Title: Why early birds sing early: rebound singing from nighttime suppression can explain dawn chorus

Authors: Ednei B. dos Santos[1]†, Chihiro Mori[2]†, Yunbok Kim[1]†, Joowon Kim[3]†, Ryosuke O. Tachibana[4], Ok-Yi Jeong[1], Juyeon Lee[1], Satoshi Kojima[1]*

Affiliations:
[1]Sensory and Motor Systems Research Group, Korea Brain Research Institute; Daegu, Republic of Korea.
[2]Department of Molecular Biology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Teikyo University; Tokyo, Japan.
[3]Department of Anatomy, Chonnam National University Medical School; Hwasun, Jeollanam-do, Republic of Korea.
[4]Human Informatics and Interaction Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology; Tsukuba, Japan.

†These authors contributed equally to this work" notations, and similar information.

*Corresponding author. Email: satoshikojima.sk@gmail.com

Abstract:

Many birds sing intensively at dawn, a phenomenon known as the "dawn chorus," yet its cause remains unclear. This is largely due to prior research being limited primarily to ecological studies of wild birds. We investigated the dawn chorus under controlled laboratory conditions using an animal model, the zebra finch. We found that birds became hormonally aroused in the dark long before initiating dawn singing, and that suppression of spontaneous singing by darkness enhanced their intrinsic motivation to sing. This elevated motivation subsequently triggered a rebound of intensive singing at dawn. Moreover, dawn singing accelerated refinement of song structure, supporting its role as intensive vocal exercise to optimize song performance after overnight silence. These findings provide mechanistic and functional explanations for the dawn chorus.

Main Text:

The dawn chorus (DC), the intensive singing in many bird species early in the morning, has been recognized as one of the most prominent biological indicators of daybreak since ancient times. The DC is observed daily, mostly during the breeding season and in most breeding habitats around the world(*1*, *2*), fascinating the general public as well as birders and researchers. Despite the ubiquity and prominence of the DC, however, its functions and underlying mechanisms remain surprisingly poorly understood. To date, at least 10 different hypotheses have been proposed to explain why birds produce the DC, but no consensus has yet been reached. Although there is some evidence for each of these hypotheses, it is mostly indirect. This is largely because most studies of the DC are conducted in wild birds, where precise controls and systematic manipulations of experimental conditions are difficult. Additionally, most research has focused on the adaptive functions of the DC (i.e. ultimate causes), leaving the behavioral and physiological mechanisms (i.e. proximate causes) of the DC largely unexplored. To address these issues, we conducted experiments on laboratory-bred zebra finches, a model organism widely used in life science research(*3*). Unlike previous studies of the DC, we controlled temperature, food availability, social environment, and age, and systematically manipulated lighting conditions in the morning to investigate the effects of morning light on the DC.

Results

Captive zebra finches exhibit DC-like intensive singing when morning lights-on time is delayed.

Male zebra finches housed alone in sound recording chambers spontaneously produce hundreds of songs per day (referred to as "undirected singing") under light conditions, but virtually no songs under complete darkness(*4*). A previous study demonstrated that temporarily suppressing undirected singing by turning off the lights during the daytime induces intensive singing immediately after the lights are turned on and the suppression is lifted(*5*). Since this suppression-driven intensive singing resembles a typical DC, we hypothesized that this suppression-driven mechanism may underlie the DC. As the first step to test this hypothesis, we examined whether suppression-driven intensive singing occurs even in the morning following the dark period at night in captive birds. In particular, given that the magnitude of suppression-driven singing strongly depends on the duration of suppression(*5*), we predicted that extending or shortening the night period by delaying or advancing the morning lights-on time (LT) relative to the regular LT would enhance or attenuate morning singing activity, respectively (Fig. 1A). Although birds exhibited slight increases in singing rate relative to baseline even after the regular LT (0h LT in Fig. 1A-D & S1), these increases were markedly enhanced when the LT was delayed by 3 hours (+3 h LT in Fig. 1A–D & S1, Movie S1), resulting in intensive singing resembling the DC. In contrast, almost no transient increases in singing rate were observed when the LT was advanced by 3 hours (−3 h LT in Fig. 1A–D, Movie S2). Moreover, birds began singing sooner after the delayed LT than after the advanced LT (Fig. 1E). These results

demonstrate that DC-like intensive singing can be induced in socially-isolated songbirds simply by delaying the morning LT.

Birds begin to sing in dimmer light conditions when LT is delayed.

In addition to intensive singing in the morning, the early onset of singing under dim light at dawn is another key feature of the DC in wild birds and has given rise to various hypotheses regarding its underlying causes(*1*, *2*). We found that such early singing onset under dim light conditions can also be induced by delaying the morning LT. We modified the morning lighting paradigm to mimic natural dawn conditions: Instead of the abrupt lighting used in the previous experiment, the light level was gradually increased over a 2-h period (see Methods), and this "gradual lighting period" was either delayed or advanced by 3 h relative to the regular LT (Fig. 2A-B). When the gradual lighting period was delayed by 3 h (+3h LT), birds began singing earlier within the gradual lighting period compared to when the gradual lighting period was advanced by 3 h (-3h LT) (Fig. 2B-D), indicating that singing initiation occurred under lower light levels when the morning LT was delayed. Taken together, these results suggest that delaying the LT can induce both singing onset under dim light conditions and intensive singing, which are two major features of the DC.

Birds wake up long before the delayed LT and their motivation to sing increases while spontaneous singing is being suppressed by darkness.

Why do birds exhibit DC-like singing when the LT is delayed? Given that a similar pattern of intensive singing occurs following temporary singing suppression by lights-out during the daytime(*5*), we hypothesized that birds are mostly awake during the dark period before the delayed LT and and that their motivation to sing increases while singing is being suppressed by darkness, subsequently producing intensive singing as a 'rebound' from the suppression. To test this idea, we monitored the birds' movements in darkness before the LT (with the abrupt lighting paradigm described in Fig. 1A) using infrared cameras mounted above individual cages (Fig. 3A-B). We found that birds moved actively during the 3-h period prior to the delayed LT (+3h LT in Fig. 3C-E, Movie S3), but exhibited little movement before the advanced LT (-3h LT in Fig. 3C-E, Movie S4), providing evidence that birds are mostly awake during the dark period before the delayed LT but not before the advanced LT.

Although these results suggest higher arousal levels of the birds before the delayed LT, it does not necessarily indicate that they are highly motivated to sing at that time. To assess the singing motivation in the dark period before the LT more directly, we trained the birds to press a lever that triggered a 10-sec period of lighting (Fig. 3F, Movie S5). Since zebra finches sing almost exclusively under light conditions and not in darkness(*4*), the frequency of lever presses are likely to reflect, at least to some extent, the levels of singing motivation as well as the arousal levels. When the LT was delayed or advanced by 3 h, birds frequently pressed the lever and sang before the +3h LT, but only rarely before the -3h LT (Fig. 3G-I). These results support the idea that birds are highly motivated to sing in the dark before the delayed LT but not before the advanced LT. Given that suppression of undirected singing by darkness during the daytime

increases intrinsic singing motivation and results in intensive rebound singing immediately after the suppression is lifted(*5*), these results provide evidence that DC-like singing is driven by a rebound mechanism from dark-induced singing suppression before the delayed LT.

We also investigated the hormonal mechanisms underlying early awakening before the delayed LT. In zebra finches, plasma concentrations of melatonin, a hormone that regulates the sleep-wake cycle in vertebrates(*6*), are relatively high during the night and drop sharply to daytime levels approximately 0.5–2 hours before the regular LT(*7*). This pre-dawn drop in melatonin levels roughly coincides with the early awakening of birds before the delayed LT. Based on these findings, we hypothesized that birds wake up long before the delayed LT due to hormonal regulation including the early melatonin drop but are suppressed from singing by darkness, leading to an increase in singing motivation and eventually DC-like singing immediately after the LT. To test this hypothesis, we systemically administered the selective melatonin receptor antagonist luzindole 5 h before the regular LT and assessed whether this would induce DC-like singing. We found that birds began singing earlier after luzindole administration compared to saline administration (paired *t*-test: $t(7) = 2.79$, $p = 0.0269$; Fig. 3J), although the initial singing rates following the LT did not significantly differ between the two treatments ($t(7) = 1.162$, $p = 0.284$). These results suggest a key role of the early drop in plasma melatonin levels prior to the LT in inducing DC-like singing, thus supporting our hypothesis that the early morning awakening that is responsible for DC-like singing is caused by melatonin-related hormonal regulation.

Captive zebra finches housed under semi-natural conditions exhibit DC singing.

Although the suppression-driven rebound singing observed after the delayed LT in socially isolated zebra finches housed under artificial light conditions resembles the DC that is generally observed in many bird species in the wild, it is unclear whether these two phenomena are based on the same mechanisms. While zebra finches have been extensively studied in laboratory settings for life science research, to our knowledge, no studies have reported the DC in wild zebra finches.

To investigate whether the DC-like singing that we observed is closely related to the DC that is generally observed in the wild, we recorded the vocal activity of captive zebra finches housed with conspecifics in an aviary exposed to natural light through large windows over a 20-day period from mid-September to early December in 2022. In these birds kept under social and natural light conditions (with almost constant temperature and humidity), the onset of their morning vocal activity appeared to vary depending on sunrise time and weather conditions (Fig. 4A), suggesting that light levels in the aviary critically influence morning vocal behavior. To determine whether these birds exhibited DC-like vocal activity, we accounted for variations in sunrise time and weather conditions by aligning the vocal activity data to a "dawn reference time (DRT)," defined as the time when light levels in the aviary surpassed a predetermined threshold (see Methods). This analysis revealed a marked increase in vocal activity around the DRT, followed by a gradual decline (Fig. 4B–C), resembling the typical DC observed in wild birds. Furthermore, detailed analysis of this morning vocal activity showed a relationship between

dawn timing and the onset of morning vocalizations consistent with our findings in socially isolated birds under artificial lighting (Fig. 1 & 2). Specifically, during the first 10-day recording period, in which both DRT and sunrise occurred relatively early, the average vocal activity tended to have a peak that was later than that during the subsequent 10-day period (Fig. 4B-C). Also, when the DRT occurred later due to seasonal changes and weather conditions, vocal activity around the DRT tended to be greater (Fig. 4D) and to start earlier (Fig. 4E). These findings in the birds under social and natural light conditions are consistent with the earlier onset and greater amplitude of morning singing after delayed LT observed in the birds under socially isolated and artificial light conditions (Fig. 1 & 2), suggesting similar mechanisms of DC vocal activity in both conditions. Given these results, we suggest that zebra finches in the wild may produce the DC through the suppression-driven rebound mechanisms identified in captive birds.

DC singing accelerates morning changes in song structure.

Our findings in captive zebra finches revealed the behavioral and hormonal mechanisms (i.e., the proximate cause) underlying DC singing: In the birds that woke up long before LT, suppression of spontaneous singing by pre-dawn darkness increases singing motivation, leading to intensive rebound singing shortly after the LT. We also investigated why the pre-dawn singing suppression increases singing motivation and induces rebound singing, which is the adaptive function (i.e., the ultimate cause) of DC singing. Previous studies in captive adult finches have demonstrated that daily singing serves as vocal exercise (or practice) to optimize and maintain song performance. In particular, recent studies have shown that song performance, which is mostly stable throughout life, substantially changes after long-term (1–2 weeks) suppression of daily singing and recovers with subsequent singing(*8*, *9*). Based on these findings, we hypothesize that DC singing serves as an intensive vocal exercise to compensate for the lack of singing at night, thereby accelerating the optimization of song performance and ultimately increasing reproductive success. Importantly, this hypothesis explaining the function of DC singing in captive zebra finches is virtually identical to the "warm-up hypothesis" previously proposed to explain the function of the DC in wild birds(*10–12*). To test this warm-up hypothesis in captive zebra finches, we analyzed possible changes in song structure during morning singing in the songs recorded under the shifted LT conditions shown in Fig. 1, and examined whether morning song changes are accelerated by DC singing.

We examined potential changes in morning song structure by comparing each song produced during the first hour after LT with all songs produced during the second hour in each LT condition (see Methods). Comparisons were made for each song syllable (n = 18 syllable types from 6 birds) by calculating mean acoustic distances in acoustic feature space (cosine distance, see Methods). In both the -3h LT and +3h LT conditions, many syllables showed gradual decreases in acoustic distance during the first hour (i.e., negative slopes of the acoustic distance trajectories; Fig. 5A-B), indicating substantial changes in acoustic structure during morning singing. If these song changes were caused by singing behavior rather than simply by the passage of time, the speed of the song changes should depend on the singing rate during that period. Consistent with this prediction, we found that the slopes of song changes over the first 30

min were significantly steeper in the +3h LT condition, where DC singing occurred, than in the -3h LT condition (Fig. 5A-B and Table S1). Moreover, the slopes were significantly correlated with normalized singing rate during the same period (the number of syllable renditions in the first 30-min period normalized to that in the first 2-h period) (Fig. 5C and S2). These results suggest that morning song structure changed as a function of recent singing activity and that such changes were accelerated by DC singing. This conclusion was further supported by the findings that, when acoustic distances were plotted as a function of syllable order instead of time, there was no significant difference in the slopes between the -3h and +3h LT conditions (Fig. 5D and Table S2).

Discussion

Our results offer a comprehensive explanation for DC singing in captive zebra finches, covering both its underlying mechanisms and functional significance. Before exhibiting DC singing, birds wake up in the dark long before dawn, likely through the hormonal mechanisms associated with melatonin, and their intrinsic motivation to sing increases while spontaneous singing is being suppressed by the darkness. When dawn arrives and ambient light levels increase, the singing suppression is lifted, resulting in rebound singing characterized by an early singing onset and a high singing rate, the two main features of the DC in general. Moreover, DC singing accelerates changes in song structure that naturally occur in the morning, suggesting that DC singing may serve to compensate for the loss of vocal exercise during the night and to rapidly re-optimize the vocal system and song performance to increase reproductive success. Since a vocal exercise function has been proposed for the DC in wild songbirds as well(*10–13*), we propose that these mechanisms and function of DC singing may be applicable, at least in part, to the DC generally observed in wild birds.

A key aspect of the mechanisms underlying DC singing that we revealed is the time lag between when birds wake up and when light intensity rises (the LT). During this time lag, birds are awake but suppressed from singing by darkness and their intrinsic singing motivation appears to increase depending on the duration of the time lag, just as in birds that are suppressed from singing by lights-out during daytime(*5*). This suppression-dependent increase in singing motivation is reminiscent of and consistent with the so-called “Lorentz’s psychohydraulic model”, in which a reservoir of motivational impulse builds up and is ultimately released by appropriate environmental stimuli(*14*). Although mechanisms underlying this motivational increase remain largely unclear, a brain region and neuromodulators related to singing motivation have recently been reported(*5*, *15*, *16*). On the other hand, the mechanisms by which birds awaken before the LT likely involve hormonal regulation including a drop in plasma melatonin levels from early night levels(*7*), which is caused by a circadian clock even in the absence of light inputs(*17*, *18*). A similar trend of decreased melatonin levels during the late night phase has been reported in songbirds other than zebra finches, although the timing of those changes is unclear(*19–22*). Also, in wild songbirds, nighttime melatonin concentrations are positively associated with the onset time of early morning behaviors including singing(*21*, *23*). Thus, it is reasonable to assume that the mechanisms underlying DC singing in captive zebra

finches are applicable to the DC in other songbird species. Whereas the circadian secretion of melatonin has been hypothesized to regulate the timing of DC(*1*, *2*), a number of studies have suggested that the DC timing is strongly influenced by light inputs to the birds, such as intensity of morning light and the size of birds' eyes(*24–27*). Our findings reconcile these seemingly contradictory ideas and provide a clear explanation of the mechanisms by which the circadian secretion of melatonin and light inputs interact to determine the timing of the DC as well as its magnitude.

Our hypothesis that DC serves as an intensive vocal exercise that rapidly optimizes song performance is virtually identical to the the warm-up hypothesis established in previous studies using wild and wild-caught birds(*10–12*). Those studies defined high-performance songs as those approaching physiological limits and demonstrated that song performance improves rapidly during the DC(*10–12*). Although our results of rapid changes in syllable structure during DC singing do not directly indicate improvement in song performance, several lines of evidence suggest that captive zebra finches indeed improve song performance through morning singing. In juvenile zebra finches in the process of song development, song structure deteriorates overnight and then dramatically improves through morning singing(*28*). Also, in adult zebra finches, which normally produce songs with stable overall structure, song performance defined as the degree of female's preference to that song significantly decreases by prolonged suppression of daily singing and gradually recovers after singing is resumed(*8*, *9*). Thus, it is likely that song changes that were accelerated by DC singing in our data represent improvement of song performance. Given that socially-isolated adult zebra finches produce undirected songs daily throughout the day likely for vocal exercise to maintain song structure(*8*, *9*, *13*, *29–34*), DC singing presumably serves to compensate for the loss of vocal practice at night and to regain peak song performance. Because peak song performance is operationally defined by female conspecific preference(*9*) and thus has adaptive benefit, our results strongly support the warm-up hypothesis, which explains the adaptive function of the DC. Moreover, based on the previous studies examining mechanisms of adult song improvement following suppression-induced deterioration(*8*, *9*), it is likely that song improvement during the DC is caused largely by vocal exercise and resulting physiological changes in the muscles of the vocal system, rather than hearing-dependent adjustment of song motor circuits. Therefore, the mechanisms and function that we proposed to explain the DC are applicable not only to oscine birds, which develop their songs through audition-dependent learning, but also to suboscine birds and other avian species that produce a DC with innate vocalizations.

Additionally, our findings, together with the previous studies supporting the warm-up hypothesis(*10–12*), highlight the functional role of singing behavior as vocal exercise to maintain song performance in songbirds. Numerous laboratory studies in captive songbirds (mostly zebra finches and Bengalese finches) have demonstrated that male's singing behavior in non-courtship context (in the absence of females) functions as vocal exercise to maintain and optimize song performance(*8*, *9*, *13*, *29–34*), leading to intensive studies of its underlying neural mechanisms(*3*). This vocal exercise function of non-courtship singing has, however, received little attention in the field of behavioral ecology studying wild songbirds. In most songbird

species, the primary functions of birdsong in the wild have generally been considered to be territory defense as well as mate attraction for courtship(*2*, *35*). Even in wild zebra finches, which produce exceptionally soft song and do not hold territories unlike many other songbirds(*36*, *37*), non-courtship singing has been suggested to function to facilitate social cohesion and coordination rather than as vocal exercise for maintaining song performance(*37*, *38*). The present study explains the existence of the DC based on the function of non-courtship singing as vocal exercise, which has been experimentally demonstrated in captive zebra finches but largely overlooked in wild birds. Given that the vocal exercise function of singing has been suggested even in wild birds(*10–13*) and the ubiquity of the DC across many species, it is possible that vocal exercise for song optimization and maintenance is a common function of singing among bird species. Since singing for vocal exercise is not directed to any receivers and determining the intended receivers of singing is often very difficult in the wild, male birds may engage in vocal exercise through daily singing more commonly than previously thought. This view can apply, not only to songbirds, but to all bird species that frequently produce species-specific vocalizations.

While our rebound singing hypothesis offers a novel perspective on the mechanisms and functions of DC, it does not necessarily contradict the existing hypotheses about DC. In fact, our hypothesis can explain many DC-related findings that support the existing hypotheses. For example, previous studies have reported that the extent of DC (e.g., singing duration and timing of the first song) is associated with specific reproductive stages such as the female fertility period and also correlated positively with reproductive success (see Staicer et al. 1996(*1*) and Gil & Llusia 2020(*2*) for review). Based on these findings, it has been proposed that the DC represents an honest signal of male quality(*39–41*) and directly regulates female reproductive behavior around dawn(*42*, *43*). Our rebound singing hypothesis states that the DC functions to rapidly optimize the quality of song performance, which is critical for mate attraction when produced in courtship context. Thus, it is possible that birds exhibit greater DC at the female fertility period to optimize song performance as early as possible in the morning and to maximize their mating success. The rapid song optimization by the DC could also be advantageous in territory defense for many territorial species. Although they need to defend their territories throughout the day, they may rapidly optimize their song performance through the DC early in the morning to prepare for the territory invasion by other birds. This view is consistent with a previous study that the extent of the DC is affected by territorial intruders(*44*) and also explains the fact that many songbird species show the DC even after the female fertility period. Additionally, because birds exhibiting more intense DC are likely to have higher motivation, not only for singing, but also for other reproductive behaviors, such higher motivation for non-singing behaviors could also contribute to higher reproductive success. Moreover, our rebound singing hypothesis aligns with the unpredictable overnight-condition hypothesis as well, which proposes that birds sing intensively at dawn to expend the surplus of energy stored in preparation for unpredictable overnight conditions(*45*). This hypothesis is supported by findings that greater food availability leads to a more pronounced DC(*46–48*). Since food availability positively affects birds' nutritional state and overall singing motivation, as shown in captive zebra finches(*15*), sufficient

food availability could amplify the DC by elevating overall singing motivation and enhancing suppression-driven rebound singing.

Given that our experiments were conducted in the laboratory settings and considering the unique characteristics of the zebra finch as a non-territorial and opportunistic breeder, further research is needed to determine whether our rebound-singing hypothesis applies to the DC in wild zebra finches and other songbird species. Although precise controls and systematic manipulations of experimental conditions are often very difficult when studying wild birds, it would be possible to examine the relationship between the intensity of DC and the timing of increase in ambient light intensity at dawn as we investigated in birds housed under natural light conditions. It would also be important to determine when birds wake up before dawn and whether it is correlated with the intensity of DC. These studies will elucidate more general mechanisms driving the DC and potentially reveal broader principles of avian biology related to singing behavior.

References

1. C. A. Staicer, D. A. Spector, A. G. Horn, *24. The Dawn Chorus and Other Diel Patterns in Acoustic Signaling* (Cornell University Press, 1996; https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.7591/9781501736957-033/html).

2. D. Gil, D. Llusia, "The Bird Dawn Chorus Revisited" in *Coding Strategies in Vertebrate Acoustic Communication*, T. Aubin, N. Mathevon, Eds. (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020; https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-39200-0_3)*Animal Signals and Communication*, pp. 45–90.

3. R. Mooney, Birdsong. *Current Biology* **32**, R1090–R1094 (2022).

4. Y. Kim, C. Mori, S. Kojima, Effect of Darkness on Intrinsic Motivation for Undirected Singing in Bengalese Finch (Lonchura striata Domestica): A Comparative Study With Zebra Finch (Taeniopygia guttata). *Frontiers in Physiology* **13** (2022).

5. Y. Kim, S. Kwon, R. Rajan, C. Mori, S. Kojima, Intrinsic motivation for singing in songbirds is enhanced by temporary singing suppression and regulated by dopamine. *Sci Rep* **11**, 20350 (2021).

6. F. G. do Amaral, J. Cipolla-Neto, A brief review about melatonin, a pineal hormone. *Arch. Endocrinol. Metab.* **62**, 472–479 (2018).

7. S. Seltmann, L. Trost, A. Ter Maat, M. Gahr, Natural melatonin fluctuation and its minimally invasive simulation in the zebra finch. *PeerJ* **4**, e1939 (2016).

8. D. Mizuguchi, M. Sánchez-Valpuesta, Y. Kim, E. B. dos Santos, H. Kang, C. Mori, K. Wada, S. Kojima, Daily singing of adult songbirds functions to maintain song performance independently of auditory feedback and age. *Commun Biol* **7**, 598 (2024).

9. I. Adam, K. Riebel, P. Stål, N. Wood, M. J. Previs, C. P. H. Elemans, Daily vocal exercise is necessary for peak performance singing in a songbird. *Nat Commun* **14**, 7787 (2023).

10. H. A. Schraft, O. J. Medina, J. McClure, D. A. Pereira, D. M. Logue, Within-day improvement in a behavioural display: wild birds 'warm up.' *Animal Behaviour* **124**, 167–174 (2017).

11. J. Vazquez-Cardona, T. R. Bonnell, P. C. Mower, O. J. Medina, H. Jiskoot, D. M. Logue, Vocal performance increases rapidly during the dawn chorus in Adelaide's warbler (Setophaga adelaidae). *Behavioral Ecology*, arad030 (2023).

12. J. P. Dinh, S. Peters, S. Nowicki, Song performance improves with continued singing across the morning in a songbird. *Animal Behaviour* **167**, 127–137 (2020).

13. J. T. Sakata, S. L. Vehrencamp, Integrating perspectives on vocal performance and consistency. *J Exp Biol* **215**, 201–209 (2012).

14. K. Lorenz, The comparative method in studying innate behaviourpatterns. *Symposia of the Society for Experimental Biology* **4**, 221–268 (1950).

15. C. Mori, J. Ok-Yi, Y. Kim, S. Kojima, Neural activation in a septal area is related to intrinsic motivation for non-courtship singing in adult zebra finches. *Sci Rep* **15**, 11452 (2025).

16. Y. Kim, S. Kojima, Contribution of Endocannabinoids to Intrinsic Motivation for Undirected Singing in Adult Zebra Finches. *Frontiers in Physiology* **13** (2022).

17. V. Kumar, B. k. Follett, The circadian nature of melatonin secretion in Japanese quail (Coturnix coturnix japonica). *Journal of Pineal Research* **14**, 192–200 (1993).

18. N. T. Ashley, I. Schwabl, W. Goymann, C. L. Buck, Keeping Time Under the Midnight Sun: Behavioral and Plasma Melatonin Profiles of Free-Living Lapland Longspurs (Calcarius lapponicus) During the Arctic Summer. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological Genetics and Physiology* **319**, 10–22 (2013).

19. C. L. Ralph, L. Hedlund, W. A. Murphy, Diurnal cycles of melatonin in bird pineal bodies. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* **22**, 591–599 (1967).

20. B. Silverin, E. Gwinner, T. J. Van't Hof, I. Schwabl, L. Fusani, M. Hau, B. Helm, Persistent diel melatonin rhythmicity during the Arctic summer in free-living willow warblers. *Hormones and Behavior* **56**, 163–168 (2009).

21. D. M. Dominoni, W. Goymann, B. Helm, J. Partecke, Urban-like night illumination reduces melatonin release in European blackbirds (Turdus merula): implications of city life for biological time-keeping of songbirds. *Front Zool* **10**, 60 (2013).

22. R. Brandstätter, V. Kumar, T. J. Van'T Hof, E. Gwinner, Seasonal variations of in vivo and in vitro melatonin production in a passeriform bird, the house sparrow (Passer domesticus). *Journal of Pineal Research* **31**, 120–126 (2001).

23. T. J. Greives, S. A. Kingma, B. Kranstauber, K. Mortega, M. Wikelski, K. van Oers, A. C. Mateman, G. A. Ferguson, G. Beltrami, M. Hau, Costs of sleeping in: circadian rhythms influence cuckoldry risk in a songbird. *Functional Ecology* **29**, 1300–1307 (2015).

24. G. Scheer, Beobachtungen und Untersuchungen über die Abhangigkeit des Frühgesangs der Vogel von inneren und äußeren Faktoren. *Biol Abhandlungen* **3**, 1–68 (1952).

25. A. Leopold, A. E. Eynon, Avian Daybreak and Evening Song in Relation to Time and Light Intensity. *The Condor* **63**, 269–293 (1961).

26. E. A. Armstrong, *A Study of Bird Song.* (Oxford University Press, London, 1963).

27. R. J. Thomas, T. Széskely, I. C. Cuthill, D. G. C. Harper, S. E. Newson, T. D. Frayling, P. D. Wallis, Eye size in birds and the timing of song at dawn. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **269**, 831–837 (2002).

28. S. Derégnaucourt, P. P. Mitra, O. Fehér, C. Pytte, O. Tchernichovski, How sleep affects the developmental learning of bird song. *Nature* **433**, 710–716 (2005).

29. E. C. Tumer, M. S. Brainard, Performance variability enables adaptive plasticity of "crystallized" adult birdsong. *Nature* **450**, 1240–1244 (2007).

30. K. W. Nordeen, E. J. Nordeen, Auditory feedback is necessary for the maintenance of stereotyped song in adult zebra finches. *Behav. Neural Biol* **57**, 58–66 (1992).

31. A. Leonardo, M. Konishi, Decrystallization of adult birdsong by perturbation of auditory feedback. *Nature* **399**, 466–470 (1999).

32. S. J. Sober, M. S. Brainard, Adult birdsong is actively maintained by error correction. *Nat. Neurosci* **12**, 927–931 (2009).

33. K. Okanoya, A. Yamaguchi, Adult bengalese finches (Lonchura striata var. domestica) require real-time auditory feedback to produce normal song syntax. *Journal of Neurobiology* **33**, 343–356 (1997).

34. S. M. N. Woolley, E. W. Rubel, Bengalese Finches Lonchura Striata Domestica Depend upon Auditory Feedback for the Maintenance of Adult Song. *J. Neurosci.* **17**, 6380–6390 (1997).

35. C. K. Catchpole, P. J. B. Slater, *Bird Song: Biological Themes and Variations, 2nd Ed* (Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, US, 2008)*Bird song: Biological themes and variations, 2nd ed*.

36. H. Loning, S. C. Griffith, M. Naguib, Zebra finch song is a very short-range signal in the wild: evidence from an integrated approach. *Behav Ecol* **33**, 37–46 (2022).

37. H. Loning, S. C. Griffith, M. Naguib, The ecology of zebra finch song and its implications for vocal communication in multi-level societies. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **379**, 20230191 (2024).

38. H. Loning, L. Verkade, S. C. Griffith, M. Naguib, The social role of song in wild zebra finches. *Current Biology* **33**, 372-380.e3 (2023).

39. D. Gil, M. Gahr, The honesty of bird song: multiple constraints for multiple traits. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **17**, 133–141 (2002).

40. J. M. C. Hutchinson, J. M. McNamara, I. C. Cuthill, Song, sexual selection, starvation and strategic handicaps. *Animal Behaviour* **45**, 1153–1177 (1993).

41. R. Montgomerie, "Why do birds sing at dawn?" in *Proceedings of the 19th International Ethology Conference* (1985), p. 242.

42. R. Mace, The dawn chorus in the great tit Paras major is directly related to female fertility. *Nature* **330**, 745–746 (1987).

43. A. P. Moller, Why Mated Songbirds Sing So Much: Mate Guarding and Male Announcement of Mate Fertility Status. *The American Naturalist* **138**, 994–1014 (1991).

44. V. Amrhein, S. Lerch, Differential Effects of Moving versus Stationary Territorial Intruders on Territory Defence in a Songbird. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **79**, 82–87 (2010).

45. J. M. McNamara, R. H. Mace, A. I. Houston, Optimal daily routines of singing and foraging in a bird singing to attract a mate. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* **20**, 399–405 (1987).

46. C. A. Barnett, J. V. Briskie, Energetic state and the performance of dawn chorus in silvereyes (Zosterops lateralis). *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* **61**, 579–587 (2007).

47. I. C. Cuthill, W. A. Macdonald, Experimental manipulation of the dawn and dusk chorus in the blackbird Turdus merula. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* **26**, 209–216 (1990).

48. T. Grava, A. Grava, K. A. Otter, Supplemental Feeding and Dawn Singing in Black-Capped Chickadees. *The Condor: Ornithological Applications* **111**, 560–564 (2009).

49. satoshikojima-sk, satoshikojima-sk/Codes-for-dawn-chorus-paper1: Codes for dawn chorus paper, Zenodo (2025); https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17132513.

50. N. P. du Sert, V. Hurst, A. Ahluwalia, S. Alam, M. T. Avey, M. Baker, W. J. Browne, A. Clark, I. C. Cuthill, U. Dirnagl, M. Emerson, P. Garner, S. T. Holgate, D. W. Howells, N. A. Karp, S. E. Lazic, K. Lidster, C. J. MacCallum, M. Macleod, E. J. Pearl, O. H. Petersen, F. Rawle, P. Reynolds, K. Rooney, E. S. Sena, S. D. Silberberg, T. Steckler, H. Würbel, The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: Updated guidelines for reporting animal research. *PLOS Biology* **18**, e3000410 (2020).

51. A. Mathis, P. Mamidanna, K. M. Cury, T. Abe, V. N. Murthy, M. W. Mathis, M. Bethge, DeepLabCut: markerless pose estimation of user-defined body parts with deep learning. *Nat Neurosci* **21**, 1281–1289 (2018).

52. M. L. Dubocovich, Luzindole (N-0774): a novel melatonin receptor antagonist. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **246**, 902–910 (1988).

53. J. Schindelin, I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S. Preibisch, C. Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, J.-Y. Tinevez, D. J. White, V. Hartenstein, K. Eliceiri, P. Tomancak, A. Cardona, Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**, 676–682 (2012).

Acknowledgments:

We thank D. Rendall at University of New Brunswick, D. M. Logue at University of Lethbridge, J. Sakata at Mcgill University, and N. Rattenborg at Max-Plank Institute for discussion and comments on this manuscript. AI-tools (ChatGPT-5, Copilot in Windows, and Gemini 2.5 Flash) were used to edit the manuscript.

Funding:

Korea Brain Research Institute basic research program 23-BR-01-01, 24-BR-01-01, and 25-BR-01-01 (SK)

Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI grant 23K06796 and ACRO Incubation Grants of Teikyo University (CM)

Author contributions:

Conceptualization: SK

Methodology: SK, EBS, CM, YK, JW, ROT, OJ, JL

Investigation: SK, EBS, CM, YK, JW, ROT, OJ, JL

Visualization: SK, EBS, CM, YK, JW

Funding acquisition: SK, CM

Project administration: SK

Supervision: SK

Writing – original draft: SK, EBS

Writing – review & editing: SK, EBS, CM, YK, JW

Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: All data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials. Custom codes used for data analysis are deposited at Zenodo (*49*).

Supplementary Materials

Materials and Methods

Figs. S1 to S2

Tables S1 to S2

References (*50–53*)

Movies S1 to S5



Fig. 1. DC-like intensive singing can be induced in captive zebra finches by delaying the LT in the morning. (A) Daily schedules of light and dark periods with variable LT. The 3 LT conditions were administered in a randomized order. **(B)** Raster plots of song bouts (*top*) produced after -3h LT, 0h LT, and +3h LT, and corresponding singing rate histograms (bin size, 3 min) (*bottom*) in a representative bird. **(C)** Time course of instantaneous singing rate in the three different LT conditions (normalized to the baseline rate, mean ± SEM, n = 8 birds). **(D)** Initial singing rate in -3h, 0h, and +3h LT conditions (normalized to baseline singing rate); gray circles with lines indicate the data of individual birds ($p = 0.005$ for 3 conditions, Friedman's test; $^{**}p = 0.005$ for -3h LT vs. +3h LT, Bonferroni corrected Durbin-Conover test). **(E)** Latency to the first morning song in 3 LT conditions ($p = 0.0003$ for 3 conditions; $^{**}p = 0.005$ for -3h LT vs. +3h LT).



Fig. 2. Birds begin to sing in dimmer light conditions when LT is delayed. (A) Daily schedules of light and dark periods with gradual lighting. The 3 LT conditions were administered in a randomized order. **(B)** Time course of light level changes during a gradual lighting period (*top*), raster plots of song bouts during gradual lighting periods in -3h LT, 0h LT, and +3h LT conditions (*middle*), and corresponding singing rate histograms (*bottom*) in a representative bird. **(C)** Time course of instantaneous singing rate in the three different LT conditions (normalized to the baseline rate, mean ± SEM, n = 8 birds). **(D)** Relative light intensity of first song in -3h, 0h, and +3h LT conditions (p = 0.0008 for 3 conditions; **p = 0.008 for -3h LT vs. +3h).



Fig. 3. Birds are awake before the delayed LT and their intrinsic singing motivation increases while singing is being suppressed by darkness. (A) Daily schedule of light/dark periods and the 3-h periods of IR video recording (magenta lines). **(B)** Example IR video image of a bird in the dark. Magenta dot on the bird head indicates the point used to track bird's movement. **(C)** Representative images indicating the positions of a bird during the 3-h dark periods immediately before the -3h, 0h, and +3h LTs (magenta lines in *A*). **(D)** Travel distances over the pre-LT 3-h periods (mean ± SEM across birds, normalized to the mean of 0h LT data for each bird). **(E)** Mean travel distances during the pre-LT 3-h periods, normalized to the 0h LT data, in individual birds (**p = 0.0078, Wilcoxon signed-rank test). **(F)** Schematics of operant conditioning paradigm to associate a lever press with a 10-sec period of lighting. **(G)** Representative plots of lever press activities (vertical bars) before and after the 3 different LTs. **(H & I)** The number of lever presses (H) and song bouts produced (I) before the 3 different LTs; lines represent the data of individual birds (averaged across trials) (n = 4 birds, p = 0.0324 and 0.038 for *H* and *I*, respectively, Friedman's test). **(J)** The effect of the selective melatonin receptor antagonist luzindole on first song latency. Note that birds started singing significantly earlier when injected with luzindole compared to saline (*p = 0.027, paired t-test).



Fig. 4. Captive zebra finches housed under social and natural light conditions exhibit DC singing. (A) Vocal activity (number of vocalization files/min) recorded around dawn for 20 days from mid-September to early December. Yellow lines, dawn reference time (DRT); pink lines, sunrise time. Letters on the right side of the actogram indicate the weather on individual days: S, sunny; C, cloudy; R, rainy. **(B)** Vocal activity aligned to DRT; Green and red vertical lines indicate the first and last 10-day periods analyzed for the data in **(C)** Horizontal bar at the bottom indicates the period in which vocal activity on individual days were measured for the plot *D*. ***C.*** DRT-aligned vocal activity averaged over the all recording days (black histogram), the first 10-day period (green line) and the last 10-day period (red line). **(D)** Vocal activity during the 20-min period around DRT (horizontal bar in *B*) plotted against DRT; circles represent the data of individual days. Note that vocal activity around DRT was greater on days with later DRTs. **(E)** Time of vocal activity onset relative to DRT plotted against DRT. Note that vocal activity tended to start earlier relative to DRT on days with later DRTs.



Fig. 5. DC singing accelerates morning changes in song syllable structure. (A) Changes in acoustic distances of syllable structure in the renditions during the first hour after LT relative to the renditions during the second hour in -3h and +3h LT conditions for an example syllable. Gray dots and thick lines indicate the data of individual syllable renditions and their local mean trajectories, respectively; the local mean trajectories in the 2 conditions are overlayed in the rightmost plot. **(B)** Comparisons of the slopes of acoustic distance changes over the first 30 min between -3h and +3h LT conditions (n = 18 syllable types from 6 birds, t = 2.74, p = 0.0140, a linear mixed-effects model including random intercepts for bird identity and syllable; see Table S1). Each data point indicates a data from individual syllable type; different symbols represent different birds; Diagonal line indicates unity. **(C)** The same slope data in the 2 LT conditions plotted against the singing rate during the the first 30-min period (the singing rate was normalized to that in the first 2-h period). Blue and red symbols indicate data in -3h and +3h LT conditions, respectively; symbols are as in *B* (n = 36, pairwise linear correlation, ρ = -0.4445, p = 0.0066). **(D)** Acoustic distances of the same syllable as shown in A, plotted as a function of syllable order instead of time. Conversions are identical to those in A. **(E)** Comparisons of the slopes over the first 1000 syllable renditions between -3h and +3h conditions (t = 0.35, p = 0.3509, linear mixed-effects model with random intercepts for bird identity and syllable; see Table S2).