1 **Seed vernalization and gibberellic acid interact to affect life cycle type in**
2 **facultative winter annual Canadian horseweed (_Erigeron canadensis_)**
3

4 **Authors:** Robin Waterman[1*], Brooke Catlett[1,2], Ishwari Bhatt[1,3], Georgia Edmonds[1,3], Jeffrey K.
5 Conner[1]
6
7 [1] Kellogg Biological Station and Department of Plant Biology, Michigan State University,
8 Hickory Corners, MI 48823
9 [2] Department of Plant Biology, Southern Illinois University Carbondale, Carbondale, IL, 62901
10 [3] Kalamazoo Area Mathematics and Science Center, Kalamazoo, MI, 49008
11 *Corresponding author: waterm29@msu.edu

Abstract

12
- *Background and Aims:* Plants display enormous variation in the phenological traits that
make up their life cycle both within and between populations. Facultative winter annual
species are particularly interesting because they can adopt either a fall-emerging/spring-
flowering or spring-emerging/summer-flowering life cycle at the population level via
evolution or at the individual level via within-generation and transgenerational plasticity.
Responses of phenological traits to the environment have often been found to be mediated
by changes in hormone levels, especially the growth hormone gibberellic acid (GA).

- *Methods:* We conducted growth chamber and greenhouse experiments using the
facultative winter annual agricultural weed *Erigeron canadensis* (horseweed) to
investigate the interactive effects of genetic variation; parent plant life cycle; and plastic
responses to temperature, light, and GA treatments.

- *Key Results:* We found that contrary to a prior report, exposing imbibed seeds to 3-4
weeks of cold (i.e., seed vernalization) does not always result in summer annual type
growth, with considerable variation found among field-collected seeds from 10
populations. Further, we found that seed vernalization and exogenous application of GA
both tended to increase summer annual characteristics, interacting in ways that were
largely consistent with the hypothesis that GA is a mechanism for cold-induced life cycle
differentiation. Light treatment did not significantly affect life cycle traits, while parent
life cycle type had marginal effects on offspring life cycle type. Finally, genetic variation
among and within sites explained far less of the variation in life cycle traits than the
plastic responses to seed vernalization and GA treatments.

- *Conclusions:* Our study proposes that the seasonality of this harmful agricultural weed is
influenced by a GA-mediated response to vernalization of seeds during winter, yet
highlights the need for further study, given the variability in this response.
37
38 **Key Words:** weed ecology, phenology, life cycles, plasticity, genetic variation, Erigeron
39 canadensis, Conyza canadensis, horseweed, marestail, seed vernalization, gibberellic acid
40 (GA), winter annual

Introduction

Phenology is the timing of recurring events in organismal life cycles. Phenological traits
in plants include time of emergence, flowering, and senescence. The practical applications of
studying plant phenology have long been recognized by agricultural scientists (Huberman 1941;
Chmielewski 2013), and a growing number of studies in recent decades have focused on
documenting phenological shifts in response to anthropogenic climate change (Forrest and
Miller-Rushing 2010). Such shifts often serve as crucial mechanisms by which plants become or
remain well-adapted to their environments. Plants may plastically adjust their phenology in
response to environmental cues received during their own (within-generation plasticity) or their
parent's (transgenerational plasticity) lifetime (Auge *et al.* 2017). In addition, plant populations
may evolve in response to selection on phenological traits (e.g. Hall and Willis 2006; Donohue *et*
al. 2010).
While incremental changes in plant phenology have been widely studied, categorical
changes in life cycle type have received less attention. Plants are typically classified as annuals if
they complete their life cycle in one year, biennials if they complete their life cycle in two years,
or perennials if they complete their life cycle in more than two years. Annual plants can be
further subdivided into summer annuals, which emerge in spring and reproduce by fall; and
winter annuals, which emerge in fall, overwinter as a rosette, and reproduce from spring to
summer. Facultative winter annuals can adopt either a winter or summer annual life cycle in
response to different environmental conditions at the level of the population via evolution
(Meyer *et al.* 2004; Bloomer and Dean 2017; Charbonneau *et al.* 2018) and at the level of the
individual via plasticity (Sans and Masalles 1994; Lu *et al.* 2014). Both mechanisms often co-
occur (Best and Mc Intyre 1976; Landers 1995; Garrison *et al.* 2024). Furthermore, nongenetic
inheritance (i.e., parental effects) may also play a role in determining offspring life cycle type
(Mennan and Ngouajio 2006; Lu *et al.* 2016; Kanomanyanga *et al.* 2025). The effects of all of
these factors on life cycle differentiation are rarely studied together, making it difficult to
compare their importance or look for interactions between genes and environment.
A promising proximal mechanism by which environmental or genetic variation
influences life cycle differentiation is through changes in levels of the plant growth hormone
gibberellic acid (GA). This hormone has been found to play a key role in germination, stem
elongation, and flowering initiation (reviewed in Gupta and Chakrabarty 2013; and in Shah *et al.*
2023), which are all important parts of life cycle differentiation. Exogenous GA application has
been found to override normal photoperiod or temperature requirements for flowering in at least
42 species of rosette-forming summer annual, winter annual, biennial, and perennial plants
(reviewed in Lang and Reinhard 1961; and in Zeevaart 1983). One study showed that the
exogenous application of GA accelerated the flowering of winter annual, but not summer annual
ecotypes of *Raphanus raphanistrum*, suggesting GA upregulation as a mechanism of evolved
rapid summer annual flowering (Garrison 2022). Further, *Chrysanthemum morifolium* summer-
flowering mutants were found to have higher endogenous levels of GA than normal fall-
flowering plants (Dong *et al.* 2017).
In most previously studied facultative winter annual species, dormancy cycling plays an
important role in shaping plant life cycles (Baskin *et al.* 1993; Footitt *et al.* 2013; Burghardt *et*
al. 2015). In these systems, the timing of seed maturation and/or dispersal influences the
dormancy state of seeds and thus their ability to germinate in different seasons. Other facultative
winter annual species are not known to exhibit dormancy, and the mechanisms shaping life cycle
variation in these species are less clear. One prior study investigated this question using

Erigeron canadensis (horseweed), subjecting seeds to various environmental conditions in the
growth chamber. Their results suggested that winter vs. summer annual life cycle differentiation
can be explained as a plastic response to seed vernalization (Schramski *et al.* 2021), where
imbibed seeds are exposed to cold conditions that simulate winter, similar to seed stratification
but used to accelerate flowering rather than break dormancy (Michaels and Amasino 2000).
Despite this compelling finding, it is limited by having few populations, few individuals per
population, and only one parental environment, meaning that possible contributions of genetic
and parental effects to the response remain unclear.
The present study builds on prior work in *E. canadensis,* using greenhouse and growth
chamber experiments to test We made the following hypotheses and predictions: 1) We
hypothesized that life cycle type differentiation in horseweed is primarily plastic based on
Schramski et al.'s consistent results among their two collection sites, and thus we expected to
find little variation explained among or within populations. 2) We hypothesized that plastic
growth type differentiation could be explained by a combination of a) parent life cycle type, b)
offspring seed temperature, and c) offspring light environment. Specifically, we predicted that
summer annual characteristics would be greatest in offspring of winter annual parents, seeds
exposed to winter-mimicking seed conditions, and plants grown in brighter conditions given that
summer annual plants germinate in the spring with little competitor biomass present and less
daily sunlight than in fall (27 - 40 mol·m^{-2}·d^{-1} photosynthetic light Mar. to May vs. 12 – 31
mol·m^{-2}·d^{-1} Sep. to Nov.; Faust and Logan 2018). 3) We hypothesized that the effects of seed
vernalization are at least partially mediated by increased levels of GA. Based on this, we first
predicted that plants treated with GA would be more likely to show summer annual
characteristics. We then predicted that exogenous GA would have little effect on seed-vernalized
plants if vernalization increases endogenous GA levels, and conversely that seed vernalization
would have little effect on plants receiving exogenous GA. Overall, our study aims to provide a
more complete picture of the factors that influence variation in horseweed life cycle type, serving
as a useful case study for future studies of life cycle types in other species and providing insight
for managers of this harmful agricultural weed.
115

Materials and Methods:

Study System
Erigeron canadensis L. (syn. *Conyza canadensis*, Canadian horseweed, or marestail;
hereafter horseweed) is a weedy annual plant in the Asteraceae family that is native to Central
and North America (Weaver 2001). It now commonly infests agricultural fields and disturbed
habitats in temperate zones across the world. Horseweed forms wind-dispersed, primarily self-
fertilized seeds (estimated at 96%, Smisek 1995) that have been classified as nondormant or
weakly dormant at most (Baskin and Baskin 1988; Buhler and Owen 1997; Karlsson and Milberg
2007). It is considered a facultative winter annual because it can adopt a winter annual or summer
annual life cycle. While there seem to be peaks of emergence in the fall and spring, the species
has wide emergence and flowering windows (Main *et al.* 2006; Tozzi *et al.* 2014). Plants
classified as winter annuals generally form a low-to-the-ground rosette for overwintering,
whereas those classified as summer annuals skip the rosette stage by immediately growing
upright. However, there is continuous variation in the degree to which plants form a rosette and
the life cycle types are not morphologically distinguishable at flowering, since any rosette leaves
have senesced by this point. Highly contrasting proportional spring vs. fall emergence has been
reported from nearby sites (Main *et al.* 2006), and there does not appear to be a clear latitudinal

pattern in life cycle proportions (e.g. 4-24% summer annuals at 36°N, Main *et al.* 2006; 92-100%
at 39°N, Davis and Johnson 2008; 38-32% at 45°N, Buhler and Owen 1997). However, these
studies demonstrated that the two life cycle types usually co-occur in the same field. There are
also observational reports that the summer annual life cycle is becoming more common in
Michigan (Schramski *et al.* 2021).
138
2021 field collections and 2022 greenhouse common garden
We conducted this experiment to look for genetic differentiation among populations in
life cycle traits in common environmental conditions (Fig. 1A). In the fall of 2021, seeds were
collected from 30 individuals from each of 10 sites (except for 1 site with just 23), spread across
Michigan's lower peninsula (see Fig 1A and Table S1 for site details). These 293 field parents
were presumed summer annuals given their seed production in fall. The self-fertilized seeds
produced by a given parent plant are likely highly homozygous so we refer to them as lines.
Seeds were stored in envelopes with desiccant at 5°C until used. In May 2022, approximately 50
seeds per line were sown in 200-cell plug trays and vernalized in a growth chamber set to 4°C
and 8-hour daylength for 3 weeks (based on Schramski *et al.* 2021). Trays were then moved to a
greenhouse at the Kellogg Biological Station (Hickory Corners, MI), thinned to up to five
seedlings per line, and transplanted at the first true leaf stage into 16.5-cm-diameter pots filled
with SureMix potting soil (Michigan Grower Products, Inc.). Pots were thinned to one plant
when leaves started to substantially overlap. Plants that had not bolted by the end of August
(about 11 weeks since movement to greenhouse) were vernalized for 35 days in a growth
chamber in the same conditions as those used for seed vernalization. A fungicide soak was
applied to the vernalized plants to prevent the spread of fungal root rot. Plants were assigned to
one of three categorical growth types based on visual assessment by the same observer about 1
week after emergence and updated about 9 weeks after emergence (as in Schramski et al. 2021).
"Rosette" types had a basal circular arrangement of dark green, round leaves; "Upright" types
had lighter and more elongated leaves arranged along a visible stem (i.e., caulescent);
"Intermediate" types fell somewhere between the Rosette and Upright types in their
characteristics. Of the 293 sown lines, 228 produced a plant that survived to flower. We also
tested initial dormancy levels of seeds from a random subset of 30 of these greenhouse-grown
parents with three seeds per parent (total N = 90) by sowing them in petri dishes, monitoring
germination for 2 weeks, then using a tetrazolium dye assay to assess viability of ungerminated
seeds.
166
2023 Growth Chamber Experiment
In this experiment, we tested the effects of seed vernalization and gibberellic acid
treatments, along with the seed source factors of population, line, and parent type (field summer
annual, greenhouse summer annual, or greenhouse winter annual) on juvenile plant life cycle
type traits (Fig. 1B). Seeds were sourced from six lines from each of a subset of four of the sites
(DLF, KNC, NCF, and PCC; Table S1). Because we did not have seeds from the same line
exhibiting different life cycle types in the same generation, we included offspring of three parent
plant groups: 2021 field summer annual, 2022 greenhouse summer annual, and 2022 greenhouse
winter annual (those requiring rosette vernalization to flower), with greenhouse-produced seeds
briefly stored at room temperature prior to use. Comparing the latter two groups tests for parental
effects of life cycle type while controlling for other possible parental effects, but not for effects of
genetic variation due to line identity. Comparing the first and last group tests for parental effects

of life cycle type while controlling for genetic variation, but not for possible parental effects from
the field vs. greenhouse environment. Eighteen replicate seeds from each of the four sites, three
families, and three parent source types were randomly assigned to one of two vernalization
treatments and one of three GA treatments (detailed below)in two trials, initiated 15 days apart (4
sites x 3 parent types x 3 lines x 2 vernalization treatments x 3 GA treatments x 3 individuals x 2
trials = 1296 total). A total of 846 seeds emerged and survived until data collection (65%).
We tested the effects of GA applied as a seed soak, a cotyledon spray, neither, or both.
Both trials included 0, 1 and 2 applications of GA, but due to limited space, we could not include
all four combinations of seed soak and leaf spray in both trials. In Trial 1, the single GA
application was the seed soak, while in Trial 2 it was the leaf spray. Our results focus on
comparing plants that received no GA ("**Water**") with those that received both the GA seed soak
and GA leaf spray ("**GA**"), which was replicated across trials. Seeds were soaked in either a GA
solution (1 g/L, Purdom and Glover 2017) or in distilled water for 24 hours at room temperature.
At the point of cotyledon emergence, seedling leaves were sprayed with approximately 0.25 mL
of either a GA solution (0.035 g/L = 10^{-4} M, Khan et al. 2006) or distilled water.
After completing their soaking treatments, seeds were sown onto the surface of 200-cell
plug trays filled with moistened SureMix potting soil, with one tray per treatment (3 GA
treatments x 2 vernalization treatments x 2 Trials = 12 total trays) and randomized positions
within trays. **Vernalized** seeds were placed in a simulated winter growth chamber set to 4ºC and
9-hour daylength. **Unvernalized** seeds were placed in a simulated summer chamber set to 24ºC
and 15-hour daylength. Although in the field we expect seeds to primarily emerge in fall or
spring, the unvernalized seeds sown in simulated summer conditions readily emerged (Fig. S1).
Surprisingly, some seeds in simulated winter conditions emerged in the cold (Fig. S1). To
prevent cold-related mortality, the vernalization period was ended after about 40% of seeds had
emerged, after 3 weeks in Trial 1 and 1 week in Trial 2. After their vernalization treatments, both
growth chambers were set to 17ºC and 12-hour daylength to simulate spring/fall equinox
conditions (based on local average max temperatures and daylengths in mid-fall and mid-spring).
Pots were censused every day for newly emerging seedlings (final seedling emerged on
day 33 in Trial 1 and day 18 in Trial 2). Seedlings were defined as having emerged once a stem
and both cotyledons were visible. After 3 – 5 weeks of growth, each individual was assigned a
categorical growth type (as in 2022 greenhouse common garden). Given the relatively continuous
range of variation we observed, we complemented the categorical data with quantitative
measures of leaf roundness and plant height growth rate, since rosette-forming winter annuals
make rounder leaves and remain close to the soil surface prior to bolting (cite or pers. obs.).
Measurements were taken of plant height, plant diameter, and leaf roundness on the same day by
vernalization treatment. Vernalized plants were measured 16 days after unvernalized plants,
enabling measurements to be taken after the same mean number of days since emergence in Trial
1, but 11 days apart in Trial 2 (staggering measurement points could not be done due to logistical
constraints). Height was measured with a ruler as the vertical distance between the soil and the
last node (meaning that height was close to 0 for a rosette structure). Overhead photographs and
manual tracing in ImageJ were used to measure maximum plant diameter and leaf roundness (4 x
area/[pi x major axis^2], ranging from 0 to 1, with 1 being a perfect circle) on one leaf/plant for all
plants with at least one clearly visible entire leaf (N = 785). Height growth rate was calculated as
height in mm/days since emergence (results from alternative calculation as height in
mm/diameter in mm provided in supplemental). Although some plants were measured on

different days since emergence, our analyses examine height growth rate rather than absolute
height, and leaf shape rather than size as quantitative indicators of life cycle type.
226
2024 Greenhouse Experiment
In this experiment, we tested the effects of seed vernalization, gibberellic acid, and light
treatments, along with the seed source factors of population and line on life cycle traits in plants
grown to maturity (Fig. 1C). Seeds were sourced from two to three lines from each of two sites
from the 2022 greenhouse generation (HTR and LRT) plus five lines from fall 2023 collections
from one of the same fields used in Schramski et al. (2021, MSU Agronomy Farm, Lansing, MI)
using the coordinates they provided (Table S1). For each selfed line, pots (7-cm-diameter) were
randomly assigned to one of eight treatment combinations, detailed below, with 5 replicate seeds
per pot. Pots were filled with SureMix potting soil. Of the 400 seeds planted, 26% emerged and
of those that emerged, 46% survived to flower (substantial mortality resulted from fungal root
rot).
For **Vernalized** pots, soil was soaked and then the 5 seeds were spread on the surface of
the soil. The pots were placed in randomized positions in a growth chamber set to 4°C and 8-
hour daylength. Pots were checked weekly for any emerging seedlings and to ensure moist soil
conditions. After four weeks, pots were removed from the growth chamber and placed in a
greenhouse (Kellogg Biological Station, Hickory Corners, MI). On the same day, **Unvernalized**
seeds were planted in pots in the same manner as the vernalized pots and placed in the
greenhouse. Note that in this experiment, the vernalization control was untreated seeds because
we wanted plants in both treatments to germinate and develop at the same time. Pots were placed
on the same greenhouse bench in randomized positions within one of two light treatments:
Shade pots were placed under a shade tent (shade cloth over PVC pipes, mimicking fall
emergence among abundant vegetation), **Full Light** plants were placed in the open (mimicking
spring emergence among sparse vegetation). Shaded plants received about 76% less
photosynthetically active radiation (based on 20 measurements per treatment with an Accupar
LP-80 ceptometer). Plant leaves were sprayed weekly from emergence to flowering with either
Water (distilled) or **GA** solution (0.035 g GA_3/L distilled water). All pots were kept well-
watered and maintained until all plants had either died or flowered.
Pots were censused every 4 days for newly emerging seedlings for the first 16 days, and
every 7 days for the next 7 weeks, at which point no further emergence occurred. Plants were
also censused weekly for signs of flowering, and plants were marked as having flowered if they
contained at least one fully expanded flower. Plant height was measured as the length of the stem
from the base of the soil to the last node on days 21, 49, and 64 since planting, along with at the
first census in which they flowered. We defined height growth rate as day 64 plant height in mm
/days since emergence. Nine weeks after planting, plants were assigned a categorical growth type
(as in prior experiments) and leaf roundness was estimated from photos of the largest leaf from
one plant per line.
263
Statistical Analyses
All statistical analyses were done in RStudio running R v. 4.4.2 (R Core Team 2024).
The package "emmeans" (Lenth 2024) was used to test significance of model terms (Type III
Analyses of Deviance), conduct post-hoc analyses on treatment combination means, and
generate estimated marginal means. All plots were made using the package "ggplot2" (Wickham
2016). The package "MuMIn" (Bartoń 2025) was used to calculate marginal and conditional R^2

values for our linear mixed effects models, where marginal is the variance explained by the fixed
effects and conditional is the variance explained by both fixed and random effects (Nakagawa
and Schielzeth 2013). The difference between conditional and marginal was used to estimate the
additional variance in the response explained by adding the random effect of line.
For the 2023 growth chamber experiment, we used linear mixed effects models with the
response variables of height growth rate (log-transformed to improve normality of residuals) and
leaf roundness; the fixed effects of GA treatment (GA vs. Water), seed vernalization treatment
(vernalized vs. unvernalized), trial (1 vs. 2), and all two- and three-way interactions plus parent
plant type (greenhouse winter annual vs. greenhouse summer annual vs. field summer annual),
seed source site, and the temperature at which a plant emerged (4 vs. 17 vs 24 °C); and the
random effect of selfed line. We ran similar models except that we replaced GA treatment with
number of GA applications to look for different effects of the GA seed soak vs. leaf spray.
We used a similar approach to model height growth rate, leaf roundness, and days to first
flower in the 2024 greenhouse experiment, except that a log transformation was not needed to
get normally-distributed residuals, greenhouse light treatment (full light vs. shade) replaced trial,
and parent type and emergence temperature were removed. Additionally, for the leaf roundness
model we removed the three-way interaction since the model did not converge when it was
included, given the reduced sample size from subsampling leaf measurements.
For our categorical growth type variable, given that the frequency of Intermediate was
small and unbalanced, we analyzed two binary growth type variables, either grouping
Intermediate with Upright or Rosette. Results for the two grouping methods were quantitatively
similar so we only report the latter grouping. Logistic regressions did not converge due to
probabilities of 1 or 0 in some groups, so instead we ran pairwise two-sample Fisher's exact tests
separately by the fixed effects in our models listed above. For fixed effects with more than two
levels, we adjusted P-values for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to
control the False Discovery Rate.
296
Results

297
Hypothesis 1: Genetic differentiation in life cycle type
In our 2022 greenhouse common garden, the life cycle type of plants sourced from field-
collected, vernalized seeds varied significantly among 10 collection sites in Michigan, explaining
15% of the deviance in the response from a saturated model (Fig. S2A, $P = 4.8E^{-5}$). However, the
patterns do not appear to track latitude or average winter temperatures (Table S1; e.g., lack of
similarity between nearby DLF and KNC sites). Because we grew field-collected seeds in a
common environment, these differences reflect some combination of evolved genetic
differentiation and parental effects.
We then grew offspring of these 2022 greenhouse plants alongside offspring of field
parents from a subset of four sites in our 2023 growth chamber experiment. In our 2024
greenhouse experiment we grew greenhouse offspring from a subset of two sites, plus offspring
of field parents from a field site used by Schramski et al (2021). In both experiments, source site
did not significantly affect any of our measured variables in either experiment (Tables 1 and 2).
This lack of a significant site effect held when including only offspring of greenhouse parents (P
> 0.23).
In both experiments, we also included multiple genetic lines from each site, expected to
be highly homozygous in this selfing species. Line explained a significant amount of variation in
leaf roundness only in the growth chamber experiment. Even so, line explained only 2% of the

variance, compared with 37% explained by GA and vernalization treatments for height growth
rate and leaf roundness, respectively. The lack of variation in life cycle type explained by
variation between and within populations is consistent with the hypothesis that life cycle is
primarily determined via plasticity.
320
Hypothesis 2a: Plastic response to parent life cycle type
In the 2023 growth chamber experiment we included seeds generated by parents
exhibiting the summer annual growth type in the greenhouse, winter annual growth type in the
greenhouse, or summer annual growth type in the field. We predicted that offspring of summer
annual parents would be more likely to exhibit a winter annual life cycle than offspring of winter
annual parents, enabling an alternation of life cycles. Parent type had small but significant effects
on height growth rate and leaf roundness (Table 2), where offspring of field summer annuals had
slightly greater winter annual characteristics than the other two parent types, but only
significantly so for one of two comparisons for each trait (Fig. S3). Categorical assignment to the
upright summer annual type was similar among the three parent types (Table 1). Thus, while
there was a slight difference between the field and greenhouse parents for our quantitative
measures, the patterns do not support an effect of parent life cycle type.
333
Hypothesis 2b: Plastic responses to seed vernalization
Contrary to our prediction that all cold-vernalized seeds would develop as summer
annuals, when seeds were vernalized for three weeks and grown in a common greenhouse
environment in 2022, an average of 65% of plants formed an overwintering rosette structure
indicative of a winter annual life cycle. Similarly, 66% of plants only flowered after receiving
additional cold through rosette vernalization, after failing to bolt for 11 weeks (87% of these
were Rosette types; Fig. S2A).
In the 2023 and 2024 experiments, the overall effects of seed vernalization were also
variable, but tended to increase summer annual type characteristics, in line with our prediction.
Specifically, seed vernalization increased summer annual characteristics for all measures in the
greenhouse experiment (though not significantly in the case of leaf roundness; Fig. 2B, Fig.
3B,D,E). In the growth chamber experiment, 3-week (Trial 1) or 1-week (Trial 2) seed
vernalization significantly affected leaf roundness in the predicted direction (Fig. 3C) but did not
significantly affect categorical growth type assignment or height growth rate (Fig. 2A and Fig.
3A).
As expected for seeds lacking dormancy, the cold seed vernalization treatment had little
effect on emergence rates, with the effect in opposite directions in the two experiments (+5% and
-10% emergence of vernalized seeds in 2023 and 2024, respectively; Fig. S4A and B). Also
consistent with the idea that horseweed seeds have little to no dormancy, our seed viability test
of a subset of greenhouse-grown seeds showed that 97% of seeds either germinated or were
nonviable, while 3% did not germinate and were still viable (Fig. S4D).
355
Hypothesis 2c: Plastic response to offspring light environment
In the 2024 greenhouse experiment, we tested our prediction that shaded plants would be
more likely to develop winter annual characteristics, particularly if seeds were not vernalized;
that is, mimicking late summer or fall germination of seeds produced that year. However, light
treatment did not significantly affect growth type assignment, leaf roundness, or days to first
flower, and did not significantly interact with vernalization treatment for any measure (Tables 1

and 2). While shaded plants had lower overall height growth rate (-1.7 mm/day) and were less
affected by GA spray, given that light treatment did not affect any other measure of life cycle
type, we interpret this result as simply reflecting the stunted growth expected from light-limited
plants, rather than the limited elongation of rosette-forming plants.
366
Hypothesis 3: Seed vernalization response mediated by increased GA
In line with our predictions, the application of GA strongly and significantly increased all
of our measures of summer annual type growth across both experiments. Specifically, plants
exposed to exogenous GA were more likely to be assigned the Upright growth type (Fig. 2,
Table 1), extended their height at a faster rate (Fig. 3A,B), had more elongated leaves (Fig.
3C,D), and flowered sooner (Fig. 3E). This pattern held in the growth chamber experiment when
excluding plants in the vernalization treatment that unexpectedly emerged while still in the cold
(Fig. S4) or when quantifying growth rate as plant height per plant diameter rather than per day
(Fig. S5). Across our life cycle measures, applying GA only to seeds rather than only to leaves
generally had a weaker effect in the same direction (Fig. S6), but this comparison was not
replicated and so should be interpreted with caution. In line with our expectation for nondormant
seeds, the GA seed soak had little effect on emergence rates (water control = 75% vs. GA soak =
79%, Fig. S4C).
We also predicted that if increased GA level is a mechanism by which seed vernalization
influences life cycle type, then the two treatments would be at least partially redundant. This
would manifest as a statistical interaction between GA and vernalization treatments whereby
each factor would have a stronger effect in the absence of the other. We found this predicted
pattern for all measures in the greenhouse, but only for leaf roundness in the growth chamber.
Specifically, in the greenhouse experiment, seed vernalization in the absence of GA or GA in the
absence of seed vernalization caused nearly all plants to be assigned Upright (interaction not
statistically testable, Fig. 2B). For leaf roundness in both experiments, along with flowering time
and height growth rate in the greenhouse experiment, the vernalization effect was larger in
magnitude in the water control group compared with the GA-treated group (compare differences
between red and blue points within treatments in Fig. 3B-E; although note that this was not
significant for leaf roundness in the greenhouse). Similarly, for these measures, the GA effect
was larger in magnitude in the unvernalized group than the vernalized group (compare slopes of
red and blue lines in Fig. 3B-E). However, seed vernalization did not affect growth type
assignment within either the GA or Water groups in the growth chamber experiment (Fig. 2A).
For height growth rate in the growth chamber experiment, the magnitude of the GA effect was
larger in unvernalized seeds as predicted, but the vernalization effect switched from slightly
positive in the water-treated group (+0.009 mm/day, $P = 0.07$) to negative in the GA-treated
group (-0.05 mm/day, $P < 0.0001$), opposing our prediction. Although the three-way interaction
was not significant, we note that this surprising negative effect of vernalization on height growth
rate in in the GA-treated group was only apparent in Trial 2, where seeds were only vernalized
for 1 week (Fig. S1).
402

Discussion
Life cycle type differentiation is primarily plastic rather than genetic
We found that field-collected *E. canadensis* seeds from 10 Michigan sites vernalized and
grown in a common greenhouse environment varied between and within sites in morphological
growth type and requirement for further vernalization of rosettes. However, when we grew

offspring of plants from subsets of these sites plus new collections from a site used by Schramski
et al. (2021), site differences largely disappeared. For example, sites KNC and DLF were some
of the most divergent in the 2022 greenhouse but did not significantly differ in the 2023 growth
chamber. Although we only grew seeds from six of the 10 originally sampled sites, our results
suggest that the differences in traits associated with life cycle type found in the first greenhouse
generation primarily reflect nongenetic parental effects, rather than evolved genetic
differentiation. The low levels of differentiation between presumably highly homozygous
families further supports the idea that variation in life cycle type is not strongly predicted by
genetic variation. Although Schramski *et al.* (2021) did not statistically test for differences
among their four seed families from each of four fields, the highly consistent responses of all
plants to their experimental treatments suggests little genetic variation for life cycle type,
consistent with our study. However, genetic differentiation across a broader geographic range in
this widely distributed weed remains possible and should be tested in future studies.
421
Mixed evidence for nongenetic effects of parent life cycle type
In addition to comparing field-collected vs. greenhouse-grown seeds, we also explicitly
looked for effects of parental life cycle type on offspring life cycle type in our growth chamber
experiment. We predicted that differences in the conditions experienced by summer annual
versus winter annual parents would reduce parent-offspring resemblance. Alternating parent-
offspring life cycles might be adaptive in nature if the parent environment is strongly predictive
of the offspring environment (Uller 2008) whereby seeds are shed by winter annual parents in
favorable conditions for summer annual emergence, and vice versa. A similar pattern has been
found previously in the facultative winter annual *Isatis violascens,* where parent germination
season biases seeds towards the dimorphic dormancy type that promotes germination in a
different season than the parent (Lu *et al.* 2016). First, our study confirms that parents of both
life cycle types can produce offspring of both life cycle types, whereas this was previously only
shown for summer annual type parents (Schramski *et al.* 2021). We found evidence for a
parental effect in the predicted direction for leaf roundness but not height growth rate. Future
studies should include field winter annual parents as a comparison group to provide a more
complete picture of how parental effects may influence life cycle type. Overall, these results
suggest that nongenetic inheritance plays some role in life cycle type differentiation, but the
mechanisms (e.g. transgenerationally plastic response to specific environmental cues, indirect
genetic effects from altered parental gene expression) shaping these responses remain unclear.
441
Some evidence for a plastic response of life cycle type to seed vernalization but no evidence for a
plastic response to light level
Given that summer annuals emerge in spring after cold and wet winter conditions, we
expected seeds exposed to simulated winter conditions to emerge as summer annuals. Consistent
with this expectation, we found that exposing seeds to cold and wet conditions increased summer
annual growth characteristics, but not in all plants. In our greenhouse common garden in which
all seeds were vernalized for three weeks, only about a third of plants developed as summer
annuals. Among plants that did not receive GA in our two experiments, all plants developed
winter annual characteristics regardless of vernalization treatment in the growth chamber, yet
seed vernalization increased the chances of developing summer annual characteristics in our
smaller sample of greenhouse plants. These results contrast markedly from those of Schramski *et*
al. (2021), who classified no unvernalized plants as summer annuals, but 88% and 100% of

plants vernalized for two weeks and four weeks as summer annuals, respectively. That study and
all seed vernalization trials in the present study utilized the same temperature (4ºC) and the same
or similar photoperiod (8 – 9 hours). These results demonstrate that while seed vernalization
plays a role in life cycle determination, its variable effects point to interactions with other
environmental or genetic factors.
459   One possible interacting environmental factor we tested was the amount of available
light. We expected cold temperatures followed by higher light availability to result in an
increased likelihood of summer annual growth, given that spring-emerging plants face less
competitor biomass and receive more mean daily light than fall-emerging plants. However,
results from our greenhouse experiment did not support this prediction. While we only
manipulated total light level in our experiment, manipulations of light quality and/or daylength
might produce different results. A systematic field survey documenting the occurrence of winter
vs. summer annual horseweed across its range, along with habitat characteristics where these
plants are found (e.g. mean winter temperatures, land use type and management) could suggest
additional environmental factors that play a role in life cycle type differentiation and would
provide key baseline data for documenting changes in proportional life cycle type occurrence
over time. Studies tracking the outcomes of replicate seeds planted in fields varying in known
environmental conditions would also be useful.
472   Overall, the plastic ability of facultative winter annuals to adjust their life cycle to suit
their current seasonal environment may be adaptive. Preliminary data suggest that plants
expressing the seasonally mismatched growth type (rosette in summer annual field and upright in
winter annual field) have lower survival (N = 23 total flowered/247 transplanted seedlings). A
highly flexible life cycle within populations may be particularly beneficial in crop fields that are
actively managed to prevent horseweed growth. This is because unpredictable and high-mortality
environments are expected to favor strategies that produce temporal phenological variation, such
as bet-hedging dormancy in other systems (Donohue *et al.* 2010).
480
481   *Gibberellic Acid regulation may be a mechanism for horseweed life cycle type differentiation*
482   Alongside our tests of genetic and environmental factors influencing horseweed life cycle
type differentiation, we also sought to test a hypothesized mechanism by which such
differentiation may occur. In line with our predictions, exogenous application of the growth
hormone Gibberellic Acid (GA) increased summer annual characteristics. Also as predicted, this
GA effect was stronger in unvernalized plants, while the effects of seed vernalization (in cases
where it also increased summer annual characteristics) were stronger in plants not receiving GA.
These results suggest that increased levels of GA may be an important mechanism by which
plants plastically respond to experiencing a period of cold prior to germination. Greater GA
biosynthesis, more efficient GA transport, and decreased GA degradation all might contribute to
increasing experienced levels of GA in plants that experienced cold conditions as a seed.
Increased GA could accelerate flowering by triggering an earlier initiation of the reproductive
growth stage (including immediate bolting upon emergence) or by increasing overall growth
rates. The changes in height extension, leaf shape, and rosette formation we observed in our
experiments suggest the first possibility. Although we did not explicitly attempt to quantify
overall growth, we observed qualitatively similar leaf counts between rosette and upright plants
that emerged on the same day.
498   It remains unclear why we did not find the predicted effect of seed vernalization and its
interaction with GA for height growth rate in the growth chamber experiment, which was one of

seven measures of life cycle type across both experiments. One possibility relates to the
germination of many seeds during seed vernalization at 4ºC, which was surprising given prior
studies reporting base germination temperatures of 8-14ºC (Steinmaus *et al.* 2000; Tozzi *et al.*
2014) and the fact that it never occurred in the common garden or greenhouse experiment.
Although results were similar when excluding plants that emerged in the cold, all or a larger
fraction of seeds may have begun the germination process, changing their development. We note
that the height growth rates for these plants were very low in comparison with the greenhouse
plants (mean = 0.1 vs. 2.0 mm/day), potentially indicating stunted growth.
It has long been hypothesized that GA and vernalization act through a common
mechanism, given the ability of exogenous GA to initiate flowering in plants that normally
require vernalization (Zeevaart 1983). This mechanism has been studied in detail in the model
plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*, where vernalization and GA both act on the floral integrator gene
SOC1 (Moon *et al.* 2003). Interactive effects of GA and cold treatments on plant life cycle traits
have also been studied in a number of crop systems (Mutasa-Göttgens *et al.* 2010; Rezaee *et al.*
2023; Zhao *et al.* 2023), but seldom in weeds. However, Garrison (2022) found that the native
winter annual ecotype of *Raphanus raphanistrum* could be induced to flower without rosette
vernalization by exogenous application of GA, whereas the weedy summer annual ecotype
showed little response to GA. Syntheses on the interactive effects of temperature and GA do not
generally distinguish between a cold period at the seed versus the seedling stage, although
Chouard (1960) reported that GA seed treatment cannot replace seed vernalization.
Our study extends prior work by suggesting that horseweed seeds experiencing cold
winter conditions increase their levels of GA, helping to stimulate development as a summer
annual. Interestingly, applying GA at the seedling rather than at the seed stage was generally
more effective in producing plants with summer annual traits. A similar tendency for GA spray
compared with seed soak to cause accelerated life cycle traits has been found previously in three
annual crops (Chakravarti 1958; Wilson 1981). This indicates that although the key
environmental cue of cold temperatures may be experienced as a seed, the seedling stage may be
a critical period for hormonal regulation. Further studies in other facultative winter annual
species are needed to determine the generality of a GA-mediated seed vernalization mechanism
for life cycle differentiation. In addition, future studies might test for increases in endogenous
levels of GA or expression levels of genes in the GA response pathway in summer annual vs.
winter annual plants growing in the field. Finally, comparing the life cycle characteristics of GA-
deficient mutants with control plants subjected to seed vernalization vs. unvernalized control
treatments would more directly link GA to a vernalization-mediated plastic life cycle response.
534
Applied significance
A review of 19 common Canadian winter annual agricultural weeds found that the
facultative ability to adopt a winter or summer annual life cycle predominates in these species,
yet the authors noted a general lack of information on many important aspects of their biology
(Cici and Van Acker 2009). Gaining a better understanding of the genetic and environmental
factors contributing to variation in horseweed life cycle types has implications for the
management of this widespread and problematic agricultural weed. According to the most recent
surveys of US and Canadian farmers from the Weed Science Society of America, horseweed is
among the top five most troublesome weeds in winter cereal grains, fruits, nuts, and soybeans
(Van Wychen 2022, 2023). Of particular note for management, summer annual type plants, and
especially those of resistant biotypes, were found to be more resistant to glyphosate when grown

in a greenhouse (Schramski *et al.* 2021). This increased resistance was partially attributable to
reduced glyphosate retention (Fisher *et al.* 2023). Prior studies in horseweed and other
facultative winter annual populations have also found that by skipping the overwintering stage,
spring-emerging summer annuals are more likely to survive to seeding but produce fewer seeds
on average than fall-emerging winter annuals (Regehr and Bazzaz 1979; Marks and Prince 1981;
Sans and Masalles 1994). Our study indicates that horseweed plants expressing either life cycle
type may plastically switch to the other type in the next generation, potentially completing two
generations per year. Therefore, switching crop life cycle or eliminating only those horseweed
plants with the same life cycle type as the desired crop are unlikely to prevent horseweed
infestation. Manipulating the winter temperatures or levels of GA experienced by horseweed
seeds may allow managers to bias life cycle type differentiation in a favorable direction.
Recently observed increases in the summer annual type in Michigan are less likely to be due to
rapid evolution than to a change in the environment or the differential survival of the two life
cycle types.
560

561 **Funding:** This work was supported by the Education and Workforce Development Program of
562 the U.S. Department of Agriculture's National Institute of Food and Agriculture [2023-67011-
563 40398 to RW] and the Research Experiences for Undergraduates Program of the U.S. National
564 Science Foundation Division of Biological Infrastructure [2150104].
565

566 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
567

568 **Author Contributions:** All authors helped design the experiments; RW, BC, GE, and IB
569 conducted experiments; RW analyzed the data; RW and BC drafted the manuscript; all authors
570 reviewed and approved the final manuscript.
571

Acknowledgements: We thank Kate Shaw and Mark Hammond for greenhouse and growth
chamber management; Ava Garrison for assistance with watering; and Second Spring Farm,
Natural Cycles Farm, and DeLano Farms for seed collection access. This manuscript was
improved by comments from the Conner Lab and XX anonymous reviewers. This is KBS
contribution no. XX.

Literature Cited
Auge GA, Leverett LD, Edwards BR, Donohue K. **2017**. Adjusting phenotypes via within-and
across-generational plasticity. *New Phytologist* **216**: 343–349.

Bartoń K. **2025**. *MuMIn: multi-model inference*.

Baskin CC, Baskin JM. **1988**. Germination ecophysiology of herbaceous plant species in a
temperate region. *American Journal of Botany* **75**: 286–305.

Baskin CC, Chesson PL, Baskin JM. **1993**. Annual seed dormancy cycles in two desert winter
annuals. *The Journal of Ecology* **81**: 551.

Best K, Mc Intyre G. **1976**. Studies on the flowering of *Thlaspi arvense* L. III. The influence of
vernalization under natural and controlled conditions. *Botanical Gazette* **137**: 121–127.

Bloomer R, Dean C. **2017**. Fine-tuning timing: natural variation informs the mechanistic basis of the switch to flowering in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **68**: 5439–5452.

Buhler DD, Owen MD. **1997**. Emergence and survival of horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*). *Weed Science* **45**: 98–101.

Burghardt LT, Metcalf CJE, Wilczek AM, Schmitt J, Donohue K. **2015**. Modeling the influence of genetic and environmental variation on the expression of plant life cycles across landscapes. *The American Naturalist* **185**: 212–227.

Chakravarti S. **1958**. Gibberellic acid and vernalization. *Nature* **182**: 1612–1613.

Charbonneau A, Tack D, Lale A, *et al.* **2018**. Weed evolution: Genetic differentiation among wild, weedy, and crop radish. *Evolutionary Applications* **11**: 1964–1974.

Chmielewski F-M. **2013**. Phenology in agriculture and horticulture In: Schwartz MD, ed. *Phenology: an integrative environmental science*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 539–561.

Chouard P. **1960**. Vernalization and its relations to dormancy. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology* **11**: 191–238.

Cici SZH, Van Acker RC. **2009**. A review of the recruitment biology of winter annual weeds in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* **89**: 575–589.

Davis VM, Johnson WG. **2008**. Glyphosate-resistant horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) emergence, survival, and fecundity in no-till soybean. *Weed Science* **56**: 231–236.

Dong B, Deng Y, Wang H, *et al.* **2017**. Gibberellic acid signaling is required to induce flowering of chrysanthemums grown under both short and long days. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **18**: 1259.

Donohue K, Rubio De Casas R, Burghardt L, Kovach K, Willis CG. **2010**. Germination, postgermination adaptation, and species ecological ranges. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **41**: 293–319.

Faust JE, Logan J. **2018**. Daily light integral: a research review and high-resolution maps of the United States. *HortScience* **53**: 1250–1257.

Fisher JL, Sprague CL, Patterson EL, Schramski JA. **2023**. Investigations into differential glyphosate sensitivity between two horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*) growth types. *Weed Science* **71**: 22–28.

Footitt S, Huang Z, Clay HA, Mead A, Finch-Savage WE. **2013**. Temperature, light and nitrate sensing coordinate *Arabidopsis* seed dormancy cycling, resulting in winter and summer annual phenotypes. *The Plant Journal* **74**: 1003–1015.

Forrest J, Miller-Rushing AJ. **2010**. Toward a synthetic understanding of the role of phenology in ecology and evolution. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* **365**: 3101–3112.

Garrison A. **2022**. *Adaptation to agriculture in a serious crop weed, weedy radish (*Raphanus raphanistrum*)*. PhD Thesis, Michigan State University, USA.

Garrison AJ, Norwood LA, Conner JK. **2024**. Plasticity-mediated persistence and subsequent local adaptation in a global agricultural weed (R Spigler and J Wolf, Eds.). *Evolution* **78**: 1804–1817.

Gupta R, Chakrabarty S. **2013**. Gibberellic acid in plant: still a mystery unresolved. *Plant Signaling & Behavior* **8**: e25504.

Hall MC, Willis JH. **2006**. Divergent selection on flowering time contributes to local adaptation in *Mimulus guttatus* populations. *Evolution* **60**: 2466–2477.

Huberman M. **1941**. Why phenology? *Journal of Forestry* **39**: 1007–1013.

Kanomanyanga J, Cussans J, Moss S, Ober E, Liu C, Coutts S. **2025**. Adaptation of grassweeds to spring cropping through changes in germination, flowering time and fecundity. *Scientific Reports* **15**: 21492.

Karlsson LM, Milberg P. **2007**. Comparing after-ripening response and germination requirements of *Conyza canadensis* and *C. bonariensis* (asteraceae) through logistic functions. *Weed Research* **47**: 433–441.

Landers K. **1995**. Vernalization responses in narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*) genotypes. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **46**: 1011–1025.

Lang A, Reinhard E. **1961**. Gibberellins and flower formation In: Gould R, ed. Advances in Chemistry. *Gibberellins*. ACS Publications, 71–79.

Lenth RV. **2024**. emmeans: estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means.

Lu JJ, Tan DY, Baskin JM, Baskin CC. **2014**. Germination season and watering regime, but not seed morph, affect life history traits in a cold desert diaspore-heteromorphic annual. *PLoS One* **9**: e102018.

Lu JJ, Tan DY, Baskin CC, Baskin JM. **2016**. Effects of germination season on life history traits and on transgenerational plasticity in seed dormancy in a cold desert annual. *Scientific Reports* **6**: 25076.

Main CL, Steckel LE, Hayes RM, Mueller TC. **2006**. Biotic and abiotic factors influence horseweed emergence. *Weed Science* **54**: 1101–1105.

Marks M, Prince S. **1981**. Influence of germination date on survival and fecundity in wild lettuce *Lactuca serriola*. *Oikos*: 326–330.

Mennan H, Ngouajio M. **2006**. Seasonal cycles in germination and seedling emergence of
summer and winter populations of catchweed bedstraw (*Galium aparine*) and wild mustard
(*Brassica kaber*). *Weed Science* **54**: 114–120.

Meyer SE, Nelson DL, Carlson SL. **2004**. Ecological genetics of vernalization response in
Bromus tectorum L. (Poaceae). *Annals of Botany* **93**: 653–663.

Michaels SD, Amasino RM. **2000**. Memories of winter: vernalization and the competence to
flower. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **23**: 1145–1153.

Moon J, Suh S, Lee H, *et al.* **2003**. The *SOC1* MADS-box gene integrates vernalization and
gibberellin signals for flowering in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Journal* **35**: 613–623.

Mutasa-Göttgens ES, Qi A, Zhang W, *et al.* **2010**. Bolting and flowering control in sugar beet:
relationships and effects of gibberellin, the bolting gene B and vernalization. *AoB PLANTS* **2010**.

Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H. **2013**. A general and simple method for obtaining R2 from
generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **4**: 133–142.

R Core Team. **2024**. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.

Regehr D, Bazzaz F. **1979**. The population dynamics of *Erigeron canadensis*, a successional
winter annual. *The Journal of Ecology*: 923–933.

Rezaee B, Ghasemnezhad A, Zeinali E. **2023**. Phenology of evening primrose (oenothera
biennis L.) as affected by vernalization and gibberellic acid (GA3). *Iranian Journal of Plant*
Physiology **13**: 4589–4597.

Sans F, Masalles R. **1994**. Life-history variation in the annual arable weed *Diplotaxis erucoides*
(Cruciferae). *Canadian Journal of Botany* **72**: 10–19.

Schramski JA, Sprague CL, Patterson EL. **2021**. Environmental cues affecting horseweed
(*Conyza canadensis*) growth types and their sensitivity to glyphosate. *Weed Science* **69**: 412–
421.

Shah SH, Islam S, Mohammad F, Siddiqui MH. **2023**. Gibberellic acid: a versatile regulator
of plant growth, development and stress responses. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* **42**:
7352–7373.

Smisek A. **1995**. *Resistance to paraquat in* Erigeron canadensis *L*. MS Thesis, University of
Western Ontario, Canada.

Steinmaus SJ, Prather TS, Holt JS. **2000**. Estimation of base temperatures for nine weed
species. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **51**: 275–286.

Tozzi E, Beckie H, Weiss R, *et al.* **2014**. Seed germination response to temperature for a range
of international populations of *Conyza canadensis*. *Weed Research* **54**: 178–185.

Uller T. **2008**. Developmental plasticity and the evolution of parental effects. *Trends in Ecology*
& Evolution **23**: 432–438.

Van Wychen L. **2022**. 2022 Survey of the most common and troublesome weeds in broadleaf
crops, fruits & vegetables in the United States and Canada. *Weed Science Society of America*
National Weed Survey Dataset.

Van Wychen L. **2023**. 2023 Survey of the Most Common and Troublesome Weeds in Grass
Crops, Pasture & Turf in the United States and Canada. *Weed Science Society of America*
National Weed Survey Dataset.

Weaver SE. **2001**. The biology of Canadian weeds. 115. *Conyza canadensis*. *Canadian Journal*
of Plant Science **81**: 867–875.

Wickham H. **2016**. *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Wilson JE. **1981**. Effects of formulation and method of applying gibberellic acid on flower
promotion in cocoyam. *Experimental Agriculture* **17**: 317–322.

Zeevaart JA. **1983**. Gibberellins and flowering. *The Biochemistry and Physiology of*
Gibberellins **2**: 333–373.

Zhao L, Li S, Yu Q, *et al.* **2023**. Vernalization promotes GA-mediated bolting initiation via the
inhibition of ABA and JA biosynthesis. *Agronomy* **13**: 1251.

Tables

Variable	2023 Growth Chamber Experiment				2024 Greenhouse Experiment			
	Comparison	Odds-Ratio	P-value	P-value$_{Adj}$	Comparison	Odds-Ratio	P-value	P-value$_{Adj}$
GA	Water vs. GA	0.000	0.000		Water vs. GA	Inf	0.000	
Vernalization	Unvernalized vs. Vernalized	1.000	1.000		Unvernalized vs. Vernalized	5.264	0.033	
Trial	Trial 1 vs. Trial 2	1.290	0.164					
Parent Type	GH WA vs. Field SA	0.909	0.634	0.922				
	GH WA vs. GH SA	0.886	0.505	0.922				
	Field SA vs. GH SA	0.975	0.922	0.922				
Emergence Temperature	4 vs . 17	1.014	1.000	1.000				
	4 vs. 24	1.239	0.246	0.428				
	17 vs. 24	1.221	0.285	0.428				
Site	DLF vs. KNC	0.745	0.187	0.375	HTR vs LRT	3.381	0.135	0.202
	DLF vs. NCF	1.031	0.917	0.917	HTR vs MAF	3.381	0.135	0.202
	DLF vs. PCC	1.122	0.621	0.832	LRT vs MAF	1.000	1.000	1.000
	KNC vs. NCF	1.383	0.153	0.375				
	KNC vs. PCC	1.507	0.062	0.370				
	NCF vs. PCC	1.089	0.693	0.832				
Light					Shade vs. Light	0.776	0.769	

Table 1. Results of Fisher's exact tests comparing the proportion of plants assigned to the Upright type vs. Intermediate + Rosette types. For variables with more than two levels, the P-value$_{Adj}$ column shows P-values adjusted for multiple testing using FDR.

Model Term	DF (Num)	DF (Den)	Test Statistic	P-value	Model Term	DF (Num)	DF (Den)	Test Statistic	P-value	Model Term	DF (Num)	DF (Den)	Test Statistic	P-value
							2023 Growth Chamber Experiment							
Height Growth Rate	R^2_m =	0.504	R^2_c =	0.520	Leaf Roundness	R^2_m =	0.475	R^2_c =	0.498					
GA	1	536.9	289.4	0.0000	GA	1	493.0	293.6	0.0000					
Trial	1	538.6	6.3	0.0123	Trial	1	496.7	96.8	0.0000					
Vernalization	1	542.2	2.0	0.1571	Vernalization	1	498.4	3.9	0.0489					
Parent Type	2	24.7	4.2	0.0275	Parent Type	2	23.8	3.6	0.0441					
Site	3	16.6	1.4	0.2876	Site	3	16.6	0.4	0.7451					
Emergence Temp	1	543.6	48.2	0.0000	Emergence Temp	1	499.4	2.3	0.1277					
GA x Trial	1	538.6	0.4	0.5222	GA x Trial	1	494.6	11.5	0.0008					
GA x Vernal	1	539.1	37.9	0.0000	GA x Vernal	1	494.7	25.6	0.0000					
Trial x Vernal	1	534.1	8.1	0.0047	Trial x Vernal	1	491.5	13.2	0.0003					
GA x Trial x Vernal	1	538.1	0.1	0.7788	GA x Trial x Vernal	1	495.6	0.0	0.9972					
Line	1		2.6	0.1090	Line	1		5.3	0.0217					
							2024 Greenhouse Experiment							
Height Growth Rate	R^2_m =	0.628	R^2_c =	0.734	Leaf Roundness	R^2_m =	0.617	R^2_c =	0.666	Days to First Flower	R^2_m =	0.732	R^2_c =	0.800
GA	1	46.6	22.4	0.0000	GA	1	17.2	7.5	0.0138	GA	1	34.1	12.7	0.0011
Vernalization	1	46.2	4.5	0.0390	Vernalization	1	15.3	2.1	0.1658	Vernalization	1	34.3	42.7	0.0000
Light	1	46.8	41.6	0.0000	Light	1	16.6	2.6	0.1286	Light	1	35.0	0.3	0.5761
Site	2	3.4	1.4	0.3668	Site	2	3.0	0.4	0.6842	Site	2	2.7	2.9	0.2129
GA x Vernal	1	47.9	5.1	0.0286	GA x Vernal	1	17.3	0.5	0.4910	GA x Vernal	1	37.2	5.2	0.0287
GA x Light	1	47.3	18.1	0.0001	GA x Light	1	17.8	0.7	0.4043	GA x Light	1	35.5	0.2	0.6485
Vernal x Light	1	46.0	0.6	0.4580	Vernal x Light	1	16.5	0.0	0.9699	Vernal x Light	1	34.4	0.0	0.9430
GA x Vernal x Light	1	47.1	0.4	0.5428						GA x Vernal x Light	1	35.2	0.6	0.4595
Line	1		1.2	0.2714	Line	1		0.1	0.7240	Line	1		1.9	0.1724

Table 2. Model results for quantitative measures of life cycle type. Test statistics are F-ratios for fixed effects and Likelihood-ratios for random effect (Line). R^2_m is R_2 for only fixed effects, R^2_c is R_2 for full model.

709 **Figures**

710
711 **Figure 1.** Overview of experimental designs for 2022 Greenhouse Common Garden (A), 2023
712 Growth Chamber Experiment (B) and 2024 Greenhouse Experiment (C).

714
715
716 **Figure 2.** Qualitative measure of life cycle growth type in 2023 Growth Chamber (A) and 2024
717 Greenhouse (B) Experiments. Colored bars show the proportion of plants categorically assigned
718 to Rosette (black), Intermediate (grey), or Upright (white) growth, with counts shown within
719 bars. Panels separate seed vernalization treatments. Numbers in bars are counts.
720

Figure 3. Quantitative measures of life cycle type in 2023 Growth Chamber (A and C) and 2024 Greenhouse (B,D, E) Experiments: height growth rate (A and B), leaf roundness (C and D), and flowering time (E). Colors separate seed vernalization treatments and the slope of dotted lines is the effect of adding GA within vernalization treatment. Panels separate trials in A and C. Points are estimated marginal means after accounting for the other effects in the model and error bars are 95% confidence intervals. Asterisks on lines indicate significance of GA effect within vernalization groups, while asterisks between points indicate significance of vernalization effect within GA treatment groups from Tukey post-hoc tests. $^{n.s.}P \geq 0.10$, $^{\dagger}P < 0.10$, $^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, $^{***}P < 0.001$.