Ovarian development is driven by early spatiotemporal priming of the coelomic epithelium

Authors: Cyril Djari[1,2,†], Chloé Mayère[1,2,†], Maëva Guy[1,2], Aitana Perea-Gomez[3], Paul Barreau[1,2], Agathe Rozier[3], Anthony S. Martinez[4], Tyler J. Gibson[4], Cassandre Guérin[3], Herta Ademi[1,2], Dagmar Wilhelm[5], Jennifer McKey[4], Marie-Christine Chaboissier[3], Serge Nef[1,2,*].

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Genetic Medicine and Development, University of Geneva, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland.

[2]iGE3, Institute of Genetics and Genomics of Geneva, University of Geneva, Switzerland.

[3]Université Côte d'Azur, Inserm, CNRS, Institut de Biologie Valrose (iBV), 06108 Nice, France.

[4]Section of Developmental Biology, Department of Pediatrics, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, 80045, USA.

[5]Department of Anatomy & Physiology, University of Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia.

[†]These authors share first authorship

[*]Corresponding Author: Email: Serge.Nef@unige.ch

Abstract

Ovarian organogenesis requires the coordinated specification of supporting and steroidogenic cell lineages from multipotent coelomic epithelium (CE) progenitors. A longstanding question is whether the CE contains transcriptionally distinct, spatially organized progenitor subpopulations with predetermined lineage biases, or whether specification into supporting and steroidogenic lineages occurs only after delamination and integration into the bipotential gonad. The developmental origins of granulosa cells and the emergence of ovarian steroidogenic/stromal progenitors (SPs) also remain poorly defined. Here, we show that CE cells covering the fetal mouse ovary are transcriptionally heterogeneous and spatially organized into subdomains already primed toward supporting or steroidogenic fates. CE priming is dynamic, with transient coexistence of supporting- and steroidogenic-biased CE progenitors before resolving into a predominantly supporting-biased CE. Local delamination of these primed cells seeds intragonadal niches where pre-granulosa cells and SPs mirror the spatio-temporal arrangements of CE-primed progenitors. We further demonstrate a dual origin for the supporting lineage, with granulosa cells deriving from both the CE and supporting-like cells (SLCs). In parallel, we show that SPs arise from steroidogenic-primed CE cells, expand to represent 52% of ovarian somatic cells at birth, persist into adulthood and contribute to both theca and steroidogenic stromal cells. Together, these findings reveal transcriptionally and spatially distinct CE subpopulations that shape somatic lineage emergence with important implications for ovarian pathophysiology.

Keywords: Ovarian development; coelomic epithelium; ovarian surface epithelium; granulosa cells; steroidogenic/stromal progenitors; theca cells; single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq); spatial transcriptomics; lineage tracing; fate restriction.

Introduction

In mice, the gonadal primordium arises from the lateral plate mesoderm (Neirijnck et al., 2023; Qiu et al., 2024). By embryonic day (E) 9.5, proliferating CE progenitors overlying the mesonephros expand rapidly, contributing to gonad formation in both XX and XY embryos. This expansion is driven by asymmetric divisions, whereby some daughter cells remain in the CE, while others undergo epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and ingress into the gonad (Karl and Capel, 1998; Kusaka et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2017; Schmahl et al., 2000). NUMB, a NOTCH antagonist, is asymmetrically localized in CE cells and is required for polarity and asymmetric divisions that direct CE progenitors toward gonadal somatic fates (Lin et al., 2017). CE-derived cells give rise to the three major gonadal somatic cell lineages: supporting, steroidogenic/stromal, and supporting-like cells (SLCs) (Ademi et al., 2022; Capel, 2000; DeFalco et al., 2011; Garcia-Alonso et al., 2022; Karl and Capel, 1998; Liu et al., 2016; Mayere et al., 2022; Mork et al., 2012; Schmahl and Capel, 2003; Stevant et al., 2019; Stevant and Nef, 2018). The supporting lineage gives rise to pre-granulosa cells in XX gonads and Sertoli cells in XY gonads, while the steroidogenic lineage forms theca/stromal steroidogenic and Leydig cells, respectively. SLCs are specified as early as E10.5, before gonadal sex determination, and contribute to the rete ovarii and rete testis, and a subset of supporting cells (Mayere *et al.*, 2022; McKey et al., 2022). A central unresolved question is whether the CE contains transcriptionally distinct and spatially organized progenitor subpopulations that are already biased toward supporting or steroidogenic fates, or whether lineage specification occurs only after progenitor ingression into the bipotential gonad.

Other fundamental unresolved questions in ovarian development concern the potential dual origins of pre-granulosa cells and the specification of SPs. Pre-granulosa cells arise in two successive waves: a first wave of intragonadal FOXL2$^+$ supporting cells around the time of gonadal sex determination that gives rise to granulosa cells of medullary follicles (Mork *et al.*, 2012; Niu and Spradling, 2020; Zheng et al., 2014), and a second wave derived from *Lgr5*$^+$ progenitors in the surface epithelium from E14.5 onward that contributes to cortical follicles (Cai et al., 2020; Feng et al., 2016; Niu and Spradling, 2020; Rastetter et al., 2014; Stevant *et al.*, 2019). Despite these insights, the dynamics of these successive waves of pre-granulosa cell differentiation in the developing ovary remain poorly understood, as does the contribution of other cell types. In particular, XX SLCs were shown to give rise to both the rete ovarii and a subset of pre-granulosa cells (Mayere *et al.*, 2022; McKey *et al.*, 2022), but the magnitude, regional distribution between medulla and cortical compartments, and persistence of this contribution remain unresolved. For the steroidogenic lineage, theca cells first appear around one week after birth in secondary follicles, coinciding with LH responsiveness and activation of steroidogenic enzymes expression (Magoffin

and Weitsman, 1994). Lineage tracing studies identified two sources of SPs: migratory mesonephric cells and WT1^{+} intraovarian stromal cells (Liu et al., 2015), which remain undifferentiated during fetal life and differentiate as theca cells postnatally in response to granulosa-derived DHH/IHH signals (Cowan and Quirk, 2021; Liu *et al.*, 2015; Richards et al., 2017). Although ovarian steroidogenic cells likely share common progenitor features with their testicular counterparts, how ovarian SPs are specified, maintained until perinatal follicle activation, and subsequently differentiate into theca cells remain poorly understood.

To address these fundamental questions, we employed single-cell RNA sequencing, spatial transcriptomics, immunofluorescence, and inducible lineage tracing to investigate early specification of CE progenitors, trace the origins of pre-granulosa cells, and characterize the emergence and fate of SPs in the developing ovary. We show that CE progenitors are transcriptionally heterogeneous as early as E11.5, with subpopulation already biased toward supporting or steroidogenic/stromal fates. These primed populations are spatially organized along the ovarian surface and mirror the intragonadal distribution of their corresponding lineages. We further demonstrate that fetal intraovarian SPs give rise to adult steroidogenic theca and stromal cells, and that granulosa cells originate from both CE and SLCs with region-specific contribution. Together, these findings reveal that spatially organized CE subpopulations directly seed ovarian lineages through local delamination, establishing distinct intragonadal niches with important implications for ovarian pathophysiology.

Results

A comprehensive single-cell transcriptomic atlas of mouse ovarian development

We combined available datasets to generate a comprehensive single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) atlas spanning mouse ovarian development from embryonic day (E)10.5 to adulthood (P56) (**Fig. 1A**). This atlas covers ten developmental stages (E10.5, E11.5, E12.5, E13.5, E14.5, E16.5, E18.5, P1, P5, and P56), thereby capturing the major transitions underlying gonadal sex determination and early ovarian development. The atlas integrates data from three published studies, including two generated in our laboratory (Mayere et al., 2022; Niu and Spradling, 2020; Rossitto et al., 2022). After applying uniform quality control procedures across all datasets (see Materials and Methods), we retained 68,366 high-quality cells for downstream analyses (**Fig. 1B**). To resolve cellular identities with high accuracy, we first analyzed each developmental stage independently. UMAP embedding and Leiden clustering revealed consistent and reproducible structure across biological replicates (**Fig. S1A–B**). Marker gene expression enabled the identification of all major gonadal and mesonephric cell types, including coelomic/surface

epithelium (abbreviated CE/SE; $Gata4^+/Upk3b^+$; CE up to E16.5, SE after E16.5), supporting-like cells (SLCs; $Pax2^-/Pax8^+$), pre-supporting cells (pre-Sup; E11.5; $Wnt6^+$), pre-granulosa cells (pre-Gran; E12.5-P1; $Foxl2^+$), granulosa cells (Gran; P5-P56; $Foxl2^+$), gonadal mesenchyme (GM; $Gata4^+/Tcf21^+/Wnt6^-$), stromal progenitors (SPs; $Gata4^+/Tcf21^+$), steroidogenic cells (Stero; P5-P56; $Cyp11a1^+$), smooth muscle cells (SM; $Myh11^+$), luteal cells (Luteal; $Mrap^+$), perivascular cells (PV; $Rgs5^+$), germ cells (Germ; $Dazl^+$), mesonephric mesenchyme (MM; $Gata4^-/Gata2^+$), mesonephric tubules (MT; $Pax2^+/Pax8^+$), adrenosympathetic cells (AS; $Phox2b^+$), endothelial cells (End; $Cdh5^+$), erythrocytes (Eryt; Rhd^+), and immune cells (Immune; $Cd52^+$) (**Fig. S1C and S1D**). The stage-specific datasets/annotations were then integrated into a unified atlas capturing the dynamic transcriptional landscape of ovarian development (**Fig. 1C-F**). This atlas provides a comprehensive framework for reconstructing ovarian somatic lineage trajectories across embryonic and postnatal stages and for exploring the mechanisms that govern ovarian cell fate decisions. An interactive viewer is available for further exploration [https://www.unige.ch/medecine/nef/datasets].

Dual origins of pre-granulosa cells revealed by somatic lineage trajectories

To investigate the specification and differentiation dynamics of the two major somatic lineages of the ovary, the supporting and steroidogenic lineages, we generated a sub-atlas restricted to relevant ovarian somatic cell populations. This included early gonadal progenitors (CE and GM), supporting lineages cells (pre-Sup, pre-Gran, and Gran), supporting-like cells (SLCs) and steroidogenic lineage cells (SP, Stero, SM, and PV). To reduce cellular complexity and improve interpretability, we excluded systemic cell types (immune, erythrocytes, endothelial), luteal cells, germ cells, and early mesonephric populations. Partition-based Graph Abstraction with conductance scoring (cPAGA) confirmed that CE/SE progenitors constitute a central developmental node, strongly connected to both granulosa and steroidogenic lineages, while also highlighting a robust transcriptional link between SLCs and pre-granulosa cells (**Fig. 1G**). Stage-specific analyses from E11.5 to P5 confirmed that pre-granulosa cells maintained strong connections with CE/SE and SLCs throughout development, whereas stromal progenitors disconnected from CE at E16.5 (**Fig. 1H**). UMAP embedding of the somatic sub-atlas confirmed these links between cell populations, with temporally ordered, continuous trajectories consistent with lineage progression (**Fig. 1I** and **J**). Thus, CE/SE progenitor cells followed two principal developmental trajectories: one toward the supporting lineage and another toward the steroidogenic lineage. In addition, a distinct trajectory originating from SLCs also contributed to the supporting lineage. As expected, cell type proportions shifted across development: CE/SE and SLC cells made up a high proportion of cells at E11.5 but this

percentage declined thereafter (42.4% and 8.8% at E11.5 vs 4.4% and 0.5% at P5), while the

proportion of supporting and SP cells progressively expanded (35.7% and 13.1% at E11.5 vs 42.5%

and 45.9% at P5) (**Fig. 1K**). Cell cycle analysis revealed that CE/SE cells were highly proliferative

during early stages, with proliferation declining over time (**Fig. 1L**). By contrast SPs were highly

proliferative at early stages, aligning with their expansion in the gonad, whereas pre-granulosa cells

remained largely quiescent throughout fetal development as described before (Gustin et al., 2016;

Mork *et al.*, 2012). Together, these findings indicate that pre-granulosa cells arise from two

transcriptionally distinct progenitor sources, CE and SLCs. This dual origin challenges the classical

model of an exclusively CE-derived lineage and introduces the concept of convergent granulosa

cell differentiation.

Coelomic epithelial cells and supporting-like cells give rise to pre-granulosa cells

To confirm the dual origin of pre-granulosa cells, we performed *in vivo* lineage tracing using

complementary strategies targeting either CE or SLCs and tracked their contribution to the FOXL2$^+$

granulosa cell population from fetal (E12.5) to adult stages (8 weeks).

We first identified *Wnt5a* as a CE marker between E11.5 and E12.5 (**Fig. 2A-D**). Although *Wnt5a*

is expressed in SPs, during this developmental window its expression within the supporting lineage

was confined to CE and absent from pre-granulosa cells. To trace CE-derived cells, we used *Wnt5a-*

rtTA;TetO-Cre;Rosa-tdTomato mice (hereafter *Wnt5a^{lin}*) (Ademi *et al.*, 2022), and induced Cre

expression with doxycycline between E11.5-E12.5 (**Fig. 2E** and **F**). At E12.5, tdTomato labelled

efficiently most of the CE cells (**Fig. 2G**). At this stage, 25.3% of FOXL2$^+$ cells co-expressed

tdTomato (**Fig. 2H**). This proportion increased substantially by E16.5, comprising 52.6% of the

pre-granulosa cells, and further expanded to 65.7% by adulthood (**Fig. 2I** and **J**).

tdTomato$^+$/FOXL2$^+$ granulosa cells were primarily localized in the cortical region at both E16.5

and P5, consistent with a CE-derived origin of cortical granulosa cells.

To trace the SLC lineage, we used the *Pax8-Cre;Rosa-tdTomato* mice (hereafter *Pax8^{lin}*) (Mayere

et al., 2022), as *Pax8* is a specific marker of SLCs and rete ovarii (**Fig. 2K-N**). The *Pax8^{lin}* system

is constitutive, therefore, we first confirmed that *Pax8* expression remains restricted to the SLC/rete

ovarii throughout fetal and postnatal development, validating lineage specificity (**Fig. 2O-P, Fig.**

S2A and S2B). We previously showed that SLC give rise to a small proportion of supporting cells

in newborn mice (Mayere *et al.*, 2022). Here, we show that at E12.5, tdTomato$^+$ cells were confined

to the SLCs, and absent from both CE and FOXL2$^+$ granulosa cells (**Fig. 2Q** and **R**). By E16.5,

however, 22.4% of FOXL2$^+$ pre-granulosa cells were tdTomato$^+$, and these were located

predominantly in the medulla . At P5, region-specific quantification showed SLC-derived granulosa

cells were present in comparable proportions in the cortical and medullary compartments (**Fig. 2S,** **Fig. S2C** and **S2D**). Immunofluorescence revealed a tdTomato$^+$/FOXL2$^+$ gradient across the ovary with the highest number of positive cells near the ovarian hilum, consistent with a contribution from SLC/rete ovarii cells. By adulthood, this contribution declined to 10.3%, indicating that SLC-derived granulosa cells represent a transient population active mainly during fetal development and early folliculogenesis (**Fig. 2S** and **2T**).

Together, these lineage tracing experiments provide *in vivo* evidence that granulosa cells originate from two spatially distinct progenitor pools. CE-derived cells represent the major source, giving rise primarily to cortical granulosa cells, while SLC-derived cells contribute to a transient medullary subset and a minor cortical subpopulation. These findings confirm the dual trajectories identified by scRNA-seq from CE and SLCs, and refine the classical model of a single CE-derived granulosa lineage.

Transcriptional heterogeneity reveals early fate priming in the coelomic epithelium

Consistent with previous studies showing that CE progenitors contribute to both supporting and steroidogenic and lineages (see Introduction), our atlas revealed strong transcriptional continuity between CE/SE and both somatic cell fates (**Fig. 3A**). However, it remains unclear whether the CE overlying the developing gonad is already heterogenous, containing transcriptionally distinct fate-biased subpopulations, or whether it is initially homogeneous and multipotent until lineage restriction occurs after ingression into the gonadal mesenchyme. To address this question, we re-analyzed ovarian somatic cells from E10.5 to P56, focusing on CE/SE and their derived cells. CE/SE identity was confirmed by *Upk3b* expression; supporting cells by *Bmp2, Irx3, Lgr5, Runx1* and *Wnt6;* and SPs by *Arx, Nr2f2, Pdgfra* and *Tcf21* (**Fig. 3B** and **Fig. S3**). Strikingly, these markers were also expressed in CE/SE cells, either in mutually exclusive or overlapping patterns, indicating transcriptional heterogeneity and suggesting early fate priming. To assess CE/SE fate biases without relying on individual markers, we computed supporting- and stromal-identity scores for each CE/SE cell using lineage-specific transcriptomic signatures. UMAP color-coded by scores revealed CE/SE subpopulations with distinct fate biases: supporting-biased CE/SE cells with high supporting scores clustered near the supporting cells, whereas stromal-biased CE/SE cells with high stromal scores clustered near the SP population (**Fig. 3C**). CE/SE cells with low scores for both occupied a transitional zone within the CE/SE cluster. As expected, stromal-biased CE/SE cells expressed SP markers (*Arx*, *Pdgfra*, *Nr2f2*, and *Tcf21*), whereas supporting-biased CE/SE cells expressed supporting markers (*Bmp2, Irx3, Lgr5, Runx1* and *Wnt6*) (**Fig. 3B** and **Fig. S3**). Based on scores, we classified CE/SE cells into two categories: supporting-biased cells (supporting >

stromal) and stromal-biased cells (stromal > supporting) (**Fig. 3D**). Differential gene expression between supporting- and stromal-biased CE/SE cells identified 4,761 differentially expressed genes (DEGs), 94% of which overlapped with the 9,976 genes differentially expressed between supporting and stromal cell populations (**Fig. 3E** and **Data S1**). Thus, the CE is not a uniform progenitor pool, it comprises transcriptionally distinct subpopulations already biased toward steroidogenic or supporting fates. These primed CE subpopulations display transcriptomic profiles closely resembling their respective target lineages.

Temporal dynamics of coelomic epithelium priming

To track the dynamics of CE priming, we analyzed CE/SE cells across eight development stages, from the onset of somatic lineages specification (E11.5) through differentiation into pre-granulosa cells and SPs (P5). At each stage, stromal and supporting identity scores were computed for individual CE/SE cells, revealing three phases of CE/SE fate bias (**Fig. 3F**). At E11.5, CE cells exhibited low stromal and supporting-identity scores, consistent with a largely unbiased state. Between E12.5 and E14.5, supporting- and stromal-biased CE subpopulations coexisted. By E16.5, CE cells were predominantly biased toward the supporting fate, with the stromal-biased CE subset lost. These transitions were accompanied by a progressive decline in stromal-identity score and a sustained increase in supporting-identity score (**Fig. 3G**). Marker expression confirmed these dynamics (**Fig. 3H**). At E11.5, a high proportion of CE cells expressed SP markers (*Arx, Pdgfra, Nr2f2, Tcf21*). Between E12.5 and E14.5, expression became more balanced, with supporting marker expression (*Bmp2, Irx3, Lgr5, Runx1, Wnt6*) increasing as SP marker expression declined. From E16.5 to P5, most CE/SE cells expressed supporting lineage markers. Stage-wise cPAGA further indicated that CE cells remained transcriptionally connected to both supporting and steroidogenic lineages during the bipotential window (E12.5 and E14.5) but became exclusively linked to the supporting lineage from E16.5 onward (**Fig. 1H**). Consistently, conductance scores reflecting CE connectivity to the steroidogenic lineage dropped sharply after E14.5, while CE/SE-supporting connectivity persisted until P5 (**Fig. 3I**).

Together, these findings revealed transcriptionally distinct subpopulations already biased toward supporting or stromal fates. Early priming within the CE likely shapes downstream differentiation and the spatial organization of ovarian somatic lineages, suggesting that lineage potential is pre-patterned before integration into the gonadal mesenchyme.

Dynamic spatial patterning of primed-coelomic epithelial cells

Having identified supporting- and steroidogenic-primed CE subpopulations, we next analyzed their dynamic spatial organization during development. For this, we used spatial transcriptomics data generated with 10x Genomics Visium HD technology on parasagittal sections of XX gonads at E12.5, E14.5, and E16.5 (**Fig. 4A**;(Martinez et al., 2025)). Data were binned at 4μm and annotated using a logistic-regression model trained on our single-cell transcriptomic atlas. Gonadal and CE regions were annotated by *Gata4* and *Upk3b* expression, respectively. To assess spatial organization within the CE, we constructed an anteroposterior pseudo-spatial axis. Supporting- and stromal-identity scores were then calculated along the pseudo-spatial axis to localize fate-biased CE subpopulations (**Fig. 4B**). At E12.5, steroidogenic bias was enriched at the extremities of the pseudo-spatial axis near the gonad-mesonephros junction, whereas supporting bias concentrated centrally. This regionalization persisted at E14.5 but became less distinct by E16.5 as the stromal signature declined. Heatmap analysis of genes enriched in supporting-biased (n = 340) and stromal-biased (n = 273) CE cells further confirmed this spatial segregation (**Fig. 4C, Fig. S4A** and **Data S1**). In particular, steroidogenic-biased CE cells at the extremities of the pseudo-spatial axis expressed *Nr2f2*, *Tcf21*, *Pdgfra* and *Pdgfrb*, whereas supporting-biased CE cells in the central region expressed *Runx1*, *Wnt6*, *Bmp2*, *Irx3*, *Irx5*, *Lgr5*, and *Fst* (**Fig. 4D** and **Fig. S4B**).

To further refine the spatial dynamics of CE subpopulations, we performed combined *in situ* hybridization (ISH) and immunofluorescence (IF) on XX gonads from E12.5 to E16.5. Alongside the CE/SE marker *Upk3b*, we detected supporting lineage markers *Bmp2* and RUNX1, and the SP marker NR2F2, all previously identified in CE subpopulations by our transcriptomic analyses (**Fig. 3H** and **Fig. S3**). At E12.5 and E13.5, ISH/IF confirmed distinct spatial domains of supporting- and steroidogenic-primed CE cells along the ovarian surface (**Fig. 4E** and **S4C**). On transverse sections, NR2F2^{+} cells localized within the CE at the gonad-mesonephros junction, whereas RUNX1^{+} and *Bmp2*^{+} cells were restricted to the central CE. These regions also exhibited distinct epithelial morphologies: the NR2F2[+] domain appeared pseudostratified and columnar, while RUNX1^{+}/*Bmp2*^{+} domain was cuboidal or squamous, as confirmed by β-Catenin and Laminin A1 staining (**Fig. 4F**). By E16.5, NR2F2 was no longer detectable in the CE, while RUNX1^{+} was uniformly expressed, consistent with an exclusive supporting-bias beyond this stage (**Fig. S4C**). Notably, *Bmp2* expression declined in both CE and supporting-lineage cells between E13.5 and E16.5, whereas other supporting markers (*Irx3*, *Lgr5*, *Runx1* and *Wnt6*) remained highly expressed, indicating that *Bmp2* expression is transient (**Fig. 3H, Fig. S3** and **Fig. 4E**). To determine the onset of spatial patterning, we analyzed E11.5 gonads and observed widespread NR2F2 in the CE, no detectable RUNX1, and *Bmp2* restricted to the central CE, suggesting that positional bias emerges within the CE before the onset of granulosa cell differentiation (**Fig. S4D and S4E**). Together, these

findings demonstrate that CE fate bias is encoded both transcriptionally and spatially, providing a

framework for understanding how progenitor positioning influences the emergence of distinct

somatic lineages in the fetal ovary.

Spatial priming of the coelomic epithelium predicts supporting and steroidogenic lineage

commitment

Having established spatially distinct primed CE domains, we next asked whether these regions seed

the corresponding intragonadal lineages. We observed intra-ovarian NR2F2$^+$ and *Bmp2*$^+$ cells

directly beneath their respective CE regions, suggesting that primed CE subpopulations delaminate

locally to contribute to pre-granulosa and SPs (**Fig. 4E**, at E13.5). Spatial transcriptomics further

revealed that intragonadal distributions of pre-granulosa cells/SLCs and SPs mirror the spatio-

temporal arrangements of CE-primed progenitors: regions beneath stromal-primed CE at the

extremities of the pseudo-spatial axis contained higher proportions of SPs, whereas areas beneath

supporting-biased CE in the central region were enriched for supporting cells (**Fig. 4G**). LAMA1

staining revealed focal discontinuities in the basal lamina beneath both stromal- and supporting-

biased CE, consistent with EMT-mediated entry into the gonad (**Fig. 4F**). These findings support a

direct, spatially organized contribution of CE subpopulations to their corresponding supporting and

stromal/steroidogenic lineages.

Finally, we leveraged the restriction of CE fate toward the supporting lineage between E14.5 and

E16.5 to identify markers of late-gestation SPs. By E16.5, *Wnt5a* expression is confined to SPs,

enabling specific labeling in *Wnt5a*^{lin} mice. Doxycycline induction in *Wnt5a*^{lin} mice at E15.5-E16.5

confirmed restricted labeling of SPs at E16.5 (**Fig. 2B, 2D, 4H** and **4I**). To trace their fate, we

performed *in vivo* lineage tracing and induced *Wnt5a*^{lin} mice at E15.5-E16.5 and analyzed adult

ovaries (**Fig. 4H** and **J**). Labeled SPs did not contribute to FOXL2$^+$ granulosa cells but gave rise to

long-lived adult steroidogenic populations, including CYP11A1$^+$ steroidogenic theca and stromal

cells. Thus, spatially primed-CE cells delaminate locally to seed distinct intragonadal niches,

suggesting that supporting-biased CE cells give rise to pre-granulosa cells, whereas steroidogenic-

biased CE cells generate fetal SPs that either differentiate into steroidogenic stromal and theca cells

or persist as long-lived adult SPs.

Discussion

During early gonadogenesis, proliferating CE cells give rise to most somatic cells in both XX and

XY gonads. Classical dye-based labeling, genetic lineage tracing, and single-cell transcriptomics

have established that CE progenitors are multipotent, contributing to additional CE cells as well as

both supporting and steroidogenic lineages (Ademi et al., 2022; DeFalco et al., 2011; Karl and Capel, 1998; Liu et al., 2016; Mayere et al., 2022; Mork et al., 2012; Schmahl et al., 2003; Stevant and Nef, 2018, 2019). Yet, the events directing CE progenitors into these divergent lineages remain poorly defined. Specifically, it has been unclear whether the CE contains transcriptionally distinct, spatially resolved subpopulations with progenitors already primed toward specific lineages, or whether specification occurs only after CE cells delamination and integration into the bipotential gonad. Recent work on adrenogonadal primordium separation revealed that CE cells are specified toward adrenal or gonadal fates earlier than expected, before their ingression into the underlying mesenchyme (Neirijnck *et al.*, 2023). Notably, even prior to the anatomical separation of the adrenogonadal primordium into cranial adrenal and caudal gonadal domains, CE cells already exhibit transcriptional biases toward adrenal versus gonadal lineages. These findings argue that specification within the CE can precede ingression and may involve spatial pre-patterning of progenitor identity.

Here, we provide an integrative single-cell and spatial framework of mouse ovarian development from genital ridge formation (E10.5) to adulthood (P56) and present a revised model of somatic lineage specification. By combining a developmental scRNA-seq atlas with *in vivo* lineage tracing and spatial transcriptomics, we show: (1) transcriptional heterogeneity and temporal priming within CE as early as E11.5, (2) spatial organization of these primed states along the CE, (3) local delamination of spatially primed CE cells to seed distinct intragonadal niches, and (4) a dual origin of granulosa cells from CE cells and SLCs. Together, these findings define the spatiotemporal program of ovarian somatic lineages specification and establish a foundation for mechanistic and translational studies.

Early heterogeneity and spatiotemporal dynamic of coelomic epithelium priming

CE progenitor cells overlying the developing ovary are heterogeneous, comprising spatially localized subpopulations already primed toward supporting or steroidogenic fates (model in **Fig. 5**). One subset co-expresses the epithelial marker *Upk3b* with steroidogenic markers *(Arx, Nr2f2, Pdgfra*, and *Tcf21*), whereas another co-expresses *Upk3b* with supporting lineage markers (*Bmp2, Irx3*, *Lgr5*, *Runx1* and *Wnt6)*. Differential expression analysis further confirmed that supporting-biased and steroidogenic-biased CE cells exhibit transcriptomic profiles closely resembling their respective target lineages, providing evidence of bona fide priming (**Fig. 3E**).

CE priming emerges early and displays regional patterning. At E11.5, most epithelial cells are NR2F2$^+$, with a subset already *Bmp2$^+$*, marking the onset of a positional bias. Between E12.5 and E13.5, *Upk3b$^+$/Bmp2$^+$* supporting-primed CE cells expand across the ovarian surface, whereas

$Upk3b^+$/NR2F2$^+$ steroidogenic-primed CE cells remain confined near the mesonephros.

Transcriptomic and IF/ISH analyses revealed a gradual transition along the CE rather than a sharp

boundary. NR2F2 expression decreases with distance from the mesonephros, while supporting

marker expression increases, yielding a continuum of CE cells shifting from steroidogenic to

supporting bias. By E16.5, CE cells are almost exclusively supporting-primed ($Upk3b^+$/$Bmp2^+$),

likely contributing to pre-granulosa cells and, postnatally, to the ovarian surface epithelium. Intra-

ovarian NR2F2$^+$ steroidogenic progenitors and $Bmp2^+$ pre-granulosa cells are found directly

beneath their respective CE-primed progenitors, consistent with local delamination from spatially

distinct CE subdomains. Spatial transcriptomic confirmed that intra-ovarian distribution mirrors

CE priming: SPs predominate beneath steroidogenic-primed CE at the pseudo-spatial axis

extremities, while supporting cells arise from centrally located supporting-primed CE. These

findings support a direct, spatially organized contribution of CE subpopulations to ovarian lineages

through local delamination of primed CE progenitors seeding distinct intragonadal niches.

Consistent with our finding, Bunce et al. reported a center-biased ingression of supporting cell

progenitors in both XX and XY gonads, leading to higher supporting cell density in the central

domain of the gonad (Bunce et al., 2023). They showed that *Runx1*, a transient marker of pre-

supporting cells, is faintly detectable in CE cells and strongly upregulated upon ingression (Bunce

et al., 2023). Using a *Runx1-EGFP* reporter (Gong et al., 2003), they observed greater quantity of

GFP cells in the center of the gonad compared with the poles, revealing that supporting cell

precursor ingression is center biased during establishment of the pre-supporting cell population in

both sexes. In line with this, our NR2F2/RUNX1 double IF at E11.5 revealed that while most CE

cells are NR2F2$^+$, faint RUNX1$^+$/NR2F2$^-$ CE cells are already detectable in the central part of the

XX gonad (**Fig**. **S4E**). These observations raise also the question of transcriptomic priming and

regional patterning of CE progenitors in XY gonads during the process of sex determination.

Mesonephros-gonad crosstalk as a positional regulator of coelomic epithelial fate

From E12.5 onward, supporting-biased CE cells expand across the ovarian surface, while

steroidogenic-biased cells remain confined near the mesonephros, suggesting that local cues

promote or maintain steroidogenic fate during the temporal window when both subpopulations

coexist. As the ovary enlarges and the CE becomes physically separated from the mesonephros, the

steroidogenic-biased CE signature declines, and by E16.5 priming is restricted to the supporting

fate. These observations support a model in which mesonephric proximity provides positional cue

favoring stromal bias and/or preventing supporting specification. This hypothesis is consistent with

the broader paradigm that mesonephros influences gonadal development through cell migration and

paracrine signaling. In the testis, mesonephric cells contribute to the interstitium and vasculature, and SPs derive from both CE and mesonephric sources (Ademi et al., 2022; DeFalco et al., 2011; Karl and Capel, 1998; Kumar and DeFalco, 2018; Liu et al., 2016; Rotgers et al., 2018). In the ovary, theca cells originate from a combination of migratory $Gli1^+$ mesonephric cells and intraovarian SPs, with minor mesonephric input (Liu *et al.*, 2015). Regional differences in CE architecture (pseudostratified vs. cuboidal) further suggest that tissue mechanics or polarity may also bias fate decision.

Conserved sequence and sex-specific timing of steroidogenic lineage differentiation

In mammals, testicular and ovarian differentiation follow distinct temporal trajectories, with testis differentiating earlier and more rapidly (Nicol et al., 2022; Stevant and Nef, 2019; Wilhelm et al., 2013). This divergence is most evident in androgen-producing cells: fetal Leydig cells (FLCs) secrete androgens from E12.5 onwards, whereas thecal cells appear only postnatally and produce androgens in response to luteinizing hormone (LH) during folliculogenesis (Barsoum and Yao, 2010; Fortune and Armstrong, 1977; Magoffin, 2005; Mannan and O'Shaughnessy, 1991). Despite these timing differences, the specification, expansion, and differentiation of steroidogenic lineages are broadly conserved across sexes.

Our data reveal a trajectory in which a subset of CE cells acquires a steroidogenic-primed identity during a restricted developmental time window (E11.5–E14.5) and contributes directly to intraovarian SPs. These SPs proliferate actively and express canonical markers (*Arx*, *Pdgfra*, *Nr2f2*, and *Tcf21*), also shared by testicular SPs (Brennan et al., 2003; Cui et al., 2004; Eliveld et al., 2019; Estermann et al., 2025; Ge et al., 2006; Kilcoyne et al., 2014; Miyabayashi et al., 2013; Perea-Gomez et al., 2025; Qin et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2021). In the ovary, their proportion rise from 7.6% at E12.5 to 41.9% by P5, with 50.9% persisting into adulthood (**Fig. 1K**), paralleling the dynamics in the developing testis where SPs are also predominant at birth (Ademi *et al.*, 2022). Lineage tracing further showed that fetal $Wnt5a^+$ SPs persist and give rise to steroidogenic theca and stromal cells in the ovary as well as FLCs in the testis (Ademi *et al.*, 2022). Thus, while the timing diverges, the overall sequence of SP specification and differentiation is conserved. In testes, newly specified Sertoli cells rapidly trigger SP differentiation into FLCs through DHH and PDGF signalings (Brennan *et al.*, 2003; Clark et al., 2000; Yao et al., 2002). In ovaries, although the SP pool expands during fetal life, SP differentiation is deferred until postnatal folliculogenesis. Consistently, proliferative granulosa cells secrete DHH/IHH from P3 onward, coinciding with *Ptch1* upregulation in SPs (Liu et al., 2015). Together, these findings highlight Hedgehog and PDGF signaling as conserved paracrine pathways that link supporting cells to SPs, synchronizing

steroidogenic maturation with gonadal morphogenesis and follicle growth. Yet, key mechanisms
remain unresolved, particularly how the ovarian SP pool is balanced over time between
maintenance and differentiation into theca cells. The atlas presented here provides a roadmap to
reconstruct SP state transitions from fetal priming to postnatal maturation and identifies candidate
regulators.

A dual origin for granulosa cells

Our study challenges the long-standing view that granulosa cells derive exclusively from the CE
and instead supports a convergent, dual-origin model. By combining trajectory inference, lineage
tracing, and spatial mapping, we show that granulosa cells arise from two transcriptionally and
spatially distinct progenitor pools. CE-derived cells contribute primarily to cortical granulosa cells
that maintain the long-term primordial follicle reserve, whereas SLCs give rise to a minor cortical
subset and a transient medullar population associated with the first wave of folliculogenesis, largely
depleted by puberty. This dual origin adds a new layer of complexity to ovarian lineage architecture
and helps reinterpret follicular heterogeneity. Developmental origin likely influences how
granulosa subsets interact with oocytes, proliferate, and respond to paracrine and metabolic cues.
For example, CE-derived granulosa may be specialized for maintaining oocyte quiescence and
orchestrating the maturation of cortical primordial follicles, whereas SLC-derived granulosa may
promote rapid activation and growth of medullary follicles. Such functional differences are likely
reinforced by microenvironmental differences, including vascularization and signaling niches.
Future studies should determine whether CE- and SLC-derived granulosa cells are molecularly and
functionally distinct. Comparative transcriptomic and epigenomic profiling could uncover origin-
specific signatures, while targeted perturbations could assess redundancy versus complementarity,
clarifying whether developmental origin influences folliculogenesis, reproductive lifespan, and
overall ovarian functions.

Limitations of the study

First, although we show that CE progenitor cells are already heterogeneous and spatially organized
in the developing ovary, the molecular signals and transcriptomic programs governing their lineage
specification remain largely unknown. Second, because CE-biased progenitors are transient and
share markers with their daughter cells, tracing their fate with current lineage-tracing tools remains
technically challenging. Third, we relied on $Wnt5a^{lin}$ and $Pax8^{lin}$ mouse models to describe
granulosa cell origins, in which recombinase or rtTA cassettes were inserted by knock-in at the
endogenous loci, ensuring expression under the full native regulatory landscape. While no

reproductive phenotypes have been reported in the heterozygous females used here, subtle effects

of the knock-in cannot be fully excluded. Fourth, while our scRNA-seq dataset provides strong

coverage of fetal and early postnatal stages, limited sampling around puberty constraint analysis of

transcriptomic dynamics accompanying supporting and steroidogenic lineage differentiation after

follicle assembly. In addition, the adult ovarian stroma, including theca cells, steroidogenic stromal

cells, and putative steroidogenic progenitors, remains poorly characterized at transcriptomic,

spatial, and hormonal levels, warranting deeper investigations. Finally, as this study was conducted

in mice, it remains unclear to what extent these developmental processes are conserved in humans

and other species. Future analyses using human scRNA-seq and spatial transcriptomics will be

essential to determine whether CE heterogeneity, SP specification, and the dual origin of granulosa

cells are conserved, with important implications for ovarian pathophysiology.

Material & Methods

Single-cell RNA sequencing analysis

Data preprocessing

Demultiplexing, alignment, barcode filtering, UMI counting and mapping were performed as

described in the original publications: Mayère et al., 2022 (E10.5, E11.5, E12.5, E13.5, E16.5)

(Mayere *et al.*, 2022); Niu et Spradling, 2020 (E14.5, E18.5, P1 and P5) (Niu and Spradling, 2020);

Rossitto et al., 2022 (P56) (Rossitto et al., 2022). For each sample, to ensure removal of low-quality

or empty droplets, barcodes with low UMI counts were removed as described in (Mayere *et al.*,

2022). Otherwise, they were removed by visual inspection of the UMI counts density curves.

Doublets scores were predicted using Scrublet v0.2.3 (Wolock et al., 2019) via the Scanpy v1.9.8

(Wolf et al., 2018) wrapper. Doublet scores thresholds were defined by identifying the closest local

minima to the main peak of scores distribution. Barcodes above the thresholds were discarded.

Additional quality controls filtering were performed using scanpy.pp.calculate_qc_metrics for

mitochondrial and ribosomal content. Cells with >20% mitochondrial counts were removed. XY-

contaminating barcodes were identified based on *Ddx3y* expression (discarded if >0). All samples

were merged into a single AnnData object, retaining 68,366 cells and 19,961 genes in total: 6,798

(E10.5), 5,935 (E11.5), 9,230 (E12.5), 10,866 (E13.5), 9,555 (E14.5), 6,223 (E16.5), 3,186 (E18.5),

4,932 (P1), 5,281 (P5), and 6,360 (P56).

Dimensionality reduction and batch correction

Dimensionality reduction and batch correction were performed with scvi.model.SCVI from scVI-

tools v1.3.0 (Gayoso et al., 2022) on raw counts data. The model was trained using the samples IDs

as batch keys to correct for the batch effects, with the following hyperparameter: 100 latent_space,

3 hidden layers with 128 nodes each.

Counts normalization

For expression visualization, differential expression, scoring, and model training, counts were log-

normalized. Specifically, for each cell, UMI counts for each gene were divided by the total UMI

counts of the cell, multiplied by a factor of 10,000, and log-transformed using

scanpy.pp.normalize_total and scanpy.pp.log1p respectively. Cell cycle scoring was performed

with scanpy.tl.score_genes_cell_cycle using the gene set from (Tirosh et al., 2016) as input.

Clustering, UMAP embedding, and annotation

For the full atlas, neighbors were computed in the SCVI latent space using scanpy.pp.neighbors

with default parameters. Clustering was performed with scanpy.tl.leiden at resolution 1. We used

PAGA on subsampled (ncells=200) clusters to optimize the global UMAP topology, we generated

and plotted the PAGA graph with default parameters (scanpy.tl.paga) and used PAGA positions as

seeds for the UMAP embedding. UMAP embedding was computed with scanpy.tl.umap function

with   min_dist   parameter   set   to   0.2.

scVI based dimensionality reduction and batch correction are highly reliable, at the cost of a

substantial loss of temporal information. To account for the time-dependent annotations and avoid

inconsistencies, we annotated the dataset at two levels. First, a coarse annotation across the full

dataset was performed using known marker expression. Second, refined annotations providing

greater accuracy and reducing inconsistencies were carried out at each developmental stage using

the coarse annotation as a guide. Each timepoint was clustered and embedded independently using

the same preprocessing and clustering pipeline, except that PAGA subsampling was reduced to 100

cells per cluster. Known stage-specific markers were used to annotate clusters. Because temporal

subsets contain fewer cells, rare cell populations were sometimes difficult to isolate. In ambiguous

cases, subclustering was performed with a lower Leiden resolution (0.15 or 0.25). Additionally, the

E10.5 subset required a specific annotation strategy due to its lower differentiation state and fraction

of gonadal cells: here we retained the coarse annotation and Leiden clustering from the full atlas

and defined the mesonephric mesenchyme (MM) population by their low *Gata4* and high *Gata2*

expression. Cells from the gonadal domain were subclustered and annotated based on known

markers and marker genes specifically expressed in the different compartments at later time points.

Sub-atlases

To focus on the two major somatic lineages of the ovary, we extracted a sub-atlas restricted to

ovarian somatic populations. scVI latent space was not recomputed, but the same preprocessing

and clustering strategy as in the full atlas was applied. PAGA threshold parameter was set to 0.2,

and UMAP min_dist parameter set to 0.3. Additional subsets were generated by isolating ovarian

somatic populations at each stage, following the same strategy used for the time-point subsets

described above.

Scoring

To create stromal and supporting scores, we trained an ElasticNet model in a one-versus-rest

fashion on CE/SE, SLC, stromal (mSP, gSP, PV, SM, Stero) and supporting (preGran, Gran) cells

from E12.5 to P5. Model parameters were set to an L1 ratio of 0.5, alpha of 0.01, and tol of 1e-4.

To avoid bias from overrepresented cell types, we randomly subsampled each group to match the

size of the smallest population prior to training. By comparing each group to the other cells, this

approach attributes to each gene a coefficient to create a transcriptomic signature. Genes with high

descriptive power are attributed to high coefficients. Consequently, the accuracy of this method

depends heavily on the annotation quality and the cellular composition of the subset. For reliability,

E10.5 cells were excluded since lineages are not yet committed, and somatic differentiation has not

occurred at this stage. We also restricted the analysis to fetal and perinatal data and focused

exclusively on ovarian somatic cells (CE/SE, SLC, supporting lineage and steroidogenic/stromal

lineage). All the other cell types (adrenosympathetic, erythrocytes, endothelial, germ, immune,

mesonephric tubules, mesonephric mesenchyme, perivascular) were excluded from training set.

Differential expression analysis

Differential expression analysis was performed using sc.tl.rank_genes_groups and the Wilcoxon

method.

Spatial transcriptomics analysis

Data collection

The detailed methods to generate the full spatial transcriptome dataset of the developing and adult

mouse ovary are reported in (Martinez et al., 2025). Briefly, ovaries from fetal CD-1 outbred mice

were collected at E12.5, E14.5 and E16.5, transferred to cryomolds, embedded in OCT, flash frozen

and stored at -80ºC. Cryoblocks were sectioned with a Leica cryostat to produce 10µm sections,

which were stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E). H&E-stained slides were imaged at 20x

and inspected to choose the highest quality and most representative slide to sequence. Slides were

further processed at the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus Genomics Shared

resource (RRID: 021984) following the Visium HD Spatial Gene Expression Reagent Kits User

Guide (CG000685 Rev B). Sections were hybridized overnight with 10x Genomics' whole

transcriptome probe pairs. The prepared slide Visium HD slide were processed on the CytAssist

instrument (10x Genomics) for spatial barcoding, followed by library preparation. Libraries were

pooled and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq X Plus system (150bp x 10bp x 10bp x 150bp), generating approximately 500 million reads per capture area.

Bins annotation

Raw sequencing and imaging data from 10x Visium HD were processed with spaceranger (v3.1.2) as described in (Martinez et al., 2025). Resulting Zarr datasets were then processed with the spatialdata python library. A first bin annotation was performed using a logistic regression model trained on single-cell RNA-seq data, restricted to genes also present in the spatial dataset. To avoid overfitting and bias from over-represented classes, cells were randomly under-sampled with RandomUnderSampler to 100 cells per population. The LogisticRegression model was trained with the following parameters: saga solver, elasticnet regularization (l1_ratio=0.8), a stopping criteria tolerance o= 0.01, and inverse regularization strength = 0.8. The model achieved excellent predictive performance, with an AUC of 1.0 for most cell classes, and 0.99 for CE/SE, SP and SLC. Precision and recall were also high, with the lowest average score observed for SLCs (precisio 0.85) and moSP (recall 0.83).

Spatial bins were predicted at 2, 4 and 8µm resolutions using the trained model. Because spatial bins can span multiple cells of different types (e.g. pre-Gran and germ cells), predictions were refined by incorporating spatial context. Specifically, logistic regression prediction scores for each bin were smoothed using those of its eight nearest neighbors. For example, if bin A showed mixed Germ and pre-Gran prediction scores, but its neighbors had strong Germ prediction score, the annotation then Germ score was increased by the score of the neighbors. This was implemented by computing the matrix multiplication between the neighbor connectivities and logistic regression prediction probability matrices. Finally, a predicted confidence threshold was applied to unassign bins with low scores across all classes. This threshold was adapted for each resolution as smaller bins have less transcriptomic content and thus lower overall scores.

Surface epithelium path reconstruction.

Gonadal areas and associated bin were manually annotated in Napari. Each gonad was then aligned using PCA on the spatial coordinates of bins. Since SLCs are the future rete cells and are located is at the antero-posterior pole of the gonads, the averaged SLCs prediction score was used as an orientation cue. Only gonadal sections with a visible junction to the mesonephros were retained for further analysis. CE-associated bins were extracted, and a path along the CE was reconstructed as follows:

(1) A spatial neighbor graph of CE-annotated bins was computed using scikit-learn kneighbors_graph on spatial coordinates. To prevent artifacts and unwanted jumps from isolated CE bins or connections between the two CE extremities, long connections were pruned.

(1) The shortest paths between all bin pairs were computed with networkx all_pairs_dijkstra_path_length function.

(2) Terminal points were defined as the longest geodesic path among the shortest paths.

(3) (4) The shortest path between these endpoints was then extracted using networkx shortest path function.

(5) All CE bins were projected onto this path using a KD-tree approach: for each CE bins, the nearest path bin was queried, and distances were computed as cumulative sums of distances from root bin in the path.

For visualization, bins were ordered along the obtained pseudospace. Because spatial transcriptomic data are sparse, expression values were smoothed with a general additive model (linearGam function of pyGam package), and results were displayed in heatmaps or curves using seaborn.

Scoring of CE and Gene selection for heatmaps

An alternative scoring model was trained on genes detected in both spatial and single-cell RNA-seq datasets. CE bins were then scored with this model. Heatmaps were generated using differentially expressed genes between CE cells of the scRNAseq altas annotated as supporting- or stromal-biased, identified by the ElasticNet model (**Fig. 4C**). Differential expression was assessed with a rank-sum test (log2FC > 1, adj. p < 0.01).

Proportion of CE neighbors

For visualization, cell annotations were merged into two lineage categories: Supporting (pre-gran and SLCs) and stromal (gSP, mSP, PV, GM, SM). For each CE bin in each gonad and time point, we calculated the proportion of neighboring cells (k=100) belonging to each lineage. Profiles were then interpolated to normalize path length across gonads and averaged per timepoint for plotting.

Transgenic mice and cell lineage tracing

All animal procedure conformed to institutional and European regulations and were approved by the Service de la Consommation et des Affaires Vétérinaires (SCAV) of the Canton de Genève (licenses number GE214-19 and GE35). Animals were maintained on a mixed genetic background under a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle. with ad libitum access to food and water.

The alleles used in this study were previously described: *Wnt5atm1(Tet-On 3G)Nef*, *(tetO)7-CMV-Cre (TetO:Cre) Pax8tm1.1(cre)Mbu/J* and *Gt(ROSA)26Sortm9(CAG-tdTomato)Hze* (Ademi *et al.*, 2022; Bouchard et al., 2002; Madisen et al., 2010; Perl et al., 2002). For constitutive tracing of *Pax8*^{+}cells, we generated *Pax8*[lin] mice (*Pax8tm1.1(cre)Mbu/J*[+/ki];*Gt(ROSA)26Sortm9(CAG-tdTomato)HzeR26RFP*[ki/ki]). For inducible lineage tracing of *Wnt5a+* cells, we generated *Wnt5a*[lin]

mice   (*Wnt5atm1(Tet-On3G)Nef*[+/ki]*;(tetO)7-CMV-Cre/*[+/ki]*;Gt(ROSA)26Sortm9(CAG-*
tdTomato)HzeR26RFP/[+/ki]). For *Wnt5a*[lin], doxycycline (DOX) was delivered in drinking water (pH
6.5) at 2 mg/mL during E11.5-12.5 or E15.5-E16.5. For spatial transcriptome experiments, CD-1
outbred mice were housed in an AALAC-accredited barrier facility at the University of Colorado
Anschutz Medical campus. All mice were handled and cared for following accepted National
Institute of Health guidelines. All experiments were conducted with the approval of the University
of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC
protocol # 01262). Embryos were staged by detection of a vaginal plug (E0.5), postnatal stages
were assigned by day of birth (P0). Ovaries were collected at fetal and adult time points as indicated
to follow the fate of *Pax8+* or *Wnt5a+* cells across development.

Tissue processing, immunofluorescence and in situ hybridization analyses

Embryonic samples were collected at defined stages, fixed in 4% (*w/v*) paraformaldehyde
overnight, processed for paraffin embedding, and sectioned into 5 μm sections.
Immunofluorescence and Hoechst 33342 (H3570, Invitrogen) staining were performed as described
in (Tang et al., 2020) or (Ademi et al., 2022). Primary antibodies included: mouse anti-NR2F2 (PP-
H7147-00, R&D, 1/200), rabbit anti-RUNX1 (ab92336, Abcam, 1/500), , rabbit anti-LAMA1
(L9303, SIGMA, 1/200), mouse anti-ß-Catenin (BD-610153, BD Biosciences, 1/100), rabbit anti-
FOXL2 (1:500, gift from Dagmar Wilhelm, University of Melbourne, Australia), mouse anti-
αSMA (1:500, gift from Christine Chaponnier, University of Geneva, Switzerland), rabbit anti-
PAX8 (1:500, 10336-1-AP, Proteintech), mouse anti-RFP (DsRed) (1:250, sc-390909, SantaCruz
Biotechnology, USA), goat anti-RFP (1:50, 200-101-379, Rockland Immunochemicals Inc., USA),
rabbit anti-RFP (1:250, 600-401-379, Rockland Immunochemicals Inc., USA) and rabbit anti-
CYP11A1 (1:500, gift from Dagmar Wilhelm, University of Melbourne, Australia).

RNAscope in situ hybridization was performed using the RNAscope Multiplex Fluorescent
Reagent Kit v2 (Advanced Cell Diagnostic) using probes for *Bmp2* (406661-C3) and *Upk3b*
(568561) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Fluorescent images were acquired on Axio Imager M2 or Z1 microscope (ZEISS, Germany)
equipped with Axiocam 702 mono camera or MRm camera (ZEISS, Germany), and or on a Zeiss
LSM 780 confocal microscope with a 40x/1.2 W objective. Images were minimally adjusted for
global levels in ZEN (ZEISS), processed in Fiji (Bethesda, MD, USA) and assembled using
OMERO (https://www.openmicroscopy.org/omero/).

Immunofluorescence quantification and statistical analysis

Cell quantifications were performed using QuPath-0.5.1. Nuclei were detected by DAPI intensity, cells were classified as positive/negative based on mean signal intensity thresholds for FOXL2 or tdTomato (thresholds set per experiment). For each condition, 3-5 biological replicates (whole-ovary sections) were analyzed. Results are reported as percentage of tdTomato+ cells (Mean ± SD). Statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism (version 10). Pairwise comparisons used two-tailed Mann-Whitney U tests. Significance was defined as $P < 0.05$. n biological replicates and statistical details are provided in Figure legends.

Additional resources

An interactive viewer is available for further exploration [https://www.unige.ch/medecine/nef/datasets].

Acknowledgments:

We thank Karim Hammad, Coralie Gobbo, Françoise Kuhne, Violette Regard and Jean-Luc Pitetti for their assistance with animal handling, genotyping, and immunofluorescence experiments. We are grateful to Nicolas Liaudet for support with the Bioimaging platform and to the animal facility team at the Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, for their technical assistance. We are also grateful to the members of the Nef laboratory for valuable discussions and critical reading of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Département de l'Instruction Publique of the State of Geneva and by Swiss National Science Foundation grants (31003A_173070, 310030_200316 and 10.001.251) awarded to S.N. MCC was supported by the Agence nationale de la recherche (ANR, France) through grants ANR-23-CE14-0012 (HeteroSex) and ANR-24-CE14-2476 (Anaform). JM was supported by National Institutes of Health grant #R00HD103778 and by funding from CU Anschutz Medical Campus, Department of Pediatrics.

Author Contributions

CD, CM, and SN conceived and designed the study. CD, PB, APG, AR, CG, HA, and DW performed mouse experiments, lineage tracing, immunofluorescence, and other analyses. AM and TG generated spatial transcriptomic data. CM and MG conducted bioinformatics analyses. CD,

CM, MG, and SN drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision and

approved the final version. Funding and resources: SN, MCC, JM, DW. Supervision: SN.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data and materials availability

All data needed to evaluate the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials.

The scRNAseq datasets are available at NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus GEO: GSE184708.

References

Ademi, H., Djari, C., Mayere, C., Neirijnck, Y., Sararols, P., Rands, C.M., Stevant, I., Conne, B., and Nef, S. (2022). Deciphering the origins and fates of steroidogenic lineages in the mouse testis. Cell Rep *39*, 110935.

Barsoum, I.B., and Yao, H.H. (2010). Fetal Leydig cells: progenitor cell maintenance and differentiation. J Androl *31*, 11-15.

Bouchard, M., Souabni, A., Mandler, M., Neubuser, A., and Busslinger, M. (2002). Nephric lineage specification by Pax2 and Pax8. Genes Dev *16*, 2958-2970.

Brennan, J., Tilmann, C., and Capel, B. (2003). Pdgfr-alpha mediates testis cord organization and fetal Leydig cell development in the XY gonad. Genes Dev *17*, 800-810.

Bunce, C., Barske, L., Zhang, G., and Capel, B. (2023). Biased precursor ingression underlies the center-to-pole pattern of male sex determination in mouse. Development *150*.

Cai, H., Liu, B., Wang, H., Sun, G., Feng, L., Chen, Z., Zhou, J., Zhang, J., Zhang, T., He, M.*, et al.* (2020). SP1 governs primordial folliculogenesis by regulating pregranulosa cell development in mice. J Mol Cell Biol *12*, 230-244.

Capel, B. (2000). The battle of the sexes. Mech Dev *92*, 89-103.

Clark, A.M., Garland, K.K., and Russell, L.D. (2000). Desert hedgehog (Dhh) gene is required in the mouse testis for formation of adult-type Leydig cells and normal development of peritubular cells and seminiferous tubules. Biol Reprod *63*, 1825-1838.

Cowan, R.G., and Quirk, S.M. (2021). Cells responding to hedgehog signaling contribute to the theca of ovarian follicles. Reproduction *161*, 437-448.

Cui, S., Ross, A., Stallings, N., Parker, K.L., Capel, B., and Quaggin, S.E. (2004). Disrupted gonadogenesis and male-to-female sex reversal in Pod1 knockout mice. Development *131*, 4095-4105.

DeFalco, T., Takahashi, S., and Capel, B. (2011). Two distinct origins for Leydig cell progenitors in the fetal testis. Dev Biol *352*, 14-26.

Eliveld, J., van den Berg, E.A., Chikhovskaya, J.V., van Daalen, S.K.M., de Winter-Korver, C.M., van der Veen, F., Repping, S., Teerds, K., and van Pelt, A.M.M. (2019). Primary human testicular PDGFRalpha+ cells are multipotent and can be differentiated into cells with Leydig cell characteristics in vitro. Hum Reprod *34*, 1621-1631.

Estermann, M.A., Grimm, S.A., Kitakule, A.S., Rodriguez, K.F., Brown, P.R., McClelland, K., Amato, C.M., and Yao, H.H. (2025). NR2F2 regulation of interstitial cell fate in the embryonic mouse testis and its impact on differences of sex development. Nat Commun *16*, 3987.

Feng, L., Wang, Y., Cai, H., Sun, G., Niu, W., Xin, Q., Tang, X., Zhang, J., Wang, C., Zhang, H.*, et al.* (2016). ADAM10-Notch signaling governs the recruitment of ovarian pregranulosa cells and controls folliculogenesis in mice. J Cell Sci *129*, 2202-2212.

Fortune, J.E., and Armstrong, D.T. (1977). Androgen production by theca and granulosa isolated from proestrous rat follicles. Endocrinology *100*, 1341-1347.

Garcia-Alonso, L., Lorenzi, V., Mazzeo, C.I., Alves-Lopes, J.P., Roberts, K., Sancho-Serra, C., Engelbert, J., Marečková, M., Gruhn, W.H., Botting, R.A.*, et al.* (2022). Single-cell roadmap of human gonadal development. Nature *607*, 540-547.

Gayoso, A., Lopez, R., Xing, G., Boyeau, P., Valiollah Pour Amiri, V., Hong, J., Wu, K., Jayasuriya, M., Mehlman, E., Langevin, M.*, et al.* (2022). A Python library for probabilistic analysis of single-cell omics data. Nature Biotechnology *40*, 163-166.

Ge, R.S., Dong, Q., Sottas, C.M., Papadopoulos, V., Zirkin, B.R., and Hardy, M.P. (2006). In search of rat stem Leydig cells: identification, isolation, and lineage-specific development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *103*, 2719-2724.

Gong, S., Zheng, C., Doughty, M.L., Losos, K., Didkovsky, N., Schambra, U.B., Nowak, N.J., Joyner, A., Leblanc, G., Hatten, M.E.*, et al.* (2003). A gene expression atlas of the central nervous system based on bacterial artificial chromosomes. Nature *425*, 917-925.

Gustin, S.E., Hogg, K., Stringer, J.M., Rastetter, R.H., Pelosi, E., Miles, D.C., Sinclair, A.H.,
Wilhelm, D., and Western, P.S. (2016). WNT/beta-catenin and p27/FOXL2 differentially regulate
supporting cell proliferation in the developing ovary. Dev Biol *412*, 250-260.

Karl, J., and Capel, B. (1998). Sertoli cells of the mouse testis originate from the coelomic
epithelium. Developmental Biology *203*, 323-333.

Kilcoyne, K.R., Smith, L.B., Atanassova, N., Macpherson, S., McKinnell, C., van den Driesche, S.,
Jobling, M.S., Chambers, T.J., De Gendt, K., Verhoeven, G*., et al.* (2014). Fetal programming of
adult Leydig cell function by androgenic effects on stem/progenitor cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A *111*, E1924-1932.

Kumar, D.L., and DeFalco, T. (2018). A perivascular niche for multipotent progenitors in the fetal
testis. Nat Commun *9*, 4519.

Kusaka, M., Katoh-Fukui, Y., Ogawa, H., Miyabayashi, K., Baba, T., Shima, Y., Sugiyama, N.,
Sugimoto, Y., Okuno, Y., Kodama, R*., et al.* (2010). Abnormal epithelial cell polarity and ectopic
epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) expression induced in Emx2 KO embryonic gonads.
Endocrinology *151*, 5893-5904.

Lin, Y.T., Barske, L., DeFalco, T., and Capel, B. (2017). Numb regulates somatic cell lineage
commitment during early gonadogenesis in mice. Development *144*, 1607-1618.

Liu, C., Peng, J., Matzuk, M.M., and Yao, H.H. (2015). Lineage specification of ovarian theca cells
requires multicellular interactions via oocyte and granulosa cells. Nat Commun *6*, 6934.

Liu, C., Rodriguez, K., and Yao, H.H. (2016). Mapping lineage progression of somatic progenitor
cells in the mouse fetal testis. Development *143*, 3700-3710.

Madisen, L., Zwingman, T.A., Sunkin, S.M., Oh, S.W., Zariwala, H.A., Gu, H., Ng, L.L., Palmiter,
R.D., Hawrylycz, M.J., Jones, A.R*., et al.* (2010). A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and
characterization system for the whole mouse brain. Nat Neurosci *13*, 133-140.

Magoffin, D.A. (2005). Ovarian theca cell. Int J Biochem Cell Biol *37*, 1344-1349.

Magoffin, D.A., and Weitsman, S.R. (1994). Insulin-like growth factor-I regulation of luteinizing
hormone (LH) receptor messenger ribonucleic acid expression and LH-stimulated signal
transduction in rat ovarian theca-interstitial cells. Biol Reprod *51*, 766-775.

Mannan, M.A., and O'Shaughnessy, P.J. (1991). Steroidogenesis during postnatal development in
the mouse ovary. J Endocrinol *130*, 101-106.

Martinez, A.S., Gibson, T.J., and McKey, J. (2025). Single-cell-scale spatial transcriptome of the
developing and adult mouse ovary. bioRxiv, 2025.2009.2016.676655.

Mayere, C., Regard, V., Perea-Gomez, A., Bunce, C., Neirijnck, Y., Djari, C., Bellido-Carreras, N.,
Sararols, P., Reeves, R., Greenaway, S*., et al.* (2022). Origin, specification and differentiation of a
rare supporting-like lineage in the developing mouse gonad. Sci Adv *8*, eabm0972.

McKey, J., Anbarci, D.N., Bunce, C., Ontiveros, A.E., Behringer, R.R., and Capel, B. (2022).
Integration of mouse ovary morphogenesis with developmental dynamics of the oviduct, ovarian
ligaments, and rete ovarii. Elife *11*.

Miyabayashi, K., Katoh-Fukui, Y., Ogawa, H., Baba, T., Shima, Y., Sugiyama, N., Kitamura, K.,
and Morohashi, K. (2013). Aristaless related homeobox gene, Arx, is implicated in mouse fetal
Leydig cell differentiation possibly through expressing in the progenitor cells. PLoS ONE *8*,
e68050.

Mork, L., Maatouk, D.M., McMahon, J.A., Guo, J.J., Zhang, P., McMahon, A.P., and Capel, B.
(2012). Temporal differences in granulosa cell specification in the ovary reflect distinct follicle
fates in mice. Biol Reprod *86*, 37.

Neirijnck, Y., Sararols, P., Kühne, F., Mayère, C., Weerasinghe Arachchige, L.C., Regard, V., Nef,
S., and Schedl, A. (2023). Single-cell transcriptomic profiling redefines the origin and specification
of early adrenogonadal progenitors. Cell Rep *42*, 112191.

Nicol, B., Estermann, M.A., Yao, H.H., and Mellouk, N. (2022). Becoming female: Ovarian
differentiation from an evolutionary perspective. Front Cell Dev Biol *10*, 944776.

Niu, W., and Spradling, A.C. (2020). Two distinct pathways of pregranulosa cell differentiation
support follicle formation in the mouse ovary. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *117*, 20015-20026.
Perea-Gomez, A., Bellido Carreras, N., Dhellemmes, M., Tang, F., Le Gallo, C., and Chaboissier,
M.C. (2025). NR2F2 is required in the embryonic testis for fetal Leydig cell development. Elife *14*.
Perl, A.K., Wert, S.E., Nagy, A., Lobe, C.G., and Whitsett, J.A. (2002). Early restriction of
peripheral and proximal cell lineages during formation of the lung. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *99*,
10482-10487.
Qin, J., Tsai, M.J., and Tsai, S.Y. (2008). Essential roles of COUP-TFII in Leydig cell
differentiation and male fertility. PLoS ONE *3*, e3285.
Qiu, C., Martin, B.K., Welsh, I.C., Daza, R.M., Le, T.M., Huang, X., Nichols, E.K., Taylor, M.L.,
Fulton, O., O'Day, D.R.*, et al.* (2024). A single-cell time-lapse of mouse prenatal development from
gastrula to birth. Nature *626*, 1084-1093.
Rastetter, R.H., Bernard, P., Palmer, J.S., Chassot, A.A., Chen, H., Western, P.S., Ramsay, R.G.,
Chaboissier, M.C., and Wilhelm, D. (2014). Marker genes identify three somatic cell types in the
fetal mouse ovary. Dev Biol *394*, 242-252.
Richards, J.S., Ren, Y.A., Candelaria, N., Adams, J.E., and Rajkovic, A. (2017). Ovarian Follicular
Theca Cell Recruitment, Differentiation, and Impact on Fertility: 2017 Update. Endocrine Reviews
39, 1-20.
Rossitto, M., Déjardin, S., Rands, C.M., Le Gras, S., Migale, R., Rafiee, M.R., Neirijnck, Y.,
Pruvost, A., Nguyen, A.L., Bossis, G.*, et al.* (2022). TRIM28-dependent SUMOylation protects the
adult ovary from activation of the testicular pathway. Nat Commun *13*, 4412.
Rotgers, E., Jorgensen, A., and Yao, H.H. (2018). At the Crossroads of Fate-Somatic Cell Lineage
Specification in the Fetal Gonad. Endocr Rev *39*, 739-759.
Schmahl, J., and Capel, B. (2003). Cell proliferation is necessary for the determination of male fate
in the gonad. Dev Biol *258*, 264-276.
Schmahl, J., Eicher, E.M., Washburn, L.L., and Capel, B. (2000). Sry induces cell proliferation in
the mouse gonad. Development *127*, 65-73.
Schmahl, J., Yao, H.H., Pierucci-Alves, F., and Capel, B. (2003). Colocalization of WT1 and cell
proliferation reveals conserved mechanisms in temperature-dependent sex determination. Genesis
35, 193-201.
Shen, Y.C., Shami, A.N., Moritz, L., Larose, H., Manske, G.L., Ma, Q., Zheng, X., Sukhwani, M.,
Czerwinski, M., Sultan, C.*, et al.* (2021). TCF21(+) mesenchymal cells contribute to testis somatic
cell development, homeostasis, and regeneration in mice. Nat Commun *12*, 3876.
Stevant, I., Kuhne, F., Greenfield, A., Chaboissier, M.C., Dermitzakis, E.T., and Nef, S. (2019).
Dissecting Cell Lineage Specification and Sex Fate Determination in Gonadal Somatic Cells Using
Single-Cell Transcriptomics. Cell Rep *26*, 3272-3283 e3273.
Stevant, I., and Nef, S. (2018). Single cell transcriptome sequencing: A new approach for the study
of mammalian sex determination. Mol Cell Endocrinol *468*, 11-18.
Stevant, I., and Nef, S. (2019). Genetic Control of Gonadal Sex Determination and Development.
Trends Genet *35*, 346-358.
Tang, F., Richardson, N., Albina, A., Chaboissier, M.C., and Perea-Gomez, A. (2020). Mouse
Gonad Development in the Absence of the Pro-Ovary Factor WNT4 and the Pro-Testis Factor
SOX9. Cells *9*.
Tirosh, I., Izar, B., Prakadan, S.M., Wadsworth, M.H., 2nd, Treacy, D., Trombetta, J.J., Rotem, A.,
Rodman, C., Lian, C., Murphy, G.*, et al.* (2016). Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of
metastatic melanoma by single-cell RNA-seq. Science *352*, 189-196.
Wilhelm, D., Yang, J.X., and Thomas, P. (2013). Chapter Three - Mammalian Sex Determination
and Gonad Development. In Current Topics in Developmental Biology, P. Thomas, ed. (Academic
Press), pp. 89-121.

Wolf, F.A., Angerer, P., and Theis, F.J. (2018). SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression
data analysis. Genome Biol *19*, 15.
Wolock, S.L., Lopez, R., and Klein, A.M. (2019). Scrublet: Computational Identification of Cell
Doublets in Single-Cell Transcriptomic Data. Cell Syst *8*, 281-291 e289.
Yao, H.H., Whoriskey, W., and Capel, B. (2002). Desert Hedgehog/Patched 1 signaling specifies
fetal Leydig cell fate in testis organogenesis. Genes Dev *16*, 1433-1440.
Zheng, W., Zhang, H., Gorre, N., Risal, S., Shen, Y., and Liu, K. (2014). Two classes of ovarian
primordial follicles exhibit distinct developmental dynamics and physiological functions. Hum Mol
Genet *23*, 920-928.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Single cell transcriptomic atlas of developing mouse ovaries.

(A) Schematic overview of ovarian development and study design. Single-cell RNA-seq data were combined from three published datasets (Mayère et al., 2022; Niu and Spradling, 2020; Rossitto et al., 2022), spanning 10 developmental stages (E10.5, E11.5, E12.5, E13.5, E14.5, E16.5, E18.5, P1, P5, P56).

(B) Barplot of cell numbers per developmental stage, subdivided by single cell captures and color-coded by dataset source.

(C-E) UMAP of 68,366 cells, colored by (C) dataset source, (D) developmental stage, and (E) annotated cell type.

(F) Dotplot of marker gene expression across annotated cell populations. Dot size indicates the percentage of expressing cells; color indicates average normalized expression (per-gene scaled). Marker genes for each cell type are highlighted in blue.

(G and H) Conductance-based Partition-based Graph Abstraction (cPAGA) showing connectivity between cell populations in the ovarian somatic subset (G) and at each developmental stage (H). Edge width reflects the conductance score.

(I and J) UMAP of the 42,199 ovarian somatic cells colored by developmental stage (I) and annotated cell types (J). Arrows represent hypothetical trajectories.

(K) Cell proportion of ovarian somatic cells from E10.5 to P56.

(L) Somatic cell proliferation indicated as a percentage of cells in G2/M phase by compartment across stages. Supporting includes pre-Sup, pre-Gran and Gran; Stromal includes GM, gSP, Stero, SM and PV.

Cell type abbreviation: CE, coelomic epithelium; SE, surface epithelium cells; pre-Sup, pre-supporting cells; pre-Gran, pre-granulosa cells; Gran, granulosa cells; SLC, supporting-like cells; GM, gonadal mesenchyme; gSP, gonadal stromal progenitors; Stero, steroidogenic cells; SM, smooth muscle cells; PV, perivascular cells; MT, mesonephric tubules; MM, mesonephric mesenchyme; mSP, mesonephric stromal progenitors; AS, adrenosympathetic cells; End, endothelial cells; Eryt, erythrocytes.

Figure 2. Dual origins of pre-granulosa cell revealed by *in vivo* lineage tracing.

(A) UMAP of ovarian somatic cells colored by cell type, highlighting CE/SE, SLC and Gran. The hypothetical trajectory from CE/SE cells towards Gran is indicated.

(B) Mean *Wnt5a* expression across ovarian somatic compartments (CE, SLC, Supporting and Stromal lineages) from E10.5 to P56.

(C and D) UMAPs highlighting ovarian somatic cells at E11.5 and E12.5 (C) and *Wnt5a* expression (D).

(E) Schematic of the *Wnt5a*^{lin} mouse model (*Wnt5-rtTA;TetO-Cre;R26-tdTomato*). Upon DOX exposure, Tet-On3G induces Cre expression, excising the STOP cassette in the R26-LSL-*tdTomato* locus and resulting in permanent tdTomato labeling of *Wnt5a*$^{+}$ cells.

(F) *In vivo* lineage-tracing design. Pregnant females were exposed to DOX between E11.5 and E12.5 and ovaries were collected at E12.5, E16.5, P5, and 8 weeks.

(G) Co-IF for tdTomato and the pre-granulosa marker FOXL2 in *Wnt5a*^{lin} ovaries at E12.5 and E16.

(H) Quantification of tdTomato^{+} as a percentage of total FOXL2^{+} pre-granulosa cells at E12.5 and E16.5.

(I) Co-IF for tdTomato and FOXL2 in *Wnt5a*^{lin} ovaries at P5 and 8 weeks.

(J) Quantification of tdTomato^{+} as a percentage of total FOXL2^{+} pre-granulosa cells at 8 weeks.

(K) UMAP of ovarian somatic cells colored by cell type, with the hypothetical trajectory from SLCs towards granulosa cells (Gran) highlighted.

(L) Mean *Pax8* expression across ovarian somatic compartments (CE, SLC, Sup., Stromal) from E10.5 to P56.

(M and N) UMAP of ovarian somatic cells colored by developmental stages (M) and *Pax8* expression highlighted in the CE/SE, SLC, Sup cell populations (N).

(O) Schematic of the constitutive *Pax8*^{lin} mouse model (*Pax8:Cre;R26-tdTomato*). *Pax8:Cre* knock-in drives *Cre* expression under the control of *Pax8* endogenous promoter. Cre-mediated excision of the STOP cassette at the R26-LSL-tdTomato locus permanently labeled tdTomato *Pax8*$^{+}$ cells and their daughter cells.

(P) *In vivo* lineage-tracing design. Ovaries were collected at E12.5, E16.5, P5, and 8 weeks.

(Q) Co-IF for tdTomato and FOXL2 in *Pax8*^{lin} ovaries at E12.5 and E16.5.

(R) Quantification of tdTomato^{+} as a percentage of total FOXL2^{+} pre-granulosa cells at E12.5 and E16.5.

(S) Co-IF for tdTomato and FOXL2 in *Pax8*^{lin} ovaries at P5 and 8 weeks.

(T) Quantification of tdTomato^{+} as a percentage of total FOXL2^{+} pre-granulosa at 8 weeks. Arrowheads indicate double-positive cells. Dashed lines delineate CE/SE. Scale bars, 100 μm. Error bars represent mean ± SD.

Figure 3. The coelomic epithelium is transcriptionally heterogeneous and biased towards a supporting or stromal identity.

(A) UMAP of the 42,199 ovarian somatic cells, colored by annotated cell types, with trajectories from CE cells toward supporting and steroidogenic lineages.

(B) UMAPs showing expression of *Upk3b* (CE/SE marker), *Wnt6* (Sup marker) and *Tcf21* (gSP marker).

(C) UMAPs of supporting (pre-Sup, pre-Gran, Gran) and stromal identity (gSP, mSP, Stero, PV and SM) scores. Color scales are clipped at the 1st and 99th percentiles to enhance visualization and minimize outliers' impact.

(D) UMAP of fate bias within CE/SE cells classified as stromal-biased if stromal score > supporting score, or supporting-biased otherwise.

(E) Venn diagram comparing differentially expressed genes (DEG) between supporting versus stromal cells and between sup-biased versus stromal-biased CE/SE subsets (l adj. $p < 0.05$).

(F) Scatterplot of sSupporting and stromal scores per CE/SE cell across stages (E11.5-P5).

(G) Lineplot of median supporting and stromal identity scores with 95% confidence intervals across stages.

(H) Heatmap of the percentage of CE/SE cells expressing supporting markers (*Bmp2, Irx3, Lgr5, Runx1* and *Wnt6*) and gSP markers (*Arx, Nr2f2, Pdfgra* and *Tcf21*) across stages, ordered by transcriptomic progression (E11.5-P5).

(I) Heatmap of conductance scores quantifying connectivity between CE/SE and stromal or supporting cells across stages. Cell type abbreviation: CE/SE, coelomic/surface epithelium cells; pre-Sup, pre-supporting cells; pre-Gran, pre-granulosa cells; Gran, granulosa cells; gSP, gonadal stromal progenitors; Stero, steroidogenic cells, SM, smooth muscle cells; PV, perivascular cells.

Figure 4. Primed-ceolomic epithelium cells are dynamically and spatially resolved.

(A) Spatial transcriptomic experimental design using 10x Visium HD technology on parasagittal ovaries/mesonephros sections. Gonads and CE were annotated, CE paths were reconstructed and gene expression/score computed along a pseudospace.

(B) Lineplots of smoothed stromal- and supporting- identity scores along CE pseudospace at E12.5, E14.5 and E16.5 (Mean with 95% confidence intervals).

(C) Heatmap of gene expression along CE pseudospace at E12.5 for differentially expressed genes between supporting-biased (n=340) and stromal-biased (n=273) CE subsets from the scRNA-seq atlas (log2FC > 1, adj. $p < 0.01$). Genes are ordered by pattern similarity.

(D) Lineplots of smoothed *Bmp2* and *Nr2f2* expression along CE pseudospace at E12.5, E14.5 and

E16.5 (Mean with 95% confidence intervals).

(E and F) Combined ISH/IF for *Upk3b* (CE/SE), *Bmp2 (*supporting*)* and NR2F2 (steroidogenic)

(E) or β-catenin and LAMA1 (F) on developing ovaries at E12.5, E13.5 and E16.5. White dashed

lines: CE, green dashed: intragonadal region enriched in NR2F2+ cells; magenta dashed lines:

intragonadal region enriched in *Bmp2*$^+$ cells. Arrowheads indicate basal lamina discontinuities.

(G) Stacked-area plots showing the proportion of intragonadal neighbors (pre-granulosa+SLCs,

Stromal Progenitors (gSP) and germ cells) among the 100 nearest spatial neighbors of CE bins

along pseudospace.

(H) *In vivo* lineage-tracing design. Pregnant *Wnt5a*^{lin} females were exposed to DOX between

E15.5-E16.5 when *Wnt5a* expression is restricted to SPs. Ovaries were collected at E16.5 and 8

weeks.

(I) Co-IF for tdTomato and NR2F2 in *Wnt5a*^{lin} ovaries at E16.5. Arrowheads: double-positive cells.

(J) Co-IF for tdTomato with FOXL2, NR2F2 (stromal cells), or CYP11A1 (steroidogenic cells)

in *Wnt5a*^{lin} ovaries at 8 weeks. Yellow lines: follicular granulosa cells; yellow dashed lines: thecal

compartment. Scale bars, 100μm. Cell type abbreviation: CE/SE, coelomic/surface epithelium

cells; pre-Gran, pre-granulosa cells; Gran, granulosa cells; gSP, gonadal stromal progenitors.

Figure 5. Model for ovarian development in mammals.

CE cells covering the fetal mouse ovary are transcriptionally heterogeneous and spatially organized,

with subsets primed toward supporting or steroidogenic fates whose transcriptomes resemble their

respective target lineages. Steroidogenic-primed CE cells express canonic SP markers (*Arx, Nr2f2,*

Pdfgra and Tcf21), while supporting-primed CE cells express canonical supporting markers (*Bmp2,*

Irx3, Lgr5, Runx1, and *Wnt6*). From E11.5-E12.5 onward, stromal-primed CE cells localize near

the gonad–mesonephros junction, while supporting-primed CE cells occupy the central surface.

After E14.5, stromal-primed CE cells decline sharply, and by E16.5 CE cells are almost exclusively

supporting-biased. Granulosa cells arise through a convergent process from two transcriptionally

distinct progenitors: CE and SLCs. CE-derived granulosa cells form the cortical granulosa

population maintains the primordial follicle reserve, whereas SLC-derived granulosa cells

contribute to a minor cortical subset and a transient medullary subset associated with the first

follicle wave, largely depleted by puberty. During a restricted fetal window (E11.5–E14.5), a subset

of CE progenitors acquires a steroidogenic bias and gives rise to intraovarian SPs. SPs proliferate

extensively, expand to ~41% of ovarian cells by P5, and persist to adulthood. SPs give rise to

steroidogenic theca and stromal cells in the ovary. Cell type abbreviation: SLC, supporting-like cells. Abbreviations to complete

Supplementary Figure S1 related to Figure 1. Annotation of the single-cell transcriptomic atlas of developing mouse ovaries.

(A-C) UMAPs of each developmental stages (E10.5 to P65) colored by sample IDs (A), Leiden clustering (B), and annotation (C). For E10.5, clustering was performed in two steps: non-gonadal cells (clusters associated to green number) were annotated from the complete atlas, in blue, gonadal cells (clusters associated to blue number) were re-clustered from a stage specific subset to refine annotation.

(D) Dotplots showing expression of known markers across Leiden clusters. Dot size represents the percentage of cells and color represents mean expression normalized by cluster. Abbreviations: Cell type abbreviation: SE, surface epithelium cells; preSup, pre-supporting cells; preGran, pre-granulosa cells; Gran, granulosa cells; SLC, supporting-like cells; GM, gonadal mesenchyme; gSP, gonadal stromal progenitors; Stero, steroidogenic cells; SM, smooth muscle cells; PV, perivascular cells; Luteal, luteal cells; Germ, germinal cells; MT, mesonephric tubules; MM, mesonephric mesenchyme; mSP, mesonephric stromal progenitors; AS, adreno sympatic cells; End, endothelial cells; Eryt, erythrocytes; Imm, immune cells.

Supplementary Figure S2 related to Figure 2.

(A) Co-IF for GATA4 and PAX8 in E12.5 and E16.5 fetal ovaries. White line: ovarian domain, dashed line: mesonephric domain.

(B) IF for PAX8 in P0, P10 and adult *Pax8*$^{\text{lin}}$ ovaries showing PAX8 expression restricted to the rete ovarii.

(C) IF for tdTomato in P5 *Pax8*$^{\text{lin}}$ ovaries. Blue line: Rete ovarii, white line: cortical compartment, yellow dashed line: medullar compartment.

(D) Quantification of tdTomato$^+$ as a percentage of total FOXL2$^+$ pre-granulosa cells in the cortical and medullar ovarian compartments at P5. Scale bars, 100 μm. Error bars represent mean ± SD.

Supplementary Figure S3 related to Figure 3.

UMAPs showing expression of supporting markers (*Bmp2*, *Irx3*, *Lgr5* and *Runx1*) and steroidogenic progenitor markers (*Arx*, *Nr2f2* and *Pfgra*) in the ovarian somatic subset.

Supplementary Figure S4 related to Figure 4.

(A) Heatmaps of gene expression varying along CE pseudospace at E14.5 and E16.5 for differentially expressed genes between supporting-biased (n=340) and stromal-biased (n=273) CE subsets from the scRNA-seq atlas (log2FC > 1, adj. p < 0.01). Genes are ordered by pattern similarity.

(B) Smoothed *Runx1* and *Tcf21* expression along CE pseudospace at E14.5 and E16.5 (Mean with 95% confidence intervals).

(C) Combined IF for RUNX1 (supporting) and NR2F2 (F) on developing ovaries at E12.5, E13.5 and E16.5. White dashed lines: CE. (D and E) Combined ISH/IF on XX gonads at E11.5 for (D) *Upk3b* (CE/SE), *Bmp2 (supporting)* and NR2F2 (steroidogenic) or (E) RUNX1 (supporting) and NR2F2. White dashed lines, white solid line: interface between NR2F2-enriched domain and the *Bmp2*-enriched domains. Insets are color-bordered based local enrichment (green: NR2F2-enriched, magenta: *Bmp2*-enriched).

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 2

FIGURE 3

FIGURE 4

FIGURE 5

FIGURE S1

FIGURE S2

FIGURE S3

FIGURE S4