Single-cell lineage tracing identifies hemogenic endothelial cells in the adult

mouse bone marrow

Jing-Xin Feng,[1] Mei-Ting Yang,[2,3] Lili Li,[1] Caiyi C. Li[4], Ferenc Livák[4], Jack Chen[5], Yongmei

Zhao[5], Dunrui Wang[1], Avinash Bhandoola[4], Naomi Taylor[6], and Giovanna Tosato[1,7,8*]

[1]Laboratory of Cellular Oncology, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute (NCI),

National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, 20892, USA

[2]School of Life Sciences, Northeast Normal University, Changchun, China

[3]Experimental Immunology Branch, Center for Cancer Research (CCR), National Cancer

Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, 20892, USA

[4]Laboratory of Genome Integrity, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute (NCI),

National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, 20892, USA

[5]Sequencing Facility Bioinformatics Group, Bioinformatics and Computational Science

Directorate, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, Frederick, MD, 21702, USA

[6]Pediatric Oncology Branch, Center for Cancer Research (CCR), National Cancer Institute

(NCI), National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, 20892, USA.

[7]Senior author

[8]Lead contact

*Correspondence: tosatog@mail.nih.gov (G.T.) jing-xin.feng@nih.gov (J.F.)

SUMMARY

During mouse development, hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPC) originate from

hemogenic endothelial cells (ECs) through a process of endothelial-to-hematopoietic transition.

These HSPC are thought to fully sustain adult hematopoiesis. However, it remains unknown

whether adult ECs retain hemogenic potential. Here we used in vivo genetic lineage tracking at

population and single-cell (sc) levels, scRNA sequencing, and bone marrow transplantation to

detect hemogenic ECs in adult mice. We identify and characterize bone marrow-resident, adult

Cdh5/VE-Cadherin$^+$ ECs that produce hematopoietic cell-progeny in vitro and in mice. These

adult hemogenic ECs and their hematopoietic cell progeny give rise to hematopoietic cells

following adoptive transfer into adult mice. Furthermore, blood cells generated from adult and

developmental ECs comparably home to peripheral tissues, where they similarly contribute to

inflammatory responses. Thus, our results identify previously unrecognized bone marrow-

derived adult hemogenic ECs that generate HSPC and functional mature blood cells.

KEYWORDS

Hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells, endothelial cells, hematopoiesis, endothelial to

hemogenic transition, mesenchymal cells, blood, *Runx1*, *Col1a2*, single cell lineage tracing,

single cell RNA sequencing

INTRODUCTION

During development, hemogenic ECs generate hematopoietic cells through a process of endothelial-to-hematopoietic transition (EHT) at geographically defined anatomical sites[1-9]. At these locations, the hemogenic ECs represent a small fraction of all ECs[10-12], and their competency to produce hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPC) is temporally restricted to short developmental windows, and the hemogenic potential differs[13-18]. In the dorsal aorta, the hemogenic endothelium produces hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) and other multi-potent progenitors between E10.5 and E11.5[17-19] that persist in the adult and are generally believed to sustain adult hematopoiesis throughout life.

Efforts to reliably generate *ex vivo* HSC from Cdh5-expressing ECs identified difficulties in reproducing the microenvironmental clues coming from the inductive niche cells[20-22] and have generally relied on transcription factor-induced reprogramming of the ECs to drive hematopoietic specification[23,24]. The transcription factor RUNX1, which marks the hemogenic endothelium, can confer a hemogenic potential to embryonic ECs lacking such potential[25-28]. When transcription factors RUNX1, FOSB, GFI1, and SPI1 were co-expressed in adult murine ECs co-cultured with "vascular niche ECs", EHT was induced in vitro producing HSC with long-term self-renewal capacity[29]. A similar approach was used with human ECs enabling hematopoietic specification[30].

An unresolved question is whether hemogenic ECs, thought to be mostly restricted to the early stages of mouse development[12,23], may persist in the adult mouse[2,12,31,32]. Exploiting advances in cell lineage tracking and single cell analyses[33,34], we report the identification of hemogenic ECs in the adult mouse bone marrow (BM) that produce functional hematopoietic progenitors and mature blood cells.

RESULTS

Evidence that adult bone marrow ECs generate hematopoietic cells

We assessed the hemogenic potential of ECs in adult mice using Cre-reporter-based lineage tracing. Since *Cdh5*, encoding vascular endothelial cadherin (VE-Cadherin), is selectively expressed by ECs, Cdh5-Cre[ERT2] recombinase activity can allow tracking the hematopoietic cell output from adult hemogenic ECs[35,36]. Therefore, we generated three Cdh5-based lineage-tracing models using inducible Cdh5-Cre[ERT2](PAC)[37,38] and Cdh5-Cre[ERT2](BAC)[39] mouse lines, in combination with the Cre-reporter lines ZsGreen and mTmG (Figure S1A). We then treated eight- to twelve-week-old mice with three doses of tamoxifen (10 mg·kg^{-1}, gavage) on consecutive days, and four weeks later we evaluated peripheral blood and BM (Figure 1A). Expectedly[36], most BM Endomucin$^+$ ECs were ZsGreen$^+$ in tamoxifen-treated Cdh5-Cre[ERT2](PAC)/ZsGreen and Cdh5-Cre[ERT2](BAC)/ZsGreen mice, and virtually no ZsGreen$^+$ ECs were present in Cre negative or peanut oil-treated controls (Figures S1B and S1C). By flow cytometry, >90% CD31$^+$VE-Cadherin$^+$ BM ECs were tracked by tamoxifen-induced fluorescence in the three mouse lines (Figure 1B; Figure S1D). A low-level tamoxifen-independent reporter fluorescence was also detected in BM ECs, which was low in the mTmG reporter line (Figure 1B), and higher in the ZsGreen reporter lines, previously attributed to "basal" Cre[ERT2] activity[40] (Figure S1D).

To evaluate the hemogenic potential of adult BM ECs, we analyzed the expression of the hematopoietic marker CD45 in Cdh5-tracked cells. Notably, tracked CD45$^+$ hematopoietic cells, presumed progeny of VE-Cadherin$^+$ ECs, were detected by flow cytometry in BM and blood of mice from all three Cdh5-Cre[ERT2] mouse lines (Figure 1C and D; Figures S1E and F; representative flow cytometry gating in Figure S1G and H). Moreover, confocal microscopy

identified isolated ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$ cells in BM (Figure S1I) and blood of tamoxifen-induced

mice (Figure 1E). Importantly, while a small fraction of fluorescent CD45$^+$ cells were detected in

EGFP and ZsGreen (Figures 1C and D; and Figure S1D-F) reporter mice without tamoxifen, as

reported[40-43], the significant increase of tamoxifen-induced fluorescent CD45$^+$ cells (Figure 1C

and 1D, Figure S1D-F) indicates the occurrence of Cdh5-Cre recombination in the adult mouse,

presumably tracking EHT. This tamoxifen-induced increase in CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ peripheral blood

mononuclear cells (PBMC) was also observed in individual mice (Figure S1J).

We tested the kinetics of tamoxifen-induced ZsGreen expression in BM ECs and PBMC

of Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mice (Figure 1F). By flow cytometry, virtually all BM ECs

were ZsGreen$^+$ by day 4 after tamoxifen administration and this level persisted over 50 days.

Instead, the percentage of ZsGreen$^+$ PBMCs increased more gradually, plateauing around day 30

and this level persisted over ~50 days of observation. This gradual increase is likely attributable

to tamoxifen-induced ZsGreen expression in hemogenic ECs.

Further analysis showed that most BM EGFP$^+$CD45$^+$ cells in Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/mTmG

mice were CD11b$^+$Ly6G$^+$ granulocytes (48.85%) and CD11b$^+$Ly6G$^-$ monocytes (32.06%), while

CD19$^+$ B (2.46%) and CD3$^+$ T (0.84%) lymphocytes were detected at lower frequencies (Figure

1G). All EGFP$^+$ BM cell populations were significantly induced by tamoxifen administration,

including rare LSK (Lin$^-$Sca1$^+$cKit$^+$) progenitors (Figure 1H). Also, the peripheral blood of

tamoxifen-induced Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/mTmG mice contained EGFP$^+$ granulocytes and

monocytes, and fewer B and T lymphocytes (Figure S1K and L). Similarly, in ZsGreen-reporter

mice, tracked LSK progenitors, B lymphocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes were all present in

the BM and blood (Figure S2A-D).

We further characterized the tracked BM hematopoietic LSK stem/progenitors by flow

cytometry (Figure S2E). The results, displayed by Uniform Manifold Approximation and

Projection (UMAP) dimensional reduction, showed that the ZsGreen-tracked progenitor cell

population includes phenotypic subsets consistent with hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells

(HSPC) (Figure 1I). Each ZsGreen$^+$ progenitor population represented a similarly small

proportion of the corresponding non-tracked progenitor cell population (Figure 1J). These results

support the existence of EHT in the adult mouse contributing to generation of hematopoietic

progenitors and mature cells.

Adult BM ECs cultured ex vivo generate transplantable HSPCs

To investigate whether adult BM ECs can generate hematopoietic cells *ex vivo*, we cultured BM

cells isolated from tamoxifen-treated Cdh5-Cre/ZsGreen mice. Initially, BM single-cell (sc)

suspensions were cultured under two conditions (Figure 2A): (1) on "Primaria" pro-adhesive

flasks, and (2) on OP9 stromal cell[44] monolayers grown on gelatin-coated conventional tissue

culture flasks. To attempt recreating BM niches, fresh wild-type (WT) BM cells were added to

the ZsGreen-tracked BM cell cultures twice/week throughout the culture period (see Methods for

details). By week 8, BM cells cultured on "Primaria" dishes formed a confluent monolayer of

ZsGreen-tracked cells with a typical EC morphology, whereas BM cells cultured on OP9

monolayers exhibited a fibroblast-like morphology with the ZsGreen$^+$ cells clustering in foci,

without forming a monolayer (Figure 2A). We visualized some round ZsGreen-tracked

(ZsGreen$^+$) cells in cultures of BM cells grown onto OP9 monolayers supplemented with fresh

BM cells, but not in cultures of BM cells grown onto "Primaria" surfaces supplemented with

fresh BM cells (Figure S2F). This hinted that the OP9 and BM cell culture system may allow

hematopoietic cells emergence from Cdh5-Cre/ZsGreen-tracked EC ex vivo.

To test this possibility, we generated a pool of BM cells (from 20 adult mice; age 10-18

weeks) and used the pool to derive 3 populations: sorted BM CD45$^-$VE-Cadherin$^+$ZsGreen$^+$

ECs; sorted CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ hematopoietic cells; and unsorted BM cell populations (Figure 2B).

We then cultured these 3 cell populations under the same conditions (OP9 monolater and

supplementation with fresh BM cells twice/week). After 8-week culture, flow cytometry analysis

detected CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cells from the unsorted BM and the sorted CD45$^-$VE-

Cadherin$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cell cultures. However, CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ were virtually absent from the

CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cell cultures (Figure 2C and 2D), likely attributable to the culture conditions not

designed to support growth, differentiation or survival of hematopoietic cells. These results show

that CD45$^-$VE-Cadherin$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ ECs can generate CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ *ex vivo*. Notably, most

(>80%) CD45$^-$ZsGreen$^+$ cells retained expression of VE-Cadherin and Endomucin, thereby

confirming their endothelial identity (Figure 2C, most right panel). Importantly, the virtual

absence of CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cells in 8-week cultures of sorted CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cells shows that

pre-existing CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ hematopoietic cells, derived from tamoxifen-dependent and

independent processes, are effectively removed during the extended culture. This further

suggests that CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ hematopoietic cells, potentially contaminating the sorted ECs, are

unlikely contributors to EC-derived hematopoiesis. Rather, these results show that the ECs are

the likely cell source of hematopoietic cells in this *ex vivo* co-culture model.

Next, we tested whether the *ex vivo*-derived CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cells are functional in

lethally irradiated recipients (Figure 2E). To this end, we obtained unfractionated BM cells from

50 tamoxifen-treated Cdh5-Cre/ZsGreen mice, cultured the cells onto OP9 monolayers with

fresh BM supplementation, sorted the ZsGreen$^+$ cells (>95% of which were CD45$^+$ by flow

cytometry) at the end of 8-week culture, and transplanted these cells into 8 lethally irradiated WT

recipients; 5×10^3 cells; 2.5×10^3 cells; 1.25×10^3 cells; 6.25×10^2 cells, n=2/group (Figure 2E-G).

Two mice (recipients of 6.25×10^2 and 1.25×10^3 cells) died on days 4 and 6, but the remaining six

mice survived and remain well at the time of manuscript revision (10 months post-transplant).

Ten months post-transplant, peripheral blood WBC counts were within normal range in all

surviving transplant recipients, indicative of hematopoietic reconstitution (Figure 2H). Flow

cytometry revealed that 81.9% of PBMC were ZsGreen$^+$ (Figure 2I-J). Most neutrophils

(98.6%), monocytes (98.5%), B cells (74.1%) and T cells (84.3%) were ZsGreen$^+$.

These results confirm that BM ECs propagated *ex vivo* onto OP9 monolayers with BM

cell supplementation produce hematopoietic cells and show that this output includes HSPC

capable of engrafting and generating hematopoietic cell progeny.

Adult BM ECs can give rise to hematopoietic cells following transfer into conditioned

recipients

Next, we examined whether adult BM ECs are hemogenic in transplant recipients. To this end,

we FACS-sorted CD45$^-$VE-Cadherin$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ ECs from the BM of tamoxifen-pretreated (4

weeks prior to BM harvest) Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mice (Figure 3A; Figure S2G and

S2H) and transplanted these cells into adult WT C57Bl/6 unconditioned mice (PBS) or

conditioned by fluorouracil (5-FU treated) prior to transplant[45]. The choice of 5-FU conditioning

rather than lethal irradiation of adult mice was driven by previous experiments showing the

difficulties at reconstituting lethally myelo-ablated adult recipients with hemogenic yolk sac

cells, which reconstituted conditioned newborns[46]. Four weeks after transplant, we observed a

significant increase in the proportion of ZsGreen$^+$ ECs in the BM and

ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$hematopoietic cells in the BM and peripheral blood of the transplant recipients

conditioned by 5-FU but not controls (PBS) (Figure 3B and 3C; Figure S2I). These

CD45$^+$ZsGreen-tracked cells in BM and blood included granulocytes, monocytes, and

lymphocytes (Figure 3D). Thus, BM-derived CD45$^-$VE-Cadherin$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cells transferred into

5-FU-conditioned recipients gave rise to detectable CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ hematopoietic cells.

We further examined the effect of mouse age on the endothelial hemogenic potential by

treating the mice with tamoxifen between week 6 and 32 of age. Four weeks later (week 10 to 36

of age), we measured the percentage of ZsGreen-tracked CD45$^+$ hematopoietic cells in the BM.

We observed that tamoxifen inductions beyond week 10 of age resulted in a progressive decrease

of the CD45$^+$ hematopoietic cell output, and detected an inverse correlation (Pearson's r -0.63,

$P<0.0001$) between age and EC hemogenic potential (Figure 3E). This progressive decline of

CD45$^+$ cell output was not coupled with a loss of BM EC fluorescence, since virtually all BM

ECs were ZsGreen$^+$ throughout the duration of the experiment (Figure 3F), consistent with the

stability of Cre-mediated labeling of Cdh5-expressing cells [36]. These observations suggest an

age-related loss of hemogenic capability of BM ECs.

Additionally, we examined whether tracked hematopoietic cells from adult BM EC are

functional. Since lymphocyte trafficking from the peripheral blood to the peritoneal cavity is

critical for their function at this site[47,48], we first evaluated the spontaneous migration of tracked

CD45$^+$ hematopoietic cells to the peritoneal cavity. Compared to no-tamoxifen controls,

tamoxifen-treated Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mice displayed a significant increase of

CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ monocytes, macrophages, and B1, B2, and T lymphocytes in the peritoneal

cavity (Figure S2J and S2K).

After inducing peritonitis with thioglycolate (TGL, 4 hours) in tamoxifen-treated Cdh5-

Cre[ERT2](PAC)/ZsGreen mice, the overall number of peritoneal leukocytes increased substantially

compared to untreated (PBS) controls (Figure 3G), mostly attributable to neutrophils (Figure

3H). In addition, the peritoneal ZsGreen[+] and ZsGreen[-] cell populations exhibited a similar cell

type distribution in TGL-treated mice (Figure 3I). We further examined *Escherichia coli* (K-12

strain) phagocytosis and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in peritoneal cell exudates in

response to TGL (Figure 3J-3O). Both ZsGreen[+] and ZsGreen[-] peritoneal neutrophils and

macrophages comparably phagocytosed *Escherichia coli* and generated ROS (Figure 3J, 3K, 3M,

and 3N), except that the phagocytic and ROS production of ZsGreen[+] macrophages was

somewhat higher than that of ZsGreen[-] macrophages (Figure 3L and 3O).

Thus, Cdh5-tracked mature neutrophils and macrophages are functional at trafficking and

homing, and presumably capable of contributing to the host response to tissue inflammation.

Adult EHT is independent of preexisting hematopoietic cell progenitors

Faithful Cre-reporter lineage tracing requires that Cre recombinase activity be restricted to the

intended cell type[40]. In our system, Cdh5-Cre[ERT2] is expected to drive recombination specifically

in ECs, as *Cdh5* is an established EC-specific marker. However, flow cytometry has occasionally

revealed rare VE-Cadherin^{+}CD45^{+} in mouse BM, exemplified in Figure S2G, potentially

reflecting double-positive cells. To address the possibility that cells co-expressing VE-

Cadherin/*Cdh5* and CD45/*Ptprc* exist in the mouse BM, we analyzed publicly available sc-

RNAseq data from adult mouse BM[49]. This analysis showed that only a small subset of

plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) co-express VE-Cadherin and CD45, but not HSPC or other

mature blood cells (Figure S3A-S3D). pDCs are terminally differentiated cells and unlikely

progenitors of tracked CD45$^+$ multilineage progeny in our Cdh5-cre mice. Nonetheless, it is

plausible that other, currently unidentified, hematopoietic cells may also co-express *Cdh5*/VE-

Cadherin and *Ptprc*/CD45 and possess functional Cre^{ERT2} activity, inducing fluorescence in these

cells upon tamoxifen administration.

To address these possibilities, we transplanted lethally irradiated (11 Gy) WT C57Bl6

mice (n=6) with ZsGreen$^-$Lin$^-$Sca1$^+$cKit$^+$ (LSK) progenitors (>99% purity; Figure S3E-G) from

tamoxifen untreated Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mice and examined whether these ZsGreen$^-$

hematopoietic progenitors can become fluorescent after tamoxifen administration (Figure 4A).

As a positive control, we also transplanted lethally irradiated WT C57Bl6 mice (n=2) with an

LSK population enriched for ZsGreen$^+$ cells (45.9% purity, with the remaining 54.1%

comprising ZsGreen$^-$ LSK cells (Figure 4A and Figure S3F). Four weeks post-transplant, we

administered tamoxifen and monitored the peripheral blood for the presence of ZsGreen$^+$

hematopoietic cells over six months.

Expectedly, all LSK recipients (ZsGreen$^-$ LSKs or LSK enriched with ZsGreen$^+$ cells)

showed successful hematopoietic reconstitution as evidenced by normal blood WBC counts at 10

weeks post-transplant (Figure 4B). Importantly, the 6 mice transplanted with ZsGreen$^-$ LSKs did

not produce ZsGreen$^+$ PBMCs post-tamoxifen administration, indicating that the transplanted

LSKs and their progeny did not express tamoxifen inducible Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2} recombinase activity

(Figure 4C and 4D). Instead, the 2 mice transplanted with ZsGreen$^+$-enriched LSKs displayed a

similar percent of ZsGreen$^+$ PBMCs prior to and after tamoxifen administration (Figure 4D).

Collectively, these results demonstrate that LSK progenitors in adult BM lack of tamoxifen-

inducible Cdh5 expression and do not contribute to tamoxifen-induced adult EHT in our Cdh5-

reporter mice. Rather, these results strongly support the conclusion that $Cdh5^+CD45^-$ ECs are a

source of hematopoietic cells in the adult mouse.

In additional experiments, we took advantage of the relative insensitivity of BM ECs

subsets to irradiation relative to BM hematopoietic cells[50] to examine the possibility that EC

surviving after lethal irradiation may be hemogenic. As a lethal dose of irradiation effectively

eliminates HSPCs and requires hematopoietic reconstitution for survival, we transplanted WT

(untracked) BM cells into lethally irradiated Cdh5-Cre/mTmG mice and treated the mice with

tamoxifen 4 weeks after transplantation (Figure 4E). Prior to tamoxifen administration, >99% of

PBMCs were not fluorescent, indicating that these cells derived from the transplanted WT BM

rather than host-derived (Figure 4F). After tamoxifen treatment, a progressive increase in $EGFP^+$

PBMCs was observed, reaching ~0.55% by six weeks, which included myeloid cells and B and T

lymphocytes (Figure 4F and 4G). Although we cannot exclude the possibility that rare $EGFP^+$

hematopoietic progenitor (tracked tamoxifen-dependently or independently) may have survived

the irradiation, the presence of $EGFP^+$ hematopoietic cells in the circulation of lethally irradiated

Cdh5-Cre/mTmG mice suggests their derivation from radioresistant $Cdh5^+$ ECs rather than from

radiosensitive hematopoietic progenitors. These results further support the view that adult ECs

possess hemogenic potential and can produce hematopoietic cells in vivo.

Single cell tracking confirms the presence hemogenic ECs in adult BM

To directly trace hematopoietic cell progeny arising from individual adult ECs, we exploited the

PolyloxExpress sc genetic barcoding system. We generated Cdh5-

Cre^ERT2/ZsGreen/*PolyloxExpress* mice, in which both the ZsGreen and Polylox transgenes are

inserted in the Rosa26 locus, such that individual $ZsGreen^+$ cells contain a single Polylox

barcode[33,51,52]. To evaluate EC-derived hematopoietic cell output, we harvested BM from

tamoxifen-treated Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen/*PolyloxExpress* mice (n=3), sorted the ZsGreen$^+$VE-

Cadherin$^+$Endomucin$^+$ (purity >95%) and the ZsGreen$^+$VE-Cadherin$^-$Endomucin$^-$CD45$^+$

hematopoietic cells (purity >98%), mixed these populations (1:1 ratio; total 147,446 cells) and

processed for 10x Illumina Sequencing and PacBio sequencing (detailed in Methods). We

recovered sc transcriptome from 93,553 cells; of these, 4,069 cells had a barcode (Figure 5A,

Figure S5A-B, detailed in Methods).

Unsupervised clustering of sc transcriptome data revealed 34 clusters, 31 of which

remained after doublet removal (Figure 5B; Figure S4A-S4D). Cell clusters 0, 1, 22, and 13 were

annotated as "Endothelial cells" based on expression of the classical EC markers *Cdh5*, *Pecam1*,

Kdr and *Flt1*, and absence of *Ptprc*/CD45, *Runx1*, and the mesenchymal cell markers *Cxcl12*,

Lepr, *Pdgfrb*, and *Col1a2* expression (Figure 5B; Figure S4E). Cell cluster 14 was annotated as

"Mesenchymal type" based on co-expression of *Cxcl12*, *Lepr*, *Pdgfrb*, *Col1a2*, but expressed

Runx1 and the EC markers *Cdh5* and *Pecam1* (Figure 5B; Figure S4E). The remaining cell

clusters included the hematopoietic progenitors and mature blood cells of various lineages

(Figure 5B; Figure S4E). Cre^{ERT2} transcripts were detected exclusively in Cdh5-expressing

endothelial cell populations and were absent from Ptprc/CD45-expressing hematopoietic cells

(Figure S4E). Cre^{ERT2} expression levels in ECs were low but closely tracked with the expression

patterns of canonical endothelial markers (*Cdh5*, *Pecam1*, *Emcn*, and *Eng*).

Barcode analysis revealed robust *Polylox* barcode diversity among cell populations,

including 274 "true" barcodes, defined as barcodes with low generation probability (Figure S5A,

$P_{gen} < 1 \times 10^{-6}$)[51] consistent with rare, unique recombination events (Figure S5A - S5C).

Expectedly, "true" barcodes linked HSPC to downstream progenitors and mature hematopoietic

cells, validating the system (Figure 5C).

Notably, 169 of 828 ECs (from "endothelial" cell clusters 0, 1, 22, and 13) were marked

with "true" barcodes. The results detected 19 links between EC and hematopoietic cells based on

their shared "true" barcode (Figure 5D). These hematopoietic cells linked to ECs by shared

"true" barcodes included HSPC, EPC, GMP, and mature blood cells, encompassing

granulocytes, monocytes, dendritic cells, B and T lymphocytes, plasma cells, and pDCs (Figure

5D and E). These results provide direct evidence, at sc resolution, that adult mouse BM ECs can

generate hematopoietic progenitors and mature blood cells.

Additionally, 26 "Mesenchymal type" cells (from cluster 14; co-expressing mesenchymal

cell markers, *Runx1*, and the EC markers *Cdh5* and *Pecam1*) were also marked by 11 "true"

barcodes, 8 of which were shared with hematopoietic cell progenitors (HSPC and Erythroid) and

mature blood cells (Figure 5E and F). Also, 4 "true" barcodes linked "Mesenchymal type" cells

to ECs (from clusters 0, 1, 22 and 13), suggesting either a shared precursor or derivation from

each other. Although it cannot be excluded that barcoding missed identification of mesenchymal

cell links to other ECs or cells, these results raise the possibility that certain BM "Mesenchymal

type" cells may produce hematopoietic cell progeny. Despite similarities of sc tracing results

linking ECs and "Mesenchymal type" cells to hematopoietic cells, these two cell populations

display a distinctive transcriptome profile (Figure 5G; Figure S4E).

Additional analysis of all tracked cells showed that several ECs and to a lower extent

"Mesenchymal type cells" shared "true" barcodes (Figure S5D-F), indicating clonal expansion.

Transcriptome-based sc cell cycle analysis, confirmed the presence of ECs and "Mesenchymal

type cells" in the S and G2/M phases, albeit to a much lower degree than HSPC (Figure S5C).

Together, these results demonstrate at a sc level that adult BM ECs can generate hematopoietic

cell progeny of HSPC and mature blood cells. The results further raise the possibility that

"Mesenchymal type" cells, marked by a hybrid endothelial and stromal phenotype, may

represent an additional source of hemogenic activity in adult BM.

Single cell transcriptome identifies a *Cdh5+Col1a2+ Runx1+*cell population in the adult BM.

To further characterize adult hemogenic cell populations, we analyzed publicly available

scRNAseq datasets comprising BM cells from adult mice (1-16 months of age)[53-59] and

embryonic caudal artery ECs (9.5–11.5 days post coitum)[24]. After quality control and

dimensional reduction, the remaining 434,810 cells clustered into 71 distinct populations (Figure

6A-D). Among the *Cdh5*-expressing endothelial clusters, two clusters, cluster 8 composed

predominantly of embryonic cells (98%) and cluster 50 composed largely of adult BM-derived

cells (95.5%) (Figure 6E), were notable in comprising cells co-expressing *Cdh5* and *Runx1*, a

transcription factor that marks the hemogenic EC identity during development[60] (Figure 6B).

We jointly analyzed the transcriptome from the publicly available embryonic cluster 8

and adult cluster 50 (Figure 6 A-C), and from our sc Polylox dataset, including adult clusters 14

(Mesenchymal type) and adult EC clusters 0, 1, 13 and 22 (Figure 5B). All populations

expressed canonical EC markers (*Cdh5*, *Pecam1*, *Kdr*, *Flt1*), though at different levels, but

expression of *Runx1* was mostly confined to clusters 8, 50 and Polylox 14 (Figure 6F).

Interestingly, adult clusters 50 and Polylox 14 co-expressed the mesenchymal cell-associated

genes *Col1a2*, *Lepr*, *Cxcl12*, and *Pdgfrb*, distinguishing these two clusters from the embryonic

cluster 8 and adult Polylox clusters 0, 1, 13 and 22 (Figure 6F). Additionally, embryonic cluster

8 exhibited higher expression of key EHT-related transcription factors (FLI1, LMO2, TAL1,

ERG)[61-64], some of which were variably expressed by the Polylox clusters 0,1,13 and 22 (Figure

6F).

To explore whether cells expressing *Cdh5*, *Col1a2* and *Runx1* are unique to BM (Figure

6G), we looked at other adult mouse tissues. Analysis of public scRNAseq datasets from 11 adult

mouse tissues[65] showed that *Cdh5*, *Runx1*, and *Col1a2* expressing ECs are largely restricted to

the BM, with only 3 such cells detected out of ~32,000 EC from other tissues (Figure 6H and

I)[65]. These observations, together with the Polylox sc tracing experiments, indicate that adult

mouse BM harbors a cell population with mixed endothelial and mesenchymal phenotype

marked by *Runx1*, *Col1a2* and *Cdh5* expression and raises the possibility that this population has

hemogenic potential.

***Col1a2* and *Runx1* expression in BM ECs**

To evaluate a potential contribution of *Col1a2* expression to EHT in adult BM, we generated the

mouse lines Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/mTmG and Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen to track cells expressing the

Col1a2 gene (Figure S6A). Four weeks after tamoxifen administration, the BM of Col1a2-

Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen mice contained abundant ZsGreen$^+$ cells (Figure S6B). By flow cytometry, a

subset of these BM Col1a2-tracked ZsGreen$^+$ cells were VE-Cadherin$^+$CD45$^-$ and RUNX1$^+$

consistent with an endothelial identity and perhaps hemogenic potential (Figure S6C).

Noteworthy, a similar subset of VE-Cadherin$^+$CD45$^-$RUNX1$^+$ cells was detected in BM of adult

WT C57Bl/6 mice (Figure S6D) and in BM of Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mice after

tamoxifen treatment (Figure S6E).

To evaluate hemogenic potential, we looked for Col1a2-tracked CD45$^+$ hematopoietic

cells in Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/mTmG and Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen mouse lines after tamoxifen

treatment. In both these mouse lines, we detected CD45$^+$ hematopoietic cells tracked by EGFP or

ZsGreen fluorescence in BM and blood, which were rare but significantly more abundant than in

control mice not treated with tamoxifen (Figure 7A and B; Figure S6F-H). These results

indicated that a proportion of the Col1a2-tracked cells in the adult mouse are hemogenic.

To further evaluate this possibility, we first sorted VE-Cadherin$^+$CD45$^-$ ZsGreen

(Col1a2)$^+$ cells from the BM of tamoxifen-induced adult Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen mice (Figure

S7A), examined expression of selected genes, and used these cells in transplant experiments. The

sorted VE-Cadherin$^+$CD45$^-$ ZsGreen (Col1a2)$^+$ cells expressed *Cdh5*, *Col1a2*, *Cxcl12*, and *Runx1*

mRNAs distinctively from other BM cell population (Figure S7B), but resembled the BM

hemogenic population annotated as "mesenchymal-type" (cluster 14) identified by Polylox s.c

sequencing (Figure 5G; Figure S4E) and subsets of adult BM Cdh5$^+$ cells identified in public

adult datasets (Figure 6E and F).

We transplanted the BM VE-Cadherin$^+$CD45$^-$ ZsGreen (Col1a2)$^+$ cells (1×10^4

cells/mouse) into 5-FU-conditioned adult WT C57Bl/6 recipients and looked for tracked CD45$^+$

cells in the BM and blood (Figure 7C). Four weeks after transplantation, BM and peripheral

blood of transplant recipients contained ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$ cells (Figure 7D; Figure S7C and D),

indicating that the transplanted ZsGreen$^+$ (Col1a2)-tracked CD45$^-$ ECs had produced CD45$^+$

hematopoietic cell progeny. These ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$ cells in the recipient mice comprised mainly

granulocytes and monocytes, and few B and T lymphocytes (Figure 7E). These results indicate

that Col1a2-tracked ECs, like Cdh5(VE-Cadherin)-tracked ECs, can give rise to hematopoietic

progeny in vivo, but display a more restricted multilineage potential compared to ECs from

Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mice.

In additional experiments, we evaluated the role of *Runx1* expression in adult BM

hemogenic EC since our analyses identified *Runx1* as a putative marker of adult EHT (Figure 6E

and F; Figure S4E). Therefore, we generated a Runx1^{EC-KI} mouse line (*Cdh5-*

Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen/Runx1-Knock-In), in which Cre-mediated excision of a floxed STOP codon

enables co-expression of ZsGreen and *Runx1* in ECs upon tamoxifen induction (Figure S7E)[66].

In these Runx1^{EC-KI} mice, tamoxifen treatment increased significantly the frequency of ZsGreen$^+$

cells in PBMC over 60 weeks compared to control tamoxifen-treated (Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen)

mice (Figure 7F).

Since these circulating ZsGreen-tracked cells presumably represent hematopoietic cell

progeny of ECs co-expressing ZsGreen and *Runx1*, we tested the hemogenic potential of these

tamoxifen-induced BM EC ex vivo. Using the culture system that successfully supported ex vivo

hematopoiesis in Cdh5-tracked BM (Figure 2A-H), we now compared the hemogenic potential

of BM cells from tamoxifen pretreated Runx1^{EC-KI} mice to that of BM cells from tamoxifen

pretreated Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen) mice. We detected a significantly greater number of ZsGreen$^+$

clusters in cultures from Runx1^{EC-KI} BM cells compared to control BM cells (Figure 7G and H),

and flow cytometry showed that more CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ hematopoietic cells were produced in

cultures of Runx1^{EC-KI} BM cells compared to BM from controls (Figure 7I and J). Although we

cannot exclude the possibility that RUNX1 promotes EC proliferation in culture, these results

support a role of RUNX1 in promoting adult EHT.

DISCUSSION

Our results provide evidence for the presence of ECs in the adult mouse BM with hemogenic

potential. Previously, hemogenic ECs were detected during embryonic development or

perinatally but not thereafter[1-9,67]. The current findings argue that EHT is not limited to the

prenatal or perinatal development but is present up to 28 weeks after birth, decreasing thereafter.

This conclusion is supported by Cdh5-based bulk and Polylox sc lineage tracking, culture of

hemogenic ECs, transplant analyses and initial characterization of EC-derived hematopoietic cell

progeny, which link features of adult EHT to embryonic EHT[25-28,68].

Previous experiments found that EHT, present in the late fetus/neonatal BM, disappears

shortly after birth[67]. This contrasts with the current experiments showing persistence of adult

EHT well beyond 20 weeks of age. The divergent results likely stem from functional differences

of the Cdh5-based tracking systems. In the previous experiments, attempts to activate Cdh5-

fluorescence in BM ECs by injecting tamoxifen at different time-points after birth were

unsuccessful starting 20 days after birth. Expectedly, the absence of tamoxifen-induced

fluorescence in BM ECs was associated with the absence of traced hematopoietic cell output

from these cells. In the current experiments and those of others[36], tamoxifen administration after

10, 20, and 30 weeks of age consistently induces fluorescence in most BM ECs. We conclude

that the absence of adult EHT had not been firmly established.

Adult hemogenic ECs identified here express *Cdh5*, *Pecam1*, *Kdr*, and *Flt1*, resembling

other BM EC populations and embryonic hemogenic ECs[69]. However, the current studies

identify yet another, small hemogenic cell population in the adult BM, distinctive in co-

expressing typical EC markers, *Cdh5* and *Pecam1*, and the mesenchymal-type markers *Lepr*,

Col1a2 and *Cxcl12*. These two hemogenic populations are clonally linked, but their relationship

is currently unclear.

ECs derive from two sources during development: the splanchnic mesoderm that gives

rise to the primitive aorta where ECs located on the aortic floor are hemogenic[68], and the somites

from the paraxial mesoderm, that produce ECs contributing the endothelial vascular network of

the trunk and limb[70,71]. Somite-derived ECs are not hemogenic in situ, but they can transiently

acquire a hemogenic potential when variously induced[72] and may also include a cell subset with

hemogenic potential[73]. They can also express *Runx1* and trigger aortic hematopoiesis from

hemogenic ECs in zebrafish[74] and in the chick[68]. Besides generating hemogenic ECs, blood and

other tissues, the mesoderm in conjunction with the neural crest, gives rise to mesenchymal stem

cells (MSCs) and perhaps a precursor of both MSCs and ECs[75-77]. However, MSCs do not

produce blood cells, although they can differentiate into many other tissues[78], and their potential

for endothelial differentiation remains controversial[79-82]. By contrast, endothelial to

mesenchymal transition (EndMT) is a well-established process in development and disease

states[83].

It was suggested that the transient wave of EHT occurring in the BM of the late fetus and

perinatally may serve to mitigate the slow HSC colonization of BM from the fetal liver or

perhaps prepare the BM niches to accommodate the incoming HSC from the liver, but a function

has not yet been firmly established[67]. Similarly, the function of adult BM EHT identified here is

currently unclear. We hypothesize that adult EHT plays a functional role under conditions of

hematopoietic stress or disease rather than under steady-state conditions, but this will need future

investigation. Interestingly, previous studies found that subsets of ECs can regenerate after

irradiation that has eliminated HSC[45], and we traced the emergence of some hematopoietic cells

from putative ECs that persisted after lethal mouse irradiation. We also found that 5-FU

treatment was required for the successful engraftment and function of adult hemogenic ECs,

suggesting that this population may require inductive signals from a BM that is recovering from

an insult[45,84]. The identification of such inductive factors may enable effective propagation of

BM hemogenic ECs ex vivo and motivate a search for hemogenic ECs in human BM.

Altogether, our results point to a previously unrecognized capability of ECs in the adult

mouse BM to generate blood cells. These results suggest that hematopoiesis in the adult mouse

may arise through the contribution of cells and processes beyond the HSCs generated through

aortic EHT during development and BM EHT perinatally.

Limitations of the study

A limitation of our study is that the function of adult EHT is currently unknown. Under steady-

state conditions, the hematopoietic cell output from adult EHT is very low in comparison to that

of embryonic EHT, which effectively sustains adult hematopoiesis, and our experiments detected

no functional differences between hematopoietic cells from embryonic and adult EHT. To

address this limitation, we will examine whether adult EHT is a greater contributor to adult

hematopoiesis when stress is imposed on the adult hematopoietic system. In addition, we have

not fully characterized the hematopoietic cell population(s) arising from adult EHT or studied the

time-course kinetics of hematopoietic cell emergence. A key issue is whether long-term

repopulating HSPCs are produced by adult EHT. This will require serial transplantation

experiments, including CD45.1/CD45.2 competitive repopulation assays, and kinetic analysis of

their emergence from hemogenic ECs. Finally, we could not extend the in vivo single-cell

PolyloxExpress genetic lineage tracing to the ex vivo single-cell genetic lineage tracing due to

technical limitations of the PolyloxExpress system.

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be

fulfilled by the lead contact, Giovanna Tosato (tosatog@mail.nih.gov).

Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

The results of scRNAseq and PacBio SmrtSeq of Polylox barcodes are deposited in NCBI SRA

(PRJNA1079369, public at time of publication). A custom script was used to retrieve cell

indexes from the PolyloxExpress amplicons (https://github.com/CCRSF-IFX/SF_Polylox-BC).

Bash, R, and Python codes are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project is supported by the Intramural Program of CCR, NCI, NIH. The findings are those

of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH or the Department of

Health and Human Services. This work used the computational resources of the NIH High

Performance Computing (HPC) Biowulf cluster (*http://hpc.nih.gov*) and Frederick Research

Computing Environment (FRCE). Flow cytometry and cell sorting were performed at the CCR

Flow Cytometry Core Facility; microscopy analyses at the CCR Microscopy Core Facility in

Building 37 of the NCI, NIH. We thank Drs. Ralf Adams and Manfred Boehm for mouse line

Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC); Drs. Yoshiaki Kubota and Yosuke Mukoyama for mouse line *Cdh5-*

490 *Cre^{ERT2}(BAC)*; Dr. Hans-Reimer Rodewald for the *PolyloxExpress* mouse line. We thank Dr. S.

491 Banerjee, Dr. S. Siddiqui and Ms. K. M. Wolcott for flow cytometry support; Dr. M. Kruhlak

492 and Mr. L. Lim for confocal microscopy support and Mr. A. Abdelmaksoud for bioinformatics

493 assistance. We thank Drs. D. Lowy, R. Yarchoan, M. DiPrima and H. Ohnuki for thoughtfully

494 commenting on aspects of this work.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

497 Concept and design: J-X.F. and G.T. Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: J-X.F., G.T.,

498 C.L., F.L., N.T., A.B., L.L, M-T.Y., D.W., J.C., and Y.Z. G. Drafting of the manuscript: J-X.F.,

499 G.T. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: J-X.F., G.T., A.B. and

500 N.T.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

503 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

506 Document S1. Figures S1–S7

FIGURE TITLES AND LEGENDS

Figure 1. Lineage tracking discloses a contribution of endothelial cells to hematopoiesis in

adult BM

(A) Experimental design: tamoxifen was administered to 8- to12-week-old Cdh5-Cre mice to

induce fluorescent labeling of VE-Cadherin$^+$ cells and their cell progeny. Four weeks later, BM

and blood were analyzed.

(B) CD31$^+$EGFP$^+$ BM ECs in Cre$^-$ mice (n=10) and Cre$^+$ mice treated with oil (n=13) or

tamoxifen (n=10); flow cytometry results.

(C and D) CD45$^+$EGFP$^+$ cells in BM and blood from Cre$^-$ mice (n=8) and Cre$^+$ mice treated with

oil (n=6-10) or tamoxifen (n=15-18). Representative flow cytometry gating in Figure S1G.

(E) Representative blood smear from a tamoxifen-treated Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mouse

showing ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$DAPI$^+$ cells (arrows).

(F) Kinetics of ZsGreen$^+$ cell detection in BM ECs (CD45$^-$VE-Cadherin$^+$) and blood white blood

cells (WBC) post-tamoxifen; mouse n=8-10/group).

(G) EGFP$^+$ B and T-lymphocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes in BM of tamoxifen-treated mice

(n=14) as percent of total EGFP$^+$ cells; 3 experiments.

(H) EGFP$^+$ BM LSK, lymphocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes as percent of total EGFP$^{+/-}$ cell

type; Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/mTmG mice (oil n=10; tamoxifen n=15), 3 experiments.

(I) UMAP plots of Lin$^-$ BM HSPC from tamoxifen-treated Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mice

(n=26; 1 femur/mouse) showing FlowSOM clustering of all (ZsGreen$^{+/-}$) and ZsGreen$^+$

populations.

(J) Violin plots showing ZsGreen$^+$ cell distribution across HSPC subsets from (I).

Dots represent individual mice; data shown as mean±SD except shown as median in (G). *p <

0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by Student's t test.

Figure 2. BM ECs generate engraftable hematopoietic cells ex vivo

(A) BM cells from tamoxifen-treated mice were cultured on high-attachment Primaria flasks or OP9 cell monolayers. Representative images show ZsGreen$^+$ cells at weeks 1, 3, and 8.

(B) Workflow for culturing unsorted and sorted BM cell populations. Post-sort purity of ZsGreen$^+$ ECs is shown in the bottom left panel. All cells were cultured (8 weeks) on OP9 cell monolayers supplemented with WT BM cells. Culture medium and floating cells were removed twice/week for 7 weeks. At the start of week 8, one final WT BM and medium supplementation was implemented prior to harvest at the end of week 8.

(C and D) Representative flow cytometry plots (C) and quantification (D) of CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cells from each of the 8-week cultures (n=5).

(E) Floating/loosely adherent ZsGreen$^+$ cells from unsorted BM 8-week cell cultures were sorted and transplanted (5×10^4, 2.5×10^4, 1.25×10^4 or 6.25×10^3 cells) into lethally irradiated (11 Gy) WT mice (n=2/group).

(F and G) Representative flow cytometry image (F) and quantification (G) of low-adherent cells harvested after 8 weeks of culture, showing that >95% (group average) of ZsGreen$^+$ low-adherent cells are CD45$^+$. These ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$ cells were sorted for transplantation.

(H - J) WBC counts from 5 control mice (no irradiation or transplant) (H) and percent ZsGreen$^+$, ZsGreen dim and ZsGreen$^-$ cells (I-J) in blood of transplant recipients 10 months post-transplant (n=6).

Dots represent individual mice. Data are shown as mean ± SD. ns, not significant by Student's t test.

Figure 3. Adult BM endothelial cells give rise to hematopoietic cells following transfer into conditioned recipients

(A) Transplant experiment: donor ECs from BM of tamoxifen-treated mice were FACS-sorted and transplanted into WT C57Bl/6 recipients conditioned with 5-FU or PBS.

(B) ZsGreen$^+$ ECs detected in BM of 5-FU-conditioned (n=5) or PBS-conditioned (n=15) recipients of ECs 4 weeks post-transplant.

(C and D) ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$ cells (C) and cell type distribution (D) in the BM and blood of 5-FU-conditioned transplant recipients of BM ECs or no cell controls (n=5/group).

(E and F) Age-dependent decline of ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$ cells (E) but not ZsGreen$^+$VE-Cadhenin$^+$ cells (F) in the BM of Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(BAC)/ZsGreen mice (n=35) treated with tamoxifen 4-weeks prior to harvest.

(G and H) Cell number (G; mouse n=8-12) and cell type distribution (H; mouse n=6) in the peritoneal cavity (PerC) of PBS- or thioglycolate (TGL)-pretreated (4 hours) mice.

(I) ZsGreen$^+$ and ZsGreen$^-$ PerC cell types in TGL-pretreated mice (n=12).

(J) Representative histograms depicting pHrodo Red fluorescence detection of E-Coli phagocytosis.

(K and L) E. coli$^+$ phagocytosis by ZsGreen$^+$ and ZsGreen$^-$ PerC neutrophils (K) and macrophages (L) in TGL-pretreated mice (n=4).

(M) Representative histograms depicting CellRox Orange fluorescence for cell-associated ROS detection.

(N and O) CellRox mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) in ZsGreen$^+$ and ZsGreen$^-$ PerC neutrophils (N) and macrophages (O) in TGL-pretreated mice (n=4).

Dots represent individual mice. Data are shown as mean ± SD. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001, ns, not

significant by Student's *t* test.

Figure 4. Independence of adult EHT from preexisting HSPC

(A) Transplantation experiment: donor LSK sorting, recipient irradiation, transplantation,

tamoxifen treatment, and analysis (top). Tabular representation of possible outcomes of the

experiment designed to address the question "Do HSPCs/other hematopoietic cells in

Cdh5CreERT2/ZsGreen mice express Cdh5-CreERT2?" (bottom).

(B-D) Blood WBC counts (B), percent ZsGreen⁺ PBMC (C), and time course of ZsGreen⁺

PBMC detection (D) in transplant recipients of ZsGreen⁻ LSK (5×10^4 or 2.5×10^4 cells/mouse;

n=3/group) and ZsGreen-enriched LSKs (2.8×10^3 cells/mouse; n=2). Results in B and C are from

week 24 post-tamoxifen.

(E) Experiment: WT BM transplantation (BMTP) into lethally irradiated Cdh5-Cre/mTmG mice

(n=9). Four weeks later, tamoxifen was administered; blood was monitored for 16 weeks.

(F and G) EGFP⁺ PBMC detection before and after tamoxifen or peanut oil administration (F)

and cell type distribution of EGFP⁺ and EGFP⁻ PBMCs at week 12 post-tamoxifen or peanut oil

(G) in Cdh5-Cre/mTmG recipients (n=9) of WT BM (5×10^6 cells). Statistical significance

reflects comparisons between EGFP⁺ and EGFP⁻ cells in the tamoxifen vs peanut oil groups.

Dots represent individual mice. Data are shown as mean ± SD. ***p < 0.001, ns, not significant

by Student's *t* test.

Figure 5. Polylox sc lineage tracing links adult BM ECs to hematopoietic progenitors and

mature blood cell progeny

(A) Schematic of Polylox barcode and transcriptome profiling. FACS-enriched ECs

(ZsGreen$^+$VE-Cadherin$^+$Endomucin$^+$) and EC-depleted (ZsGreen$^-$VE-Cadherin$^-$Endomucin$^-$) BM

cells from tamoxifen-treated Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen/PolyloxExpress mice (n=3, 10-week-old at

the time of tamoxifen treatment) were mixed (1:1), and encapsulated (147,446 cells loaded;

93,553 processed). Indexed cDNA was used for scRNA-seq and barcode detection by PacBio

sequencing after nested PCR enrichment; barcode-transcriptome integration was accomplished

via shared cell indices.

(B) UMAP clustering and cell type annotation. Clusters 0, 1, 13, and 22 comprise ECs; cluster 14

comprises Mesenchymal-type cells.

(C – E) Heatmaps showing "true" Polylox barcodes (pGen < 1×10^{-6}) linking HSPCs to

hematopoietic cells (C), ECs to hematopoietic and other cells (D), and Mesenchymal-type cells

to other cells (E). The numbers within the colored boxes identify cell number; the labels at the

bottom of each column denote the barcode shared by all cells in that column; the number on the

right side the heatmaps reflects the total number of cells in each row.

(F) UpSet plot showing cells (identified by colored dots) sharing the same "true" barcode

(identified by lines connecting the colored dots); bar graph at the top of the plot reflects (height

and number on each bar) the number of "true" barcodes. Colors of dots: EC (red), Mesenchymal-

type (orange), ECs connecting with Mesenchymal-type cells (blue), cells other than ECs and

Mesenchymal-type cells (black).

(G) Violin plots showing selected gene expression profile in Mesenchymal-type cells (cluster 14)

and ECs (clusters 0, 1, 13, 22 combined).

Figure 6. Sc transcriptomic analysis of prospective hemogenic ECs

(A) UMAP clustering of 434,810 cells from eight public scRNA-seq datasets.

(B) Dot plot showing relative Cdh5 and Runx1 co-expression across clusters; clusters 8 and 50

co-express both genes.

(C) UMAP highlighting clusters 8 and 50; all other clusters shown in grey.

(D) Violin plots of doublet scores across Leiden clusters. Clusters 50 and 8 show no evidence of

doublet enrichment.

(E) Datasets proportional contribution to clusters 50 and 8; each dataset is color-coded.

(F) Dot plot showing expression of selected marker genes in clusters 50 and 8 (from the public sc

RNA-seq datasets listed in Figure 7D) and from clusters 0, 1, 13, 22 and 14 (from Polylox

scRNA-seq; Figure 5B). Results reflect mean expression and fraction of cells in group.

(G) Cdh5, Runx1 and Col1a2 co-expression in the indicated clusters as a fraction of cells in the

cluster.

(H and I) t-SNE plot of ECs from 11 murine tissues (G) and Venn diagram (H) showing rare co-

expression of Cdh5, Runx1, and Col1a2 in these tissues.

Figure 7. Contribution of *Col1a2* and *Runx1* expression to ECs hemogenic activity

(A and B) Percent EGFP$^+$CD45$^+$ cells in BM and blood of tamoxifen-treated (n=6) or oil-treated

(n=5) Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/mTmG mice (A) and tamoxifen-treated (n=4) or oil-treated (n=3) Col1a2-

Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen mice (B). Cre-control mice (n=5 in A, and n=2 in B).

(C) Transplant experiment: sorted VE-Cadherin$^+$CD45$^-$ZsGreen$^+$/Col1a2$^+$ cells from tamoxifen-

treated Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen mice are transplanted into 5-FU-conditioned WT recipients.

(D and E) Detection (D) and characterization (E) of ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$ cells in BM and blood of

WT 5-FU-conditioned mice (n=5), 4 weeks post-transplant of VE-

Cadherin$^+$CD45$^-$ZsGreen$^+$/Col1a2$^+$ cells. Control FU-conditioned WT mice (n=4) received no

cell transplant (D).

(F) Time course of ZsGreen$^+$ PBMC detection in control (Cdh5-Cre$^+$/ZsGreen$^+$) and Runx1^{EC-KI}

(Cdh5-Cre$^+$/ZsGreen$^+$/Runx1-KI) mice (n=10 per group).

(G and H) Representative images (G) and quantification (H) of ZsGreen$^+$ cells from OP9 cell-

supported cultures of BM cells from tamoxifen-treated Cdh5-Cre$^+$/ZsGreen$^+$ (n=11) and

Runx1^{EC-KI} mice (n=5).

(I and J) Representative flow cytometry plots (I) and quantification (J) of CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cells

from OP9 cell-supported BM cell cultures (n=5/group).

Dots represent individual mice. Data are shown as mean ± SD. **$p<0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$ by

Student's t test.

REFERENCES

1.   Jaffredo, T., Gautier, R., Eichmann, A., and Dieterlen-Lievre, F. (1998). Intraaortic

hemopoietic cells are derived from endothelial cells during ontogeny. Development *125*,

4575-4583. 10.1242/dev.125.22.4575.

2.   Zovein, A.C., Hofmann, J.J., Lynch, M., French, W.J., Turlo, K.A., Yang, Y., Becker,

M.S., Zanetta, L., Dejana, E., Gasson, J.C., et al. (2008). Fate tracing reveals the

endothelial origin of hematopoietic stem cells. Cell Stem Cell *3*, 625-636.

10.1016/j.stem.2008.09.018.

3.   Bertrand, J.Y., Chi, N.C., Santoso, B., Teng, S., Stainier, D.Y., and Traver, D. (2010).

Haematopoietic stem cells derive directly from aortic endothelium during development.

Nature *464*, 108-111. 10.1038/nature08738.

4.   Boisset, J.C., van Cappellen, W., Andrieu-Soler, C., Galjart, N., Dzierzak, E., and Robin,

C. (2010). In vivo imaging of haematopoietic cells emerging from the mouse aortic

endothelium. Nature *464*, 116-120. 10.1038/nature08764.

5.   Yokomizo, T., and Dzierzak, E. (2010). Three-dimensional cartography of hematopoietic

clusters in the vasculature of whole mouse embryos. Development *137*, 3651-3661.

10.1242/dev.051094.

6.   Chen, M.J., Li, Y., De Obaldia, M.E., Yang, Q., Yzaguirre, A.D., Yamada-Inagawa, T.,

Vink, C.S., Bhandoola, A., Dzierzak, E., and Speck, N.A. (2011). Erythroid/myeloid

progenitors and hematopoietic stem cells originate from distinct populations of

endothelial cells. Cell Stem Cell *9*, 541-552. 10.1016/j.stem.2011.10.003.

7.   Frame, J.M., Fegan, K.H., Conway, S.J., McGrath, K.E., and Palis, J. (2016). Definitive

Hematopoiesis in the Yolk Sac Emerges from Wnt-Responsive Hemogenic Endothelium

Independently of Circulation and Arterial Identity. Stem Cells *34*, 431-444.

10.1002/stem.2213.

8.   Rhodes, K.E., Gekas, C., Wang, Y., Lux, C.T., Francis, C.S., Chan, D.N., Conway, S.,

Orkin, S.H., Yoder, M.C., and Mikkola, H.K. (2008). The emergence of hematopoietic

stem cells is initiated in the placental vasculature in the absence of circulation. Cell Stem

Cell *2*, 252-263. 10.1016/j.stem.2008.01.001.

9.   Nakano, H., Liu, X., Arshi, A., Nakashima, Y., van Handel, B., Sasidharan, R., Harmon,

A.W., Shin, J.H., Schwartz, R.J., Conway, S.J., et al. (2013). Haemogenic endocardium

688   contributes to transient definitive haematopoiesis. Nat Commun *4*, 1564.

689   10.1038/ncomms2569.

690   10.   Goldie, L.C., Lucitti, J.L., Dickinson, M.E., and Hirschi, K.K. (2008). Cell signaling

691   directing the formation and function of hemogenic endothelium during murine

692   embryogenesis. Blood *112*, 3194-3204. 10.1182/blood-2008-02-139055.

693   11.   Marcelo, K.L., Goldie, L.C., and Hirschi, K.K. (2013). Regulation of endothelial cell

694   differentiation and specification. Circ Res *112*, 1272-1287.

695   10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.113.300506.

696   12.   Hirschi, K.K. (2012). Hemogenic endothelium during development and beyond. Blood

697   *119*, 4823-4827. 10.1182/blood-2011-12-353466.

698   13.   Yoshimoto, M., Porayette, P., Glosson, N.L., Conway, S.J., Carlesso, N., Cardoso, A.A.,

699   Kaplan, M.H., and Yoder, M.C. (2012). Autonomous murine T-cell progenitor

700   production in the extra-embryonic yolk sac before HSC emergence. Blood *119*, 5706-

701   5714. 10.1182/blood-2011-12-397489.

702   14.   Lin, Y., Yoder, M.C., and Yoshimoto, M. (2014). Lymphoid progenitor emergence in the

703   murine embryo and yolk sac precedes stem cell detection. Stem Cells Dev *23*, 1168-1177.

704   10.1089/scd.2013.0536.

705   15.   Iturri, L., Freyer, L., Biton, A., Dardenne, P., Lallemand, Y., and Gomez Perdiguero, E.

706   (2021). Megakaryocyte production is sustained by direct differentiation from

707   erythromyeloid progenitors in the yolk sac until midgestation. Immunity *54*, 1433-1446

708   e1435. 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.04.026.

709   16.   Soares-da-Silva, F., Freyer, L., Elsaid, R., Burlen-Defranoux, O., Iturri, L., Sismeiro, O.,

710   Pinto-do, O.P., Gomez-Perdiguero, E., and Cumano, A. (2021). Yolk sac, but not

hematopoietic stem cell-derived progenitors, sustain erythropoiesis throughout murine

embryonic life. J Exp Med *218*. 10.1084/jem.20201729.

17.   de Bruijn, M.F., Ma, X., Robin, C., Ottersbach, K., Sanchez, M.J., and Dzierzak, E.

(2002). Hematopoietic stem cells localize to the endothelial cell layer in the midgestation

mouse aorta. Immunity *16*, 673-683. 10.1016/s1074-7613(02)00313-8.

18.   Hadland, B.K., Varnum-Finney, B., Poulos, M.G., Moon, R.T., Butler, J.M., Rafii, S.,

and Bernstein, I.D. (2015). Endothelium and NOTCH specify and amplify aorta-gonad-

mesonephros-derived hematopoietic stem cells. J Clin Invest *125*, 2032-2045.

10.1172/JCI80137.

19.   Patel, S.H., Christodoulou, C., Weinreb, C., Yu, Q., da Rocha, E.L., Pepe-Mooney, B.J.,

Bowling, S., Li, L., Osorio, F.G., Daley, G.Q., and Camargo, F.D. (2022). Lifelong

multilineage contribution by embryonic-born blood progenitors. Nature *606*, 747-753.

10.1038/s41586-022-04804-z.

20.   Ding, B.S., Nolan, D.J., Butler, J.M., James, D., Babazadeh, A.O., Rosenwaks, Z., Mittal,

V., Kobayashi, H., Shido, K., Lyden, D., et al. (2010). Inductive angiocrine signals from

sinusoidal endothelium are required for liver regeneration. Nature *468*, 310-315.

10.1038/nature09493.

21.   Kobayashi, H., Butler, J.M., O'Donnell, R., Kobayashi, M., Ding, B.S., Bonner, B., Chiu,

V.K., Nolan, D.J., Shido, K., Benjamin, L., and Rafii, S. (2010). Angiocrine factors from

Akt-activated endothelial cells balance self-renewal and differentiation of haematopoietic

stem cells. Nat Cell Biol *12*, 1046-1056. 10.1038/ncb2108.

22.   Butler, J.M., Gars, E.J., James, D.J., Nolan, D.J., Scandura, J.M., and Rafii, S. (2012).

Development of a vascular niche platform for expansion of repopulating human cord

blood stem and progenitor cells. Blood *120*, 1344-1347. 10.1182/blood-2011-12-398115.

23.   Yzaguirre, A.D., and Speck, N.A. (2016). Insights into blood cell formation from

hemogenic endothelium in lesser-known anatomic sites. Dev Dyn *245*, 1011-1028.

10.1002/dvdy.24430.

24.   Zhu, Q., Gao, P., Tober, J., Bennett, L., Chen, C., Uzun, Y., Li, Y., Howell, E.D.,

Mumau, M., Yu, W., et al. (2020). Developmental trajectory of prehematopoietic stem

cell formation from endothelium. Blood *136*, 845-856. 10.1182/blood.2020004801.

25.   North, T., Gu, T.L., Stacy, T., Wang, Q., Howard, L., Binder, M., Marin-Padilla, M., and

Speck, N.A. (1999). Cbfa2 is required for the formation of intra-aortic hematopoietic

clusters. Development *126*, 2563-2575. 10.1242/dev.126.11.2563.

26.   Chen, M.J., Yokomizo, T., Zeigler, B.M., Dzierzak, E., and Speck, N.A. (2009). Runx1 is

required for the endothelial to haematopoietic cell transition but not thereafter. Nature

457, 887-891. 10.1038/nature07619.

27.   Eliades, A., Wareing, S., Marinopoulou, E., Fadlullah, M.Z.H., Patel, R., Grabarek, J.B.,

Plusa, B., Lacaud, G., and Kouskoff, V. (2016). The Hemogenic Competence of

Endothelial Progenitors Is Restricted by Runx1 Silencing during Embryonic

Development. Cell Rep *15*, 2185-2199. 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.05.001.

28.   Yzaguirre, A.D., Howell, E.D., Li, Y., Liu, Z., and Speck, N.A. (2018). Runx1 is

sufficient for blood cell formation from non-hemogenic endothelial cells in vivo only

during early embryogenesis. Development *145*, dev158162. 10.1242/dev.158162.

29.   Lis, R., Karrasch, C.C., Poulos, M.G., Kunar, B., Redmond, D., Duran, J.G.B., Badwe,

C.R., Schachterle, W., Ginsberg, M., Xiang, J., et al. (2017). Conversion of adult

endothelium to immunocompetent haematopoietic stem cells. Nature *545*, 439-445.

10.1038/nature22326.

30.   Sandler, V.M., Lis, R., Liu, Y., Kedem, A., James, D., Elemento, O., Butler, J.M.,

Scandura, J.M., and Rafii, S. (2014). Reprogramming human endothelial cells to

haematopoietic cells requires vascular induction. Nature *511*, 312-318.

10.1038/nature13547.

31.   Pelosi, E., Castelli, G., Martin-Padura, I., Bordoni, V., Santoro, S., Conigliaro, A., Cerio,

A.M., De Santis Puzzonia, M., Marighetti, P., Biffoni, M., et al. (2012). Human haemato-

endothelial precursors: cord blood CD34+ cells produce haemogenic endothelium. PLoS

One *7*, e51109. 10.1371/journal.pone.0051109.

32.   Kilani, B., Gourdou-Latyszenok, V., Guy, A., Bats, M.L., Peghaire, C., Parrens, M.,

Renault, M.A., Duplaa, C., Villeval, J.L., Rautou, P.E., et al. (2019). Comparison of

endothelial promoter efficiency and specificity in mice reveals a subset of Pdgfb-positive

hematopoietic cells. J Thromb Haemost *17*, 827-840. 10.1111/jth.14417.

33.   Pei, W., Shang, F., Wang, X., Fanti, A.K., Greco, A., Busch, K., Klapproth, K., Zhang,

Q., Quedenau, C., Sauer, S., et al. (2020). Resolving Fates and Single-Cell

Transcriptomes of Hematopoietic Stem Cell Clones by PolyloxExpress Barcoding. Cell

Stem Cell *27*, 383-395 e388. 10.1016/j.stem.2020.07.018.

34.   Hernandez, G.E., Ma, F., Martinez, G., Firozabadi, N.B., Salvador, J., Juang, L.J., Leung,

J., Zhao, P., Lopez, D.A., Ardehali, R., et al. (2022). Aortic intimal resident macrophages

are essential for maintenance of the non-thrombogenic intravascular state. Nat

Cardiovasc Res *1*, 67-84. 10.1038/s44161-021-00006-4.

35. Gentek, R., Ghigo, C., Hoeffel, G., Bulle, M.J., Msallam, R., Gautier, G., Launay, P.,

Chen, J., Ginhoux, F., and Bajenoff, M. (2018). Hemogenic Endothelial Fate Mapping

Reveals Dual Developmental Origin of Mast Cells. Immunity *48*, 1160-1171 e1165.

10.1016/j.immuni.2018.04.025.

36. Wang, L., Benedito, R., Bixel, M.G., Zeuschner, D., Stehling, M., Savendahl, L., Haigh,

J.J., Snippert, H., Clevers, H., Breier, G., et al. (2013). Identification of a clonally

expanding haematopoietic compartment in bone marrow. EMBO J *32*, 219-230.

10.1038/emboj.2012.308.

37. Sorensen, I., Adams, R.H., and Gossler, A. (2009). DLL1-mediated Notch activation

regulates endothelial identity in mouse fetal arteries. Blood *113*, 5680-5688.

10.1182/blood-2008-08-174508.

38. Pitulescu, M.E., Schmidt, I., Benedito, R., and Adams, R.H. (2010). Inducible gene

targeting in the neonatal vasculature and analysis of retinal angiogenesis in mice. Nat

Protoc *5*, 1518-1534. 10.1038/nprot.2010.113.

39. Okabe, K., Kobayashi, S., Yamada, T., Kurihara, T., Tai-Nagara, I., Miyamoto, T.,

Mukouyama, Y.S., Sato, T.N., Suda, T., Ema, M., and Kubota, Y. (2014). Neurons limit

angiogenesis by titrating VEGF in retina. Cell *159*, 584-596. 10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.025.

40. Alvarez-Aznar, A., Martinez-Corral, I., Daubel, N., Betsholtz, C., Makinen, T., and

Gaengel, K. (2020). Tamoxifen-independent recombination of reporter genes limits

lineage tracing and mosaic analysis using CreER(T2) lines. Transgenic Res *29*, 53-68.

10.1007/s11248-019-00177-8.

41.   Stifter, S.A., and Greter, M. (2020). STOP floxing around: Specificity and leakiness of

800   inducible Cre/loxP systems. Eur J Immunol *50*, 338-341. 10.1002/eji.202048546.

801   42.   Liu, Y., Suckale, J., Masjkur, J., Magro, M.G., Steffen, A., Anastassiadis, K., and

802   Solimena, M. (2010). Tamoxifen-independent recombination in the RIP-CreER mouse.

803   PLoS One *5*, e13533. 10.1371/journal.pone.0013533.

804   43.   Vooijs, M., Jonkers, J., and Berns, A. (2001). A highly efficient ligand-regulated Cre

805   recombinase mouse line shows that recombination is position dependent. Embo Reports

806   *2*, 292-297. 10.1093/embo-reports/kve064.

807   44.   Nakano, T. (1996). In vitro development of hematopoietic system from mouse embryonic

808   stem cells: a new approach for embryonic hematopoiesis. Int J Hematol *65*, 1-8.

809   10.1016/s0925-5710(96)00531-2.

810   45.   Xu, C., Gao, X., Wei, Q., Nakahara, F., Zimmerman, S.E., Mar, J., and Frenette, P.S.

811   (2018). Stem cell factor is selectively secreted by arterial endothelial cells in bone

812   marrow. Nat Commun *9*, 2449. 10.1038/s41467-018-04726-3.

813   46.   Yoder, M.C., Hiatt, K., Dutt, P., Mukherjee, P., Bodine, D.M., and Orlic, D. (1997).

814   Characterization of definitive lymphohematopoietic stem cells in the day 9 murine yolk

815   sac. Immunity *7*, 335-344. 10.1016/s1074-7613(00)80355-6.

816   47.   Auffray, C., Sieweke, M.H., and Geissmann, F. (2009). Blood monocytes: development,

817   heterogeneity, and relationship with dendritic cells. Annu Rev Immunol *27*, 669-692.

818   10.1146/annurev.immunol.021908.132557.

819   48.   Ghosn, E.E., Cassado, A.A., Govoni, G.R., Fukuhara, T., Yang, Y., Monack, D.M.,

820   Bortoluci, K.R., Almeida, S.R., Herzenberg, L.A., and Herzenberg, L.A. (2010). Two

physically, functionally, and developmentally distinct peritoneal macrophage subsets.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *107*, 2568-2573. 10.1073/pnas.0915000107.

49. Kucinski, I., Campos, J., Barile, M., Severi, F., Bohin, N., Moreira, P.N., Allen, L.,

Lawson, H., Haltalli, M.L.R., Kinston, S.J., et al. (2024). A time- and single-cell-resolved

model of murine bone marrow hematopoiesis. Cell Stem Cell *31*, 244-259 e210.

10.1016/j.stem.2023.12.001.

50. Skulimowska, I., Morys, J., Sosniak, J., Gonka, M., Gulati, G., Sinha, R., Kowalski, K.,

Mosiolek, S., Weissman, I.L., Jozkowicz, A., et al. (2024). Polyclonal regeneration of

mouse bone marrow endothelial cells after irradiative conditioning. Cell Rep *43*, 114779.

10.1016/j.celrep.2024.114779.

51. Pei, W., Feyerabend, T.B., Rossler, J., Wang, X., Postrach, D., Busch, K., Rode, I.,

Klapproth, K., Dietlein, N., Quedenau, C., et al. (2017). Polylox barcoding reveals

haematopoietic stem cell fates realized in vivo. Nature *548*, 456-460.

10.1038/nature23653.

52. Pei, W., Wang, X., Rossler, J., Feyerabend, T.B., Hofer, T., and Rodewald, H.R. (2019).

Using Cre-recombinase-driven Polylox barcoding for in vivo fate mapping in mice. Nat

Protoc *14*, 1820-1840. 10.1038/s41596-019-0163-5.

53. Baryawno, N., Przybylski, D., Kowalczyk, M.S., Kfoury, Y., Severe, N., Gustafsson, K.,

Kokkaliaris, K.D., Mercier, F., Tabaka, M., Hofree, M., et al. (2019). A Cellular

Taxonomy of the Bone Marrow Stroma in Homeostasis and Leukemia. Cell *177*, 1915-

1932 e1916. 10.1016/j.cell.2019.04.040.

54. Tikhonova, A.N., Dolgalev, I., Hu, H., Sivaraj, K.K., Hoxha, E., Cuesta-Dominguez, A.,

Pinho, S., Akhmetzyanova, I., Gao, J., Witkowski, M., et al. (2019). The bone marrow

844  microenvironment at single-cell resolution. Nature *569*, 222-228. 10.1038/s41586-019-

845  1104-8.

846 55. Baccin, C., Al-Sabah, J., Velten, L., Helbling, P.M., Grunschlager, F., Hernandez-

847  Malmierca, P., Nombela-Arrieta, C., Steinmetz, L.M., Trumpp, A., and Haas, S. (2020).

848  Combined single-cell and spatial transcriptomics reveal the molecular, cellular and

849  spatial bone marrow niche organization. Nat Cell Biol *22*, 38-48. 10.1038/s41556-019-

850  0439-6.

851 56. Sivaraj, K.K., Jeong, H.W., Dharmalingam, B., Zeuschner, D., Adams, S., Potente, M.,

852  and Adams, R.H. (2021). Regional specialization and fate specification of bone stromal

853  cells in skeletal development. Cell Rep *36*, 109352. 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109352.

854 57. Zhong, L., Yao, L., Tower, R.J., Wei, Y., Miao, Z., Park, J., Shrestha, R., Wang, L., Yu,

855  W., Holdreith, N., et al. (2020). Single cell transcriptomics identifies a unique adipose

856  lineage cell population that regulates bone marrow environment. Elife *9*, e54695.

857  10.7554/eLife.54695.

858 58. Lang, A., Collins, J.M., Nijsure, M.P., Belali, S., Khan, M.P., Moharrer, Y., Schipani, E.,

859  Yien, Y.Y., Fan, Y., Gelinsky, M., et al. (2025). Local erythropoiesis directs oxygen

860  availability in bone fracture repair. bioRxiv, 2025.2001. 2010.632440.

861  10.1101/2025.01.10.632440.

862 59. Smith, N.P., Janton, C., Alcantara, A.C.M., George, M., Mankongtreecheep, K., Mao, G.,

863  Villani, A.-C., and Kronenberg, H.M. (2025). Single cell RNA sequencing shows that

864  cells expressing Sox9 postnatally populate most skeletal lineages in mouse bone. Journal

865  of Bone and Mineral Research, zjaf043.

866   60.   Howell, E.D., Yzaguirre, A.D., Gao, P., Lis, R., He, B., Lakadamyali, M., Rafii, S., Tan,

867   K., and Speck, N.A. (2021). Efficient hemogenic endothelial cell specification by

868   RUNX1 is dependent on baseline chromatin accessibility of RUNX1-regulated TGFβ

869   target genes. Genes & Development *35*, 1475-1489. 10.1101/gad.348738.121.

870   61.   Serina Secanechia, Y.N., Bergiers, I., Rogon, M., Arnold, C., Descostes, N., Le, S.,

871   Lopez-Anguita, N., Ganter, K., Kapsali, C., Bouilleau, L., et al. (2022). Identifying a

872   novel role for the master regulator Tal1 in the Endothelial to Hematopoietic Transition.

873   Sci Rep *12*, 16974. 10.1038/s41598-022-20906-0.

874   62.   Bergiers, I., Andrews, T., Vargel Bolukbasi, O., Buness, A., Janosz, E., Lopez-Anguita,

875   N., Ganter, K., Kosim, K., Celen, C., Itir Percin, G., et al. (2018). Single-cell

876   transcriptomics reveals a new dynamical function of transcription factors during

877   embryonic hematopoiesis. Elife *7*, e29312. 10.7554/eLife.29312.

878   63.   Guibentif, C., Ronn, R.E., Boiers, C., Lang, S., Saxena, S., Soneji, S., Enver, T.,

879   Karlsson, G., and Woods, N.B. (2017). Single-Cell Analysis Identifies Distinct Stages of

880   Human Endothelial-to-Hematopoietic Transition. Cell Rep *19*, 10-19.

881   10.1016/j.celrep.2017.03.023.

882   64.   Wilson, N.K., Foster, S.D., Wang, X., Knezevic, K., Schutte, J., Kaimakis, P., Chilarska,

883   P.M., Kinston, S., Ouwehand, W.H., Dzierzak, E., et al. (2010). Combinatorial

884   transcriptional control in blood stem/progenitor cells: genome-wide analysis of ten major

885   transcriptional regulators. Cell Stem Cell *7*, 532-544. 10.1016/j.stem.2010.07.016.

886   65.   Kalucka, J., de Rooij, L., Goveia, J., Rohlenova, K., Dumas, S.J., Meta, E., Conchinha,

887   N.V., Taverna, F., Teuwen, L.A., Veys, K., et al. (2020). Single-Cell Transcriptome Atlas

888   of Murine Endothelial Cells. Cell *180*, 764-779 e720. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.01.015.

66.   Qi, L., Huang, C., Wu, X., Tao, Y., Yan, J., Shi, T., Cao, C., Han, L., Qiu, M., Ma, Q., et

al. (2017). Hierarchical Specification of Pruriceptors by Runt-Domain Transcription

Factor Runx1. J Neurosci *37*, 5549-5561. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0094-17.2017.

67.   Yvernogeau, L., Gautier, R., Petit, L., Khoury, H., Relaix, F., Ribes, V., Sang, H.,

Charbord, P., Souyri, M., Robin, C., and Jaffredo, T. (2019). In vivo generation of

haematopoietic stem/progenitor cells from bone marrow-derived haemogenic

endothelium. Nat Cell Biol *21*, 1334-1345. 10.1038/s41556-019-0410-6.

68.   Richard, C., Drevon, C., Canto, P.Y., Villain, G., Bollerot, K., Lempereur, A., Teillet,

M.A., Vincent, C., Rossello Castillo, C., Torres, M., et al. (2013). Endothelio-

mesenchymal interaction controls runx1 expression and modulates the notch pathway to

initiate aortic hematopoiesis. Dev Cell *24*, 600-611. 10.1016/j.devcel.2013.02.011.

69.   Dignum, T., Varnum-Finney, B., Srivatsan, S.R., Dozono, S., Waltner, O., Heck, A.M.,

Ishida, T., Nourigat-McKay, C., Jackson, D.L., Rafii, S., et al. (2021). Multipotent

progenitors and hematopoietic stem cells arise independently from hemogenic

endothelium in the mouse embryo. Cell Rep *36*, 109675. 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109675.

70.   Ambler, C.A., Nowicki, J.L., Burke, A.C., and Bautch, V.L. (2001). Assembly of trunk

and limb blood vessels involves extensive migration and vasculogenesis of somite-

derived angioblasts. Dev Biol *234*, 352-364. 10.1006/dbio.2001.0267.

71.   Pudliszewski, M., and Pardanaud, L. (2005). Vasculogenesis and angiogenesis in the

mouse embryo studied using quail/mouse chimeras. Int J Dev Biol *49*, 355-361.

10.1387/ijdb.041956mp.

72.   Pardanaud, L., and Dieterlen-Lievre, F. (1999). Manipulation of the

angiopoietic/hemangiopoietic commitment in the avian embryo. Development *126*, 617-

627. 10.1242/dev.126.4.617.

73.   Qiu, J., Fan, X., Wang, Y., Jin, H., Song, Y., Han, Y., Huang, S., Meng, Y., Tang, F., and

Meng, A. (2016). Embryonic hematopoiesis in vertebrate somites gives rise to definitive

hematopoietic stem cells. J Mol Cell Biol *8*, 288-301. 10.1093/jmcb/mjw024.

74.   Nguyen, P.D., Hollway, G.E., Sonntag, C., Miles, L.B., Hall, T.E., Berger, S., Fernandez,

K.J., Gurevich, D.B., Cole, N.J., Alaei, S., et al. (2014). Haematopoietic stem cell

induction by somite-derived endothelial cells controlled by meox1. Nature *512*, 314-318.

10.1038/nature13678.

75.   Morikawa, S., Mabuchi, Y., Niibe, K., Suzuki, S., Nagoshi, N., Sunabori, T., Shimmura,

S., Nagai, Y., Nakagawa, T., Okano, H., and Matsuzaki, Y. (2009). Development of

mesenchymal stem cells partially originate from the neural crest. Biochem Biophys Res

Commun *379*, 1114-1119. 10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.01.031.

76.   Takashima, Y., Era, T., Nakao, K., Kondo, S., Kasuga, M., Smith, A.G., and Nishikawa,

S. (2007). Neuroepithelial cells supply an initial transient wave of MSC differentiation.

Cell *129*, 1377-1388. 10.1016/j.cell.2007.04.028.

77.   Vodyanik, M.A., Yu, J., Zhang, X., Tian, S., Stewart, R., Thomson, J.A., and Slukvin, II

(2010). A mesoderm-derived precursor for mesenchymal stem and endothelial cells. Cell

Stem Cell *7*, 718-729. 10.1016/j.stem.2010.11.011.

78.   Bianco, P., Robey, P.G., and Simmons, P.J. (2008). Mesenchymal stem cells: revisiting

history, concepts, and assays. Cell Stem Cell *2*, 313-319. 10.1016/j.stem.2008.03.002.

79.   Oswald, J., Boxberger, S., Jorgensen, B., Feldmann, S., Ehninger, G., Bornhauser, M.,

and Werner, C. (2004). Mesenchymal stem cells can be differentiated into endothelial

cells in vitro. Stem Cells *22*, 377-384. 10.1634/stemcells.22-3-377.

80.   Conrad, C., Niess, H., Huss, R., Huber, S., von Luettichau, I., Nelson, P.J., Ott, H.C.,

Jauch, K.W., and Bruns, C.J. (2009). Multipotent mesenchymal stem cells acquire a

lymphendothelial phenotype and enhance lymphatic regeneration in vivo. Circulation

119, 281-289. 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.793208.

81.   Ubil, E., Duan, J., Pillai, I.C., Rosa-Garrido, M., Wu, Y., Bargiacchi, F., Lu, Y.,

Stanbouly, S., Huang, J., Rojas, M., et al. (2014). Mesenchymal-endothelial transition

contributes to cardiac neovascularization. Nature *514*, 585-590. 10.1038/nature13839.

82.   Crisan, M. (2013). Transition of mesenchymal stem/stromal cells to endothelial cells.

Stem Cell Res Ther *4*, 95. 10.1186/scrt306.

83.   Xu, Y., and Kovacic, J.C. (2023). Endothelial to Mesenchymal Transition in Health and

Disease. Annu Rev Physiol *85*, 245-267. 10.1146/annurev-physiol-032222-080806.

84.   Termini, C.M., Pang, A., Fang, T., Roos, M., Chang, V.Y., Zhang, Y., Setiawan, N.J.,

Signaevskaia, L., Li, M., Kim, M.M., et al. (2021). Neuropilin 1 regulates bone marrow

vascular regeneration and hematopoietic reconstitution. Nat Commun *12*, 6990.

10.1038/s41467-021-27263-y.

85.   Zheng, B., Zhang, Z., Black, C.M., de Crombrugghe, B., and Denton, C.P. (2002).

Ligand-dependent genetic recombination in fibroblasts : a potentially powerful technique

for investigating gene function in fibrosis. Am J Pathol *160*, 1609-1617. 10.1016/S0002-

9440(10)61108-X.

86. Madisen, L., Zwingman, T.A., Sunkin, S.M., Oh, S.W., Zariwala, H.A., Gu, H., Ng, L.L.,

Palmiter, R.D., Hawrylycz, M.J., Jones, A.R., et al. (2010). A robust and high-throughput

Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. Nat Neurosci *13*,

133-140. 10.1038/nn.2467.

87. Muzumdar, M.D., Tasic, B., Miyamichi, K., Li, L., and Luo, L. (2007). A global double-

fluorescent Cre reporter mouse. Genesis *45*, 593-605. 10.1002/dvg.20335.

88. Happerfield, L., Saward, R., Grimwade, L., Bloxham, D., and Erber, W. (2008).

Automated immunostaining of cell smears: an alternative to flow cytometry8.

International Journal of Laboratory Hematology *30*, 8.

METHODS

RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
BD Horizon™ BV786 Rat Anti-Mouse CD117	BD Biosciences	564012; RRID:AB_2732005
Brilliant Violet 421™ anti-mouse CD117 (c-Kit) Antibody	BioLegend	105828; RRID:AB_11204256
Brilliant Violet 785™ anti-mouse CD117 (c-Kit) Antibody	BioLegend	105841; RRID:AB_2629799
BD Pharmingen™ APC Rat Anti-CD11b	BD Biosciences	553312; RRID:AB_398535
PerCP/Cyanine5.5 anti-mouse CD150 (SLAM) Antibody	BioLegend	115922; RRID:AB_2303663
APC/Fire™ 750 anti-mouse CD150 (SLAM) Antibody	BioLegend	115940; RRID:AB_2629587
BD Pharmingen™ APC-Cy™7 Rat Anti-Mouse CD19	BD Biosciences	557655; RRID:AB_396770
BD Horizon™ BUV737 Rat Anti-Mouse CD19	BD Biosciences	612781; RRID:AB_2870110

Brilliant Violet 510™ anti-mouse CD3 Antibody	BioLegend	100234; RRID:AB_2562555
BD Pharmingen™ Purified Rat Anti-Mouse CD31	BD Biosciences	553370; RRID:AB_394816
BV421 anti-mouse Cd31	BD Biosciences	563356; RRID:AB_2738154
Brilliant Violet 421™ anti-mouse CD31 Antibody	BioLegend	102424; RRID:AB_2650892
Brilliant Violet 605™ anti-mouse CD31 Antibody	BioLegend	102427; RRID:AB_2563982
BD Pharmingen™ Alexa Fluor® 700 Rat Anti-Mouse CD45	BD Biosciences	560510; RRID:AB_1645208
BD OptiBuild™ BUV615 Rat Anti-Mouse CD45	BD Biosciences	751170; RRID:AB_2875194
PE anti-mouse CD45 Antibody	BioLegend	103106; RRID:AB_312971
PerCP/Cyanine5.5 anti-mouse CD45 Antibody	BioLegend	103131; RRID:AB_893344 103132; RRID:AB_893340
BD Horizon™ BV510 Hamster Anti-Mouse CD48	BD Biosciences	563536; RRID:AB_2738266
APC/Cyanine7 anti-mouse CD48 Antibody	BioLegend	103432; RRID:AB_2561463
Brilliant Violet 510™ anti-mouse CD48 Antibody	BioLegend	103443; RRID:AB_2650826
Collagen I Polyclonal Antibody, Biotin	Invitrogen	PA1-28530; RRID: AB_1956957
Endomucin Monoclonal Antibody (eBioV.7C7 (V.7C7)), eFluor™ 660	Invitrogen	50-5851-82; RRID:AB_11220465
Anti-Endomucin Antibody (V.7C7) AF546	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	sc-65495 AF546; RRID:AB_2100037
BD Pharmingen™ PE-Cy™7 Rat Anti-Mouse Ly-6G	BD Biosciences	560601; RRID:AB_1727562
BD Horizon™ BUV395 Rat Anti-Mouse Ly-6G	BD Biosciences	563978; RRID:AB_2716852
BD Pharmingen™ APC Mouse Lineage Antibody Cocktail, with Isotype Control	BD Biosciences	558074; RRID:AB_1645213
Goat anti-Rat IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor™ Plus 594	Invitrogen	A48264; RRID:AB_2896333
RUNX1 Monoclonal Antibody (RXDMC), PE, eBioscience™	Invitrogen	12-9816-80; RRID:AB_11151519
BD Pharmingen™ PE-Cy™7 Rat Anti-Mouse Ly-6A/E	BD Biosciences	561021; RRID:AB_2034021
PE/Cy7 anti-mouse Ly-6A/E (Sca-1)	BioLegend	108114; RRID:AB_493596
PerCP/Cyanine5.5 anti-mouse TER-119/Erythroid Cells Antibody	BioLegend	116228; RRID:AB_893636
Brilliant Violet 605™ anti-mouse TER-119/Erythroid Cells Antibody	BioLegend	116239; RRID:AB_2562447

BD Pharmingen™ Alexa Fluor® 647 Rat Anti-Mouse CD144	BD Biosciences	562242; RRID:AB_2737608
BD Pharmingen™ PE Rat Anti-Mouse CD144	BD Biosciences	562243; RRID:AB_2737609
BUV737 Rat Anti-Mouse CD144	BD Biosciences	741792; RRID:AB_2871138
Alexa Fluor® 647 anti-mouse CD144 (VE-cadherin) Antibody	BioLegend	138006; RRID:AB_10569114
BD OptiBuild™ RB780 Rat Anti-Mouse CD144	BD Biosciences	755945; RRID:AB_3683567
PE anti-mouse CD144 (VE-cadherin) Antibody	BioLegend	138010; RRID:AB_10641139
PE/Cyanine7 anti-mouse CD144 (VE-cadherin) Antibody	BioLegend	138015; RRID:AB_2562885 138016; RRID:AB_2562886
PE anti-mouse CD144 (VE-cadherin) Antibody	BioLegend	138105; RRID:AB_2077941

Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins

5-FLUOROURACIL	Sigma-Aldrich	F6627
7-AAD Viability Stain SOLN	Life Technologies Corp.	00-6993-50
7-Aminoactinomycin D	Sigma-Aldrich	A9400
ACETONE	MALLINCKRODT	2440
ACK lysing Buffer	Lonza	10-548E
Acrylamide/Bis 19:1, 40% (w/v) solution	Invitrogen	AM9024
Acrylamide/Bis-acrylamide 19:1	Sigma-Aldrich	A2917
Acridine Orange / Propidium Iodide Stain	Logos Biosystems	F23001
AMPure beads	Beckman Coulter	A63881
Antibiotic-Antimycotic	Gibco	15240062
Anti-Rat Ig, κ/Negative Control (BSA) Compensation Plus (7.5 µm) Particles Set	BD Biosciences	560499
Anti-Rat Ig, κ/Negative Control Compensation Particles Set	BD Biosciences	552844
APC/Fire™ 750 Streptavidin	BioLegend	405250
Azide-Free Fc Receptor Blocker	INNOVEX	NB335-60
Bovine Serum Albumin solution	MP Biomedicals	160069
CellROX Orange Flow Cytometry Assay Kit	Invitrogen	C10493
Collagenase Type 2	Worthington Biochemical Corporation	LS004176
Dispase	Worthington Biomedical	LS02109

Deoxyribonuclease	Worthington Biomedical	LS006344
DAPI (4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole, Dilactate)	BioLegend	422801
Dihydroethidium (Hydroethidine)	Invitrogen	D1168
Donkey serum	Sigma-Aldrich	D9663
DRAQ5	Biostatus	DR50200
EDTA (0.5M, pH 8.0)	KD Medical	RGF3130
ETHYL ALCOHOL (200 PROOF ANHYDROUS)	Warner-Graham Co.	201096
Ethylenediamine-N,N,N′,N′-tetra-2-propanol	Sigma-Aldrich	8219401000
Fetal Bovine Serum	Millipore Sigma	F2442-500ML (Lot 24G002)
Fc Receptor Blocker	Innovex	NB309-30
Formalin solution, neutral buffered, 10%	Sigma-Aldrich	HT501128-4L
Gelatin	Sigma-Aldrich	G9391
Goldenrod™ Animal Lancet	Braintree Scientific Inc.	GR-3MM
HBSS	Gibco	14025075
HEPES	Gibco	15630080
Immu-Mount™ mountant	Epredia	9990402
Isoflurane	Baxter	10019036040
Mag-Bind® TotalPure NGS	Omega Bio-tek	M1378-01
Methanol, HPLC Grade	Avantor	JT-9093-03
MojoSort™ Mouse CD45 Nanobeads	BioLegend	480028
Kwik Stop® Stypic Power	Miracle Care	SKU 423615
Lineage Cell Depletion Kit, mouse	MiltenyiBiotec	130-090-858
Lipopolysaccharides	Sigma Aldrich	L2880-25MG
Microscope Slides	MATSUNAMI GLASS IND.	SUMGP12
Neutral Protease, Partially Purified, Animal Free/AF	Worthington Biochemical Corporation	LS02109
Paraformaldehyde (formaldehyde) aqueous solution (20%)	Electron Microscopy Sciences	15713-S
Penicillin-Streptomycin	Gibco	15140-122
Peanut oil	Sigma-Aldrich	P2144
Phusion Green Hot Start II High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix	Thermo Scientific	F566L
pHrodo™ Red E. coli BioParticles	Invitrogen	P35361
Polyvinylpyrrolidone	Sigma-Aldrich	P5288

Propidium iodide	Sigma-Aldrich	P4170
Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix	NEB	M0494S
Richard-Allan Scientific™ Cover glass	Epredia	102424
RNeasy® Micro Kit	QIAGEN	74004
Saponin	Sigma-Aldrich	47036
SPRIselect Beads	Beckman Coulter	B23318
Sucrose	Sigma-Aldrich	S8501
SuperScript™ IV First-Strand Synthesis System	Invitrogen	18091050
Tamoxifen	Sigma-Aldrich	T5648
TaqMan™ Fast Advanced Master Mix	ThermoFisher Scientific	4444557
Thioglycollate Broth	Sigma Aldrich	70157
Tissue-Tek® O.C.T. Compound	SAKURA	4583
Triton™ X-100	Sigma-Aldrich	T9284
Trypsin-EDTA (0.25%), phenol red	Gibco	25200056
TruBond™ 380 Adhesion Slide	Electron Microscopy Sciences	63700-Y10
UNI-TRIEVE	INNOVEX	NB325
VA-044 (Water soluble Azo initiators)	FUJIFILM Labchem Wako	VA-044
VECTASHIELD Vibrance Antifade Mounting Medium	Vector Laboratories	H-1700-10
Zombie Aqua™ Fixable Viability Kit	BioLegend	423101
Zombie NIR™ Fixable Viability Kit	BioLegend	423105
Zombie UV™ Fixable Viability Kit	BioLegend	423107
Zombie Yellow™ Fixable Viability Kit	BioLegend	423103
Zymosan A from Saccharomyces cerevisiae	Sigma Aldrich	Z4250

Critical commercial assays

Chromium GEM-X Single Cell 3' Kit	10× Genomics	1000686
Chromium GEM-X Single Cell 3' Chip Kit v4	10× Genomics	1000690
Chromium Next GEM Chip G Single Cell Kit	10× Genomics	1000127
Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3' Kit v3.1	10× Genomics	1000269
Dual Index Kit TT Set	10× Genomics	1000215
NextSeq 2000 P4 Reagents (100 Cycles)	Illumina	20100994

NextSeq 2000 P3 Reagents (100 Cycles)	Illumina	20040559

Deposited data

Polylox PacBio long-read sequencing data	This Study	PRJNA1079369
Single cell RNA seq data	This Study	PRJNA1079369

Experimental models: Cell lines

OP9 cells	Dr. Nakano; same line deposited in ATCC	ATCC # CRL-2749; RRID:CVCL_4398

Experimental models: Organisms/strains

Cdh5-Cre(PAC)^{ERT2}	Drs. R. Adams and M. Boehm; also available from Taconic Biosciences	MGI:3848982 Taconic # 13073
Cdh5-Cre(BAC)^{ERT2}	Drs. Y. Kubota and Y. Mukoyama	MGI:5705396
Col1a2-CreERT	JAX, #029567	MGI:3785760
ZsGreen (Ai6)	JAX, #007906	MGI:3809522
mTmG	JAX, #007676	MGI:3716464
PolyloxExpress	Drs. H. Rodewald and A. Bhandoola	MGI:6470648
Runx1Knock-In	Drs. Q. Ma and N. Speck	MGI:7490340

Oligonucleotides

mTmG mouse strain genotyping primers (5' – 3')	CTT TAA GCC TGC CCA GAA GA TAG AGC TTG CGG AAC CCT TC AGG GAG CTG CAG TGG AGT AG	JAX: 007676
ZsGreen mouse strain genotyping primers (5' – 3')	AAG GGA GCT GCA GTG GAG TA CCG AAA ATC TGT GGG AAG TC GGC ATT AAA GCA GCG TAT CC AAC CAG AAG TGG CAC CTG AC	JAX: 007906
Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC) mouse strain genotyping primers (5' – 3')	TCC TGA TGG TGC CTA TCC TC CCT GTT TTG CAC GTT CAC CG CAC CCT GTT CTT TGC CTC CT	This study.

	ATA CCG GAG ATC ATG CAA GC	
Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(BAC) mouse strain genotyping primers (5' – 3')	ATG TGA ACC AGC TCC CTG TC CTA GGC CAC AGA ATT GAA AGA TCT GTA GGT GGA AAT TCT AGC ATC ATC C	JAX: Protocol 029211
Col1a2-Cre^{ERT} mouse strain genotyping primers (5' – 3')	CAT GTC CAT CAG GTT CTT GC TGA AAA AGT CCA CTA ATT AAA ACC A CTA ACA ACC CTT TCT CTC AAG GT CAG GAG GTT TCG ACT AAG TTG G	JAX: Protocol 19893
Runx1 Knock-In mouse strain genotyping primers (5' – 3')	GAG TTC TCT GCT GCC TCC TGG CGA GGG CAG CCA TAG CAA CTC CGA GGC GGA TCA CAA GCA ATA	Qi, et al., 2017
PolyloxExpress mouse strain genotyping primers (5' – 3')	AAG GGA GCT GCA GTG GAG TA TAA GCC TGC CCA GAA GAC TCC AAG ACC GCG AAG AGT TTG TCC	Pei, et al., 2020

Software and algorithms

FlowJo v10	BD	https://www.flowjo.com RRID:SCR_008520
GraphPad Prism 10	Dotmatics	www.graphpad.com RRID:SCR_002798
Snakemake	Köster et al.	https://snakemake.github.io/ RRID:SCR_003475
Lima	PacBio	https://lima.how/ RRID:SCR_025520
Iso-Seq	PacBio	https://isoseq.how/ RRID:SCR_025481
Minimap2	Li, et al (2021)	https://github.com/lh3/minimap2 RRID:SCR_018550
Cell Ranger	10x Genomics	V8.0.1, RRID:SCR_017344

Polylox barcode recovery	This study	https://github.com/CCRSF-IFX/SF_Polylox-BC
pGen calculation	Pei, et al. (2020)	https://github.com/hoefer-lab/polylox
Scanpy	Scanpy Community	https://scanpy.readthedocs.io RRID:SCR_018139
rapids-singlecell	Rapids-SingleCell	https://rapids-singlecell.readthedocs.io
decoupler	Badia-i-Mompel et al. (2022)	https://decoupler-py.readthedocs.io
scANVI	Gayoso et al. (2022)	https://github.com/scverse/scvi-tools
CellTypist	Xu et al. (2021)	https://celltypist.readthedocs.io/
Other		
Primaria™ dishes/flasks	Corning	353808; 353810; 353846
Regular Cell Culture Flask	Corning	430641U

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY PARTICIPANT DETAILS

Mouse strains

All animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the

CCR (Bethesda, MD), National Cancer Institute (NCI), NIH and conducted in adherence to the

NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Academies Press, 2011) and

approved protocols. *Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)* mice [37] (MGI:3848982) were a gift from Dr. R. Adams

and *Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(BAC)* mice [39] (MGI:5705396) were a gift from Dr. Kubota. *Col1a2-CreER*

mice [85] were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (JAX#029567). Cre-dependent *Ai6-*

ZsGreen [86] (JAX#007906) and *mTmG* [87] (JAX#007676) fluorescent reporter mice were

purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. In *ZsGreen* reporter mice, Cre activity leads to

constitutive expression of ZsGreen1 in cell bodies. In *mTmG* reporter mice, Cre activity leads to

an irreversible switch from cell membrane-localized tdTomato (mT) to membrane-localized

EGFP (mG). *PolyloxExpress* mice [33] were a kind gift of Drs. Hans-Reimer Rodewald and

Avinash Bhandoola. The Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen/*PolyloxExpress* mouse line was generated in

house. Runx1 conditional knock-in mice[66] (Gt(ROSA)26Sor$^{tm1(CAG-Runx1)Lzjg}$, MGI:7490340)

were generously provided by Drs. Qiufu Ma and Nancy Speck. Runx1 endothelial-specific

knock-in (*Runx1^{EC-KI}*) mice were generated by crossing *Runx1$^{Ki/+}$* mice with *Cdh5-*

Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen$^{Tg/Tg}$ mice. Upon tamoxifen treatment, Cre-mediated excision of the two

floxed STOP codons enables co-expression of Runx1 and ZsGreen in ECs.

All animals were bred in the animal facilities of CCR/NCI (Bethesda, MD). The mice were

maintained in a C57BL/6J background. Mice were identified with ear tags and routinely

genotyped by PCR. No mouse was excluded from the experiments, unless assessed as sick by the

veterinarians or fight wounds were observed at harvest. Tamoxifen (Sigma-Aldrich, #T5648)

dissolved in peanut oil (Sigma-Aldrich, #P2144) (10 mg·mL^{-1}) was administered orally (via

gavage using 22g feeding needles) at 100 mg·kg^{-1}. Unless otherwise specified, three doses on

consecutive days were administered. Unless indicated otherwise, 8- to 12-week-old male and

female mice were used when tamoxifen was administrated. No randomization or blinding was

used to allocate experimental groups. Mice were typically sacrificed between 9 AM and 11 AM

local time.

METHOD DETAILS

OP9 cell culture

OP9 cells, a gift from Dr. T. Nakano[44] (also available from ATCC, #CRL-2749), were

maintained in α-MEM (Gibco #12561056; without ribonucleosides and deoxyribonucleosides,

with 2.2 g/L sodium bicarbonate) supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma-

Aldrich #2442). Culture dishes and flasks (Corning #353003, #430641U) were precoated with

gelatin (Sigma-Aldrich #G9391; ~100 µL/cm², 60 minutes at 37°C). Cells were incubated at

37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO_2.

1004

Bone marrow cell harvesting, culture and terminal harvest for analysis

To harvest BM cells to be cultured, mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation and soaked in

70% ethanol for 5 minutes. Long bones (femurs and tibiae) were dissected, and surrounding skin,

muscle, and connective tissue were carefully removed. Cleaned bones were immediately

transferred into ice-cold sterile PBS and kept on ice. Once all bones were harvested, they were

soaked in 70% ethanol for 1 minute and rinsed three times with ice-cold PBS.

Each bone was cut into two pieces using sterile scissors and placed--with the open end facing

downward-- into a sterile 500 µL microcentrifuge tube pre-perforated with a 16G needle

(sterilized in advance). Each 500 µL tube was loaded with two femurs and two tibiae. To each

tube, 200 µL of DMEM supplemented with 1 mM EDTA was added. The 500 µL tubes were

then placed inside sterile 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes, sealed with Parafilm, and centrifuged at

12,000 rpm for 20 seconds. The inner tubes were discarded, and the BM pellet collected in the

1.5 mL tubes was resuspended in 1 mL DMEM + 1 mM EDTA.

Cells were filtered through 70 µm cell strainers, centrifuged at 350 × g for 5 minutes at 4°C, and

resuspended in complete DMEM (DMEM containing 15% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich #2442-500ML,

LOT:24G002), Penicillin-Streptomycin [Gibco #15140-122], and Anti-Anti [Gibco #15240-

062]). A single-cell suspension was prepared by pipetting 30 times with a 1 mL pipette.

Bone marrow cells were cultured either on Corning Primaria™ dishes/flasks (Corning #353808,

#353810, #353846) or on OP9 stromal cell monolayers in standard tissue culture dishes/flasks

(Corning #353003, #430641U) precoated with gelatin (Sigma-Aldrich #G9391; ~100 µL/cm²,

incubated 60 min at 37°C).

Cells were seeded at a density equivalent to BM cells from two femurs and two tibiae per ~75

cm² culture surface (e.g., T75 flask) in 15 mL complete DMEM. After ~32 hours, non-adherent

cells and medium were removed, and adherent cells were gently washed three times with PBS.

For Primaria cultures, 15 mL fresh complete DMEM was replenished twice weekly. For OP9 co-

cultures, non-adherent cells and medium were removed twice weekly and replaced with fresh

complete DMEM supplemented with freshly isolated unfractionated WT BM cells (from two

femurs and two tibiae per ~75 cm² surface, using the same BM isolation method mentioned

above).

For terminal collection, at the start of the final culture week, all non-adherent cells were

removed, and adherent cells were washed three times with PBS. Fresh complete DMEM (15 mL)

was added. After three days, a second 15 mL complete DMEM addition was made. No further

medium changes occurred before harvest. For final collection, non-adherent cells and

supernatant were collected first. Adherent cells were washed with PBS, and the wash was pooled

with the supernatant. Remaining adherent cells (including OP9 and BM-derived cells) were

detached using 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA (Gibco #25200-056) for 5 minutes at 37°C and added to

the pooled suspension. After another PBS wash, a second trypsinization (10 minutes at 37°C)

was performed. All collected material from each step was combined for downstream flow

cytometric analysis. For transplantation experiments, only non-adherent cells (with supernatant)

and loosely adherent cells recovered after the initial 5-minute Trypsin-EDTA incubation were

pooled and subjected to FACS sorting to isolate ZsGreen$^+$ cells for injection.

1046

Blood collection

For terminal collection, blood was obtained from the mouse abdominal aorta with BD

Vacutainer™ EDTA tubes (BD #367856) and Vaculet™ blood collection needles (23G,

EXELINT #26766). Blood smears were prepared with 10 µL of collected blood. For flow

cytometry analysis, ACK buffer (Lonza, #BP10-548E) was added to the blood to lyse red blood

cells before Fc receptor blocking and antibody staining. For non-terminal blood collection, ~20-

50µL blood was collected by submandibular blood sampling, using a 3mm animal lancet

(BRAINTREE SCI., GR-3MM) and a 250µL BD Microtainer$^®$ K2EDTA tube (BD #365974).

Kwik Stop$^®$ Styptic Powder was applied to stop the bleeding (Miracle Corp. #423615). For long-

term, repeated blood collection, 2 drops of blood were collected from the tail vein with

Microhematocrit Capillary Tubes (Fisherbrand # 22-362574). White blood cell (WBC) counts

were determined using acridine orange/propidium iodide (AO/PI, Logos Biosystems, #F23001)

staining and quantified with a LUNA-FL™ fluorescence cell counter (Logos Biosystems). For

flow cytometry detection of ZsGreen/EGFP positive PBMCs, blood was collected with one of

the methods above. For flow cytometric detection of ZsGreen$^+$/EGFP$^+$ PBMCs, blood was

collected using one of the three methods described above. Red blood cells were lysed with ACK

buffer, and DAPI and DRAQ5 were used to exclude dead cells and to identify nucleated PBMCs.

1064

Bone marrow harvest for flow cytometry analysis

For flow cytometry analysis, bone marrow was harvested using one of the two methods

described below. For hematopoietic cell isolation, bone marrow was harvested by flushing

femurs and tibiae with ice-cold Sort Buffer (1× PBS [Gibco, #10010-031] supplemented with 5

mM EDTA, 25 mM HEPES, and 2% FBS [Sigma-Aldrich, #F2442]). Red blood cells were then

lysed using ACK lysing buffer (Lonza, #10-548E) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Cells were then washed with Sort Buffer and passed through a 40μm cell strainer (GREINER

BIO-ONE, #542040, #542140). For greater preservation of endothelial cells, bone marrows were

harvested by gently crushing mouse femurs and tibiae in Sort Buffer (5mM EDTA, 25mM

HEPES, 2% FBS in 1× PBS). Red cell lysis was performed using ACK buffer. Bone marrow

cells were then incubated with 0.1U·mL^{-1} Collagenase (Worthington Biomedical Corp.,

#LS004176), 0.8U·mL^{-1} Dispase (Worthington Biomedical Corp., #LS02109), and 0.5mg·mL^{-1}

DNase (Worthington Biomedical Corp., #LS006344) in 1x Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution

(HBSS) with Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} (Gibco, # 14065056) at 37°C for 30 min on a rotating mixer. Cells

were then washed with Sort Buffer and passed through a 40μm cell strainer.

1080

Endothelial cell transplantation experiments

Four days prior to transplant, recipient mice received one dose of 5-FU (150 mg·kg^{-1} in PBS,

Sigma-Aldrich, #F6627) intraperitoneally under isoflurane anesthesia. Sorted bone marrow cells

(Col1a2-Cre/ZsGreen: 5,000 cells; Cdh5-Cre/ZsGreen: 20,000 cells in 100μL PBS) were

inoculated retro-orbitally under isoflurane anesthesia. Bone marrows and blood were harvested

from transplant recipients four weeks after the transplant unless otherwise specified.

1087

Bone marrow ablation and transplantation

Recipient mice were lethally irradiated (11 Gy) using a Cesium-137 (^{137}Cs) gamma irradiator

three days prior to transplantation.

For whole BM cell transplantation into *mTmG* recipients, BM cells were harvested from WT

donor mice by flushing (as described above); 5×10^6 cells were transplanted per recipient via tail

vein. No rescue bone marrow cells were infused.

For LSK transplantation into WT recipients, BM from Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mice (not

treated with tamoxifen) was harvested by flushing, followed by red blood cell lysis with ACK

buffer. Fc receptor blocking was performed using Azide-Free Fc Receptor Blocker (Innovex,

#NB335-60) per manufacturer's instructions. Cells were stained with antibodies to Lineage

cocktail, Sca-1, and c-Kit. DAPI was used to exclude dead cells. LSK populations were sorted

using Sony SH800S and its Ultra Purity mode. Transplanted cell numbers were as follows:

ZsGreen$^-$ LSKs (5×10^4, n = 3; 2.5×10^4, n = 3) and ZsGreen$^+$-enriched LSKs (2,800 cells, n =

2). No rescue bone marrow cells were infused.

For transplantation of ex vivo–cultured BM cells, non-adherent and loosely adherent ZsGreen$^+$

cells were collected from 8-week OP9 co-cultures (as described above), sorted by FACS for live

(DAPI$^-$) ZsGreen$^+$ cells, and transplanted into irradiated recipients at 5×10^4, 2.5×10^4, or $1.25 \times$

10^4 cells per mouse (n = 2 per group). No rescue bone marrow cells were infused.

1106

Flow cytometry and cell sorting

For intracellular antigen detection, single cell suspensions of bone marrow and blood were first

incubated with Azide-Free Fc Receptor Blocker (Innovex, #NB335-60), following the

manufacturer's instructions. After washing, cells were first stained with surface marker

antibodies at the concentration of 2μg per 1×10^7 cells in Sort Buffer for 30 minutes at 4°C and

then stained with live/dead cell discriminating BioLegend Zombie Dyes (UV, NIR, Violet, or

Yellow, BioLegend #423108, #423106, #423114, and # 423104) following the manufacturer's

instructions. After washing, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes at 37°C,

and then permeabilized with 1% saponin (Sigma-Aldrich, # 47036) /Sort Buffer for 30min on

ice. Subsequently, the cells were stained with rat monoclonal primary RUNX1-PE Ab

(Invitrogen, # 12-9816-80) in 0.1% saponin/Sort Buffer overnight. After washing and

resuspension, propidium iodide (PI, 0.5μM, Millipore Sigma, # P4170), 7-AAD (1μg·mL^{-1},

Millipore Sigma, #A9400) or DAPI (0.5μg·mL^{-1}, BioLegend, #422801) was added as a nuclear

counterstain. For live cell staining without cell permeabilization, after cell surface antibody

staining, cells were washed and suspended in Sort buffer containing propidium iodide (PI,

0.5μM, Millipore Sigma, # P4170), 7-AAD (1μg·mL^{-1}, Millipore Sigma, #A9400) or DAPI

(0.5μg·mL^{-1}, BioLegend, # 422801), to distinguish dead cells from the live cells. For FACS

sorting of live endothelial cells, after Fc receptor blocking, bone marrow cells were first depleted

of CD45^{+} cells with MojoSort mouse CD45 nanobeads (BioLegend #480028) following the

manufacturer's protocol and then stained with specific antibodies. For flow cytometry analysis,

compensation beads (BD Biosciences, #552844) were used for flow cytometer compensation.

Flow cytometric data were acquired with BD FACSCanto-II, BD LSRFortessa, BD

FACSymphony A5 (BD Biosciences), Sony SA3800 or Sony ID7000 cell analyzers. Cell sorting

was performed with BD FACS Aria III, BD FACS Aria Fusion or Sony SH800S cell sorters.

FSC and SSC profiles were used for excluding dead cells and debris. 7-AAD, PI, DAPI or

BioLegend Zombie Dye was used for excluding dead cells. FSC-W versus FSC-H and SSC-W

versus SSC-H were used to gate on single cells. Unless otherwise specified, fluorescence minus

one (FMO) controls are used for negative gating reference. For BM HSPC analysis, BM cells

were harvested followed by lineage positive cell depletion (Biolegend #480004). Data were

analyzed with FlowJo (BD, v10.8.1), SONY ID7000 Software (Version 1.2.0.28212) or FACS

Diva (BD, v6.1 and v9.0). Flowjo Plugins UMAP_R (v4.0.4) and FlowSOM (v4.1.0) were used

for UMAP dimensional reduction and unsupervised clustering of flow cytometry data. Following

sorting, a small aliquot of collected events were re-run on the sorter to assess purity. Analysis

was performed using the identical gating hierarchy (FSC/SSC → singlets → live cells → target

population).

1142

Bone marrow cryosections

Deeply anesthetized mice were transcardially perfused with 20mL ice-cold 1x PBS, followed by

perfusion with 15mL ice-cold hydrogel solution (5% acrylamide/bis-acrylamide 19:1 (Sigma-

Aldrich, #A2917), 2.5mg/mL polymerization initiator VA-044 (FUJIFILM, Wako, VA-044,

Water soluble Azo initiators), 4% PFA in 1× PBS, 5mL/min flow speed). Femurs and tibiae were

collected in tubes containing 5mL hydrogel solution and incubated at 4°C for 4 hours. The bones

were then washed with PBS and incubated at 37°C for 2 hours. Bone decalcification was

performed by incubating the bones in 40mL 0.5M EDTA pH 8.0 (KD Medical, #RGF-3130) for

3 days on a rotate mixer, with daily refreshed 0.5M EDTA solution. The bones were then

dehydrated in 20% sucrose and 2% polyvinylpyrrolidone in PBS overnight. Dehydrated bones

were then embedded in OCT (SAKURA, #4583) blocks using Precision Cryoembedding System

(IHC WORLD, #IW-P101). Cryosections (10μm) for immunofluorescence staining were

prepared from OCT frozen bone blocks using Leica CM3050S microtome, low-profile

microtome blades (Leica 819, #14035838925), and TruBond™ 380 adhesion slides (Electron

Microscopy Sciences, # 63701-W10).

1158

Immunofluorescence staining and imaging

1159

Tissue sections were rehydrated with 1× PBS (15 minutes), permeabilized in 0.3% Triton X-100

(Sigma-Aldrich, #T9284)/PBS (15 minutes), washed in 1× PBS, and incubated (2 hours) with

blocking solution (2% BSA, 5% donkey serum (SIGMA, #D9663), and 0.3% Triton X-

100/PBS). Samples were then washed 3 times with PBS and incubated with primary antibodies

(5ng/mL; 4°C overnight). When secondary antibodies were used, 3 PBS washes were performed

before incubating with fluorescent-labeled secondary antibodies (2ng/mL, room temperature, 2

hours). After washing (3x, 10 minutes each with 1× PBS), DAPI was added (300nM in PBS, 10

min). After 3 washes (5 min each with 1× PBS), coverslips were mounted (EPREDIA,

#9990402), dried and sealed with nail polish. For blood smear staining, slides were first soaked

in acetone/methanol/PFA (19:19:2 for 90 seconds) before rehydration [88]. Confocal imaging was

performed with Zeiss LSM 780, Zeiss LSM 880 NLO Two Photon, or Nikon *ECLIPSE* Ti2-E

SoRa systems, according to the experimental specific needs (resolution, speed, wavelength

capabilities). Images were processed with Zen (Zen Black v2.3, release Version 14.0.12.201, Zen

Blue Lite v2.5, Carl Zeiss), Bitplane Imaris (v9.7.0, Oxford instruments), and Photoshop

(v23.3.0, Adobe, for whole image contrast and brightness adjustments).

1175

Isolation of peritoneal cavity cells

1176

1177 To isolate peritoneal cavity cells, mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation, injected

1178 intraperitoneally (i.p.) with cold FACS sort buffer (5 mL), massaged and flicked gently on the

1179 abdomen. Peritoneal fluid was then withdrawn slowly and transferred into a polypropylene

1180 centrifuge tube on ice prior to centrifugation (350×g, 10min, at 4°C) and analysis.

1181

Thioglycolate induced sterile peritonitis

Mice were injected i.p. with 1 mL PBS or 4% thioglycolate (Sigma-Aldrich, #70157). Peritoneal

cavity cells were harvested 4 hours after injection for further analysis.

1185

Phagocytosis assay

Phagocytosis assay was performed using pHrodo™ Red *Escherichia coli* BioParticles

(Invitrogen, # P35361) following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, mice were first treated

with thioglycolate (as described). Peritoneal cavity cells were incubated with the fluorescent

Escherichia coli particles for 60 min at 37°C. Cells were then stained with surface marker

antibodies and analyzed by flow cytometry.

1192

ROS assay

ROS assay was performed using CellROX™ Orange Flow Cytometry Assay Kit (Invitrogen, #

C10493) following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, mice were first treated with

thioglycolate (as described). CellROX detection reagent was added to peritoneal cavity cells

(final concentration of 500nM) prior to incubation for 60 min at 37°C. Cells were then stained

with the surface marker antibodies and analyzed by flow cytometry.

1199

PolyloxExpress single cell lineage tracing

These experiments essentially followed published procedures [33]. Briefly, BM cells from

tamoxifen treated Cdh5-Cre/ZsGreen/Polylox mice (treated at 10 weeks old and harvested at 16

weeks old) were enriched for ZsGreen positive cells by FACS. Single cell capturing was

performed with 10x Genomics Chromium Single Cell 3′ Reagent Kits, following manufacturer's

protocols. After reverse transcription (RT) in droplets, pooled cDNA was amplified and split into

two aliquots for parallel transcriptome library preparation and barcode enrichment. Initial library

quality control was performed with Agilent TapeStation D5000. For transcriptome analysis, 10

μL (25%) of a 10x cDNA library was fragmented and a gene expression library, generated

following protocols in Single Cell 3' Reagent Kits v3 and v4, was sequenced with Illumina

NextSeq 2000 P3/P4 Reagents (28+74 bp read length). For Polylox barcode amplification,

targeted amplification of barcodes from a 5-10 ng aliquot of a 10x cDNA library was performed

by nested PCR. In the first round, primers #2,652 (5′-GCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAG-3′,

annealing at the 5′ end of Polylox) and #2,674 (5′-

AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTC-3′, annealing

at the adaptor site (read 1) were used for amplification for 5 min at 95°C; (30 s at 95°C, 30 s at

57°C, 3 min at 72°C) 12 times; 10 min at 72°C. PCR products purified with 0.7x AMPure beads

were used for the second round of PCR using primers #2,426 (5′-

CGACGACACTGCCAAAGATTTC-3′, annealing at the 5′ end of Polylox) and #2,676 (5′-

AATGATACGGCGACCACCGA-3′, annealing at the 5′ end of primer #2,674), for 5 min at

95°C; (30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 60°C, 3 min at 72°C) 18 times; 10 min at 72°C. The PCR products

were purified with AMPure PB beads according to the manufacturer's protocol. Long read

amplicon-seq libraries were sequenced by PacBio Sequel II with PacBio Amplicons Library

Preparation using SMRTbell prep kit 3.0. A custom transcriptome reference was built from

mouse reference MM10 to include ZsGreen1.

A snakemake workflow with custom python script was used to retrieve cell indexes and Polylox

barcodes from the PolyloxExpress amplicons (https://github.com/CCRSF-IFX/SF_Polylox-BC).

Single cell transcriptome and single cell barcodes were linked using the 10x 3' kit cell index and

group information. Further analysis and illustrations were generated using Scanpy

(https://github.com/scverse/scanpy). Doublet detection was performed using Scrublet, with the

predicted doublet rate calculated based on 10x Genomics guidance about the cell numbers loaded

to the microfluidic chips. Batch correction was done using BBKNN method

(https://github.com/Teichlab/bbknn). Cell types were manually annotated based on canonical

marker gene expression, guided by results from three automated annotation tools: (1) decoupleR

((https://saezlab.github.io/decoupleR/), using PangLaoDB (https://panglaodb.se/) as reference;

(2) scANVI (https://github.com/scverse/scvi-tools) using ImmGne (https://www.immgen.org/) as

reference; (3) CellTypist, using the embedded Immune_All_Low model. The rare barcodes and

their pGen were identified using the MatLab script from the Höefer's Lab

(https://github.com/hoefer-lab/polylox). True barcodes are defined as pGen $< 1 \times 10^{-4}$, such that

the expectation of a True barcode in detected 4,072 cells is 0.407. This work utilized the

computational resources of the NIH HPC Biowulf cluster (*http://hpc.nih.gov*) and Frederick

Research Computing Environment (FRCE). Python version: 3.10.; R version 4.5.0.

1242

Public single-cell RNA-seq data analysis

Raw FASTQ and BAM files were downloaded from publicly available datasets (GSE108885 [54],

GSE108891 [54], GSE118436 [54], GSE123078 [54], GSE122465 [55], GSE128423 [53], GSE145477 [65],

GSE156635 [56], GSE137116 [24], E-MTAB-8077 [65], GSE230260[58], GSE259382[59]). The BAM files

were first converted to FASTQ files with bamtofastq (10x Genomics, v2.0.1). All FASTQ files

were then processed by the count function of Cell Ranger (10x Genomics, v8.0.1) and aligned to

the mouse genome (mm10, version 2020-A), to generate read matrixes. Further analysis and

illustrations were generated using Scanpy as described above.

1251

Definition of cultured fluorescent BM cell clusters and quantification

A cluster was defined as (1) a spatially distinct group of ZsGreen$^+$ cells not contiguous with other

fluorescent cells, (2) containing a central core with at least five extending branches, and (3)

exhibiting a roughly radial organization, with projections spreading outward in multiple

directions, and (4) extending at least ~200 μm in one direction. Isolated or irregularly scattered

fluorescent cells were not counted. Due to their large size, clusters were counted manually across

the culture dish using a tally counter under fluorescence microscopy.

1259

RNA isolation and quantitative RT PCR

RNA was extracted using RNeasy$^®$ Micro Kit (QIAGEN, #74004), following the manufacturer's

protocol. Cells were sorted directly into RNA lysis buffer (Buffer RTL of RNeasy$^®$ Micro Kit).

cDNA samples were prepared with SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen,

#18091050), following manufacturer's instructions. Real Time PCR was performed using

Applied Biosystems™ TaqMan™ Fast Advanced Master Mix (4444557) and Applied

Biosystem™ QuantStudio™ 5 Real-Time PCR System. TaqMan™ probes used were purchased

from Applied Biosystems™: Spp1 (Mm00436767_m1); Cxcl12 (Mm00445553_m1); Col1a2

(Mm00483888_m1); Cdh5 (Mm00486938_m1); Runx1 (Mm01213404_m1); Ptprc

(Mm01293577_m1); Gapdh (Mm99999915_g1); Actb (Mm02619580_g1). The reaction

condition was set as follows: 50°C 2 minutes, 95°C 20 seconds, 45 cycles of 95°C 1 second,

60°C 20 seconds. Ct values were determined using the ABI QuantStudio™ Design & Analysis

Software (v1.5.2). Relative gene expression was assessed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method, normalized to

Gapdh expression level for each sample. The data was further normalized to gene expression

levels in the unsorted bone marrow sample to calculate relative gene expression levels in each

sample. Data reflect triplicates real-time PRC experiments.

1276

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

No statistical method was used to predetermine sample size. No data were excluded from the

analyses. Mice with the correct genotypes were randomly assigned to control or treated groups.

Unless otherwise specified, data are represented as mean $\pm$ S.D, and individual dots in the graphs

indicate individual mice. Comparisons between two groups were performed using two-tailed

unpaired Student's *t*-tests (except for Figure S1-H, which used a paired *t*-test). Spearman rank

correlation test was used for Figure 3E. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad

Prism (v9.0.1). A statistical difference of $P<0.05$ was considered significant: ns, not significant,

* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P< 0.001$.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

BM Donors
Cdh5-Cre/ZsGreen
No Tamoxifen

FACS sort
ZsG⁻ LSK / ZsG⁺ LSK
and transplant

11 Gy Day -3

Recipient
WT C57Bl6

4 weeks
post transplant

tamoxifen
administration

Blood collection
and analysis
over 6 months

Do HSPCs / other hematopoietic cells in Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen mice express Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}?	Donor LSK from Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen mice, transplanted into WT recipients	Predicted detection pattern of ZsGreen$^+$ PBMCs in WT recipients prior to and/or after TAM
Yes	~50:50 mix (ZsGreen$^+$ / ZsGreen$^-$)	ZsGreen$^+$ PBMCs are present prior to TAM and increase after TAM.
	ZsGreen$^-$ LSK only (>99% purity)	ZsGreen$^+$ PBMCs become detectable after TAM and increase over time.
No	~50:50 mix (ZsGreen$^+$ / ZsGreen$^-$)	ZsGreen$^+$ PBMCs are present at baseline but show no increase after TAM.
	ZsGreen$^-$ LSK only (>99% purity)	No ZsGreen$^+$ PBMCs prior to or after TAM.

B — ns — WBC / μL blood (x10^3) vs ZsG$^+$ LSK recipients, ZsG$^-$ LSK recipients

C — *** — % ZsGreen$^+$ cells/PBMC vs ZsG$^+$ LSK recipients, ZsG$^-$ LSK recipients

D — % ZsGreen$^+$ cells/ PBMC vs Weeks before and after tamoxifen dosing
- ZsG$^+$ LSK Recipients (ctrl, n=2)
- ZsG$^-$ LSK Recipients (n=6)

E

BM Donors
WT C57Bl6

Bone marrow transplant

11 Gy @ Day -3

Recipients
Cdh5-Cre/mTmG mice
no Tamoxifen

4 weeks post BMTP

tamoxifen administration

Blood collection and analysis
over 12 weeks

F — WT BM transplanted into mTmG mice — % EGFP$^+$ cells / PBMC vs Weeks before and after tamoxifen dosing
- Tamoxifen(n=9)
- Peanut oil(n=5)

G — % cell type in PBMC vs B cells, T cells, Myeloid cells
- EGFP$^+$ cells (Tamoxifen)
- EGFP$^-$ cells (Tamoxifen)
- EGFP$^+$ cells (Peanut oil)
- EGFP$^-$ cells (Peanut oil)

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

A Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/mTmG

Bone Marrow — % EGFP$^+$CD45$^+$ cells / BM cells (Cre$^-$ control, Peanut oil, Tamoxifen) **

Blood — % EGFP$^+$ cells / PBMC (Cre$^-$ control, Peanut oil, Tamoxifen) ***

B Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen

Bone Marrow — % ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$ cells / BM cells (Cre$^-$ control, Peanut oil, Tamoxifen) ***

Blood — % ZsGreen$^+$ cells / PBMC (Cre$^-$ control, Peanut oil, Tamoxifen) ***

C Three doses of tamoxifen 4 weeks prior to BM harvest. Donors Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen (7-9 weeks). BM harvest. CD45$^-$VE-Cadherin$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ FACS sort. Transplant sorted cells. 5-FU treated WT recipients (8 weeks). Blood & BM harvest 4 weeks after transplant. FACS analysis Confocal imaging

D Bone Marrow — % ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$ / BM cells (No cells, Col1a2-ZsG cells) ***

Blood — % ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$ cells / PBMC (No cells, Col1a2-ZsG cells) ***

E Bone Marrow — % cell type / ZsGreen$^+$ BM cells (B lymphocytes, T lymphocytes, Granulocytes, Monocytes)

Blood — % cell type / ZsGreen$^+$ PBMC (B lymphocytes, T lymphocytes, Granulocytes, Monocytes)

F % ZsGreen$^+$ cell in PBMC vs Weeks after Tamoxifen (Cdh5-Cre, Runx1^{EC-KI}) ***

G Cdh5-Cre / Runx1^{EC-KI} — 50 µm

H Clusters per 2 femurs and 2 tibiae (Cdh5-Cre, Runx1^{EC-KI}) ***

I Cdh5-Cre 0.28% / Runx1^{EC-KI} 1.97% — CD45 vs ZsGreen

J CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cell number (Cdh5-Cre, Runx1^{EC-KI}) ***

Figure S1

Figure S2

A Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen

B Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen

C Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen

D

E

F

G Sorted ZsGreen$^+$ EC on OP9 (4 weeks)

H Before Sort

I After Sort

J Gating Strategy (gated on live / singlets)

K

L

Figure S3

Figure S4

Figure S5

Figure S6

Figure S7

A Gated on live/singlets — Gated on live/singlets/EC
CD45-PE, VE-Cadherin-AF647, Hematopoietic, Stromal, Endothelial, Col1a2 (ZsGreen)⁺ Endothelial (AHEC)

B Normalized mRNA expression levels — Actb, Cdh5, Col1a2, Cxcl12, Ptprc, Runx1

Unsorted BM, Endothelial, Hematopoietic, Stromal, Col1a2(ZsGreen)⁺ Endothelial cells

C CD45, DAPI, CD11b, ZsGreen — 20 μm

D CD45, DAPI, Merged, CD11b, ZsGreen — 50 μm

E Cdh5-Cre^ERT2/ZsGreen/Runx1-Knock-In (Runx1^EC-KI) Model
Cdh5 Promoter, Cre-ERT2, Rosa26, STOP, ZsGreen, Rosa26, STOP, Runx1, Tamoxifen, Cre-ERT2, ZsGreen, Runx1

Supplemental Figure Legends

Figure S1. Contribution of ECs to hematopoiesis in adult BM is revealed by Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2} mouse tracking lines. Related to Figure 1.

(A) Cdh5-tracking mouse lines. Tamoxifen switches-on green fluorescence in cells that express the Cre-recombinase and their cell progeny.

(B and C) Confocal microscopy images of representative BM sections from Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen (B) and Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(BAC)/ZsGreen (C) adult mice showing tamoxifen-induced ZsGreen fluorescence co-staining of most Endomucin$^+$ cells. Control BM sections from representative Cre$^+$ mouse treated with peanut oil (no tamoxifen) display occasional ZsGreen$^+$Endomucin$^+$ cells but no tamoxifen-independent ZsGreen fluorescence is detected in representative Cre$^-$ mice.

(D) Flow cytometry analysis of adult BM cells from Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen (n = 6-8) and Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(BAC)/ZsGreen mice (n = 10) shows that ZsGreen fluorescence identifies most ECs four weeks after tamoxifen administration but also tracks a small proportion of EC expressing tamoxifen-independent fluorescence in Cre$^+$ but not Cre$^-$ mice.

(E) Percent CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cells of viable BM cells from Cre$^-$ control (n=6), Cre$^+$ control (peanut-oil treated, no tamoxifen; n= 8) and Cre$^+$ tamoxifen-treated Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC) /ZsGreen (n=6) or Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(BAC)/ZsGreen mice (n=9). Mice were 8 to 12 weeks old at the time of tamoxifen administration.

(F) Percent ZsGreen$^+$ cells of PBMC from Cre$^-$ control, Cre$^+$ control (peanut-oil treated) and Cre$^+$ tamoxifen-treated Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen and Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(BAC)/ZsGreen mice (n=9/group). Mice were 8 to 12 weeks old at the time of tamoxifen administration.

(G–H) Representative flow cytometry gating of CD45$^+$EGFP$^+$ cells from BM and blood of Cdh5-CreERT2/mTmG mice (G, relates to Figure 1 C, D), and CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cells from BM and blood of Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen mice (H, relates to Figure S1 E, F).

(I) Representative confocal images showing a nucleated (DAPI$^+$) BM ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$ cell in the BM from a Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mouse treated with tamoxifen.

(J) Percent ZsGreen$^+$ cells of PBMC in individual Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(BAC)/ZsGreen mice before or four weeks after tamoxifen administration. Each dot represents the results from 50-250µl blood/mouse. The lines link results from individual mice (n=15, 8-12 weeks old).

(K) Percent B and T-lymphocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes among EGFP$^+$ PBMC of Cre$^+$ tamoxifen-treated Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/mTmG mice (n=15, 8-12 weeks old).

(L) Percent EGFP$^+$ cells in peripheral blood cell populations of Cre$^+$ peanut oil-treated (n=9) and tamoxifen-treated (n=16) Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/mTmG mice (8-12 weeks old). Dots represent individual mice. Data are shown as mean ± SD. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p < 0.001 by Student's t test.

Figure S2. Characterization of tracked hematopoietic progenitors and mature cells in adult BM and peripheral blood of Cdh5-Cre reporter mice. Related to Figure 1, 2, or 3.

(A and B) Percent B lymphocytes, T lymphocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes of all ZsGreen$^+$ cells (A) and percent ZsGreen$^+$ cells of total BM LSK, B and T-lymphocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes (B). Each dot reflects results from individual mice (1 femur plus 1 tibia combined, n=11); group means ± SD (error bars) are shown by the horizontal lines.

(C and D) Percent B lymphocytes, T lymphocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes of all ZsGreen$^+$ PBMC in Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mice treated with tamoxifen (C, n=10) and percent ZsGreen$^+$ cells of peripheral blood B lymphocytes, T lymphocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes in Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mice (D) treated with peanut oil (n=15) or tamoxifen (n=20).

(E) Gating strategy for identification of HSPC subsets in bone marrow. Representative flow cytometry plots show sequential gating of lineage negative (Lin$^-$) Sca1$^+$ cKit$^+$ (LSK) cells into long-term hematopoietic stem cells (LT-HSC), short-term hematopoietic stem cells (ST-HSC), multipotent progenitors (MPP), common lymphoid progenitors (CLP), common myeloid progenitors (CMP), megakaryocyte-erythroid progenitors (MEP), and granulocyte-macrophage progenitors (GMP).

(F) Representative cytospin image of floating and low-adherent cells from ex vivo culture of BM cells from a tamoxifen-induced Cdh5- Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mouse.

(G) Representative image (relates to Figure 2B) showing the appearance of sorted ZsGreen$^+$ ECs after 4-week culture on OP9 monolayer.

(H) Gating strategy for sorting VE-Cadherin$^+$ ZsGreen$^+$ ECs from the BM of Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mice.

(I) Purity analysis of sorted VE-Cadherin$^+$ ZsGreen$^+$ ECs.

(J) Gating strategy used for detecting ZsGreen$^+$CD45$^+$ hematopoietic cells in WT C57Bl/6

recipients of ECs sorted from the BM of Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen donors.

(K) Percent CD45$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cells recovered from the peritoneal cavity (PerC) of Cre$^+$Cdh5-

Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen mice treated with peanut oil (n=8) or tamoxifen (n=15). Cre$^-$ mice (n=5).

(L) Percent ZsGreen$^+$ cells within PerC cell populations recovered from mice (n=15) under

steady-state conditions. Cell type identification; B1 cells: CD19$^+$, CD3$^-$, CD45R(B220)$^-$, CD5$^+$,

CD43$^+$; B2 cells: CD19$^+$, CD3$^-$, CD45R(B220)$^+$, CD5$^-$, CD43$^-$; T cells: CD3$^+$, CD11b$^-$;

monocytes: CD11b$^+$, CD19$^-$, Ly6G$^-$, Ly6C^{high}; neutrophils: CD11b$^+$, CD19$^-$, Ly6G$^+$, Ly6C^{low};

and macrophages: CD11b$^+$, CD19$^-$, F4/80$^+$.

Dots represent individual mice. Data are shown as mean ± SD. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p < 0.001

by Student's t test.

Figure S3 BM pDCs, but not other BM hematopoietic cells, express *Cdh5* and *Ptprc*,

encoding CD45. Related to Figure 4.

(A) UMAP plot showing unsupervised clustering of sc transcriptomic data from a public dataset

of mouse BM hematopoietic cells.

(B and C) UMAP plots displaying expression of *Cdh5* (B) and *Ptprc* (encoding CD45, C) in the

dataset shown in (A).

(D) Dot plot illustrating the expression of selected marker genes across clusters shown in (A).

The red rectangle highlights gene expression by cluster 13 cells, identifying pDCs. Dot size

represents the percentage of cells expressing the gene within each cluster, and color intensity

reflects the mean expression level.

(E) Gating strategy for selecting ZsGreen⁻ and ZsGreen⁺ LSK progenitors.

(F and G) Analysis of purity of sorted LSK populations enriched for ZsGreen⁺ cells (F) and

depleted of ZsGreen⁺ cells (G) from the BM of Cdh5-Creᴱᴿᵀ²(PAC)/ZsGreen mice (not treated

with tamoxifen).

Figure S4. Single-cell RNA-seq analysis of BM ZsGreen⁺ cells from tamoxifen-treated

Cdh5-Cre/ZsGreen/Polylox mice. Related to Figure 5.

(A) UMAP plot showing unsupervised clustering of sc RNA-seq data, identifying 34 distinct cell

clusters within BM ZsGreen⁺ cells.

(B) Histogram of doublet score distribution. A threshold of 0.2 was applied to match the

expected doublet rate from 10x Genomics Chromium GEM-X chips.

(C) Doublet score distribution (gray violin plots, left Y-axis) and corresponding doublet

percentages (blue bars, right Y-axis) across Leiden clusters.

(D) UMAP plots of clusters after doublet removal, and expression of *ZsGreen1*, *Cdh5*, *Pecam1*,

Eng, *CreERT2* and *Ptprc* (CD45).

(E) Dot plot showing expression of selected marker genes across Leiden clusters identified in

(A). Dot size indicates the proportion of cells expressing the gene; color intensity reflects the

average expression level of each cluster.

Figure S5. Identification and distribution of 'True' Polylox barcodes across cell types.

Related to Figure 5.

(A) Bubble plot showing individual Polylox barcodes plotted against their corresponding pGen

values ($\log_{10}$ scale). The y-axis indicates representative barcodes (one label is shown for every

five barcodes). Bubble size reflects the number of cells harboring each barcode. The red dashed

line denotes the $\log_{10}(pGen) = -6$ cutoff, which was used to define true barcodes retained for

downstream analyses.

(B) UMAP plot showing the distribution of 'True' Polylox barcodes across the 34 Leiden-

defined clusters identified in figure S4A. Cells containing 'True' barcodes (n = 721) are shown

in orange; cells with 'Not True' barcodes (n = 3,348) are shown in blue. A total of 388 barcodes

were detected, including 274 'True' (orange) and 125 'Not True' (blue), as listed below the

UMAP plot.

(C) Heatmap displaying the distribution and abundance of 'True' Polylox barcodes across

annotated cell types. Each row corresponds to a unique barcode (1 out of every 5 barcodes

shown); color intensity represents the number of cells carrying that barcode within each listed

cell type.

(D) Heatmap showing cell cycle phase distribution (G1, G2/M, S) across Leiden clusters

identified in figure S4A. Color intensity and numerical values represent the percentage of cells in

each phase within the indicated cell type.

Figure S6. Characterization of Col1a2-tracked cell populations in BM and blood. Related

to Figure 7.

(A) Schematic representation of the Col1a2 tracking lines.

(B) Representative confocal image of a BM section from a tamoxifen-treated Col1a2-

Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen mouse, showing widespread distribution of ZsGreen$^+$ cells.

(C to E**)** Flow cytometric identification of RUNX1$^+$VE-Cadherin$^+$CD45$^-$ ECs in the BM of

peanut oil-treated (n=6) and tamoxifen-treated (n=6) Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen adult mice; Cre$^-$

mice (n=5) (C); WT C57Bl/6 mice (n= 6) and Fluorescence Minus One (FMO) control (n=5)

(D); and Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}(PAC)/ZsGreen mice treated with peanut oil (n=6) or tamoxifen (n=6);

Cre$^-$ mice (n=5)(E). Left panels: quantification of cells identified by the indicated gates as a

percentage of total BM ECs; each dot represents one mouse (1 femur + 1 tibia). Middle and right

panels: representative gating strategies.

(F) Representative confocal microscopy image of a BM section from a tamoxifen-treated

Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen adult mouse showing a ZsGreen$^+$ Endomucin$^+$ cell lining a vascular

structure (white arrows).

(G) Representative confocal image of a blood smear from a Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen mouse

treated with tamoxifen showing the presence of a nucleate CD45$^+$ cell tracked by

ZsGreen/Col1a2 fluorescence (pointed by the arrow).

(H) Representative confocal image of a blood smear from a Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/mTmG mouse

treated with tamoxifen showing the presence of a nucleated CD45$^+$ cell tracked by EGFP/Col1a2

fluorescence (pointed by the arrow).

Dots represent individual mice. Data are shown as mean $\pm$ SD. ***p < 0.001 by Student's t test.

Figure S7. Analysis and hemogenic potential of Col1a2-tracked adult BM ECs. Related to

Figure 7.

(A) Representative FACS gating strategy used to isolate BM hematopoietic cells, ECs, stromal

cells, and Col1a2-tracked ECs from tamoxifen-treated Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen mice.

(B) Gene expression profiling of unsorted BM and sorted BM populations defined in (A).

Results from qRT-PCR are normalized by *Gapdh* and unsorted BM. Dots reflect experimental

triplicates.

(C) Representative confocal image of a BM section from a transplant recipient showing a

CD45$^+$CD11b$^+$ZsGreen$^+$ cell (arrow), indicating hematopoietic derivation from transplanted

ZsGreen$^+$VE-Cadherin$^+$CD45$^-$ (Col1a2$^+$) cells.

(D) Representative image of a blood smear from a WT recipient mouse transplanted with

ZsGreen (Col1a2)$^+$ BM ECs from Col1a2-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen mice. ZsGreen tracked cells are

pointed by the arrows.

(E) Schematic diagram of the Cdh5-Cre^{ERT2}/ZsGreen/Runx1-Knock-in (Runx1^{EC-KI}) mouse line

used to trace endothelial cells with Runx1 expression induced upon tamoxifen treatment.

Supplemental Method

Polylox single-cell lineage tracing and barcode analysis

Polylox single-cell lineage tracing experiments were performed essentially as described previously (Pei et al., 2020), with modifications detailed below.

Mouse treatment and sample collection.
Cdh5-CreERT2/ZsGreen/PolyloxExpress mice were treated with tamoxifen at 10 weeks of age and harvested at 16 weeks of age (approximately 6 weeks post induction). Bone marrow (BM) was collected from three mice (n = 3, 4 long bones from each mouse), separately processed for downstream analyses.

Cell sorting and population mixing.
BM cells were enriched for ZsGreen$^+$ cells by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). The sorter was set up with 100-micron nozzle, 20psi. Sample rate was set at ~6,000 EPS. Sorting purity was set as 4-way-purity.

Two populations were isolated at >99% purity:
(1) ZsGreen$^+$ VE-Cadherin$^+$ Endomucin$^+$ endothelial cells (ECs), and
(2) ZsGreen$^+$ VE-Cadherin$^-$ Endomucin$^-$ CD45$^+$ hematopoietic cells.

The two sorted populations were mixed at a 1:1 ratio prior to single-cell encapsulation to allow direct comparison of barcode sharing across endothelial and hematopoietic compartments. The six samples from three mice were loaded separately onto 6 different lanes of a 10x GEM-X and processed as individual samples moving forward.

Single-cell capture and sequencing.
A total of 147,446 cells were loaded onto the 10x Genomics Chromium platform, of which 93,553 cells were successfully processed and recovered for single-cell RNA sequencing. Reverse transcription and cDNA amplification were performed according to the manufacturer's protocols. Amplified cDNA was split into two aliquots for parallel transcriptome library preparation and Polylox barcode enrichment.

Transcriptome library preparation and sequencing.
For transcriptome analysis, 25% (10 μL) of the amplified 10x cDNA library was fragmented and processed using Chromium Single Cell 3′ Reagent Kits (v3 and v4). Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 2000 using P3/P4 reagents (28 bp read 1 + 74 bp read 2).

Polylox barcode amplification and long-read sequencing.
Polylox barcodes were enriched from 5–10 ng of amplified 10x cDNA by nested PCR. First-round PCR was performed using primers annealing to the 5′ end of the Polylox cassette and the 10x adaptor sequence, followed by a second round of amplification using internal Polylox primers. PCR products were purified using AMPure beads and used to generate long-read

amplicon libraries, which were sequenced on a PacBio Sequel II platform using SMRTbell library preparation (v3.0).

Barcode recovery and integration with transcriptomes.
Polylox barcodes were extracted using a Snakemake workflow with custom Python scripts (https://github.com/CCRSF-IFX/SF_Polylox-BC). Barcode sequences were linked to single-cell transcriptomes through shared 10x cell indices. A custom mm10 reference genome including ZsGreen1 was used for transcriptome alignment.

Barcode filtering and definition of true barcodes.
Barcode generation probabilities (pGen) were calculated using the published Polylox MATLAB pipeline (https://github.com/hoefer-lab/polylox). "True" barcodes were defined as barcodes with pGen $< 1 \times 10^{-6}$. Using this threshold, 296 true barcodes were retained for downstream lineage analysis.

Clone size and abundance analysis.
Clone sizes and barcode abundances across endothelial, hematopoietic progenitor, mesenchymal-type, and mature blood cell populations were quantified based on the number of cells sharing the same true barcode. These data are visualized as heatmaps (Figure 5C–E) and as intersection plots summarizing barcode sharing across cell types (Figure 5F).

Quality control and downstream analysis.
Doublets were identified using Scrublet, with expected doublet rates estimated based on cell loading numbers. Batch correction was performed using BBKNN. Cell type annotations were assigned based on canonical marker expression and supported by decoupleR, scANVI (ImmGen reference), and CellTypist. All single-cell analyses were performed using Scanpy.

cell_type	Total cells	Cells with barcodes (pGen < 1)	Cells with true barcodes (pGen < 1e-6)	Cells with not true barcodes (1e-6 <= pGen < 1)
B cells	5977	344	73	271
BM resident macrophages	547	18	5	13
Dendritic Cells	6471	235	26	209
Doublets	2607	87	17	70
Endothelial cells	21168	828	169	659
Erythroblasts and erythroid precursors	1809	78	13	65
GMP	3980	121	11	110
Granulocytes	37931	1674	317	1357
HSPC	1257	71	14	57
Mesenchymal type	2544	115	31	84
Monocytes	4840	281	40	241
Plasma cells	824	49	8	41
T cells	1580	52	3	49
pDCs	2018	119	11	108