The Fd4 transcription factor translates transient spatial cues in progenitors into long-term lineage identity

Sen-Lin Lai[1]* and Chris Q. Doe[1]*

[1]Institute of Neuroscience, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403

* Authors for correspondence at slai@uoregon.edu, cdoe@uoregon.edu

Running title: Fd4 converts transient spatial cues into long-term lineage identity

Key words:
Neuroblast, spatial factors, lineage identity, terminal selector genes, Fd4, fd96Ca, Engrailed, Vnd

Abstract

Neural diversity is required for the brain to generate complex behaviors. During development, neural progenitors are exposed to different combination of transient spatial cues for their identity specification. This identity is then interpreted by their progeny to activate terminal selector genes to become lineage-specific neurons. After spatial cues fade, it remains unclear how progenitors maintain their unique identity so that their progeny express the accurate, lineage-specific terminal selector genes. Using single cell RNA sequencing in *Drosophila*, we identified a Forkhead domain transcription factor, Fd4, that is exclusively expressed in a single neural progenitor (neuroblast) and its new-born progeny. This neuroblast (NB), named NB7-1, forms at the intersection of the transient spatial cues Vnd (columnar expression) and En (row expression). We show that Fd4 expression overlaps spatial factor expression and terminal selector gene expression, thereby making Fd4 an excellent candidate for bridging transient spatial factors to lineage-specific terminal selector genes. We show that Fd4 is required for expression of terminal selector genes that maintain neuronal identity. Conversely, Fd4 misexpression generates ectopic NB7-1 progeny at the expense of Fd4-negative progenitor lineages. We conclude that Fd4 is continuously expressed in the NB7-1 and its new-born neuronal progeny where it activates terminal selector genes to produce lineage-specific neurons. We propose that Fd4 is a pioneering member of a class of "lineage identity genes" that translate transient spatial cues into a long-term lineage identity.

Introduction

From flies to mammals, neurogenesis begins with the formation of a small population of neural stem cells that generate a large diversity of neurons necessary to generate complex behaviors. In the mammalian nervous system, Hox genes play a critical role in patterning and segmenting the rostrocaudal axis of the neural tube. Opposing gradients of morphogen Sonic hedgehog (Shh) and Wnt/BMP signaling then establish dorsoventral patterning. Within the domains shaped by

the interaction of Hox genes and Shh/Wnt/BMP signals, homeobox genes including Pax, Nkx, and Dbx are expressed in a spatially restricted patterns, subdividing neural tube into distinct progenitor domains. Each of these domains subsequently expresses distinct combinations of terminal selector genes that maintain neuronal identity (Philippidou and Dasen, 2013; Sagner and Briscoe, 2019).

In the *Drosophila* nervous system, Hox genes also pattern the anterior-posterior axis of the neuroepithelium (Technau et al., 2014). However, individual neuroblast identity within each segment is determined by the combinatorial action of "spatial transcription factors" (STFs) expressed in rows and columns in every segment (Skeath and Thor, 2003). The columnar genes *ventral nervous system defective* (*vnd*), *intermediate neuroblast defective* (*ind*) and *muscle segment homeobox* (*msh;* FlyBase: *Dr*) subdivide the neuroepithelium into ventral, intermediate and dorsal columns, respectively (Isshiki et al., 1997; McDonald et al., 1998; Weiss et al., 1998). Similarly, the row genes *mirror* (*mirr*), *hedgehog* (*hh*), *wingless* (*wg*), *gooseberry* (*gsb*), and *engrailed* (*en*), subdivide the neuroepithelium along the anterior-posterior axis. Together, the row and column genes subdivide neuroectoderm into a chessboard-like pattern (Skeath and Thor, 2003), with each 'square' of this pattern consisting of a unique STF combination. All three columnar genes function to specify neuroblast columnar identity (Isshiki et al., 1997; McDonald et al., 1998; Weiss et al., 1998); similarly, the row genes *en*, *hh*, *wg* and *gsb* specify neuroblast row 1-7 identity (Anderson et al., 2025; Bhat, 1996; Chu-LaGraff and Doe, 1993; Skeath et al., 1995; Zhang et al., 1994). Subsequently, most neuroblasts sequentially express a cascade of "temporal transcription factors" (TTFs) that diversify neurons in each neuroblast lineage (Doe, 2017; Isshiki et al., 2001; Pollington et al., 2022). The integration of STF and TTF expression activates downstream terminal selection genes, generating a diversity of neuronal types (Gabilondo et al., 2016; Stratmann and Thor, 2017; Stratmann et al., 2016).

After STFs establish the neuroblast identity, their expression fades away prior to expression of terminal selector genes, which are typically homeodomain (HD) TFs. HDTFs are widely conserved proteins that are first expressed in new-born post-mitotic neurons (Doe and Thor, 2024; Hobert, 2016; Leung et al., 2022). This raises the question: what factors act to bridge transient STF expression that specifies initial neuroblast identity to expression of lineage-specific HDTFs that consolidate and maintain neuronal identity? Here we report on the expression and function of the transcription factor Fd4 (Flybase: fd96Ca). We show that it (1) is expressed continuously in NB7-1 during embryonic stages, (2) is transiently expressed in new-born neurons in this lineage, (3) is necessary to specify NB7-1 progeny identity, and (4) is sufficient to induce ectopic NB7-1 identity. This places Fd4 as downstream of STFs but upstream of terminal selector genes. We propose that Fd4 acts as a *neuroblast lineage identity gene* that acts as a bridge linking transient spatial cues that specify initial neuroblast identity to the terminal selector genes that maintain neuroblast progeny identity.

Results

Fd4 is transiently co-expressed with STFs and is maintained throughout the NB7-1 lineage

FD4 is specifically expressed in NB7-1 (Anderson et al., 2025) and first detectable in NB7-1 at stage 11, where it is co-expressed with En and Vnd STFs (Figure 1A, Supp Figure 1). Expression of Fd4 in NB7-1 persists at least until third instar larval stage (data not shown). In contrast, spatial factors En and Vnd are transiently expressed in the neuroectoderm prior to NB7-1 formation (Chu et al., 1998; Jiménez et al., 1995; McDonald et al., 1998; Mellerick and Nirenberg, 1995), in NB7-1 prior to Fd4 expression, and are downregulated by stage 13 (Figure 1A-B, Supp Figure 1). Thus, En and Vnd expression precedes Fd4, followed by a window of co-expression, and then the STFs disappear and Fd4 maintains expression (Figure 1C). This leads to the following hypotheses: (i) STFs specify the neuroblast and its early-born progeny, (ii) STFs and Fd4 act redundantly to specify mid-born neurons in the lineage, and (iii) Fd4 alone maintains neuroblast identity and specifies late-born neurons in the lineage (Figure 1D).

Fd4 is expressed in NBs, GMCs, and new-born neurons, but not in differentiated neurons

STFs are expressed in neuroblasts and GMCs, with little if any expression in post-mitotic neurons (Chen and Konstantinides, 2022). In contrast, terminal selector genes (e.g. Even-skipped; Eve) are typically expressed in new-born post-mitotic neurons (Doe et al., 1988). This raises the question: what factors act to bridge transient STF expression that specifies initial neuroblast identity to expression of lineage-specific HDTF terminal selectors that consolidate and maintain neuronal identity?

To determine the expression of Fd4 along the neuron differentiation axis (neuroblast > GMC > new-born neuron > mature neuron), we used an Fd4 antibody to examine its expression from neuroblast to neuron differentiation. We observe Fd4 protein in neuroblasts (Wor+), GMCs (Wor+Pros+), new-born neurons (Pros+Elav+ adjacent to the neuroblast), but not in mature neurons (Pros+Elav+ far from the neuroblast) (Figure 2A). We conclude that Fd4 expression initiates in NB7-1, is maintained in GMCs and new-born neurons, and is down-regulated in mature, differentiated neurons. This expression pattern effectively exposes all new-born neurons in the lineage to transient Fd4 at a time when they have not yet consolidated their neuronal identity.

To further validate our observations, we examined Fd4 expression in identified neurons within the NB7-1 lineage. NB7-1 sequentially produces five Eve+ U motor neurons (UMNs) from first-born U1 motor neuron to fifth-born U5 motor neuron. Eve is a terminal selector gene, and its continuous expression is required to maintains neuronal activity and function (Heckscher et al., 2015). We find that Fd4 expression first becomes detectable at embryonic stage 10 (Figure 2B). Notably, Fd4 is strongly expressed in newly born Eve+ neurons and progressively declined as Eve+ neurons mature (Figure 2B-C). Thus, unlike Eve, Fd4 expression is transient in postmitotic neurons and restricted to the time immediately following their birth (summarized in Figure 2D). Taken together, we find that Fd4 is continuously expressed in NB7-1 but transiently expressed in new-born neurons. We propose that Fd4 is required to maintain NB7-1 lineage

identity to prime new-born neurons to activate lineage appropriate terminal selector genes (Figure 2E).

Fd4 is required to specify NB7-1 progeny

Neuroblast identity is comprised of its molecular profile; neuroblast *lineage* identity is determined by the neural progeny each neuroblast produces. We have shown that Fd4 is expressed in a highly specific pattern – just one lineage – but in all the new-born neurons in that lineage, making Fd4 an excellent candidate to specify neuroblast lineage identity. To investigate this hypothesis, we assayed the production of NB7-1 progeny in *fd4* mutant and Fd4 misexpression paradigms. Within the NB7-1 lineage, early-born progeny express the terminal selector genes: Eve+ motor neurons and Dbx+ interneuron siblings (Lacin et al., 2009). In total, eight Dbx+ interneurons are produced in this lineage: five are produced as siblings of Eve+ neurons, whereas the remaining three are born later, after Cas is expressed in NB7-1, and are Cas+ (see below).

In *fd4* mutants, we observe no change in the number of Eve+ neurons or Dbx+ neurons (data not shown). However, the tandem gene *fd5* (Flybase: *fd96Cb*) is also enriched in NB7-1 lineage (Anderson et al., 2025) and acts redundantly with *fd4* during leg development (Ruiz-Losada et al., 2021). Furthermore, we found that *fd5* is co-expressed with NB7-1 and its progeny (Supp Figure 2). To assess their roles, we aimed to remove both *fd4* and *fd5* in NB7-1 lineage. Because many Eve+ and Dbx+ cells are generated outside of NB7-1 lineage, it was essential to identify the Eve+ or Dbx+ cells within NB7-1 lineage in wild type and *fd4* mutant embryos. To achieve this, we replaced the open reading frame of *fd4* with *gal4* (called *fd4-gal4*) in the *fd5* mutant background (see Methods), thereby simultaneously knocking out both *fd4* and *fd5* (called *fd4/fd5* mutant hereafter) while labeling the NB7-1 lineage. We find that in wild type, NB7-1 generates 28.6±2.6 cells, while *fd4/fd5* mutant produces 27.0±5.5 cells (Figure 3A; quantified in 3B). Thus, Fd4 is not required for lineage development.

In contrast, we observe a significant loss of Eve+ and Dbx+ cells in *fd4/fd5* mutant embryos (Figure 3A; quantified in 3C, 3D). Further analysis shows that the missing Eve+ cells are later-born Runt+ U4-U5 neurons (Figure 3E; quantified in 3F), while the missing Dbx+ cells are later-born Cas+ interneurons, even though the overall number of Cas+ cells remains unchanged (Figure 3G; quantified in 3H, 3I). Taken together, we find that early U1-U3 neurons are generated independently of Fd4, whereas later-born Runt+ U4-U5 and Cas+Dbx+ interneurons require Fd4 for their proper specification. We conclude that Fd4 acts to maintain NB7-1 lineage identity and specify late-born neurons (Figure 3J).

Fd4 misexpression induces ectopic NB7-1-specific progeny

We have shown that Fd4 is required for proper generation of NB7-1 progeny, raising the question of whether Fd4 is sufficient to induce ectopic NB7-1 progeny in other neuroblast lineages. To determine if other lineages could be transformed into NB7-1 lineage, we misexpressed Fd4 using the *sca-gal4* driver, which is first expressed in all neuroectoderm and

persists into all newly-formed neuroblasts, and assayed for Eve+ Dbx+ neurons (see above). In wild type, each abdominal hemisegment produced 18.1±1.2 Eve+ cells and 17.2±1.8 Dbx+ cells, including those in the NB7-1 lineage (Figure 4A,B). In contrast, pan-neuroblast expression of Fd4 resulted in widespread expression of the NB7-1 lineage markers Eve and Dbx in all regions of the hemisegment (Figure 4C,D; quantified in 4E,F). Notably, misexpression of Fd5 didn't induce any NB7-1 lineage markers (data not shown). Our results support a model in which Fd4 is sufficient to induce NB7-1 lineage identity in most, if not all, lineages.

We next asked whether widespread expansion of Eve+ and Dbx+ cells following Fd4 misexpression was due to altered spatial patterning (Supp Figure 3A) or altered temporal patterning (Supp Figure 3E). We misexpressed Fd4 in the neuroectoderm and found no change in Vnd or En expression (Supp Figure 3B, C), nor repression of Ind (Supp Figure 3B) or Wg (Supp Figure 3D). Furthermore, continuous misexpression of Fd4 in neuroblasts from the Hb to Cas temporal window did not affect the timing of early (Hb) or late (Cas) TTF expression (Supp Figure 3F). We conclude that Fd4 does not regulate STF or TTF patterning, and importantly that Fd4 activates terminal selector genes Eve and Dbx in the NB7-1 lineage.

Fd4 misexpression induces NB7-1 lineage markers and represses NB5-6 lineage markers
To more precisely explore the effects of Fd4 in individual neuroblast lineages, we selectively misexpressed Fd4 in NB5-6 (this section) and NB7-3 (next section), as we have excellent lineage markers for both neuroblasts. In wild type, NB5-6 delaminates simultaneously with NB7-1, but is located at the lateral side of the neuroblast array and is specified by spatial factors Wg (row anterior to En) and Msh (lateral column) (Chu-LaGraff and Doe, 1993; Isshiki et al., 1997). The NB5-6 lineage and its progeny can be specifically labeled with *lbe-Gal4* (Figure 5A) (Baumgardt et al., 2009). The thoracic NB5-6 (NB5-6T) generates 20.2 ± 2.9 cells (Figure 5B) before undergoing apoptosis, and does not produce Eve+ U1-U5 MNs (Figure 5C). The last four cells produced by NB5-6T are specified by the LIM-HDTF Apterous (Ap) (Baumgardt et al., 2009) (Figure 5C), which is never observed in the NB7-1 lineage. When Fd4 is misexpressed in the NB5-6 lineage using *lbe-Gal4*, the number of progeny remains the same (21.1 ± 3.1) (Figure 5A,B), showing that Fd4 does not alter lineage length.

Although misexpression of Fd4 in NB5-6 does not alter lineage length, it results in a significant reduction in the number of the NB5-6 lineage marker Ap+ cells and a concomitant increase in the NB7-1 lineage marker Eve+ cells (Figure 5C). Moreover, the ectopic Eve+ cells express the early-born (Hb) and late-born (Runt) U1-U5 markers (Figure 5D,E). These ectopic Eve+ cells, like the wild type U1-U5 MNs, project axons out of CNS and target the dorsal muscles (Figure 5F,G). When we dissected newly hatched larvae and stain for the motor neuron marker phosphorylated-Mad (pMad), we find that the ectopic Eve+ cells are pMad+, consistent with an induction of the Eve+ U1-U5 motor neurons (Figure 5H). Interestingly, following Fd4 misexpression only seven of 18 examined NB5-6 lineages produced Dbx+ cells (0.4±0.8), and just one of these was Cas+ (0.06±0.2); the reason for the difference in Eve and Dbx in response to Fd4 misexpression is unknown. Taken together, we find that expression of Fd4 in NB5-6 is

sufficient to transform NB5-6 lineage into NB7-1 lineage. We conclude that Fd4 is necessary and sufficient to induce NB7-1 lineage identity within the neuroblast population as a whole and specifically in NB5-6.

Fd4 misexpression induces NB7-1 lineage markers and represses NB7-3 lineage markers
To determine if Fd4 could reprogram another neuroblast into NB7-1-like lineage, we misexpressed Fd4 in NB7-3, a neuroblast that is different from NB5-6 in many aspects. NB7-3 is one of the last neuroblasts to form (Broadus et al., 1995), has a relatively small size, generates a short 3 division lineage, generates a ventral-muscle targeting motor neuron (GW), two serotonergic neurons (EW1, EW2), and one Corazonin+ cell (EW3) (Karcavich and Doe, 2005; Novotny et al., 2002), which are never observed in the NB7-1 lineage. The NB7-3 lineage can be labeled with *eagle-Gal4* and its progeny can be identified with antibody staining against Serotonin (EW1, EW2) or Corazonin (EW3) (Figure 6A). When Fd4 was misexpressed in NB7-3 with *eagle-Gal4*, there was no change in NB7-3 lineage length producing an average of 4 neurons in control and misexpression experiments (Figure 6B, quantified in 6C). Despite the unchanged neuron numbers, we observed a significant reduction of Serotonin+ and Corazonin+ neurons and a corresponding increase in Eve+ neurons (Figure 6C). Serotonin/Corazonin and Eve+ neurons are mutually exclusive; we never observe cells coexpressing both markers, ruling out a mixed lineage identity. We conclude that Fd4 is sufficient to repress NB7-3 specific markers and activate NB7-1-specific markers.

To gain a deeper understanding of the role of Fd4 in specifying lineage identity, we assayed for changes in motor neuron projections in the NB7-1 and NB7-3 lineages. In wild type, the NB7-3 generates a GW motor neuron that co-expresses the ventral muscle motor neuron transcription factor Nkx6 (Flybase: HGTX), and the pan-motor neuron marker pMad (Figure 7A; quantified in 7B). In contrast, NB7-1 generates the Eve+pMad+ U1-U5 motor neurons. All five UMNs project to the dorsal body wall muscles (Landgraf et al., 1997; Schmid et al., 1999). When Fd4 is misexpressed in NB7-3 lineage, we observed an increase in ectopic Eve+ motor neurons and a reduction of Nkx6+ motor neurons (Figure 7A; quantified in 7B), indicating a transformation from NB7-3 to NB7-1 lineage identity. Interestingly, Fd4 misexpression in NB7-3 generate ectopic U1-U5 motor neurons that did not always fasciculate together when exiting the CNS (Figure 7C; quantified in 7D); this indicates either incomplete U neuron specification or a difference in the timing of ectopic U neuron outgrowth. Furthermore, in wild type, the NB7-3 derived Nkx6+ motor neuron innervates a ventral body wall muscle, whereas NB7-1 derived Eve+ neurons innervate more dorsal body wall muscles (Figure 7E; quantified in 7G) (Schmid et al., 1999). In contrast, Fd4 misexpression in the NB7-3 lineage generated motor neurons that projected dorsally beyond their normal ventral muscle target (Figure 7F; quantified in 7G; summarized in 7H). We observed that these transformed neurons did not innervate the dorsal muscles, perhaps their late birth did not give them time to extend to the most distant dorsal muscles. We conclude that Fd4 is sufficient to induce NB7-1 lineage identity at the expense of NB7-3 identity.

Discussion

Fd4 maintains neuroblast identity established by transient spatial factors En and Vnd

We identified Fd4 as a NB7-1 specific transcription factor which is continuously expressed in NB7-1 and its new-born neurons into larval stages. NB7-1 expresses En and Vnd and loss of these spatial factors leads to the loss of NB7-1 (McDonald et al., 1998), loss of Fd4 (Anderson et al., 2025), and loss of NB7-1 lineage markers (McDonald et al., 1998). Importantly, loss of *fd4* results in the loss of NB7-1 identity based on failure to generate the NB7-1-specific U motor neurons, whereas misexpression of Fd4 transforms most or all lineages towards a NB7-1 lineage. Thus, Fd4 is necessary and sufficient to specify lineage identity. We propose a model where transient expression of spatial factors En and Vnd activates Fd4 and establishes NB7-1 identity, with Fd4 translating transient spatial cues into a long-term lineage identity (Figure 8).

How does Fd4 sustain the positional identity established by spatial factors? In *Drosophila*, spatial factors regulate chromatin status, allowing temporal factors bind to lineage-specific open chromatin and produce lineage-specific progeny (Sen et al., 2019). Thus, Fd4 may act downstream of En/Vnd to maintain chromatin status necessary for NB7-1 specific neuronal identity (e.g. Eve expression). Interestingly, Fd4 is also sufficient to activate NB7-1 lineage markers in other NB lineages, suggesting Fd4 alone may be sufficient to change chromatin status, similar to other Forkhead domain proteins (Guo et al., 2024; Jin et al., 2020; Sanese et al., 2019). In mammals, other mechanisms for stabilizing lineage identity have been reported, including morphogens, transcriptional feedback mechanisms, non-coding RNAs, and chromatin regulators (reviewed in Delgado and Lim 2017), and it remains an open question whether Fd4 uses any of these mechanisms in sustaining neuroblast identity.

We found that the *fd4/fd5* mutant resulted in loss of the NB7-1-specific U4 and U5 neurons (born after U1-U3) and late-born Dbx+ cells. Why does loss of Fd4/Fd5 cause only a loss of late-born neurons? We suggest that the U1-U3 identities are specified by the STFs Vnd and En, which are expressed in the NB7-1 lineage during the time U1-U3 are produced (Figure 1, Supp Figure 1). We propose that the STFs specify the early-born U1-U3 neurons, followed by Fd4/Fd5 taking over the role of specifying the later-born U4/U5 neurons and maintaining all aspects of the lineage. This model would also explain the evolutionary advantage of genes like Fd4/Fd5. Specifically, if the overlapping expression of En and Vnd specifies NB7-1 identity, why the need for Fd4/Fd5? Given the transient nature of spatial cues, Fd4/Fd5 likely serve as a molecular 'memory' that preserves neuroblast identity, allowing late-born progeny to inherit lineage-specific transcriptional programs even after the original spatial cues have faded.

Fd4 transforms lineage identity, but not lineage length

We found that Fd4 is sufficient to induce NB7-1 identity in NB7-3, but not sufficient to change the length of its lineage. NB7-3 is a late-forming neuroblast that has a small size and makes a short three division lineage. Misexpression of Fd4 in NB7-3 was sufficient to activate NB7-1

specific lineage markers and repress NB7-3 specific lineage markers, but the NB7-3 lineage remained short. Thus, Fd4 can transform some aspects of neuroblast identity (molecular markers) but not all aspects (lineage length). It is likely that the smaller size of NB7-3 limits its number of divisions, or alternatively, unknown spatial factors may determine neuroblast lineage length.

Fd4, Fd5 redundancy

Redundancy of closely related genes is fairly common in *Drosophila* (Bhat and Schedl, 1997; Grosskortenhaus et al., 2006; Kohwi et al., 2011; Yeo et al., 1995). In our studies, we found that *fd4/fd5* double mutant lacks the late-born U4-U5 MNs (Figure 4); single mutants have no phenotype. Our misexpression experiments show that Fd4 alone is sufficient to promote NB7-1 identity (Figure 5-8). Fd5 alone has no ability to activate Fd4 or generate ectopic NB7-1 derived neurons (data not shown), indicating that the two genes are not fully redundant; it is not clear why Fd4 plays the major role in transforming neuroblast identity. Based on the partial co-expression of *fd4* and *fd5* during embryonic stages, it is possible that Fd4 and Fd5 have partially redundant roles in specifying U4-U5 motor neurons, similar to the mammalian FOXP protein in GABAergic spiny neuron specification (Ahmed et al., 2024). We hypothesize that the highly conserved Forkhead DNA-binding domain of Fd4 and Fd5 is required to activate Eve expression, but less well conserved domains may regulate Fd4-specific and Fd5-specific function.

How many 'neuroblast identity' genes exist?

Neuroblast lineages can be labeled by specific gal4 or split-gal4 drivers (Lacin and Truman, 2016; Soffers et al., 2025), documenting the potential lineage-specific gene expression. However, few genes have been identified that are expressed in single neuroblast lineages. In the *Drosophila* brain, the homeodomain transcription factor Orthodenticle (Otd; FlyBase: Oc) is expressed in a single neuroblast (LalV1) that generates central complex neurons, and loss of Otd transforms the neuroblast into a different neuroblast (ALad1) that generates olfactory projection neurons (Sen et al., 2014). Thus, Otd can be considered a neuroblast identity gene. Similarly, the NB5-6 lineage is the only neuroblast labeled by the HDTF Lbe, and misexpression of Lbe ubiquitously in other lineages also leads to the ectopic production of NB5-6 specific peptidergic lineage marker neurons (Baumgardt et al., 2009; Gabilondo et al., 2016). Thus, Otd and Lbe may join Fd4 as neuroblast identity genes that perform the same function: translating transient spatial cues that specify single neuroblasts into the permanent expression of lineage-specific terminal selector genes. Our findings raise the possibility that every neuroblast lineage may express its own neuroblast identity gene; alternatively, early forming neuroblasts like NB7-1 and NB5-6 have the longest lineages, and may require lineage identity genes to maintain neuroblast identity over the length of these lineages. Advances in single cell RNA-seq may reveal additional lineage-specific neuroblast identity genes.

Methods

Fly genetics

eg-gal4 (RRID:BDSC_8758); *en-gal4* (Schmid et al., 1999); *lbe(K)-gal4* (Baumgardt et al., 2009); *sca-gal4* (Doe lab); *UAS-IVS-myr::GFP* (RRID:BDSC_32198); *UAS-myr::sfGFP* (RRID:BDSC_62127); *UAS-IVS-myr::smGdP-HA* (RRID:BDSC_62145); *UAS-RedStinger* (RRID:BDSC_8547); *vnd-GFP-FPTB* (RRID:BDSC_93583). *fd4* and *fd5* alleles: *Df(3R)BSC493/TM6C* (*fd4* and *fd5* deficiency) (RRID:BDSC_24997); *fd4^{5nt}*/TM6B, *fd5^{1nt}*/TM6B, and *UAS-fd4* were gifts from C. Estella (Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain).

Generation of *fd4/fd5* mutant

We used CRISPR to generate *fd4-gal4* by replacing *fd4* open reading frame (ORF) with gal4 with the pHD-DsRed (Addgene plasmid #51434; http://n2t.net/addgene:51434; RRID:Addgene_51434) (Gratz et al., 2014) which also contained 1Kb of homologous arms up- and down-stream of ORF for homology directed repair (HDR). Two gRNA (AACATTGTGTAATAATGCCC and TAGGATTCTCGCGAGGGCCG) were used to remove *fd4* ORF and cloned into pCFD4-U6:1_U6:3tandemgRNAs (Addgene plasmid #49411; http://n2t.net/addgene:49411; RRID:Addgene_49411) (Port et al., 2014). The gRNA and HDR constructs were co-injected into the recombined *Actin5C-Cas9.P*; *fd5^{1nt}*/TM6B flies by Rainbow Transgenic Flies, Inc (Camarillo, CA, USA). Two independent transformants were obtained.

Antibody staining and imaging

Embryos were fixed and stained as previously described (Grosskortenhaus et al., 2005). Primary antibodies used were: rabbit anti-Cas (Mellerick et al., 1992), 1:1000 (Doe lab); rabbit anti-Corazonin, 1:2000 (Isshiki et al., 2001); guinea pig anti-Dbx, 1:200 (Doe lab); rat anti-Elav, 1:100 (DSHB, RRID:AB_528218); mouse anti-En, 5μg/mL (DSHB, RRID:AB_528224); guinea pig anti-Eve, 1:200 (Desplan lab, NYU, New York, NY, USA); mouse anti-Eve[2B8], 5μg/mL, (DSHB, RRID_AB:528230); rabbit anti-Eve, 1:250 (Doe lab); guinea pig anti-Fd4, 5μg/mL (Doe lab); chicken anti-GFP, 1:1000 (Aves Labs, RRID:AB_2734732); mouse anti-Hb [F18-1G10.2], 1:200 (Abcam, Waltham, MA, USA); rabbit anti-Hb, 1:200 (Tran and Doe, 2008); rat anti-Ind, 1:100 (Weiss et al., 1998); rat anti-Nkx6, 1:500 (Broihier et al., 2004); rabbit anti-pMad [EP823Y], 1:300 (Abcam, Waltham, MA, USA); mouse anti-Prospero monoclonal purified IgG, 1:1000 (Doe lab); guinea pig anti-Runt, 1:1000 (Sullivan et al., 2019); rat anti-Serotonin [YC5/45], 1:100, (Accurate Chemical & Scientific Corporation, Carle Place, NY, USA); rat anti-Tm1[MAC141], 1:500 (Abcam, Waltham, MA, USA); mouse anti-Wg, 5μg/mL (DSHB, RRID_AB:528512); and rabbit anti-Worniu, 1:1000 (Doe lab). Secondary antibodies used were: DyLight 405, AlexaFluor 488, AlexaFluor 555, rhodamine Red™-X(RRX), or Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated AffiniPure™ donkey anti-IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, USA). The samples were mounted in 90% glycerol with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Images were captured with a Zeiss LSM 800 confocal microscope with a *z*-resolution of 0.5

μm and processed using Imaris (Oxford Instruments plc, UK). Figures were assembled in Adobe Illustrator (Adobe, San Jose, CA).

Acknowledgements

We thank C. Estella for flies, C. Desplan and J. Skeath for antibodies, and Nathan Anderson, Austin Seroka, and Stefan Thor for comments on the manuscript. pHD-DsRed was a gift from Kate O'Connor-Giles, and pCFD4-U6:1_U6:3tandemgRNAs was a gift from Simon Bullock; both were obtained from Addgene. The rat anti-Elav, mouse anti-Eve[2B8] and mouse anti-Wg antibodies were obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the NICHD of the NIH and maintained at The University of Iowa, Department of Biology, Iowa City, IA 52242. Fly stocks obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) were used in this study. Funding was provided by HHMI (CQD and S-LL).

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Fd4 is expressed in NB7-1 after the expression of spatial factors Vnd and En

(A) Expression of Fd4, En, Vnd and Wor in a segment during embryonic stage 9, 11, 13 and 15. Anterior, up. Dashed lines, ventral midline. Scale bar: 10 μm.

(B) Quantification of NB7-1 expressing various combination of En, Vnd, and Fd4.

(C) Summary of expression for Fd4, and En Vnd double positive cells. STF: spatial transcription factors.

(D) Proposed function of spatial transcription factors and Fd4 in neuroblast identity.

Figure 2. Fd4 is expressed in NBs, GMCs, and new-born neurons, but not in differentiated neurons

(A) Expression of Fd4, Worniu (Wor), Prospero (Pros), and Elav in a hemisegment of a stage 12 embryo. Posterior view with dorsal oriented upwards and ventral downwards. The left 4 panels show marker expression, and the fifth panel (3D Recon.) shows a 3D reconstruction of Fd4+ cells and adjacent Fd4-Elav+ cells from the left 4 panels using Imaris Spots function. The right-most image shows the expression profiles of different cell types. Yellow dashed lines outline the cells expressing Fd4. Scale bar: 2 μm.

(B) Representative images of Fd4 expression in U motor neurons (UMN) in the stage 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 embryos. Yellow dashed lines outline the UMNs. Green squares box the UMNs that express FD4. Scale bar: 1 μm

(C) Quantification of Fd4 intensity in UMNs. Each dot is a measurement of Fd4 fluorescence intensity in a UMN normalized to the fluorescent intensity in a Fd4-negative cell. Yellow diamond represents the average intensity, and error bars are standard deviation. a.u., artificial unit. Number of hemisegment with UMNs measured: stage 9, 21; stage 10, 24; stage 11, 20; stage 12, 26; stage 13, 18.

(D) Summary of Fd4 expression in Eve+ cells during early NB7-1 lineage. The intensity of green represents the expression levels of Fd4.

(E) Proposed functions of Fd4 and terminal selector genes in neuron identity.

Figure 3. Fd4 is required for late-born neuron specification

(A) Eve and Dbx expression in the NB7-1 lineage of wild type and *fd4/fd5* mutant stage 17 embryos. Posterior view with dorsal oriented upwards, and ventral downwards. The NB7-1 lineage is outlined with yellow dashed line. Rightmost panels are 3D reconstruction of the NB7-1 lineage from the left three panels with Imaris Spots function. Scale bar: 2 μm.

(B-D) Quantification of total (B), Eve+ (C) and Dbx+ (D) cells in each lineage. Each dot represents an individual lineage. Yellow diamond, mean; error bars, standard deviation; n, number of lineages analyzed; p, the p-value of Student's t-test.

(E) UMNs in wild type and *fd4*/*fd5* mutant stage 17 embryos. Identity of UMNs is determined by the expression of marker Hb or Runt, and the relative position of the cell within the hemisegment. The rightmost panels are summary cartoon from the left panels. Scale bar: 2 μm.

(F) Quantification of each individual UMNs in each lineage. Each dot represents an individual lineage. Yellow diamond, mean; error bars, standard deviation; n, number of lineages analyzed; p, the p-value of Student's t-test.

(G) Dbx and Cas expression in the NB7-1 lineage of wild type and *fd4*/*fd5* mutant stage 17 embryos. Dorsal view with anterior oriented upwards, and posterior downwards. Rightmost panels are 3D reconstruction of the NB7-1 lineage from the left three panels with Imaris Spots function. Scale bar: 2 μm.

(H-I) Quantification. Each dot represents an individual lineage. Yellow diamond, mean; error bars, standard deviation; n, number of lineages analyzed; p, the p-value of Student's t-test.

Genotypes: wild type: *fd4-gal4,fd5^{1nt}, UAS-myr-sfGFP; fd4/fd5* mutant: *fd4-gal4,fd5^{1nt}/Df(3R)BSC493, UAS-myr-sfGFP*.

(J) Summary of functions of spatial TFs, Fd4 and terminal selector genes in neuron identity.

Figure 4 Wild spread of neuronal markers Eve and Dbx following ubiquitous Fd4 misexpression

(A-B) Eve and Dbx expression in wild type. En as a marker for segment boundary. Scale bars: 10 μm.

(C-D) Eve and Dbx expression following Fd4 misexpression. En as a marker for segment boundary. Scale bars: 10 μm.

(E-F) Quantification of Eve+ and Dbx+ cells in each hemisegment. Each dot represents an individual hemisegment. Yellow diamond, mean; error bars, standard deviation; n, number of lineages analyzed; p, the p-value of Student's t-test.

Genotypes: wild type: *y-w-*; Fd4 misexpression: *sca-gal4,UAS-fd4*.

Figure 5. Fd4 misexpression in NB5-6 induces NB7-1 lineage markers and represses NB5-6 lineage markers

(A) Expression of Ap and Eve in wild type (top row) or following Fd4 misexpression (bottom row) in NB5-6 using the NB5-6-specific *lbe-gal4* driver. Scale bar: 2 μm

(B-C) Quantification of the number of RedStinger+ (B), Ap+ (C) and Eve+ (C) cells. Each dot represents an individual lineage. Yellow diamond, mean; error bars, standard deviation; n, number of lineages analyzed; p, the p-value of Student's t-test.

(D) Expression of NB7-1 markers (Hb, Runt, Eve) in wild type NB5-6 lineage and following Fd4 misexpression in the NB5-6 lineage. Scale bar: 2 μm

(E) Quantification of Hb+Eve+ and Runt+Eve+ cells. Each dot represents an individual lineage. Yellow diamond, mean; error bars, standard deviation; n, number of lineages analyzed; p, the p-value of Student's t-test.

(F) Lateral view of lbe-gal4+ axon projection in wild type (top panel) and Fd4 misexpressed (bottom panel) embryos. The axons (white, arrowhead) was overlaying the body wall muscles (blue), and the muscles were labeled with antibody against Tropomyosin 1 (Tm1). Scale bars: 20 μmm.

 (G) Quantification of percent of axons projected out of CNS to the body wall muscles in wild type and Fd4 misexpressed embryos.

(H) Expression of motor neuron marker pMad in ectopic Eve+ cells in Fd4 misexpressed NB5-6 lineage in newly hatched larvae. The number in pMad panel shows the average number of pMad+ Eve+ cells per hemisegment. Scale bar: 2 μm.

Genotypes: (A-E, H) wild type: *lbe-gal4,UAS-RedStinger*; Fd4 misexpression (*+UAS-fd4*): *lbe-gal4,UAS-RedStinger,UAS-fd4*; (F-G) wild type: *10xUAS-myr-smGdP.HA,lbe-gal4.* Fd4 misexpression (*+UAS-fd4*): *10xUAS-myr-smGdP.HA, lbe-gal4, UAS-fd4*

Figure 6. Fd4 misexpression in NB7-3 induces NB7-1 lineage markers and represses NB7-3 lineage markers

(A-B) Expression of serotonin (5-HT), Corazonin (Crz) and Eve in wild type (A) and following Fd4 misexpression in the NB7-3 lineage (B). Scale bar: 2 μm.

(C) Quantification. Each dot represents an individual lineage. Yellow diamond, mean; error bars, standard deviation; n, number of lineages analyzed; p, the p-value of Student's t-test.

Genotypes: wild type: *eg-gal4,UAS-myr-sfGFP*; Fd4 misexpression (*+UAS-fd4*): *eg-gal4,UAS-myr-sfGFP,UAS-fd4.*

Figure 7. Fd4 misexpression in NB7-3 lineage dorsalizes motor neuron projections

(A) Expression of motor neuron markers Nkx6, Eve, and pMad in wild type (left column) and Fd4 misexpressed (right column) motor neuron in newly hatched larvae. Scale bars: 2 μm.

(B) Quantification. Each dot represents an individual lineage. Yellow diamond, mean; error bars, standard deviation; n, number of lineages analyzed; p, the p-value of Student's t-test.

(C) Dorsal view of three segments of wild type (top panel) and Fd4 misexpressed (bottom panel) neuronal projections. Yellow arrows indicate the fascicles projecting out from the neuropil. White arrowheads, ventral midline. Scale bars: 20 μm.

(D) Quantification of fascicles exiting nervous system. Wild type (1±0; top panel). Fd4 misexpression (1.6±0.5; bottom panel).

(E, F) Lateral view of eg-gal4+ motor neuron axon projection in wild type (E; left panels) and Fd4 misexpressed (F; right panels) embryos. Top two panels are the maximum projections of confocal image stacks of eg-Gal4+ neurons. Middle and bottom panels are eg-Gal4+ neuron axons reconstructed with Imaris (white), overlaying the body wall muscles (red). The muscles are labeled with antibody against Tropomyosin 1 (Tm1). The dashed lines indicate the boundary between dorsal and longitudinal (middle panels), and longitudinal and ventral muscles (middle and bottom panels). Scale bars: 50 μm.

(G) Quantification of motor neuron axon lengths. Each black dot represents the length of an axon measured from the VNC. White diamonds indicate the average length. The error bars are standard deviation.

(H) Summary.

Genotypes: wild type: *eg-gal4,UAS-myr-sfGFP*; Fd4 misexpression (+*UAS-fd4*): *eg-gal4,UAS-myr-sfGFP,UAS-fd4.*

Figure 8. Model

We propose a three-step model for the specification and maintenance of neuroblast and neuron identity.

(A) Spatial transcription factors (e.g. Vnd, En) are expressed transiently in rows and columns of neuroectoderm where they act combinatorially to specify neuroblast identity.

(B) neuroblast identity transcription factors (e.g. Fd4 or Lbe) are expressed in single neuroblasts and their new-born progeny throughout their lineage and act downstream of spatial factors to maintain neuroblast identity and upstream of terminal selector genes to specify late-born progeny.

(C) Terminal selector genes (e.g. Eve, Dbx) are expressed in neurons where they permanently maintain neuron identity and functions.

Supplemental Figure 1. Fd4 expression follows the expression of spatial factors En and Vnd

Quantification of Fd4, En, Vnd-GFP and Wor fluorescence intensity in NB7-1. Each dot is a measurement of protein fluorescence intensity in a NB7-1 normalized to the fluorescent intensity in a Fd4, En, and Vnd-GFP triple negative neuroblast (Wor+). Yellow diamond represents the average intensity, and error bars are standard deviation. a.u., artificial unit. Number of NB7-1 measured: stage 9, 20; stage 11, 22; stage 13, 30; stage 15, 34.

Supplemental Figure 2. *fd4* and *fd5* coexpress in the ventral nerve cord during embryogenesis

Expression of *fd4* and *fd5* in a stage 13 embryo. Posterior view with dorsal (D) oriented upwards, and ventral (V) downwards. White dashed lines indicate the segment midline. Scale bar: 10 μm.

Supplemental Figure 3. Fd4 does not regulate spatial patterning genes or temporal transcription factors

(A) Schematic of spatial factor expression in one hemisegment of ventral nerve cord.

(B) Fd4 misexpression in En+ cells in a stage 9 embryo. Overlayed green color shows Vnd expression domain, and overlayed red color shows Ind expression domain. Blue dash-lines outline the region where Fd4 is misexpressed by *en-gal4*. White dashed lines, ventral midline. Genotype: *en-gal4,UAS-fd4,vnd-GFP-FPTB.*

(C-D) Fd4 misexpression in Vnd+ cells in a stage 9 embryo. Blue dash-lines outline the region where Fd4 is misexpressed by *vnd-T2A-gal4*. White dashed lines, ventral midline. Genotype: *vnd-T2A-gal4,UAS-fd4.*

(E) Schematic of temporal transcription factor expression from stage 9 to stage 12.

(F) Fd4 misexpression in En+ cells in a stage 9 (left) and a stage 12 (right) embryo. Overlayed blue color shows the cells with Fd4 misexpressed with *en-gal4*. White dashed lines, ventral midline. Genotype: *en-gal4,UAS-fd4*.

Scale bar: 5 μm for all panels.

References

Ahmed NI, Khandelwal N, Anderson AG, Oh E, Vollmer RM, Kulkarni A, Gibson JR, Konopka G. 2024. Compensation between FOXP transcription factors maintains proper striatal function. *Cell Rep* **43**:114257. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2024.114257

Anderson N, Lai S-L, Doe CQ. 2025. Vnd and En are expressed in orthogonal stripes and act in a brief competence window to combinatorially specify NB7-1 and its early lineage. doi:10.1101/2025.09.04.674256

Baumgardt M, Karlsson D, Terriente J, DIaz-Benjumea FJ, Thor S. 2009. Neuronal subtype specification within a lineage by opposing temporal feed-forward loops. *Cell* **139**:969–982. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.10.032

Bhat KM. 1996. The patched signaling pathway mediates repression of gooseberry allowing neuroblast specification by wingless during Drosophila neurogenesis. *Dev Camb Engl* **122**:2921–2932. doi:10.1242/dev.122.9.2921

Bhat KM, Schedl P. 1997. Requirement for engrailed and invected genes reveals novel regulatory interactions between engrailed/invected, patched, gooseberry and wingless during Drosophila neurogenesis. *Development* **124**:1675–1688.

Broadus J, Skeath JB, Spana EP, Bossing T, Technau G, Doe CQ. 1995. New neuroblast markers and the origin of the aCC/pCC neurons in the Drosophila central nervous system. *Mech Dev* **53**:393–402. doi:0925477395004548%20%5Bpii%5D

Broihier HT, Kuzin A, Zhu Y, Odenwald W, Skeath JB. 2004. Drosophila homeodomain protein Nkx6 coordinates motoneuron subtype identity and axonogenesis. *Development* **131**:5233–5242. doi:10.1242/dev.01394

Chen Y-C, Konstantinides N. 2022. Integration of Spatial and Temporal Patterning in the Invertebrate and Vertebrate Nervous System. *Front Neurosci* **16**:854422. doi:10.3389/fnins.2022.854422

Chu H, Parras C, White K, Jiménez F. 1998. Formation and specification of ventral neuroblasts is controlled by vnd in Drosophila neurogenesis. *Genes Dev* **12**:3613–3624.

Chu-LaGraff Q, Doe CQ. 1993. Neuroblast specification and formation regulated by *wingless* in the *Drosophila* CNS. *Science* **261**:1594–1597.

Delgado RN, Lim DA. 2017. Maintenance of Positional Identity of Neural Progenitors in the Embryonic and Postnatal Telencephalon. *Front Mol Neurosci* **10**. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2017.00373

Doe CQ. 2017. Temporal Patterning in the Drosophila CNS. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **33**:219–240. doi:PMID:%2028992439

Doe CQ, Smouse D, Goodman CS. 1988. Control of neuronal fate by the Drosophila segmentation gene even-skipped. *Nature* **333**:376–8. doi:10.1038/333376a0

Doe CQ, Thor S. 2024. 40 years of homeodomain transcription factors in the Drosophila nervous system. *Dev Camb Engl* **151**:dev202910. doi:10.1242/dev.202910

Gabilondo H., Stratmann J, Rubio-Ferrera I, Millan-Crespo I, Contero-Garcia P, Bahrampour S, Thor S, Benito-Sipos J. 2016. Neuronal Cell Fate Specification by the Convergence of Different Spatiotemporal Cues on a Common Terminal Selector Cascade. *PLOS Biol* **14**:e1002450. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002450

Gabilondo Hugo, Stratmann J, Rubio-Ferrera I, Millán-Crespo I, Contero-García P, Bahrampour S, Thor S, Benito-Sipos J. 2016. Neuronal Cell Fate Specification by the Convergence of

Different Spatiotemporal Cues on a Common Terminal Selector Cascade. *PLoS Biol* **14**:e1002450-21. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002450

Gratz SJ, Ukken FP, Rubinstein CD, Thiede G, Donohue LK, Cummings AM, O'Connor-Giles KM. 2014. Highly specific and efficient CRISPR/Cas9-catalyzed homology-directed repair in Drosophila. *Genetics* **196**:961–971. doi:10.1534/genetics.113.160713

Grosskortenhaus R, Pearson BJ, Marusich A, Doe CQ. 2005. Regulation of Temporal Identity Transitions in Drosophila Neuroblasts. *Dev Cell* **8**:193–202. doi:10/bnkv4w

Grosskortenhaus R, Robinson KJ, Doe CQ. 2006. Pdm and Castor specify late-born motor neuron identity in the NB7-1 lineage. *Genes Dev* **20**:2618–2627. doi:10.1101/gad.1445306

Guo X, Peng K, He Y, Xue L. 2024. Mechanistic regulation of FOXO transcription factors in the nucleus. *Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer* **1879**:189083. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2024.189083

Heckscher ES, Zarin AA, Faumont S, Clark MQ, Manning L, Fushiki A, Schneider-Mizell CM, Fetter RD, Truman JW, Zwart MF, Landgraf M, Cardona A, Lockery SR, Doe CQ. 2015. Even-Skipped+ Interneurons Are Core Components of a Sensorimotor Circuit that Maintains Left-Right Symmetric Muscle Contraction Amplitude. *Neuron* **88**:314–329. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.09.009

Hobert O. 2016. Terminal Selectors of Neuronal Identity. *Curr Top Dev Biol* **116**:455–75. doi:10.1016/bs.ctdb.2015.12.007

Isshiki T, Pearson BJ, Holbrook S, Doe CQ. 2001. Drosophila neuroblasts sequentially express transcription factors which specify the temporal identity of their neuronal progeny. *Cell* **106**:511–521.

Isshiki T, Takeichi M, Nose A. 1997. The role of the msh homeobox gene during Drosophila neurogenesis: implication for the dorsoventral specification of the neuroectoderm. *Development* **124**:3099–3109.

Jiménez F, Martin-Morris LE, Velasco L, Chu H, Sierra J, Rosen DR, White K. 1995. vnd, a gene required for early neurogenesis of Drosophila, encodes a homeodomain protein. *EMBO J* **14**:3487–3495. doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1995.tb07355.x

Jin Y, Liang Z, Lou H. 2020. The Emerging Roles of Fox Family Transcription Factors in Chromosome Replication, Organization, and Genome Stability. *Cells* **9**:258. doi:10.3390/cells9010258

Karcavich R, Doe CQ. 2005. Drosophila neuroblast 7-3 cell lineage: A model system for studying programmed cell death, Notch/Numb signaling, and sequential specification of ganglion mother cell identity. *J Comp Neurol* **481**:240–251. doi:10/fd2f63

Kohwi M, Hiebert LS, Doe CQ. 2011. The pipsqueak-domain proteins Distal antenna and Distal antenna-related restrict Hunchback neuroblast expression and early-born neuronal identity. *Development* **138**:1727–1735. doi:10.1242/dev.061499

Lacin H, Truman JW. 2016. Lineage mapping identifies molecular and architectural similarities between the larval and adult Drosophila central nervous system. *Elife* **5**:e13399. doi:10.7554/eLife.13399

Lacin H, Zhu Y, Wilson BA, Skeath JB. 2009. dbx mediates neuronal specification and differentiation through cross-repressive, lineage-specific interactions with eve and hb9. *Development* **136**:3257–3266. doi:10.1242/dev.037242

Landgraf M, Bossing T, Technau GM, Bate M. 1997. The origin, location, and projections of the embryonic abdominal motorneurons of Drosophila. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* **17**:9642–9655.

Leung RF, George AM, Roussel EM, Faux MC, Wigle JT, Eisenstat DD. 2022. Genetic Regulation of Vertebrate Forebrain Development by Homeobox Genes. *Front Neurosci* **16**:843794. doi:10.3389/fnins.2022.843794

McDonald JA, Holbrook S, Isshiki T, Weiss J, Doe CQ, Mellerick DM. 1998. Dorsoventral patterning in the Drosophila central nervous system: the vnd homeobox gene specifies ventral column identity. *Genes Dev* **12**:3603–3612.

Mellerick DM, Kassis JA, Zhang SD, Odenwald WF. 1992. castor encodes a novel zinc finger protein required for the development of a subset of CNS neurons in Drosophila. *Neuron* **9**:789–803.

Mellerick DM, Nirenberg M. 1995. Dorsal-ventral patterning genes restrict NK-2 homeobox gene expression to the ventral half of the central nervous system of Drosophila embryos. *Dev Biol* **171**:306–316. doi:10.1006/dbio.1995.1283

Novotny T, Eiselt R, Urban J. 2002. Hunchback is required for the specification of the early sublineage of neuroblast 7-3 in the Drosophila central nervous system. *Development* **129**:1027–1036.

Philippidou P, Dasen JS. 2013. Hox genes: choreographers in neural development, architects of circuit organization. *Neuron* **80**:12–34. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.09.020

Pollington HQ, Seroka AQ, Doe CQ. 2022. From temporal patterning to neuronal connectivity in Drosophila type I neuroblast lineages. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2022.05.022

Port F, Chen H-M, Lee T, Bullock SL. 2014. Optimized CRISPR/Cas tools for efficient germline and somatic genome engineering in Drosophila. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**:E2967-76. doi:10.1073/pnas.1405500111

Ruiz-Losada M, Pérez-Reyes C, Estella C. 2021. Role of the Forkhead Transcription Factors Fd4 and Fd5 During Drosophila Leg Development. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **9**:723927. doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.723927

Sagner A, Briscoe J. 2019. Establishing neuronal diversity in the spinal cord: a time and a place. *Development* **146**. doi:10.1242/dev.182154

Sanese P, Forte G, Disciglio V, Grossi V, Simone C. 2019. FOXO3 on the Road to Longevity: Lessons From SNPs and Chromatin Hubs. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J* **17**:737–745. doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2019.06.011

Schmid A, Chiba A, Doe CQ. 1999. Clonal analysis of Drosophila embryonic neuroblasts: neural cell types, axon projections and muscle targets. *Development* **126**:4653–89.

Sen S, Biagini S, Reichert H, VijayRaghavan K. 2014. Orthodenticle is required for the development of olfactory projection neurons and local interneurons in Drosophila. *Biol Open* **3**:711–717. doi:10.1242/bio.20148524

Sen SQ, Chanchani S, Southall TD, Doe CQ. 2019. Neuroblast-specific open chromatin allows the temporal transcription factor, Hunchback, to bind neuroblast-specific loci. *eLife* **8**:e44036. doi:10.7554/eLife.44036

Skeath JB, Thor S. 2003. Genetic control of Drosophila nerve cord development. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **13**:8–15.

Skeath JB, Zhang Y, Holmgren R, Carroll SB, Doe CQ. 1995. Specification of neuroblast identity in the Drosophila embryonic central nervous system by gooseberry-distal. *Nature* **376**:427–30.

Soffers JHM, Beck E, Sytkowski DJ, Maughan ME, Devarakonda D, Zhu Y, Wilson BA, Chen Y-CD, Erclik T, Truman JW, Skeath JB, Lacin H. 2025. A library of lineage-specific driver

lines connects developing neuronal circuits to behavior in the Drosophila ventral nerve cord. *eLife* **14**:RP106042. doi:10.7554/eLife.106042

Stratmann J, Gabilondo H, Benito-Sipos J, Thor S. 2016. Neuronal cell fate diversification controlled by sub-temporal action of Kruppel. *Elife* **5**:e19311. doi:10.7554/eLife.19311.001

Stratmann J, Thor S. 2017. Neuronal cell fate specification by the molecular convergence of different spatio-temporal cues on a common initiator terminal selector gene. *PLoS Genet* **13**:e1006729. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006729

Sullivan LF, Warren TL, Doe CQ. 2019. Temporal identity establishes columnar neuron morphology, connectivity, and function in a Drosophila navigation circuit. *eLife* **8**:e43482. doi:10.7554/eLife.43482

Technau GM, Rogulja-Ortmann A, Berger C, Birkholz O, Rickert C. 2014. Composition of a neuromere and its segmental diversification under the control of Hox genes in the embryonic CNS of Drosophila. *J Neurogenet* **28**:171–180. doi:10.3109/01677063.2013.868459

Tran KD, Doe CQ. 2008. Pdm and Castor close successive temporal identity windows in the NB3-1 lineage. *Development* **135**:3491–3499. doi:10.1242/dev.024349

Weiss JB, Von Ohlen T, Mellerick DM, Dressler G, Doe CQ, Scott MP. 1998. Dorsoventral patterning in the Drosophila central nervous system: the intermediate neuroblasts defective homeobox gene specifies intermediate column identity. *Genes Dev* **12**:3591–3602.

Yeo SL, Lloyd A, Kozak K, Dinh A, Dick T, Yang X, Sakonju S, Chia W. 1995. On the functional overlap between two *Drosophila* POU homeo domain genes and the cell fate specification of a CNS neural precursor. *Genes Dev* **9**:1223–1236. doi:10.1101/gad.9.10.1223

Zhang Y, Ungar A, Fresquez C, Holmgren R. 1994. Ectopic expression of either the Drosophila gooseberry-distal or proximal gene causes alterations of cell fate in the epidermis and central nervous system. *Development* **120**:1151–61.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 6

716
717 Figure 7

TF class	Expression	Function
A Spatial TFs (e.g. Vnd, En)	Column or Row *transient*	Specify NB identity
B NB identity TFs (e.g. Fd4)	Single NB and its newborn neurons *length of lineage*	Maintain NB identiy
C Terminal selector TFs (e.g. Eve, Dbx)	Post-mitotic neurons *permanent*	Maintain neuron identity

Figure 8

Supp Figure 1

 Supp Figure 2

Supp Figure 3