The ventral visual stream for reading converges on the transmodal language network

J.J. Salvo[1], M. Lakshman[1], A.M. Holubecki[1], Z.M. Saygin[2], M.M. Mesulam[1,3], R.M. Braga[1,4]

1. Ken and Ruth Davee Department of Neurology, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine
2. Department of Psychology, The Ohio State University
3. Mesulam Institute for Cognitive Neurology and Alzheimer's Disease, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine
4. Department of Psychology, Northwestern University

Abstract

Reading bridges sensation and cognition. To derive meaning from written words, visual input is first processed in unimodal (i.e., sensory-specific) visual streams and then engages a distributed language network (LANG) that includes classic perisylvian language areas and supports transmodal (i.e., sensory-nonspecific) functions. A reading-relevant region in the inferotemporal cortex (ITC), sometimes called the visual word form area (VWFA), has been the subject of controversy because it displays properties of both systems: it responds to meaningless written pseudowords, implying a unimodal visual function, but also responds to meaningful speech, implying a transmodal function. We investigated whether precision functional mapping could help clarify this region's role in reading. We characterized a stream of visual regions along the ITC that responded preferentially to visual orthographic forms (i.e., written pseudowords, consonant strings, and real words). Network mapping revealed that only the most anterior region of this "orthographic stream" was connected to the LANG network and accordingly showed responses to meaningful speech. Furthermore, this anterior region was more selective, responding preferentially to text-based stimuli, whereas the more posterior regions of the stream were additionally activated by perceptually similar images (i.e., number strings, foreign script). Our results support that connections to the LANG network may drive specialization along the orthographic stream for writing. This basal language network region may represent an interface between visual and transmodal language systems, thus serving as a critical nexus for reading.

Introduction

Language comprehension is a "transmodal" function because the same meaning can be communicated through both visual and auditory sensory modalities (Mesulam, 1998). In reading, comprehension is derived from visual input that is initially processed through

42 "unimodal" brain systems that are primarily dedicated to visual information processing.
43 Understanding this interplay between transmodal and unimodal processes is therefore
44 essential for clarifying the neuroanatomy of language and reading, and more broadly of
45 how high-level cognition emerges from sensory processing.
46
Brain areas serving unimodal vs. transmodal functions follow distinct organizational
patterns (Goldman-Rakic, 1988; Mesulam, 1998). Unimodal areas are organized as
hierarchies through which sensory input is processed in stages, with information from
earlier (upstream) stages integrated into increasingly abstract representations by
subsequent (downstream) stages (Felleman & Van Essen, 1991; Mesulam, 1998). In
the ventral visual stream, along the posterior half of the inferotemporal (ITC), earlier
regions in the hierarchy are sensitive to stimuli in specific visual field locations (i.e., are
retinotopic) as well as to basic visual attributes such as lines, shapes, and color
(Desimone et al., 1985; Hégdé & Van Essen, 2000; Grill-Spector & Malach, 2004).
These posterior ITC regions transmit information downstream to more anterior ITC
regions. The laminar pattern of neural projections between hierarchical stages implies
that posterior-anterior projections are feedforward, while anterior-posterior projections
are feedback (Felleman & Van Essen, 1991). This directionality is supported by
response delays to presented visual stimuli (Schmolesky et al., 1998; Woolnough et al.,
2021) and the finding that the later stages are sensitive to more abstracted features,
such as categories of images including faces, scenes, or objects (Kanwisher, 2010).
63
In contrast to the unimodal hierarchies, networks in transmodal association cortex tend
to be activated by cognitive processes that are not restricted to a single sensory or
motor modality (Mesulam, 1990; 1998). These networks comprise regions distributed
across multiple zones of association cortex which are linked by longer-range, reciprocal
connections, rather than the feedback and feedforward projections of sensory
hierarchies (Mesulam, 1990; Goldman-Rakic, 1988). This architecture may support
emergent, integrative functions that are determined by interactions across the entire
network and allow for widespread distribution of information throughout the brain.
72
A classic example of a transmodal distributed network is the language network (LANG;
Geschwind, 1970; Mesulam, 1990; Monti et al., 2009; Price, 2010; Pallier et al., 2011;
Lipkin et al., 2022), which canonically includes regions in perisylvian frontal and
temporal zones. Recent findings suggest this network is much more widely distributed
and includes regions such as 'area 55b' in posterior middle frontal cortex (Glasser et al.,
2016), as well as in the supplementary motor area and possibly other anterior and
posterior midline regions (Braga et al., 2020). The resulting distribution of regions
matches the organization of other association networks, suggesting that the LANG
network supports a transmodal function (Braga et al., 2020). Accordingly, the LANG

network responds strongly to comprehensible language input, regardless of sensory
input modality (Fedorenko et al., 2010; Scott et al., 2017; Salvo & Anderson et al.,
2025). One framework describes this network as serving "core" transmodal aspects of
language processing, while also communicating with an extended language network
that includes unimodal sensory and motor regions necessary for language reception
and production (Fedorenko et al., 2024). This framework implies that, during reading,
the distributed LANG network interacts with and derives input from the visual streams
located in the ITC. However, it is unclear what features of functional organization could
support these interactions.
91
Of particular importance to reading, one of the downstream regions in the visual
hierarchy shows preferential responses to strings (i.e., combinations) of recognizable
letters, regardless of whether the strings form established words (Cohen et al., 2002;
Dehaene et al., 2005; Dejerine, 1892; Woolnough et al., 2021). This region, often
referred to as the visual word form area (VWFA), is thought to develop as one acquires
reading ability (Dehaene et al., 2010; Saygin et al., 2016) and may be central to literacy.
The VWFA has attributes of a unimodal visual region because it is situated within the
posterior half of the ITC and shows responses to meaningless character strings (e.g.,
pseudowords; Baker et al., 2007; Cohen et al., 2002). However, the VWFA also
displays transmodal properties, including activation for both visual and auditory linguistic
stimuli (Forseth et al., 2018; Ludersdorfer et al., 2013; Yoncheva et al., 2010) as well as
sensitivity to learned characteristics such as stimulus familiarity (e.g., native vs. foreign
words, frequent vs. infrequent letter combinations; Nobre et al., 1994; Baker et al.,
2007; Szwed et al., 2011; but see Vogel et al., 2012b). These properties suggest the
VWFA may display properties of both unimodal visual and transmodal language
systems, which challenges the notion of a strict network-to-function correspondence.
108
Interestingly, there is evidence that the LANG network includes a region where the
VWFA is typically reported, approximately halfway down the posterior-anterior axis of
the ITC (Lüders et al., 1986; Nobre et al. 1994). It is unclear whether this basal temporal
language region is synonymous with the VWFA and can explain responses to speech
within the vicinity of the VWFA, or whether VWFA and basal-language responses in the
ITC are supported by different network connections. Attempts to map the network
connectivity of the VWFA have led to divergent results, possibly because portions of the
ITC suffer from functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) signal dropout (Embleton
et al., 2010; Olman et al., 2009). Some studies have provided evidence that the VWFA
is connected to the language network (Stevens et al., 2017; Li et al., 2020). Others have
argued that the VWFA is connected to the dorsal attention network, which serves
visuospatial attention (Stevens et al., 2017; Vogel et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2019).
121

Recently, we demonstrated that using intrinsic functional connectivity (iFC) to define

brain networks within individual participants can distinguish between adjacent

transmodal language and unimodal auditory regions in lateral temporal cortex, despite

these regions showing overlapping response profiles (Salvo & Anderson et al., 2025).

Specifically, we used iFC to delineate LANG and showed that the network

demonstrated robust responses to meaningful word combinations presented either

visually (as written text) or auditorily (as spoken language; Salvo & Anderson et al.,

2025; Scott et al., 2017). Here, to investigate the functional anatomy of reading, we

asked whether individualized network definition based on iFC could similarly distinguish

between transmodal language and unimodal visual regions in the ITC. We applied

precision functional mapping to contextualize visual and language-related responses to

multiple stimuli within each individual's large-scale brain network organization. To limit

signal dropout in anterior ITC, we used multi-echo fMRI. Results were replicated in an

existing 7T fMRI dataset (Allen et al., 2022). We observed a conserved pattern across

individuals, in which a stream of unimodal visual orthographic regions (responding to

written words and reading-related stimuli) overlapped with the transmodal language

network at a site within the mid-ITC (**Fig. 1**). We suggest that this region may serve as a

reading nexus between visual and linguistic processing.

142
143
Fig. 1: Overview of the reading nexus model. The basal language region (dark teal) represents a
nexus between unimodal processing in the orthographic visual stream (red) and transmodal processing in
the distributed language network (yellow). Written words are initially processed by the orthographic
stream, which preferentially responds when viewing letter strings, even when these do not represent
meaningful words. However, the anterior stream region is functionally different: it is connected to the
LANG network as defined by iFC and responds when listening to speech. Further, the anterior stream
region is more selective for reading-related visual input, selectively activating for familiar (i.e., learned)
scripts, whereas the posterior stream regions don't discriminate between familiar and unfamiliar (i.e.,
foreign) scripts, even though both types of writing share similar visual features. Thus, the nexus could
represent an interface between visual and language systems, where visual processing engages the
distributed LANG network for processing viewed meaningful written words linguistically.

Methods

Detailed Brain Network Organization (DBNO) Dataset

Subjects and sessions
Ten adults (6 female, ages 22–36, mean age 26.6, 9 right-handed) from the local
community were recruited as part of the 'Detailed Brain Network Organization' (DBNO)
study. Details regarding this dataset have been previously described in Kwon et al.,
2025, Edmonds et al., 2024, and Salvo & Anderson et al., 2025. Participants had
normal hearing and normal or corrected to normal vision, and no history of neurological
illness. Informed consent was obtained as approved by the Northwestern University
Institutional Review Board. Participants were compensated for participation.
168

Participants were invited to 8 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) sessions, each
featuring several cognitive tasks as well as two runs of a passive fixation (REST) task
for network mapping using iFC. Prior to the first MRI session, participants were trained
on all the tasks and were extensively coached about strategies for staying still in the
scanner to improve data quality. While in the scanner, participants' heads were padded
using inflatable cushions to restrict head motion. Participants were also informed that
the initial 1-2 MRI sessions would serve as a trial to assess compliance and whether
they wanted to continue participation. Based on these criteria, 2 subjects were not
invited back for additional sessions, leaving 8 subjects who completed all 8 MRI
sessions (4 female, ages 22-36, mean age 26.75, 7 right-handed). This led to a total of
60.8 hours of fMRI data collected (~7.6 hours per participant) for precision functional
mapping.
181
Passive fixation task (REST)
To allow estimation of large-scale networks within each individual using iFC,
participants completed a passive fixation task. Participants were shown a crosshair in
the center of the screen and were instructed to fixate their gaze on the crosshair for the
duration of the task, keeping their eyes open and blinking normally. The task lasted ~7
minutes. Each participant completed 2 runs in each session (the first and final runs of
the session) for a total of 16 runs and ~112 minutes per participant. One participant
(S2) completed an additional REST run to replace a poor-quality run that had been
excluded in a prior session (see MRI quality control).
191
192 *Cognitive tasks*
193 Participants performed tasks targeting specific cognitive domains. Multiple runs of each
194 task were collected to allow within-individual estimation of functional regions. The tasks
195 targeted brain regions associated with language processing (SPEECHLOC) and
196 recognition of visual categories (VISCAT, VWFACAT).
197
Speech-based language localizer (SPEECHLOC)
To identify regions responding to spoken language input, the SPEECHLOC task used
auditory stimuli (speech) and lasted ~7 minutes per run (based on Scott et al., 2017; for
a full description, see Salvo & Anderson et al., 2025). In each trial, participants listened
to audio clips of normal, intelligible speech and distorted, unintelligible speech. Audio
clips were taken from recordings of TED talks, The Moth Radio Hour, and Librivox
audiobooks. Two clips were taken from each recording, with one clip left intact and the
second distorted by filtering as outlined below. This meant that the distorted clips
preserved certain sound characteristics (speaker identity, intonation, speed, recording
quality, etc.) to improve their effectiveness as control stimuli. Maps from this task were
previously reported in these participants in Salvo & Anderson et al. (2025).

Audio was presented through Sensimetrics S14 MRI-compatible earphones. To ensure
that the clips were audible over the scanner noise, at the start of each MRI session,
prior to data collection, we tested sound volume while running a dummy version of the
MR imaging sequence to mimic the conditions of the task. Participants were played two
speech clips (one clear, one distorted) followed by the tone used as a button-press cue.
Participants used the provided button box to adjust the volume to a comfortable level at
which the speech could be clearly heard. They were then asked to describe the topic of
the clearly presented story.

Visual categories task (VISCAT)
The VISCAT task targeted regions of the visual hierarchy responding preferentially to
certain image categories. Participants were required to attend to a screen while images
were presented and report if an image constituted a repeat of the previous image (i.e., a
1-back task). Images were cropped into a square of 256 x 256 pixels and set to
grayscale. Images belonged to five categories: faces, scenes, pseudowords, objects
and scrambled stimuli. The scrambled stimuli were created using images from the face,
scene, and object categories, which were split into a grid of 13 x 13 squares and
randomly shuffled in the 2 dimensions of the grid. Face, scene, object, and scrambled
images were provided by Talia Konkle (Park et al., 2015; Konkle et al., 2011). Face
images depicted individuals, subjectively appearing roughly between the ages of 10–40,
over a white background, with 70 of the 120 individuals appearing male. Hair was
included for all images, and upper shoulders were included for 80 images. Scene
images depicted both urban and natural settings, with 41 of the 120 images
photographed indoors. Object images depicted objects ranging from a thimble to a hot
air balloon (all objects were displayed at the same size) over a white background.
Pseudoword stimuli were generated by author J.J.S. using the Australian Research
Council (ARC) Nonword Database (Rastle et al., 2002) and were checked by two lab
members. Any pseudowords resembling real words were replaced.

Images were presented by category in 18s blocks, with 9 images presented in each
block, including 1-2 repeats. Each image was displayed for 0.7s, followed by an
interstimulus interval with a '+' presented at the center of the screen for 1.3s. Blocks
were counterbalanced within each run, and across runs. The fifth blocks in each run
were followed by an 18s fixation period where a '+' was presented in the center of the
screen. Participants completed 1 VISCAT run per session,which lasted 4 minutes (240
s) and featured 75 unique images (plus 15 repeats). Across 8 runs, participants saw
720 images (600 unique, 120 repeats), about 32 minutes of data.

Visual word form area categories task (VWFACAT)
The VWFACAT task followed the same structure as VISCAT, targeting the VWFA
through several categories of stimuli that resembled familiar written text to varying
degrees (real words > pseudowords > consonant strings > foreign script > line
drawings). Line drawings were used as a control, since they were stylistically similar to
the written characters (a complex series of black lines on a white background) without
appearing to be a script.
255
Real words were sourced from a list of the most frequently used 5050 English words in
the Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA) 2020 edition (Davies, 2020).
Curses, proprietary (e.g. 'iPad'), and potentially distressing (e.g. 'tumor') words were
removed from the list. Word length was limited to 4-8 letters long, which accounted for
75% of the corpus. We next determined what percentage of words in this range fell into
each word length category (e.g. 4-letter words accounted for 23% of all 4-8 letter
words), and randomly selected words from the list while ensuring that the selected set
maintained the word-length percentages of the broader corpus. We also ensured that
selected words did not repeat the same word families (e.g. 'sail' and 'sailed').
265
A new set of pseudowords were generated using the ARC Nonword Database, distinct
from those used in the VISCAT task. To create consonant strings, we first selected a
new list of words from the 2020 COCA. We next replaced vowels in each word with
randomly chosen consonants and shuffled the characters. Consonant strings were each
checked, and those that still resembled real words were removed. For the foreign script
condition, we employed hiragana and katakana, two Japanese scripts that are illegible
for participants illiterate in Japanese. Characters resembling Roman characters were
modified to appear less familiar to English readers (e.g. the hiragana character 'て',
resembling a Roman 'T', was mirrored and rotated) by editing a Japanese font
(KaoriGel) using the free program Glyphr. To reprint the consonant strings using
Japanese characters, we created a new font (KaoriGelModified) with each selected
Japanese character corresponding to a Roman character keyboard key. Prior to
inclusion in our study, participants were screened to verify they were not familiar with
the foreign script (i.e., they could not read in Japanese or other East Asian scripts).
280
Line drawings were taken from a stimulus set provided by Nishimoto and colleagues
(2012) that was adapted from a set from Snodgrass & Vanderwart (1980). The latter
study drew images from standardized categories (Battig & Montague, 1969), including
animals, vehicles, and human body parts. Images thus depicted animate or inanimate
subjects (e.g. a bird vs. a dress) and included objects with a range of actual sizes (e.g.
a ladybug vs. a tractor). Each included image was shaped into a 256 x 256 pixel
grayscale square to match VISCAT stimuli.

Participants performed a 1-back task using the VWFA stimuli, using the same block
design as the VISCAT task. Participants completed 1 VWFACAT run per session, which
lasted about 4 minutes (240 s) and featured 75 unique images (plus 15 repeats). Across
8 runs, participants saw a total of 720 images (600 unique, 120 repeats), about 32
minutes of data.

MRI data acquisition
Data were collected on a Siemens 3.0 T Prisma scanner (Siemens, Erlangen,
Germany) at the Center for Translational Imaging at Northwestern University's Feinberg
School of Medicine in Chicago. Two anatomical images were collected: a T1-weighted
image in the first MRI session (TR = 2,100 ms, TE = 2.9 ms, FOV = 256 mm, flip angle
= 8°, slice thickness = 1 mm, 176 sagittal slices parallel to the AC-PC line) and a T2-
weighted scan in the second session (TR = 3,000 ms, TE = 565 ms, FOV = 224 mm x
256 mm, flip angle = 120°, slice thickness = 1 mm). Both scans included volumetric
navigators (Tisdall et al., 2012) from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development study
(Hagler et al., 2019). Functional MRI data were collected using a 64-channel head coil
using a multi-band, multi-echo sequence with the following parameters: TR = 1,355 ms,
TE = 12.80 ms, 32.39 ms, 51.98 ms, 71.57 ms, 91.16 ms, flip angle = 64°, voxel size =
2.4mm, FOV = 216 mm x 216 mm, slice thickness = 2.4 mm, multiband slice
acceleration factor = 6 (see Poser et al., 2006; Lynch et al., 2020).

Quality control
Head motion was estimated using FSL's MCFLIRT command (Jenkinson et al., 2002).
Across all task types, runs were automatically excluded from analysis if motion
exceeded predetermined thresholds of framewise displacement (FD) > 0.4mm or
absolute displacement (AD) > 2.0 mm. Runs were flagged for visual inspection if motion
exceeded more stringent thresholds (FD > 0.2 mm, AD > 1.0 mm). The whole run was
excluded if motion could be clearly seen in the raw data. Runs for the remaining three
localizer tasks were also excluded if behavioral performance was poor, with thresholds
specific to each task (as follows).

For REST, each participant retained at least 10 runs following quality control (range: 10-
16). For all participants except one (S5), half of the data were set aside for replication
analyses (S1: 56 min, S2: 56, S3: 56, S4: 56, S5: 60, S6: 49, S7: 49, S8: 49), leaving
49–60 minutes of data for each participant.

For SPEECHLOC, runs with more than 20% missing button-presses were excluded. At
least 7 runs were retained per participant (S1: 8, S2: 8, S3: 8, S4: 7, S5: 7, S6: 8, S7: 7,
S8: 8), leaving 49–56 minutes of data per participant.

For VISCAT, runs with more than 10% missing button-presses and/or incorrect answers
were excluded. All 8 runs were retained for all participants, leaving 32 minutes of data
per participant.

For VWFACAT, runs with more than 10% missing button-presses and/or incorrect
answers were excluded. At least 7 runs were retained per participant (S1: 8, S2: 8, S3:
7, S4: 8, S5: 8, S6: 8, S7: 8, S8: 8), leaving 28–32 minutes of data per participant.

MRI data preprocessing
Blood-oxygenation-level-dependent (BOLD) data were preprocessed using a custom
processing pipeline "iProc" (Braga et al., 2019) optimized for within-individual alignment
of data from multiple runs and sessions. Additional steps were included to account for
the multi-echo data (as outlined below from Salvo & Anderson et al., 2025 and reported
in Edmonds et al., 2024). Registration steps were combined into a single interpolation to
minimize blurring. Each individual's data were processed separately. The first 9
volumes (∼12 s) of each run were discarded to remove a T1 attenuation artifact. Next, a
mean BOLD template was created as an interim stage for data registration. This was
done by averaging the first echo of all included runs of all tasks, to reduce bias toward
one run. The participant's T1 image was used to create a native space template. These
templates were used to build four matrix transforms to align each BOLD volume: (1) to
the middle volume of the same run for motion correction; (2) to the mean BOLD
template for cross-run alignment; (3) to the native space template; and (4) to the 152 1-
mm atlas (Mazziotta et al., 1995) from the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI)
International Consortium for Brain Mapping. The four transforms were combined and
applied to the original volumes to register all volumes to the T1 anatomical template
(matrices 1–3) and to the MNI atlas (matrices 1–4) in a single step. The MNI registration
was used in the nuisance regression steps applied to the resting-state runs (see
Functional connectivity preprocessing below). Visual checks were incorporated into
each registration step of the pipeline.

Motion correction transforms were calculated using rigid-body transformation based on
the first echo, which had less signal dropout and better preserved the shape of the
brain. Registration matrices were calculated based on the first echo and applied to all
echoes. Once data were projected to the T1 native space, the five echoes were
combined to approximate local T2* (Heunis et al., 2021). Briefly, the tSNR was
calculated for each echo, which was then weighted by the echo time. This weighted
tSNR was then divided by the sum of all weighted tSNRs (i.e., for all echoes). The
resulting image was multiplied by the echo's original intensity image, and these were
summed across all echoes to create the final optimally combined image.

Functional connectivity preprocessing

Nuisance variables were calculated for each run by extracting the average signal from deep white matter and from cerebrospinal fluid masks hand-drawn in MNI space that were back projected to native space. Additional nuisance variables included six motion parameters, whole-brain (global) signal, and their respective temporal derivatives. Data were then bandpass filtered using a range of 0.01–0.1 Hz using *3dBandpass* (AFNI v2016.09.04.1341; Cox, 1996; 2012). Next, data were projected to a standard cortical surface (fsaverage6, 40,962 vertices per hemisphere; Fischl et al., 1999) and smoothed with a 2 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) kernel along the surface. This kernel size was chosen based on prior work (Braga & Buckner, 2017; Braga et al., 2019) to retain functional anatomic detail while limiting noise (i.e., speckling) in the functional connectivity maps.

Assessing signal dropout

To assess data quality and the interpretable zones of the inferior temporal cortex (i.e., regions that did not show evidence of signal dropout), we calculated average tSNR maps for each participant using all runs of the preprocessed, optimally combined data. Values were projected to the FreeSurfer surface mesh fsaverage6. As a visual aid for outlining the interpretable zones of ITC, author J.J.S. manually drew borders around tissue exhibiting the lowest tSNR values (about 20 or less) using the pen tool in Adobe Illustrator.

The tSNR maps revealed that in each participant, good tSNR was achieved throughout the cortex using the multi-echo BOLD sequence. Regions showing signal dropout were minimal and primarily within a restricted portion of anterior ITC (**Supp. Fig. S1**, see dashed lines), helping build confidence in the functional anatomy revealed in the middle and posterior half of the ITC. Nonetheless, tSNR was generally lower on the inferior temporal surface than on the lateral surface, possibly due to the distance of this surface from the skull and the MR coils.

Network estimation

Prior to any analysis of the task data, the independent REST data were used to create individual-specific estimates of large-scale networks using iFC following previously used procedures (Braga & Buckner, 2017; Braga et al., 2020). We only applied this analysis to half of the REST data, setting aside the remaining half for *Replication of the candidate language network from inferior temporal seeds* (see below).

A brief description of this process, previously reported in Salvo & Anderson et al. (2025), follows. First, functional connectivity matrices were calculated for each REST run by computing vertex-vertex Pearson's product-moment correlations. The matrices

407 were Z-normalized using the Fisher transform, averaged across all runs within each
408 individual, and converted back to r values using the inverse Fisher transform. These
409 within-individual average matrices were used to perform a seed-based analysis.
410
Seeds were manually selected to delineate eight networks, including LANG but also
others as presented in Edmonds et al. (2024) and Kwon et al. (2025). Here, we focus on
LANG and show the resulting network in **Fig. 2** but note that the initial definition of
networks defined the LANG network based on its separation from surrounding large-
scale networks defined using other seeds. We used an interactive platform for manual
seed selection and correlation map viewing based on Connectome Workbench (Marcus
et al., 2011). Seeds were chosen to target LANG in the left hemisphere in the posterior
superior temporal cortex (pSTC), posterior middle frontal gyrus, inferior frontal gyrus,
temporal pole, and supplementary motor area. These provided converging estimates of
the LANG network (**Supp. Figs. S2–S9**). Seeds in the pSTC yielded comparable
correlation patterns whether chosen generally in lateral temporal cortex vs. specifically
in posterior lateral temporal cortex, closer to the typical site of Wernicke's area
(Tremblay & Dick, 2016). The identification of LANG was based on anatomical
distinctions characteristic of the network (described in Braga et al., 2020). Comparisons
to task activity during a speech listening (**Fig. 3**) and reading task (Salvo & Anderson et
al. 2025) confirmed this network was recruited for linguistic processes.
427
To ensure that the estimated seed-based networks were not determined by observer
bias, networks were also defined using a data-driven *k*-means clustering algorithm (**Fig.**
2). This process was carried out using two approaches. First, we used a Von Mises-
Fisher distribution, based on Yeo et al. (2011). Second, we used a multi-session
hierarchical Bayesian Model (MS-HBM), a method that stabilizes individual-specific
network estimates by integrating priors from multiple levels (e.g., group-level, cross-
individual and cross-run variation; Kong et al., 2019). For each individual, we generated
clustering solutions with *k* values (i.e., number of clusters) between 7–20 and selected
the lowest level of clustering that separated the networks of interest in a manner defined
by the seed-based approach. For the Von Mises-Fisher maps in two participants (S2,
S4), no *k*-value included all networks without over-splitting at least one network (i.e., the
resulting networks did not match the distribution of regions defined using the *a priori*
seed-based approach). In these two participants, two clusters were merged to yield the
candidate language network. As shown in **Supp. Figs. S10–S11**, the combined
networks better matched the seed-based correlation and task activation pattern in these
subjects, including in the ITC.
444
We compared the network estimates from the Von Mises-Fisher and MS-HBM
clustering approaches by eye, focusing on how well they captured the ITC region of

LANG defined from the multiple seed-based estimates (**Supp. Figs S2–S9**). Results
were largely comparable, but did show variation in some subjects, as shown in **Supp.**
Fig. S12. Ultimately, we selected the Von Mises-Fisher approach as it included a
prominent ITC LANG region in more subjects. We believe these differences reflect
quirks of the clustering algorithms (e.g., the MS-HBM uses group-level priors that may
be sub-optimal for delineating functional regions in lower signal quality zones).

Task activity maps
Data from the in-scanner tasks were analyzed using FSL's FEAT (Woolrich et al.,
2001). The surface-projected task fMRI data for left and right hemispheres was input
into a separate general linear model. The task was modeled using explanatory variables
that were convolved with a double-gamma hemodynamic response function then used
as regressors using FEAT to define maps of parameter estimates as outlined below
(also see *Cognitive tasks*). For each task, values from the contrast-of-parameter-
estimates maps from each run were then normalized into z values by FEAT and
averaged across all runs for a given task and individual.
462
463   For SPEECHLOC (**Fig. 3**), regressors included all presented audio clips for each of the
464   two speech types (comprehensible and distorted). Beta values were calculated for the
465   comprehensible and distorted speech conditions, and a contrast of parameter estimates
466   was calculated between the conditions for each run.
467
For VISCAT (**Fig. 4**), regressors included all blocks of images for each of the five image
categories (faces, scenes, pseudowords, objects, and scrambled images). Beta values
were calculated for each condition, and for each run, a contrast of parameter estimates
was calculated between each category and the remaining categories (e.g. pseudowords
vs. faces, scenes, objects, and scrambled). We were concerned that the scrambled
images might be more difficult to recognize compared to images in other categories,
thereby affecting the contrast maps. Ultimately, we opted to omit the scrambled
condition from these contrasts.
476
477   For VWFACAT, regressors included all blocks of images for each of the five image
478   categories (real words, pseudowords, consonant strings, foreign script, and line
479   drawings). Beta values were calculated for each condition, and a contrast of parameter
480   estimates was calculated between each category and the control condition of line
481   drawings (e.g. pseudowords vs. line drawings) for each run.
482
Thresholding
Task activity was initially visualized using qualitative thresholds, as reported in Salvo &
Anderson et al. (2025), chosen by eye for each participant to emphasize larger
contiguous regions containing higher values while removing smaller regions and

speckling containing lower values (indicating noise). Based on this, thresholds were
picked individually for each subject and task contrast map, prior to any assessment of
overlap. We started at a threshold of z > 2.5 and lowered the threshold if the activation
map appeared smaller than expected (e.g., comparing to prior work; e.g., Braga et al.,
2020). To ensure that the results were not specific to these hand-picked thresholds, we
created maps thresholded at the 90th percentile for each participant (**Supp. Figs. S13**
& S14), which produced very similar maps. Given their similarity, we used the
qualitatively chosen thresholds for all further analyses.
495
Assessment of overlap
To assess overlap between the SPEECHLOC and VISCAT task contrast maps (**Figs. 5**
& 6), we binarized the thresholded maps and plotted them on the same cortical surface.
We quantified the degree of overlap in mid-ITC using the Dice coefficient. The mid-ITC
zone was defined by drawing a large mask by hand that surrounded the LANG network
region in all subjects (shown in **Fig. 5**, lower panel). Only vertices within this mid-ITC
mask were considered when calculating the Dice coefficient.
503
Replication of the candidate language network from inferior temporal seeds
To confirm whether mid-ITC regions active for both pseudowords and speech were
functionally connected to the language network, we manually chose seeds in regions
within the ITC that were active for both conditions (listening to speech and viewing
pseudowords). We then applied these seeds to the independent held-out half of the
resting-state runs to see if the resulting correlation pattern matched the language
network.
511
512 Replication using the Natural Scenes Dataset
513
NSD Overview
To investigate whether we could replicate the spatial relationships between transmodal
language and unimodal visual regions in the ITC, we analyzed data from the Natural
Scenes Dataset (NSD). The NSD includes functional MRI data collected at a greater
field strength (7 T) and voxel precision (1.8 and 1.0 mm) than the DBNO dataset (3 T
and 2.4 mm iso, respectively). Detailed descriptions of the participants, experimental
paradigms, data quality, and initial data processing can be found in Allen et al., 2022.
Additional in-house preprocessing for functional connectivity analysis was performed as
described in Kwon et al., 2025, and Edmonds et al., 2024.
523
NSD participants and sessions
The NSD includes eight healthy human participants (two males and six females; 19–32
years old) recruited from the University of Minnesota community. Participants displayed

normal or corrected-to-normal vision and had no known cognitive deficits. All
participants were right-handed and fluent in English. Three participants were
multilingual, with one having learned English as a second language. Participants
completed an initial screening session and 30–40 MRI sessions that included two
resting-state fMRI scans alongside a visual image recognition task. The study ended
with two task-based scan sessions measuring responses to other task contexts. This
resulted in 33–43 MRI sessions per subject along with additional behavioral
assessments.
535
NSD resting-state data
Resting-state data were collected across 10 sessions (except for subject NSD-S1, who
had 18 sessions), providing an average of 2 hours of resting-state fMRI data per
participant, over an average of 77 days. In one form of this task, participants kept their
eyes on a white, centered plus sign over a gray background for 300s. In a second form
of this task, the white plus-sign turned red after 12s and remained red for 4s before
returning to white for the remainder of the run. For runs following the second format,
participants were asked to breathe in when the plus-sign turned red and hold their
breath until the plus-sign returned to white. Each participant completed 100–180
minutes of resting-state runs.
546
NSD visual category functional localizer task ('fLoc')
Task data from the visual category functional localizer task ('fLoc') were collected in a
single session at the start of the study for all subjects. Participants were presented with
sets of stimuli sorted by visual category. All stimuli were presented on top of unique
abstract backgrounds in grayscale. A central red dot was present throughout the task.
Participants were asked to report with a button press whenever a 'blank' image was
presented (i.e., a background was presented without a stimulus image). Each stimulus
was shown for 0.5s, with each run lasting 312s and containing 48 images per category
(480 non-blank images). Six runs were collected per participant for a total of 288 images
per category (2,880 non-blank images) across 31.2 minutes. Each stimulus was shown
only once.
558
The NSD fLoc task included images falling within 10 categories in 5 domains. Eight
categories followed four 'non-character' domains: bodies (body and limb), faces (adult
and child), places (corridor and house), and objects (car and instrument). The other 2
categories followed a 'character' domain, which included 'words' (pseudowords) and
'numbers' categories. For our key analyses, we studied the maps derived from
contrasting the pseudoword and number categories against all other domains together
('bodies', 'faces', 'places', plus 'objects'). Pseudoword stimuli were English-
pronounceable pseudowords, while number stimuli were uncommon sequences of

digits. Pseudoword/numeral length and font type, size, shading, angle, and distortion
varied across stimuli. We also mapped activity during viewing of faces and scenes
(contrasted against viewing the other stimuli) to replicate results from the DBNO
dataset.

NSD quality control & preprocessing
As reported in Kwon et al. (2025) and Edmonds et al. (2024), we performed quality
control following a two-step process. First, head motion was estimated using FSL's
MCFLIRT command (Smith et al., 2004). Whole runs were automatically excluded if (i)
the maximum FD was > 0.4 mm and (ii) the maximum AD was > 2.0 mm. Second, any
runs where the FD exceeded 0.2 mm or AD exceeded 1.0 mm were visually inspected
for head motion and excluded if any motion could be clearly seen. Following quality
control, two of the eight NSD participants were excluded due to excessive head motion.

The remaining NSD participants retained all 6 fLoc runs, and 6–35 resting-state runs
per participant (NSD-S1: 35 runs; NSD-S2: 6, NSD-S3: 16, NSD-S4: 12, NSD-S6: 19,
and NSD-S7: 18). For the two participants who provided 12–16 resting-state runs, half
the data (6 to 8 runs) were assigned to a discovery dataset, and the remaining half were
assigned to a validation dataset. For subjects with 18–19 runs, one-third of the data
were assigned to the discovery dataset, and the remaining two-thirds of the data were
assigned to two validation datasets (6–7 runs each). For subject S1, who provided 35
runs, half of the data (17 runs) were assigned to a discovery dataset, and the remaining
half were assigned to two validation datasets (9 runs each). This is because we initially
divided NSD-S1's data into two datasets and began exploring half the data before
determining that stable estimates could be achieved with six runs.

For iFC analysis, we performed additional preprocessing on the resting-state data
following the steps outlined in the iProc pipeline (Braga et al., 2019, see above).
Nuisance variables, including six parameters to account for head motion, as well as
whole-brain, ventricular, and deep white matter signals, and their temporal derivatives,
were calculated and regressed out of the data. Nuisance regression was performed
using 3dTproject [AFNI version 2016.09.04.1341 (Cox et al., 1996)] on the native
space-projected BOLD data resampled to a 1-mm isotropic resolution (i.e., the
"func1p0mm" version of the NSD data). Data were then bandpass filtered at 0.01–0.1
Hz (using 3dBandpass from AFNI).

The original dataset included tSNR maps for each subject (see Allen et al., 2022). To
visualize regions with low signal and reliability, we projected these values to a cortical
surface representation (fsaverage, 163,842 vertices per hemisphere; **Supp. Fig. S15**).
Notably, while the NSD data included high tSNR despite the smaller voxel size, it

showed broader signal dropout in the ITC, particularly in the anterior half. This dropout
provides important context for interpreting the successful and failed replications.
609
7T iFC analysis
Preprocessed data were projected onto a standardized cortical surface containing
163,842 vertices (fsaverage) per hemisphere using FreeSurfer's vol2surf command
(Fischl et al., 1999) and smoothed along the surface using a 2.5-mm FWHM Gaussian
kernel (as described in Edmonds et al. 2024). The highest-resolution fsaverage cortical
mesh was used to minimize blurring during sampling and preserve fine-scale
distinctions between networks. iFC matrices were calculated in each participant by
computing vertex-vertex Pearson's product-moment correlations for each run, *r*-to-*z*
transforming, and then averaging across runs within each dataset. As for the DBNO
dataset, these matrices were used for surface-based network estimation using an
interactive platform for manual seed selection and correlation map viewing based on
Connectome Workbench (Marcus et al., 2011).
622
We identified several cortical networks using the same procedure described above for
the DBNO data, selecting candidate vertices for each network based on resulting iFC
maps that were distinct from one another (other networks shown in Edmonds et al.,
2024 and Kwon et al., 2025). Only the LANG network was assessed in this study. As
with the DBNO data, we selected multiple seeds on the cortical surface to target
different regions of LANG in each subject (**Supp. Figs. S16–S21**). We also performed
data-driven clustering using a Von Mises-Fisher distribution (Yeo et al., 2011), picking a
k value based on the network topography revealed by the seed-based maps. As before,
network replication from mid-ITC seeds was performed using the independent held-out
runs.
633
The multiple iFC maps (**Fig. 6**; **Supp. Figs. S16–S21**) provided converging evidence for
a distributed organization of LANG including a mid-ITC region in multiple subjects. Of
these subjects, NSD-S1, NSD-S2, and NSD-S4 showed the strongest evidence for this
mid-ITC region in both the hand-picked seed maps and the data-driven clustering
solutions. We focused replication analyses on these 3 subjects, noting that two other
subjects (NSD-S3 and NSD-S6) also showed evidence of a mid-ITC LANG region
(**Supp. Figs. S18 & S20**).
641
NSD identification of orthographic stream
To replicate the identification of an orthographic stream in the DBNO dataset (see
Results), we used data from the fLoc task to separately identify pseudoword-active and
number-active regions in the ITC using task maps provided as part of the NSD (Allen et
al., 2022). As with the DBNO data, we thresholded the activity maps using both

qualitative (hand-selected) and quantitative (95th percentile) thresholds. Given the
comparable results and sometimes superior filtering of activity "speckling" with the
qualitative thresholds, we opted to use the qualitative thresholds for further analyses,
but show the comparison of the two thresholds, along with the unthresholded maps, in
Supp. Fig. S22.
652
To replicate the results showing differentiation of responses along the orthographic
stream, we focused on two contrasts: pseudowords > non-character domains (i.e., all
other categories except numbers) and numbers > non-character domains (i.e., all other
categories except pseudowords). Task data were projected to the same cortical surface
mesh, using the same registration procedures, as the resting-state iFC data. To test the
stimulus preference of the ITC regions, we binarized the thresholded task activity maps
and overlaid the results with the cluster-defined LANG estimate.
660
661 *Recreation of candidate networks*
662 As with the DBNO dataset, we tested whether mid-ITC seeds could replicate the LANG
663 network in held-out data. For each patient, a seed was chosen in a region of the mid-
664 ITC demonstrating activity for pseudowords but not for numbers. The seed was applied
665 to the held-out set of resting-state data for each participant.
666

Results

The distributed language network includes a basal temporal region

In all DBNO participants, we defined a distributed language network (LANG) using resting-state iFC through two converging approaches: seed-based correlation and clustering. Network definition and identification were performed without consulting the inferotemporal surface. Following network definition, in 6 out of 8 participants, we observed that the iFC-defined LANG network included a region approximately halfway down the long axis of the ITC (**Fig. 2**).

Because tSNR, correlation, and task activation values were often lower in the ITC (**Supp. Fig. 1**), we used a lower threshold for the ITC-view of the seed-based maps (see colorbars in **Fig. 2**, left column). Importantly, we observed the putative basal LANG region multiple times in each participant, first using seeds targeting LANG in multiple cortical locations (**Supp. Figs. S2–S9**) and second in the data-driven clustering analysis (**Fig. 2**, right column). Additionally, this basal LANG region was left-lateralized (**Supp. Fig. S23**), matching the asymmetry of the remaining LANG network regions (Braga et al., 2020). These results support that a basal LANG region can be reliably observed when iFC is performed within individuals, using sequences that help address signal dropout in the ITC.

The basal LANG region is activated by listening to speech

We previously showed that LANGdisplays transmodal responses by showing that the network is activated both during listening to speech (Salvo & Anderson et al., 2025; Scott et al., 2017) and reading comprehensible sentences (Braga et al., 2020; Salvo & Anderson et al., 2025). As predicted by its connectivity with LANG, we observed that the basal LANG region defined by iFC also displayed responses during listening to speech, despite its location in mid-ITC near canonically visual areas. Notably, this task-related activity overlapped precisely with the region showing connectivity to LANG, despite being defined using separate data and analysis approaches (**Fig. 3**). Speech responses were observed in mid-ITC even for the two subjects without a basal LANG region (S6 & S8), though these responses were less robust relative to other subjects (**Fig. 3**). These findings support that the basal LANG region serves a transmodal function (see Li et al., 2020; 2024). Speech responses in the basal LANG region were left-lateralized (i.e., stronger and larger on the left hemisphere) in all participants except S6 (**Supp. Fig. S24**), reinforcing the lateralization of the LANG network observed throughout the cortex (**Supp. Fig. S23**). All subjects also showed evidence of additional, more anterior speech-active regions approaching the temporopolar cortex, despite lower tSNR quality there (**Supp. Fig. S1**). In most participants, these temporopolar speech-active regions also overlapped with regions of the iFC-defined LANG network.

Fig. 2: Functional connectivity estimates of the distributed language (LANG) network reveal a basal region in the inferotemporal cortex (ITC) in 6 out of 8 participants. Each row represents a different participant (S1–S8). *Left*: Maps show iFC (Z-scored correlation) maps from seeds (white circles) manually chosen in the lateral temporal cortex, which revealed a correlation pattern that included classic inferior frontal language regions as well as the basal LANG region. Correlations on the lateral surface were thresholded at z(r) > 0.2, with a color range of 0.2–0.6 (Turbo colormap), while for the ITC surface the threshold was lowered to z(r) > 0.15, color range = 0.15–0.45, to account for lower tSNR (see **Supp. Fig. S1**). *Right:* Data-driven clustering confirmed the organization of LANG seen in the seed-based

analyses, including the presence of the basal LANG region. For two participants (S2 and S4), the
clustering solution divided the seed-based candidate LANG network into two clusters, which were merged
(see **Supp. Figs. S10 & S11**).

Viewing images activates parallel streams along the posterior ITC
Given the LANG network's role in transmodal linguistic processes, we asked whether
the basal LANG region only responded to visual stimuli containing language-relevant
information (i.e., writing or 'orthographic forms'). We mapped category-preferring
regions of the ventral visual pathway using our visual category task ('VISCAT') to
identify regions responding preferentially when subjects viewed pseudowords, faces, or
scenes, compared to the other categories (**Fig. 4**).

The image categories activated multiple, interdigitated regions along the ITC. These
regions were broadly arranged as three parallel 'streams' that extended from the
occipital pole towards the temporal pole (**Fig. 4**). The multiple regions in each stream
potentially represent different stages of visual processing within each category
(Felleman & Van Essen, 1991; Van Essen & Maunsell, 1983). Generally, we observed
that the scene-related stream was positioned most ventral, the "orthographic stream"
was most lateral, and the face-related stream was in-between. This organization
replicated prior work demonstrating the presence of category preferring regions in the
ITC (e.g., Kanwisher, 2010; Grill-Spector, 2003; Hasson et al., 2002), and accords with
the suggestion that category-preferring visual regions may be arranged as an
'archipelago' (Kanwisher et al. 2010).

When we compared the two hemispheres, the orthographic stream was strongly left-
lateralized (i.e., appeared larger on the left), while the face and scene streams were
more bilateral (**Supp. Figs. S25 & S26**). This feature matched the left-lateralization of
both the basal LANG region and the broader LANG network on the lateral surface
(**Supp. Fig. 27**), supporting a relationship between lateralization of language and
reading (Van der Haegen et al., 2012; Cai et al., 2008). Qualitatively, face-preferring
regions may also have been larger on the right (Rossion et al., 2012).

The orthographic visual stream converges on the transmodal basal LANG region
We observed that the most anterior region of the orthographic stream overlapped
consistently with the boundaries of the basal LANG region as defined with iFC (black
borders) in each individual (**Fig. 4**). Sometimes, the adjacent face stream region also
overlapped with the LANG borders, though this occurred in fewer participants. This
selective alignment suggested that the anterior-most orthographic stream region might
be embedded in a different network from the more posterior regions, supporting a
differentiation of function along the orthographic stream.
754

Fig. 3: Listening to speech activates the distributed language (LANG) network, including the basal LANG region. *Left:* Heat map indicating Z-normalized beta values for the SPEECHLOC task contrast of listening to speech minus listening to filtered, incomprehensible speech. The iFC-defined LANG network (i.e., clustering map from **Fig. 2**) for each subject (S1–S8) is shown as black borders. *Right*: The task maps were subsequently thresholded and binarized (yellow) to more easily visualize overlap with visual category streams in later figures.

Fig. 4: Activity evoked by viewing images of pseudowords, faces, or scenes (VISCAT task) reveals three parallel streams of category-preferring visual regions along the posterior inferior temporal cortex. *Left three columns:* Heat maps show activity evoked by each image category (pseudowords, faces, scenes) contrasted with activity for the other categories plus an 'objects' category. Color bars display the full range of positive Z-normalized beta values per participant and are shown with thresholds overlaid in **Supp. Fig. S13**. *Right column:* Binarized maps of activity from each of the three contrasts, displayed on the same surface. Although the regions are closely interdigitated, three parallel streams of regions can be observed, with the pseudoword-preferring stream most lateral (teal), followed by the face stream (blue), and scene stream (purple) most ventral. The boundaries of the LANG network defined by iFC (**Fig. 2**) are shown as black lines in each panel, which overlapped most consistently with the orthographic (Ps-words; teal) stream.

Fig. 5: The basal LANG region activates both during listening to speech and viewing orthographic
forms. *Top:* All but one participant demonstrated a mid-ITC region (see white arrows) that was active
both when listening to speech (SPEECHLOC task; **Fig. 3**) and when viewing images of pseudowords
(VISCAT task; Ps-words; **Fig. 4**). This overlap was consistently within the borders of the LANG network
as defined by iFC (see black borders), despite each map being defined using independent data, tasks,
and analysis approaches. Using the same thresholds in each participant, overlap with the transmodal
LANG region was not seen as consistently for the face- (*middle column*) or scene-preferring (*right*
column) streams. *Bottom:* The degree of overlap between speech-listening activity and each visual
stream was quantified using Dice coefficients. Inset shows mask (red) used to isolate the mid-ITC regions
of interest. Pseudowords (teal) demonstrated the greatest overlap with listening to speech, implying that
auditory speech processing and viewing pseudowords converge more than other visual categories in mid-
ITC.
791
Supporting this hypothesis, we observed that in 7 out of 8 participants, the most anterior
region of the orthographic stream was also more active when participants listened to
meaningful vs. distorted speech clips (SPEECHLOC task; see arrows in **Fig. 5**). In
contrast, neither face- nor scene-related streams, immediately adjacent to the
orthographic stream in the left mid-ITC, overlapped consistently across participants with
regions active for listening to speech (see Dice coefficient graphs in **Fig. 5**). The
coactivation of the basal LANG region by meaningless visual pseudowords and by
meaningful auditory words suggests that this region displays a mixture of visual and
transmodal language responses.
801
To further confirm that the anterior-most region of the orthographic stream was
connected with the distributed LANG network, we chose seeds targeting ITC regions
active during both viewing pseudowords and listening to speech. Correlation maps from
these seeds were calculated using independent, held-out REST data (**Supp. Fig. S28**).
The task maps of Participant S8 did not include an ITC region active for both
pseudowords and speech, so a seed was chosen in the anterior-most pseudoword
region, closest to speech activation. The correlation patterns were weak, possibly due to
lower tSNR in the ITC, but were generally within or near the LANG network boundaries.
Subjects S6 and S8 displayed maps that did not recreate the LANG network, in keeping
with their main analyses, which failed to define a basal LANG region using iFC (**Fig. 2**).
812
813 *Replication of basal LANG region and parallel streams in the Natural Scenes Dataset*
814 The above results demonstrated that (i) the ITC includes a basal LANG region in mid-
815 ITC, (ii) category-preferring visual regions are arranged as parallel streams in posterior
816 ITC, and (iii) the orthographic stream specifically overlaps with the basal LANG region.
817 We replicated these findings in participants from the Natural Scenes Dataset (NSD).
818
As with the DBNO participants, a candidate language network was first identified for
each NSD participant using resting-state iFC from seeds at or near lateral temporal
cortex (**Fig. 6**). To build confidence in the estimated LANG network, we reproduced the

network using seeds in multiple cortical zones (**Supp. Figs. S16–S21**). Three NSD
subjects (NSD-S1, NSD-S2 and NSD-S4) demonstrated compelling evidence (i.e.,
correlations of r > ~0.4 from multiple seeds) of basal LANG regions at or near mid-ITC,
replicating our findings from the DBNO dataset. In these subjects, the presence of a
basal LANG region was confirmed using data-driven clustering (black outlines in **Fig. 6**),
which also confirmed this region was left-lateralized (**Supp. Fig. S29**). Two other
subjects (NSD-S3 & NSD-S6) displayed some mid-ITC connectivity to LANG for a
subset of the seeds (**Supp. Figs. S18–S20**). Note that signal dropout was more
pronounced in the single-echo NSD data (see tSNR maps in **Supp. Fig. S15**), which
may have influenced observable iFC and task activity (**Supp. Fig. S30**). Subsequent
analyses therefore focused on the three participants with successful replications of the
basal LANG region based on iFC (NSD-S1, NSD-S2, NSD-S4).
834
We plotted the maps from a visual category localizer task ('fLoc' task; similar to VISCAT),
performed by the NSD participants. In all three NSD subjects, the pseudowords contrast
identified a similar orthographic stream of active ITC regions (**Fig. 6**). This stream again
spanned from the occipital pole to about halfway down the long axis of the ITC, replicating
our findings from the DBNO participants (**Fig. 3**). These maps also indicated the presence
of interdigitated regions broadly arranged into three parallel visual streams (akin to **Fig.**
4), with the scene-related stream being most medial, the orthographic stream most lateral,
and the face-related stream in between. However, the pattern was less clear compared
to the DBNO data (e.g., in NSD-S2 the orthographic stream was both lateral and medial
to the face-related stream). Replicating our main findings, the anterior-most pseudoword-
active region again overlapped with the borders of the basal LANG region in all three
individuals.
847
848

Natural Scenes Dataset (NSD)

Functional Connectivity

849
850
851 **Fig. 6: Replication that the orthographic stream converges on a basal LANG region in the Natural**
852 **Scenes Dataset (NSD).** *Top:* The presence of a basal LANG region was confirmed in 3 participants
853 (*upper panel*) using iFC (remaining subjects shown in **Supp. Fig. S29**). *Bottom:* This basal LANG region
854 overlapped with the anterior-most region of the orthographic stream (*lower panel; white arrows*) revealed
855 by the NSD fLoc task, in which participants viewed pseudowords (teal), faces (blue) and scenes (purple).
856

LANG network connectivity explains differences in visual selectivity along the
orthographic stream
Our findings support that the anterior-most region of the orthographic stream (i.e., the
basal LANG region) displays properties of both transmodal and unimodal visual
systems, in that it responds to both meaningless visual words and when listening to
speech (**Fig. 5**; and see Li et al., 2024). Importantly, this mixture of responses
distinguishes the anterior-most region from the remainder of the orthographic stream,
implying that the network connections to LANG might explain the functional
heterogeneity observed within the stream.
866
Given that listening to speech activated only the anterior-most orthographic stream
region, we asked whether other types of visual stimuli could selectively activate the
posterior regions, in a double dissociation. Previous work had reported that the VWFA
responds more to real words and consonant strings compared to samples of foreign
scripts (e.g. Chinese and Hebrew characters; Baker et al., 2007), suggesting that
familiarity could drive responses within the VWFA. Therefore, we investigated how
different regions of the orthographic stream responded to stimuli that increasingly
diverged from familiar written words.
875
We analyzed the VWFACAT task data, assessing responses as DBNO participants
viewed written real words, pseudowords, consonant strings, and a foreign script (i.e.
modified Japanese characters), compared to a baseline condition of viewing line
drawings (**Supp. Fig. S31**). The maps were thresholded, binarized, and plotted on top
of the orthographic stream as defined using the pseudowords condition of the VISCAT
task (**Figs. 4 & 5**). Viewing pseudowords activated the same set of ITC regions in both
tasks, and the same set of regions also activated during viewing real words and
consonant strings (**Supp. Fig. S32**). However, foreign script stimuli activated only the
posterior regions of the orthographic stream and failed to activate the more anterior
region (**Fig. 7**).
886
Analysis of the NSD participants provided further evidence for this dissociation. In the
NSD dataset, participants viewed pseudowords as well as strings of written numbers.
Viewing number strings activated posterior regions of the orthographic stream but not
the anterior region connected to the LANG network (**Fig. 7** & **Supp. Fig. S33**). Thus, the
most anterior orthographic stream region was distinguished from the posterior
orthographic regions both by its responsiveness to meaningful speech (**Fig. 5**) and by
its lack of response to unfamiliar foreign scripts (**Fig. 7**). This implies that the
connections to the distributed association regions of LANG could support the
recognition of familiar orthographic visual forms in the basal LANG region.
896

As a final confirmation that this anterior-most region was connected to the LANG
network, in the NSD data we targeted seeds to mid-ITC regions that were active during
presentation of written pseudowords but not during presentation of number strings (teal
in **Fig. 7**). We then plotted the iFC maps using held-out NSD data for participants NSD-
S1 and NSD-S3 (who provided sufficient data for replication), as well as the original
data for NSD-S2 (who provided only sufficient data for the discovery dataset; **Supp.**
Fig. S34). The correlation maps robustly replicated the estimates of the LANG network
from lateral seeds (**Supp. Fig. S29**), further supporting that the anterior-most
orthographic stream region is connected to LANG.

Fig. 7: Connections to the LANG network differentiate visual responses along the orthographic
stream. In all panels, the black borders delineate the LANG network (black borders) as defined using
intrinsic functional connectivity (iFC) from resting-state data. In the DBNO and NSD data, viewing
pseudowords activated the full set of orthographic stream regions, including the anterior-most region that
overlapped with the basal LANG region. However, viewing visual stimuli that less closely resembled
writing, including an unfamiliar foreign script (modified Japanese characters; red in left columns) or
number strings (red in right column), only activated the more posterior parts of the orthographic stream
(dark red overlap). These results confirm that the orthographic stream can be double dissociated, with the
anterior-most region showing selective responses when listening to speech, while the posterior regions
show selective responses for less familiar visual stimuli that resemble orthographic forms. Importantly,
this differentiation of function is precisely predicted by the borders of the LANG network. All DBNO
participants are displayed with z > 2.0 for viewing pseudowords (teal), and all but DBNO participant S4 (z
> 0.6) are displayed with z > 1.0 for viewing foreign script (red). All NSD participants are displayed with z
> 3.5 for both conditions.

Discussion

We characterized the neuroanatomy of reading and language comprehension using
precision functional mapping. First, we confirmed the existence of an inferotemporal
("basal") region that was functionally connected to the distributed language network
(LANG; **Fig. 2**). Next, we delineated a stream of visual regions that responded when a
participant viewed orthographic forms, positioned next to parallel visual streams
supporting face and scene processing (**Fig. 4**). Next, we showed that only the anterior-
most of these orthographic stream regions, which was located in mid-ITC, reproducibly
aligned with the basal LANG network region (**Fig. 4 & 4**). This mid-ITC orthographic
stream region demonstrated mixed selectivity: it responded to visual displays of
meaningless character strings (e.g., pseudowords, consonant strings), implying a visual
function, but also to aurally presented intelligible speech, implying a transmodal
language function (**Fig. 5**). In contrast, the posterior orthographic stream regions
activated for other stimuli that shared visual features with written words but were less
familiar, including foreign scripts and number strings (**Fig. 7**). We also reproduced the
results in a separate dataset collected at 7 T (**Figs. 6 & 7**). The results suggest that the
basal LANG region could serve as a nexus for reading, where sensory information from
unimodal visual hierarchies interfaces with processes supported by the transmodal
LANG network.
941
The distributed language (LANG) network includes a basal region in mid-ITC
The classic language network is predominantly considered to include perisylvian
regions in inferior frontal and lateral temporal cortex (e.g., Geschwind, 1970). However,
there is now strong evidence that the network includes regions in frontal, temporal, and
midline association zones (Dronkers et al., 2004; Fedorenko et al., 2010), which mirrors
the organization of other association networks (Braga et al., 2020). Here, the use of
multi-echo data allowed us to reliably define an ITC region of the LANG network using

iFC (**Fig. 2**). This is important because the definition of the network, including its
distributed architecture, was based on intrinsic (i.e., task agnostic) correlations of brain
signal, and was therefore not confounded by the specifics of a given cognitive task. The
presence of this basal LANG region was then confirmed by task activation during
listening to speech (**Fig. 3**) and replicated in an independent dataset (**Fig. 6**). This
finding supports multiple prior reports of a basal ITC region that responds to both
spoken and written language, and that can lead to language-related deficits when
stimulated or lesioned (Lüders et al., 1986; Sharp et al., 2004; Krauss et al., 1996; Ulvin
et al., 2017; Snyder et al., 2023; Nobre et al., 1994; Forseth et al., 2018; Li et al., 2024).
Further, ITC responses have also been reported in tasks including picture naming and
naming based on spoken descriptions (Forseth et al., 2018; Snyder et al., 2023). These
studies together provide robust evidence that LANG supports transmodal aspects of
language and includes a region in mid-ITC, even though this part of the brain is more
commonly associated with visual processing. Speculatively, the presence of these mid-
ITC connections to the distributed LANG network could be a pathway through which
visual input from the visual streams can lead to linguistic processing in the LANG
network during reading.
966
Category-selective visual regions are organized as parallel streams in posterior ITC
Our maps of the ITC also indicate that distinct category-selective regions may follow a
shared organizational motif. We observed three parallel "streams" of regions extending
in an arc from near the occipital pole towards the temporal pole (**Fig. 4**) that were each
preferentially responsive to a particular visual category, i.e. faces, scenes, or
orthographic forms. This description is consistent with previous work demonstrating
multiple category-responsive visual regions in the ITC, organized as category-selective
'archipelagoes' (Kanwisher et al., 2010) rather than a single visual word form area
(White et al., 2019) or fusiform face area (Kanwisher et al., 1997; Grill-Spector, 2003;
Hasson et al., 2002; Levy et al., 2001; Malach et al., 1995; Weiner & Grill-Spector,
2010; Sayres & Grill-Spector, 2008). Different regions within these archipelagoes may
represent different stages of a visual processing hierarchy (Van Essen & Maunsell,
1983; Goodale & Milner, 1992; Vinckier et al., 2007).
980
The orthographic stream converges on the basal LANG region
We saw compelling evidence that the anterior-most region of the orthographic stream
was functionally different from more posterior regions. First, the anterior region
overlapped with the basal LANG region, defined using iFC, while posterior regions did
not (**Figs. 4 & 5**). Second, this overlap with LANG was specific to the orthographic
stream; anterior regions of the face- and scene-related streams did not overlap with
LANG to the same degree (**Fig. 5; lower row**). Third, the anterior orthographic stream
region resembled other LANG regions in its responsiveness during listening to speech

(**Figs. 3 & 5**). Fourth, the full orthographic stream showed activity for real words,
pseudowords, and consonant strings (i.e., images that included characters from a
familiar Roman script), while the anterior region did not activate for foreign scripts and
number strings (**Fig. 7**). This implies that the posterior regions are less selective in their
responses and are likely sensitive to visual stimuli that share similar visual features to
familiar writing, such as written numbers or unfamiliar characters. In contrast, the
anterior region more selectively activates for visual stimuli that more closely resemble
readable words and are deeply familiar through life-long, extensive experience with
reading. Thus, our findings regarding the anterior orthographic region match the
orthographic/speech activations of the VWFA described by Li et al. (2024). An intriguing
hypothesis raised by the present results is that the network connections to the LANG
network, which encompass multiple association areas including in frontal and temporal
lobes, are fundamental to the acquisition of this experience-dependent specialization for
recognizing written word forms.
1003
The basal language region as a nexus between vision and language
Our results suggest that the basal LANG region's functional properties may be
explained by the region's precise positioning at the confluence between the distributed
LANG network and the orthographic stream. It has been proposed that the mid-ITC
acquires a preference for familiar orthographic forms as an individual learns how to read
(Dehaene et al., 2010; Saygin et al., 2016), and that this process requires access to
both the orthographic stream and transmodal language areas. For instance, the dual
mode theory of reading argues that individuals learn to read by associating unfamiliar
written words with familiar phonemes (Coltheart et al., 2001). Consistent with this
theory, our results demonstrate that within the orthographic stream, the basal LANG
region has the greatest selectivity for familiar, readable scripts and is also the only
stream region activated transmodally by listening to speech (**Figs. 4 & 6**). Repeated
engagement of the transmodal LANG network during the visual perception of
orthographic forms could strengthen connections between the mid-ITC and perisylvian
LANG regions involved in phoneme processing and articulation (see Dehaene et al.,
2015). The distributed connections to the LANG network revealed here could support
this widespread dissemination of reading relevant information. Similarly, more local
connections with the visual stream could explain the basal LANG region's
responsiveness to artificial written language samples (e.g. pseudowords). Pseudowords
may be processed similarly to real words, in that common or pronounceable letter
combinations may be recognized and preferentially processed by the basal LANG
region. However, these artificial stimuli lack syntactic or semantic features and thus do
not activate the broader LANG network (**Supp. Fig. S27**).
1027

Interestingly, the presence of the orthographic stream could also determine or relate to
the basal LANG region's development. Some evidence suggests that the mid-ITC may
not respond to language, whether heard or read, in illiterate individuals (Monzalvo &
Dehaene-Lambertz, 2013). Thus, while connectivity with the LANG network offers the
potential for a mid-ITC language region to form, the formation (or expansion) of this
region may follow acquisition of reading. Supporting such a link, we observed that both
systems were lateralized to the same hemisphere, with larger regions on the left than
the right (**Supp. Figs. S23-S25 & S27**). In contrast, face- and scene-processing regions
were more bilaterally or right-hemisphere distributed (**Supp. Fig. S26**). Our results
support that the orthographic stream itself is left lateralized, including more posterior
regions, rather than just the basal LANG region (see also White et al., 2019). The left
lateralization of language may be present in the early years of life (Dehaene-Lambertz
et al., 2002; Dehaene-Lambertz et al., 2010; Holland et al., 2007; Szaflarski et al.,
2006), even in the ITC, prior to the acquisition of reading (Saygin et al., 2016; see also
Arcaro & Livingstone, 2021). If so, lateralization of language could subsequently
influence lateralization of the whole orthographic stream through top-down influences.
1044
Another possible explanation for the observed responses of the basal LANG region is
that this region's responses are shaped by articulation. For most of our participants,
legible orthographic stimuli activated the basal LANG region (**Fig. 5**) but did not robustly
activate the rest of the LANG network (see lateral views in **Supp. Fig. S25**) to the
degree that listening to speech did (**Supp. Fig. S24**). In some subjects (e.g., see S3, S6
& S7 in **Supp. Fig. S25**), pseudowords instead activated lateral regions typically
associated with articulatory processes (e.g., phoneme encoding; de Heer et al., 2018).
These putative articulatory regions were located between the LANG network and the
motor strip in the frontal lobe, and between the LANG network and auditory cortex in the
temporal lobe. We have previously suggested that a similar arrangement of regions can
be separated from the LANG network using iFC (see the intermediate, "INT", network in
the seventh and eighth figures of Braga et al., 2020). A plausible interpretation for these
responses to familiar character strings is that participants are mentally articulating the
characters (e.g., in inner speech) as they read them, including for difficult to pronounce
consonant strings or pseudowords. The activation of the basal LANG region in isolation
to the rest of the LANG network could similarly represent recruitment of articulatory or
phonemic processes during the reading of pseudowords and consonant strings. The
fact that we observed only partial overlap in the ITC between the orthographic stream
and the LANG network in some individuals (e.g., see subjects S5 and S6 in **Fig. 5**),
suggests that there may be further heterogeneity of responses within the basal LANG
region.
1066

The present results contribute to ongoing debate about the nature and specialization of
word processing in the ITC (Vogel et al., 2012b). Although traditionally described as a
single area (the visual word form area, or VWFA; Cohen et al., 2002), word processing
in the ITC may in fact take place over a sequence of closely related stages through the
orthographic stream. The similarity of responses across stages could explain diverging
reports of the VWFA's membership in distinct distributed networks: individualized
studies of functional anatomy link the VWFA with perisylvian language areas (Stevens
et al., 2017; see also Saygin et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020; 2024), while group-average
studies have linked the VWFA to the dorsal attention network (Vogel et al., 2012a; Chen
et al., 2019). This matches a framework which subdivides the VWFA into at least two
regions with different orthographic preferences (e.g., VWFA-1 and VWFA-2; White et
al., 2019; Woolnough et al., 2021). Our results may help reconcile these interpretations
by attributing these different stimulus preferences to different regions along the
orthographic stream that house different network connections (**Fig. 5**). The more
posterior regions of the orthographic stream are likely to show connectivity with the
dorsal attention network, as Vogel et al. (2012a) and Chen et al. (2019) have indicated,
given their position in more posterior ITC. We are investigating this possibility.
Individualized analyses that consider fine-scale functional topography may be
necessary to fully characterize the responses and network connections throughout the
visual processing streams.
1087
Limitations
The observation of parallel streams displaying a preference for the visual stimulus
category (faces, scenes, words) is in slight conflict with previous work that has focused
on a specific, single region of ITC that displays category selectivity, such as the fusiform
face area (FFA), parahippocampal place area (PPA), and VWFA. In the terminology of
Kanwisher (2010), we observed category-preferring 'archipelagoes', rather than single
regions, for each of the different image categories. We further showed that these
archipelagoes formed parallel streams that radiated away from the occipital pole (**Fig.
5**). These streams could be due to the specifics of the stimuli used here. Although each
image was cropped to a specific bounding box, the orthographic stimuli took up the
least of the white space within this box, while the scenes images took up the most
space. These features might affect the degree to which the visual field was engaged by
each image type, which could explain why categories were distinguishable even in
earlier visual areas. Arguing against this, we also observed evidence for more posterior
visual regions being recruited in the NSD data, using a task in which stimuli were
presented against a noise background. However, the posterior portions of the streams,
as well as the parallel organization of different streams, were less clear in NSD
participants compared to in DBNO participants. Similarly, the stimulus conditions could
have elicited reliable differences in saccades, which were not measured here. These

differences are arguably inherent to the processing of different types of visual stimuli, and we did not seek to investigate this specifically. Nonetheless, these factors should frame consideration of the parallel streams.

Conclusions

Our study indicates that visual and language systems involved in reading may interface at an inferotemporal language region that serves as an important nexus capable of integrating features of both unimodal and transmodal processing (**Fig. 1**). The functional connectivity and mixed selectivity of this language region distinguishes it from the two systems it engages with. These findings clarify the circuit properties that underly the neuroanatomy of reading, by highlighting that the convergence of vision and language in reading is anatomically supported by the convergence between visual processing streams and the transmodal language network at the basal language region (**Fig. 1**). We believe our work provides new insights into how brain network architectures may support the translation of sensory information into higher-level associative cognitive processes.

Funding

This work was supported in part by National Institute of Mental Health grant R00 MH117226 (R.M.B.); an Alzheimer's Disease Core Center grant (P30 AG072977) pilot award (R.M.B.) from the National Institute on Aging to Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois; National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke grant T32 NS047987 (to J.J.S., A.M.H.); and the William Orr Dingwall Foundations of Language Fellowship (J.J.S.). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part through the computational resources and staff contributions provided for the Quest high performance computing facility at Northwestern University which is jointly supported by the Office of the Provost, the Office for Research, and Northwestern University Information Technology, and the Center for Translational Imaging at Northwestern University.

Data and Code Availability

All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper. Data files can be provided upon reasonable request.

Author Contributions

J.J.S., M.L., & R.M.B. designed the study; J.J.S., M.L., A.M.H., & R.M.B. collected the data; J.J.S. and M.L analyzed the data with input from Z.M.S., M.M.M., & R.M.B; J.J.S. & R.M.B wrote the original draft; J.J.S., M.L., A.M.H., Z.M.S., M.M.M., & R.M.B edited and wrote the manuscript; R.M.B. secured funding.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Allen, E. J., St-Yves, G., Wu, Y., Breedlove, J. L., Prince, J. S., Dowdle, L. T., ... & Kay, K. (2022). A massive 7T fMRI dataset to bridge cognitive neuroscience and artificial intelligence. *Nature neuroscience, 25*(1), 116-126. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00962-x

Arcaro, M. J., & Livingstone, M. S. (2021). On the relationship between maps and domains in inferotemporal cortex. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 22(9), 573-583. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-021-00490-4

Baker, C. I., Liu, J., Wald, L. L., Kwong, K. K., Benner, T., & Kanwisher, N. (2007). Visual word processing and experiential origins of functional selectivity in human extrastriate cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 104*(21), 9087-9092. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0703300104

Battig, W. F., & Montague, W. E. (1969). Category norms of verbal items in 56 categories A replication and extension of the Connecticut category norms. Journal of experimental Psychology, 80(3p2), 1. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0027577

Braga, R. M., & Buckner, R. L. (2017). Parallel interdigitated distributed networks within the individual estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. *Neuron, 95*(2), 457-471. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.06.038

Braga, R. M., DiNicola, L. M., Becker, H. C., & Buckner, R. L. (2020). Situating the left-lateralized language network in the broader organization of multiple specialized large-scale distributed networks. *Journal of neurophysiology, 124*(5), 1415-1448. http://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00753.2019

Braga, R. M., Van Dijk, K. R., Polimeni, J. R., Eldaief, M. C., & Buckner, R. L. (2019). Parallel distributed networks resolved at high resolution reveal close juxtaposition of distinct regions. Journal of neurophysiology, 121(4), 1513-1534. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00808.2018

Cai, Q., Lavidor, M., Brysbaert, M., Paulignan, Y., & Nazir, T. A. (2008). Cerebral lateralization of frontal lobe language processes and lateralization of the posterior visual word processing system. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 20(4), 672-681. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2008.20043

Chen, L., Wassermann, D., Abrams, D. A., Kochalka, J., Gallardo-Diez, G., & Menon, V. (2019). The visual word form area (VWFA) is part of both language and attention circuitry. *Nature communications*, *10*(1), 5601. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13634-z

1182   Cohen, L., Lehéricy, S., Chochon, F., Lemer, C., Rivaud, S., & Dehaene, S. (2002). Language-specific
1183   tuning of visual cortex? Functional properties of the Visual Word Form Area. *Brain, 125*(5), 1054-
1184   1069. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awf094
1185
1186   Coltheart, M., Rastle, K., Perry, C., Langdon, R., & Ziegler, J. (2001). DRC: a dual route cascaded model
1187   of visual word recognition and reading aloud. *Psychological review*, *108*(1), 204.
1188   https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.108.1.204
1189
1190   Cox, R. W. (1996). AFNI: software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic resonance
1191   neuroimages. *Computers and Biomedical research*, *29*(3), 162-173.
1192   https://doi.org/10.1006/cbmr.1996.0014
1193
1194   Cox, R. W. (2012). AFNI: what a long strange trip it's been. *Neuroimage, 62*(2), 743-747.
1195   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.08.056
1196
1197   Davies, M. (2020) Corpus of Contemporary American English (2020 update). University of North Texas
1198   Libraries, UNT Digital Library, https://digital.library.unt.edu
1199
1200   de Heer, W. A., Huth, A. G., Griffiths, T. L., Gallant, J. L., & Theunissen, F. E. (2017). The hierarchical
1201   cortical organization of human speech processing. Journal of Neuroscience, 37(27), 6539-6557.
1202   https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3267-16.2017
1203
1204   Dehaene, S., Cohen, L., Morais, J., & Kolinsky, R. (2015). Illiterate to literate: behavioural and cerebral
1205   changes induced by reading acquisition. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 16(4), 234-244.
1206   https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3924
1207
1208   Dehaene, S., Cohen, L., Sigman, M., & Vinckier, F. (2005). The neural code for written words: a proposal.
1209   Trends in cognitive sciences, 9(7), 335-341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.05.004
1210
1211   Dehaene, S., Pegado, F., Braga, L. W., Ventura, P., Filho, G. N., Jobert, A., ... & Cohen, L. (2010). How
1212   learning to read changes the cortical networks for vision and language. *Science*, *330*(6009), 1359-
1213   1364. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1194140
1214
1215   Dehaene-Lambertz, G., Dehaene, S., & Hertz-Pannier, L. (2002). Functional neuroimaging of speech
1216   perception in infants. Science, 298(5600), 2013-2015. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1077066
1217
1218   Dehaene-Lambertz, G., Montavont, A., Jobert, A., Allirol, L., Dubois, J., Hertz-Pannier, L., & Dehaene, S.
1219   (2010). Language or music, mother or Mozart? Structural and environmental influences on infants'
1220   language networks. Brain and language, 114(2), 53-65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2009.09.003
1221
1222   Dejerine, J. (1892). Contribution to the anatomopathological and clinical study of the different varieties of
1223   verbal blindness. *Memoirs of the Biological Society, 4*, 61-90
1224
1225   Desimone, R., Schein, S. J., Moran, J., & Ungerleider, L. G. (1985). Contour, color and shape analysis
1226   beyond the striate cortex. Vision research, 25(3), 441-452. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-
1227   6989(85)90069-0
1228

1229 Dronkers, N. F., Wilkins, D. P., Van Valin Jr, R. D., Redfern, B. B., & Jaeger, J. J. (2004). Lesion analysis
1230   of the brain areas involved in language comprehension. Cognition, 92(1-2), 145-177.
1231   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2003.11.002
1232
1233 Edmonds, D., Salvo, J. J., Anderson, N., Lakshman, M., Yang, Q., Kay, K., … & Braga, R. M. (2024). The
1234   human social cognitive network contains multiple regions within the amygdala. Science Advances,
1235   10(47), eadp0453. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adp0453
1236
1237 Embleton, K. V., Haroon, H. A., Morris, D. M., Ralph, M. A. L., & Parker, G. J. (2010). Distortion correction
1238   for diffusion-weighted MRI tractography and fMRI in the temporal lobes. Human brain mapping,
1239   31(10), 1570-1587. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20959
1240
1241 Fedorenko, E., Hsieh, P. J., Nieto-Castañón, A., Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., & Kanwisher, N. (2010). New
1242   method for fMRI investigations of language: defining ROIs functionally in individual subjects. *Journal*
1243   *of neurophysiology, 104*(2), 1177-1194. http://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00032.2010.
1244
1245 Fedorenko, E., Ivanova, A. A., & Regev, T. I. (2024). The language network as a natural kind within the
1246   broader landscape of the human brain*. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 1*-24.
1247   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-024-00802-4
1248
1249 Felleman, D. J., & Van Essen, D. C. (1991). Distributed hierarchical processing in the primate cerebral
1250   cortex. Cerebral cortex (New York, NY: 1991), 1(1), 1-47. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/1.1.1-a
1251
1252 Fischl, B., Sereno, M. I., & Dale, A. M. (1999). Cortical surface-based analysis: II: inflation, flattening, and
1253   a surface-based coordinate system. Neuroimage, 9(2), 195-207.
1254   https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.1998.0396
1255
1256 Forseth, K. J., Kadipasaoglu, C. M., Conner, C. R., Hickok, G., Knight, R. T., & Tandon, N. (2018). A
1257   lexical semantic hub for heteromodal naming in middle fusiform gyrus. Brain, 141(7), 2112-2126.
1258   https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy120
1259
1260 Geschwind, N. (1970). The Organization of Language and the Brain: Language disorders after brain
1261   damage help in elucidating the neural basis of verbal behavior. Science, 170(3961), 940-944.
1262   https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-2093-0_21
1263
1264 Glasser, M. F., Coalson, T. S., Robinson, E. C., Hacker, C. D., Harwell, J., Yacoub, E., ... & Van Essen,
1265   D. C. (2016). A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. Nature, 536(7615), 171-178.
1266   https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18933
1267
1268 Goldman-Rakic, P. S. (1988). Topography of cognition: parallel distributed networks in primate
1269   association cortex. Annual review of neuroscience.
1270   https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.11.030188.001033
1271
1272 Goodale, M. A., & Milner, A. D. (1992). Separate visual pathways for perception and action. Trends in
1273   neurosciences, 15(1), 20-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(92)90344-8
1274
1275 Grill-Spector, K. (2003). The neural basis of object perception. *Current opinion in neurobiology*, *13*(2),
1276   159-166. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(03)00040-0
1277

1278   Grill-Spector, K., & Malach, R. (2004). The human visual cortex. Annu. Rev. Neurosci., 27(1), 649-677.
1279   https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144220
1280

1281   Hagler Jr, D. J., Hatton, S., Cornejo, M. D., Makowski, C., Fair, D. A., Dick, A. S., ... & Dale, A. M. (2019).
1282   Image processing and analysis methods for the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study.
1283   Neuroimage, 202, 116091. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116091
1284

1285   Hasson, U., Levy, I., Behrmann, M., Hendler, T., & Malach, R. (2002). Eccentricity bias as an organizing
1286   principle for human high-order object areas. Neuron, 34(3), 479-490. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-
1287   6273(02)00662-1
1288

1289   Hegdé, J., & Van Essen, D. C. (2000). Selectivity for complex shapes in primate visual area V2. The
1290   Journal of Neuroscience, 20(5), RC61. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.20-05-j0001.2000
1291

1292   Heunis, S., Breeuwer, M., Caballero-Gaudes, C., Hellrung, L., Huijbers, W., Jansen, J. F., ... &
1293   Aldenkamp, A. P. (2021). The effects of multi-echo fMRI combination and rapid T2*-mapping on
1294   offline and real-time BOLD sensitivity. NeuroImage, 238, 118244.
1295   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118244
1296

1297   Holland, S. K., Vannest, J., Mecoli, M., Jacola, L. M., Tillema, J. M., Karunanayaka, P. R., ... & Byars, A.
1298   W. (2007). Functional MRI of language lateralization during development in children. International
1299   journal of audiology, 46(9), 533-551. https://doi.org/10.1080/14992020701448994
1300

1301   Jenkinson, M., Bannister, P., Brady, M., & Smith, S. (2002). Improved optimization for the robust and
1302   accurate linear registration and motion correction of brain images. Neuroimage, 17(2), 825-841.
1303   https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2002.1132
1304

1305   Kanwisher, N. (2010). Functional specificity in the human brain: a window into the functional architecture
1306   of the mind. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences, 107(25), 11163-11170.
1307   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005062107
1308

1309   Kanwisher, N., McDermott, J., & Chun, M. M. (1997). The fusiform face area: a module in human
1310   extrastriate cortex specialized for face perception. Journal of neuroscience, 17(11), 4302-4311.
1311   https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.17-11-04302.1997
1312

1313   Kong, R., Li, J., Orban, C., Sabuncu, M. R., Liu, H., Schaefer, A., ... & Yeo, B. T. (2019). Spatial
1314   topography of individual-specific cortical networks predicts human cognition, personality, and
1315   emotion. Cerebral Cortex, 29(6), 2533-2551. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhy123
1316

1317   Konkle, T., & Oliva, A. (2011). Canonical visual size for real-world objects. Journal of Experimental
1318   Psychology: human perception and performance, 37(1), 23. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020413
1319

1320   Krauss, G. L., Fisher, R., Plate, C., Hart, J., Uematsu, S., Gordon, B., & Lesser, R. P. (1996). Cognitive
1321   effects of resecting basal temporal language areas. *Epilepsia*, *37*(5), 476-483.
1322   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1157.1996.tb00594.x
1323

1324   Kwon, Y. H., Salvo, J. J., Anderson, N. L., Edmonds, D., Holubecki, A. M., Lakshman, M., … & Braga, R.
1325   M. (2025). Situating the salience and parietal memory networks in the context of multiple parallel

1326   distributed networks using precision functional mapping. Cell Reports, 44(1).
1327   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2024.115207
1328
1329   Levy, I., Hasson, U., Avidan, G., Hendler, T., & Malach, R. (2001). Center–periphery organization of
1330   human object areas. Nature neuroscience, 4(5), 533-539. https://doi.org/10.1038/87490
1331
1332   Li, J., Hiersche, K. J., & Saygin, Z. M. (2024). Demystifying Visual Word Form Area Visual and Nonvisual
1333   Response Properties with Precision fMRI. iScience. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.111481
1334
1335   Li, J., Osher, D. E., Hansen, H. A., & Saygin, Z. M. (2020). Innate connectivity patterns drive the
1336   development of the visual word form area. *Scientific reports*, *10*(1), 18039.
1337   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75015-7
1338
1339   Lipkin, B., Tuckute, G., Affourtit, J., Small, H., Mineroff, Z., Kean, H., ... & Fedorenko, E. (2022).
1340   Probabilistic atlas for the language network based on precision fMRI data from> 800
1341   individuals. *Scientific Data*, *9*(1), 529. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01645-3
1342
1343   Lüders, H., Lesser, R. P., Hahn, J., Dinner, D. S., Morris, H., Resor, S., & Harrison, M. (1986). Basal
1344   temporal language area demonstrated by electrical stimulation. *Neurology, 36*(4), 505-510.
1345   https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.36.4.505
1346
1347   Ludersdorfer, P., Schurz, M., Richlan, F., Kronbichler, M., & Wimmer, H. (2013). Opposite effects of visual
1348   and auditory word-likeness on activity in the visual word form area. Frontiers in Human
1349   Neuroscience, 7, 491. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00491
1350
1351   Lynch, C. J., Power, J. D., Scult, M. A., Dubin, M., Gunning, F. M., & Liston, C. (2020). Rapid precision
1352   functional mapping of individuals using multi-echo fMRI. *Cell reports, 33*(12).
1353   http://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108540
1354
1355   Malach, R., Reppas, J. B., Benson, R. R., Kwong, K. K., Jiang, H., Kennedy, W. A., ... & Tootell, R. B.
1356   (1995). Object-related activity revealed by functional magnetic resonance imaging in human occipital
1357   cortex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 92(18), 8135-8139.
1358   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.18.8135
1359
1360   Marcus, D. S., Harwell, J., Olsen, T., Hodge, M., Glasser, M. F., Prior, F., ... & Van Essen, D. C. (2011).
1361   Informatics and data mining tools and strategies for the human connectome project. Frontiers in
1362   neuroinformatics, 5, 4. https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2011.00004
1363
1364   Mazziotta, J. C., Toga, A. W., Evans, A., Fox, P., & Lancaster, J. (1995). A probabilistic atlas of the
1365   human brain: theory and rationale for its development. Neuroimage, 2(2), 89-101.
1366
1367   Mesulam, M. M. (1990). Large-scale neurocognitive networks and distributed processing for attention,
1368   language, and memory. Annals of Neurology, 28(5), 597-613.
1369   https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.410280502
1370
1371   Mesulam, M. M. (1998). From sensation to cognition. *Brain: a journal of neurology*, *121*(6), 1013-1052.
1372   https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/121.6.1013
1373

1374 Monti, M. M., Parsons, L. M., & Osherson, D. N. (2009). The boundaries of language and thought in
1375   deductive inference. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *106*(30), 12554-12559.
1376   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0902422106
1377
1378 Monzalvo, K., & Dehaene-Lambertz, G. (2013). How reading acquisition changes children's spoken
1379   language network. Brain and language, 127(3), 356-365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2013.10.009
1380
1381 Nishimoto, T., Ueda, T., Miyawaki, K., Une, Y., & Takahashi, M. (2012). The role of imagery-related
1382   properties in picture naming: A newly standardized set of 360 pictures for Japanese. *Behavior
1383   research methods, 44*, 934-945. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-011-0176-7
1384
1385 Nobre, A. C., Allison, T., & McCarthy, G. (1994). Word recognition in the human inferior temporal
1386   lobe. *Nature*, *372*(6503), 260-263. https://doi.org/10.1038/372260a0
1387
1388 Olman, C. A., Davachi, L., & Inati, S. (2009). Distortion and signal loss in medial temporal lobe. *PloS one,
1389   4*(12), e8160, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008160
1390
1391 Pallier, C., Devauchelle, A. D., & Dehaene, S. (2011). Cortical representation of the constituent structure
1392   of sentences. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *108*(6), 2522-2527.
1393   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1018711108
1394
1395 Park, S., Konkle, T., & Oliva, A. (2015). Parametric coding of the size and clutter of natural scenes in the
1396   human brain. *Cerebral cortex, 25*(7), 1792-1805. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bht418
1397
1398 Poser, B. A., Versluis, M. J., Hoogduin, J. M., & Norris, D. G. (2006). BOLD contrast sensitivity
1399   enhancement and artifact reduction with multiecho EPI: parallel-acquired inhomogeneity-
1400   desensitized fMRI. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 55(6), 1227-1235.
1401   https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.20900
1402
1403 Price, C. J. (2010). The anatomy of language: a review of 100 fMRI studies published in 2009. *Annals of
1404   the new York Academy of Sciences*, *1191*(1), 62-88. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-
1405   6632.2010.05444.x
1406
1407 Rastle, K., Harrington, J., & Coltheart, M. (2002). 358,534 nonwords: The ARC nonword database. *The
1408   Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology: Section A, 55*(4), 1339-1362.
1409   https://doi.org/10.1080/02724980244000099
1410
1411 Rossion, B., Hanseeuw, B., & Dricot, L. (2012). Defining face perception areas in the human brain: a
1412   large-scale factorial fMRI face localizer analysis. Brain and cognition, 79(2), 138-157.
1413   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2012.01.001
1414
1415 Salvo, J. J., Anderson, N. L., & Braga, R. M. (2025). Intrinsic functional connectivity delineates transmodal
1416   language functions. *Imaging Neuroscience*, 3: IMAG.a.25. https://doi.org/10.1162/IMAG.a.25
1417
1418 Saygin, Z. M., Osher, D. E., Norton, E. S., Youssoufian, D. A., Beach, S. D., Feather, J., ... & Kanwisher,
1419   N. (2016). Connectivity precedes function in the development of the visual word form area. *Nature
1420   neuroscience, 19*(9), 1250-1255. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4354
1421

Sayres, R., & Grill-Spector, K. (2008). Relating retinotopic and object-selective responses in human
lateral occipital cortex. Journal of neurophysiology, 100(1), 249-267.
https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.01383.2007

Schmolesky, M. T., Wang, Y., Hanes, D. P., Thompson, K. G., Leutgeb, S., Schall, J. D., & Leventhal, A.
G. (1998). Signal timing across the macaque visual system. *Journal of neurophysiology*, *79*(6),
3272-3278. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1998.79.6.3272

Scott, T. L., Gallée, J., & Fedorenko, E. (2017). A new fun and robust version of an fMRI localizer for the
frontotemporal language system. *Cognitive neuroscience, 8*(3), 167-176.
https://doi.org/10.1080/17588928.2016.1201466

Sharp, D. J., Scott, S. K., & Wise, R. J. (2004). Retrieving meaning after temporal lobe infarction: the role
of the basal language area. Annals of Neurology: Official Journal of the American Neurological
Association and the Child Neurology Society, 56(6), 836-846. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.20294

Smith, S. M., Jenkinson, M., Woolrich, M. W., Beckmann, C. F., Behrens, T. E., Johansen-Berg, H., ... &
Matthews, P. M. (2004). Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and
implementation as FSL. *Neuroimage, 23*, S208-S219.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.051

Snodgrass, J. G., & Vanderwart, M. (1980). A standardized set of 260 pictures: norms for name
agreement, image agreement, familiarity, and visual complexity. Journal of experimental psychology:
Human learning and memory, 6(2), 174. https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-7393.6.2.174

Snyder, K. M., Forseth, K. J., Donos, C., Rollo, P. S., Fischer-Baum, S., Breier, J., & Tandon, N. (2023).
Critical role of the ventral temporal lobe in naming. Epilepsia, 64(5), 1200-1213.
https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.17555

Stevens, W. D., Kravitz, D. J., Peng, C. S., Tessler, M. H., & Martin, A. (2017). Privileged functional
connectivity between the visual word form area and the language system. Journal of Neuroscience,
37(21), 5288-5297. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0138-17.2017

Szaflarski, J. P., Holland, S. K., Schmithorst, V. J., & Byars, A. W. (2006). fMRI study of language
lateralization in children and adults. Human brain mapping, 27(3), 202-
212.https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20177

Szwed, M., Dehaene, S., Kleinschmidt, A., Eger, E., Valabrègue, R., Amadon, A., & Cohen, L. (2011).
Specialization for written words over objects in the visual cortex. *Neuroimage, 56*(1), 330-344.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.01.073

Tisdall, M. D., Hess, A. T., Reuter, M., Meintjes, E. M., Fischl, B., & van der Kouwe, A. J. (2012).
Volumetric navigators for prospective motion correction and selective reacquisition in
neuroanatomical MRI. *Magnetic resonance in medicine, 68*(2), 389-399.
https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.23228

Tremblay, P., & Dick, A. S. (2016). Broca and Wernicke are dead, or moving past the classic model of
language neurobiology. Brain and language, 162, 60-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2016.08.004
1470

1471 Ulvin, L. B., Jonas, J., Brissart, H., Colnat-Coulbois, S., Thiriaux, A., Vignal, J. P., & Maillard, L. (2017).
1472   Intracerebral stimulation of left and right ventral temporal cortex during object naming. *Brain and*
1473   *language*, *175*, 71-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2017.09.003
1474
1475 Van Essen, D. C., & Maunsell, J. H. (1983). Hierarchical organization and functional streams in the visual
1476   cortex. Trends in neurosciences, 6, 370-375. https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(83)90167-4
1477
1478 Van der Haegen, L., Cai, Q., & Brysbaert, M. (2012). Colateralization of Broca's area and the visual word
1479   form area in left-handers: fMRI evidence. *Brain and language, 122*(3), 171-178.
1480   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2011.11.004
1481
1482 Vinckier, F., Dehaene, S., Jobert, A., Dubus, J. P., Sigman, M., & Cohen, L. (2007). Hierarchical coding of
1483   letter strings in the ventral stream: dissecting the inner organization of the visual word-form system.
1484   Neuron, 55(1), 143-156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.05.031
1485
1486 Vogel, A. C., Miezin, F. M., Petersen, S. E., & Schlaggar, B. L. (2012). The putative visual word form area
1487   is functionally connected to the dorsal attention network. Cerebral cortex, 22(3), 537-549.
1488   https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr100
1489
1490 Vogel, A. C., Petersen, S. E., & Schlaggar, B. L. (2012). The left occipitotemporal cortex does not show
1491   preferential activity for words. Cerebral Cortex, 22(12), 2715-2732.
1492   https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr295
1493
1494 Vogel, A. C., Petersen, S. E., & Schlaggar, B. L. (2014). The VWFA: it's not just for words
1495   anymore. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, *8*, 88. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00088
1496
1497 Weiner, K. S., & Grill-Spector, K. (2010). Sparsely-distributed organization of face and limb activations in
1498   human ventral temporal cortex. Neuroimage, 52(4), 1559-1573.
1499   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.04.262
1500
1501 White, A. L., Palmer, J., Boynton, G. M., & Yeatman, J. D. (2019). Parallel spatial channels converge at a
1502   bottleneck in anterior word-selective cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,*
1503   *116*(20), 10087-10096. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1822137116
1504
1505 Woolnough, O., Donos, C., Rollo, P. S., Forseth, K. J., Lakretz, Y., Crone, N. E., ... & Tandon, N. (2021).
1506   Spatiotemporal dynamics of orthographic and lexical processing in the ventral visual pathway.
1507   *Nature Human Behaviour, 5*(3), 389-398. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-00982-w
1508
1509 Woolrich, M. W., Ripley, B. D., Brady, M., & Smith, S. M. (2001). Temporal autocorrelation in univariate
1510   linear modeling of FMRI data. *Neuroimage, 14*(6), 1370-1386.
1511   https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2001.0931
1512
1513 Yeo, B. T., Krienen, F. M., Sepulcre, J., Sabuncu, M. R., Lashkari, D., Hollinshead, M., ... & Buckner, R.
1514   L. (2011). The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional
1515   connectivity. Journal of neurophysiology, 106(3), 1125-1165. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00338.2011
1516
1517 Yoncheva, Y. N., Zevin, J. D., Maurer, U., & McCandliss, B. D. (2010). Auditory selective attention to
1518   speech modulates activity in the visual word form area. Cerebral Cortex, 20(3), 622-632.
1519   https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhp129