Widespread male-female expression imbalance of X-linked genes

across phrynosomatid lizards

Matthew D. Hale[1,2,*], Pietro L. H. de Mello[1], Daniel T. Nondorf[1], Christopher D. Robinson[1,3],

Henry B. John-Alder[4], Christian L. Cox[5], and Robert M. Cox[1,*]

[1] Department of Biology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904

[2] U.S. Military HIV Research Program, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Silver Spring,

MD 20910; Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc.,

Bethesda, MD, 20817

[3] School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287

[4] Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Natural Resources, Rutgers University, New

Brunswick, NJ 08901

[5] Department of Biological Sciences, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199

Authors for Correspondence:

*Matthew D. Hale: U.S. Military HIV Research Program, Walter Reed Army Institute of

Research, Silver Spring, MD 20910, USA; Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement

of Military Medicine, Inc., Bethesda, MD, 20817, USA. Phone: 301-319-3082. Email:

mhale@hivresearch.org

*Robert M. Cox: Department of Biology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, 22904,

USA. Phone: 434-982-1987. Email: rmc3u@virginia.edu

Abstract

Classic theory on sex chromosome evolution predicts that selection should restore ancestral diploid expression for hemizygous X-linked genes in males. However, this dosage compensation is often incomplete, leaving X enriched for genes with female-biased expression. In this context, iguanian lizards are noteworthy among vertebrates because several species from separate families appear to exhibit both near-complete dosage compensation and male-female expression balance across their ancient, homologous X chromosomes. We tested for this pattern in the phrynosomatid *Sceloporus undulatus* (Eastern Fence Lizard) and instead found that both ancient and more recently sex-linked regions of the X chromosome are enriched for genes with female-biased expression, regardless of age (neonate, juvenile, adult) or tissue (brain, liver, muscle). By expanding our analysis across 10 phrynosomatid species spanning 4 genera, we found that male-female expression imbalance on the ancestral region of X is phylogenetically conserved. We also found that an inferred chromosomal rearrangement in the *S. jarrovii* lineage has resulted in the novel acquisition of female-biased expression by a region of formerly autosomal genes. Whereas sex-biased expression of the ancestral region of X is primarily due to females overexpressing X-linked genes relative to autosomal genes, sex-biased expression of these formerly autosomal genes in *S. jarrovii* is primarily due to males underexpressing this putative neo-X region. We conclude that male-female expression imbalance on X is widespread across phrynosomatids, potentially reflecting both overexpression in females for ancestral regions that have evolved dosage compensation and underexpression in males for neo-X regions in which dosage compensation has yet to evolve.

Keywords: autosome, dosage compensation, sex-biased gene expression, sex chromosome, transcriptome

Introduction

The evolution of sex chromosomes poses a recurring challenge because sex-limited Y or
W chromosomes typically degenerate due to the suppression of recombination with formerly
homologous X or Z chromosomes, resulting in the loss of functional gene content on Y or W
(Charlesworth 2021; Graves 2016; Saunders and Muyle 2024). Consequently, genes on X or Z
become hemizygous in the heterogametic sex, potentially disrupting protein stoichiometry with
autosomal gene products and thus eliciting negative effects on cell function and organismal
fitness (Bachtrog et al. 2011; Birchler et al. 2005; Mank 2009; Shaw and White 2022; Veitia and
Potier 2015). Classic theory by Ohno (1967) predicts that this deleterious hemizygous state
should favor the evolution of compensatory mechanisms that restore ancestral levels of
expression for X- or Z-linked genes in the heterogametic sex (Graves 2016; Gu and Walters
2017). Although such dosage compensation has evolved in many taxa, sex chromosomes are
nonetheless often enriched for genes with sex-biased expression (Albritton et al. 2014; Chen et
al. 2020a; Furman et al. 2020). This enrichment could indicate that sex chromosomes are
hotspots for genes with sexually antagonistic fitness effects (Allen et al. 2013; Dean and Mank
2014). However, it is primarily thought to occur because dosage compensation is incomplete and
evolves on a gene-by-gene basis (Chen et al. 2020a; Darolti et al. 2019; Furman et al. 2020; Gu
and Walters 2017; Mank 2013, 2009; Mullon et al. 2015), or because dosage compensation in the
heterogametic sex results in the overexpression of X or Z in the homogametic sex (Allen et al.
2013; Bista et al. 2021; Mank et al. 2011; Prince et al. 2010; Wright and Mank 2012). This
underscores a key distinction between "sex-chromosome dosage compensation" (*i.e.,* equal
expression of X or Z relative to autosomes in the heterogametic sex) and "male-female
expression balance" (*i.e.,* equal expression of X or Z in the heterogametic sex relative to X or Z

in the homogametic sex) as two separate phenomena (Bista et al. 2021; Disteche 2012; Gu and

Walters 2017; Mank 2009).

Although some species exhibit both dosage compensation and male-female expression

balance (Lucchesi and Kuroda 2015; Metzger et al. 2021; Vicoso and Bachtrog 2015), others

appear to lack dosage compensation despite male-female balance (Albritton et al. 2014; Gu et al.

2017; Pessia et al. 2014), lack male-female balance despite dosage compensation (Allen et al.

2013; Muyle et al. 2018; Prince et al. 2010; Schultheiß et al. 2015), or lack both dosage

compensation and male-female balance (Chen et al. 2014; Itoh et al. 2010; Mank 2013).

Therefore, many exceptions to Ohno's (1967) pioneering theory of dosage compensation have

emerged, and complete dosage compensation across the entire X or Z chromosome is now

considered the exception rather than the rule (Chandler 2017; Chen et al. 2020a; Furman et al.

2020; Gu and Walters 2017; Mank 2022, 2013, 2009). Overall, dosage compensation appears to

be more common in XY than in ZW systems, but the generality of this trend varies across

lineages and its potential underlying causes are unclear (Gu and Walters 2017; Mank 2013;

Mullon et al. 2015; Vicoso et al. 2013b). One limitation of our current understanding of dosage

compensation and male-female expression balance is that it is derived from a relatively limited

diversity of taxa (Chen et al. 2020a; Gu and Walters 2017; Kratochvil et al. 2021; Saunders and

Muyle 2024). To help address this limitation, we tested for dosage compensation and male-

female expression balance across a large reptile lineage with ancestral XY sex-determination.

Squamate reptiles (lizards and snakes) are a promising group for studies of dosage

compensation because they have repeatedly evolved both XY and ZW sex determination, vary

widely in the extent of sex chromosome degeneration and heteromorphism, and exhibit frequent

rearrangements between sex chromosomes and autosomes (Alam et al. 2018; Deakin and Ezaz

2019; Gamble et al. 2015; Giovannotti et al. 2017; Leaché et al. 2016; Lisachov et al. 2021;

Pennell et al. 2015). Yet, dosage compensation and male-female expression balance have only

been characterized in a few squamate reptiles, primarily due to the historical lack of high-quality

genome assemblies (Pinto et al. 2023). Dosage compensation is partial in the viperid rattlesnakes

Crotalus viridis and *Sistrurus miliarius*, in which Z also lacks male-female expression balance

(Schield et al. 2019; Vicoso et al. 2013a). Z also lacks both male-female expression balance and

dosage compensation in the varanid lizard *Varanus komodoensis* and the related helodermatid

lizard *Heloderma suspectum*, which have homologous Z chromosomes (Rovatsos et al. 2019;

Webster et al. 2024). By contrast, the anolid lizard *Anolis carolinensis* is one of the few

vertebrates to exhibit near-complete dosage compensation and male-female expression balance

on its X chromosome (Chen et al. 2020a; Marin et al. 2017; Rovatsos and Kratochvíl 2021; Rupp

et al. 2017). While this appears to support the generalization that dosage compensation is more

common in XY than in ZW systems, the corytophanid lizard *Basiliscus vittatus* and the

pygopodid lizard *Lialis burtonis* have each evolved different XY systems that lack male-female

expression balance on X (Acosta et al. 2019; Nielsen et al. 2019; Rovatsos et al. 2021).

Interestingly, recent data from the phrynosomatid lizard *Urosaurus nigricaudus,* which

has an ancient X chromosome homologous to that of *Anolis carolinensis*, suggest the presence of

both dosage compensation and male-female expression balance on X (Davalos-Dehullu et al.

2023). This raises the question of whether the dosage compensation and male-female expression

balance observed in *Anolis carolinensis,* which involves an epigenetic mechanism for male-

specific upregulation of X that is reminiscent of that found in *Drosophila* (Marin et al. 2017), is

conserved across phrynosomatids and other iguanian lineages that share a homologous X

chromosome. We addressed this question by characterizing gene expression in the Eastern Fence

Lizard (*Sceloporus undulatus*) and nine related species spanning four genera in the family

Phrynosomatidae, one of twelve families in the large (>1,250 species) Pleurodonta clade of

iguanian lizards. With the exception of corytophanids, all families in Pleurodonta (including the

genera *Anolis, Sceloporus,* and *Urosaurus* mentioned above) share an ancestral XY system that

arose over 100 Mya and is thus comparable in age to the ancient eutherian and monotreme XY

systems and the avian and ophidian ZW systems (Acosta et al. 2019; Altmanova et al. 2018;

Davalos-Dehullu et al. 2023; Marin et al. 2017; Nielsen et al. 2019; Rovatsos et al. 2014b).

Regions of this ancient iguanian X chromosome exhibit broad synteny across several anolid and

phrynosomatid genomes (Davalos-Dehullu et al. 2023; Geneva et al. 2022; Westfall et al. 2021)

and hemizygosity in males of several anolid and phrynosomatid species (Geneva et al. 2022;

Rovatsos et al. 2014a), as well as both dosage compensation and male-female expression balance

in *A. carolinensis* (Chen et al. 2020a; Marin et al. 2017) and potentially also in phrynosomatids

such as *Urosaurus nigricaudus* and *U. bicarinatus* (Davalos-Dehullu et al. 2023).

In this study, we assessed synteny of the X chromosome between *Sceloporus undulatus*

and other iguanian genomes, then quantified sex-specific DNA dosage along the *S. undulatus* X

chromosome to identify regions of male hemizygosity. Using transcriptomic data from multiple

ages and tissues, we identified genes that are consistently sex-biased in their expression in *S.*

undulatus, determined their chromosomal locations, and tested for both sex-chromosome dosage

compensation and male-female expression balance. We conducted these tests separately for the

ancestral region of X that is hemizygous in males and for a small neo-X region in *S. undulatus*

that maps to autosomes in several other phrynosomatids and in *A. carolinensis*. While replicating

these tests in a congener, we uncovered the transcriptomic signature of another neo-X region in

S. jarrovii, which we also tested for dosage compensation and male-female expression balance.

Using liver transcriptomes from seven *Sceloporus* species and three representatives of other

phrynosomatid genera, we characterized broad evolutionary trends in sex-biased gene expression

across the putatively homologous region of their ancient iguanian X chromosome, as well as the

two neo-X regions identified within *S. undulatus* and *S. jarrovii*. Collectively, these analyses

extend our understanding of dosage compensation in squamate reptiles, reveal that male-female

expression imbalance is widespread across phrynosomatid lizards, and suggest that male-female

expression imbalance can occur in the presence of dosage compensation for ancient X-linked

genes, or in the absence of dosage compensation for more recently X-linked genes.

Results

The X Chromosome of *S. undulatus* has an Ancient Hemizygous Region and a Neo-X Region

Westfall et al. (2021) identified the tenth largest scaffold in the *S. undulatus* assembly

(NC_056531.1, 16.86 Mbp, hereafter chromosome 10) as the predicted X chromosome. Our

analyses confirm that the majority (15.10 Mbp) of *S. undulatus* chromosome 10 is syntenic with

the ancient X chromosome in other iguanian genomes, including the more distantly related

anolids *Anolis carolinensis* and *A. sagrei* and the more closely related phrynosomatids

Phrynosoma platyrhinos and *Urosaurus ornatus* (Fig. 1), which agrees with another recent

synteny analysis (Davalos-Dehullu et al. 2023). However, we also found that a small (1.76 Mbp),

distal region of *S. undulatus* chromosome 10 is syntenic with a portion of a putatively autosomal

microchromosome in *Phrynosoma platyrhinos* (Fig. 1A), suggesting that this is a more recently

X-linked region in *S. undulatus*. Targeted BLAST searches of predicted mRNA sequences from

this distal region confirmed that individual genes located before 15.10 Mbp on chromosome 10

in *S. undulatus* typically map to X in all other iguanians (Fig. 1B), whereas genes located after

15.10 Mbp only map to X in closely related *S. tristichus* (formerly considered a subspecies of *S.*

undulatus) and distantly related *A. sagrei* (due to an independent X-autosome fusion, (Geneva et

al. 2022)). In other phrynosomatids (*U. nigricaudus, P. platyrhinos*) and other distantly related

anolids (*A. carolinensis*), genes located after 15.10 Mbp on the *S. undulatus* X chromosome map

to putative autosomes or unplaced scaffolds (Fig. 1B).

Analysis of published whole-genome sequences from 18 female and 11 male *S. undulatus*

specimens (Assis et al. 2025) revealed that $\log_2$ (male/female) DNA coverage is centered at 0

(equal dosage) across all chromosomes except chromosome 10 (Table S2; Fig. S1), which

exhibits values near -1 (two-fold higher DNA coverage in females) along most of its length (Fig.

1C). However, chromosome 10 exhibits a distinct shift toward values centered on 0 beginning at

approximately 14.85 Mbp, slightly before the start of the putative neo-X region at 15.10 Mbp

(Fig. 1C). Whereas most genes between 14.85 and 15.10 Mbp have DNA coverage ratios near 0,

genes located after 15.10 Mbp exhibit a range of values between 0 and -1, suggesting that the

homologous neo-Y region may be in the process of degeneration (Fig. 1C). In our subsequent

analyses of dosage compensation (below), we excluded the 15 genes located between 14.85 and

15.10 Mbp of chromosome 10 because these genes are ancestrally X-linked (Fig. 1B) but exhibit

no evidence of a sex difference in dosage (Fig. 1C), suggesting that they may reside on an

ancient pseudoautosomal region (PAR) that is still recombining with the Y chromosome.

Although the *S. undulatus* genome was sequenced from male tissue, the current assembly

does not include a Y chromosome (Westfall et al. 2021). However, our analyses of unplaced

scaffolds revealed 45 annotated genes with mean $\log_2$ (male/female) DNA coverage values

greater than 0.5 (Table S2). We hypothesize that many of these scaffolds, which each contain a

single gene and collectively comprise only 0.2 Mbp, may correspond to Y-linked regions of the

S. *undulatus* genome. We also found 116 annotated genes on unplaced scaffolds with mean $\log_2$

(male/female) DNA coverage values less than -0.5, potentially corresponding to unassembled

regions of the X chromosome (Table S2). Filtering to exclude genes with low coverage (mean <

10 in both sexes) left 44 of these potentially Y-linked genes and 65 of these potentially X-linked

genes on unassembled scaffolds (Fig. S1).

<u>The X Chromosome of *S. undulatus* is a Hotspot for Consistently Sex-Biased Genes</u>

To characterize male-female expression imbalance in *S. undulatus* without any *a priori*

assumptions about genomic location, we conducted genome-wide differential gene expression

analyses to identify genes that are consistently sex-biased in their expression across three ages

(neonate, juvenile, adult) in three distinct tissues (brain, liver, muscle). We reasoned that such

consistency is more likely to reflect sex differences in gene dosage than in gene regulation,

which is likely to be both age- and tissue-specific. We detected cohorts of significantly

differentially expressed genes (DEGs; $P < 0.05$ after correction for false discovery rate) that are

consistently female-biased across all ages in the liver ($n = 30$ genes; Fig. 2A-C), brain ($n = 43$;

Fig. S2A-C), and muscle ($n = 10$; Fig. S3A-C). We also detected cohorts of DEGs that are

consistently male-biased across all ages in the liver ($n = 27$; Fig. 2A-C), brain ($n = 34$; Fig. S2A-

C), and muscle ($n = 18$; Fig. S3A-C). These consistently sex-biased DEGs typically exhibit the

same patterns of sex bias across tissues (Fig. S4A-I), and many are identified as consistently sex-

biased DEGs in more than one tissue (Fig. S4J-K). Consistently female-biased DEGs fall within

a relatively narrow range of $\log_2$ FC values corresponding to approximately 1.5 to 2-fold higher

expression in females, while consistently male-biased DEGs exhibit a wider range of more

extreme $\log_2$ FC values (Figs. S2-S5). Consistently female-biased DEGs are expressed in both

sexes, as expected if they are located on the X chromosome, whereas many consistently male-

biased DEGs are expressed at low or undetectable levels in females (Fig. S5), as expected if they

are located on the Y chromosome.

The majority (43 of 55) of the consistently female-biased DEGs we identified are located

on the X chromosome (Fig. 2D-F; Figs. S2-S3). The remainder (12 of 55) are located on small,

unplaced scaffolds, many (6 of 12) of which have $\log_2$ (male/female) DNA coverage values

below -0.5, suggesting that they are regions of X that were orphaned during assembly (Table S2).

In contrast, the majority (30 of 44) of the consistently male-biased DEGs that we identified are

located on small, unplaced scaffolds in the *S. undulatus* assembly (Fig. 2D-F; Figs. S2-S3), most

of which (22 of 30) we hypothesized to be Y-linked based on $\log_2$ (male/female) DNA coverage

values that are above 0.5 (Table S2) or inestimable due to the absence of reads from females

(*e.g.,* LOC121918292, an *RPL6*-like gene; *RPL6* is known to be Y-linked in *Anolis carolinensis*;

Marin et al. 2017). A subset (10 of 44) of consistently male-biased DEGs are located on the X

chromosome. Although this could potentially occur if some Y-linked regions co-assembled with

X (Westfall *et al.*, 2021)*,* all of these male-biased genes on the X chromosome exhibit $\log_2$

(male/female) DNA coverage values near -1 (Fig. 1C), indicating that they are hemizygous in

males. A few (4 of 44) consistently male-biased DEGs are located on putative autosomes (Figs.

2D-F; S3D-F).

Plotting sex-biased expression as a function of chromosomal location across the 11

largest scaffolds in the *S. undulatus* genome reveals a single peak of elevated sex bias on the X

chromosome that is evident across all ages and tissues (Fig. 2G-I; Figs. S2-S3). Consistently

female-biased genes are widely distributed across the entire length of the X chromosome and are

interspersed with many unbiased genes and several consistently male-biased genes (Fig. 2J; Fig.

S6). Whereas both the proximal 14.85 Mbp (ancestral X-linked region that is hemizygous in

males) and the distal 1.76 Mbp (hypothesized neo-X region) of the X chromosome contain

consistently female-biased DEGs and exhibit an overall tendency toward female-biased

expression (Fig. S6), the intermediate 0.25 Mbp (hypothesized ancestral PAR) exhibits virtually

no sex-biased expression at any age or in any tissue (Fig. S6). Genes across the X chromosome

collectively exhibit a significant female bias in their median expression at 2 of 3 ages in liver

(Fig. 2D-F), 3 of 3 ages in brain (Fig. S2D-F) and 1 of 3 ages in muscle (Fig. S3D-F), and we

found identical results when restricting this comparison to genes in the 14.84 Mbp, ancestrally

X-linked region. Each of the 4 consistently female-biased DEGs in the hypothesized neo-X

region exhibits a negative $\log_2$ (male/female) DNA coverage value below -0.25, suggesting that

their gametologs in the neo-Y region have degenerated or diverged.

      Enrichment of the X chromosome with sex-biased genes was recapitulated when we

repeated our analyses of *S. undulatus* transcriptomes by mapping reads to the genome of closely

related *S. tristichus* (formerly *S. undulatus tristichus),* which was sequenced from female tissue

and therefore lacks any Y-linked sequences in its assembly (Bedoya and Leaché 2021). These

analyses avoid any potential mapping artifacts that could have arisen if Y-linked sequences

either coassembled with or prevented the assembly of X-linked sequences onto chromosome 10

in the *S. undulatus* assembly (Figs. S7-S8, see Supplementary Results). Moreover, we did not

find any consistently sex-biased DEGs on chromosome 10 of the *S. undulatus* assembly that

yielded highly similar BLAST hits for genes with opposite patterns of sex-biased expression,

which would be expected if corresponding X- and Y-linked gametologs are still expressed but

map to different locations (see Supplementary Results). We found three examples of consistently

female-biased DEGs on unplaced scaffolds with BLAST hits corresponding to highly male-

256   biased DEGs on other unplaced scaffolds (Fig. S9). While these may indicate orphaned X- and

257   Y-linked gametolog pairs that collectively achieve balanced expression (Fig. S9), we found no

258   evidence for similar Y-gametolog compensation of X-linked genes on chromosome 10.

260   <u>The X Chromosome of *S. undulatus* is Overexpressed Relative to Autosomes in Females</u>

261   To determine whether male-female expression imbalance on the *S. undulatus* X

chromosome is driven by the lack of dosage compensation in males versus overcompensation in

females, we compared the expression of X-linked genes to the expression of autosomal genes

separately within each sex. We did this by randomly sampling 1000 contiguous regions of the

autosomal genome with the same number of expressed genes as the corresponding regions of X

to generate null distributions of median autosomal expression values for each sex, age, and tissue

(Fig. 3). In *S. undulatus* males, the median expression of ancestrally X-linked genes on the

proximal 14.85 Mbp region of the X chromosome is statistically indistinguishable from the

sampled autosomal distributions for liver and trends toward the high ends of the sampled

autosomal distributions for brain and muscle (Fig. 3A). In females, the median expression of

these same ancestrally X-linked genes trends toward the high ends of the simulated autosomal

distributions for liver and muscle and significantly exceeds the simulated autosomal distributions

for brain (Fig. 3A). We observed similar patterns for more recently X-linked genes on the distal,

1.76-Mbp region of the X chromosome (Fig. 3B). Collectively, these results indicate that female-

biased expression across the X chromosome is associated with elevated expression of X-linked

genes in females, rather than reduced expression of X-linked genes in males.

 <u>Consistently Sex-Biased Genes Identify a Putative Neo-X Region in *S. jarrovii*</u>

To explore the phylogenetic conservation of patterns identified in *S. undulatus,* we also

tested for consistently sex-biased genes using liver transcriptomes from *S. jarrovii* that were

sampled at three ages (neonate, juvenile, adult). We detected 54 DEGs in *S. jarrovii* liver that are

consistently female-biased across all ages (Fig. 4A-C), as well as 12 DEGs that are consistently

male-biased across all ages (Fig. 4A-C). These consistently female-biased DEGs fall within a

relatively narrow range of $\log_2$ FC values that corresponds to approximately 2-fold higher

expression in females than in males, consistent with X-linkage, whereas most of the consistently

male-biased DEGs are expressed at low or undetectable levels in females, consistent with Y-

linkage (Fig. S10). Many (15 of 54) of the consistently female-biased DEGs in *S. jarrovii* map to

the X chromosome in *S. undulatus* (Fig. 4D-F), and the median expression of all genes that map

to the *S. undulatus* X chromosome is significantly female-biased at all ages in *S. jarrovii* (Fig.

4D-F). None of the consistently sex-biased genes in *S. jarrovii* map to the distal 1.76-Mbp region

of the *S. undulatus* X chromosome (Fig. 4J), and this region exhibts no overall female bias in its

expression in *S. jarrovii* (Fig. S11), as expected given that genes in this region map to autosomes

in all phrynosomatids other than *S. undulatus* and *S. tristichus* (Fig. 1).

Unlike in *S. undulatus*, most of the consistently female-biased DEGs (38 of 54) and half

of the consistently male-biased DEGs (6 of 12) that we detected in *S. jarrovii* transcriptomes

map to the sixth largest scaffold in the *S. undulatus* assembly (NC_056527.1, hereafter

chromosome 6), which is an autosome in *S. undulatus* (Fig. 4D-F). Moreover, all 44 of these

consistently sex-biased DEGs fall within a relatively small, 11-Mbp distal region of chromosome

6 (hereafter DR6; Fig. 4J) that exhibits a sharp peak of sex-biased expression similar to that

observed on the X chromosome (Fig. 4G-I). Genes on chromosome 6 that fall outside of DR6

exhibit no overall tendency toward sex-biased expression in either *S. jarrovii* or *S. undulatus*

(Fig. 5). However, the 156 genes located within DR6 are significantly female-biased in *S.*

jarrovii, even when excluding the subset of 44 consistently sex-biased DEGs that we first used to

identify this region (Fig. 5B). These 156 genes within DR6, including the 44 consistently sex-

biased DEGs from *S. jarrovii*, do not exhibit any overall sex bias in DNA coverage (Fig. S1) or

expression (Fig. 5B) in *S. undulatus*. Collectively, genes within DR6 exhibit expression profiles

similar to the X chromosome in *S. jarrovii,* but similar to autosomes in *S. undulatus* (Fig. 5).

The Putative Neo-X Region in *S. jarrovii* Lacks Dosage Compensation in Males

Similar to our analyses for *S. undulatus*, we compared the median expression of

putatively X-linked genes in *S. jarrovii* (*i.e.,* genes on the ancestrally X-linked 14.85-Mbp region

of *S. undulatus* chromosome 10 and the recently X-linked 11-Mbp DR6 region of *S. undulatus*

chromosome 6) to simulated null distributions of median autosomal expression in males and

females by randomly sampling 1000 contiguous regions of the autosomal genome with the same

number of expressed genes as the corresponding X-linked regions. In *S. jarrovii* males, median

levels of expression for genes mapping to the ancestrally X-linked region of *S. undulatus*

chromosome 10 fall within the simulated distributions for autosomal genes, but lower than the

median (Fig. S12A). In *S. jarrovii* females, median levels of expression for these same genes are

toward the high end of sampled distributions for autosomal genes (Fig. S12A). In both sexes of

S. jarrovii, the median expression of genes that map to DR10 in *S. undulatus* is near the center of

the simulated autosomal distribution (Fig. S12B), consistent with the interpretation that this neo-

X region in *S. undulatus* is not X-linked in *S. jarrovii.*

By contrast, the median expression of genes within DR6 is substantially reduced in *S.*

jarrovii males when compared to sampled null distributions for autosomal genes, although this

reduction is not statistically significant at any age (Fig. 6A). In *S. jarrovii* females, the median

expression of genes within DR6 is similar to the simulated distributions for autosomal genes at

all ages (Fig. 6A). In *S. undulatus*, the median expression of genes within DR6 is similar to the

simulated distribtions for autosomal genes in both sexes (Fig. 6A). Therefore, DR6 in *S. jarrovii*

exhibits the predicted transcriptomic profile for X-linked genes that lack dosage compensation in

males, whereas DR6 in *S. undulatus* is expressed at levels comparable to other autosomal genes

in both sexes. Paired, inter-species comparisons of *S. jarrovii* and *S. undulatus* homologs on DR6

corroborate this interpretation. Homologous genes on DR6 are expressed at comparable levels in

females of each species (paired *t*-tests within each age, all $P > 0.74$; Fig. 6B), but at significantly

lower levels in *S. jarrovii* males than in *S. undulatus* males (all $P < 0.001$; Fig. 6B).

<u>Male-Female Expression Imbalance of the Ancestral X is Conserved Across Phrynosomatids</u>

To characterize broad evolutionary trends in the expression of sex-linked genes, we

combined our expression data from adult livers in *S. undulatus* and *S. jarrovii* with similar RNA-

seq data (mapped to the *S. undulatus* genome) from adult livers in 5 other *Sceloporus* species,

plus representatives of 3 other phrynosomatid genera (Table S1). Across Phrynosomatidae, we

observed female-biased expression of genes mapping to the ancestrally X-linked 14.85-Mbp

region of *S. undulatus* chromosome 10, suggesting that this signature of male-female expression

imbalance is the ancestral state for this family (Fig. 7A). Median $\log_2$ male:female expression

ratios across this putatively homologous region of the X chromosome are significantly female-

biased in adult liver from 6 of 7 *Sceloporus* species (all species except *S. slevini*), as well as from

all 3 phrynosomatid species representing other genera (Fig. 7A). Moreover, each of the DEGs

from this ancestral region of chromosome 10 that are consistently female-biased across ages in *S.*

undulatus are nearly always also female-biased in other phrynosomatid species (Fig. 7A).

Likewise, the few DEGs from this ancestral region of chromosome 10 that are consistently male-

biased across ages in *S. undulatus* also tend to exhibit male-biased expression in other species,

although this conservation is somewhat weaker (Fig. 7A).

<u>Male-Female Expression Imbalance of Neo-X Regions is Restricted to Individual Lineages</u>

In contrast to the phylogenetically conserved patterns of sex-biased expression for genes

on the ancestrally X-linked region of *S. undulatus* chromosome 10 (Fig. 7A), only *S. undulatus*

exhibits female-biased expression of genes on its putative neo-X region comprising the distal

1.76 Mbp of chromosome 10 (DR10, Fig. 7B). Moreover, the few genes from DR10 that are

consistently female-biased DEGs across ages in *S. undulatus* exhibit no overall tendency for

female-biased expression in other species (Fig. 7B). Similarly, only *S. jarrovii* exhibits female-

biased expression for genes on its putative neo-X region comprising the 11-Mbp distal portion of

chromosome 6 in *S. undulatus* (DR6, Fig. 7C). Likewise, genes from DR6 that are consistently

either female- or male-biased DEGs across ages in *S. jarrovii* exhibit no clear conservation of

these sex-biased expression patterns across other phrynosomatid species (Fig. 7C). Overall, these

evolutionary patterns of sex-biased gene expression indicate that the 1.76-Mbp distal region of

chromosome 10 is a recently X-linked region in *S. undulatus* (and closely related species such as

S. tristichus, Fig. 1B), and that the 11-Mbp distal region of chromosome 6 in *S. undulatus* has

also recently become sex-linked in the lineage represented here by *S. jarrovii* (Fig. 7C).

Discussion

Recent research on sex chromosomes has emphasized the distinction between dosage compensation (of X or Z with autosomes in the heterogametic sex) and expression balance (of X or Z between the sexes) while noting that associations between the two can differ across lineages (Bista et al. 2021; Gu and Walters 2017; Saunders and Muyle 2024). In *Sceloporus undulatus,* male-female expression imbalance across the ancestral, male-hemizygous region of X appears to be associated with the overexpression of this region (relative to autosomes) in females, rather than its underexpression in males. Although this "Type IV" profile (*i.e.,* dosage compensation without male-female expression balance, following Gu & Walters 2017) has been observed in a variety of taxa (Allen et al. 2013; Muyle et al. 2018; Prince et al. 2010; Schultheiß et al. 2015), several subsequent studies have attributed it to artifacts from microarray data, the inclusion of gonadal tissue, or the lack of appropriate tests for dosage compensation (Gu and Walters 2017; Saunders and Muyle 2024). These first two concerns are not relevant to our study, but it has been proposed that tests for dosage compensation should ideally compare expression between sex-linked genes and their orthologs still in the ancestral autosomal state (Bista et al. 2021; Gu and Walters 2017). Due to the considerable age (>100 Mya) of the iguanian X chromosome that is ancestral to Pleurodonta (Acosta et al. 2019; Davalos-Dehullu et al. 2023; Marin et al. 2017), this would require comparisons across distantly related lineages in which transcriptomic profiles may have diverged considerably over millenia. Instead, by comparing X to null distributions sampled from contiguous autosomal blocks within the same genome (and the same biological replicates), we provide an alternative approach that suggests an overall Type IV expression profile for the ancestral region of the X chromosome in *S. undulatus*. We emphasize that this is a summary categorization across hundreds of X-linked genes that vary considerably in their degrees of male-

female expression balance, and potentially also in their corresponding degrees of dosage

compensation in males. Individual sex-linked genes can vary in whether they exhibit Type I-IV

expression profiles (Saunders and Muyle 2024; Webster et al. 2024), even in squamate reptiles

with mechanisms for near-complete dosage compensation, such as *Anolis carolinensis* (Marin et

al. 2017; Rupp et al. 2017). Interestingly, the same Type IV pattern of male-female expression

imbalance appears to characterize the more recently X-linked distal region of the *S. undulatus* X

chromosome (DR10). However, it is unclear whether Y degeneration has proceeded to the extent

that males actually require dosage compensation for most of this neo-X region, given that $\log_2$

male/female DNA coverage ranges from 0 to -1 for individual genes in DR10 and that not at all

genes may actually require compensation given their biological function.

In contrast to the ancestral region of the X chromosome, the distal region of *S. undulatus*

chromosome 6 (DR6) that we identify as a putative neo-X region in *S. jarrovii* appears to exhibit

an overall "Type III" expression profile, corresponding to the lack of dosage compensation in

males and the lack of expression balance between sexes. This pattern is common in ZW systems,

including other squamate reptiles (Rovatsos et al. 2019; Webster et al. 2024), but rare in XY

systems (Gu and Walters 2017; Saunders and Muyle 2024). Nonetheless, our comparisons of

DR6 expression against randomly sampled autosomal blocks from *S. jarrovii* and also against

orthologs in the ancestral autosomal state in *S. undulatus* both indicate that DR6 in *S. jarrovii* is

expressed at typical autosomal levels in females and at substantially lower levels in males. Such

Type III expression profiles may correspond to early evolutionary stages in which degeneration

of Y has created dosage effects in males, but mechanisms for compensatory upregulation of X

have yet to evolve. Likewise, Type IV expression profiles may correspond to later evolutionary

stages in which dosage compensation has been achieved via the upregulation of X in males, but

male-female expression imbalance persists due to the concomitant upregulation of X in females.

Ohno (1967) hypothesized that this state may precede the evolution of mechanisms such as X-

inactivation in females, which then restore male-female expression balance (Grant et al. 2012;

Marin et al. 2017). However, it is unclear whether "Type I" patterns characterized by dosage

compensation and male-female expression balance actually evolve via this proposed sequence,

particularly in vertebrates (Gu and Walters 2017; Saunders and Muyle 2024). While many

ancestrally X-linked genes do exhibit both dosage compensation and male-female expression

balance in *S. undulatus*, it is clear that many others still lack male-female expression balance

despite sufficient time for its evolution in this ancient (>100 Mya) XY system.

The anolid lizard *Anolis carolinensis* has a *Drosophila*-like epigenetic mechanism for the

male-specific upregulation of hemizygous X-linked genes (Marin et al. 2017), producing a Type

I pattern of both dosage compensation and male-female expression balance across the majority

of the X chromosome (Chen et al. 2020a; Rovatsos and Kratochvíl 2021; Rupp et al. 2017). This

is noteworthy as one of the few examples of near-complete dosage compensation accompanied

by near-complete male-female expression balance in any vertebrate (Chen et al. 2020a; Metzger

et al. 2021; Saunders and Muyle 2024). Intriguingly, recent analyses of the phrynosomatid

lizards *Urosaurus nigricaudus* and *U. bicarinatus* suggested both dosage compensation and

male-female expression balance across X, raising the possibility that the epigenetic mechanism

for male-specifc upregulation of X that was first discovered in *A. carolinensis* may be broadly

conserved across these distantly related families in Pleurodonta that share a homologous X

chromosome (Davalos-Dehullu et al. 2023). Our results are only partly consistent with this

interpretation. Although we find that the ancestral region of X does exhibit complete (brain,

muscle) to partial (liver) dosage compensation relative to autosomes in *S. undulatus* males, we

also find clear evidence of widespread male-female expression imbalance for this same ancestral

region of X across phrynosomatids, including another *Urosaurus* species, *U. ornatus.*

This discrepancy between studies is unlikely to reflect differences in the genomes used

for read mapping (*U. nigricaudus* versus *S. undulatus*), as both produce clear signatures of male

hemizygosity for the ancestral region of X when mapping genomic DNA. However, whereas we

set out to explicitly test for differential expression on a gene-by-gene basis by calculating mean

male and female expression levels from multiple replicate libraries ($n = 5$-7 per sex) across

multiple ages and tissues, Davalos-Dehullu et al. (2023) derived male-female expression ratios

from pairwise combinations of one individual male library against one individual female library

($n = 2$ libraries total per sex). This difference in methodology and sample size, potentially in

combination with our use of isolated tissues (brain, liver, and muscle) rather than tail samples

comprised of multiple tissue types (*e.g.,* bone, muscle, skin), may have afforded us greater power

and precision for identifying sex-biased expression. Importantly, we note that the majority of

genes on X lack sex-biased expression for most ages and most tissues, and several even exhibit

consistently male-biased expression despite their hemizygosity in males. Therefore, much of the

X chromosome does appear to exhibit both dosage compensation and at least partial male-female

expression balance in phrynosomatids, despite the clear lack of male-female expression balance

for dozens of individual genes. Even those genes that are consistently female-biased across ages

and tissues have mean expression ratios of 1.7 rather than 2-fold, indicating partial expression

balance. In this sense, *S. undulatus* and other phrynosomatids appear similar to many other

species in which dosage compensation is partial and occurs on a gene-by-gene basis (Furman et

al. 2020; Gu and Walters 2017; Mank 2013, 2009; Mank and Ellegren 2009; Mullon et al. 2015).

This is true even for the near-complete dosage compensation and male-female expression

balance seen in *A. carolinensis* (Marin et al. 2017; Rupp et al. 2017; Saunders and Muyle 2024).

Chromosomal fusions are common in *Sceloporus* (Leaché et al. 2016), particularly for

sex chromosomes (Lisachov et al. 2021). It has been proposed that pseudoautosomal regions

(PARs) on the tips of sex chromosomes may facilitate such fusions by avoiding the creation of

multiple sex chromosome systems, since the newly fused, formerly autosomal segment can be

transferred to the other sex chromosome (*e.g.*, from X to Y) by recombination (Lisachov et al.

2021). Although we cannot assess the structure of the putative X-autosome translocation from

chromosome 6 to 10 in *S. jarrovii*, the fusion that formed DR10 in the *S. undulatus* lineage is

located adjacent to a small region of ancestrally X-linked genes with balanced DNA coverage

and expression between the sexes, suggesting that the fusion occurred at a terminal PAR.

Interestingly, many of the same syntenic blocks of genes have been repeatedly involved in

fusions with sex chromosomes across squamate reptiles (Lisachov et al. 2021), and the DR10

region in *S. undulatus* provides another example of this phenomenon. DR10 maps to a portion of

a putatively autosomal michrochromosome in other phrynosomatid genomes, but the entire

microchromosome has undergone an independent fusion with X in the anolid *A. sagrei* (Geneva

et al. 2022; Giovannotti et al. 2017; Kichigin et al. 2016).

Finally, our study illustrates how the transcriptomic signatures of male-female expression

imbalance can both generate and test hypotheses about the evolution of sex chromosomes, even

in the absence of genomic or karyotypic data. For example, the phylogenetically conserved

pattern of male-female expression imbalance for genes that map to the ancestrally X-linked

region of *S. undulatus* chromosome 10 supports the hypothesis that this region has remained

largely X-linked throughout phrynosomatid evolution, with the possible exception of *S. sleveni*.

By contrast, the distal region of chromosome 10 (DR10) only exhibits this signature of male-

female expression imbalance in *S. undulatus,* consistent with the hypothesis that this region

became X-linked more recently, after the split between lineages that gave rise to *S. undulatus*

and *S. virgatus*. Syntenty analyses indicate that this region is also X-linked in *S. tristichus*,

suggesting that it fused with the ancestral X chromosome approximately 6-10 Mya based on

divergence estimates for these three species (Leaché et al. 2016; Westfall et al. 2021). We also

found a strong signature of male-female expression imbalance in *S. jarrovii* for genes that map to

a small region of *S. undulatus* chromosome 6 (DR6)*,* and the absence of this signature from all

other species in our analysis supports the hypothesis that DR6 is also a recently X-linked region.

Given that male-female expression imbalance is pronounced and male expression appears to lack

dosage compensation for DR6 in *S. jarrovii*, this may represent a younger neo-X region than

DR10. However, our current transcriptome sampling across *Sceloporus* lacks the phylogenetic

resolution to estimate its age more precisely. In future comparative work, tests for transcriptomic

signatures of male-female expression imbalance could provide an efficient way to identify and

date similar X-autosome fusions in this and other lineages, particularly when sequencing tissues

or ages that exhibit relatively little sex-biased expression from other regulatory mechanisms.

Materials and Methods

<u>Synteny and Dosage of the *S. undulatus* X Chromosome</u>

To confirm inferences from several previous studies (Davalos-Dehullu et al. 2023;

Westfall et al. 2021), we assessed the synteny of *S. undulatus* chromosome 10 (NC_056531.1)

with the genomes of three other iguanian lizards in the Pleurodonta clade that share an ancient

(>100 Mya) X chromosome: *Phrynosoma platyrhinos* (GCA_020142125.1, MUOH_PhPlat_1.1,

(Koochekian et al. 2022)), *Anolis carolinensis* (GCF_000090745.2, AnoCar2.0, (Alföldi et al.

2011)), and *Anolis sagrei* (GCA_025583915.1, AnoSag2.1, (Geneva et al. 2022)). Each of these

other three genomes was sequenced and assembled from a female specimen. The ancestral X

chromosome for this lineage has undergone multiple fusions with autosomes to form several

more recently X-linked strata in *A. sagrei* (Geneva et al. 2022). Given the phylogenetic distance

separating the species in our analyses (common ancestor ca. 83 Mya), we determined orthology-

based syntenic relationships using the R package *GENESPACE* (Lovell et al. 2022), which aligns

amino acid sequences using *DIAMOND2* (Buchfink et al. 2015), identifies orthogroups with

OrthoFinder (Emms and Kelly 2019, 2015), and determines syntenic regions for each non-

reciprocal pair of genomes by collapsing syntenic orthogroups into a pan-genome annotation.

GENESPACE identified a minimum of 16,657 genes distributed across 15,662 orthogoups in *P.*

platyrhinos and a maximum of 28,605 genes distributed across 15,458 orthogroups in *A.*

carolinensis after filtering for overdispersion. We used the *GENESPACE* function 'plot_riparian'

to visualize synteny for the *S. undulatus* genome with specific focus on chromosome 10.

To precisely resolve synteny of the X chromosome at the same gene-by-gene resolution

as our transcriptomic analyses, we conducted BLASTN (Altschul et al. 1990; Madden 2013)

searches using the longest annotated transcript for each gene on *S. undulatus* chromosome 10 (*n*

= 330 with XM accessions) as a query against the NCBI reference genomes of five other

iguanian lizards in Pleurodonta: closely related *Sceloporus tristichus,* which was formerly

considered a subspecies of *S. undulatus* (GCA_016801065.1, ASM1680106v1 (Bedoya and

Leaché 2021)), the additional phrynosomatids *Urosaurus nigricaudus* (GCA_034509955.1,

ASU_Unig_1 (Davalos-Dehullu et al. 2023)) and *Phrynosoma platyrhinos* (GCA_020142125.1,

MUOH_PhPlat_1.1 (Koochekian et al. 2022)), and two more distantly related anolids, *Anolis*

sagrei (GCA_037176765.1, rAnoSag1) and *A. carolinensis* (GCA_035594765.1, rAnoCar3.1).

We used default BLASTN parameters with minor modifications (reward = 1, penalty = 2, and

word_size = 28) and sorted results by lowest e-value and highest query coverage. Across every

search, the top three hits were always from the same gene, so we used the top BLASTN hit for

each transcript to infer the chromosomal location of the homologous gene in each of the other

five species.

To characterize sex differences in dosage, we used NCBI *sratoolkit* v.3.0.5 to download

short-read, whole-genome sequences (Illumina paired-end 150 bp reads) from 18 female and 11

male *S. undulatus* specimens obtained by Assis et al. (2025) as part of a separate study (NCBI

accession numbers SRR12504882 to SRR12504910 within bioproject PRJNA656311). We

trimmed adaptors and filtered low-quality reads used *fastp* (Chen et al. 2018) with default

settings, then summarized quality control metrics using *multiqc* (Ewels et al. 2016). Samples had

a mean duplication rate of 8.8% and we retained a mean of 319 M reads per sample (>95% of

input) after filtering. We aligned reads to the *S. undulatus* genome using *bowtie2* (Langmead and

Salzberg 2012) with default settings and removed alignments with a mapping quality (MQ) score

below 20 using *samtools* (Li et al. 2009). The alignment rate exceeded 95% for all specimens.

We estimated per-base pair depth of coverage for each individual with 'genomeCoverageBed'

and calculated the mean depth of coverage for each sex over non-overlapping 100-kbp windows

across the entire genome using 'map' from *bedtools* (Quinlan and Hall 2010). To correct for

differences in sequencing depth across individuals, we weighted each 100-kbp window value by

the genome-wide mean depth of coverage for that individual using custom *awk* functions.

Individuals were sequenced at 54x coverage on average, with normalized depth of coverage

ranging from 0.88 (chromosome 11) to 1.02 (chromosome 8) in females and 0.56 (chromosome

10) to 1.03 (chromosome 8) in males.

To calculate a per-gene weighted coverage value, we first divided the mean coverage

depth across the open reading frame of each gene by the mean depth of coverage of its

chromosome or scaffold in each individual. Next, we caculated the mean weighted coverage per

gene, per sex. We then calculated $\log_2$ (mean weighted coverage in males + 0.001 / mean

weighted coverage in females + 0.001) for each gene, which we refer to as $\log_2$ (male/female)

coverage. X-linked genes that are hemizygous in males should have $\log_2$ (male/female) coverage

values near -1. Although the *S. undulatus* genome was sequenced from a male specimen, it does

not contain an assembled Y chromosome (Westfall et al. 2021). To identify potential Y-liked

genes, we searched for any scaffolds containing at least one annotated gene with a mean $\log_2$

(male/female) coverage value greater than 0.5. To identify potential X-liked genes that were not

assembled with chromosome 10, we searched for any scaffolds containing at least one annotated

gene with a mean $\log_2$ (male/female) coverage value less than -0.5.

<u>Tissue Collection for Gene Expression</u>

To describe sex differences in gene expression, we collected tissues immediately upon

capture of wild animals in their natural habitats. All procedures were reviewed and approved by

the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at the University of Virginia (protocol 3896),

Florida International University (IACUC-20-012-CR01), and Rutgers University (PROTO

201800157). Animals were collected under permits from Arizona Game and Fish (SP658032 and

SP407104), Texas Parks and Wildlife (SPR-0719-113), and New Jersey Fish and Wildlife (SC

2019007). Additional collecting and land use permissions were obtained from Catalina State

Park (AZ), the Coronado National Forest branch of the United States Forest Service (AZ),

Tucson Parks and Recreation (AZ), Dalquest Desert Research Station (TX), and Colliers Mills

Wildlife Management Area (NJ). Collecting locations are provided in Table S1.

575   We collected *S. undulatus* and *S. jarrovii* at each of three ages: neonate, maturing, and

adult (*n* = 5-7 individuals per age, per sex; Table S1). Neonates were collected within several

weeks of hatching in oviparous, spring-breeding *S. undulatus* (early September, age <1 mo) and

within several weeks of birth in viviparous, fall-breeding *S. jarrovii* (mid June, age <1 mo).

Maturing individuals were sampled when sex differences in growth, coloration, and circulating

testosterone first emerge in the summer after hatching in *S. undulatus* (July, age 10-11 mo) and

the first fall breeding season after birth in *S. jarrovii* (late September, age 4-5 mo) (Cox and

John-Alder 2007, 2005; Cox et al. 2005). Reproductive adults were sampled during the breeding

season in both *S. undulatus* (mid May, age >20 mo) and *S. jarrovii* (late September, age >16

mo). To assess the evolution of sex-biased gene expression, we also collected reproductive adults

of five additional *Sceloporus* species (*S. clarkii, S. magister, S. merriami, S. slevini, S. virgatus*)

and three species representing other phrynosomatid genera (*Cophosaurus texanus, Urosaurus*

ornatus, Uta stansburiana; *n* = 6 per sex, per species). Adults of each species were sampled in

late April or early May during their breeding seasons (Table S1).

589   We captured lizards by hand or using a hand-held pole with a loop of braided fishing line,

then immediately measured them for mass (nearest 0.1 g) and snout-vent length (nearest 1 mm).

Sex was determined according to presence or absence of enlarged post-anal scales (present only

in males) and confirmed by inspection of the gonads during tissue collection. Immediately

following measurement, we euthanized lizards via decapitation and collected liver, whole brain,

and muscle (along the femur) into RNAlater (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) on ice. Tissues were

held overnight at 4°C, frozen at -20°C, and then stored at -80°C until RNA extraction.

<u>RNA Extraction and Sequencing</u>

We extracted total RNA from liver for all 10 species (Table S1). For *S. undulatus* and *S.*

jarrovii, this included three age groups (neonate, maturing, and adult). For *S. undulatus*, we also

extracted RNA from brain and muscle from all three age groups. RNA was extracted using the

Illustra/Cytiva RNAspin Mini RNA Isolation Kit (Global Life Sciences Solutions USA,

Marlborough, MA, USA), following the manufacturer's protocol, with the exception that all

tissues were homogenized twice (45 s per round at 30 Hz) in lysis buffer using 5-mm stainless

steel beads in a Tissuelyser II bead mill (Qiagen; Germantown, MD, USA). We assessed RNA

concentrations for all samples using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific,

Waltham MA, USA). We also assessed RNA quality and concentration for most samples on an

Agilent Bioanalyzer (RNA 6000 Pico; Agilent Biotechnologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). All

samples exhibited sufficient quality for library preparation and sequencing (mean RIN = 8.1).

Preparation of cDNA libraries and RNA sequencing was carried out by the Georgia

Genomics and Bioinformatics Core at the University of Georgia (Athens, GA, USA). Libraries

were prepared using the KAPA Stranded mRNA-Seq kit for Illumina platforms with poly(A)

selection (KAPA Biosystems; Wilmington, MA, USA). Library preparation and sequencing were

conducted in three batches with respect to species and tissue (Table S1) to optimize comparisons

between sexes and to obtain approximately 20 M reads per sample. In the first batch, libraries for

S. undulatus and *S. jarrovii* liver from all three ages were prepared together using ~1 μg total

RNA per sample. In the second batch, libraries for *S. undulatus* brain and muscle from all three

ages were prepared using ~2 μg total RNA (brain) or ~0.5 μg total RNA (muscle), with libraries

from each tissue loaded in equimolar ratios for sequencing. In the third batch, libraries for *S.*

virgatus, S. clarkii, S. slevini, S. magister, S. merriami, *U. ornatus*, *U. stansburiana*, and *C.*

texanus liver from adults were prepared using ~1 μg total RNA. Each batch was sequenced twice

on Illumina P3 flow cells (paired-end, 100-bp reads) using an Illumina NextSeq 2000.

<u>Read Count Generation and Differential Expression Analysis</u>

All RNAseq reads were assessed for quality and trimmed using *fastp* (Chen et al. 2018)

with paired-end base correction, low-complexity filtering, and 3' end tail quality trimming

(average phred score threshold = 20 and window size = 5). Poly(g) and poly(x) trimming were

also enabled to remove low complexity sequences from the 3' end of reads, along with an overall

minimum length filter of 36 bp and minimum phred quality threshold of 25. Cleaned read pairs

and reads orphaned during trimming (*i.e.*, read partner removed due to length or quality) were

aligned to the *S. undulatus* genome (GCA_019175285.1; SceUnd_v1.1) using *subread-align*

(Liao et al. 2013b) with *S. undulatus* RefSeq coding sequences (GCF_019175285.1) as a guide

during alignment (accessed via NCBI). Although we used the *S. undulatus* genome as a common

reference to generate comparable read counts across all 10 species, we note that the genus

Sceloporus exhibits widespread chromosomal evolution (Leaché et al. 2016), such that gene

locations in other species may differ from those in the *S. undulatus* assembly.

For all reads from *Sceloporus* species, we used default parameters in *subread-align* to

identify valid alignments to coding regions in the *S. undulatus* genome. For *Urosaurus*, *Uta*, and

Cophosaurus, we relaxed alignment stringencies due to increased phylogenetic distance and

reduced alignment rates observed when default parameters were used. This entailed a lower

minimum number of subreads necessary to report an alignment (-m 2), an increased number of

mismatches allowed (-M 5), a lower minimum fragment size (-d 35), an increased maximum

fragment size (-D 750), and an increased maximum number of indels (-I 7). Paired reads and

reads orphaned during *fastp* trimming were aligned independently and expression counts for all

species were generated from alignments using *featureCounts* (Liao et al. 2013a) with default

parameters. We summed expression counts from paired reads and orphaned reads to generate

final expression estimates for each sample. Only unique alignments were counted towards the

total expression estimate for a gene (*i.e.,* multi-mapping reads were not counted).

648   We conducted differential expression analyses using R (v 4.1.1) (R Core Team, 2019)

package *edgeR* (Chen et al. 2016; McCarthy et al. 2012; Robinson et al. 2009; Robinson and

Smyth 2007). Prior to analysis, we filtered counts to remove low-expression genes using *edgeR*

function 'FiltrByExpr' separately for each tissue within each species (Table S1, "edgeR model").

To identify and remove outlier libraries after low-expression filtering, we applied a TMM

(trimmed mean of M-values) normalization to expression counts in *edgeR*, then used a $\log_{10}$

counts-per-million (CPM) transformation to adjust for library size. We used robust PCA on $\log_{10}$

(CPM) values to identify and remove any outlier libraries using R package *rrcov* and function

'PcaGrid' (crit.pca.distance = 0.975) (Chen et al. 2020b). We conducted outlier analyses

separately for each tissue within each species. The total numbers of samples passing outlier

filtering are reported in Table S1. Following outlier removal, we conducted differential

expression analysis using TMM-normalized read counts, which we fit to a negative binomial

model (*edgeR* function 'glmQLFit', robust = TRUE) with separate models for each species. We

identified sex-biased DEGs (differentially expressed genes) using quasi-likelihood *F*-tests

comparing female versus male expression for each species with *edgeR* function 'glmQLFTest'.

Within each species, we made pairwise comparisons between sexes for each combination of age

and tissue. Genes with a Benjamini-Hochberg P-value adjusted for the transcriptome-wide false

discovery rate ("FDR") < 0.05 were considered DEGs (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995).

<u>Testing for Male-Female Expression Imbalance in *S. undulatus*</u>

After identifying significantly sex-biased DEGs within each combination of age and tisse,

we identified the subsets of genes that are consistently female-biased or consistently male-biased

DEGs across all ages within a tissue, then determined the chromosomal locations of these genes

in the *S. undulatus* assembly. If consistently female-biased DEGs are the result of male-female

dosage imbalance, then these DEGs should map predominantly to chromosome 10, the X

chromosome. If consistently male-biased DEGs are the result of Y-linkage, then these DEGs

should map predominantly to unplaced scaffolds in the *S. undulatus* assembly, which does not

include an assembled Y chromosome but does contain sequence from a male specimen. Mapping

of consistently sex-biased DEGs to autosomes (chromosomes 1-9 and 11) would indicate either

an error in sex chromosome assembly, or a mechanism for consistently sex-biased expression

other than dosage imbalance due to male hemizygosity. As noted above, we assessed $\log_2$

male/female DNA coverage for each of these consistently sex-biased DEGs to infer underlying

patterns of dosage. We also visually assessed genome-wide patterns of sex-biased expression

using Mahattan plots with the $-\log_{10}$ (P-value) of differential expression for each gene ordered

sequentially by chromosome number and transcriptional start site.

As a complementary approach to our DEG analysis, we assessed chromosome-wide

patterns of sex-biased expression by using *edgeR* function 'rpkm' to calculate the expression of

each gene as FPKM (fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads) using *S.*

undulatus gene lengths (GCF_019175285.1). For each tissue, we used Wilcoxon signed-rank

tests with a Bonferroni-adjusted alpha (0.05 / 3 = 0.017) to test whether the median sex bias in

expression ($\log_2$ male/female FPKM) of genes on chromosome 10 differed significantly from 0.

We conducted these analyses both with and without the subsets of consistently sex-biased DEGs

previously identified in each tissue (see above). Because the current *S. undulatus* assembly does

not include a unique Y scaffold, we could not conduct similar tests for Y-linked expression.

<u>Confirming Male-Female Expression Imbalance in *S. undulatus* Using the *S. tristichus* Genome</u>

Because the assembly of the *S. undulatus* X chromosome may have been influenced by

homologous reads from Y (Westfall et al. 2021), we repeated our analyses of differential gene

expression by aligning RNAseq reads from *S. undulatus* to the genome of a closely related

congener (*Sceloporus tristichus,* formerly *S. undulatus tristichus*) that was sequenced exclusively

from female tissue (Bedoya and Leaché 2021). Using this assembly should minimize any

artifacts potentially introduced by similar X and Y sequences in the *S. undulatus* genome, such

as the co-assembly of X and Y gametologs on chromosome 10 or the failure of X gametologs to

assemble on chromosome 10. Read alignments and estimates of gene expression were made

using subread-align and featureCounts against the *S. tristichus* genome (ASM1680141v1) and

gene annotations from Bedoya and Leaché (2021; Sceloporus_tristichus_snow_11.gff3) with the

same parameters used during alignment to the *S. undulatus* genome (described above).

<u>Identification of Potential Gametolog Pairs in *S. undulatus*</u>

If males express two formerly allelic copies of the same gene (*i.e.*, X and Y gametologs),

then the independent mapping of reads to each gametolog could create the appearance of female-

biased expression of the X gametolog for a gene that is otherwise expressed similarly in both

sexes. For all genes identified as consistently sex-biased DEGs using the *S. undulatus* genome,

we used BLASTN (Madden 2013) to search for similar sequences that could represent potential

gametologs. We used the longest annotated transcripts for each consistently female-biased DEG

as query sequences and searched them against the *S. undulatus* (taxid:8520) refseq_rna database

using default alignment parameters (E-threshold = 0.05; word size = 11; max matches in query =

0; match/mismatch scores = 2,-3; gap costs = Existence: 5, Extension: 2) with low-complexity

filters enabled. We queried the top 100 hits for each female-biased DEG sequence to identify any

located on unassembled scaffolds, then assessed male and female expression levels (FPKM) to

identify those with low expression in females, indicating possible Y gametologs. We applied a

similar strategy to identify any potential X gametologs for consistently male-biased DEGs,

which would be expected to occur on chromosome 10 or unplaced scaffolds. In situations where

we identified a potential gametolog pair, we re-assessed patterns of sex bias after pooling reads

from each separately assembled region (*i.e.*, summing putative X and Y gametolog reads).

Comparing X-linked to Autosomal Expression in *S. undulatus*

We established null distributions of median autosomal expression by randomly sampling

spatially contiguous blocks of the same number of autosomal genes as expressed genes on X. We

calculated the median $\log_2$ FPKM expression for each block of genes in each tissue, age, and sex,

repeating this random selection process 1000 times throughout the autosomal genome to generate

a null distribution of median autosomal expression for each combination of tissue, age, and sex.

For each sampling iteration, we selected a contiguous block of genes on the same scaffold

(excluding genes on unplaced scaffolds) and arrayed consecutively by start position, similar to

the arrangement of genes on X. Each block of autosomal genes was sampled only once to avoid

pseudoreplication. We then tested whether the median $\log_2$ FPKM expression of genes on X fell

outside the lower 5% or upper 95% quantiles of the corresponding autosomal distribution. If

median expression on X falls below the corresponding null distribution of median autosomal

expression in males, this would indicate a lack of dosage compensation ("Type III" expression

pattern, Gu and Walters 2017). If the median expression of genes on X falls above the

corresponding null distribution in females, this could indicate that dosage compensation in males

has resulted in overexpression of X in females ("Type IV" expression pattern; Gu and Walters

2017). We conducted these tests separately for genes on the ancestrally X-linked, 14.85-Mbp

region of chromsosome 10 (n = 231 to 255 expressed genes, depending upon tissue), as well as

the more recently X-linked, 1.76-Mbp distal region of chromosome 10 (n = 41 to 46 expressed

genes), with null distributions of autosomal expression generated separately for each region

based on its number of expressed genes in each tissue.

Testing for Male-Female Expression Imbalance in *S. jarrovii*

For *S. jarrovii*, we used the same methods described above for *S. undulatus* to identify

consistently sex-biased genes in the liver (i.e., significant DEGs biased towards the same sex

across all three ages), and to test for overall male-female expression imbalance across genes on

chromosome 10 in the *S. undulatus* assembly (*i.e.*, significant deviations of median $\log_2$

male/female FPKM ratios from 0 using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests with Bonferroni correction

for tests across 3 ages). Because the distal 1.76-Mbp portion of *S. undulatus* chromosome 10

(DR10) is presumably autosomal in *S. jarrovii*, we tested for male-female expression imbalance

separately in DR10 and in the ancestrally X-linked 14.85-Mbp region of chromosome 10, which

we expected to be X-linked in both species.

Our analyses in *S. jarrovii* unexpectedly uncovered a signal of highly sex-biased

expression in genes mapping to a small (~11 Mbp) distal region on chromosome 6 in the *S.*

undulatus assembly (hereafter "DR6"), so we conducted additional analyses to test whether this

region exhibits the transcriptomic signatures of an X chromosome in *S. jarrovii*. We used

Wilcoxon signed-rank tests (as described above for chromosome 10) to test whether the median

$\log_2$ male/female FPKM expression ratio for genes on DR6 differs from 0, and also to compare

(separately within each sex) the median $\log_2$ FPKM expression of genes in DR6 to null

distributions of median $\log_2$ FPKM expression for 1000 randomly sampled genes located

contiguously in other autosomal regions. For comparison, we conducted similar analyses of DR6

in *S. undulatus,* where it is autosomal. To directly test for an evolutionary reduction in

expression of DR6 genes in *S. jarrovii* males, as expected if this formerly autosomal region has

become X-linked but still lacks complete dosage compensation, we conducted paired (by gene) *t-*

tests comparing expression of DR6 homologs between *S. undulatus* and *S. jarrovii*. We predicted

that expression of DR6 would be similar in females of each species, but reduced in *S. jarrovii*

males relatieve to *S. undulatus* males.

<u>Characterizing the Evolution of Gene Expression Across Phrynosomatidae</u>

We generated liver transcriptomes for adult males and females of 7 *Sceloporus* species,

plus representatives of 3 other phrynosomatid genera (*n* = 5-7 individuals per sex, per species;

Table S1). For species, we tested whether genes that map to the ancestrally X-linked, male-

hemizygous, 14.85-Mbp region of chromosome 10 in the *S. undulatus* assembly exhibit female-

biased expression. To resolve the evolution of neo-X regions, we also tested whether genes that

map to the distal regions of *S. undulatus* chromosome 10 (DR10, putative neo-X region in *S.*

undulatus) and chromosome 6 (DR6, putative neo-X region in *S. jarrovii*) exhibit female-biased

expression in any other species. For each comparison described above, we used a 1-sample

Wilcoxon signed-rank test with Bonferroni correction for the 10 comparisons across species

(adjusted alpha = 0.005) to test for male-female dosage imbalance (median $\log_2$ male/female

FPKM < 0) of all expressed genes that map to the corresponding regions of the *S. undulatus*

assembly. We also conducted similar analyses using only the subsets of consistently female-

biased DEGs from chromosome 10 (*i.e.,* DEGs in *S. undulatus* transcriptomes) or DR6 (*i.e.,*

DEGs in *S. jarrovii* transcriptomes). We visualized evolutionary patterns in gene expression

using a consensus phylogeny based on several sources (Leaché et al. 2016; Pyron et al. 2013).

Acknowledgments

We thank Matt Watson and Tyler Wittman for help with sample collection. We thank the

Georgia Genomics and Bioinformatics Core (GGBC) for library preparation and sequencing. The

authors acknowledge Research Computing at The University of Virginia (https://rc.virginia.edu)

for providing compulational resources and technical support that have contributed to the results

reported within this publication. This work was supported by a Collaborative Research award

from the U.S. National Science Foundation (IOS 1755134 to CLC, IOS 1754934 to HBJ-A, and

IOS 1755026 to RMC). CDR was supported by a Research Traineeship from the U.S. National

Sceince Foundation (NRT-ROL 2021791). PLHM was supported by a Rising Scholars

Postdoctoral Fellowship from the University of Virginia.

Literature Cited

Acosta A, et al. 2019. Corytophanids replaced the pleurodont XY system with a new pair of XY

chromosomes. Genome Biol Evol. 11(9): 2666-2677. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evz196

Alam SMI, Sarre SD, Gleeson D, Georges A, Ezaz T. 2018. Did lizards follow unique pathways

in sex chromosome evolution? Genes. 9(5). doi: 10.3390/genes9050239

Albritton SE, et al. 2014. Sex-biased gene expression and evolution of the X chromosome in

nematodes. Genetics. 197(3): 865-883. doi: 10.1534/genetics.114.163311

Alföldi J, et al. 2011. The genome of the green anole lizard and a comparative analysis with birds

and mammals. Nature. 477(7366): 587-591. doi: 10.1038/nature10390

Allen SL, Bonduriansky R, Chenoweth SF. 2013. The genomic distribution of sex-biased genes

in *Drosophila serrata*: X chromosome demasculinization, feminization, and

hyperexpression in both sexes. Genome Biol Evol. 5(10): 1986-1994. doi:

10.1093/gbe/evt145

Altmanova M, et al. 2018. All iguana families with the exception of basilisks share sex

chromosomes. Zoology. 126(98-102. doi: 10.1016/j.zool.2017.11.007

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool.

215(3): 403-410. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2

Assis BA, et al. 2025. Genomic signatures of adaptation in native lizards exposed to human-

introduced fire ants. 16(1): 89. doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-55020-4

Bachtrog D, et al. 2011. Are all sex chromosomes created equal? Trends Genet. 27(9): 350-357.

doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2011.05.005

Bedoya AM, Leaché AD. 2021. Characterization of a pericentric inversion in plateau fence

lizards (*Sceloporus tristichus*): evidence from chromosome-scale genomes. G3: Genes,

Genomes, Genetics. 11(2). doi: 10.1093/g3journal/jkab036

Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful

approach to multiple testing. 57(1): 289-300. doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x

Birchler JA, Riddle NC, Auger DL, Veitia RA. 2005. Dosage balance in gene regulation:

Biological implications. Trends Genet. 21(4): 219-226. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2005.02.010

Bista B, Wu Z, Literman R, Valenzuela N. 2021. Thermosensitive sex chromosome dosage

compensation in ZZ/ZW softshell turtles, *Apalone spinifera*. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B

Biol Sci. 376(1833): 20200101. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2020.0101

Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND.

Nat Methods. 12(1): 59-60. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3176

Chandler CH. 2017. When and why does sex chromosome dosage compensation evolve? Ann N

Y Acad Sci. 1389(1): 37-51. doi: 10.1111/nyas.13307

Charlesworth D. 2021. The timing of genetic degeneration of sex chromosomes. Philos Trans R

Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 376(1832): 20200093. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2020.0093

Chen J, Wang M, He X, Yang JR, Chen X. 2020a. The evolution of sex chromosome dosage

compensation in animals. J Genet Genomics. 47(11): 681-693. doi:

10.1016/j.jgg.2020.10.005

Chen S, et al. 2014. Whole-genome sequence of a flatfish provides insights into ZW sex

chromosome evolution and adaptation to a benthic lifestyle. Nat Genet. 46(3): 253-260.

doi: 10.1038/ng.2890

Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.

Bioinformatics. 34(17): i884-i890. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560

Chen X, Zhang B, Wang T, Bonni A, Zhao G. 2020b. Robust principal component analysis for

accurate outlier sample detection in RNA-Seq data. BMC Bioinf. 21(1): 269. doi:

10.1186/s12859-020-03608-0

Chen Y, Lun AT, Smyth GK. 2016. From reads to genes to pathways: differential expression

analysis of RNA-Seq experiments using Rsubread and the edgeR quasi-likelihood

pipeline. F1000Res. 5(

Cox RM, John-Alder HB. 2007. Growing apart together: The development of contrasting sexual

size dimorphisms in sympatric *Sceloporus* lizards. Herpetologica. 63(3): 245-257. doi:

10.1655/0018-0831(2007)63[245:Gattdo]2.0.Co;2

Cox RM, John-Alder HB. 2005. Testosterone has opposite effects on male growth in lizards

(*Sceloporus* spp.) with opposite patterns of sexual size dimorphism. J Exp Biol. 208(24):

4679-4687. doi: 10.1242/jeb.01948

Cox Robert M, Skelly Stephanie L, John-Alder Henry B. 2005. Testosterone inhibits growth in

juvenile male Eastern Fence Lizards (*Sceloporus undulatus*): Implications for energy

allocation and sexual size dimorphism. Physiol Biochem Zool. 78(4): 531-545. doi:

10.1086/430226

Darolti I, et al. 2019. Extreme heterogeneity in sex chromosome differentiation and dosage

compensation in livebearers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 116(38): 19031-19036. doi:

10.1073/pnas.1905298116

Davalos-Dehullu E, et al. 2023. Chromosome-level genome assembly of the Blacktail Brush

Lizard, *Urosaurus nigricaudus*, reveals dosage compensation in an endemic lizard.

Genome Biol Evol. 15(12). doi: 10.1093/gbe/evad210

Deakin JE, Ezaz T. 2019. Understanding the evolution of reptile chromosomes through

applications of combined cytogenetics and genomics approaches. Cytogenet Genome

Res. 157(1-2): 7-20. doi: 10.1159/000495974

Dean R, Mank JE. 2014. The role of sex chromosomes in sexual dimorphism: Discordance

between molecular and phenotypic data. J Evol Biol. 27(7): 1443-1453. doi:

10.1111/jeb.12345

Disteche CM. 2012. Dosage compensation of the sex chromosomes. Annu Rev Genet. 46(537-

560. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-110711-155454

Emms DM, Kelly S. 2019. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative

genomics. Genome Biol. 20(1): 238. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1832-y

Emms DM, Kelly S. 2015. OrthoFinder: solving fundamental biases in whole genome

comparisons dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. Genome Biol. 16(1):

157. doi: 10.1186/s13059-015-0721-2

Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. 2016. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for

multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics. 32(19): 3047-3048. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354

Furman BLS, et al. 2020. Sex chromosome evolution: So many exceptions to the rules. Genome

Biol Evol. 12(6): 750-763. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evaa081

Gamble T, et al. 2015. Restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq) reveals an

extraordinary number of transitions among gecko sex-determining systems. Mol Biol

Evol. 32(5): 1296-1309. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msv023

Geneva AJ, et al. 2022. Chromosome-scale genome assembly of the brown anole (*Anolis sagrei*),

an emerging model species. Commun Biol. 5(1): 1126. doi: 10.1038/s42003-022-04074-5

Giovannotti M, et al. 2017. New insights into sex chromosome evolution in anole lizards

(Reptilia, Dactyloidae). Chromosoma. 126(2): 245-260. doi: 10.1007/s00412-016-0585-6

Grant J, et al. 2012. Rsx is a metatherian RNA with Xist-like properties in X-chromosome

inactivation. Nature. 487(7406): 254-258. doi: 10.1038/nature11171

Graves JA. 2016. Evolution of vertebrate sex chromosomes and dosage compensation. Nat Rev

Genet. 17(1): 33-46. doi: 10.1038/nrg.2015.2

Gu L, Walters JR. 2017. Evolution of sex chromosome dosage compensation in animals: A

beautiful theory, undermined by facts and bedeviled by details. Genome Biol Evol. 9(9):

2461-2476. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evx154

Gu L, Walters JR, Knipple DC. 2017. Conserved patterns of sex chromosome dosage

compensation in the Lepidoptera (WZ/ZZ): Insights from a moth neo-Z chromosome.

Genome Biol Evol. 9(3): 802-816. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evx039

Itoh Y, et al. 2010. Sex bias and dosage compensation in the zebra finch versus chicken

genomes: General and specialized patterns among birds. Genome Res. 20(4): 512-518.

doi: 10.1101/gr.102343.109

Kichigin IG, et al. 2016. Evolutionary dynamics of *Anolis* sex chromosomes revealed by

sequencing of flow sorting-derived microchromosome-specific DNA. Mol Genet

Genomics. 291(1955-1966.

Koochekian N, et al. 2022. A chromosome-level genome assembly and annotation of the desert

horned lizard, *Phrynosoma platyrhinos*, provides insight into chromosomal

rearrangements among reptiles. Gigascience. 11(doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giab098

Kratochvil L, Gamble T, Rovatsos M. 2021. Sex chromosome evolution among amniotes: Is the

origin of sex chromosomes non-random? Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.

376(1833): 20200108. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2020.0108

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 9(4):

357-359. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1923

Leaché AD, Banbury BL, Linkem CW, de Oca AN. 2016. Phylogenomics of a rapid radiation: is

chromosomal evolution linked to increased diversification in north american spiny lizards

(Genus *Sceloporus*)? BMC Evol Biol. 16(63. doi: 10.1186/s12862-016-0628-x

Li H, et al. 2009. 1000 genome project data processing subgroup. The sequence alignment/map

format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 25(16): 2078-2079.

Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. 2013a. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for

assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 30(7): 923-930. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. 2013b. The Subread aligner: fast, accurate and scalable read mapping

by seed-and-vote. Nucleic Acids Res. 41(10): e108-e108. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt214

Lisachov AP, et al. 2021. Whole-chromosome fusions in the karyotype evolution of *Sceloporus*

(Iguania, Reptilia) are more frequent in sex chromosomes than autosomes. Philos Trans R

Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 376(1833): 20200099. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2020.0099

Lovell JT, et al. 2022. GENESPACE tracks regions of interest and gene copy number variation

across multiple genomes. eLife. 11(e78526. doi: 10.7554/eLife.78526

Lucchesi JC, Kuroda MI. 2015. Dosage compensation in *Drosophila*. Cold Spring Harb Perspect

Biol. 7(5). doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a019398

Madden T. 2013. The BLAST sequence analysis tool. The NCBI handbook. 2(5): 425-436.

Mank JE. 2022. Are plant and animal sex chromosomes really all that different? Philos Trans R

Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 377(1850): 20210218. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2021.0218

Mank JE. 2013. Sex chromosome dosage compensation: Definitely not for everyone. Trends

Genet. 29(12): 677-683. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2013.07.005

Mank JE. 2009. The W, X, Y and Z of sex-chromosome dosage compensation. Trends Genet.

25(5): 226-233. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2009.03.005

Mank JE, Ellegren H. 2009. All dosage compensation is local: Gene-by-gene regulation of sex-

biased expression on the chicken Z chromosome. Heredity. 102(3): 312-320. doi:

10.1038/hdy.2008.116

Mank JE, Hosken DJ, Wedell N. 2011. Some inconvenient truths about sex chromosome dosage

compensation and the potential role of sexual conflict. Evolution. 65(8): 2133-2144. doi:

10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01316.x

Marin R, et al. 2017. Convergent origination of a *Drosophila*-like dosage compensation

mechanism in a reptile lineage. Genome Res. 27(12): 1974-1987. doi:

10.1101/gr.223727.117

McCarthy DJ, Chen Y, Smyth GK. 2012. Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-

Seq experiments with respect to biological variation. Nucleic Acids Res. 40(10): 4288-

4297. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks042

Metzger DCH, Sandkam BA, Darolti I, Mank JE. 2021. Rapid evolution of complete dosage

compensation in *Poecilia*. Genome Biol Evol. 13(7). doi: 10.1093/gbe/evab155

Mullon C, Wright AE, Reuter M, Pomiankowski A, Mank JE. 2015. Evolution of dosage

compensation under sexual selection differs between X and Z chromosomes. Nat

Commun. 6(7720. doi: 10.1038/ncomms8720

Muyle A, et al. 2018. Genomic imprinting mediates dosage compensation in a young plant XY

system. Nature plants. 4(9): 677-680.

Nielsen SV, et al. 2019. Escaping the evolutionary trap? Sex chromosome turnover in basilisks

and related lizards (Corytophanidae: Squamata). Biol Lett. 15(10): 20190498. doi:

10.1098/rsbl.2019.0498

Ohno S. 1967. Sex Chromosomes and Sex-linked Genes. Heidelberg: Springer Berlin.

Pennell MW, et al. 2015. Y fuse? Sex chromosome fusions in fishes and reptiles. PLOS Genet.

11(5). doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005237

Pessia E, Engelstädter J, Marais GAB. 2014. The evolution of X chromosome inactivation in

mammals: The demise of Ohno's hypothesis? Cell Molec Life Sci. 71(8): 1383-1394.

doi: 10.1007/s00018-013-1499-6

Pinto BJ, Gamble T, Smith CH, Wilson MA. 2023. A lizard is never late: Squamate genomics as

a recent catalyst for understanding sex chromosome and microchromosome evolution.

114(5): 445-458. doi: 10.1093/jhered/esad023

Prince EG, Kirkland D, Demuth JP. 2010. Hyperexpression of the X chromosome in both sexes

results in extensive female bias of X-linked genes in the flour beetle. Genome Biol Evol.

2(336-346. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evq024

Pyron RA, Burbrink FT, Wiens JJ. 2013. A phylogeny and revised classification of Squamata,

including 4161 species of lizards and snakes. BMC Evol Biol. 13(1): 93. doi:

10.1186/1471-2148-13-93

Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. Bioinformatics. 26(6): 841-842. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033

Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2009. edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential

expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 26(1): 139-140. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616

Robinson MD, Smyth GK. 2007. Moderated statistical tests for assessing differences in tag

abundance. Bioinformatics. 23(21): 2881-2887. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm453

Rovatsos M, Altmanova M, Pokorna M, Kratochvil L. 2014a. Conserved sex chromosomes

across adaptively radiated *Anolis* lizards. Evolution. 68(7): 2079-2085. doi:

10.1111/evo.12357

Rovatsos M, et al. 2021. Do male and female heterogamety really differ in expression

regulation? Lack of global dosage balance in pygopodid geckos. Philos Trans R Soc

Lond B Biol Sci. 376(1833): 20200102. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2020.0102

Rovatsos M, Kratochvíl L. 2021. Evolution of dosage compensation does not depend on genomic

background. Molec Ecol. 30(8): 1836-1845. doi: 10.1111/mec.15853

Rovatsos M, Pokorna M, Altmanova M, Kratochvil L. 2014b. Cretaceous park of sex

determination: Sex chromosomes are conserved across iguanas. Biol Lett. 10(3):

20131093. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2013.1093

Rovatsos M, Rehak I, Velensky P, Kratochvil L. 2019. Shared ancient sex chromosomes in

varanids, beaded lizards, and alligator lizards. Mol Biol Evol. 36(6): 1113-1120. doi:

10.1093/molbev/msz024

Rupp SM, et al. 2017. Evolution of dosage compensation in *Anolis carolinensis*, a reptile with

XX/XY chromosomal sex determination. Genome Biol Evol. 9(1): 231-240. doi:

10.1093/gbe/evw263

Saunders PA, Muyle A. 2024. Sex chromosome evolution: Hallmarks and question marks. Mol

Biol Evol. 41(11). doi: 10.1093/molbev/msae218

Schield DR, et al. 2019. The origins and evolution of chromosomes, dosage compensation, and

mechanisms underlying venom regulation in snakes. Genome Res. 29(4): 590-601. doi:

10.1101/gr.240952.118

Schultheiß R, Viitaniemi HM, Leder EH. 2015. Spatial dynamics of evolving dosage

compensation in a young sex chromosome system. Genome Biol Evol. 7(2): 581-590.

doi: 10.1093/gbe/evv013

Shaw DE, White MA. 2022. The evolution of gene regulation on sex chromosomes. Trends

Genet. 38(8): 844-855. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2022.04.004

Veitia RA, Potier MC. 2015. Gene dosage imbalances: Action, reaction, and models. Trends

Biochem Sci. 40(6): 309-317. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2015.03.011

Vicoso B, Bachtrog D. 2015. Numerous transitions of sex chromosomes in Diptera. PLoS Biol.

13(4): e1002078. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002078

Vicoso B, Emerson JJ, Zektser Y, Mahajan S, Bachtrog D. 2013a. Comparative sex chromosome

genomics in snakes: Differentiation, evolutionary strata, and lack of global dosage

compensation. PLoS Biol. 11(8). doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001643

Vicoso B, Kaiser VB, Bachtrog D. 2013b. Sex-biased gene expression at homomorphic sex

chromosomes in emus and its implication for sex chromosome evolution. Proc Natl Acad

Sci U S A. 110(16): 6453-6458. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1217027110

Webster TH, et al. 2024. Lack of dosage balance and incomplete dosage compensation in the

ZZ/ZW Gila Monster (*Heloderma suspectum*) revealed by de novo genome assembly.

Genome Biol Evol. 16(3). doi: 10.1093/gbe/evae018

Westfall AK, et al. 2021. A chromosome-level genome assembly for the Eastern Fence Lizard

(*Sceloporus undulatus*), a reptile model for physiological and evolutionary ecology.

Gigascience. 10(10). doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giab066

Wright AE, Mank JE. 2012. Battle of the sexes: Conflict over dosage-sensitive genes and the

origin of X chromosome inactivation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 109(14): 5144-5145.

doi: 10.1073/pnas.1202905109

1035

1036

Figure 1. (A) Riparian plot illustrating synteny of *S. undulatus* chromosome 10 (X) with three

other pleurodont genomes. Only chromosome-level scaffolds with syntenic blocks that map to *S.*

undulatus chromosome 10 are shown. **(B)** Chromosomal locations of genes on *S. undulatus*

chromosome 10 in five other pleurodont genomes, based on top BLAST hits using the longest

mRNA isoform for each gene as a query. **(C)** $\log_2$ (male/female) depth of DNA sequencing

coverage in *S. undulatus* for each gene on chromosome 10, with the dashed line at -1 signifying

expected dosage imbalance due to hemizygosity in males. Vertical lines in **B** and **C** indicate

inferred boundaries between the ancestral male-hemizygous region, ancestral psuedoautosomal

region (PAR), and neo-X region in *S. undulatus* and *S. tristichus*.

Figure 2.

Figure 2. (A-C) Volcano plots depicting the magnitude ($\log_2$ fold change) and significance (-

$\log_{10} P$ value) of sex-biased expression across 15,587 genes in liver of *S. undulatus* across three

ages. Genes with significantly (FDR < 0.05) sex-biased expression (DEGs) are indicated in

white, with the number of female-biased and male-biased DEGs indicated in the bottom corners

of each panel. DEGs with consistently sex-biased expression across all ages are shown as red

(female-biased) or blue (male-biased) diamonds. Grey points are genes that are not significantly

sex-biased. **(D-F)** Boxplots depicting the median (line), interquartile range (box) and the smallest

or largest values within 1.5 x IQR (whiskers) for sex-biased expression ($\log_2$ male:female ratio of

fragments per kilobase per million mapped reads, FPKM) from each of the 11 largest scaffolds

and pooled genes from unplaced scaffolds (NW) in the *S. undulatus* assembly. The 10th largest

scaffold is the X chromosome. Notches in the center of each boxplot indicate the 95%

confidence interval of the median. Diamonds indicate consistently female-biased (red) and male-

biased (blue) DEGs in each tissue, which map predominantly to chromosome 10 (X) and

unplaced scaffolds (NW). Diamonds on plot borders indicate DEGs beyond x-axis limits.

Asterisks indicate when median chromosome expression deviates significantly from balanced

male:female expression using Wilcoxon rank sum testing (mu = 0) with correction for multiple

tests (* $P < 0.05$ without correction for multiple tests; ** $P < 0.017$, the critical alpha after

Bonferroni correction; *** $P < 0.001$). **(G-I)** Manhattan plots of -$\log_{10} P$ values for sex-biased

expression after ordering genes by chromosome and start position. All genes on X are shown in

red. **(J)** Genomic locations of consistently sex-biased DEGs (unplaced scaffolds not shown).

Enlarged inset shows that these genes occur on both the ancestral region of X that is hemizygous

in males and on the putative neo-X region beyond the large tick mark at 15.1 Mbp. Gray shading

immediately before this large tick indicates the putative psuedoautosomal region.

1071

Figure 3. Comparisons of median expression on the X chromosome versus that of autosomes for each sex across three tissues and three ages in *S. undulatus*, shown separately for (**A**) the ancestrally X-linked 14.85-Mbp region of chromosome 10, and (**B**) the distal 1.76 Mbp region (DR10) that is more recently X-linked. Boxplots depict the median (line), IQR (box), and 5th and 95th quantiles (whiskers) for median expression estimates from 1000 randomly-sampled blocks of *n* contiguous autosomal genes (*n* = number of expressed genes in the corresponding region of the X chromosome in that tissue). Colored vertical lines (red = female; blue = male) indicate the median expression of all genes on X for each combination of sex, age, and tissue. Colored lines which fall beyond whiskers indicate that median expression of genes on the X chromosome differs significantly from the sampled distribution for autosomal genes.

Figure 4.

Figure 4. (A-C) Volcano plots depicting the magnitude ($\log_2$ fold change) and significance (-$\log_{10} P$ value) of sex-biased expression across 15,493 genes in liver of *S. jarrovii*. Genes with significantly (FDR < 0.05) sex-biased expression (DEGs) are indicated in white, with the number of female-biased and male-biased DEGs indicated in the bottom corners of each panel. DEGs with consistently sex-biased expression across all ages are shown as red (female-biased) or blue (male-biased) diamonds. Grey points depict genes that are not significantly sex-biased. **(D-F)** Boxplots depicting the median (line), interquartile range (box) and the smallest or largest values within 1.5 x IQR (whiskers) for sex-biased expression ($\log_2$ male:female ratio of fragments per kilobase per million mapped reads, FPKM) from each of the 11 largest scaffolds and pooled genes from unplaced scaffolds (NW) in the *S. undulatus* genome. Diamonds indicate consistently female-biased (red) and male-biased (blue) DEGs, which map predominantly to chromosome 6, chromosome 10 (X), and unplaced scaffolds (NW). Diamonds on plot borders indicate genes beyond x-axis limits. Asterisks indicate that median chromosome expression deviates significantly from balanced male:female expression using Wilcoxon rank sum testing (mu = 0) with correction for multiple tests (* $P < 0.05$ without correction for multiple tests; ** $P < 0.017$, the critical alpha after Bonferroni correction; *** $P < 0.001$). **(G-I)** Manhattan plots depicting -$\log_{10} P$ values for sex-biased expression after ordering genes by *S. undulatus* chromosome and start position. All genes on X and within an ~11 Mpb distal region of chromosome 6 (DR6) are shown in red. **(J)** Locations of consistently sex-biased DEGs in *S. jarrovii* when mapped to the *S. undulatus* genome. All consistently sex-biased DEGs map to the ancestral X chromosome (the region of *S. undulatus* chromosome 10 prior to the large tick at 15.1 Mbp in the lower inset) or the distal ~11 Mbp region of chromosome 6 (DR6, upper inset), suggesting that this region of *S. undulatus* chromosome 6 is X-linked in *S. jarrovii*.

1107

Figure 5. (**A**) Location of consistently female-biased (red), male-biased (blue), and unbiased

genes (gray) in *S. jarrovii* that map to the distal region of chromosome 6 (DR6) in *S. undulatus.*

Bars are not drawn to scale for gene length. (**B**) Boxplots depicting median (line), interquartile

range (box), and 1.5 x IQR (whiskers) for sex-biased expression ($\log_2$ male:female ratio of

fragments per kilobase per million mapped reads, FPKM) in liver of *S. jarrovii* and *S. undulatus*

at three ages. Boxplots are show for all genes on chromosome 6 except those within DR6 ("Chr

6, non-DR6"), for all genes within DR6 ("DR6, all genes"), and for all genes within DR6

excluding consistently sex-biased DEGs ("DR6, non-CSB"). Diamonds depict consistently sex-

biased DEGs with those on plot borders indicating sex bias beyond axis limits. Asterisks indicate

when median expression differs from zero using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests with Bonferroni

correction for 2 tests per age (* $0.025 > P > 0.001$; ** $0.001 > P > 0.0001$; *** $P < 0.0001$).

Figure 6. (**A**) Median expression of genes in the distal region of *S. undulatus* chromosome 6

(DR6) versus a sampled distribution of median autosomal expression for each sex across three

ages in *S. jarrovii* and *S. undulatus*. Boxplots depict the median (line), intraquartile range (box),

and 5th and 95th quantiles for median expression values from 1000 randomly sampled blocks of *n*

contiguous autosomal genes (*n* = number of expressed genes within DR6 in each species).

Vertical red (female) and blue (male) lines indicate median expression of all genes within DR6.

(**B**) Comparisons (paired *t*-test by gene) of expression for all genes on DR6 between *S. jarrovii*

and *S. undulatus,* conducted separately by sex. Boxplots depict median (line), intraquartile range

(box), and 2.5^{th} and 97.5^{th} quantiles for expression of 152 genes present on DR6 in both species.

1129

Figure 7. Evolutionary patterns in sex-biased expression of (**A**) the 14.85-Mbp region of *S.*

undulatus chromosome 10 that is hemizygous in males (putative ancestral X), (**B**) the distal 1.76-

Mbp region of chromosome 10 (DR10, putative neo-X region in *S. undulatus*), and (**C**) the distal

11-Mbp region of chromosome 6 (DR6, putative neo-X region in *S. jarrovii*) based on adult liver

transcriptomes from 10 phrynosomatid species mapped to the *S. undulatus* assembly. Boxplots

depict the median (line), intraquartile range (box), and 1.5 x IQR (whiskers) of $\log_2$ male:female

expression (FPKM) for all expressed genes within each region. Diamonds indicate consistently

female-biased (red) and male-biased (blue) genes in transcriptomes from *S. undulatus* (**A-B**) or

S. jarrovii (**C**). Notches in boxplots indicate 95% confidence intervals of the median. Asterisks

denote whether median expression ratios differ significantly from zero (Wilcoxon signed-rank

test, Bonferroni-adjusted alpha = 0.005). Red symbols to the left of each boxplot indicate when

consistently female-biased DEGs from *S. undulatus* (**A-B**) or *S. jarrovii* (**C**) differ from zero in

each species (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, Bonferroni-adjusted alpha = 0.005) and are used to map

ancestral (X) and derived (neo-X) male-female expression imbalances onto the phylogeny.