Noncanonical amino acid incorporation enables minimally disruptive labeling of stress granule and TDP-43 proteinopathy

Hao Chen[1,2], Haocheng Wang[1], Yuning Lu[1,2], Peng Chen[1,2], Zhongfan Zheng[1,2], Tao Zhang[1,2], Jiou Wang[1,2*]

[1] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, 21205, USA.

[2] Department of Neuroscience, School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, 21205, USA.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Jiou Wang, E-mail: jiouw@jhmi.edu

Key words: Genetic code expansion, Anap labeling, Stress granule, TDP-43

Abstract

We report a minimally disruptive labeling strategy for stress granule protein, G3BP Stress

Granule Assembly Factor 1 (G3BP1), and ALS-linked protein, TAR DNA-binding protein 43

(TDP-43), using the fluorescent noncanonical amino acid Anap. By integrating genetic code

expansion (GCE) with rational site selection, we achieved precise incorporation of Anap that

preserves protein structure and function. In live cells and neurons, Anap labeling faithfully

recapitulated localization, stress-induced dynamics, and recovery behavior, outperforming

conventional fluorescent tags and enabling physiologically relevant visualization of protein

pathobiology.

Introduction

Fluorescent protein labeling remains a cornerstone of live-cell biology, yet conventional techniques rely heavily on large fusion tags, such as auto-fluorescent tags (AFPs) or small-molecule-binding motifs[1], at limited positions (typically N-or C-terminal). These tags might potentially affect the structure, function, and even localization pattern of proteins, limiting their use for studying proteins with complex dynamics[2]. Alternatively, genetic code expansion (GCE) has emerged as a versatile labeling strategy to label proteins site-specifically in a minimally disruptive manner[3-8].

GCE employs an engineered orthogonal aminoacyl tRNA synthetase/tRNA pair to incorporate non-canonical amino acids (ncAAs) at desired positions of proteins according to reassigned codons, most commonly the amber stop codon (TAG), thereby introducing a single-residue substitution within the protein of interest. Among these ncAAs, L-Anap (3-(6-acetylnaphthalen-2-ylamino)-2-aminopropanoic acid) is especially attractive for live-cell imaging. Anap is intrinsically fluorescent, exhibits polarity-sensitive emission spectra, and requires no post-incorporation modification[2, 5]. Despite these advantages, GCE-based Anap labeling has rarely been systematically applied to track disease-relevant protein dynamics in live mammalian cells. In this study, we developed an Anap-based labeling platform optimized for minimally disruptive labeling of two important proteins, G3BP1 and TDP-43, involved in membraneless organelles and neurodegenerative diseases, such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and frontotemporal dementia (FTD).

G3BP1 is a core protein in stress granules, dynamic membraneless organelles related to stress response[9]. Altered stress-granule dynamics have been associated with ALS/FTD[10, 11]; however, whether stress granules directly drive neurodegeneration remains debated, as several studies suggest that stress granules primarily function as protective stress responses[12]. TDP-43 cytoplasmic inclusion is a hallmark of ALS/FTD pathology[13] and is closely associated with

dysregulation of RNA metabolism, ultimately leading to cellular defects[14]. Conventional

fluorescent protein tags have enabled visualization of TDP-43 and G3BP1 in living cells;

however, these approaches can perturb the native biophysical properties of the proteins being

studied. For example, GFP or other fluorescently tagged TDP-43 usually requires additional

modifications, such as deletion of the nuclear localization signal (NLS)[15, 16], to induce

cytoplasmic inclusion formation. Such manipulations introduce non-physiological conditions that

may alter the native trafficking and aggregation behavior of TDP-43. As for G3BP1, tags like

GFP may also cause unexpected effects on the phase separation or other dynamics of the

protein. In contrast, Anap-based GCE strategy allows the minimally perturbative labeling and

visualization of protein localization and stress-induced redistribution while preserving native

protein architecture and function of both proteins. Importantly, the approach provides a

generalizable genetically encoded platform for quantitatively examining the behavior of ALS-

associated proteins in living cells. By enabling faithful monitoring of protein trafficking and

stress-granule dynamics without extensive protein engineering, Anap-based GCE can offer a

powerful strategy for probing molecular-scale mechanisms underlying ALS-linked

proteinopathies.

Results and Discussion

To implement the site-specific Anap incorporation system, we selected and generated two

amber mutants, G3BP1^{F337TAG} and TDP-43^{V100TAG}, using a combination of structural and

functional criteria: exclusion from functional domains or localization signals, absence of disease-

associated mutations, lack of post-translational modification, and low predicted structural impact

by AlphaFold models. For G3BP1, the selected site was chosen to minimize interference with

domains important for stress granule assembly, RNA binding, and protein-protein interactions.

For TDP-43, the incorporation site was selected to avoid the major functional domains involved

in RNA binding, nuclear localization, and aggregation-related behavior, thereby reducing the

likelihood that Anap incorporation would perturb its native trafficking or function. More generally,

we aimed to place the ncAA at positions likely to be solvent-accessible and tolerant of

substitution, while avoiding highly conserved or functionally essential residues. Incorporation of

Anap was achieved via co-expression of an orthogonal tRNA/synthetase pair in cells. To ensure

that the fluorescence signal observed in our experiments was specifically derived from site-

specific Anap incorporation rather than background fluorescence, we performed three control

conditions. Specifically, we tested: (1) cells cultured with the addition of Anap, (2) cells

expressing the Anap incorporation system with the addition of Anap, and (3) cells expressing

both the TAG-mutated protein plasmid and the Anap incorporation system but without the

addition of Anap. These control experiments were performed for both TDP-43 and G3BP1, and

no observable fluorescence signal was detected under any of these conditions (Supplementary

Fig. 1).

We first tested the feasibility of the Anap labeling system for G3BP1. In HeLa cells, G3BP1-

Anap localized diffusely in the cytoplasm under basal conditions, closely matching antibody

staining. Interestingly, a nuclear signal was detected with Anap but not with antibody, indicating

the presence of nuclear pools of G3BP1 inaccessible to antibody detection. Upon sodium

arsenite treatment, both the Anap and antibody signals colocalized within stress granules (Fig.

1A), validating the ability of Anap labeling to visualize the dynamics of G3BP1-driven stress

granule formation. In addition, to independently validate protein expression, we performed

western blot analysis in a G3BP knockout U2OS cell line, confirming the expression of G3BP1-

Anap (Fig. 1H). To assess labeling fidelity, we compared the performance of G3BP1-Anap with

G3BP1-GFP using fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP). Following stress, both

labeled proteins localized to granules, but G3BP1-Anap exhibited significantly higher

fluorescence recovery (~53%) than G3BP1-GFP (~33%) (Fig. 1C, 1F). These results suggest

that G3BP1-Anap displays higher mobility compared with G3BP1-GFP, indicating that Anap

labeling may provide a less perturbative approach for monitoring G3BP1 dynamics. Additionally,

we examined the colocalization of G3BP1-Anap with TIA-1, another established stress granule

marker. Under stress conditions, G3BP1-Anap largely colocalized with TIA-1 within stress

granules. Interestingly, under basal conditions, the nuclear signal of G3BP1-Anap, which was

not detected by antibody staining, appeared to partially colocalize with TIA-1 in several

condensate-like structures. (Fig. 1I).

We next applied Anap labeling to TDP-43. Under basal conditions, the signal of TDP-43-Anap

overlapped with that of anti-TDP-43 antibody staining, predominantly within the nucleus (Fig.

1B). Following sodium arsenite treatment, TDP-43-Anap mislocalized mostly to the cytoplasmic

inclusions stained by TDP-43 antibody, with noticeable difference that a few puncta showed

Anap signal only, and antibody gave more dispersed signal. By contrast, TDP-43-YFP failed to

recapitulate this cytoplasmic mislocalization, instead forming prominent nuclear puncta under

stress conditions (Fig. 1E), suggesting that large C-terminal tags may distort native localization

of the protein. We then used YFP-tagged NLS-deleted TDP-43 (TDP-43$^{\Delta NLS}$-YFP) as a

reference and performed FRAP analysis to compare the mobility of TDP-43-Anap and TDP-

43$^{\Delta NLS}$-YFP. Fluorescence recovery of TDP-43-Anap reached ~45% within 20 s after

photobleaching, consistent with liquid-like dynamics. In contrast, TDP-43$^{\Delta NLS}$-YFP showed only

~22% recovery, suggesting more solid-like dynamics (Fig. 1D, 1G). These results are consistent

with previous reports describing relatively immobile aggregates formed by TDP-43$^{\Delta NLS}$[16]and

illustrate the advantage of Anap-based labeling, which preserves native protein properties and

enables real-time assessment of protein dynamics without introducing disruptive mutations. To

further investigate the relationship between TDP-43-Anap-positive cytoplasmic inclusions and

stress granules, we performed co-immunostaining with a G3BP1 antibody. Under stress

conditions, most TDP-43-Anap-positive cytoplasmic inclusions colocalized with G3BP1-positive

stress granules. However, a small subset of puncta contained TDP-43-Anap but lacked

detectable G3BP1, suggesting that not all mislocalized TDP-43 is incorporated into stress

granules under oxidative stress. These observations raise the possibility that additional

mechanisms may contribute to the formation of TDP-43-positive cytoplasmic puncta (Fig. 1J).

To determine whether TDP-43-Anap retains biological function, we expressed it in a TDP-43

knockout HeLa cell line (Fig. 1K) and tested cell viability under oxidative stress. Following 12.5

µM sodium arsenite treatment for 24 hours, the expression of TDP-43-Anap significantly

rescued cell survival, reaching levels comparable to wild-type TDP-43 overexpression (45% vs

46%; Fig. 1M). We further validated this finding in a mouse embryonic stem (ES) cell model with

an inducible TDP-43 knockout (iTDPKO). For mouse ES cells, TDP-43 KO alone was sufficient

to induce cell death. Here, either wild-type TDP-43 or TDP-43-Anap was expressed in iTDPKO

mouse ES cells, where TDP-43 was deleted following induction with 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-HT).

Expression of TDP-43-Anap restored ES cell viability nearly to wild-type TDP-43 (47% vs 51%;

Fig. 1N). We also evaluated TDP-43-dependent RNA splicing activity by examining the

expression of PFKP, a well-established target that undergoes cryptic exon inclusion upon loss of

TDP-43 function[17]. As shown in Figures 1K and 1L, expression of TDP-43-Anap in TDP-43

knockout HeLa cells restored PFKP expression, indicating that the Anap-labeled protein retains

functional RNA splicing activity. These results demonstrate that TDP-43-Anap is capable of

functionally compensating for endogenous TDP-43, supporting that the incorporation of Anap

does not substantially disrupt the protein's biological function.

Furthermore, to extend Anap labeling to neuronal systems, we applied this approach to label

both proteins in primary mouse cortical neurons. The neurons were co-stained with human-

specific anti-TDP-43 or human-specific anti-G3BP1 antibodies. Under basal conditions, the

signal of G3BP1-Anap colocalized with antibody staining in the cytoplasm and relocalized to

stress granule upon sodium arsenite treatment (Fig. 2A, 2B). Notably, nuclear Anap signal was

again observed in neurons, suggesting additional pools of G3BP1 not captured by antibody

staining.

TDP-43-Anap localized to the neuronal nucleus under basal conditions and showed strong

colocalization with anti-TDP-43 antibody. Interestingly, antibody staining appeared more

diffusely cytoplasmic than Anap, suggesting improved signal specificity of Anap labeling with

direct genetic incorporation. Under oxidative stress, both Anap and antibody signals colocalized

within cytoplasmic inclusions (Fig. 2C, 2D). These findings demonstrated that the Anap system

for G3BP1 and TDP-43 performs robustly in neuronal environments, a key setting for ALS/FTD

research.

In summary, our results demonstrated that Anap-based GCE provides a minimally disruptive

strategy for tracking the dynamic behavior of G3BP1 and TDP-43 in live cells (Fig. 3). In

G3BP1, Anap faithfully reported stress granule assembly and preserved native mobility, unlike

GFP fusions that impaired dynamics. In TDP-43, Anap labeling maintained nuclear localization

under basal conditions and revealed liquid-like behavior of cytoplasmic inclusions during stress,

in contrast to the aberrant nuclear puncta produced by YFP-tagged TDP-43. Critically, Anap-

labeled TDP-43 retained biological activity, rescuing cell survival in TDP-43-deficient HeLa and

stem cells. Moreover, we have generated stable TDP-43-Anap cell lines that exhibited

consistent expression, protein localization, and stress-induced aggregation, providing stable cell

models for TDP-43 research.

By enabling high-fidelity visualization of both stress granule dynamics and TDP-43 aggregation

in live cells and primary neurons, Anap labeling bridges a critical gap between structural

preservation and functional readout. The ability to monitor native protein behavior without

perturbation provides a unique opportunity to study early events in ALS/FTD progression, such

as stress granule maturation, protein cytoplasmic mislocalization, and aggregate fluidity, at a

resolution inaccessible with conventional tagging approaches.

175 **Acknowledgements**

176 This work was supported by the National Institute of Health (NIH) (NS074324, NS089616,

177 NS110098, and NS128494) and the Walder Foundation. We thank Peter G. Schultz from

178 Scripps Research for providing the pAnap plasmid, Shawn M. Ferguson from Yale University for

179 the TDP-43 knockout HeLa cell line, J. Paul Taylor from St. Jude Children's Research Hospital

180 for the G3BP knockout U2OS cell line, and Philip Wong from Johns Hopkins University for the

181 iTDPKO mouse ES cell line. We also thank the members of Wang's lab for discussion and

182 suggestions.

183 **Author contributions**

184 H.C. performed and analyzed most of the experiments. H.C.W. helped with plasmid preparation,

185 western blot, and imaging. Y.N.L. provides cells and helped with data preparation. P.C. provides

186 mouse primary cortical neurons. Z.F.Z helped with imaging. T.Z. helped culture iTDPKO mouse

187 ES cells. H.C. and J.W. designed the studies and wrote the paper with inputs from other

188 authors.

189 **Methods and materials**

190 **General information**

191 Oligonucleotide synthesis was performed by IDT, and Sanger sequencing of DNA plasmids and

192 PCR products was performed by Quintara. L-ANAP (trifluoroacetate salt) (15436) used in this

193 study was purchased from Cayman. For immunostaining, the following primary and secondary

194 antibodies were used: mouse anti-human G3BP (BD Biosciences, 611126), rabbit anti-TDP-43

195 (Proteintech, 10782-2-AP), mouse anti-TDP-43 (human-specific, monoclonal; Proteintech,

196 60019-2-Ig), Rabbit anti-human TIA-1 (MBL Life science, RN014P), chicken anti-βIII-tubulin

197 (Tuj1; GeneTex, GTX85469), donkey anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 555 (Thermo Fisher, A-31570),

198 donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher, A-21202), donkey anti-chicken Alexa Fluor

199 647 (Thermo Fisher, A78952), and donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor Plus 800 (Thermo Fisher,

200 A32808).

Plasmids

pAnap plasmid was a gift from Peter G. Schultz's lab[2]. pCMV-G3BP1 was purchased from Sino

Biologics. pRK5-TDP-43, pEGFP-G3BP1, and pCMV/TO-TDP-43-YFP were conducted by our

lab. pLVX-Puro-TDP-43-WT was a gift from Shawn Ferguson's lab[18] and reconstructed into

pLVX-Puro-TDP-43-ΔNLS. Site-directed mutagenesis was conducted using the NEB Q5 site-

directed mutagenesis kit (NEB, E0554). TAG substitutions were introduced by PCR amplification

with Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase using primers designed with NEBaseChanger.

Then the PCR products were incubated with an enzyme mix consisting of a kinase, a ligase,

and DpnI to rapidly circularize the PCR products and remove the template DNA. And then the

mix will be transformed into DH5α cells.

Cell culture

Mammalian cell lines were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO_2 in humidified incubators. HeLa cells

(ATCC) were cultured in DMEM/F12 (Corning, 10-013-CV) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco,

A5256801). The TDP-43 knockout HeLa cell line was a gift from Shawn M. Ferguson[18] and the

G3BP knockout U2OS cell line was a gift from J. Paul Taylor[9]. Primary cortical neurons were

prepared as previously described [19]. Briefly, the cortex of the mouse embryo was separated into

HBSS on ice, then digested into single-cell suspension with 0.25% trypsin and 0.1mg/ml DNase

I at 37°C for 20 min. Dissociated cells were washed twice and resuspended in plating media

(DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS) before seeding onto poly-D-lysine (Gibco, A3890401)-

coated plates. Cells were cultured for 3-4 hours in plating medium to settle down, and then the

medium was replaced with maintenance medium (neurobasal medium (Gibco, 21103049))

supplemented with 2% B-27 supplement (Gibco,17504044), 1% GlutaMax (Gibco, 35050061),

and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, 15140163). The iTDPKO inducible mouse embryonic

stem ES cell line was a gift from Philip Wong[20]. Cells were maintained on attachment factor

(Gibco, S-006-100) coated plates in 2i media containing half of DMEM/F12 and half of

Neurobasal media complemented with L-Glutamine (Gibco, 25030081), B-27 supplement, N2

supplement (Gibco, 17502048), BSA (Gibco, 15260037), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco,

15140163), PD0325901 (MedChemExpress, 391210-10-9), CHIR99021(MedChemExpress,

252917-06-9), monothioglycerol(Millipore Sigmal, M6145), and mLIF(Millipore Sigma,

ESG1107).

Transfection

HeLa cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (ThermoFisher, 11668030). Cells

were seeded 24 h before transfection, and plasmid DNA was mixed with Lipofectamine 2000 at

a ratio of 1 µg DNA:2 µL reagent in Opti-MEM medium (Thermo Fisher, 31985070). After 15 min

incubation at room temperature, the complexes were added to the cells. Six hours post-

transfection, the medium was replaced with fresh growth medium supplemented with or without

20 µM L-Anap, and cells were incubated overnight before further experiments.

Mouse primary cortical neurons and inducible TDP-43 knockout (iTDPKO) mouse ES cells were

transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher, L3000015) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. For neurons, first, the total DNA was diluted and mixed with p3000

reagent in Opti-MEM media, and the Lipofectamine 3000 was diluted in Opti-MEM media

separately. Second, both diluted DNA and Lipofectamine 3000 were mixed and incubated at

room temperature for 15 minutes. The final mix would then be added to cells seeded on poly-D-

lysine-coated plates at DIV5. After incubation overnight, the media were half-replaced with fresh

neurobasal media with 10µM Anap, and the neurons were incubated for two additional days (the

media were half-replaced each day to keep the Anap supply). Differently, for mouse ES cells,

the DNA-lipo3000 mix was added directly into the cell suspension, and the suspension was

added to attachment factor-coated plate. After overnight incubation, the media for ES cells was

replaced with fresh 2i media supplemented with 10 µM Anap and any further treatments.

Anap labeling and immunofluorescence in cell fixation

6h post-transfection, the cells were cultured in medium supplemented with L-Anap for another

20-24 h. Cells were then washed three times with DPBS to remove excess Anap and incubated

in fresh medium for 1 h before treatment with 250 µM sodium arsenite for anther 1h. After the

treatment, the cells were washed with DPBS three times and then fixed with 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA; Millipore Sigma, 158127) for 15-20 mins at room temperature. Fixed

cells were permeabilized and blocked in immunofluorescence blocking buffer (Cell Signaling

Technology, 12411) containing 0.1% Triton X-100 (Millipore Sigma, X100) for 45 mins.

Immunostaining was performed using anti-TDP-43 and anti-G3BP1 antibodies in both HeLa

cells and primary cortical neurons, with anti-Tuj1 antibody included as a neuronal marker.

Images were acquired using a Leica SP8 confocal microscope.

Anap labeling and GFP/YFP tagging in live-cell imaging

For Anap labeling, HeLa cells were seeded on 35-mm glass-bottom dishes (Cellvis, D35-20-

1.5H), transfected, and incubated with L-Anap following the same protocol used for fixed-cell

imaging. After removal of excess Anap, cells were cultured in fresh medium for 2-3 h before live

cell imaging. For GFP/YFP tagging, cells expressing the tagged proteins were directly ready for

live cell imaging. Before FRAP, cells expressing Anap-labeled or GFP/YFP-tagged TDP-43 or

G3BP1 were treated with 250 µM sodium arsenite for 1 h. Next, regions of interest (ROIs)

corresponding to protein signals were selected for FRAP analysis using a Leica SP8 confocal

microscope.

Cell survival tests

Cell viability was assessed using Calcein AM staining (Invitrogen, C1430). HeLa cells were

treated with 12.5 µM sodium arsenite for 24 h, followed by incubation with 3 µM Calcein AM.

Fluorescence from viable cells was measured using a Synergy H1 Hybrid Multi-Mode Plate

Reader (BioTek) at excitation/emission wavelengths of 485/535 nm.

For mouse ES cells, the conditional knockout of TDP-43 was induced by 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-

HT, Millipore Sigma, H7904-5MG) treatment (300ng/ml) for 5 days. Cell viability was assessed

using the Cell Counting-Lite 2.0 Luminescent Cell Viability Assay Kit (Vazyme, DD1101-02).

Immunoblotting

Cells were washed three times with PBS and lysed in ice-cold RIPA buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (PH

7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40, 0.1% SDS, 100 mM NaF, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 17.5 mM

beta-glycerophosphate, 1 mM PMSF, and protease inhibitor cocktail (1:200, Millipore Sigma,

P8340)]. Lysates were sonicated and clarified by centrifugation (12,000 rpm, 20 min, 4 °C), and

supernatants were collected. Protein concentrations were determined using the BCA assay

(Thermo Fisher, Cat. No. 23225). Equal amounts of protein were resolved by SDS-PAGE and

transferred to membranes, which were blocked with 5% BSA and incubated with primary

antibodies overnight at 4 °C. After three washes with TBST, membranes were incubated with

fluorescence-conjugated secondary antibody dilution at room temperature for 2h. Blots were

scanned and imaged by LI-COR Odyssey M scanner.

Image analysis and statistical analysis

All images were processed and analyzed by Fiji/ImageJ software, and the colocalization of

signals was analyzed by the colocalization threshold analysis plugin in ImageJ. Experiments

requiring quantification were repeated at least three times independently. The FRAP results

were analyzed by ImageJ to quantify the fluorescence intensity at each time point. The average

relative intensities (normalized to pre-bleaching intensity) of each time point were analyzed in

Prism 10 software. For survival tests, the average relative rates (normalized to the control

group) for each group were analyzed in Prism 10 software, and the one-way analysis of

variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the significance between each group. All data were

presented as means ± SEM.

References:

1. Crivat, G. & Taraska, J.W. Imaging proteins inside cells with fluorescent tags. *Trends in biotechnology* **30**, 8–16 (2012).
2. Chatterjee, A., Guo, J., Lee, H.S. & Schultz, P.G. A Genetically Encoded Fluorescent Probe in Mammalian Cells. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **135**, 12540–12543 (2013).
3. Hao, M. et al. Tracking endogenous proteins based on RNA editing-mediated genetic code expansion. *Nat Chem Biol* **20**, 721–731 (2024).
4. Nikić, I., Kang, J.H., Girona, G.E., Aramburu, I.V. & Lemke, E.A. Labeling proteins on live mammalian cells using click chemistry. *Nature Protocols* **10**, 780–791 (2015).
5. Nygaard, A., Zachariassen, L.G., Larsen, K.S., Kristensen, A.S. & Loland, C.J. Fluorescent non-canonical amino acid provides insight into the human serotonin transporter. *Nature Communications* **15**, 9267 (2024).
6. Bessa-Neto, D. et al. Bioorthogonal labeling of transmembrane proteins with non-canonical amino acids unveils masked epitopes in live neurons. *Nature Communications* **12**, 6715 (2021).
7. Eddins, A.J. et al. Quantitative Protein Labeling in Live Cells by Controlling the Redox State of Encoded Tetrazines. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **147**, 23625–23634 (2025).
8. Arsić, A., Hagemann, C., Stajković, N., Schubert, T. & Nikić-Spiegel, I. Minimal genetically encoded tags for fluorescent protein labeling in living neurons. *Nature Communications* **13**, 314 (2022).
9. Yang, P. et al. G3BP1 is a tunable switch that triggers phase separation to assemble stress granules. *Cell* **181**, 325–345 (2020).
10. Kassouf, T. et al. Targeting the NEDP1 enzyme to ameliorate ALS phenotypes through stress granule disassembly. *Science Advances* **9**, eabq7585 (2023).
11. Van Nerom, M. et al. C9orf72-linked arginine-rich dipeptide repeats aggravate pathological phase separation of G3BP1. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **121**, e2402847121 (2024).
12. Wolozin, B. & Ivanov, P. Stress granules and neurodegeneration. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **20**, 649–666 (2019).
13. Neumann, M. et al. Ubiquitinated TDP-43 in Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *Science* **314**, 130–133 (2006).
14. Suk, T.R. & Rousseaux, M.W.C. The role of TDP-43 mislocalization in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Molecular Neurodegeneration* **15**, 45 (2020).
15. Gasset-Rosa, F. et al. Cytoplasmic TDP-43 De-mixing Independent of Stress Granules Drives Inhibition of Nuclear Import, Loss of Nuclear TDP-43, and Cell Death. *Neuron* **102**, 339–357.e337 (2019).
16. Yan, X. et al. Intra-condensate demixing of TDP-43 inside stress granules generates pathological aggregates. *Cell* **188**, 4123–4140.e4118 (2025).
17. Rothstein, J.D. et al. Sporadic ALS induced pluripotent stem cell derived neurons reveal hallmarks of TDP-43 loss of function. *Nature Communications* **16**, 7092 (2025).
18. Roczniak-Ferguson, A. & Ferguson, S.M. Pleiotropic requirements for human TDP-43 in the regulation of cell and organelle homeostasis. *Life Sci Alliance* **2** (2019).
19. Chen, P. et al. Spine impairment in mice high-expressing neuregulin 1 due to LIMK1 activation. *Cell Death & Disease* **12**, 403 (2021).
20. Chiang, P.-M. et al. Deletion of TDP-43 down-regulates Tbc1d1, a gene linked to obesity, and alters body fat metabolism. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 16320–16324 (2010).

Figure legend

Fig. 1: Anap-based labeling enables visualization of TDP-43 and G3BP1.

A, B. HeLa cells expressing G3BP1-Anap and TDP-43-Anap under basal conditions or 250 μM

sodium arsenite treatment. Anap and antibody signals are shown in blue and green,

respectively; for merged channels, Anap was pseudo-colored red. Scale bars: 10 μm (overview),

3 μm (zoom). C, D. FRAP of G3BP1-Anap, G3BP1-GFP, and TDP-43-Anap, following 250 μM

sodium arsenite treatment. One granule from each of three independent cells was selected and

photobleached for FRAP analysis. ROI signal intensities are displayed in rainbow RGB (red-

high, blue-low). Scale bars: 5 μm (cells), 1 μm (ROI). E. Comparison of HeLa cells expressing

TDP-43-Anap and TDP-43-YFP under basal conditions or 250 μM sodium arsenite treatment.

Here, Anap labeling and YFP labeling yield a blue signal and a yellow to green signal,

respectively. F, G. Relative fluorescence recovery of each time point after photobleaching for

G3BP1-Anap, G3BP1-GFP, TDP-43-Anap and TDP-43$^{\Delta NLS}$-YFP. Here, error bars with filled area

are used for data presentation. FRAP recovery curves were compared using two-way ANOVA.

H. Immunoblotting of wild-type and Anap labeled G3BP1. The mouse anti-G3BP1 antibody was

used. KO: G3BP knock out; F337Stop/Anap: the expression of G3BP1^{F337TAG} via Anap labeling

without or with the addition of Anap. I. Colocalization of G3BP1-Anap with TIA-1. The mouse

anti-G3BP1 antibody and rabbit anti-TIA-1 antibody were used. Anap, G3BP1-Ab, and TIA-1-Ab

signals are shown in blue, grey and green, respectively; for merged channels, Anap was

pseudo-colored red. Scale bars: 10 μm (overview), 3 μm (zoom). J. Colocalization of TDP-43-

Anap with G3BP1. The mouse anti-G3BP1 antibody and rabbit anti-TDP-43 antibody were

used. Anap, TDP-43-Ab, and G3BP1-Ab signals are shown in blue, grey, and green,

respectively; for merged channels, Anap was pseudo-colored red. Scale bars: 10 μm (overview),

3 μm (zoom). K. Immunoblotting of wild-type TDP-43, TDP-43-Anap and PFKP proteins in TDP-

43 knockout HeLa cells. The Rabbit anti-TDP-43 antibody and rabbit anti-PFKP antibody were

used. KO: TDP-43 knockout; V100Stop/Anap: the expression of TDP-43^{V100TAG} via Anap labeling

without or with the addition of Anap. L. The expression levels of PFKP in TDP-43 knockout HeLa

cells expressing wide-type TDP-43 or TDP-43-Anap. One-way ANOVA was used to compare the

levels among groups. M. Survival of TDP-43 knockout HeLa cells expressing TDP-43-Anap after

treatment with 12.5 µM sodium arsenite for 24h. Calcien EM staining was used to quantify cell

survival, and the relative survival rate was calculated as the ratio of sodium arsenite-treated to

untreated cells for each group. OE: overexpression of TDP-43. One-way ANOVA was used to

compare levels among groups. N. Survival of iTDPKO inducible mouse ES cells expressing

TDP-43-Anap. Here, cell counting-Lite 2.0 Luminescent cell viability assay kit was used to

detect the survival rate of ES cells. TDP-43 knockout was induced by 4-HT (300ng/ml) for 5

days. The relative survival rate= 4-HT induction/DMSO for each group. One-way ANOVA was

used to compare levels among groups. Colocalization threshold analysis was performed in

Fiji/ImageJ to calculate the Pearson correlation coefficient (R) for each region of interest (A, B, I,

J). The X and Y axes represent the fluorescence intensity values of the red and green channels,

respectively. When signals are colocalized, pixels with high intensity in one channel correspond

to high intensity in the other, forming a diagonal distribution. In contrast, non-colocalized signals

cluster along the axes. A higher R value indicates a greater degree of colocalization. Scale bar,

3 µm. All quantitative data (F, G, L, M, N) are shown as mean ± SEM. ***P = 0.0001; ****P <

0.0001; n.s., not significant.

Fig. 2: Anap labeling of TDP-43 and G3BP1 in neurons.

A, C. Primary mouse cortical neurons expressing G3BP1-Anap and TDP-43-Anap under basal

conditions or 250 µM sodium arsenite treatment. Cells were stained with anti-G3BP1 (human-

specific) or anti-TDP-43 (human-specific) antibodies with chicken anti-Tuj1 as a neuron marker.

Signals: Anap (blue, pseudo-colored red in merged images), antibody (green), Tuj1 (gray).

Scale bar, 10 µm. B, D. The colocalization level of each region of interest for G3BP1 and TDP-

43. Colocalization threshold analysis was performed in Fiji/ImageJ to calculate the Pearson

correlation coefficient (R) for each region of interest. The X and Y axes represent the

fluorescence intensity values of the red and green channels, respectively. When signals are

colocalized, pixels with high intensity in one channel correspond to high intensity in the other,

forming a diagonal distribution. In contrast, non-colocalized signals cluster along the axes. A

higher R value indicates a greater degree of colocalization. Scale bar, 1 µm.

Fig. 3: Schematic of the Anap labeling system for G3BP1 and TDP-43 using genetic code

expansion.

Briefly, two plasmids were required to express the protein with site-specific Anap incorporation,

one for Anap incorporation and one for the mutated protein of interest (TAG introduction). As the

plasmids were transfected into cells, the orthogonal Anap-tRNA synthetase would charge the

Anap, a fluorescent amino acid, to its cognate tRNA, and the tRNA would incorporate the Anap

site-specifically into the protein of interest in response to the TAG stop codon. Cells expressing

Anap-labeled TDP-43 and G3BP1 were subsequently imaged by confocal microscopy, either

after fixation or in live-cell conditions. Upon stress conditions, TDP-43-Anap redistributes to the

cytoplasmic inclusions, and G3BP1-Anap assembles into stress granule.

Supplementary Fig. 1: Controls for Anap-based labeling of TDP-43 and G3BP1 in HeLa cells

A. Controls for TDP-43-Anap labeling. Four experimental conditions were tested: (1) HeLa cells expressing both the TAG-mutated TDP-43 plasmid and the Anap incorporation system in the presence of Anap (TDP-43-Anap); (2) cells expressing both plasmids without Anap (TDP-43-Stop); (3) cells cultured with Anap only; and (4) cells expressing the Anap incorporation system with the addition of Anap. Signals for TDP-43-Anap, TDP-43 antibody staining, and G3BP1 antibody staining are shown in blue, grey, and green, respectively. The Anap signal is pseudo-colored red in the merged images. B. Controls for G3BP1-Anap labeling. The same four conditions were tested: (1) cells expressing the TAG-mutated G3BP1 plasmid and the Anap incorporation system in the presence of Anap (G3BP1-Anap); (2) cells expressing both plasmids without Anap (G3BP1-Stop); (3) cells cultured with Anap only (+ Anap only); and (4) cells expressing the Anap incorporation system with the addition of Anap (Anap system+Anap). Signals for G3BP1-Anap, G3BP1 antibody staining, and TIA-1 antibody staining are shown in blue, grey, and green, respectively. The Anap signal is pseudo-colored red in the merged images. Scale bars, 40 μm.

Fig.1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3