Arousal increases locus coeruleus blood flow, salience-related brain responses, and

modulates negative-valence attentional biases

Andy J. Kim[1], Chenyang Zhao[2], Fanhua Guo[2], Ioannis Pappas[2], Martin J. Dahl[1,3,4], Heidi I.L.

Jacobs[5], Danny J.J. Wang[2], & Mara Mather[1,6,7]

[1]*Leonard Davis School of Gerontology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA*
[2]*Laboratory of FMRI Technology, Mark & Mary Stevens Neuroimaging and Informatics Institute, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA*
[3]*Center for Lifespan Psychology, Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Berlin, Germany*
[4]*Max Planck UCL Centre for Computational Psychiatry and Ageing Research*
[5]*Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA*
[6]*Department of Psychology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA*
[7]*Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA*

Author Contributions

AJK, IP, MJD, HJ, and MM designed the experiment. AJK created the task code and

experiment. HJ provided the 7T MT scan parameters. IP created the task scan sequences. CZ

and DJJW created the ASL scans. AJK and IP collected the task data. CZ and FG collected the

ASL data. CZ and FG did the ASL scan reconstruction and ASL data curation. AJK conducted

data analyses. AJK wrote the initial manuscript draft. All authors revised the manuscript at all

stages.

Funding Statement

This work is supported by the National Institutes of Health (T32AG000037 to AJK;

R01AG062559, R01AG068062, R01AG082006 to HILJ; R01EB032169, R01NS134712 to

DJJW), the Alzheimer's Association (AARG-22-920434 to HILJ), and the BrightFocus

Foundation (ADR-A2024006F to MJD).

Declarations of interest: none

***Corresponding author**:*

Andy Jeesu Kim

3715 McClintock Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90089

andyk@usc.edu

Abstract

The amygdala helps prioritize emotional over neutral information but responds similarly

to positive and negative stimuli, making it unlikely to drive valence-specific effects within

affective networks. We hypothesized that the locus coeruleus (LC) is a key contributor to

negative biases in attention. Using ultra-high field 7T MRI in humans, we tested how arousal

modulates emotional face processing in an oddball task. Arousal induced by isometric handgrip

increased LC cerebral blood flow and amplified brain responses to target and angry faces, but

not to happy faces. The amygdala exhibited valence-general responses unaffected by arousal.

LC connectivity with the default mode network decreased during processing target and angry

faces, and arousal further modulated responses in the salience network and visual cortex.

Behaviorally, arousal enhanced recognition of angry faces and performance was linked to left

LC activation. These findings highlight the LC as a key structure through which arousal shapes

valence processing, biases attention, and informs mechanisms related to affective disorders.

Introduction

Emotion is conceptualized along two core dimensions: arousal (reflecting physiological

activation) and valence (reflecting positive or negative affect). In the brain, the amygdala

contributes to these dimensions of emotion by mediating the influence of arousal on cognitive

processes (LaBar & Cabeza, 2006) and encoding both positive and negative valence (Paton et

al., 2006). In addition, the locus coeruleus (LC), a small brainstem nucleus (~75 mm^3) that

serves as the brain's principal source of the neuromodulator noradrenaline, also responds to

both positive and negative stimuli, and helps coordinate global arousal regulation and vigilance

states that shape cognition, including attention (Sara, 2009). Noradrenergic fibers from the LC

innervate the amygdala via the ansa peduncularis-ventral amygdaloid bundle (Fallon et al.,

1978; Schwarz et al., 2015), and the amygdala sends reciprocal projections to the LC (Gu et al.,

2020), creating a bidirectional amygdala-LC circuit. In rodents, pharmacological manipulations

of noradrenaline and optogenetic stimulation of LC projections modulate downstream amygdala

responses (McCall et al., 2017; Tully & Bolshakov, 2010), and chemogenetic stimulation of the

LC increases freezing behavior and suppresses neuronal activation in the ventromedial

prefrontal cortex through β-noradrenergic receptor signaling in the basolateral amygdala (Bayer

et al., 2025). Furthermore, in humans, the LC interacts with the amygdala to facilitate the

consolidation of emotionally salient experiences (Jacobs et al., 2020). Functional coupling

between the LC and amygdala predicts individual differences in stress-related anxiety

symptoms in real-world contexts (Grueschow et al., 2021), and increases during the encoding of

novel face-name associations (Prokopiou et al., 2022). Together, these findings establish the

amygdala-LC circuit as a core mechanism through which arousal and valence actively shape

affective behavior.

Meta-analyses of fMRI and PET studies indicate that the amygdala exhibits increased

activation in response to both positive- and negative-valence arousing stimuli compared with

non-arousing stimuli (Costafreda et al., 2008; Sergerie et al., 2008), across both visual and

auditory domains (Lin et al., 2020). These findings suggest that the amygdala primarily encodes

arousal intensity, while its role in valence processing emerges through broader connectivity with

cortical and subcortical circuits that assign positive or negative value (Pessoa & Adolphs, 2010).

Given that the amygdala responds in a valence-general manner, the prevalence of robust

valence-specific effects in the affective attention literature remains an unresolved mechanistic

question. Emotional stimuli are particularly prioritized by the attention system and elicit

increased automatic orienting compared with neutral stimuli (Carretié, 2014; Vuilleumier, 2005),

a bias that likely evolved across species to promote survival (Baumeister et al., 2001; Rozin &

Royzman, 2001). Foundational models of LC-noradrenaline system (LC-NA) function

characterize the LC as a regulator of adaptive gain and network resetting in response to salient

events, with its activity primarily driven by arousal intensity (Aston-Jones & Cohen, 2005; Bouret

& Sara, 2005). Through its widespread cortical and subcortical projections, the LC releases

noradrenaline during arousing states, biasing attentional selection toward salient information

(Markovic et al., 2014; Mather et al., 2016). Under arousal, LC activation has shown to

selectively enhance memory and attention for both prioritized and salient information (Clewett et

al., 2018; Lee et al., 2018). These findings position the LC as a central driver of how arousal

shapes emotional attention and incorporates valence, either through dynamic interactions with

the amygdala or as an independent system.

In this study, we hypothesized that the LC, rather than the amygdala, primarily

modulates stimulus valence within arousal networks. Unfortunately, neuroimaging of the LC

poses significant technical challenges due to its small size and deep location within the midbrain

(Forstmann et al., 2016). Previous work have capitalized on the structural properties of the LC

to measure changes in MRI contrast (Bueichekú et al., 2024; Dahl et al., 2023), but studies

measuring task-related LC brain responses remain scarce (Berger et al., 2023; Jacobs et al.,

2020; Krebs et al., 2018; Ludwig et al., 2024; Murphy et al., 2014). In a visual oddball task,

Krebs et al. (2018) demonstrated that LC responses increased significantly to novel negative

and novel neutral stimuli compared with frequent stimuli. Therefore, we used ultra-high field (7T)

neuroimaging to improve brainstem signal-to-noise ratio and modified the emotional oddball

paradigm to include novel happy faces in addition to novel angry faces, enabling investigation of

valence-specific LC responses. To manipulate arousal during scanning, we implemented an

isometric handgrip paradigm which increases LC-NA system activity as indexed by pupil

diameter, salivary amylase, and heart rate (Bachman et al., 2023; Mather et al., 2020; Nielsen &

Mather, 2015). We investigated how arousal modulates functional LC responses, its interactions

with attention networks, and recognition memory for emotional faces. Finally, we present a

novel high-resolution (1 mm isotropic) whole-brain perfusing imaging approach using an ultra-

high field MRI protocol. By combining an advanced pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling

(pCASL) pulse sequence with a dynamic compressed sensing reconstruction algorithm (Jung et

al., 2009; Lustig et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2022), we non-invasively indexed brainstem metabolic

activity to determine whether the arousal manipulation exerted specific or broad effects within

the brainstem and the amygdala.

Results

Twenty-two participants completed two sessions of the task portion of the experiment on

separate days (see Figure 1).

Figure 1. Experiment Procedure. Following the structural anatomical scan, participants
completed a control or arousal block consisting of a magnetization transfer (MT) structural scan
and two runs of the oddball task. The order of block conditions was counterbalanced across
participants per session and across both sessions (e.g., if completing the control block first on
Session 1, the arousal block was completed first on Session 2). Next, participants exited the
scanner and completed a recognition memory task. Finally, nine participants additionally
completed three arterial spin labeling (ASL) scans: a pre-handgrip period (*control*), an arousal
condition while squeezing the isometric handgrip (*handgrip*), and a post-handgrip period (*rest*).
The emotional oddball task included standard (repetitive; 70% of trials), target (10% of trials),
novel positive (10% of trials), and novel negative (10% of trials) faces. The target face was the
only older adult, and all other faces were young adults. For the novel emotional faces, gender
and race were counterbalanced (50% female, 50% male; 33% White, 33% Black, 33% Asian).
131

Arousal induced by handgrip increases cerebral blood flow to the LC

We first examined whether the physiological arousal manipulation induced by isometric

handgrip selectively modulated LC metabolic activity in the ASL pulse sequences. To

contextualize these effects, we included the pons as a neighboring reference region for cerebral

blood flow (CBF) and the amygdala to examine the specificity of the arousal manipulation.

Arousal significantly increased CBF in the LC relative to the pre-handgrip period (mean diff =

6.03, 95% CI [2.76, 11.62], p < .001; see Figure 2), but not in the adjacent pontine reference

region (mean diff = 1.38, 95% CI [-3.38, 7.02], p = .642). In contrast, physiological arousal

significantly reduced CBF in the amygdala (mean diff = -3.29, 95% CI [-4.47, -1.67], p < .001).

Following the handgrip manipulation, CBF did not differ during the handgrip and post-handgrip

period in the LC (mean diff = -6.43, 95% CI [-12.53, 0.69], p = .061), pontine reference region

(mean diff = -1.51, 95% CI [-3.65, 1.00], p = .212), and amygdala (mean diff = 0.79, 95% CI [-

1.00, 2.21], p = .331). Quantitative cerebral blood flow maps are shown in Supplementary

Information.

Figure 2. Arousal specifically increases metabolic activity in the LC. In nine participants,
we examined how handgrip modulated metabolic activity and whether it persisted in the post-
handgrip rest period using a nonparametric bootstrap randomization procedure with 10,000
iterations. Arousal increased cerebral blood flow (CBF) to the LC, p < .001 (control: mean =
37.8, SE = 3.18; handgrip: mean = 43.8, SE = 3.32), but not in the pons, p = .642 (control: mean

= 34.6, SE = 3.59; handgrip: mean = 36.0, SE = 3.22. In addition, arousal decreased CBF to the
amygdala, $p < .001$ (control: mean = 33.9, SE = 0.94; handgrip: mean = 30.6, SE = 0.54.
Finally, we identified no differences in the handgrip and post-handgrip period for the LC (mean =
37.4, SE = 4.55), pons (mean = 34.5, SE = 2.73), and amygdala (mean = 31.4, SE = 0.80).
157

Arousal increases LC blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) responses to target and angry

faces, but not happy faces

Given that handgrip specifically increased metabolic activity in the LC, we examined

whether these arousal-related changes also modulated functional LC responses. A repeated-

measures analysis of variance (RM ANOVA) analysis with factors condition (control, arousal),

session (1,2), and stimulus (standard, target, positive, negative) revealed significant main

effects of condition, $F(1,21) = 5.82$, $p = .025$, $\eta^2_g = .045$, and stimulus, $F(2.75,57.84) = 19.74$, p

$< .001$, $\eta^2_g = .063$, but not of session, $F(1,21) = .75$, $p = .397$. Critically, a condition x stimulus

interaction was significant, $F(3,63) = 4.80$, $p = .004$, $\eta^2_g = .015$ (see Figure 3A), indicating that

arousal differentially modulated LC responses depending on stimulus type. Post-hoc *t*-test

comparisons indicated that LC BOLD responses were greater in the handgrip condition

compared with the control condition for target stimuli, $t(21) = 3.40$, $p = .003$, $d = .741$, and

negative stimuli, $t(21) = 2.59$, $p = .017$, $d = .565$, but not for standard or positive stimuli, $ts(21) <$

1.27, $ps > .218$. Within the control condition, responses to target stimuli exceeded those to

standard stimuli, $t(21) = 2.88$, $p = .041$, $d = .628$, but not to any other stimuli, $ts(21) < 2.59$, ps

$> .074$. In the handgrip condition, responses to the standard stimuli were reduced relative to

target, $t(21) = 9.74$, $p < .001$, $d = 2.12$, positive, $t(21) = 5.26$, $p < .001$, $d = 1.147$, and negative

stimuli, $t(21) = 6.50$, $p < .001$, $d = 1.419$. Finally, target stimuli evoked greater responses than

positive stimuli in the handgrip condition, $t(21) = 3.69$, $p = .007$, $d = .805$. Importantly, we did not

identify any main effects of condition or interactions in any of the subdivisions of the amygdala

(see Supplementary Information).

Figure 3. Arousal increases brain responses to prioritized and negative-valence stimuli in the LC. Given the small number of voxels encompassed by the LC mask at 2 mm resolution (left = 6, right = 4) and the susceptibility to noise from a single misaligned voxel (Yi et al., 2023), we extracted the peak voxel within the LC for β-parameter estimates in twenty-two participants across two sessions. A repeated-measures ANOVA revealed a significant condition x stimulus interaction that was further explored using *t*-test comparisons. During the handgrip block, LC responses were further elevated when processing target and angry faces but not for happy faces. Full descriptive statistics are reported in the Supplementary Information.

Reduced LC and default mode network connectivity during target and angry face processing

Given that LC activity modulates large-scale cortical networks (Shine et al., 2016; van den Brink et al., 2019), we examined network interactions between the brainstem and the whole brain using a trial-level β-series correlation analysis (Rissman et al., 2004), with the LC as a seed region across all stimulus types. Within the control condition, we contrasted connectivity maps for standard – target, standard – positive, and standard – negative faces to evaluate differences in connectivity associated with prioritized and emotional stimuli. When processing target and angry faces, significant clusters within nodes of the default mode network were

suppressed in the target – standard contrast (peak Z = -3.28, left vmPFC, 6 voxels, [6.5, -61.5, -

4.5]) and the negative – standard contrast (peak Z = -3.09, right vmPFC, 5 voxels, [-11.5, -47.5,

1.5]; peak Z = -3.37, left dorsal striatum, 5 voxels, [26.5, -7.5, 13.5]; peak Z = -3.16, right

posterior angular gyrus, 5 voxels, [-53.5, 70.5, 29.5]). However, no significant clusters were

identified for the positive-standard contrast, underscoring a valence-dependent pattern of LC

functional connectivity (see Figure 4). To check whether these functional connectivity results

were specific to the LC, we conducted the identical analyses with the central pontine reference

region as the seed. No significant clusters were identified in the FWE-corrected contrasts.

Figure 4. Valence dependent decoupling of LC activity from the default mode network.
We conducted trial-level β-series correlation analysis in twenty-two participants, using the LC as
a seed region to examine connectivity with the whole brain. Compared with standard stimulus
processing, target and negative-valence stimuli were associated with reduced connectivity
between the LC and nodes of the default mode network, including the ventromedial prefrontal
cortex, left dorsal striatum, and right posterior angular gyrus.

Arousal differentially modulates stimulus responses across attention networks

Because arousal selectively modulated β-parameter estimates in the LC but not in

amygdala subdivisions, we next examined whether arousal differentially influences activity

within attention networks that are modulated by the LC (Sara, 2009). Using intrinsic functional

connectivity maps defined by Yeo et al. (2011), we tested the effects of arousal within functional

network parcellations of the visual system, dorsal attention network (DAN), ventral attention

network (VAN), and frontoparietal network (FPN). A RM ANOVA with condition (control,

arousal), session (1,2), stimulus (standard, target, positive, negative) and region (visual, DAN,

VAN, FPN) as factors revealed significant main effects of stimulus, $F(2.01,42.20) = 19.51$, p

$< .001$, $\eta^2_g = .018$, and region, $F(2.54,53.30) = 3.45$, $p = .029$, $\eta^2_g = .029$, but not for condition,

$F(1,21) = 2.90$, $p = .103$, and session, $F(1,21) = .97$, $p = .337$. In addition, the three-way

condition x stimulus x region interaction, $F(5.00,105.09) = 2.66$, $p = .026$, $\eta^2_g = .004$, and the

three-way session x stimulus x region interaction, $F(5.99,125.89) = 2.67$, $p = .018$, $\eta^2_g = .003$,

were significant (see Figure 5). To further examine these interactions, we conducted separate

RM ANOVAs with condition, session, and stimulus as factors in each region.

In the visual network, we identified significant main effects of session, $F(1,21) = 5.78$, p

$= .025$, $\eta^2_g = .034$, stimulus, $F(2.17, 45.55) = 76.23$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2_g = .139$, but no main effect of

condition, $F(1,21) = .06$, $p = .810$. Target stimuli evoked significantly reduced responses

compared with all other stimuli, $ts(21) > 8.68$, $ps < .001$, $ds > 1.850$. In addition, a significant

condition x stimulus interaction, $F(2.88,60.54) = 3.85$, $p = .015$, $\eta^2_g = .006$, indicated that arousal

further suppressed target responses while increasing responses to all other stimuli. In the dorsal

attention network, we observed a significant main effect of stimulus, $F(1.64, 34.41) = 14.76$, p

$< .001$, $\eta^2_g = .069$, but no main effects of condition, $F(1,21) = .83$, $p = .373$, or session, $F(1,21)$

$= .14$, $p = .708$. Post-hoc analyses showed that target stimuli elicited greater responses than all

other stimuli, $ts(21) > 3.57$, $ps < .007$. $ds > .761$. In the ventral attention network, we identified a

significant main effect of condition, $F(1,21) = 7.59$, $p = .012$, $\eta^2_g = .035$, reflecting higher activity

in the handgrip than in the control condition. There also was a significant main effect of

stimulus, $F(3,63) = 35.61$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2_g = .090$, but not of session, $F(1,21) = 1.28$, $p = .271$. Post-

hoc analyses revealed that target stimuli evoked greater responses than all other stimuli, $ts(21)$

> 5.31, $ps < .001$, $ds > 1.132$, and both emotionally-valenced stimuli showed suppressed

responses compared with standard stimuli, $ts(21) > 2.43$, $ps < .024$, $ds > .519$. In the

frontoparietal attention network, we identified a significant main effect of stimulus, $F(1.78, 37.44)$

$= 37.16$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2_g = .146$, but no main effect of condition, $F(1,21) = .04$, $p = .836$, nor

session, $F(1,21) = 2.44$, $p = .134$. Post-hoc analyses revealed that target stimuli had

significantly greater responses compared with all other stimuli, $ts(21) > 6.42$, $ps < .001$, $ds >$

1.368. In addition, there was a significant session x stimulus interaction, $F(2.68,56.23) = 12.88$,

$p < .001$, $\eta^2_g = .021$. In Session 1, the target stimuli produced significantly greater activation

than all other stimuli, $ts(21) > 8.01$, $ps < .001$, $ds > 1.75$, and the positive and negative stimuli

were also suppressed compared with the standard stimuli, $ts(21) > 2.54$, $ps < .038$, $ds > .550$.

However, in Session 2, the target stimuli again stimulated greater activation than all other

stimuli, $ts(21) > 3.69$, $ps < .005$, $ds > .810$, but the emotional stimuli were not suppressed

compared with the standard stimuli, $ts(21) < .22$, $ps = 1.000$. Full descriptive statistics for all

regions are reported in the Supplementary Information.

Figure 5. Arousal enhances salience network responses and differentially modulates visual cortex activity by stimulus type. We conducted repeated-measures ANOVA analysis and post-hoc *t*-test comparisons for twenty-two participants across two sessions. Mean parameter estimates were computed across all intrinsic functional connectivity maps defined by Yeo et al. (2011). In the visual system, arousal further suppressed target responses while increasing responses to all other stimuli. We identified no effects of arousal in the dorsal attention and the frontoparietal attention networks. In the ventral attention network, arousal increased responses to all stimuli. Means and standard errors reflect the average across both sessions.

Arousal during encoding increases recognition memory for angry, but not happy, faces

Following the oddball task portion of the experiment, participants completed a

recognition memory task that included all previously presented emotional faces as well as novel

lures to assess false positives. We examined whether memory for valenced faces was

differentially modulated by the arousal condition. Based on prior findings that LC activity

enhances memory under arousal (Clewett et al., 2018), we predicted that negative-valence

faces presented during the arousal block would be better recalled. Two signal detection indices

of recognition memory performance were computed, sensitivity (d') and response bias (c)

(Stanislaw & Todorov, 1999), and were submitted to RM ANOVAs with session (1,2), condition

(control, arousal), and valence (positive, negative) as factors. Critically, the two sessions

enabled us to capture distinct attentional processes engaged by novel emotional faces. In

Session 1, participants were unaware that a recognition test would follow and suppressed task-

irrelevant emotional stimuli while only counting target oddball faces. In Session 2, participants

were aware of the recognition test and allocated attention to the emotional stimuli.

284   With sensitivity (d') as the dependent measure, we identified a significant main effect of

session, $F(1,21) = 7.05$, $p = .015$, $\eta^2_g = .064$, indicating an overall higher sensitivity in Session 2

compared with Session 1. In addition, no main effects were observed for condition, $F(1,21) =$

1.45, $p = .242$, or valence, $F(1,21) = .24$, $p = .524$. Critically, a three-way session x condition x

valence interaction was significant, $F(1,21) = 5.36$, $p = .031$, $\eta^2_g = .014$ (see Figure 6). Post-hoc

comparisons revealed no performance differences for prior faces presented in the control block

(Session 1: $t(21) = .20$, $p = .842$; Session 2: $t(21) = -.97$, $p = .345$). However, for faces

presented during the handgrip block, there were no valence effects in Session 1, $t(21) = 1.69$, p

$= .105$, but in Session 2 when paying attention to the emotional faces, sensitivity toward

negative-valence faces were significantly higher than to positive-valence faces, $t(21) = -2.21$, p

$= .038$, $d = .472$. With response bias (c) as the dependent measure, we did not identify

significant main effects of session, $F(1,21) = .04$, $p = .842$, condition, $F(1,21) = 1.45$, $p = .242$, or

valence, $F(1,21) = .00$, $p = .953$. Again, we identified a three-way session x condition x valence

interaction, $F(1,21) = 5.36$, $p = .031$, $\eta^2_g = .001$, indicating that condition-related shifts in criterion

depended on stimulus valence and that this dependency varied across sessions. However,

post-hoc contrasts showed no significant valence differences in either condition or session,

$ts(21) < 1.00$, $ps > .329$ (see Figure 6). The memory accuracy results highlight that arousal

modulates recognition in a valence-specific manner depending on contexts of attention

allocation or suppression.

**Figure 6. Arousal modulated valence-specific effects in recognition memory
performance.** In Session 1, participants were unaware of the upcoming recognition memory
test and focused on counting target faces while suppressing task-irrelevant faces, resulting in no
significant valence effects. However, in Session 2, participants were aware of the recognition
memory task and allocated attention to the emotional faces. We conducted a repeated-
measures ANOVA over factors session, valence, and condition with twenty-two participants and
identified a significant three-way interaction for sensitivity and response bias. Memory accuracy
(d`) was significantly higher for angry relative to happy faces presented during the handgrip
block in Session 2, but there were no significant differences observed for faces presented
during the control block. In contrast, no post-hoc comparisons were significant with response
bias as the dependent measure.

Suppressing and attending to emotional faces is coupled with left LC BOLD responses

To examine multivariate relationships between LC responses and behavior, we

implemented partial least squares correlation (PLSC) which uses singular value decomposition

(SVD) to assess shared covariance between datasets (Dahl et al., 2022; Krishnan et al., 2011;

McIntosh & Lobaugh, 2004). Specifically, this method identifies latent variables (LVs), or

weighted combinations of the original variables, that optimally capture shared information

between the datasets. Because our design included two sessions, we modeled session as a

separate condition in the cross-block PLSC analysis, allowing us to capture session-specific

covariance patterns rather than averaging across sessions. In this framework, each session

generates its own LVs which are then stacked together and interpreted within a common model,

thus allowing estimates of brain-behavior relationships separately for each session while also

allowing for their joint interpretation. We entered recognition memory hits for emotional stimuli

as the behavioral measure (X matrix) and LC brain responses to all stimuli as the brain measure

(Y matrix).

The cross-block PLSC analyses revealed a single significant LV that accounted for

81.6% of the cross-block covariance, p = .020, (see Figure 7A). This LV optimally expressed the

multivariate association between LC brain responses and behavioral performance, r = .470, p

= .001 (see Figure 7B), demonstrating that the global covariance structure is represented by

one positive direction. Interestingly, only left LC brain responses to target, and emotional stimuli

reliably contributed to this latent variable as defined by bootstrap ratio (BSR] cutoffs > |1.96|

(see Figure 7C). We next stratified the PLSC model by session. Spearman correlations between

LV1 brain scores and behavioral performance showed opposite patterns across sessions (see

Figure 7D). In Session 1, weaker left LC activation predicted higher recognition accuracy, while

in Session 2, the same LC response pattern predicted higher accuracy. This context-dependent

shift demonstrates that the coupling between LC activity and memory performance shifted as

participants transitioned from suppressing task-irrelevant distractors to allocating more attention

to emotional stimuli (as they now knew they would be tested on their memory for these).

Figure 7. Left LC brain responses are linked to recognition memory performance. We conducted cross-block partial least squares correlation to examine brain-behavior relationships between the LC and recognition memory in twenty-two participants across two sessions. (A) The analysis identified a single latent variable (LV) that explained 81.6% of the cross-block covariance. (B) Both latent behavioral and brain scores loaded significantly on this LV. (C) Bootstrap ratios indicated that left LC responses to target, positive-valence, and negative-valence stimuli significantly contributed to this LV. Given that partial least square correlation modalities are sign-indeterminate, we inverted the bootstrap ratio and correlation values for better intuitive visualization, such that greater left LC brain responses mapped onto positive loadings. (D) Post-hoc Spearman correlations stratified by session revealed opposite brain-behavior associations. Correct recognition memory to emotional faces were linked to weaker left LC responses in Session 1, but stronger responses in Session 2. These results show that LC-behavior coupling is context dependent and reflect shifts between attention suppression and allocation.

Arousal decreases LC MRI contrast in magnetization transfer (MT) structural scans

Finally, we investigated whether short-term changes in arousal modulated LC MRI contrast in MT structural scans. Currently, LC MRI contrast is a frequently used measure of LC integrity in humans (Berger et al., 2023; Betts et al., 2019; Bueichekú et al., 2024; Dahl et al., 2023; Dahl et al., 2022). However, information regarding whether this structural imaging signal is affected by acute physiological changes is lacking, despite the fact that current models

suggest that LC MRI contrast is primarily driven by signals from protons in fluid interacting with

signals from macromolecules (Henkelman et al., 2001), properties that could be quickly altered

depending on changes in fluid dynamics in a region. Thus, we examined whether LC MRI

contrast is sensitive to transient functional states. We predicted that increases in arousal would

be accompanied by changes in LC MRI contrast. During the handgrip block, participants were

required to continuously squeeze the handgrip throughout the duration of the scan. In both the

left and right LC, handgrip was associated with decreased MRI contrast relative to control (see

Figure 8B). In the left LC, three significant clusters were identified that were 8, 6, and 17 voxels

with peak *t*-statistic of -4.308, -4.658, and -4.445, respectively (mask i,j,k locations [3.5,1.5,2.5],

[4.5,0,3], [2,1,5]). In the right LC, two significant clusters of 5 and 12 voxels were identified with

peak *t*-statistic of -4.705 and -6.349, respectively (mask i,j,k locations [2.5,1.5,5] and [3,1.5,7]).

These condition differences could not be attributed to motion as quantified by MRIQC's image

quality metrics (see Supplementary Information; Esteban et al., 2017).

Figure 8. Handgrip decreases LC MRI contrast compared with control conditions. (A) A
study-specific LC group mask was created by manually delineating hyperintensities in the group
template derived from high-resolution MT structural scans among twenty-two participants. (B)
For voxelwise cluster-based analyses, each LC voxel's MRI contrast was normalized by the
mean intensity of a central pontine reference region matched in the number of z-slices to the LC
mask. Analyses revealed significantly reduced LC structural intensities during handgrip scans
compared with control scans. Significant clusters from the voxelwise permutation test are
highlighted in the Control - Handgrip contrast.
391

Discussion

In this study, we tested whether the LC serves as the primary driver of valence-specific

effects within affective attention networks. Using an isometric handgrip paradigm to elicit arousal

(Bachman et al., 2023; Mather et al., 2020; Nielsen & Mather, 2015), we observed selective

increases in cerebral blood flow to the LC while adjacent brainstem regions such as the pons

remained unaffected. In addition, the amygdala and cortical attention networks showed

decreases in cerebral blood flow, underscoring the specificity of LC engagement in increasing

metabolic activity. The specificity of our arousal manipulation to the LC reflects the direct

innervation from autonomic brainstem nuclei, including the nucleus paragigantocellularis (nPGi)

and the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS) (Mello-Carpes & Izquierdo, 2013). Baroreceptors in

the carotid sinus and aortic arch detect interoceptive blood pressure changes and transmit

signals via cranial nerves to the NTS, which projects excitatory inputs to the LC (Dampney,

2015; Huang et al., 2025). Unlike the LC, the amygdala does not receive direct baroreceptor

inputs but processes arousal regulations through its interactions with the LC and hypothalamus.

Thus, we interpret the observed arousal effects as mediated by the LC through its widespread

noradrenergic projections on cognition and attention.

By combining ultra-high field imaging with advanced reconstruction methods (Woodward

et al., 2023), we demonstrated that cerebral blood flow provides a noninvasive metabolic index

of LC function. We implemented three methodological advances to develop a novel, optimized

whole-brain perfusion approach that includes the brainstem at 7T: (1) a pseudo-continuous ASL

(pCASL) sequence with comprehensive optimizations for 7T MRI (Wang et al., 2022); (2) a Fast

Low-Angle Shot (FLASH)-based readout with rotated golden-angle stack-of-spirals (rGA-SoS)

sampling (Guo et al., 2024; Munsch et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2023); and (3) dynamic

compressed sensing (CS) reconstruction that enables high spatiotemporal resolution and

incorporates self-navigation for motion correction (Jung et al., 2009; Lustig et al., 2007). Typical

BOLD MRI scans do not provide quantitative metrics of brain activity (Gore, 2003). Activity in

response to a stimulus or event is contrasted with events at other times during the scan

sequence (even this has issues due to signal drift; e.g., Yan et al., 2009), but the absolute value

of the signal at any particular point in time cannot meaningfully be compared across participants

or scans. In contrast, ASL provides a quantitative estimate of cerebral blood flow values that

can be compared not only across conditions within a scan but also across scans and across

people producing absolute measures of perfusion (Pike, 2012). However, with 3T MRI, the

spatial resolution of ASL sequences does not allow for assessing signal from brainstem nuclei

(Clement et al., 2022). Our novel 7T pCASL sequence establishes the first non-invasive

neuroimaging approach to assess the absolute level of LC activity in humans. Thus, these high-

resolution cerebral blood flow measures should provide an important addition to current fMRI,

pupillometry and electrophysiology approaches to assess LC function (Costa & Rudebeck,

2016; Joshi & Gold, 2020; Nieuwenhuis et al., 2005; Weiss et al., 2025).

In our functional data, we observed that the LC responded more strongly to prioritized

stimuli than to standard stimuli in the emotional oddball task under control conditions. When we

induced arousal through handgrip, LC brain responses further increased to target stimuli with

high attentional priority and to negative-valence stimuli. Consistent with our cerebral blood flow

findings, arousal did not enhance amygdala responses in any subdivision. Importantly, both

angry and happy faces elicited greater amygdala activity than target or standard stimuli across

both control and handgrip conditions, replicating the valence-general conclusions in the

literature (Costafreda et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2020; Sergerie et al., 2008). Functional connectivity

analyses revealed that target and negative stimuli, relative to standard stimuli, decoupled LC

activity from the default mode network (DMN), unlike with positive stimuli. Prior work has shown

that the DMN deactivates during engagement with salient, goal-directed or emotional stimuli

(Dahl et al., 2025; Raichle et al., 2001), and often exhibits anti-correlated activity with arousal

and attention networks (Kucyi et al., 2017; Sridharan et al., 2008). In support of this, we found

that arousal significantly modulated activity in attention systems. The salience network showed

a general increase in activity across all stimuli in the handgrip block, whereas the visual system

demonstrated an interaction in which arousal further suppressed responses to target stimuli but

enhanced responses to other stimulus categories. Behaviorally, participants recognized

negative faces more accurately than positive faces under arousal and multivariate analyses

linked recognition performance to left LC brain activity. Taken together, these findings indicate

that LC arousal modulation is associated with increased salience network responses and

reduced coupling with the DMN, which relates to biased attentional priority toward prioritized

and negative-valence information.

An important aspect of our experiment design is that participants did not squeeze the

handgrip during the functional scans. We aimed to avoid confounding the results with motor

activity or cognitive load and instead leveraged the short-term physiological, residual effects of

sustained handgrip exertion performed during the structural MT scan. Our ASL data confirmed

that LC cerebral blood flow did not return immediately to baseline after handgrip but remained

somewhat elevated during the resting period, consistent with a sustained arousal response.

Prior evidence similarly shows that muscle sympathetic nerve activity remains elevated during

the post-handgrip ischemia phase before gradually returning to baseline during recovery

(Boulton et al., 2019). Accordingly, our functional data reflect carryover effects of arousal on

attention biases rather than concurrent increases in task demands. To control for these effects

and isolate the arousal manipulation, we fully counterbalanced the sequence of control and

handgrip blocks across participants and sessions. Finally, we interpret these effects as

reflecting short-term potentiation and noradrenaline release rather than consequences of

noradrenergic depletion, which typically emerges under prolonged stressors or administration of

pharmacological blockers (Prinzi et al., 2023).

Structural LC imaging has emerged as one of the most widely used approaches in the

fields of aging and neurodegenerative disease. Prior cross-sectional age-comparative findings

show that LC MRI contrast increases across adulthood, peaks in midlife, and subsequently

declines in older age (Liu et al., 2019; Riley et al., 2023). Furthermore, reductions in LC MRI

contrast have been linked to neurodegenerative conditions such as Alzheimer's disease and

Parkinson's disease (Betts et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2025; Madelung et al., 2022). One study has

also shown that higher LC MRI contrast predicts stronger physiological arousal responses to

stress (Bachman et al., 2022). In contrast, we observed that isometric handgrip decreased LC

MRI contrast. Two factors are important for interpreting this result. First, rather than relying on a

single voxel reflecting peak LC contrast that is typical in the literature, we used a cluster-based

nonparametric permutation approach to identify significant clusters of change between blocks.

Second, it is critical to consider what LC MRI contrast biologically reflects. Initial studies have

attributed this structural signal to neuromelanin deposition in LC neurons, with age-related

increase interpreted as accumulation of neuromelanin (Betts et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019;

Shibata et al., 2006). However, recent work by Watanabe and colleagues demonstrated that

MT-weighted LC signal reflects a combination of neuronal properties including the high

intracellular water content, relatively low macromolecular density, and the abundance of

paramagnetic ions in catecholaminergic neurons, rather than T_1 shortening molecules such as

neuromelanin (Watanabe, 2023; Watanabe, Tan, et al., 2019; Watanabe, Wang, et al., 2019).

These neuronal properties make the LC appear relatively bright on MT-weighted imaging

because its signal is less suppressed than that of surrounding brainstem tissue. Moreover, this

MT signal is also detectable in rodent LC despite the absence of neuromelanin (Watanabe,

Wang, et al., 2019). In our study, handgrip increased cerebral blood flow to the LC, and

perfusion imaging is sensitive to changes in the free-water proton pool associated with blood

delivery and exchange (Le Bihan, 2007). Although the high intracellular water content in LC

neurons leads to the elevated MT-weighted signal, blood contains mostly free water with very

few macromolecules. Consequently, when blood flow increases to the LC, the added

contribution of blood signal mixes with tissue signal, reducing the LC's relative brightness by

diluting its contrast against surrounding tissue. Therefore, we interpret our observed reductions

in LC MT signal not as evidence of structural degeneration, but as reflecting an acute increase

in local water content driven by elevated blood flow during short-term handgrip administration.

While amygdala hyperactivity is the most consistent finding across affective disorders

(Etkin & Wager, 2007; Ressler & Mayberg, 2007), our findings point to a dominant role of the

neuromodulatory LC-NA system in shaping valence-specific attention biases. Dysregulation of

the LC-NA system has been observed in anxiety disorders (Morris et al., 2020) and post-

traumatic stress disorder (Boukezzi et al., 2025). Post-mortem studies also identified that

individuals with major depressive disorder exhibit elevated corticotropin-releasing factor in the

LC (Bissette et al., 2003), indicating a chronic stress-related hypervigilant state of tonic, or

baseline, neuromodulatory activity. These findings indicate that alterations in LC function

contribute to psychiatric disorders. In rodents, optogenetic and chemogenetic stimulation of LC

fibers modulated basolateral amygdala neuronal activity which induced anxiety-like behavior

(Bayer et al., 2025; McCall et al., 2017), showing a mechanistic connection in which the

amygdala functions as a key downstream target through which LC hyperactivity exerts

pathological influence. Taken together, these findings highlight the LC as a critical yet

underappreciated structure in affective disorders and underscores the critical need to clarify the

mechanisms through which it contributes to models of psychopathology.

Our findings provide converging evidence that the locus coeruleus, and not the

amygdala, serves as the key driver of arousal-driven, valence-specific effects within affective

attention networks. By combining ultra-high field neuroimaging with the isometric handgrip

paradigm, we demonstrate that arousal-driven LC activity dynamically shapes attention

allocation and recognition memory for emotionally salient information. Using a novel high-

resolution perfusion imaging protocol, we demonstrate that our physiological arousal

manipulation via isometric handgrip selectively increases metabolic activity in the LC. Our study

provides mechanistic insight into how physiological arousal shapes salience and attention

network dynamics, with important implications for alterations of these mechanisms in affective

disorders, aging, and neurodegenerative diseases.

<div align="center">**Online Methods**</div>

Participants and Study Design

Twenty-two participants completed two separate sessions of the experiment (mean age:

24.8 years old, std. dev = 4.6, range: 18-32; female = 16; see Figure 1). All study protocols were

approved by the University of Southern California Institutional Review Board (UP-24-00514) and

written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to participation. In addition,

nine participants completed additional arterial spin labeling (ASL) scans. Participants completed

two experimental sessions on separate days (mean = 2.9 days apart) scheduled at

approximately the same time of day. Each session lasted two hours. At the beginning of each

session, participants completed the task portion of the experiment in the scanner. This portion

consisted of a T1-weighted MP2RAGE anatomical scan, followed by a block consisting of one

magnetization transfer (MT) structural scan targeting the brainstem and two runs of the oddball

task. This block was repeated, resulting in a second MT scan and two additional task runs.

During one of the two MT scans, participants performed an isometric handgrip task, alternating

between hands when fatigued, and thus consistently squeezing during the entire duration of the

scan. To counterbalance task order, half of the participants performed the handgrip task during

the first MT scan block, while the other half completed it during the second MT scan block. In

this study, the block without the handgrip is referred to as the control condition and the block

with the handgrip intervention is referred to as the arousal condition. After the task portion,

participants exited the scanner to complete a recognition memory task and a brief break.

Participants then returned to the scanner for ASL scans which included three conditions:

baseline control, arousal/handgrip, and recovery rest. As in the earlier structural MT scan,

participants performed the handgrip continuously during the handgrip ASL scan. The procedure

on session two was identical to session one except that the order of the handgrip condition was

reversed to achieve full counterbalancing. Participants who completed the handgrip during the

first block on Day 1 performed it during the second block on day 2, and *vice versa.*

Emotional oddball task procedures and stimuli

Participants completed four runs of the emotional oddball task each session (two runs

each block; see Figure 1). The experimental design was modified from a previous emotional

oddball paradigm measuring LC brain responses (Krebs et al., 2018). Each run comprised of

150 total trials: 105 repetitions of a familiar neutral face (standard face; 70%), 15 repetitions of a

familiar older adult face (target face; 10%), 15 novel happy faces (positive-valence face; 10%),

and 15 angry faces (negative-valence face; 10%). All stimuli were color photographs selected

from the following three sources: FACES database (Ebner et al., 2010), Chicago Face

Database (Ma et al., 2015), and Chinese Face dataset (Han et al., 2023). All faces were of

young adults except the target older adult face. The novel valence faces were balanced for

gender (50% male, 50% female) and race (approximately 33% White, 33% Black, 33% Asian).

Trials were randomized each run. On each trial, a face stimulus appeared centrally at fixation for

800 ms, followed by a jittered inter-trial interval (ITI) consisting of a central fixation cross

presented for 1.6-2.4 seconds (1.6, 1.8, 2.0, 2.2, or 2.4 seconds, equally often). A fixation cross

was continuously displayed throughout the task and overlaid on all face stimuli. Participants

were instructed to maintain fixation and silently count the number of target face presentations.

At the end of each run, participants verbally reported their target face count to the experimenter

to enforce task adherence.

Recognition memory task

Following the task portion of scanning, participants exited the scanner and completed a

recognition memory task. This test included all novel emotional faces previously presented

during the functional task scans, along with an additional set of thirty happy and angry faces that

had not been shown. Participants viewed each face individually and indicated whether they had

seen that face during the task (Yes/No recognition). Outcomes were analyzed separately for

faces presented in the control and handgrip blocks. For each subject and condition, hit rate was

calculated as the proportion of correctly identified items (hits; 60 instances per valence per

condition) and the false alarm rate as the proportion of new items incorrectly judged as old

(false alarms; 30 instances per valence). Log-linear corrections were applied to avoid infinite *z*-

scores (Hautus, 1995). Sensitivity (*d'*) reflects the extent to which participants can discriminate

between previously presented and novel items, with higher values indicating more accurate

discrimination. Response bias (c) reflects participants' decision tendencies such that lower

values indicate a greater likelihood of determining items to be old, whereas higher values

indicate a greater likelihood to endorse items as new.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) sequences

MRI data were collected using a 7T Terra system (Siemens Medical System, Erlangen,

Germany). For the structural and functional scans, data were collected with a 1Tx/32Rx head

coil (Nova Medical, Cambridge, MA, USA). A sagittal T1-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid

acquisition with gradient echo sequence (T1w-MP2RAGE) sequence as collected to provide

high-resolution anatomical images for co-registration with the following acquisition parameters:

matrix size = 231 x 256 x 192, voxel size = 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.0 mm, repetition time = 4300 ms, echo

time = 1.84 ms, inversion times = 840/2370 ms, flip angles = 5º/6 º, and acquisition time = 5:24

minutes. Axial magnetization transfer structural scans (Priovoulos et al., 2018) optimized for

brainstem imaging were acquired in a slab FOV (60 slices, 192 mm, 0.5 mm slice thickness)

covering the brainstem with the following acquisition parameters: matrix size = 263 x 350 x 350,

voxel size = 0.4 x 0.4 x 0.5 mm, repetition time = 400 ms, echo time = 2.55 ms, flip angle = 8º,

and acquisition time = 8:13 minutes. Finally, T2*-weighted gradient-echo echo-planar imaging

(EPI) sequences were acquired to measure blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) signals

during task performance with the following acquisition parameters: matrix size = 134 x 134 mm,

84 interleaved slices, 219 measurements, voxel size = 1.5 mm^3 isotropic, repetition time = 2000

ms, echo time = 27.40 ms, flip angle = 45º, multiband acceleration factor = 3, in-plane parallel

imaging: GRAPPA with acceleration factor = 2, and acquisition time = 7:46 minutes.

For arterial spin labeling (ASL) scans, data were collected with an 8Tx/32Rx head coil

(Nova Medical) on the investigational pTx part of the 7T Terra system. Imaging was conducted

using whole-brain 3D pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling (3D pCASL) with 1 mm isotropic

resolution (Wang et al., 2022). Data were acquired using the rotated golden-angle stack-of-

spirals (rGA-SOS) sampling scheme (Munsch et al., 2020) with the following parameters: field of

view (FOV) = 256 x 256 x 144 mm^3, one spiral shot per TR, and 144 kHz partitions filled per TR

(Guo et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2023). Each M_0, label, and control acquisition consisted of 12

spiral interleaves acquired over 12 TRs. Three dynamic image volumes were reconstructed for

each acquisition. Additional imaging parameters included: acceleration rate (R) = 4, TR = 7 s,

effective perfusion-weighted image (PWI) volume TR (TRPWI) = 56 s, echo spacing = 19.5 ms,

TE = 1.72 ms, ADC bandwidth = 400 kHz, ADC duration = 10.5 ms, flip angle = 15°, and echo

train length (ETL) = 72. We employed a novel reconstruction framework to image the brainstem

by integrating compressed sensing reconstruction with motion-resolved self-navigation to

reduce motion artifacts (Jung et al., 2009; Lustig et al., 2007). The inverse problem was solved

using the alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM) (Boyd, 2010), implemented with 10

ADMM iterations and three nested conjugate gradient steps per iteration. To correct for artifacts

inherent to spiral acquisition, blurring was addressed using multi-frequency interpolation (MFI)

(Man et al., 1997), and spiral trajectory errors were corrected by incorporating a gradient

impulse response function (GIRF) measured on the scanner (Duyn et al., 1998; Robison et al.,

2019; Vannesjo et al., 2013). To address the problem of B_0 field inhomogeneity at 7T, we first

conducted an ASL prescan (2 minutes 20 seconds) followed by B_0 mapping scans (1 minute 30

seconds) and then the three ASL condition runs. The acquisition time of the pre-handgrip run

was 10 minutes 16 seconds and for the subsequent handgrip and post-handgrip runs were 8

minutes 52 seconds.

MRI data preprocessing

All anatomic and functional MRI data were automatically preprocessed using *fMRIprep*

version 24.0.0 (Esteban et al., 2019) which is based on *Nipype* 1.8.6 (Gorgolewski et al., 2011).

The complete *fMRIprep* boilerplate output is provided in Supplementary Information.

Subsequent preprocessing steps and statistical analyses were conducted using the AFNI

software package (Cox, 1996). The two MT structural scans were analyzed using Advanced

Normalization Tools, version 2.3.3 (Avants et al., 2009). Structural scans were aligned to the

initial T1-weighted MP2RAGE scan using *antsRegistration*. A whole-brain group template was

then generated through four iterations of *antsMultivariateTemplateConstruction,* including

N4BiasFieldCorrection. All transformation matrices were concatenated and applied to subject-

level MT structural scans via *antsApplyTransforms* to bring them from native space to group

template space.

The eight functional scans, normalized to 2 mm isotropic MNI 152 non-linear 2009c

asymmetric space via *fMRIprep*, were further smoothed with a 2 mm full-width half-maximum

(FWHM) Gaussian kernel using AFNI's *3dmerge* and scaled to 100% using AFNI's *3dTstat* and

3dcalc. A general linear model (GLM) was then estimated with AFNI's *3dDeconvolve* to quantify

condition-specific brain responses. Volumes exceeding 0.3 mm framewise displacement were

censored to minimize motion artifacts (Ciric et al., 2017). The GLM included four stimulus

conditions: standard, target, positive valence, and negative valence stimuli. To account for

motion and physiological noise, the model incorporated six motion parameters, global signal

regressors from white matter, cerebrospinal fluid, and the whole-brain mask, along with their

temporal derivatives and quadratic terms resulting in a total of 36 nuisance regressors

(Satterthwaite et al., 2013). The hemodynamic response function was modeled using a SPMG3

basis function which incorporates both the canonical response and its temporal and dispersion

derivatives (Calhoun et al., 2004). To correct for temporal autocorrelation in the residuals and

improve parameter estimation, we applied AFNI's *3dREMLfit* using a voxelwise autoregressive-

moving-average (ARMA) model proving more accurate β-parameter estimates by accounting for

serial correlations in the fMRI time series.

ASL MRI data preprocessing was also performed using AFNI and ANTs. Linear motion

correction of control and label images were conducted using AFNI's *3dvolreg* and followed by

registration of the mean control image to the T1-weighted anatomical image using AFNI's

align_epi_anat.py. A 12-parameter affine transformation was estimated from the anatomical

image to the MNI152 template (mni_icbm152_nlin_asym_09c), and a subsequent nonlinear

transformation was computed using ANTs *antsRegistration*.

MRI data analyses

For functional MRI analyses, a high-confidence LC consensus mask was applied to

extract LC brain responses and cerebral blood flow (Dahl et al., 2022). We also utilized the

publicly-available pontine reference area mask as a control region of interest (Dahl et al., 2022).

Spatial smoothness was estimated using the autocorrelation function (ACF) of the residuals and

averaged across subjects and sessions. Cluster thresholds for family-wise error correction (α

= .05) were then determined using AFNI's *3dClustSim* based on the averaged ACF parameters

and were applied to statistical maps thresholded at a voxelwise criterion of $p < .001$. This

approach accounts for the non-Gaussian nature of spatial autocorrelation in functional MRI data

and follows the standards and threshold of best practices for cluster-level inferences (Cox et al.,

2017). Using a nearest-neighbor connectivity of two and bi-sided voxelwise threshold of p

= .001, simulations indicated that a minimum cluster extent of five contiguous voxels was

required to achieve a family-wise error rate of $p < .05$. Only clusters meeting this threshold are

reported. To observe activity and functional connectivity within the major attention networks in

the brain, we used a seven-network parcellation previously delineated using large-scale intrinsic

connectivity networks (Yeo et al., 2011). We used the strict mask version of the parcellation to

minimize overlap and ensure precise assignment of voxels to the following networks: visual,

dorsal attention, ventral attention, and frontoparietal attention networks. Finally, amygdala

masks were derived from the Jülich Histological Atlas with a probability threshold of > 60% to

ensure high anatomical specificity for each subregion. β-parameter estimates were extracted

from all masks across all stimulus types using AFNI's *3dmaskave*. When conducting post-hoc *t*-

test analyses, we report the Holm-Bonferroni corrected *p*-values (Holm, 1979). In addition, if

Mauchly's test of sphericity was violated, we applied the Greenhouse-Geisser correction

(Greenhouse & Geisser, 1959). Effect sizes for analysis of variance (ANOVA) analyses are

reported as generalized eta squared (η^2_g).

For structural MRI analyses, we created a study-specific LC group mask to quantify

intensity changes using the high resolution of our scans. First, MT structural scans were aligned

to the T1-weighted MP2RAGE structural scans, and a group template was created using

antsMultivariateTemplateConstruction.sh. The LC was then manually delineated in ITK-SNAP

version 4.2 (Yushkevich et al., 2006) based on hyperintensity patterns that were reliably visible

at high resolution (see Figure 8A). This group-level LC mask was subsequently transformed into

each participant's native MT space using ANTs *applyTransforms* with the corresponding inverse

warps. Voxelwise intensity values were extracted from each native-space LC mask using AFNI's

3dmaskdrump. LC voxels were normalized by dividing each voxel's value by the mean signal

intensity of a pons reference region restricted to the same z-slices as the LC mask. Finally, we

conducted a clusterwise permutation analysis to test for significant differences in LC intensity

between handgrip and control conditions, averaged across sessions (voxelwise threshold, *p*

< .005; cluster-level family-wise error (FWE) correction, *p* < .05; 10,000 iterations).

In the ASL scans, to evaluate differences in cerebral blood flow between conditions, we

used a nonparametric bootstrap randomization procedure with 10,000 iterations and 95% bias-

corrected accelerated (BCa) confidence intervals (α = .05).

fMRI Functional Connectivity Analyses

To examine dynamic interactions between the LC and the whole brain, we applied the β-

series correlation approach (Rissman et al., 2004). For each subject and session, trial-wise

GLM estimates were obtained using least-squares-all estimation with individual trial modulators

(Mumford et al., 2012) from the residual maximum likelihood (REML) fit. Per-trial β-maps were

generated for the LC seed as well as for the central pontine reference mask. Seed-to-voxel β-

series correlations were computed separately for the control and handgrip conditions, with

whole-brain Pearson correlations estimated using AFNI's *3dTcorr1D.* Thus, each beta series

reflects a voxel's estimated activity during the presentation of each stimulus for each task

condition. Correlation coefficients were converted to Z-scores via Fisher's *r*-to-*z* transformation.

Condition differences were computed using AFNI's *3dttest++* with family-wise error correction at

p < .05 and a voxelwise threshold of *p* < .005.

Brain-behavior partial least square correlations (PLSC)

To investigate the relationship between brain activity and behavioral performance across

sessions, we employed partial least squares correlation analyses, a multivariate statistical

framework designed to identify patterns of covariance between two variables (Krishnan et al.,

2011; McIntosh & Lobaugh, 2004), as previously used in LC neuroimaging research (Dahl et al.,

2022). Unlike univariate approaches that test each association independently, PLSC examines

the joint relationship across all variables simultaneously, making it robust for datasets across

modalities. In this study, we implemented a cross-linked PLSC approach to integrate information

from both scanning sessions into a single model rather than analyzing sessions independently.

In this framework, data from both sessions were concatenated in a block structure and latent

variables (LVs) were extracted to reflect patterns of shared variance consistent across sessions.

This method enhances sensitivity to stable brain-behavior relationship by pooling variance

across sessions, while also allowing for post-hoc comparisons to evaluate the magnitude and

direction of these patterns per session. PLSC computes the cross-covariance matrix between

measures and decomposes it via singular value decomposition (SVD) to identify the latent

variables that maximize shared variance. Each LV includes a pair of weight vectors for each

dataset and describes the contribution of each variable to the multivariate pattern. In this study,

we explored the relationship between LC brain responses to each stimulus type (standard,

target, positive valence, negative valence) for each hemisphere with recognition memory hit

rates for emotionally valenced faces during the recognition memory task. To evaluate statistical

robustness, we performed permutation testing (10,000 iterations) to assess the significance of

each LV and bootstrap resampling (10,000) iterations to estimate the stability of individual

variable weights. Bootstrap ratios (BSRs) were calculated as the ratio of each weight to its

bootstrap-derived standard error and were interpreted analogous to Z-scores, in which |BSR| >

1.96 was deemed statistically significant. Primary analyses focused on control blocks to

establish core associations between LC activity and recognition memory to emotional faces.

Data Availability Statement

The datasets generated during the current study are available in the *OpenNeuro*

repository (ds006690; repository will be made public after acceptance).

References

Aston-Jones, G., & Cohen, J. D. (2005). An integrative theory of locus coeruleus-norepinephrine
function: adaptive gain and optimal performance. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *28*(1),
403–450.
Avants, B. B., Tustison, N., & Song, G. (2009). Advanced normalization tools (ANTS). *Insight j*,
2(365), 1–35.
Bachman, S. L., Attanti, S., & Mather, M. (2023). Isometric handgrip exercise speeds working
memory responses in younger and older adults. *Psychology and Aging*, *38*(4), 305–322.
Bachman, S. L., Nashiro, K., Yoo, H., Wang, D., Thayer, J. F., & Mather, M. (2022).
Associations between locus coeruleus MRI contrast and physiological responses to
acute stress in younger and older adults. *Brain Research*, *1796*(148070), 148070.

Baumeister, R. F., Bratslavsky, E., Finkenauer, C., & Vohs, K. D. (2001). Bad is stronger than good. *Review of General Psychology: Journal of Division 1, of the American Psychological Association*, *5*(4), 323–370.

Bayer, H., Binette, A., Sweck, S., Juliano, V., Plas, S., Ferst, L., ... & Maren, S. (2025). Locus Coeruleus-Amygdala Circuit Disrupts Prefrontal Control to Impair Fear Extinction [Preprint]. *bioRxiv*.

Berger, A., Koshmanova, E., Beckers, E., Sharifpour, R., Paparella, I., Campbell, I., Mortazavi, N., Balda, F., Yi, Y.-J., Lamalle, L., Dricot, L., Phillips, C., Jacobs, H. I. L., Talwar, P., El Tahry, R., Sherif, S., & Vandewalle, G. (2023). Structural and functional characterization of the locus coeruleus in young and late middle-aged individuals. *Frontiers in Neuroimaging*, *2*, 1207844.

Betts, M. J., Kirilina, E., Otaduy, M. C. G., Ivanov, D., Acosta-Cabronero, J., Callaghan, M. F., Lambert, C., Cardenas-Blanco, A., Pine, K., Passamonti, L., Loane, C., Keuken, M. C., Trujillo, P., Lüsebrink, F., Mattern, H., Liu, K. Y., Priovoulos, N., Fliessbach, K., Dahl, M. J., … Hämmerer, D. (2019). Locus coeruleus imaging as a biomarker for noradrenergic dysfunction in neurodegenerative diseases. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*, *142*(9), 2558–2571.

Bissette, G., Klimek, V., Pan, J., Stockmeier, C., & Ordway, G. (2003). Elevated concentrations of CRF in the locus coeruleus of depressed subjects. *Neuropsychopharmacology: Official Publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*, *28*(7), 1328–1335.

Boukezzi, S. C., Neukam, P. T., Nicholas, B., Jacob, Y., Smith, D. A., Whitaker, Y., Rutter, S. B., Soogrim, V., Feder, A., Charney, D. S., Grillon, C., Kundu, P., Balchandani, P., Morris, L. S., & Murrough, J. W. (2025). Role of the locus coeruleus in response to threat in anxiety disorders and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD): An ultra-high field 7-Tesla functional MRI study. *Biological Psychiatry Global Open Science*, *100596*, 100596.

Boulton, D., Green, S., Macefield, V. G., & Taylor, C. E. (2019). A comparison of muscle sympathetic nerve activity to non-contracting muscle during isometric exercise in the upper and lower limbs. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *13*, 341.

Bouret, S., & Sara, S. J. (2005). Network reset: a simplified overarching theory of locus coeruleus noradrenaline function. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *28*(11), 574–582.

Boyd, S. (2010). Distributed optimization and statistical learning via the alternating direction method of multipliers. *Foundations and Trends® in Machine Learning*, *3*(1), 1–122.

Bueichekú, E., Diez, I., Kim, C.-M., Becker, J. A., Koops, E. A., Kwong, K., Papp, K. V., Salat, D. H., Bennett, D. A., Rentz, D. M., Sperling, R. A., Johnson, K. A., Sepulcre, J., & Jacobs, H. I. L. (2024). Spatiotemporal patterns of locus coeruleus integrity predict cortical tau and cognition. *Nature Aging*, *4*(5), 625–637.

Calhoun, V. D., Stevens, M. C., Pearlson, G. D., & Kiehl, K. A. (2004). fMRI analysis with the general linear model: removal of latency-induced amplitude bias by incorporation of hemodynamic derivative terms. *NeuroImage*, *22*(1), 252–257.

Carretié, L. (2014). Exogenous (automatic) attention to emotional stimuli: a review. *Cognitive, Affective & Behavioral Neuroscience*, *14*(4), 1228–1258.

Ciric, R., Wolf, D. H., Power, J. D., Roalf, D. R., Baum, G. L., Ruparel, K., Shinohara, R. T., Elliott, M. A., Eickhoff, S. B., Davatzikos, C., Gur, R. C., Gur, R. E., Bassett, D. S., & Satterthwaite, T. D. (2017). Benchmarking of participant-level confound regression strategies for the control of motion artifact in studies of functional connectivity. *NeuroImage*, *154*, 174–187.

Clement, P., Petr, J., Dijsselhof, M. B. J., Padrela, B., Pasternak, M., Dolui, S., Jarutyte, L., Pinter, N., Hernandez-Garcia, L., Jahn, A., Kuijer, J. P. A., Barkhof, F., Mutsaerts, H. J. M. M., & Keil, V. C. (2022). A beginner's guide to arterial spin labeling (ASL) image processing. *Frontiers in Radiology*, *2*, 929533.

Clewett, D. V., Huang, R., Velasco, R., Lee, T.-H., & Mather, M. (2018). Locus coeruleus activity
strengthens prioritized memories under arousal. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The*
Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, *38*(6), 1558–1574.
Costa, V. D., & Rudebeck, P. H. (2016). More than meets the eye: The relationship between
pupil size and locus coeruleus activity [Review of *More than meets the eye: The*
relationship between pupil size and locus coeruleus activity]. *Neuron*, *89*(1), 8–10.
Costafreda, S. G., Brammer, M. J., David, A. S., & Fu, C. H. Y. (2008). Predictors of amygdala
activation during the processing of emotional stimuli: a meta-analysis of 385 PET and
fMRI studies. *Brain Research Reviews*, *58*(1), 57–70.
Cox, R. W. (1996). AFNI: software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic
resonance neuroimages. *Computers and Biomedical Research, an International Journal*,
29(3), 162–173.
Cox, R. W., Chen, G., Glen, D. R., Reynolds, R. C., & Taylor, P. A. (2017). FMRI clustering in
AFNI: False-positive rates redux. *Brain Connectivity*, *7*(3), 152–171.
Dahl, M. J., Bachman, S., Dutt, S., Düzel, S., Bodammer, N., Lindenberger, U., Kühn, S.,
Werkle-Bergner, M., & Mather, M. (2023). The integrity of dopaminergic and
noradrenergic brain regions is associated with different aspects of late-life memory
performance. *BioRxiv, 3*, 1128–1143.
Dahl, M. J., Li, T., Mather, M., & Werkle-Bergner, M. (2025). Locus coeruleus-related insula
activation supports implicit learning. In *bioRxivorg*.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.05.10.653174
Dahl, M. J., Mather, M., Werkle-Bergner, M., Kennedy, B. L., Guzman, S., Hurth, K., Miller, C.
A., Qiao, Y., Shi, Y., Chui, H. C., & Ringman, J. M. (2022). Locus coeruleus integrity is
related to tau burden and memory loss in autosomal-dominant Alzheimer's disease.
Neurobiology of Aging, *112*, 39–54.
Dampney, R. A. L. (2015). Central mechanisms regulating coordinated cardiovascular and
respiratory function during stress and arousal. *American Journal of Physiology.*
Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology, *309*(5), R429-43.
Duyn, J. H., Yang, Y., Frank, J. A., & van der Veen J. (1998). Simple correction method for k-
space trajectory deviations in MRI. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, *132*(1), 150–153.
Ebner, N. C., Riediger, M., & Lindenberger, U. (2010). FACES--a database of facial expressions
in young, middle-aged, and older women and men: development and validation.
Behavior Research Methods, *42*(1), 351–362.
Esteban, O., Birman, D., Schaer, M., Koyejo, O. O., Poldrack, R. A., & Gorgolewski, K. J.
(2017). MRIQC: Advancing the automatic prediction of image quality in MRI from unseen
sites. *PloS One*, *12*(9), e0184661.
Esteban, O., Markiewicz, C. J., Blair, R. W., Moodie, C. A., Isik, A. I., Erramuzpe, A., Kent, J. D.,
Goncalves, M., DuPre, E., Snyder, M., Oya, H., Ghosh, S. S., Wright, J., Durnez, J.,
Poldrack, R. A., & Gorgolewski, K. J. (2019). fMRIPrep: a robust preprocessing pipeline
for functional MRI. *Nature Methods*, *16*(1), 111–116.
Etkin, A., & Wager, T. D. (2007). Functional neuroimaging of anxiety: a meta-analysis of
emotional processing in PTSD, social anxiety disorder, and specific phobia. *The*
American Journal of Psychiatry, *164*(10), 1476–1488.
Fallon, J. H., Koziell, D. A., & Moore, R. Y. (1978). Catecholamine innervation of the basal
forebrain. II. Amygdala, suprarhinal cortex and entorhinal cortex. *The Journal of*
Comparative Neurology, *180*(3), 509–532.
Forstmann, B. U., de Hollander, G., van Maanen, L., Alkemade, A., & Keuken, M. C. (2016).
Towards a mechanistic understanding of the human subcortex. *Nature Reviews.*
Neuroscience, *18*(1), 57–65.
Gore, J. C. (2003). Principles and practice of functional MRI of the human brain. *The Journal of*
Clinical Investigation, *112*(1), 4–9.

Gorgolewski, K., Burns, C. D., Madison, C., Clark, D., Halchenko, Y. O., Waskom, M. L., & Ghosh, S. S. (2011). Nipype: a flexible, lightweight and extensible neuroimaging data processing framework in python. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, *5*, 13.

Greenhouse, S. W., & Geisser, S. (1959). On methods in the analysis of profile data. *Psychometrika*, *24*(2), 95–112.

Grueschow, M., Stenz, N., Thörn, H., Ehlert, U., Breckwoldt, J., Brodmann Maeder, M., Exadaktylos, A. K., Bingisser, R., Ruff, C. C., & Kleim, B. (2021). Real-world stress resilience is associated with the responsivity of the locus coeruleus. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), 2275.

Gu, Y., Piper, W. T., Branigan, L. A., Vazey, E. M., Aston-Jones, G., Lin, L., LeDoux, J. E., & Sears, R. M. (2020). A brainstem-central amygdala circuit underlies defensive responses to learned threats. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *25*(3), 640–654.

Guo, F., Zhao, C., Shou, Q., Jin, N., Jann, K., Shao, X., & Wang, D. J. (2024). Assessing cerebral microvascular volumetric pulsatility with high-resolution 4D CBV MRI at 7T. *MedRxiv: The Preprint Server for Health Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.04.24313077

Han, S., Guo, Y., Zhou, X., Huang, J., Shen, L., & Luo, Y. (2023). A Chinese face dataset with dynamic expressions and diverse ages synthesized by deep learning. *Scientific Data*, *10*(1), 878.

Hautus, M. J. (1995). Corrections for extreme proportions and their biasing effects on estimated values of *d'*. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, *27*(1), 46–51.

Henkelman, R. M., Stanisz, G. J., & Graham, S. J. (2001). Magnetization transfer in MRI: a review. *NMR in Biomedicine*, *14*(2), 57–64.

Holm, S. (1979). A Simple Sequentially Rejective Multiple Test Procedure. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, *6*(2), 65–70.

Huang, T. X., Wang, S., & Ran, C. (2025). Interoceptive processing in the nucleus of the solitary tract. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *93*(103021), 103021.

Jacobs, H. I., Priovoulos, N., Poser, B. A., Pagen, L. H., Ivanov, D., Verhey, F. R., & Uludağ, K. (2020). Dynamic behavior of the locus coeruleus during arousal-related memory processing in a multi-modal 7T fMRI paradigm. *ELife*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.52059

Joshi, S., & Gold, J. I. (2020). Pupil size as a window on neural substrates of cognition. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *24*(6), 466–480.

Jung, H., Sung, K., Nayak, K. S., Kim, E. Y., & Ye, J. C. (2009). k-t FOCUSS: a general compressed sensing framework for high resolution dynamic MRI: k-t FOCUSS. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *61*(1), 103–116.

Krebs, R. M., Park, H. R. P., Bombeke, K., & Boehler, C. N. (2018). Modulation of locus coeruleus activity by novel oddball stimuli. *Brain Imaging and Behavior*, *12*(2), 577–584.

Krishnan, A., Williams, L. J., McIntosh, A. R., & Abdi, H. (2011). Partial Least Squares (PLS) methods for neuroimaging: a tutorial and review. *NeuroImage*, *56*(2), 455–475.

Kucyi, A., Hove, M. J., Esterman, M., Hutchison, R. M., & Valera, E. M. (2017). Dynamic brain network correlates of spontaneous fluctuations in attention. *Cerebral Cortex (New York, N.Y.: 1991)*, *27*(3), 1831–1840.

LaBar, K. S., & Cabeza, R. (2006). Cognitive neuroscience of emotional memory. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, *7*(1), 54–64.

Le Bihan, D. (2007). The "wet mind": water and functional neuroimaging. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, *52*(7), R57-90.

Lee, T.-H., Greening, S. G., Ueno, T., Clewett, D., Ponzio, A., Sakaki, M., & Mather, M. (2018). Arousal increases neural gain via the locus coeruleus-norepinephrine system in younger adults but not in older adults. *Nature Human Behaviour*, *2*(5), 356–366.

Lin, H., Müller-Bardorff, M., Gathmann, B., Brieke, J., Mothes-Lasch, M., Bruchmann, M., Miltner, W. H. R., & Straube, T. (2020). Stimulus arousal drives amygdalar responses to emotional expressions across sensory modalities. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 1898.

Liu, K. Y., Acosta-Cabronero, J., Cárdenas-Blanco, A., Loane, C., Berry, A., Betts, M., Kievit, R., Henson, R., Düzel, E., Howard, R., & Hämmerer, D. (2019). In vivo visualization of age-related differences in the locus coeruleus. *Neurobiology of Aging*, *74*, 101–111.

Liu, K. Y., Betts, M. J., Hämmerer, D., Düzel, E., Mather, M., Roiser, J. P., Schneider, A., Spottke, A., Rostamzadeh, A., Schott, B. H., Rauchmann, B.-S., Laske, C., Janowitz, D., Spruth, E. J., Ersözlü, E., Lüsebrink, F., Jessen, F., Frommann, I., Kilimann, I., … Howard, R. (2025). Locus coeruleus signal intensity and emotion regulation in agitation in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Communications*, *7*(1), fcae457.

Ludwig, M., Yi, Y., Lüsebrink, F., Callaghan, M. F., Betts, M. J., Yakupov, R., Weiskopf, N., Dolan, R. J., Düzel, E., & Hämmerer, D. (2024). Functional locus coeruleus imaging to investigate an ageing noradrenergic system. *Communications Biology*, *7*(1), 777.

Lustig, M., Donoho, D., & Pauly, J. M. (2007). Sparse MRI: The application of compressed sensing for rapid MR imaging. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *58*(6), 1182–1195.

Ma, D. S., Correll, J., & Wittenbrink, B. (2015). The Chicago face database: A free stimulus set of faces and norming data. *Behavior Research Methods*, *47*(4), 1122–1135.

Madelung, C. F., Meder, D., Fuglsang, S. A., Marques, M. M., Boer, V. O., Madsen, K. H., Petersen, E. T., Hejl, A.-M., Løkkegaard, A., & Siebner, H. R. (2022). Locus coeruleus shows a spatial pattern of structural disintegration in Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders: Official Journal of the Movement Disorder Society*, *37*(3), 479–489.

Man, L. C., Pauly, J. M., & Macovski, A. (1997). Multifrequency interpolation for fast off-resonance correction. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *37*(5), 785–792.

Markovic, J., Anderson, A. K., & Todd, R. M. (2014). Tuning to the significant: neural and genetic processes underlying affective enhancement of visual perception and memory. *Behavioural Brain Research*, *259*, 229–241.

Mather, M., Clewett, D., Sakaki, M., & Harley, C. W. (2016). Norepinephrine ignites local hotspots of neuronal excitation: How arousal amplifies selectivity in perception and memory. *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *39*, e200.

Mather, M., Huang, R., Clewett, D., Nielsen, S. E., Velasco, R., Tu, K., Han, S., & Kennedy, B. L. (2020). Isometric exercise facilitates attention to salient events in women via the noradrenergic system. *NeuroImage*, *210*(116560), 116560.

McCall, J. G., Siuda, E. R., Bhatti, D. L., Lawson, L. A., McElligott, Z. A., Stuber, G. D., & Bruchas, M. R. (2017). Locus coeruleus to basolateral amygdala noradrenergic projections promote anxiety-like behavior. *ELife*, *6*, e18247.

McIntosh, A. R., & Lobaugh, N. J. (2004). Partial least squares analysis of neuroimaging data: applications and advances. *NeuroImage*, *23 Suppl 1*, S250-63.

Mello-Carpes, P. B., & Izquierdo, I. (2013). The Nucleus of the Solitary Tract → Nucleus Paragigantocellularis → Locus Coeruleus → CA1 region of dorsal hippocampus pathway is important for consolidation of object recognition memory. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, *100*, 56–63.

Morris, L. S., McCall, J. G., Charney, D. S., & Murrough, J. W. (2020). The role of the locus coeruleus in the generation of pathological anxiety. *Brain and Neuroscience Advances*, *4*, 2398212820930321.

Mumford, J. A., Turner, B. O., Ashby, F. G., & Poldrack, R. A. (2012). Deconvolving BOLD activation in event-related designs for multivoxel pattern classification analyses. *NeuroImage*, *59*(3), 2636–2643.

Munsch, F., Taso, M., Zhao, L., Lebel, R. M., Guidon, A., Detre, J. A., & Alsop, D. C. (2020). Rotated spiral RARE for high spatial and temporal resolution volumetric arterial spin labeling acquisition. *NeuroImage*, *223*(117371), 117371.

954 Murphy, P. R., O'Connell, R. G., O'Sullivan, M., Robertson, I. H., & Balsters, J. H. (2014). Pupil
955  diameter covaries with BOLD activity in human locus coeruleus: Pupil Diameter and
956  Locus Coeruleus Activity. *Human Brain Mapping*, *35*(8), 4140–4154.
957 Nielsen, S. E., & Mather, M. (2015). Comparison of two isometric handgrip protocols on
958  sympathetic arousal in women. *Physiology & Behavior*, *142*, 5–13.
959 Nieuwenhuis, S., Aston-Jones, G., & Cohen, J. D. (2005). Decision making, the P3, and the
960  locus coeruleus-norepinephrine system. *Psychological Bulletin*, *131*(4), 510–532.
961 Paton, J. J., Belova, M. A., Morrison, S. E., & Salzman, C. D. (2006). The primate amygdala
962  represents the positive and negative value of visual stimuli during learning. *Nature*,
963  *439*(7078), 865–870.
964 Pessoa, L., & Adolphs, R. (2010). Emotion processing and the amygdala: from a "low road" to
965  "many roads" of evaluating biological significance. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*,
966  *11*(11), 773–783.
967 Pike, G. B. (2012). Quantitative functional MRI: concepts, issues and future challenges.
968  *NeuroImage*, *62*(2), 1234–1240.
969 Prinzi, C., Kostenko, A., de Leo, G., Gulino, R., Leanza, G., & Caccamo, A. (2023). Selective
970  noradrenaline depletion in the neocortex and hippocampus induces working memory
971  deficits and regional occurrence of pathological proteins. *Biology*, *12*(9), 1264.
972 Priovoulos, N., Jacobs, H. I. L., Ivanov, D., Uludağ, K., Verhey, F. R. J., & Poser, B. A. (2018).
973  High-resolution in vivo imaging of human locus coeruleus by magnetization transfer MRI
974  at 3T and 7T. *NeuroImage*, *168*, 427–436.
975 Prokopiou, P. C., Engels-Domínguez, N., Papp, K. V., Scott, M. R., Schultz, A. P., Schneider,
976  C., Farrell, M. E., Buckley, R. F., Quiroz, Y. T., El Fakhri, G., Rentz, D. M., Sperling, R.
977  A., Johnson, K. A., & Jacobs, H. I. L. (2022). Lower novelty-related locus coeruleus
978  function is associated with Aβ-related cognitive decline in clinically healthy individuals.
979  *Nature Communications*, *13*(1), 1571.
980 Raichle, M. E., MacLeod, A. M., Snyder, A. Z., Powers, W. J., Gusnard, D. A., & Shulman, G. L.
981  (2001). A default mode of brain function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
982  Sciences of the United States of America*, *98*(2), 676–682.
983 Ressler, K. J., & Mayberg, H. S. (2007). Targeting abnormal neural circuits in mood and anxiety
984  disorders: from the laboratory to the clinic. *Nature Neuroscience*, *10*(9), 1116–1124.
985 Riley, E., Swallow, K., Anderson, A., & De Rosa, E. (2023). Locus coeruleus hyperactivity in
986  middle age may be associated with better cognitive performance. *Alzheimer's &
987  Dementia: The Journal of the Alzheimer's Association*, *19*(S24), e082666.
988 Rissman, J., Gazzaley, A., & D'Esposito, M. (2004). Measuring functional connectivity during
989  distinct stages of a cognitive task. *NeuroImage*, *23*(2), 752–763.
990 Robison, R. K., Li, Z., Wang, D., Ooi, M. B., & Pipe, J. G. (2019). Correction of B0 eddy current
991  effects in spiral MRI: ROBISON ET AL. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *81*(4), 2501–
992  2513.
993 Rozin, P., & Royzman, E. (2001). Negativity bias, negativity dominance, and contagion.
994  *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, *5*(4), 296–320.
995 Sara, S. J. (2009). The locus coeruleus and noradrenergic modulation of cognition. *Nature
996  Reviews. Neuroscience*, *10*(3), 211–223.
997 Satterthwaite, T. D., Elliott, M. A., Gerraty, R. T., Ruparel, K., Loughead, J., Calkins, M. E.,
998  Eickhoff, S. B., Hakonarson, H., Gur, R. C., Gur, R. E., & Wolf, D. H. (2013). An
999  improved framework for confound regression and filtering for control of motion artifact in
1000  the preprocessing of resting-state functional connectivity data. *NeuroImage*, *64*, 240–
1001  256.
1002 Schwarz, L. A., Miyamichi, K., Gao, X. J., Beier, K. T., Weissbourd, B., DeLoach, K. E., Ren, J.,
1003  Ibanes, S., Malenka, R. C., Kremer, E. J., & Luo, L. (2015). Viral-genetic tracing of the
1004  input-output organization of a central noradrenaline circuit. *Nature*, *524*(7563), 88–92.

Sergerie, K., Chochol, C., & Armony, J. L. (2008). The role of the amygdala in emotional
processing: a quantitative meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies.
Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, *32*(4), 811–830.
Shibata, E., Sasaki, M., Tohyama, K., Kanbara, Y., Otsuka, K., Ehara, S., & Sakai, A. (2006).
Age-related changes in locus ceruleus on neuromelanin magnetic resonance imaging at
3 Tesla. *Magnetic Resonance in Medical Sciences: MRMS: An Official Journal of Japan*
Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, *5*(4), 197–200.
Shine, J. M., Bissett, P. G., Bell, P. T., Koyejo, O., Balsters, J. H., Gorgolewski, K. J., Moodie,
C. A., & Poldrack, R. A. (2016). The dynamics of functional brain networks: Integrated
network states during cognitive task performance. *Neuron*, *92*(2), 544–554.
Sridharan, D., Levitin, D. J., & Menon, V. (2008). A critical role for the right fronto-insular cortex
in switching between central-executive and default-mode networks. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, *105*(34), 12569–12574.
Stanislaw, H., & Todorov, N. (1999). Calculation of signal detection theory measures. *Behavior*
Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers: A Journal of the Psychonomic Society,
Inc, *31*(1), 137–149.
Tully, K., & Bolshakov, V. Y. (2010). Emotional enhancement of memory: how norepinephrine
enables synaptic plasticity. *Molecular Brain*, *3*(1), 15.
van den Brink, R. L., Pfeffer, T., & Donner, T. H. (2019). Brainstem modulation of large-scale
intrinsic cortical activity correlations. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *13*, 340.
Vannesjo, S. J., Haeberlin, M., Kasper, L., Pavan, M., Wilm, B. J., Barmet, C., & Pruessmann,
K. P. (2013). Gradient system characterization by impulse response measurements with
a dynamic field camera: Gradient System Characterization with a Dynamic Field
Camera. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *69*(2), 583–593.
Vuilleumier, P. (2005). How brains beware: neural mechanisms of emotional attention. *Trends in*
Cognitive Sciences, *9*(12), 585–594.
Wang, K., Ma, S. J., Shao, X., Zhao, C., Shou, Q., Yan, L., & Wang, D. J. J. (2022).
Optimization of pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling at 7T with parallel transmission
B1 shimming. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *87*(1), 249–262.
Watanabe, T. (2023). Neuromelanin? MRI of catecholaminergic neurons. *Brain : A Journal of*
Neurology. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awad393
Watanabe, T., Tan, Z., Wang, X., Martinez-Hernandez, A., & Frahm, J. (2019). Magnetic
resonance imaging of noradrenergic neurons. *Brain Structure & Function*, *224*(4), 1609–
1625.
Watanabe, T., Wang, X., Tan, Z., & Frahm, J. (2019). Magnetic resonance imaging of brain cell
water. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 1–14.
Weiss, E., Liu, Y., & Wang, Q. (2025). The contributions of the locus coeruleus-norepinephrine system to
the coupling between pupil-linked arousal and cortical state. [Preprint]. bioRxiv.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.05.07.652771
Woodward, O. B., Driver, I., Schwarz, S. T., Hart, E., & Wise, R. (2023). Assessment of
brainstem function and haemodynamics by MRI: challenges and clinical prospects. *The*
British Journal of Radiology, *96*(1151), 20220940.
Yan, L., Zhuo, Y., Ye, Y., Xie, S. X., An, J., Aguirre, G. K., & Wang, J. (2009). Physiological
origin of low-frequency drift in blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) functional magnetic
resonance imaging (fMRI). *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *61*(4), 819–827.
Yeo, B. T. T., Krienen, F. M., Sepulcre, J., Sabuncu, M. R., Lashkari, D., Hollinshead, M.,
Roffman, J. L., Smoller, J. W., Zöllei, L., Polimeni, J. R., Fischl, B., Liu, H., & Buckner, R.
L. (2011). The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional
connectivity. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *106*(3), 1125–1165.

Yi, Y.-J., Lüsebrink, F., Ludwig, M., Maaß, A., Ziegler, G., Yakupov, R., Kreißl, M. C., Betts, M.,
Speck, O., Düzel, E., & Hämmerer, D. (2023). It is the locus coeruleus! Or… is it?: a
proposition for analyses and reporting standards for structural and functional magnetic
resonance imaging of the noradrenergic locus coeruleus. *Neurobiology of Aging*, *129*,
137–148.
Yushkevich, P. A., Piven, J., Hazlett, H. C., Smith, R. G., Ho, S., Gee, J. C., & Gerig, G. (2006).
User-guided 3D active contour segmentation of anatomical structures: significantly
improved efficiency and reliability. *NeuroImage*, *31*(3), 1116–1128.
Zhang, H., Wang, D., Wei, P., Fan, X., Yang, Y., An, Y., Dai, Y., Feng, T., Shan, Y., Ren, L., &
Zhao, G. (2023). Integrative roles of human amygdala subdivisions: Insight from direct
intracerebral stimulations via stereotactic EEG. *Human Brain Mapping*, *44*(9), 3610–
3623.
Zhao, C., Shao, X., Shou, Q., Ma, S. J., Gokyar, S., Graf, C., Stollberger, R., & Wang, D. J.
(2023). Whole-Cerebrum distortion-free three-dimensional pseudo-continuous arterial
spin labeling at 7T. *NeuroImage*, *277*(120251), 120251.