PPARG directs trophoblast cell fate and establishment of the

uterine-placental interface

Esteban M. Dominguez[1,*], Ayelen Moreno-Irusta[1], Khursheed Iqbal[1ψ], Keting Chen[2], Alex

Finlinson[3], Marc Parrish[3], Hiroaki Okae[4], Takahiro Arima[5], Geetu Tuteja[2], and Michael J.

Soares[1,3,*]

[1]Institute for Reproductive and Developmental Sciences, Department of Pathology & Laboratory

Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS 66160, USA,[2]Department of

Genetics, Development, and Cell Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011,USA,

[3]Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City,

KS 66160,USA,[4]Department of Trophoblast Research, Institute of Molecular Embryology and

Genetics, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto 860-0811, Japan,[5]Department of Informative

Genetics, Environment and Genome Research Center, Tohoku University Graduate School of

Medicine, and Department of Molecular Oncology, Institute of Development, Aging and Cancer,

Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8575, Japan

[ψ]Present address: Department of Animal & Food Science, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater,

OK 74078, USA.

*Corresponding authors: Esteban M. Dominguez, edominguez@kumc.edu or Michael J.

Soares, msoares@kumc.edu

3901 Rainbow Blvd. Kansas City, KS 66160, USA, Mail Stop 3050.

Phone: 913 588 5960 or 913 588 5961.

Abstract

The expansion and differentiation of trophoblast stem (**TS**) cells are critical for defining

fundamental properties of the placenta. Specialized trophoblast cells exit the placenta and enter

and transform the uterus, including restructuring uterine spiral arteries. In the human these cells

are named extravillous trophoblast (**EVT**) cells, whereas in the rat they are termed invasive

trophoblast cells. Mechanisms governing invasive trophoblast cell differentiation remain poorly

understood. We investigated peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (**PPARG**) as a

potential regulator of EVT/invasive trophoblast cell development. In first trimester human

placentas, PPARG was expressed in the EVT cell column and increased in amount as human TS

cells differentiated into EVT cells. PPARG disruption impaired EVT cell differentiation. Rat

invasive trophoblast cells similarly expressed PPARG. Conditional inactivation of PPARG within

rat invasive trophoblast cells was used to assess the in vivo role of PPARG on the uterine-

placental interface. PPARG was established as an essential cell-autonomous regulator of the

invasive trophoblast cells. In conclusion, PPARG is a conserved regulator of placentation and is

essential for directing trophoblast cell-guided uterine transformation.

Keywords: hemochorial placenta/PPARG/trophoblast cell invasion/uterine remodeling/

pregnancy.

Introduction

The uterine-placental interface is a dynamic site where uterine and placental structures cooperate to establish a protective environment that redirects maternal resources to support embryonic and fetal development (Georgiades *et al*, 2002; Maltepe & Fisher, 2015). Both humans and rats possess a hemochorial placenta, characterized by deep trophoblast cell invasion into the uterine parenchyma and remodeling of the uterine vasculature to ensure optimal maternal blood supply to the placenta (Pijnenborg *et al*, 1981; Soares *et al*, 2012). This vascular transformation is critical for successful pregnancy (Pijnenborg *et al*, 2006; Aye *et al*, 2025). Impairments in this process can lead to complications such as pregnancy loss, preeclampsia, intrauterine growth restriction, and preterm birth (Aye *et al*, 2025; Brosens *et al*, 2011; Fisher, 2015; Brosens *et al*, 2019; Harris *et al*, 2019). These complications significantly contribute to maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality (Fisher, 2015; Brosens *et al*, 2019; Kaufmann *et al*, 2003). Furthermore, suboptimal intrauterine conditions can trigger fetal adaptations that increase susceptibility to disease later in life (Calkins & Devaskar, 2011; Gluckman *et al*, 2008).

Throughout gestation, maternal blood flows into the placenta, delivering essential nutrients and oxygen to support fetal development. As pregnancy progresses, maternal uterine spiral arteries are remodeled into distended, low-resistance vessels capable of meeting the increasing demands of the growing fetus (Kaufmann *et al*, 2003; Harris, 2010). At the core of spiral artery remodeling are invasive trophoblast cells, referred to as extravillous trophoblast (**EVT**) cells in the human (Velicky *et al*, 2015). These cells take two routes into the uterus. They migrate inside the uterine vasculature and within the uterine stroma situated between uterine blood vessels and are referred to as endovascular invasive trophoblast/EVT cells and interstitial invasive trophoblast/EVT cells, respectively. Endovascular invasive trophoblast/EVT cells replace endothelial cells and adopt a pseudo-endothelial phenotype (Hemberger *et al*, 2003; Rai & Cross,

2014). Given the critical role of invasive trophoblast/EVT cells in uterine spiral artery remodeling,

it is crucial to identify mechanisms that regulate invasive trophoblast/EVT cell development.

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (**PPARG**) is a member of the nuclear

hormone receptor superfamily of ligand-activated transcription factors (Sauer, 2015). PPARG is

essential for placental development in the mouse (Barak *et al*, 1999; Kubota *et al*, 1999).

Deficiency of PPARG results in embryonic lethality at mid-gestation (Barak *et al*, 1999; Kubota *et*

al, 1999). In addition, PPARG is expressed in the human placenta and is involved in human

trophoblast cell development and regulating key placental functions (Schaiff *et al*, 2006; Tarrade,

2001; Tarrade *et al*, 2001; Pavan *et al*, 2003; Fournier *et al*, 2007; Schaiff *et al*, 2000; Shalom-

Barak *et al*, 2004). Among its diverse actions on placentation is its potential involvement in

regulating the invasive trophoblast cell lineage. Within rat and human placentation sites, PPARG

is prominently expressed in invasive trophoblast/EVT cell lineages (Liu *et al*, 2018; Vento-Tormo

et al, 2018; Scott *et al*, 2022). PPARG dysregulation is also evident in diseases associated with

failures in trophoblast cell-guided uterine spiral artery remodeling such as preeclampsia and

intrauterine growth restriction (Rodie *et al*, 2005; Waite *et al*, 2005; Holdsworth-Carson *et al*,

2010).

In this study, we investigated the involvement of PPARG on EVT cell lineage development in

vitro using human trophoblast stem (**TS**) cells. Human TS cells are a robust in vitro model system

and have provided new insights into the regulation of trophoblast cell differentiation (Okae *et al*,

2018; Varberg *et al*, 2023). The contributions of PPARG to invasive trophoblast cell development

were also examined in vivo using a conditionally disrupted *Pparg* rat model. Our findings

demonstrate that PPARG is a critical and conserved regulator of invasive trophoblast cell

development and invasive trophoblast cell-guided transformation of the uterine vasculature.

Results

PPARG is expressed in EVT cells

Distributions of PPARG transcript and protein in first trimester human placenta tissue were

determined by in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry, respectively. PPARG transcript (Fig.

1A) and protein (Fig. 1B) were ubiquitously expressed throughout trophoblast cells within villous

and extravillous compartments of the human placenta, including EVT cells. The transcript for

notum, palmitoleoyl protein carboxylesterase (**NOTUM**), an EVT cell-associated transcript (Shukla

et al, 2024), co-localized with PPARG transcripts in the distal region of the EVT cell column (Fig.

1A). PPARG protein was located within nuclei of EVT cells (Fig. 1B). These observations are

consistent with previous reports (Tarrade *et al*, 2001; Waite *et al*, 2000). PPARG expression was

also examined in CT27 (X,X) human TS cells, in the stem state and after EVT cell differentiation

(Okae *et al*, 2018). Expression of *TEAD4* and *TP63* defined the stem state of these cells, whereas

HLA-G and *MMP2* expression characterized EVT cell differentiation (Fig. EV1A). PPARG transcript

and protein expression increased from the stem state to EVT cell differentiation state (Fig. 1C).

Thus, PPARG is expressed in EVT cells of the developing human placenta and in human EVT cells

following their differentiation from TS cells.

PPARG effects on EVT cell differentiation

The expression of PPARG within EVT cells prompted an investigation into a possible role for

PPARG in EVT cell development. A lentiviral-mediated short hairpin RNA (**shRNA**) loss-of-function

strategy was used to inhibit PPARG in CT27 (X,X) TS cells. PPARG transcript and protein were

significantly decreased in EVT cells stably expressing either of two different PPARG shRNAs when

compared to expression of a control shRNA (Fig. 2A). The specificity of PPARG-targeting shRNAs

was assessed by monitoring the expression of other members of the PPAR family. Expression of

PPARA and *PPARD* transcripts were not affected following treatment with PPARG shRNAs (Fig.

EV1B). Treatment with PPARG shRNAs slowed TS cell proliferation without changes in stem state

associated markers TEAD4 and TP63 (Fig. EV1C-E). Disruption of PPARG affected the

morphology of the EVT cell differentiation state, including a decrease in cell elongation and the

presence of stem state-like cell clusters (Fig. 2B). Cells expressing PPARG shRNAs showed

significantly less migration than EVT cells expressing a control shRNA (Fig. 2C). Similar findings

were observed in CT29 (X,Y) TS cells following their manipulation with PPARG shRNAs (Fig.

EV2A-C). Additionally, exposure to a small molecule PPARG antagonist (GW9662) similarly

negatively affected stem state proliferation, EVT cell differentiation, and cell migration (Fig. EV3A-

J). Collectively, the findings indicate that PPARG possesses a fundamental role in regulating EVT

cell development and function.

PPARG effects on trophoblast organoids

We next examined the involvement of PPARG in establishment and behavior of TS cell-derived

trophoblast organoids. We initially validated the TS cell-derived trophoblast organoid culture

system (Fig. EV 4A–D) and then examined control or PPARG deficient trophoblast organoids. The

ability to form trophoblast organoids was not affected by PPARG disruption (Fig. 3A); however,

PPARG inhibition did affect EVT cell differentiation from trophoblast organoids (Fig. 3B). This

finding was supported by inspection of the morphology of the trophoblast organoids and their

expression of TS cell stem state transcripts (*TEAD4, TFAP2C, TP63, CDH1*) and EVT cell-

associated transcripts (*MMP15, HLA-G, FSTL3, ASCL2, DLX6, PLAC8*) (Fig. 3C).

Identification of PPARG gene targets in EVT cells

PPARG is a transcription factor, which prompted an examination of transcripts affected by

PPARG disruption. RNA sequencing (**RNA-seq**) was performed, and transcriptomic profiles

analyzed following eight days of EVT cell differentiation in control shRNA and PPARG1 shRNA

treated cells (Appendix Dataset 1). A total of 5,845 differentially expressed genes were identified

in control versus PPARG1 shRNA treated cells ($p<0.05$, and fold change of 1.5), including 2,510

upregulated and 3,335 downregulated genes. The differential gene expression profile is presented

as a volcano plot (Fig. 4A). Selected upregulated and downregulated genes connected to

trophoblast cell biology are shown in a heat map (Fig. 4B). We identified upregulation of several

genes associated with the TS cell stem state (e.g. *TEAD4, TFAP2C, TP63, CDH1*) and

downregulation of several EVT cell-associated genes (e.g. *ITGA1, ITGAV, FLT1, EPAS1, DLX6,*

PLAC8, TFPI, FSTL3). These findings were independently validated by reverse transcriptase-

quantitative polymerase chain reaction (**RT-qPCR,** Fig. 4C, Appendix Fig.1**).** Gene ontology (**GO**)

(Fig. 4D and Appendix Dataset 2,3) and gene set enrichment analysis (**GSEA**) (Fig. 4E and

Appendix Dataset 4) implicated PPARG in the upregulation of cell cycle associated genes and the

downregulation of cell projection assembly associated genes. The latter cellular process directly

connects PPARG with cell elongation and motility that are acquired as TS cells transit from the

stem state to EVT cells.

Since, PPARG is a transcription factor, we next analyzed genome-wide PPARG-DNA

interactions using chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (**ChIP-seq**). We identified 7,319

genes with at least one PPARG bound region (peak) in differentiated EVT cells (Appendix Dataset

5). The two most prevalent motifs identified within the peaks were known PPARG DNA binding

motifs (peroxisome proliferator response element, **PPRE**) and primarily consisted of a direct repeat

1 (**DR1**) sequence (Fig. 5A). Most PPARG-DNA peaks (~7000) were located within introns or

intergenic regions of protein-coding genes (Fig. 5B,C), suggesting the involvement of PPARG in

the regulation of enhancer activity. Integration of RNA-seq (5,845 differentially expressed genes)

and ChIP-seq (7,319 genes with PPARG peak) datasets resulted in the identification of 2,512

potential direct PPARG gene targets (Fig. 5D, Appendix Dataset 6). GO analyses of PPARG gene

targets identified by PPARG ChIP-seq (Fig. 5E, Appendix Dataset 7) and an integrated dataset of

PPARG responsive genes identified by RNA-seq of EVT cells (see Fig. 4) and PPARG gene targets

identified by PPARG ChIP-seq (Fig. 5F, Appendix Dataset 8) prominently identified genes

associated with positive regulation of cell projection organization and tissue migration. These

represent cellular processes associated with EVT cell differentiation. In addition, GO analysis

identified PPARG target genes connected to response to hypoxia, lipid transport, and WNT

signaling, which are also likely important in the transition from the stem state to mature

differentiated EVT cells (Fig. 5E). Evidence supporting *PLAC8*, *TFPI*, *DLX6*, *FSTL3* and *TP63*,

which are known regulators of trophoblast cell differentiation (Chang *et al*, 2018; Xie *et al*, 2018;

Muto *et al*, 2021; Wang *et al*, 2022; Kim *et al*, 2024), as potential direct targets of PPARG is shown

(Fig. 5G). Collectively, the results support PPARG regulating genes that control development of

the EVT cell lineage.

PPARG expression within the rat uterine-placental interface

The rat exhibits deep intrauterine trophoblast cell invasion (Ain *et al*, 2003), a feature of

placentation also observed in the human, and has proven to be a useful in vivo model for

investigating the physiological relevance of genes implicated in the regulation of the invasive

trophoblast cell lineage (Chakraborty *et al*, 2016; Varberg *et al*, 2021; Muto *et al*, 2021; Kuna *et al,*

2023; Dominguez *et al,* 2024). We next sought to determine whether PPARG was expressed in

trophoblast cells of the rat placenta, especially within invasive trophoblast cells of the rat uterine-

placental interface. The rat placentation site is organized into three main compartments: i) labyrinth

zone, ii) junctional zone, and iii) uterine-placental interface (Soares *et al*, 2012; Shukla & Soares,

2022). The labyrinth zone is comprised of trophoblast cells and fetal vasculature and represents

the site of maternal-fetal exchange, whereas the junctional zone contains several trophoblast cell

types, including invasive trophoblast cell progenitors, which direct pregnancy-dependent

adaptations of maternal tissues. The uterine-placental interface is the uterine compartment

adjacent to the placenta where invasive trophoblast cells, uterine vasculature, and maternal

immune cells are situated. *In situ* hybridization was used to determine the distribution of *Pparg*

transcripts. At gestation day (**gd**) 11.5, *Pparg* transcripts were localized to trophoblast cells of the

developing placenta as well as to invasive trophoblast cells situated within uterine spiral arteries

(Fig. 6A). The presence of *Pparg* transcripts in trophoblast cells was confirmed by co-localization

with keratin 8 (*Krt8*), an epithelial cell associated transcript expressed by trophoblast cells (Fig. 6A).

By gd 18.5, *Pparg* was expressed within trophoblast cells of the labyrinth zone, junctional zone,

and within invasive trophoblast cells of the uterine–placental interface (Fig. 6B). Expression of

Pparg in invasive trophoblast cells was supported by its co-localization with prolactin family 7,

subfamily b, member 1 (***Prl7b1,*** Fig. 6B,C), an invasive trophoblast cell-associated transcript (Scott

et al, 2022; Wiemers *et al*, 2003). These findings are consistent with a previously published report

describing single cell RNA-seq analysis of the rat uterine–placental interface, which demonstrated

that *Pparg* expression was enriched in the invasive trophoblast cell lineage (Appendix Fig. 2, Scott

et al, 2022). *Pparg* transcript levels increased within the uterine–placental interface as pregnancy

progressed (Fig. 6D). Furthermore, PPARG protein was localized to invasive trophoblast cells and

throughout the junctional and labyrinth zones, as shown by immunohistochemistry (Fig. 6E). Thus,

PPARG expression is a conserved feature of EVT cells of the human placenta (Fig. 1) and invasive

trophoblast cells of the rat placentation site (Fig. 6).

Establishment and validation of the invasive trophoblast cell-specific Pparg mutant rat

Next, we examined an in vivo role for PPARG in development of rat invasive trophoblast cells.

Since, PPARG is expressed in trophoblast cell lineages throughout the rat placentation site (Fig.

6B) and global inactivation of the mouse *Pparg* gene was associated with midgestation lethality

(Barak *et al*, 1999; Kubota *et al*, 1999), we utilized a conditional Cre/Lox approach to selectively

disrupt *Pparg* in the invasive trophoblast cell lineage. For these experiments we used a rat model

possessing Cre recombinase inserted into the *Prl7b1* locus. As noted above, *Prl7b1* is robustly and

specifically expressed within invasive trophoblast cells of the rat placentation site (Wiemers *et al*,

2003; Scott *et al*, 2022; Iqbal *et al*, 2024). The *Prl7b1-Cre* specifically acts within the invasive

trophoblast cell lineage without anomalous activities in non-invasive trophoblast cells or other

extraembryonic and embryonic tissues (Dominguez *et al, 2024*; Iqbal *et al*, 2024). Male *Prl7b1-Cre*

rats and female rats possessing *loxp* sites flanking Exons 2 and 3 of the *Pparg* gene (Fig. 7A) were

used in the analysis. Specifically, *Prl7b1-Cre*/heterozygous floxed *Pparg* (**Prl7b1^{Cre}/Pparg$^{f/+}$**) males

were mated with homozygous floxed *Pparg* female rats (**Pparg$^{f/f}$**) to generate *Pparg$^{f/f}$* and *Pparg*

deleted (**Pparg$^{d/d}$**) placentation sites. Immunohistochemical analysis for PPARG demonstrated the

presence of PPARG protein at the gd 18.5 uterine–placental interface of *Pparg^f/f* but not within this

compartment of *Pparg^d/d* placentation sites (Fig. 7B).

PPARG involvement in rat invasive trophoblast cell lineage development and cellular dynamics

within the uterine-placental interface

The structure and integrity of *Pparg^f/f* and *Pparg^d/d* placentation sites were different. An

expanded decidual compartment was evident within *Pparg^d/d* placentation sites (Fig. 7C). *Pparg^d/d*

placentas were larger (Fig. 7C), possessed an enlarged junctional zone (Fig. EV5) containing

prominent cyst-like structures (Fig. 7C), and were less efficient than *Pparg^f/f* placentas (Fig. 7D**)**.

Invasive trophoblast cells arise from the junctional zone (Soares *et al*, 2012; Shukla & Soares,

2022) and exhibited pronounced differences between *Pparg^f/f* and *Pparg^d/d* placentation sites (Fig.

8).

The uterine-placental interface of *Pparg^f/f* placentation sites was infiltrated with invasive

trophoblast cells, which was not observed in *Pparg^d/d* placentation sites, as detected by in situ

hybridization for *Prl7b1* or pan-cytokeratin immunostaining (Fig. 8A,B). Deficits in intrauterine

trophoblast cell invasion correlated with diminished expression of invasive trophoblast cell-

associated transcripts (*Prl5a1*, *Prl7b1*, *Krt8, Krt7, Cited2, Peg3, and Tfpi*) and pan-cytokeratin

immunoreactive surface area within the uterine-placental interfaces of *Pparg^d/d* placentation sites

(Fig. 8C,D; Appendix Fig. 3). Previously published single cell RNA-sequencing and single nucleus

assay for transposase-accessible chromatin-sequencing datasets (Scott *et al*, 2022; Vu *et al*, 2023)

were used to reveal a network of genes potentially regulated by PPARG in rat invasive trophoblast

cells. These putative PPARG targets include candidate contributors to remodeling the extracellular

matrix, regulation of cell movement, cytoskeletal restructuring, and transcriptional regulators that

act on genes encoding other proteins affecting the invasive trophoblast cell phenotype (Appendix

Table 1 and Dataset 9).

Diminished intrauterine trophoblast cell invasion in $Pparg^{d/d}$ placentation sites was

accompanied by a retention of natural killer (**NK**) cells within the uterine-placental interface (Fig.

8E) and increased expression of NK cell-associated transcripts (Fig. 8F). NK cells are known

contributors to uterine spiral artery remodeling (Chakraborty *et al*, 2011; Rätsep *et al*, 2015; Renaud

et al, 2017; Erlebacher, 2013) but were less effective than invasive trophoblast cells in disabling

smooth muscle cells associated with uterine spiral arteries than invasive trophoblast cells (Fig.

8G,H).

Overall, these results highlight a key role for PPARG in directing development of the invasive

trophoblast cell lineage and the important role that invasive trophoblast cells possess in regulating

the cell composition and function of the uterine-placental interface.

Discussion

Hemochorial placentation serves the important function of delivering nutrients to ensure

coordinated on-time growth and development of the fetus within the female reproductive tract

(Soares *et al*, 2018). This type of placentation is found in many primates and rodents, including

the human and rat (Carter & Enders, 2004; Roberts *et al*, 2016). These two species exhibit

extensive invasive trophoblast cell-guided uterine transformation, including restructuring of spiral

arteries, which is fundamental to acquisition of maternal resources required for fetal development

(Pijnenborg *et al*, 1981). We examined a role for PPARG in development of invasive trophoblast

cells using human TS cells and a genetically manipulated rat model. In human placentation,

PPARG was expressed in EVT cell column cells and was shown to coordinate the upregulation of

genes required for cell elongation and the process of EVT cell movement. In the rat, PPARG was

expressed throughout the placenta, including invasive trophoblast cells, and was essential for

development of the invasive trophoblast cell lineage. Failures in PPARG-mediated gene

activation also affected human TS cell proliferation and led to abnormalities within compartments

of the rat placenta. The experimentation demonstrated that PPARG is a conserved regulator of

invasive trophoblast cell development and function.

Earlier research connected PPARG to placentation (Schaiff *et al*, 2006; Fournier *et al*, 2011;

Kadam *et al*, 2015). Insights regarding PPARG actions in placentation were unequivocally

demonstrated from analysis of global PPARG null mouse models (Barak *et al*, 1999; Kubota *et al*,

1999). PPARG disruption led to midgestation lethality due to an impairment of early events in

placental morphogenesis (Barak *et al*, 1999; Kubota *et al*, 1999). Considerable effort has also

been directed towards analyses of roles for PPARG in regulating trophoblast cells using an

assortment of in vitro models. PPARG deficient mouse TS cells exhibit impairments in

proliferation and syncytiotrophoblast development and enhanced trophoblast giant cell

differentiation (Parast *et al*, 2009), whereas human cytotrophoblast exposure to PPARG agonists

promoted syncytiotrophoblast formation and trophoblast cell-lipid accumulation, while inhibiting

trophoblast cell invasive properties (Tarrade, 2001; Tarrade *et al*, 2001; Schaiff *et al*, 2005). Our

in vitro and in vivo experimentation supported an essential role for PPARG in EVT/invasive

trophoblast cell lineage development. Similar observations of the involvement of PPARG in EVT

cell differentiation have recently been reported (Guo *et al*, 2025). Exposure to a PPARG

antagonist supported the involvement of PPARG actions in promoting EVT cell differentiation.

These PPARG actions on the EVT/invasive trophoblast cell lineage differ from observations with

PPARG activating ligands. PPARG can act independent of ligand and its actions can vary

depending upon the ligand investigated and may differ depending on the developmental state of

the target cells examined (Sauer, 2015). Thus, PPARG is a broad-spectrum regulator of placental

biology with critical actions on development of the EVT/invasive trophoblast cell lineage.

As indicated above, PPARG is expressed in multiple trophoblast cell lineages (Barak *et al*,

1999; Tarrade, 2001; Fournier *et al*, 2007; Waite *et al*, 2005; Asami-Miyagishi *et al*, 2004). Each

trophoblast cell lineage is responsible for controlling tasks critical for the development and

function of the placenta (Soares *et al*, 2018). Context is important. PPARG actions are not only

determined by its presence but also by the complement of posttranslational modifiers,

heterodimerization binding partners, and co-regulators expressed by a cell (Berger & Moller,

2002; Feige *et al*, 2006; Ahmadian *et al*, 2013).

In addition to PPARG, several other proteins have been shown to contribute to the regulation

of EVT cell differentiation. ASCL2, CITED2, DLX5, DLX6, EPAS1, GCM1, NOTUM, NRIP1,

SNAI1, TFAP2C, TFPI, WWTR1, and ZNF439, are required for optimal transition from the TS

cell stem state to EVT cells (Varberg *et al*, 2023; Shukla *et al*, 2024; Varberg *et al*, 2021; Muto *et*

al, 2021; Kuna *et al,* 2023; Kim *et al*, 2024; Jeyarajah *et al,* 2022; Meinhardt *et al,* 2025). A

CRISPR screen identified additional transcription factors contributing to the regulation of human

TS cells (Shimizu *et al*, 2023). The screen demonstrated the involvement of PPARG in the control

of TS cell proliferation, which was consistent with our observations, but not EVT cell

differentiation. The *CRISPR* screen utilized HLA-G expression as a method for monitoring EVT

cell differentiation. PPARG does not significantly affect HLA-G gene expression, which may

account for differences in the outcome of the *CRISPR* screen versus our findings. Based on

RNA-seq and ChIP-seq experiments we can place PPARG in a potential hierarchy of

transcription factors controlling EVT cell development (Appendix Fig. 4, Varberg *et al*, 2023,

2021; Kuna *et al,* 2023; Kim *et al*, 2024; Jeyarajah *et al,* 2022; Guo *et al*, 2025). Among the

regulators impacting EVT cell differentiation, ASCL2, TFPI, CITED2, TFAP2C and PPARG

possess conserved actions promoting development of the invasive trophoblast cell lineage in the

rat (Varberg *et al*, 2021; Muto *et al*, 2021; Kuna *et al*, 2023; Dominguez *et al*, 2024).

PPARG deficiency was associated with failed trophoblast cell invasion into the uterus leading

to a dramatic reorganization of the rat placentation site. The reorganization included the retention

of NK cells within the uterine-placental interface, expanded decidual tissue, and a disruption in

the structural organization of the two main placental compartments (junctional and labyrinth

zones). NK cells are known contributors to early events in uterine spiral artery remodeling

(Chakraborty *et al*, 2011; Erlebacher, 2013; Rätsep *et al*, 2015; Renaud *et al*, 2017). NK cells

vacate the uterine-placental interface as invasive trophoblast cells enter the uterus (Ain *et al*,

2003). In addition to PPARG, TFAP2C is also a driver of invasive trophoblast cell infiltration into

the uterus (Dominguez *et al*, 2024). Impairments of either PPARG or TFAP2C lead to failed

intrauterine trophoblast cell invasion and retention of NK cells within the uterine-placental

interface. The implication is that invasive trophoblast cells are engineering the exit of NK cells

from the uterine-placental interface. In the absence of invasive trophoblast cells, NK cells are

supporting, at least partially, uterine spiral artery remodeling and minimizing adverse pregnancy

outcomes. The nature of the signal(s) emanating from invasive trophoblast cells responsible for

NK cell exodus is unknown. Cooperation of invasive trophoblast cells and NK cells at the uterine-

placental interface is robust. It is expected that deficits in both maternal and extraembryonic

contributions to uterine transformation would exhibit more adverse consequences. Expansion of

the decidua compartment in *Pparg$^{d/d}$* placentation sites could also be contributing to decreased

trophoblast cell migration towards the uterine-placental interface within the *Pparg$^{d/d}$* placentation

sites. In addition to the uterine-placental interface, failure in the developmental progression of

progenitor cells situated in the junctional zone into invasive trophoblast cells resulted in

malformations within the junctional zone. These aberrations included the appearance of large

cystic structures within the junctional zone and an irregular junctional zone-labyrinth zone

interface. Specific cellular dysfunctions linked to PPARG deficiency underlying these structural

defects in junctional zone morphogenesis are unknown.

Finally, PPARG transcriptional activity is influenced by the availability of glucose and lipids

(Berger & Moller, 2002; Feige *et al*, 2006; Ahmadian *et al*, 2013). Thus, PPARG acts as a nutrient

sensor. Coupling nutrient sensing with the regulation of invasive trophoblast cell transformation of

the uterine vasculature would be an effective strategy for adapting to a nutrient-variable maternal

environment and is testable with the in vitro and in vivo models described in this report.

Methods and Protocols

Human placentation site specimens

Paraffin-embedded first-trimester placental tissue specimens were obtained from the

Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute at Mount Sinai Hospital (Toronto, Canada). All tissue

samples were deidentified prior to use in this study. Tissue collection was conducted following

written informed consent and with approval from the Human Research Ethics Review Committees

at the University of Toronto and the University of Kansas Medical Center (**KUMC**).

Human TS cell culture

Human TS cells (CT27 and CT29) used in this study have been previously described (Okae

et al, 2018). The TS cells originated from deidentified first trimester human placental tissue

obtained from healthy women with signed informed consent and approval from the Ethics

Committee of Tohoku University School of Medicine. Experimentation with human TS cells was

approved by the KUMC Human Research Protection Program and the KUMC Human Stem Cell

Research Oversite committee. Human TS cell lines were maintained in the stem cell state and

differentiated into EVT cells as previously reported. Detailed culture conditions are provided in the

Appendix section.

Design and generation of lentiviral shRNA constructs

PPARG shRNA sequences were designed using the Genetic Perturbation Platform web

portal from the Broad Institute (https://portals.broadinstitute.org/gpp/public/analysis-tools/sgrna-

design), and subcloned into the pLKO.1 vector at *AgeI* and *EcoRI* restriction sites. An

shRNA control (**shCTRL**, Plasmid 1864, Addgene), which does not recognize any known

mammalian gene, was similarly subcloned into the pLKO.1 vector and used as a control. shRNA

sequences used in these analyses are listed in Appendix section Table 2. Lentiviral packaging

plasmids were obtained from Addgene and included pMDLg/pRRE (plasmid 12251), pRSV-Rev

(plasmid 12253), and pMD2.G (plasmid 12259). Lentiviral particles were produced via transient

transfection of the shRNA-pLKO.1 vector along with the packaging plasmids into Lenti-X cells

(632180, Takara Bio USA) using Attractene (301005, Qiagen) in Opti-MEM I (51985-034, Thermo

Fisher). Cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, 11995-065,

Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (**FBS**) for 24 h prior to supernatant

collection. At that point, cells were switched to Basal Human TS Cell Medium. Conditioned

medium containing viral particles was collected every 24 h over two days and stored at −80 °C

until used.

Lentiviral transduction

Human TS cells were plated at 65,000 cells per well in 6-well tissue culture-treated plates

coated with 5 µg/mL collagen IV (CB40233, Thermo Fisher) and incubated for 24 h. Prior to

transduction, medium was changed, and cells were incubated with 2.5 µg/mL polybrene for 30

min at 37°C. Following polybrene treatment, TS cells were transduced with 500 µL of conditioned

medium containing lentiviral particles and then incubated for 24 h. Medium was changed at 24 h

post transduction and selected with puromycin dihydrochloride (5 µg/mL, A11138-03, Thermo

Fisher) for 2 days. Cells recovered were cultured for 1 to 3 days in Complete TS Cell Medium and

then used to evaluate the effects of PPARG disruption on EVT cell differentiation.

Cell migration assay

Trophoblast cell migration was assessed according to the method described by Shimizu and

coworkers (Shimizu *et al*, 2023) with minor modifications. A suspension of 200,000 human TS

cells was prepared in Matrigel (100%) and Basal Human EVT Cell Differentiation Medium (10

µL). From this mixture, 1 µL was dispensed onto a 6-well plate to form individual Matrigel drops.

Six Matrigel drops were prepared. The drops were cultured in complete EVT cell differentiation

medium supplemented with 0.5% Matrigel (CB-40234, Thermo Fisher) at 37°C in a humidified

atmosphere containing 5% CO_2. Culture medium was replaced on day 3, and the cells were

incubated for a total of five days. EVT cells migrating from the cell aggregate were identified using

immunocytochemistry for HLA-G (1:300, phycoerythrin (**PE**)-labeled anti-HLA-G antibody,

ab24384, Abcam). After 15 min of incubation at 37°C, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde

(**PFA**). Nuclei were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (**DAPI**, 1:50,000, D1306,

Invitrogen). Following washes with phosphate buffered saline (**PBS**, pH 7.4), the samples were

imaged using a Zeiss Axio Observer 7 microscope. The area of PE-labeled signal was quantified

using ImageJ software.

Trophoblast organoids

Human TS cells were used to establish trophoblast organoids as previously described

(Karvas *et al*, 2022) with minor modifications. Effects of PPARG on trophoblast organoid

formation and capacity to differentiate into EVT cells were determined. Detailed culture conditions

are provided in the Appendix section.

Animals and tissue collection

Holtzman Sprague–Dawley rats were obtained from Envigo and used for in vivo experiments.

Animals were housed in an environmentally controlled facility under a 14-hour light/10-hour dark

lighting schedule with food and water available ad libitum. Timed pregnancies were established

by mating virgin female rats (8–10 weeks of age) with adult male rats (>3 months of age). Mating

was confirmed by the presence of sperm in the vaginal lavage, with the day of sperm detection

considered gd 0.5. Pseudopregnant females were generated by mating with vasectomized males,

and the presence of a seminal plug was designated as day 0.5 of pseudopregnancy. Pregnant

rats were euthanized at different days during gestation. Some placentation sites were frozen

intact in dry ice–cooled heptane and stored at –80 °C for subsequent histological analysis, while

other placentation sites were dissected into uterine–placental interface, junctional zone, and

labyrinth zone compartments, as previously describe (Ain *et al*, 2006).

Conditional Pparg mutant rat

In vivo *Pparg* disruption in invasive trophoblast cells was achieved using the Cre-loxP

system. LoxP cassettes were inserted into introns flanking Exons 2 and 3 of the *Pparg* locus

using *CRISPR*/Cas9 mediated homologous recombination (Fig. 7, Appendix section Table 3).

CRISPR/Cas9 reagents and templates were electroporated into one-cell rat embryos using a

NEPA21 electroporator (Nepa Gene), and embryos subsequently transferred to pseudopregnant

rats. Offspring were screened for mutations via polymerase chain reaction (**PCR**), and successful

loxP site insertion was confirmed by DNA sequencing (GeneWiz). A founder mutant animal was

backcrossed with wild-type rats to assess germline transmission. A *Prl7b1-Cre* recombinase rat

model was used to specifically disrupt *Pparg* in invasive trophoblast cells (Iqbal *et al*, 2024).

Genotyping and sex chromosome determinations were performed as previously described

(Dhakal & Soares, 2017). Genomic DNA was extracted from tail-tip biopsies using the Red

Extract-N-Amp Tissue PCR Kit (XNAT-1000RXN, Sigma-Aldrich) and used for genotyping. Primer

sequences for detecting conditional mutations and determining sex chromosome composition are

provided (Appendix section Table 4).

RT-qPCR

Total RNA was isolated from tissues using TRIzol reagent (15596018, Thermo Fisher). One

µg of RNA was used to synthesize complementary DNA (**cDNA**) using the High-Capacity

Reverse Transcription Kit (4368814, Applied Biosystems), followed by a 1:10 dilution in nuclease-

free water. Quantitative PCR (**qPCR**) was performed using PowerSYBR Green PCR Master Mix

(4367659, Thermo Fisher) and transcript-specific primer sets (250 nM). Primer sequences are

provided in Appendix section, Tables 5 and 6. qPCR was conducted with a QuantStudio 5 Real-

Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher) using the following cycling conditions: an initial denaturation

step at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of two-step PCR (95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 1 min).

A dissociation curve was then generated with the following steps: 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 1

min, and a final at 95°C for 15 sec. Relative mRNA expression levels were calculated using the

ΔΔCt method. Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*Gapdh*) and RNA polymerase II

subunit A (*POLR2A*) were used as reference genes for rat and human samples, respectively.

In situ hybridization

In situ hybridization was performed using paraffin-embedded human placenta and frozen rat

placentation site tissue sections and the RNAscope® Multiplex Fluorescent Reagent Kit v2

(Advanced Cell Diagnostics) following the manufacturer's instructions. Custom-designed probes

were used to detect the following transcripts: human *PPARG* (441691, NM_138712.3, target

region: 699–1779), human *NOTUM* (430311, NM_178493.5, target region: 259–814), rat *Pparg*

(313251, NM_001145367.1, target region: 305–1932); rat *Krt8* (87304-C2, NM_199370.1, target

region: 134–1472), and rat *Prl7b1* (860181-C2, NM_153738.1, target region: 28–900). Nuclei

were visualized using DAPI (323108, Advanced Cell Diagnostics). Images were captured using a

Zeiss Axio Observer 7 microscope.

Immunohistochemistry of placental tissues

Formalin fixed paraffin-embedded human placental tissue sections and frozen rat

placentation site sections (10 µm thick) fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde were processed for

immunohistochemical analyses. Tissue sections were blocked with 10% goat serum (50062Z,

Thermo Fisher) and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies against vimentin (1:300,

sc-6260, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), pan-cytokeratin (1:100, F3418, Sigma-Aldrich), perforin

(1:300, TP251, Amsbio), or PPARG (human: 1:100, sc-7273, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; rat:

1:100, 2435S, Cell Signaling Technology). Immunostaining was visualized using fluorescence-

tagged secondary antibodies: Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (A11001, Thermo

Fisher) and Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (A11011, Thermo Fisher). Sections

were counterstained with DAPI, mounted in Fluoromount-G (0100-01, Southern Biotech), and

imaged using a Nikon 90i upright microscope equipped with Photometrics CoolSNAP-ES

monochrome camera (Roper). Regions of the uterine–placental interface containing cytokeratin-

positive cells were quantified using ImageJ software, as previously described (Dominguez *et al*,

2024; Rosario *et al*, 2008).

Immunohistochemistry of trophoblast organoids

Organoids were recovered from Matrigel using Cell Recovery Solution (354253, Corning) and

dissociated by gentle pipetting. After 30 min incubation on ice, samples were centrifuged (600 xg,

5 min), washed with PBS, and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde on ice for 30 min. Fixed organoids

were suspended in 20% sucrose solution and incubated at 4°C overnight. The sucrose solution

was subsequently replaced with 1 mL of warm gelatin/sucrose solution and incubated at 37°C for

15 min. Blocks of gelatin containing trophoblast organoids were froze for 1-2 min and stored at-

80°C until processed for cryosection. Sections were permeabilized in PBS containing 4% bovine

serum albumin and 0.5% Triton X-100. Primary antibodies to HLA-G (1:300, phycoerythrin (**PE**)-

labeled, ab24384, Abcam), CGB (1:500, ab9528, Abcam) and TP63 (1:500, ab124762, Abcam)

were incubated with tissue sections overnight at 4°C, followed by secondary antibody incubation.

Sections were counterstained with DAPI, mounted in Fluoromount-G (0100-01, Southern

Biotech), and imaged using a Nikon 90i upright microscope equipped with Photometrics

CoolSNAP-ES monochrome camera (Roper).

Western blotting

Human trophoblast cell lysates were processed using the radioimmunoprecipitation assay

lysis buffer (sc-24948A, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and protein concentrations determined using

the DC Protein Assay Kit (5000112, Bio-Rad). Proteins (50 to 70 µg/lane) were separated by

sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Separated proteins were

electrophoretically transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (10600023, GE

Healthcare) for 1 h at 25 V on a semi-dry transfer apparatus (Bio-Rad). Membranes were

subsequently blocked with 5% milk for 1 h at room temperature, followed by incubation with

antibodies to PPARG (1:200, 2435S, Cell Signaling Technology or GAPDH (1:5,000, AM4300,

Invitrogen) in 5% milk overnight at 4°C. After primary antibody incubation, the membranes were

washed in Tris-buffered saline with Tween 20 (**TBS-T**) three times (10 min/wash) at room

temperature. The membranes were then incubated with anti-mouse immunoglobulin G

conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (**HRP**; 1:5000, 7076, Cell Signaling Technology) or anti-

rabbit immunoglobulin G conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (**HRP**; 1:5000, 5127, Cell

Signaling Technology) in 5% milk for 1 h at room temperature, washed in TBS-T three times (10

min/wash) at room temperature, immersed in Immobilon Crescendo Western HRP Substrate

(WBLUR0500, Sigma-Aldrich), and luminescence detected using Chemi Doc MP Imager (Bio-

Rad). The integrated densities of proteins separated by western blotting were quantified using

NIH ImageJ. PPARG protein intensities were normalized to GAPDH protein intensities. All

quantifications were performed on at least three independent biological replicates.

RNA-seq analysis

Transcript profiles were generated from control and PPARG shRNA treated human CT27 TS

cells following EVT cell differentiation. Three independent lentiviral transductions for each shRNA

were analyzed. RNA was isolated and integrity assessed using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer.

cDNA libraries prepared with Illumina TruSeq RNA preparation kits according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Barcoded cDNA libraries were multiplexed onto a TruSeq paired-end

flow cell and sequenced (100-bp paired-end reads) with a TruSeq 200-cycle sequencing-by-

synthesis kit. Samples were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq platform at the KUMC Genome

Sequencing Facility. Reads from *.fastq files were mapped to the human reference genome

(GRCh37) using CLC Genomics Workbench 12.0 (Qiagen). Transcript abundance was expressed

as total reads, and a false discovery rate of 0.05 was used as a cutoff for significant differential

expression. Statistical significance was calculated by empirical analysis of digital gene expression

followed by Bonferroni's correction.

ChIP-seq analysis

PPARG ChIP was performed on human CT27 TS cells following EVT cell differentiation using

commercially available SimpleChIP® Enzymatic Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Kit (Magnetic

Beads, 9003, Cell Signaling Technology) and PPARG antibody (2435S, Cell Signaling

Technology). Three independent replicates were processed. The ChIP-seq library was

constructed using the Tecan Ovation Ultralow DNA System V2 (NuGEN Technologies, Redwood

City, CA) and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq platform. Details of data processing and

analyses are presented in Supplemental Material.

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 10.2.3 software. Statistical

comparisons were evaluated using Student's *t* test or one-way analysis of variance with Dunnett's

post hoc test. Statistical significance was determined as $P<0.05$.

Study approval

All protocols using rats were approved by the University of Kansas Medical Center Animal

Care and Use Committee, Kansas City, Kansas (approved protocol 22-01-220).

Data availability

RNA-seq and ChIP-seq datasets are available at the Gene Expression Omnibus database,

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/ (GSE302291 and GSE302293).

The source data of this paper are collected in the following database record,

https://www.ebi.ac.uk/biostudies/bioimages/studies/S-BIAD2948

All data generated and analyzed in this study are included in the published article and

supporting files.

Author Contributions

E.M.D and M.J.S. conceived and designed the research; E.M.D., A.M-I. and A.F. performed

experiments; K.I., H.O., and T.A. provided experimental tools for analysis; E.M.D., A.M-I., K.C.,

G.T., M.P., and M.J.S. analyzed the data and interpreted results of experiments; E.M.D. and

M.J.S. prepared the manuscript. All authors read, contributed to editing, and approved the final

version of manuscript.

Disclosure and Competing Interest Statement

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Acknowledgments

We thank Brandi Miller and Stacy Oxley for administrative assistance. The work was

supported by the Lalor Foundation (E.M.D., A.M.-I.), Kansas Idea Network of Biomedical

Research Excellence, P20 GM103418 (E.M.D, A.M.-I.), Program of the Joint Usage/Research

Center for Developmental Medicine and High Depth Omics, IMEG, Kumamoto University (H.O.),

NIH grants: HD115834 (A.M.-I.), HD104071 (K.I.), HD020676 (M.J.S.), HD105734 (M.J.S.),

HD112559 (M.J.S., G.T.), the Sosland Foundation (M.J.S.), and the Donald C. Johnson Research

Endowment Fund.

References

Ahmadian M, Suh JM, Hah N, Liddle C, Atkins AR, Downes M & Evans RM (2013) PPARγ signaling and metabolism: the good, the bad and the future. *Nat Med* 19: 10.1038/nm.3159

Ain R, Canham LN & Soares MJ (2003) Gestation stage-dependent intrauterine trophoblast cell invasion in the rat and mouse: novel endocrine phenotype and regulation. *Dev Biol* 260: 176–190

Ain R, Konno T, Canham LN & Soares MJ (2006) Phenotypic analysis of the rat placenta. *Methods Mol Med* 121: 295–313

Asami-Miyagishi R, Iseki S, Usui M, Uchida K, Kubo H & Morita I (2004) Expression and function of PPARgamma in rat placental development. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 315: 497–501

Aye ILMH, Tong S, Charnock-Jones DS & Smith GCS (2025) The human placenta and its role in reproductive outcomes revisited. *Physiol Rev* 105: 2305–2376

Barak Y, Nelson MC, Ong ES, Jones YZ, Ruiz-Lozano P, Chien KR, Koder A & Evans RM (1999) PPAR gamma is required for placental, cardiac, and adipose tissue development. *Mol Cell* 4: 585–595

Berger J & Moller DE (2002) The mechanisms of action of PPARs. *Annu Rev Med* 53: 409–435

Brosens I, Pijnenborg R, Vercruysse L & Romero R (2011) THE "GREAT OBSTETRICAL
SYNDROMES" ARE ASSOCIATED WITH DISORDERS OF DEEP PLACENTATION. *Am J Obstet*
Gynecol 204: 193–201

Brosens I, Puttemans P & Benagiano G (2019) Placental bed research: I. The placental bed:
from spiral arteries remodeling to the great obstetrical syndromes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*
221: 437–456

Calkins K & Devaskar SU (2011) Fetal origins of adult disease. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health*
Care 41: 158–176

Carter AM & Enders AC (2004) Comparative aspects of trophoblast development and
placentation. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2: 46

Chakraborty D, Cui W, Rosario GX, Scott RL, Dhakal P, Renaud SJ, Tachibana M, Rumi MAK,
Mason CW, Krieg AJ, *et al* (2016) HIF-KDM3A-MMP12 regulatory circuit ensures
trophoblast plasticity and placental adaptations to hypoxia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
113: E7212–E7221

Chakraborty D, Rumi MAK, Konno T & Soares MJ (2011) Natural killer cells direct hemochorial
placentation by regulating hypoxia-inducible factor dependent trophoblast lineage
decisions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108: 16295–16300

Chang W-L, Liu Y-W, Dang Y-L, Jiang X-X, Xu H, Huang X, Wang Y-L, Wang H, Zhu C, Xue L-Q, *et al*
(2018) PLAC8, a new marker for human interstitial extravillous trophoblast cells,
promotes their invasion and migration. *Development* 145: dev148932

Dhakal P & Soares MJ (2017) Single Step PCR-Based Genetic Sex Determination for Rat Tissues
and Cells. *Biotechniques* 62: 232–233

Dominguez EM, Moreno-Irusta A, Scott RL, Iqbal K & Soares MJ TFAP2C is a key regulator of
intrauterine trophoblast cell invasion and deep hemochorial placentation. *JCI Insight* 10:
e186471

Erlebacher A (2013) Immunology of the maternal-fetal interface. *Annu Rev Immunol* 31: 387–
411

Feige JN, Gelman L, Michalik L, Desvergne B & Wahli W (2006) From molecular action to
physiological outputs: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors are nuclear receptors
at the crossroads of key cellular functions. *Prog Lipid Res* 45: 120–159

Fisher SJ (2015) Why is placentation abnormal in preeclampsia? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 213: S115–
S122

Fournier T, Guibourdenche J, Handschuh K, Tsatsaris V, Rauwel B, Davrinche C & Evain-Brion D
(2011) PPARγ and human trophoblast differentiation. *J Reprod Immunol* 90: 41–49

Fournier T, Tsatsaris V, Handschuh K & Evain-Brion D (2007) PPARs and the placenta. *Placenta*
28: 65–76

Georgiades P, Ferguson-Smith AC & Burton GJ (2002) Comparative developmental anatomy of
the murine and human definitive placentae. *Placenta* 23: 3–19

Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Cooper C & Thornburg KL (2008) Effect of In Utero and Early-Life
Conditions on Adult Health and Disease. *N Engl J Med* 359: 61–73

Guo Q, Choi J, Lee M & Kim J (2025) PPARG-centric transcriptional re-wiring during
differentiation of human trophoblast stem cells into extravillous trophoblasts. *Nucleic*
Acids Res 53: gkaf669

Harris LK (2010) Review: Trophoblast-vascular cell interactions in early pregnancy: how to
remodel a vessel. *Placenta* 31 Suppl: S93-98

Harris LK, Benagiano M, D'Elios MM, Brosens I & Benagiano G (2019) Placental bed research: II.
Functional and immunological investigations of the placental bed. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*
221: 457–469

Hemberger M, Nozaki T, Masutani M & Cross JC (2003) Differential expression of angiogenic and
vasodilatory factors by invasive trophoblast giant cells depending on depth of invasion.
Dev Dyn 227: 185–191

Holdsworth-Carson SJ, Lim R, Mitton A, Whitehead C, Rice GE, Permezel M & Lappas M (2010)
Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors are altered in pathologies of the human
placenta: gestational diabetes mellitus, intrauterine growth restriction and
preeclampsia. *Placenta* 31: 222–229

Iqbal K, Dominguez EM, Nixon B, Moreno-Irusta A, Crnkovich B, Scott RL, Vu HTH, Tuteja G,
Vivian JL & Soares MJ (2024) Conditionally mutant animal model for investigating the
invasive trophoblast cell lineage. *Development* 151: dev202239

Jeyarajah MJ, Jaju Bhattad G, Kelly RD, Baines KJ, Jaremek A, Yang F-HP, Okae H, Arima T,
Dumeaux V & Renaud SJ The multifaceted role of GCM1 during trophoblast
differentiation in the human placenta. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 119: e2203071119

Kadam L, Kohan-Ghadr HR & Drewlo S (2015) The balancing act – PPAR-γ's roles at the maternal-
fetal interface. *Syst Biol Reprod Med* 61: 65–71

Karvas RM, Khan SA, Verma S, Yin Y, Kulkarni D, Dong C, Park K, Chew B, Sane E, Fischer LA, *et al*
(2022) Stem-cell-derived trophoblast organoids model human placental development
and susceptibility to emerging pathogens. *Cell Stem Cell* 29: 810-825.e8

Kaufmann P, Black S & Huppertz B (2003) Endovascular trophoblast invasion: implications for
the pathogenesis of intrauterine growth retardation and preeclampsia. *Biol Reprod* 69:
1–7

Kim M, Jang YJ, Lee M, Guo Q, Son AJ, Kakkad NA, Roland AB, Lee B-K & Kim J (2024) The
transcriptional regulatory network modulating human trophoblast stem cells to
extravillous trophoblast differentiation. *Nat Commun* 15: 1285

Kubota N, Terauchi Y, Miki H, Tamemoto H, Yamauchi T, Komeda K, Satoh S, Nakano R, Ishii C,
Sugiyama T, *et al* (1999) PPAR gamma mediates high-fat diet-induced adipocyte
hypertrophy and insulin resistance. *Mol Cell* 4: 597–609

Kuna M, Dhakal P, Iqbal K, Dominguez EM, Kent LN, Muto M, Moreno-Irusta A, Kozai K, Varberg
KM, Okae H, *et al* CITED2 is a conserved regulator of the uterine–placental interface.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 120: e2213622120

Liu Y, Fan X, Wang R, Lu X, Dang Y-L, Wang H, Lin H-Y, Zhu C, Ge H, Cross JC, *et al* (2018) Single-
cell RNA-seq reveals the diversity of trophoblast subtypes and patterns of differentiation
in the human placenta. *Cell Res* 28: 819–832

Maltepe E & Fisher SJ (2015) Placenta: the forgotten organ. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 31: 523–552

Meinhardt G, Waldhäusl H, Lackner AI, Wächter J, Maxian T, Höbler A-L, Vondra S, Kunihs V,
Saleh L, Haslinger P, *et al* The multifaceted roles of the transcriptional coactivator TAZ in
extravillous trophoblast development of the human placenta. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
122: e2426385122

Muto M, Chakraborty D, Varberg KM, Moreno-Irusta A, Iqbal K, Scott RL, McNally RP, Choudhury
RH, Aplin JD, Okae H, *et al* (2021) Intersection of regulatory pathways controlling
hemostasis and hemochorial placentation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 118: e2111267118

Okae H, Toh H, Sato T, Hiura H, Takahashi S, Shirane K, Kabayama Y, Suyama M, Sasaki H &
Arima T (2018) Derivation of Human Trophoblast Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 22: 50-63.e6

Parast MM, Yu H, Ciric A, Salata MW, Davis V & Milstone DS (2009) PPARγ Regulates Trophoblast
Proliferation and Promotes Labyrinthine Trilineage Differentiation. *PLoS ONE* 4: e8055

Pavan L, Tarrade A, Hermouet A, Delouis C, Titeux M, Vidaud M, Thérond P, Evain-Brion D &
Fournier T (2003) Human invasive trophoblasts transformed with simian virus 40
provide a new tool to study the role of PPARγ in cell invasion process. *Carcinogenesis* 24:
1325–1336

Pijnenborg R, Robertson WB, Brosens I & Dixon G (1981) Review article: trophoblast invasion
and the establishment of haemochorial placentation in man and laboratory animals.
Placenta 2: 71–91

Pijnenborg R, Vercruysse L & Hanssens M (2006) The uterine spiral arteries in human pregnancy:
facts and controversies. *Placenta* 27: 939–958

Rai A & Cross JC (2014) Development of the hemochorial maternal vascular spaces in the
placenta through endothelial and vasculogenic mimicry. *Dev Biol* 387: 131–141

Rätsep MT, Felker AM, Kay VR, Tolusso L, Hofmann AP & Croy BA (2015) Uterine natural killer
cells: supervisors of vasculature construction in early decidua basalis. *Reproduction* 149:
R91-102

Renaud SJ, Scott RL, Chakraborty D, Rumi MAK & Soares MJ (2017) Natural killer-cell deficiency
alters placental development in rats. *Biol Reprod* 96: 145–158

Roberts RM, Green JA & Schulz LC (2016) The evolution of the placenta. *Reproduction* 152:
R179-189

Rodie VA, Young A, Jordan F, Sattar N, Greer IA & Freeman DJ (2005) Human placental
peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor delta and gamma expression in healthy
pregnancy and in preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction. *J Soc Gynecol*
Investig 12: 320–329

Rosario GX, Konno T & Soares MJ (2008) Maternal hypoxia activates endovascular trophoblast
cell invasion. *Dev Biol* 314: 362–375

Sauer S (2015) Ligands for the Nuclear Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Gamma.
Trends Pharmacol Sci 36: 688–704

Schaiff WT, Barak Y & Sadovsky Y (2006) The pleiotropic function of PPARγ in the placenta.
Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology 249: 10–15

Schaiff WT, Bildirici I, Cheong M, Chern PL, Nelson DM & Sadovsky Y (2005) Peroxisome
proliferator-activated receptor-gamma and retinoid X receptor signaling regulate fatty
acid uptake by primary human placental trophoblasts. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 90: 4267–
4275

Schaiff WT, Carlson MG, Smith SD, Levy R, Nelson DM & Sadovsky Y (2000) Peroxisome
proliferator-activated receptor-gamma modulates differentiation of human trophoblast
in a ligand-specific manner. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 85: 3874–3881

Scott RL, Vu HTH, Jain A, Iqbal K, Tuteja G & Soares MJ (2022) Conservation at the uterine–
placental interface. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119: e2210633119

Shalom-Barak T, Nicholas JM, Wang Y, Zhang X, Ong ES, Young TH, Gendler SJ, Evans RM & Barak
Y (2004) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma controls Muc1 transcription
in trophoblasts. *Mol Cell Biol* 24: 10661–10669

Shimizu T, Oike A, Kobayashi EH, Sekiya A, Kobayashi N, Shibata S, Hamada H, Saito M, Yaegashi
N, Suyama M, *et al* (2023) CRISPR screening in human trophoblast stem cells reveals
both shared and distinct aspects of human and mouse placental development.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 120: e2311372120

Shukla V, Moreno-Irusta A, Varberg KM, Kuna M, Iqbal K, Galligos AM, Aplin JD, Choudhury RH,
Okae H, Arima T, *et al* (2024) NOTUM-mediated WNT silencing drives extravillous
trophoblast cell lineage development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 121: e2403003121

Shukla V & Soares MJ (2022) Modeling Trophoblast Cell-Guided Uterine Spiral Artery
Transformation in the Rat. *Int J Mol Sci* 23: 2947

Soares MJ, Chakraborty D, Karim Rumi MA, Konno T & Renaud SJ (2012) Rat placentation: an
experimental model for investigating the hemochorial maternal-fetal interface. *Placenta*
33: 233–243

Soares MJ, Varberg KM & Iqbal K (2018) Hemochorial placentation: development, function, and
adaptations. *Biol Reprod* 99: 196–211

Tarrade A (2001) PPAR /RXR Heterodimers Control Human Trophoblast Invasion. *Journal of*
Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 86: 5017–5024

Tarrade A, Schoonjans K, Guibourdenche J, Bidart JM, Vidaud M, Auwerx J, Rochette-Egly C &
Evain-Brion D (2001) PPARγ/RXRα Heterodimers Are Involved in Human CGβ Synthesis
and Human Trophoblast Differentiation. *Endocrinology* 142: 4504–4514

Varberg KM, Dominguez EM, Koseva B, Varberg JM, McNally RP, Moreno-Irusta A, Wesley ER,
Iqbal K, Cheung WA, Schwendinger-Schreck C, *et al* (2023) Extravillous trophoblast cell
lineage development is associated with active remodeling of the chromatin landscape.
Nat Commun 14: 4826

Varberg KM, Iqbal K, Muto M, Simon ME, Scott RL, Kozai K, Choudhury RH, Aplin JD, Biswell R,
Gibson M, *et al* (2021) ASCL2 reciprocally controls key trophoblast lineage decisions
during hemochorial placenta development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 118: e2016517118

Velicky P, Knöfler M & Pollheimer J (2015) Function and control of human invasive trophoblast
subtypes: Intrinsic vs. maternal control. *Cell Adh Migr* 10: 154–162

Vento-Tormo R, Efremova M, Botting RA, Turco MY, Vento-Tormo M, Meyer KB, Park J-E,
Stephenson E, Polański K, Goncalves A, *et al* (2018) Single-cell reconstruction of the
early maternal-fetal interface in humans. *Nature* 563: 347–353

Vu HTH, Scott RL, Iqbal K, Soares MJ & Tuteja G (2023) Core conserved transcriptional regulatory
networks define the invasive trophoblast cell lineage. *Development* 150: dev201826

Waite LL, Louie RE & Taylor RN (2005) Circulating Activators of Peroxisome Proliferator-
Activated Receptors Are Reduced in Preeclamptic Pregnancy. *The Journal of Clinical*
Endocrinology & Metabolism 90: 620–626

Waite LL, Person EC, Zhou Y, Lim KH, Scanlan TS & Taylor RN (2000) Placental peroxisome
proliferator-activated receptor-gamma is up-regulated by pregnancy serum. *J Clin*
Endocrinol Metab 85: 3808–3814

Wang L-J, Chen C-P, Lee Y-S, Ng P-S, Chang G-D, Pao Y-H, Lo H-F, Peng C-H, Cheong M-L & Chen
H (2022) Functional antagonism between ΔNp63α and GCM1 regulates human
trophoblast stemness and differentiation. *Nat Commun* 13: 1626

Wiemers DO, Ain R, Ohboshi S & Soares MJ (2003) Migratory trophoblast cells express a newly
identified member of the prolactin gene family. *J Endocrinol* 179: 335–346

Xie J, Xu Y, Wan L, Wang P, Wang M & Dong M (2018) Involvement of follistatin-like 3 in
preeclampsia. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 506: 692–697

Figures

794
Figure 1. PPARG is expressed in EVT cells. (A) *PPARG* transcript (magenta) was localized

throughout the EVT column in first trimester human placenta (12 weeks) using in situ

hybridization. *NOTUM* (cyan) was used as a distal EVT cell specific transcript. **(B)** PPARG

immunohistochemistry (magenta) showed nuclear localization within cells of the first trimester

EVT cell column (12 weeks). Scale bars for panels A and B=500 µm. **(C)** In vitro *PPARG*

transcript and protein expression in CT27 (X,X) TS cells in the stem state, day 3 (D3), day 6 (D6),

and day 8 (D8) of EVT cell differentiation (n=5). Data are presented as mean ± standard error of

the mean. Each data point represents a biological replicate. Statistical analysis was performed

using ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post hoc test: ****p<0.0001.

Figure 2. PPARG regulates EVT cell differentiation. (A) In vitro *PPARG* transcript and protein
levels after 8 days of EVT cell differentiation in control versus PPARG1 and PPARG2 shRNAs-
treated cells (n=3). **(B)** Phase-contrast images showing the morphology of EVT cells expressing
control or PPARG1 and PPARG2 shRNAs. The arrow indicates a cluster of cells exhibiting a
stem-like morphology, scale bar=100 µm. **(C)** HLA-G immunofluorescence detection of cell
migration in control versus PPARG1 and PPARG2 shRNA-treated cells (n=6), scale bar=500 µm.
Data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. Each data point represents a
biological replicate. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA followed by
Dunnett's post hoc tests: ** p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.

Figure 3. Examination of the role of PPARG in EVT cell differentiation using trophoblast

organoids. **(A)** Phase-contrast images of trophoblast organoids (**TO**) expressing control

(**shCTRL**) and PPARG (**shPPARG**) shRNAs, scale bar=100 µm. **(B)** Phase-contrast (top panel)

and HLA-G immunostaining (bottom panel) images of shCTRL and shPPARG TO transferred to

EVT cell differentiation conditions (**EVT-TO**). Arrows indicate the location of cells exhibiting stem-

like morphology, scale bar=100 µm. **(C)** RT-qPCR analysis of shCTRL and shPPARG TO

exposed to EVT cell differentiation conditions. Data are presented as the mean ± standard error

of the mean. Each data point represents a biological replicate. Statistical analysis was performed

using unpaired t-tests: *P<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

Figure 4. Effects of PPARG on the EVT cell transcriptome. (A) Volcano plot and **(B)** heat map
depicting RNA-seq analysis of control shRNA and PPARG shRNA for cells exposed to culture
conditions used to promote EVT cell differentiation (n=3 per group). Downregulated transcripts
are represented by magenta dots (p<0.05, fold change <1.5) and cyan dots represent
upregulated transcripts (p<0.05, fold change>1.5). Z-scores of totals read values are represented

in the heat map. **(C)** RT-qPCR validation of putative PPARG targets in control and PPARG

shRNA treated cells. **(D)** Gene ontology (GO) analyses of upregulated and downregulated genes

in control and PPARG shRNA treated TS cells exposed to culture conditions used to promote

EVT cell differentiation. **(E)** Gene set enrichment analyses of upregulated and downregulated

genes in control and PPARG shRNA treated TS cells exposed to culture conditions used to

promote EVT cell differentiation. CT27 (X,X) TS cell line was used for all experiments shown.

Data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. Each data point represents a

biological replicate. Statistical analyses were performed using unpaired t-tests: *$p<0.05$.

Figure 5. PPARG targets within the EVT cell genome identified using ChIP-seq. (A) Two significantly enriched PPARG binding motifs were observed within PPARG ChIP-seq peaks. **(B)** Number of peaks observed within specific locations of the genome. **(C)** Pie chart showing the distribution of PPARG peaks in genomic regions for all genes and protein-coding genes. **(D)** Venn diagram showing the integration of PPARG responsive genes identified by RNA-seq of EVT cells (**see Fig. 4**) and PPARG gene targets identified by PPARG ChIP-seq from EVT cells. **(E)** Gene ontology (**GO**) analysis of the PPARG ChIP-seq dataset performed on EVT cells. **(F)** GO analysis

of an integrated dataset generated from PPARG responsive genes identified by RNA-seq of EVT

cells (**see Fig. 4**) and PPARG gene targets identified by PPARG ChIP-seq from EVT cells. **(G)**

Integration of PPARG ChIP-Seq peaks and RNA-seq track at selected gene loci that exhibit

sensitivity to PPARG inhibition. Visualization was performed using the University of California at

Santa Cruz Genome browser. Red peaks represent PPARGbound regions in the ChIP-seq and

gene expression in shPPARG1 RNA-seq, whereas black peaks represent input DNA in ChIP-seq

and gene expression in shCTRL RNA-seq.

Figure 6. Distribution of *Pparg* transcripts and protein in rat placental tissues. *Pparg*
transcripts were localized within rat placentation sites using in situ hybridization. **(A)** *Pparg*
transcripts (magenta) were co-localized with *Krt8* transcripts (cyan) at gestation day (**gd**) 11.5.
(B) Co-localization (white) of *Pparg* (magenta) and *Prl7b1* (cyan) transcripts within the gd 18.5
placentation site. **(C)** Higher magnification of the placentation site surrounding the spiral arteries
showing co-localization (white) of *Pparg* (magenta) and *Prl7b1* (cyan) transcripts. **(D)** RT-qPCR
measurement of *Pparg* transcript levels in uterine-placental interface tissues at gd 11.5, 13.5,
15.5, 18.5, and 20.5. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean. Each data point
represents a biological replicate from six independent pregnancies (n=6). Statistical analyses
were performed with unpaired *t*-tests: **$p<0.01$, ****$p<0.0001$. **(E)** Immunohistochemical
localization of PPARG protein within the gd 18.5 rat uterine-placental interface. Scale bars for
panels A, B and E=500 μm, and panel C=50 μm. Abbreviations: SpA, spiral artery; UPI, uterine-
placental interface; P, placenta; JZ, junctional zone; LZ, labyrinth zone.

Figure 7. Rat invasive trophoblast-specific deletion of *Pparg*. **(A)** Schematic representation
of loxP sites flanking Exons 2 and 3 of the *Pparg* gene and their conditional deletion following Cre
recombinase activity driven by *Prl7b1* regulatory DNA. **(B)** PPARG immunostaining of *Pparg^f/f^*
and *Pparg^d/d^* gestation day (**gd**) 18.5 placentation sites. Images show uterine-placental interface
tissues (left) and junctional zone tissues (right) for each group, scale bar=50 µm. **(C)** Hematoxylin
and eosin staining of *Pparg^f/f^* and *Pparg^d/d^* gd 18.5 placentation sites, scale bar=500 µm. **(D)**
Placental weight, fetal weight, and fetal-to-placental weight ratio from gd 18.5 *Pparg^f/f^* and
*Pparg^d/d^* conceptuses. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean. Each data point
represents a biological replicate from six pregnancies (*Pparg^f/f^*, n=17, *Pparg^d/d^*, n=17). Statistical
analyses were performed using unpaired *t*-tests: *p<0.05, **p<0.01. Abbreviations: SpA, spiral
artery; UPI, uterine-placental interface; JZ, junctional zone; LZ, labyrinth zone; D, decidua.

Figure 8. Invasive trophoblast cell-specific *Pparg* disruption impacts pregnancy-

dependent transformation of the uterine-placental interface. (A) *In situ* hybridization showing

Prl7b1 transcripts (cyan) in gestation day (**gd**) 18.5 *Pparg^{f/f}* and *Pparg^{d/d}* placentation sites. **(B)**

Immunohistochemical localization of KRT8 (cyan) in gd 18.5 *Pparg^{f/f}* and *Pparg^{d/d}* placentation

sites. **(C)** RT-qPCR analysis of *Prl7b1, Prl5a1, and Krt8* expression in the uterine-placental

interface of gd 18.5 *Pparg^{f/f}* and *Pparg^{d/d}* placentation sites (n=6). **(D)** Quantification of trophoblast

cell invasion area (magenta dotted line) (n=4). **(E)** Immunohistochemical localization of perforin

(**PRF1**), a natural killer (**NK**) cell-associated protein, (magenta) and KRT8 (cyan). **(F)** RT-qPCR

analysis of NK cell-associated transcripts (*Prf1* and *Ncr1*) in the uterine-placental interface of gd

18.5 *Pparg^{f/f}* and *Pparg^{d/d}* placentation sites (n=6). **(G)** Immunohistochemical localization of

smooth muscle actin (**SMA**, magenta) and KRT8 (cyan) in the uterine-placental interface of gd

18.5 *Pparg^{f/f}* and *Pparg^{d/d}* placentation sites. **(H)** RT-qPCR analysis of *Sma* transcript in the

uterine-placental interface of gd 18.5 *Pparg^{f/f}* and *Pparg^{d/d}* placentation sites (n=6). Scale bars for

images in panels A, B, E and G=500 µm. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the

mean. Each data point represents a distinct uterine-placental interface sample obtained from four

to six pregnancies. Statistical analyses were performed using unpaired *t*-tests: *$p<0.05$, **p<0.01,

903   ***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$. Abbreviations: UPI, uterine-placental interface; JZ, junctional zone; LZ,

904   labyrinth zone; D, decidua; SpA, spiral artery.