Highly Conserved Core Residues Define Old-World Alphaviruses and Trace
Early Evolutionary Divergence

Wendy Carolina Piña-Ruiz,[1,2,#] Luis Rubén Jaime-Rocha[1,2,#], Andrea Castorena-Robles[1,3], Roger Castells-
Graells[4], Roya Zandi [5], Juan Carlos Muñoz-Escalante[6,7*], Mauricio Comas-Garcia[1,2,9*].

[1]High-Resolution Section, Research Center for Health Sciences and Biomedicine, Autonomous University of San Luis
Potosi, Mexico

[2]Department of Sciences, Autonomous University of San Luis Potosi, Mexico

[3]Life Sciences Graduate Program, Department of Sciences, Autonomous University of San Luis Potosi, Mexico

[4]Structural Biology Programme, Spanish National Cancer Research Centre (CNIO), Madrid, Spain

[5]Department of Physics, University of California, Riverside

[6]Bioinformatic Unit, Research Center for Health Sciences and Biomedicine, Autonomous University of San Luis Potosi,
Mexico

[7]Infectious Diseases Unit, Research Center for Health Sciences and Biomedicine, Autonomous University of San Luis
Potosi, Mexico

[8]Translational and Molecular Medicine Section, Research Center for Health Sciences and Biomedicine, Autonomous
University of San Luis Potosi, Mexico

[#]These authors contributed equally

[*]To whom send any correspondence: carlos.escalante@uaslp.mx and mauricio.comas@uaslp.mx

Abstract

Alphaviruses are positive-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses that assemble into striking
double-icosahedral particles. During budding, their nucleocapsid core forms in the
cytoplasm and adopts a T=4 icosahedral symmetry, a hallmark of Alphaviruses. Here, we
combine structural and phylogenetic analyses to identify the amino acids most likely to
govern capsomer formation (pentamers and hexamers) and core organization. We find that
these residues are highly conserved in present-day Old-World alphaviruses but are divergent
in New-World lineages. This suggests that the common ancestor of both groups likely
assembled cores using the same molecular interactions seen in present-day Old-World

viruses. We propose that early divergence in these interactions weakened core assembly

efficiency, potentially contributing to the attenuation observed in encephalitic New-World

alphaviruses. This attenuation may reflect an adaptive trade-off, in which reduced assembly

efficiency lowers viral replication and virulence, supporting long-term persistence in

enzootic cycles. Revealing how specific residues control capsid architecture and tracing

their evolutionary history, this study provides key insights into alphavirus assembly

mechanisms, opening new avenues for antiviral strategies and rational vaccine design.

Keywords

Alphaviruses; core evolution; capsid protein-capsid protein interactions; viral attenuation

Introduction

Alphaviruses belong to the *Togaviridae* family, have a positive-sense single-stranded RNA

genome of around 11-12 kilobases, and two open reading frames (ORFs) that code for two

polyproteins (1). The ORF1 and ORF2 code the non-structural and structural proteins,

respectively. Alphaviruses infect vertebrates and are transmitted by invertebrate vectors (2).

These viruses have a double-layered virion consisting of two T = 4 icosahedral layers

separated by a lipid membrane; the outer layer is composed of 80 trimeric spikes, each

trimer composed of heterodimers of the glycoproteins E1 and E2, and the inner layer or core

is made of 240 copies of the capsid protein (3). These spikes are not all placed at the same

position in the icosahedron; 20 and 60 spikes at the 3- and quasi-3-fold symmetry axes,

respectively. The core contains the full-length viral genome and assembles into an

amorphous shell in the cytoplasm (4-7). At the plasma membrane, the core interacts with

the heterodimer E1/E2, leading to the assembly and budding of the viral particle (4). Without

the core, E1 and E2 bud as amorphous particles (8).

The Alphavirus virion has a unique architecture; the viral particle consists of two

concentric T = 4 icosahedral layers separated by a lipid bilayer. Both layers interact through

hydrophobic amino acids in the cytoplasmic domain of E2 and the capsid protein (CP) (3).

These virions have structural features unique for an icosahedral viral particle(9-15): i) there

are large "holes" at the center of the pentamer and hexamers (capsomers) of the core, ii) the

capsomers do not establish large contact surface areas between them, and iii) the torsion

angles and distances between capsomers can significantly differ between viral species.

Alphaviruses can be grouped by antigenic complexes (*e.g.,* Semliki Forest and

Western equine encephalitis complexes) (16), by the location where they are endemic or first

isolated (*i.e.,* Old- and New-World Alphaviruses) (17), or by some characteristic of the host

(*e.g.,* if they infect aquatic animals or have no known vertebrate hosts) (18). Most

Alphaviruses are classified as either Old- or New-World; the latter is restricted only to the

American continent, and the former to the rest of the world. On the one hand, Old-World

Alphaviruses mostly cause a febrile and arthrogenic dengue-like illness with a low mortality

rate (19). On the other hand, New-World Alphaviruses affect the central nervous system,

resulting in an encephalitic disease that, in some cases, has high mortality rates (up to 90%

depending on the virus and the age of the patient) (20). Forrester and coworkers proposed

that Alphaviruses originated from a marine host that jumped to terrestrial hosts, with birds

being key to their global spread (18). Interestingly, some Alphaviruses are endemic to the

New World (*i.e.,* Mayaro and Una viruses) but are phylogenetically part of the Old-World

Alphaviruses. The opposite is true for the Sindbis virus, which is endemic to the Old World

but is genetically related to New-World Alphaviruses (17, 18).

We first focused on identifying some structural differences in the cores of

Alphaviruses using known structures to determine putative interactions that regulate CP-CP

and capsomer-capsomer interactions. Then, we predicted the structure of the CP for all

Alphaviruses and performed bioinformatic analysis of the consensus and representative

amino acid sequences of the CPs. We found at least eight highly conserved amino acids in

Old-World Alphaviruses, which are likely to mediate core assembly through electrostatic

interactions (salt bridges). These amino acids are not conserved in other Alphaviruses.

Finally, through a series of evolutionary analyses, we found that the core of the common

ancestor most likely had almost the same electrostatic interactions as in Old-World

Alphaviruses. These results suggest that a strong selective pressure resulted in the

divergence of Old- and New-World Alphaviruses and altered the interactions of the core's

CP.

Materials and Methods

Viral sequences for the prediction of the capsid protein structure.

The CP sequences for 42 *Alphavirus* species were obtained from the NCBI Taxonomy

browser (Viruses; *Riboviria, Orthonovirae; Kitrinoviricota; Alsuviricetes; Martellivirales;*

Togaviridae). For the E2 protein sequences, only 29 species had sufficient data to obtain

representative sequences. The consensus sequences were generated using BioEdit v7.2.5.

Structural data and structure modeling.

The cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structures Sindbis (SINV) (9), Getah (GETV) (10),

Chikungunya (CHIKV) (11), Mayaro (MAYV) (12), Western equine encephalitis (WEEV) (13),

Venezuelan equine encephalitis (VEEV) (14), Eastern equine encephalitis (EEEV) (15),

Barmah forest (BFV) (22), and Semliki forest (SFV) (23) viruses were obtained from the RCSB

PDB website (with PDB IDs 3J0F, 7FD2, 3J2W, 7KO8, 8DEC, 7SFV, 6XO4, 2YEW, and 1DYl,

respectively). The experimental resolution of these structures is 7.0, 2.8, 5.0, 4.4, 4.7, 4.0,

4.2, 5.0, and 9.0 Å, respectively. Models for the structures of the capsid protein and E2 were

generated using AlphaFold2 (24); some sequences were randomly selected to be predicted

using AlphaFold3 (25), and we obtained the same results in all cases as with AlphaFold2.

The structures were analyzed and visualized using ChimeraX (26). All CP and E2 structures

were compared to CHIKV (PDB ID: 3J2W) (11); in cases where multiple structures were

obtained (*i.e.,* when using AlphaFold3), we reported the structure with the lowest RMSD

when overlapped to CHIKV PDB ID 3J2W. All distances between side chains were calculated

by measuring the centroid-to-centroid distances by generating spheres with 2 Å radius.

Alphavirus sequences datasets curation for phylogenetic analysis.

The complete nucleotide sequences corresponding to the 32 *Alphavirus* species were downloaded from GenBank using their taxonomy IDs. We used a different number of viruses for the structural prediction because the number of available sequences for some was not ideal for phylogenetic analyses. Sequences for each species were individually aligned using MAFFT v7.450 (27). The respective annotated RefSeq sequence for each species was used to identify the core coding sequence (CDS) region and to trim the multiple sequence alignments accordingly using BioEdit v7.2.5. To improve the quality of subsequent analyses, sequences were removed if they lacked more than 5% of the total length of the core CDS, contained more than 5% ambiguous nucleotides, or exhibited atypical indels not present in other sequences from the same species.

Consensus and representative sequences for each *Alphavirus* species were generated using CD-HIT-EST at a 90% similarity threshold. These representative core CDS sequences for each species were included in a single dataset and aligned using MEGA v10.2.6 with the MUSCLE algorithm (21). Amino acid sequences were inferred from the aligned nucleotide sequences, and manual curation of the Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA) was performed using BioEdit v7.2.5.

Phylogenetic analysis and reconstruction of ancestral sequences

Phylogenetic inference was conducted in MEGA v10.2.6 using the best-fitting model, and tree robustness was assessed with 1,000 bootstrap iterations. The most probable ancestral sequences for each internal node of the resulting phylogenetic tree were reconstructed using the ExtAncSeqMEGA extension.

Selective pressure, Entropy, and Recombination analysis

To assess potential recombination events within *Alphavirus* CP coding sequences, alignments were analyzed using RDP v5.66, using RDP, GENECONV, BootScan, MaxChi, Chimaera, SiScan, and 3Seq as assessment methods. Potential events supported by at least three different methods with statistically significant *p*-values (<0.05) were considered true recombination events. Selective pressure acting on codon sites was evaluated on either all *Alphavirus* or only Old-World Alphaviruses nucleotide MSA using the Datamonkey Adaptive Evolution server employing SLAC, FEL, FUBAR and MEME methods; sites were classified as being under pervasive or episodic positive selection if detected by MEME ($p<0.1$), FUBAR (posterior probability >0.9), FEL ($p<0.1$), or SLAC ($p<0.1$), respectively.

Site-wise variability (either all *Alphavirus* or only Old-World Alphaviruses) was further examined using Shannon entropy calculation performed in BioEdit v7.2.5 on the MSA. Entropy scores were used to identify highly variable positions across the core protein sequences, supporting the detection of sites associated with adaptive or host-specific changes.

Results

The interactions between capsid proteins in Old-World viruses are highly conserved.

First, we analyzed the cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structures of the core of Sindbis (SINV) (9), Getah (GETV) (10), Chikungunya (CHIKV) (11), Mayaro (MAYV) (12), Western equine encephalitis (WEEV) (13), Venezuelan equine encephalitis (VEEV) (14), Eastern equine encephalitis (EEEV) (15), Barmah forest (BFV) (22), and Semliki forest (SFV) (23) viruses (see Figure 1). In Figure 1, the different colors within a structure represent the four proteins that constitute the core asymmetric unit. These cores have a T = 4 symmetry; they are composed of 240 capsid proteins arranged in 12 pentamers and 30 hexamers. Despite having conserved overall architecture, close inspection of the hexamers, pentamers, and the three- and quasi-threefold symmetry axes shows interesting species-specific features

(*e.g,* the number of amino acids establishing inter- and intra-capsomere interactions, the
distance between capsomers and interacting amino acids, and the torsion angle between
them).

Figure 2A shows no significant differences in the pentamers of these three Old-World
Alphaviruses (*i.e.,* CHIKV, MAYV, and GETV) compared to those in the three New-World
Alphaviruses in Figure 2B; in EEEV, the capsid proteins (CP) in the pentamer establish fewer
inter-subunit contacts than in VEEV and WEEV (see the asterisks). The structures of the VEEV
and WEEV pentamers exhibit no noticeable differences compared to those of CHIKV, MAYV,
and GETV. However, the quasi-threefold symmetry axis (q3) shows some changes
(supplementary figure 1); the space between two adjacent hexamers and one pentamer is
approximately 23 Å, 19 Å, and 25 Å wide for CHIKV, MAYV, and GETV, respectively. These
distances were calculated by generating 2 Å centroids for the amino acids K122, K119, and
K129 in CHIKV, MAYV, and GETV, respectively. Then we measured the centroid-to-centroid
distance between these amino acids in the three proteins forming this symmetry axis. Amino
acids Q121, K125, and Q119 were used for EEEV, VEEV, and WEEV, respectively (in the
following sections we will explain why we used those amino acids as references).
Interestingly, while the general features of the VEEV pentamer are like those of the Old-World
Alphaviruses, the space at the q3 is much smaller ($\approx$ 13 Å) than for the other five viruses in
this figure ($\geq$ 15 Å) (see supplementary figure 1). The three-fold symmetry axis (*i.e.,* between
three hexamers) shows a pattern (data not shown).

These results suggest that the physicochemical properties of the amino acids, which
should control capsomer assembly and capsomer-capsomer interactions, may be similar
for these three Old-World viruses and vary in the New-World Alphaviruses. To explore this
idea, we focused on the CP contact surfaces, using the CHIKV core cryo-EM structure (PDB
ID 3J2W) as a reference. The assembly of Alphaviruses occurs at neutral pH at the plasma
membrane, while disassembly occurs in the endosome under acidic conditions (3).
Therefore, we focused on pH-dependent interactions in multiple CP-CP interfaces using
CHIKV as our reference system.

Figure 3A suggests electrostatic interactions (salt bridges) between CHIKV CP K172

and E234 (outer), and K177 and E184 (inner). It should be noted that the resolution of these

structures does not permit precise localization of side-chain positions. Nonetheless, the

distances of these amino acids and their chemical environment suggest electrostatic

interactions between the CPs in the pentamers. These putative interactions are also present

in the hexamers (data not shown); however, because of the asymmetry of the hexamer, the

distances between K177 and E184 vary between adjacent CPs and are greater than in the

pentamers (data not shown). Figure 3B shows the three-fold symmetry (i3) axis of CHIKV,

suggesting that the capsomer-capsomer interactions depend on two sets of electrostatic

interactions: E120/K151 and K122/D148. The position of these amino acids resembles a

dipole-dipole interaction; thus, the strength of these interactions should depend on the

distances and angles between capsomers. To further understand the structures shown in

Figure 2, we overlapped the structure of the monomeric CHIKV CP (Figure 3C) with those of

Alphaviruses, MAYV and EEEV, with a known cryo-EM structure. Figures 3D and 3E show the

overlap of CHIKV CP with MAYV and EEEV CPs, respectively. On the one hand, Figure 3D

shows that MAYV CP maintains perfect charge conservation and identity at the positions of

the eight amino acids of interest. The only difference is that CHIKV CP K151 has an arginine

in MAYV (R148). On the other hand, Figure 3E shows key differences in the EEEV CP; the

amino acids that should be in the same position as in CHIKV CP K122, K151, D148, K177,

and E184 are instead Q, Q, A, L, and P, respectively. The overlap of the structures of GETV,

SFV, and BFV with CHIKV shows that the amino acids in these eight positions are 100%

conserved in charge, while the degree of conservation for SINV, WEEV, and VEEV is much

lower (data not shown).

Figure 4 shows a closer look at the interfaces in a pentamer; Figure 4A (top row)

contains only Old-World Alphaviruses, which exhibit the same salt bridges as CHIKV. Figure

4B shows the equivalent interface for three New-World Alphaviruses; the amino acids in

positions equivalent to CHIKV CP K177 and E184 (inner interactions) are very different

between EEEV, VEEV, and WEEV. Nonetheless, the charge of the amino acids equivalent to

CHIKV CP K172 and E234 (outer interactions) is the same in all cases. The "outer

interactions" in the pentamer seem to be conserved in Old- and New-World Alphaviruses, while the "inner interactions" are only conserved in Old-World Alphaviruses. Interestingly, while there are large differences in the CP conformation and the CP-CP surfaces in the pentamers of Old-World Alphaviruses, the "inner" and "outer" electrostatic interactions seem to be the same. In the New-World Alphaviruses, the CP conformation, the CP-CP surfaces, and the putative interacting amino acids that are equivalent in position to those in Old-World Alphaviruses are not conserved.

To better understand the interactions between capsomers (Figure 3B), we analyzed the i3 axis (see Figure 5). The leftmost panel in Figure 5A shows the centroid-to-centroid distance (2-Å centroid) and torsion angle between three CHIKV CP K122 amino acids. The letters in parentheses indicate the chains being compared in the Cryo-EM structure. The distances between equivalent amino acids are asymmetric, although the exact positions of the side chains are unknown. This analysis was also conducted for MAYV, GETV, EEEV, VEEV, and WEEV. The interactions in CHIKV, MAYV, and GETV (Figure 5A) are the same in these viruses, and the distances and torsion angles between equivalent amino acids do not differ between these viruses. Figure 5B shows that at the i3, the interactions in EEEV and WEEV are between polar uncharged amino acids (Q and N) instead of charged amino acids.

Additionally, the middle panel in Figure 5B shows that in VEEV, a cluster of charged amino acids (K135 and E135 from three capsid proteins) does not appear to have a corresponding pair of amino acids that could establish a dipole-dipole-like interaction, as observed in CHIKV, MAYV, and GETV (see Figure 5A). The distances between Q121 and Q119 in EEEV and WEEV are similar to the basic amino acids in the i3 of CHIKV, MAYV, and GETV. The only significant difference in WEEV is that the torsion angle of the amino acid is greater than that of Old-World Alphaviruses. The interactions in VEEV are very different from those of the other viruses in this Figure; for example, the charged amino acids are about half the distance as in CHIKV ($\approx$ 12.8-15.8 Å vs $\approx$ 27.2-30.6 Å, respectively). There is no pair of amino acids with an opposite charge that could help to establish a dipole-dipole-like interaction. The torsion angles between these amino acids are indicated in the center of the i3 site; these angles are very similar for these three Old-World Alphaviruses and EEEV (between 51-57 °).

However, EEEV and WEEV have different torsion angles, albeit having similar distances and
amino acids at the sites of interest. This suggests that other amino acids contribute to the
CP-CP in these interfaces.

The electrostatic interactions between capsid proteins are a unique feature of Old-
World viruses.

The results in the previous section were limited to Alphaviruses with known Cryo-EM
structures; however, we wanted to identify these interactions for all Alphaviruses with known
CP sequences. First, we generated a consensus sequence for the CP of all Alphaviruses
(including those in the previous section) and predicted the structure of the monomeric
capsid protein using Alphafold2 (24). We also used Alphafold3 (25) for a few randomly
selected structures, and the results were consistent with those obtained with Alphafold2.
The goal of this prediction was to identify amino acids for Alphaviruses without structural
data that are in positions equivalent to those of interest in the monomeric form of the CHIKV
CP and not to infer any data from a possible multimeric context. In fact, we only analyzed the
predicted monomeric structures of the capsid proteins because Alphafold2 and 3 failed to
assemble them into pentamers or hexamers. For this analysis, we used consensus
sequences. Then, we overlapped the predicted structures with the CHIKV CP (PDB 3J2W) as
was done in Figures 3D and 3E to identify the amino acids that are in equivalent positions to
those of CHIKV CP, specifically E120, K122, D148, K151, K172, K177, E184, and E234 (see
supplementary files). From here on, we will use this numbering to indicate the amino acids
of reference, and CHIKV will be the virus to which we will compare all interactions. The
results of these analyses are presented in Figures 6-9. The numbers in green indicate that
the percentage of amino acid identity and/or charge conservation is greater than or equal to
80%.

Additionally, we performed a multiple-sequence alignment (MUSCLE) of the
consensus sequences (see Supplementary Figures 2 and 3). The comparison between the
two methods revealed a few differences. The lavender, turquoise, and maroon amino acids

in Figures 7-9 indicate that the positions of the amino acids predicted through Alphafold2 and MUSCLE differ. The lavender color indicates that the amino acid overlapping with the reference structures is due to an insertion. The amino acids in turquoise overlap at a -1 position in the aligned sequence, and the maroon color refers to amino acids that overlap with the reference CHIKV amino acids because of a deletion.

Figure 6 shows almost 100% charge conservation of these amino acids in all Old-World Alphaviruses; the Ndumu and Middelburg viruses have a charge inversion in K122 and a Q in K177, respectively. Also, except for the positions equivalent to E120 and K172, the identities of the other six amino acids are conserved between 80% and 100%. These results suggest that for Old-World Alphaviruses, there is strong evolutionary pressure on the charge of amino acids that might control the intra- and inter-capsomer interactions and the length of the side chains of these amino acids. These findings are consistent with the results presented in the previous section.

In New-World Alphaviruses, except for the amino acids equivalent to K172/E234 (*i.e.*, the "outer interactions"), the charge is not conserved in the rest of the amino acids of interest (Figure 7). However, there seems to be some degree of conservation within antigenic complexes. In most cases, the charged amino acids are replaced by polar uncharged amino acids, except in the positions equivalent to D148 and K151, where there is a significant amount of hydrophobic side chains. Interestingly, E120 and K122 are conserved in the VEEV antigenic complex. In contrast, in the WEEV complex, E120 can be a polar uncharged, or acidic side chain, and K122 is either an acidic or a polar uncharged amino acid. In WEEV and VEEV, D148 is mostly substituted for Q or V. In the WEEV complex, K177 and E184 are Q and P, respectively, while in the VEEV complex, the charge of K177 is conserved, and E184 is almost always a Q. The identities of five of the eight amino acids of interest within the VEEV complex are more conserved than within viruses of the WEEV complex. These results suggest a common ancestor for the CP of Aura virus, SINV, and Whataroa virus and a distinct ancestor for the other viruses within this antigenic complex. Furthermore, based on the results of Table 2, it is likely that the CP of the Madariaga virus may have had a common ancestor with the cores of WEEV, Fort Morgan Virus, and Highland J virus.

The lack of conservation of intra- and inter-capsomeric interactions is more evident

for Alphaviruses found in mosquitoes with no known vertebrate host that are not included in

the Old-/New-World classification and on aquatic Alphaviruses (see Figures 8 and 9). Figure

8 shows that, on the one hand, like in New-World Alphaviruses, a hydrophobic side chain

replaces D148. On the other hand, K151 is replaced by a polar uncharged amino acid, which

is unique for this group. Interestingly, the charge conservation of the viruses in Table 3 is

closer to that of Old-World than New-World Alphaviruses.

The degree of charge conservation of the amino acids of interest in aquatic

Alphaviruses is low compared to CHIKV. However, in aquatic Alphaviruses that infect

mammals, six of the eight amino acids of interest are conserved in these viruses (see shaded

rows in Figure 9). While the number of viruses in this category is too small to make a

conjecture, it could suggest that, at least based on the core and/or CPs, these two viruses

might be related to Old-World Alphaviruses. In aquatic Alphaviruses that infect fish, there

are two positions with charge inversion, K151 and K177. This charge inversion should result

in intra- and inter-capsomeric interactions that differ from the rest of the Alphaviruses.

The data in Figures 6-9 show a wide range of interactions for non-Old-World

Alphaviruses. Nonetheless, it is clear that the "outer intracapsomeric interactions"

(E234/K172) are highly conserved in almost all Alphaviruses; only in the Trocara virus and the

Wenling fish alphavirus, the amino acids in the equivalent positions to CHIKV CP E234 and

K172 are a Q and an H, respectively. These results suggest that there is a strong evolutionary

pressure on the salt bridge between CHIKV CP E234 and K172, and that this interaction has

been conserved during evolution, possibly playing a crucial role in core assembly.

The electrostatic interactions between capsid proteins at the core might be related to

glycosylation patterns in E2.

The results presented so far suggest that the organization and type of interactions in the core

of Alphaviruses could have changed when Old- and New-World Alphaviruses diverged. But

these are not the only changes. The glycosylation profiles of E2 and E1 for Old-World

Alphaviruses differ from New-World ones (28). For example, CHIKV E2 has only one

glycosylation site in N623. A bioinformatic and structural analysis identical to the one

described in the previous section, aiming at finding an N-X-S/T motif at the same position as

CHIKV E2 N263, shows that this glycosylation site is conserved in almost all Old-World

Alphaviruses (see Figure 10A). Only the Bebaru virus appears to have an N-to-G substitution

at this site. We could not find an N-X-T/S motif in or around the E2 region of the Bebaru virus

that is structurally equivalent to the CHIKV motif. Figure 10B shows the Cryo-EM density of

the E2 glycosylation site for MAYV, which has been proposed to act as a "molecular

handshake" between trimers that stabilize the viral particle (12). It should be noted that

analyses E2 and CP do not have the same number of species, as there are fewer available

sequences in E2 than in CP. Therefore, the number of viruses in Figures 6-9 and Figures 10A,

10B, and 10C differs, and thus, this could limit our study.

Figure 10C shows no N-glycosylation motif on or around CHIKV E2 N263 in New-

World Alphaviruses. This figure also suggests that the Fort Morgan virus may have an N-

glycosylation site (N260) in a position close to CHIKV E2 (N263). However, E2 N260 in the

Fort Morgan virus is not equivalent to CHIKV E2 N263. In the Old-World Alphaviruses, the

glycosylation site equivalent to CHIKV N263 is in a β-sheet, while the Fort Morgan N260 (N-

V-T motif) is in a loop before this β-sheet (Figure 10D). The position of this possible

glycosylation site makes it unlikely to result in the same trimer-trimer interactions as the

"molecular handshake" proposed for MAYV (12).

Finally, the data in Figure 10E suggest that Harbor porpoise alphavirus, which infects

marine mammals, has the same glycosylation site as CHIKV. Interestingly, this marine

Alphavirus has seven of the eight amino acids studied in the previous sections conserved in

charge compared to CHIKV. Therefore, there may be a relationship between the identity of

the amino acids that control assembly and the position of the glycosylation sites in E2. It is

possible that in non-Old-World Alphavirus cores, the interactions between CPs are weak,

and glycosylation in E2 N263 could introduce rigidity that inhibits the assembly of the

icosahedral core during budding.

The common ancestor of Old- and New-World Alphaviruses may have had intra- and inter-capsomer interactions like those of CHIKV.

Phylogenetic inference analysis of Alphaviruses is usually done using the structural viral protein E1, E2, and TF, or a non-structural protein (17, 18, 29). However, using E1 and E2 provides information about the evolution of viruses in the context of their interactions with hosts. Therefore, using these proteins is extremely useful for understanding the evolution of a pathogen from a perspective that incorporates contributions from both the host and vectors. Nonetheless, we wanted to understand the evolution of the core and determine what kind of core was first: was the core with strong electrostatic interactions (Old-World Alphaviruses) first, and then the core with weak interactions appeared (New-World Alphaviruses), or vice versa? First, we generated representative viral sequences with sufficient CP data and performed phylogenetic inference using MEGA v10.2.6 and ExtAncSeqMEGA (see Methods and Materials).

Figure 11 shows the result of the phylogenetic analysis using CP. The structural analysis was conducted using consensus sequences; thus, we studied 42 viral species. However, to obtain a robust phylogenetic analysis, we used representative sequences, which limited us to 32 species. As expected from the results shown in Figures 6 and 7, there is a clear separation between Old- and New-World Alphaviruses; however, this analysis shows that the CP of Sindbis, Aura, and Whataroa viruses diverged separately from the rest of the New-World clades. This separation is similar but not identical to those reported using the structural proteins E1, E2, and TF (17, 18, 29). Figures 9 and 10D suggest that Harbor porpoise alphavirus did not jump directly from fish to a porpoise, but it appeared when the Old-World group separated from the Aura virus clade (blue clade in Figure 11). As shown by Weaver and Forrester (17, 18), Middelburg, Bebaru, Semliki, Getah, and Ross River viruses belong to a distinct clade, separate from CHIKV, O'nyong nyong, Una, and Barmah Forest viruses. However, our results differ in some respects. By looking only at the CP, the Una and Mayaro viruses are not part of the same clade as proposed based on E1, E2, and TF (17).

Analysis of the CP results reveals that within the WEE antigenic complex, Aura, SINV,

and Whataroa viruses belong to a different clade than the Highlands J and WEE viruses.

Interestingly, this analysis suggests that the evolution of the CP of Fort Morgan virus differs

significantly from that observed for other structural proteins. New-World Alphaviruses

appear to have given rise to three main clades of the viral core. One clade includes Aura,

SINV, and Whataroa viruses, which appear to be closer ancestors of Old-World

Alphaviruses than the rest of the New-World Alphaviruses. This is consistent with SINV and

Whataroa being found in the Old World. The second clade includes Fort Morgan, Madariaga,

Highlands J, EEEV, and WEE viruses, while the third clade encompasses the rest.

Additionally, we performed an entropic and selective pressure analysis of Old- and

New-World Alphavirus CPs to understand how variable these amino acids are and the types

of selective pressure they may be subject to during evolution. When these sequences were

analyzed, we did not detect strong selective pressure to maintain the identities of CP E120,

K122, D148, K151, K172, K177, E184, and E234 (supplementary files). However, when this

analysis was conducted with only Old-World Alphavirus CP, 31 sites within the CP MSA were

under purifying selection, as detected by FEL or FUBAR analyses (supplementary files).

Interestingly, 16 amino acids also exhibited low entropy values, indicating high evolutionary

conservation. A Shannon entropy value less than 0.9 indicates high conservation; the

maximum possible Shannon entropy if there are 20 possible amino acids with the same

probability to appear is 4.32 (*i.e.,* random distribution), and a value of 0 refers to complete

conservation. Therefore, a value of 0.9 indicates that there are only two or less possible

amino acids for that position. These conserved residues are likely to be functionally or

structurally important, potentially contributing to the proper functioning of these proteins.

The overlap between strong negative selective pressure and low sequence variability

supports the idea that these sites are subject to evolutionary constraints due to their

essential roles in the viral cycle.

Notably, a general trend of low entropy was observed starting at position 130 of the

295-amino-acid alignment (of the Old-World Alphavirus consensus sequence), suggesting

a region of sustained conservation across multiple species, potentially associated with a

structurally constrained domain (the first 100 to 130 amino acids are disordered and interact

with the genomic RNA). Furthermore, most of the sites subjected to purifying selection were

located between positions 96-145 and 187-206 (supplementary files), highlighting two

discrete regions of potential functional importance that may be critical for the structural

integrity of the viral capsid.

A closer look at CHIKV CP in positions E120, K122, D148, K151, K172, K177, E184,

and E234 showed that the Shannon entropy of these amino acids is 0.7, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0,

and 0.3, respectively (see Figure 12). These results are consistent with the hypothesis that

these eight amino acids are key in Old-World Alphavirus CP. The consequences of these

observations will be discussed later, but they may be related to the pathogenesis and type

of disease they cause.

Then we used the ExtAncSeqMEGA to infer a few most probable ancestor sequences

(see the three asterisks and letters A-G in the insert in Figure 11). This analysis strongly

suggests that the common ancestor of Alphaviruses that infect mammals but not fish had

seven of the eight amino acids we propose mediate CP-CP and capsomer-capsomer

interactions in Old-World viruses. This data implies that, after the appearance of the Old-

World Alphavirus ancestor in node C, selective pressure on the eight amino acids of interest

became so strong that they became invariant. The only difference between this common

ancestor's amino acids of interest and Old-World Alphaviruses is that the equivalent amino

acid to CHIKV D148 is V158.

The event that led to the divergence of these two main groups resulted in a significant

diversification of the New-World Alphavirus CP. However, on the one hand, the common

ancestor of the Sindbis clade has the same eight amino acids as the common ancestor for

all Alphaviruses that do not infect fish (*** in Figure 11). On the other hand, the common

ancestor for the VEE and EEE complexes already had three mutations in the CP: one

mutation in the inter- and two mutations in the intracapsomeric interactions, respectively.

The most divergent node is the one that gave rise to the EEE complex.

Discussion

The results presented here suggest that the CP-CP interactions that mediate core assembly of New- and Old-World Alphaviruses are distinct. On the one hand, based on the analysis of cryo-EM structures and the predicted structure of the CPs, it is likely that the core of CHIKV and all other Old-World Alphaviruses is controlled through highly conserved electrostatic interactions. Most likely, salt bridges control the assembly of capsomers (*i.e.,* pentamers and hexamers), and dipole-dipole-like interactions at the i3 and q3 axes are responsible for capsomer-capsomer interactions. On the other hand, the amino acids that control the assembly of capsomers and capsomer-capsomer interactions in New-World and other Alphaviruses are not conserved, and their interactions should be weaker than in the Old-World cores. Based on the proposed amino acids, it is likely that the assembly of the core of Old-World Alphaviruses is mediated by highly conserved, strong electrostatic interactions unique to this group of viruses.

Kaelber *et al*. showed that CHIKV, EEEV, and VEEV have T = 4 virions, with a minority population of T = 3 and abnormal particles (30). However, the prevalence of T = 3 and abnormal virions is higher in VEEV and EEEV than in CHIKV. While they did not propose why EEEV and VEEV virions have a higher population of misassembled virions than CHIKV, our results are consistent with a scenario where the assembly of EEEV and VEEV virions results in a smaller population of (correct) T = 4 virions than CHIKV, because the intra- and intercapsomere interactions of EEEV and VEEV CP are weaker than those of CHIKV and hence are more prone to making mistakes during assembly. Unpublished results from our group show that point mutations in the CHIKV CP at any of these eight amino acids proposed here to control the intra- and intercapsomere interactions increase the percentage of abnormal virions. While the biological implications of Kaelber and co-workers' observations are unclear, the fact that EEEV and VEEV have a higher rate of misassembled virions could be interpreted as an attenuation mechanism. It is essential to note that EEEV and VEEV are lethal viruses, whereas CHIKV is rarely deadly (31). Therefore, there is the possibility that encephalitic Alphaviruses may be more likely to make more mistakes during assembly to

achieve attenuation, thereby increasing the probability of infecting new hosts before the

original host dies. However, this hypothesis is yet to be tested.

Mukhopadhyay and coworkers cryo-EM reconstructed Ross River virus and WEEV *in*

vitro assembled core-like particles (CLPs) and could not achieve a resolution higher than 30

Å (7). They also attempted to reconstruct cores produced in mammalian cells, in which the

lipid bilayer and the E1/E2 layer were removed, obtaining similar results to those with the

CLPs. Therefore, they proposed that their inability to achieve the same resolution as intact

virions suggested that CLPs deviate from an icosahedron and/or are heterogeneous (7).

Recently, Chmielewski and co-workers used cryo-ET to reconstruct CHIKV particles as they

budded from cultured cells (4). In this work, they demonstrated that the cores in the

cytoplasm are not icosahedral, and the double icosahedral symmetry of the virion is

assembled during budding. Although Chmielewski and coworkers did not investigate the

molecular assembly mechanism of CHIKV virions, their results can be correlated with the

hypothesis presented here. The transition from a disordered to an icosahedral core can be

viewed as an annealing process in which the cytoplasmic core is not in its lowest free-energy

state. It is upon interaction with the glycoproteins at the plasma membrane that multiple

protein-protein interactions overcome the loss of entropy (because of the transition from

disordered to icosahedral core). Based on data from Chmielewski and co-workers (4), it is

reasonable to propose that weak interactions would facilitate this mechanism; for example,

the proposed dipole-dipole-like interactions between capsomers depend on the distance

and angle between them. An angle dependency would facilitate the reordering of a

heterogeneous core into an icosahedral structure as observed by Cryo-ET (4).

We investigated the evolution of the CP, and by only focusing on the CP, we could infer

that the common ancestor of non-fish-infecting Alphaviruses had almost the same putative

CP-CP and capsomer-capsomer interactions as Old-World Alphaviruses. On the one hand,

New-World Alphaviruses are mostly restricted to the American continent, yet there is

significant variability in these interactions. On the other hand, Old-World Alphaviruses can

be found in the rest of the world, and these interactions are highly conserved.

Our analysis of Shannon entropy and selective pressure clearly shows that the CPs

of these two groups of Alphaviruses differ significantly; the amino acids proposed to control

core assembly are invariant in Old-World viruses. Altogether, our results, along with those

obtained by studying the evolution of Alphaviruses using the glycoproteins (which are

responsible for viral tropism) and the virulence factor TF (17, 18), which resulted in the

generation of arthrogenic and encephalitic Alphaviruses, could suggest a relationship

between the CP-CP that control core assembly and pathogenicity. The event that resulted in

a rapid evolution of the CP on the American continent, and allowed for weak CP-CP

interactions and possibly core defects (as observed in VEEV and WEEV (30)) could have

resulted in a viral attenuation mechanism. This hypothesis is consistent with the fact that

the glycosylation profiles of E2 and E1 differ between these two groups of Alphaviruses.

Therefore, it is possible that glycosylation changes not only affected tropism but also

contributed to stabilizing cores with weak interactions (unpublished data). In other words, it

is possible that the "molecular handshake" proposed by Ribeiro-Filho for MAYV (12) had to

be removed to allow for assembly of the core when the interactions became weaker, and that

this event might have happened when the divergence of Old- and New-World viruses

occurred.

It has been proposed that Alphaviruses have a marine origin (18). However, a

phylogenetic analysis of the CP alone suggests that the marine alphaviruses infecting

mammals evolved later than those that infect fish. Our analysis also indicates that Harbor

porpoise virus was reintroduced to marine animals after the divergence of Old- and New-

World viruses. We are unclear when the Southern elephant seal virus (SEAV) was introduced.

However, given that SEAV CP has six of the eight amino acids of interest conserved with

CHIKV, this suggests it may have been reintroduced into a marine environment at the same

time as Harbor porpoise virus.

The inference of the CP common ancestor suggests it had seven of the eight

interactions we propose to control CHIKV assembly. This indicates that the event that

separated Old- and New-World Alphaviruses resulted in homogeneous, and most likely

more stable, Old-World cores. In contrast, this event favored increased heterogeneity in

New-World Alphaviruses. The increase in variability in the intra- and intercapsomere
interactions could likely be linked to the development of a lethal encephalitic disease.

Conclusions

The interactions that control core assembly in Old-World Alphaviruses differ significantly
from those of other Alphaviruses. The amino acids studied here are likely to play a crucial
role in the assembly of capsomers and the core in the cytoplasm, so that they favor the
transition from an irregular to icosahedral core during assembly. In Old-World Alphaviruses,
these interactions are highly conserved and likely emerged early in the evolution of these
viruses. The divergence of Old- and New-World Alphaviruses resulted not only in differences
in tropism and disease but in structural differences. These differences could have resulted
in a novel attenuation mechanism in which lethal Alphaviruses are more prone to make
mistakes during assembly than non-lethal Alphaviruses. However, the results presented
here require experimental confirmation, primarily because the cryo-EM data were not fully
resolved and because the AlphaFold2 and 3 models were generated from the monomeric
capsid protein; therefore, we may be missing protein surfaces that appear once the core is
assembled. Nonetheless, combining structural prediction and MSA analyses proved to be
crucial as we were able to find that only 12 out of 293 amino acids (4.1%) showed
discordance in position. This suggests that combining both approaches is likely to yield a
highly accurate prediction of these interactions of interest. Our group is currently testing
these scenarios to investigate the contribution of these amino acids by performing site-
directed mutagenesis in our CHIKV system (32) with a reporter gene to validate so we can
further validate these hypothesis on core assembly, as well as the correlation between the
E2 N263 glycosylation site characteristic of Old-World Alphaviruses and the identity of the
amino acids that control core assembly.

Funding

M.C-G. thanks the Mexican Government for the SEIHCITI CBF-2023-2024-1145 grant, the San Luis Potosi State Government for the COPOCYT 2024-03-M07 grant, and the CICSaB/UASLP for intramural support. A.R-C. thanks the SEIHCITI for the Ph.D. fellowship 4032286.

Acknowledgments

We also thank Jose Alberto Campillo-Balderas for his helpful comments during the writing of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors do not have any competing financial or non-financial interests directly or indirectly related to this work.

References

1. Ou JH, Rice CM, Dalgarno L, Strauss EG, Strauss JH. 1982. Sequence studies of several alphavirus genomic RNAs in the region containing the start of the subgenomic RNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 79:5235-9.
2. Lambrechts L, Scott TW. 2009. Mode of transmission and the evolution of arbovirus virulence in mosquito vectors. Proc Biol Sci 276:1369-78.
3. Button JM, Qazi SA, Wang JC, Mukhopadhyay S. 2020. Revisiting an old friend: new findings in alphavirus structure and assembly. Curr Opin Virol 45:25-33.
4. Chmielewski D, Schmid MF, Simmons G, Jin J, Chiu W. 2022. Chikungunya virus assembly and budding visualized in situ using cryogenic electron tomography. Nat Microbiol 7:1270-1279.
5. Cheng F, Mukhopadhyay S. 2011. Generating enveloped virus-like particles with in vitro assembled cores. Virology 413:153-60.
6. Cheng F, Tsvetkova IB, Khuong YL, Moore AW, Arnold RJ, Goicochea NL, Dragnea B, Mukhopadhyay S. 2013. The packaging of different cargo into enveloped viral nanoparticles. Mol Pharm 10:51-8.
7. Mukhopadhyay S, Chipman PR, Hong EM, Kuhn RJ, Rossmann MG. 2002. In vitro-assembled alphavirus core-like particles maintain a structure similar to that of nucleocapsid cores in mature virus. J Virol 76:11128-32.

8.   Zhang YN, Deng CL, Li JQ, Li N, Zhang QY, Ye HQ, Yuan ZM, Zhang B. 2019. Infectious
Chikungunya Virus (CHIKV) with a Complete Capsid Deletion: a New Approach for a
CHIKV Vaccine. J Virol 93.

9.   Tang J, Jose J, Chipman P, Zhang W, Kuhn RJ, Baker TS. 2011. Molecular links between
the E2 envelope glycoprotein and nucleocapsid core in Sindbis virus. J Mol Biol
414:442-59.

10.   Wang A, Zhou F, Liu C, Gao D, Qi R, Yin Y, Liu S, Gao Y, Fu L, Xia Y, Xu Y, Wang C, Liu Z.
2022. Structure of infective Getah virus at 2.8 A resolution determined by cryo-
electron microscopy. Cell Discov 8:12.

11.   Sun S, Xiang Y, Akahata W, Holdaway H, Pal P, Zhang X, Diamond MS, Nabel GJ,
Rossmann MG. 2013. Structural analyses at pseudo atomic resolution of
Chikungunya virus and antibodies show mechanisms of neutralization. Elife
2:e00435.

12.   Ribeiro-Filho HV, Coimbra LD, Cassago A, Rocha RPF, Guerra J, de Felicio R, Carnieli
CM, Leme L, Padilha AC, Paes Leme AF, Trivella DBB, Portugal RV, Lopes-de-Oliveira
PS, Marques RE. 2021. Cryo-EM structure of the mature and infective Mayaro virus at
4.4 A resolution reveals features of arthritogenic alphaviruses. Nat Commun 12:3038.

13.   Sutton MS, Pletnev S, Callahan V, Ko S, Tsybovsky Y, Bylund T, Casner RG, Cerutti G,
Gardner CL, Guirguis V, Verardi R, Zhang B, Ambrozak D, Beddall M, Lei H, Yang ES,
Liu T, Henry AR, Rawi R, Schon A, Schramm CA, Shen CH, Shi W, Stephens T, Yang Y,
Florez MB, Ledgerwood JE, Burke CW, Shapiro L, Fox JM, Kwong PD, Roederer M.
2023. Vaccine elicitation and structural basis for antibody protection against
alphaviruses. Cell 186:2672-2689 e25.

14.   Kafai NM, Williamson LE, Binshtein E, Sukupolvi-Petty S, Gardner CL, Liu J, Mackin S,
Kim AS, Kose N, Carnahan RH, Jung A, Droit L, Reed DS, Handley SA, Klimstra WB,
Crowe JE, Diamond MS. 2022. Neutralizing antibodies protect mice against
Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus aerosol challenge. J Exp Med 219.

15.   Williamson LE, Gilliland T, Jr., Yadav PK, Binshtein E, Bombardi R, Kose N, Nargi RS,
Sutton RE, Durie CL, Armstrong E, Carnahan RH, Walker LM, Kim AS, Fox JM, Diamond
MS, Ohi MD, Klimstra WB, Crowe JE, Jr. 2020. Human Antibodies Protect against
Aerosolized Eastern Equine Encephalitis Virus Infection. Cell 183:1884-1900 e23.

16.   Calisher CH, Karabatsos N. 1988. Arbovirus serogroups: definition and geographic

distribution. CRC Press,, Boca Raton, FL.

17.   Weaver SC, Winegar R, Manger ID, Forrester NL. 2012. Alphaviruses: population
genetics and determinants of emergence. Antiviral Res 94:242-57.

18.   Forrester NL, Palacios G, Tesh RB, Savji N, Guzman H, Sherman M, Weaver SC, Lipkin
WI. 2012. Genome-scale phylogeny of the alphavirus genus suggests a marine origin.
J Virol 86:2729-38.

19.   Suhrbier A, Jaffar-Bandjee MC, Gasque P. 2012. Arthritogenic alphaviruses--an
overview. Nat Rev Rheumatol 8:420-9.

20.   Zacks MA, Paessler S. 2010. Encephalitic alphaviruses. Vet Microbiol 140:281-6.

21.   Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time
and space complexity. BMC Bioinformatics 5:113.

22. Kostyuchenko VA, Jakana J, Liu X, Haddow AD, Aung M, Weaver SC, Chiu W, Lok SM.
2011. The structure of barmah forest virus as revealed by cryo-electron microscopy
at a 6-angstrom resolution has detailed transmembrane protein architecture and
interactions. J Virol 85:9327-33.
23. Mancini EJ, Clarke M, Gowen BE, Rutten T, Fuller SD. 2000. Cryo-electron microscopy
reveals the functional organization of an enveloped virus, Semliki Forest virus. Mol
Cell 5:255-66.
24. Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K,
Bates R, Zidek A, Potapenko A, Bridgland A, Meyer C, Kohl SAA, Ballard AJ, Cowie A,
Romera-Paredes B, Nikolov S, Jain R, Adler J, Back T, Petersen S, Reiman D, Clancy E,
Zielinski M, Steinegger M, Pacholska M, Berghammer T, Bodenstein S, Silver D,
Vinyals O, Senior AW, Kavukcuoglu K, Kohli P, Hassabis D. 2021. Highly accurate
protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature 596:583-589.
25. Abramson J, Adler J, Dunger J, Evans R, Green T, Pritzel A, Ronneberger O, Willmore L,
Ballard AJ, Bambrick J, Bodenstein SW, Evans DA, Hung CC, O'Neill M, Reiman D,
Tunyasuvunakool K, Wu Z, Zemgulyte A, Arvaniti E, Beattie C, Bertolli O, Bridgland A,
Cherepanov A, Congreve M, Cowen-Rivers AI, Cowie A, Figurnov M, Fuchs FB,
Gladman H, Jain R, Khan YA, Low CMR, Perlin K, Potapenko A, Savy P, Singh S, Stecula
A, Thillaisundaram A, Tong C, Yakneen S, Zhong ED, Zielinski M, Zidek A, Bapst V, Kohli
P, Jaderberg M, Hassabis D, Jumper JM. 2024. Accurate structure prediction of
biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. Nature 630:493-500.
26. Meng EC, Goddard TD, Pettersen EF, Couch GS, Pearson ZJ, Morris JH, Ferrin TE. 2023.
UCSF ChimeraX: Tools for structure building and analysis. Protein Sci 32:e4792.
27. Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772-80.
28. Pletnev SV, Zhang W, Mukhopadhyay S, Fisher BR, Hernandez R, Brown DT, Baker TS,
Rossmann MG, Kuhn RJ. 2001. Locations of carbohydrate sites on alphavirus
glycoproteins show that E1 forms an icosahedral scaffold. Cell 105:127-136.
29. Tsetsarkin KA, Chen R, Sherman MB, Weaver SC. 2011. Chikungunya virus: evolution
and genetic determinants of emergence. Curr Opin Virol 1:310-7.
30. Kaelber JT, Chmielewski D, Chiu W, Auguste AJ. 2022. Alphavirus Particles Can
Assemble with an Alternate Triangulation Number. Viruses 14.
31. Baxter VK, Heise MT. 2018. Genetic control of alphavirus pathogenesis. Mamm
Genome 29:408-424.
32. Colunga-Saucedo M, Rubio-Hernandez EI, Coronado-Ipina MA, Rosales-Mendoza S,
Castillo CG, Comas-Garcia M. 2022. Construction of a Chikungunya Virus, Replicon,
and Helper Plasmids for Transfection of Mammalian Cells. Viruses 15.
672
673
674
675

                                    Figure legends

Figure 1. A) Comparison of the cryo-EM structures of the cores of CHIKV (PDB ID: 3J2W),

MAYV (7KO8), and GETV (7FD2). B) Comparison of cryo-EM structures of the cores EEEV

(6XO4), VEEV (7SFV), and WEEV (8DEC). Each structure has four different colors,

representing that in a T = 4 icosahedron, the capsid protein (CP) in the asymmetric unit has

four different chemical environments.

Figure 2. Comparison of the structure of the pentamers with the structures in Figure 1. The

proteins in the pentamer have the same color because they share the same chemical

environment, unlike the proteins in the hexamer, which have three distinct chemical

environments. A) The structures of the pentamers of these three Old-World Alphaviruses are

very similar. B) The structure of the EEEV pentamer has fewer contact angles (asterisks) than

the other New-World Alphaviruses. The contacts between CPs in VEEV and WEEV are similar

to those of CHIKV, MAYV, and GETV.

Figure 3. A) Putative electrostatic interactions at the surface between three proteins in the

CHIKV pentamer, between E184 and E234 of one protein and K172 and K177 of the other

protein. B) The interactions at the quasi-threefold symmetry axis resemble dipole-dipole

interactions between the pair of amino acids E120/K122 and D148/K151. C) CHIKV CP (PDB

ID: 3J2W) monomer displaying E120, K122, D148, K151, K172, K177, E184, E234. D) Overlap

of CHIKV CP with MAYV CP (PDB ID: 7KO8), where, although the numbering and chemical

identity of some amino acids differ, the charges are conserved in all amino acids. E) Overlap

of CHIKV CP with EEEV CP (PDB ID: 8DEC). This overlap indicates that some amino acids are

not conserved in EEEV, suggesting that the intra- and inter-capsomere interactions in EEEV

differ significantly from those in MAYV and CHIVK.

Figure 4. Comparison of the interfaces between the three proteins at i5 (pentamer). A) The "outer interactions" for CHIKV are between K172 and E234, and the "inner interactions" are between K177 and E184. It also shows that the possible interactions between amino acids at equivalent positions at the same interfaces for MAYV and GETV are the same as in CHIKV. B) The "outer interactions" for EEEV, VEEV, and WEEV are the same as in the Old-World Alphaviruses from the top row. However, the "inner interactions" are different. The inner interactions for EEEV involve a P and L, which could explain the large holes observed in Figure 2. In VEEV, the amino acids at the "inner position" are Gln and Lys, while for WEEV, they are P and Q.

Figure 5. A) The leftmost panel shows the geometry of the i3 axis for CHIVK, MAYV, and GETV, which was determined by measuring the centroid-to-centroid distance (2-Å centroid) and torsion angle between K122 of the three proteins at this axis. B) The distances between these three viruses and EEEV and WEEV, as well as those in the top row, are very similar. Only VEEV has a distance that is much smaller than that of the other viruses. Also, the distances for EEEV and WEEV were calculated by using Q121 and Q119, respectively, which are in equivalent positions to CHIKV CP K122. Interestingly, in VEEV, K134 and E133 do not have a pair of charged amino acids, as seen in the top row, that could help establish a dipole-dipole-like interaction. The torsion angles between three equivalent amino acids are indicated at the center of the i3 axes. The torsion angles for CHIKV, MAYV, and EEEV are very similar; however, VEEV and WEEV have larger torsion angles than the other four viruses.

Figure 6. Comparison of the identity of amino acids in equivalent positions to CHIKV CP E120, K122, D148, K151, K177, E184, and E234 for Old-World Alphaviruses. These amino acids were identified by predicting the structure of the consensus CP sequence of all viruses using AlphaFold2. The green color indicates that the charge and/or identity of the amino acids are conserved at least 80%.

Figure 7. Comparison of the identity of amino acids in equivalent positions to CHIKV CP E120, K122, D148, K151, K177, E184, and E234 for New-World Alphaviruses. These amino acids were identified, as explained in Table 1. The lavender and turquoise colors indicate that these amino acids are at equivalent positions to those in CHIKV due to an insertion or a -1 shift, respectively. Only the positions equivalent to K172 and E234 are conserved at 94% and 100%, respectively.

Figure 8. Comparison of the identity of amino acids in equivalent positions to CHIKV CP E120, K122, D148, K151, K177, E184, and E234 for Alphaviruses with no known host is not part of the Old-/New-World classifications. These amino acids were identified, as explained in Table 1. The lavender color indicates that those amino acids are at equivalent positions to CHIKV due to the insertion. Only the positions equivalent to K172 and E234 are conserved at 94% and 100%, respectively. In this group, positions K172 and E234 are conserved, as well as E120 and K172.

Figure 9. Comparison of the identity of amino acids in equivalent positions to CHIKV CP E120, K122, D148, K151, K177, E184, and E234 for aquatic Alphaviruses. These amino acids were identified, as explained in Table 1. The lavender, turquoise, and maroon colors indicate that those amino acids are at equivalent positions to CHIKV due to an insertion, a -1 shift, or a deletion, respectively. Positions K172, E234, E120, and K172 are conserved. The gray color indicates that those viruses infect mammals. The rest infect fish.

Figure 10. A) Except for the Bebaru virus, all other Old-World Alphaviruses in this table have a predicted N-glycosylation site at a position that is equivalent to CHIKV N263. B) Cryo-EM structure of MAYV virus (PDB ID: 7KO8) showing the "molecular handshake" between glycosylation sites. This interaction occurs between two trimers of heterodimers. C) New-World Alphaviruses do not have a predicted N-glycosylation at an equivalent position to

CHIKV N263. The only exception is the Fort Morgan virus, which has a possible glycosylation

site at N260, which is not in the same position as CHIKV E2 N263. D) Overlap of CHIKV E2

(green) with the predicted structure for the Fort Morgan virus E2 protein (salmon). CHIKV E2

N263 is in red and indicated by a red # and is in a β-sheet. The amino acid in the Fort Morgan

virus E2 protein equivalent to CHIKV E2 N263 is in black and indicated by a black asterisk

(Proline), while the Asp in an N-L-T motif is in a loop and is indicated with a red asterisk. If

this amino acid were glycosylated, it is unlikely to be able to establish the same interactions

as the glycosylation site shown in panel B. E) From the Alphaviruses that infect aquatic

animals with available E2 sequences, only the Harbor Alphavirus has the same predicted E2

glycosylation site as CHIKVK. Interestingly, the core of this virus has seven of the eight

interactions conserved in the CPs of Old-World Alphaviruses, as shown in Figures 4, 5, and

6 and Tables 1 and 4. This small correlation could suggest that the nature of the core and the

interactions between trimers are related to each other.

Figure 11. Phylogenetic tree for the Alphaviruses in Tables 1-4. The predictions of possible

common ancestors made from the data in Tables 1-4 are supported by this tree. The letters

refer to the nodes of interest: *** is the common ancestor of the CP for Old- and New-World

Alphaviruses (OWA and NWA, respectively). The table shows the identity of the amino acids

of interest in nodes *** and A-G; the green and red colors indicate whether the charge of

these amino acids is conserved or not with respect to CHIVK, respectively. The colors of the

branches indicate the different clades. The prediction of the sequence of this node suggests

that the seven amino acids of interest, which are highly conserved in Old-World

Alphaviruses, were present in this ancestor; however, the origin of the amino acids observed

in Old-World Alphaviruses today arose early in their evolution (see B). The node that gave

origin to Sindbis is shared with Old-World Alphaviruses. At the same time, the early

beginning of the rest of the New-World Alphaviruses started with a fast diversification of the

intra- and intracapsomeric interactions. As these viruses evolved, the diversity of these

interactions increased significantly.

Table 5. Comparison of the Shannon entropy and selective pressure of amino acids in
equivalent positions to CHIKV CP E120, K122, D148, K151, K177, E184, and E234 Old-World
Alphaviruses. This analysis was performed using SLAC, FEL, FUBAR, and MEME methods;
sites were classified as under pervasive or episodic positive selection if detected by MEME
($p<0.1$), FUBAR (posterior probability >0.9), FEL ($p<0.1$), or SLAC ($p<0.1$).

Figures

Figure 1

799 **Figure 2**

A

Old-World
Alphaviruses

CHIKV   MAYV   GETV

B

New-World
Alphaviruses

EEEV   VEEV   WEEV

Figure 3

Figure 4

815   **Figure 5**

819 **Figure 6**

	Virus	Conservation of the amino acids of interest in the Old World Alphaviruses with respect to CHIKV							
	CHIKV (Reference)	E120	K122	D148	K151	K172	K177	E184	E234
SF complex	CHIKV	E	K	D	K	K	K	E	E
	Getah virus	D	K	D	K	K	K	E	E
	Semliki Forest virus	E	K	D	K	R	K	E	E
	Mayaro virus	E	K	D	R	K	K	E	E
	Ross River	D	K	D	K	K	K	E	E
	Bebaru virus	D	K	D	K	R	K	E	E
	Onyong-nyong virus	E	K	D	K	K	K	E	E
	Una virus	D	K	D	K	R	K	E	E
BF complex	Barmah forest virus	D	K	E	K	K	K	E	E
MIID	Middelburg virus	E	K	D	K	K	Q	E	E
Ndumu complex	Ndumu virus	D	E	E	K	R	K	E	E
	% ID conservation with respect to CHIKV	45	91	82	91	64	91	100	100
	% Charge conservation with respect to CHIKV	100	91	100	100	100	91	100	100

823 **Figure 7**

	Virus	Conservation of the amino acids of interest in the New World Alphaviruses with respect to CHIKV							
	CHIKV (Reference)	E120	K122	D148	K151	K172	K177	E184	E234
WEE complex	Western equine encephalitis virus	N	Q	Q	A	K	Q	P	E
	Sindbis virus	D	D	V	K	R	T	E	E
	Fort Morgan virus	N	Q	E	A	K	Q	P	E
	Aura virus	D	K	A	K	K	G	E	E
	Highlands J virus	N	Q	Q	A	K	Q	P	E
	Whataroa virus	E	D	V	K	K	N	E	E
EEE complex	Eastern equine encephalitis virus	N	Q	Q	A	K	L	P	E
VEE complex	Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus	E	K	V	A	R	K	Q	E
	Everglades virus	E	K	V	A	R	K	Q	E
	Cabassou virus	D	K	T	A	R	R	Q	E
	Mucambo virus	D	K	T	S	R	K	Q	E
	Pixuna virus	E	R	A	A	R	K	Q	E
	RioNegro virus	D	R	V	T	R	K	Q	E
	Tonate virus	D	K	T	A	R	K	Q	E
Caaingua complex	Caaingua virus	D	K	E	N	I	K	M	E
Madariaga complex	Madariaga virus	N	Q	Q	A	K	Q	P	E
MdP complex	Mosso das Pedras virus	D	K	I	A	R	K	R	E
	% ID conservation with respect to CHIKV	24	47	0	18	41	47	18	100
	% Charge conservation with respect to CHIKV	71	59	12	18	94	53	18	100

Figure 8

	Virus	Conservation of the amino acids of interest in Alphaviruses found in Mosquitoes with no vertebrate host with respect to CHIKV							
	CHIKV (Reference)	E120	K122	D148	K151	K172	K177	E184	E234
Eilat complex	Eilat virus	K	V	L	S	R	A	D	E
Trocara complex	Trocara virus	E	K	A	K	R	K	E	Q
Unclassified	AguaSalud alphavirus	D	Q	I	K	K	R	E	E
Unclassified	Mwinilunga alphavirus	D	A	L	T	R	N	D	E
Unclassified	Pirahy virus	D	K	T	S	R	K	Q	E
Unclassified	Tai Forest alphavirus	D	V	L	S	R	S	D	E
Unclassified	Yada yada virus	E	R	T	S	R	A	D	E
	% ID conservation with respect to CHIKV	29	29	0	29	14	29	29	86
	% Charge conservation with respect to CHIKV	86	43	0	29	100	43	86	86

Figure 9

	Virus	Conservation of the amino acids of interest in aquatic Alphaviruses with respect to CHIKV							
	CHIKV (Reference)	E120	K122	D148	K151	K172	K177	E184	E234
SPDV	Salmon pancreatic disease virus	D	A	E	D	R	E	D	D
SES complex	Southern Elephant Seal virus	D	L	I	K	R	K	E	E
Trocara complex	Comber alphavirus	E	T	E	K	K	K	D	D
Unclassified	Harbor alphavirus	D	K	V	K	K	K	D	E
Unclassified	Norwegian salmonid	D	A	E	D	R	E	D	D
Unclassified	Wenling fish alphavirus	K	T	L	E	H	A	E	E
Unclassified	Wenling hagfish alphavirus	E	G	D	N	R	K	D	D
	% ID conservation with respect to CHIKV	29	14	14	43	29	57	29	43
	% Charge conservation with respect to CHIKV	86	14	57	43	86	57	100	100

845 **Figure 10**

850 **Figure 11**

Figure 12

Virus	Selection						
	-	-	-	-	Purifying*	-	
	Entropy						
	0.689	0.305	0.305	0.305	0.655	0.000	0.305
Chikungunya Virus/MK286896	120	122	148	151	172	184	234
	E	K	D	K	K	E	E
Barmah Forest Virus/MW556197	112	114	140	143	164	176	226
	D	K	E	K	K	E	E
Bebaru Virus/NC 016962	122	124	150	153	174	186	236
	D	K	D	K	R	E	E
Semliki Virus/KF283988	126	128	154	157	178	190	240
	E	K	D	K	R	E	E
Getah Virus/PP236766	127	129	155	158	179	191	241
	D	K	D	K	K	E	E
Ross River Virus/NC 001544	129	131	157	160	181	193	243
	D	K	D	K	K	E	E
Una Virus/AF339481	138	140	166	169	190	202	252
	D	K	D	K	R	E	E
Middleburg Virus/AF398374	130	132	158	161	182	194	244
	E	K	D	K	K	E	D
Mayaro Virus/KP842808	117	119	145	148	169	181	231
	E	K	D	R	K	E	E
O nyongnyong Virus/NC 075006	119	121	147	150	171	183	233
	E	K	D	K	K	E	E
Ndumu Virus/MZ091531	128	130	156	159	180	192	242
	D	E	D	K	R	E	E

*Detected by two different algorithms

*Detected by one or no algorithms