PPARG directs trophoblast cell fate and establishment of the

uterine-placental interface

Esteban M. Dominguez[1,*], Ayelen Moreno-Irusta[1], Khursheed Iqbal[1ψ], Keting Chen[2], Alex

Finlinson[3], Marc Parrish[3], Hiroaki Okae[4], Takahiro Arima[5], Geetu Tuteja[2], and Michael J.

Soares[1,3,*]

[1]Institute for Reproductive and Developmental Sciences, Department of Pathology & Laboratory

Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS 66160, [2]Department of

Genetics, Development, and Cell Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011,[3]Department of

Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS

66160,[4]Department of Trophoblast Research, Institute of Molecular Embryology and Genetics,

Kumamoto University, Kumamoto 860-0811, Japan,[5]Department of Informative Genetics,

Environment and Genome Research Center, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine,

and Department of Molecular Oncology, Institute of Development, Aging and Cancer, Tohoku

University, Sendai 980-8575, Japan

[ψ]Present address: Department of Animal & Food Science, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater,

OK, 74078.

Corresponding authors: Esteban M. Dominguez, edominguez@kumc.edu or Michael J.

Soares, msoares@kumc.edu

3901 Rainbow Blvd. Kansas City, KS 66160, Mail Stop 3050.

Phone: 913 588 5960 or 913 588 5961.

Abstract

The expansion and differentiation of trophoblast stem (**TS**) cells are critical for defining

fundamental properties of the placenta. Specialized trophoblast cells exit the placenta and enter

and transform the uterus, including restructuring uterine spiral arteries. In the human these cells

are referred to as extravillous trophoblast (**EVT**) cells, whereas in the rat they are termed invasive

trophoblast cells. Mechanisms governing invasive trophoblast cell differentiation remain poorly

understood. We investigated peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (**PPARG**) as a

potential regulator of EVT/invasive trophoblast cell development. In first trimester human

placentas, PPARG transcripts and protein were detected in the EVT cell column and increased in

amount as human TS cells differentiated into EVT cells. Disruption of PPARG impaired

differentiation of human TS cells into EVT cells. Invasive trophoblast cells of the rat placentation

site similarly expressed PPARG. Conditional inactivation of PPARG within invasive trophoblast

cells of the rat placenta was used to assess the in vivo role of PPARG on the uterine-placental

interface. PPARG was established as an essential cell-autonomous regulator of the invasive

trophoblast cell lineage with profound effects on placentation. In conclusion, PPARG is a

conserved regulator of placentation and is essential for directing trophoblast cell-guided uterine

transformation.

Keywords: PPARG, trophoblast cell invasion, hemochorial placenta.

Introduction

The uterine-placental interface is a dynamic site where uterine and placental structures cooperate to establish a protective environment that redirects maternal resources to support embryonic and fetal development (Georgiades et al. 2002; Maltepe and Fisher 2015). Both humans and rats possess a hemochorial placenta, characterized by deep trophoblast cell invasion into the uterine parenchyma and remodeling of the uterine vasculature to ensure optimal maternal blood supply to the placenta (Pijnenborg et al. 1981; Soares et al. 2012). This vascular transformation is critical for successful pregnancy (Pijnenborg et al. 2006; Aye et al. 2025). Impairments in this process can lead to complications such as pregnancy loss, preeclampsia, intrauterine growth restriction, and preterm birth (Aye et al. 2025; Brosens et al. 2011; Fisher 2015; Brosens et al. 2019; Harris et al. 2019). These complications significantly contribute to maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality (Fisher 2015; Brosens et al. 2019; Kaufmann et al. 2003). Furthermore, suboptimal intrauterine conditions can trigger fetal adaptations that increase susceptibility to disease later in life (Calkins and Devaskar 2011; Gluckman et al. 2008).

Throughout gestation, maternal blood flows into the placenta, delivering essential nutrients and oxygen to support fetal development. As pregnancy progresses, maternal uterine spiral arteries are remodeled into distended, low-resistance vessels capable of meeting the increasing demands of the growing fetus (Kaufmann et al. 2003; Harris 2010). At the core of spiral artery remodeling are invasive trophoblast cells, referred to as extravillous trophoblast (**EVT**) cells in humans (Velicky et al. 2015). These cells take two routes into the uterus. They migrate inside the uterine vasculature and within the uterine stroma situated between uterine blood vessels and are referred to as endovascular invasive trophoblast/EVT cells and interstitial invasive trophoblast/EVT cells, respectively. Endovascular invasive trophoblast/EVT cells replace endothelial cells and adopt a pseudo-endothelial phenotype (Hemberger et al. 2003; Rai and Cross 2014). Given the critical role of invasive trophoblast/EVT cells in uterine spiral artery

remodeling, it is crucial to identify mechanisms that regulate invasive trophoblast/EVT cell

development.

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (**PPARG**) is a member of the nuclear

hormone receptor superfamily of ligand-activated transcription factors (Sauer 2015). PPARG is

essential for placental development in the mouse (Barak et al. 1999; Kubota et al. 1999).

Deficiency of PPARG results in embryonic lethality at mid-gestation (Barak et al. 1999; Kubota et

al. 1999). In addition, PPARG is expressed in the human placenta and is involved in human

trophoblast cell development and regulating key placental functions (Schaiff et al. 2006; Tarrade

2001; Tarrade et al. 2001; Pavan et al. 2003; Fournier et al. 2007; Schaiff et al. 2000; Shalom-

Barak et al. 2004). Among its diverse actions on placentation is its potential involvement in

regulating the invasive trophoblast cell lineage. Within rat and human placentation sites, PPARG

is prominently expressed in invasive trophoblast/EVT cell lineages (Liu et al. 2018; Vento-Tormo

et al. 2018; Scott et al. 2022). PPARG dysregulation is also evident in diseases associated with

failures in trophoblast cell-guided uterine spiral artery remodeling such as preeclampsia and

intrauterine growth restriction (Rodie et al. 2005; Waite et al. 2005; Holdsworth-Carson et al.

2010).

In this study, we investigated the involvement of PPARG on EVT cell lineage development in

vitro using human trophoblast stem (**TS**) cells. Human TS cells are a robust in vitro model system

and have provided new insights into the regulation of trophoblast cell differentiation (Okae et al.

2018; Varberg et al. 2023). The contributions of PPARG to invasive trophoblast cell development

were also examined in vivo using a conditionally disrupted *Pparg* rat model. Our findings

demonstrate that PPARG is a critical and conserved regulator of invasive trophoblast cell

development and invasive trophoblast cell-guided transformation of the uterine vasculature.

Results

PPARG is expressed in EVT cells

Distributions of PPARG transcript and protein in first trimester human placenta tissue were determined by in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry, respectively. PPARG transcript (Fig. 1A) and protein (Fig. 1B) were ubiquitously expressed throughout trophoblast cells within villous and extravillous compartments of the human placenta, including EVT cells. The transcript for notum, palmitoleoyl protein carboxylesterase (**NOTUM**), an EVT cell-associated marker (Shukla et al. 2024), co-localized with PPARG transcripts in the distal region of the EVT cell column (Fig. 1A). PPARG protein was located within nuclei of EVT cells (Fig. 1B). These observations are consistent with previous reports (Tarrade et al. 2001; Waite et al. 2000). PPARG expression was also examined in CT27 (X,X) human TS cells. TS cells can be maintained in the stem state or induced to differentiate into EVT cells (Okae et al. 2018). Expression of *TEAD4* and *TP63* defined the stem state of these cells, whereas *HLA-G* and *MMP2* characterized EVT cell differentiation (Supplemental Fig. S1A). PPARG transcript and protein expression increased from the stem state to day 8 of EVT cell differentiation (Fig. 1C). Thus, PPARG is expressed in EVT cells of the developing human placenta and in human TS cells induced to differentiate into EVT cells.

PPARG effects on EVT cell differentiation

The expression of PPARG within EVT cells prompted an investigation into a possible role for PPARG in EVT cell development. A lentiviral-mediated short hairpin RNA (**shRNA**) loss-of-function strategy was used to inhibit PPARG in CT27 TS cells. PPARG transcript and protein were significantly decreased in TS cells stably expressing a PPARG shRNA and induced to differentiate into EVT cells when compared to expression of a control shRNA (Fig. 2A). Treatment with the PPARG shRNA slowed TS cell proliferation without changes in stem sate associated markers TEAD4 and TP63 (Supplemental Fig. S1B-D). Disruption of PPARG affected the morphology of the EVT cell differentiation state, including a decrease in cell elongation and the presence of stem state-like cell clusters (Fig. 2B). Cells expressing PPARG shRNAs showed significantly less migration than EVT cells expressing control shRNAs (Fig. 2C). Similar findings were observed in

CT29 (X,Y) TS cells following their manipulation with PPARG shRNA (Supplemental Fig. S2A-C).

Additionally, exposure to a small molecule PPARG antagonist (GW9662) similarly negatively

affected stem state proliferation, EVT cell differentiation, and cell migration (Supplemental Fig.

S3A-J**)**. Collectively, the findings indicate that PPARG possesses a fundamental role in regulating

EVT cell development and function.

PPARG effects on trophoblast organoids

We next examined the involvement of PPARG in establishment and behavior of TS cell-derived

trophoblast organoids. We initially validated the TS cell-derived trophoblast organoid culture

system (Supplemental Fig. S4A–D) and then examined control or PPARG deficient trophoblast

organoids. The ability to form trophoblast organoids was not affected by PPARG disruption (Fig.

3A); however, PPARG inhibition did affect EVT cell differentiation from trophoblast organoids (Fig.

3B). This finding was supported by inspection of the morphology of the trophoblast organoids and

their expression of TS cell stem state transcripts (*TEAD4, TFAP2C, TP63, CDH1*) and EVT cell-

associated transcripts (*MMP15, HLA-G, FSTL3, ASCL2, DLX6, PLAC8*) (Fig. 3C).

Identification of PPARG gene targets in EVT cells

PPARG is a transcription factor, which prompted an examination of transcripts affected by

PPARG disruption. RNA sequencing (**RNA-seq**) was performed, and transcriptomic profiles

analyzed following eight days of EVT cell differentiation in control shRNA and PPARG shRNA

treated cells (Supplemental Dataset 1**)**. A total of 5,845 differentially expressed genes were

identified in control versus PPARG shRNA treated cells ($p<0.05$, and fold change of 1.5), including

2,510 upregulated and 3,335 downregulated genes. The differential gene expression profile is

presented as a volcano plot (Fig. 4A). Selected upregulated and downregulated genes connected

to trophoblast cell biology are shown in a heat map (Fig. 4B). We identified upregulation of several

genes associated with the TS cell stem state (e.g. *TEAD4, TFAP2C, TP63, CDH1*) and

downregulation of several EVT cell-associated genes (e.g. *ITGA1, ITGAV, FLT1, EPAS1, DLX6,*

PLAC8, TFPI, FSTL3). These findings were independently validated by reverse transcriptase-

quantitative polymerase chain reaction (**RT-qPCR,** Fig. 4C, Supplemental Fig. S5**).** Gene ontology

(**GO**) (Fig. 4D and Supplemental Dataset S2 and S3) and gene set enrichment analysis (**GSEA**)

(Fig. 4E and Supplemental Dataset S4) implicated PPARG in the upregulation of cell cycle

associated genes and the downregulation of cell projection assembly associated genes. The latter

cellular process directly connects PPARG with cell elongation and motility that are acquired as TS

cells transit from the stem state to EVT cells.

Since, PPARG acts by binding to DNA and coordinating the regulation of gene transcription,

we next examined the genome-wide profile of PPARG-DNA interactions using chromatin

immunoprecipitation sequencing (**ChIP-seq**). We identified 7,319 genes with at least one PPARG

bound region (peak) in differentiated EVT cells (Supplemental Dataset S5). The two most prevalent

motifs identified within the peaks were known PPARG DNA binding motifs (peroxisome proliferator

response element, **PPRE**) and primarily consisted of a direct repeat 1 (**DR1**) sequence (Fig. 5A).

Most of PPARG-DNA peaks (~7000) were located within introns or intergenic regions of protein-

coding genes (Fig. 5B and C), suggesting the involvement of PPARG in the regulation of enhancer

activity. Integration of RNA-seq (5,845 differentially expressed genes) and ChIP-seq (7,319 genes

with PPARG peak) datasets resulted in the identification of 2,512 potential direct PPARG gene

targets (Fig. 5D, Supplemental Dataset S6). GO analyses of PPARG gene targets identified by

PPARG ChIP-seq (Fig. 5E, Supplemental Dataset S7) and an integrated dataset of PPARG

responsive genes identified by RNA-seq of EVT cells (see Fig. 4) and PPARG gene targets

identified by PPARG ChIP-seq (Fig. 5F, Supplemental Dataset 8) prominently identified genes

associated with positive regulation of cell projection organization and tissue migration. These

represent cellular processes associated with EVT cell differentiation. Evidence supporting *PLAC8*,

TFPI, *DLX6*, *FSTL3* and *TP63*, which are known regulators of trophoblast cell differentiation (Chang

et al. 2018; Xie et al. 2018; Muto et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2022; Kim et al. 2024), as potential direct

targets of PPARG is shown (Fig. 5G). Collectively, the results support PPARG regulating genes

that control development of the EVT cell lineage.

PPARG expression within the rat uterine-placental interface

The rat exhibits deep intrauterine trophoblast cell invasion (Ain et al. 2003), a feature of

placentation also observed in the human, and has proven to be a useful in vivo model for

investigating the physiological relevance of genes implicated in the regulation of the invasive

trophoblast cell lineage (Chakraborty et al. 2016; Varberg et al. 2021; Muto et al. 2021; Kuna et al.;

Dominguez et al. 2024). We next sought to determine whether PPARG was expressed in

trophoblast cells of the rat placenta, especially within invasive trophoblast cells of the rat uterine-

placental interface. The rat placentation site is organized into three main compartments: i) labyrinth

zone, ii) junctional zone, and iii) uterine-placental interface (Soares et al. 2012; Shukla and Soares

2022). The labyrinth zone is comprised of trophoblast cells and fetal vasculature and represents

the site of maternal-fetal exchange, whereas the junctional zone contains several trophoblast cell

types, including invasive trophoblast cell progenitors, which direct pregnancy-dependent

adaptations of maternal tissues. The uterine-placental interface is the uterine compartment

adjacent to the placenta where invasive trophoblast cells, uterine vasculature, and maternal

immune cells are situated. *In situ* hybridization was used to determine the distribution of *Pparg*

transcripts. At gestation day (**gd**) 11.5, *Pparg* transcripts were localized to trophoblast cells of the

developing placenta as well as to invasive trophoblast cells situated within uterine spiral arteries

(Fig. 6A). The presence of *Pparg* transcripts in trophoblast cells was confirmed by co-localization

with keratin 8 (*Krt8*), an epithelial cell associated transcript expressed by trophoblast cells (Fig. 6A).

By gd 18.5, *Pparg* was expressed within trophoblast cells of the labyrinth zone, junctional zone,

and within invasive trophoblast cells of the uterine–placental interface (Fig. 6B). Expression of

Pparg in invasive trophoblast cells was supported by its co-localization with prolactin family 7,

subfamily b, member 1 (**Prl7b1,** Fig. 6B), an invasive trophoblast cell-associated transcript (Scott

et al. 2022; Wiemers et al. 2003). These findings are consistent with a previously published report

describing single cell RNA-seq analysis of the rat uterine–placental interface, which demonstrated

that *Pparg* expression was enriched in the invasive trophoblast cell lineage (Supplemental Fig. S6)

(Scott et al. 2022). *Pparg* transcript levels increased within the uterine–placental interface as

pregnancy progressed (Fig. 6C). Furthermore, PPARG protein was localized to invasive

trophoblast cells and throughout the junctional and labyrinth zones, as shown by

immunohistochemistry (Fig. 6D). Thus, PPARG expression is a conserved feature of EVT cells of

the human placenta (Fig. 1) and invasive trophoblast cells of the rat placentation site (Fig. 6).

Establishment and validation of the invasive trophoblast cell-specific Pparg mutant rat

Next, we examined an in vivo role for PPARG in development of rat invasive trophoblast cells.

Since, PPARG is expressed in trophoblast cell lineages throughout the rat placentation site (Fig.

6B) and global inactivation of the mouse *Pparg* gene was associated with midgestation lethality

(Barak et al. 1999; Kubota et al. 1999), we utilized a conditional Cre/Lox approach to selectively

disrupt *Pparg* in the invasive trophoblast cell lineage. Male *Prl7b1-Cre* rats, specifically expressing

Cre recombinase in the invasive trophoblast cell lineage (Dominguez et al. 2024; Iqbal et al. 2024)

and female rats possessing *loxp* sites flanking Exons 2 and 3 of the *Pparg* gene (Fig. 7A) were

used in the analysis. Specifically, *Prl7b1-Cre*/heterozygous floxed *Pparg* (**Prl7b1^{Cre}/Pparg$^{f/+}$**) males

were mated with homozygous floxed *Pparg* female rats (**Pparg$^{f/f}$**) to generate *Pparg$^{f/f}$* and *Pparg*

deleted (**Pparg$^{d/d}$**) placentation sites. Immunohistochemical analysis for PPARG demonstrated the

presence of PPARG protein at the gd 18.5 uterine–placental interface of *Pparg$^{f/f}$* but not *Pparg$^{d/d}$*

placentation sites (Fig. 7B).

PPARG involvement in rat invasive trophoblast cell lineage development and cellular dynamics

within the uterine-placental interface

The structure and integrity of *Pparg$^{f/f}$* and *Pparg$^{d/d}$* placentation sites were different. *Pparg$^{d/d}$*

placentas were larger (Fig. 7C), possessed an expanded junctional zone (Supplemental Fig. S7)

containing prominent cyst-like structures (Fig. 7C), and were less efficient than *Pparg$^{f/f}$* placentas

(Fig. 7D**)**. Invasive trophoblast cells arise from the junctional zone (Soares et al. 2012; Shukla and

Soares 2022) and exhibited pronounced differences between *Pparg*[f/f] and *Pparg*[d/d] placentation

sites (Fig. 8).

The uterine-placental interface of *Pparg*[f/f] placentation sites was infiltrated with invasive

trophoblast cells, which was not observed in *Pparg*[d/d] placentation sites, as detected by in situ

hybridization for *Prl7b1* or pan-cytokeratin immunostaining (Fig. 8A and B). Deficits in intrauterine

trophoblast cell invasion correlated with diminished expression of invasive trophoblast cell-

associated transcripts (*Prl5a1*, *Prl7b1*, *Krt8, Krt7, Cited2, Peg3, and Tfpi*) and pan-cytokeratin

immunoreactive surface area within the uterine-placental interfaces of *Pparg*[d/d] placentation sites

(Fig. 8C and D, Supplemental Fig. S8). Previously published single cell RNA-sequencing and single

nucleus assay for transposase-accessible chromatin-sequencing datasets (Scott et al. 2022; Vu et

al. 2023) were used to reveal a network of genes potentially regulated by PPARG in rat invasive

trophoblast cells. These putative PPARG targets include candidate contributors to remodeling the

extracellular matrix, regulation of cell movement, cytoskeletal restructuring, and transcriptional

regulators that act on genes encoding other proteins affecting the invasive trophoblast cell

phenotype (Supplemental Table S1 and Supplemental Dataset S9).

Diminished intrauterine trophoblast cell invasion in *Pparg*[d/d] placentation sites was

accompanied by a retention of natural killer (**NK**) cells within the uterine-placental interface (Fig.

8E) and increased expression of NK cell-associated transcripts (Fig. 8F). NK cells are known

contributors to uterine spiral artery remodeling (Chakraborty et al. 2011; Rätsep et al. 2015; Renaud

et al. 2017; Erlebacher 2013) but were less effective than invasive trophoblast cells in disabling

smooth muscle cells associated with uterine spiral arteries than invasive trophoblast cells (Fig. 8G

and H).

Overall, these results highlight a key role for PPARG in directing development of the invasive

trophoblast cell lineage and the important role that invasive trophoblast cells possess in regulating

the cell composition and function of the uterine-placental interface.

Discussion

Hemochorial placentation serves the important function of delivering nutrients to ensure

coordinated on-time growth and development of the fetus within the female reproductive tract

(Soares et al. 2018). This type of placentation is found in many primates and rodents, including

the human and rat (Carter and Enders 2004; Roberts et al. 2016). These two species exhibit

extensive invasive trophoblast cell-guided uterine transformation, including restructuring of spiral

arteries, which is fundamental to acquisition of maternal resources required for fetal development

(Pijnenborg et al. 1981). We examined a role for PPARG in development of invasive trophoblast

cells using human TS cells and a genetically manipulated rat model. In human placentation,

PPARG was expressed in EVT cell column cells and was shown to coordinate the upregulation of

genes required for cell elongation and the process of EVT cell movement. In the rat, PPARG was

expressed throughout the placenta, including invasive trophoblast cells, and was essential for

development of the invasive trophoblast cell lineage. Failures in PPARG-mediated gene

activation also affected human TS cell proliferation and led to abnormalities within compartments

of the rat placenta. The experimentation demonstrated that PPARG is a conserved regulator of

invasive trophoblast cell development and function.

Earlier research connected PPARG to placentation (Schaiff et al. 2006; Fournier et al. 2011;

Kadam et al. 2015). Insights regarding PPARG actions in placentation were unequivocally

demonstrated from analysis of global PPARG null mouse models (Barak et al. 1999; Kubota et al.

1999). PPARG disruption led to midgestation lethality due to an impairment of early events in

placental morphogenesis (Barak et al. 1999; Kubota et al. 1999). Considerable effort has also

been directed towards analyses of roles for PPARG in regulating trophoblast cells using an

assortment of in vitro models. PPARG deficient mouse TS cells exhibit impairments in

proliferation and syncytiotrophoblast development and enhanced trophoblast giant cell

differentiation (Parast et al. 2009), whereas human cytotrophoblast exposure to PPARG agonists

promoted syncytiotrophoblast formation and trophoblast cell-lipid accumulation, while inhibiting

trophoblast cell invasive properties (Tarrade 2001; Tarrade et al. 2001; Schaiff et al. 2005). Our in

vitro and in vivo experimentation supported an essential role for PPARG in EVT/invasive

trophoblast cell lineage development. Similar observations of the involvement of PPARG in EVT

cell differentiation have recently been reported (Guo et al. 2025). Exposure to a PPARG

antagonist supported the involvement of PPARG actions in promoting EVT cell differentiation.

These PPARG actions on the EVT/invasive trophoblast cell lineage differ from observations with

PPARG activating ligands. PPARG can act independent of ligand and its actions can vary

depending upon the ligand investigated and may differ depending on the developmental state of

the target cells examined (Sauer 2015). Thus, PPARG is a broad-spectrum regulator of placental

biology with critical actions on development of the EVT/invasive trophoblast cell lineage.

As indicated above, PPARG is expressed in multiple trophoblast cell lineages (Barak et al.

1999; Tarrade 2001; Fournier et al. 2007; Waite et al. 2005; Asami-Miyagishi et al. 2004). Each

trophoblast cell lineage is responsible for controlling tasks critical for the development and

function of the placenta (Soares et al. 2018). Context is important. PPARG actions are not only

determined by its presence but also by the complement of posttranslational modifiers,

heterodimerization binding partners, and co-regulators expressed by a cell (Berger and Moller

2002; Feige et al. 2006; Ahmadian et al. 2013).

In addition to PPARG, several other proteins have been shown to contribute to the regulation

of EVT cell differentiation. ASCL2, CITED2, DLX5, DLX6, EPAS1, GCM1, NOTUM, NRIP1,

SNAI1, TFAP2C, TFPI, WWTR1, and ZNF439, are required for optimal transition from the TS

cell stem state to EVT cells (Varberg et al. 2023; Shukla et al. 2024; Varberg et al. 2021; Muto et

al. 2021; Kuna et al.; Kim et al. 2024; Jeyarajah et al.; Meinhardt et al.). A *CRISPR* screen

identified additional transcription factors contributing to the regulation of human TS cells (Shimizu

et al. 2023). The screen demonstrated the involvement of PPARG in the control of TS cell

proliferation, which was consistent with our observations, but not EVT cell differentiation. The

CRISPR screen utilized HLA-G expression as a method for monitoring EVT cell differentiation.

PPARG does not significantly affect HLA-G gene expression, which may account for differences

in the outcome of the *CRISPR* screen versus our findings. Based on RNA-seq and ChIP-seq

experiments we can place PPARG in a potential hierarchy of transcription factors controlling EVT

cell development (Supplemental Fig. S9 (Varberg et al. 2023, 2021; Kuna et al.; Kim et al. 2024;

Jeyarajah et al.; Guo et al. 2025). Among the regulators impacting EVT cell differentiation,

ASCL2, TFPI, CITED2, TFAP2C and PPARG possess conserved actions promoting development

of the invasive trophoblast cell lineage in the rat (Varberg et al. 2021; Muto et al. 2021; Kuna et

al.; Dominguez et al. 2024).

PPARG deficiency was associated with failed trophoblast cell invasion into the uterus leading

to a dramatic reorganization of the rat placentation site. The reorganization included the retention

of NK cells within the uterine-placental interface and a disruption in the structural organization of

the two main placental compartments (junctional and labyrinth zones). NK cells are known

contributors to early events in uterine spiral artery remodeling (Chakraborty et al. 2011; Rätsep et

al. 2015; Renaud et al. 2017; Erlebacher 2013). NK cells vacate the uterine-placental interface as

invasive trophoblast cells enter the uterus (Ain et al. 2003). In addition to PPARG, TFAP2C is

also a driver of invasive trophoblast cell infiltration into the uterus (Dominguez et al. 2024).

Impairment of either PPARG or TFAP2C leads to failed intrauterine trophoblast cell invasion and

retention of NK cells within the uterine-placental interface. The implication is that invasive

trophoblast cells are engineering the exit of NK cells from the uterine-placental interface. In the

absence of invasive trophoblast cells, NK cells are supporting, at least partially, uterine spiral

artery remodeling and minimizing adverse pregnancy outcomes. The nature of the signal(s)

emanating from invasive trophoblast cells responsible for NK cell exodus is unknown.

Cooperation of invasive trophoblast cells and NK cells at the uterine-placental interface is robust.

It is expected that deficits in both maternal and extraembryonic contributions to uterine

transformation would exhibit more adverse consequences. In addition to the uterine-placental

interface, failure in the developmental progression of progenitor cells situated in the junctional

zone into invasive trophoblast cells resulted in malformations within the junctional zone. These

aberrations included the appearance of large cystic structures within the junctional zone and an

irregular junctional zone-labyrinth zone interface. Specific cellular dysfunctions linked to PPARG

deficiency underlying these structural defects in junctional zone morphogenesis are unknown.

Finally, PPARG transcriptional activity is influenced by the availability of glucose and lipids

(Berger and Moller 2002; Feige et al. 2006; Ahmadian et al. 2013). Thus, PPARG acts as a

nutrient sensor. Coupling nutrient sensing with the regulation of invasive trophoblast cell

transformation of the uterine vasculature would be an effective strategy for adapting to a nutrient-

variable maternal environment and is testable with the in vitro and in vivo models described in this

report.

Materials and Methods

Human placentation site specimens

Paraffin-embedded first-trimester placental tissue specimens were obtained from the

Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute at Mount Sinai Hospital (Toronto, Canada). All tissue

samples were deidentified prior to use in this study. Tissue collection was conducted following

written informed consent and with approval from the Human Research Ethics Review Committees

at the University of Toronto and the University of Kansas Medical Center (**KUMC**).

Human TS cell culture

Human TS cells (CT27 and CT29) used in this study have been previously described (Okae

et al. 2018). The TS cells originated from deidentified first trimester human placental tissue

obtained from healthy women with signed informed consent and approval from the Ethics

Committee of Tohoku University School of Medicine. Experimentation with human TS cells was

approved by the KUMC Human Research Protection Program and the KUMC Human Stem Cell

Research Oversite committee. Human TS cell lines were maintained in the stem cell state and

differentiated into EVT cells as previously reported. Detailed culture conditions are provided in the

Supplemental Materials.

Design and generation of lentiviral shRNA constructs

PPARG shRNA sequences were designed and subcloned into the pLKO.1 vector at *AgeI* and

EcoRI restriction sites. shRNA sequences used in these analyses are listed in Supplemental

Table S2. Lentiviral packaging plasmids were obtained from Addgene and included pMDLg/pRRE

(plasmid 12251), pRSV-Rev (plasmid 12253), and pMD2.G (plasmid 12259). Lentiviral particles

were produced via transient transfection of the shRNA-pLKO.1 vector along with the packaging

plasmids into Lenti-X cells (632180, Takara Bio USA) using Attractene (301005, Qiagen) in Opti-

MEM I (51985-034, Thermo Fisher). Cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium

(DMEM, 11995-065, Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (**FBS**) for 24 h

prior to supernatant collection. At that point, cells were switched to Basal Human TS Cell

Medium. Conditioned medium containing viral particles was collected every 24 h over two days

and stored at −80 °C until used.

Lentiviral transduction

Human TS cells were plated at 65,000 cells per well in 6-well tissue culture-treated plates

coated with 5 µg/mL collagen IV (CB40233, Thermo Fisher) and incubated for 24 h. Prior to

transduction, medium was changed, and cells were incubated with 2.5 µg/mL polybrene for 30

min at 37°C. Following polybrene treatment, TS cells were transduced with 500 µL of conditioned

medium containing lentiviral particles and then incubated for 24 h. Medium was changed at 24 h

post transduction and selected with puromycin dihydrochloride (5 µg/mL, A11138-03, Thermo

Fisher) for 2 days. Cells recovered were cultured for 1 to 3 days in Complete TS Cell Medium and

then used to evaluate the effects of PPARG disruption on EVT cell differentiation.

Cell migration assay

Trophoblast cell migration was assessed according to the method described by Shimizu and

coworkers (Shimizu et al. 2023) with minor modifications. A suspension of 200,000 human TS

cells was prepared in Matrigel (100%) and Basal Human EVT Cell Differentiation Medium (10

µL). From this mixture, 1 µL was dispensed onto a 6-well plate to form individual Matrigel drops.

Six Matrigel drops were prepared. The drops were cultured in complete EVT cell differentiation

medium supplemented with 0.5% Matrigel (CB-40234, Thermo Fisher) at 37°C in a humidified

atmosphere containing 5% CO_2. Culture medium was replaced on day 3, and the cells were

incubated for a total of five days. EVT cells migrating from the cell aggregate were identified using

immunocytochemistry for HLA-G (1:300, phycoerythrin (**PE**)-labeled anti-HLA-G antibody,

ab24384, Abcam). After 15 min of incubation at 37°C, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde

(**PFA**). Nuclei were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (**DAPI**, 1:50,000, D1306,

Invitrogen). Following washes with phosphate buffered saline (**PBS**, pH 7.4), the samples were

imaged using a Zeiss Axio Observer 7 microscope. The area of PE-labeled signal was quantified

using ImageJ software.

Trophoblast organoids

Human TS cells were used to establish trophoblast organoids as previously described

(Karvas et al. 2022) with minor modifications. Effects of PPARG on trophoblast organoid

formation and capacity to differentiate into EVT cells were determined. Detailed culture conditions

are provided in the Supplemental Materials.

Animals and tissue collection

Holtzman Sprague–Dawley rats were obtained from Envigo and used for in vivo experiments.

Animals were housed in an environmentally controlled facility under a 14-hour light/10-hour dark

lighting schedule with food and water available ad libitum. Timed pregnancies were established

by mating virgin female rats (8–10 weeks of age) with adult male rats (>3 months of age). Mating

was confirmed by the presence of sperm in the vaginal lavage, with the day of sperm detection

considered gd 0.5. Pseudopregnant females were generated by mating with vasectomized males,

and the presence of a seminal plug was designated as day 0.5 of pseudopregnancy. Pregnant

rats were euthanized at different days during gestation. Some placentation sites were frozen

intact in dry ice–cooled heptane and stored at –80 °C for subsequent histological analysis, while

other placentation sites were dissected into uterine–placental interface, junctional zone, and

labyrinth zone compartments, as previously describe (Ain et al. 2006).

Conditional Pparg mutant rat

In vivo *Pparg* disruption in invasive trophoblast cells was achieved using the Cre-loxP

system. LoxP cassettes were inserted into introns flanking Exons 2 and 3 of the *Pparg* locus

using *CRISPR*/Cas9 mediated homologous recombination (Fig. 5, Supplemental Table S3).

CRISPR/Cas9 reagents and templates were electroporated into one-cell rat embryos using a

NEPA21 electroporator (Nepa Gene), and embryos subsequently transferred to pseudopregnant

rats. Offspring were screened for mutations via polymerase chain reaction (**PCR**), and successful

loxP site insertion was confirmed by DNA sequencing (GeneWiz). A founder mutant animal was

backcrossed with wild-type rats to assess germline transmission. A *Prl7b1-Cre* recombinase rat

model was used to specifically disrupt *Pparg* in invasive trophoblast cells (Iqbal et al. 2024).

Genotyping and sex chromosome determinations were performed as previously described

(Dhakal and Soares 2017). Genomic DNA was extracted from tail-tip biopsies using the Red

Extract-N-Amp Tissue PCR Kit (XNAT-1000RXN, Sigma-Aldrich) and used for genotyping. Primer

sequences for detecting conditional mutations and determining sex chromosome composition are

provided (Supplemental Table S4).

RT-qPCR

Total RNA was isolated from tissues using TRIzol reagent (15596018, Thermo Fisher). One

µg of RNA was used to synthesize complementary DNA (**cDNA**) using the High-Capacity

Reverse Transcription Kit (4368814, Applied Biosystems), followed by a 1:10 dilution in nuclease-

free water. Quantitative PCR (**qPCR**) was performed using PowerSYBR Green PCR Master Mix

(4367659, Thermo Fisher) and transcript-specific primer sets (250 nM). Primer sequences are

provided in Supplemental Material, Tables S5 and S6. qPCR was conducted with a QuantStudio

5 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher) using the following cycling conditions: an initial

denaturation step at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of two-step PCR (95°C for 15 sec,

60°C for 1 min). A dissociation curve was then generated with the following steps: 95°C for 15

sec, 60°C for 1 min, and a final at 95°C for 15 sec. Relative mRNA expression levels were

calculated using the $\Delta\Delta$Ct method. Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*Gapdh*) and

RNA polymerase II subunit A (*POLR2A*) were used as reference genes for rat and human

samples, respectively.

In situ hybridization

In situ hybridization was performed using paraffin-embedded human placenta and frozen rat

placentation site tissue sections and the RNAscope® Multiplex Fluorescent Reagent Kit v2

(Advanced Cell Diagnostics) following the manufacturer's instructions. Custom-designed probes

were used to detect the following transcripts: human *PPARG* (441691, NM_138712.3, target

region: 699–1779), human *NOTUM* (430311, NM_178493.5, target region: 259–814), rat *Pparg*

(313251, NM_001145367.1, target region: 305–1932); rat *Krt8* (87304-C2, NM_199370.1, target

region: 134–1472), and rat *Prl7b1* (860181-C2, NM_153738.1, target region: 28–900). Nuclei

were visualized using DAPI (323108, Advanced Cell Diagnostics). Images were captured using a

Zeiss Axio Observer 7 microscope.

Immunohistochemistry of placental tissues

Formalin fixed paraffin-embedded human placental tissue sections and frozen rat

placentation site sections (10 µm thick) fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde were processed for

immunohistochemical analyses. Tissue sections were blocked with 10% goat serum (50062Z,

Thermo Fisher) and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies against vimentin (1:300,

sc-6260, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), pan-cytokeratin (1:100, F3418, Sigma-Aldrich), perforin

(1:300, TP251, Amsbio), or PPARG (human: 1:100, sc-7273, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; rat:

1:100, 2435S, Cell Signaling Technology). Immunostaining was visualized using fluorescence-

tagged secondary antibodies: Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (A11001, Thermo

Fisher) and Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (A11011, Thermo Fisher). Sections

were counterstained with DAPI, mounted in Fluoromount-G (0100-01, Southern Biotech), and

imaged using a Nikon 90i upright microscope equipped with Photometrics CoolSNAP-ES

monochrome camera (Roper). Regions of the uterine–placental interface containing cytokeratin-

positive cells were quantified using ImageJ software, as previously described (Dominguez et al.

2024; Rosario et al. 2008).

Immunohistochemistry of trophoblast organoids

Organoids were recovered from Matrigel using Cell Recovery Solution (354253, Corning) and

dissociated by gentle pipetting. After 30 min incubation on ice, samples were centrifuged (600 xg,

5 min), washed with PBS, and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde on ice for 30 min. Fixed organoids

were suspended in 20% sucrose solution and incubated at 4°C overnight. The sucrose solution

was subsequently replaced with 1 mL of warm gelatin/sucrose solution and incubated at 37°C for

15 min. Blocks of gelatin containing trophoblast organoids were froze for 1-2 min and stored at-

80°C until processed for cryosectioning. Sections were permeabilized in PBS containing 4%

bovine serum albumin and 0.5% Triton X-100. Primary antibodies to HLA-G (1:300, phycoerythrin

(**PE**)-labeled, ab24384, Abcam), CGB (1:500, ab9528, Abcam) and TP63 (1:500, ab124762,

Abcam) were incubated with tissue sections overnight at 4°C, followed by secondary antibody

incubation. Sections were counterstained with DAPI, mounted in Fluoromount-G (0100-01,

Southern Biotech), and imaged using a Nikon 90i upright microscope equipped with Photometrics

CoolSNAP-ES monochrome camera (Roper).

Western blotting

Human trophoblast cell lysates were processed using the radioimmunoprecipitation assay

lysis buffer (sc-24948A, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and protein concentrations determined using

the DC Protein Assay Kit (5000112, Bio-Rad). Proteins (50 µg/lane) were separated by sodium

dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Separated proteins were electrophoretically

transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (10600023, GE Healthcare) for 1 h at 25 V on

a semi-dry transfer apparatus (Bio-Rad). Membranes were subsequently blocked with 5% milk for

1 h at room temperature, followed by incubation with antibodies to PPARG (1:500, 2435S, Cell

Signaling Technology or GAPDH (1:5,000, AM4300, Invitrogen) in 5% milk overnight at 4°C. After

primary antibody incubation, the membranes were washed in Tris-buffered saline with Tween 20

(**TBS-T**) three times (10 min/wash) at room temperature. The membranes were then incubated

with anti-mouse immunoglobulin G conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (**HRP**; 1:5000, Cell

Signaling Technology) in 5% milk for 1 h at room temperature, washed in TBS-T three times (10

min/wash) at room temperature, immersed in Immobilon Crescendo Western HRP Substrate

(WBLUR0500, Sigma-Aldrich), and luminescence detected using Chemi Doc MP Imager (Bio-

Rad).

RNA-seq analysis

Transcript profiles were generated from control and PPARG shRNA treated human CT27 TS

cells following EVT cell differentiation. Three independent lentiviral transductions for each shRNA

were analyzed. RNA was isolated and integrity assessed using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer.

cDNA libraries prepared with Illumina TruSeq RNA preparation kits according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Barcoded cDNA libraries were multiplexed onto a TruSeq paired-end

flow cell and sequenced (100-bp paired-end reads) with a TruSeq 200-cycle sequencing-by-

synthesis kit. Samples were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq platform at the KUMC Genome

Sequencing Facility. Reads from *.fastq files were mapped to the human reference genome

(GRCh37) using CLC Genomics Workbench 12.0 (Qiagen). Transcript abundance was expressed

as total reads, and a false discovery rate of 0.05 was used as a cutoff for significant differential

expression. Statistical significance was calculated by empirical analysis of digital gene expression

followed by Bonferroni's correction.

ChIP-seq analysis

PPARG ChIP was performed on human CT27 TS cells following EVT cell differentiation using

commercially available SimpleChIP® Enzymatic Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Kit (Magnetic

Beads, 9003, Cell Signaling Technology) and PPARG antibody (2435S, Cell Signaling

Technology). Three independent replicates were processed. The ChIP-seq library was

constructed using the Tecan Ovation Ultralow DNA System V2 (NuGEN Technologies, Redwood

City, CA) and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq platform. Details of data processing and

analyses are presented in Supplemental Material.

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 10.2.3 software. Statistical

comparisons were evaluated using Student's *t* test or one-way analysis of variance with Tukey's

or Dunnett's post hoc tests as appropriate. Statistical significance was determined as P<0.05.

Study approval

All protocols using rats were approved by the University of Kansas Medical Center Animal

Care and Use Committee, Kansas City, Kansas (approved protocol 22-01-220).

Data available

RNA-seq and ChIP-seq datasets are available at the Gene Expression Omnibus database,

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/ (GSE302291 and GSE302293). All data generated and

analyzed in this study are included in the published article and supporting files.

Competing Interest Statement

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Acknowledgments

We thank Brandi Miller and Stacy Oxley for administrative assistance. The work was supported by the Lalor Foundation (E.M.D., A.M.-I.), Kansas Idea Network of Biomedical Research Excellence, P20 GM103418 (E.M.D, A.M.-I.), Program of the Joint Usage/Research Center for Developmental Medicine and High Depth Omics, IMEG, Kumamoto University (H.O.), NIH grants: HD115834 (A.M.-I.), HD104071 (K.I.), HD020676 (M.J.S.), HD105734 (M.J.S.), HD112559 (M.J.S., G.T.), and the Sosland Foundation (M.J.S.).

Author Contributions

E.M.D and M.J.S. conceived and designed the research; E.M.D., A.M-I. and A.F. performed experiments; K.I., H.O., and T.A. provided experimental tools for analysis; E.M.D., A.M-I., K.C., G.T., M.P., and M.J.S. analyzed the data and interpreted results of experiments; E.M.D. and M.J.S. prepared the manuscript. All authors read, contributed to editing, and approved the final version of manuscript.

References

Ahmadian M, Suh JM, Hah N, Liddle C, Atkins AR, Downes M, Evans RM. 2013. PPARγ signaling and metabolism: the good, the bad and the future. *Nat Med* **19**: 10.1038/nm.3159.

Ain R, Canham LN, Soares MJ. 2003. Gestation stage-dependent intrauterine trophoblast cell invasion in the rat and mouse: novel endocrine phenotype and regulation. *Dev Biol* **260**: 176–190.

Ain R, Konno T, Canham LN, Soares MJ. 2006. Phenotypic analysis of the rat placenta. *Methods Mol Med* **121**: 295–313.

Asami-Miyagishi R, Iseki S, Usui M, Uchida K, Kubo H, Morita I. 2004. Expression and function of PPARgamma in rat placental development. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **315**: 497–501.

Aye ILMH, Tong S, Charnock-Jones DS, Smith GCS. 2025. The human placenta and its role in reproductive outcomes revisited. *Physiol Rev* **105**: 2305–2376.

Barak Y, Nelson MC, Ong ES, Jones YZ, Ruiz-Lozano P, Chien KR, Koder A, Evans RM. 1999. PPAR
gamma is required for placental, cardiac, and adipose tissue development. *Mol Cell* **4**:
585–595.

Berger J, Moller DE. 2002. The mechanisms of action of PPARs. *Annu Rev Med* **53**: 409–435.

Brosens I, Pijnenborg R, Vercruysse L, Romero R. 2011. The "great obstetrical syndromes" are
associated with disorders of deep placentation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **204**: 193–201.

Brosens I, Puttemans P, Benagiano G. 2019. Placental bed research: I. The placental bed:
from spiral arteries remodeling to the great obstetrical syndromes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*
221: 437–456.

Calkins K, Devaskar SU. 2011. Fetal origins of adult disease. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health*
Care **41**: 158–176.

Carter AM, Enders AC. 2004. Comparative aspects of trophoblast development and placentation.
Reprod Biol Endocrinol **2**: 46.

Chakraborty D, Cui W, Rosario GX, Scott RL, Dhakal P, Renaud SJ, Tachibana M, Rumi MAK,
Mason CW, Krieg AJ, et al. 2016. HIF-KDM3A-MMP12 regulatory circuit ensures
trophoblast plasticity and placental adaptations to hypoxia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
113: E7212–E7221.

Chakraborty D, Rumi MAK, Konno T, Soares MJ. 2011. Natural killer cells direct hemochorial
placentation by regulating hypoxia-inducible factor dependent trophoblast lineage
decisions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**: 16295–16300.

Chang W-L, Liu Y-W, Dang Y-L, Jiang X-X, Xu H, Huang X, Wang Y-L, Wang H, Zhu C, Xue L-Q, et al.
2018. PLAC8, a new marker for human interstitial extravillous trophoblast cells,
promotes their invasion and migration. *Development* **145**: dev148932.

Dhakal P, Soares MJ. 2017. Single Step PCR-Based Genetic Sex Determination for Rat Tissues and
Cells. *Biotechniques* **62**: 232–233.

Dominguez EM, Moreno-Irusta A, Scott RL, Iqbal K, Soares MJ. 2024. TFAP2C is a key regulator of
intrauterine trophoblast cell invasion and deep hemochorial placentation. *JCI Insight* **10**:
e186471.

Erlebacher A. 2013. Immunology of the maternal-fetal interface. *Annu Rev Immunol* **31**: 387–
411.

Feige JN, Gelman L, Michalik L, Desvergne B, Wahli W. 2006. From molecular action to
physiological outputs: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors are nuclear receptors
at the crossroads of key cellular functions. *Prog Lipid Res* **45**: 120–159.

Fisher SJ. 2015. Why is placentation abnormal in preeclampsia? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **213**: S115–
S122.

Fournier T, Guibourdenche J, Handschuh K, Tsatsaris V, Rauwel B, Davrinche C, Evain-Brion D. 2011. PPARγ and human trophoblast differentiation. *J Reprod Immunol* **90**: 41–49.

Fournier T, Tsatsaris V, Handschuh K, Evain-Brion D. 2007. PPARs and the placenta. *Placenta* **28**: 65–76.

Georgiades P, Ferguson-Smith AC, Burton GJ. 2002. Comparative developmental anatomy of the murine and human definitive placentae. *Placenta* **23**: 3–19.

Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Cooper C, Thornburg KL. 2008. Effect of In Utero and Early-Life Conditions on Adult Health and Disease. *N Engl J Med* **359**: 61–73.

Guo Q, Choi J, Lee M, Kim J. 2025. PPARG-centric transcriptional re-wiring during differentiation of human trophoblast stem cells into extravillous trophoblasts. *Nucleic Acids Res* **53**: gkaf669.

Harris LK. 2010. Review: Trophoblast-vascular cell interactions in early pregnancy: how to remodel a vessel. *Placenta* **31 Suppl**: S93-98.

Harris LK, Benagiano M, D'Elios MM, Brosens I, Benagiano G. 2019. Placental bed research: II. Functional and immunological investigations of the placental bed. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **221**: 457–469.

Hemberger M, Nozaki T, Masutani M, Cross JC. 2003. Differential expression of angiogenic and vasodilatory factors by invasive trophoblast giant cells depending on depth of invasion. *Dev Dyn* **227**: 185–191.

Holdsworth-Carson SJ, Lim R, Mitton A, Whitehead C, Rice GE, Permezel M, Lappas M. 2010. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors are altered in pathologies of the human placenta: gestational diabetes mellitus, intrauterine growth restriction and preeclampsia. *Placenta* **31**: 222–229.

Iqbal K, Dominguez EM, Nixon B, Moreno-Irusta A, Crnkovich B, Scott RL, Vu HTH, Tuteja G, Vivian JL, Soares MJ. 2024. Conditionally mutant animal model for investigating the invasive trophoblast cell lineage. *Development* **151**: dev202239.

Jeyarajah MJ, Jaju Bhattad G, Kelly RD, Baines KJ, Jaremek A, Yang F-HP, Okae H, Arima T, Dumeaux V, Renaud SJ. The multifaceted role of GCM1 during trophoblast differentiation in the human placenta. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**: e2203071119.

Kadam L, Kohan-Ghadr HR, Drewlo S. 2015. The balancing act – PPAR-γ's roles at the maternal-fetal interface. *Syst Biol Reprod Med* **61**: 65–71.

Karvas RM, Khan SA, Verma S, Yin Y, Kulkarni D, Dong C, Park K, Chew B, Sane E, Fischer LA, et al. 2022. Stem-cell-derived trophoblast organoids model human placental development and susceptibility to emerging pathogens. *Cell Stem Cell* **29**: 810-825.e8.

Kaufmann P, Black S, Huppertz B. 2003. Endovascular trophoblast invasion: implications for the
pathogenesis of intrauterine growth retardation and preeclampsia. *Biol Reprod* **69**: 1–7.

Kim M, Jang YJ, Lee M, Guo Q, Son AJ, Kakkad NA, Roland AB, Lee B-K, Kim J. 2024. The
transcriptional regulatory network modulating human trophoblast stem cells to
extravillous trophoblast differentiation. *Nat Commun* **15**: 1285.

Kubota N, Terauchi Y, Miki H, Tamemoto H, Yamauchi T, Komeda K, Satoh S, Nakano R, Ishii C,
Sugiyama T, et al. 1999. PPAR gamma mediates high-fat diet-induced adipocyte
hypertrophy and insulin resistance. *Mol Cell* **4**: 597–609.

Kuna M, Dhakal P, Iqbal K, Dominguez EM, Kent LN, Muto M, Moreno-Irusta A, Kozai K, Varberg
KM, Okae H, et al. CITED2 is a conserved regulator of the uterine–placental interface.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **120**: e2213622120.

Liu Y, Fan X, Wang R, Lu X, Dang Y-L, Wang H, Lin H-Y, Zhu C, Ge H, Cross JC, et al. 2018. Single-
cell RNA-seq reveals the diversity of trophoblast subtypes and patterns of differentiation
in the human placenta. *Cell Res* **28**: 819–832.

Maltepe E, Fisher SJ. 2015. Placenta: the forgotten organ. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **31**: 523–552.

Meinhardt G, Waldhäusl H, Lackner AI, Wächter J, Maxian T, Höbler A-L, Vondra S, Kunihs V,
Saleh L, Haslinger P, et al. The multifaceted roles of the transcriptional coactivator TAZ
in extravillous trophoblast development of the human placenta. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
122: e2426385122.

Muto M, Chakraborty D, Varberg KM, Moreno-Irusta A, Iqbal K, Scott RL, McNally RP, Choudhury
RH, Aplin JD, Okae H, et al. 2021. Intersection of regulatory pathways controlling
hemostasis and hemochorial placentation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**: e2111267118.

Okae H, Toh H, Sato T, Hiura H, Takahashi S, Shirane K, Kabayama Y, Suyama M, Sasaki H, Arima
T. 2018. Derivation of Human Trophoblast Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **22**: 50-63.e6.

Parast MM, Yu H, Ciric A, Salata MW, Davis V, Milstone DS. 2009. PPARγ Regulates Trophoblast
Proliferation and Promotes Labyrinthine Trilineage Differentiation ed. S.K. Dey. *PLoS*
ONE **4**: e8055.

Pavan L, Tarrade A, Hermouet A, Delouis C, Titeux M, Vidaud M, Thérond P, Evain-Brion D,
Fournier T. 2003. Human invasive trophoblasts transformed with simian virus 40 provide
a new tool to study the role of PPARγ in cell invasion process. *Carcinogenesis* **24**: 1325–
1336.

Pijnenborg R, Robertson WB, Brosens I, Dixon G. 1981. Review article: trophoblast invasion and
the establishment of haemochorial placentation in man and laboratory animals.
Placenta **2**: 71–91.

Pijnenborg R, Vercruysse L, Hanssens M. 2006. The uterine spiral arteries in human pregnancy:
facts and controversies. *Placenta* **27**: 939–958.

Rai A, Cross JC. 2014. Development of the hemochorial maternal vascular spaces in the placenta
693   through endothelial and vasculogenic mimicry. *Dev Biol* **387**: 131–141.

694   Rätsep MT, Felker AM, Kay VR, Tolusso L, Hofmann AP, Croy BA. 2015. Uterine natural killer
695   cells: supervisors of vasculature construction in early decidua basalis. *Reproduction* **149**:
696   R91-102.

697   Renaud SJ, Scott RL, Chakraborty D, Rumi MAK, Soares MJ. 2017. Natural killer-cell deficiency
698   alters placental development in rats. *Biol Reprod* **96**: 145–158.

699   Roberts RM, Green JA, Schulz LC. 2016. The evolution of the placenta. *Reproduction* **152**: R179-
700   189.

701   Rodie VA, Young A, Jordan F, Sattar N, Greer IA, Freeman DJ. 2005. Human placental peroxisome
702   proliferator-activated receptor delta and gamma expression in healthy pregnancy and in
703   preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction. *J Soc Gynecol Investig* **12**: 320–329.

704   Rosario GX, Konno T, Soares MJ. 2008. Maternal hypoxia activates endovascular trophoblast cell
705   invasion. *Dev Biol* **314**: 362–375.

706   Sauer S. 2015. Ligands for the Nuclear Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Gamma.
707   *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **36**: 688–704.

708   Schaiff WT, Barak Y, Sadovsky Y. 2006. The pleiotropic function of PPARγ in the placenta.
709   *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **249**: 10–15.

710   Schaiff WT, Bildirici I, Cheong M, Chern PL, Nelson DM, Sadovsky Y. 2005. Peroxisome
711   proliferator-activated receptor-gamma and retinoid X receptor signaling regulate fatty
712   acid uptake by primary human placental trophoblasts. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **90**: 4267–
713   4275.

714   Schaiff WT, Carlson MG, Smith SD, Levy R, Nelson DM, Sadovsky Y. 2000. Peroxisome
715   proliferator-activated receptor-gamma modulates differentiation of human trophoblast
716   in a ligand-specific manner. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **85**: 3874–3881.

717   Scott RL, Vu HTH, Jain A, Iqbal K, Tuteja G, Soares MJ. 2022. Conservation at the uterine–
718   placental interface. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **119**: e2210633119.

719   Shalom-Barak T, Nicholas JM, Wang Y, Zhang X, Ong ES, Young TH, Gendler SJ, Evans RM, Barak
720   Y. 2004. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma controls Muc1 transcription
721   in trophoblasts. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**: 10661–10669.

722   Shimizu T, Oike A, Kobayashi EH, Sekiya A, Kobayashi N, Shibata S, Hamada H, Saito M, Yaegashi
723   N, Suyama M, et al. 2023. CRISPR screening in human trophoblast stem cells reveals
724   both shared and distinct aspects of human and mouse placental development.
725   *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **120**: e2311372120.

Shukla V, Moreno-Irusta A, Varberg KM, Kuna M, Iqbal K, Galligos AM, Aplin JD, Choudhury RH,
Okae H, Arima T, et al. 2024. NOTUM-mediated WNT silencing drives extravillous
trophoblast cell lineage development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **121**: e2403003121.

Shukla V, Soares MJ. 2022. Modeling Trophoblast Cell-Guided Uterine Spiral Artery
Transformation in the Rat. *Int J Mol Sci* **23**: 2947.

Soares MJ, Chakraborty D, Karim Rumi MA, Konno T, Renaud SJ. 2012. Rat placentation: an
experimental model for investigating the hemochorial maternal-fetal interface. *Placenta*
33: 233–243.

Soares MJ, Varberg KM, Iqbal K. 2018. Hemochorial placentation: development, function, and
adaptations. *Biol Reprod* **99**: 196–211.

Tarrade A. 2001. PPAR /RXR Heterodimers Control Human Trophoblast Invasion. *Journal of*
Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism **86**: 5017–5024.

Tarrade A, Schoonjans K, Guibourdenche J, Bidart JM, Vidaud M, Auwerx J, Rochette-Egly C,
Evain-Brion D. 2001. PPARγ/RXRα Heterodimers Are Involved in Human CGβ Synthesis
and Human Trophoblast Differentiation. *Endocrinology* **142**: 4504–4514.

Varberg KM, Dominguez EM, Koseva B, Varberg JM, McNally RP, Moreno-Irusta A, Wesley ER,
Iqbal K, Cheung WA, Schwendinger-Schreck C, et al. 2023. Extravillous trophoblast cell
lineage development is associated with active remodeling of the chromatin landscape.
Nat Commun **14**: 4826.

Varberg KM, Iqbal K, Muto M, Simon ME, Scott RL, Kozai K, Choudhury RH, Aplin JD, Biswell R,
Gibson M, et al. 2021. ASCL2 reciprocally controls key trophoblast lineage decisions
during hemochorial placenta development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**: e2016517118.

Velicky P, Knöfler M, Pollheimer J. 2015. Function and control of human invasive trophoblast
subtypes: Intrinsic vs. maternal control. *Cell Adh Migr* **10**: 154–162.

Vento-Tormo R, Efremova M, Botting RA, Turco MY, Vento-Tormo M, Meyer KB, Park J-E,
Stephenson E, Polański K, Goncalves A, et al. 2018. Single-cell reconstruction of the early
maternal-fetal interface in humans. *Nature* **563**: 347–353.

Vu HTH, Scott RL, Iqbal K, Soares MJ, Tuteja G. 2023. Core conserved transcriptional regulatory
networks define the invasive trophoblast cell lineage. *Development* **150**: dev201826.

Waite LL, Louie RE, Taylor RN. 2005. Circulating Activators of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated
Receptors Are Reduced in Preeclamptic Pregnancy. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology*
& Metabolism **90**: 620–626.

Waite LL, Person EC, Zhou Y, Lim KH, Scanlan TS, Taylor RN. 2000. Placental peroxisome
proliferator-activated receptor-gamma is up-regulated by pregnancy serum. *J Clin*
Endocrinol Metab **85**: 3808–3814.

Wang L-J, Chen C-P, Lee Y-S, Ng P-S, Chang G-D, Pao Y-H, Lo H-F, Peng C-H, Cheong M-L, Chen H.
2022. Functional antagonism between ΔNp63α and GCM1 regulates human trophoblast
stemness and differentiation. *Nat Commun* **13**: 1626.

Wiemers DO, Ain R, Ohboshi S, Soares MJ. 2003. Migratory trophoblast cells express a newly
identified member of the prolactin gene family. *J Endocrinol* **179**: 335–346.

Xie J, Xu Y, Wan L, Wang P, Wang M, Dong M. 2018. Involvement of follistatin-like 3 in
preeclampsia. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **506**: 692–697.

  Figures

Figure 1. PPARG is expressed in EVT cells. A) *PPARG* transcript (magenta) was localized
throughout the EVT column in first trimester human placenta (12 weeks) using in situ
hybridization. *NOTUM* (cyan) was used as a distal EVT cell specific transcript. **B**) PPARG
immunohistochemistry (magenta) showed nuclear localization within cells of the first trimester
EVT cell column (12 weeks). Scale bars for panels A and B=500 µm. **C**) In vitro *PPARG* transcript
and protein expression in CT27 (X,X) TS cells in the stem state, day 3 (D3), day 6 (D6), and day
8 (D8) of EVT cell differentiation (n=5). Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the
mean. Each data point represents a biological replicate. Statistical analysis was performed using
ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test: ****p<0.0001.

782
Figure 2. PPARG regulates EVT cell differentiation. A) In vitro *PPARG* transcript and protein
levels after 8 days of EVT cell differentiation in control versus PPARG shRNA-treated cells (n=3).
B) Phase-contrast images showing the morphology of EVT cells expressing control or PPARG
shRNAs. The arrow indicates a cluster of cells exhibiting a stem-like morphology, scale bar=100
µm. C) HLA-G immunofluorescence detection of cell migration in control versus PPARG shRNA-
treated cells (n=6), scale bar=500 µm. Data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the
mean. Each data point represents a biological replicate. Statistical analysis was performed using
unpaired t-tests: ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.
791

Figure 3. Examination of the role of PPARG in EVT cell differentiation using trophoblast organoids. **A**) Phase-contrast images of trophoblast organoids (**TO**) expressing control (**shCTRL**) and PPARG (**shPPARG**) shRNAs, scale bar=100 µm. **B**) Phase-contrast (top panel) and HLA-G immunostaining (bottom panel) images of shCTRL and shPPARG TO transferred to EVT cell differentiation conditions (**EVT-TO**). Arrows indicate the location of cells exhibiting stem-like morphology, scale bar=100. **C**) RT-qPCR analysis of shCTRL and shPPARG TO exposed to EVT cell differentiation conditions. Data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. Each data point represents a biological replicate. Statistical analysis was performed using unpaired t-tests: *P<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

803
Figure 4. Effects of PPARG on the EVT cell transcriptome. A) Volcano plot and (**B**) heat map
depicting RNA-seq analysis of control shRNA and PPARG shRNA for cells exposed to culture
conditions used to promote EVT cell differentiation (n=3 per group). Downregulated transcripts
are represented by magenta dots (p<0.05, fold change<1.5) and cyan dots represent upregulated
transcripts (p<0.05, fold change>1.5). Z-scores of totals read values are represented in the heat
map. **C**) RT-qPCR validation of putative PPARG targets in control and PPARG shRNA treated
cells. **D**). Gene ontology (GO) analyses of upregulated and downregulated genes in control and
PPARG shRNA treated TS cells exposed to culture conditions used to promote EVT cell
differentiation. **E**) Gene set enrichment analyses of upregulated and downregulated genes in
control and PPARG shRNA treated TS cells exposed to culture conditions used to promote EVT
cell differentiation. CT27 (X,X) TS cell line was used for all experiments shown. Data are
presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. Each data point represents a biological
replicate. Statistical analyses were performed using unpaired t-tests: *p<0.05.

818
Figure 5. PPARG targets within the EVT cell genome identified using ChIP-seq. A) Two
significantly enriched PPARG binding motifs were observed within PPARG ChIP-seq peaks. **B**)
Number of peaks observed within specific locations of the genome. **C**) Pie chart showing the
distribution of PPARG peaks in genomic regions for all genes and protein-coding genes. **D**) Venn
diagram showing the integration of PPARG responsive genes identified by RNA-seq of EVT cells
(**see Fig. 4**) and PPARG gene targets identified by PPARG ChIP-seq from EVT cells. **E**) Gene
ontology (**GO**) analysis of the PPARG ChIP-seq dataset performed on EVT cells. **F**) GO analysis
of an integrated dataset generated from PPARG responsive genes identified by RNA-seq of EVT
cells (**see Fig. 4**) and PPARG gene targets identified by PPARG ChIP-seq from EVT cells. **G**)
PPARG ChIP-Seq peaks at selected gene loci that exhibit sensitivity to PPARG inhibition.
Visualization was performed using the University of California at Santa Cruz Genome browser.
Red peaks represent PPARG bound regions, whereas black peaks represent the input DNA.
831

Figure 6. Distribution of *Pparg* transcripts and protein in rat placental tissues. *Pparg* transcripts were localized within rat placentation sites using in situ hybridization. **A**) *Pparg* transcripts (magenta) were co-localized with *Krt8* transcripts (cyan) at gestation day (**gd**) 11.5. **B**) Co-localization of *Pparg* (magenta) and *Prl7b1* (cyan) transcripts within the gd 18.5 placentation site. **C**) RT-qPCR measurement of *Pparg* transcript levels in uterine-placental interface tissues at gd 11.5, 13.5, 15.5, 18.5, and 20.5. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean. Each data point represents a biological replicate from six independent pregnancies (n=6). Statistical analyses were performed with unpaired *t*-tests: **p<0.01, ****p<0.0001. **D**) Immunohistochemical localization of PPARG protein within the gd 18.5 rat uterine-placental interface. Scale bars for panels A, B and D=500 μm. Abbreviations: SpA, spiral artery; UPI, uterine-placental interface; P, placenta; JZ, junctional zone; LZ, labyrinth zone.

Figure 7. Rat invasive trophoblast-specific deletion of *Pparg*. A) Schematic representation of loxP sites flanking Exons 2 and 3 of the *Pparg* gene and their conditional deletion following Cre recombinase activity driven by *Prl7b1* regulatory DNA. **B**) PPARG immunostaining of *Pparg*^f/f^ and *Pparg*^d/d^ gestation day (**gd**) 18.5 placentation sites. Images show uterine-placental interface tissues (left) and junctional zone tissues (right) for each group, scale bar=50 µm. **C**) Hematoxylin and eosin staining of *Pparg*^f/f^ and *Pparg*^d/d^ gd 18.5 placentation sites, scale bar=500 µm. **D**) Placental weight, fetal weight, and fetal-to-placental weight ratio from gd 18.5 *Pparg*^f/f^ and *Pparg*^d/d^ conceptuses. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean. Each data point represents a biological replicate from six pregnancies (*Pparg*^f/f^, n=17, *Pparg*^d/d^, n=17). Statistical analyses were performed using unpaired *t*-tests: *p<0.05, **p<0.01. Abbreviations: SpA, spiral artery; UPI, uterine-placental interface; JZ, junctional zone; LZ, labyrinth zone.

Figure 8. Invasive trophoblast cell-specific *Pparg* disruption impacts pregnancy-dependent transformation of the uterine-placental interface. A) *In situ* hybridization showing *Prl7b1* transcripts (cyan) in gestation day (**gd**) 18.5 *Pparg^f/f^* and *Pparg^d/d^* placentation sites. **B**) Immunohistochemical localization of KRT8 (cyan) in gd 18.5 *Pparg^f/f^* and *Pparg^d/d^* placentation sites. **C**) RT-qPCR analysis of *Prl7b1, Prl5a1, and Krt8* expression in the uterine-placental interface of gd 18.5 *Pparg^f/f^* and *Pparg^d/d^* placentation sites (n=6). **D**) Quantification of trophoblast cell invasion area (magenta dotted line) (n=4). **E**) Immunohistochemical localization of perforin (**PRF1**), a natural killer (**NK**) cell-associated protein, (magenta) and KRT8 (cyan). **F**) RT-qPCR analysis of NK cell-associated transcripts (*Prf1* and *Ncr1*) in the uterine-placental interface of gd 18.5 *Pparg^f/f^* and *Pparg^d/d^* placentation sites (n=6). **G**) Immunohistochemical localization of smooth muscle actin (**SMA**, magenta) and KRT8 (cyan) in the uterine-placental interface of gd 18.5 *Pparg^f/f^* and *Pparg^d/d^* placentation sites. **H**) RT-qPCR analysis of *Sma* transcript in the uterine-placental interface of gd 18.5 *Pparg^f/f^* and *Pparg^d/d^* placentation sites (n=6). Scale bars for images in panels A, B, E and G=500 μm. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean. Each data point represents a distinct uterine-placental interface sample obtained from four to six pregnancies. Statistical analyses were performed using unpaired *t*-tests: *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$. Abbreviations: UPI, uterine-placental interface; JZ, junctional zone; LZ, labyrinth zone; SpA, spiral artery.