Title: PRRT2 as an auxiliary regulator of Nav channel slow inactivation

Authors: Bin Lu[1,3,9*], Qi-Wu Xu[1,9], Jing Zhang[1,9], Xue-Mei Wu[1,2,9], Jun-Yan He[1,3,9], Jing-Qiu

Peng[1,2], Guang Yang[1,3,7], Ke-Xian Li[4], Ling Zhuang[1,3,8], Yu-Xian Zhang[1], Zhi-Ying Wu[6] and

Zhi-Qi Xiong[1,2,3,5,10*]

Affiliations:

[1]Center for Excellence in Brain Science and Intelligence Technology (Institute of Neurosci-

ence), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200031, China

[2]School of Life Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai 201210, China

[3]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

[4]Department of Neurology, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China

[5]Shanghai Center for Brain Science and Brain-Inspired Intelligence Technology, Shanghai

201210, China

[6]Department of Medical Genetics and Center for Rare Diseases, Second Affiliated Hospital,

Zhejiang University School of Medicine and Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Rare Diseases for

Precision Medicine and Clinical Translation, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310009, China.

[7]Present address: Interdisciplinary Research Center on Biology and Chemistry, Shanghai In-

stitute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201210, China

[8]Present address: Hainan Academy of Medical Sciences, Hainan Medical University, Hainan

571199, China

[9]These authors contributed equally: Bin Lu, Qi-Wu Xu, Jing Zhang, Xue-Mei Wu, Jun-Yan He.

[10]Lead contact

*Correspondence: lubin@ion.ac.cn (B.L.), xiongzhiqi@ion.ac.cn (Z-Q.X.)

Abstract

During sustained activity, voltage-gated sodium (Nav) channels enter a slow-inactivated state

to limit cellular hyperexcitability. Disruption of this regulatory process has been implicated in

skeletal, cardiac and neurological disorders. While the kinetics of this process are well char-

acterized, its endogenous modulators remain unclear. Here, we identify Proline-Rich Trans-

membrane Protein 2 (PRRT2) as a native regulator of Nav channel slow inactivation. We

show that PRRT2 facilitates the entry of Nav channels into the slow-inactivated state and de-

lays their recovery, a regulatory effect conserved from zebrafish to humans. PRRT2 forms

molecular complexes with Nav channels both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. In the mouse cortex, PRRT2 deficiency impairs the slow inactivation of Nav channels in neuronal axons, leading to reduced cortical resilience in response to hyperexcitable challenges. Together, these findings establish PRRT2 as a physiological modulator of Nav channel slow inactivation and reveal a mechanism that supports cortical resilience to pathological perturbations.

Introduction

Voltage-gated sodium (Nav) channels are critical for the initiation and propagation of electrical signals in excitable cells, contributing to cellular excitability in various tissues(Hodgkin & Huxley, 1952; Ulbricht, 1977). In mammals, including humans, Nav channels consist of nine α-subunit isoforms (Nav1.1-Nav1.9), which are differentially expressed and distributed across different tissues to regulate specific physiological functions(Catterall, Goldin, & Waxman, 2005). Mutations in Nav channels that lead to either loss- or gain-of-function of these channels are associated with a wide spectrum of diseases, including neurological disorders(Escayg & Goldin, 2010; Hedrich, Lauxmann, & Lerche, 2019; Meisler, Hill, & Yu, 2021), pain syndromes(Dib-Hajj, Geha, & Waxman, 2017; Waxman, 2013), muscle illnesses(Cannon, 2018; Mantegazza, Cestele, & Catterall, 2021) and cardiac diseases(Remme, 2013). Consequently, the Nav channels are considered promising therapeutic targets for treating these conditions(Noreng, Li, & Payandeh, 2021; Wisedchaisri & Gamal El-Din, 2022).

Voltage-dependent conformational changes enable Nav channels to transition between resting, open and inactivated states(Catterall, Wisedchaisri, & Zheng, 2020). Eukaryotic Nav channels undergo two major forms of inactivation (fast and slow inactivation), which are distinguished by their kinetics of onset and recovery(Goldin, 2003). Fast inactivation is triggered within milliseconds by brief depolarization and is rapidly reversed upon hyperpolarization, typically within tens of milliseconds (Armstrong & Bezanilla, 1977; Bezanilla & Armstrong, 1977). In contrast, slow inactivation develops during prolonged depolarizations and the slow inactivated channels require substantially longer periods to recover, ranging from hundreds of milliseconds to minutes(Rudy, 1978). Previous studies have shown that slow inactivation regulates Nav channel availability during sustained depolarization or high-frequency repetitive activity, thereby modulating the cellular excitability(Goldin, 2003; Silva, 2014; Vilin & Ruben, 2001). Impairment of this regulatory process due to mutations in Nav channels has been

associated with disorders such as periodic paralysis(Hayward, Sandoval, & Cannon, 1999),
cardiac arrhythmias(Richmond, Featherstone, Hartmann, & Ruben, 1998; Vilin, Makita, George, & Ruben, 1999) and epilepsy(Ghovanloo et al., 2023).

Beyond the intrinsic hierarchical gating mechanisms, auxiliary regulators further modulate Nav channel inactivation dynamics(Goldin, 2003). For example, β subunits of sodium channels (SCN1B) regulate inactivation of Nav channels in the heterologous expression system, although their effects vary across different Nav isoforms and cell types(He & Soderlund, 2014; Vilin et al., 1999; Webb, Wu, & Cannon, 2009). Fibroblast growth factor homologous factors (FHFs) interact with the carboxy terminus of Nav channels(Gade, Marx, & Pitt, 2020; Goetz et al., 2009), promoting intermediated or long-term inactivation, with recovery times spanning hundreds of milliseconds(Dover, Solinas, D'Angelo, & Goldfarb, 2010; Venkatesan, Liu, & Goldfarb, 2014). Although these auxiliary proteins have been identified as regulators of fast or intermediate inactivation, native modulators that specifically target slow inactivation of Nav channels remain largely unknown.

Recent studies on proline-rich transmembrane protein 2 (PRRT2) provide new insights into this issue. The *PRRT2*, a member of the Dispanins B subfamily (DspB)(Sallman Almen, Bringeland, Fredriksson, & Schioth, 2012), has been identified as a causative gene for paroxysmal kinesigenic dyskinesia(W. J. Chen et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2012; J. L. Wang et al., 2011). *In vitro* studies have shown that PRRT2 regulates Nav channel surface expression and delays recovery of these channels from inactivated states induced by brief depolarization(Fruscione et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2021; Valente et al., 2023). However, these studies did not clearly distinguish between the effects of PRRT2 on fast versus slow inactivation, nor did they provide direct *in vivo* evidence for its role in regulating Nav channel inactivation. Our original observations that PRRT2 expression increased the accumulation of inactivated Nav channels during repetitive stimulation(Lu et al., 2021) suggest that PRRT2 may be a previously unrecognized auxiliary regulator of Nav channel slow inactivation.

In this study, we combined whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings, co-immunoprecipitation, and *in vivo* approaches to investigate the role of PRRT2 in regulating Nav channel slow inactivation, and to examine how deficits in PRRT2-mediated modulation of this process affects neuronal function in awake mice.

Results

PRRT2 regulates the slow inactivation of Nav1.2 channels

Fast and slow inactivation of Nav channels proceed through distinct gating pathways(Goldin, 2003). Although previous studies have implicated PRRT2 in the regulation of Nav channel inactivation(Fruscione et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2021), it remains unclear whether PRRT2 primarily influences fast inactivation, slow inactivation or both. To address this, we expressed mouse PRRT2 in HEK293T cells stably expressing Nav1.2 (Figure 1A) and applied classic electrophysiological paradigms to distinguish between the two inactivation processes.

Fast inactivation of Nav channels is rapidly triggered by brief depolarization and manifests as a current decay following a transient pore opening (Figure 1B and 1C), consistent with previous reports(Misra, Kahlig, & George, 2008; Thompson et al., 2023). To evaluate the kinetics of fast inactivation, we measured the time constant of current decay across a range of test potentials and found that PRRT2 expression did not significantly alter the rate at which Nav channels entered the fast-inactivated state (Figure 1C). Moreover, the majority of Nav1.2 channels recovered from fast inactivation within ~10 ms at a hyperpolarized potential of -120 mV, and PRRT2 expression had a minimal effect on this recovery (Figure 1D).

In contrast to fast inactivation, Nav channel slow inactivation develops and recovers over much longer timescales (Figure 1E), typically ranging from hundreds of milliseconds to seconds, or even to minutes(Silva, 2014). Because slow-inactivated channels do not recover during brief hyperpolarization, they can be functionally distinguished from fast-inactivated channels using a classic two-pulse protocol. In this approach, a brief hyperpolarization step (e.g., -120 mV, 10 ms) is inserted between a conditioning pulse and a test pulse to recover fast-inactivated channels. Channels that have entered the slow-inactivated state remain unavailable during the test pulse, resulting in a reduction in peak sodium current (Figure 1E)(Featherstone, Richmond, & Ruben, 1996).

To assess the development of slow inactivation, we applied depolarization pulses of variable duration, followed by a fixed 10-ms hyperpolarization before the test pulse (Figure 1F). We found that a 5-second depolarization at 0 mV was sufficient to drive most Nav1.2 channels into the slow-inactivated state (Figure 1F), consistent with previous studies(Ganguly,

Thompson, & George, 2021). PRRT2 significantly promoted the entry of Nav1.2 channels into

the slow-inactivated state during sustained depolarization (Figure 1F).

To examine the role of PRRT2 in channel recovery from slow inactivation, we used a protocol

in which a fixed 5-second depolarization at 0 mV was followed by varying durations of hy-

perpolarization at -120 mV to allow channel repriming (Figure 1G). PRRT2 expression mark-

edly slowed the recovery of Nav channels from the slow-inactivated state (Figure 1G).

To further investigate whether the regulation of PRRT2 in slow inactivation is voltage depend-

ent, we performed a steady-state slow inactivation protocol. In this assay, 10-s depolarizing

steps from -110 to -10 mV were applied to drive Nav channels into steady state, followed by a

fixed hyperpolarization and a test pulse to assess channel availability (Figure 1-figure supple-

ment 1A). We found that PRRT2 promotes the transition of Nav channels into the slow-inacti-

vated state across a broad range of membrane potentials. PRRT2 expression induced a pro-

nounced hyperpolarizing shift in the inactivation curve, with a $V_{0.5}$ of -76.27 mV compared to -

48.05 mV in controls (Figure 1-figure supplement 1B).

In addition to prolonged depolarization, high-frequency repetitive depolarization can also in-

duce Nav channel slow inactivation(Mickus, Jung, & Spruston, 1999). To determine whether

PRRT2 regulates slow inactivation under these conditions, we applied a pulse-train protocol

with an inter-pulse recovery interval of 10 ms. The use-dependent attenuation of peak sodium

current was quantified as the fraction of current remaining across successive depolarizations

(Figure 1-figure supplement 1C). Compared with the EGFP control, PRRT2 expression pro-

duced a greater decline in peak current during the train, consistent with enhanced slow inacti-

vation during repetitive depolarizations (Figure 1-figure supplement 1C). Together, these find-

ings indicate that PRRT2 is a potent regulator of Nav1.2 channel slow inactivation *in vitro*.

Functional auxiliary factors in the regulation of Nav channel slow inactivation

Several auxiliary factors of Nav channels have been identified, including the SCN1B and

FHF2A (also known as FGF13a), both of which are implicated in modulating Nav channel in-

activation(Dover et al., 2010; Isom et al., 1992). In this study, we compared the effects of

mouse SCN1B and FGF13a with those of PRRT2 on the development and recovery of Nav

channel slow inactivation (Figure 2A).

We found that SCN1B had negligible effects on both the entry into and recovery from the

slow-inactivated state of Nav1.2 compared to controls (Figures 2B and C). In contrast,

FGF13a markedly accelerated the onset of inactivation (Figure 2B), consistent with previous

reports(Dover et al., 2010; Venkatesan et al., 2014). Notably, FGF13a had minimal effect on

the recovery of Nav channels from the slow-inactivated state induced by a 5-second depolari-

zation (Figure 2C). These distinct effects of FGF13a on inactivation are consistent with the

idea that FGF13a does not primarily modulate classical slow inactivation, but rather promotes

a form of rapid-onset and long-term inactivation(Dover et al., 2010; Venkatesan et al., 2014).

By comparison, PRRT2 uniquely regulated slow inactivation by both facilitating entry into and

delaying recovery from the slow-inactivated state of Nav channels (Figures 2B and C).

The rodent *Prrt2* gene encodes a 346-amino-acid protein containing a proline-rich domain in

the N-terminus, a re-entrant loop, and a transmembrane domain in the C-terminus (Figure

2D). To identify the functional domain(s) responsible for regulating slow inactivation, we gen-

erated a series of protein-truncating variants (Figure 2D).

We first examined PRRT2(222–346), which lacks the N-terminal proline-rich domain (Figure

2D). This variant retained activity similar to the full-length PRRT2, enhancing slow inactivation

development and delaying recovery (Figures 2E and F). A shorter construct, PRRT2(256–

346), which retains the intact C-terminus, also preserved regulatory function in modulating

slow inactivation compared to the EGFP control (Figures 2E and F). To further test whether

the C-terminal region is necessary for the effect of PRRT2 on Nav channel slow inactivation,

we examined PRRT2(1–266), a truncated variant lacking the membrane-associated C-termi-

nal domains (Figure 2D). Unlike variants containing the C-terminal region, PRRT2(1–266)

showed no detectable effect on either the development or recovery of Nav channel slow inac-

tivation compared to the control (Figures 2E and F). Notably, this lack of effect was not at-

tributable to absent expression of the truncated construct (Figure 2-figure supplement 1A and

B). Together, these results indicate that the C-terminal region of PRRT2, including the re-en-

trant loop and transmembrane domain, is both necessary and sufficient to mediate its regula-

tory effect on slow inactivation of Nav1.2 channels *in vitro*.

Evolutionarily conserved effects of PRRT2 on Nav channel slow inactivation

The *PRRT2* and *PRRT2*-like genes emerged in vertebrates and have been conserved

throughout evolution(Sallman Almen et al., 2012). The alignment of amino acid sequences

from zebrafish, mouse, and human PRRT2 revealed 77.46% identity between human and

mouse PRRT2, and 43.60% identity between zebrafish and mouse PRRT2 (Figures 3A and

B). Notably, the majority of conserved residues are located within the C-terminal region of the

PRRT2 proteins (Figure 3A). Given the essential role of the C-terminus of mouse PRRT2 in

regulating Nav channel slow inactivation, we examined whether PRRT2 proteins from differ-

ent species exhibit similar effects.

By comparing the effects of zebrafish, mouse, and human PRRT2 on Nav channel slow inac-

tivation, we found that all three PRRT2 orthologs similarly promoted the entry of Nav1.2 chan-

nels into the slow-inactivated state (Figure 3C). Notably, in recovery assays, zebrafish PRRT2

was more effective at delaying the recovery process compared to its mammalian counterparts

(Figure 3D). To identify the domain responsible for this enhanced effect, we generated a chi-

meric PRRT2 construct by fusing the C-terminal region of zebrafish PRRT2 to the N-terminal

region of human PRRT2 (Figure 3E). The chimeric PRRT2 exhibited comparable effects on

the rate of slow inactivation development to those observed with both human and zebrafish

PRRT2 (Figure 3F). Notably, the chimeric variant displayed enhanced modulation of recovery,

resembling zebrafish PRRT2 and significantly differing from human PRRT2 (Figure 3G).

These findings indicate that the C-terminal region of zebrafish PRRT2 confers its greater abil-

ity to modulate recovery of Nav1.2 from the slow-inactivated state.

We next extended our analysis to other DspB family members, including *Trafficking regulator*

of GLUT4-1 (*trarg1*) and *Transmembrane protein 233* (*tmem233*), both of which encode pro-

teins with C-terminal regions similar to that of PRRT2 (Figure 3-figure supplement 1A and B).

Given the critical role of the PRRT2 C-terminus in modulating slow inactivation, we hypothe-

sized that TRARG1 and TMEM233 might exert similar regulatory effects. Under heterologous

expression conditions, both TRARG1 and TMEM233 facilitated the entry of Nav1.2 channels

into the slow-inactivated state and delayed their recovery (Figure 3-figure supplement 1C and

D). These effects were comparable to those observed with mouse PRRT2, although

TMEM233 exhibited slightly weaker modulation than PRRT2 and TRARG1 (Figure 3-figure

supplement 1C and D). Together, these results suggest that the role of PRRT2 in regulating

Nav channel slow inactivation is evolutionarily conserved.

209 PRRT2 promotes slow inactivation across multiple human Nav channel isoforms

In humans, the nine Nav channel isoforms (Nav1.1-1.9) share high sequence homology and

similar structural features(Goldin, 2001). To determine whether PRRT2 modulates slow inacti-

vation across different Nav channel isoforms, we selected Nav1.1, Nav1.4, Nav1.5, and

Nav1.6, which are expressed in distinct tissues and cell types, and assessed the effects of

human PRRT2 on their slow inactivation properties (Figures 4A-J).

Nav1.1, Nav1.4, and Nav1.6 displayed similar kinetics in the development and recovery of

slow inactivation (Figures 4B, C, E, G, H, and J). In contrast, Nav1.5 showed greater re-

sistance to entering the slow-inactivated state (Figures 4D and I), consistent with previous

findings(Richmond et al., 1998). Despite these isoform-specific differences, the heterologous

expression of PRRT2 consistently promoted entry of Nav channels into the slow-inactivated

state (Figures 4A-E) and delayed recovery from it (Figures 4F-J) across all four Nav isoforms

tested. These results suggest that PRRT2 modulates slow inactivation through a common

mechanism shared by multiple Nav channel isoforms, despite differences in their intrinsic

slow-inactivation properties.

224 Association between PRRT2 and Nav channels *in vitro*

PRRT2 may regulate slow inactivation through association with Nav channels. To examine

the potential physical association between human PRRT2 and Nav1.2, we co-expressed

PRRT2-HA and Flag-Nav1.2 in HEK293T cells and performed co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP)

assays (Figures 5A and B). As controls, cells were transfected with one of the two interacting

proteins (either PRRT2-HA or Flag-Nav1.2) together with the empty vectors carrying the cor-

responding tag of the other protein (Figures 5A and B). Immunoprecipitation using an anti-

Flag antibody to capture Flag-Nav1.2 successfully co-precipitated PRRT2 in the co-transfec-

tion group, but not in the control groups where either protein was expressed (Figure 5A). Con-

versely, immunoprecipitation with anti-HA antibody showed that Nav1.2 was specifically co-

precipitated with PRRT2-HA in the co-transfection group but not in controls (Figure 5B).

These results confirm that PRRT2 and Nav1.2 formed a protein complex *in vitro*.

To identify the Nav1.2-associating region within human PRRT2, we generated truncated con-

structs encoding either the N-terminus (residues 1–268) or C-terminus (residues 250–340) of

PRRT2. Using the same Co-IP approach, we found that PRRT2(1–268), which contains the

intracellular proline-rich domain, failed to associate with Nav1.2 (Figures 5C-E). In contrast,

the PRRT2(250–340), which includes the re-entrant loop and transmembrane domain, was

able to interact with Nav1.2 (Figures 5F-H). These results indicate that the C-terminal region

of PRRT2 is necessary and sufficient, in this assay, for association with Nav1.2 channels.

Given that PRRT2 modulates slow inactivation across multiple Nav isoforms, we hypothe-

sized that it might also associate with other Nav isoforms, such as Nav1.1. To test this, we co-

expressed PRRT2-HA and Flag-Nav1.1 in HEK293T cells and performed Co-IP assays. Un-

der these conditions, PRRT2 was found to associate with Nav1.1 (Figures 5I and J), similar to

the association observed with Nav1.2 (Figures 5A and B).

These findings contrast with previous reports, which suggested that PRRT2 preferentially in-

teracted with Nav1.2 over Nav1.1 *in vitro*(Franchi et al., 2023; Fruscione et al., 2018; Valente

et al., 2023). This discrepancy may stem from differences in the experimental conditions used

to assess protein-protein interactions. For example, in our study, Nav1.1 and PRRT2 were co-

expressed in HEK293T cells, and cells were solubilized using the detergent n-Dodecyl β-D-

maltoside (DDM). Variations in experimental conditions could influence the stability of the

PRRT2-Nav channel complex and the efficiency of the Co-IP assay.

Collectively, our findings suggest that PRRT2 associates with Nav channels through its mem-

brane-associated C-terminal domains, forming molecular complexes *in vitro*.

PRRT2 forms a molecular complex with Nav1.2 in the mouse brain

To extend our findings from the heterologous expression system to an *in vivo* context, we first

investigated whether PRRT2 forms a protein complex with Nav channels in brain tissue. Due

to the limited efficacy of available antibodies for immunoprecipitating native PRRT2 or Nav1.2

from brain lysates, we employed a tag-based capture strategy, which relies on the appropriate

insertion of a commonly used epitope tag into the target protein.

We generated *Prrt2-V5* knock-in mice via CRISPR/Cas9 technology(Yang, Wang, &

Jaenisch, 2014), in which a V5 epitope tag was inserted at the C-terminus of PRRT2 (Figures

6A and B). Notably, compared with wild-type mice, PRRT2 protein levels in tissue lysates

were markedly reduced in *Prrt2-V5* knock-in mice (Figure 6C-D). These mice displayed susceptibility to dystonia attacks, resembling the phenotype previously observed in *Prrt2*-mutant mice(Wu et al., 2025), but with lower penetrance (Figure 6-figure supplement 1A-C).

Despite the reduced PRRT2 expression, Nav1.2 expression remained unchanged (Figure 6E), and co-immunoprecipitation assays remained effective in the *Prrt2-V5* knock-in mice. Using anti-V5 nanobody-conjugated magnetic beads, PRRT2 was effectively immunoprecipitated from membrane lysates of brain tissue (Figure 6C). A notable amount of Nav1.2 was co-immunoprecipitated in the *Prrt2-V5* knock-in group, whereas only trace levels were detected in wild-type controls (Figures 6C and F). In contrast, ATP1B2, another membrane protein, was not co-immunoprecipitated in the *Prrt2-V5* knock-in group (Figures 6C and F), supporting the specificity of the association between PRRT2 and Nav1.2. Together, although the *V5* knock-in allele is hypomorphic, these results provide *in vivo* evidence that PRRT2 forms a molecular complex with Nav1.2 channels in the mouse brain.

PRRT2 deficiency impairs the regulation of Nav channel slow inactivation in cortical neuronal axons

Given the dense distribution of both PRRT2 and Nav channels in the cerebral and cerebellar cortices(Yamano, Miyazaki, & Nukina, 2022), we next investigated whether PRRT2 regulates Nav channel slow inactivation in cortical neurons. Cortical slices were prepared from wild-type and *Prrt2*-mutant mice, the latter lacking PRRT2 expression(Tan et al., 2018). Unlike the relatively simple morphology of HEK293T cells, pyramidal neurons have complex arborizations, which present space-clamp limitations during whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings(Bar-Yehuda & Korngreen, 2008). To mitigate these issues, we followed established protocols to isolate axonal blebs from cortical pyramidal neurons(Hu & Shu, 2012). These spherical, membrane-bound blebs form at the cutting surface of cortical slices and are readily visualized (Figure 7A). Sodium currents recorded from axon blebs were voltage-dependent and sensitive to tetrodotoxin (TTX) (Figure 7A), confirming that they were primarily mediated by Nav channels(Hu & Shu, 2012).

PRRT2 deficiency did not affect Nav1.2 protein expression in forebrain tissues (Figure 7-figure supplement 1A and B), nor did it alter the sodium current density in cortical axonal blebs when measured with a brief depolarization to 0 mV preceded by a 200-ms hyperpolarizing

prepulse to -110 mV, a protocol designed to relieve inactivation before current elicitation (Figure 7B). Because prolonged voltage-clamp recordings from isolated axonal blebs are technically challenging, we adopted a simplified protocol to assess Nav channel slow inactivation, using a holding potential of -70 mV to approximate the resting membrane potential of cortical neurons. In this protocol, a single 5-s depolarization to 0 mV was applied, and the fraction of available Nav channels was determined after a 10-ms hyperpolarization (Figure 7C). Approximately 20% of available sodium current remained in wild-type blebs, compared to ~40% in *Prrt2*-mutant blebs (Figures 7C and D), indicating that during sustained depolarization, fewer Nav channels in the *Prrt2*-mutant group entered the slow-inactivated state. Notably, because the proportion of channels entering slow inactivation differed substantially between wild-type and *Prrt2*-mutant groups, direct comparison of recovery kinetics was not feasible and was therefore not performed. Nevertheless, these findings provide direct evidence that endogenous PRRT2 is required for effective regulation of Nav channel slow inactivation in cortical neurons.

PRRT2 deficiency compromises cortical resilience in awake mice

Excitatory neurons exhibit intrinsic adaptation, whereby their responses progressively decline during sustained or repetitive activation(Fleidervish, Friedman, & Gutnick, 1996; Kim, Owen, Holmes, & Grover, 2012). We therefore hypothesized that PRRT2 may contribute to this process by promoting Nav channel slow inactivation.

To test this idea, we applied a repetitive stimulation protocol in the corpus callosum, a white-matter region of the brain enriched in both PRRT2 and Nav channels. Compound action potentials, recorded with a glass electrode positioned 150 µm from the stimulation site, were used as a functional readout related to Nav channel availability during repetitive activity (Figure 7-figure supplement 2A). Compared with wild-type mice, *Prrt2*-mutant mice exhibited a smaller decline in compound action potential amplitude during high-frequency stimulation (20 Hz), but not during low-frequency stimulation (1 Hz), indicating reduced adaptation (Figure 7-figure supplement 2B and C). This pattern is consistent with impaired slow inactivation during repetitive activity.

Neuronal adaptation is important for limiting excitability during episodes of aberrant network hyperactivity (Fleidervish et al., 1996; Zang, Marder, & Marom, 2023). To further determine

whether PRRT2-dependent impairment of neuronal adaptation contributes to hyperexcitability

in vivo, we next assessed cortical resilience in awake mice, a measure of the resistance of

the cerebral cortex to pathological perturbations. We used a stimulation-response paradigm in

which stimulating electrodes were implanted into the left cerebral cortex, targeting both the

sensory and visual cortices, while EEG recording electrodes were placed in the contralateral

hemisphere, which receives projections from the stimulated region (Figure 7E). Pulsed electri-

cal stimulation (1-ms pulse width at 60 Hz for 2 s) was delivered to the cortex of mice once

daily with stepwise increases in current intensity, and the minimal current required to evoke

after-discharges (ADs) in the contralateral cortex was determined in each animal (Figure 7F).

The threshold current required to induce after-discharges was used as a functional indicator

of cortical resilience to aberrant excitatory inputs(Blume, Jones, & Pathak, 2004).

Prrt2-mutant mice exhibited significantly lower stimulation thresholds for evoking after-dis-

charges compared to wild-type controls (Figures 7G and H). Specifically, stimulation at an av-

erage intensity of 85 μA reliably induced after-discharges in *Prrt2*-mutant mice, whereas a

significantly higher intensity (190 μA) was required to elicit similar responses in wild-type mice

(Figures 7H and I), indicating that PRRT2 contributes importantly to maintaining cortical resili-

ence in response to excitatory perturbations.

Discussion

In this study, we identified PRRT2 as a physiological auxiliary factor of Nav channel slow inac-

tivation. We demonstrate that PRRT2 exerts a dual action by both facilitating the transition of

Nav channels into a slow-inactivated state and delaying their recovery. Importantly, this regu-

latory action is evolutionarily conserved, and requires the integrity of the PRRT2 C-terminal

region. Using both *Prrt2-V5* knock-in and *Prrt2*-mutant mouse models, we provide compelling

in vivo evidence that PRRT2 forms a molecular complex with Nav channels and modulates

their slow inactivation, thereby contributing to neuronal adaptation and cortical resilience to

perturbations.

In eukaryotic Nav channels, ion permeability is regulated through at least four distinct and co-

ordinated gating mechanisms: voltage-gated activation and deactivation, fast inactivation, and

slow inactivation(Catterall, 2023). Slow inactivation, an evolutionarily conserved intrinsic prop-

erty of Nav channels, is mediated by conformational rearrangements and has distinct

molecular determinants from those governing fast inactivation(Payandeh, 2018; Silva, 2014;

Vilin & Ruben, 2001).

The role of PRRT2 in the regulation of Nav channel slow inactivation appears to be unique

compared to known regulators such as SCN1B and FHFs. The modulatory effects of the

SCN1B on Nav channel inactivation were initially observed in *Xenopus* oocytes(Wallner,

Weigl, Meera, & Lotan, 1993), where it was found to influence sodium currents. Subsequent

studies proposed that the SCN1B impedes slow inactivation, a hypothesis later confirmed in

mammalian cells co-expressing Nav1.4 and SCN1B(Webb et al., 2009). However, its regula-

tory effects on slow inactivation varied across Nav isoforms(He & Soderlund, 2014; Makita,

Bennett, & George, 1996; Vilin et al., 1999; Xu et al., 2007). In this study, we observed little

effect of SCN1B on the onset and recovery of slow inactivation in Nav1.2, consistent with pre-

vious findings(Xu et al., 2007). In contrast to SCN1B, PRRT2 exerts a more consistent effect

across Nav isoforms, enhancing slow inactivation regardless of the specific Nav subtype.

FHF proteins (FHF1-4, also known as FGF11-14) constitute a subfamily of FGFs that lack a

secretion signal and function distinctly from traditional FGFs(Olsen et al., 2003). Previous

studies demonstrated that FHFs mediate a rapid-onset, long-term inactivation, which differs

mechanically from traditional slow inactivation(Dover et al., 2010). Unlike the FHF2A-medi-

ated long-term inactivation, which can be triggered by a brief 2-ms depolarization, PRRT2 re-

quires a longer depolarization to produce a detectable effect on the onset of slow inactivation

of Nav channels. Additionally, PRRT2 significantly delays recovery of Nav channels from the

slow-inactivated state induced by 5-second depolarization, whereas FHF2A has little effect on

this process. These findings suggest that PRRT2 and FHF2A modulate Nav channel inactiva-

tion through different mechanisms.

Previous studies in heterologous overexpression systems have shown that PRRT2 can influ-

ence Nav channel trafficking and surface expression(Fruscione et al., 2018; Valente et al.,

2023), raising the possibility that the observed effects on slow inactivation are secondary to

altered channel abundance or localization. However, slow inactivation develops on a time-

scale of tens of milliseconds to seconds, whereas detectable changes in Nav channel traffick-

ing and surface abundance generally occur over much longer intervals (minutes to hours)

(Freal et al., 2023; Higerd-Rusli et al., 2023). These distinct temporal profiles argue against

trafficking as the primary basis for the effects of PRRT2 on Nav channel slow inactivation de-

scribed here, although direct quantification of dynamic changes in Nav channel surface ex-

pression will be required to fully exclude such a contribution(Liu, Wang, Pitt, & Liu, 2022;

Tyagi, Higerd-Rusli, Akin, Waxman, & Dib-Hajj, 2025).

Our truncation analysis showed that the C-terminal region of PRRT2, which contains the re-

entrant loop and transmembrane domain, is sufficient to reproduce the enhancement of slow

inactivation. This finding suggests that PRRT2 modulates slow inactivation through a mem-

brane-embedded or membrane-proximal mechanism, rather than through its intracellular pro-

line-rich N-terminus. Current models of Nav channel slow inactivation propose that entry into

the slow-inactivated state involves coupled conformational changes in both the voltage-sens-

ing domains and the pore region(Catterall, Gamal El-Din, & Wisedchaisri, 2024; Silva, 2014),

including contributions from the external pore mouth(Balser et al., 1996; Xiong et al., 2006),

the selectivity filter(Chatterjee et al., 2018; Todt, Dudley, Kyle, French, & Fozzard, 1999), the

S6 segments(Chancey, Shockett, & O'Reilly, 2007; Y. Chen, Yu, Surmeier, Scheuer, &

Catterall, 2006; S. Y. Wang & Wang, 1997), and regions encompassing S4, S4-S5 linker, and

S5 (Bendahhou, Cummins, Kula, Fu, & Ptacek, 2002; Cummins & Sigworth, 1996; Hayward

et al., 1999; Mitrovic, George, & Horn, 2000; D. W. Wang, Makita, Kitabatake, Balser, &

George, 2000). Within this framework, the membrane-associated C-terminal region of PRRT2

may enhance slow inactivation by stabilizing one or both of these conformational rearrange-

ments, although the precise structural basis of this effect remains to be determined.

In mammals, Nav channel isoforms (Nav1.1–1.9) are distributed across the nervous system,

skeletal muscle, heart and secretory glands(Cusdin, Clare, & Jackson, 2008). Although we

have shown that PRRT2 exerts a consistent effect on slow inactivation across various Nav

channel isoforms *in vitro*, the regulation of Nav channel slow inactivation is likely to be more

complex under physiological conditions. PRRT2 is predominantly expressed in the nervous

system(W. J. Chen et al., 2011), with enrichment in excitatory neurons(Tan et al., 2018), mak-

ing it unlikely to directly modulate Nav1.4 and Nav1.5 channels, which are primarily ex-

pressed in skeletal muscle and cardiac tissue, respectively(Cusdin et al., 2008). A similar ra-

tionale applies to Nav1.1, which is largely confined to inhibitory interneurons(Yu et al., 2006),

where PRRT2 expression is minimal or absent. These observations suggest that the

functional consequence of PRRT2 depend on the Nav isoform composition and cellular con-

text of each tissue.

The partial mismatch between PRRT2 and Nav channel expression *in vivo* raises an im-

portant question about how Nav channel slow inactivation is regulated in cells that do not ex-

press PRRT2. One possibility is the expression of alternative regulators, such as TRARG1 or

TMEM233, both of which belong to the DspB family and are expressed in tissues distinct from

PRRT2(Ehrlich, Lacey, & Ehrlich, 2020; Oort, Warden, Baumann, Knotts, & Adams, 2007;

Santana-Varela et al., 2021; Shibata et al., 2007). These proteins may serve analogous func-

tions in modulating Nav channel slow inactivation. Another possibility is that certain PRRT2-

negative cells have a reduced requirement for Nav channel slow inactivation modulation due

to their intrinsic rhythmic firing properties. For instance, in the cerebellar cortex, the PRRT2 is

absent in Purkinje cells, which exhibit repetitive firing(Lu et al., 2021). In such rhythmic firing

cells, strong enhancement of Nav channel slow inactivation may be undesirable, as excessive

accumulation of inactivated Nav channels could disrupt their regular firing patterns. Thus, the

broad isoform activity of the PRRT2 should be considered in any future attempt to manipulate

PRRT2 function therapeutically.

Given the critical role of PRRT2 in regulating Nav channel slow inactivation, its deficiency

would be expected to alter neuronal excitability and neuronal responses to prolonged or re-

petitive activity, thereby contributing to central nervous system dysfunction. Evidence from

cultured neurons, animal models, and human genetics is broadly consistent with this view.

Studies using human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC)-derived excitatory neurons have

shown that homozygous *PRRT2* mutation increases sodium currents and neuronal excitabil-

ity(Fruscione et al., 2018). In mouse models, loss-of-function mutation or knockout of PRRT2

in cerebellar granule cells increases intrinsic excitability and facilitates spreading depolariza-

tion in the cerebellar cortex(Binda, Valente, Marte, Baldelli, & Benfenati, 2021; Lu et al.,

2021), whereas in the forebrain, PRRT2 deficiency impairs Nav channel slow inactivation in

cortical neurons and reduces resistance to pathological perturbation in awake mice. In addi-

tion, *PRRT2* mutations in humans are associated with infantile convulsions(Lee et al., 2012),

paroxysmal dyskinesia(W. J. Chen et al., 2011; J. L. Wang et al., 2011), and hemiplegic mi-

graine(Riant et al., 2022), all disorders linked to abnormal neuronal network excitability.

Although the present study supports a functional link between PRRT2-dependent regulation

of Nav channel slow inactivation and cortical resilience, PRRT2 may influence cortical excita-

bility and stability through additional Nav-dependent mechanisms, including reduced current

density and shifts in the voltage dependence of channel inactivation(Fruscione et al., 2018; Lu

et al., 2021; Valente et al., 2023). Notably, because PRRT2 facilitates Nav channel entry into

slow-inactivated states both from closed states and from open states during prolonged depo-

larization, some of these previously reported effects may partly reflect enhanced slow inacti-

vation and the resulting reduction in Nav channel availability. In addition to these Nav-depend-

ent mechanisms, previous studies have shown that PRRT2 also regulates synaptic vesicle

cycling(Coleman et al., 2018; Tan et al., 2018; Valente et al., 2016) and presynaptic surface

expression of Cav2.1 channels(Ferrante et al., 2021). These effects are also expected to in-

fluence neurotransmitter release and, consequently, neuronal and network excitability, and

may therefore contribute to cortical resilience.

Together, the identification of PRRT2 as an auxiliary factor regulating Nav channel slow inacti-

vation provides new insight into the fine-tuning of Nav channel function and offers a mecha-

nistic framework for understanding how PRRT2 deficiency may contribute to episodic neuro-

logical disorders associated with excitability dysregulation.

Limitations of the study

While our study identifies the functional domain of PRRT2 and provides biological evidence

that PRRT2 associates with Nav1.2, the precise binding site on the Nav channel that medi-

ates PRRT2-dependent regulation of slow inactivation remains undefined. Direct structural

and biochemical mapping of the PRRT2-Nav interface, including targeted mutagenesis,

crosslinking, and structural determination, will be necessary to elucidate the molecular basis

of this interaction and its effect on channel gating. Cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) repre-

sents a promising approach for resolving the structural details of the Nav-PRRT2 complex,

particularly in light of recent advancements in determining high-resolution structures of vari-

ous Nav channel isoforms and their complexes with auxiliary factors(Jiang et al., 2020; Pan et

al., 2019; Pan et al., 2021).

Materials and Methods

Molecular cloning

Mammalian expression constructs were generated using the pCAGIG backbone (Addgene,

11159), which contains an internal ribosome entry site (IRES) followed by EGFP(Matsuda &

Cepko, 2004). Coding sequences for full-length or truncated proteins of interest (POIs) were

inserted in the pCAGIG vector using a seamless cloning method (Beyotime, D7010). The

POIs included human PRRT2 (hPRRT2), hPRRT2(1–268), hPRRT2(250–340), mouse

PRRT2 (mPRRT2), mPRRT2(222–346), mPRRT2(256–346), mPRRT2(1–266), zebrafish

PRRT2 (zfPRRT2), mouse SCN1B, mouse FHF2A, mouse TRARG1 and mouse TMEM233.

A PRRT2 chimeric construct was generated by fusing the N-terminal fragment of human

PRRT2 (hPRRT2(1–222)) with the C-terminal region of zebrafish PRRT2 (zPRRT2(110–

226)), and cloning the resulting sequence into pCAGIG vector. Plasmids encoding human

PRRT2(W. J. Chen et al., 2011) were kindly provided by Dr. Zhi-Ying Wu's Laboratory

(Zhejiang University). Plasmids of pCAG-Flag-Nav1.2(Pan et al., 2019) and pCAG-Flag-

Nav1.1(Pan et al., 2021) were provided by Huai-Zong Shen's Laboratory (Westlake Univer-

sity). All constructs generated in this study were verified by Sanger sequencing (BioSune,

Boshang Biology Technology, Shanghai).

Cell culture and heterologous expression

HEK293T cell lines stably expressing human Nav1.2 or Nav1.6 and CHO cell lines stably ex-

pressing human Nav1.1, Nav1.4 or Nav1.5 were obtained from the laboratory of ICE Biosci-

ence Inc. Wild-type HEK293T cells (SCSP-502) used for transient transfection were obtained

from Cell Bank/Stem Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Sciences. All cells were cultured in

Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Gibco, 11995065) supplemented with 10% fetal

bovine serum (FBS; Gibco,10099141) and penicillin (50 U/mL)-streptomycin (50 μg/mL)

(Gibco,15140163), and maintained at 37 °C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO_2. The

HEK293T cell line used in this study is of female origin and tested negative for mycoplasma

contamination.

For heterologous protein expression in whole-cell voltage-clamp recording, 2 μg of plasmid

DNA was transfected into HEK293T or CHO cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher,

11668-019) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For co-immunoprecipitation assays,

2–3 μg of total plasmid DNA (encoding two proteins of interest) was used for co-transfection.

Four hours after the transfection, the medium was replaced with fresh growth medium, and

cells were maintained for an additional 20 hours before subsequent experiments.

Animals

Prrt2-mutant mice, carrying a premature stop codon in exon 2 of the *Prrt2* gene(Tan et al.,

2018), were used in electrophysiological recording experiments.

Prrt2-V5 knock-in mice, used for co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) experiments, were gener-

ated in this study via CRISPR/Cas9 technology(Yang et al., 2014). Briefly, single-guide RNA

(sgRNA: 5'-TCTCCCACAGTGTATAAGTG-3') targeting the location nearby the stop codon of

Prrt2 gene allele, together with a single-stranded DNA donor template encoding a V5 tag

flanked by 53-bp homology arms (ssDNA: 5'-CTTCCCTGTC TGTCTTTCCC TCTCCTCTCC

CACAGTGTAT AAGGGCAAGC CCATCCCCAA CCCCCTGCTG GGCCTGGACA GCAC-

CTGAGG GGCTCTGCCC TGCATTCCAA GACTTTTCTT CCTGTTGG-3') and Cas9 mRNA,

were microinjected into zygotes from fertilized C57BL/6J mice. The injected zygotes were im-

planted into pseudopregnant female mice. Offspring were screened for correct insertion of the

V5 tag immediately upstream of the *Prrt2* stop codon by PCR, and the insertion was subse-

quently verified by Sanger sequencing. Positive founder (F0) mice were crossed with

C57BL/6J mice to establish the *Prrt2-V5* knock-in line.

Both *Prrt2*-mutant and *Prrt2-V5* lines were backcrossed onto the C57BL/6J background for

more than 10 generations. C57BL/6J mice used for breeding were obtained from the Shang-

hai Laboratory Animal Center (SLAC), Chinese Academy of Science.

Mice were housed in a 12-h light-dark cycle (light on at 7:00 a.m.) with ad libitum access to

food and water. All procedures involving animals were approved by the Animal Care and Use

Committee of the Center for Excellence in Brain Science and Intelligence Technology, Chi-

nese Academy of Sciences (Approval number, NA-009-2022). Both male and female mice

were used in experiments.

Whole-cell voltage clamp recording for sodium currents in cell lines

Sodium currents were recorded from HEK293T or CHO cell lines stably expressing individual

human Nav channel isoforms (ICE Bioscience Inc.). Cells were transfected with plasmids as

described in the main text. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were trypsinized and re-

plated at lower density onto poly-D-lysine-coated coverslips. EGFP-positive cells were se-

lected for recording 24 hours later.

Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were conducted at room temperature (23-24 °C). The bath solution contained (in mM): 50 NaCl, 90 choline chloride, 3.5 KCl, 1 $MgCl_2$, 2 $CaCl_2$, 10 D-Glucose, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, and 10 HEPES (pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH). The internal pipette solution contained (in mM): 50 CsCl, 60 CsF, 10 NaCl, 20 EGTA, 10 HEPES (pH adjusted to 7.2 with CsOH). The osmolarity of the external and internal solutions was adjusted to 300 and 290 mOsmol/kg, respectively.

Pipette electrodes were pulled from borosilicate glass capillary (Sutter Instruments, BF150-86-10) using a horizontal puller (P-97, Sutter Instruments). The pipette resistance was approximately 2 MΩ when filled with internal pipette solution. After whole-cell configuration was achieved, cells were allowed to equilibrate for 5 minutes prior to recording. Series resistance was compensated by 80% to minimize voltage errors. Currents were recorded with an amplifier (HEKA, EPC-10), sampled at 10 kHz, and acquired using Patchmaster (HEKA, v2x92).

Fast inactivation assay for Nav channels

To assess the fast-inactivation kinetics of Nav channels, sodium currents were evoked by 20-ms depolarizing steps to test potentials between -20 and +30 mV in 10-mV increments, from a holding potential of -120 mV. The decay time constant (tau) was obtained by mono-exponential fitting of the current trace.

Recovery from fast inactivation was measured using a two-pulse protocol, in which a 10-ms depolarizing pulse to 0 mV (P1) was followed by a variable-duration recovery period at -120 mV and a second 10-ms test pulse at 0 mV (P2). Recovery was quantified as the normalized peak current of P2 relative to P1.

Slow inactivation assay for Nav channels

To evaluate the development of slow inactivation of Nav channels, a series of conditioning prepulses of increasing duration at 0 mV was applied, followed by a brief 10-ms recovery at -120 mV and a 10-ms test pulse at 0 mV. Inter-sweep intervals were set at 15 s. Available fractions of Nav channels were assessed by normalizing the peak currents in the test pulse to that of the conditioning pulse.

For slow inactivation recovery, a 5-s conditioning pulse at 0 mV was followed by variable recovery durations at -120 mV, then a 10-ms test pulse at 0 mV. The inter-sweep interval was 15 s.

In experiments assessing the effect of zebrafish PRRT2 on slow inactivation recovery, a modified protocol was used to avoid cumulative inactivation. Within a single sweep, a 5-s depolarization was followed by a series of 10-ms test pulses to 0 mV, each separated by progressively longer recovery periods at -120 mV(Webb et al., 2009).

In this study, we chose 0 mV as the primary conditioning potential because it is widely used in conventional slow inactivation protocols and induces slow inactivation more robustly than more negative conditioning voltages, such as -70 mV.

Steady-state slow inactivation assay for Nav channels

To measure steady-state slow inactivation, 10-s conditioning pulses ranging from -110 to -10 mV (in 10 mV increments) were applied, followed by a 10-ms hyperpolarizing step to -120 mV and subsequently a 5-ms test pulse to 0 mV. The peak test current was normalized to the maximum sodium current recorded across all conditions in the protocol and reported as I/I_{max}.

Slice preparation

Mice (postnatal day 14-20) were anesthetized with 4% isoflurane. The brain tissues were rapidly extracted and immersed in ice-cold sucrose-based cutting solution containing (in mM): 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 10 $MgSO_4$, 0.5 $CaCl_2$, 10 D-Glucose, 205 sucrose, 1 sodium pyruvate, 1.3 sodium L-ascorbate. Coronal cortical slices (250 μm) were prepared using a vibratome (Leica, VT1200S).

Slices were then transferred immediately to an incubation beaker containing aerated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) composed of (in mM): 126 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 $MgSO_4$, 2 $CaCl_2$, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4 and 25 dextrose (pH 7.4, 315 mOsm). Slices were incubated at 35 °C for 30 min, then maintained in the same solution at room temperature until use. The oxygenated ACSF was equilibrated with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2.

Electrical stimulation and field recording in the corpus callosum

Coronal slices were transferred to a recording chamber. A stimulation electrode (125 μm in diameter, concentric bipolar electrode, FHC, USA) was placed on the corpus callosum. The Ag-AgCl recording electrode (2-3 MΩ) was located at a site 150 μm away from the stimulation electrode along the corpus callosum. Stimulating pulses (0.1 ms pulse width, 100 μA) were delivered at 1 Hz for 100 seconds or at 20 Hz for 5 seconds. Compound action potentials were recorded as a functional readout related to Nav channel availability.

Electrophysiological recording in isolated axonal blebs

Slices were transferred to a recording chamber and stabilized with a platinum anchor grid during recording. Resealed axonal ends ("blebs") in the cerebral cortex were visualized using an upright infrared differential interference contrast (IR-DIC) microscope. Individual blebs were mechanically isolated by sweeping a cutting pipette beneath it, and subsequently patched with glass electrodes (resistance ~7 MΩ).

For sodium current recordings, pipettes were filled with a potassium gluconate-based internal solution containing (in mM): 130 K-gluconate, 1 $MgCl_2$, 11 EGTA, 1 $CaCl_2$, 1 KCl, 2 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP, 10 HEPES (pH adjusted to 7.2, ~290 mOsm), supplemented with 2 mM TEA-Cl. The external ACSF solution was supplemented with 5 mM 4-Aminopydine (4-AP) and 200 μM $CdCl_2$ to block K^+ and Ca^{2+} currents, respectively. 1 μM tetrodotoxin (TTX) was used to block TTX-sensitive Nav channels.

Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were performed using a MultiClamp amplifier (Molecular Devices, 700B). Currents were filtered at 4 kHz (low-pass) and digitized at 20 kHz via a Digidata interface (Molecular Devices, 1440A). Series resistance was compensated by 80% to minimize voltage errors. Leak currents were subtracted by using an online P/4 procedure. The holding potential was set to -70 mV, approximating the resting membrane potential of cortical neurons. To assess sodium current density, a hyperpolarization prepulse to -110 mV (200 ms) was used to remove inactivation, followed by a 20-ms depolarization to 0 mV. Sodium current density (nA/pF) was calculated by normalizing peak current to bleb capacitance. To evaluate Nav channel slow inactivation, a single 5-s depolarizing pulse (0 mV) was applied to induce slow inactivation followed by test pulses (0 mV, 20 ms) separated by 10-ms recovery intervals at -70 mV. The fraction of available Nav channels was calculated by normalizing the peak current amplitude of each test pulse to the peak current measured at the onset of the 5-s depolarization.

Co-immunoprecipitation in transfected HEK293T cells

In co-immunoprecipitation experiments, one protein-of-interest was tagged with an HA epitope and the other with a Flag epitope. The pCAGIG vector bearing either a HA or Flag tag alone was used as a control in paired constructs. HEK293T cells transfected with a pair of constructs were harvested 24 hours post-transfection for protein expression. Cells were lysed in

ice-cold lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% n-Dodecyl β-D-maltoside (DDM,

Anatrace, D310), and protease inhibitor cocktail (Selleckchem, B14002)) with gentle agitation

at 4 °C for 2 hours. Lysates were centrifuged at 20,000 x g for 20 minutes at 4 °C to remove

insoluble debris. The supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube and kept on ice.

Protein concentration of supernatant was determined using a colorimetric bicinchoninic acid

(BCA) assay (Beyotime, P0012S). For each immunoprecipitation (IP), 400 μg of proteins was

incubated with 30 μL of anti-HA nanobody magnetic beads (AlfaLifeBio, KTSM1335) or anti-

Flag magnetic beads (Bimake, B26102) at 4 °C with rotation for 1 hour. Beads were then sep-

arated with DynaMag spin and washed three times with wash buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 150

mM NaCl, 0.05% DDM). The captured proteins were eluted with 25 μL SDS-PAGE loading

buffer containing 100 mM DTT, followed by heating at 45 °C for 10 min. Samples were stored

at -20 °C prior to western blot analysis.

Co-immunoprecipitation in mouse brain tissues

Brain tissues (~100 mg per sample) were freshly dissected from wild-type or *Prrt2-V5* knock-

in mice, and homogenized on ice using glass homogenizers in 1 mL of Tris-buffered saline

(TBS, 20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, protease inhibitor cocktail). The homogenates were

centrifuged at 800 x g for 10 min at 4 °C to remove nuclei and cell debris. Supernatants were

subjected to centrifugation at 40,000 x g for 45 minutes at 4 °C to isolate membrane fractions.

The resulting membrane-enriched pellets were resuspended in TBS and solubilized in lysis

buffer containing 0.3% DDM for 3 hours at 4 °C. Lysates were then cleared by centrifugation

at 20,000 x g for 20 minutes at 4 °C. Protein concentration was measured via BCA assay. For

each IP, ~200 μg of proteins was incubated with 30 μL of V5-Trap magnetic beads (Chro-

motek, v5tma-20) at 4 °C with rotation for 1.5 hours. Beads were washed three times with

wash buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.15% DDM), and bound proteins were eluted

with 25 μL SDS-PAGE loading buffer containing 100 mM DTT, followed by heating at 45 °C

for 10 min. Eluates were kept at -20 °C until use in western blotting.

Western blotting

Protein samples were separated using 4-12% PAGE Gel (Nanjing ACE Biotechnology,

ET15412L) in MES-SDS running buffer at 140 V for 60 minutes. Proteins were transferred

onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes at 300 mA for 2 hours at 4 °C. The PVDF

membranes were blocked in 5% nonfat milk in TBST (TBS with 0.1% Tween-20) for 1 hour at room temperature, followed by incubation with indicated primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C with gentle agitation.

After three washes in TBST, membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 2 hours at room temperature. Following another three washes, chemiluminescent substrate (TIANGEN Biotech, PA112) was applied, and signals were detected using a blot imaging system (Analytikjena, ChemStudio 815). Densitometric analysis of protein bands was performed using QuantityOne software (Biorad, Quantity One 1-D analysis, v4.6.2). The primary antibodies we used in western blotting were as follows: Anti-human PRRT2 (Atlas antibodies, HPA014447), Anti-Nav1.2 (Alomone Labs, ASC-002), Anti-Nav1.1 (Alomone Labs, ASC-001), Anti-HA-Tag (Cell signaling technology, 3724S), Anti-GAPDH-HRP (KangChen Bio-tech,KC-5G5), Anti-ATP1B2 (Abcam, ab185210), Anti-mouse PRRT2 (Wiiget Biotech, Rp3246) and Anti-β Actin-HRP (Cell signaling technology, 5125S).

Animal Surgery

Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (RWD life science, R510-22-10), using 4% for induction and 2% for maintenance. Body temperature was maintained throughout the procedure with a heating pad. Meloxicam (5 mg/kg, subcutaneous) was administered preoperatively for analgesia.

After securing the mice in a stereotaxic frame (RWD life science, Model 68528), 0.25% bupivacaine was locally injected at the incision sites for perioperative analgesia, and ophthalmic ointment was applied to protect the cornea. A midline incision was made to expose the skull, which was cleaned with 2% hydrogen peroxide using sterile cotton swabs to remove connective tissue. The skull surface was leveled to a stereotaxic plane, and the bregma was identified and marked. Once dry, the exposed skull was coated with a light-curing self-etch adhesive (3M ESPE Single Bond Universal).

For cerebellar electrical stimulation experiments, two small holes separated by 1.5 mm were drilled in the skull above the fourth/fifth cerebellar lobules [anterior-posterior (AP), -6.25 mm; medial-lateral (ML), ±0.75 mm; dorsal-ventral (DV), -1.0 mm from the dura]. Two metal electrodes (100 μm in diameter; California Fine Wire Company, M426550) were implanted and secured with dental cement.

For cortical electrical stimulation and EEG recording, two small craniotomies (~0.6 mm in diameter) were made over the left sensory cortex (AP -0.8 mm; ML 1.5 mm) and visual cortex (AP -3.5 mm, ML 1.5 mm) for the insertion of bipolar stimulation electrodes (180 μm in diameter), which were implanted to a depth of -0.6 mm from the dura. Stainless steel screws were used as EEG electrodes: one was implanted into the right visual cortex (AP -2.25 mm, ML -1.5 mm), and the second into the right cerebellar vermis to serve as the reference. An additional screw was placed in the left cerebellar hemisphere as the ground. The electrodes and a custom-made head plate were affixed to the skull using dental cement.

Post-operatively, meloxicam (5 mg/kg, subcutaneously) was administered once daily for 3 consecutive days. Mice were allowed to recover for one week prior to electrical stimulation.

Cerebellar electrical stimulation and behavior assessment

Before cerebellar electrical stimulation, mice were habituated to the head-fixed platform for 30 minutes per day over three consecutive days. Electrical stimulation (300 μA, 60 Hz, 1-ms pulse width, 2 s duration) was delivered to the cerebellar cortex through a stimulus isolator (AMPI, ISO Flex) driven by a Master-8 stimulator. Mouse behavior was recorded with a video camera at a frame rate of 30 frames per second for 10 minutes.

Dystonia in mice were defined by the presence of one or more of the following abnormal postures or movements: prolonged forelimb elevation; persistent backward extension of the ears, tightly apposed to the head; an elongated trunk posture with the abdomen close to the ground and prolonged elevation or excessive extension of hindlimbs.

Electrostimulation and EEG recording in the cerebral cortex

One week after surgery, mice were habituated to a custom-built head plate holder for 30 minutes per day over three consecutive days prior to testing.

For stimulation experiments, the pre-implanted electrodes were connected to a stimulus isolator (AMPI, ISO Flex). Electrical pulses (1 ms pulse width, 60 Hz frequency, 2 s duration) were delivered through the isolator and controlled via TTL signals from a pulse generator (RWD life science, R820). EEG signals (bandpass: 1-300 Hz) were amplified 1000-fold using an AC/DC differential amplifier (A-M Systems, Model 3000), digitized at 1 kHz with a Digidata (Molecular Devices, 1332A), and acquired using Clampex software (Molecular Devices, v10.7).

To assess cortical resilience in *Prrt2*-mutant versus wild-type mice, a stimulus-response

protocol was employed using progressively increasing current intensities (0, 20, 40, 80, 120,

160, 200, 240, 280, 320 μA). Each stimulation was separated by a 24-hour interval. The stim-

ulation threshold was defined as the lowest current intensity that induced after-discharges

(lasting ≥ 2 seconds) in the EEG trace. Each EEG recording lasted for 5 minutes, with electri-

cal stimulation delivered immediately following a 1-minute baseline period.

Statistics

All grouped data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m) unless other-

wise stated. Statistical analyses were performed using Graphpad Prism 8 (GraphPad Soft-

ware Inc, La Jolla, US). No statistical methods were used to pre-determine the sample sizes.

The D'Agostino-Pearson omnibus (K2) test was used to assess normality.

Two-tailed, unpaired Student's *t*-tests were applied in Figures 7B and 7D. A two-tailed, paired

Student's *t*-test was used in Figures 6D-F and Figure 7-figure supplement 1B. Two-tailed, un-

paired Mann-Whitney test was applied in Figure 7H. Two-way ANOVAs were conducted for

comparisons in Figures 1C-D, 1F-G, 2B-C, 2E-F, 3C-D, 3F-G, 4B-E, 4G-J, 7I, Figure 1-figure

supplement 1B-C, Figure 3-figure supplement 1C-D, and Figure 7-figure supplement 2B-C. If

necessary, the Geisser-Greenhouse correction was applied to adjust for violations of spheric-

ity.

Statistical tests and sample sizes (including number of cells, slices, or animals) are stated in

the figure legends. No data were excluded from analyses. Asterisks in figures denote signifi-

cance levels: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001, n.s., not significant.

Co-immunoprecipitation assays were independently repeated at least three times in both *in*

vitro and *in vivo* tests. All attempts at replicating experiments presented in the manuscript

have obtained consistent conclusions.

Electrophysiological recordings were conducted with the experimenter blinded to group iden-

tity (transfected condition or animal genotype).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Fei Dong, Ting-Bin Ma, Xi Zhou, Wen Zhang, Wei Ke, Yue-Jie Xiao and

Xue-Qin Jin for technical support for electrophysiological recording. We thank Huai-Zong

Shen, Zhang-Qiang Li, Xue-Jing Li and Shu-Jia Zhu for experimental support for protein ex-

pression. We thank Tong-Zhou Li, Hong Zhang, Jun-Jun Liu and Hai-Yan Zhen from ICE

Bioscience for experimental support in sodium current recording. We appreciate the staff at

the Core Facilities for their generous technical support, and members of Xiong Lab for tech-

nical support and insightful discussions.

This work was supported by Innovation of Science and Technology 2030-Major Project "plat-

form of nonhuman primate models", grant 2021ZD0200900 (Z.-Q.X.); National Natural Sci-

ence Foundation of China, grants 82271269 (B.L.) and 82021001 (Z.-Q.X.); and Shanghai

Municipal Science and Technology Major Project (Z.-Q.X.).

Additional information

Materials availability

All plasmids and mice generated in this study are available from the lead contact with a com-

pleted materials transfer agreement.

Data and code availability

The data supporting the findings of this study are included in the main text. This paper does

not report the original code.

Contributions

Z.-Q.X. and B.L. conceived the study and designed the experiments. B.L., Q.-W.X. and J.Z.

carried out cellular and molecular experiments and data analyses. X.-M.W., J.-Y.H. and J.-

Q.P. performed animal experiments and data analyses. L.Z., J.Z., K.-X.L. and Z.-Y.W. contrib-

uted to plasmid construction. G.Y. was responsible for the knock-in mice generation. Y.-X.Z.

helped with animal surgery and behavior analyses. B.L. wrote the manuscript. Q.-W.X., J.Z.,

X.-M.W., J.-Y.H. and Z.-Y.W. carried out manuscript review and editing. Z.-Q.X. and B.L. car-

ried out project supervision, data interpretation and funding acquisition.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplemental information

Figure 1-figure supplement 1, Figure 2-figure supplement 1, Figure 3-figure supplement 1,

Figure 6-figure supplement 1, Figure 7-figure supplement 1 and Figure 7-figure supplement 2.

References

Armstrong, C. M., & Bezanilla, F. (1977). Inactivation of the sodium channel. II. Gating current experiments. *J Gen Physiol, 70*(5), 567-590. doi:10.1085/jgp.70.5.567

Balser, J. R., Nuss, H. B., Chiamvimonvat, N., Perez-Garcia, M. T., Marban, E., & Tomaselli, G. F. (1996). External pore residue mediates slow inactivation in mu 1 rat skeletal muscle sodium channels. *J Physiol, 494 (Pt 2)*(Pt 2), 431-442. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1996.sp021503

Bar-Yehuda, D., & Korngreen, A. (2008). Space-clamp problems when voltage clamping neurons expressing voltage-gated conductances. *J Neurophysiol, 99*(3), 1127-1136. doi:10.1152/jn.01232.2007

Bendahhou, S., Cummins, T. R., Kula, R. W., Fu, Y. H., & Ptacek, L. J. (2002). Impairment of slow inactivation as a common mechanism for periodic paralysis in DIIS4-S5. *Neurology, 58*(8), 1266-1272. doi:10.1212/wnl.58.8.1266

Bezanilla, F., & Armstrong, C. M. (1977). Inactivation of the sodium channel. I. Sodium current experiments. *J Gen Physiol, 70*(5), 549-566. doi:10.1085/jgp.70.5.549

Binda, F., Valente, P., Marte, A., Baldelli, P., & Benfenati, F. (2021). Increased responsiveness at the cerebellar input stage in the PRRT2 knockout model of paroxysmal kinesigenic dyskinesia. *Neurobiol Dis, 152*, 105275. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2021.105275

Blume, W. T., Jones, D. C., & Pathak, P. (2004). Properties of after-discharges from cortical electrical stimulation in focal epilepsies. *Clin Neurophysiol, 115*(4), 982-989. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2003.11.023

Cannon, S. C. (2018). Sodium Channelopathies of Skeletal Muscle. *Handb Exp Pharmacol, 246*, 309-330. doi:10.1007/164_2017_52

Catterall, W. A. (2023). Voltage gated sodium and calcium channels: Discovery, structure, function, and Pharmacology. *Channels (Austin), 17*(1), 2281714. doi:10.1080/19336950.2023.2281714

Catterall, W. A., Gamal El-Din, T. M., & Wisedchaisri, G. (2024). The chemistry of electrical signaling in sodium channels from bacteria and beyond. *Cell Chem Biol, 31*(8), 1405-1421. doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2024.07.010

Catterall, W. A., Goldin, A. L., & Waxman, S. G. (2005). International Union of Pharmacology. XLVII. Nomenclature and structure-function relationships of voltage-gated sodium channels. *Pharmacol Rev, 57*(4), 397-409. doi:10.1124/pr.57.4.4

Catterall, W. A., Wisedchaisri, G., & Zheng, N. (2020). The conformational cycle of a prototypical voltage-gated sodium channel. *Nat Chem Biol, 16*(12), 1314-1320. doi:10.1038/s41589-020-0644-4

Chancey, J. H., Shockett, P. E., & O'Reilly, J. P. (2007). Relative resistance to slow inactivation of human cardiac Na+ channel hNav1.5 is reversed by lysine or glutamine substitution at V930 in D2-S6. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol, 293*(6), C1895-1905. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00377.2007

Chatterjee, S., Vyas, R., Chalamalasetti, S. V., Sahu, I. D., Clatot, J., Wan, X., . . .

Chakrapani, S. (2018). The voltage-gated sodium channel pore exhibits

conformational flexibility during slow inactivation. *J Gen Physiol, 150*(9), 1333-1347.

doi:10.1085/jgp.201812118

Chen, W. J., Lin, Y., Xiong, Z. Q., Wei, W., Ni, W., Tan, G. H., . . . Wu, Z. Y. (2011). Exome

sequencing identifies truncating mutations in PRRT2 that cause paroxysmal

kinesigenic dyskinesia. *Nat Genet, 43*(12), 1252-1255. doi:10.1038/ng.1008

Chen, Y., Yu, F. H., Surmeier, D. J., Scheuer, T., & Catterall, W. A. (2006). Neuromodulation of

Na+ channel slow inactivation via cAMP-dependent protein kinase and protein kinase

C. *Neuron, 49*(3), 409-420. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2006.01.009

Coleman, J., Jouannot, O., Ramakrishnan, S. K., Zanetti, M. N., Wang, J., Salpietro, V., . . .

Krishnakumar, S. S. (2018). PRRT2 Regulates Synaptic Fusion by Directly

Modulating SNARE Complex Assembly. *Cell Rep, 22*(3), 820-831.

doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.056

Cummins, T. R., & Sigworth, F. J. (1996). Impaired slow inactivation in mutant sodium

channels. *Biophys J, 71*(1), 227-236. doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(96)79219-6

Cusdin, F. S., Clare, J. J., & Jackson, A. P. (2008). Trafficking and cellular distribution of

voltage-gated sodium channels. *Traffic, 9*(1), 17-26. doi:10.1111/j.1600-

0854.2007.00673.x

Dib-Hajj, S. D., Geha, P., & Waxman, S. G. (2017). Sodium channels in pain disorders:

pathophysiology and prospects for treatment. *Pain, 158 Suppl 1*(Suppl 1), S97-S107.

doi:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000854

Dover, K., Solinas, S., D'Angelo, E., & Goldfarb, M. (2010). Long-term inactivation particle for

voltage-gated sodium channels. *J Physiol, 588*(Pt 19), 3695-3711.

doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2010.192559

Ehrlich, K. C., Lacey, M., & Ehrlich, M. (2020). Epigenetics of Skeletal Muscle-Associated

Genes in the ASB, LRRC, TMEM, and OSBPL Gene Families. *Epigenomes, 4*(1).

doi:10.3390/epigenomes4010001

Escayg, A., & Goldin, A. L. (2010). Sodium channel SCN1A and epilepsy: mutations and

mechanisms. *Epilepsia, 51*(9), 1650-1658. doi:10.1111/j.1528-1167.2010.02640.x

Featherstone, D. E., Richmond, J. E., & Ruben, P. C. (1996). Interaction between fast and

slow inactivation in Skm1 sodium channels. *Biophys J, 71*(6), 3098-3109.

doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(96)79504-8

Ferrante, D., Sterlini, B., Prestigio, C., Marte, A., Corradi, A., Onofri, F., . . . Baldelli, P. (2021).

PRRT2 modulates presynaptic Ca(2+) influx by interacting with P/Q-type channels.

Cell Rep, 35(11), 109248. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109248

Fleidervish, I. A., Friedman, A., & Gutnick, M. J. (1996). Slow inactivation of Na+ current and

slow cumulative spike adaptation in mouse and guinea-pig neocortical neurones in

slices. *J Physiol, 493 (Pt 1)*, 83-97. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1996.sp021366

Franchi, F., Marte, A., Corradi, B., Sterlini, B., Alberini, G., Romei, A., . . . Benfenati, F. (2023).
The intramembrane COOH-terminal domain of PRRT2 regulates voltage-dependent
Na(+) channels. *J Biol Chem*, 104632. doi:10.1016/j.jbc.2023.104632

Freal, A., Jamann, N., Ten Bos, J., Jansen, J., Petersen, N., Ligthart, T., . . . Kole, M. H. P.
(2023). Sodium channel endocytosis drives axon initial segment plasticity. *Sci Adv,*
9(37), eadf3885. doi:10.1126/sciadv.adf3885

Fruscione, F., Valente, P., Sterlini, B., Romei, A., Baldassari, S., Fadda, M., . . . Benfenati, F.
(2018). PRRT2 controls neuronal excitability by negatively modulating Na$^+$ channel
1.2/1.6 activity. *Brain, 141*(4), 1000-1016. doi:10.1093/brain/awy051

Gade, A. R., Marx, S. O., & Pitt, G. S. (2020). An interaction between the III-IV linker and CTD
in NaV1.5 confers regulation of inactivation by CaM and FHF. *J Gen Physiol, 152*(2).
doi:10.1085/jgp.201912434

Ganguly, S., Thompson, C. H., & George, A. L., Jr. (2021). Enhanced slow inactivation
contributes to dysfunction of a recurrent SCN2A mutation associated with
developmental and epileptic encephalopathy. *J Physiol, 599*(18), 4375-4388.
doi:10.1113/JP281834

Ghovanloo, M. R., Effraim, P. R., Yuan, J. H., Schulman, B. R., Jacobs, D. S., Dib-Hajj, S. D.,
& Waxman, S. G. (2023). Nav1.7 P610T mutation in two siblings with persistent
ocular pain after corneal axon transection: impaired slow inactivation and
hyperexcitable trigeminal neurons. *J Neurophysiol, 129*(3), 609-618.
doi:10.1152/jn.00457.2022

Goetz, R., Dover, K., Laezza, F., Shtraizent, N., Huang, X., Tchetchik, D., . . . Mohammadi, M.
(2009). Crystal structure of a fibroblast growth factor homologous factor (FHF)
defines a conserved surface on FHFs for binding and modulation of voltage-gated
sodium channels. *J Biol Chem, 284*(26), 17883-17896. doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.001842

Goldin, A. L. (2001). Resurgence of sodium channel research. *Annu Rev Physiol, 63*, 871-
894. doi:10.1146/annurev.physiol.63.1.871

Goldin, A. L. (2003). Mechanisms of sodium channel inactivation. *Curr Opin Neurobiol, 13*(3),
284-290. doi:10.1016/s0959-4388(03)00065-5

Hayward, L. J., Sandoval, G. M., & Cannon, S. C. (1999). Defective slow inactivation of
sodium channels contributes to familial periodic paralysis. *Neurology, 52*(7), 1447-
1453. doi:10.1212/wnl.52.7.1447

He, B., & Soderlund, D. M. (2014). Functional expression of Rat Nav1.6 voltage-gated sodium
channels in HEK293 cells: modulation by the auxiliary beta1 subunit. *PLoS One, 9*(1),
e85188. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085188

Hedrich, U. B. S., Lauxmann, S., & Lerche, H. (2019). SCN2A channelopathies: Mechanisms
and models. *Epilepsia, 60 Suppl 3*, S68-S76. doi:10.1111/epi.14731

Higerd-Rusli, G. P., Tyagi, S., Liu, S., Dib-Hajj, F. B., Waxman, S. G., & Dib-Hajj, S. D. (2023).
The fates of internalized Na(V)1.7 channels in sensory neurons: Retrograde

cotransport with other ion channels, axon-specific recycling, and degradation. *J Biol*
Chem, 299(1), 102816. doi:10.1016/j.jbc.2022.102816

Hodgkin, A. L., & Huxley, A. F. (1952). A quantitative description of membrane current and its
application to conduction and excitation in nerve. *J Physiol, 117*(4), 500-544.
doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1952.sp004764

Hu, W., & Shu, Y. (2012). Axonal bleb recording. *Neurosci Bull, 28*(4), 342-350.
doi:10.1007/s12264-012-1247-1

Isom, L. L., De Jongh, K. S., Patton, D. E., Reber, B. F., Offord, J., Charbonneau, H., . . .
Catterall, W. A. (1992). Primary structure and functional expression of the beta 1
subunit of the rat brain sodium channel. *Science, 256*(5058), 839-842.
doi:10.1126/science.1375395

Jiang, D., Shi, H., Tonggu, L., Gamal El-Din, T. M., Lenaeus, M. J., Zhao, Y., . . . Catterall, W.
A. (2020). Structure of the Cardiac Sodium Channel. *Cell, 180*(1), 122-134 e110.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.11.041

Kim, E., Owen, B., Holmes, W. R., & Grover, L. M. (2012). Decreased afferent excitability
contributes to synaptic depression during high-frequency stimulation in hippocampal
area CA1. *J Neurophysiol, 108*(7), 1965-1976. doi:10.1152/jn.00276.2011

Lee, H. Y., Huang, Y., Bruneau, N., Roll, P., Roberson, E. D., Hermann, M., . . . Ptacek, L. J.
(2012). Mutations in the gene PRRT2 cause paroxysmal kinesigenic dyskinesia with
infantile convulsions. *Cell Rep, 1*(1), 2-12. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2011.11.001

Liu, H., Wang, H. G., Pitt, G., & Liu, Z. (2022). Direct Observation of Compartment-Specific
Localization and Dynamics of Voltage-Gated Sodium Channels. *J Neurosci, 42*(28),
5482-5498. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0086-22.2022

Lu, B., Lou, S. S., Xu, R. S., Kong, D. L., Wu, R. J., Zhang, J., . . . Xiong, Z. Q. (2021).
Cerebellar spreading depolarization mediates paroxysmal movement disorder. *Cell*
Rep, 36(12), 109743. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109743

Makita, N., Bennett, P. B., & George, A. L., Jr. (1996). Molecular determinants of beta 1
subunit-induced gating modulation in voltage-dependent Na+ channels. *J Neurosci,*
16(22), 7117-7127. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.16-22-07117.1996

Mantegazza, M., Cestele, S., & Catterall, W. A. (2021). Sodium channelopathies of skeletal
muscle and brain. *Physiol Rev, 101*(4), 1633-1689. doi:10.1152/physrev.00025.2020

Matsuda, T., & Cepko, C. L. (2004). Electroporation and RNA interference in the rodent retina
in vivo and in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 101*(1), 16-22.
doi:10.1073/pnas.2235688100

Meisler, M. H., Hill, S. F., & Yu, W. (2021). Sodium channelopathies in neurodevelopmental
disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci, 22*(3), 152-166. doi:10.1038/s41583-020-00418-4

Mickus, T., Jung, H. Y., & Spruston, N. (1999). Slow sodium channel inactivation in CA1
pyramidal cells. *Ann N Y Acad Sci, 868*, 97-101. doi:10.1111/j.1749-
6632.1999.tb11280.x

Misra, S. N., Kahlig, K. M., & George, A. L., Jr. (2008). Impaired NaV1.2 function and reduced

cell surface expression in benign familial neonatal-infantile seizures. *Epilepsia, 49*(9),

1535-1545. doi:10.1111/j.1528-1167.2008.01619.x

Mitrovic, N., George, A. L., Jr., & Horn, R. (2000). Role of domain 4 in sodium channel slow

inactivation. *J Gen Physiol, 115*(6), 707-718. doi:10.1085/jgp.115.6.707

Noreng, S., Li, T., & Payandeh, J. (2021). Structural Pharmacology of Voltage-Gated Sodium

Channels. *J Mol Biol, 433*(17), 166967. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2021.166967

Olsen, S. K., Garbi, M., Zampieri, N., Eliseenkova, A. V., Ornitz, D. M., Goldfarb, M., &

Mohammadi, M. (2003). Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) homologous factors share

structural but not functional homology with FGFs. *J Biol Chem, 278*(36), 34226-

34236. doi:10.1074/jbc.M303183200

Oort, P. J., Warden, C. H., Baumann, T. K., Knotts, T. A., & Adams, S. H. (2007).

Characterization of Tusc5, an adipocyte gene co-expressed in peripheral neurons.

Mol Cell Endocrinol, 276(1-2), 24-35. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2007.06.005

Pan, X., Li, Z., Huang, X., Huang, G., Gao, S., Shen, H., . . . Yan, N. (2019). Molecular basis

for pore blockade of human Na(+) channel Na(v)1.2 by the mu-conotoxin KIIIA.

Science, 363(6433), 1309-1313. doi:10.1126/science.aaw2999

Pan, X., Li, Z., Jin, X., Zhao, Y., Huang, G., Huang, X., . . . Yan, N. (2021). Comparative

structural analysis of human Na(v)1.1 and Na(v)1.5 reveals mutational hotspots for

sodium channelopathies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 118*(11).

doi:10.1073/pnas.2100066118

Payandeh, J. (2018). Progress in understanding slow inactivation speeds up. *J Gen Physiol,*

150(9), 1235-1238. doi:10.1085/jgp.201812149

Remme, C. A. (2013). Cardiac sodium channelopathy associated with SCN5A mutations:

electrophysiological, molecular and genetic aspects. *J Physiol, 591*(17), 4099-4116.

doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2013.256461

Riant, F., Roos, C., Roubertie, A., Barbance, C., Hadjadj, J., Auvin, S., . . . Ducros, A. (2022).

Hemiplegic Migraine Associated With PRRT2 Variations: A Clinical and Genetic

Study. *Neurology, 98*(1), e51-e61. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000012947

Richmond, J. E., Featherstone, D. E., Hartmann, H. A., & Ruben, P. C. (1998). Slow

inactivation in human cardiac sodium channels. *Biophys J, 74*(6), 2945-2952.

doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(98)78001-4

Rudy, B. (1978). Slow inactivation of the sodium conductance in squid giant axons. Pronase

resistance. *J Physiol, 283*, 1-21. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1978.sp012485

Sallman Almen, M., Bringeland, N., Fredriksson, R., & Schioth, H. B. (2012). The dispanins: a

novel gene family of ancient origin that contains 14 human members. *PLoS One,*

7(2), e31961. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031961

Santana-Varela, S., Bogdanov, Y. D., Gossage, S. J., Okorokov, A. L., Li, S., de Clauser,

L., . . . Cox, J. J. (2021). Tools for analysis and conditional deletion of subsets of

sensory neurons. *Wellcome Open Res, 6*, 250.

doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17090.1

Shibata, T., Koide, H., Hayashi, R., Nagata, K., Takeo, C., Yoshida, T., . . . Tatsuno, I. (2007).

Molecular cloning and characterization of rat brain endothelial cell derived gene-1

(tumor suppressor candidate 5) expressing abundantly in adipose tissues. *Mol Cell*

Endocrinol, 263(1-2), 38-45. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2006.08.007

Silva, J. (2014). Slow inactivation of Na(+) channels. *Handb Exp Pharmacol, 221*, 33-49.

doi:10.1007/978-3-642-41588-3_3

Tan, G. H., Liu, Y. Y., Wang, L., Li, K., Zhang, Z. Q., Li, H. F., . . . Xiong, Z. Q. (2018). PRRT2

deficiency induces paroxysmal kinesigenic dyskinesia by regulating synaptic

transmission in cerebellum. *Cell Res, 28*(1), 90-110. doi:10.1038/cr.2017.128

Thompson, C. H., Potet, F., Abramova, T. V., DeKeyser, J. M., Ghabra, N. F., Vanoye, C.

G., . . . George, A. L. (2023). Epilepsy-associated SCN2A (NaV1.2) variants exhibit

diverse and complex functional properties. *J Gen Physiol, 155*(10).

doi:10.1085/jgp.202313375

Todt, H., Dudley, S. C., Jr., Kyle, J. W., French, R. J., & Fozzard, H. A. (1999). Ultra-slow

inactivation in mu1 Na+ channels is produced by a structural rearrangement of the

outer vestibule. *Biophys J, 76*(3), 1335-1345. doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(99)77296-6

Tyagi, S., Higerd-Rusli, G. P., Akin, E. J., Waxman, S. G., & Dib-Hajj, S. D. (2025). Sculpting

excitable membranes: voltage-gated ion channel delivery and distribution. *Nat Rev*

Neurosci, 26(6), 313-332. doi:10.1038/s41583-025-00917-2

Ulbricht, W. (1977). Ionic channels and gating currents in excitable membranes. *Annu Rev*

Biophys Bioeng, 6, 7-31. doi:10.1146/annurev.bb.06.060177.000255

Valente, P., Castroflorio, E., Rossi, P., Fadda, M., Sterlini, B., Cervigni, R. I., . . . Benfenati, F.

(2016). PRRT2 Is a Key Component of the Ca(2+)-Dependent Neurotransmitter

Release Machinery. *Cell Rep, 15*(1), 117-131. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.03.005

Valente, P., Marte, A., Franchi, F., Sterlini, B., Casagrande, S., Corradi, A., . . . Benfenati, F.

(2023). A Push-Pull Mechanism Between PRRT2 and beta4-subunit Differentially

Regulates Membrane Exposure and Biophysical Properties of NaV1.2 Sodium

Channels. *Mol Neurobiol, 60*(3), 1281-1296. doi:10.1007/s12035-022-03112-x

Venkatesan, K., Liu, Y., & Goldfarb, M. (2014). Fast-onset long-term open-state block of

sodium channels by A-type FHFs mediates classical spike accommodation in

hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *J Neurosci, 34*(48), 16126-16139.

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1271-14.2014

Vilin, Y. Y., Makita, N., George, A. L., Jr., & Ruben, P. C. (1999). Structural determinants of

slow inactivation in human cardiac and skeletal muscle sodium channels. *Biophys J,*

77(3), 1384-1393. doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(99)76987-0

Vilin, Y. Y., & Ruben, P. C. (2001). Slow inactivation in voltage-gated sodium channels:

molecular substrates and contributions to channelopathies. *Cell Biochem Biophys,*

35(2), 171-190. doi:10.1385/CBB:35:2:171

Wallner, M., Weigl, L., Meera, P., & Lotan, I. (1993). Modulation of the skeletal muscle sodium channel alpha-subunit by the beta 1-subunit. *FEBS Lett, 336*(3), 535-539. doi:10.1016/0014-5793(93)80871-q

Wang, D. W., Makita, N., Kitabatake, A., Balser, J. R., & George, A. L., Jr. (2000). Enhanced Na(+) channel intermediate inactivation in Brugada syndrome. *Circ Res, 87*(8), E37-43. doi:10.1161/01.res.87.8.e37

Wang, J. L., Cao, L., Li, X. H., Hu, Z. M., Li, J. D., Zhang, J. G., . . . Tang, B. S. (2011). Identification of PRRT2 as the causative gene of paroxysmal kinesigenic dyskinesias. *Brain, 134*(Pt 12), 3493-3501. doi:10.1093/brain/awr289

Wang, S. Y., & Wang, G. K. (1997). A mutation in segment I-S6 alters slow inactivation of sodium channels. *Biophys J, 72*(4), 1633-1640. doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(97)78809-X

Waxman, S. G. (2013). Painful Na-channelopathies: an expanding universe. *Trends Mol Med, 19*(7), 406-409. doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2013.04.003

Webb, J., Wu, F. F., & Cannon, S. C. (2009). Slow inactivation of the NaV1.4 sodium channel in mammalian cells is impeded by co-expression of the beta1 subunit. *Pflugers Arch, 457*(6), 1253-1263. doi:10.1007/s00424-008-0600-8

Wisedchaisri, G., & Gamal El-Din, T. M. (2022). Druggability of Voltage-Gated Sodium Channels-Exploring Old and New Drug Receptor Sites. *Front Pharmacol, 13*, 858348. doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.858348

Wu, X. M., Lu, B., He, J. Y., Zhang, Y. X., Wu, Z. Y., & Xiong, Z. Q. (2025). Aberrant outputs of glutamatergic neurons in deep cerebellar nuclei mediate dystonic movements. *Sci Adv, 11*(19), eadp2377. doi:10.1126/sciadv.adp2377

Xiong, W., Farukhi, Y. Z., Tian, Y., Disilvestre, D., Li, R. A., & Tomaselli, G. F. (2006). A conserved ring of charge in mammalian Na+ channels: a molecular regulator of the outer pore conformation during slow inactivation. *J Physiol, 576*(Pt 3), 739-754. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2006.115105

Xu, R., Thomas, E. A., Gazina, E. V., Richards, K. L., Quick, M., Wallace, R. H., . . . Petrou, S. (2007). Generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus-associated sodium channel beta1 subunit mutations severely reduce beta subunit-mediated modulation of sodium channel function. *Neuroscience, 148*(1), 164-174. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2007.05.038

Yamano, R., Miyazaki, H., & Nukina, N. (2022). The diffuse distribution of Nav1.2 on mid-axonal regions is a marker for unmyelinated fibers in the central nervous system. *Neurosci Res, 177*, 145-150. doi:10.1016/j.neures.2021.11.005

Yang, H., Wang, H., & Jaenisch, R. (2014). Generating genetically modified mice using CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering. *Nat Protoc, 9*(8), 1956-1968. doi:10.1038/nprot.2014.134

Yu, F. H., Mantegazza, M., Westenbroek, R. E., Robbins, C. A., Kalume, F., Burton, K. A., . . .

Catterall, W. A. (2006). Reduced sodium current in GABAergic interneurons in a

mouse model of severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy. *Nat Neurosci, 9*(9), 1142-1149.

doi:10.1038/nn1754

Zang, Y., Marder, E., & Marom, S. (2023). Sodium channel slow inactivation normalizes firing

in axons with uneven conductance distributions. *Curr Biol, 33*(9), 1818-1824 e1813.

doi:10.1016/j.cub.2023.03.043

1053

1054 **Figures**

1055

Figure 1 PRRT2 regulates slow inactivation of Nav1.2 channels

(A and B) Representative immunoblots showing PRRT2 protein expression (A) and sche-

matic of whole-cell recording (B) in Nav1.2-stably expressing HEK293T cells transfected with

constructs encoding either EGFP or mouse PRRT2 (mPRRT2). MW, molecular weight.

(C) Fast-inactivation decay time constants of sodium currents evoked by 20-ms depolarizing

steps to the indicated command voltages (EGFP: n = 13 cells, mPRRT2: n = 13 cells). Insert:

representative sodium current traces elicited by a brief depolarization (left) and voltage-clamp

protocol used to assess fast inactivation (right). The arrow indicates the decay of the sodium

current attributable to fast inactivation.

(D) Recovery from fast inactivation of Nav1.2 channels (EGFP: n = 10 cells, mPRRT2: n = 7

cells). Insert: Protocol for examining fast inactivation recovery.

(E) Schematic diagram of entry into and recovery from slow inactivation of voltage-gated so-

dium channels. Slow inactivation modulates the availability of the sodium channels.

(F) Entry into slow-inactivated state of Nav1.2 channels induced by progressively longer de-

polarization (EGFP: n = 6 cells, mPRRT2: n = 6 cells).

(G) The effects of PRRT2 on the recovery of Nav1.2 channels from slow-inactivated state in-

duced by 5-s depolarization (EGFP: n = 6 cells, PRRT2: n = 6 cells). Data are presented as

mean ± s.e.m. Two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test was used in C, and two-way ANOVAs

were used in D, F, and G. ****P < 0.0001. n.s., not significant.

1075

Figure 2 Functional auxiliary factors in the regulation of Nav channel slow inactivation

(A) Diagram of Nav channel with potent auxiliary factors, encompassing SCN1B, FHF2A (also known as FGF13a) and PRRT2.

(B) The effects of auxiliary factors on the entry of Nav1.2 channels into slow inactivation (EGFP: n = 12 cells, mPRRT2: n = 14 cells, SCN1B: n = 9 cells, FGF13a: n = 12 cells).

(C) The effects of auxiliary factors on the recovery of Nav1.2 channels from slow-inactivated state induced by 5-s depolarization (EGFP: n = 12 cells, mPRRT2: n = 12 cells, SCN1B: n = 12 cells, FGF13a: n = 12 cells).

(D) Schematic representation of the putative topology of mouse PRRT2 and three truncations. Numbers indicate the positions of the amino acid. REL, re-entrant loop. TM, transmembrane domain. N, amino terminus. C, carboxyl terminus. aa, amino acid.

(E) Effects of PRRT2 truncations on the entry of Nav1.2 channels into slow inactivation (EGFP: n = 5 cells, mPRRT2(1–266): n = 14 cells, mPRRT2(222–346): n = 12 cells, mPRRT2(256–346): n = 12 cells).

(F) Effects of PRRT2 truncations on the recovery of Nav1.2 channels from slow-inactivated state induced by 5-s depolarization (EGFP: n = 5 cells, mPRRT2(1–266): n = 10 cells, mPRRT2(222–346): n = 10 cells, mPRRT2(256–346): n = 12 cells). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. The main effect of group was assessed using two-way ANOVAs (B, C, E and F). **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001, n.s., not significant.

1095

Figure 3 Evolutionarily conserved effects of PRRT2 on Nav channel slow inactivation

1096

(A) Sequence alignment of PRRT2 protein in human, mouse and zebrafish. Conserved amino

1097

acids are highlighted and the membrane-associated domains in carboxyl terminus of PRRT2

1098

are underlined. REL, re-entrant loop. TM, transmembrane domain.

1099

(B) Sequence identity of PRRT2 protein in human (hPRRT2), mouse (mPRRT2) and

1100

zebrafish (zfPRRT2). Identity is calculated by using protein BLAST tool from NCBI.

1101

(C) The effects of PRRT2 from different species on the entry of Nav1.2 channels into slow in-

1102

activation (EGFP: n = 16 cells, mPRRT2: n = 19 cells, hPRRT2: n = 20 cells, zfPRRT2: n = 11

1103

cells).

1104

(D) The effects of PRRT2 from different species on the recovery of Nav1.2 channels from

1105

slow-inactivated state induced by 5-s depolarization (EGFP: n = 16 cells, mPRRT2: n = 19

1106

cells, hPRRT2: n = 19 cells, zfPRRT2: n = 11 cells).

1107

(E) Diagram for the chimeric construct of PRRT2 originated from human and zebrafish.

1108

(F) The effect of chimeric PRRT2 on the entry of Nav1.2 channels into slow inactivation

1109

(EGFP: n = 11 cells, hPRRT2: n = 6 cells, zfPRRT2: n = 10 cells, Chimera: n = 11 cells).

(G) The effect of chimeric PRRT2 on the recovery of Nav1.2 channels from slow-inactivated

state induced by 5-s depolarization (EGFP: n = 11 cells, hPRRT2: n = 6 cells, zfPRRT2: n =

10 cells, Chimera: n = 9 cells). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. The main effect of group

was assessed using two-way ANOVAs (C, D, F and G). **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001, n.s., not

significant.

1116

Figure 4 PRRT2 promotes slow inactivation across multiple human Nav channel isoforms

(A) Protocol for examining the entry of Nav channels into slow-inactivated state.

(B-E) The effects of human PRRT2 (hPRRT2) on the entry of Nav1.1, Nav1.4, Nav1.5 and Nav1.6 channels into slow inactivation. In (B), analyses for Nav1.1 (EGFP: n = 10 cells, hPRRT2: n = 12 cells); In (C), analyses for Nav1.4 (EGFP: n = 11 cells, hPRRT2: n = 11 cells); In (D), analyses for Nav1.5 (EGFP: n = 17 cells, hPRRT2: n = 17 cells); In (E), analyses for Nav1.6 (EGFP: n = 5 cells, hPRRT2: n = 4 cells).

(F) Protocol for examining the recovery of Nav channels from slow-inactivated state.

(G-J) The effects of human PRRT2 on the recovery of Nav1.1, Nav1.4, Nav1.5 and Nav1.6 channels from slow-inactivated state induced by 5-s depolarization. In (G), analyses for Nav1.1 (EGFP: n = 10 cells, hPRRT2: n = 12 cells); In (H), analyses for Nav1.4 (EGFP: n = 11 cells, hPRRT2: n = 11 cells); In (I), analyses for Nav1.5 (EGFP: n = 16 cells, hPRRT2: n = 15 cells); In (J), analyses for Nav1.6 (EGFP: n = 5 cells, hPRRT2: n = 4 cells)

Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. The main effect of group was assessed using two-way ANOVAs (B-E and G-J). **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001.

Figure 5 Association between PRRT2 and Nav channels *in vitro*

(A and B) Schematic diagram of the co-immunoprecipitation assay used to detect association

between human PRRT2 and Nav1.2. Flag-tagged Nav1.2 (+), HA-tagged PRRT2 (+) and

empty (-) vectors were transfected in HEK293T cells as indicated. The cell lysates were im-

munoprecipitated with anti-Flag (A) or anti-HA (B) magnetic beads, the captured proteins

were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

(C) Diagram for HA-tagged truncation of human PRRT2 (PRRT2(1–268)), in which the car-

boxyl terminus of PRRT2 was deleted. REL, re-entrant loop. TM, transmembrane domain.

(D and E) Co-immunoprecipitation assay for potential association between Nav1.2 and

PRRT2(1–268). The proteins immunoprecipitated by anti-Flag (D) or anti-HA (E) magnetic

beads were analyzed by immunoblotting. Note that HA-tagged PRRT2(1–268) was detected

by anti-HA antibody in (D and E).

(F) Diagram for HA-tagged truncation of human PRRT2 (PRRT2(250–340)), in which the

amino terminus of PRRT2 was deleted.

(G and H) Co-immunoprecipitation assay for potential association between Nav1.2 and

PRRT2(250–340). The proteins immunoprecipitated by anti-Flag (G) or anti-HA (H) were ana-

lyzed by immunoblotting. Note that HA-tagged PRRT2(250–340) was detected by anti-HA an-

tibody in (G and H).

(I and J) Co-immunoprecipitation assay for potential association between Nav1.1 and

PRRT2. The proteins captured by anti-Flag (I) or anti-HA (J) magnetic beads were analyzed

by immunoblotting. In (A, B, D, E, G, H, I and J), blots shown are representative of at least

three independent co-immunoprecipitation experiments with similar results. Red rectangles

indicate the immunoblotting of bait and potential prey proteins in co-immunoprecipitation. IB,

immunoblot. IP, immunoprecipitation. MW, molecular weight.

1160

1161

1162 **Figure 6 PRRT2 forms a molecular complex with Nav1.2 in the mouse brain**

1163 **(A)** Schematic showing the generation of *Prrt2-V5* knock-in (V5-KI) mice using Cas9 technol-

1164 ogy. V5-tag sequence was inserted precisely at the end of *Prrt2* gene. PAM, protospacer-ad-

1165 jacent motif (NGG). ssDNA, single-strand DNA.

1166 **(B)** Sanger sequencing around sgRNA targeting site in *Prrt2-V5* knock-in mouse. The V5-tag,

1167 stop codon and homologous arms were denoted.

1168 **(C)** Co-immunoprecipitation assay for potential interaction between Nav1.2 and PRRT2-V5 in

1169 the brain tissue. The proteins immunoprecipitated by anti-V5 nanobody were analyzed by im-

1170 munoblotting. Blots shown are representative of four independent co-immunoprecipitation ex-

1171 periments. IB, immunoblot. IP, immunoprecipitation. MW, molecular weight. WT, wild-type. KI,

1172 knock-in.

1173 **(D-F)** Quantification of the density of protein bands for PRRT2 (D) and Nav1.2 (E) in lysate,

1174 and for Nav1.2 and ATP1B2 in co-immunoprecipitation experiments (F) (*n* = 4 mice for each

1175 group). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. In (D-F), two-tailed, paired Student's *t*-test was

1176 used for determining statistical significance. ****P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.0001, n.s., not significant.

1177

1178

Figure 7 PRRT2 deficiency impairs the regulation of Nav channel slow inactivation and

neuronal resilience in mice

(A) Schematic showing the isolated axonal bleb recording in cortical neuron (left) and the rep-

resentative brightfield image for the axonal bleb in brain slice (middle). The arrow indicates an

axonal bleb. Scale bar, 20 μm. The representative sodium current traces recorded in axonal

blebs (right). Scale bar, 0.5 nA, 5 ms. TTX, tetrodotoxin.

(B) Sodium current density recorded in isolated axonal blebs from wild-type (WT) and *Prrt2*-

mutant mice (WT: *n* = 18 blebs from 7 mice, *Prrt2*-mutant: *n* = 20 blebs from 8 mice). Sodium

currents were measured with a brief depolarization to 0 mV preceded by a 200-ms hyperpo-

larizing prepulse to -110 mV.

(C) Protocol for assessing Nav channel slow-inactivation (upper) and the representative

traces of the sodium currents evoked by conditioning depolarization pulse and test pulses

(bottom). Scale bar, 0.5 nA, 5 ms.

(D) Fraction of available Nav channels after 5-s depolarization (WT: *n* = 18 blebs from 7 mice,

Prrt2-mutant: *n* = 19 blebs from 8 mice).

(E) Schematic illustration of the cortical electrostimulation and EEG recording in mice. Ref,

reference electrode. Gnd, ground electrode. Stim, Stimulation.

(F) Illustration showing the experimental schedule and the stimulation parameters. Stimulation was delivered once daily with stepwise increases in current intensity.

(G) Representative traces and power of EEG signals in wild-type and *Prrt2*-mutant mice before and after 2-s cortical stimulation. After-discharges were indicated by the arrow and red line. Scale bar: 0.2 mV. Electrical stimulation (120 µA) was applied during 60–62 s period, where the stimulus-induced artifacts were removed prior to analysis.

(H) Threshold of electrical stimulation to induce after-discharges in WT and *Prrt2*-mutant mice (WT: n = 16 mice, *Prrt2*-mutant: n = 8 mice).

(I) Percentage of after-discharge occurrence in WT and *Prrt2*-mutant mice after electrical stimulation (WT: n = 16, *Prrt2*-mutant: n = 8). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. Two-tailed, unpaired Student's *t*-test was used in (B and D) and two-tailed, unpaired Mann-Whitney test was used in (H). In (I), the main effect of group was assessed using two-way ANOVAs. ****P < 0.0001, n.s., not significant.

1210

Figure 1-figure supplement 1. PRRT2 promotes steady-state slow inactivation and use-

dependent inactivation

(A) Protocol of steady-state slow inactivation test (left) and representative traces of the so-

dium currents evoked by test pulses (right). The sodium currents gradually decreased as the

voltage in conditioning steps increased. Scale bar, 0.5 nA, 2 ms.

(B) The effects of PRRT2 on voltage-dependent steady-state slow inactivation of Nav1.2

channels (EGFP: n = 11 cells, mPRRT2: n = 13 cells). mPRRT2, mouse PRRT2.

(C) PRRT2 modulates use-dependent inactivation of Nav1.2 channels during pulse trains

(EGFP: n = 6 cells, mPRRT2: n = 6 cells). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. Two-way

ANOVAs were used in (B and C) to determine the statistical significance of main effects of

group. ****P < 0.0001.

1224

Figure 2-figure supplement 1. Western blot verification of mPRRT2(1-266) expression

(A) Representative Immunoblot showing HA-tagged mPRRT2 (1-266) expression in Nav1.2-

stably expressing HEK293T cells. GAPDH served as a loading control.

(B) Quantification of mPRRT2(1-266)-HA expression normalized to GAPDH (*n* = 2 independ-

ent experiments). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m.

1230

Figure 3-figure supplement 1. Paralogs of PRRT2 regulate Nav channel slow inactiva-tion

(A) Sequence alignment of mouse TRARG1, TMEM233 and PRRT2 proteins. Conserved

amino acids are highlighted and the transmembrane domains in carboxyl terminus of these

proteins are underlined. REL, re-entrant loop. TM, transmembrane domain.

(B) Schematic showing the phylogenetic relationship between members of Dispanin subfamily

B (DspB).

(C) The effects of members of DspB on the entry of Nav1.2 channels into slow inactivation

(EGFP: n = 13 cells, TRARG1: n = 17 cells, TMEM233: n = 13 cells, PRRT2: n = 13 cells).

(D) The effects of members of DspB on the recovery of Nav1.2 channels from slow-inacti-

vated states induced by 5-s depolarization (EGFP: n = 10 cells, TRARG1: n = 14 cells,

TMEM233: n = 12 cells, PRRT2: n = 12 cells).

Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. The main effect of group was assessed using two-way

ANOVAs (C and D). **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001, n.s., not significant.

1247

Figure 6-figure supplement 1. Dystonia behaviors in a subset of *Prrt2*-V5 knock-in mice

(A) Schematic illustration of electrical stimulation delivered to the localized area of the

fourth/fifth cerebellar lobule in mice.

(B) Representative postures of wild-type (WT) and *Prrt2*-V5 knock-in (KI) mice following cere-

bellar stimulation. Arrows indicate the body parts affected during the dystonic episode.

(C) Incidence of dystonia behaviors induced by cerebellar electrical stimulation in WT, *Prrt2*-

V5 KI, and *Prrt2*-mutant mice (WT: $n = 6$ mice, *Prrt2*-V5 KI: $n = 5$ mice, *Prrt2*-mutant: $n = 4$

mice). Data are presented as percentage of animals that exhibited dystonia behaviors within 5

minutes after stimulation.

1257
1258

1259

Figure 7-figure supplement 1. Protein levels of Nav1.2 are unchanged in brain tissue

from *Prrt2*-mutant mice

(A and B) Immunoblots (A) and quantifications (B) of Nav1.2 proteins in brain tissue of wild-

type (WT) and *Prrt2*-mutant mice (*n* = 3 mice). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. Two-

tailed, paired Student's *t*-test was used in (B) to determine the statistical significance. n.s., not

significant.

1266

1267

1268

Figure 7-figure supplement 2. Activity-dependent adaptation is impaired in *Prrt2*-mu-

tant mice

(A) Schematic illustration of corpus callosum stimulation and compound action potential (AP)

recording in acute brain slices. Insert: representative trace of a compound AP recorded from

the corpus callosum. Scale bar, 0.2 mV, 1 ms.

(B and C) Normalized peak amplitude of compound APs in corpus callosum during repetitive

electrical stimulation (100 µA) at 1 Hz (B) and 20 Hz (C) in WT and *Prrt2*-mutant mice (WT: *n*

= 22 slices from 5 mice, *Prrt2*-mutant: *n* = 20 slices from 4 mice). Data are presented as

mean ± s.e.m. Two-way ANOVAs were used in (B) and (C) to determine the statistical signifi-

cance of main effect of genotype. ****$P < 0.0001$. n.s., not significant.