Field-based evidence of sperm quality impairment associated with conventional farming

in two passerine birds

Ségolène Humann-Guilleminot[1,2], Audrey Bailly[2], Karine Monceau[2], Mélissa Desbonnes[2],

Vincent Bretagnolle[2,3], Jérôme Moreau[2,4*]

[1] Department of Environmental Science, Radboud Institute for Biological and Environmental

Sciences (RIBES), Faculty of Science, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

[2] Centre d'Études Biologiques de Chizé, UMR 7372, CNRS & La Rochelle Université, 79360

Villiers-en-Bois, France

[3] LTSER "Zone Atelier Plaine & Val de Sèvre", Villiers-en-Bois 79360, France

[4] UMR CNRS 6282 Biogéosciences, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 6 Boulevard

Gabriel, 21000 Dijon, France

*Correspondence to: segolene.humann@gmail.com

Abstract

The detrimental effects of conventional farming on bird biodiversity are increasingly

documented. Despite this, the specific impacts of both organic and conventional farming

practices on bird coloration and sperm quality in natural settings remain unexplored. This

study aimed to determine whether these farming practices differentially affect body mass,

coloration intensity, and sperm quality in passerine birds inhabiting agricultural landscapes.

We captured seven passerine species in hedgerows adjacent to fields farmed organically or

conventionally, within a 250-meter radius representative of their breeding home ranges. Body

mass was measured across all species, and an index of coloration intensity, based on

carotenoid (yellow/orange) and melanin (black) pigments, was assessed in four species.

Additionally, we evaluated three sperm quality parameters (sperm density, percentage of

abnormal sperm, and intra-individual variance of sperm morphology) using fresh sperm

samples collected from four species in the field. We hypothesized that birds living near

conventional fields would exhibit lower body mass due to reduced food availability.

Additionally, we predicted that the more favourable conditions associated with organic

farming, such as greater food abundance and lower exposure to pollutants, would benefit

birds, leading to enhanced coloration and improved sperm quality. Our results did not reveal

any difference in body mass or coloration. The absence of observable effects might be due to

several factors: methodological limitations, cross-contamination between habitats or

insufficient exposure to farming practices that may hide any potential difference between the

two habitats, or the intrinsic adaptive strategies of the species. However, subgroup analysis of

three and four species revealed a decrease in sperm density and a higher proportion of

abnormal spermatozoa in the Common Whitethroat and Common Nightingale living in

conventional farming, respectively. Although our sample size was limited, we believe these

findings highlight the potential negative effects of conventional farming on birds.

Keywords: agricultural intensification, sperm density, coloration, body mass, passerine birds,

pesticides

1. Introduction

Agricultural lands cover nearly 40% of the world's terrestrial surface and its intensification has been associated with a significant threat to global biodiversity worldwide (Stoate *et al.*, 2009; Flohre *et al.*, 2011; Karp *et al.*, 2012; Emmerson *et al.*, 2016; Birdlife International, 2022). Agricultural intensification notably involves the replacement of natural ecosystems into monocultures, marked by intensive pesticide use, over-fertilization, and the alteration of natural landscapes, which lead to soil erosion, pollution, and a decrease in habitat quality and biodiversity (Flohre *et al.*, 2011; Burns *et al.*, 2016; Emmerson *et al.*, 2016; Sánchez-Bayo and Wyckhuys, 2019; Christel *et al.*, 2021). The rate of biodiversity loss has dramatically increased over the last century, particularly impacting avian species, that are key indicators of ecological health. This decline has been linked to agricultural intensification (Rigal *et al.* 2023; Stanton, Morrissey, et Clark 2018), and may be driven by various changes in agricultural practices impacting bird populations through multiple pathways. First, the replacement of diverse crops interspersed with grasslands by intensively cultivated crops not only diminishes available food sources for many species but also reduces nesting opportunities, subsequently increasing competition among and within species (Beecher *et al.*, 2002; Kragten *et al.*, 2011; Assandri *et al.*, 2017). Second, the application of chemicals such as pesticides can directly poison birds, resulting in either immediate lethal effects or chronic sublethal impacts on various physiological parameters (Mineau *et al.*, 2001; Gibbons *et al.*, 2015; Richard *et al.*, 2021; Humann-Guilleminot *et al.*, 2023; Moreau *et al.*, 2022b; Humann-Guilleminot *et al.*, 2024).

To mitigate the impact of agriculture on biodiversity, several European measures have been implemented under the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP; European commission, 2022). These include ecological focus areas which help maintain attractive landscapes and are extensively or low-intensively managed (e.g., hedgerows, ponds, extensive meadows), and in-

production schemes that support environmentally friendly crop production such as organic

farming (European commission, 2010; Batáry *et al.*, 2015). Under European Union

regulations, organic farming very strictly restricts the use of synthetic products on crops,

prompting farmers to adopt agro-ecological methods that promote crop diversity and

environmentally friendly cultivation practices (Regulation (EU) 2018/848). Several studies

have shown that organic farming increases the abundance and diversity of weeds and

invertebrates, therefore increasing the availability of food for birds, but also enhances overall

habitat quality and minimizes the risk of pesticide poisoning (Chamberlain *et al.*, 1999;

Beecher *et al.*, 2002; Hole *et al.*, 2005; Gayer *et al.* 2021; Gong *et al.*, 2022). Notably, a study

reported more vigorous behaviours from birds captured in organic farming compared to birds

captured in conventional farming (Moreau *et al.* 2022). On the contrary, agricultural

intensification has been shown to adversely affect corn bunting breeding success by

decreasing the availability of invertebrate food sources due to pesticide use and inadequate

foraging habitats (Brickle *et al.*, 2000). Another study found that House sparrows living in

conventional farms were lighter than birds living in organic and integrated-production farms

(Humann-Guilleminot *et al.*, 2024). Furthermore, many chemicals commonly used in

conventional agriculture, especially pesticides, can impair bird sperm quality through direct

damage to sperm cells by inducing oxidative stress or acting as endocrine disruptors. These

substances can lead to testicular anomalies or reduced spermatozoa production, potentially

diminishing reproductive success (Khalil *et al.*, 2017; Mohanty *et al.*, 2017; Humann-

Guilleminot *et al.*, 2019b; Moreau *et al.*, 2022b). Additionally, hormonal changes associated

with plumage depigmentation were observed in male Red Avadavats exposed to imidacloprid

and mancozeb (Pandey *et al.*, 2017).

Ornamental traits evolve with sexual selection, revealing the quality and condition of their

bearer (Cotton *et al.*, 2004) and their plasticity makes their expression highly sensitive to

environmental stressful factors (Hill, 1995; Buchanan, 2000; Lifshitz and St Clair, 2016). The

two primary types of pigments involved in the coloration of ornamental traits in vertebrates

are melanin and carotenoids. Melanin are the most prevalent pigments found in vertebrates,

with pheomelanin producing yellow-brown features, and eumelanin producing grey-black

features (McGraw, 2005). Melanin-based ornaments are mainly controlled by genes

(synthesized from amino acid precursors, (Badyaev and Hill, 2000; McGraw, 2006b), but they

can also be affected by environmental conditions such as breeding conditions, parasite

infestations and food quality (Fargallo *et al.*, 2007; McGraw, 2008; Guindre-Parker and Love,

2014). The other type of pigment, carotenoids, produce yellow, orange, and red traits. They

cannot be synthesized in vertebrates (Scheidt, 1989), but they are acquired through the diet.

They can therefore supply information on foraging, carotenoid absorption and efficiency of

resource allocation (McGraw, 2006a). They are involved in some physiological functions,

preserving cells and tissues from oxidative damage, and strengthening the immune system

(Lozano, 1994; Schantz *et al.*, 1999; Hartley and Kennedy, 2004; Pérez-Rodríguez, 2009).

Both melanin and carotenoids are relevant for assessing the negative effects of pesticides on

physiological parameters in wildlife. For example, exposure of Red-legged Partridges to an

herbicide led simultaneously to an increase of eumelanic plumage (larger black traits), a

decrease in pheomelanin expression (smaller brown traits) and also to a decrease in

carotenoid-based ornaments (paler red beak and eye rings; Alonso-Alvarez and Galván,

2011).

In this study, we aimed to explore the effects of conventional and organic farming on

birds' body mass, birds' coloration intensity and sperm quality parameters in birds captured in

hedgerows within landscapes surrounded by either organically or conventionally farmed

fields. For this purpose, we examined carotenoid-based (yellow/orange) and melanin-based

(black) coloration across four bird species from which we derived an index of colour

intensity. In addition, we selected sperm density, percentage of abnormal sperm and intra-

individual variation of sperm morphology as proxies for sperm quality in eight passerines

species. The choice of these sperm quality parameters relies on several variables that,

individually or in combination, have been demonstrated to effectively predict the fertilizing

potential of sperm across various species (Snook, 2005; Simmons and Fitzpatrick, 2012). We

opted to explore intra-individual variation in sperm morphology, i.e., variation in total sperm

length within ejaculates of each individual, rather than absolute measurements of sperm

components (e.g., head, midpiece) due to the diversity in reproductive strategies among the

nine passerine species studied, which range from low to high levels of sperm competition

potentially affecting sperm quality and size variation. First, we hypothesized that farming

practices may influence food abundance and therefore that birds living within conventional

fields would be lighter. Second, we predicted that organic farming could enhance both bird

coloration and sperm quality, potentially due to a lower exposure to pollutants or increases in

food abundance and quality that are associated with improved overall body condition, which

in turn is linked to sperm quality and coloration (Schantz *et al.*, 1999; Helfenstein *et al.*, 2010;

Fernández-Eslava *et al.*, 2022; McDiarmid *et al.*, 2022).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study design

The study was conducted in the 'Zone Atelier Plaine & Val de Sèvre' (ZA-PVS), a 435 km^2

Long⬚Term Social⬚Ecological Research (LTSER) site in central-western France (46°23ʹN,

0°41ʹW; see Bretagnolle *et al.*, 2018 for details on our site). Globally, the majority of the area

is typical intensive farmland, made up of open landscapes with mainly winter cereals and

other arable crops (maize, sunflower, oilseed rape, pea), temporary grasslands (such as alfalfa

and clover) and permanent meadows. The site has a rather high diversity of types of farming

system, with ca. 15 farms managed using conservation agricultural practices, ca. 80 farms

using organic methods, and 300 using conventional methods, of which about 50% are mixed

dairy/cereal farms. Agricultural land use within the site has been monitored annually at the

field scale since 1994 and mapped on vector‐based shapefiles (Bretagnolle *et al.*, 2018).

Every organically farmed field has been identified (see Wintermantel *et al.*, 2019), providing

a very detailed description of farming practices regarding pesticide use at field and landscape

scales.

We used this detailed knowledge to select 10 hedgerows surrounded by organically farmed

landscapes and 10 hedgerows surrounded by conventionally farmed landscapes, each with a

250-m radius buffer zone (the same 20 hedgerows that those described in Moreau *et al.*,

2022a). Physical characteristics of hedgerows (such as total length, height, top width) are

similar between conventional and organic farming (see Moreau *et al.*, 2022a for details). The

percentage of organic farming was calculated within this 250-m radius buffer. We chose this

buffer-zone size to include the breeding home-range size for different passerine species (see

below). For hedgerows defined as 'organic hedges', 73% to 98% of the buffer area was

organically farmed, while 'conventional hedges' had almost no organic farming within the

buffer area (see Table 1 in Moreau *et al.*, 2022a for details).

The breeding territories of selected species vary significantly, spanning 0.2 to 8 hectares,

equivalent to a radial expanse of 25 to 160 meters (Naef-Daenzer, 1994; Naguib *et al.*, 2001;

Stoate and Szczur, 2001; Halupka *et al.*, 2002; Stevens *et al.*, 2002). Notably, these breeding

home ranges are more contained than the size of our study plots, which extend to a 250-meter

radius,   ensuring   our   analysis   was   conducted   at   an   appropriate   scale.

2.2. Bird capture

Adult male birds were captured using mist nets throughout the breeding season, from March 30, 2021, to July 19, 2021. Each site typically underwent three capture sessions, except for three conventional sites, where only two sessions were conducted due to bad weather conditions (rain and wind). Each site was visited one month apart, following the same order as during the first visit. Only one site was visited per day. For each capture, ten mist nets (each 12 meters long) were set up along hedges. The nets were placed early in the morning before sunrise until 11:30 am and in the evening until nightfall. They were checked every 5 to 10 minutes to ensure that any captured birds were promptly released, minimizing their time in the nets. In total, 28 different species were captured; however, the focus of this study was on seven targeted species: Cirl Bunting, Common Nightingale, Common Blackbird, Common Whitethroat, Eurasian Blackcap, Dunnock and the Great Tit. These species were selected based on the number of individuals caught for which there were sufficient amount of data for the assessment in birds' body mass and coloration in the two types of sites. At capture, males were ringed and weighed using a spring balance (Pesola® 500 g, accuracy: ± 5 g). The left and right tarsus lengths were each measured twice with a digital caliper (precision: ± 0.1 mm). After all measurements done (see below), birds were released at the capture site immediately after measurement. Few birds were recaptured across the season for which only body mass was measured again. However, the small number of recaptures unevenly distributed across the two farms (N = 11) prevented us from assessing intra-individual changes over the breeding season. Only data from birds first capture was recorded in the database.

2.3. Ornamental colorations

Our study examined carotenoid-based (yellow/orange) and melanin-based (black) coloration across four bird species: the Great Tit, Cirl Bunting, Common Blackbird, and Eurasian Blackcap. We characterised colour on different parts of each of the four species. These parts

were chosen because they are known to play an important role in intra- and intersexual

communication and/or reflect the physiological quality of the individual in that species. For

example, in Great Tits, individuals experimentally exposed to oxidative stress have less

colourful carotenoid-based breast plumage (Helfenstein *et al.*, 2010). We therefore assessed

the yellow face lines of the Cirl Bunting*,* the black cap of the Eurasian Blackcap, the yellow

breast plumage of the Great Tit and the orange bill of the Common Blackbird. Yellow

colouration (bill or plumage) is carotenoid-based, and black plumage colouration is melanin-

based.

Each bird was photographed twice within a black box using a ring light for consistent

lighting, along with a standard white reference chip (Kodak Colour Separation Guide and

Gray scale, Q13/Q14) for accurate colour calibration. The images were processed using

PhotoFiltre software, where RGB values were measured from three random squares within the

colour patterns and averaged across the two images per bird. These RGB values were then

converted to HSB values using the *rgb2hsv* function from *grDevice* package (Fisher, 1999).

Variation in light exposure assessed from the white reference chip (Fitze and Richner, 2002;

Tschirren *et al.*, 2003), was corrected to ensure accurate colour assessment. Principal

Component Analysis (PCA) was then performed with the *FactoMineR* package (Husson *et*

al., 2020) to generate a colour intensity index from the first principal axis, which reflected

67.20% of the variance and an eigenvalue greater than one. The first PCA axis was negatively

correlated with the colour parameters H (Spearman's rank correlation test: r = -0.83) and

positively correlated with saturation and brightness (saturation r = 0.76, brightness r = 0.86).

The mean of the first PCA axis values, calculated from two images per bird, provided an

index of colour intensity, with higher scores indicating more intense coloration.

2.4. Sperm analyses

2.4.1. Sperm collection

We attempted to collect ejaculates from all captured bird species without prior selection.

However, sperm collection proved particularly challenging for some species, and we were

able to retrieve ejaculates from four bird species: Eurasian Blackcap, Dunnock, Common

Whitethroat and Common Nightingale. Sperm was collected by massaging the birds' cloaca

(Wolfson, 1952) until we reached a minimum sample volume of 2 µL. For some birds,

continuous stimulation was needed to reach this amount, but the whole sperm sample was

collected in the same 5 µL capillary tube (intraMark 5µL, BLAUBRAND®) and within 5

minutes of cloacal massage.

Immediately after sperm collection, we took a photograph of the capillary containing the

ejaculate placed on a millimetre paper. Ejaculate size was then assessed using the ImageJ

software as the length of the capillary section containing sperm times the squared radius of the

capillary times π. Upon sperm collection, we also pipetted 0.20 µL of the ejaculate into 10 to

40 µL of ice-cold buffer (10% DMSO:90% new-born bovine serum), depending on the

species and the density of the ejaculate, and the mixture was stored at -80°C until analysis of

sperm density. In addition, a small droplet from the ejaculate was smeared with 10% formalin

on a glass slide, dried, and stored at room temperature in the dark for further analyses on

sperm morphology.

2.4.2. Sperm quality parameters

We assessed sperm density, percentage of abnormal sperm and sperm morphology following

the protocol described in Humann-Guilleminot *et al.*, 2019b.

2.4.2.1. Sperm density

Sperm counts were conducted using Neubauer chambers, with a depth of 0.100 mm and a grid

size of 0.0025 mm2. For the counting process, 1 µL of the sperm sample suspended in

DMSO/serum was blended with 39 µL of PBS. In cases of high sperm concentration, an

additional dilution was achieved by mixing another µL of the sample with 79 µL of PBS. Ten

µL of the mixture was then loaded to the chamber slide. Spermatozoa were counted under a

microscope set to 400x magnification with a phase-contrast 2 annular ring setting. The

counting was focused on the nine primary squares of the chamber outlined by triple lines. We

specifically counted sperm in 32 squares across two regions, each bounded by triple lines,

including cells along the top and left borders while excluding those touching the right and

bottom edges. Sperm density was then determined by dividing the total cell count by the

chamber section volume of 0.004 µL and adjusted for the dilution level. We calculated the

average sperm density from two separate measurements per individual. All chambers were

cleaned with 70% ethanol and distilled water between each use. We obtained an intra-class

correlation coefficient of 0.95 for the sperm counts and a coefficient of variation of 0.28, and

we use the mean of two counts in the analyses.

247   2.4.2.2.   Sperm morphology

From each slide with the mixed sperm-formalin, we took photos of ten intact sperm cells

using with a camera mounted on a microscope (Zeiss®, Primo star), at 200x or 400x

magnification depending on species. Seven to 10 sperm cells per ejaculate (mean ± SD: 9.78

± 0.57) were measured for head, midpiece, flagellum and total length using ImageJ software

(v 1.53e). For further analyses, intra-male variation in sperm length was assessed by

calculating the standard deviation of the mean total sperm length.

254   2.4.2.3.   Percentage of abnormal sperm

Sperm smears were also used to assess the percentage of abnormal sperm based on 50

spermatozoa per slide randomly selected. Spermatozoa were classified as morphologically

normal or with one or more abnormalities (i.e., abnormal head – no head, S-shaped head,

bended head, no acrosome, burst head, with abnormal midpiece – no midpiece, broken

midpiece, abnormal flagellum – no flagellum, broken flagellum, folded flagellum, flagellum

with 90° angle, coiled flagellum, double flagellum, split flagellum; Humann-Guilleminot *et*

al., 2018). We obtained an intra-class correlation coefficient of 0.81 for the two measurements

and a coefficient of variation of 0.30, and we use the mean of two counts in the analyses.

2.5. Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using the R v. 4.2.2 software (R Core Team, 2022).

We first investigated the effect of the two farming practices on birds' body mass by running a

linear mixed effect model (LMM) including the log-transformed mass of birds as the

dependent variable and farming practices (organic vs conventional), bird species (seven

species, categorical) and its interaction with farming practices, date (continuous numeric

values in Julian date), tarsus length (mean of four measurements), and session (morning and

evening, categorical) as explanatory fixed factors. Considering the correlation between birds'

body mass and tarsus length and to limit the number of variables in each model, we decided to

use the scaled mass index according to Peig & Green, 2009, as a proxy for birds' body

condition, instead of body mass and tarsus length separately. We then ran a LMM including

the variation in coloration intensity (untransformed) as the dependent variable, farming

practices, bird species and its interaction with farming practices, date, and scaled mass index

as explanatory fixed factors. We conducted an analysis of variance using the *Anova* function

from the *car* package, specifying a Wald chi-square test and a Type III sum of squares

ANOVA. Post-hoc comparisons between farming practices within each species were

performed using the *emmeans* function from the *emmeans* package (Lenth, 2023), with

Tukey's HSD adjustment to control for multiple comparisons.

We then ran three LMMs including either log-transformed sperm density, logit-

transformed percentage of abnormal sperm or log-transformed intra-individual variation in

sperm morphology as dependent variables and farming practices (organic vs conventional),

date (continuous numeric values in Julian date), and scaled mass index as explanatory fixed

factors. All three models included the site identity as random intercept to account for the non-

independence of the samples taken in the same site. We did not include the session (morning

or evening) as explanatory fixed factor in the models because sperm quality unlikely varies

over the course of a day. Due to the low number of individuals caught within each species in

the study of farming practices' effects on sperm quality parameters, a full model fitting an

interaction between species and farming practices would render insufficient degrees of

freedom. Instead, we modelled species ID as a random effect with both random intercepts and

random slopes for farming practices, thereby accounting for inherent differences among

species and allowing each species to respond differently to farming practices.. It allows for

each species to have a different baseline response (random intercept) and a different reaction

to the change of farming practices (random slopes). We acknowledge that combining all

species into a single model could introduce excessive variance and potentially hide

differences in sperm quality between habitats. To address this, we conducted subgroup

analyses by running three models for sperm quality parameters and four models for birds'

coloration – one for each species. In these models, we used log-transformed sperm density

(three species included), logit-transformed percentage of abnormal sperm (four species

included), or log-transformed intra-individual variation in sperm morphology (four species

included) as dependent variables, with farming practice as the sole explanatory variable, given

the limited sample size for each species.

All LMMs were run using the *lmer* function from the R package *lmerTest* (Kuznetsova *et*

al., 2017). To avoid inflating type I error (Whittingham *et al.*, 2006; Forstmeier and

Schielzeth, 2011), we did not apply model selection, and therefore always report results for

full models. Modelling assumptions (normality of residuals, normality of random effects,

residuals linearity, homogeneity of variance, collinearity of factors) were checked by visual

inspection of the residuals using the *check_model* function of the *performance* package

(Lüdecke *et al.*, 2021). Following recommendations in the ASA statement on p-values

(Wasserstein and Lazar, 2016), we do not interpret our results based on an arbitrary threshold

for statistical significance. Instead, we use p-values to measure the amount of statistical

evidence against the null hypothesis and further examine effect sizes (Cohen's *d*) along with

their confidence intervals to assess the strength of the associations and the confidence we

should have in those estimates.

3. Results

Basic descriptive statistics per species (sample sizes, median, mean ± sd, minimum and

maximum) are presented in Table S1. Sample size varies based on the parameters being

studied due to field constraints related to the amount of sperm that can be collected; in some

cases, the quantity was too low to perform all the necessary analyses.

3.1. Body mass

All seven species were included in this analysis. We found no statistical evidence that bird

body mass differed between organic and conventional sites ($\chi^2 = 1.33$, $p = 0.25$). However,

body mass was positively correlated with tarsus length and varied among species ($\chi^2 = 3.97$, p

$= 0.05$; $\chi^2 = 2236.70$, $p < 0.001$, respectively). There was no evidence of an interaction

between site and species ($\chi^2 = 4.13$, $p = 0.66$). Additionally, the date of capture (Julian date)

had no effect on body mass ($\chi^2 = 0.18$, $p = 0.67$), but the capture session did ($\chi^2 = 8.62$, $p =$

0.003). Post-hoc analyses confirmed that body mass did not differ between sites for any

species (all p between $0.26 – 0.71$).

3.2. Ornamental colorations

We included four species in the analysis (Great Tit, Cirl Bunting, Common Blackbird,

Eurasian Blackcap). We found no statistical evidence that the intensity of ornamental

coloration differed between farming practices or that there was an interaction between

farming practice and species ($\chi^2 = 0.29$, p = 0.59; $\chi^2 = 1.81$, p = 0.61, respectively; Figure 1A).

Likewise, there was no statistical evidence that ornamental coloration varied with body

condition or Julian date ($\chi^2 = 1.00$, p = 0.32; $\chi^2 = 0.00$, p = 0.99). However, we did find strong

statistical evidence for differences in ornamental coloration among species ($\chi^2 = 76.93$, p <

0.001). Post-hoc analyses confirmed that ornamental colorations did not differ between sites

for any species (all p between 0.06 – 0.89).

3.3. Sperm quality parameters

The analysis that included sperm density as the response variable was conducted on three

species (Dunnock, Common Whitethroat, Common Nightingale), whereas the analyses on the

percentage of abnormal spermatozoa and intra-individual variation in sperm morphology

involved four species (Dunnock, Common Whitethroat, Common Nightingale, andEurasian

Blackcap). The sample size varies between parameters due to the limited volume of semen we

were able to collect in the field, which prevented us from conducting all the measures of

sperm quality. The results of the full models combining all species did not reveal any

statistical evidence to suggest that the type of farming practice impacted any of the studied

sperm quality parameters (refer to Table 1, Figure 1 B – D). Additionally, the date (Julian)

had a negative influence on sperm density, but we also found small statistical evidence that

sperm density decreased with birds' body condition (Table 1). Interestingly, subgroup

analyses for each species revealed medium statistical evidence for a decrease in sperm density

in the Common Whitethroat and an increase in the proportion of abnormal spermatozoa in the

Common Nightingale with larges effect sizes in birds living in conventional farming (p = 0.01

and p = 0.02, respectively; Figure 2). However, subgroup analyses on intra-individual

variation in sperm morphology did not reveal any difference in birds living in organic and

conventional farming habitats (Figure 2).

Table 1. Summary of the linear models examining the impact of farming practices on sperm density,

mean percentage of abnormal spermatozoa and intra-individual variation in sperm morphology in three to four species of birds fitted using a restricted maximum likelihood function. The table shows model estimates ± standard error (Est. ± s.e.) and associated 95% confidence intervals (C.I.); for log-transformed variables, the table also shows multiplicative estimates for untransformed variable (Est. mult.) and associated multiplicative confidence intervals (C.I. mult.); t-values and p-values. The multiplicative estimate of the intercept corresponds to the geometric mean of the variable.

	Sperm density (mean number of spermatozoa per µL of sperm; log-transformed)					
Species included	Dunnock, Common Whitethroat, and Common Nightingale					
Fixed effects	Est. ± s.e.	C.I.	Est. mult.	C.I. mult.	t	p
Intercept (organic)	30.95 ± 3.48	[23.76 – 38.15]	$2.77e^{13}$	$[2.10e^{11} – 3.69e^{16}]$	8.88	<0.001
Type of field: organic vs conventional	-0.75 ± 0.62	[-2.03 – 0.53]	0.47	[0.13 – 1.70]	-1.21	0.24
Date (Julian)	-0.09 ± 0.02	[-0.13 – -0.05]	0.92	[0.88 – 0.95]	-4.54	<0.001
Body condition	-0.27 ± 0.11	[-0.50 – -0.03]	0.77	[0.61 – 0.97]	-2.35	0.03
Random effects						
$\sigma2$	0.69					
$\square_{00}$ site ID	0.47					
$\square_{00}$ species	1.10					
$\square_{11}$ species.farming type	0.45					
	Mean percentage of abnormal spermatozoa (logit-transformed)					
Species included	Dunnock, Common Whitethroat, Common Nightingale, and Eurasian Blackcap					
Fixed effects	Est. ± s.e.	C.I.	Est. mult.	C.I. mult.	t	P
Intercept (organic)	-1.14 ± 1.87	[-5.01 – 2.68]	-	-	-0.62	0.55
Type of field: organic vs conventional	0.49 ± 0.39	[-0.30 – 1.29]	-	-	1.28	0.21
Date (Julian)	-0.02 ± 0.02	[-0.04 – 0.01]	-	-	-1.48	0.15
Body condition	0.04 ± 0.04	[-0.04 – 0.12]	-	-	1.03	0.32
Random effects						
$\sigma2$	0.34					
$\square_{00}$ site ID	0.09					

	Intra-individual variation in sperm morphology (µm; log-transformed)					
β_{00} species	0.11					
β_{11} species.farming type	0.31					
Species included	Dunnock, Common Whitethroat, Common Nightingale, and Eurasian Blackcap					
Fixed effects	Est. ± s.e.	C.I.	Est. mult.	C.I. mult.	t	p
Intercept (organic)	0.42 ± 1.84	[-3.38 – 4.21]	0.42	[0.03 – 67.63]	0.23	0.82
Type of field: organic vs conventional	-0.07 ± 0.17	[-0.43 – 0.28]	0.16	[0.65 – 1.32]	-0.44	0.66
Date (Julian)	-0.00 ± 0.01	[-0.02 – 0.02]	0.01	[0.98 – 1.02]	-0.21	0.83
Body condition	0.05 ± 0.06	[-0.07 – 0.17]	0.06	[0.94 – 1.18]	0.90	0.38
Random effects						
σ^2	0.23					
β_{00} site ID	0.00					
β_{00} species	0.30					
β_{11} species.farming type	0.00					

Figure 1. (A) Variation in coloration intensity in four species (Great Tit, Cirl Bunting, Common Blackbird, and Eurasian Blackcap), **(B)** sperm density in three species (Dunnock, Common

Whitethroat, and Common Nightingale), **(C)** percentage of abnormal sperm in four species (Dunnock,
Common Whitethroat, Common Nightingale, and Eurasian Blackcap) and **(D)** sperm morphology in
four species (Dunnock, Common Whitethroat, Common Nightingale, and Eurasian Blackcap) in
relation to the type of farm. Dots represent individual values. In the boxplots, means of each parameter
are represented by black diamond and medians are represented by thick horizontal bars. The lower
edge of the box represents the 25th percentile, while the upper edge represents the 75th percentile.
Whiskers extend to 1.5 times the interquartile range. To account for inherent differences between
species and the fact that each species may respond differently to the type of farming practices, the
interaction between the type of farming practices and birds' species was added in each model as a
random slopes and random intercepts, respectively. It allows for each species to have a different
baseline response (random intercept) and a different reaction to the change of farming practices
(random slopes).

Figure 2. Effect sizes (Cohen's *d*) along with their 95% confidence intervals (CI) of subgroup analyses
investigating the difference in **(A)** sperm density, **(B)** percentage of abnormal spermatozoa, and **(C)**
intra-individual variation in sperm morphology in the two habitats for each species. Sample sizes for

each species captured in each habitat are indicated alongside the corresponding forest plots. Organic
farming was set as the reference in all models. Therefore, a positive Cohen's *d* indicates a higher
value under organic farming compared to conventional farming, while a negative value indicates a
lower value. A confidence interval for Cohen's *d* that does not overlap with zero provides statistical
evidence for an effect of farming practice on the parameter studied.

4. Discussion

In this field study, we explored the impact of conventional and organic farming environments

on body mass, coloration intensity and sperm quality of several passerine birds. Contrary to

our predictions, we observed no difference in either body mass or the coloration of birds

caught in hedgerows within landscapes surrounded by either organically or conventionally

farmed fields. Previous research conducted in the same hedgerows in 2019 has demonstrated

that conventional farming negatively affects the behaviour of passerines, with birds from

predominantly conventional areas showing a reduced tendency to fly away upon capture or to

attack the handler (Moreau *et al.*, 2022a). In the same study, authors did not report any

difference in birds' body condition, as calculated from the scaled mass index (Peig and Green,

2009), between conventional and organic farming. This finding, like ours, suggests that food

abundance was comparable in both habitats. Moreover, both experimental and observational

studies have reported the detrimental impacts of conventional food on birds' physiology,

behaviour, and reproduction, including sperm quality (Gibbons *et al.*, 2015; Humann-

Guilleminot *et al.*, 2019b; Moreau *et al.*, 2021).

We found no evidence for a difference in sperm quality parameters between conventional

and organic farming when all species were pooled. The lack of a relationship between farming

practices and the sexual parameters studied (coloration and sperm quality) when combining

all species might be due to several factors. First, our relatively small sample size may have

reduced our statistical power to detect any effects. In addition, passerines typically have a

short lifespan of 2 to 5 years in the wild and face significant reproductive costs (Bennett and

Owens, 2002; Mourocq *et al.*, 2016). Life-history trade-off hypothesis suggests that under

environmental pressures, these birds might prioritize resources for reproduction over somatic

maintenance, given their brief lifespan and the need to reproduce successfully (Kirkwood and

Rose, 1991; Abrams and Ludwig, 1995; Tazzyman *et al.*, 2009; Dobson and Jouventin, 2010).

This prioritization could make their reproductive traits, such as sperm quality, more resilient

to external stressors (e.g., pesticides) at the expense of impact on other physiological

parameters.

Interestingly, subgroup analyses revealed a decrease in sperm density in the Common

Whitethroat and a higher proportion of abnormal spermatozoa in the Common Nightingale,

both associated with conventional farming. These two sperm quality parameters are closely

linked to fertility and have been shown to be sensitive to pollutant exposure (Humann-

Guilleminot *et al.*, 2019b; Tanga *et al.*, 2021; Humann-Guilleminot *et al.*, 2024). A significant

feature of conventional farming is the use of pesticides. Several studies conducted on birds,

particularly partridges, have reported that ingestion of food containing one or more pesticides

may affect both physiological parameters and reproductive success (Lopez-Antia *et al.*, 2013,

2015a, 2015b, Moreau *et al.*, 2021). Reduced sperm density and increased abnormalities can

result from both direct and indirect disruptions to spermatogenesis. Pesticides may act as

endocrine disruptors, interfering with hormone production and regulation, which in turn

compromises germ cell development (Pandey *et al.*, 2017; Liu *et al.*, 2025). Additionally,

pesticide-induced oxidative stress can directly damage spermatozoa by affecting their cellular

structures and DNA, contributing to a higher proportion of abnormal sperm (Hoshi *et al.*,

2014; Khalil *et al.*, 2017). However, it is important to acknowledge that any environmental

impacts – especially the impact of agricultural practices that involves many more aspects than

pesticides ingestion – can complexly affect both survival and reproductive capacities.

Therefore, it is possible that conventional farming might have a more pronounced effect on

physiological aspects rather than on reproductive outcomes, which could make it difficult to

detect a stronger effect in our study. In addition, while farming practices may influence

various physiological traits, the specific effects on survival *versus* reproduction can vary and

would need further investigation.

The lack of observable effects of habitat types on birds' coloration and sperm quality when

combining all species might also stem from the mobility of the birds studied. Although the

research was conducted over a representative scale assumed to encompass a bird's home

range, we cannot exclude that birds can fly beyond the confines of the study area.

Consequently, birds living in habitats surrounded by organic fields could potentially be

exposed to substances from conventional farming if they fly away from their home range, or

on the contrary birds could benefit from organic farming if they go beyond their intensive

farming habitat. It could therefore increase the variance in our measurements, indicating a

potential limitation in the study's design. Similarly, although we included species as a random

variable in each model, the increased variance in sperm quality parameters may be due to the

capture of different bird species with varying mating strategies and levels of sperm

competition, potentially leading to differences in sperm quality between species (Birkhead,

1998). Moreover, the differences between organic and conventional habitats may be less

significant than anticipated. Research has demonstrated that contamination of untreated areas,

such as organic fields, with pesticides can occur through drifting from adjacent treated fields

over sometimes long distance (Botías *et al.*, 2016; Humann-Guilleminot *et al.*, 2019a; Pelosi

et al., 2021; Brühl *et al.*, 2024). Additionally, hedgerows, although they are not directly

treated, can accumulate pesticides through spray drift following the treatment of adjacent

fields (Kjær *et al.*, 2014). As a result, organic sites might still encounter some pesticide

contamination, although typically at reduced levels compared to those on conventional farms.

This potential contamination suggests that birds in habitats surrounded by organic farming

may be not completely shielded from pesticide exposure. Conversely, it is possible that the

habitats in our study were sufficiently favourable (e.g. presence of hedges, heterogeneous

environment), thereby reducing significant exposure to detrimental conditions linked to

agriculture. This is particularly likely since the birds were captured near hedgerows, where

food sources are typically more abundant. The presence of hedgerows in agricultural

landscapes is known to enhance biodiversity, potentially providing a rich food source for a

variety of bird species, including those in intensive agricultural areas (Girard *et al.*, 2014;

Morandin *et al.*, 2014; García de León *et al.*, 2021). Consequently, any potential differences

in body mass, coloration and sperm quality among the birds might have been compensated

for, thus gone unnoticed. Lastly, since the effects of pesticides on breeding birds are dose-

dependent (Tokumoto *et al.*, 2013; Lopez-Antia *et al.*, 2015), the levels of contamination

observed in our study's sites may have been insufficient to influence the fitness components

we investigated.

Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to investigate coloration intensity and

sperm quality in birds captured in organic compared to conventional agricultural systems. Our

results did not reveal any difference between body mass and coloration intensity between

birds caught in organic *versus* conventional environments. However, despite the small sample

sizes, our results indicate that conventional farming may negatively affect sperm quality

through a reduction in sperm density and an increase in proportion of abnormal spermatozoa.

Previous research has demonstrated the deleterious impact of intensive agriculture on various

physiological, reproductive, and behavioural traits in birds. The absence of effects of the two

farming systems when combining all species could stem from methodological constraints, the

dilution of effects through cross-contamination of both habitats, under-exposure, or the

intrinsic adaptive strategies of the species studied. Further research should aim to expand the

scope to include a broader range of parameters related to both reproduction and survival,

particularly including somatic physiological parameters, thus providing a more complete

understanding of the impacts of farming practices on bird populations.

5. References

Abrams, P.A., Ludwig, D., 1995. Optimality Theory, Gompertz' Law, and the Disposable

Soma Theory of Senescence. Evolution 49, 1055-1066.

Alonso-Alvarez, C., Galván, I., 2011. Free Radical Exposure Creates Paler Carotenoid-Based

Ornaments: A Possible Interaction in the Expression of Black and Red Traits. PLOS ONE 6,

e19403.

Assandri, G., Giacomazzo, M., Brambilla, M., Griggio, M., Pedrini, P., 2017. Nest density,

nest-site selection, and breeding success of birds in vineyards: Management implications for

conservation in a highly intensive farming system. Biological Conservation 205, 23-33.

Badyaev, A.V., Hill, G.E., 2000. Evolution of sexual dichromatism: contribution of

carotenoid- versus melanin-based coloration. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 69,

153-172.

Batáry, P., Báldi, A., Kleijn, D., Tscharntke, T., 2011. Landscape-moderated biodiversity

effects of agri-environmental management: a meta-analysis. Proceedings of the Royal Society

B: Biological Sciences 278, 1894.

Batáry, P., Dicks, L.V., Kleijn, D., Sutherland, W.J., 2015. The role of agri-environment

schemes in conservation and environmental management. Conservation Biology 29, 1006-

1016.

Beecher, N.A., Johnson, R.J., Brandle, J.R., Case, R.M., Young, L.J., 2002. Agroecology of

birds in organic and nonorganic farmland. Conservation Biology 16, 1620-1631.

Bennett, P.M., Owens, I.P., 2002. Evolutionary ecology of birds: life histories, mating

systems, and extinction. Oxford University Press.

Birdlife International, 2022. State of the World's Birds 2022: Insights and solutions for the

biodiversity crisis. . In: Cambridge, U.B.I. (Ed.).

Birkhead, T.R., 1998. Sperm competition in birds. Reviews of reproduction 3, 123-129.

Botías, C., David, A., Hill, E.M., Goulson, D., 2016. Contamination of wild plants near

neonicotinoid seed-treated crops, and implications for non-target insects. Science of the Total

Environment 566, 269-278.

Bretagnolle, V., Berthet, E., Gross, N., Gauffre, B., Plumejeaud, C., Houte, S., Badenhausser,

I., Monceau, K., Allier, F., Monestiez, P., Gaba, S., 2018. Towards sustainable and

multifunctional agriculture in farmland landscapes: Lessons from the integrative approach of

a French LTSER platform. Science of The Total Environment 627, 822-834.

Brickle, N.W., Harper, D.G.C., Aebischer, N.J., Cockayne, S.H., 2000. Effects of agricultural

intensification on the breeding success of corn buntings *Miliaria calandra*. Journal of Applied

Ecology 37, 742-755.

Brühl, C.A., Engelhard, N., Bakanov, N., Wolfram, J., Hertoge, K., Zaller, J.G., 2024.

Widespread contamination of soils and vegetation with current use pesticide residues along

altitudinal gradients in a European Alpine valley. Communications Earth & Environment 5,

72.

Buchanan, K.L., 2000. Stress and the evolution of condition-dependent signals. Trends in

Ecology & Evolution 15, 156-160.

Burns, F., Eaton, M.A., Barlow, K.E., Beckmann, B.C., Brereton, T., Brooks, D.R., Brown,

P.M.J., Al Fulaij, N., Gent, T., Henderson, I., Noble, D.G., Parsons, M., Powney, G.D., Roy,

H.E., Stroh, P., Walker, K., Wilkinson, J.W., Wotton, S.R., Gregory, R.D., 2016. Agricultural

management and climatic change are the major drivers of biodiversity change in the UK.

PLOS ONE 11, e0151595.

Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P.R., Barnosky, A.D., García, A., Pringle, R.M., Palmer, T.M., 2015.

Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. Sci

Adv 1, e1400253.

Chamberlain, D.E., Fuller, R.J., Bunce, R.G.H., Duckworth, J.C., Shrubb, M., 2000. Changes

in the abundance of farmland birds in relation to the timing of agricultural intensification in

England and Wales. Journal of Applied Ecology 37, 771-788.

Chamberlain, D.E., Wilson, J.D., Fuller, R.J., 1999. A comparison of bird populations on

organic and conventional farm systems in southern Britain. Biological Conservation 88, 307-

320.

Christel, A., Maron, P.-A., Ranjard, L., 2021. Impact of farming systems on soil ecological

quality: a meta-analysis. Environmental Chemistry Letters 19, 4603-4625.

Cotton, S., Fowler, K., Pomiankowski, A., 2004. Condition dependence of sexual ornament

size and variation in the stalk□eyed fly *Cyrtodiopsis dalmanni* (diptera: *Diopsidae*).

Evolution 58, 1038-1046.

Dobson, F.S., Jouventin, P., 2010. The trade-off of reproduction and survival in slow-

breeding seabirds. Canadian Journal of Zoology 88, 889-899.

Donald, P.F., Green, R.E., Heath, M.F., 2001. Agricultural intensification and the collapse of

Europe's farmland bird populations. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences

268, 25-29.

Emmerson, M., Morales, M.B., Oñate, J.J., Batáry, P., Berendse, F., Liira, J., Aavik, T.,

Guerrero, I., Bommarco, R., Eggers, S., Pärt, T., Tscharntke, T., Weisser, W., Clement, L.,

Bengtsson, J., 2016. Chapter two - How agricultural intensification affects biodiversity and

ecosystem services. In: Dumbrell, A.J., Kordas, R.L., Woodward, G. (Eds.), Advances in

Ecological Research. Academic Press, pp. 43-97.

European commission, 2010. Agriculture and rural development, agri-environment measures.

www://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/envir/measures/index_en.htm.

European commission, 2022. The new common agricultural policy: 2023-27.

Fargallo, J.A., Martínez-Padilla, J., Toledano-Díaz, A., Santiago-Moreno, J., Dávila, J.A.,

2007. Sex and testosterone effects on growth, immunity and melanin coloration of nestling

Eurasian kestrels. Journal of Animal Ecology 76, 201-209.

Fernández-Eslava, B., Alonso, D., Galicia, D., Arizaga, J., 2022. Strong evidence supporting a

relationship between colour pattern and apparent survival in common crossbills. Journal of

Ornithology 163, 243-249.

Fisher, R.B., 1999. Change detection in color images. Computer Science.

Fitze, P.S., Richner, H., 2002. Differential effects of a parasite on ornamental structures based

on melanins and carotenoids. Behavioral Ecology 13, 401-407.

Flohre, A., Fischer, C., Aavik, T., Bengtsson, J., Berendse, F., Bommarco, R., Ceryngier, P.,

Clement, L.W., Dennis, C., Eggers, S., Emmerson, M., Geiger, F., Guerrero, I., Hawro, V.,

Inchausti, P., Liira, J., Morales, M.B., Oñate, J.J., Pärt, T., Weisser, W.W., Winqvist, C.,

Thies, C., Tscharntke, T., 2011. Agricultural intensification and biodiversity partitioning in

European landscapes comparing plants, carabids, and birds. Ecological Applications 21,

1772-1781.

Forstmeier, W., Schielzeth, H., 2011. Cryptic multiple hypotheses testing in linear models:

overestimated effect sizes and the winner's curse. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 65,

47-55.

Fuentes, E., Moreau, J., Teixeira, M., Bretagnolle, V., Monceau, K., 2023. Effects of

conventional vs. organic farming practices on raptor nestling health: Neither black nor white.

Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 358, 108719.

Galván, I., Alonso-Alvarez, C., 2009. The expression of melanin-based plumage is separately

modulated by exogenous oxidative stress and a melanocortin. Proceedings of the Royal

Society B: Biological Sciences 276, 3089-3097.

García de León, D., Rey Benayas, J.M., Andivia, E., 2021. Contributions of hedgerows to

people: a global meta-analysis. Frontiers in Conservation Science 2.

Gayer, C., Berger, J., Dieterich, M., Gallé, R., Reidl, K., Witty, R., Woodcock, B.A.,

Batáry, P.. Flowering fields, organic farming and edge habitats promote diversity of plants

and arthropods on arable land. Journal of Applied Ecology 58, 1155–1166.

Gibbons, D., Morrissey, C., Mineau, P., 2015. A review of the direct and indirect effects of

neonicotinoids and fipronil on vertebrate wildlife. Environmental Science and Pollution

Research 22, 103-118.

Girard, J., Mineau, P., Fahrig, L., 2014. Higher nestling food biomass in organic than

conventional soybean fields in eastern Ontario, Canada. Agriculture, Ecosystems &

Environment 189, 199-205.

Gong, S., Hodgson, J.A., Tscharntke, T., Liu, Y., van der Werf, W., Batáry, P., Knops,

J.M.H., Zou, Y., 2022. Biodiversity and yield trade-offs for organic farming. Ecology Letters

25, 1699-1710.

Guindre-Parker, S., Love, O.P., 2014. Revisiting the condition-dependence of melanin-based

plumage. Journal of Avian Biology 45, 29-33.

Halupka, K., Borowiec, M., Karczewska, A., Kunka, A., Pietrowiak, J., 2002. Habitat

requirements of Whitethroats *Sylvia communis* breeding in an alluvial plain. Bird Study 49,

297-299.

Hartley, R.C., Kennedy, M.W., 2004. Are carotenoids a red herring in sexual display? Trends

in Ecology & Evolution 19, 353-354.

Helfenstein, F., Losdat, S., Møller, A.P., Blount, J.D., Richner, H., 2010. Sperm of colourful

males are better protected against oxidative stress. Ecology Letters 13, 213-222.

Hill, G.E., 1995. Ornamental Traits as Indicators of Environmental Health: Condition-

dependent display traits hold promise as potent biomonitors. BioScience 45, 25-31.

Hole, D.G., Perkins, A.J., Wilson, J.D., Alexander, I.H., Grice, P.V., Evans, A.D., 2005. Does

organic farming benefit biodiversity? Biological Conservation 122, 113-130.

Hoshi, N., Hirano, T., Omotehara, T., Tokumoto, J., Umemura, Y., Mantani, Y., Tanida, T.,

Warita, K., Tabuchi, Y., Yokoyama, T., Kitagawa, H., 2014. Insight into the mechanism of

reproductive dysfunction caused by neonicotinoid pesticides. Biological and Pharmaceutical

Bulletin 37(9), 1439–1443.

Humann-Guilleminot, S., Andreo, L., Blatti, E., Glauser, G., Helfenstein, F., Desprat, J.,

2023. Experimental evidence for clothianidin deposition in feathers of house sparrows after

ingestion of sublethal doses treated seeds. Chemosphere 315, 137724.

Humann-Guilleminot, S., Binkowski, Ł.J., Gning, O., Glauser, G., Helfenstein, F., 2024.

House sparrows are heavier on organic and integrated-production farms than on conventional

farms. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 363, 108853.

Humann-Guilleminot, S., Binkowski, Ł.J., Helfenstein, F., 2024. Sex-specific effects of low-

dose of acetamiprid on corticosterone levels but not on oxidative stress in House sparrows.

Environmental Research 262, 119894.

Humann-Guilleminot, S., Binkowski, Ł.J., Jenni, L., Hilke, G., Glauser, G., Helfenstein, F.,

2019a. A nation-wide survey of neonicotinoid insecticides in agricultural land with

implications for agri-environment schemes. Journal of Applied Ecology 56, 1502-1514.

Humann-Guilleminot, S., Blévin, P., Azou-Barré, A., Yacoumas, A., Gabrielsen, G.W.,

Chastel, O., Helfenstein, F., 2018. Sperm collection in Black-legged Kittiwakes and

characterization of sperm velocity and morphology. Avian Research 9, 24.

Humann-Guilleminot, S., Blévin, P., Gabrielsen, G.W., Herzke, D., Nikiforov, V.A.,

Jouanneau, W., Moe, B., Parenteau, C., Helfenstein, F., Chastel, O., 2024. Contaminant

exposure in Arctic seabirds: Inter- and intra-specific variation in relation to trophic ecology.

Environmental Science & Technology 58, 19617–19626.

Humann-Guilleminot, S., Tassin de Montaigu, C., Sire, J., Grünig, S., Gning, O., Glauser, G.,

Vallat, A., Helfenstein, F., 2019b. A sublethal dose of the neonicotinoid insecticide

acetamiprid reduces sperm density in a songbird. Environmental Research 177, 108589.

Husson, F., Josse, J., Le, S., Mazet, J., 2020. FactoMineR: Multivariate exploratory data

analysis and data mining.

Karp, D.S., Rominger, A.J., Zook, J., Ranganathan, J., Ehrlich, P.R., Daily, G.C., 2012.

Intensive agriculture erodes β-diversity at large scales. Ecology Letters 15, 963-970.

Khalil, S.R., Awad, A., Mohammed, H.H., 2017. Behavioral response and gene expression

changes in fipronil-administered male Japanese quail (*Coturnix japonica*). Environmental

Pollution 223, 51-61.

Kirkwood, T.B.L., Rose, M.R., 1991. Evolution of senescence: Late survival sacrificed for

reproduction. Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences 332, 15-24.

Kjær, C., Bruus, M., Bossi, R., Løfstrøm, P., Andersen, H.V., Nuyttens, D., Larsen, S.E.,

2014. Pesticide drift deposition in hedgerows from multiple spray swaths. Journal of Pesticide

Science 39, 14-21.

Kragten, S., Tamis, W.L.M., Gertenaar, E., Midcap Ramiro, S.M., Van Der Poll, R.J., Wang,

J., De Snoo, G.R., 2011. Abundance of invertebrate prey for birds on organic and

conventional arable farms in the Netherlands. Bird Conservation International 21, 1-11.

Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B., Christensen, R.H.B., 2017. lmerTest package: tests in linear

mixed effects models. Journal of Statistical Software 82, 26.

Labocha, M.K., Hayes, J.P., 2012. Morphometric indices of body condition in birds: a review.

Journal of Ornithology 153, 1-22.

Lenth, R., 2023. *emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means*. R package

version 1.8.4-1. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emmeans.

Lifshitz, N., St Clair, C.C., 2016. Coloured ornamental traits could be effective and non-

invasive indicators of pollution exposure for wildlife. Conservation physiology 4, cow028.

Liu, Y., Wang, F., Li, L., Fan, B., Kong, Z., Tan, J., Li, M., 2025. The potential endocrine-

disrupting effects of fluorinated pesticides and molecular mechanisms of EDPs in cell models.

Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 289, 117615.

Lopez-Antia, A., Ortiz-Santaliestra, M.E., Mougeot, F., Mateo, R., 2015. Imidacloprid-treated

seed ingestion has lethal effect on adult partridges and reduces both breeding investment and

offspring immunity. Environmental Research 136, 97-107.

Lozano, G.A., 1994. Carotenoids, parasites, and sexual selection. Oikos 70, 309-311.

Lüdecke, D., Ben Shachar, M., Patil, I., Waggoner, P., Makowski, D., 2021. Performance: an

R package for assessment, comparison and testing of statistical models. The Journal of Open

Source Software 6, 3139.

McDiarmid, C.S., Hurley, L.L., Le Mesurier, M., Blunsden, A.C., Griffith, S.C., 2022. The

impact of diet quality on the velocity, morphology and normality of sperm in the zebra finch

Taeniopygia guttata. Journal of Experimental Biology 225.

McGraw, K.J., 2005. The antioxidant function of many animal pigments: are there consistent

health benefits of sexually selected colourants? Animal Behaviour 69, 757-764.

McGraw, K.J., 2006a. Dietary carotenoids mediate a trade-off between egg quantity and

quality in Japanese quail. Ethology Ecology & Evolution 18, 247-256.

McGraw, K.J., 2006b. Sex steroid dependence of carotenoid-based coloration in female zebra

finches. Physiology & Behavior 88, 347-352.

McGraw, K.J., 2008. An update on the honesty of melanin-based color signals in birds.

Pigment Cell & Melanoma Research 21, 133-138.

Mineau, P., Baril, A., Collins, B.T., Duffe, J., Joerman, G., Luttik, R., 2001. Pesticide acute

toxicity reference values for birds. Reviews of environmental contamination and toxicology

170, 13-74.

Mohanty, B., Pandey, S.P., Tsutsui, K., 2017. Thyroid disrupting pesticides impair the

hypothalamic-pituitary-testicular axis of a wildlife bird, *Amandava amandava*. Reproductive

Toxicology 71, 32-41.

Morandin, L.A., Long, R.F., Kremen, C., 2014. Hedgerows enhance beneficial insects on

adjacent tomato fields in an intensive agricultural landscape. Agriculture, Ecosystems &

Environment 189, 164-170.

Moreau, J., Monceau, K., Crépin, M., Tochon, F.D., Mondet, C., Fraikin, M., Teixeira, M.,

Bretagnolle, V., 2021. Feeding partridges with organic or conventional grain triggers

cascading effects in life-history traits. Environmental Pollution 278, 116851.

Moreau, J., Monceau, K., Gonnet, G., Pfister, M., Bretagnolle, V., 2022a. Organic farming

positively affects the vitality of passerine birds in agricultural landscapes. Agriculture,

Ecosystems & Environment 336, 108034.

Moreau, J., Rabdeau, J., Badenhausser, I., Giraudeau, M., Sepp, T., Crépin, M., Gaffard, A.,

Bretagnolle, V., Monceau, K., 2022b. Pesticide impacts on avian species with special

reference to farmland birds: a review. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 194, 790.

Mourocq, E., Bize, P., Bouwhuis, S., Bradley, R., Charmantier, A., de la Cruz, C., Drobniak,

S.M., Espie, R.H.M., Herényi, M., Hötker, H., Krüger, O., Marzluff, J., Møller, A.P.,

Nakagawa, S., Phillips, R.A., Radford, A.N., Roulin, A., Török, J., Valencia, J., van de Pol,

M., Warkentin, I.G., Winney, I.S., Wood, A.G., Griesser, M., 2016. Life span and

reproductive cost explain interspecific variation in the optimal onset of reproduction.

Evolution 70, 296-313.

Naef-Daenzer, B., 1994. Radiotracking of great and blue tits: new tools to assess territoriality,

home-range use and resource distribution. 82, 335-335.

Naguib, M., Altenkamp, R., Griessmann, B., 2001. Nightingales in space: song and extra-

territorial forays of radio tagged song birds. Journal für Ornithologie 142, 306-312.

Newton, I., 2004. The recent declines of farmland bird populations in Britain: an appraisal of

causal factors and conservation actions. Ibis 146, 579-600.

Olimpi, E.M., Daly, H., Garcia, K., Glynn, V.M., Gonthier, D.J., Kremen, C., M'Gonigle,

L.K., Karp, D.S., 2022. Interactive effects of multiscale diversification practices on farmland

bird stress. Conservation Biology 36, e13902.

Pandey, S.P., Tsutsui, K., Mohanty, B., 2017. Endocrine disrupting pesticides impair the

neuroendocrine regulation of reproductive behaviors and secondary sexual characters of red

munia (*Amandava amandava*). Physiology & Behavior 173, 15-22.

Peig, J., Green, A.J., 2009. New perspectives for estimating body condition from mass/length

data: the scaled mass index as an alternative method. Oikos 118, 1883-1891.

Pelosi, C., Bertrand, C., Daniele, G., Coeurdassier, M., Benoit, P., Nélieu, S., Lafay, F.,

Bretagnolle, V., Gaba, S., Vulliet, E., Fritsch, C., 2021. Residues of currently used pesticides

in soils and earthworms: A silent threat? Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 305,

107167.

Pérez-Rodríguez, L., 2009. Carotenoids in evolutionary ecology: re-evaluating the antioxidant

role. BioEssays 31, 1116-1126.

Richard, F.-J., Southern, I., Gigauri, M., Bellini, G., Rojas, O., Runde, A., 2021. Warning on

nine pollutants and their effects on avian communities. Global Ecology and Conservation 32,

e01898.

Rigal, S., Dakos, V., Alonso, H., Aunins, A., Benko, Z., Brotons, L., Chodkiewicz, T.,

Chylarecki, P., de Carli, E., del Moral J.C., *et al.*, 2023. Farmland practices are driving bird

population decline across Europe. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the

United States of America, 120, e22165731.

R Core Team, 2022. R: A language and environment for statistical computing., R Foundation

for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Sánchez-Bayo, F., Wyckhuys, K.A.G., 2019. Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A

review of its drivers. Biological Conservation 232, 8-27.

Schantz, T.v., Bensch, S., Grahn, M., Hasselquist, D., Wittzell, H., 1999. Good genes,

oxidative stress and condition–dependent sexual signals. Proceedings of the Royal Society of

London. Series B: Biological Sciences 266, 1-12.

Scheidt, K. New aspects of carotenoid metabolism in animals. Carotenoids: Chemistry and

Biology 1985, eds N.I. Krinsky, M.M. Mathews-Roth & R.F. Taylor. 247-268. Plenum Press.

Simmons, L.W., Fitzpatrick, J.L., 2012. Sperm wars and the evolution of male fertility.

REPRODUCTION 144, 519-534.

Snook, R.R., 2005. Sperm in competition: not playing by the numbers. Trends in Ecology &

Evolution 20, 46-53.

Stanton, R.L., Morrissey, C.A., Clark, R.G., 2018. Analysis of trends and agricultural drivers

of farmland bird declines in North America: a review. Agriculture, Ecosystems &

Environment, 254, 244-254.

Stevens, D.K., Donald, P.F., Evans, A.D., Buckingham, D.L., Evans, J., 2002. Territory

distribution and foraging patterns of cirl buntings (*Emberiza cirlus*) breeding in the UK.

Biological Conservation 107, 307-313.

Stoate, C., Báldi, A., Beja, P., Boatman, N.D., Herzon, I., van Doorn, A., de Snoo, G.R.,

Rakosy, L., Ramwell, C., 2009. Ecological impacts of early 21st century agricultural change

in Europe – A review. Journal of Environmental Management 91, 22-46.

Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on

organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC)

No 834/2007. http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/848/2025-03-25

Stoate, C., Szczur, J., 2001. Whitethroat Sylvia communis and Yellowhammer *Emberiza*

citrinella nesting success and breeding distribution in relation to field boundary vegetation.

Bird Study 48, 229-235.

Tanga, B. M.; Qamar, A. Y.; Raza, S.; Bang, S.; Fang, X.; Yoon, K.; Cho, J., 2021. Semen

Evaluation: Methodological Advancements in Sperm Quality-Specific Fertility Assessment ─

A Review. Animal Bioscience *34* (8), 1253– 1270.

There is no corresponding record for this reference.

Tazzyman, Samuel J., Pizzari, T., Seymour, Robert M., Pomiankowski, A., 2009. The

Evolution of Continuous Variation in Ejaculate Expenditure Strategy. The American

Naturalist 174, E71-E82.

Tokumoto, J., Danjo, M., Kobayashi, Y., Kinoshita, K., Omotehara, T., Tatsumi, A.,

Hashiguchi, M., Sekijima, T., Kamisoyama, H., Yokoyama, T., Kitagawa, H., Hoshi, N.,

2013. Effects of exposure to clothianidin on the reproductive system of male quails. The

Japanese Journal of Veterinary Science 75, 755-760.

Tschirren, B., Fitze, P.S., Richner, H., 2003. Proximate mechanisms of variation in the

carotenoid-based plumage coloration of nestling great tits (*Parus major L.*). Journal of

evolutionary biology 16, 91-100.

Wasserstein, R.L., Lazar, N.A., 2016. The ASA Statement on p-Values: Context, Process, and

Purpose. The American Statistician 70, 129-133.

Whittingham, M.J., Stephens, P.A., Bradbury, R.B., Freckleton, R.P., 2006. Why do we still

use stepwise modelling in ecology and behaviour? Journal of Animal Ecology 75, 1182-1189.

Wintermantel, D., Odoux, J.-F., Chadœuf, J., Bretagnolle, V., 2019. Organic farming

positively affects honeybee colonies in a flower-poor period in agricultural landscapes.

Journal of Applied Ecology 56, 1960-1969.

<u>Ethical statement</u>

All experiments complied with French regulations on animal experimentation. We are

grateful to the Nouvelle-Aquitaine Regional Agency of the Environment, Development and

Housing for the official capture authorizations (DREAL/2019D/2323).

<u>Funding</u>

This work was supported by the University of La Rochelle, the French National Centre of

Scientific Research (CNRS), and the French National Research Institute for Agriculture, Food

and the Environment (INRAE). This study was partly funded by the projects BIRDPEST

funded by RECOTOX 2019, ABBIRD funded by Ecophyto program, ACI funded by the

University of La Rochelle, AgriBioBird funded by OSU Theta ISITE-BFC, ANR JCJC

PestiStress (#19-CE34-0003-01), and the BioBird project funded by the regional government

of Nouvelle-Aquitaine. This work was supported by the French National program EC2CO

(Ecosphère Continentale et Côtière), PHYTO-REAL. In addition, this action is led by the

Ministries for Agriculture and Food Sovereignty, for an Ecological Transition and Territorial

Cohesion, for Health and Prevention, and of Higher Education and Research, with the

financial support of the French Office for Biodiversity, as part of the call for "National

research projects Ecophyto 2021 Part 1", with the fees for diffuse pollution coming from the

Ecophyto II+ plan. Lastly, an SNSF-Postdoc.Mobility grant (#P500PB_222110) was

attributed to the first author.

<u>Authors' contributions</u>

Ségolène Humann-Guilleminot: Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis,

Visualization, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing

Audrey Bailly: Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing

Karine Monceau: Writing - Review & Editing

Mélissa Desbonnes: Investigation, Methodology

Vincent Bretagnolle: Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing

Jérôme Moreau: Investigation, Conceptualization, Methodology, Project administration,

Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing

<u>Competing interests</u>

The authors declare no competing interests.

<u>Acknowledgments</u>

We thank all the farmers who granted us access to their fields. We are also grateful to

everyone who assisted with bird monitoring and field data collection, and in particular to

Mona Moreau for her help with field captures and data acquisition.