Lattice Instability Drives Formation of Protofilament Clusters at the Microtubule Plus End Tips

Weizhi Xue,[1] Jiangbo Wu,[1] Tamara Bidone,[2,3] and Gregory A. Voth[1,*]

[1]Department of Chemistry, Chicago Center for Theoretical Chemistry, The James Franck Institute, and Institute for Biophysical Dynamics, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, United States.
[2]Scientific Computing and Imaging Institute, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, United States.
[3]Department of Biomedical Engineering, Department of Molecular Pharmaceutics, and Department of Biochemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, United States.

*Correspondence: gavoth@uchicago.edu

ABSTRACT Microtubules (MTs) are dynamic cytoskeletal filaments composed of α- and β-tubulin protein dimers. They are crucial for maintaining cell structure, facilitating intracellular transport, and ensuring proper chromosome segregation among other things. These biological functions are influenced by the dynamic instability of the MT plus-end tip. Recent work has challenged traditional models in which GTP (guanosine-5'-triphosphate) hydrolysis directly regulates microtubule lattice stability. To explore these notions further, in this work, we have constructed, from "bottom up", a relatively high resolution coarse-grained (CG) molecular dynamics (MD) model for tubulins with 20 CG sites per tubulin monomer and then performed extensive CG MD simulations on MT lattices with 8 and 40 layers of heterodimers. Our findings demonstrate that, independent of nucleotide state, MT plus-end tips can form distinct, similarly curved protofilament (PF) clusters. These clusters are stable up to tens of microseconds of CG MD simulation time during spontaneous outward bending relaxation, and their formation is driven by an intrinsic thermodynamic instability of the MT lattice. Such lattice instability accumulates in longer microtubules and further facilitates protofilament cluster formation with outward bending relaxation; however, in longer MTs the lattice instability can also be released via lattice curvature and in-lattice twisting. Our systematic parametrization of the CG forcefield from atomic scale interactions also confirms weakened lateral interactions in GDP-MTs, which lead to faster bending relaxation and the formation of more PF clusters in both 8-layer and 40-layer GDP-bound MT lattices. A comprehensive all-atom MD analysis reveals that the formation of PF clusters is initiated by relaxation in the longitudinal interactions and later stabilized by relaxation in the lateral interactions. Our findings highlight the critical role of lattice instability in microtubule dynamics and offer new insight on the behavior of MT plus-end tips.

SIGNIFICANCE The dynamical structure and behavior of microtubule (MT) plus-end tips remains an important topic in structural and computational biophysics given their biological relevance. This study establishes a higher resolution, coarse-grained model for the tubulin heterodimers to extend the effective simulation time and system size, while preserving key details of the lateral and longitudinal interaction interfaces. We also present a comprehensive analysis of the tubulin-tubulin interaction interfaces in the all-atom simulation of a complete MT lattice. The findings confirm and provide additional information on the stability of protofilament clusters, while adding new insight on microtubule dynamics and the role of lattice destabilization.

INTRODUCTION

Microtubules (MTs) are dynamic, cylindrical polymers composed of α- and β-tubulin heterodimers (**Fig. 1a**) that play a critical role in various cellular processes (1, 2). MTs are integral components of the cytoskeleton, providing structural support and maintaining cell shape (3, 4). MTs also facilitate intracellular transport, serving as tracks for the movement of organelles and vesicles as driven by motor proteins such as kinesin and dynein. MTs are furthermore crucial to cell motility and cell division, forming the mitotic spindle that ensures accurate segregation of chromosomes during mitosis and meiosis (2, 5). The αβ tubulin heterodimers are longitudinally aligned along the cylindrical axis to form protofilaments (PFs), while also interacting laterally to form a lattice with screw symmetry (6–9), as illustrated in **Fig. 1b**. The MTs usually contains one or more seam regions, where β-tubulin interacts with α-tubulin in the next PF and vice versa (9, 10). The highly versatile and dynamic functions of MTs arise at least in part from its dynamic instability, enabled by the nonequilibrium and dynamical structure at the MT plus-end tip. At that tip, MTs can then bind with other motor proteins and cellular organelles to perform biological functions (2, 11–14). MTs grow in length at the plus-end tip by binding of GTP-bound αβ heterodimers, known as the assembly phase. Through a lattice compaction (15), heterodimers undergo hydrolysis of GTP into GDP in the β subunit, and shrink through detachment of GDP-bound heterodimers from the MT-tip, known as the MT catastrophe (1, 2). Moreover, the plus-end tip has been experimentally observed to adopt multiple configurations, including sheet-like, curled, and flared structures, which all exhibit outward bending curvature, indicating the existence of lattice strain inside the MTs released at the plus-end tip (2).

FIGURE 1. The structures of the tubulin heterodimer and the MT lattice. (a) The structure of αβ tubulin dimer. Each monomer contains a N-terminal domain (blue), an intermediate domain (yellow), and C-terminal domain (orange, in the back). The NTD is responsible for nucleotide binding, and only the nucleotide bound to β-tubulin is hydrolysable. (b) The structure of the MT lattice in the simulation of ref (16) and in the current study. It has 14 protofilaments and 8 heterodimer layers. The seam region is contoured in bold and darker colors. (c) Types of inter-dimer interactions in the MT lattice. In the methods section, only the nearest neighbors (colored) are extracted and aligned for forcefield construction.

The different behaviors of the MT tip during growth and catastrophe have long been attributed to the difference in lattice stability depending on the nucleotide state. So far, two models, namely the allosteric model (14, 17–19) and the lattice model (9, 20, 21), attempt to explain the dynamic instability of the MT tip based on the nucleotide state. The allosteric model hypothesizes that the dominant conformation of

GDP-tubulin is more bent than that of GTP-tubulin, whereas the lattice model states that, while both heterodimer conformations are intrinsically bent in free heterodimers and single protofilaments, the stronger lateral interaction in GTP-bound MTs (GTP-MT) is able to "suture" the intrinsically unstable MT lattice together, and that hydrolysis of GTP into GDP weakens the lateral interaction, thereby triggering lateral dissociation and catastrophe. It should be noted that early all-atom molecular dynamics (MD) studies showed that GTP and GDP tubulin dimer were similarly bent (22), and that protofilaments constructed from those tubulin dimers exhibited essentially the same bending behavior (23). These simulation results thus favored the lattice model. Both models suggest that the plus-end tip of the GDP-MT is more flexible and can more readily laterally dissociate than the GTP-MT.

Recent experimental studies, including both *in vivo* and *in vitro* imaging (2, 24–26), have shown that both GTP-MT and GDP-MT exhibit outward bending behavior and similar curvature at the MT tip, hinting that the MT plus end tip structure is not as sensitive to the nucleotide state as once thought. Based on recent findings, several theoretical and computational models have been proposed, spanning from all-atom (AA) MD simulations to phenomenological kinetic models. Gudimchuk *et al.* developed a one-site Brownian dynamics model (25) with phenomenological parameters fitted to experimental data, and proposed that microtubule catastrophe can be induced by either weakened lateral bonds or more rigid longitudinal interactions. They also developed a kinetic model (27) to account for growth and shrinkage at curved MT tips enabled by infrequent straightening of PFs and attributed the source of dynamic instability to higher activation energy of lattice straightening in GDP-MTs. Although theoretically sound, these models rely on top-down experimental input for parameterization. Meanwhile, experimental structures are usually obtained via freezing and/or with inhibitors, so entropy effects at higher temperatures are missing (2).

Previous computational studies (22, 23, 28–32) have attempted to understand microtubule dynamical instability at the atomistic level through MD simulations of free tubulin heterodimers, single protofilaments, or lattice patches. Recently, with the ongoing growth in computational power, microsecond-level AA MD simulation has been possible for the MT lattice (16, 31), these have provided new structural insights from a "bottom-up" perspective (33–36). Igaev and Grubmüller have constructed an AA model (31) consisting of 14 PFs with 6 heterodimer layers and a helical rise of 1 tubulin (denoted as "14-1" MT, as in ref. (10)). They then simulated up to 5 replicas with MD as long as 2.4 μs, showing, clearly for the first time, that both GTP- and GDP-MT lattice tips exhibit spontaneous outward bending and lateral dissociation to form distinct protofilament clusters with residual lateral interactions. Based on their atomistic data and the latest experimental images, Kalutskii et al. were recently able to develop a more phenomenological molecular-mechanics model (32) with experimental input in an attempt to explain the formation of such PF clusters. By employing an multiscale simulation approach to extend the timescale of the AA simulations, work by Wu *et al.* (16) has also reported such PF clusters in a 4 μs MD relaxation of an 8-layer 14-3 MT lattice. Not only are such PF clusters observed in the very early stage of the simulation, but they also appear stable regardless of nucleotide state. On the other hand, the GDP tip showed more clusters of fewer protofilaments each (on average), while the GTP tip had fewer clusters with more protofilaments in each, suggesting stronger lateral interactions in the latter case. We note though that the actual timescale for MT tip relaxation spans longer than a few microseconds, so the fate of these PF clusters still remains unclear because of limits on computational power in AA MD sampling of such large systems (16). To help overcome this challenge, Wu et al. developed a linear prediction model to learn and propagate the evolution dynamics of coarse-grained radial coordinates of each tubulin monomer, and the extrapolated dynamics were valid up to 6 μs (16).

In this work, we utilize the longest available 4 μs AA MD trajectories from ref. (16) as reference to construct a higher-resolution bottom-up CG model (33–36) that can capture key structural features in the

tubulin longitudinal and lateral interactions. We then conduct extensive CG MD simulations to demonstrate that the MT plus end tips can form PF clusters stable up to tens of microseconds of CG MD simulation time (which is much longer than AA MD simulation time as CG MD simulations are significantly accelerated) during the process of outward bending relaxation. The formation of the PF clusters is seen to be driven by an intrinsic thermodynamic instability of the MT lattice, with the GDP-MT lattice undergoing a more rapid PF cluster formation. We also construct a long MT model of 40 heterodimer layers and show that the accumulation of MT lattice strain further facilitates formation of PF clusters and outward bending, and the structural differences in nucleotide states are also observed to be more pronounced, in general agreement with experimental images in ref (32). We furthermore provide a detailed analysis of the longitudinal and lateral interfaces, identify key H-bond pairs responsible for the relaxation process from the all-atom MD data, and discover that the formation of PF clusters is initiated by relaxation of the longitudinal interaction and later reinforced and stabilized by lateral relaxation.

METHODS

Coarse-grained mapping of *α, β*-tubulins

To construct coarse-grained mappings for α- and β- tubulins, we applied the K-Means Clustering Coarse-graining (KMC-CG) method (37). This method is an extension of the earlier Essential Dynamics Coarse-graining (ED-CG) method (38) which overcomes the restraints enforced by sequence connectivity in the ED-CG approach and co-minimizing a new "loss function" combining the spatial vicinity of residues, while matching fluctuation modes and sequence integrity:

$$L = \frac{1}{3N}\sum_{I=1}^{N}\frac{1}{n_t}\sum_{t=1}^{n_t}\sum_{i,j\in I}|\Delta r_i(t) - \Delta r_j(t)|^2 + \frac{\beta}{3N}\sum_{I=1}^{N}\frac{1}{n_t}\sum_{t=1}^{n_t}\left(\sum_{i\in I}|r_i(t) - r_{I,COM}(t)|^2\right) + \frac{\gamma}{3N}\sum_{I=1}^{N}\frac{n_{nodes,I}^2}{2} \qquad (1)$$

where N is the total number of CG sites, n_t is the number of frames in the MD trajectory of which the translational and rotational motion must be eliminated to a reference frame ("aligned"), the subscripts i, j denote the indices of Cα atoms, the subscript I denote the indices of CG sites.

The reference trajectories in this work were obtained by extracting the Cα atom trajectories of β- and α-tubulin from the AA MD simulation reported in previous work (16) separately and aligning them to their starting configuration. We used the β- and α- tubulins at the top of the microtubule lattice in PF-1 as they only have two neighbors (to their right and to the bottom, see **Fig. 1c**) and therefore are the least restrained. This approach allows more fluctuations in the loop regions and therefore allows for higher resolution in them. Then, a CG resolution of 20 per monomer is selected along with weight factors $\beta = 1, \gamma = 100$ to preserve more sequence integrity inside a CG site. We did not represent the nucleotide separately because the nucleotide state of the tubulin is already implicitly included in their equilibrium conformation and tubulin-tubulin interfaces. A total number of 50 optimization runs were calculated and the optimal CG mapping, rendered in **Fig. 2**, was determined as the mapping with the lowest L.

CG forcefield parametrization

After obtaining the mapping schemes for α- and β- tubulins, we constructed the intra-dimer effective CG interaction bonds by applying the Heterogeneous Elastic Network Model (HeteroENM) (39). This model views the CG protein molecule as a network of effective harmonic springs within a cutoff distance, and is an effective small vibration approximation to the intramolecular forcefield without drastic conformational changes:

$$U_{CG} = \sum_{\langle I,J\rangle}\frac{k_{IJ}}{2}\left(r_{IJ} - \langle r_{IJ}\rangle\right)^2$$

where r_{IJ} denotes the distance between a pair of CG sites within a given cutoff distance r_c, and k_{IJ} is the corresponding spring constant.

The HeteroENM model requires that the reference trajectory be sampled at equilibrium to compute the ensemble average $\langle r_{IJ} \rangle$. We approximated the 3-4 μs segment of the AA MD trajectory as equilibrated in terms of local interactions. For non-seam region, we extracted and aligned the trajectory of each heterodimer from layers 2 to 6 along with the 4 nearest neighbor heterodimers (see **Fig.1c**). For the seam region interactions only, we extracted and aligned each heterodimer in PF1 from layers 3 to 7, along with their 5 nearest neighbor heterodimers (see **Fig. 1c**). We then mapped these trajectories according to the KMC-CG mapping scheme and averaged the equilibrium bond lengths and spring constants for each interacting pair to ensure the microscopic symmetry of CG forcefield across different regions in the MT lattice. The cutoff of HeteroENM pairs was set to be 25 Å, in reference to the ~42 Å inter-monomer distance, to ensure that next nearest-neighbor interactions arising from coarse-graining are preserved while allowing sufficient intramolecular fluctuation, and pairs with $k < 0.01$ kcal/mol/Å^2 were omitted. All HeteroENM calculations were performed using the OpenMSCG package (40). We directly used the averaged equilibrium bond lengths and spring constants from HeteroENM to construct intra-dimer harmonic bond interactions.

For inter-dimer longitudinal and lateral interactions, we used an effective Lennard-Jones 12-6 interaction. Taylor expansion of the LJ function at $r_{ij,0} = 2^{\frac{1}{6}}\sigma$ gives a small vibration approximation near the equilibrium pair distances, and the resulting coefficients, containing ε_{ij} and σ_{ij}, should match k_{ij} and r_{ij} from HeteroENM directly, since HeteroENM is also a small vibration approximation. This gives the following analytical solution:

$$V_{ij} = 4\varepsilon \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}}\right)^6 \right] \approx V_{ij,0} + k_{ij}\left(r_{ij} - r_{ij,0}\right)^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ij} \approx \frac{k_{ij} r_{ij,0}^2}{36}, \sigma_{ij} \approx 2^{-\frac{1}{6}} r_0. \tag{3}$$

This circumvents the problem of insufficient sampling of protein-protein dissociation events, causing iterative CG forcefield optimization methods, such as relative entropy minimization (REM) and Iterative Boltzmann Inversion (IBI), to fail to converge to biologically realistic results, but instead allows for an approximate yet valuable and fully "bottom-up" parameterization for protein-protein interactions. The obtained forcefield parameters are available in the sample LAMMPS data file in Supporting Information, and comparison plots of the lateral and longitudinal interaction intensities are available in **Figs. S3, S4**.

CG model construction

The AA MD trajectory was mapped with the OpenMSCG package (40) to directly obtain the starting configurations of the 8-layer model. To construct a 40-layer MT CG model, the starting configuration of the 8-layer model was translated in the direction of the MT axis (z-axis) and repeated. In accordance with observation from the AA trajectory (See Supporting Information), the distance between each heterodimer layer was increased by 0.5 Å in GTP-MT and 0.75 Å in GDP-MT to avoid accumulation of tension inside the lattice from the initial longitudinal relaxation.

CG MD simulation

All CG MD simulations of the 8-layer MTs were performed using LAMMPS version June 2022 (41), and were sampled in a constant *NVT* ensemble with a timestep of 10 fs under a Langevin thermostat (42) at 310 K after an initial energy minimization of 10^4 steps. The starting configuration was chosen as the mapped starting frame from AA MD. The damping factor of the Langevin thermostat $\tau = 1/\gamma$ was set to

be 1 ps by default and was varied among 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, and 0.75 ps to investigate the bending relaxation rate. The bottom layer of heterodimers was placed under a positional restraint at their starting positions during minimization and simulation to mimic the effect of a longer MT lattice below the MT tip. When simulating the 40-layer MT model, positional restraint was applied to the bottom 3 layers at their starting positions, and the damping factor was 1 ps.

All-atom MD data analysis

All all-atom data from (43) was analyzed with the MDAnalysis package (44, 45) in Python except for secondary structure determination, for which we used the DSSP algorithm (46) implemented in the MDTraj package (47). Hydrogen bonds were determined with a cutoff of 4 Å and an angle cutoff of 30 degrees, for each longitudinal pair and lateral pair separately, and was averaged separately for different trajectory segments to determine H-bond frequencies (probabilities). We merged the frequencies of chemically equivalent H-bonds caused by equivalent atoms, e.g., terminal N atoms in Arg, terminal O atoms in Glu, Asp, and terminal H atoms in Arg, Lys. Lateral heterodimer pairs already dissociated in 3-4 µs were excluded for lateral interaction analysis, whereas all longitudinal pairs were considered in longitudinal interaction analysis due to no visible dissociation. To determine the leading H-bond interaction modes, we used Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and K-Means Clustering. We selected the top 20 most frequent H-bond pairs, averaged from all homolytic lateral pairs, from the 0-1 µs trajectory of the GTP-MT, and used a hyperbolic activation function $y(r_{ij}) = \frac{1-\tanh 5(r_{ij}-4)}{2}$ to build a 20-dimensional descriptor space. Data from all frames of all lateral pairs in both nucleotide states were combined to conduct PCA analysis, and we conducted K-Means clustering for cluster numbers 2 to 20 and eventually used 4 as the optimal cluster number and calculated the average descriptor vectors in each cluster.

FIGURE 2. KMC-CG Mapping of β- and α-tubulins. Left: The RMSF from the reference trajectory is plotted against the residue index as the horizontal axis. The structurally relevant domains in lateral interaction, i.e., M-loop, H1-S2 loop and H2-S3 loop are marked with arrows. Right: The end point of the 4 µs configurations of the β- and α-tubulins are overlayed with the corresponding CG representations (spheres).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

CG models of MT heterodimers

Figure 2 shows our KMC-CG mapping result for β- and α-tubulins with a resolution of 20 CG sites per monomer. According to ref. (9), the M-loop and H1-S2/H2-S3 loop regions are mainly responsible for the difference in lateral interaction between GTP- and GDP-MT lattices, characterized by larger distances of contact. Our CG mapping is therefore able to represent these loop regions with dedicated CG sites due to their higher root-mean-square fluctuation (RMSF), agreeing with physical insight. We have also tried lower CG resolutions 14 and 18, but under these resolutions, these key structural features may not be captured in both tubulins (**Figs. S1.1, S1.2**).

With the forcefield established in Methods, we simulated the 8-layer CG model extensively (100 replicas) and compared the CG MD conformations with AA MD configurations (**Fig. 3**). Apart from the obviously accelerated dynamics in CG MD simulations due to the missing friction in CG Langevin Dynamics (35), the two most notable structural features in both AA and CG simulations are the outward bending motion and the formation of stable protofilament clusters. We shall analyze the bending relaxation first.

FIGURE 3. Comparison of the relaxed MT lattice at 4 µs, obtained from CG MD and mapped AA MD. The CG MD snapshots are selected among 100 independent 4 µs CG MD simulations, from which we observe a variety of PF cluster configurations (see **Fig. S1.7**) including the AA MD PF cluster configurations. Colors represent different protofilaments, and the seam is between blue and black PFs (denoted as PF-1 and PF-14). The grey cylindrical configuration indicates the initial straight structure.

Bending relaxation of 8-layer MT

To quantify the extent of MT tip bending, we projected each tubulin in the i-th layer from top ($i \in [1, 16]$) of the j-th PF ($j \in [1,14]$) in cylindrical coordinates $(r_{ij}, \theta_{ij}, z_{ij})$ illustrated in **Fig. 4a**, and plotted the radial profile $\rho(z)$ of the PFs in **Fig. 4b**, relative to the center of mass of the bottom layer. We performed 100 replica CG simulations under the default CG forcefield and parameters and obtained the

average radial profiles, and the results indicate that the plus-end tip of GDP-MT achieves a higher outward bending curvature than that of GTP-MT at 4 μs. We also started from the mapped AA configuration at 4 μs and performed 4 CG MD simulation replicas for another 20 μs (later referred to as "continuation runs"), and similar average radial profiles were obtained, indicating that GDP-MT exhibits more bending in the 8-layer model.

FIGURE 4. Bending relaxation of an 8-layer 14-PF microtubule lattice. (a) Definition of bending and torsion angles for each heterodimer. Examples are drawn for the top layer of the yellow protofilament (PF-4), and the top layer of the black heterodimer (PF-14). (b) Comparison of radial projection of the protofilaments in the CG MD frames at 4 μs (10 replicas), 4 μs AA MD frames, and CG MD configurations at 24 μs (5 replicas). (c) Comparison between bending curves: AA and CG for GTP and GDP. The CG bending curves are averaged from 100 replica simulations. Shaded areas indicate the standard deviation of the bending angle trajectories from the 14 individual PFs. (d) Comparison between CG MD bending curves, starting from 4 μs mapped AA configuration, plotted for 4 replicas. The first 4 μs represents the bending curve of the AA simulation. (e) PF bending rate as a function of Langevin damping factor **τ**. The standard deviation is computed from 10 replicas for each data point. (f) PF bending rate as a function of lateral interaction scaling factor **λ**. The standard deviation is computed from 20 replica simulations for each data point.

Alternatively, we define the bending angle for tubulin (i, j) as the angle between the MT axis vector and the vector pointing from the bottom layer heterodimer to tubulin (i, j), as illustrated in **Fig. 4a**. We calculated the bending angles for the 100 replicas and compared the time evolution of the bending angle between the AA model and CG model. There is a significant rate difference between the bending relaxation rate of GDP-MT and GTP-MT in CG simulations, although the AA MD simulation does not predict such a pattern due to limited number of replica available. It is also reasonable that CG simulation achieves faster bending dynamics due to the fact that CG dynamics is accelerated (35). We also calculated the bending angles for the continuation runs, and from **Fig. 4d**, both GTP-MT and GDP-MT tend to stabilize and fluctuate at an "equilibrium" after less than 4 μs of CG MD simulation time, but the equilibrium

bending angle of GDP-MT is higher than that of GTP-MT with statistical significance. Note that each 1 μs of CG MD simulation time approximately equals 2.5-3 μs of AA MD simulation time if we scale the MT bending rate of the former by the latter.

The lattice model suggests that the weakening of lateral contacts, together with the accumulated longitudinal strain, is the source of instability and outward splaying for GDP-MT lattice. To investigate this proposal, we varied the strength of lateral interaction by multiplying a scaling factor λ to the lateral interaction CG forcefields and performed 20 replica CG MD simulations for each value of λ, and so obtained the corresponding averaged bending curves (**Fig. S1.6**). From these bending curves we employed linear fitting to the linear growth ranges of the bending curves to extract the bending rates under different strengths of the lateral interactions. The results in **Fig. 4f** show a sigmoid-like decrease in bending relaxation rate as lateral interaction is strengthened. Moreover, the bending rate of GDP-MT lattice is larger than that of GTP-MT. This agrees with insight from our CG model parameterization that some strongest lateral pair interactions in GDP-MT have smaller ε parameters than those in GTP-MT. We also altered the Langevin damping factor of the CG simulation in the range of 0.1 to 1 ps and found that the relaxation rate increases linearly with the damping factor (**Figs. 4e, S1.5**). This behavior indicates that the temporal evolution of the bending angle can be well modeled by Langevin dynamics in the overdamped regime. This result also suggests that altering the ionic strength and solute concentration could possibly regulate MT dynamics, as slower tip relaxation rates are associated with higher dynamical stability of the MT lattice. In short, our results reveal that the rate of bending relaxation is mainly determined by the strength of lateral interaction and dynamical friction, and the bending dynamics of the GDP-MT is indeed faster than that of the GTP-MT given the same simulation setup.

We have also noted that some PFs, particularly those in the left of a PF cluster, have a slightly inward bending tendency. As can be seen from **Fig. 4b**, there is coexistence between PFs bending inward and PFs bending outward, in the lower layers in both GTP- and GDP-MTs, indicating slight deformation to the cylindrical shape, possibly into an eclipse. Such coexistence suggests that the lower layers, although closer to the restrained layer and laterally bound, also have a tendency to experience lattice deformation. It is unclear how further this deformation propagates in a real, unrestrained MT lattice at room temperature, because (a) all experimental images of MT tips to our knowledge are observed at low temperature under which the bending dynamics of MTs are frozen and less entropy-driven, higher lattice symmetry is favored, and (b) it is computationally very expensive to simulate a longer MT lattice model with AA MD. These considerations make it necessary to carry out CG simulation on a longer MT lattice, as we have done in the next section.

Number and configurations of PF clusters

In the all-atom MD simulations, both GTP- and GDP-MTs are observed to stabilize into PF clusters, with different configurations. We denote the different configurations with their breaking points, i.e., the PF index i where interacting neighboring PF-i and PF-$(i + 1)$ dissociate (Fig. 5a), and the all-atom configuration is {3, 7, 10, 14} for GTP-MT and {3, 6, 9, 12, 14} for GDP-MT. Igaev and Grubmüller performed 5 independent simulations on 6-layer MTs (31) and found that these PF clusters are stochastic, while GDP-MTs tend to form slightly more splayed clusters than GTP-MT. We analyzed the cluster configurations in all 100 CG MD replicas under default forcefields and parameters and indeed found a various range of possible PF cluster configurations (**Fig. S1.7**), from which we could recover AA cluster configurations. From these simulations, we could calculate the average number of clusters formed in GTP-MT and GDP-MT, which are 3.93 and 5.36, respectively, and GDP-MT had a higher tendency to form 5 clusters than GTP-MT, as shown in Fig. 5b. Additionally, we analyzed the average number of PF clusters

and their standard deviations for simulations under different lateral interaction strengths, and the results are shown in **Fig. 5d**. It is the convention in this paper that the number of PF clusters includes single, separate protofilaments to differentiate the two limiting states, i.e., fully splayed (PF cluster number = 14) and lattice (PF cluster number = 0) states. Similar to the trend in the bending rates, there was a monotonous decrease in the average number of PF clusters, indicating that weakening of lateral interaction leads to formation of more PF clusters, i.e., the PFs at the tip are more likely to undergo lateral cleavage. This further supports the key hypothesis from the lattice model that catastrophe is triggered by weaker lateral interaction in GDP-MTs.

Figure 5c depicts the distributions of breaking points for both GTP- and GDP-MT, which are generally stochastic except around PF-14, the seam region, at which previous work has established that MTs are most vulnerable. The highly probable dissociation between PF-14 and PF-1 indicates that the PF region is a constant opening for the microtubule lattice.

In all 4 μs replica simulations, the configurations of PF clusters tend to stabilize. In the 5 continuation runs, the PF clusters also remained stable with no further cleavage events, and the PFs in each cluster undergo collective bending and torsional movements, and their configurations also remained stable (See Supporting Movies).

FIGURE 5. Distribution of tip cluster numbers in an 8-layer 14-PF microtubule. (a) The nomenclature used in this work to describe the configurations of the PF clusters. (b) Distribution of tip cluster number of the 100 replicas, each run for 4 μs. (c) Distribution of breaking points, i.e., the PF index i where interacting neighboring PF-i and PF-$(i+1)$ dissociate, of the 100 replicas. (d) Mean tip cluster number computed from simulation (scatters) and approximative prediction (lines) as a function of lateral interaction strength. The standard deviations for simulation results are computed for 20 replicas except for $\lambda = 1$, where they are computed for all 100 replicas. Note: it is our convention in this paper that the number of PF clusters includes single, separate protofilaments to differentiate the two limiting states, i.e., fully splayed (PF cluster number = 14) and lattice (PF cluster number = 0) states.

Relaxation of 40-layer MT

After thorough investigation of the 8-layer PF model, we constructed a 40-layer microtubule model and simulated with the baseline CG forcefield and a Langevin damping factor of 1 ps to accelerate the relaxation dynamics. All 4 replica simulations were continued for at least 20 μs of CG MD simulation time (and again we note that this corresponds to a much longer real time). **Figure 6** shows the

configurations obtained from the last frame of these simulations, and we immediately notice several important structural features:

(1) More PF clusters in GDP-MT. The PFs remain clustered in agreement with the average number of clusters obtained in 8-layer models. According to **Fig. 6**, of the 4 total replicas run, GDP-MTs and GTP-MTs form 4 and 5.75 clusters on average, respectively, showing a significant difference in the number of PF clusters. Moreover, the PF cluster configurations in GTP-MTs remained stable, but in GDP-MTs, some larger PF clusters were observed to undergo subsequent lateral dissociation into smaller clusters after their initial formation and stabilization.

	GTP-MT				GDP-MT			
Replica #	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Side view								
Top view								

FIGURE 6. CG MD simulation snapshots on 40-layer 14-PF MT models. Snapshots at the end of simulation of 4 replicas of the 40-layer MT trajectory, each spanning at least 20 µs. Same color coding for different PFs as in Figures 2, 3.

(2) Continued or stabilized peeling. We defined a lateral interaction pair to be dissociated if their center-of-mass (COMs) distance exceed 60 Å (which is approximately 1.5 times of their average COM distance in the lattice state). For each timestep in the trajectory, we calculated the number of heterodimer layers that underwent lateral dissociation, or "peeling", and the results are shown in **Fig. 7a**. In both GTP-MT and GDP-MT, the number of layers that underwent lateral dissociation to form PF clusters (n_p) continued to grow in 3 replicas, and the growth of n_p was more significant than GTP-MT. This aligns with our expectation from the 8-layer simulation that: (a) the GDP-MT lattice is less stable and undergoes more lateral dissociation; (b) the system will try to apply the "learned" CG equilibrium distribution to anywhere in the lattice via constant peeling. However, the number of dissociated layers plateaued in 1 replica in both cases (GTP-MT replica 3, GDP-MT replica 4; **Figs. 5, 6a**). It is also in these two replicas that we observed bent curvature along the MT lattice that caused a tilt away from the z-axis (**Figs. 5, 6c**, Supporting Movies). Such collective tilting may be another mechanism to release MT lattice strain and may be responsible for stabilizing the peeled MT tip at limited layers.

(3) In-lattice twisting. There is in-lattice leftward twisting of PFs in both GTP-MT and GDP-MT. Such in-lattice torsion is not observed in the 8-layer model due to the existence of positional restraints, and the leftward direction of torsion is in accordance with torsion of PF clusters in both AA and CG MD simulations. This observation also aligns with the Moiré patterns observed in experiments (48). We hypothesize that such in-lattice torsion is another mechanism for the MT lattice to release lattice strain in the lower lattice layers that cannot undergo lateral dissociation due to neighboring interactions, and such relief of torsion slows down further peeling at the MT tip.

(4) Elliptical deformation in the GTP cap. The z-axis projections of the unpeeled lattice layers can slightly depart from a circular shape to an elliptical shape, especially in GTP-MT, characterized by the approximated eccentricity of each layer

$$e = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\min\limits_{i \in [1,14]}\{r_i\}}{\max\limits_{i \in [1,14]}\{r_i\}}\right)^2}$$

where r_i is the radial distance of a heterodimer to the center of mass of its layer in the (x, y) plane. From the distribution of eccentricity $p(e)$ plotted in **Fig. 7d**, we can immediate see that GTP-MT undergoes more elliptical deformation than GDP-MT. This result is also manifest in the radial projection plot in **Fig. 7b**, and it echoes with the AA MD results in **Fig. 4b**, where the lower lattice layers has both inward and outward tilting, although very limited due to the positional restraints present. GTP-MT possesses significantly greater elliptical deformation which is present both at and well below the peeling layer, indicating intrinsic curvature difference between GTP-MTs and GDP-MTs. This may facilitate explanation of the origin and the role of the GTP cap at MT plus ends. The GTP cap, having a more elliptical shape and stronger lateral interaction, is more structurally rigid, both of which stabilize the MT plus end. However, for MTs to exhibit their flexibility and mobility and to facilitate intracellular transport, a cylindrical shape and the greater flexibility of the GDP-MTs may be more favored for the bulk MT lattice than the GTP-MT, in addition to avoiding too much chemical energy storage in the lattice causing chemical instability.

FIGURE 7. Analysis of CG MD simulation results on 40-layer 14-PF MT models. (a) Time evolution of number of layers that splits to form clusters ("peels") in simulations, $\boldsymbol{n_s(t)}$. (b) The torsional angle at the "peeling layer" $\boldsymbol{n = n_s}$ with respect to the bottom layer. (c) The radial projection of each PF at 20 μs. (d) The approximated eccentricity distribution of center-of-mass projections at

$n = n_s$, the 10th layer under the peeling layer ($n = n_s + 10$), and $n = n_s + 20$ along the microtubule lattice. Data extracted from the 10-20 μs segment of simulation.

All-atom mechanism for PF cluster formation and stabilization

After thorough CG modeling, we sought to understand the formation and stabilization of PF clusters from the atomistic level. To our knowledge, no experimental structure has ever been solved for such PF clusters at atomistic level, so we analyzed the 4 μs AA MD trajectories in structural detail, especially the longitudinal and lateral interfaces.

For lateral interactions, we first analyzed the average number of hydrogen bonds (H-bonds) in each undissociated lateral pair, in different segments of the trajectory (**Figs. S2.1-S2.3**). Surprisingly, there was no significant difference between the two nucleotide states ($p = 0.638$), indicating the lateral interactions, when solely identified as H-bonds, are very similar across nucleotide state, and the all-atom sampling noise across different heterodimers in the same lattice is more significant than the average difference between the two nucleotide states. We then investigated the most frequent H-bond pairs in the β-β and α-α lateral interactions (**Fig. 8a**) and calculated their frequency correlation averaged among different lateral pairs (**Figs. S2.4, S2.5**). While still no significant difference for GTP-MT and GDP-MT was found, our analysis revealed important structural insight in the process of the bending relaxation of PF clusters. First, we noticed that the strongest H-bonds in the lateral interface form between H9/H10 helices in PF-n and the H3 helix in PF-(n+1). Specifically, in β-tubulin, there is a H-bond "quadrupole" among β:Asp295(n), β:Lys297(n), β:Asp118(n+1) and β:Lys122(n+1) (**Figs. 8b, S2.8a**), characterized by their positive probability correlation (**Fig. S2.4**), indicating large cooperativity in their formation. In α-tubulin, while there is no such "quadrupole", the α:Glu297(n)-α:Lys124(n+1) H-bond has a larger frequency of formation (**Fig. S2.5**) compared with β-tubulins (**Fig. S2.4**), indicating comparable lateral interaction strengths. These H-bond residues are also capable of forming salt bridges to enhance their interaction. To our surprise, the H-bonds formed between the M-loop and H1/S2-loop and H2/S3-loops are weaker than those between H9/H10 helices and H3 helices in β-tubulin, contrary to the structural characterizations in (9), and this result is in agreement with insight from our CG forcefield (**Fig. S1.4**). In α-tubulin, α:Lys280(n) and α:Glu284(n) in M-loop form stronger H-bonds with the H2-S3 loop than those in β-tubulin. Their simultaneous presence requires the sidechains of Lys280 and Glu284 to be in the same direction (**Fig. S2.8b**), favoring an α-helical secondary structure of the M-loop, as shown by DSSP analysis (**Fig. S2.8d**).

Because β-β lateral interface had more diverse H-bond pairs than α-α interface, we used Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and K-Means Clustering to identify the most dominant binding modes in the β-β lateral interface, in the linear space spanned by the top 20 most frequent H-bonds, as described in Methods. We identified 4 as the optimal cluster number (**Fig. S2.9**) and found that each state represents different features in lateral interaction. Across the 8-layer MT lattice and along the 4 μs trajectory, each β-tubulin can transition from these 4 different lateral interaction modes stochastically (**Figs. S2.10-S2.13**). State 0 corresponds to a dissociated state; state 3 corresponds to a near-dissociated state with only the H10-H3 H-bond pertaining; states 1 and 2 represent characteristic "PF cluster style" and "lattice style" interactions respectively, because: (a) during the 4 μs simulation, state 1 became more frequent while state 2 became less frequent among all lateral pairs (**Figs. S2.11, S2.12**); (b) state 2 is characterized by strong contribution by interaction of M-loop with the H1/S2 and H2/S3 loops (e.g., H-bond pairs of β:Arg282(n+1)-β:Ala55 and β:Tyr281(n+1)-β:Arg86; see **Fig. 8c**), which agrees with the lateral interaction in the MT lattice state characterized by ref. (9); conversely, state 1 lacks such interactions but has larger contributions from H9-H3 interaction, characterized by higher frequencies in "quadrupole" H-bonds and the β:Glu288(n)-β:Ser126(n+1) H-bond (**Figs. 8c, S2.4**), serving to "lock" the opposite sides of the helices. It is also noteworthy that the latter H-bond increases in frequency rather than decreases during simulation, indicating its possible stabilizing effect of the lateral interface in MT clusters.

FIGURE 8. All-atom analysis of homolytic lateral interaction. (a) Dominant H-bond interaction networks of β-tubulin and α-tubulin. Different colors correspond to separate illustrations of interaction in the Supporting Information. Arg-Arg stacking interaction in β-tubulins is denoted by a dashed line. (b) Illustration of H9/H10-H3 interaction in β- and α-tubulins, with examples from PF-4 & PF-5 and PF-5 & PF-6 respectively. (c) Dominant H-bond interaction modes in β-tubulin, characterized by PCA/K-Means analysis. (d) Time evolution of the mean H9-H3 dihedral angle in β-tubulin (see Fig. S2.14 for α-tubulin) in each heterodimer layer.

The relatively stronger interaction between H9 and H3 helices prompted us to analyze the torsional angle and distances between the two helices. We found that, in the first 0.5 μs, the bimodal helical distance distribution in β-tubulin has already shifted (**Fig. S2.15**), favoring a closer contact. The crossing angle between H9 and H3 helices flattened in a longer timescale in both α- (**Fig. S2.14**) and β-tubulins (**Fig. 8d**). These results together demonstrate that the continued outward bending relaxation of PF clusters may be a result from structural relaxation in the lateral interface. However, it is noteworthy that the H9-H3 contact between different lateral pairs is rather stochastic than uniform, and the characteristic timescale for lateral relaxation is obviously longer than that of the initial cleavages that drove the formation of PF clusters, which lies within 0.1 μs (**Fig. S2.19**). Only the initial jump of longitudinal inter-dimer (**Fig. S2.20**) and intra-dimer (**Fig. 9c**) distances (both of which we abbreviate as "longitudinal jump") matches within this timescale.

With this in mind, we analyzed the longitudinal interfaces (**Figs. S2.6, S2.7**) and examined whether any of the H-bond pairs facilitate the longitudinal jump. For the intra-dimer H-bonds, we noticed that the β:Arg2-α:Glu71 H-bond (**Fig. 9a**) formed in the first 3 ns via sidechain relaxation, and the stabilization of such H-bond requires sidechain stretching and orientation matching. This is manifest in the jump in Cα-Cα distance of this H-bond pair (**Fig. 9c**), which is surprisingly uniform across the lattice and synchronous to the longitudinal jump (**Fig. 9b**) in each heterodimer layer both in trend and in magnitude (both increased

by ~2 Å). In the inter-dimer interface, there is also a similar H-bond pair α:Arg2-β:Glu69 (**Fig. S2.7**). A similar pattern is also observed for the β:Lys324-α:Glu207 H-bond (**Fig. S2.22**), where the formation of such H-bond also require sidechain stretching. While the longitudinal jump can also be caused by thermal fluctuation and is not necessarily a result of H-bond relaxation, the formation of such strong H-bonds undoubtedly reinforces the initial structural perturbation. Such structural perturbation happens not only in the z-direction, but in the r-direction as well (**Fig. S2.23**), and initiates the earliest lateral cleavage from this outward bending tendency at the MT plus end.

Therefore, we are able to sketch a complete physical picture in **Fig. 9d** for the formation and stabilization of PF clusters at the all-atom resolution. As the system relaxes from a lattice structure determined from low-temperature structural data, thermal fluctuation and longitudinal relaxation participates in the initial structural perturbation to the MT lattice, which is reinforced by the strong longitudinal H-bonds. This structural perturbation creates the earliest lateral cleavages, which in turn provides opportunity for closer H9/H10-H3 contacts in the lateral interface within the PF clusters. The closer and more flattened H9-H3 contact drives more outward and leftward bending in PF-(n+1) than PF-n, when n is not a breaking point. When it is a breaking point, PF-(n+1) and PF-n experience different torsional movement due to lateral relaxation in the neighboring PF clusters, so PF-(n+1) and PF-n continues to split apart. Such continued splitting in turn reinforces the lateral interaction within PF clusters, so they remain stable. Even if partial lateral dissociation is observed at the top of the MT tips in the all-atom simulations, they remain limited due to lateral relaxation in lower layers, so that the MT tip configurations, formed in the first 0.5 μs, remain stable up to 4 μs.

FIGURE 9. All-atom MD analysis of longitudinal interaction and mechanism for PF cluster formation. (a) Illustration of the relaxation of intra-dimer β:Arg2-α:Glu71 H-bond. Plotted for Layer 7 of PF1. B-tubulin (red) moves further away from α-tubulin, especially obvious in N-terminus. (b) Time evolution of average intra-dimer COM distance in each heterodimer layer. (see Fig. S2.20 for inter-dimer distance) (c) Time evolution of average Cα-Cα distance in the β:Arg2-α:Glu71 H-bond pair, synchronous to the intra-dimer distance jump. (d) Illustrated mechanism for PF cluster formation

and stabilization. The radial component of the longitudinal jump promotes initial lateral cleavage, and subsequent lateral relaxation promotes continued cleavage between PF clusters, stabilization within PF clusters, and collective outward bending motion of PF clusters. Subsequent openings at the tip (**Fig. 3**) are limited by lateral relaxation in lower layers.

These results, when combined with CG MD simulations, reveal that the intrinsic thermodynamic instability of the MT lattice drives the formation of stable PF clusters at the MT plus end. From the CG perspective, such an instability is manifest in the learned curvature directly from PF cluster layers. Under equilibrium sampling of the CG forcefield, the system tries to relax anywhere in the lattice, so we see the PF clusters in the 8-layer model remain stable and clustered, while the 40-layer model can relax by: (a) continuing to splay out; (b) in-lattice twisting; or (c) developing curvature of MT axis spontaneously, thus enabling MT mobility and flexibility within the cell. The latter two means of relaxation can therefore – along with dynamical friction and entropic penalty from increased solvent exposure – stall the outward peeling of MT plus-end tips and stabilize the lattice layers. From the AA perspective, the rapid transition from lattice interaction modes to the interaction modes in PF clusters indicates the instability of lattice-style interaction modes at the simulated temperature, and such a relaxation process eventually drives the continued outward bending and stabilization of PF clusters. The local equilibrium sampling approximation encoded in the bottom-up CG methodology is also justified by our all-atom analysis that the interaction interfaces in PF clusters are indeed more relaxed. Although the longitudinal and lateral H-Bond interactions are similar between GTP-MTs and GDP-MTs, this does not contradict the weaker lateral interaction that resulted from the CG modeling, because: (a) other types of interactions and geometric considerations can be at play, and (b) the lateral interaction modes are stochastic across the lattice, resulting in large noise in the all-atom MD data.

CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have provided a comprehensive multiscale study of microtubule tip relaxation dynamics through a bottom-up higher-resolution CG model for tubulins, constructed from 4 µs all-atom MD data for an 8-layer MT lattice. We then analyzed the lateral and longitudinal interfaces, including in all-atom detail. To our knowledge, this work is the first to conduct extensive CG modeling of long MT systems with a higher-resolution bottom-up CG model, and to delve into atomistic structural details in the MD data of an entire MT lattice.

The CG model captures key structural domains in the tubulin heterodimer that participate in lateral interactions, which are central to the lattice model of microtubule catastrophe. With the extensive CG MD simulations, we have shown that in both nucleotide states the microtubule lattice structure, with translational symmetry in the z-axis, is unstable, and dissociates laterally into stable protofilament clusters while exhibiting outward bending that can be reproduced in the CG level by overdamped Langevin dynamics. The GDP-MT lattice exhibited faster relaxation, larger bending angles, and formed more PF clusters on average than GTP-MT, and these are caused by weaker lateral interaction in the GDP-MT. The PF clusters remain stable up to 40 µs, of CG MD simulation time and the clustered PFs exhibits collective bending and torsional motion, pointing to their possible role as long-lived intermediates for MT growth and catastrophe. This physical picture concurs with the "conformational selection" mechanism proposed by ref (32) if we define "conformational states" with the number of laterally dissociated PF pairs (i.e., the number of PF clusters in this article). That is, conformation states with more split PF pairs are more structurally volatile, more exposed to solvent, and more prone to undergo longitudinal dissociation without the neighboring interactions. GDP-MTs have a larger propensity to occupy these states, thus making shortening events more possible.

Extension of the CG model to 40 layers reveals even greater instability of the MT lattice when the positional restraint in the simulation is placed farther below the MT tip. In GTP-MT and GDP-MT lattices alike, the 40-layer MT lattice generally continue to bend outwards as more layers dissociate laterally over time, but such "peeling" can be suppressed by curvature formation in MT lattices, leading to limited lateral dissociation and enabling elongation. Long GDP-MT lattices still exhibited faster peeling, formed more PF clusters on average, and some of the initially stable PF clusters may later dissociate. Meanwhile, GTP-MT lattice layers relax into an elliptical cylinder, hinting at another possible mechanism for MT stabilization through GTP cap.

Our all-atom analysis attributes the cause of MT bending and PF cluster formation to the relaxation in longitudinal and lateral interfaces. Although there is no totally clear evidence for how the lateral interaction is weaker in GDP-MT than GTP-MT due to the noisy all-atom data across the MT lattice, we uncovered the mechanism for the formation of such PF clusters, which is first triggered by longitudinal relaxation and later stabilized by lateral relaxation, during which the H9/H10-H3 interaction is most important (49).

Our results suggest that, while PF clusters exist in both nucleotide states, their number, composition and relaxation behavior is largely dependent of the nucleotide state, directly supporting the lattice model of MT growth and catastrophe but with much richer insights, both from all-atom details to collective behavior. Our results are also significant in terms of the room-temperature behavior of microtubule lattices. To our best knowledge, all MD simulations have used cryo-EM structures of MT lattice patches to construct a MT lattice with translational symmetry in the z-axis, but both our simulations and the previous results on a 6-layer MT tip by Igaev and Grubmüller (31) have exhibited the formation of stable PF clusters and rupture of the MT plus end from the start of the all-atom MD simulations. It is therefore likely that translational symmetry in the z-axis is broken under higher temperature in both GTP- and GDP-MT lattices and, therefore, starting the simulation from a lattice directly constructed from cryo-EM structure may suffer from greater lattice instability and exhibit less realistic relaxation dynamics compared with a physiological temperature scenario. Recent work utilized a Martini CG model for the MT lattice and the authors proposed that a longitudinal twist of around 2 degrees per dimer must be in place to stabilize the lattice from rupture (50). However, we note that the Martini model fails to correctly capture the enthalpy-entropy decomposition of pair correlations so these results may not be completely reliable (51, 52). The aforementioned Martini MT model also suffers unphysical artifacts such as in-lattice ruptures and must be combined with a manually tuned Elastic Network Model (ENM) to stabilize its MT lattice structure. It will be a goal of our future work to determine the extent of lattice twist in an all-atom MD model and whether the lack of such a lattice twist in the initial modeling overemphasized the formation of PF clusters.

We conclude this paper by noting some limitations of our current CG model. We approximated the clustered layers as locally equilibrated in 3-4 µs of AA MD, so that we could infer a local potential of mean force from them and model the MT relaxation process. However, full global relaxation remains elusive for a reference AA trajectory in a system this large. The resolution of the CG model is also relatively high (a larger number of CG sites or beads), so we are currently unable to simulate long MTs on a long timescale to observe dissociation or association. It will also be a target for our future work to simulate mixed-state lattices (e.g., a GDP-MT lattice with a GTP cap) and to develop Ultra-Coarse-Grained (UCG) models (53–55) that can simulate dynamic nucleotide state transitions (56, 57) within the lattice environment as the simulation trajectory evolves.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

Sample LAMMPS input files and trajectories for CG simulations are provided in the online Zenodo server https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16995325. Other scripts and data are available upon request to the authors.

SUPPORTING MATERIAL

Supporting Information can be found online, containing one supporting document (Table S1.1, Figures S1.1-S1.7, Figures S2.1-2.23) and supporting movies.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

W.X., J.W., T.B., and G.A.V. conceptualized the research. W.X. performed the CG model development, CG simulations, and AA MD analysis, and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. All the authors have analyzed the data and contributed to the editing of the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, under award DE-SC0023318. We gratefully acknowledge computing time provided by the University of Chicago Research Computing Center (https://rcc.uchicago.edu), the University of Chicago high-performance GPU-based cyberinfrastructure supported by the National Science Foundation under grant no. DMR-1828629, and Frontera at the Texas Advanced Computing Center (TACC) at The University of Texas at Austin (http://www.tacc.utexas.edu) funded by the NSF (OAC-1818253), and the NIH-funded Beagle3 HPC cluster (Award Number S10OD028655).

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Brouhard, G.J., and L.M. Rice. 2018. Microtubule dynamics: an interplay of biochemistry and mechanics. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 19:451–463.
2. Gudimchuk, N.B., and J.R. McIntosh. 2021. Regulation of microtubule dynamics, mechanics and function through the growing tip. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 22:777–795.
3. Hawkins, T., M. Mirigian, M. Selcuk Yasar, and J.L. Ross. 2010. Mechanics of microtubules. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 43:23–30.
4. Howard, J., and A.A. Hyman. 2003. Dynamics and mechanics of the microtubule plus end. *Nature*. 422:753–758.
5. Forth, S., and T.M. Kapoor. 2017. The mechanics of microtubule networks in cell division. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 216:1525–1531.
6. Nogales, E., S.G. Wolf, and K.H. Downing. 1998. Structure of the ab tubulin dimer by electron crystallography. 391.
7. Nogales, E., M. Whittaker, R.A. Milligan, and K.H. Downing. 1999. High-Resolution Model of the Microtubule. *Cell*. 96:79–88.
8. Keskin, O., S.R. Durell, I. Bahar, R.L. Jernigan, and D.G. Covell. 2002. Relating Molecular Flexibility to Function: A Case Study of Tubulin. *Biophysical Journal*. 83:663–680.
9. Manka, S.W., and C.A. Moores. 2018. The role of tubulin–tubulin lattice contacts in the mechanism of microtubule dynamic instability. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 25:607–615.

10. Guyomar, C., C. Bousquet, S. Ku, J.M. Heumann, G. Guilloux, N. Gaillard, C. Heichette, L. Duchesne, M.O. Steinmetz, R. Gibeaux, and D. Chrétien. 2022. Changes in seam number and location induce holes within microtubules assembled from porcine brain tubulin and in Xenopus egg cytoplasmic extracts. *eLife*. 11:e83021.
11. Mitchison, T., and M. Kirschner. 1984. Dynamic instability of microtubule growth. *Nature*. 312:237–242.
12. Vaart, B. van der, A. Akhmanova, and A. Straube. 2009. Regulation of microtubule dynamic instability. *Biochemical Society Transactions*. 37:1007–1013.
13. Padinhateeri, R., A.B. Kolomeisky, and D. Lacoste. 2012. The random release of phosphate controls the dynamic instability of microtubules. *Biophysical Journal*. 102:1274–1283.
14. Stewman, S.F., K.K. Tsui, and A. Ma. 2020. Dynamic Instability from Non-equilibrium Structural Transitions on the Energy Landscape of Microtubule. *Cell Systems*. 11:608-624.e9.
15. Beckett, D., and G.A. Voth. 2023. Unveiling the catalytic mechanism of GTP hydrolysis in microtubules. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 120:e2305899120.
16. Wu, J., S. Dasetty, D. Beckett, Y. Wang, W. Xue, T. Skóra, T.C. Bidone, A.L. Ferguson, and G.A. Voth. 2025. Data-driven equation-free dynamics applied to many-protein complexes: The microtubule tip relaxation. *Biophysical Journal*. S0006349525000220.
17. Mandelkow, E.-M., E. Mandelkow, and R.A. Milligan. 1991. Microtubule Dynamics and Microtubule Caps: A Time-resolved Cryo-Electron Microscopy Study. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 114:977–991.
18. Müller-Reichert, T., D. Chrétien, F. Severin, and A.A. Hyman. 1998. Structural changes at microtubule ends accompanying GTP hydrolysis: Information from a slowly hydrolyzable analogue of GTP, guanylyl (α,β)methylenediphosphonate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 95:3661–3666.
19. Wang, H.-W., and E. Nogales. 2005. Nucleotide-dependent bending flexibility of tubulin regulates microtubule assembly. *Nature*. 435:911–915.
20. Buey, R.M., J.F. Díaz, and J.M. Andreu. 2006. The Nucleotide Switch of Tubulin and Microtubule Assembly: A Polymerization-Driven Structural Change. *Biochemistry*. 45:5933–5938.
21. Rice, L.M., E.A. Montabana, and D.A. Agard. 2008. The lattice as allosteric effector: Structural studies of αβ- and γ-tubulin clarify the role of GTP in microtubule assembly. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105:5378–5383.
22. Gebremichael, Y., J.-W. Chu, and G.A. Voth. 2008. Intrinsic Bending and Structural Rearrangement of Tubulin Dimer: Molecular Dynamics Simulations and Coarse-Grained Analysis. *Biophysical Journal*. 95:2487–2499.
23. Grafmüller, A., and G.A. Voth. 2011. Intrinsic Bending of Microtubule Protofilaments. *Structure*. 19:409–417.
24. Höög, J.L., S.M. Huisman, Z. Sebö-Lemke, L. Sandblad, J.R. McIntosh, C. Antony, and D. Brunner. 2011. Electron tomography reveals a flared morphology on growing microtubule ends. *Journal of Cell Science*. 124:693–698.
25. Gudimchuk, N.B., E.V. Ulyanov, E. O'Toole, C.L. Page, D.S. Vinogradov, G. Morgan, G. Li, J.K. Moore, E. Szczesna, A. Roll-Mecak, F.I. Ataullakhanov, and J. Richard McIntosh. 2020. Mechanisms of microtubule dynamics and force generation examined with computational modeling and electron cryotomography. *Nat Commun*. 11:3765.
26. McIntosh, J.R., E. O'Toole, G. Morgan, J. Austin, E. Ulyanov, F. Ataullakhanov, and N. Gudimchuk. 2018. Microtubules grow by the addition of bent guanosine triphosphate tubulin to the tips of curved protofilaments. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 217:2691–2708.

27. Alexandrova, V.V., M.N. Anisimov, A.V. Zaitsev, V.V. Mustyatsa, V.V. Popov, F.I. Ataullakhanov, and N.B. Gudimchuk. 2022. Theory of tip structure–dependent microtubule catastrophes and damage-induced microtubule rescues. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 119:e2208294119.

28. Grafmüller, A., E.G. Noya, and G.A. Voth. 2013. Nucleotide-Dependent Lateral and Longitudinal Interactions in Microtubules. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. 425:2232–2246.

29. Igaev, M., and H. Grubmüller. 2020. Microtubule instability driven by longitudinal and lateral strain propagation. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 16:e1008132.

30. Tong, D., and G.A. Voth. 2020. Microtubule Simulations Provide Insight into the Molecular Mechanism Underlying Dynamic Instability. *Biophysical Journal*. 118:2938–2951.

31. Igaev, M., and H. Grubmüller. 2022. Bending-torsional elasticity and energetics of the plus-end microtubule tip. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 119:e2115516119.

32. Kalutskii, M., H. Grubmüller, V.A. Volkov, and M. Igaev. 2025. Microtubule dynamics are defined by conformations and stability of clustered protofilaments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 122:e2424263122.

33. Noid, W.G., J.-W. Chu, G.S. Ayton, V. Krishna, S. Izvekov, G.A. Voth, A. Das, and H.C. Andersen. 2008. The multiscale coarse-graining method. I. A rigorous bridge between atomistic and coarse-grained models. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*. 128:244114.

34. Noid, W.G., P. Liu, Y. Wang, J.-W. Chu, G.S. Ayton, S. Izvekov, H.C. Andersen, and G.A. Voth. 2008. The multiscale coarse-graining method. II. Numerical implementation for coarse-grained molecular models. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*. 128:244115.

35. Jin, J., A.J. Pak, A.E.P. Durumeric, T.D. Loose, and G.A. Voth. 2022. Bottom-up Coarse-Graining: Principles and Perspectives. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 18:5759–5791.

36. Noid, W.G. 2023. Perspective: Advances, Challenges, and Insight for Predictive Coarse-Grained Models. *J. Phys. Chem. B*. 127:4174–4207.

37. Wu, J., W. Xue, and G.A. Voth. 2023. K-Means Clustering Coarse-Graining (KMC-CG): A Next Generation Methodology for Determining Optimal Coarse-Grained Mappings of Large Biomolecules. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 19:8987–8997.

38. Zhang, Z., L. Lu, W.G. Noid, V. Krishna, J. Pfaendtner, and G.A. Voth. 2008. A Systematic Methodology for Defining Coarse-Grained Sites in Large Biomolecules. *Biophysical Journal*. 95:5073–5083.

39. Lyman, E., J. Pfaendtner, and G.A. Voth. 2008. Systematic Multiscale Parameterization of Heterogeneous Elastic Network Models of Proteins. *Biophysical Journal*. 95:4183–4192.

40. Peng, Y., A.J. Pak, A.E.P. Durumeric, P.G. Sahrmann, S. Mani, J. Jin, T.D. Loose, J. Beiter, and G.A. Voth. 2023. OpenMSCG: A Software Tool for Bottom-Up Coarse-Graining. *J. Phys. Chem. B*. 127:8537–8550.

41. Thompson, A.P., H.M. Aktulga, R. Berger, D.S. Bolintineanu, W.M. Brown, P.S. Crozier, P.J. In 'T Veld, A. Kohlmeyer, S.G. Moore, T.D. Nguyen, R. Shan, M.J. Stevens, J. Tranchida, C. Trott, and S.J. Plimpton. 2022. LAMMPS - a flexible simulation tool for particle-based materials modeling at the atomic, meso, and continuum scales. *Computer Physics Communications*. 271:108171.

42. Vanden-Eijnden, E., and G. Ciccotti. 2006. Second-order integrators for Langevin equations with holonomic constraints. *Chemical Physics Letters*. 429:310–316.

44. Michaud-Agrawal, N., E.J. Denning, T.B. Woolf, and O. Beckstein. 2011. MDAnalysis: A toolkit for the analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. *J Comput Chem*. 32:2319–2327.

45. Gowers, R., M. Linke, J. Barnoud, T. Reddy, M. Melo, S. Seyler, J. Domański, D. Dotson, S. Buchoux, I. Kenney, and O. Beckstein. 2016. MDAnalysis: A Python Package for the Rapid Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Simulations. Austin, Texas: . pp. 98–105.

46. Kabsch, W., and C. Sander. 1983. Dictionary of protein secondary structure: Pattern recognition of hydrogen-bonded and geometrical features. *Biopolymers*. 22:2577–2637.
47. McGibbon, R.T., K.A. Beauchamp, M.P. Harrigan, C. Klein, J.M. Swails, C.X. Hernández, C.R. Schwantes, L.-P. Wang, T.J. Lane, and V.S. Pande. 2015. MDTraj: A Modern Open Library for the Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Trajectories. *Biophysical Journal*. 109:1528–1532.
48. Atherton, J., M. Stouffer, F. Francis, and C.A. Moores. 2018. Microtubule architecture *in vitro* and in cells revealed by cryo-electron tomography. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol*. 74:572–584.
49. Hemmat, M., B.T. Castle, J.N. Sachs, and D.J. Odde. 2019. Multiscale Computational Modeling of Tubulin-Tubulin Lateral Interaction. *Biophysical Journal*. 117:1234–1249.
50. Sahoo, A., and S.M. Hanson. 2025. Microtubules in Martini: Parameterizing a heterogeneous elastic-network towards a mechanically accurate microtubule. *PNAS Nexus*. 4:pgaf202.
51. Jarin, Z., J. Newhouse, and G.A. Voth. 2021. Coarse-Grained Force Fields from the Perspective of Statistical Mechanics: Better Understanding of the Origins of a MARTINI Hangover. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 17:1170–1180.
52. Loose, T.D., P.G. Sahrmann, T.S. Qu, and G.A. Voth. 2024. Changing Your Martini Can Still Give You a Hangover. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 20:9190–9208.
53. Dama, J.F., A.V. Sinitskiy, M. McCullagh, J. Weare, B. Roux, A.R. Dinner, and G.A. Voth. 2013. The Theory of Ultra-Coarse-Graining. 1. General Principles. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 9:2466–2480.
54. Davtyan, A., J.F. Dama, A.V. Sinitskiy, and G.A. Voth. 2014. The Theory of Ultra-Coarse-Graining. 2. Numerical Implementation. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 10:5265–5275.
55. Dama, J.F., J. Jin, and G.A. Voth. 2017. The Theory of Ultra-Coarse-Graining. 3. Coarse-Grained Sites with Rapid Local Equilibrium of Internal States. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 13:1010–1022.
56. Katkar, H.H., A. Davtyan, A.E.P. Durumeric, G.M. Hocky, A.C. Schramm, E.M. De La Cruz, and G.A. Voth. 2018. Insights into the Cooperative Nature of ATP Hydrolysis in Actin Filaments. *Biophysical Journal*. 115:1589–1602.
57. Mani, S., H.H. Katkar, and G.A. Voth. 2021. Compressive and Tensile Deformations Alter ATP Hydrolysis and Phosphate Release Rates in Actin Filaments. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 17:1900–1913.