop structure, packing
against the core of the Domain D and the junction between Domains C and D (**Fig.**
1F) (25, 38).

A structural change between locked-1 and locked-2 conformations in the Domain
C-D junction region can be observed similar to previous observations on the S-
proteins of SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 (25, 38) (**Fig. S3A**). Near the 3-fold
axis, to the top of the S-trimer, $D468_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ forms an intra-protomer hydrogen bond
with $Y473_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ in the locked-1 conformation (**Fig. 2A**). Whereas in locked-2
conformation, $D468_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ mediates two inter-protomer hydrogen bonds with
$S469_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ of a neighbouring S-protomer (**Fig. 2B**). Of Note, in both
conformations, $K458_{Rc\text{-}o319}$, equivalent to $Q493_{SARS2}$, previously identified as an
important determinant for ACE2 specificity (17, 45, 46), is found to form an inter-
protomer hydrogen bond to the glycan attaching to $N344_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ of a neighbouring
S-protomer (**Fig. 2A-B**). Inter-protomer hydrogen bonds likely contribute to S-
trimer stability.

In both Rc-o319 S-trimer conformations, their NTDs are well resolved, revealing
five loops that are markedly shorter than those in SARS-CoV-2 (**Fig. 2C-D** and
Fig. S3E). In addition, a four-amino-acid insertion (residues $189\text{-}192_{Rc\text{-}o319}$) is
identified in the Rc-o319 NTD, forming an "inserted loop" exposed to the exterior
of the trimer (**Fig. S3B**). Because the NTD is under strong immune pressure (47,
48), these truncated and elongated loops likely endow the NTD of Rc-o319 S-
protein with a distinct antigenic profile.

Finally, Rc-o319 S-trimer structures show that their RBDs form structures distinct
from previous characterized sarbecovirus RBDs (**Fig. 2E-G** and **Fig. S4**). In
contrast to Type-3 RBDs, where 13- to 14-amino-acid deletions relative to SARS-
CoV-2 eliminate the large-loop (LL) (**Fig. S4C** and **S4F-G**), the Rc-o319 RBD
retains an extension in a similar region as the LL of Type-1 RBDs, despite a nine-
amino-acid deletion (**Fig. 2E**). In the Type-1 RBD, the LL is formed by two half-
loops stabilized by an intra-loop disulfide bond (**Fig. 2F**). By contrast, the
equivalent extension in the Rc-o319 RBD comprises two small antiparallel β-
sheets connected by a short loop (**Fig. 2E** and **Fig. 3**). We designate this distinct
extension a beta-loop (BL) structure. Due to the nine-amino-acid deletion, the
stabilizing disulfide bond found in the LL is lost.The shorter BL occupies a
position roughly corresponding to the C-terminal half-loop of the LL in Type-1

RBDs (**Fig. 2E-G** and **Fig. 3D)**, albeit with notable conformational and tilting
angle differences (**Fig. 2G,** also see **Fig. 3D**). This distinct beta-loop (BL)
structure, by comparison with the LL structure in Type-1 RBDs (**Fig. 2F**), suggests
that the Rc-o319-RBD likely binds ACE2 with distinct interactions.

Structure of the Rc-o319-RBD:bACE2$_{R.cor}$ complex

To further understand receptor binding by the Rc-o319 S-protein, we determined a
structure of Rc-o319-RBD in complex with its cognate bACE2$_{R.cor}$ receptor (**Fig. 3**
and **Fig. S1B**). This structure uncovers several previously unrecognized
interactions at the Rc-o319-RBD:bACE2$_{R.cor}$ interface, even though the molecular
surfaces employed for binding largely mirror those in other sarbecovirus
RBD:ACE2 complexes (**Fig. 3A-B**). These interactions are mediated by the
specialized RBD BL and RBM-loop and a glycan linked to Asn38 of bACE2$_{R.cor}$
(**Fig. 3A, E** and **H-I**) (interfacial residues in the RBD and ACE2 are denoted using
single-letter and three-letter amino acid codes, respectively). The buried surface
area (BSA) of the complex was calculated as 1005 Å^2, which includes a
contribution of 252 Å^2 from the binding of the Asn38-linked glycan. In line with
previous interface analysis, the binding interface can be divided into four regions,
namely the beta-loop (BL), lamella (LM), anchor loop (AL) and small loop (SL)
regions (**Fig. 3F-I)**.

The beta-loop (BL) of Rc-o319 RBD engages highly distinctive interactions (**Fig.**
3A and **F**). Due to its distinct structure and protrusion from the RBM, the BL
contacts the bACE2$_{R.cor}$ near Lys27, forming a hydrogen bond with the side chain
of Lys27 (**Fig. 3A** and **F**). In contrast, LL in Type-1 RBDs contacts ACE2 near
residue 24, more towards the ACE2 N-terminus (**Fig. 3J**). Distinctively, BL
residue Y447$_{Rc-o319}$ forms a charged hydrogen bond with Asp31 of bACE2$_{R.cor}$ (**Fig.**
3A and **F**). Of note, the positively charged residue K454$_{Rc-o319}$, unique to the Rc-
o319 RBM, extends from the BL to form a salt-bridge with Glu75$_{Rc-o319}$ of
bACE2$_{R.cor}$ (**Fig. 3A**) and is positioned in close proximity to the negatively charged
bACE2$_{R.cor}$ residues Asp31 and Glu35 (**Fig. 3F-G**). Changing this residue

(K454Y$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$) to the corresponding residue Y in SARS-CoV-2 substantially
reduced bACE2$_{R.cor}$ binding **(Fig. S8B)**.

Distinct from SARS-CoV-2, the large Rc-o319 RBM-loop (**Fig. 2E, 3C, 3F** and
Fig. S6) adjacent and N-terminal to the BL contributes to bACE2$_{R.cor}$ binding and
contacts the BL structure with specialized interactions (compare **Fig. 3F** and **Fig.
3J**): W430$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$ in the RBM-loop forms a cation-π interaction with Lys27 of
bACE2$_{R.cor}$, and likely stabilizes the BL via a π-π interaction with the BL residue
Y447$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$ (**Fig. 3F**).

In the lamella (LM) region, K458$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$ forms a salt-bridge with bACE2$_{R.cor}$ Glu35
(**Fig. 3G**). Distinctively, in this region, the Asn38-glycan is part of the ACE2-RBD
interface, forming hydrogen bonds with N459$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$ (**Fig. 3G**). Also in this region,
Lys353$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$ forms a hydrogen bond with the G461$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$ backbone (**Fig. 3G**). In
the anchor-loop (AL) region, a hydrogen bond network is observed among
bACE2$_{R.cor}$ residues Tyr41, Asn330, Asp335, Arg357 and the RBD residue S465
(**Fig. 3H**). Further, the glycan attached to Asn38 of bACE2$_{R.cor}$ forms an inter-
molecular hydrogen bond with bACE2$_{R.cor}$ residue Glu42 and also hydrogen bonds
with RBD residue Y463$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$ (**Fig. 3H**). Finally, in the small-loop (SL) region,
F423$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$ forms a sugar-π contact with the glycan attached to Asn38 (**Fig. 3I**).

These interactions, by comparison with those used by SARS-CoV-2 to engage
ACE2 (**Fig. 3J-M**), show that Rc-o319 RBD engages its cognate bACE2$_{R.cor}$
receptor with unique characteristics.

Molecular determinates underlying Rc-o319 specificity for bACE2$_{R.cor}$
To understand the specificity of the Rc-o319 S-protein towards the bACE2$_{R.cor}$
receptor. We employed a systematic mutagenesis approach to change RBM regions
to match those of SARS-CoV-2 (SL mutation = F423Y$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$; LM mutation =
K458Q$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$; AL mutation = S465T$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$+A466N$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$+H470Y$_{\text{Rc-o319}}$). This

approach has been previously used to elucidate how high-affinity hACE2 binding
may be acquired by Type-2 sarbecovirus S-proteins (17). We found that changing
AL and SL regions individually had very little effect in improving hACE2 binding
(**Fig. 4D** and **E, the second panels**). The SL change retained very strong
bACE2$_{R.cor}$ utilization (**Fig. 4E, the third** and **fifth panels**), whereas the AL
change substantially reduced bACE2$_{R.cor}$ utilization (**Fig. 4D, the third** and **fifth**
panels). Changing the LM region reduced bACE2$_{R.cor}$ utilization (**Fig. 4C, the**
first, third and **fifth panels)** but failed to confer hACE2 mediated fusion activity
(**Fig. 4C, the fourth** and **fifth panels**). Swapping of the Rc-o319 BL region to the
LL sequence of SARS-CoV-2-RBD, appears to confer Rc-o319 with the ability to
utilize hACE2 for cell fusion activity at the expense of substantially reduced fusion
activity mediated by its cognate bACE2$_{R.cor}$ receptor (**Fig. 4B**). BLI assay results
show that replacing BL with the LL sequence of SARS-CoV-2 greatly reduced
bACE2$_{R.cor}$ receptor binding (**Fig. 4B, the first panel**). However, despite
conferring cell fusion activity, hACE2 binding by the dimeric BL variant of Rc-
o319-RBD-Fc protein was still too weak to be detected **(Fig. 4B, the second panel**
and **Fig. S7B)**. These findings are consistent with our previous observations that
high-affinity hACE2 binding requires the sarbecovirus RBD to establish optimal
contacts across all four RBM regions, with intact LL and SL (17). Similar synergy
across multiple RBM regions, conferring high-affinity receptor binding, has also
been observed in merbecoviruses (49).

However, unexpectedly, when we tested the BL+LM+AL+SL variant, enhanced
hACE utilization was observed in the cell-cell fusion assay but hACE2 binding
was still too weak to be measured in the BLI assay (**Fig. 4F, the second** and
fourth panels). The BL+LM+AL+SL variant of Rc-o319-RBD-Fc protein also
showed no bACE2$_{R.cor}$ binding in BLI assays, and consequently exhibited very
little bACE2$_{R.cor}$ mediated fusion activity (**Fig. 4F, the first** and **third panels)**.
Therefore, in the case of Rc-o319 S-protein, determinants outside of the BL, LM,
AL, and SL regions likely hinder high-affinity hACE binding.

Structural comparison shows that W430$_{Rc-o319}$ and S432$_{Rc-o319}$ in the large RBM-
loop (amino acid (AA) 429-442$_{Rc-o319}$, corresponding to AA 455-468$_{SARS2}$), located

N-terminal to the BL, are unique to the Rc-o319 RBD (**Fig. 3C** and **F**, **Fig. S4G**
and **S6**). In SARS-CoV-2-RBD, the corresponding residues $F456_{SARS2}$ and
$K458_{SARS2}$, respectively interact with the LL residues $Y473_{SARS2}$ and $E471_{SARS2}$
(**Fig. 3J** and **Fig. S6A** and **D**). These interactions likely stabilize the SARS-CoV-2
LL structure. We introduced these residues into the Rc-o319 BL+SL+LM+AL
variant as $W430F_{Rc-o319}$ and $S432K_{Rc-o319}$ substitutions (collectively named as the
"RBM-loop" mutation), the BLI binding results show that the dimeric
BL+SL+LM+AL+RBM-loop variant of Rc-o319-RBD-Fc is able to bind hACE2
with a much increased affinity of 31.7 nM (**Fig. 4G**, **the second panel**). We also
attempted the swap of Rc-o319 RBM for the SARS-CoV-2 RBM (Rc-o319-RBD-
RBM_{SARS2}), as expected, the Rc-o319-RBD-Fc variant with the swapped RBM also
showed high-affinity binding to hACE2 with only slightly higher affinity (12.3
nM) than the BL+LM+AL+SL +RBM-loop variant (**compare Fig. 4G** and **H**, **the**
second panels). Consistently, both BL+LM+AL+SL+RBM-loop and RBM
swapped Rc-o319-RBD-RBM_{SARS2} show effective hACE2 mediated cell-fusion
activities (**Fig. 4G** and **H**, **the fourth** and **fifth panels**).Therefore, our mutagenesis
results identify residues in the RBM-loop of Rc-o319 N-terminal to BL contain
residues non-compatible with the LL structure of the Type-1 RBD, thereby
preventing the LL structure from mediating optimal ACE2 interaction (**Fig. S6C**
and **Fig. S7C** and **D**).

Utilization of bACE2$_{R.cor}$ by different types of sarbecoviruses

Our structural and biochemical analyses show that the Rc-o319 S-protein has a
highly restrictive specificity towards its cognate bACE2$_{R.cor}$ receptor, we further
characterize the potential of bACE2$_{R.cor}$ to support diverse sarbecoviruses (**Fig. 4**).
A panel of sarbecovirus S-proteins was surface-expressed on effector cells and
tested for cell-cell fusion activity against receptor cells expressing bACE2$_{R.cor}$ (**Fig.**
4I). The panel included Type-1 RBD S-proteins from SARS-CoV-1, LYRa11,
SHC014, WIV1, RaTG13, BANAL-20-52, BANAL-20-236, and the SARS-CoV-2
ancestral strain; Type-2 RBD S-proteins from BtKY72, BM48-31, RfGB02, and
RhGB07; and Type-3 RBD S-proteins from GX2013, HeB2013, YN2013, and
RmYN02. The results show that bACE2$_{R.cor}$ can support receptor binding mediated
cell fusion activities of several sarbecovirus S-proteins with Type-1 RBDs (**Fig. 4J**

and **K**). However, potent fusion activity was only observed for several S-proteins
of viruses from the SARS-CoV-2 clade. Although the SARS-CoV-1 S-protein
exhibits only intermediate bACE2$_{R.cor}$-mediated cell-fusion activity, it is
nevertheless the most potent within the SARS-CoV-1 clade (**Fig. 4I-K**). Among
bACE2$_{R.cor}$ utilizing S-proteins, the BANAL-20-236 S-trimer was found to bind
bACE2$_{R.cor}$ in BLI assays, whereas S-trimers of BANAL-20-52, SARS-CoV-2 and
SARS-CoV-1 showed no binding to bACE2$_{R.cor}$ (**Fig. 4K** and **Fig. S9B, C, E** and
F).

The Asn38-linked glycan in bACE2$_{R.cor}$ is involved in S-protein binding, a feature
observed for the first time between an ACE2 and a sarbecovirus S-protein. To
understand the effect of this glycan on S-protein binding, we removed the glycan
by introducing a Thr40Ala change (designated as 'bACE2$_{R.cor}$-ΔGLC$_{38}$').
bACE2$_{R.cor}$-ΔGLC$_{38}$ appears to support enhanced cell fusion activities for S-
proteins capable of utilizing bACE2$_{R.cor}$ (**Fig. 4J-K** and **Fig. S9A, C, E** and **H**).
BLI assay results show that the removal of the Asn38-glycan was able to enhance
bACE2$_{R.cor}$ binding by monomeric RBDs and S-trimers of Rc-o319 and BANAL-
20-236 in BLI assays (**Fig. S9A, E, J** and **K**), despite that the Asn38-glycan was
found involved in the interface formed between bACE2$_{R.cor}$ and Rc-o319-RBD
(**Fig. 3E, G** and **I**). Further, although unable to utilize WT bACE2$_{R.cor}$, the Type-2
BtKY72 S-protein was found to utilize bACE2$_{R.cor}$-ΔGLC$_{38}$, albeit only showing
very weak cell fusion activity. Consistently, BLI binding result shows that the
BtKY72 S-trimer binds bACE2$_{R.cor}$-ΔGLC$_{38}$ with an estimated affinity of 11.8 nM
(**Fig. S9H, the second panel**). These data suggest that the Asn38-linked glycan,
likely due to its bulky and branched structure, imposes steric hindrance on S-
protein binding.

Discussion

In this study, our receptor binding and structural analyses show that Rc-o319 S-
protein exhibits a clear preference for its cognate bACE2$_{R.cor}$ receptor with marked

reduced utilization of *R. sinicus* and *R. affinis* ACE2 receptors. Rc-o319 S-protein
showed no utilization of human and pangolin ACE2s. A closer relationship among
Asian *Rhinolophus* bats (50) probably allowed Rc-o319 S-protein to cross-bind *R.*
sinicus and *R. affinis* ACE2s. Previously, it has been shown that weak binding of
SARS-CoV-2 S-protein to mouse ACE2 (mACE2) was unable to facilitate
effective mouse infection, and increased mACE2 binding was key to effective
mouse infection (51). Therefore, weak *R. sinicus* and *R. affinis* ACE2 binding may
not allow effective infection of *R. sinicus* and *R. affinis* bats by the Rc-o319 virus.

Our structural analyses reveal that the Rc-o319 S-trimer adopts two distinct
conformations: a locked-1 conformation with fatty-acid bound RBDs and a locked-
2 conformation in which those fatty-acid binding pockets are unoccupied. Previous
cryo-EM studies of bat sarbecovirus S-trimers have nearly always captured them in
locked-2 conformations with and without fatty acid bound (17). Locked-1
conformation has only been reported for the bat sarbecovirus WIV1 S-trimer (52).
Both locked-1 and locked-2 conformations have been observed for SARS-CoV-1
and SARS-CoV-2 S-trimers. The locked-1 conformation appears to be always
identified with fatty acid bound, whereas the locked-2 conformation has been
resolved with or without fatty acid bound. Interestingly, these two conformations
appear to be more difficult to capture for SARS-CoV-1 (25) and SARS-CoV-2 (22,
38, 53) S-trimers and their capture often requires deliberate stabilization of the S-
trimers. The predominance of locked conformations in bat sarbecovirus S-trimers,
versus them being rare conformations in human-infecting sarbecoviruses, has been
proposed to reflect likely differences in S-trimer stability (17). We have also
proposed that tightly packed S-trimers in locked conformations facilitate viral
egress (38). As the preferred conformation for bat sarbecovirus S-proteins, these
locked conformations have also been postulated to represent adaptations for fecal-
oral transmission and immune evasion in their natural hosts (52, 54).

Due to residue-31 of bat ACE2 molecules often being a negative charged Asp or
Glu, a positively charged LM region, such as $K458_{Rc-o319}$ (corresponding to
$Q493_{SARS2}$), has been noted as an important determinant for specificity towards
bACE2 (45). Monomeric BANAL-20-236-RBD, with a K in the LM region, with

the ability to bind a variety of bACE2 molecules (54), binds bACE2$_{Ra5538}$ with a

moderate affinity of 104 nM (17). For comparison, it binds bACE2$_{R.cor}$ with a

much reduced affinity of 937 nM **(Fig. S9K, the top panel)**. SARS-CoV-2-RBD is

highly similar to BANAL-20-236, however, with a neutral Q in the LM region,

SARS-CoV-2-RBD-Fc binding to bACE2$_{R.cor}$ is too weak to be measured by the

BLI assay. With a Q493K$_{SARS2}$ point mutation in LM, SARS-CoV-2-RBD-Fc binds

bACE2$_{R.cor}$ in BLI assays (compare **Fig. S8H** and **L**). In addition, SARS-CoV-1-

RBD-Fc with the N482K$_{SARS1}$ gained weak bACE2$_{R.cor}$ binding (**Fig. S8K**). These

results are consistent with the LM change (K458Q$_{Rc-o319}$) in the Rc-o319 S-protein,

which considerably reduced bACE2$_{R.cor}$ binding (**Fig. 4C**), suggesting that a

positive-charged LM region contributes to high-affinity binding towards the

bACE2$_{R.cor}$ receptor.

The Rc-o319-RBD:bACE2$_{R.cor}$ complex structure reveals that Rc-o319-RBD

employs a featured beta-loop (BL) structure to bind the bACE2$_{R.cor}$ molecule using

a previous unobserved novel interaction pattern. While this manuscript was in

preparation, Kosugi et al. reported a cryo-EM structure of the Rc-o319-

RBD:bACE2$_{R.cor}$ complex (55), corroborating the interactions we observed and

identifying Y463$_{Rc-o319}$ and S465$_{Rc-o319}$ in the AL region as critical for bACE2$_{R.cor}$

binding. Importantly, beyond these AL-region interactions, we show that the BL

and LM regions also govern bACE2$_{R.cor}$ engagement, and that replacing the Rc-

o319 BL with the SARS-CoV-2 LL sequence has a substantially greater impact on

bACE2$_{R.cor}$ binding than multiple LM mutations (**Fig. 4B** vs **Fig. 4C**and see **Fig.**

S8D for the K458A$_{Rc-o319}$ substitution), establishing the BL as a principal

determinant of high-affinity binding in Rc-o319 S.

Our data show that the specialized BL loop co-adapts with the Rc-o319 RBM loop

to confer high-affinity binding to its cognate bACE2$_{R.cor}$ receptor, but this co-

adaptation renders the RBM-loop incompatible with the SARS-CoV-2 LL region

(Fig. S6C and **F)**, preventing an introduced LL region from mediating high-affinity

hACE2 binding in BLI **(compare Fig. 4F** and **G,** compare **Fig. S7C** and **D)**.

Conversely, changing SARS-CoV-2 LL to the BL in Rc-o319-RBD completely

abolishes hACE2 binding and bACE2$_{R.cor}$ binding (**Fig. S10A** and **E**), likely due to

incompatibility between BL and RBM-loop. These observations further suggest
that the Rc-o319 BL and RBM-loop are specialized structures adapted for
bACE2$_{R.cor}$ binding, and their unique structural features and interactions engaged
support classifying the Rc-o319-RBD as a novel Type-4 RBD. However, our data
show the specialized BL and RBM-loop of the Rc-o319 RBM severely limit its
capacity for high-affinity hACE2 binding, with extensive mutations and insertions
in these regions likely required to achieve the binding affinity necessary for cross-
species transmission.

Finally, our results show that, in contrast to the highly efficient utilization of
bACE2$_{R.cor}$ by the Rc-o319 S-protein, bACE2$_{R.cor}$ supports only limited usage by
selected sarbecovirus S-proteins with Type-1 RBDs. Notably, most of these S-
proteins are from viruses that belong to the SARS-CoV-2 clade (Clade 1b),
highlighting this clade's extraordinary ability to recognize a broad range of ACE2
orthologs. Albeit showing cell fusion activities, most bACE2$_{R.cor}$ utilizing S-
proteins show weak binding of bACE2$_{R.cor}$ in BLI assays. Therefore, bACE2$_{R.cor}$
appears to have evolved to permit efficient binding by the S-proteins of highly
specific sarbecovirus species. Further, our data show that the Asn38-glycan of
bACE2$_{R.cor}$ hinders sarbecovirus S-protein binding, likely further restricting its
utilization as a receptor. Interestingly, the Asn38-linked glycan is also located
within the binding interfaces of multiple ACE2-utilizing Merbecovirus S-proteins,
and is therefore likely to restrict their binding (56, 57).

In summary, the interaction between the Rc-o319 S-protein, featuring a specialized
RBM, and bACE2$_{R.cor}$ reflects a highly specific co-adaptation between virus and
host. To enable such adaptation, the RBM of Rc-o319 has diverged substantially
from that of the SARS-CoV-2 lineage, to which it is most closely related, resulting
in a highly restricted ACE2 specificity. Notably, the RBM is also a major target of
immune pressure, as demonstrated by the ongoing evolution of SARS-CoV-2 (58-
62). Even though certain SARS-CoV-2 lineage viruses can use bACE2$_{R.cor}$, we still
do not know what evolutionary forces, perhaps immune pressure, pushed Rc-o319
S toward specialization for its host receptor.

Materials and Methods

456

Protein constructs

S gene of Rc-o319 (Taxonomy ID: 694009) was codon-optimized and synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China). The transmembrane domain and C-terminal end of Rc-o319 (AA 1176-1235) were removed before the C-terminus of the coding sequence was fused to a T4 trimerization foldon, an HRV3C cleavage site, an Octo-His tag, and a double strep-tag to allow secretory expression and purification.

Rhinolophus cornutus (*R.cor*, Genebank: BCG67443.1), human (hACE2, Genebank: BAB40370.1), *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum* (*R.ferr*, Genbank: BAH02663.1) and greater mouse-eared bat *Myotis lucifugus* (*M.luci*, Genbank: XP_023609438.1) ACE genes were synthesized. Pangolin (Pangolin, Genbank: XP_017505752.1), *Rhinolophus affinis* 9479 (*Ra9479*, Genbank: QMQ39227), *Rhinolophus affinis* 787 (*Ra5538*, Genbank: QMQ39222), *Rhinolophus sinicus* WJ2 (*Rs*-WJ2, Genbank: QMQ39202.1), *Rhinolophus sinicus* 1434 (*Rs*1434, Genbank: QMQ39216.1), *Rhinolophus sinicus* 1438 (*Rs*1438, Genbank: QMQ39203.1), *Rhinolophus sinicus* 1446 (*Rs*1446 Genbank: MT394194.1), *Rhinolophus sinicus* 3357 (*Rs*3357 Genbank: AGZ48803.1), *Rhinolophus sinicus* 3359 (*Rs*3359 Genbank: QMQ39211.1), *Rhinolophus sinicus* 3366 (*Rs*3366, Genbank: QMQ39215.1) and *Rhinolophus sinicus* 5720 (*Rs*5720, Genbank: MT394182.1) ACE2 gene sequences were from a previous study (63). The peptidase domain and the C-terminal collectrin-like domain of ACE2, bearing a carboxy-terminal Hexa-His tag, was generated for hACE2. Due to the presence of the C-terminal collectrin-like domain, these his-tagged ACE2 (AA 1-731) proteins form dimers. Additionally, the same length of ACE2s were fused to the Fc domain of human IgG with one thrombin site and a GGGG linker in between, resulting in Fc-tagged ACE2.

Rc-o319 RBD and variants (AA 293-506), SARS-CoV-2 RBD (AA 319-541), were fused with human IgG Fc domains to generate dimeric RBD-Fc proteins.

Protein expression and purification

Proteins were expressed by transient transfection in Expi293F cells, 1 mg of DNA was transfected into 1 L of cells using Linear Polyethylenimine (PEI) transfection reagent. After transfection, cells were cultured at 33 °C for 4 days for S-proteins, 5 days for RBD and ACE2 proteins. For his-tagged S-proteins and RBD proteins, the supernatants were harvested by centrifugation and 25 mM phosphate pH 8.0, 3 mM imidazole, 300 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM PMSF were supplemented. Supernatant was recirculated onto a 5-ml Talon Cobalt column for about 3 times and the column was washed by 100 ml buffer A (25 mM phosphate pH 8.0, 5 mM imidazole, and 300 mM NaCl). Proteins were eluted using a linear gradient with increasing concentration of Buffer B (25 mM phosphate pH 8.0, 500 mM imidazole, and 300 mM NaCl). Eluted protein samples were quality checked by SDS-PAGE, concentrated and buffer-exchanged into PBS using a 100 kDa (for S-proteins) or 10 kDa (for RBD proteins) MWCO Amicon Ultra filtration device (Merck Millipore). The concentrated proteins were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C.

For RBD-Fc and ACE2-Fc proteins, 5 days post-transfection, each culture supernatant was cleared by filtering through a 0.45 μm filter membrane before being loaded onto a 5 mL HiTrap Protein A column (Cytiva). The column was washed by PBS (10 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$ and 1.8 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$ pH 7.4, 137 mM NaCl, and 2.7mM KCl). Proteins were eluted using a linear gradient with increasing concentration of a citrate buffer (0.1 M citric acid, pH 3.0). Eluted protein fractions were immediately neutralized with equal volumes of 1.0 M Tris-HCl pH 8.0 buffer. RBD-Fc and ACE2-Fc proteins were concentrated, buffer-exchanged into PBS before being frozen and stored at -80 °C.

Biolayer Interferometry (BLI) binding analysis

Binding of Rc-o319 S-proteins or RBDs to ACE2-Fc proteins was assessed using biolayer interferometry (BLI) on an Octet RED 96 instrument (FortéBio, USA). All steps were performed at 25 °C with an orbital shaking speed of 1000 rpm. All reagents were formulated in PBS-TB buffer (PBS with 0.02% v/v Tween-20 and 0.1% w/v BSA). Before the experiments, all protein A biosensors were pre-equilibrated in the PBS-TB buffer for 10 min. ACE2-Fc proteins (11 μg/ml) were immobilized onto Protein A biosensors (FortéBio, USA) to a response value of

~1.2 nm. After a 60 s baseline step in PBS-TB, the biosensors immobilized with
ACE2-Fc proteins were submerged in the analyte (S-proteins or RBDs) solutions
for 300 s to measure association, before being submerged in PBS-TB for 600 s to
measure dissociation. Data were reference subtracted and analyzed in the FortéBio
Data Analysis Software (FortéBio) by fitting to 1:1 or 2:1 binding models to
determine k_{on}, k_{off}, and K_D values as previously described (64). Raw data and fits
were plotted using GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software).

Binding of dimeric his-tagged hACE2, pangolin ACE2, and bACE2s to Rc-o319
RBD-Fc protein was assessed using the BLI assay. The RBD-Fc proteins (11
μg/ml) were loaded onto Protein A biosensors (FortéBio, USA) to a level of ~1.2
nm. After a 60 s baseline step in PBS-TB buffer, the biosensors immobilized with
RBD-Fc proteins were submerged in the ACE2 protein solutions for 300 s to
measure association, before being submerged in PBS-TB for 600 s to measure
dissociation. Data were reference subtracted and analyzed in the FortéBio Data
Analysis Software.

Cryo-EM grid preparation and data acquisition
Cryo-EM grids were prepared with Rc-o319 S-trimer samples at concentration of
1.65 mg/ml. All grids were prepared by applying 3.0 μl of S-trimer solutions
directly to glow discharged (15 mA, 30 s) holey carbon grids (Quantifoil Cu mesh
300 R1.2/R1.3) before blotting. Cryo-EM grids were blotted for 2.5 s with a blot
force of 4, before being plunge-frozen into liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV
(ThermoFisher) at 4 °C and 100% humidity.

For Rc-o319 S-proteins, micrographs were collected using the EPU software
(Thermo Fisher) on a Titan Krios G3i electron microscope (Thermo Fisher)
operated at 300 keV. Micrographs were recorded at a nominal magnification of
130,000× on a Falcon 4 direct electron detector coupled to a Selectris-X energy
filter set with a slit width of 10 eV and with a defocus range between -0.8 to -2.0
μm. Each movie was collected with a dose rate of 25 e$^-$/pixel/second, and exposed
for 2.28 seconds, resulting in a total dose of 50 e$^-$/Å^2 with a calibrated pixel size of
0.93 Å.

For complex formation, wild-type dimeric Rc-o319 RBD was mixed with dimeric
Fc-tagged bACE2$_{R.cor}$ at a 1.2:1 molar ratio, followed by incubation at 4 °C in the

presence of 0.01% glutaraldehyde for 20 min. The glutaraldehyde was quenched
by adding Tris-HCl to a final concentration of 50 mM. Gel filtration was
performed to remove excess RBD on a Superose 6 10/300 GL column (Cytiva)
equilibrated in PBS buffer. Complex formation was confirmed by SDS-PAGE, and
the Rc-o319-RBD:bACE2$_{R.cor}$ complex peak was collected and concentrated to
prepare cryo-EM grids. 3 μL of 6.8mg/mL the RBD-ACE2 complex was mixed
with 8 mM 3-[(3-Cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-2-hydroxy-1-
propanesulfonate (CHAPSO) before being applied onto glow discharged (15 mA,
30 s) Ni-Au-300 mesh R1.2/1.3 grids. Grids were blotted for 2.5 s with a blot force
of 5 before being plunge frozen into liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV
(Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 4 °C and 100% humidity. Micrographs were
collected on a Titan Krios electron microscope (Thermo Fisher) operated at 300
keV using the EPU software (Thermo Fisher). Micrographs were recorded at a
nominal magnification of 130,000× on a K3 direct electron detector (Gatan)
coupled to a Gatan BioQuantum energy filter set with a slit width of 20 eV
(Thermo Fisher) and with a defocus range between -0.8 to -2.0 μm. Each movie
was collected with a dose rate of 18.7 e$^-$/pixel/second, exposed for 1.78 seconds,
resulting in a total dose of 50 e$^-$/Å^2 with a calibrated pixel size of 0.65 Å.

Image processing

For dataset processed by the CryoSPARC package (65), particles were picked
before 2D classification was performed. Well featured particles from the 2D
classification were subjected to *ab initio* 3D classification. Homogeneous
Refinement and Local Refinement were applied to the set of particles with good
features identified by the *ab initio* 3D classification.

For the Rc-o319-RBD:bACE2$_{R.cor}$ complex dataset, data processing was performed
mainly using cryoSPARC (65). After patch-motion correction and CTF estimation,
micrographs with CTF fit resolution above 6Å were picked by the curate exposures
job. Particles were then picked using a blob picker to generate templates for the
subsequent template picker. Picked particles were extracted at 3x binning and
subjected to 2D classification, *ab initio* reconstruction, and heterogeneous
refinement. Particles belongs to classes with high-resolution details were selected
for a final round of Topaz picker by the Topaz cross validation job. Picked
particles were extracted at 3x binning and subjected to 2D classification, *ab initio*

reconstruction, and heterogeneous refinement again. Highly similar classes in
heterogeneous refinement showing high-resolution details were combined and re-
extracted at 1.5x binning and subjected to non-uniform refinement with
optimization of per-group CTF parameter analysis. The CTF-refined particles and
volumes were subjected to reference-based motion correction and an extra round of
non-uniform refinement to get the final dimeric structure.

Due to conformational dynamics in the dimeric RBD-ACE2 complex structure,
several local regions were resolved to lower resolution. Local refinement was
applied to improve the local resolution at the RBD-ACE2 binding interface.
Particles were symmetry expanded, the dynamic parts were masked, before the
particles were subtracted through masking. The subtracted particles were imported
to perform NU-Refine and local refinement jobs.

Model building and structure refinement
A previous determined SARS-CoV-2 S-trimer structure (PDB ID: 6ZP2) (22) was
used as the starting models for the Rc-o319 S-trimer structures. Model building
and adjustment were performed manually in Coot 0.9 (66). Steric clash and
sidechain rotamer conformations were improved using the Namdinator web server
(67). Final structures after additional manual adjustments were refined and
validated in PHENIX-1.18.261 (68). The SARS-CoV-2-RBD:hACE2-B^{0}AT1
complex structure (PDB ID: 6M17, EMD-30039) (27, 69) was used as the starting
model for the Rc-o319 RBD:bACE2$_{R.cor}$ complex structure. The starting model was
fitted in the cryo-EM density in Chimera before manual adjustments were carried
out in Coot. The model was refined by multiple rounds of refinement in
Namdinator and PHENIX. Data collection and refinement statistics for all the
reported structures are provided in Table S1.

GFP-split fusion assay
The split-GFP system based cell-cell fusion assay mediated by the interaction
between the S-protein and the ACE2 receptor was previously described (35).
Briefly, HEK293T cells transfected with full length S-proteins and pQCXIP-
GFP1-10 were prepared as effector cells, while HEK293T cells transfected with
bat ACE2 (*R.cor*, *Rs*-WJ2, *Rs*1446, *Rs*1434, *Rs*1438, *Rs*3357, *Rs*3366, *Rs*3359,
*Rs*5720, *Ra*9479, *Ra*5538, *R.ferr*, and *M.luci*) or pangolin ACE2 or human ACE2

(hACE2) and pQCXIP-BSR-GFP11 served as receptor cells. 24 h after
transfection, effector and receptor cells were washed and resuspended in DMEM
containing 10% FBS without trypsin treatment, mixed at a 1:1 ratio, and plated at
~2 × 10^5 cells per well in a 96-well plate. Fluorescence images were recorded at
the indicated time points using a Nikon TS2-S-SM fluorescence microscope. The
GFP area was quantified with ImageJ. S-protein expression was analyzed via flow
cytometry using a SARS-CoV-2 S2 antibody (1:2500 dilution, Sino Biological,
40590-T62) and Western blot using an anti-FLAG antibody (1:1000 dilution, Sino
Biological, 109143-MM13). The GFP area was normalized to the mean
fluorescence intensity of surface S-proteins.

Data availability

Cryo-EM density maps and coordinates generated in this study have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB; www.ebi.ac.uk/emdb/) and the Protein Data Bank (PDB; www.rcsb.org/ with the following accession numbers: Rc-o319 locked-1 S-trimer: EMD-65717 and PDB:9W75; Rc-o319 locked-2 S-trimer: EMD-65718 and PDB: 9W76; Dimeric Rc-o319-RBD:bACE2$_{R.cor}$ complex: EMD-65719 and PDB: 9W77, monomeric Rc-o319-RBD:bACE2$_{R.cor}$ complex: EMD65720 and PDB: 9W78. All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials.

Acknowledgments

We thank the staff of the GIBH-CAS Cryo-EM Facility for their help with cryo-EM sample preparation and data collection. We thank the staff of the Guangzhou Laboratory Cryo-EM Facility for their help with cryo-EM sample data collection. This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2021YFA1300903 to X.X.); the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82341085 to X.X.); the Emergency Key Program of Guangzhou Laboratory (EKPG21–06 to X.X.); the R&D Program of Guangzhou National Laboratory (SRPG22–002 to X.X. and SRPG22-003 to J.H); the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2021A1515011289 to X.X.); the Science and Technology Planning Project of Guangdong Province, China (2023B1212060050 to X.X., 2023B1212120009 to X.X.); the Basic Research Project of Guangzhou Institutes of Biomedicine and Health, Chinese Academy of Sciences (GIBHBRP24-02 to X.X.); the Natural Science Fund of Guangdong Province (2022A1515110211 to J.W.); the Young Doctoral Starting Sail Project of the Guangzhou Municipal Science and Technology Bureau (2024A04J4197 to J.W., 2024A04J4357 to Y.M.); and the Grants from State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Diseases (SKLRD-Z-202515 to Y.M.). X.X. acknowledges Start-up grants from the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Author contributions

J.W., Z.L., and Y.M. conceived the study under the direction of X.C. and X.X. J.W. and Z.L. performed the clone design, protein purification and EM-structure

determination study; Y.M. performed the cell-cell fusion and FCAS assays; Z. L.
and H.Y. performed BLI assays; J.W., B.L., C.N. and X.X. analysied the BLI
results; J.W., Z.L., M.L., H.F. and M.Z. helped collect cryo-EM data under the
supervision of J.H.and X.X.; Z.L., Zimu Li and J.W. processed cryo-EM data
under the supervision of X.X.; J.W. Z.L. and X.X. analyzed cryo-EM structures;
J.W., Z.L. and Y.M. prepared the figures under the supervision of X.X.; J.W., Z.L.,
Y.M. and X.X. wrote the paper with input from all authors; J.C. and J.H. reviewed
and edited the manuscript; X.C. and X.X. supervised the research.
671

Conflict of interest

673   The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. J. S. Peiris, C. M. Chu, V. C. Cheng, K. S. Chan, I. F. Hung, L. L. Poon, K. I. Law, B. S. Tang, T. Y. Hon, C. S. Chan, K. H. Chan, J. S. Ng, B. J. Zheng, W. L. Ng, R. W. Lai, Y. Guan, K. Y. Yuen, H. U. S. S. Group, Clinical progression and viral load in a community outbreak of coronavirus-associated SARS pneumonia: a prospective study. *Lancet* **361**, 1767-1772 (2003).

2. C. Drosten, S. Gunther, W. Preiser, S. van der Werf, H. R. Brodt, S. Becker, H. Rabenau, M. Panning, L. Kolesnikova, R. A. Fouchier, A. Berger, A. M. Burguiere, J. Cinatl, M. Eickmann, N. Escriou, K. Grywna, S. Kramme, J. C. Manuguerra, S. Muller, V. Rickerts, M. Sturmer, S. Vieth, H. D. Klenk, A. D. Osterhaus, H. Schmitz, H. W. Doerr, Identification of a novel coronavirus in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome. *N Engl J Med* **348**, 1967-1976 (2003).

3. R. A. Fouchier, T. Kuiken, M. Schutten, G. van Amerongen, G. J. van Doornum, B. G. van den Hoogen, M. Peiris, W. Lim, K. Stohr, A. D. Osterhaus, Aetiology: Koch's postulates fulfilled for SARS virus. *Nature* **423**, 240 (2003).

4. J. S. Peiris, Y. Guan, K. Y. Yuen, Severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Nat Med* **10**, S88-97 (2004).

5. S. Temmam, K. Vongphayloth, E. Baquero, S. Munier, M. Bonomi, B. Regnault, B. Douangboubpha, Y. Karami, D. Chretien, D. Sanamxay, V. Xayaphet, P. Paphaphanh, V. Lacoste, S. Somlor, K. Lakeomany, N. Phommavanh, P. Perot, O. Dehan, F. Amara, F. Donati, T. Bigot, M. Nilges, F. A. Rey, S. van der Werf, P. T. Brey, M. Eloit, Bat coronaviruses related to SARS-CoV-2 and infectious for human cells. *Nature* **604**, 330-336 (2022).

6. S. Wacharapluesadee, C. W. Tan, P. Maneeorn, P. Duengkae, F. Zhu, Y. Joyjinda, T. Kaewpom, W. N. Chia, W. Ampoot, B. L. Lim, K. Worachotsueptrakun, V. C. Chen, N. Sirichan, C. Ruchisrisarod, A. Rodpan, K. Noradechanon, T. Phaichana, N. Jantarat, B. Thongnumchaima, C. Tu, G. Crameri, M. M. Stokes, T. Hemachudha, L. F. Wang, Evidence for SARS-CoV-2 related coronaviruses circulating in bats and pangolins in Southeast Asia. *Nat Commun* **12**, 972 (2021).

7. S. Murakami, T. Kitamura, J. Suzuki, R. Sato, T. Aoi, M. Fujii, H. Matsugo, H. Kamiki, H. Ishida, A. Takenaka-Uema, M. Shimojima, T. Horimoto, Detection and Characterization of Bat Sarbecovirus Phylogenetically Related to SARS-CoV-2, Japan. *Emerg Infect Dis* **26**, 3025-3029 (2020).

8. J. F. Drexler, F. Gloza-Rausch, J. Glende, V. M. Corman, D. Muth, M. Goettsche, A. Seebens, M. Niedrig, S. Pfefferle, S. Yordanov, L. Zhelyazkov, U. Hermanns, P. Vallo, A. Lukashev, M. A. Muller, H. Deng, G. Herrler, C. Drosten, Genomic characterization of severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus in European bats and classification of coronaviruses based on partial RNA-dependent RNA polymerase gene sequences. *J Virol* **84**, 11336-11349 (2010).

9. Y. Tao, S. Tong, Complete Genome Sequence of a Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Related Coronavirus from Kenyan Bats. *Microbiol Resour Announc* **8**, (2019).

718   10.   W. Li, Z. Shi, M. Yu, W. Ren, C. Smith, J. H. Epstein, H. Wang, G. Crameri, Z. Hu, H.
719   Zhang, J. Zhang, J. McEachern, H. Field, P. Daszak, B. T. Eaton, S. Zhang, L. F. Wang,
720   Bats are natural reservoirs of SARS-like coronaviruses. *Science* **310**, 676-679
721   (2005).
722   11.   X. Y. Ge, J. L. Li, X. L. Yang, A. A. Chmura, G. Zhu, J. H. Epstein, J. K. Mazet, B. Hu, W.
723   Zhang, C. Peng, Y. J. Zhang, C. M. Luo, B. Tan, N. Wang, Y. Zhu, G. Crameri, S. Y.
724   Zhang, L. F. Wang, P. Daszak, Z. L. Shi, Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-
725   like coronavirus that uses the ACE2 receptor. *Nature* **503**, 535-538 (2013).
726   12.   B. Hu, X. Ge, L. F. Wang, Z. Shi, Bat origin of human coronaviruses. *Virol J* **12**, 221
727   (2015).
728   13.   H. Zhou, J. Ji, X. Chen, Y. Bi, J. Li, Q. Wang, T. Hu, H. Song, R. Zhao, Y. Chen, M. Cui,
729   Y. Zhang, A. C. Hughes, E. C. Holmes, W. Shi, Identification of novel bat
730   coronaviruses sheds light on the evolutionary origins of SARS-CoV-2 and related
731   viruses. *Cell* **184**, 4380-4391 e4314 (2021).
732   14.   D. Delaune, V. Hul, E. A. Karlsson, A. Hassanin, T. P. Ou, A. Baidaliuk, F. Gambaro,
733   M. Prot, V. T. Tu, S. Chea, L. Keatts, J. Mazet, C. K. Johnson, P. Buchy, P. Dussart, T.
734   Goldstein, E. Simon-Loriere, V. Duong, A novel SARS-CoV-2 related coronavirus in
735   bats from Cambodia. *Nat Commun* **12**, 6563 (2021).
736   15.   S. Alkhovsky, S. Lenshin, A. Romashin, T. Vishnevskaya, O. Vyshemirsky, Y.
737   Bulycheva, D. Lvov, A. Gitelman, SARS-like Coronaviruses in Horseshoe Bats
738   (Rhinolophus spp.) in Russia, 2020. *Viruses* **14**, 113 (2022).
739   16.   H. Guo, A. Li, T. Y. Dong, H. R. Si, B. Hu, B. Li, Y. Zhu, Z. L. Shi, M. Letko, Isolation of
740   ACE2-dependent and -independent sarbecoviruses from Chinese horseshoe bats. *J*
741   *Virol* **97**, e0039523 (2023).
742   17.   J. Wang, Y. Ma, Z. Li, H. Yuan, B. Liu, Z. Li, M. Su, G. Habib, Y. Liu, L. Fu, P. Wang, M.
743   Li, J. He, J. Chen, P. Zhou, Z. Shi, X. Chen, X. Xiong, SARS-related coronavirus S-
744   protein structures reveal synergistic RBM interactions underpinning high-affinity
745   human ACE2 binding. *Sci Adv* **11**, eadr8772 (2025).
746   18.   H. L. Wells, M. Letko, G. Lasso, B. Ssebide, J. Nziza, D. K. Byarugaba, I. Navarrete-
747   Macias, E. Liang, M. Cranfield, B. A. Han, M. W. Tingley, M. Diuk-Wasser, T.
748   Goldstein, C. K. Johnson, J. A. K. Mazet, K. Chandran, V. J. Munster, K. Gilardi, S. J.
749   Anthony, The evolutionary history of ACE2 usage within the coronavirus subgenus
750   Sarbecovirus. *Virus Evol* **7**, veab007 (2021).
751   19.   J. Zhang, T. Xiao, Y. Cai, B. Chen, Structure of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *Curr Opin*
752   *Virol* **50**, 173-182 (2021).
753   20.   B. J. Bosch, R. van der Zee, C. A. de Haan, P. J. Rottier, The coronavirus spike protein
754   is a class I virus fusion protein: structural and functional characterization of the
755   fusion core complex. *J Virol* **77**, 8801-8811 (2003).
756   21.   S. C. Harrison, Viral membrane fusion. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **15**, 690-698 (2008).
757   22.   X. Xiong, K. Qu, K. A. Ciazynska, M. Hosmillo, A. P. Carter, S. Ebrahimi, Z. Ke, S. H.
758   W. Scheres, L. Bergamaschi, G. L. Grice, Y. Zhang, C.-N. C.-B. Collaboration, J. A.
759   Nathan, S. Baker, L. C. James, H. E. Baxendale, I. Goodfellow, R. Doffinger, J. A. G.
760   Briggs, A thermostable, closed SARS-CoV-2 spike protein trimer. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*
761   **27**, 934-941 (2020).

23. M. Gui, W. Song, H. Zhou, J. Xu, S. Chen, Y. Xiang, X. Wang, Cryo-electron
microscopy structures of the SARS-CoV spike glycoprotein reveal a prerequisite
conformational state for receptor binding. *Cell Res* **27**, 119-129 (2017).
24. W. Song, M. Gui, X. Wang, Y. Xiang, Cryo-EM structure of the SARS coronavirus
spike glycoprotein in complex with its host cell receptor ACE2. *PLoS Pathog* **14**,
e1007236 (2018).
25. X. Zhang, Z. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. Liu, J. Wang, B. Liu, Q. Chen, Q. Wang, L. Fu, P. Wang, X.
Zhong, L. Jin, Q. Yan, L. Chen, J. He, J. Zhao, X. Xiong, Disulfide stabilization reveals
conserved dynamic features between SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 spikes. *Life Sci*
Alliance **6**, e202201796 (2023).
26. F. Li, W. Li, M. Farzan, S. C. Harrison, Structure of SARS coronavirus spike receptor-
binding domain complexed with receptor. *Science* **309**, 1864-1868 (2005).
27. R. Yan, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, L. Xia, Y. Guo, Q. Zhou, Structural basis for the recognition of
SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. *Science* **367**, 1444-1448 (2020).
28. J. Y. Si, Y. M. Chen, Y. H. Sun, M. X. Gu, M. L. Huang, L. L. Shi, X. Yu, X. Yang, Q.
Xiong, C. B. Ma, P. Liu, Z. L. Shi, H. Yan, Sarbecovirus RBD indels and specific
residues dictating multi-species ACE2 adaptiveness. *Nat Commun* **15**, 8869 (2024).
29. W. Li, C. Zhang, J. Sui, J. H. Kuhn, M. J. Moore, S. Luo, S. K. Wong, I. C. Huang, K. Xu,
N. Vasilieva, A. Murakami, Y. He, W. A. Marasco, Y. Guan, H. Choe, M. Farzan,
Receptor and viral determinants of SARS-coronavirus adaptation to human ACE2.
EMBO J **24**, 1634-1643 (2005).
30. P. Zhou, X. L. Yang, X. G. Wang, B. Hu, L. Zhang, W. Zhang, H. R. Si, Y. Zhu, B. Li, C.
L. Huang, H. D. Chen, J. Chen, Y. Luo, H. Guo, R. D. Jiang, M. Q. Liu, Y. Chen, X. R.
Shen, X. Wang, X. S. Zheng, K. Zhao, Q. J. Chen, F. Deng, L. L. Liu, B. Yan, F. X. Zhan,
Y. Y. Wang, G. F. Xiao, Z. L. Shi, A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new
coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* **579**, 270-273 (2020).
31. P. Han, C. Su, Y. Zhang, C. Bai, A. Zheng, C. Qiao, Q. Wang, S. Niu, Q. Chen, Y.
Zhang, W. Li, H. Liao, J. Li, Z. Zhang, H. Cho, M. Yang, X. Rong, Y. Hu, N. Huang, J.
Yan, Q. Wang, X. Zhao, G. F. Gao, J. Qi, Molecular insights into receptor binding of
recent emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Nat Commun* **12**, 6103 (2021).
32. J. M. Crook, I. Murphy, D. P. Carter, S. T. Pullan, M. Carroll, R. Vipond, A. A.
Cunningham, D. Bell, Metagenomic identification of a new sarbecovirus from
horseshoe bats in Europe. *Scientific Reports* **11**, 14723 (2021).
33. W. Markotter, M. Geldenhuys, P. Jansen van Vuren, A. Kemp, M. Mortlock, A.
Mudakikwa, L. Nel, J. Nziza, J. Paweska, J. Weyer, Paramyxo- and Coronaviruses in
Rwandan Bats. *Trop Med Infect Dis* **4**, 99 (2019).
34. Y. Hu, K. Liu, P. Han, Z. Xu, A. Zheng, X. Pan, Y. Jia, C. Su, L. Tang, L. Wu, B. Bai, X.
Zhao, D. Tian, Z. Chen, J. Qi, Q. Wang, G. F. Gao, Host range and structural analysis
of bat-origin RshSTT182/200 coronavirus binding to human ACE2 and its animal
orthologs. *EMBO J*, e111737 (2022).
35. Y. Ma, P. Li, Y. Hu, T. Qiu, L. Wang, H. Lu, K. Lv, M. Xu, J. Zhuang, X. Liu, S. He, B. He,
S. Liu, L. Liu, Y. Wang, X. Yue, Y. Zhai, W. Luo, H. Mai, Y. Kuang, S. Chen, F. Ye, N.
Zhou, W. Zhao, J. Chen, S. Chen, X. Xiong, M. Shi, J.-A. Pan, Y.-Q. Chen, Spike

substitution T813S increases Sarbecovirus fusogenicity by enhancing the usage of
TMPRSS2. *PLOS Pathogens* **19**, e1011123 (2023).

36.   Y. Cai, J. Zhang, T. Xiao, H. Peng, S. M. Sterling, R. M. Walsh, Jr., S. Rawson, S. Rits-
Volloch, B. Chen, Distinct conformational states of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein.
Science **369**, 1586-1592 (2020).

37.   Z. Ke, J. Oton, K. Qu, M. Cortese, V. Zila, L. McKeane, T. Nakane, J. Zivanov, C. J.
Neufeldt, B. Cerikan, J. M. Lu, J. Peukes, X. Xiong, H. G. Krausslich, S. H. W.
Scheres, R. Bartenschlager, J. A. G. Briggs, Structures and distributions of SARS-
CoV-2 spike proteins on intact virions. *Nature* **588**, 498-502 (2020).

38.   K. Qu, Q. Chen, K. A. Ciazynska, B. Liu, X. Zhang, J. Wang, Y. He, J. Guan, J. He, T.
Liu, X. Zhang, A. P. Carter, X. Xiong, J. A. G. Briggs, Engineered disulfide reveals
structural dynamics of locked SARS-CoV-2 spike. *PLoS Pathog* **18**, e1010583
(2022).

39.   C. Toelzer, K. Gupta, S. K. N. Yadav, U. Borucu, A. D. Davidson, M. Kavanagh
Williamson, D. K. Shoemark, F. Garzoni, O. Staufer, R. Milligan, J. Capin, A. J.
Mulholland, J. Spatz, D. Fitzgerald, I. Berger, C. Schaffitzel, Free fatty acid binding
pocket in the locked structure of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *Science* **370**, 725-730
(2020).

40.   S. Zhang, S. Qiao, J. Yu, J. Zeng, S. Shan, L. Tian, J. Lan, L. Zhang, X. Wang, Bat and
pangolin coronavirus spike glycoprotein structures provide insights into SARS-CoV-
2 evolution. *Nat Commun* **12**, 1607 (2021).

41.   J. Ma, D. Su, Y. Sun, X. Huang, Y. Liang, L. Fang, Y. Ma, W. Li, P. Liang, S. Zheng,
Cryo-electron Microscopy Structure of S-Trimer, a Subunit Vaccine Candidate for
COVID-19. *J Virol* **95**, 10.1128/jvi.00194-00121 (2021).

42.   A. Rosa, V. E. Pye, C. Graham, L. Muir, J. Seow, K. W. Ng, N. J. Cook, C. Rees-Spear,
E. Parker, M. S. Dos Santos, C. Rosadas, A. Susana, H. Rhys, A. Nans, L. Masino, C.
Roustan, E. Christodoulou, R. Ulferts, A. G. Wrobel, C. E. Short, M. Fertleman, R. W.
Sanders, J. Heaney, M. Spyer, S. Kjær, A. Riddell, M. H. Malim, R. Beale, J. I.
MacRae, G. P. Taylor, E. Nastouli, M. J. van Gils, P. B. Rosenthal, M. Pizzato, M. O.
McClure, R. S. Tedder, G. Kassiotis, L. E. McCoy, K. J. Doores, P. Cherepanov, SARS-
CoV-2 can recruit a heme metabolite to evade antibody immunity. *Sci Adv* **7**,
eabg7607 (2021).

43.   S. L. Freeman, A. S. F. Oliveira, A. E. Gallio, A. Rosa, M. K. Simitakou, C. J. Arthur, A.
J. Mulholland, P. Cherepanov, E. L. Raven, Heme binding to the SARS-CoV-2 spike
glycoprotein. *J Biol Chem* **299**, 105014 (2023).

44.   J. Lee, S. K. Zepeda, Y. J. Park, A. L. Taylor, J. Quispe, C. Stewart, E. M. Leaf, C.
Treichel, D. Corti, N. P. King, T. N. Starr, D. Veesler, Broad receptor tropism and
immunogenicity of a clade 3 sarbecovirus. *Cell Host Microbe* **31**, 1961-1973 e1911
(2023).

45.   F. Li, Structural analysis of major species barriers between humans and palm civets
for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infections. *J Virol* **82**, 6984-6991
(2008).

46.   B. Dadonaite, J. Brown, T. E. McMahon, A. G. Farrell, M. D. Figgins, D. Asarnow, C.
Stewart, J. Lee, J. Logue, T. Bedford, B. Murrell, H. Y. Chu, D. Veesler, J. D. Bloom,

Spike deep mutational scanning helps predict success of SARS-CoV-2 clades. *Nature* **631**, 617-626 (2024).

47. W. T. Harvey, A. M. Carabelli, B. Jackson, R. K. Gupta, E. C. Thomson, E. M. Harrison, C. Ludden, R. Reeve, A. Rambaut, C.-G. U. Consortium, S. J. Peacock, D. L. Robertson, SARS-CoV-2 variants, spike mutations and immune escape. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **19**, 409-424 (2021).

48. M. McCallum, A. De Marco, F. A. Lempp, M. A. Tortorici, D. Pinto, A. C. Walls, M. Beltramello, A. Chen, Z. Liu, F. Zatta, S. Zepeda, J. di Iulio, J. E. Bowen, M. Montiel-Ruiz, J. Zhou, L. E. Rosen, S. Bianchi, B. Guarino, C. S. Fregni, R. Abdelnabi, S. C. Foo, P. W. Rothlauf, L. M. Bloyet, F. Benigni, E. Cameroni, J. Neyts, A. Riva, G. Snell, A. Telenti, S. P. J. Whelan, H. W. Virgin, D. Corti, M. S. Pizzuto, D. Veesler, N-terminal domain antigenic mapping reveals a site of vulnerability for SARS-CoV-2. *Cell* **184**, 2332-2347 e2316 (2021).

49. H. Yuan, J. Wang, Y. Ma, Z. Li, X. Gao, G. Habib, B. Liu, J. Chen, J. He, P. Zhou, Z. L. Shi, X. Chen, X. Xiong, Structures and receptor binding activities of merbecovirus spike proteins reveal key signatures for human DPP4 adaptation. *Sci Adv* **11**, eadv7296 (2025).

50. A. Chornelia, A. C. Hughes, The evolutionary history and ancestral biogeographic range estimation of old-world Rhinolophidae and Hipposideridae (Chiroptera). *BMC Ecol Evol* **22**, 112 (2022).

51. H. Shuai, J. F. Chan, T. T. Yuen, C. Yoon, J. C. Hu, L. Wen, B. Hu, D. Yang, Y. Wang, Y. Hou, X. Huang, Y. Chai, C. C. Chan, V. K. Poon, L. Lu, R. Q. Zhang, W. M. Chan, J. D. Ip, A. W. Chu, Y. F. Hu, J. P. Cai, K. H. Chan, J. Zhou, S. Sridhar, B. Z. Zhang, S. Yuan, A. J. Zhang, J. D. Huang, K. K. To, K. Y. Yuen, H. Chu, Emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants expand species tropism to murines. *EBioMedicine* **73**, 103643 (2021).

52. F. R. Hills, A. R. Eruera, J. Hodgkinson-Bean, F. Jorge, R. Easingwood, S. H. J. Brown, J. C. Bouwer, Y. P. Li, L. N. Burga, M. Bostina, Variation in structural motifs within SARS-related coronavirus spike proteins. *PLoS Pathog* **20**, e1012158 (2024).

53. T. Zhou, Y. Tsybovsky, J. Gorman, M. Rapp, G. Cerutti, G. Y. Chuang, P. S. Katsamba, J. M. Sampson, A. Schon, J. Bimela, J. C. Boyington, A. Nazzari, A. S. Olia, W. Shi, M. Sastry, T. Stephens, J. Stuckey, I. T. Teng, P. Wang, S. Wang, B. Zhang, R. A. Friesner, D. D. Ho, J. R. Mascola, L. Shapiro, P. D. Kwong, Cryo-EM Structures of SARS-CoV-2 Spike without and with ACE2 Reveal a pH-Dependent Switch to Mediate Endosomal Positioning of Receptor-Binding Domains. *Cell Host Microbe* **28**, 867-879 e865 (2020).

54. X. Ou, G. Xu, P. Li, Y. Liu, F. Zan, P. Liu, J. Hu, X. Lu, S. Dong, Y. Zhou, Z. Mu, Z. Wu, J. Wang, Q. Jin, P. Liu, J. Lu, X. Wang, Z. Qian, Host susceptibility and structural and immunological insight of S proteins of two SARS-CoV-2 closely related bat coronaviruses. *Cell Discov* **9**, 78 (2023).

55. Y. Kosugi, K. Matsumoto, S. Lytras, A. Plianchaisuk, J. E. Tolentino, S. Fujita, M. S. Yo, C. Luo, Y. Kim, W. Shihoya, J. Ito, O. Nureki, K. Sato, Molecular basis of sarbecovirus evolution and receptor tropism in natural hosts, potential intermediate hosts, and humans. *Cell Rep* **44**, 116220 (2025).

56. Y. J. Park, C. Liu, J. Lee, J. T. Brown, C. B. Ma, P. Liu, R. Gen, Q. Xiong, S. K. Zepeda,
C. Stewart, A. Addetia, C. J. Craig, M. A. Tortorici, A. N. Alshukairi, T. N. Starr, H. Yan,
D. Veesler, Molecular basis of convergent evolution of ACE2 receptor utilization
among HKU5 coronaviruses. *Cell* **188**, 1711-1728 e1721 (2025).
57. J. Chen, W. Zhang, Y. Li, C. Liu, T. Dong, H. Chen, C. Wu, J. Su, B. Li, W. Zhang, B.
Hu, J. Jia, C. B. Ma, Y. Zhu, X. He, A. Li, K. Pan, H. Lin, Z. Guo, C. Li, L. Zhang, H. Yan,
P. Zhou, W. Peng, Z. L. Shi, Bat-infecting merbecovirus HKU5-CoV lineage 2 can use
human ACE2 as a cell entry receptor. *Cell* **188**, 1729-1742 e1716 (2025).
58. B. Liu, X. Niu, Y. Deng, Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, X. Gao, H. Liang, Z. Li, Q. Wang, Y. Cheng,
Q. Chen, S. Huang, Y. Pan, M. Su, X. Lin, C. Niu, Y. Chen, W. Yang, Y. Zhang, Q. Yan,
J. He, J. Zhao, L. Chen, X. Xiong, An unconventional VH1-2 antibody tolerates
escape mutations and shows an antigenic hotspot on SARS-CoV-2 spike. *Cell Rep*
43, 114265 (2024).
59. Q. Yan, X. Gao, B. Liu, R. Hou, P. He, Y. Ma, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Z. Li, Q. Chen, J.
Wang, X. Huang, H. Liang, H. Zheng, Y. Yao, X. Chen, X. Niu, J. He, L. Chen, J. Zhao,
X. Xiong, Antibodies utilizing VL6-57 light chains target a convergent cryptic epitope
on SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and potentially drive the genesis of Omicron variants.
Nat Commun **15**, 7585 (2024).
60. P. He, B. Liu, X. Gao, Q. Yan, R. Pei, J. Sun, Q. Chen, R. Hou, Z. Li, Y. Zhang, J. Zhao,
H. Sun, B. Feng, Q. Wang, H. Yi, P. Hu, P. Li, Y. Zhang, Z. Chen, X. Niu, X. Zhong, L.
Jin, X. Liu, K. Qu, K. A. Ciazynska, A. P. Carter, J. A. G. Briggs, J. Chen, J. Liu, X. Chen,
J. He, L. Chen, X. Xiong, SARS-CoV-2 Delta and Omicron variants evade population
antibody response by mutations in a single spike epitope. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 1635-
1649 (2022).
61. H. Yu, B. Liu, Y. Zhang, X. Gao, Q. Wang, H. Xiang, X. Peng, C. Xie, Y. Wang, P. Hu, J.
Shi, Q. Shi, P. Zheng, C. Feng, G. Tang, X. Liu, L. Guo, X. Lin, J. Li, C. Liu, Y. Huang, N.
Yang, Q. Chen, Z. Li, M. Su, Q. Yan, R. Pei, X. Chen, L. Liu, F. Hu, D. Liang, B. Ke, C.
Ke, F. Li, J. He, M. Wang, L. Chen, X. Xiong, X. Tang, Somatically hypermutated
antibodies isolated from SARS-CoV-2 Delta infected patients cross-neutralize
heterologous variants. *Nat Commun* **14**, 1058 (2023).
62. B. Chen, M. Farzan, H. Choe, SARS-CoV-2 spike protein: structure, viral entry and
variants. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **23**, 455-468 (2025).
63. H. Guo, B. J. Hu, X. L. Yang, L. P. Zeng, B. Li, S. Y. Ouyang, Z. L. Shi, Evolutionary
Arms Race between Virus and Host Drives Genetic Diversity in Bat Severe Acute
Respiratory Syndrome-Related Coronavirus Spike Genes. *J Virol* **94**, (2020).
64. G. W. Carnell, K. A. Ciazynska, D. A. Wells, X. Xiong, E. T. Aguinam, S. H.
McLaughlin, D. Mallery, S. Ebrahimi, L. Ceron-Gutierrez, B. Asbach, S. Einhauser, R.
Wagner, L. C. James, R. Doffinger, J. L. Heeney, J. A. G. Briggs, SARS-CoV-2 Spike
Protein Stabilized in the Closed State Induces Potent Neutralizing Responses. *J Virol*
95, e0020321 (2021).
65. A. Punjani, J. L. Rubinstein, D. J. Fleet, M. A. Brubaker, cryoSPARC: algorithms for
rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods* **14**, 290-296
(2017).

66. P. Emsley, B. Lohkamp, W. G. Scott, K. Cowtan, Features and development of Coot.
Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr **66**, 486-501 (2010).
67. R. T. Kidmose, J. Juhl, P. Nissen, T. Boesen, J. L. Karlsen, B. P. Pedersen,
Namdinator - automatic molecular dynamics flexible fitting of structural models
into cryo-EM and crystallography experimental maps. *IUCrJ* **6**, 526-531 (2019).
68. P. V. Afonine, B. K. Poon, R. J. Read, O. V. Sobolev, T. C. Terwilliger, A. Urzhumtsev,
P. D. Adams, Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography.
Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol **74**, 531-544 (2018).
69. J. Buchrieser, J. Dufloo, M. Hubert, B. Monel, D. Planas, M. M. Rajah, C. Planchais,
F. Porrot, F. Guivel-Benhassine, S. Van der Werf, N. Casartelli, H. Mouquet, T. Bruel,
O. Schwartz, Syncytia formation by SARS-CoV-2-infected cells. *EMBO J* **39**, e106267
(2020).

Figure 1. Rc-o319 S-protein utilizes a narrow range of *Rhinolophus* bat ACE2 orthologs as receptors and adopts two locked, 3-RBD-down conformations.

(A) Cell-cell fusion assays using receptor cells expressing bat, pangolin or human ACE2 molecules and effector cells expressing the Rc-o319 S-proteins. Fused cells show GFP signals. Representative images of cell-cell fusion captured at 6 hours post-transfection are shown. Scale bar, 500 μm. **(B)** Binding of Rc-o319 S-trimer to immobilized, dimeric $bACE2_{R.cor}$, hACE2 and $bACE2_{Ra9479}$ as assessed by BLI assays. **(C)** A schematic representation showing the eight functional domains or regions of Rc-o319 S-protein. **(D)** Cryo-EM density and associated molecular model showing the overall architecture of a Rc-o319 S-trimer in a locked-1 conformation. **(E)** Lipid binding pocket in the RBD of locked-1 Rc-o319 S-trimer. Modeled linoleic acid (magenta) interacts with the shown hydrophobic residues of the lipid binding pocket. Salt bridge and hydrogen bond are shown as dashed lines. **(F)** Structure of the Domain D region in the locked-1 Rc-o319 S-trimer. Consistent with a locked conformation, the fusion peptide proximal region (FPPR) is ordered. Residues $580\text{-}605_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ form a large disordered loop in the locked-1 conformation. **(G)** Cryo-EM density and associated molecular model showing the overall architecture of a locked-2 Rc-o319 S-trimer. **(H)** The RBD lipid binding pocket is empty with $Y339_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ side-chain reorientated in the locked-2 conformation. **(I)** Residues $580\text{-}605_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ refold and form an ordered structure. A stabilizing cation-π interaction (red dashed line) is formed between Domain D residue $W598_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ and Domain C-D junction residue $R296_{Rc\text{-}o319}$.

Figure 2. Rc-o319 S-trimer structures reveal an RBD featuring a novel RBM
and an NTD with altered loops.

(A-B) Topviews of locked-1 and locked-2 Rc-o319 S-trimer structures. N-linked
glycans are shown as light gray spheres. NTDs are colored blue, RBDs are colored
orange (locked-1, A) or pink (locked-2, B). The lower panels show intra- or inter-
protomer interactions involving RBD residues. $K458_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ forms an inter-protomer
hydrogen bond with $N344_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ of an RBD of a neighboring protomer in both
locked-1 and locked-2 S-trimers. In the locked-1 conformation, $D468_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ forms
an intra-protomer hydrogen bond with $Y473_{Rc\text{-}o319}$; in the locked-2 conformation,
$D468_{Rc\text{-}o319}$ instead mediates two inter-protomer hydrogen bonds with $S469_{Rc\text{-}o319}$
of an adjacent protomer. **(C-D)** Comparison of Rc-o319 (C) and SARS-CoV-2 (D)
N-terminal domain (NTD) structures. The five highly variable NTD loops (N1-N5)
are shown in the Rc-o319 and SARS-CoV-2 (PDB: 7XU4) NTD structures. A
four-amino-acid residue insertion is highlighted in the Rc-o319 NTD in red. **(E)**
The structure of the Rc-o319 RBD. The receptor binding motif (RBM) of the RBD
is colored pink and the core of the RBD is colored gray. Disulfide bonds within the
RBD are indicated. Featured structural elements, beta-Loop (BL), small loop (SL),
Lamella (LM) sheets, β5 and β6, and the large RBM loop are indicated. **(E-G)** For
comparison, the SARS-CoV-2 RBD (PDB:7XU4) is shown (F) and superposed on
the Rc-o319 RBD structure (E).

Figure 3. Cryo-EM structure of the Rc-o319-RBD:bACE2$_{R.cor}$ complex with a detailed comparison of RBD:ACE2 interactions versus those in the SARS-CoV-2-RBD:hACE2 complex.

(A) Molecular structure of a monomeric Rc-o319-RBD:bACE2$_{R.cor}$ complex
extracted from the dimeric Rc-o319-RBD:bACE2$_{R.cor}$ complex structure resolved
by cryo-EM (see Figure S1). Detailed interactions formed between the Rc-o319-
RBD and bACE2$_{R.cor}$ are shown with hydrogen bonds and salt bridges shown as
dashed lines. **(B)** Superposition of the Rc-o319-RBD:bACE2$_{R.cor}$ and SARS-CoV-
2-RBD:hACE2 complex structures. The interface areas between the RBD and
ACE2 in the two structures are divided into four regions: beta-loop (BL in Rc-
o319-RBD)/large-loop (LL in SARS-CoV-2-RBD) (purple box), lamella (LM,
blue box), anchor-loop (AL, green box), and small-loop (SL, orange box). **(C-D)**
Comparison of the Rc-o319 RBM-loop and beta-loop (BL) with the RBM-loop and
large-loop (LL) of SARS-CoV-2. **(E)** An N-linked glycan (shown in grey stick
representation overlayed with white cryo-EM density) attached to Asn38 of
bACE2$_{R.cor}$ is involved in the binding interface with Rc-o319-RBD, representing a
unique structural feature. **(F-I)** Detailed interactions identified in the beta-
loop+RBM-loop (BL, purple box), lamella (LM, blue box), anchor-loop (AL,
green box), and small-loop (SL, orange box) regions of the interface between Rc-
o319-RBD and bACE2$_{R.cor}$. **(J-M)** For comparison, detailed interactions are shown
for the corresponding regions in the interface between SARS-CoV-2-RBD and
hACE2. Hydrogen bonds, pi-pi (sugar-pi) interactions and cation-pi interactions
are indicated by black, yellow (green) and red dashed lines, respectively.

1018

 Figure 4. ACE2 utilization by wild-type and variant Rc-o319 S-proteins and
 bACE2$_{R.cor}$ utilization by different sarbecoviruses S-proteins.

 (A-H) Top two panels, binding of bACE2$_{R.cor}$ and hACE2 by wild-type (WT) and
 variant Rc-o319-RBD-Fc proteins as assessed by BLI assays. Dimeric Rc-o319-
 RBD-Fc proteins were immobilized on the BLI sensors and tested binding against
 dimeric *Rhinolophus cornutus* bat ACE2 (bACE2$_{R.cor}$) or hACE2 protein as the
 analyte in solution. bACE2$_{R.cor}$ and hACE2 were three-fold serial diluted from
 3000 to 4.1 nM. K_D values estimated from each binding experiment are shown

alongside the corresponding binding curves. Lower two panels, Representative
images of cell-cell fusion captured at 12 hours post-transfection are shown. Scale
bar, 500 μm. Effector cells expressing WT or variant Rc-o319 S-proteins were
tested against receptor cells expressing either bACE2$_{R.cor}$ or hACE2. The bottom
panels show the quantification of cell-cell fusion measuring the GFP+ area at 2, 6,
12 hours (h) post-transfection. Data are presented as mean ± s.d., n = 2. The *P*
values were determined by unpaired t-test. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$;
NS, not significant. **(I)** Different sarbecovirus S-proteins are sorted based on S-
protein phylogenetics and grouped by RBD types defined structurally. Type-1
strains are shown in red (SARS-CoV-2 clade) and blue (SARS-CoV-1 clade), type-
2 strains in orange, type-3 strains in black and type-4 strains in green.
Quantification of S-protein expression on the cell surface of effector cells by flow
cytometry using an S2 antibody as the probe. Flow cytometry was repeated three
times with representative data shown. **(J)** Effector cells shown in **(I)** were tested
against receptor cells expressing hACE2 (gray line), bACE2$_{R.cor}$ (black line) and
bACE2$_{R.cor}$-ΔGLC$_{38}$ (green line, bACE2$_{R.cor}$ with the Thr40Ala mutation to remove
the Asn38-glycan). Cell-cell fusion was quantified by assessing GFP+ areas (y-
axis) at 4, 18, and 24 hours (h, x-axis) post-transfection (pt). Data are presented as
mean ± s.d., n = 2. The *P* values were determined by unpaired t-test. *$P < 0.05$,
$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$; NS, not significant. **(K) Representative images of cell-
cell fusion captured at 24 hours post-transfection are shown against receptor cells
expressing different ACE2. Scale bar, 500 μm.

1050