A toolkit for transposon libraries and functional genomics in intestinal Bacteroidales

Authors

Carlos Geert Pieter Voogdt[1,#], Indra Roux[2], Katharina Müller[1, 6], Nicolai Karcher[1], Afonso Martins Bravo[1] , Lajos Kalmar[2], Vallo Varik[1, 7], Jacob Bobonis[1, 8], Georg Zeller [1, 3, 4], Michael Zimmermann[1], Kiran Raosaheb Patil[2, 5], Athanasios Typas[1,#]

Affiliations

[1] Molecular Systems Biology unit, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany

[2] The Medical Research Council Toxicology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

[3] Leiden University Center for Infectious Diseases (LUCID), Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands

[4] Center for Microbiome Analyses and Therapeutics (CMAT), Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands

[5] Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

[6] present address: Global Health Institute, School of Life Sciences, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland

[7] present address: Estonian Biofoundry, Institute of Bioengineering, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

[8] present address: Centre for Microbiology and Environmental Systems Science, Division of Microbial Ecology, University of Vienna, Austria

Contacts:

[#]carlos.voogdt@embl.de, athanasios.typas@embl.de

Keywords

genetic libraries, gut microbiome, essential genes, Bacteroidales, transposon mutagenesis

Abstract

Members of the order Bacteroidales include some of the most prevalent and abundant bacterial species in the healthy human gut microbiota. Yet, most of the functions encoded in their genomes remain poorly characterized, limiting our understanding of the different roles they play in the human gut microbiome. Towards addressing this gap, we developed tools and methods for genome-wide transposon mutagenesis in Bacteroidales, including broad-range transposon vectors with several antibiotic selection markers, a dual conjugation-cloning donor strain, and protocols for convenient library generation in liquid media. We then created saturated, barcoded, insertion mutant libraries in the type strains of three key representatives of the main genera within Bacteroidales: *Bacteroides uniformis* (ATCC 8492), *Phocaeicola vulgatus* (ATCC 8482) and *Parabacteroides merdae* (ATCC 43184). Based on the dense transposon insertion profiles and a workflow for comparing essentialomes across species, we identified 275 core essential genes shared across the three species, and 163 species-specific essential genes, some of which could be explained by functional redundancy and alternative metabolic pathways. We further identified essential non-protein coding elements and essential protein domains with known and unknown functions. Finally, using insertion directionality bias, we could map potential toxic modalities in the three genomes, including toxin-antitoxin pairs, mobile elements encoding toxic products and enzymes leading to toxic metabolic intermediates. Overall, the tools, workflows and genome-wide resources reported here expand the experimental repertoire for characterizing genes in key bacteria of the human gut microbiome, and pave the way for the establishment of similar genetic toolkits for other gut bacteria.

Introduction

Advances in sequencing technologies have revealed an unprecedented taxonomic and genomic diversity within microbial ecosystems[1–6]. Yet, this enormous diversity of microbial gene sequences is also highlighting how little we know about their encoded functions. Even in well-studied model organisms, such as *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis,* up to a third of their genes remain poorly characterized[7,8]. The knowledge gap is much larger for the many non-model microbes found in diverse ecosystems. High-throughput reverse genetics, which systematically link genes to each other and to phenotypes, offers a powerful strategy to close this gap in microbial gene function annotation[9–14]. However, applying such approaches to non-model microbes requires efficient genome-wide genetic tools.

Random transposon mutagenesis has been used for decades to generate mutant libraries in bacteria. By inserting at random genomic locations, transposons disrupt genes and create loss-of-function mutant libraries without the need for targeted genetic tools. While originally used for forward genetic screens, the declining cost of sequencing and the incorporation of random DNA barcodes into the transposon[15,16], have transformed transposon mutant libraries into powerful resources for systematic genotype-to-phenotype mapping[17–19]. Libraries containing insertion mutants for thousands of genes can be assessed in hundreds of conditions, such as nutrients, xenobiotics or host colonization, to reveal genes important for fitness in specific environments and to infer functional links between genes with similar phenotypic profiles[14,20–22]. Furthermore, high density transposon mutagenesis also enables the assessment of gene essentiality. In dense libraries, genes and other genetic features intolerant to disruptive insertions can be identified as essential, revealing core functions critical for growth and survival[23–25]. Gene essentiality can be context-dependent, changing with the environment and/or genetic background[9,26,27]. Thus, identifying and comparing essential genes across species can both highlight shared central functions and help to understand species-specific physiology.

One of the most extensively studied microbial ecosystems is the human intestinal microbiota. This ecosystem contains 200-500 bacterial species, considerably varying across individuals at the strain-level, and therefore also, at the gene level[28,29]. Since most of these species are phylogenetically distant from classical model bacteria, their genomes contain a large fraction of uncharacterized genes. To expedite their functional interrogation, transposon mutant libraries have been made in several human gut bacterial species, such as *Enterococcus faecalis*[30], *Akkermansia muciniphila*[31], *Clostridioides difficile*[32], *Bifidobacterium breve*[22], *Lactobacillus casei*[33], and several members of the order Bacteroidales[20,21,34–38]. Part of one of the two major phyla found in the human gut microbiome (Bacteroidota), Bacteroidales contain some of the most prevalent and abundant species of the healthy human gut microbiota. These species are mainly part of three genera: *Bacteroides*, *Phocaeicola* and *Parabacteroides*[39], some of which have been associated with health benefits such as the production of short chain fatty acids through fermentation of dietary complex carbohydrates[40–42].

While dense transposon libraries have been generated in Bacteroidales species, these have almost exclusively focused on the *Bacteroides* genus and in particular two of its species, *B. thetaiotaomicron* and *B. fragilis*. Many other prevalent and abundant Bacteroidales species of the healthy human gut microbiota remain genetically unexplored. Transposon mutagenesis is well suited to address these gaps, but generating dense libraries across diverse gut Bacteroidales species and strains requires versatile vectors and easy-to-implement methods

and analysis pipelines. To meet this need, we developed a set of broad-range, barcoded
transposon vectors and a multi-purpose *E. coli* conjugation donor for the generation of high-
density barcoded transposon insertion libraries in multiple Bacteroidales species and strains.
We used these genetic tools to generate saturated, barcoded libraries in the type strains of
three prominent gut species, *B. uniformis*, *Parabacteroides merdae* and *Phocaeicola vulgatus,*
representatives of the three main genera within the order. In addition to conventional library
construction via outgrowth on solid media, we established a liquid-based protocol, which is
considerably easier and more scalable in confined anaerobic workstations. We demonstrated
the utility of these dense libraries through comparative analyses that revealed conserved and
species-specific essential genes, essential protein domains, and toxic genetic modalities
across the three species.

Results
Novel tools for transposon mutagenesis in Bacteroidales

We set out to create a broad-range barcoded transposon vector able to mutagenize multiple species of Bacteroidales with high efficiency. We used the pSAM-bt plasmid[35] as the basis, and changed several of its features. The *B. thetaiotaomicron rpoD* promoter that drives expression of the Himar1-C9 transposase was replaced by the strong constitutive *B. fragilis* phage promoter P$_{BfP1E6}$, which is active in different *Bacteroides* species[43]. The native *B. thetaiotaomicron* promoter driving *ermG* expression (erythromycin resistance) was replaced by the hybrid *cepA* promoter[44], as this promoter was previously shown to limit insertional bias in *B. thetaiotaomicron* transposon mutagenesis[21]. Further, we removed the transcriptional terminator in the pSAM-bt transposon to avoid polar mutations in operons, where early termination can block transcription of downstream genes. In its place, we inserted a random sequence flanked by BsmBI restriction sites to enable plasmid barcoding through Golden Gate cloning[45,46]. The barcode entry site is flanked by primer binding sites for barcode sequencing (BarSeq[16]). As resistance to erythromycin is common among various species of Bacteroidales[47], we also created vectors in which the transposon encodes *catP* or *tetQ*, instead of *ermG*[48], providing resistance to chloramphenicol or tetracycline, respectively (Fig. 1A, see supplementary file 1 for plasmid features).

High transformation efficiency is necessary for generating diverse collections of barcoded transposon plasmids. The transformation efficiency of pCV006 (*ermG* version) was very low in the widely used *E. coli* S17-1 conjugation donor, but much higher in the *E. coli* cloning strain EC100D*pir*+ (Extended Data Fig. 1A). Therefore, we engineered EC100D*pir*+ to create a dual cloning-conjugation strain[49]. For this, we used phage P1*vir* to transduce the EC100D*pir*+ cloning strain with a P1 lysate from *E. coli* MFD*pir*+[50], in which the RP4 conjugation locus is flanked by neomycin and apramycin resistance genes, allowing for selection in both antibiotics. We also deleted *dapA* from the chromosome to make the conjugation donor auxotrophic for diaminopimelic acid (DAP), providing a counter-selectable marker. The resulting strain, DATC (DAP Auxotroph Transformation Conjugation donor[49]), has useful genomic features for both cloning and conjugation (Fig. 1B). Importantly, it exhibited high transformation efficiency with pCV006, as its EC100D*pir*+ parent (Extended Data Fig. 1A).

We tested the ability of our new transposon vectors and the DATC *E. coli* donor strain to mutagenize a panel of 32 Bacteroidales strains (21 species from 3 genera). We conjugated either the *ermG*, *catP* or *tetQ* versions of the vector into each of the 32 strains (Fig. 1C), after determining their resistance to erythromycin, chloramphenicol, and tetracycline (Extended Data Fig. 1B). We detected substantial transposon insertion mutagenesis in ~72% (23/32) of the tested recipient strains and in representatives of all 3 genera. The few recipient strains for which we failed to detect transconjugants were spread across the phylogenetic tree, indicating that endogenous strain-specific defenses against conjugation or foreign DNA elements were likely the underlying cause, rather than incompatibility of our vector with specific species. Overall, we generated a set of transposon vectors and a dual cloning-conjugation strain that are useful for transposon mutagenesis in a broad range of Bacteroidales species and strains.

Generation of saturated barcoded transposon libraries in three genera

We used our mutagenesis system to generate high-density, barcoded libraries in the type strains of *Bacteroides uniformis* (ATCC 8492), *Phocaeicola vulgatus* (ATCC 8482) and *Parabacteroides merdae* (ATCC 43184). We first sequenced the three strains and obtained

closed, single-contig genome assemblies, which allowed us to confidently map the transposon
insertions. Additionally, to facilitate comparability in downstream analyses, all genomes were
annotated using the mettannotator suite[51]. To render our libraries amenable for barcoded
sequencing (BarSeq[16]) and further library mutliplexing[38], we inserted DNA barcodes of 25
random nucleotides into pCV006 using Golden Gate cloning (Methods), and a four nucleotide,
library-specific index in front of the barcode. Following overnight conjugation of the DATC
donor carrying the barcoded pCV006 with *B. uniformis*, *P. vulgatus* or *P. merdae* under aerobic
conditions (Fig. 2A), we plated the mutant libraries on more than 25 large (145 mm) petri
dishes containing Modified Gifu Anaerobic Medium (mGAM) with erythromycin and incubated
these until single colonies were detectable. While outgrowth on solid media is a standard step
in transposon library construction, it becomes cumbersome in confined anaerobic chambers,
since it limits handling and available space. As an alternative, we conducted the outgrowth of
the mutant libraries for 25-28 generations in a single bottle containing 100 ml selective mGAM
liquid growth medium – this number of generations approximates the growth required for a
single cell to form a visible colony. We cryo-preserved both the solid- and liquid-generated
libraries, and used a single library aliquot for transposon insertion sequencing (TnSeq)[52] using
a 2-step semi random PCR procedure[53] (Methods). To map the transposon insertion sites to
the three genomes, we used TnSeeker (Methods), an in-lab developed software that maps
raw TnSeq reads onto the assembled genome, and creates a list of mutants for each library
with positional, directional, and barcode information (Fig. 2A).

We identified over 340,000 transposon insertion sites in all six libraries (three species, solid
or liquid outgrowth), spread evenly across the genome without insertion bias (Fig. 2B).
Barcode analysis revealed that some sites were represented by more than one mutant, and
after barcode filtering, we retained between 317,144 and 492,747 uniquely mapping barcodes
per library (see Methods). No major differences were observed in the abundance of unique
barcodes between species or outgrowth procedure (liquid vs. solid) and across all libraries,
with the median number of barcodes per gene being between 54 and 82, and 75% of genes
having at least 19 unique barcodes (Extended Data Fig. 2A). Multiple unique barcodes per
gene represent independent mutants for this gene, and provide multiple biological replicates
in BarSeq experiments, increasing the statistical power for assessing gene fitness[16].

Further characterization of the libraries showed that more than 90% of insertions occurred at
TA dinucleotides, as expected for Himar Mariner transposases (Extended Data Fig. 2B), and
that the proportion of TA sites with insertions was similar across intragenic, intergenic and
annotated non-protein-coding elements, indicating no apparent bias among different genomic
elements (Extended Data Fig. 2C). Our libraries also exhibited high insertion saturation, with
an average of 76% of genes across the six libraries having more than 75% of their TA sites
disrupted by a transposon (Fig. 2C). In line with previous reports on *B. thetaiotaomicron*[21], we
observed no directional bias in the *B. uniformis* and *P. merdae* libraries and only a very slight
bias in the *P. vulgatus* libraries towards insertions in the sense strand of the targeted gene
(Fig. 2D). This suggests that the P$_{cepA}$-*ermG* promoter-gene combination is well-suited to
generate libraries in Bacteroidales species with little to no overall directional bias.

To assess whether the liquid outgrowth protocol affected the library composition, we compared
the relative abundances of disrupted genes between liquid- and solid- generated libraries in
the three species, approximating gene abundance by the mean read count of its mutants.
Although differences between the libraries were observed (see also essentiality analysis later),

mostly for genes with low read counts, the Pearson correlation coefficients were high in all
three species (0.66-0.90; Fig. 2E). Thus, as outgrowth in liquid media did not compromise
library diversity or introduce systematic bias, we suggest that this liquid protocol can serve as
a robust, easy, and cost-effective alternative for generating libraries in gut microbes.
211
Conservation and species-specificity of gene essentiality in Bacteroidales
We used our transposon libraries to systematically map and compare gene essentiality across
B. uniformis, *P. vulgatus* and *P. merdae*. The saturation of our libraries made them ideal for
predicting gene essentiality with high confidence. We analyzed the TnSeq data using the
software package TRANSIT to identify essential genes via a Hidden Markov Model (HMM)
and a Bayesian/Gumbel model[54]. To arrive at a single essentiality call per gene, we first
consolidated high confidence calls from the HMM and Gumbel methods per library (Extended
Data Fig. 3A) and then further consolidated these between the liquid and solid libraries
(Extended Data Fig. 3B&C). All essentiality data, including the HMM and Gumbel output, of
the three species is provided in supplementary table 1.
222
About 9% of the coding genes in each of the three species were classified as essential (Fig.
3A). This proportion is similar to previous findings in other Bacteroidales, including *B.*
thetaiotaomicron (6.7%[35] and 7.9%[21]) and *Bacteroides fragilis* (12.7%[37]). Similar ranges have
been reported in model bacteria, such as *E. coli* (8.3%[25]), *Bacillus subtilis* (6.1%[10]),
Caulobacter crescentus (12.2%[24]), and several Enterobacteriaceae species (6–9.1%[55]).
Importantly, for most genes the essentiality calls agreed between solid and liquid libraries
(Extended Data Fig. 3D). In *P. merdae*, *B. uniformis* and *P. vulgatus*, 11.2%, 3.1% and 7.1%
of the essential genes respectively, were flagged as being essential in only the solid or liquid
library (Fig. 3A). Most of these differential calls were due to low confidence by the HMM and
Gumbel method in one of the libraries (Supplementary Table 1), but in some cases biological
reasons may explain the differences. For example, pyrimidine biosynthesis genes in *P.*
merdae were essential only on solid media (Extended Data Fig. 3E), possibly because
metabolite cross-feeding is limited on agar plates, but can occur in liquid culture, rescuing the
auxotrophy of these mutants.
237
We next investigated the conservation and divergence of gene essentiality among the three
species. Genes were grouped into families using the Cluster of Orthologous Groups (COGs)
at the Bacteroidia (class) taxonomic level from the EggNOG 5.0 database[56], which yielded
452 families containing at least one essential gene. Among these, 63 families lacked
representation from one or two of the species. Such absences could reflect genuine species-
specific differences, or alternatively, cases where orthologous genes were assigned to
different families. To distinguish between these possibilities, we performed a reciprocal best
hit (RBH) analysis of protein BLAST searches among the genes of the 63 families (Methods).
This identified 14 genes across different families that were close homologs (E-value < 10^{-20}),
which we reassigned accordingly (Supplementary table 2). For example, ribosomal binding
factor A (*rbfA*) of *B. uniformis* was grouped in a separate COG than its orthologs in *P. merdae*
and *P. vulgatus*, despite all three being essential, consistent with findings for *rbfA* in *E. coli*[25].
After RBH-based reassignment, 438 families contained at least one essential gene. Of these,
275 families included essential genes from all three species, while the remaining 163 showed
variable conservation and/or essentiality across species (Fig. 3B). The essentiality calls were
robust, as similar distributions of conserved and variable essential genes where observed
when genes were grouped by their PFAM association (Extended Data Fig. 4A).

The majority of essential genes are conserved across the three species and including essential genes previously identified in *B. thetaiotaomicron*[21] preserved 196 of the 275 (71%) essential gene families (Extended Data. Fig. 4B). Hence, these core essential genes are likely to be conserved across most Bacteroidales and were enriched in core cellular processes, such as translation, cell division and metabolism, but also included genes of unknown function (Fig. 3C, Extended Data. Fig. 4C, Supplementary table 1). In contrast, only 20 genes were uniquely essential in one species and lacked orthologs in the other two species according to the eggNOG COG assignment and our stringent RBH analysis. More than half of the unique essential genes have poor functional annotations (Supplementary table 1). An example of an annotated unique essential gene is an antitoxin in *B. uniformis* (BACUNI_03224), which is part of a putative RnlA/RnlB toxin-antitoxin system.

Interestingly, the 163 gene families with non-conserved essentiality were enriched in coenzyme transport and metabolism (Fig. 3C), suggesting that essentiality can be bypassed either through redundancy (isoenzymes) or via alternative metabolic routes. An example of the latter involves *nadA*, *nadB* and *nadC*, which were assigned as essential in *P. merdae* and *P. vulgatus*, but not in *B. uniformis* and in *B. thetaiotaomicron* according to a prior study[21] (Fig. 3D). These genes encode enzymes that synthesize nicotinate mononucleotide (NaMN) from aspartate[57], a key precursor in the biosynthesis of the essential cofactors NAD and NADP. Loss-of-function mutations in *nadA-C* cause niacin auxotrophy[58]. However, some bacteria can bypass this requirement through a salvage pathway, in which NaMN is produced from exogenous nicotinic acid, likely present in complex mGAM media, via the enzyme PncB[59]. Indeed, *pcnB* is absent from *P. merdae* and *P. vulgatus*, explaining the essentiality of *nadA–C*, but it is present in both *B. uniformis* and *B. thetaiotaomicron*, rendering the route involving *nadA–C* dispensable (Fig. 3D). To investigate further whether functional redundancy contributes to differences in gene essentiality across species in general, we compared the number of genes per species in conserved versus non-conserved essential gene families. Paralogs resulting from gene duplications are known to buffer against gene essentiality[60]. Consistently, conserved essential families contained fewer genes per species than families in which essentiality was not conserved, suggesting that the differences in number of paralogs may explain several cases of non-conserved essentiality across the three species (Fig. 3E). An example is a putative UDP-glucose-6-dehydrogenase in family 2FMZ9 that is essential in *P. vulgatus*, which has only a single copy of this gene, but is dispensable in *P. merdae* and *B. uniformis*, which have two and three paralogs respectively (Extended Data. Fig. 4D).

In summary, the essentialome in the three Bacteroidales genera is strongly conserved and comprised 825 essential genes from 275 families, amounting to ~80% of all essential genes of the three species combined. Species-specific differences in essentiality primarily reflected functional redundancy through gene duplication and alternative metabolic strategies.

Genes encoding essential protein domains
Having highly saturated transposon libraries we next determined essentiality at the sub-gene level of encoded protein domains, as done previously for *E. coli*[25] and *C. crescentus*[24]. To assign domains, we complemented gene annotations with protein domain predictions obtained from InterProScan[61]. More than half of all genes encoded a single protein domain, while about 15–20% encoded either no domain or two domains in the three species – very few proteins had more than two domains (Fig. 4A). Since several genes lacked any InterPro-

annotated domain or their domains covered only a fraction of the full-length protein, we
classified unannotated sections and inter-domain sequences as independent "regions" for
analysis. We used the HMM method of TRANSIT to predict the essentiality state of each TA
site in the updated domain-and-region annotated genome. As expected, the majority of TA
sites in domains encoded by essential genes were essential, whereas TA sites in non-
essential genes were predominantly marked as non-essential (Fig. 4B). However, in some
cases, essential domains or regions (defined as having >80% essential TA sites) were
embedded within non-essential genes, ranging from 5 to 31 instances in the *P. vulgatus* solid
and liquid libraries, respectively. Conversely, we also identified non-essential domains or
regions (<20% essential TA sites) within essential genes, ranging from 2 cases in the *B.*
uniformis solid library to 20 in the *P. vulgatus* solid library (Supplementary table 3).

We specifically focused on a subset of genes with more than one protein domain/region (~25%
of all genes in each species; Fig. 4A) that contained both essential and non-essential
domains/regions predicted with high confidence (Methods). To increase robustness, we
required each domain/region to contain at least 10 TA sites, and that domain essentiality calls
agreed between the liquid and solid libraries. In this way we identified 30 genes as such
'domain-specific essentials' (8 in *P. merdae*, 8 in *B. uniformis,* and 14 in *P. vulgatus*), of which
3 overlapped between at least 2 species (Supplementary table 3). Although some of these
may be false positives due to sporadic insertions at the termini of an essential gene (see also
Discussion), most likely represent genuine examples of protein domain essentiality.

One such example is DNA polymerase I (*polA*), which exhibited a similar insertion pattern
across the three species: the N-terminal 5′→3′ exonuclease domain was essential, while the
proofreading (3′→5′ exonuclease) and polymerase domains tolerated insertions (Extended
Data Fig. 5A). This finding recapitulates known biology of PolA, as the 5′→3′ exonuclease
activity is vital for DNA replication by processing RNA primers during Okazaki fragment
maturation[62]. In contrast, proofreading and DNA polymerase activities can be also performed
by other polymerases (e.g., Pol III and Pol II), and hence are dispensable.

Another example of domain-specific essentiality comes from an uncharacterized gene family,
uniquely present in the Bacteroidota phylum. Members of this family (BACUNI_00679,
BVU_3062, PARMER_04194) were identified as domain-specific essential genes in all three
species (Extended Data Fig. 5B-C). These genes encode an N-terminal lipoprotein signal
peptide, a central domain of unknown function (DUF4296) and a C-terminal small beta-barrel-
like domain with a disordered region as predicted by Alphafold[63] (Extended Data Fig. 5D).
Essentiality mapping revealed that the DUF4296 is indispensable, whereas the C-terminal
portion of the protein tolerated insertions. In all three species, this gene is located downstream
of *lspA* (Extended Data Fig. 5C)*,* which encodes an essential lipoprotein signal peptidase. The
preserved genomic context and the matched essentiality suggest that *lspA* and the
uncharacterized protein, may be functionally related.

A third example of a domain-specific essential gene is *lpxC*, which was annotated as encoding
a multifunctional fusion protein. This gene harbored both essential and non-essential domains
in *P. merdae* with a similar domain-specific transposon insertion pattern in *B. uniformis* and *P.*
vulgatus (Fig. 4C). In the Bacteroidota and many other phyla, this gene appears to be a fusion
of *lpxC* (N-terminal, predicted UDP-3-O-acyl-N-acetylglucosamine deacetylase activity
involved in lipid A biosynthesis)*,* and *fabZ* (C-terminal, predicted beta-hydroxyacyl-(acyl-

carrier-protein) dehydratase activity involved in fatty acid biosynthesis) (Fig. 4D). The structural predictions of these domains match very well with their *E. coli* homologues (Fig. 4E, Extended Data. Fig. 6). In several other phyla, including the Pseudomonadota (formerly Proteobacteria), where most of our knowledge on the function of these genes originates, *lpxC* and *fabZ* occur as separate, distantly located genes (Fig. 4D). Both genes are essential in *E. coli*[25] and many other bacteria, and are considered antibiotic targets[64–67]. Interestingly, in *E. coli*, pharmacological inhibition of LpxC, leads to drug resistance through mutations in FabZ[68,69] and the two proteins have direct functional links[70]. Hence the fusion of LpxC and FabZ in Bacteroidota and other taxa may facilitate direct functional coordination between their activities. The transposon insertions tolerated in the FabZ domain suggest redundancy in the function of this domain that may be compensated by other proteins. To test this hypothesis, we searched for structural homologues of *E. coli* LpxC (PDB: 4mdt[71]) and FabZ (PDB: 6n3p[72]) in the proteomes of *B. uniformis*, *P. merdae* and *P. vulgatus*, using FoldSeek[73]. For LpxC, the fusion protein was the only high-confidence hit. In contrast, FabZ searches retrieved several proteins predicted to be structurally similar, though with lower confidence than the FabZ domain of the fusion protein (Fig. 4F & Extended Data. Fig. 6). The retrieved structural homologs are part of PFAM families, some of which are involved in other steps of fatty acid biosynthesis (e.g., BVU_1026 encodes a FabA-domain protein). One or more of these genes may compensate for loss of FabZ function in the *lpxC–fabZ* fusion transposon mutants.

In summary, our highly saturated transposon libraries reveal that essentiality is not always uniform across a protein, highlighting conserved cases of domain-specific essentiality, and pinpointing essential domains of unknown function, for future targeted functional investigation.

Essentiality of non-coding regions
As transposons also insert in non-coding regions of the genome, we used the TRANSIT-based essentiality calling also for non-protein-coding elements in the three genomes. These elements include transfer RNAs (tRNAs) and other non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs), terminal inverted repeat elements (TIREs) of mobile elements, and intergenic regions, defined as any sequence located between annotated features (gene, tRNA, ncRNA, or TIRE). Many of these non-coding elements are relatively short, leading to unclear essentiality predictions; however, for a substantial fraction, we were able to assess essentiality: between ~25% (TIREs) and above 90% (ncRNAs) across the three species (Fig. 5A, Supplementary table 1).

Universally conserved non-coding elements, such as various tRNAs, the RNase P ribozyme and the signal recognition particle (SRP) RNA were also essential in the three species. Surprisingly, the transfer-messenger RNA (tmRNA) and its associated protein SmpB were essential in *B. uniformis* and *P. vulgatus*, but fully dispensable in *P. merdae*. The tmRNA–SmpB complex rescues ribosomes that are stalled by degrading the mRNA and recycling the incomplete nascent polypeptides[74]. In *E. coli*, alternative ribosome rescue pathways involving *arfA* and *arfB* allow the cells to survive in the absence of tmRNA–SmpB[75–77]. We could not find sequence or structural homologs of *arfA* or *arfB*, or duplicated copies of tmRNA or *smpB*, in any of the three species. This suggests that *P. merdae* may employ a distinct ribosome rescue mechanism that either does not exist in *B. uniformis* and *P. vulgatus*, or the same mechanism exists in all thee but can only compensate for the loss of tmRNA–SmpB complex in *P. merdae.*

We also analyzed the essentiality of intergenic regions in *P. merdae* (n=2,322), *B. uniformis* (n=2,514)*,* and *P. vulgatus* (n=2,722). We could assess the essentiality status of 75-91% of

the intergenic regions (Fig. 5A), and of those with more than five TA insertion sites (above the
25th percentile for intergenic TA site density in all three species), only 11 (*P. merdae*), 16 (*B.
uniformis*), and 26 (*P. vulgatus*) were classified as essential. In most cases (11, 13, and 17 in
P. merdae, *B. uniformis*, and *P. vulgatus*, respectively), these essential intergenic regions are
located immediately upstream of essential genes (Supplementary table 1), suggesting that the
transposon insertion disrupts critical regulatory sequences and interferes with essential gene
expression. One notable case is an essential intergenic region conserved in all three species,
located between the essential δ subunit of DNA polymerase III and a predicted essential helix-
turn-helix (HTH) transcriptional regulator. This region is among the longest and most TA-rich
intergenic loci (130, 83, and 93 TA sites in *P. vulgatus*, *B. uniformis* and *P. merdae*
respectively) (Fig. 5B), yet we observed only 18 (*P. vulgatus*), 7 (*B. uniformis*) or 10 (*P.
merdae*) insertions. No protein-coding sequence could be detected within it and thus the
scarcity of insertions suggests that it may harbor an elaborate regulatory element or a
functional RNA not captured by our current annotation pipeline.
413
414 These findings exemplify the potential of using dense insertion libraries for the identification of
415 conserved and species-specific essential elements beyond protein-coding genes.
416
Insertion directionality bias infers toxic modalities in genomes
Transposon libraries can also provide insights into gene interactions by studying polar
effects[78,79]. We designed our transposon without a transcriptional terminator to allow for read-
through transcription into downstream genes and avoid polar effects when insertions occur in
the sense orientation in operons. However such a design may reduce downstream expression
of operon genes in antisense insertions, where the transposon integrates opposite to a gene's
orientation, through antisense transcription (Fig. 6A). We assessed insertion directionality bias
with a binomial test to identify genes showing significant enrichment of sense or antisense
insertions. For each gene, we also calculated a directionality ratio, defined as the fraction of
sense insertions over all (sense + antisense) insertions and normalized these ratios across
libraries (Extended Data. Fig. 7A) to correct for overall insertion bias (Fig. 2D, Supplementary
table 4). All libraries contained a small subset of genes with directionality ratio below 0.2 or
above 0.8 (Extended Data Fig. 7A). We called significantly biased genes if they met these
thresholds and had an adjusted *p*-value of < 0.01 from the binomial tests in both liquid and
solid libraries. Based on these criteria we identified 114, 127 and 82 significantly biased genes
in *P. vulgatus*, *B. uniformis* and *P. merdae*, respectively (Supplementary table 4).
433
Genes are likely biased because the transposon impacts the expression of neighboring genes.
We therefore asked whether genes with significant directionality bias also showed a specific
orientation of their immediate neighbors. Mapping the four possible orientations of neighboring
genes revealed that nearly all biased genes are followed by a downstream gene oriented in
the same direction, and hence likely part of the same operon (Fig. 6B; Supplementary table
4). This suggests that bias largely reflects whether transposon insertions enable (ratio > 0.8)
or prevent (ratio < 0.2) expression of downstream genes of the same operon. Consistent with
this, most of the genes that are downstream of a biased gene with ratio > 0.8 (less antisense
insertions) were found to be essential (Fig. 6C). Hence antisense insertions are less well
tolerated when the downstream gene is essential, by impairing its expression.
444
Conversely, genes with a directionality ratio < 0.2 (few sense insertions) showed a depletion
of essential genes downstream (Fig. 6C). In these cases, (higher) expression of the

downstream gene, while the upstream gene is disrupted by the transposon, is less well
tolerated, pointing to a toxic role for the downstream gene product. Toxic modalities are known
to occur in bacterial genomes, often in the form of toxin-antitoxin (TA) systems[78,80]. Across the
three species, we identified 28 annotated antitoxins (Methods and Supplementary table 4) of
which only 7 (2 in *P. merdae*, 3 in *B. uniformis* and 2 in *P. vulgatus*) were positioned upstream
and in the same orientation as another gene, often an annotated toxin. Among these, two
(PARMER_02862, BACUNI_04310) showed significant bias against downstream expression
(Extended Data Fig. 7B). A third candidate antitoxin, not previously annotated as such, was
identified in *B. uniformis* (BACUNI_01541) based on its bias and position upstream of an
annotated toxin (Extended Data Fig. 7B). In addition, we found two further cases of similar
bias in genes annotated as phage defense factors (Extended Data Fig. 7B), suggesting
analogous functions to antitoxins in these defense systems.
459
Among the other genes showing insertional bias against expression of downstream neighbors
(ratio < 0.2; few sense insertions), we identified at least five metabolic genes (2 in *B. uniformis*,
2 in *P. merdae* and 1 in *P. vulgatus*), suggesting that transposon disruption may lead to build-
up of toxic metabolic intermediates[81,82]. One such example is *gnd* (6-phosphogluconate
dehydrogenase) in *B. uniformis*, for which insertional bias is to potentially avoid expression of
the downstream pentose phosphate pathway genes *zwf* (glucose-6-phosphate 1-
dehydrogenase) and *pgl* (6-phosphogluconolactonase) (Fig. 6D). This suggests that in the
absence of functional Gnd, transposon-driven expression of *zwf* (and *pgl*) may lead to a build-
up of toxic 6-phosphate gluconate (6P-gluconate), possibly due to an inability of *B. uniformis*
to shuttle 6P-gluconate into the Entner-Doudoroff pathway. A similar pattern was found in *P.*
merdae (Supplementary table 4), but not in *P. vulgatus* (Fig. 6D). Additional examples include
genes involved in inositol glycerophospholipid metabolism in *P. merdae* and *B. uniformis*
(genes absent in *P. vulgatus*), and a putative esterase involved in ubiquinone biosynthesis
specifically in *P. vulgatus* (Extended Data Fig. 7C). In *B. uniformis* we also found biased
insertions in a gene encoding a WYL domain that is upstream of a Lin1244/Lin1753-like N-
terminal domain-containing gene. Both genes are predicted to be part of a putative
biosynthetic gene cluster of unknown function (Extended Data Fig. 7C).
477
Beyond individual genes, we observed that sets of biased genes often co-localized within
specific genomic regions in each of the three species (Supplementary table 4). To capture this
pattern more systematically, we determined directionality bias across the genome using a
sliding window approach. This revealed regions with strong bias against downstream
expression which often mapped to mobile genetic elements, such as integrative conjugative
elements (ICE) and prophages (Fig. 6E). Within ICEs, several mobilization/transfer genes
were significantly biased and we hypothesize that transposon-mediated dysregulation of
expression of such genes can lead to cell death or possibly to excision of the ICE element
(Extended Data Fig. 8A). Similarly, the prophage BV01 in *P. vulgatus*[83] contained a group of
genes towards the end of the prophage with strong insertional bias (Extended Data Fig. 8B).
Although many genes in this region are of unknown function, it is plausible that dysregulation
of (some of the) downstream gene(s) could lead to prophage excision.
490
491 In summary, transposon directionality bias can be used to identify functional dependencies
492 between gene products and the processes in which they are involved, and to highlight the
493 presence of potentially toxic modalities within genomes.

Discussion

Bacteria in the order Bacteroidales are typically dominant members of the human gut microbiota, with several species implicated in both health and disease[39–41], yet many remain understudied and poorly characterized experimentally. In this work, we developed tools and workflows that enable efficient construction of genome-wide transposon insertion libraries in diverse Bacteroidales species and strains. Using these resources, we generated saturated, barcoded mutant libraries in three key representative genera: *Bacteroides*, *Phocaeicola* and *Parabacteroides*. The tools and workflows presented here, include new broad-range transposon vectors with different selection markers, a dual-purpose cloning and conjugation donor strain, a convenient liquid-based protocol for generating libraries and computational scripts/tools for functional genomics analyses. Together, these innovations can be used to expedite the construction and analysis of barcoded mutant libraries across Bacteroidales species. Moreover, the three libraries established here and available to the community, can themselves serve as basis for large-scale gene-phenotype studies aimed at advancing our functional knowledge of this key group of gut bacteria.

Our dense transposon insertion libraries in *B. uniformis*, *P. merdae* and *P. vulgatus* enabled us to assess gene essentiality within and across these species. Identifying essential genes is valuable for understanding physiology and evolution[23,26,84], refining genome-scale metabolic models[85], predicting antibiotic sensitivities and novel targets[86], and for engineering purposes such as utilizing auxotrophies[87,88]. Although gene essentiality has been mapped in diverse bacteria, cross-species comparisons are notoriously hard because different metrics and methods often produce false negative and positive calls[89]. To address this, we devised a systematic framework based on available bioinformatic tools, which can be used with additional mariner transposon datasets (as demonstrated here by including data from a *B. thetaiotaomicron* library[21]). Most essential gene families were involved in core processes and thus were essential across all three species, but some exhibited species-specific essentiality. We showed that species differences in essentiality often arise from functional redundancy and rerouting via alternative metabolic routes. Families with genes of unknown function were found both among conserved and species-specific essential genes.

In addition to determining gene essentiality, we mined our TnSeq dataset to systematically investigate essentiality of non-protein-coding regions, protein domains and interactions among neighboring genes using directionality bias. This revealed essential intergenic regions, critical protein domains, and indications for metabolic and mobile genetic element toxicities as a consequence of pathway disruption or mobile element activation. Such findings underscore the utility of dense transposon library TnSeq datasets for diverse functional genomics analyses. Looking ahead, applying similar analyses to new TnSeq datasets in additional species, or re-analyzing existing datasets with improved annotations for protein domains[90], coding and non-coding genes[91] and regulatory elements like promoters and terminators[92,93], may yield deeper insight into the conservation and molecular basis of non-coding and protein-domain essentiality, as well as gene-dysregulation-mediated toxicity.

Our tools and approaches also have limitations. Although our transposon vector design includes promoters shown to be active across multiple species[21,43,44], some strains did not yield transconjugants. In these cases, low conjugation efficiency is likely due to either cell envelope incompatibilities with the RP4 conjugation machinery[94,95] or more likely due to host defense mechanisms that block incoming foreign DNA, e.g., restriction-modification enzymes.

While strain-specific strategies can sometimes overcome these barriers, broader solutions
have been developed such as mimicking DNA methylation to avoid restriction-modification in
the recipient[96] or using non-RP4 based DNA delivery systems like ICE's[97]. Mariner
transposons also have inherent limitations, the most notable being their dependency on TA
dinucleotide sites for insertion. This bias reduces their ability to target regions sparse in TA
sites. In addition, essential genes cannot be directly studied in transposon library screens, as
insertions in these regions are by definition lethal. Complementary approaches such as
CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) are better suited for probing essential genes and small
elements, such as ncRNAs, and have been adapted for *Bacteroides* species[98,99].
551
Overall, there is a pressing need for the expansion of systematic genetic tools and genome-
wide resources for gut bacteria, if we are to uncover the function and organization of genes in
these non-model organisms. In this work, we provide such tools, analytical frameworks and
resources for three key representatives of the human gut microbiota. Similar and
complementary resources are vital for many other species and genera. This could be the basis
for future large-scale genotype-phenotype mapping experiments to accelerate functional
annotation and map genetic networks. As shown in other species[13,14,16,21,22] such efforts can
provide a broader knowledge base for gene function discovery and for uncovering species-
specific aspects of cell physiology. Collectively such efforts will provide a foundation for deeper
understanding of the gut microbiome and open new avenues for its modulation.

Methods and materials

Bacterial strains, growth conditions and antibiotic sensitivity testing

Bacteroidales strains used in this study are reported in Supplementary table 5. Some strains were isolated from fecal samples from healthy donors at the EMBL. The local Bioethics Internal Advisory Committee approved all experiments involving human stool-derived material. Informed consent was obtained from all donors (BIAC2015-009). All Bacteroidales strains were grown at 37°C on modified Gifu Anaerobic Media (mGAM, Nissui Pharmaceutical; 05433) in an anaerobic (12% CO_2, 1.5% H_2, 86.5% N_2) vinyl chamber (Coy Laboratory Products Inc.). mGAM media (solid with 2% agar, or liquid) was placed in the anaerobic chamber to become anoxic 24 hours before use. *Escherichia coli* S17-1, *E. coli* EC100D*pir+* (Lucigen/Epicentre) and *E. coli* DATC were grown on Lysogeny Broth (LB) at 37°C. For *E. coli* DATC, LB was supplemented with 0.3 mM diaminopimelic acid (DAP, Sigma-Aldrich; 33240). Generation of the *E. coli* DATC (available at DSMZ; 116187) conjugation donor strain is described in Bobonis *et al.* 2024[49]. To determine the sensitivity of the 32 Bacteroidales strains to erythromycin (Sigma-Aldrich; E5389), chloramphenicol (Sigma-Aldrich; C0378) and tetracycline (Sigma-Aldrich; 87128), 24-hour cultures (stationary phase) grown in mGAM were diluted in a 96-well plate 1:500 into 100 µl fresh mGAM containing antibiotics (50 µg/ml to 0.78 µg/ml by 2-fold serial decrease) and grown at 37°C. Optical density was measured every 30 minutes at 578 nm for 48 hours in an Epoch2 plate reader (Agilent) connected to a BioStack plate stacker (Agilent) installed in an anaerobic chamber.

Construction of transposon vectors

The transposon vector pCV006 (*ermG*) was constructed using Gibson assembly of different template DNA fragments: pSAM_bt (served as vector backbone, Addgene; 112497), *cepA* hybrid promoter sequence RBF-103[44] (synthesized, Eurofins), P_{BfP1E6} promoter sequence (taken from pWW3864[43], kindly provided by Justin Sonnenburg) and a barcode entry sequence containing two BsmBI recognition sequences (synthesized, Eurofins). Fragments were assembled using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly 2x Mastermix (New England Biolabs (NEB); E2621). The Himar1 C9 transposase gene in pSAM_bt contained a BsmBI recognition sequence, which was removed by making a synonymous nucleotide change (G to A) at position 546 relative to the starting nucleotide of the gene reading frame (A of ATG). After completing plasmid pCV006 we used NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly 2x Mastermix to replace the *ermG* gene for *catP* (taken from pGT-Ah7, Addgene; 122574) or *tetQ* (taken from pGT-Ah9, Addgene; 122576)[48] to generate plasmids pCV019 and pCV020, respectively. Plasmids pCV006, pCV019 and pCV020 were verified by whole plasmid sequencing (Eurofins) and are available through Addgene (IDs as follows: pCV006: 232824; pCV019: 232825; pCV020: 232826). Annotated plasmid sequence of pCV006 is reported in Supplementary file 1.

Barcoding of transposon vectors

One µg of pCV006 was digested with 100 units of Esp3I/BsmBI (Thermo Fisher; ER0451) in Tango buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific (TFS); BY5) supplemented with freshly prepared DTT (Sigma-Aldrich; D0632, 1 mM final concentration) for 16 hours at 37°C, followed by 20 minutes at 65°C. Linearized plasmid was extracted from 1% agarose gel using the GeneJET Gel Extraction and DNA Cleanup Micro Kit (TFS; K0831). Single stranded DNA oligos containing a four nucleotide index in front of a 25 random nucleotide barcode were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (cartridge purification) (Supplementary table 6). The single stranded oligo was made double stranded by PCR using a reverse primer in a reaction mixture containing: 100 pmol barcoded oligo, 500 pmol primer and 100 µl Q5® High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix (NEB;

M0492). PCR program consisted of: 3 minutes at 98°C, 25 cycles of 12 seconds/cycle going
from 55°C to 50°C (-0.2°C/cycle) followed by 7 minutes at 72°C. PCR reaction was purified
using GeneJET Gel Extraction and DNA Cleanup Micro Kit. The linearized plasmid was
barcoded and circularized through Golden Gate cloning in three identical reactions each
containing: 80 ng linearized plasmid, 9 ng double stranded barcoded oligo (~5-fold molar
excess oligo:plasmid), 1 µl NEBridge® Golden Gate Assembly Kit BsmBI-v2 (NEB; E1602), 2
µl T4 ligase buffer (NEB; B0202) and water up to 20 µl total volume. The reaction mixtures
were incubated for 3 hours at 42°C, after which the three reactions were pooled and purified
using GeneJET Gel Extraction and DNA Cleanup Micro Kit. The barcoded plasmids were
electroporated into *E. coli* EC100D*pir*+ TransForMax cells (Biozym; 190065) and expanded
by growing cells until saturation at 37°C in 200 ml LB with 100 µg/ml ampicillin (Sigma-Aldrich;
A9518). The barcoded plasmid library was extracted from EC100D*pir*+ cells by midi-prep
(Zymo Research; D4200) and electroporated into the *E. coli* DATC conjugation donor that was
grown at 37°C until saturation in 200 ml LB with 0.3 mM DAP and 100 µg/ml ampicillin. Multiple
1 ml single-use cryostocks were preserved at -80°C until use in conjugation experiments with
type strains of *B. uniformis*, *P. vulgatus* or *P. merdae*.
626
Whole genome sequencing and genome annotation
Genomic DNA was extracted from Bacteroidales strains isolated at the EMBL and the type
strains of *B. uniformis* ATCC 8492, *P. vulgatus* ATCC 8482 and *P. merdae* ATCC 43184
(purchased from DSMZ) using the ZymoBiomics DNA miniprep kit (Zymo Research; D4300)
and measured for molecular weight size distribution using FEMTO pulse (Agilent). High
molecular weight DNA was fragmented using Megaruptor 3.0 (Diagenode) to a final size of
15-20 kbps. Sequencing libraries were prepared using the SMRTBell 3.0 kit (Pacific
Bioscience) as per the manufacturer's instructions. Libraries were size-selected using AMPure
PB beads to remove fragments shorter than 5 kbps. Final library yield and fragment size were
assessed, and libraries were pooled equimolarly and sequenced on a PacBio Sequel IIe
instrument with 30 hours movie time. Genomes were assembled with Flye[100] version 2.9-
b1768. We assigned taxonomic labels using the whole genome sequence and GTDB-tk[101]
version 2.1.1. Genome sequences generated in this study have been deposited at the ENA
under project number ERP180871. The *P. vulgatus* ATCC 8482 and *P. merdae* ATCC 43184
genomes were assembled into single contigs of 5.16 and 4.38 Mbps, respectively. The *B.*
uniformis ATCC 8492 genome assembled into a chromosome of 4.68 Mbps and an extra
chromosomal element of 22.7 kbps. Genomes were annotated using the mettannotator
pipeline of the EMBL-EBI[51], which utilizes multiple bioinformatics tools to provide information
on various identified genetic elements (Supplementary table 1).
646
Conjugation of transposon vectors to Bacteroidales strains
Bacteroidales recipients and *E. coli* DATC donor carrying pCV006, pCV019 or pCV020 were
grown at 37°C from a single colony anaerobically in mGAM (Bacteroidales) or aerobically in
LB (DATC, supplemented with 0.3 mM DAP and 100 µg/ml ampicillin) for 16-20 hours.
Recipient and donor cultures were diluted 100-fold and 250-fold, respectively, in fresh media
and grown for 4 hours in same conditions as overnight cultures. Donor cells were washed
twice with LB without ampicillin by centrifuging at 3225 *g* for 5 min at RT. Eight OD600nm units
of recipient and one OD unit of donor were collected by centrifuging cultures at 3225 *g* for 5
minutes. Pellets were resuspended in 25 µl mGAM, and recipient and donor cells were mixed
and placed as 50 µl spots on mGAM agar with 0.3 mM DAP. Cells were left to conjugate for
16-20 hours at 37°C under aerobic conditions. Cell mixtures were scraped from agar into 1 ml

mGAM and washed twice with mGAM without DAP by centrifugation at 3225 *g* for 5 minutes
at room temperature. Pellets were resuspended in 1 ml anoxic mGAM without DAP and left to
recover for 1 hour at 37°C in an anaerobic chamber. Recovered cultures were diluted 50-fold
and 500-fold in mGAM, and 100 µl was plated on anoxic mGAM agar containing 25 µg/ml
erythromycin, chloramphenicol or tetracycline. Forty-eight hours after incubation at 37°C in an
anaerobic chamber, colonies were counted.
664

Construction of full-scale mutant libraries with outgrowth in solid or liquid media
Saturated *B. uniformis* ATCC 8492 and *P. vulgatus* ATCC 8492 cultures grown anaerobically
at 37°C for 24 hours from a single colony were diluted 1:100 in 10 ml MGAM and grown for 4
hours. Three cryovials of *E. coli* DATC carrying barcoded pCV006 were thawed and inoculated
in 50 ml LB (supplemented with 0.3 mM DAP and 100 µg/ml ampicillin) and grown for 4 hours
at 37°C in aerobic conditions with shaking at 180 rpm. Donor cells were washed twice with LB
without ampicillin by centrifuging at 3225 *g* for 5 min. Eight OD600nm units of recipient and
one OD unit of donor were collected by centrifuging cultures at 3225 *g* for 5 min at RT. Pellets
were resuspended in 100 µl mGAM, and recipient and donor cells were mixed in quadruplets
by adding 25 µl donor to 25 µl recipient. Separate mixtures were placed as 50 µl spots on
mGAM agar with 0.3 mM DAP. Cells were left to conjugate for 16 hours at 37°C under aerobic
conditions. Cell mixtures were scraped from mGAM agar into 4 x 1 ml mGAM and washed
twice with mGAM without DAP by centrifugation at 3225 *g* for 2 min at RT. Pellets were
resuspended in 4 x 1 ml anoxic mGAM without DAP and left to recover for 1 hour at 37°C in
an anaerobic chamber. The four separate cell mixtures were pooled and added to 46 ml
mGAM. One ml of this was serially diluted 10-fold and plated on mGAM agar with 25 µg/ml
erythromycin and 200 µg/ml gentamicin to determine the input transconjugant CFUs. For the
solid library, 200 µl of diluted cell mixture was spread with glass beads on 25 petri dishes (145
mm) containing mGAM with 25 µg/ml erythromycin and 200 µg/ml gentamicin. For the liquid
library, 3.5 ml of diluted cell mixture was added to 100 ml of mGAM with 25 µg/ml erythromycin
and 200 µg/ml gentamicin. Solid and liquid libraries were incubated anaerobically at 37°C for
32 hours. One ml of the outgrown liquid library was serially diluted 10-fold and plated on
selective mGAM to determine the output transconjugant CFUs. For the solid library, all
colonies were scraped and mixed in 131.5 ml mGAM containing 25 µg/ml erythromycin and
12% glycerol. The 100 ml liquid library was mixed with 31.5 ml of 50% glycerol. Multiple single-
use 1 ml cryostocks were created and stored at -80°C. The mutant library of *P. merdae* ATCC
43184 was constructed as above with the following modifications. *E. coli* donor library was
thawed from a second-generation glycerol stock, after previous amplification of the original *E.*
coli donor library. The time of aerobic conjugation was 14 hours. For the solid library, the
conjugation mix was plated with disposable L shape spreaders in 20 x 145 mm plates and 4
x 500 mm plates, and colonies were obtained after 48 h in anaerobic culturing at 37°C. Plates
were scraped and added to mGAM broth before mixing with glycerol to 24% w/v and stored
as 1 ml single-use pooled *P. merdae* library aliquots.
698

Library preparation for TnSeq
For *B. uniformis* and *P. vulgatus* mutant libraries, genomic DNA was extracted from one 1 ml
cryovial using the ZymoBiomics DNA miniprep kit (Zymo Research; D4300), while *P. merdae*
DNA was extracted with the DNAeasy PowerBiofilm kit (Qiagen, 24000-50). A two-step PCR
was performed to amplify transposon-genome junctions and prepare library DNA for Illumina
sequencing. Five identical PCR-1 reaction mixtures were prepared per library containing: 10
µl KAPA HiFi HotStart Readymix (Roche; KK2601), 160 nM PCR-1 forward primer, 160 nM

PCR-1 reverse primer 1, 160 nM PCR-1 reverse primer 2, 200 ng of library DNA and water up
to 20 µl total (see Supplementary table 6 for primer sequences). PCR-1 program was: 98°C
for 5 min, 6 cycles with [98°C for 30 sec, 42°C for 30 sec and -1°C per cycle, 72°C for 90 sec],
25 cycles with [98°C for 30 sec, 45°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 90 sec], 72°C for 10 min. PCR-1
reactions were pooled, purified using the GeneJET DNA Cleanup kit (TFS; K0831) and
quantified using a Qubit fluorometer (TFS). Four identical PCR-2 reaction mixtures were
prepared per library containing: 25 µl KAPA HiFi HotStart Readymix, 25 nM PCR-2 forward
primer 1, 25 nM PCR-2 forward primer 2, 25 nM PCR-2 forward primer 3, 25 nM PCR-2
forward primer 4, 100 nM PCR-2 reverse primer, 40 ng DNA from PCR-1, water up to 50 µl.
PCR-2 program was: 98°C for 5 min, 30 cycles with [98°C for 30 sec, 52°C for 30 sec, 72°C
for 90 sec], 72°C for 10 min. PCR-2 reactions were pooled, purified using the GeneJET DNA
Cleanup kit (TFS; K0831) and run on a 1.5% agarose gel. The expected DNA smear was cut
between 300 and 900 bps and extracted from gel using the GeneJET Gel Extraction and DNA
Cleanup Micro Kit. Library quality was assessed with a Bioanalyzer on a high sensitivity DNA
chip (Agilent) or Tapestation D5000 HS (Agilent). Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina
NextSeq 500 system with a Mid output flow cell for 150 basepair single-end reads and 10%
PhiX spike-in. Yield was at least 20 million reads per library.
723
Insertion mapping using TnSeeker and barcode filtering
Transposon insertions were mapped onto the genome using TnSeeker version 1.0.6.5
(https://github.com/afombravo/tnseeker) and Bowtie2 version 2.4.4[102] and a genome feature
file (GFF) which was obtained by running the mettannotator annotation pipeline[51]. The
following TnSeeker input parameters were used: --tn
TACGAAGACCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACCTG (Himar-1 inverted repeat), --m 6, --b, --b1
ATGTCCACGAGGTGTAACTG (*B. uniformis* and *P. merdae*) or
ATGTCCACGAGGTGTATGCA (*P. vulgatus*), --b2 CAGAATTGGGAGTCTACGAA, --b1m 3,
--b2m 3, --b1p 1, --b2p 1, --ph 10, --mq 1, --ne. The TnSeeker output file
"annotated_barcodes.csv" was used to determine the number of unique barcodes per gene
based on rules similar to those described in Price *et al.,*[14]. Briefly, good barcodes are defined
as either uniquely mapping to one genome position with 5 or more reads, or mapping to more
than one location with 10 or more reads of which 3/4 or more of the reads map to one primary
location and 1/8 or less of the reads map to any other location. To filter out likely erroneous
barcodes generated from sequencing errors, barcodes with a Hamming distance of 3 or less
compared to the barcode with the highest read count at a given position were removed.
740
Analysis of gene essentiality
An overview of the computational workflow and the required files and R scripts necessary for
essential gene analysis is shown in Supplementary figure 1. For the identification of essential
genes, we used the TRANSIT software package[54]. The necessary .prot_table and .wig file
inputs for TRANSIT were generated with custom R scripts. Only genes encoding proteins
between 10 and 5000 amino acids were included in the analysis (these thresholds are set
when making the .prot_table file). We used TRANSIT version 3.3.2 through the graphical user
interface. To determine essential genes with the HMM method, we used the following settings:
ignore N-terminus %: 5, ignore C-terminus %: 10, normalization: nonorm, replicates: sum, and
with correction for genome positional bias. The resulting output was run through the HMM
post-processing script (downloaded from https://github.com/mad-
lab/transit/tree/master/src/HMM_conf.py) to add confidence flags to the HMM calls[103]. The
HMM outputs one of four calls for each gene: essential (ES), growth defect (GD), not essential

(NE) or growth advantage (GA). To create a binary essentiality call, we combined GD genes
with ES genes if the GD gene had no reads. GD genes were combined with NE genes if they
had reads. GA genes were combined with NE genes. To determine essential genes with the
Gumbel-binomial method[104], we used the following settings: ignore N-terminus %: 5, ignore
C-terminus %: 10, samples: 20000, burn-in: 1000, trim: 1, minimum: 1, replicate: sum.
Essentiality calls from the HMM and Gumbel-binomial output were consolidated per library
and these calls were further consolidated between the liquid and solid libraries using a custom
R script according to the algorithm shown in Extended Data. Fig. 3A.
762
Analyses of essential gene conservation and functional enrichment
To determine the conservation of gene essentiality across species, we grouped genes into
families by mapping the protein-coding genes of the three species to the EggNOG 5.0
database[56] using EggNOG-mapper[105]. Gene families were defined based on COG
classification at the Bacteroidia (class) taxonomic level. PFAM annotations from EggNOG-
mapper were used as an alternative grouping method. Genes annotated as essential in either
liquid or solid media were classified as essential, while families containing genes with an
"unclear" essentiality call were excluded (n = 71). Families lacking gene members from one or
two species (n = 63) were analyzed by protein BLASTP (version 2.15.0+). Protein sequences
of the genes in these families were queried against the complete proteomes of *P. merdae*, *P.*
vulgatus, and *B. uniformis*. For each query, the top five subject hits were selected based on
the highest bitscore (ties resolved by the lowest E-value). These subject hits were
subsequently used as queries in reverse BLASTP searches against the proteome of the
original query species. The best hit was defined as the alignment with the highest bitscore,
with ties resolved by the lowest E-value. Reciprocal best hits (RBHs) were defined as gene
pairs that were each other's best forward and reverse hits. Hits were required to meet the
following thresholds: query coverage >= 80%, subject coverage >= 80%, bitscore >= 80, and
E-value <= 1e-20. RBHs involving three species were consolidated such that if two genes
shared the same COG assignment, the third was reassigned to that family. In cases of three
different family assignments or two-species RBHs, the family of the query species was
adopted. This procedure resulted in the reassignment of 14 genes, reducing the total number
of essential gene families from 452 (EggNOG only) to 438 after RBH-based correction. The
COG category enrichment analysis was performed using the categories listed per gene family
(eggNOG COG at Bacteroidia level) from the eggNOG-mapper output with a custom R script.
The COG categories were retrieved from NCBI. Enrichment was assessed using a one-sided
Fisher's exact test. *p*-values were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg
false discovery rate (FDR) method.
790
Analysis of essential protein domains
To evaluate the essentiality of protein domains encoded within genes, we annotated the
genome at the domain level using InterProScan outputs derived from the mettannotator
pipeline and a custom R script. Briefly: for each domain prediction tool in the InterProScan
output (e.g., PFAM, NCBIfam), the longest (in nucleotides) entries labeled as "Domain" or
"Family" were retained. Domains were prioritized over families, and redundant annotations
(e.g., domains embedded in families or vice versa) were removed. Predictions with >50%
overlap were consolidated, retaining only the longest prediction (e.g., PFAM prediction
retained over a shorter NCBIfam prediction). Inter-domain regions were labeled sequentially
(e.g., region_1, region_2), starting from the 5' end of each gene. A new .prot_table file was
generated using the domain-annotated genome file, retaining only domains and regions ≥10

amino acids. The .prot_table was used together with library-specific .wig files of insertion
counts at TA sites for domain-essentiality assessment using TRANSIT's HMM method. For
the domain-essentiality analysis, unlike in the gene-essentiality analysis, insertions in the N-
or C-terminus of proteins were not ignored. The HMM output classified TA sites within domains
and regions as "essential" (ES), "growth defect" (GD), "non-essential" (NE), or "growth
advantage" (GA) and to create a binary call, GD sites were converted to ES sites if they had
no read counts and were converted to NE sites if they had read counts. GA sites were
converted to NE sites. To summarize the percentage of essential TA sites in domains and
regions per gene, those genes found to be essential in either solid or liquid media were
counted as essential genes. To call hits of essential genes containing non-essential protein
domains, or vice versa, we selected genes with at least two domains, each represented by at
least 10 TA sites and without a low-confidence HMM flag. Among these, one domain had to
have the highest HMM probability of being essential, while another had to have the highest
probability other than being essential. The TRANSIT's HMM essentiality output per domain
and selection of hits is included in Supplementary table 3. AlphaFold monomer-predicted
structures of proteins of interest were retrieved from UniProt using their accession codes.
To find structural homologues of *E. coli* FabZ and LpxC, we first generated structural
predictions of all proteins of the three species using ProstT5[106] as implemented within
the Phold package and using its default settings (version 0.1.3)[107]. These predicted structures
were searched against the PDB (Aug-2024) using foldseek[73] (version 9.427df8a), using
default settings for the easy-search mode. Proteins with predicted structural similarities to
FabZ (6n3p[72]) and LpxC (4mdt[71]) and E-values < 0.05 were retained. Alphafold models of the
hits were retrieved from UniProt using each protein's UniProt accession and were run in the
foldseek webserver (Aug-2025) for visual inspection and final model scores (RMSD, E-value,
TM-score).
827
Analysis of insertion directionality bias
Directionality bias was assessed using a custom R script. For each gene, the directionality
ratio was calculated as the number of insertions in the gene's sense strand divided by the total
insertions in both the sense and antisense strands. Ratios were median-normalized across all
libraries. Directionality bias significance was determined using a binomial test with Benjamini-
Hochberg correction. Genes with an adjusted *p*-value < 0.01 and a directionality ratio < 0.2 or
> 0.8 were classified as significantly biased. Putative toxin and antitoxin genes were identified
in the three species using two approaches: Searching for "toxin" or "antitoxin" in the gene
description fields of the .gff output files generated by mettannotator and by using
TAfinder2.0[108] via the web interface with the following parameters: BLAST E-value: 0.01;
HMMER E-value: 0.01; Maximum sequence length: 500 amino acids; Maximum distance: 150
nucleotides; Maximum overlap: 50 nucleotides. The input file was a .gbk file generated by
mettannotator. Supplementary table 4 includes directionality bias ratios for all genes across
libraries, significantly biased genes and their immediate neighbors, canonical toxin-antitoxin
genes found using text mining and TAfinder2.0, and genes with similar directionality biases to
toxin-antitoxin pairs.
844
845 **Supplementary material**
846 Supplementary table 1: Essentiality calls for all annotated features in the liquid and solid
847 mutant libraries of *P. merdae*, *B. uniformis* and *P. vulgatus* (tab1) and conservation status of
848 essential genes (tab2)
849 Supplementary table 2: Reciprocal best hit BLAST results for finding orthologs

Data availability

Sequencing data of the *P. merdae* mutant libraries has been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI under accession number PRJEB77289. Sequencing data of *B. uniformis* and *P. vulgatus* libraries has been deposited in the ENA under accession number PRJEB98479 and will be available after 01-July-2026. Whole genome sequences of *P. merdae* ATCC43184, *B. uniformis* ATCC8492 and *P. vulgatus* ATCC8482 and strains isolated from fecal samples in this work are deposited in the ENA under study number ERP180871 and will be available after 01-July-2026.

Code availability

TnSeeker software, used for processing of TnSeq reads, is available at https://github.com/afombravo/tnseeker. Custom Unix and R scripts to perform functional genomics analyses of a single Mariner based TnSeq library are available at https://git.embl.org/grp-typas/transposon_toolkit. See Supplementary figure 1 for a flowchart of files, scripts and softwares used for the analyses.

Acknowledgements

We thank Vitalina Chamberlain-Evans (MRC Toxicology Unit, University of Cambridge) for helpful conversation and guidance on DNA library QC. We thank all Typas group members for helpful discussions and in particular, Tara Bartolec for running and providing Foldseek output and Martin Garrido Rodriguez-Cordoba for assistance with Alphafold modelling. We thank Justin Sonnenburg for providing the pWW3864 plasmid. We thank the EMBL genomics core facility, and in particular Vladimir Benes, Mireia Osuna Lopez and Hilal Ozgur for their help and support with whole genome and TnSeq sequencing library preparation.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: C.V., I.R., M.Z, K.R.P., A.T. Funding acquisition: C.V., M.Z, K.R.P., A.T. Experiments: C.V., I.R., K.M., J.B. Data analysis: C.V., I.R., N.K., A.B., L.K., V.V. Writing manuscript: C.V., I.R., A.T. with edits from all authors. Visualization: C.V., I.R. Supervision: G.Z., M.Z., K.R.P., A.T.

Funding

This work was funded by ERC grant uCARE ID 819454 (to A.T.), the Liliane Bettencourt Prize for Life Sciences (to A.T.), ERC grant GutTransForm ID 101078353 (to M.Z.), and a grant from the EMBL | Stanford Data Creation Fund provided by the Life Science Alliance (to A.T.). EMBL

Core funding and especially dedicated funding from the Microbial Ecosystems Transversal
Theme contributed to this project. C.V. was supported by a fellowship from the EMBL
Interdisciplinary Postdoc (EIPOD4) program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions
COFUND (grant 847543) and by an Add-On Fellowship for Interdisciplinary Science from the
Joachim Herz Foundation for parts of the project. This project has received funding from the
European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and
innovation programme (grant ID 866028) (KRP and IR) and from the UK Medical Research
Council (project ID MC_UU_00025/11) (KRP).

Conflict of interest
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1.   Qin, J. *et al.* A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. *Nature* **464**,
59–65 (2010).
2.   The Human Microbiome Jumpstart Reference Strains Consortium *et al.* A catalog of reference genomes
from the human microbiome. *Science* **328**, 994–999 (2010).
3.   Sereika, M. *et al.* Genome-resolved long-read sequencing expands known microbial diversity across
terrestrial habitats. *Nat. Microbiol.* **10**, 2018–2030 (2025).
4.   Almeida, A. *et al.* A unified catalog of 204,938 reference genomes from the human gut microbiome. *Nat.*
Biotechnol. **39**, 105–114 (2021).
5.   Coelho, L. P. *et al.* Towards the biogeography of prokaryotic genes. *Nature* **601**, 252–256 (2022).
6.   Schmidt, T. S. B. *et al.* SPIRE: a Searchable, Planetary-scale mIcrobiome REsource. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
52, D777–D783 (2024).
7.   Moore, L. R. *et al.* Revisiting the y-ome of *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **52**, 12201–12207 (2024).
8.   Wicke, D., Meißner, J., Warneke, R., Elfmann, C. & Stülke, J. Understudied proteins and understudied
functions in the model bacterium *Bacillus subtilis*—A major challenge in current research. *Mol. Microbiol.*
120, 8–19 (2023).
9.   Jana, B. *et al.* CRISPRi–TnSeq maps genome-wide interactions between essential and non-essential
genes in bacteria. *Nat. Microbiol.* **9**, 2395–2409 (2024).
10.   Koo, B.-M. *et al.* Comprehensive double-mutant analysis of the *Bacillus subtilis* envelope using double-
CRISPRi. Preprint at *bioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.08.14.608006 (2024).
11.   Brochado, A. R. & Typas, A. High-throughput approaches to understanding gene function and mapping
network architecture in bacteria. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **16**, 199–206 (2013).
12.   Liu, H. & Deutschbauer, A. M. Rapidly moving new bacteria to model-organism status. *Curr. Opin.*
Biotechnol. **51**, 116–122 (2018).
13.   Nichols, R. J. *et al.* Phenotypic landscape of a bacterial cell. *Cell* **144**, 143–156 (2011).
14.   Price, M. N. *et al.* Mutant phenotypes for thousands of bacterial genes of unknown function. *Nature* **557**,
503–509 (2018).
15.   Mazurkiewicz, P., Tang, C. M., Boone, C. & Holden, D. W. Signature-tagged mutagenesis: barcoding
mutants for genome-wide screens. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **7**, 929–939 (2006).
16.   Wetmore, K. M. *et al.* Rapid quantification of mutant fitness in diverse bacteria by sequencing randomly
bar-coded transposons. *mBio* **6**, e00306-15 (2015).
17.   Cain, A. K. *et al.* A decade of advances in transposon-insertion sequencing. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **21**, 526–540
(2020).
18.   Tripathi, S. *et al.* Randomly barcoded transposon mutant libraries for gut commensals I: Strategies for
efficient library construction. *Cell Rep.* **43**, 113517 (2024).
19.   Voogdt, C. G. P. *et al.* Randomly barcoded transposon mutant libraries for gut commensals II: Applying
libraries for functional genetics. *Cell Rep.* **43**, 113519 (2024).
20.   Cullen, T. W. *et al.* Antimicrobial peptide resistance mediates resilience of prominent gut commensals
during inflammation. *Science* **347**, 170–175 (2015).
21.   Liu, H. *et al.* Functional genetics of human gut commensal *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* reveals metabolic
requirements for growth across environments. *Cell Rep.* **34**, (2021).
22.   Shiver, A. L. *et al.* Genome-scale resources in the infant gut symbiont *Bifidobacterium breve* reveal genetic
determinants of colonization and host-microbe interactions. *Cell* **188**, 2003-2021.e19 (2025).
23.   Juhas, M., Eberl, L. & Church, G. M. Essential genes as antimicrobial targets and cornerstones of synthetic
biology. *Trends Biotechnol.* **30**, 601–607 (2012).
24.   Christen, B. *et al.* The essential genome of a bacterium. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **7**, 528 (2011).
25.   Goodall, E. C. A. *et al.* The essential genome of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *mBio* **9**, (2018).

26. Rancati, G., Moffat, J., Typas, A. & Pavelka, N. Emerging and evolving concepts in gene essentiality. *Nat.*
Rev. Genet. **19**, 34–49 (2018).
27. Rousset, F. *et al.* The impact of genetic diversity on gene essentiality within the *Escherichia coli* species.
Nat. Microbiol. **6**, 301–312 (2021).
28. Zhu, A., Sunagawa, S., Mende, D. R. & Bork, P. Inter-individual differences in the gene content of human
gut bacterial species. *Genome Biol.* **16**, 82 (2015).
29. Madi, N., Chen, D., Wolff, R., Shapiro, B. J. & Garud, N. R. Community diversity is associated with intra-
species genetic diversity and gene loss in the human gut microbiome. *eLife* **12**, e78530 (2023).
30. Dale, J. L. *et al.* Comprehensive functional analysis of the *Enterococcus faecalis* core genome using an
ordered, sequence-defined collection of insertional mutations in strain OG1RF. *mSystems* **3**, e00062-18
(2018).
31. Davey, L. E. *et al.* A genetic system for *Akkermansia muciniphila* reveals a role for mucin foraging in gut
colonization and host sterol biosynthesis gene expression. *Nat. Microbiol.* **8**, 1450–1467 (2023).
32. Dembek, M. *et al.* High-throughput analysis of gene essentiality and sporulation in *Clostridium difficile*.
mBio **6**, e02383-14 (2015).
33. Ito, M. *et al.* Transposon mutagenesis of probiotic *Lactobacillus casei* identifies asnH, an asparagine
synthetase gene involved in its immune-activating capacity. *PLoS One* **9**, e83876 (2014).
34. Fiebig, A. *et al.* Bile acid fitness determinants of a *Bacteroides fragilis* isolate from a human pouchitis
patient. *mBio* **15**, e02830-23 (2023).
35. Goodman, A. L. *et al.* Identifying genetic determinants needed to establish a human gut symbiont in its
habitat. *Cell Host Microbe* **6**, 279–289 (2009).
36. Huang, Y. Y. *et al.* Barcoded overexpression screens in gut Bacteroidales identify genes with roles in
carbon utilization and stress resistance. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 6618 (2024).
37. Veeranagouda, Y., Husain, F., Tenorio, E. L. & Wexler, H. M. Identification of genes required for the
survival of *B. fragilis* using massive parallel sequencing of a saturated transposon mutant library. *BMC*
Genomics **15**, 429 (2014).
38. Wu, M. *et al.* Genetic determinants of in vivo fitness and diet responsiveness in multiple human gut
Bacteroides. *Science* **350**, (2015).
39. Wexler, A. G. & Goodman, A. L. An insider's perspective: *Bacteroides* as a window into the microbiome.
Nat. Microbiol. **2**, 17026 (2017).
40. Wexler, H. M. *Bacteroides*: the Good, the Bad, and the Nitty-Gritty. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **20**, 593–621
(2007).
41. Zafar, H. & Saier Jr, M. H. Gut *Bacteroides* species in health and disease. *Gut Microbes* **13**, 1848158
(2021).
42. Horvath, T. D. *et al. Bacteroides ovatus* colonization influences the abundance of intestinal short chain
fatty acids and neurotransmitters. *iScience* **25**, 104158 (2022).
43. Whitaker, W. R., Shepherd, E. S. & Sonnenburg, J. L. Tunable expression tools enable single-cell strain
distinction in the gut microbiome. *Cell* **169**, 538-546.e12 (2017).
44. Bayley, D. P., Rocha, E. R. & Smith, C. J. Analysis of *cepA* and other *Bacteroides fragilis* genes reveals a
unique promoter structure. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **193**, 149–154 (2000).
45. Engler, C., Gruetzner, R., Kandzia, R. & Marillonnet, S. Golden Gate shuffling: A one-pot DNA shuffling
method based on type IIs restriction enzymes. *PLoS ONE* **4**, e5553 (2009).
46. Liu, H. *et al.* Magic pools: Parallel assessment of transposon delivery vectors in bacteria. *mSystems* **3**,
e00143-17 (2018).
47. García-Bayona, L. & Comstock, L. E. Streamlined genetic manipulation of diverse *Bacteroides* and
Parabacteroides isolates from the human gut microbiota. *mBio* **10**, 10.1128/mbio.01762-19 (2019).
48. Ronda, C., Chen, S. P., Cabral, V., Yaung, S. J. & Wang, H. H. Metagenomic engineering of the
mammalian gut microbiome in situ. *Nat. Methods* **16**, 167–170 (2019).
49. Bobonis, J., Yang, A. L. J., Voogdt, C. G. P. & Typas, A. TAC–TIC, a high-throughput genetics method to
identify triggers or blockers of bacterial toxin–antitoxin systems. *Nat. Protoc.* **19**, 2231–2249 (2024).
50. Ferrières, L. *et al.* Silent mischief: Bacteriophage Mu insertions contaminate products of *Escherichia coli*
random mutagenesis performed using suicidal transposon delivery plasmids mobilized by broad-host-
range RP4 conjugative machinery. *J. Bacteriol.* **192**, 6418–6427 (2010).
51. Gurbich, T. A., Beracochea, M., De Silva, N. H. & Finn, R. D. mettannotator: a comprehensive and
scalable Nextflow annotation pipeline for prokaryotic assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **41**, btaf037 (2025).
52. van Opijnen, T., Bodi, K. L. & Camilli, A. Tn-seq: high-throughput parallel sequencing for fitness and
genetic interaction studies in microorganisms. *Nat. Methods* **6**, 767–772 (2009).
53. Anzai, I. A., Shaket, L., Adesina, O., Baym, M. & Barstow, B. Rapid curation of gene disruption collections
using Knockout Sudoku. *Nat. Protocols* **12**, 2110–2137 (2017).
54. DeJesus, M. A., Ambadipudi, C., Baker, R., Sassetti, C. & Ioerger, T. R. TRANSIT - A software tool for
Himar1 TnSeq analysis. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **11**, e1004401 (2015).
55. A. Ghomi, F. *et al.* High-throughput transposon mutagenesis in the family Enterobacteriaceae reveals core
essential genes and rapid turnover of essentiality. *mBio* **0**, e01798-24 (2024).
56. Huerta-Cepas, J. *et al.* eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology
resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D309–D314 (2019).
57. Rodionov, D. A. *et al.* Transcriptional regulation of NAD metabolism in bacteria: NrtR family of Nudix-
related regulators. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**, 2047–2059 (2008).

58. Seif, Y. *et al.* Metabolic and genetic basis for auxotrophies in Gram-negative species. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* **117**, 6264–6273 (2020).

59. Osterman, A. Biogenesis and homeostasis of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide cofactor. *EcoSal Plus* **3**, 10.1128/ecosalplus.3.6.3.10 (2009).

60. Hannay, K., Marcotte, E. M. & Vogel, C. Buffering by gene duplicates: an analysis of molecular correlates and evolutionary conservation. *BMC Genomics* **9**, 609 (2008).

61. Jones, P. *et al.* InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1236–1240 (2014).

62. Kornberg A, B. T. A. A. Kornberg, T.A. Baker DNA Replication (2nd Edition), Freeman, San Francisco, CA (1992), Pp. 113-164. (Freeman, San Francisco, CA, 1992).

63. Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589 (2021).

64. Wu, H. *et al.* Research progress of LpxC inhibitor on Gram-negative bacteria. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **289**, 117440 (2025).

65. Carfrae, L. A. *et al.* Inhibiting fatty acid synthesis overcomes colistin resistance. *Nat. Microbiol.* **8**, 1026–1038 (2023).

66. Bibens, L., Becker, J.-P., Dassonville-Klimpt, A. & Sonnet, P. A Review of fatty acid biosynthesis enzyme inhibitors as promising antimicrobial drugs. *Pharmaceuticals* **16**, 425 (2023).

67. Dewachter, L. *et al.* Deep mutational scanning of essential bacterial proteins can guide antibiotic development. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 241 (2023).

68. Zeng, D. *et al.* Mutants resistant to LpxC inhibitors by rebalancing cellular homeostasis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 5475–5486 (2013).

69. Mostafavi, M. *et al.* Interplay of *Klebsiella pneumoniae fabZ* and *lpxC* mutations leads to LpxC inhibitor-dependent growth resulting from loss of membrane homeostasis. *mSphere* **3**, 10.1128/msphere.00508-18 (2018).

70. Möller, A.-M. *et al.* LapB (YciM) orchestrates protein–protein interactions at the interface of lipopolysaccharide and phospholipid biosynthesis. *Mol. Microbiol.* **119**, 29–43 (2023).

71. Clayton, G. M. *et al.* Structure of the bacterial deacetylase LpxC bound to the nucleotide reaction product reveals mechanisms of oxyanion stabilization and proton transfer. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 34073–34080 (2013).

72. Dodge, G. J. *et al.* Structural and dynamical rationale for fatty acid unsaturation in *Escherichia coli*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* **116**, 6775–6783 (2019).

73. van Kempen, M. *et al.* Fast and accurate protein structure search with Foldseek. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **42**, 243–246 (2024).

74. Keiler, K. C. Biology of trans-Translation. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **62**, 133–151 (2008).

75. Chadani, Y. *et al.* Ribosome rescue by *Escherichia coli* ArfA (YhdL) in the absence of trans-translation system. *Mol. Microbiol.* **78**, 796–808 (2010).

76. Chadani, Y., Ono, K., Kutsukake, K. & Abo, T. *Escherichia coli* YaeJ protein mediates a novel ribosome-rescue pathway distinct from SsrA- and ArfA-mediated pathways. *Mol. Microbiol.* **80**, 772–785 (2011).

77. Handa, Y., Inaho, N. & Nameki, N. YaeJ is a novel ribosome-associated protein in *Escherichia coli* that can hydrolyze peptidyl–tRNA on stalled ribosomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, 1739–1748 (2011).

78. Hampton, H. G. *et al.* Functional genomics reveals the toxin–antitoxin repertoire and AbiE activity in *Serratia*. *Microb. Genom.* **6**, e000458 (2020).

79. Hutchison, C. A. *et al.* Polar effects of transposon insertion into a minimal bacterial genome. *J. Bacteriol.* **201**, 10.1128/jb.00185-19 (2019).

80. Fineran, P. C. *et al.* The phage abortive infection system, ToxIN, functions as a protein–RNA toxin–antitoxin pair. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **106**, 894–899 (2009).

81. Parke, D. & Ornston, L. N. Toxicity caused by hydroxycinnamoyl-coenzyme A thioester accumulation in mutants of *Acinetobacter* sp. strain ADP1. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **70**, 2974–2983 (2004).

82. Sabag-Daigle, A. *et al.* A metabolic intermediate of the fructose-asparagine utilization pathway inhibits growth of a *Salmonella* fraB mutant. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 28117 (2016).

83. Campbell, D. E. *et al.* Infection with *Bacteroides* phage BV01 alters the host transcriptome and bile acid metabolism in a common human gut microbe. *Cell Rep.* **32**, 108142 (2020).

84. Peters, J. M. *et al.* A comprehensive, CRISPR-based functional analysis of essential genes in bacteria. *Cell* **165**, 1493–1506 (2016).

85. Bernstein, D. B., Akkas, B., Price, M. N. & Arkin, A. P. Evaluating *E. coli* genome-scale metabolic model accuracy with high-throughput mutant fitness data. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **19**, e11566 (2023).

86. Mobegi, F. M. *et al.* From microbial gene essentiality to novel antimicrobial drug targets. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 958 (2014).

87. Amrofell, M. B. *et al.* Engineering *E. coli* strains using antibiotic-resistance-gene-free plasmids. *Cell Rep. Methods* **3**, (2023).

88. Lopez, G. & Anderson, J. C. Synthetic auxotrophs with ligand-dependent essential genes for a BL21(DE3) biosafety strain. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **4**, 1279–1286 (2015).

89. Koo, B.-M. *et al.* Construction and analysis of two genome-scale deletion libraries for *Bacillus subtilis*. *Cell Syst.* **4**, 291-305.e7 (2017).

90. Lau, A. M. *et al.* Exploring structural diversity across the protein universe with The Encyclopedia of Domains. *Science* **386**, eadq4946 (2024).

91. DeJesus, M. A. *et al.* Comprehensive essentiality analysis of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* genome via saturating transposon mutagenesis. *mBio* **8**, 10.1128/mbio.02133-16 (2017).

92. Lagator, M. *et al.* Predicting bacterial promoter function and evolution from random sequences. *eLife* **11**, e64543 (2022).

93. Taheri Ghahfarokhi, S. M. A. & Peña-Castillo, L. BacTermFinder: a comprehensive and general bacterial terminator finder using a CNN ensemble. *NAR Genom. Bioinform.* **7**, lqaf016 (2025).

94. Johnson, C. M. & Grossman, A. D. Identification of host genes that affect acquisition of an integrative and conjugative element in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **93**, 1284–1301 (2014).

95. Pérez-Mendoza, D. & de la Cruz, F. *Escherichia coli* genes affecting recipient ability in plasmid conjugation: Are there any? *BMC Genomics* **10**, 71 (2009).

96. Yang, X., Xu, M. & Yang, S.-T. Restriction modification system analysis and development of in vivo methylation for the transformation of *Clostridium cellulovorans*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **100**, 2289–2299 (2016).

97. Brophy, J. A. N. *et al.* Engineered integrative and conjugative elements for efficient and inducible DNA transfer to undomesticated bacteria. *Nat. Microbiol.* **3**, 1043–1053 (2018).

98. Mimee, M., Tucker, A. C., Voigt, C. A. & Lu, T. K. Programming a human commensal bacterium, *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*, to sense and respond to stimuli in the murine gut microbiota. *Cell Syst.* **1**, 62–71 (2015).

99. Prezza, G., Liao, C., Reichardt, S., Beisel, C. L. & Westermann, A. J. CRISPR-based screening of small RNA modulators of bile susceptibility in *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* **121**, e2311323121 (2024).

100. Kolmogorov, M., Yuan, J., Lin, Y. & Pevzner, P. A. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 540–546 (2019).

101. Chaumeil, P.-A., Mussig, A. J., Hugenholtz, P. & Parks, D. H. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the Genome Taxonomy Database. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 1925–1927 (2020).

102. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 357–359 (2012).

103. DeJesus, M. A. & Ioerger, T. R. A Hidden Markov Model for identifying essential and growth-defect regions in bacterial genomes from transposon insertion sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **14**, 303 (2013).

104. DeJesus, M. A. *et al.* Bayesian analysis of gene essentiality based on sequencing of transposon insertion libraries. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 695–703 (2013).

105. Cantalapiedra, C. P., Hernández-Plaza, A., Letunic, I., Bork, P. & Huerta-Cepas, J. eggNOG-mapper v2: functional annotation, orthology assignments, and domain prediction at the metagenomic scale. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **38**, 5825–5829 (2021).

106. Heinzinger, M. *et al.* Bilingual language model for protein sequence and structure. *NAR Genom. Bioinform.* **6**, lqae150 (2024).

107. Bouras, G. *et al.* Protein Structure Informed Bacteriophage Genome Annotation with Phold. Preprint at *bioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.08.05.668817 (2025).

108. Xie, Y. *et al.* TADB 2.0: an updated database of bacterial type II toxin–antitoxin loci. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D749–D753 (2018).

109. Ondov, B. D. *et al.* Mash: fast genome and metagenome distance estimation using MinHash. *Genome Biol.* **17**, 132 (2016).

110. Szklarczyk, D. *et al.* The STRING database in 2021: customizable protein–protein networks, and functional characterization of user-uploaded gene/measurement sets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D605–D612 (2021).

111. Abramson, J. *et al.* Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. *Nature* **630**, 493–500 (2024).

Figures with legends
Figure 1

1139

Fig. 1: Optimized barcoded transposon mutagenesis in Bacteroidales. A) A broad-host-range transposon vector series for Bacteroidales, carrying different selection markers and a BsmBI based cloning site (Golden Gate) for barcoding. The barcoded plasmid pools are also available. Expression of the transposase and selection markers are placed under conserved and constitutive Bacteroidales promoters. IR: inverted repeat, *bla*: beta-lactamase, *oriT*: origin of transfer, R6K: origin of replication, *himar1*-C9: transposase (mariner), *ermG*: rRNA adenine N-6-methyltransferase (erythromycin resistance), *catP*: chloramphenicol acetyltransferase, *tetQ*: tetracycline resistance protein. **B)** A universal donor strain for efficient broad-host range conjugation. The *E. coli* strain DATC can be used both for plasmid barcoding/cloning and conjugation. The schematic highlights some of its genomic features that facilitate both processes. **C)** The new universal donor strain and broad-host range vector series allow for high conjugation efficiency across three Bacteroidales genera. Conjugation efficiency of 32 recipient strains of *Parabacteroides* (green), *Phocaeicola* (pink) and *Bacteroides* (orange), as the number (Colony Forming Units) of transconjugants per 1 OD unit of input cells (which is 1 ml of saturated culture of OD = 1). ND: no transconjugants detected. Dashed line denotes the limit of detection. Bars indicate the mean CFU count of two biological replicates (replicates shown as black dots) on mGAM agar plates containing appropriate antibiotics. Strains are grouped by phylogeny according to a neighbor-joining tree built on whole genome average nucleotide identity approximated with Mash version 2.3[109].

1159

Figure 2

1160
1161 **Fig. 2: Saturated barcoded transposon libraries in three Bacteroidales genera. A)**
1162 Schematic of a simplified protocol to create transposon insertion libraries in Bacteroidales
1163 species. Following overnight conjugation on mGAM plates in aerobic conditions, ~1.5 million
1164 transconjugants are inoculated in liquid media (simplified method that improves handling) or

plated on more than 25 large petri dishes (solid/conventional method). After 25–28 generations of outgrowth, the liquid culture or colonies scraped from all plates are used for cryostocking and sequencing library preparation, which involves DNA extraction followed by two sequential PCRs to generate amplicons ready for sequencing. Insertion and barcode mapping are done using TnSeeker (Methods). **B)** Dense and unbiased transposon insertions into the genome of *P. merdae*, *B. uniformis* and *P. vulgatus* type strains. Transposon insertion coverage across the genome is shown as the sum of insertion reads per 10 kbp. Genome size, number of unique insertion positions (Ins) and unique barcodes (BC) are indicated above each plot. **C)** Most non-essential genes are highly saturated with insertions in all three species, independent of whether the conventional solid or simplified liquid protocol was used. Genes are binned based on the insertion saturation level of TA dinucleotide sites which is the number of TA sites with insertions as a percentage of all TA sites within a gene. **D)** Solid- and liquid-based libraries show no directionality bias of insertions in the sense (coding) or antisense strand of the targeted gene. **E)** Mutant abundance is similar in solid- and liquid-generated libraries. Shown is the mean read count of all mutants per gene. One count was added to the reads to enable visualization on a log scale. n = number of genes analyzed, r = Pearson correlation coefficient, p = p-value (two-sided).

Figure 3

Fig 3. Gene essentiality across three species of Bacteroidales. A) Less than 10% of all genes are required for growth in rich media (mGAM) in all three species. At most, ~1.1% (*P. merdae*) of genes are only essential in either liquid or solid growth conditions. Essentiality calling is based on transposon insertion coverage per gene (Methods). B) Essential genes are largely conserved across the three genera with evidence of species-specific bypassing of gene essentiality. Over 60% of essential gene families are conserved across all three species, and over 75% in at least two species. Shown are essential gene families as defined by Cluster of Orthologous Groups (COGs) at the Bacteroidia taxonomic level (class). Bar shading reflects the number of tested species containing the COG. For example, among the 41 families that

are essential only in *P. merdae*, most also include non-essential orthologs in the other two
species. **C)** Essential genes are enriched in core cellular processes. Functional enrichment
analysis of conserved and non-conserved essential gene families from the three species using
Cluster of Orthologous Groups (COG) functional categorization (indicated by capital letters).
Dot shading represents the adjusted *p*-value (Benjamini–Hochberg correction) of a one-sided
Fisher's exact test (testing for enrichment). Of the 163 non-conserved essential gene families,
7 lacked COG annotation and were excluded from the analysis. COG categories without
essential gene families are not shown. **D)** The *de novo* pathway for NAD biosynthesis is
essential in *P. merdae* and *P. vulgatus*, but not in *B. uniformis* and *B. thetaiotaomicron* (based
on prior essential analysis data[21]), which encode a salvage pathway from exogenous nicotinic
acid (NA). The dashed line marks another putative salvage pathway through *pncC,* yet this
does not enable bypassing of essentiality. Asp: Aspartate; IA: iminoaspartate; Qa:
quinolinic acid; NaMN: nicotinate mononucleotide; NaAD: nicotinate adenine dinucleotide;
NMN, nicotinamide mononucleotide; NAD(P): nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
(phosphate). **E)** Non-conserved essential genes are more frequently found in higher copy
numbers than conserved essential genes across the three genera. Therefore, redundancy
is likely a common mechanism for bypassing essentiality. Shown are the number of genes
within conserved essential families across all three species (n = 275) against those within
non-conserved essential families (n = 163). Statistical significance was assessed using a
two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum test. For specific examples see Extended Data. Fig. 4D.
1213

Fig. 4: Identification of essential protein domains with transposon mutant data. A) Most genes of the three species encode a single protein domain. Genes are grouped by the number of their encoded protein domains per species, according to domain identification using InterProScan. *N* indicates the total number of genes analyzed. **B)** Some essential and non-essential genes encode non-essential and essential protein domains. respectively. Plotted are domains with ≥10 TA sites from genes classified as essential or non-essential, binned by the fraction of TA sites predicted to be essential using the HMM method in TRANSIT (bin width = 10%). Highlighted bars indicate cases where domains and their host gene have contrasting essentiality: non-essential domains (<20% essential sites) within essential genes (top row) and essential domains (>80% essential sites) within non-essential genes (bottom row). **C)**

lpxC encodes two protein domains in Bacteroidales with only the one involved in lipid A biosynthesis being essential. The second domain encoding *fabZ* and involved in fatty acid biosynthesis tolerates insertions. Shown are the insertions and their read counts in the two domains of *lpxC* – domains shown in gray colors. Insertions are shown as vertical black bars. Gene arrows indicate coding direction. Transposon insertions into the forward genomic strand (+) are shown above the arrow, and insertions on the reverse strand (−) below. Black tick marks within the domain bar indicate TA sites. **D)** *lpxC* (dark gray) and *fabZ* (light gray) are fused in Bacteroidota, but appear as separate genes in different taxonomic groups of bacteria, including Pseudomonadota (formerly Proteobacteria). Taxonomic groups that show the fusion gene may include (limited) species in which the genes are separate, but the opposite does not occur. Figure is adapted from output generated by STRING-db version 12.0[110]. **E)** AlphaFold2 model of *B. uniformis* LpxC (BACUNI_03477) fusion protein (blue tones) superimposed on the crystal structures of either *E. coli* LpxC or FabZ (gold) using Foldseek. RMSD: Root Mean Square Deviation. **F)** Several proteins of *P. merdae* (PARMER), *B. uniformis* (BACUNI) and *P. vulgatus* (BVU) are predicted by Foldseek to fold similarly as *E. coli* FabZ chain A (PDB: 6n3p). Locus tags in bold are the *lpxC* genes shown in panel C. AA's: number of amino acid residues; Coverage: fraction of protein that aligned to *E. coli* FabZ; E-value: Expect-value; PFAMs: protein families to which the protein belongs. 4HBT: 4-hydroxybenzoyl-CoA thioesterase; Acyl-ACP_TE: acyl-acyl carrier protein (ACP) thioesterases (TE).

Figure 5

1245

Fig. 5: Essentiality of non-protein-coding regions. **A**) Most non-protein-coding elements
are not essential. Proportion of annotated intergenic regions, non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs),
terminal inverted repeat elements (TIREs), and transfer RNAs (tRNAs) with essentiality calls
across the three species. **B**) A long conserved essential intergenic region between the DNA
polymerase III δ subunit and a putative helix-turn-helix (HTH) transcriptional regulator. Left
panels: distribution of number of TA sites in intergenic regions across the three species
(function of intergenic region length), color-coded by essentiality status. Intergenic regions
with no TA sites or unclear essentiality are not shown. The conserved essential intergenic
region between the DNA polymerase III δ subunit and a putative helix-turn-helix (HTH)
transcriptional regulator shown in the right panel is marked. Right panel: transposon insertion
profiles for intergenic regions (red) and genes (gray) in *P. vulgatus* (top), *B. uniformis* (middle),
and *P. merdae* (bottom). Genes and transposon insertions are depicted as in Fig. 4C.

1258

Figure 6

Fig. 6: Directionality bias in transposon insertions indicates functional relations
between genes. A) Schematic of how insertion orientation may affect downstream
transcription. **B)** Genes display directionality bias only when the downstream gene has the
same orientation – operon effects. Number of significantly biased genes (two-sided binomial
test, Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted $p < 0.01$) grouped by orientation of their immediate
neighboring genes, across the three species. The directionality ratio is defined as the fraction
of sense-strand insertions over all insertions in a given gene. **C)** Essential genes counter-

select antisense transposon insertions in upstream genes. Number of essential and non-essential genes downstream of significantly biased genes (binomial test, BH adjusted $p < 0.01$). **D)** Insertion bias in *gnd* suggests that production of the downstream pentose phosphate pathway genes is toxic in *B. uniformis*, but not in *P. vulgatus*. A partial pentose phosphate pathway map is shown. *gck*, glucokinase; *gnd*, 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase; *zwf*, glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase; *pgl*, 6-phosphogluconolactonase; EDD, Entner–Doudoroff pathway. Arrows from the genes indicate the directionality and number of insertions summarized over the gene. *B. uniformis gnd* is highlighted in red showing a significant insertion bias (binomial test, BH adjusted $p < 0.01$, directionality ratio < 0.2). Insertions in B. *uniformis gnd* sense strand are shown as small tick marks indication mostly insertions at the edges of the gene. Genes are drawn to scale but intergenic regions are not. Locus tag identifiers (BACUNI or BVU) are indicated below gene names in parentheses. **E)** Mobile genetic elements are enriched in genes with insertion bias to avoid downstream gene expression. Genome-wide directionality ratios were calculated for coding genes in sliding windows of 5 kbps with 500 bp steps. Regions with strong bias frequently mapped to integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs) and prophages. Elements in bold are shown in detail in Extended Data Fig. 8. The line of the solid library is thinner than that of the liquid library to improve visualization.

Extended data with legends
ED figure 1

1287
Extended data Fig. 1: Transformation efficiency of *E. coli* DATC donor strain and
antibiotic resistance profiles of Bacteroidales strains. **A)** The *E. coli* cloning-conjugation
donor strain DATC can be transformed with high efficiency. Transformation efficiency of the
pCV006 transposon vector or a control plasmid (pBAD) into different strains of *E. coli* – shown
as CFU of ~ 5 x 10^9 input cells per 25 fmol plasmid. Bars indicate the mean and SEM of three
biological replicates. **B)** Antibiotic resistance among strains of diverse Bacteroidales species.
Shown in red shade is the highest concentration of chloramphenicol, erythromycin and
tetracycline (maximum concentration tested was 50 μg/ml) for which growth was observed
among 32 strains of *Parabacteroides* (green), *Phocaeicola* (pink) and *Bacteroides* (orange)
species. Strains are grouped by phylogeny according to a neighbor-joining tree build on whole
genome average nucleotide identity approximated with Mash version 2.3[109].
1299

1300
Extended data Fig. 2: Barcode coverage and genomic distribution of insertions across
transposon libraries. A) Almost all genes in the 6 libraries are represented by multiple unique
barcodes. *N* indicates number of genes analyzed. Boxplots show the distribution of the number
of unique barcodes per gene in each transposon library. The center line represents the
median; box limits indicate the first and third quartiles; whiskers extend to 1.5 x the interquartile
range; and points represent outliers. **B)** Percentage of insertions at TA dinucleotide versus
any other site per library. **C)** Percentage of TA dinucleotide sites with insertions in genes,
annotated non-coding features and intergenic regions. Inset numbers indicate the total
number of TA sites per feature in each species.
1310

ED. Figure 3

1312 **Extended data Fig. 3: Gene essentiality calling between solid and liquid libraries. A)**

Logic used to consolidate essentiality calls from TRANSIT's Gumbel and HMM methods post analysis. **B)** Logic used to consolidate calls from libraries grown on solid and liquid media to create the final essentiality call. **C)** Flow diagram illustrating the combined number of genes from the three species, their essentiality call by the Gumbel and HMM methods and how the consolidation between these two methods yields the final essentiality call (Supplementary table 1). **D)** Essentiality calls strongly agree between solid and liquid grown libraries. Shown are the fraction of TA dinucleotide sites per gene with transposon insertions in solid (x axis) versus liquid (y axis) libraries for the three species. n = number of genes, r = Pearson correlation coefficient, p = p-value (two-sided). **E)** Representative TRANSIT insertion plots of *P. merdae pyr* genes from pyrimidine metabolism that are essential in liquid growth conditions (in contrast to solid conditions), possibly due to complementation of auxotrophies in the pooled library.

Extended data Fig. 4: Conservation, annotation, and copy number shape essential gene families in Bacteroidales. A) Conservation and species-specificity of gene essentiality is preserved when genes are grouped by their annotated PFAMs instead of eggNOG COGs – plotted as in Fig. 3B. **B)** Addition of *B. thetaiotaomicron* essential genes from Liu *et al*., 2021[21] largely preserves the core essentialome of members of the Bacteroidales. Shown are essential gene families as defined by Cluster of Orthologous Groups (COGs) at the Bacteroidia (class) taxonomic level – plotted as in Fig. 3B. **C)** Most conserved essential genes have a functional annotation, yet some lack any annotation from the indicated functional categorization resources. Shown is the percentage of genes (n = 836) that are in the 275 conserved essential gene families (some families have more than one gene per species) from Fig. 3B. **D)** Essentiality depends on gene copy number. Shown are examples of Bacteroidia taxonomy level (class) eggNOG gene families in which single-copy genes are essential, while in species for which the same family has additional members, all are not essential.

ED figure 5

Extended data Fig. 5: Examples of sub-gene essentiality of encoded protein domains.
A) Only the 5' to 3' exonuclease domain of DNA polymerase I (*polA*) is essential. Shown are
insertions and their read counts in the different domains in *polA* (color-coded and denoted in

inset). Gene arrows indicate coding direction (5′→3′, sense strand). Transposon insertions
into the forward genomic strand (+) are shown above the arrow, and insertions on the reverse
strand (−) below. Colors within the arrow indicate the different domains and regions. Black tick
marks within the arrow indicate TA sites. **B)** Insertion plot as in A of a conserved, Bacteroidota-
specific uncharacterized gene with an essential domain of unknown function (DUF4296).
Insertions are not tolerated within the DUF4296, but are frequent within the two adjacent
regions of the gene. **C)** Presence and genomic neighbors of the uncharacterized gene with
DUF4296 across different taxonomic groups of bacteria (visualization is adapted from output
generated by STRING-db[110]). **D)** Predicted structure (pTM score = 0.48) of the *P. vulgatus*
DUF4296 encoding gene (BVU_3062) obtained by Alphafold3[111]. Colors indicate the model
confidence score pLDDT (predicted local distance difference test).
1355

E. coli - FabZ (6n3p)

BACUNI_03477
Gene name: lpxC
Product: UDP-3-O-acyl-N-acetylglucosamine deacetylase
E-value: 7.96E-15
RMSD: 1.63
TM-score: 0.91204
%ID: 44.1

BACUNI_04580
Gene name: menL
Product: 1%2C4-dihydroxy-2-naphthoyl-CoA hydrolase
E-value: 2.4E-7
RMSD: 3.84
TM-score: 0.66132
%ID: 13.3

BACUNI_01005
Gene name: paaI
Product: Acyl-coenzyme A thioesterase
E-value: 2.8E-6
RMSD: 3.21
TM-score: 0.69504
%ID: 16.9

PARMER_00743
Gene name: lpxC
Product: UDP-3-O-acyl-N-acetylglucosamine deacetylase
E-value: 9.66E-15
RMSD: 1.64
TM-score: 0.91101
%ID: 42.7

PARMER_02422
Gene name: menI
Product: 1%2C4-dihydroxy-2-naphthoyl-CoA hydrolase
E-value: 2.9E-7
RMSD: 6.63
TM-score: 0.64493
%ID: 13.3

PARMER_01717
Gene name: NA
Product: Fluoroacetyl-CoA thioesterase
E-value: 1.39E-6
RMSD: 3.6
TM-score: 0.7621
%ID: 17.7

BVU_0098
Gene name: lpxC
Product: UDP-3-O-acyl-N-acetylglucosamine deacetylase
E-value: 7.54E-15
RMSD: 1.64
TM-score: 0.91385
%ID: 44.4

BVU_1016
Gene name: NA
Product: Hydroxymyristoyl-ACP dehydratase
E-value: 2.49E-9
RMSD: 2.7
TM-score: 0.79977
%ID: 17.8

BVU_1026
Gene name: NA
Product: Hydroxymyristoyl-ACP dehydratase
E-value: 1.61E-6
RMSD: 2.61
TM-score: 0.77608
%ID: 19.6

Extended data Fig. 6: Structural similarity of Bacteroidales proteins to *E. coli* FabZ. Shown are the top three proteins of *P. merdae*, *B. uniformis* and *P. vulgatus* that are predicted by Foldseek[73] to have the highest similarity (lowest E-value, Fig. 4F) to *E. coli* FabZ (in gold). RMSD: Root Mean Square Deviation. TM: Template Modeling score. %ID: percentage of identical amino acid residues in the alignment.

Extended Data Fig. 7: Directionality bias of transposon insertions. A) Some genes show strong strand bias, either favoring sense insertions (ratio > 0.8) that permit downstream expression or antisense insertions (ratio < 0.2) that suppress it. Violin plots show the distribution of directionality ratios (fraction of sense-strand insertions per gene) across all libraries, before and after median/IQR normalization. Dashed grey lines indicate 0.8 and 0.2 thresholds. **B–C)** Examples of biased genes in toxin–antitoxin and phage defense systems (B), and in metabolic pathways (C). Arrows represent the orientation and number of insertions per gene. Significantly biased genes (two-sided binomial test, Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted $p < 0.01$; directionality ratio < 0.2) are shown in red. Genes (but not intergenic regions) are drawn to scale (scale bar: 300 nt). Numbers below gene names in parentheses indicate locus tags (PARMER, BACUNI, BVU). Numbers marked with asterisks indicate genes without canonical locus tags; these instead carry PM_ATCC43184, BU_ATCC8492, or PV_ATCC8482 identifiers introduced in this study (Supplementary table 1).

1377
Extended Data Fig. 8: Clusters of directionality-biased genes in mobile genetic
elements. A) An integrative and conjugative element (ICE) in *B. uniformis* contains multiple
genes biased against downstream expression. **B)** The *P. vulgatus* prophage BV01 contains
multiple genes near one end that are similarly biased to avoid mostly downstream gene
expression. In both panels significantly biased genes are shown in red (antisense insertions;
directionality ratio < 0.2) and blue (sense insertions; directionality ratio > 0.8) – significance
assessed by two-sided binomial test, Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted *p* < 0.01.
1385

Supplementary material
Supplementary figure 1

1387

Supplementary Fig. 1: Inputs, outputs and softwares used to perform the functional
genomics analyses. Flowchart depicting the input and output files and softwares and scripts
for the functional genomics analyses. Recurrent files are color coded. Scripts for processes in
white background are provided with this work and can be downloaded from
https://git.embl.org/grp-typas/transposon_toolkit. Processes in gray background are described
elsewhere, see Methods. Output files outlined in red are the final files for essentiality, domain
essentiality and directionality data.
1395

Supplementary file 1: Plasmid features and sequence

```
FEATURES             Location/Qualifiers
    misc_feature     1..1047
                     /label="Mariner transposase C9 mutant"
    rep_origin       1080..1468
                     /label="R6K gamma ori"
    oriT             1583..1692
                     /label="RP4 oriT"
    CDS              complement(1856..2716)
                     /label="AmpR"
    promoter         2717..2821
                     /label="AmpR promoter"
    misc_feature     2935..2966
                     /label="Himar1 IR"
    misc_feature     2987..3122
                     /label="cepA promoter"
    misc_feature     3123..3857
                     /label="ErmG"
    misc_feature     3854..3880
                     /label="BarSeq Fwd"
    misc_feature     3878..3911
                     /label="Barcode entry site"
    misc_feature     3915..3940
                     /label="BarSeq Rev"
    misc_feature     3926..3957
                     /label="Himar1 IR"
    regulatory       3976..4139
                     /label="P1E6 promoter"
    regulatory       4140..4172
                     /label="RBS8"
ORIGIN
        1 atggaaaaaa aggaatttcg tgttttgata aaatactgtt ttctgaaggg aaaaaataca
       61 gtggaagcaa aaacttggct tgataatgag tttccggact ctgccccagg gaaatcaaca
      121 ataattgatt ggtatgcaaa attcaagcgt ggtgaaatga gcacggagga cggtgaacgc
      181 agtggacgcc cgaaagaggt ggttaccgac gaaaacatca aaaaaatcca caaatgatt
      241 ttgaatgacc gtaaaatgaa gttgatcgag atagcagagg ccttaaagat atcaaaggaa
      301 cgtgttggtc atatcattca tcaatatttg gatatgcgga agctctgtgc gaaatgggtg
      361 ccgcgcgagc tcacatttga ccaaaaacaa cgacgtgttg atgattctaa gcggtgtttg
```

```
1435    421 cagctgttaa ctcgtaatac acccgagttt ttccgtcgat atgtgacaat ggatgaaaca
1436    481 tggctccatc actacactcc tgagtccaat cgacagtcgg ctgagtggac agcgaccggt
1437    541 gaaccatctc cgaagcgtgg aaagactcaa aagtccgctg gcaaagtaat ggcctctgtt
1438    601 ttttgggatg cgcatggaat aattttatc gattatcttg agaagggaaa aaccatcaac
1439    661 agtgactatt atatggcgtt attggagcgt ttgaaggtcg aaatcgcggc aaaacggccc
1440    721 cacatgaaga agaaaaaagt gttgttccac caagacaacg caccgtgcca caagtcattg
1441    781 agaacgatgg caaaaattca tgaattgggc ttcgaattgc ttccccaccg gccgtattct
1442    841 ccagatctgg cccccagcga cttttttcttg ttctcagacc tcaaaaggat gctcgcaggg
1443    901 aaaaaatttg gctgcaatga agaggtgatc gccgaaactg aggcctattt tgaggcaaaa
1444    961 ccgaaggagt actaccaaaa tggtatcaaa aaattggaag gtcgttataa tcgttgtatc
1445   1021 gctcttgaag ggaactatgt tgaataagcg gccgccaccg cggtggaggg gaattcccat
1446   1081 gtcagccgtt aagtgttcct gtgtcactca aaattgcttt gagaggctct aagggcttct
1447   1141 cagtgcgtta catccctggc ttgttgtcca caaccgttaa accttaaaag ctttaaaagc
1448   1201 cttatatatt cttttttttc ttataaaact taaaacctta gaggctattt aagttgctga
1449   1261 tttatattaa ttttattgtt caaacatgag agcttagtac gtgaaacatg agagcttagt
1450   1321 acgttagcca tgagagctta gtacgttagc catgagggtt tagttcgtta aacatgagag
1451   1381 cttagtacgt taaacatgag agcttagtac gtgaaacatg agagcttagt acgtactatc
1452   1441 aacaggttga actgctgatc ttcagatcct ctacgccgga cgcatcgtgg ccggatcaat
1453   1501 tccgtgatag gtgggctgcc cttcctggtt ggcttggttt catcagccat ccgcttgccc
1454   1561 tcatctgtta cgccggcggt agccggccag cctcgcagag caggattccc gttgagcacc
1455   1621 gccaggtgcg aataagggac agtgaagaag gaacacccgc tcgcgggtgg gcctacttca
1456   1681 cctatcctgc ccggctgacg ccgttggata caccaaggaa agtctacacg aacccctttgg
1457   1741 caaaatcctg tatatcgtgc gaaaaaggat ggatataccg aaaaaatcgc tataatgacc
1458   1801 ccgaagcagg gttatgcagc ggaaaacgga attgatcccc ctcgaattga cgcgttttacc
1459   1861 aatgcttaat cagtgaggca cctatctcag cgatctgtct atttcgttca tccatagttg
1460   1921 cctgactccc cgtcgtgtag ataactacga tacgggaggg cttaccatct ggccccagtg
1461   1981 ctgcaatgat accgcgagac ccacgctcac cggctccaga tttatcagca ataaaccagc
1462   2041 cagccggaag ggccgagcgc agaagtggtc ctgcaacttt atccgcctcc atccagtcta
1463   2101 ttaattgttg ccgggaagct agagtaagta gttcgccagt taatagtttg cgcaacgttg
1464   2161 ttgccattgc tacaggcatc gtggtgtcac gctcgtcgtt tggtatggct tcattcagct
1465   2221 ccggttccca acgatcaagg cgagttacat gatcccccat gttgtgcaaa aaagcggtta
1466   2281 gctccttcgg tcctccgatc gttgtcagaa gtaagttggc cgcagtgtta tcactcatgg
1467   2341 ttatggcagc actgcataat tctcttactg tcatgccatc cgtaagatgc ttttctgtga
1468   2401 ctggtgagta ctcaaccaag tcattctgag aatagtgtat gcggcgaccg agttgctctt
1469   2461 gcccggcgtc aatacgggat aataccgcgc cacatagcag aactttaaaa gtgctcatca
1470   2521 ttggaaaacg ttcttcgggg cgaaaactct caaggatctt accgctgttg agatccagtt
1471   2581 cgatgtaacc cactcgtgca cccaactgat cttcagcatc ttttactttc accagcgttt
1472   2641 ctgggtgagc aaaaacagga aggcaaaatg ccgcaaaaaa gggaataagg gcgacacgga
1473   2701 aatgttgaat actcatactc ttcctttttc aatattattg aagcatttat cagggttatt
1474   2761 gtctcatgag cggatacata tttgaatgta tttagaaaaa taaacaaata ggggttccgc
1475   2821 gcacatttcc ccgaaaagtg ccacctgacg tctaagaaac cattattatc atgacattaa
1476   2881 cctataaaaa taggcgtatc acgaggccct ttcgtcacgc gtcctcggta cctaacaggt
1477   2941 tggatgataa gtccccggtc ttcgtagata aaacgaaagg ctcagtaaag agttaaggaa
1478   3001 agtgaagcat cttcgatgct ggagtgggat atactaaatt acctaaaaaa gtggcgcaca
1479   3061 aatttgcgcg ccacaattat tattcatacc tttgtggacc gtattacaaa gaacccaatc
1480   3121 atatgaacaa agtaaatata aaagatagtc aaaatttat tacttcaaaa tatcacatag
1481   3181 aaaaaataat gaattgcata agtttagatg aaaaagataa catctttgaa ataggtgcag
1482   3241 ggaaaggtca ttttactgct ggattggtaa agagatgtaa ttttgtaacg gcgatagaaa
1483   3301 ttgattctaa attatgtgag gtaactcgta ataagctctt aaattatcct aactatcaaa
1484   3361 tagtaaatga tgatatactg aaatttacat ttcctagcca caatccatat aaaatatttg
1485   3421 gcagcatacc ttacaacata agcacaaata taattcgaaa aattgttttt gaaagttcag
1486   3481 ccacaataag ttatttaata gtggaatatg gttttgctaa aatgttatta gatacaaaca
1487   3541 gatcactagc attgctgtta atggcagagg tagatatttc tatattagca aaaattccta
1488   3601 ggtattattt ccatccaaaa cctaaagtgg atagcacatt aattgtatta aaaagaaagc
1489   3661 cagcaaaaat ggcatttaaa gagagaaaaa aatatgaaac ttttgtaatg aaatgggtta
1490   3721 acaaagagta cgaaaaactg tttacaaaaa atcaatttaa taaagcttta aaacatgcga
1491   3781 gaatatatga tataaacaat attagtttcg aacaatttgt atcgctattt aatagttata
1492   3841 aaatatttaa cggctaactg cagggatgtc cacgaggtgt atgagacgtc agaattggtt
1493   3901 aattcgtctc tcagaattgg gagtctacga agaccgggga cttatcatcc aacctgttag
1494   3961 aaaggcgatg aaagagataa aacgaaaggc tcagtcgaaa gactgggcct ttcgttttac
1495   4021 aattgggcta ccttttttttt gttttgtttg caatggttaa tctattgtta aaatttaaag
```

```
1496       4081 tttcacttga actttcaaat aatgttctta tatttgcagt gtcgaaagaa acaaagtagg
1497       4141 actgatcgtc catcaattta aaatttaaaa ta
```

1498   RBTnSeq amplicon

```
1499
1500    FEATURES        Location/Qualifiers
1501        misc_feature    <1..76
1502                        /label="ErmG"
1503        misc_feature    16..54
1504                        /label="RBTnSeq F PCR1"
1505        misc_feature    73..99
1506                        /label="BarSeq Fwd"
1507        misc_feature    77..99
1508                        /label="RBTnSeq F PCR2"
1509        misc_feature    101..104
1510                        /label="index"
1511        misc_feature    105..129
1512                        /label="Barcode"
1513        misc_feature    133..158
1514                        /label="BarSeq Rev"
1515        misc_feature    144..175
1516                        /label="Himar1 IR"
1517        misc_feature    176..242
1518                        /label="genome"
1519        misc_feature    complement(232..242)
1520                        /label="RBTnSeq R PCR1"
1521        misc_feature    complement(243..267)
1522                        /label="RBTnSeq R PCR2"
1523    ORIGIN
1524          1 aatatatgat ataaacaata ttagtttcga acaatttgta tcgctattta atagttataa
1525         61 aatatttaac ggctaactgc agggatgtcc acgaggtgta cgatnnnnnn nnnnnnnnnn
1526        121 nnnnnnnnnc agaattggga gtctacgaag accgggggact tatcatccaa cctgtnnnnn
1527        181 nnnnnnnnnn nnnnnnnnnn nnnnnnnnnn nnnnnnnnnn nnnnnnnnnn nnnnnnnnnn
1528        241 nngtgactgg agttcagacg tgtgctc
```