Ecophylogenetic patterns of rhizosphere bacterial community assembly in *Pisum* spp. (Fabaceae, Fabeae) reveal strong ecological filtering

Victor Angot[1*], Vincent Pailler[2], Abdelhamid Kebieche[3], Elodie Belmonte[2], Virginie Bourion[1], Yanis Bouchenak-Khelladi[1]

[1] Université Bourgogne Europe, Institut Agro Dijon, INRAE, UMR Agroécologie, Dijon, France

[2] INRAE, UMR GDEC, Gentyane, Clermont-Ferrand, France

[3] Institut Agro, Université d'Angers, INRAE, IRHS, SFR 4207 QUASAV, Angers, France

[*] Corresponding author: victor.angot@inrae.fr

Abstract

Plant-microorganisms interactions are among the oldest biotic relationships and play a fundamental role in shaping biological systems. These associations involve several and diverse species, each evolving on different timescales. Understanding these interactions requires approaches that integrate both the ecological dynamics and evolutionary processes, which drive the adaptation of plants and microorganisms. We investigated bacterial community assembly dynamics associated with four diverse *Pisum* spp. accessions grown in greenhouse conditions on soil. Bacterial DNA was extracted from bulk soil, rhizosphere, rhizoplane, and endosphere microhabitats across three plant growth stages, followed by full-length 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Bacterial communities varied in diversity, composition and structure across microhabitats and growth stages. Ecophylogenetic analyses indicated strong plant-induced ecological filtering, with community assembly across space and time structured by phylogenetic constraints. This illustrates the role of plant-defined ecological niches in shaping bacterial communities, where selective recruitment favors specific and closely related lineages. Our findings suggest that an ecophylogenetic framework provides valuable insights into plant–microbiota dynamics by integrating ecological and evolutionary processes, thereby offering a powerful perspective to investigate the co-adaptation of plants and their associated microbiota.

Key words: plant-microorganisms interactions, *Pisum* spp., plant development, ecophylogenetic, ecological filtering

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the 4PMI greenhouse platform team at INRAe Dijon for help in designing the experiment and the ECP team (Pôle LEGae, INRAe Dijon) for valuable assistance in the laboratory. This research was funded by INRAe and the Agence National de la Recherche (ANR, France).

Author contributions: Conceptualization: Y.B.K.; Methodology: V.A., Y.B.K., V.B.; Investigation: A.K., E.B, V.B., Y.B.K.; Data curation: V.A., V.P.; Formal analysis: V.A., V.P.; Visualization: V.A.; Writing – original draft: V.A.; Writing – review & editing: V.A., Y.B.K.; Supervision: Y.B.K.; Funding acquisition: Y.B.K.

Declaration of competing interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Introduction

Biotic interactions are responsible for major evolutionary changes, such as increased genetic diversity within populations or triggering adaptive changes leading to ecological speciation, across multiple spatial and temporal scales. These complex interactions, that can involve two or thousands of species and occur within or across trophic levels, contribute to crucial ecological patterns that structure biological systems (Schemske *et al.*, 2009; Spicer & Woods, 2022). One of the most intricate and complex biotic interaction is the association between plants and microorganisms (Lyu *et al.*, 2021), that is among the oldest relationship that may have originated around 460-475 Mya (Brundrett, 2002).Legumes (Fabaceae) is a highly diverse family of angiosperms, many of which form symbiotic associations with nitrogen-fixing bacteria and play an important role in fixing atmospheric nitrogen. Globally, grain legumes (tribe Fabeae) were estimated to fix a total of 35.5 million tons of nitrogen every year (Herridge et al., 2022). Among these, *Pisum* spp. include one of the first domesticated plant species (Zohary & Hopf, 1973). *Pisum* is divided into two species : *P. fulvum* and *P. sativum* which is subdivided in 3 sub-species : *P. sativum* subsp. *sativum*, *P. sativum* subsp. *elatius* and *P. sativum* subsp. *abyssinicum* (Smýkal et al., 2011). While the symbiotic relationship of *Pisum* spp. with *Rhizobia* is well-documented (Bourion *et al.*, 2018; Hawkins & Oresnik, 2022), the complex interactions with non-symbiotic microorganisms remain largely unknown whereas the rhizosphere microbiota play a crucial role in shaping *Rhizobia*-legumes interactions (Han *et al.*, 2020). The non-symbiotic bacteria could also improve the effectiveness of *Rhizobia*-inoculants by enhancing their ability to colonize, survive, and persist in the soil, as well as their capacity to interact with other microorganisms (O'Callaghan et al., 2022; Kong & Liu, 2022). Plant-microorganisms interactions are governed by a complex 'chemical dialogue' through the production of exudates (primary and secondary metabolites) by the plant (Duc *et al.*, 2022). Some exudates, such as organic acids (Rekha *et al.*, 2018), amino-acids (Feng *et al.*, 2018) and glucose (Zhang *et al.*, 2015), have chemo-attractive properties that facilitate bacterial colonization (Chaparro *et al.*, 2013). Other compounds, such as antimicrobial peptides, have antibiotic properties that prevent the colonization of pathogenic microorganisms (Pacheco-Cano *et al.*, 2018). In addition, root architecture and root hairs structure act as a strong environmental filter during the assembly of the rhizosphere microbial communities (Kawasaki *et al.*, 2016; Robertson-Albertyn *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, plants can strongly influence the composition and the structure of rhizosphere microbial communities, exceeding the influence of soil properties (Tkacz *et al.*, 2020). In return, the associated microbial communities play important roles such as atmospheric nitrogen fixation and nutrient availability enhancement, which can improve plant fitness (Bhattacharyya & Jha, 2012; Peix *et al.*, 2015; de la Fuente Cantó *et al.*, 2020). Rhizosphere microbiota also assists the plant in dealing with disturbances caused by biotic and/or abiotic stresses. For instance, associated rhizosphere microbiota can enhance water acquisition in water-limited and saline environments (Kasim *et al.*, 2016; Yang *et al.*, 2021; Azulay *et al.*, 2022), improve plant immunity when competing with pathogens (Li *et al.*, 2021) or facilitate the production of phytohormones (Puga-Freitas & Blouin, 2015). The assembly dynamics of microbial communities are shaped by multiple factors, requiring multifactorial analyses that account for both spatial and temporal dimensions. Different plant compartments (referred here as plant-associated microhabitats), such as the rhizosphere, rhizoplane and endosphere contain distinct microbial communities (Cregger et al., 2018; Gupta et al., 2021). Therefore, the identification of microbial associations and enrichment or impoverishment dynamics through an ecological gradient (i.e., from the rhizosphere to the endosphere) is crucial in order to characterize ecological filters associated with different plant-associated microhabitats (Zhang et al., 2017; Iven et al., 2022). While it is demonstrated that assembly of soil microbial communities is determined by biotic interactions such as mutualism (Goberna et al., 2014; Romdhane et al., 2022), facilitation or competition (Faust & Raes, 2012), the association and assembly dynamics throughout plant-associated microhabitats

remain largely unknown (Moroenyane *et al.*, 2021). Insights from human microbiomes indicate that
microbial recruitment can follow an underdispersion assembly pattern (Darcy *et al.*, 2020) (i.e. taxa
are more likely to be recruited if a phylogenetically close relative was previously established). Whether
similar processes govern the mode and tempo of rhizosphere microbial recruitment by plants remains
largely speculative. The use of ecophylogenetic frameworks is therefore needed to reveal association
patterns with host plants (Wang & Sugiyama, 2020). The soil microbial community assembly across
plant-associated microhabitats may be attributed to phylogenetic niche conservatism (Losos, 2008;
Pyron et al., 2015), when closely related species inhabit similar ecological niches. However, instances
of competitive exclusion have been shown, such as in floral nectar of *Mimulus aurantiacus,* where
closely related yeast species inhibited each other's recruitment (Peay *et al.*, 2012). This reflects the
tendency of related species to occupy similar ecological niches which would lead to competitive
exclusion. Resource availability can influence the intensity of competition and is a key factor in
determining the ecological dynamics of communities (Pekkonen et al., 2013). Similarly, the intensity of
plant rhizodeposition and its ability to provide ecological niches for bacteria could affect the pattern
of phylogenetic association. Additionally, plants are capable of recruiting different bacterial
communities within their various associated microhabitats depending on environmental conditions
(Bai *et al.*, 2015; de la Fuente Cantó *et al.*, 2020; Marian *et al.*, 2021; Santoyo, 2022). The specialization
of microbiota on the plant's physiological needs and on its microhabitats suggest that plant-microbiota
interactions prioritize specific functions over particular microbial taxa (Castellano-Hinojosa & Strauss,
2021). Since closely-related species often have similar ecological functions (Burns & Strauss, 2011;
Martiny *et al.*, 2015; Anacker & Strauss, 2016), using phylogenetic approaches to study bacterial
communities present in different plant-associated microhabitats and throughout growth stages could
provide valuable insights for understanding microbial recruitments patterns and whether they are
consistent with phylogenetic relatedness (as proposed by Aguirre de Cárcer (2019)).
110
In this study, we conducted a greenhouse experiment with four *Pisum spp.* accessions to study the
composition and structure of plant-associated belowground bacterial communities at different growth
stages using both ecological and phylogenetic approaches. Our hypothesis was that the bacterial
communities associated with the plant are specific to the distinct microhabitats it provides and change
with growth stages. We suggested that ecological filtering imposed by the plant on bacterial
communities change along spatial and temporal gradients and may be related to bacterial phylogenetic
constraints as they reflect functional attributes.

Material and Methods

Experimental design and data collection

Four *Pisum* accessions from the Pea Genetic Resource Centre at INRAE Dijon were selected: (i) one
Pisum fulvum (IPIP10023) and (ii) one *Pisum sativum* subsp*. elatius* (IPI200733) as two wild species
accessions, one *Pisum sativum* subsp*. abyssinicum* 'ATAR' (IPI200596) and one *Pisum sativum* subsp*.
sativum* 'CAMEOR' (IPI200293) as two accessions representative of domestication or selection events.
The seeds were stored in a cold room prior to sowing. Seeds from *Pisum fulvum* and *Pisum sativum*
subsp*. elatius* were scarified using a scalpel to enable germination. Soil was collected at Auxonne, Côte
d'Or, France (47.185N, 5.403E) from an organic farm and sieved to 4mm. The physical and chemical
characterization of soil was assessed at the laboratory "SADEF Agronomy & Environment", and the
result of the analysis can be found in supplementary data (see Appendix 1). The experiment was
conducted as a completely randomized design and was carried out in a climate-controlled glasshouse
located at INRAE Dijon from February to May 2023. The greenhouse was equipped with a temperature
control system that maintained a temperature of 20°C during the day and 15°C at night, and a 16-hours

photoperiod. Seeds were sown into sterilized 2L pots filled with sifted soil. Two seeds were sown in
each pot to ensure the successful germination of at least one. Pots were top-watered with osmotic
water with an automatic dripper system until germination. Afterwards, pots were watered daily with
100mL of a nutritive solution (MgSO4+7H2O 1mL/L, CaCl2 0,63ml/L, K2SO4 1,39mL/L, NaCl 0,1mL/L,
Oligo 1mL/L, and iron versenate 1mL/L; pH=6,5). Plants were harvested at three successive growth
stages: the first stage (S1) was defined as 5 to 6 leaf nodes, the second stage (S2) was the beginning of
flowering (appearance of the first flower); and the third stage (S3) was the beginning of seed filling
(with the first pod containing internal seeds at least 8mm in length). The aim was to have nine plants
for each growth stage and for each accession (nine replicates x three growth stages x four accessions)
resulting in a total of 108 plants, with 27 plants per accession. However, not all seeds germinated, but
only 25/27 for *Pisum sativum* subsp*. abyssinicum,* 21/27 *for P. sativum* subsp*. sativum, 15/27 for P.*
fulvum and 15/27 for P. sativum subsp*. elatius*, which led to a total of 76 plants. Eight pots were left
unsown to provide bulk soil samples without any association with *Pisum*, serving as controls. After
retrieving the plant, the roots were transferred to a sterile centrifuge tube with 35mL of 1X PBS and
kept at 4°C. Roots were initially sonicated for one minute and 30 seconds to collect rhizosphere
microorganisms (R), then transferred to a new tube containing 35mL of 1X PBS and subjected to a
second round of sonication for 10 minutes to isolate rhizoplane microorganisms (Rp). The R and Rp
tubes were then centrifuged at 5000xg for 10 minutes, and 250mg of the resulting pellet was sampled
for DNA extraction. Additionally, 400mg of the central root pivot (the first 3cm below seed insertion)
were sampled and grinded to retrieve the endosphere (E) microbial communities. At the start of the
experiment, and at each stage, bulk soil samples were collected as controls and analyzed using the
same procedure as for R and Rp. Some plant nodules were also sampled, however, due to the low
number of plants that formed nodules, the results concerning this microhabitat could not be included
in this study. In total, we collected 260 samples that included all plant-associated microhabitats at 3
growth stages and 32 bulk soils.

Bacterial DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

DNA was extracted from all samples using DNeasy® PowerSoil® Pro Kits (QIAGEN®, Hilden, Germany)
following the manufacturer's protocol and stored at -20°C. Concentrations and purity of the
extractions were assessed using a Nanodrop2000™ spectrophotometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific™,
Waltham, USA). DNA extraction yielded insufficient concentration and purity in 11 samples, which
were therefore excluded from amplification. The amplification of full-length 16S rRNA gene (V1-V9
regions) was performed according to the PacBio® procedure and general best practices
recommendations. Single-molecule Real-time long reads sequencing was performed at Gentyane
Sequencing Platform (Clermont-Ferrand, France) with a PacBio Sequel II Sequencer (Pacific
Biosciences®, Menlo Park, CA, USA). Amplicons were normalized at 5ng/µL, pooled and purified with
1.3X SMRTbell cleanup beads. The SMRTbell library was prepared using a SMRTbell prep kit 3.0,
following the "Preparing multiplexed amplicon libraries using SMRTbell prep kit 3.0" protocol. A Femto
Pulse (Agilent Technologies®, Santa Clara, CA, USA) assay was used to assess the fragments size
distribution. Pools were carried into the enzymatic reactions to remove the single-strand overhangs
and to repair any damage that may be present on the DNA backbone. An A-tailing reaction followed
by the overhang adapter ligation was conducted to generate the SMRTbell template. After nuclease
treatment, pools were cleanup with 1.3X SMRTbell cleanup beads. The SMRTbell libraries were quality
inspected and quantified on a Femto Pulse (Agilent Technologies®) and a Qubit fluorimeter with Qubit
dsDNA HS reagent Assay kit (Life Technologies®). A ready-to-sequence SMRTbell Polymerase Complex
was created using a Binding Kit 3.1 (PacBio®). The PacBio Sequel II instrument was programmed to load
a 150pM library and sequenced in CCS mode on a PacBio SMRTcell 8M, with the Sequencing Plate 2.0

(Pacific Biosciences®, Menlo Park, CA, USA) with 0.5 hour of pre-extension time and acquiring one
movie of 10 hours.

Four SMRTcells were used and the CCS reads were generated from raw data (i.e., subreads) with "ccs"
and each sample was demultiplexed from each pool using "lima". These were performed using "SMRT-
Link v13.0" software (Pacific Biosciences®, Menlo Park, CA, USA). Two hundred and forty-nine samples
were analyzed in a fastq file format. The DADA2 package (Callahan *et al.*, 2016) in R was used to
perform taxonomic affiliations of the samples (See supplementary material). Primers were removed
and strands orientation was conducted. Then, reads were filtered according to their sizes (between
1,000 and 1,600bp), followed by a dereplication and denoising steps to obtain the amplicon sequence
variants (ASV). Taxonomic affiliations were done using the "silva_nr99_v138" database (Yilmaz *et al.*,
2014), and ASVs present in less than 2 samples were removed. The total number of ASVs retrieved was
19,747 (ccs reads data available in Genbank; SAMN45805597-SAMN45805845). Chloroplast,
mitochondrial or eukaryote 16S rRNA sequences were removed, which resulted in 18,335 ASVs for 249
samples (See supplementary material).

Ecological analyzes

The statistical analyses were performed using RStudio software (version 4.3.0, see supplementary
material). To ensure data quality and that sampling of the different microhabitats was properly
conducted, outlier samples from the initial set of 249 were identified using two approaches. Firstly,
richness of the samples was calculated, and 62 outliers with aberrantly low or high richness were
removed (likely due to technical issues that do not reflect true biological variation). Secondly, a Bray-
Curtis dissimilarity matrix was constructed, and Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS) analysis
was performed for each microbial community compartment using the "vegan" package (Oksanen *et*
al., 2022). To identify outliers, 95% confidence ellipses were drawn based on microbial communities'
structure, resulting in the removal of 14 more outliers (see Appendix 2) and a final set of 173 samples.
Finally, we removed ASVs whose sequencing depth was less than 0.01% of the total. This resulted in a
total of 1,604 ASVs across 173 samples. In order to check that the removal of samples with aberrant
species richness did not bias the results, we performed community ecology analyses using only
community structure outliers and compared the results (N=229). Analyses of alpha and beta diversity,
as well as community composition, indicated that the overall trends and interpretations were
consistent between the two datasets (see Appendix 3).The completeness of community coverage was
confirmed using rarefaction curves (Schöler *et al.*, 2017). Shannon index (H) and species richness (S)
were calculated using the *diversity* function in the "vegan" package. Analyses of the alpha diversity
indexes were conducted using multiple Kruskal-Wallis test from the package "agricolae" (de
Mendiburu, 2023). Structures of microbial communities were analyzed using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity
index and visualized through distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA with 10,000 permutations)
using the "vegan" package (Oksanen *et al.*, 2022). This multivariate technique has proven to be useful
when measuring multispecies responses to structured multifactorial designs (Legendre & Anderson,
1999).

Bacterial phylogenetic inference

In order to infer a bacterial phylogeny including ASVs we retrieved from our samples, we aligned 1,604
16S rRNA sequences using MUSCLE 3.6 (Edgar, 2004) and used Gblocks 0.91 (Castresana, 2000) to
eliminate poorly aligned positions. The resulting matrix included 1604 taxa and 1,195 characters
(16S rRNA sequence matrix is available with the online version of the manuscript). Phylogenetic
inferences were performed using BEAST 2.6.4 (Bouckaert *et al.*, 2019). The substitution model used
was GTR +I+G (Yang, 1994); three runs of 100 million chains were performed, sampling every 5,000
generations. We checked for convergence and viewed statistics for each run using TRACER 1.7.2

(Rambaut *et al.*, 2018). After combining the three runs and removing 40% of burn-in samples, we
summarized the results using a maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree.

We used the *rao.diversity* function implemented in the "SYNCA" package (Debastiani & Pillar, 2012) to
compute the Rao's quadratic entropy (FD$_Q$, Rao, 1982) in order to estimate the effects of plant-
associated microhabitats and growth stages on phylogenetic diversity. Temporal phylogenetic
dispersion model of bacterial communities was estimated by calculating the empirical dispersion
parameter (*D*) for each plant-associated microhabitats, following the method developed by Darcy *et*
al. (2020). The dispersion parameter identifies the extent to which a newly recruited taxon over time
is associated with the phylogenetic distance of a taxon previously retained (Darcy et al., 2020). This
metric allows to determine whether newly recruited bacteria over time are phylogenetically distant
from previous ones (i.e. are newly recruited bacteria more closely related to previous recruits than
expected by chance?). All above analyses were performed using the MCC tree.

Results

Bacterial community composition and structure

Bacterial communities were characterized from a total of 173 samples. To ensure a good coverage of
the bacterial communities, we generated rarefaction curves using the full set of 18,335 ASVs,
illustrating the increase in observed ASVs as a function of sequencing depth (see Appendix 4). All
samples reached an asymptote in their rarefaction curves, indicating that the bacterial communities
were fully sampled in all microhabitats and that rarefaction was unnecessary. Community structure
differed significantly among the four Pisum accessions, although only a small fraction of the variance
was explained (see Appendix 5). Thus, the subsequent results of this part are based on data compiled
for the four accessions. Bacterial community diversity varied across the different plant-associated
microhabitats (Figure 1A, B). Specific richness was highest in the bulk soil (bulk, median S = 558),
followed by the rhizosphere (R, S = 406) and rhizoplane (Rp, S = 411), with the lowest richness observed
in the endosphere (E, S = 70; Figure 1A, $p < 0.001$). The Shannon diversity index significantly decreased
across the microhabitats, from 5.77 in bulk to 5.45 in R, 5.25 in Rp, and 3.66 in E (Figure 1B, $p < 0.001$).
Although specific richness and Shannon diversity were not significantly influenced by growth stages
(Figure 1C, D), it is noteworthy that the initial community exhibited the highest diversity, with S = 664
and H = 6.04 in the initial bulk soil (i.e., soil sampled before sowing) (Figure 1C, D).

Bacterial communities' structure differs across plant-associated microhabitats, growth stages and host
Pisum genotypes ($R^2 = 0.51$, $p < 0.001$, Figure 2A). Changes in structure were best explained by
microhabitats (27.63%, $p < 0.001$, Figure 2A). There was no overlap of 95% CI ellipses between
microhabitats that were not in close physical proximity (Figure 2A). The highest overlap in 95% CI was
observed between R and Rp, while the overlaps with the other microhabitats were small. Growth
stages had a lower but significant effect on bacterial communities' structure (4.27%, $p < 0.001$) and
this effect was dependent on microhabitats (3.93%, $p < 0.001$, Figure 2A). Time had no significant
effect on the bacterial communities in the bulk soil ($p = 0.24$; see Appendix 6A). In contrast, growth
stages had a significant and increasingly pronounced effect on the bacterial communities in the plant-
associated microhabitats, explaining respectively 9.09%, 11.70%, and 13.48% of the variance in R, Rp
and E ($p < 0.001$; see Appendix 6B, C, D). The host genotype (species effect) had a significant but
marginal influence on the associated bacterial communities (4.07%, $p < 0.001$). Interestingly, this effect
varied across plant-associated microhabitats and growth stages (2.91%, $p < 0.05$ and 3.79%, $p < 0.001$).

The taxonomic composition of bacterial communities at the genus level varied across plant-associated
microhabitats (Figure 2B). In the bulk, two major genera were present: *Bacillus*, which constituted
21.8% of the community, and Vicinamibacterales (order level, unidentified genus), accounting for

4.6%, while the rest of the community included many other genera with low abundances (others =
72%; see Appendix 7). While *Bacillus* was dominant in the bulk, its relative abundance decreased across
the plant-associated microhabitats to 15.9% in R, 7.8% in Rp and 0.8% in E. Similarly,
Vicinamibacterales decreased to 3.4% in R, 1.4% in Rp and was absent in E. In contrast, several genera
which were nearly absent in the bulk increased in abundance within the plant-associated
microhabitats. Notably, *Rhizobium* rose from 0.5% in bulk to 2.8% in R, 5.6% in Rp, and 19% in E.
Rhizobacter increased from 0.1% in bulk to 1.2% in R, 4.7% in Rp, and 7.1% in E. *Noviherbaspirillum*
increased from 0.2% in bulk to 2% in R, 3.5% in Rp and 4.5% in E. *Rubrivivax* increased from
approximately 0% in bulk to 1.2% in R, 2.6% in Rp, and 5.1% in E. *Methylobacillus* increased from
approximately 0% in bulk to 1.5% in R, 2.1% in Rp, and 3% in E. *Hydrogenophaga* increased from 0.1%
in bulk to 1.2% in R, 3.5% in Rp, and 3.6% in E. *Flavobacterium* increased from 0.46% in bulk to 4.7% in
R, 1.4% in Rp, and 3.4% in E. Two genera exhibited inconsistent increases across the plant-associated
microhabitats: *Paeniglutamicibacter* showed an important increase from around 0% in bulk to 1.5% in
R and 8.2% in Rp, but was absent in E while *Pseudomonas* accounted for 0.4% in bulk, 1.6% in R, 5.8%
in Rp and 3.2% in E. Lastly, *Flavobacterium* was more abundant in the plant-associated compartments
but did not vary significantly across them, accounting for approximately 0% in bulk, 3.1% in R, 2.9% in
Rp and 3.1% in E (see Appendix 7A).

The taxonomic composition of the bulk communities remained stable over time, while significant
changes were observed across plant-associated microhabitats (Figure 2B; see Appendix 7B). The
relative abundance of four major genera significantly increased across growth stages, from the
vegetative (S1) to the seed filling stage (S3). *Bacillus* increased by +10.2% in R, +2.8% in Rp and +1.4%
in E ($p < 0.05$). Paeniglutamicibacter increased by +4% in R and +13.2% in Rp ($p < 0.001$).
Hydrogenophaga and Vicinamibacterales increased only in Rp, by +2.9% and +0.47%, respectively ($p <$
0.05, Figure 2b). In contrast, relative abundance of five major genera significantly decreased through
growth stages: *Rhizobacter* decreased by -1.8% in R, -8.3% in Rp and -14.1% in E ($p < 0.001$);
Noviherbaspirillum by -2.55% in Rp and -4.66% in E ($p < 0.001$); Flavobacterium by -6.4% in R, -2.7% in
Rp and -2.3% in E ($p < 0.001$); *Rubrivivax* by -2% in R, 2.9% in Rp and -7.2% in E ($p < 0.001$);
Methylobacillus by -1.2% in R ($p < 0.05$) and by -1.32% in Rp ($p < 0.001$, Figure 2b). The relative
abundance of *Pseudomonas* and *Rhizobium* did not vary significantly across growth stages in any of
the microhabitats.

Temporal and spatial recruitment in a phylogenetic context

Using our phylogenetic tree of 1,604 bacterial ASVs, we examined the phylogenetic diversity of
bacterial communities across the different microhabitats and calculated the phylogenetic dispersion
parameter (*D*) to assess the phylogenetic patterns of bacterial recruitment throughout growth stages
in each microhabitat. Phylogenetic diversity was the highest in the bulk with $FD_Q = 0.68$ ($p < 0.001$,
Figure 3A) and progressively decreased across the plant-associated compartments with $FD_Q = 0.65$ in
R, $FD_Q = 0.60$ in Rp and $FD_Q = 0.44$ in E ($p < 0.001$, Figure 3A). The phylogenetic diversity significantly
varied across growth stages only within the endosphere, rising from $FD_Q = 0.39$ at S1 to $FD_Q = 0.45$ at
S2 and $FD_Q = 0.49$ at S3 ($p < 0.01$, data not shown). The phylogenetic dispersion parameter differs
across plant-associated microhabitats (Figure 3B). Bacterial communities in R exhibited overdispersion
pattern with $D > 0$ ($D = 0.34$, $p < 0.05$, Figure 3B). In Rp, *D* was lower than in R ($p < 0.1$) and not different
to $D = 0$, indicating a neutral phylogenetic dispersion pattern ($D = 0.03$, $p > 0.05$, Figure 3B).
Communities in E showed underdispersion, with $D = -0.40$, significantly lower than in Rp and different
from the neutral model ($p < 0.05$, Figure 3B), indicating that closely related ASVs have more
probabilities of being recruited throughout growth stages.

Discussion

Microbial community assembly

Bacterial communities were different across the different microhabitats. These offer distinct ecological niches, which is reflected by the significant changes in abundance, composition, and structure of bacterial communities. The highest diversity was observed in the bulk soil and gradually decreased from the rhizosphere to the endosphere. Plant-associated microhabitats act as ecological filters along the spatial gradient, where communities from the bulk soil are shaped in the rhizosphere, which are further influenced by the rhizoplane, ultimately resulting in only a small part of these communities being present in the endosphere. Bacterial communities were very specific to the plant-associated microhabitats with very small overlaps of 95% CI. Among the most important changes across microhabitats, ASVs affiliated to *Pseudomonas* increased from bulk soil to the rhizosphere. This genus is frequently found in the endosphere and nodules of legumes (Mayhood & Mirza, 2021; Hossain *et al.*, 2023) and may influence the outcome of the root-nodule symbiosis (Crosbie *et al.*, 2022). The highest significant shift in relative abundance between the rhizosphere and endosphere was observed for an ASV from *Rubrivivax*, a genus of diazotrophic bacteria capable of nitrogen fixation (Zhou *et al.*, 2021). However, not all *Rubrivivax* ASVs exhibited an increase in the endosphere, highlighting the need to examine plant-bacteria associations at a high infrageneric resolution. ASVs from *Flavobacterium* and *Noviherbaspirillum* were also enriched in plant-associated microhabitats. Members of *Noviherbaspirillum* have been suggested to promote grain biomass in legumes (Barros *et al.*, 2022) and *Flavobacterium* plays a role in phosphate solubilization (Walitang *et al.*, 2017). Conversely, the greatest decreases in abundance across microhabitats were observed for the Vicinamibacterales, with some of which have been identified as potential pathogens for *Vaccinium corymbosum* (highbush blueberry) (Jia *et al.*, 2024), altough their interactions with legumes remain unexplored.

Host growth stages influenced the structure and composition of bacterial communities. One of the highest variations in taxonomic composition through growth stage is the increase in *Paeniglutamicibacter* abundance at later stages in the rhizoplane. While the role of *Paeniglutamicibacter* in plant interactions has not been studied yet, its involvement in hydrocarbon degradation in soils has been demonstrated (Brzeszcz *et al.*, 2024). Since hydrocarbons share structural similarities with many secondary plant metabolites (Singer, 2006; Rohrbacher & St-Arnaud, 2016), it is plausible that this metabolic capacity enables *Paeniglutamicibacter* to respond to root exudates (Pang *et al.*, 2021). Time did not significantly affect the structure of bacterial communities in the control bulk soils, highlighting the importance of the host influence on the temporal dynamic of bacterial communities. Even if further work is necessary to understand the mechanistic processes driving plant-bacterial recruitment throughout plant development, numerous studies have illustrated the influence of plant growth stages on the non-symbiotic microbial communities (Qiao *et al.*, 2017; Dai *et al.*, 2019; Larrouy *et al.*, 2023). These variations seem to be tied to shifts in the plant's requirements throughout its development, as well as to changes in the quality and quantity of rhizodeposits produced by the host (Hütsch et al., 2002; Houlden et al., 2008; Chaparro et al., 2013). Indeed, rhizodeposition is dependent on plant carbon allocation (Shamoot et al., 1968) which varies through growth stages (Morin et al., 2022). Carbon allocation in the roots is important during the vegetative stage (Voisin et al., 2003b). At the flowering stage, the concentration of carbon increases to supply shoot apical meristem and induces floral transition (Cho et al., 2018). During the reproductive stage, carbon is remobilized from the leaves, stem and roots to fill the seeds (Weber et al., 1997). Because the microorganisms associated with *Pisum spp.* can enhance its nitrogen acquisition, the dynamics of the rhizosphere microbiota are linked to the plant's nitrogen needs, which vary at different growth stages (Du et al., 2023). During the vegetative phase, nitrogen requirements are significant to ensure plant growth and to build reserves in the above-ground parts (Voisin et al., 2007). During seed filling stages,

nitrogen acquisition is reduced and the plant uses its nitrogen stocks to fill the seeds (Voisin et al.,
2003a). Both carbon and nitrogen fluxes variations impact the rhizosphere microbiota; however, the
influence of developmental stages on the recruitment of microbial communities remains uncertain
(Biget et al., 2024), especially given the potential confounding effect of the time since sowing (Dibner
et al., 2021).

Our findings reveal that plants host diverse bacterial communities that vary across the microhabitats
they provide and throughout their growth stages. These shifts in community composition and structure
reflect the plant's capacity to act as an ecological filter, creating distinct niches that select for particular
bacterial taxa. At the same time, microorganisms also contribute to shaping their environments,
feeding back into the construction and modification of plant-associated microhabitats. Given the
complexity of integrating spatial and temporal factors in host–microbiota systems, moving toward an
eco-evolutionary framework appears particularly valuable. Such an approach would help disentangle
the mechanisms driving community recruitment, persistence, and turnover across plant development.

Phylogenetic constraints on bacterial community assembly

Our bacterial phylogeny based on full-length high fidelity 16S rRNA gene sequencing of 1,604 ASVs is
congruent with a recently published bacterial phylogeny based on genomic data (Zhu *et al.*, 2019). The
Proteobacteria form a monophyletic group, with Gammaproteobacteria being sister to
Alphaproteobacteria. The Desulfobacteriota are correctly placed in our phylogeny, being a sister group
to Proteobacteria (see Appendix 8). The Bacteroidota clade is separated into three main orders:
Chitinophagales, Flavobacteriales, and Cytophagales. The Acidobacteriota form a monophyletic group
but its placement is not congruent with the phylogeny of Zhu et al. (2019), which retrieved it as a sister
group to the Desulfobacteria. However, the number of Acidobacteriota sampled by Zhu *et al.* (2019) is
small (56 out of 10,575 taxa) compared to our sampling (128 out of 1,604). Firmicutes, Actinobacteria,
Chloroflexi, and Cyanobacteria are monophyletic and form a set of related sister groups. Finally, the
Planctomycetota are not present in Zhu et al. (2019) phylogeny, but according to Rensink *et al.* (2020),
they are a sister to Thermotogae. Thermotogae are inferred to be sister to Cyanobacteria in Zhu et al.
(2019) phylogeny, supporting the placement of Planctomycetota in our phylogeny (see Appendix 8).
These results suggest that, although recombination and horizontal gene transfer processes affecting
the 16S rRNA gene can weaken the concordance of 16S rRNA phylogenies (Hassler *et al.*, 2022), using
full-length sequencing enables the construction of phylogenies that are congruent with phylogenomic
inferences. In addition, 16S rRNA gene phylogenies were shown to reflect the ecological and functional
traits of soil bacterial communities (Borenstein *et al.*, 2008). The phylogenetic diversity (PD) varied
across microhabitats, with the highest PD being observed in the bulk soil followed by a gradual
decrease across the rhizosphere, rhizoplane, and endosphere. The ecological filtering associated with
increasing plant interaction intensity does not randomly reduce bacterial diversity but rather selects
for specific, phylogenetically related lineages, likely by intensifying niche constraints. Goldford *et al.*
(2018), using ex situ cultivation of microbial communities from different habitats, showed that
communities assembled at family-level, suggesting that this pattern may reflect functional selection
linked to competitive advantages. Our results support the prediction that phylogenetically conserved
set of traits allow specific bacteria lineages to pass through ecological constraints imposed by the host
plants (Aguirre de Cárcer, 2019), suggesting that selective recruitment is correlated with bacterial
functions required for plant development, as previously reported by Mougel *et al.* (2006). In addition,
the temporal phylogenetic pattern of community assembly varied across the plant-associated
microhabitats. The model we used considers the likelihood that newly recruited taxa are similar to
closely related taxa previously recruited (Darcy et al., 2020). Overdispersion observed in the
rhizosphere suggests that interspecific competition among closely related bacteria plays a crucial role
in shaping the community (Nemergut *et al.*, 2013). Closely related taxa, which share similar niches, are

competitively excluded, leading to phylogenetic overdispersion of bacterial community. The rhizosphere, as an open and nutrient-rich microhabitat, could provide a diverse array of ecological niches. These niches can support the coexistence of distantly related bacteria, probably with distinct ecological functions. Conversely, the underdispersion pattern observed in the endosphere indicates the opposite process: the bacterial community is not mainly structured by competition among closely related bacteria but rather by strong environmental filtering (Mayfield & Levine, 2010). The endosphere, as a highly selective and closed microhabitat, imposes significant environmental constraints that can be abiotic (physical barriers of root cells) or biotic (plant-derived chemical compounds; Lumibao *et al.*, 2020). It shows that closely related bacteria are able to coexist in a community (Webb *et al.*, 2002), with specific functional traits, often conserved among phylogenetically related taxa. Significant phylogenetic community structure, in our case underdispersion in the endosphere, is suggested to reflect that traits are under ecological selection (Webb *et al.*, 2002; Gerhold *et al.*, 2015). If the traits being selected for are horizontally transferred between bacteria lineages with no connection with their relatedness, we would not observe any phylogenetic signature (Darcy et al., 2020). Selection of bacterial communities or specific strains within these communities by a plant host has been shown in several studies (Bertin *et al.*, 2003; Bulgarelli *et al.*, 2013; Sasse *et al.*, 2018; Bourion *et al.*, 2018; Xiong *et al.*, 2021). Over evolutionary timescales, this selective recruitment could have driven the evolutionary history of plant-associated bacterial communities. The interaction between plants and microorganisms, which impacts the fitness of both, could be a keystone in the evolutionary trajectory of land plants and a mark of coevolution (Fitzpatrick et al., 2018). The outcomes of these interactions are context-dependent and change over evolutionary time in response to local selection pressures among the involved species (Koskella & Bergelson, 2020).

Altogether, our results illustrate the strong influence of plants on the soil bacterial communities with which they associate, shaping both their ecological and evolutionary dynamics across spatial and temporal scales. Bacterial communities were highly specific to underground plant-associated microhabitats, and their assembly was structured by phylogenetic constraints, with host filtering taxa according to their evolutionary relatedness, consistent with the recruitment of phylogenetically conserved traits. However, investigating the heritability of bacterial communities is crucial for understanding whether these associations are shaped by (i) horizontal transfer from the environment to plant-associated microhabitats, (ii) plant-specific traits, or (iii) vertical transmission across plant generations. Also, the effects of bacterial functions on plants' physiology (for instance, increases in auxins and/or siderophors in plant rhizosphere) and bacterial functional changes over time were not measured in this study. Bacterial functions may constitute an important parameter for explaining the mechanisms involved in plant-bacteria interaction patterns. The extent to which bacteria influence physico-chemical properties of plants microhabitats was not examined in this study but is needed to further disentangle the complex and intricate mechanisms involved in plant-bacteria interactions.

References

Aguirre de Cárcer D. **2019**. A conceptual framework for the phylogenetically constrained assembly of microbial communities. *Microbiome* **7**: 142.

Anacker BL, Strauss SY. **2016**. Ecological similarity is related to phylogenetic distance between species in a cross-niche field transplant experiment. *Ecology* **97**: 1807–1818.

Azulay DN, Spaeker O, Ghrayeb M, Wilsch-Bräuninger M, Scoppola E, Burghammer M, Zizak I, Bertinetti L, Politi Y, Chai L. **2022**. Multiscale X-ray study of Bacillus subtilis biofilms reveals interlinked structural hierarchy and elemental heterogeneity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **119**: e2118107119.

Bai Y, Müller DB, Srinivas G, Garrido-Oter R, Potthoff E, Rott M, Dombrowski N, Münch PC, Spaepen S, Remus-Emsermann M, *et al.* 2015. Functional overlap of the Arabidopsis leaf and root microbiota. *Nature* **528**: 364–369.

Barros FM do R, Pedrinho A, Mendes LW, Freitas CCG, Andreote FD. **2022**. Interactions between Soil Bacterial Diversity and Plant-Parasitic Nematodes in Soybean Plants. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **88**: e00963-22.

Bertin C, Yang X, Weston LA. **2003**. The role of root exudates and allelochemicals in the rhizosphere. *Plant and Soil* **256**: 67–83.

Bhattacharyya PN, Jha DK. **2012**. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture. *World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology* **28**: 1327–1350.

Biget M, Mony C, Wang T, Ling N, Miteul A, Jambon O, Causse-Védrines R, Michon-Coudouel S, Hervé M, Chable V, *et al.* 2024. Intra- and inter-annual changes in root endospheric microbial communities of grapevine are mainly deterministic. *Plant and Soil* **494**: 217–233.

Borenstein E, Kupiec M, Feldman MW, Ruppin E. **2008**. Large-scale reconstruction and phylogenetic analysis of metabolic environments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**: 14482–14487.

Bouckaert R, Vaughan TG, Barido-Sottani J, Duchêne S, Fourment M, Gavryushkina A, Heled J, Jones G, Kühnert D, De Maio N, *et al.* 2019. BEAST 2.5: An advanced software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLoS computational biology* **15**: e1006650.

Bourion V, Heulin-Gotty K, Aubert V, Tisseyre P, Chabert-Martinello M, Pervent M, Delaitre C, Vile D, Siol M, Duc G, *et al.* 2018. Co-inoculation of a Pea Core-Collection with Diverse Rhizobial Strains Shows Competitiveness for Nodulation and Efficiency of Nitrogen Fixation Are Distinct traits in the Interaction. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8**: 2249.

Brundrett MC. **2002**. Coevolution of roots and mycorrhizas of land plants. *New Phytologist* **154**: 275–304.

Brzeszcz J, Steliga T, Ryszka P, Kaszycki P, Kapusta P. **2024**. Bacteria degrading both n-alkanes and aromatic hydrocarbons are prevalent in soils. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International* **31**: 5668–5683.

Buckingham LJ, Ashby B. **2024**. Separation of evolutionary timescales in coevolving species. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **579**: 111688.

Bulgarelli D, Schlaeppi K, Spaepen S, Ver Loren van Themaat E, Schulze-Lefert P. **2013**. Structure and
functions of the bacterial microbiota of plants. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **64**: 807–838.

Burns JH, Strauss SY. **2011**. More closely related species are more ecologically similar in an
experimental test. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**: 5302–5307.

Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. **2016**. DADA2: High-
resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature Methods* **13**: 581–583.

Castellano-Hinojosa A, Strauss SL. **2021**. Insights into the taxonomic and functional characterization
of agricultural crop core rhizobiomes and their potential microbial drivers. *Scientific Reports* **11**.

Castresana J. **2000**. Selection of Conserved Blocks from Multiple Alignments for Their Use in
Phylogenetic Analysis. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **17**: 540–552.

Chaparro JM, Badri DV, Bakker MG, Sugiyama A, Manter DK, Vivanco JM. **2013**. Root exudation of
phytochemicals in Arabidopsis follows specific patterns that are developmentally programmed and
correlate with soil microbial functions. *PloS One* **8**: e55731.

Cho L-H, Pasriga R, Yoon J, Jeon J-S, An G. **2018**. Roles of Sugars in Controlling Flowering Time. *Journal
of Plant Biology* **61**: 121–130.

Cregger MA, Veach AM, Yang ZK, Crouch MJ, Vilgalys R, Tuskan GA, Schadt CW. **2018**. The Populus
holobiont: dissecting the effects of plant niches and genotype on the microbiome. *Microbiome* **6**: 31.

Crosbie DB, Mahmoudi M, Radl V, Brachmann A, Schloter M, Kemen E, Marín M. **2022**. Microbiome
profiling reveals that Pseudomonas antagonises parasitic nodule colonisation of cheater rhizobia in
Lotus. *The New Phytologist* **234**: 242–255.

Dai L, Zhang G, Yu Z, Ding H, Xu Y, Zhang Z. **2019**. Effect of Drought Stress and Developmental Stages
on Microbial Community Structure and Diversity in Peanut Rhizosphere Soil. *International Journal of
Molecular Sciences* **20**: 2265.

Darcy JL, Washburne AD, Robeson MS, Prest T, Schmidt SK, Lozupone CA. **2020**. A phylogenetic model
for the recruitment of species into microbial communities and application to studies of the human
microbiome. *The ISME journal* **14**: 1359–1368.

Debastiani VJ, Pillar VD. **2012**. SYNCSA--R tool for analysis of metacommunities based on functional
traits and phylogeny of the community components. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **28**: 2067–2068.

Dibner RR, Weaver AM, Brock MT, Custer GF, Morrison HG, Maignien L, Weinig C. **2021**. Time
outweighs the effect of host developmental stage on microbial community composition. *FEMS
Microbiology Ecology* **97**: fiab102.

Du C, Xu R, Zhao X, Liu Y, Zhou X, Zhang W, Zhou X, Hu N, Zhang Y, Sun Z, *et al.* **2023**. Association
between host nitrogen absorption and root-associated microbial community in field-grown wheat.
Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology **107**: 7347–7364.

Duc NH, Vo HTN, van Doan C, Hamow KÁ, Le KH, Posta K. **2022**. Volatile organic compounds shape
belowground plant-fungi interactions. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **13**: 1046685.

Edgar RC. **2004**. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.
Nucleic Acids Research **32**: 1792–1797.

Faust K, Raes J. **2012**. Microbial interactions: from networks to models. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **10**: 538–550.

Feng H, Zhang N, Du W, Zhang H, Liu Y, Fu R, Shao J, Zhang G, Shen Q, Zhang R. **2018**. Identification of Chemotaxis Compounds in Root Exudates and Their Sensing Chemoreceptors in Plant-Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria Bacillus amyloliquefaciens SQR9. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions®* **31**: 995–1005.

Fitzpatrick CR, Copeland J, Wang PW, Guttman DS, Kotanen PM, Johnson MTJ. **2018**. Assembly and ecological function of the root microbiome across angiosperm plant species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **115**: E1157–E1165.

de la Fuente Cantó C, Simonin M, King E, Moulin L, Bennett MJ, Castrillo G, Laplaze L. **2020**. An extended root phenotype: the rhizosphere, its formation and impacts on plant fitness. *The Plant Journal* **103**: 951–964.

Gano-Cohen KA, Stokes PJ, Blanton MA, Wendlandt CE, Hollowell AC, Regus JU, Kim D, Patel S, Pahua VJ, Sachs JL. **2016**. Nonnodulating Bradyrhizobium spp. Modulate the Benefits of Legume-Rhizobium Mutualism. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **82**: 5259–5268.

Gerhold P, Cahill Jr JF, Winter M, Bartish IV, Prinzing A. **2015**. Phylogenetic patterns are not proxies of community assembly mechanisms (they are far better). *Functional Ecology* **29**: 600–614.

Goberna M, García C, Verdú M. **2014**. A role for biotic filtering in driving phylogenetic clustering in soil bacterial communities. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **23**: 1346–1355.

Goldford JE, Lu N, Bajić D, Estrela S, Tikhonov M, Sanchez-Gorostiaga A, Segrè D, Mehta P, Sanchez A. **2018**. Emergent simplicity in microbial community assembly. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **361**: 469–474.

Gupta R, Anand G, Gaur R, Yadav D. **2021**. Plant-microbiome interactions for sustainable agriculture: a review. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants: An International Journal of Functional Plant Biology* **27**: 165–179.

Han Q, Ma Q, Chen Y, Tian B, Xu L, Bai Y, Chen W, Li X. **2020**. Variation in rhizosphere microbial communities and its association with the symbiotic efficiency of rhizobia in soybean. *The ISME journal* **14**: 1915–1928.

Hassler HB, Probert B, Moore C, Lawson E, Jackson RW, Russell BT, Richards VP. **2022**. Phylogenies of the 16S rRNA gene and its hypervariable regions lack concordance with core genome phylogenies. *Microbiome* **10**: 104.

Hawkins JP, Oresnik IJ. **2022**. The Rhizobium-Legume Symbiosis: Co-opting Successful Stress Management. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **12**: 796045.

Herridge DF, Giller KE, Jensen ES, Peoples MB. **2022**. Quantifying country-to-global scale nitrogen fixation for grain legumes II. Coefficients, templates and estimates for soybean, groundnut and pulses. *Plant and Soil* **474**: 1–15.

Hossain MS, Frith C, Bhattacharyya SS, DeLaune PB, Gentry TJ. **2023**. Isolation and Characterization of Bacterial Endophytes from Small Nodules of Field-Grown Peanut. *Microorganisms* **11**: 1941.

Houlden A, Timms-Wilson TM, Day MJ, Bailey MJ. **2008**. Influence of plant developmental stage on microbial community structure and activity in the rhizosphere of three field crops. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **65**: 193–201.

Hütsch B, Augustin J, Merbach W. **2002**. Plant rhizodeposition - An important source for carbon
turnover in soils. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science* **165**: 397–407.

Iven H, Walker TWN, Anthony M. **2022**. Biotic Interactions in Soil are Underestimated Drivers of
Microbial Carbon Use Efficiency. *Current Microbiology* **80**: 13.

Jia M, Liu Z, Wei J, Li Q, Hou Z, Sun L, Yu H, Yu J, Lu S. **2024**. Rhizobacterial diversity, community
composition, and the influence of keystone taxa on O'Neal blueberry (Vaccinium corymbosum).
Frontiers in Microbiology **15**.

Kasim WA, Gaafar RM, Abou-Ali RM, Omar MN, Hewait HM. **2016**. Effect of biofilm forming plant
growth promoting rhizobacteria on salinity tolerance in barley. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences* **61**: 217–
227.

Kawasaki A, Donn S, Ryan PR, Mathesius U, Devilla R, Jones A, Watt M. **2016**. Microbiome and
Exudates of the Root and Rhizosphere of Brachypodium distachyon, a Model for Wheat. *PLOS ONE* **11**:
e0164533.

Kong Z, Liu H. **2022**. Modification of Rhizosphere Microbial Communities: A Possible Mechanism of
Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria Enhancing Plant Growth and Fitness. *Frontiers in Plant Science*
13: 920813.

Koskella B, Bergelson J. **2020**. The study of host–microbiome (co)evolution across levels of selection.
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **375**: 20190604.

Larrouy JL, Dhami MK, Jones EE, Ridgway HJ. **2023**. Physiological stage drives fungal community
dynamics and diversity in Leptospermum scoparium (mānuka) flowers. *Environmental Microbiology*
25: 766–771.

Legendre P, Anderson MJ. **1999**. Distance-Based Redundancy Analysis: Testing Multispecies Responses
in Multifactorial Ecological Experiments. *Ecological Monographs* **69**: 1–24.

Li J, Wang C, Liang W, Liu S. **2021**. Rhizosphere Microbiome: The Emerging Barrier in Plant-Pathogen
Interactions. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **12**.

Losos JB. **2008**. Phylogenetic niche conservatism, phylogenetic signal and the relationship between
phylogenetic relatedness and ecological similarity among species. *Ecology Letters* **11**: 995–1003.

Lumibao CY, Kimbrough ER, Day RH, Conner WH, Krauss KW, Van Bael SA. **2020**. Divergent biotic and
abiotic filtering of root endosphere and rhizosphere soil fungal communities along ecological
gradients. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **96**: fiaa124.

Lyu D, Msimbira LA, Nazari M, Antar M, Pagé A, Shah A, Monjezi N, Zajonc J, Tanney CAS, Backer R,
et al. **2021**. The Coevolution of Plants and Microbes Underpins Sustainable Agriculture.
Microorganisms **9**: 1036.

Marian M, Licciardello G, Vicelli B, Pertot I, Perazzolli M. **2021**. Ecology and potential functions of
plant-associated microbial communities in cold environments. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **98**: fiab161.

Martiny JBH, Jones SE, Lennon JT, Martiny AC. **2015**. Microbiomes in light of traits: A phylogenetic
perspective. *Science* **350**: aac9323.

Mayfield MM, Levine JM. **2010**. Opposing effects of competitive exclusion on the phylogenetic
structure of communities. *Ecology Letters* **13**: 1085–1093.

Mayhood P, Mirza BS. **2021**. Soybean Root Nodule and Rhizosphere Microbiome: Distribution of
Rhizobial and Nonrhizobial Endophytes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **87**: e02884-20.

de Mendiburu F. **2023**. agricolae: Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research.

Morin A, Maurousset L, Vriet C, Lemoine R, Doidy J, Pourtau N. **2022**. Carbon fluxes and
environmental interactions during legume development, with a specific focus on Pisum sativum.
Physiologia Plantarum **174**: e13729.

Moroenyane I, Mendes L, Tremblay J, Tripathi B, Yergeau É. **2021**. Plant Compartments and
Developmental Stages Modulate the Balance between Niche-Based and Neutral Processes in Soybean
Microbiome. *Microbial Ecology* **82**: 416–428.

Mougel C, Offre P, Ranjard L, Corberand T, Gamalero E, Robin C, Lemanceau P. **2006**. Dynamic of the
genetic structure of bacterial and fungal communities at different developmental stages of Medicago
truncatula Gaertn. cv. Jemalong line J5. *New Phytologist* **170**: 165–175.

**Nemergut DR, Schmidt SK, Fukami T, O'Neill SP, Bilinski TM, Stanish LF, Knelman JE, Darcy JL, Lynch
RC, Wickey P, *et al.* 2013**. Patterns and processes of microbial community assembly. *Microbiology and
molecular biology reviews: MMBR* **77**: 342–356.

O'Callaghan M, Ballard RA, Wright D. **2022**. Soil microbial inoculants for sustainable agriculture:
Limitations and opportunities. *Soil Use and Management* **38**: 1340–1369.

**Oksanen J, Simpson GL, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Solymos P, Stevens
MHH, Szoecs E, *et al.* 2022**. vegan: Community Ecology Package.

Pacheco-Cano RD, Salcedo-Hernández R, López-Meza JE, Bideshi DK, Barboza-Corona JE. **2018**.
Antimicrobial activity of broccoli (Brassica oleracea var. italica) cultivar Avenger against pathogenic
bacteria, phytopathogenic filamentous fungi and yeast. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **124**: 126–135.

Pang Z, Chen J, Wang T, Gao C, Li Z, Guo L, Xu J, Cheng Y. **2021**. Linking Plant Secondary Metabolites
and Plant Microbiomes: A Review. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **12**: 621276.

Peay KG, Belisle M, Fukami T. **2012**. Phylogenetic relatedness predicts priority effects in nectar yeast
communities. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences* **279**: 749–758.

Peix A, Ramírez-Bahena MH, Velázquez E, Bedmar EJ. **2015**. Bacterial Associations with Legumes.
Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences **34**: 17–42.

Pekkonen M, Ketola T, Laakso JT. **2013**. Resource Availability and Competition Shape the Evolution of
Survival and Growth Ability in a Bacterial Community. *PLoS ONE* **8**: e76471.

Puga-Freitas R, Blouin M. **2015**. A review of the effects of soil organisms on plant hormone signalling
pathways. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **114**: 104.

Pyron RA, Costa GC, Patten MA, Burbrink FT. **2015**. Phylogenetic niche conservatism and the
evolutionary basis of ecological speciation. *Biological Reviews* **90**: 1248–1262.

Qiao Q, Wang F, Zhang J, Chen Y, Zhang C, Liu G, Zhang H, Ma C, Zhang J. **2017**. The Variation in the
Rhizosphere Microbiome of Cotton with Soil Type, Genotype and Developmental Stage. *Scientific
Reports* **7**: 3940.

Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA. **2018**. Posterior Summarization in Bayesian
Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. *Systematic Biology* **67**: 901–904.

Rao CR. **1982**. Diversity and dissimilarity coefficients: A unified approach. *Theoretical Population*
Biology **21**: 24–43.

Rekha K, Baskar B, Srinath S, Usha B. **2018**. Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria Bacillus subtilis RR4
isolated from rice rhizosphere induces malic acid biosynthesis in rice roots. *Canadian Journal of*
Microbiology **64**: 20–27.

Rensink S, Wiegand S, Kallscheuer N, Rast P, Peeters SH, Heuer A, Boedeker C, Jetten MSM, Rohde
M, Jogler M, *et al.* **2020**. Description of the novel planctomycetal genus Bremerella, containing
Bremerella volcania sp. nov., isolated from an active volcanic site, and reclassification of Blastopirellula
cremea as Bremerella cremea comb. nov. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **113**: 1823–1837.

Rohrbacher F, St-Arnaud M. **2016**. Root Exudation: The Ecological Driver of Hydrocarbon
Rhizoremediation. *Agronomy* **6**: 19.

Romdhane S, Spor A, Aubert J, Bru D, Breuil M-C, Hallin S, Mounier A, Ouadah S, Tsiknia M, Philippot
L. **2022**. Unraveling negative biotic interactions determining soil microbial community assembly and
functioning. *The ISME journal* **16**: 296–306.

Santoyo G. **2022**. How plants recruit their microbiome? New insights into beneficial interactions.
Journal of Advanced Research **40**: 45–58.

Sasse J, Martinoia E, Northen T. **2018**. Feed Your Friends: Do Plant Exudates Shape the Root
Microbiome? *Trends in Plant Science* **23**: 25–41.

Schemske DW, Mittelbach GG, Cornell HV, Sobel JM, Roy K. **2009**. Is There a Latitudinal Gradient in
the Importance of Biotic Interactions? *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **40**: 245–
269.

Schöler A, Jacquiod S, Vestergaard G, Schulz S, Schloter M. **2017**. Analysis of soil microbial
communities based on amplicon sequencing of marker genes. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **53**: 485–
489.

Shamoot S, McDonald L, Bartholomew WV. **1968**. Rhizo-Deposition of Organic Debris in Soil. *Soil*
Science Society of America Journal **32**: 817–820.

Singer AC. **2006**. The Chemical Ecology of Pollutant Biodegradation: Bioremediation and
Phytoremediation from Mechanistic and Ecological Perspectives. In: Mackova M, Dowling D, Macek T,
eds. Phytoremediation Rhizoremediation. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 5–21.

Smýkal P, Kenicer G, Flavell AJ, Corander J, Kosterin O, Redden RJ, Ford R, Coyne CJ, Maxted N,
Ambrose MJ, *et al.* **2011**. Phylogeny, phylogeography and genetic diversity of the Pisum genus. *Plant*
Genetic Resources **9**: 4–18.

Spicer ME, Woods CL. **2022**. A case for studying biotic interactions in epiphyte ecology and evolution.
Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics **54**: 125658.

Tkacz A, Bestion E, Bo Z, Hortala M, Poole PS. **2020**. Influence of Plant Fraction, Soil, and Plant Species
on Microbiota: a Multikingdom Comparison. *mBio* **11**: e02785-19.

Voisin A-S, Bourion V, Duc G, Salon C. **2007**. Using an Ecophysiological Analysis to Dissect Genetic
Variability and to Propose an Ideotype for Nitrogen Nutrition in Pea. *Annals of Botany* **100**: 1525–1536.

Voisin AS, Salon C, Jeudy C, WAREMBOURG FR. **2003a**. Seasonal Patterns of 13C Partitioning Between
Shoots and Nodulated Roots of N2- or Nitrate-fed Pisum sativum L. *Annals of Botany* **91**: 539–546.

Voisin AS, Salon C, Jeudy C, Warembourg FR. **2003b**. Symbiotic N2 fixation activity in relation to C
economy of Pisum sativum L. as a function of plant phenology. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **54**:
2733–2744.

Walitang DI, Kim K, Madhaiyan M, Kim YK, Kang Y, Sa T. **2017**. Characterizing endophytic competence
and plant growth promotion of bacterial endophytes inhabiting the seed endosphere of Rice. *BMC*
Microbiology **17**: 209.

Wang B, Sugiyama S. **2020**. Phylogenetic signal of host plants in the bacterial and fungal root
microbiomes of cultivated angiosperms. *The Plant Journal* **104**: 522–531.

Webb CO, Ackerly DD, McPeek MA, Donoghue MJ. **2002**. Phylogenies and Community Ecology. *Annual*
Review of Ecology and Systematics **33**: 475–505.

Weber H, Borisjuk L, Wobus U. **1997**. Sugar import and metabolism during seed development. *Trends*
in Plant Science **2**: 169–174.

Xiong C, Zhu Y-G, Wang J-T, Singh B, Han L-L, Shen J-P, Li P-P, Wang G-B, Wu C-F, Ge A-H, *et al.* 2021.
Host selection shapes crop microbiome assembly and network complexity. *The New Phytologist* **229**:
1091–1104.

Yang Z. **1994**. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic estimation from DNA sequences with variable rates
over sites: Approximate methods. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **39**: 306–314.

Yang N, Nesme J, Røder HL, Li X, Zuo Z, Petersen M, Burmølle M, Sørensen SJ. **2021**. Emergent
bacterial community properties induce enhanced drought tolerance in Arabidopsis. *NPJ biofilms and*
microbiomes **7**: 82.

Yilmaz P, Parfrey LW, Yarza P, Gerken J, Pruesse E, Quast C, Schweer T, Peplies J, Ludwig W, Glöckner
FO. **2014**. The SILVA and 'All-species Living Tree Project (LTP)' taxonomic frameworks. *Nucleic Acids*
Research **42**: D643-648.

Zhang Y, Xu J, Riera N, Jin T, Li J, Wang N. **2017**. Huanglongbing impairs the rhizosphere-to-rhizoplane
enrichment process of the citrus root-associated microbiome. *Microbiome* **5**: 97.

Zhang N, Yang D, Wang D, Miao Y, Shao J, Zhou X, Xu Z, Li Q, Feng H, Li S, *et al.* 2015. Whole
transcriptomic analysis of the plant-beneficial rhizobacterium Bacillus amyloliquefaciens SQR9 during
enhanced biofilm formation regulated by maize root exudates. *BMC Genomics* **16**: 685.

Zhou L, Li J, Pokhrel GR, Chen J, Zhao Y, Bai Y, Zhang C, Lin W, Wu Z, Wu C. **2021**. nifH Gene Sequencing
Reveals the Effects of Successive Monoculture on the Soil Diazotrophic Microbial Community in
Casuarina equisetifolia Plantations. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **11**.

Zhu Q, Mai U, Pfeiffer W, Janssen S, Asnicar F, Sanders JG, Belda-Ferre P, Al-Ghalith GA, Kopylova E,
McDonald D, *et al.* 2019. Phylogenomics of 10,575 genomes reveals evolutionary proximity between
domains Bacteria and Archaea. *Nature Communications* **10**: 5477.

Zohary D, Hopf M. **1973**. Domestication of Pulses in the Old World: Legumes were companions of
wheat and barley when agriculture began in the Near East. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **182**: 887–894.

Figure 1: Specific richness and Shannon diversity index of bacterial communities across bulk soil and the different plant-associated microhabitats (a, b) and coupled with growth stages (c, d). Multiple Kruskal-Wallis comparisons ($N_{Bulk} = 20$, $N_R = 45$, $N_{Rp} = 52$, $N_E = 56$). NS indicates non-significant differences.

Figure 2: Bacterial communities' structure and composition across bulk soil and the different plant-associated microhabitats and growth stages. **Panel A:** Bray-Curtis distance-based redundancy analysis (similar to Constrained Analysis of Principal Coordinates). The model was tested using PERMANOVA with 10,000 permutations. The R-squared value indicates the percentage of variance explained by the model, including the three variables (microhabitat, growth stages and host genotype) and their interactions. Note that for figure clarity, host genotypes are not displayed. Significance code: * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$. **Panel B:** Average taxonomic composition of bacterial communities based on relative abundance at the genus level across bulk soil, plant-associated microhabitats and growth stages. Only the eleven most abundant genera are shown, with the remaining genera grouped together and labeled as "others". N = 173. Note that data from all host genotypes were compiled.

Figure 3: Bacterial communities' structure and composition across bulk soil and the different plant-associated microhabitats and growth stages. **Panel A:** Bray-Curtis distance-based redundancy analysis (similar to Constrained Analysis of Principal Coordinates). The model was tested using PERMANOVA with 10,000 permutations. The R-squared value indicates the percentage of variance explained by the model, including the three variables (microhabitat, growth stages and host genotype) and their interactions. Note that for figure clarity, host genotypes are not displayed. Significance code: * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$. **Panel B:** Average taxonomic composition of bacterial communities based on relative abundance at the genus level across bulk soil, plant-associated microhabitats and growth stages. Only the eleven most abundant genera are shown, with the remaining genera grouped together and labeled as "others". N = 173. Note that data from all host genotypes were compiled.