# Multiple protein-protein interactions drive the assembly and budding of the Chikungunya virion.

*Miguel Angel Coronado-Ipiña[1,2,#], Shuyang Zhang[3,#], Siyu Li[3++], Pedro Gerardo Hernández-Sánchez[1], Denisse Cadena-Lopez[1,2,+], Vanessa Labrada-Martagón[5], Suchetana Mukhopadyay[6], Roya Zandi[3*], Mauricio Comas-Garcia[1,4,5,*]*

[1]High-Resolution Microscopy Section, Research Center for Health Sciences and Biomedicine, Autonomous University of San Luis Potosi, Mexico

[2]Life Sciences Graduate Program, Department of Sciences, Autonomous University of San Luis Potosi, Mexico

[3]Department of Physics, University of California, Riverside

[4]Molecular and Translational Medicine Section, Research Center for Health Sciences and Biomedicine, Autonomous University of San Luis Potosi, Mexico

[5]Department of Sciences, Autonomous University of San Luis Potosi, Mexico

[6]Department of Biology, Indiana University Bloomington

+ Current address: ThermoFisher Mexico

++ Current address: Department of Physics and Astronomy, California State Polytechnic University, Pomona, California 91768, USA.

*Correspondence: royaz@ucr.edu and mauricio.comas@uaslp.mx

#These two authors contribute equally

## ABSTRACT

The assembly of enveloped viruses is a highly orchestrated process that depends on the coupling of multiple protein–protein interactions within a membrane environment. To gain mechanistic insight into this process, we use Chikungunya virus as a model system to study Alphavirus

assembly, focusing on the interplay between core–spike and spike–spike interactions. We begin

with coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations to systematically explore how the symmetry

of the nucleocapsid core, together with the relative strengths of spike–core and spike–spike

interactions, influences budding efficiency and the emergence of icosahedral particle symmetry.

Building on these computational predictions, we perform site-directed mutagenesis on

Chikungunya virus 181/25 and examine the consequences for particle assembly and budding in

cultured cells, as well as the impact of these mutations during *in-cellulo* assembly. Our results

reveal that canonical core–spike interactions, while necessary, are not sufficient for successful

assembly. Instead, lateral interactions among glycoproteins emerge as critical determinants of

efficient budding, particle stability, and the maintenance of icosahedral symmetry. Together, these

findings provide an integrated computational and experimental framework for understanding the

molecular principles governing Alphavirus assembly.

**KEYWORDS:** Enveloped virion budding, glycoprotein steric repulsions, Alphaviruses, Molecular Dynamics simulations, multiple protein interactions

The assembly of enveloped viruses is a complex, highly regulated process that involves

orchestrated protein–protein and protein–membrane interactions to drive membrane deformation,

budding, and packaging of the viral genome into a fully infectious particle[1-7]. For certain viruses,

such as retroviruses and filoviruses, this process is relatively well understood. It is known to rely

primarily on matrix or capsid proteins that recruit host-cell machinery to mediate budding from

the plasma membrane [6, 8-13]. In contrast, the mechanisms of assembly and budding in Alphaviruses

remain less well characterized, with a number of, and at times conflicting, models proposed over

the past two decades[2, 14-18].

*Alphaviruses* are a genus of Arthropod-borne viruses that infect a wide range of animals;

these viruses can be grouped as either New- or Old-World Alphaviruses, depending on whether

they are endemic to the American continent or elsewhere, respectively [19]. With a few exceptions,

members of these two groups are phylogenetically related. Chikungunya virus (CHIKV), a

mosquito-borne Old-World Alphavirus, is the most prevalent Alphavirus infecting humans and

has caused recurrent epidemics of febrile illness and chronic polyarthritis worldwide[20-25]. All

Alphaviruses share a conserved spherical virion architecture approximately 70 nm in diameter,

consisting of two concentric icosahedral protein shells separated by a lipid bilayer derived from

the host plasma membrane. The outer shell comprises 240 copies of E1–E2 heterodimers arranged

as 80 trimeric spikes, while the inner shell, nucleocapsid or core (NC), consists of 240 capsid

proteins (CP) encapsidating the ~12 kb single-stranded positive-sense RNA genome[14]. These two

layers are structurally coupled via interactions between the cytoplasmic tail of E2 and a

hydrophobic pocket in the capsid protein[14, 26].

Cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM), cryo-electron tomography (cryo-ET), biochemical

analysis, and molecular dynamics simulation studies of Alphaviruses have revealed four major

classes of interactions stabilizing the virion: (1) intra-dimer interactions[3, 4], (2) inter-dimer E1–

E2[2, 16, 27-29] , (3) inter-spike trimer–trimer interactions[30, 31], and (4) vertical contacts between E2

and the capsid core[26, 30, 32-34]. These interactions are thought to orchestrate every stage of virion

formation, from initiating budding and guiding icosahedral assembly to determining the efficiency

of particle production, the structural stability of the virion, and ultimately its infectivity[14, 35, 36], yet

the precise roles and mechanistic impact of each contact remain incompletely understood.

A central question in Alphavirus biology is how these two icosahedral layers assemble in

a coordinated manner during virus budding. Several models have been proposed: in the assembly-

from-within model, a preformed icosahedral core serves as a structural template for glycoprotein

assembly [15, 17]; in the assembly-from-without model, glycoproteins initiate budding and recruit the

capsid core *post hoc*[16]; and in the concerted assembly model, an initially irregular cytoplasmic core

gains order upon interacting with glycoproteins at the membrane[2, 18]. The latter has gained support

from recent cryo-ET studies, which show that alphavirus cores lack icosahedral symmetry in the

cytoplasm but acquire structural order at the plasma membrane through interactions with spikes [2,

18]. Notably, these studies did not observe a pre-assembled lattice of spikes at the membrane or

icosahedral cytoplasmic cores, suggesting that E2–core binding and lateral glycoprotein

interactions drive budding and shape the geometry of the final virion. However, in the absence of

direct, molecular-resolution visualization of both the budding process and spike organization under

budding-inhibited conditions in infected cells, the mechanisms underlying icosahedral assembly

and its inhibition remain poorly defined.

To understand the factors contributing to the budding of alphaviruses such as Sindbis,

Hagan and co-workers developed a coarse-grained simulation model that incorporates spike–spike

and spike–core interactions[30]. They showed that while glycoprotein interactions alone can drive

budding, the resulting particles tend to be polydisperse and irregular. Including even modest

interactions with a rigid core improves uniformity and reduces kinetic trapping. However, their

focus was on the conditions that permit or impede budding, rather than on achieving icosahedral

symmetry in the final product.

Here, we investigate the molecular determinants of Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) budding

and assembly by identifying the specific interactions that govern these processes. A central

unresolved question is whether capsid proteins (CPs) pre-assemble into icosahedral NCs that serve

as structural templates, or whether glycoprotein spikes instead drive the coordinated assembly of

the outer spike lattice and the inner NC shell. To address this, we used coarse-grained molecular

dynamics simulations to systematically investigate how the symmetry of the core, along with

spike–core and spike–spike interactions, influences budding efficiency and the emergence of

icosahedral particle symmetry. We investigated whether immature, non-icosahedral NCs can

function as rough scaffolds that trigger the formation of icosahedral spike shells. Guided by our

simulation results, we carried out targeted mutational experiments using a CHIKV reporter virus

system to test the functional roles of key glycoprotein interfaces, including intradimer, intertrimer,

and spike–core contacts. To dissect the contributions of each interaction, we introduced three

specific mutations: E2 Y400K/L402R to disrupt spike–core interactions; E2 N263Q to impair

intertrimer contacts; and E1 K245N/R247T to weaken intradimer interactions. This integrated

computational and experimental approach enabled us to dissect the contributions of individual

interactions to efficient virion formation.

In our MD simulations, we find that if the core lacks icosahedral symmetry and is

constrained to a fixed geometry that cannot locally dissociate and reassociate, the spikes fail to

form a complete icosahedral shell. In contrast, if the core exhibits an imperfect icosahedral

structure, such as one missing an entire pentamer, spike assembly can still proceed toward

icosahedral symmetry, albeit with reduced efficiency. These findings strongly support a concerted

assembly model, in which tightly coordinated interactions across the membrane guide the

formation of both icosahedral layers.

Furthermore, our experimental results we show that E2–core interactions are critical for

initiating membrane curvature and nucleating particle formation. However, successful and

efficient assembly of structurally complete and infectious CHIKV virions also requires strong

lateral interactions between glycoprotein spikes. Disruption of either vertical (spike–core) or

lateral (spike–spike) interactions compromises assembly fidelity, reduces infectivity, or blocks

budding altogether. Our combined simulations and experiments demonstrate that both spike–core

interactions and lateral interactions among spikes play essential roles in establishing and

stabilizing icosahedral symmetry across the two structural layers of the virus. This cooperative

mechanism ensures proper virion architecture and function. It highlights key vulnerabilities that

could be targeted by antiviral strategies aimed at disrupting envelope protein organization and

blocking particle assembly or release.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Motivated by several experiments on CHIKV budding and the formation of icosahedral symmetry,

carried out by Chiu's group [2, 18, 37] and others[27, 30, 38-40], we use coarse-grained molecular dynamics

simulations to systematically investigate how specific spike–spike and spike–core interactions

influence virus assembly. This computational framework enables us to isolate the roles of

individual interactions in a controlled environment, free from cellular complexity. The insights

gained from these simulations guided the design of targeted mutational experiments using CHIKV

181/25 with a reporter gene[41] to determine the role of these interactions in the assembly of viral

particles in cultured cells, establishing a direct connection between the physical interaction

parameters and their functional consequences in infected cells—results we present following the

simulation section.

**Molecular Dynamics Simulations**

We employed coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations[42] to study the assembly and budding

processes of CHIKV under various scenarios. The model successfully demonstrates the effects of

spike–core interactions and spike–spike interactions between trimers during budding and

assembly. However, it does not account for the impact of altering the intradimer surface, which

modifies interactions between heterodimers within individual trimers, a level of detail beyond the

resolution of our current model.

We first optimize the system by tuning the spike–spike and spike–core interaction strengths

to identify conditions that support efficient budding. To establish these baseline parameters, we

simulated the budding of a plain spherical particle through the membrane, followed by the budding

of a preassembled icosahedral core. We then examined how altering the CTD-Core and trimer-

trimer interactions affects the assembly and budding processes. These MD simulations analyze the

impact of budding from both fully formed and imperfect icosahedral shells. These were validated

by infecting and transfecting HEK-293T cells with a CHIKV CMV-driven system expressing a

reporter gene.

While the primary focus of our work is on core–spike interactions and the role of the core

in guiding the icosahedral arrangement of spikes, we also investigated whether glycoprotein

interactions alone can drive budding in the absence of a core. Consistent with previous studies, our

results show that although spike–spike interactions are sufficient to initiate budding, the resulting

particles are often polydisperse and lack a regular structure [29, 30, 43, 44]. We observed that budding

still occurs without a core if the lateral interactions between glycoprotein spikes are strong enough,

though the resulting particles are often irregular and lack icosahedral symmetry.

In the following sections, we examine three classes of interactions between cores and

spikes (see Figure 1). Specifically, our simulations focus on the interactions between the cytosolic

C-terminal domains of the spikes and the core (CTD–core, $\varepsilon_{mc}$), the lateral interactions between

the transmembrane domains of the spikes (TMD–TMD, $\varepsilon_{mm}$), and the excluded-volume

interactions between the spike ectodomains (ED–ED). We first present our results for a plain

spherical core, which provides a baseline for understanding the role of geometry. The analysis is

then extended to an icosahedral core, allowing us to capture the effects of symmetry and structural

constraints.

**Figure 1.** *Schematic representation of a coarse-grained spike protein.* The atomic structure of the CHIKV

spike protein, a trimer of heterodimers, was obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID: 8FCG). The

shaded circles represent the components of the coarse-grained model, which consists of three spherical

particles: ED (ecto domain, blue), TMD (transmembrane domain, red), and CD (cytoplasmic domain,

yellow). ED has the largest diameter, followed by TMD, with CTD being the smallest. The size asymmetry

allows the spike proteins to assume a curved configuration.

**Budding of a Plain Spherical Particle Through the Membrane**

We begin by performing simulations using a plain spherical core, as described in Figure 2A.

Interestingly, we observed particle budding even with this simple spherical core. Although the

budding region did not exhibit perfect T = 4 icosahedral symmetry, the total number of

incorporated spike proteins averaged around 79 across five simulation trials, remarkably close to

the expected number of 80 for a correctly assembled T = 4 shell. This suggests that core size alone,

even when commensurate with T = 4 geometry, is not sufficient to ensure correct icosahedral

symmetry; in this minimal configuration, the spatial arrangement of the spikes deviates from the

expected symmetry.

**Figure 2**. *Schematic view of core particles.* **A)** A simple spherical core. **B)** A spherical core decorated with 80 triangular units arranged with icosahedral symmetry. **C)** A modified version of core in which several triangles are missing, breaking the icosahedral symmetry.

All relevant interaction parameters, namely, the strength of the CTD–core interaction ($\varepsilon_{mc}$),

the attractive interaction between TMD domains of neighboring spikes ($\varepsilon_{mm}$), and the excluded

volume interactions, are provided in the caption of Figure 3. These parameters are optimized to

promote efficient budding, resulting in the core being decorated with ~80 spikes. We now turn to

examine how the symmetry of the core influences the symmetry of the spike layer.

**Figure 3.** *Membrane and a plain spherical core.* **A)** The initial state of the system before budding. **B)** The

final state of the system after budding. The final structure contains approximately 76–82 spikes but does

not exhibit icosahedral symmetry. The system parameters include a CTD–core interaction strength of 25

$k_B$T and a TMD-TMD interaction strength of 0. The particle diameters are set to 1.1 for ED, 0.8 for TMD,

and 0.4 for CTD. **C)** The cross-sectional view of the final state after budding. **D)** Schematic representation

of TMD-TMD and CTD-core interactions. Arrows indicate attractive interactions between TMD proteins

and between CTD and the core. All other particles interact through steric interactions.

**Budding of an Icosahedral Core Through the Membrane**

Instead of the plain spherical core used in the previous section, we now employ a core with

icosahedral symmetry, as shown in Figure 2B. This core consists of 80 trimers arranged in a T=4

structure. When the CTD–core interaction is weak, the core fails to bud from the membrane,

consistent with previous studies[17, 26, 28, 32, 33]. We identified a critical interaction strength between

the core and the CTDs of the spike proteins ($\varepsilon_{mc}$ =15 $k_B$T, see Eq. 3 and Supplementary

Information). When $\varepsilon_{mc}$ falls below this threshold, the membrane remains undeformed despite the

proximity of the core. This scenario captures the behavior of the mutant CT characterized in the

experimental section below.

Figure 4A shows the initial configuration, where the core is placed near a membrane

decorated with embedded spike proteins. As the simulation progresses, the attractive interaction

($\varepsilon_{mc}$ =15 $k_B$T) between the core and the CTDs drives membrane bending and initiates partial

wrapping around the core. However, since the interaction strength is insufficient, budding halts

prematurely, resulting in incomplete envelopment (Figure 4B). In these simulations, the attractive

component of lateral spike–spike interactions was set to zero. The parameters used in these

simulations are provided in the caption of Figure 4.

A

B

**Figure 4.** *Membrane and an icosahedral core.* **A)** Initial configuration of the system with a core exhibiting

icosahedral symmetry. **B)** Final configuration after introducing a CTD–core interaction with strength ε =

15 $k_B$T. The core is stalled in this position. The TMD-TMD interaction strength is set to 0. The particle

diameters are set to 1.1 for ED, 0.8 for TMD, and 0.4 for CTD; all diameters are in units of a (see Eq.1).

This structure is very similar to those we find by thin-section TEM when budding is arrested by introducing

a mutation that alters the lateral interactions of the glycoproteins (see Figure 8B).

A substantially stronger CTD–core interaction, approximately 80 $k_B$T, is required to drive

both complete budding and the emergence of icosahedral symmetry in the spike arrangement. The

details underlying this value are provided in the Materials and Methods section. As previously

mentioned, the model includes three key parameters: the strength of the interaction between the

CTD of the spike proteins and the core ($\varepsilon_{mc}$), the attractive interaction between the TMD of

neighboring spikes ($\varepsilon_{mm}$), and the excluded volume interactions (ED) among all other particles.

Figure 5A shows snapshots from the simulation at an early budding stage, where an icosahedral

core begins to deform the membrane and recruit spike proteins. As time progresses, more spikes

attach to the core and begin to arrange in positions consistent with icosahedral symmetry. Figure

5B shows a later snapshot, corresponding to the end of the simulation. Although our model does

not include a scission mechanism, budding proceeds until approximately 80±5 spike proteins are

adsorbed onto the core, forming a nearly icosahedral structure. The parameters used in the

simulation are provided in the figure caption. The inset in Figure 5B highlights the final

configuration, with the positions of the attached spikes marked by small yellow spheres. A cutaway

view in Figure 5C illustrates the spatial distribution of spikes around the core.

**Figure 5.** *Snapshots of the budding.* **A)** Snapshots of the budding process using an icosahedral core at timesteps t=0,5,10, 80,250, and 700 (left to right, top to bottom). **B)** Final state of the system after budding. The inset highlights the location of CTD particles along with the core. **C)** Final state shown in a vertical cross-sectional view sliced through the center. The system parameters include a CTD–core interaction strength of 80 $k_B$T. The particle diameters used in this simulation were: ED = 1.1, TMD = 0.8, and CTD = 0.4. The system includes LJ repulsion to account for excluded volume, but there are no attractive TMD-TMD interactions.

## Modeling the Effects of Mutations by Tuning Attractive and Steric Interactions Between Spike Protein Domains

Ribeiro-Filho et al. proposed that in the Mayaro virus, the glycosylation site equivalent to CHIKV E2 N263 acts as a "*molecular handshake*" that stabilizes the viral particles. This glycosylation site can easily influence trimer–trimer interactions through steric repulsion from the attached

carbohydrates. Its removal may also alter the local curvature or relative orientation between

adjacent spikes, thereby making budding more difficult.

To quantify the effect of the absence of this glycosylation site in E2, we conducted a series

of simulations with icosahedral cores and compared their relative success rates. We began by

systematically varying all key parameters, ED size, TMD-TMD interaction strength, and CTD–

core attraction, to identify the combination that produced the highest assembly efficiency. This

optimal condition, corresponding to a CTD–core interaction strength of $\varepsilon_{mc} = 74\,k_BT$, along with

default values for ED size and TMD-TMD attraction (specific values listed in Table 1), was

designated as the wild-type (WT) baseline. Note that this value of $\varepsilon_{mc}$ results in an E2–core

interaction strength of approximately $8\,k_BT$, consistent with previous studies (see Supplementary

Information). To isolate the effects of specific mutations, we introduced changes by modifying one

or more of these parameters, while holding the CTD–core interaction constant at $\varepsilon_{mc} = 74\,k_BT$. For

each condition, we performed eight independent simulation trials. In the WT system ($\varepsilon_{mc} = 74\,k_BT$),

7 out of 8 simulations resulted in successful assemblies, which we define as a 100% baseline

success rate. A configuration was considered successful if no more than five of the 80 spike

proteins, expected in a perfect T=4 structure, were missing or mispositioned. This definition is

consistent with recent Cryo-ET data that shows that Alphavirus budded virions can have defects

in one pole of the particle[18].

**Table 1.** Simulation data on the Effects equivalent to mutant E2 N263.

| Icosahedral core (constant CTD-Core value) | Parameters | Successful rates | Percentage |
|---|---|---|---|
| WT | ED=1.15, CTD-Core=74, TMD-TMD=0.2 | 7/8 | 100.0% |
| Smaller ED | ED=1.1, CTD-Core=74, TMD-TMD=0.2 | 4/8 | 57.1% |
| No TMD-TMD | ED=1.15, CTD-Core=74 | 2/8 | 28.6% |
| No TMD-TMD + Smaller ED | ED=1.1, CTD-Core=74 | 1/8 | 14.39% |

For each set of parameters, we performed 8 independent simulation trials. "WT" refers to the wild-type system, which includes strong TMD-TMD interactions and an optimal ED size. The "TMD-TMD" and "CTD-Core" columns denote the interaction strengths ($\varepsilon$) used in the Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential. In different cases, we either reduced the ED size, removed the TMD-TMD interaction, or applied both modifications to assess their impact on the success rate. For all setups, the CTD–Core interaction strength was held constant at 74 $k_BT$. The success rate is defined as the ratio of successful budding events to the total number of trials. The final column presents the success rate as a percentage relative to the WT case. "ED size" refers to the diameter of the ED particle in units of $a$.

As shown in Table 1, reducing the ED size while keeping the CTD–core fixed at 74 $k_BT$ resulted in a drop in the success rate to approximately 57%. Eliminating the TMD–TMD interactions while maintaining the WT ED size also reduced the success rate to about 28.6%, highlighting the importance of attractive interactions between spike proteins. When both the ED size was reduced and the TMD–TMD interaction was removed, the success rate declined even further to 14.3%. These results suggest that decreasing ED size disrupts $T = 4$ formation, likely by impairing the induction of membrane curvature, and that the absence of TMD–TMD attraction further compromises proper assembly. Our findings indicate that both steric and attractive

interactions among spike proteins are essential for efficient and robust assembly. These simulations

reflect the behavior of the mutant ITS described in the experimental section.

We next investigated how changes in the CTD–core interaction strength affect assembly

outcomes in the mutated systems described above. Achieving the right balance is critical: an overly

strong attraction can lead to excessive binding of spike proteins to the core, while a too weak

interaction may fail to bring in enough spikes for successful assembly. To explore this, we

performed additional simulations in which CTD–core strength was varied while holding the other

parameters fixed at their mutated values. This allowed us to assess how changing the interaction

strength affects budding efficiency under each mutation scenario.

Table 2 summarizes these findings. In the absence of TMD–TMD interaction, increasing

CTD–core strength from 74 to 80 $k_BT$ improved the success rate to 85.7% relative to WT. When

only the ED size was reduced and the CTD–core was set to 75, the success rate reached 71.4%.

However, when both ED size was reduced and TMD–TMD interaction was removed, success fell

again to 57.1%. Notably, while stronger CTD–core attraction improves assembly efficiency, it also

results in excessive spike adsorption in the WT system, underscoring the importance of a finely

tuned interplay among all interactions for successful T=4 structure formation.

**Table 2.** Data for the icosahedral core with varying CTD–Core interaction strength.

| Icosahedral core (optimal CTD-Core value) | Parameters | Successful rates | Percentage |
|---|---|---|---|
| WT | ED=1.15, CTD-Core=74, TMD-TMD=0.2 | 7/8 | 100.0% |
| Smaller ED | ED=1.1, CTD-core=75, TMD-TMD=0.2 | 5/8 | 71.4% |
| No TMD-TMD | ED=1.15, CTD-Core=80 | 6/8 | 85.7% |
| No TMD-TMD + Smaller ED | ED=1.1, CTD-Core=80 | 4/8 | 57.1% |

In these simulations, we varied the CTD-Core interaction and selected the value that yielded the highest

success rate.

**Budding of an Imperfect Icosahedral Core Through the Membrane**

Recent experimental studies have indicated that the capsid structure of CHIKV during budding

does not exhibit perfect icosahedral symmetry[2]. To investigate this effect, we constructed a core

with one missing pentamer, introducing an imperfect geometry. As it will be explained later, we

tested this core under both wild-type conditions and in simulations corresponding to mutations that

abrogate CTD-core and spike-spike interactions.

Compared to the core with perfect symmetry, the imperfect core yielded lower success

rates. Nevertheless, under optimal conditions, using ED = 1.15, a CTD–Core interaction strength

of 77 $k_BT$, and a TMD-TMD = 0.1 $k_BT$, we observed that spikes near the region of the missing

pentamer were still correctly positioned, as shown in Figure 6, which illustrates the budding of an

imperfect core through the plasma membrane. Reductions in ED size and weakening of the TMD-

TMD interactions disrupted T = 4 symmetry by impairing inter-trimer contacts. However, the

overall efficiency of assembly was consistently lower. In the WT system with an imperfect core,

only 2 out of 12 simulations produced a successful configuration—about 17%—and the success

rate declined further when both the ED size was reduced and the TMD-TMD interactions were

removed.

**Figure 6.** *Configurations of the membrane and an imperfect core with icosahedral symmetry.* **A)** The initial state of the system with an imperfect core. **B)** Final state of the system after budding. The inset shows CTD particles and the core. The system parameters include a CTD–core interaction strength of 77 $k_BT$ and a TMD-TMD interaction strength of 0.1 $k_BT$. The particle diameters are set to 1.15 for ED, 0.8 for TMD, and 0.4 for CTD.

The relatively low success rate observed in our simulations, compared to experimental results[2], likely stems from the limitations of the current model, which has a fixed core size and immobile subunits. Experimental data suggest that the initial core is smaller than the final assembled structure, indicating that core subunits can locally dissociate and reassociate to achieve near-perfect icosahedral symmetry. In this study, our aim was not to model this type of computationally costly rearrangement, but rather to test whether spike proteins can still assemble

into an icosahedral shell in the presence of core defects, and to evaluate the roles of spike–spike

and spike–core interactions in this process.

Capturing the dynamic remodeling of the core—from an imperfect to an icosahedral

symmetric structure—requires a model that explicitly allows internal rearrangement of capsid

subunits [45]. Simulating this process is computationally intensive, as it involves dissociation and

reassociation events that must overcome significant free energy barriers [46]. However, such local

restructuring is essential for correcting geometric imperfections during budding and achieving

stable icosahedral symmetry. In future work, we plan to develop models that incorporate core

flexibility and explicitly examine the role of the genome[47] in promoting protein rearrangement and

structural maturation.

While the theoretical model and simulations provide mechanistic insight into how specific

interactions contribute to CHIKV assembly, they necessarily simplify certain aspects of the

biological system, such as membrane heterogeneity and the dynamic behavior of viral proteins. To

assess the biological relevance of our findings and examine how these interactions function in a

cellular context, we next designed targeted mutational experiments to test the roles of

glycoprotein–glycoprotein and glycoprotein-core interactions in budding and infectivity.

**The infectivity of CHIKV depends on multiple interactions between glycoproteins.**

To complement and validate the predictions of the coarse-grained simulations, we constructed

three CHIKV mutants. To disrupt the intertrimer interactions, we removed a glycosylation site in

E2 via the mutation N263Q (intertrimer surface mutant or ITS), and to weaken spike–core

interactions, we introduced a double mutation in E2: Y400K/L402R (cytoplasmic tail mutant or

CT). To disrupt the intradimer (E1/E2) surface, we added a glycosylation site in E1 through the

mutation K245N/R247T (intradimer surface mutant or IDS). The ITS and CT (Y400K/L402R)

mutants correspond to interaction changes examined in our coarse-grained simulations. The effect

of the IDS mutation was not studied in the simulations but was included experimentally to further

test the role of spike–core coupling.

Figure 7 shows a Cryo-EM reconstruction (PDB 3j2w) of the asymmetric subunit of

CHIKV, depicting the positions of amino acids targeted for mutation to remove or add

glycosylation sites, and to convert two hydrophobic residues to hydrophilic ones. To investigate

the role of the E1-E2 heterodimer interface, we first generated an E1 double mutant K245N/R247T

(CHIKV IDS) (Figure 7A). This mutation creates a glycosylation site at the interface between E1

and E2, where the added carbohydrate is expected to introduce steric hindrance that weakens

and/or destabilizes the E1/E2 heterodimer. For other Alphaviruses, it has been shown that replacing

the hydrophobic amino acids in E2 that interact with the core with basic residues can inhibit

budding[26, 48]. To this end, we generated the E2 double mutant Y400K/L402R to block the

interaction between the core and the glycoproteins (Figure 7B) (CHIKV CT). Finally, we mutated

N263 to a Q in E2 (CHIKV ITS) to remove the glycosylation site at the outer part of the trimer of

heterodimers (Figure 7C). The goal was to decrease the interaction between trimers by removing

the carbohydrates in this interface; we hypothesize that these carbohydrates stabilize the trimers

by steric repulsions. Figure 7D is a schematic representation of the three interactions studied here,

and Figure 7E depicts some of the most likely effects of the mutations E1 K245N/R247T, E2

Y400K/L402R, and E2 N263Q on these interactions.

**Figure 7.** *Structure of Chikungunya virus* A-C) Cryo-EM (PDB 3j2w) structure of the T = 4 icosahedral asymmetric unit of CHIKV virion with E1, E2, and C in salmon, gray, and teal. A) E1 K245 is at the interface between E1 and E2. B) The association of E2 with C is driven mainly by a hydrophobic pocket of E2 and Capsid (C). C) E2 N263 is glycosylated and might result in steric interactions between two E2 proteins at the trimer-trimer interface. D-E) Schematic representation of the interaction between glycoproteins and the core during assembly and budding. D) E1 and E2 dimerize in the cytoplasm, and once the glycoproteins are at the plasma membrane, they interact with the CHIKV cytoplasmic core, then the neighboring trimers interact with each other through high-molecular weight sugars at the E2 N263 site, E) In CHIKV IDS, a new glycosylation site was introduced in E1 amino acid 245; this mutation aims to decrease the E1/E2 heterodimer formation. CHIKV CT was designed to inhibit the interaction between E2 and the core by removing the hydrophobic pocket in E1 Y400/Y402. In CHIKV ITS, the N263 glycosylation site was removed to weaken the trimer-trimer interactions.

**Determining the relative infectivity of mutants compared to WT using a reporter virus system.**

To determine the effect of each mutation on virion assembly and budding, we first transfected

HEK-293T cells with CMV-driven CHIKV plasmids, based on the attenuated strain CHIKV

181/25 (from here and on referred as WT). These plasmids contain a reporter gene (mKate2),

between the C and E3 genes, that is post-translationally cleaved and thus is produced in a 1:1:1:1:1

ratio with respect to C:mKate2:E3:E2:E1. Therefore, the amount of reporter gene is proportional

to the number of structural proteins being translated in either a transfected or infected cell. Then,

HEK-293T cells were infected with the virus produced by transfection. At 24 hours post-infection

(h.p.i.), the amount of virus produced by the transfection was determined by fluorescence

microscopy as a relative infectivity to the WT. Each infection was done in triplicate and with four

biological replicas.

Figure 8A-D shows representative fluorescence images of infected cells (mKate2-

positive). Figure 8A shows that at 24 h.p.i. most cells are mKate2-positive cells (WT infected),

while panels B, C, and D show that the number of infected cells with CHIKV IDS, CT, and ITS is

extremely low compared to the WT.

**Figure 8.** *The infectivity of the viral particle depends on several protein-protein interactions.*

Representative fluorescent micrographs of HEK-283T cells infected with WT, IDS, CT, and ITS CHIKV

viruses. The genome of all these viruses has mKate2 as a reporter gene between the capsid and E3. A-D)

Cells infected with the WT, IDS, CT, and ITS viruses, respectively. E) Relative infectivity of each mutant

with respect to the WT virus. The relative infectivity was determined by measuring the fluorescence and

area of the mKate2-expressing cells using a LionHeart FX automated microscope: 25 images per well, three

wells per sample, and four biological replicas. The medians represent values from four experiments (n=4).

K-W analysis with Dunn's post hoc showed differences in infectivity for the three mutants compared to the

WT. The mutants showed a decrease in the relative infectivity of approximately 50%, 90%, and 100%,

respectively, for ISD, CT, and ITS (* $p<0.05$). F) Viral infection kinetics. The infection of WT, IDS, and

ITS reaches a maximum at 48 hours post-infection, suggesting that the differences in infectivity due to

differences in Gibbs free energy are not due to a kinetic effect. This graph was made by averaging three

independent experiments; the graph for each independent experiment is in the supplementary information,

and the data were normalized with respect to the average relative infectivity of CHIKV CT at 48 h.p.i. The

bars indicate the standard deviation.

The relative infectivity of these mutant CHIKV viruses compared to the WT is shown in

Figure 8E. In contrast, CHKV IDS (E1 K245N/R247T) and CHIKV CT (E2 Y400K/L402R) are

about 90% and 100% less infectious than the WT, respectively, while CHIVK ITS (N263Q) is

about 50% less infectious than the WT. These results show that blocking the interaction between

the core and E2 (CT) decreases the relative infectivity below the detection limit. It has been

proposed that E2-core interaction is necessary for assembly [48]. However, the data in Figure 8E

suggest that this interaction is insufficient; altering, at least, the interactions between monomeric

E1 and E2 and between trimers also decreases the infectivity. These data suggest that these

interactions are also required to generate infectious particles.

These data suggest that the CHIKV mutants exhibit lower infectivity than the wild type

(WT), likely due to defects in assembly and/or particle release. However, it is also possible that

these mutations affect genome replication, which in turn could impact assembly efficiency. To

address this, infections with CHIKV WT, IDS, CT, and ITS were monitored over a 72-hour period,

with measurements taken every 12 hours. Figure 8F shows the normalized average area of infected

(mKate2-positive) cells from three independent experiments. These results indicate that the peak

of normalized relative infectivity occurs at 48 hours post-infection (h.p.i.) for CHIKV WT, IDS,

and ITS. In contrast, CHIKV IDS and CT mutants show minimal, if any, replication, and this

deficiency is not rescued even by 72 h.p.i. Notably, if genome replication were significantly

impaired by these mutations, we would expect the infectivity peak to be delayed to later time points

post-infection. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that the differences in infectivity between

the CHIKV WT, ITS, and IDS are not due to differences in the kinetics of replication but are related

to assembly/budding defects.

**Budding of CHIKV particles depends on multiple interactions.**

To determine which stage of the infectious cycle is affected by the mutations E1 K245N/R247T,

E2 Y400K/L402R, and E2 N263Q, we analyzed transfected cells using thin-section transmission

electron microscopy (TEM) (Supplementary Figure 3), focusing specifically on how these

mutations impact virion assembly and budding. CHIKV IDS and CT mutants exhibit 90% and

100% reductions in infectivity relative to WT, respectively, making it extremely challenging to

identify infected cells. Moreover, if these mutations severely impair assembly and result in very

low infectivity, it becomes nearly impossible to confirm infection status in individual cells based

solely on thin-section TEM. Nonetheless, cells infected with alphaviruses can often be identified

by the presence of cytopathic vesicle type I (CPV-I), a characteristic marker observable by TEM[7].

Supplementary Figures 4A-D show the presence of CPV-I (arrows) in cells infected with the four

types of viruses. Once these vesicles were found, we searched the area around the plasmatic

membrane of that cell to characterize any possible assembly and or budding process.

Figure 9 shows characteristic budding events that result in the doubled-layer icosahedral

viral particles. Figure 9A shows a CHIKV WT virion budding (red arrow) and an extracellular

virion. CHIKV IDS has a relative infectivity of 90% lower than the WT, and, unlike WT or ITS,

most budding particles are "stuck" in the initial budding stages (Figure 9B); interestingly, most

CHIKV IDS particles are stuck in the initial stages of budding, forming a group or collection of

incomplete budding structures close to each other. These incomplete budding structures are very

similar to the snapshot of the MD simulation in Figure 4B. The fact that we found some partial

virions suggests that the E1 K245N/R247T mutation did not completely block the E1/E2

heterodimer formation, which is also consistent with our MD results. As expected, we could not

find any budding particles for CHIKV IDS. Figure 9C shows that the cores remain cytoplasmic;

in fact, it is much more likely to observe cytoplasmic cores in cells transfected with CHIKV CT

than with any other mutant. Figure 9D shows one extracellular CHIKV ITS particle and two still

bound to the plasmatic membrane.

**Figure 9.** *Budding structures of WT and mutant viral particles.* Thin-section transmission electron micrographs of HEK-293T cells infected with the WT, IDS, CT, and ITS, CHIKV viruses showing budding events of the virions (A, B, and D). The WT, IDS, and ITS viruses bud by forming a neck, which will eventually be pinched off, releasing the virus to the extracellular medium (arrows). C) CHIKV CT does not bud out, and all the cores remain in the cytoplasm (red oval).

**Virion morphology depends on the strength of the interactions between the glycoproteins.**

To determine if weakening the interaction between trimers, by removing the "molecular handshake" between them (CHIKV ITS), alters the particle morphology, we measured the hydrodynamic diameter of purified CHIKV WT and ITS particles purified from serum-free Vero E6-infected cells. The purified samples were resuspended in PBS, and their hydrodynamic

diameter was determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS). This analysis was performed using

two biological replicates and three experiments per replicate. Figures 10A and B show the

hydrodynamic diameter distribution for two independent purifications (batches) of CHIKV WT

and ITS, respectively. The broken and solid lines indicate the measurements for batches 1 and 2,

respectively. The average hydrodynamic diameters for the WT and ITS CHIKV virions are 67 nm

+/- 3 nm and 113 +/- 10 nm, respectively. Furthermore, these experiments show that purified

CHIKV ITS virions are heterogeneous compared to CHIKV WT virions. These data are consistent

with the trends observed in Figures 4 and 10 and support the hypothesis that removing this

glycosylation site makes the viral particle less stable and homogenous, decreasing trimer-trimer

interactions. Figures 10C and D show positive-stain TEM images of purified CHIKV WT and ITS

from batch 1, respectively.

**Figure 10.** *Removing the E2 N623 glycosylation site increases particle heterogeneity.* Vero E6 cells were

infected with either WT or ITS virus in fetal bovine serum-free media; they were purified by low-speed

centrifugation, resuspended in PBS, and the hydrodynamic diameter (nm) was determined by using

dynamic light scattering (DLS). A) Three measurements of the hydrodynamic diameters of the WT virus

from two independent batches (broken lines are batch 1 and solid lines are batch 2). B)  Three measurements

of the hydrodynamic diameters of ITS from two independent batches, broken lines are batch 1, and solid

lines are batch 2. For the WT distribution, one hydrodynamic radius is extremely homogenous (67 nm +/-

3 nm), while the ITS is highly heterogeneous (113 +/- 10 nm). These data suggest that virions with weak

trimer-timer interactions are no longer homogeneous. C-D) Negative-staining TEM images from the

purified samples used for the DLS measurements for batch 1.

528           The data shown here suggest that altering the interaction between glycoproteins decreases

the ability of the particles to bud from the producer cells. Therefore, we analyzed the cellular

localization of 500 virions/cores for CHIKV WT, IDS, and ITS of transfected cells by thin-section

EM. These localizations were defined as cytoplasmic, budding, and extracellular particles; the

cytoplasmic particles are cores that are not interacting with the plasmatic membrane, the budding

particles are those forming any type of neck or protrusion, and the extracellular particles are the

released virions. The distribution of virions in Figure 11 shows that most CHIKV WT particles are

either at the budding stage or released (extracellular). Very few particles remain as cytoplasmic

cores.

**Figure 11.** *Relative distribution (percentage) of virions in different cellular compartments.* CHIKV WT (blue

bar) is predominantly found in both budding at the plasma membrane and in the extracellular space. In CHIKV

IDS (orange bar), which has about 2-fold fewer infections than the WT, the distribution of virions is similar to

the WT. However, for CHIKV ITS (grey bar), most virions were found budding, while the amount of cytoplasmic

and extracellular material was the lowest of these three viruses. These data suggest that weakening E1-E2

interactions results in defects in the budding, most likely by increasing the energy required for the core and

glycoproteins to deform the plasma membrane and bud out.

Figure 11 shows that when the E1/E2 heterodimer interface is altered by adding a

glycosylation site, most particles are found at the plasma membrane at some stage of budding. This

suggests that the mutant's inability to form proper trimers of heterodimers (later interactions)

almost completely blocks the particle from pinching off the plasma membrane. As expected, from

the WT, IDS, and ITS particles, the WT are the most abundant in the extracellular space, with only

about 1/3 of the CHIKVK ITS with respect to the WT are found outside the cell, which is consistent

with the relative infectivity data and the MD simulations (*i.e.,* Table 1).

Based on the results of our simulations and from the DLS data and virion localization in

the cell, we hypothesize that the carbohydrates at the interface of two trimers generate steric

repulsion between trimers that contributes to the icosahedral ordering of the trimers. This steric

interaction was first proposed for another Old-World Alphavirus, Mayaro virus, and described as

a "molecular handshake" between glycans of two different trimers [31]. Therefore, removing the E2

glycosylation site at N263 is expected to decrease the stability of the viral particles. To test this,

we analyzed the stability of the particles to heating by taking the supernatant from transfected

cells, dividing into aliquots, and incubating them at 37 °C, 40 °C, 45 °C, 50 °C, 55 °C, and 60 °C

for 30 minutes. The samples were then cooled to 37 °C for 5 minutes and used to infect HEK-293T

cells. The relative infectivity was determined by normalizing the areas of fluorescent cells

(mkate2-positive cells) for each virus type with the sample incubated at 37 °C for that virus type

(Figure 12). The relative infectivity of WT CHIKV WT at 40ºC and 45ºC, compared to the CHIKV

WT sample incubated at 37ºC, remained constant, but there was a significant drop in relative

infectivity around 50ºC. Interestingly, CHIKV ITS is far more sensitive to changes in temperature

compared to a sample of CHIKV ITS incubated at 37ºC. As soon as the samples were heated, the

relative infectivity decreased. This data was fitted with an equation that assumes a cooperative

dissociation process. This model has two parameters: the temperature at which the relative

infectivity decreases by 50% ($T_{RI50}$) and a cooperative exponent (n). The values of $T_{RI50}$ and n for

CHIKV WT and ITS were 50ºC and 99, and 44ºC and 16.5, respectively. These parameters suggest

that removing the glycan in E2 N263 significantly affects the particle stability. Experiments using

twice the amount of CHIKV ITS compared with WT yielded the same results (data not shown).

Furthermore, the decrease of the cooperative exponent (n) suggests that the dissociation process

of the virion of the CHIKV ITS is less ordered and might involve a weaker interaction than CHIKV

WT.

**Figure 12.** *The thermal stability of the virions depends on trimer-trimer interactions.* The relativity of the

WT and ITS viruses was calculated with respect to the area and fluorescence of infected cells for each virus

at 37°C. The circles and bars are the mean and standard deviation of three independent experiments. On the

one hand, the relative infectivity of WT remains constant from 37°C to 45°C, reaching a 50% decrease at

50°C. On the other hand, when the trimer-trimer interactions are weakened (CHIKV ITS) the infectivity

starts to decrease at 40°C, reaching a 50% decrease at 45°C. These data suggest that weakening trimer-

trimer interactions decreases the thermal stability of the viral particle. The data was fitted with a cooperative

model that follows Hill's equation for competitive binding $RI = 100/(1+(T/T_{RI50})^n)$ where RI, T, $T_{RI50}$, and

n are the relative infectivity normalized with the relative infectivity at 37°C, Temperature at which the virus

was incubated, the Temperature at which the relative infectivity decreases by 50%, and a cooperative

coefficient. For the WT, the $T_{RI50}$ and n are 50°C and 99, respectively, while for the ITS mutant, they are

44°C and 16.5.

**DISCUSSION**

In this paper, we set out to determine how specific protein–protein interactions govern the

assembly and budding of Chikungunya virus (CHIKV). We hypothesized that the assembly and

budding of CHIKV viral particles depend not only on the canonical E2-core interactions [3, 26, 35] but

also on other protein-protein interactions. Thus, our goal was to dissect the roles of E2–core

coupling, E1–E2 heterodimerization, and trimer–trimer (spike-spike) interactions in shaping the

formation of stable and infectious virions. To achieve this, we combined coarse-grained

simulations with site-directed mutagenesis of a CHIKV system with a reporter gene analysis

targeting key interfaces within the CHIKV glycoprotein layer.

Our simulations revealed that efficient budding requires a finely tuned balance between

spike–core attraction and lateral glycoprotein interactions. Although this is not the first model to

try describing Alphavirus budding, it addresses novel interactions between the ectopic domains of

trimers that have not been previously explored and compared with experimental data[30].

First, interactions between the CTD domain of the spikes and the underlying nucleocapsid

core were essential for initiating membrane deformation. When the strength of this interaction was

reduced below a critical threshold, budding was arrested—consistent with experimental results for

CHIKV CT (E2 Y400K/Y402R), which disrupts the hydrophobic pocket in E2 that binds to a

hydrophobic pocket on the nucleocapsid core—leading to complete loss of budding and virion

assembly. The effect of this mutation has been demonstrated elsewhere[3, 26, 35] and prompted the

proposal that E2-core interactions primarily drive Alphavirus budding. However, the simulations

and experiments presented here show that this interaction is necessary but not sufficient for

assembly and budding.

Beyond initiating budding, interactions among glycoprotein trimers were found to be

critical for achieving proper spatial organization. Simulations showed that spike–spike interactions

alone could not guide self-assembly toward the correct geometry, nor could a smooth spherical core. However, an icosahedral core with T = 4 symmetry was sufficient to support the correct positioning of glycoproteins, even when imperfect (*e.g.,* missing a pentamer). Notably, the absence of ED-ED interactions significantly reduced budding efficiency, mirroring experimental results for CHIKV ITS (E2 N263Q), in which deletion of a glycosylation site weakens trimer–trimer interactions and leads to virions with diminished infectivity, an increase in particle heterogeneity, and a decrease in particle stability. Despite a previous suggestion that spike-spike proteins between ecto domains through glycans in E2 N263 confer stability to the particle[31], the effect of this interaction has not been previously explored either through simulation or experiments.

Although our model does not resolve detailed E1–E2 heterodimerization, experimental analysis of CHIKV IDS (E1 K235N/R247N), which introduces a glycosylation site in E1 at the heterodimer interface, revealed profound assembly defects. These particles were largely non-infectious, rarely completed budding, and accumulated at the plasma membrane, suggesting that stable heterodimer formation is essential for trimer assembly and for progression beyond the early stages of particle envelopment and release from the plasma membrane.

To explore the role of core structure, we also simulated budding from a defective core lacking one pentamer. While budding was still possible under favorable conditions, the success rate declined compared to simulations with a fully symmetric core. These findings are consistent with cryo-ET data, which show that one pole of the CHIKV virion can initiate budding despite exhibiting structural defects[18]. This observation highlights the importance of geometric compatibility between the core and the glycoprotein shell. It is important to note that our current model assumes a fixed-size, rigid core and does not allow subunit rearrangement during assembly.

However, cryo-ET data[2] suggest that the CHIKV core may undergo remodeling during budding,

transitioning from an irregular structure in the cytoplasm to a more symmetric state at the plasma

membrane. Incorporating such dynamic remodeling in future simulations will provide a more

complete picture of CHIKV morphogenesis. Furthermore, our group is performing site-directed

mutagenesis experiments of the CHIKV core to understand how defective nucleocapsids affect

budding and particle release.

Although high-resolution cryo-EM maps for CHIKV [2, 37, 49-52] do not show electron density

corresponding to high-molecular-weight glycans at E2 N263, our experimental results support a

structural role for this glycosylation site, in agreement with the "*molecular handshake*" model

proposed for Mayaro virus[31] . Deletion of this glycan in CHIKV (E2 N263Q) impairs trimer–trimer

interactions and reduces the cooperativity of disassembly upon heating. The apparent discrepancy

between structure and function may stem from the higher particle heterogeneity observed in

CHIKV[18, 37] , which could obscure this glycan in ensemble-averaged cryo-EM reconstructions.

While previous simulations and experiments have shown that glycoprotein spikes alone

cannot reliably assemble into icosahedral structures[30], some experimental studies suggest that

capsid proteins can form T=4 icosahedral shells prior to budding[15, 17]. However, due to technical

limitations, these studies have not been able to definitively confirm the symmetry of the pre-

budding core. More recent experiments indicate that when the core deviates from perfect

symmetry[18], interactions between capsid proteins and spikes—as well as lateral spike–spike

contacts—can help stabilize or guide the assembly toward icosahedral order. Our simulations

revealed that non-icosahedral core geometries are mechanically constrained; these structures often

fail to progress, then disassemble and reassemble into more symmetric configurations. These

findings suggest that local rearrangement of the core may be required for the formation of stable

icosahedral particles, which is consistent with the cryo-ET data from Chmielewski et al[2]. Although

the current model used in this study employs a fixed, preassembled core, we speculate—based on

previous simulations and supporting experimental observations—that in *vivo*, capsid subunits may

locally dissociate and reassociate during budding to correct geometric imperfections and promote

icosahedral symmetry. Future simulations incorporating core flexibility and experiments mutating

the amino acids of the cytoplasmic core will be essential to fully capture this dynamic aspect of

alphavirus assembly.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our integrated computational and experimental study demonstrates that CHIKV assembly and

budding are governed by a coordinated network of protein–protein interactions operating across

multiple spatial and temporal scales. More significantly, this work highlights the power of

simulation-guided mutagenesis as a rational strategy to dissect and reprogram virus assembly. By

selectively altering key interaction interfaces, we gain deep molecular insights without the need

for atomistic simulations or exhaustive mutational screens.

Disrupting E2–core attraction, E1–E2 heterodimerization, or trimer–trimer contacts

impairs assembly through distinct but complementary mechanisms—preventing budding,

disrupting trimer formation, or destabilizing the glycoprotein lattice. These results counter the

view that E2–core interactions alone are sufficient for particle formation; instead, they are

necessary but not sufficient. Our simulations emphasize the essential roles of both vertical (core–

glycoprotein) and lateral (inter-spike) interactions, including E1–E2 contacts and steric repulsions

between adjacent trimers, in enabling budding and the emergence of $T = 4$ icosahedral symmetry.

While this study focused on perturbing E1–E2 and intertrimer interfaces, additional

interactions—such as E1–E1′ and E2–E2′ contacts—are also likely to contribute to lattice stability

and proper assembly. Introducing a glycosylation site into E1, as in CHIKV IDS, significantly

reduced infectivity, further underscoring the delicate balance of interactions required for efficient

particle formation.

A more comprehensive understanding of glycoprotein interactions will be essential for

building a complete and predictive model of alphavirus assembly—one that incorporates

mechanistic diversity across viral lineages and explains variations in budding efficiency and

infectivity between Old- and New-World alphaviruses. Taken together, our findings support a

unified model in which vertical and lateral interactions act in concert to shape virion formation,

offering a strategic foundation for therapeutic interventions that target the assembly and release of

infectious virus particles.

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

**Coarse-grained simulations**

To simulate CHIKV budding through the plasma membrane, we developed a model incorporating

a fluid membrane, spike proteins, and the viral core, as described below.

*Fluid membrane:* We model the biomembrane as a triangular lattice[53], as illustrated in

Supplementary Figure 1. The energy of this network includes contributions from both bond

stretching and bending[54]. The stretching energy is represented by a harmonic potential summed

over all bonds:

$$E_s = \sum_{bonds} \frac{1}{2} k_s (r - a)^2, \; \textbf{Eq. 1}$$

here, $a = 10\ nm$ is the equilibrium length of the spring. The parameter $k_s = 25\ k_B T * nm^{-2}$ is

the stretching modulus. The bending energy is calculated by summing over all pairs of triangular

subunits that share an edge, and is given by:

$$E_b = \sum_{pairs} k_b \left(1 - \cos(\theta - \theta_0)\right), \textbf{Eq. 2}$$

where $\theta_0 = \pi$ is the preferred dihedral angle, and $k_b = 20\ k_B T$ is the bending modulus.

To ensure the incompressibility of our coarse-grained membrane, we have placed a

spherical LJ potential particle, denoted by M (see Supplementary Figure 1), with a diameter $a$ at

each membrane vertex. Membrane fluidity is achieved by performing Monte Carlo (MC)

simulations with the bond-flipping method, which permits dynamic changes in the membrane's

connectivity[55, 56]. The procedure for bond flips is illustrated in Supplementary Figure 2. Each MC

step consists of $N_b$=8781 attempts, where $N_b$ is the total number of bonds. In each attempt, a

randomly selected bond connecting two adjacent triangles is removed and reattached between two

previously unconnected vertices, as shown in Supplementary Figure 2. These moves are designed

to preserve the total number of vertices and bonds, thereby maintaining the overall topology of the

membrane. By allowing lateral rearrangements of connectivity, they facilitate the diffusion of

topological defects across the membrane.

***Spike proteins:*** Each coarse-grained spike represents a trimer of heterodimers, as illustrated in

Figure 1. It comprises three components: ED (ecto domain, blue), TMD (transmembrane domain,

red), and CTD (C-terminal or cytosolic domain, yellow). ED protrudes outward from the

membrane into the lumenal/extracellular-facing side of the budding virus; TMD is hydrophobic

and embedded within the lipid bilayer; and CTD is located on the cytosolic side, where it interacts

with the viral core.

***Core Particles:*** The capsid of CHIKV is illustrated in Figure 2. In our model, we use three types

of core particles. In all three types of cores, the sphere part has a diameter of 3.2a (see Eq. 1). The

first is a simple spherical core (Figure 2A) that interacts with the C-terminal domain of the spike

proteins (the yellow domain in Figure 1). The second core (Figure 2B) is also spherical and

interacts specifically with the CTD of the spike proteins. Its surface exhibits icosahedral symmetry

and consists of 80 triangular faces. Note that only the spherical surface directly interacts with the

spike proteins, while the triangles interact with them exclusively via steric repulsion. This type of

interaction promotes the assembly of spikes into a T=4 shell around the core—an organization that

would not occur otherwise. Without such interactions, the proteins would form a shell around a

spherical core lacking any particular symmetry, which would be inconsistent with the experimental

data. The third core (Figure 2C) is similar in shape and size but lacks icosahedral symmetry due

to the absence of a pentamer.

***Interactions***: The attractive interactions between CTD-CTD and the core, as well as between TMD

proteins, are modeled using the Lennard-Jones potential,

746
$$E_{lj} = \sum_{<i,j>} 4\epsilon_{ij} \left( \left( \frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^{6} \right) \quad \textbf{Eq. 3}$$

747     where $\sigma = 2^{-1/6} d$ with $d$ the distance between any two particles in contact including, CTD-core

748     and TMD-TMD. $\epsilon_{ij}$ and $r_{ij}$ denote the interaction strength and the distance between particles $i$

749     and $j$, respectively. The interactions are subject to a cutoff distance $r_{cut\_1} = 0.5a + d$. In addition,

750    the excluded volume interaction between all particles is presented with a shifted LJ repulsion

751    potential

752
$$E_{lj}^{rep} = \sum_{<i,j>} 4\epsilon\_2 \left( \left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}}\right)^{6} \right) + \epsilon\_2 \quad \textbf{Eq. 4}$$

753                      with $\epsilon\_2 = 1$, $r_{cut\_2} = d$ and $\sigma = 2^{-1/6}d$.

**Generation of cDNA constructs for mutant viruses.**

The plasmid pACNR-CHIKV is a complete sequence clone of the genome of the Chikungunya

181/25 virus (WT) in a CMV-driven plasmid[41]. It allows for the generation of viral progeny by

transfecting HEK-293T cells and serves as a template for all mutations. This plasmid contains the

mKate2 reporter gene between CP and E3, which is released from the structural polyprotein. The

reporter gene is translated from the same subgenomic RNA as the structural proteins, hence there

is a 1:1:1:1:1 ratio of CP:makte2:E3:E2:E1 proteins in the cytoplasm; hence the amount of mKate2

is proportional to the amount of structural proteins translated in a transfected and infected cell.

This protein allows for the relative infectivity of the mutant viruses to be determined by

fluorescence microscopy compared to the WT virus. The mutations made are described below:

Intradimer surfaced mutant (IDS) is a double mutant in E1 K245N and R247T, the

cytoplasmic tail mutant (CT) consists of two mutations in E2 Y400K/L402R, and in the intertrimer

surface mutant (ITS), N263 of the E2 protein was replaced by Q. The mutations were performed

by site-directed mutagenesis using the protocol by Liu & Naismith[57]. All PCRs were performed

using HS Phusion II (ThermoFisher, Inc.), following the vendor's protocol (see Table 3). The PCR

products were digested with DpnI (ThermoFisher, Inc.) and analyzed by agarose/TBE gel

electrophoresis. *E. coli* DH5a bacteria (New England Biolabs) were transformed with the DpnI-

digested PCR products. The selected colonies were cultured in LB (Luria-Bertani) medium with

ampicillin, following standard protocols. The clones were purified with Promega's MiniPrep

Wizard kit, and the sequence identity was confirmed by Sanger sequencing at

LANBAMA/IPICyT.

Table 3. Primer sequences used for site-targeted mutations and sequencing.

| Mutant | Primer Name | Sequence |
|---|---|---|
| **CHIKV ITS** | FP-E2N263Q | GGCAcAaGTGACATGCAGGGTGCCTAAGGCAAGG |
| | RP-E2N263Q | CATGTCACtTgTGCCAGAGGAAACGGAATGTGAAC |
| | FP-SeqE2N263Q | CCAGACACCCCAGATCG |
| **CHIKV IDS** | FP-E1KRtNT | AAAtGAAacAGGGGCGTCGCTGCAGCACA |
| | RP- E1KRtNT | CCCCTgtTTCaTTTAGCCAATACTTGAAGCCAG |
| | FP-SeqE1 | TGCACCGCACACTTG |
| **CHIKV CT** | FP-E2Y400K | ACCGaAgGAACgGACACCAGGAGCTACCGTC |
| | RP- E2Y400K | TGTCcGTTCcTtCGGTGTAATGCATCTGCGTCGT |
| | RP-SeqE2Y400K | GATCACTGTTACGTGTTCG |

Note: Lowercase letters on primers indicate nucleotides that will be changed during the site-targeted mutation.

**Virus rescue through transfection.**

The production of infectious and quantification of viral particles is similar to that done with other

viruses with reporter genes [58, 59]. HEK-293T cells (ATTC) were grown in DMEM medium

(Corning, Inc.) with glucose (1 g/L) and sodium pyruvate (110mg/L) with 10% fetal bovine serum

(Sigma-Aldrich, Inc.) at 37ºC and 5% $CO_2$. The transfections were done following the protocol

from Colunga-Saucedo et al.[41]. Briefly, transfections were performed in 12-well plates using

Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen, Inc.) according to the vendor's protocol, with the modification

that 0.6 μg of plasmid was used to transfect 100,000 seeded cells. We always transfected pACNR-

CHIKV (positive control) and a mock transfection with lipofectamine, but without any plasmid in

each transfection, on the same plate as the mutants. At 24 hours post-transfection (h.p.t.), the

supernatant was removed, the cells were washed with PBS, and at 48 h.p.t., the supernatant was

collected, filtered using a 0.22 μm syringe filter, and stored at -80ºC in 0.1 mL aliquots. We

performed four independent transfections.

**Assessing infection via a reporter assay.**

The quantification of the viral particles produced by transfection was directly determined as the

relative infectivity of the mutants to the WT. This was achieved by infecting HEK293T cells in

12- or 24-well culture plates in triplicate with 15 and 30 μL of the supernatant from the transfected

cells, respectively. Every culture plate used for relative quantification assays contained a positive

control (CHIKV 181/25) and a negative control (supernatant of non-transfected cells), both of

which were produced in the same transfection as the mutants of interest. The infectivity assays

were performed only with supernatants from the same batch of transfected cells, thawed only once.

The relative infectivity was measured by quantifying the total area of cells expressing mKate2 at

24 hours post-infection (h.p.i.) using an inverted fluorescence microscope LionHeart FX (Biotek,

Inc.). 25 images were taken per well (5 x 5 symmetrical grid automatically generated by the

microscope) with a 4x objective, using the Texas red filter (excitation 559 nm+/- 34 nm and

emission 630 +/- 69 nm) to visualize the cells expressing the reporter gene. The relative infectivity

(R.I.) was determined by measuring the area of the fluorescent cells per well, averaging with the

total area of each well, and setting the total average area of the fluorescent cells infected with the

WT as 100%. At least four independent experiments (n=4) were performed, each in triplicate for

each infection scenario.

**Sample preparation for thin-section EM.**

For thin-section Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), HEK293T cells were seeded in a 6-

well plate, transfected, and fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M cacodylate pH 7.2 buffer for 2

hours at room temperature, following a previously published protocol [60]. Briefly, fixed samples

were washed three times with 0.1 M sodium cacodylate pH 7.2, then fixed with 1% osmium

tetroxide in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate for 1 hour at room temperature and in the dark. Subsequently,

they were washed twice with cacodylate buffer and once with 0.1 M sodium acetate pH 4.5. The

cells were stained with 0.5% uranyl acetate for 1 hour at room temperature in the dark. Then, they

were washed thrice with 0.1 M sodium acetate and thrice with MilliQ water for 10 minutes. The

next day, they were dehydrated with ethanol in increasing concentrations (35%, 50%, 70%, 95%,

and 100%) for 10 minutes and three times each. The cells were washed three times with EMbed

812 resin and cured at 55ºC for 48 hours. The resin discs were cut (70–80 nm thickness) using a

diamond blade on a LEICA EM UC7 ultramicrotome, placed on copper grids, and stained with

0.5% uranyl acetate and 0.5% lead citrate. The samples were visualized on a JEM-JEOL-2100

transmission electron microscope at 200 kV with a 4k GatanOne 4K View camera. The images

were analyzed using ImageJ® (NIH) and Fiji (NIH) software.

**Viral replication kinetics.**

The replication kinetics were performed in three independent experiments, each in triplicate, by

infecting HEK293 T cells seeded in 24-well plates (50,000 cells per well) with 15 μL of

supernatant. The normalized area of the fluorescent cells was measured every 12 hours for three

days, taking 25 images per well, using the Texas red filter and a 20x objective. The average

fluorescent area of each experiment was normalized to WT, such that the mean fluorescence cell

area of the WT was a relative infection of 100%.

**Thermal stability assays.**

To determine the thermal stability of the viral particles, the media collected from the transfection

were diluted (15 mL of virus and 85 mL of OptiMem) and incubated at 37°C, 40°C, 45°C, 50°C,

55°C, 60°C, and 90°C for 15 minutes and cooled down to 37°C. HEK-293T cells were infected in

48-well plates in triplicate. The R.I. of the WT at a temperature different than 37ºC was normalized

to that of the WT at 37ºC, and the infectivity of M3-E2 N263Q was normalized with that of this

mutant at 37ºC. The average R.I. was plotted as a function of the incubation temperature. The data

was fitted with QtiPlot 5.15.2 using Hill's equation for competitive unbinding $R.I. =$

$100/(1+(T/T_{RI50})^{n})$ where R.I., T, $T_{RI50}$, and n are the relative infectivity at any temperature

normalized the relative infectivity at 37ºC, the temperature at which the virions were incubated,

the temperature at which the infectivity decreases by 50%, and a cooperative coefficient,

respectively. Each experiment was done in triplicate with four biological replicates.

**Virus purification and characterization by Dynamic Light Scattering and Transmission**

**Electron Microscopy.**

The production of CHIKV WT and ITS (E2 N263Q) virions was performed in Vero E6 cells, using

two T75 flasks per virus, for a period of three days. The cells were grown in DMEM 10% FBS

and antibiotics until they reached 100% confluency (approximately 8 million cells per flask) before

infection. Then, the media was replaced with OptiMem, and the cells were infected with 1 ml of

virus for each condition. The medium was replaced after two hours, and the media were collected,

filtered with a 0.22 μm syringe filter, and stored at 4ºC every 24 hours for three days. The viral

particles were purified with a low-speed centrifugation protocol [61]. The following day, the

supernatant was recovered, and the pellet was resuspended with 200 μl of PBS.

The hydrodynamic diameter of virions was measured by Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS)

using a ZETA SIZE PRO Zetameter (Malvern, Inc). Each measurement was done in triplicate with

biological replicas (two independent viral productions and purifications).

The purified samples were loaded on 100 mesh copper grids covered with Formvar

(TedPella, Inc.) following standard protocols, stained with uranyl acetate, and observed in TEM

JEM-JEOL-2100 at 200 kV.

**Statistical analysis**

Data analysis was performed using the Statistica 10® program. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

was used to determine the normality of the data, and the statistical differences in the infectivity

data were analyzed with the Shapiro-Wilk test. To determine the existence of significant

differences ($p \leq 0.05$), the non-parametric test for multiple comparisons by Kruskal-Wallis,

followed by Dunn's post hoc test, was used.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

M.A.C.I. (CVU: 1232434) acknowledges the support of SECIHTI through the Ph.D. fellowship

4044561 M.C-G. thanks SECIHTI for the grant CBF2023-2024-1125 and COPOCYT for the grant

2024-03-M07. RZ acknowledges support from NSF DMR-2131963 and MCB/PHY-2413062.

**ABBREVIATIONS**

CHIKV, Chikungunya virus

CMV, Cytomegalovirus

CP, Capsid protein

cryo-EM, Cryo-electron microscopy

cryo-ET,  Cryo-electron tomography

CT, Cytoplasmic tail mutant

CTD, C-terminal or cytosolic domain

DLS, dynamic light scattering

ED, Ectodomain

h.p.i., Hours post-infection

IDS, Intradimer surfaced mutant

ITS, Intertrimer surface mutant

LJ, Lennard-Jones

MC, Monte Carlo

MD, Molecular dynamics

NC, Nucleocapsid or core

PBS, Phosphate-buffered saline

TMD, Transmembrane domain

TEM, Transmission Electron Microscopy

WT, Wild-type

**REFERENCES**

1.      Ruiz-Herrero, T.;  Velasco, E.; Hagan, M. F., Mechanisms of budding of nanoscale particles through lipid bilayers. *J Phys Chem B* **2012,** *116* (32), 9595-603.

2.      Chmielewski, D.;  Schmid, M. F.;  Simmons, G.;  Jin, J.; Chiu, W., Chikungunya virus assembly and budding visualized in situ using cryogenic electron tomography. *Nat Microbiol* **2022,** *7* (8), 1270-1279.

3.      Byrd, E. A.; Kielian, M., The Alphavirus E2 Membrane-Proximal Domain Impacts Capsid Interaction and Glycoprotein Lattice Formation. *J Virol* **2019,** *93* (4).

4.      Byrd, E. A.; Kielian, M., An Alphavirus E2 Membrane-Proximal Domain Promotes Envelope Protein Lateral Interactions and Virus Budding. *mBio* **2017,** *8* (6).

5.      Jose, J.;  Przybyla, L.;  Edwards, T. J.;  Perera, R.;  Burgner, J. W., 2nd; Kuhn, R. J., Interactions of the cytoplasmic domain of Sindbis virus E2 with nucleocapsid cores promote alphavirus budding. *J Virol* **2012,** *86* (5), 2585-99.

6.      Hartlieb, B.; Weissenhorn, W., Filovirus assembly and budding. *Virology* **2006,** *344* (1), 64-70.

7.      Elmasri, Z.;  Nasal, B. L.; Jose, J., Alphavirus-Induced Membrane Rearrangements during Replication, Assembly, and Budding. *Pathogens* **2021,** *10* (8).

8.      Freed, E. O., HIV-1 assembly, release and maturation. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **2015,** *13* (8), 484-96.

9.      Lingappa, J. R.;  Reed, J. C.;  Tanaka, M.;  Chutiraka, K.; Robinson, B. A., How HIV-1 Gag assembles in cells: Putting together pieces of the puzzle. *Virus Res* **2014,** *193*, 89-107.

10.     Sundquist, W. I.; Krausslich, H. G., HIV-1 assembly, budding, and maturation. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* **2012,** *2* (7), a006924.

11.    Su, Z.; Wu, C.; Shi, L.; Luthra, P.; Pintilie, G. D.; Johnson, B.; Porter, J. R.; Ge, P.;

Chen, M.; Liu, G.; Frederick, T. E.; Binning, J. M.; Bowman, G. R.; Zhou, Z. H.; Basler, C. F.;

Gross, M. L.; Leung, D. W.; Chiu, W.; Amarasinghe, G. K., Electron Cryo-microscopy Structure

of Ebola Virus Nucleoprotein Reveals a Mechanism for Nucleocapsid-like Assembly. *Cell* **2018,**

*172* (5), 966-978 e12.

12.    Wan, W.; Kolesnikova, L.; Clarke, M.; Koehler, A.; Noda, T.; Becker, S.; Briggs, J. A.

G., Structure and assembly of the Ebola virus nucleocapsid. *Nature* **2017,** *551* (7680), 394-397.

13.    Dong, S.; Yang, P.; Li, G.; Liu, B.; Wang, W.; Liu, X.; Xia, B.; Yang, C.; Lou, Z.;

Guo, Y.; Rao, Z., Insight into the Ebola virus nucleocapsid assembly mechanism: crystal structure

of Ebola virus nucleoprotein core domain at 1.8 A resolution. *Protein Cell* **2015,** *6* (5), 351-62.

14.    Button, J. M.; Qazi, S. A.; Wang, J. C.; Mukhopadhyay, S., Revisiting an old friend: new

findings in alphavirus structure and assembly. *Curr Opin Virol* **2020,** *45*, 25-33.

15.    Garoff, H.; Simons, K., Location of the spike glycoproteins in the Semliki Forest virus

membrane. *PNAS* **1974,** *71* (10), 3988-92.

16.    Forsell, K.; Xing, L.; Kozlovska, T.; Cheng, R. H.; Garoff, H., Membrane proteins

organize a symmetrical virus. *EMBO J* **2000,** *19* (19), 5081-91.

17.    Lee, S.; Owen, K. E.; Choi, H. K.; Lee, H.; Lu, G.; Wengler, G.; Brown, D. T.;

Rossmann, M. G.; Kuhn, R. J., Identification of a protein binding site on the surface of the

alphavirus nucleocapsid and its implication in virus assembly. *Structure* **1996,** *4* (5), 531-41.

18.    Chmielewski, D.; Su, G. C.; Kaelber, J. T.; Pintilie, G. D.; Chen, M.; Jin, J.; Auguste,

A. J.; Chiu, W., Cryogenic electron microscopy and tomography reveal imperfect icosahedral

symmetry in alphaviruses. *PNAS Nexus* **2024,** *3* (3), pgae102.

19.    Weaver, S. C.; Winegar, R.; Manger, I. D.; Forrester, N. L., Alphaviruses: population

genetics and determinants of emergence. *Antiviral Res* **2012,** *94* (3), 242-57.

20.    Robinson, M. C., An epidemic of a dengue-like fever in the southern province of

Tanganyika. *Cent Afr J Med* **1956,** *2* (11), 394-6.

21.    Robinson, M. C., An epidemic of virus disease in Southern Province, Tanganyika Territory,

in 1952-53. I. Clinical features. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* **1955,** *49* (1), 28-32.

22.    Frolov, I.; Frolova, E. I., Molecular Virology of Chikungunya Virus. *Curr Top Microbiol*

*Immunol* **2022,** *435*, 1-31.

23.    Erasmus, J. H.; Auguste, A. J.; Kaelber, J. T.; Luo, H.; Rossi, S. L.; Fenton, K.; Leal,

G.; Kim, D. Y.; Chiu, W.; Wang, T.; Frolov, I.; Nasar, F.; Weaver, S. C., A chikungunya fever

vaccine utilizing an insect-specific virus platform. *Nat Med* **2017,** *23* (2), 192-199.

24.    Ganesan, V. K.; Duan, B.; Reid, S. P., Chikungunya Virus: Pathophysiology, Mechanism,

and Modeling. *Viruses* **2017,** *9* (12).

25.    Weaver, S. C.; Lecuit, M., Chikungunya virus and the global spread of a mosquito-borne

disease. *N Engl J Med* **2015,** *372* (13), 1231-9.

26.    Owen, K. E.; Kuhn, R. J., Alphavirus budding is dependent on the interaction between the

nucleocapsid and hydrophobic amino acids on the cytoplasmic domain of the E2 envelope

glycoprotein. *Virology* **1997,** *230* (2), 187-96.

27.    Ren, S. C.; Qazi, S. A.; Towell, B.; Wang, J. C.; Mukhopadhyay, S., Mutations at the

Alphavirus E1'-E2 Interdimer Interface Have Host-Specific Phenotypes. *J Virol* **2022,** *96* (5),

e0214921.

28.    Suomalainen, M.; Liljestrom, P.; Garoff, H., Spike protein-nucleocapsid interactions drive

the budding of alphaviruses. *J Virol* **1992,** *66* (8), 4737-47.

29.     Lopez, S.;  Yao, J. S.;  Kuhn, R. J.;  Strauss, E. G.; Strauss, J. H., Nucleocapsid-glycoprotein

interactions required for assembly of alphaviruses. *J Virol* **1994,** *68* (3), 1316-23.

30.     Lazaro, G. R.;  Mukhopadhyay, S.; Hagan, M. F., Why Enveloped Viruses Need Cores-The

Contribution of a Nucleocapsid Core to Viral Budding. *Biophys J* **2018,** *114* (3), 619-630.

31.     Ribeiro-Filho, H. V.;  Coimbra, L. D.;  Cassago, A.;  Rocha, R. P. F.;  Guerra, J.;  de Felicio,

R.;  Carnieli, C. M.;  Leme, L.;  Padilha, A. C.;  Paes Leme, A. F.;  Trivella, D. B. B.;  Portugal, R.

V.;  Lopes-de-Oliveira, P. S.; Marques, R. E., Cryo-EM structure of the mature and infective

Mayaro virus at 4.4 A resolution reveals features of arthritogenic alphaviruses. *Nature*

*communications* **2021,** *12* (1), 3038.

32.     Skoging, U.;  Vihinen, M.;  Nilsson, L.;  Liljestrom, P., Aromatic interactions define the

binding of the alphavirus spike to its nucleocapsid. *Structure* **1996,** *4* (5), 519-29.

33.     Zhao, H.;  Lindqvist, B.;  Garoff, H.;  von Bonsdorff, C. H.; Liljestrom, P., A tyrosine-based

motif in the cytoplasmic domain of the alphavirus envelope protein is essential for budding. *EMBO*

*J* **1994,** *13* (18), 4204-11.

34.     Forsell, K.;   Griffiths, G.; Garoff, H., Preformed cytoplasmic nucleocapsids are not

necessary for alphavirus budding. *EMBO J* **1996,** *15* (23), 6495-505.

35.     Brown, R. S.;  Wan, J. J.; Kielian, M., The Alphavirus Exit Pathway: What We Know and

What We Wish We Knew. *Viruses* **2018,** *10* (2).

36.     Mendes, A.; Kuhn, R. J., Alphavirus Nucleocapsid Packaging and Assembly. *Viruses* **2018,**

*10* (3).

37.     Kaelber, J. T.;  Chmielewski, D.;  Chiu, W.; Auguste, A. J., Alphavirus Particles Can

Assemble with an Alternate Triangulation Number. *Viruses* **2022,** *14* (12).

38.     Cheng, F.; Tsvetkova, I. B.; Khuong, Y. L.; Moore, A. W.; Arnold, R. J.; Goicochea, N.

L.; Dragnea, B.; Mukhopadhyay, S., The packaging of different cargo into enveloped viral

nanoparticles. *Mol Pharm* **2013,** *10* (1), 51-8.

39.     Cheng, F.; Mukhopadhyay, S., Generating enveloped virus-like particles with in vitro

assembled cores. *Virology* **2011,** *413* (2), 153-60.

40.     Mukhopadhyay, S.; Chipman, P. R.; Hong, E. M.; Kuhn, R. J.; Rossmann, M. G., In vitro-

assembled alphavirus core-like particles maintain a structure similar to that of nucleocapsid cores

in mature virus. *J Virol* **2002,** *76* (21), 11128-32.

41.     Colunga-Saucedo, M.; Rubio-Hernandez, E. I.; Coronado-Ipina, M. A.; Rosales-

Mendoza, S.; Castillo, C. G.; Comas-Garcia, M., Construction of a Chikungunya Virus, Replicon,

and Helper Plasmids for Transfection of Mammalian Cells. *Viruses* **2022,** *15* (1).

42.     Li, S.; Zandi, R., Biophysical Modeling of SARS-CoV-2 Assembly: Genome Condensation

and Budding. *Viruses* **2022,** *14* (10).

43.     Ruiz-Guillen, M.; Gabev, E.; Quetglas, J. I.; Casales, E.; Ballesteros-Briones, M. C.;

Poutou, J.; Aranda, A.; Martisova, E.; Bezunartea, J.; Ondiviela, M.; Prieto, J.; Hernandez-

Alcoceba, R.; Abrescia, N. G.; Smerdou, C., Capsid-deficient alphaviruses generate propagative

infectious microvesicles at the plasma membrane. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **2016,** *73* (20), 3897-916.

44.     Zhang, Y. N.; Deng, C. L.; Li, J. Q.; Li, N.; Zhang, Q. Y.; Ye, H. Q.; Yuan, Z. M.; Zhang,

B., Infectious Chikungunya Virus (CHIKV) with a Complete Capsid Deletion: a New Approach

for a CHIKV Vaccine. *J Virol* **2019,** *93* (15).

45.     Li, S.; Tresset, G.; Zandi, R., From disorder to icosahedral symmetry: How conformation-

switching subunits enable RNA virus assembly. *Sci Adv* **2025,** *11* (39), eady7241.

46.     Timmermans, S.; Ramezani, A.; Montalvo, T.; Nguyen, M.; van der Schoot, P.; van

Hest, J. C. M.; Zandi, R., The Dynamics of Viruslike Capsid Assembly and Disassembly. *J Am*

*Chem Soc* **2022,** *144* (28), 12608-12612.

47.     Li, S.; Erdemci-Tandogan, G.; van der Schoot, P.; Zandi, R., The effect of RNA stiffness

on the self-assembly of virus particles. *J Phys Condens Matter* **2018,** *30* (4), 044002.

48.     Cheng, R. H.; Kuhn, R. J.; Olson, N. H.; Rossmann, M. G.; Choi, H. K.; Smith, T. J.;

Baker, T. S., Nucleocapsid and glycoprotein organization in an enveloped virus. *Cell* **1995,** *80* (4),

621-30.

49.     Yap, M. L.; Klose, T.; Urakami, A.; Hasan, S. S.; Akahata, W.; Rossmann, M. G.,

Structural studies of Chikungunya virus maturation. *PNAS* **2017,** *114* (52), 13703-13707.

50.     Sun, S.; Xiang, Y.; Akahata, W.; Holdaway, H.; Pal, P.; Zhang, X.; Diamond, M. S.;

Nabel, G. J.; Rossmann, M. G., Structural analyses at pseudo atomic resolution of Chikungunya

virus and antibodies show mechanisms of neutralization. *Elife* **2013,** *2*, e00435.

51.     Basore, K.; Kim, A. S.; Nelson, C. A.; Zhang, R.; Smith, B. K.; Uranga, C.; Vang, L.;

Cheng, M.; Gross, M. L.; Smith, J.; Diamond, M. S.; Fremont, D. H., Cryo-EM Structure of

Chikungunya Virus in Complex with the Mxra8 Receptor. *Cell* **2019,** *177* (7), 1725-1737 e16.

52.     Raju, S.; Adams, L. J.; Earnest, J. T.; Warfield, K.; Vang, L.; Crowe, J. E., Jr.; Fremont,

D. H.; Diamond, M. S., A chikungunya virus-like particle vaccine induces broadly neutralizing

and protective antibodies against alphaviruses in humans. *Sci Transl Med* **2023,** *15* (696),

eade8273.

53.     Zhang, Y.; Anbir, S.; McTiernan, J.; Li, S.; Worcester, M.; Mishra, P.; Colvin, M. E.;

Gopinathan, A.; Mohideen, U.; Zandi, R.; Kuhlman, T. E., Synthesis, insertion, and

characterization of SARS-CoV-2 membrane protein within lipid bilayers. *Sci Adv* **2024,** *10* (9), eadm7030.

54.     Panahandeh, S.;  Li, S.;  Dragnea, B.; Zandi, R., Virus Assembly Pathways Inside a Host Cell. *ACS Nano* **2022,** *16* (1), 317-327.

55.     Panahandeh, S.;  Li, S.; Zandi, R., The equilibrium structure of self-assembled protein nano-cages. *Nanoscale* **2018,** *10* (48), 22802-22809.

56.     Zandi, R.;  Dragnea, B.;  Travesset, A.; Podgornik, R., On virus growth and form. *Physics Reports* **2020,** *847*, 1-102.

57.     Liu, H.; Naismith, J. H., An efficient one-step site-directed deletion, insertion, single and multiple-site plasmid mutagenesis protocol. *BMC Biotechnol* **2008,** *8*, 91.

58.     Pierson, T. C.;  Diamond, M. S.;  Ahmed, A. A.;  Valentine, L. E.;  Davis, C. W.;  Samuel, M. A.;  Hanna, S. L.;  Puffer, B. A.; Doms, R. W., An infectious West Nile virus that expresses a GFP reporter gene. *Virology* **2005,** *334* (1), 28-40.

59.     Gadea, G.;  Bos, S.;  Krejbich-Trotot, P.;  Clain, E.;  Viranaicken, W.;  El-Kalamouni, C.;  Mavingui, P.; Despres, P., A robust method for the rapid generation of recombinant Zika virus expressing the GFP reporter gene. *Virology* **2016,** *497*, 157-162.

60.     Lara-Hernandez, I.;  Munoz-Escalante, J. C.;  Bernal-Silva, S.;  Noyola, D. E.;  Wong-Chew, R. M.;   Comas-Garcia, A.;  Comas-Garcia, M., Ultrastructural and Functional Characterization of Mitochondrial Dynamics Induced by Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus Infection in HEp-2 Cells. *Viruses* **2023,** *15* (7).

61.     Rayaprolu, V.;  Ramsey, J.;  Wang, J. C.; Mukhopadhyay, S., Alphavirus Purification Using Low-speed Spin Centrifugation. *Bio Protoc* **2018,** *8* (6), e2772.

1056

1057