Title: Time-Restricted Feeding Extends Healthspan in Female and Lifespan in Male C57BL/6J Mice

Authors: Samantha E. Iiams[1] [†], Nathan J. Skinner[1] [†], Victoria A. Acosta-Rodríguez[1], Mary Wight-Carter[3], Carla B. Green[1]*, and Joseph S. Takahashi[1,2]*

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Neuroscience, Peter O'Donnell Jr. Brain Institute, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA

[2]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA

[3]Animal Resource Center, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA

* Corresponding authors: Carla B. Green (CBG); carla.green@utsouthwestern.edu,

Joseph S. Takahashi (JST); joseph.takahashi@utsouthwestern.edu

† These two authors contributed equally to this work and are co-first authors

Abstract: Time-restricted feeding (TRF) aligned with an organism's circadian rhythm has been shown to improve health, but its long-term effects on healthspan and lifespan in mammals, especially under normal dietary conditions, remain unclear. In this study, we tested the impact of 12-hour (h) and 8h nightly TRF windows on aging in male and female mice fed regular chow. TRF improved several health measures, including the regularity of behavioral patterns, body weight and composition, frailty, and disease onset, with stronger effects observed in the 8h-TRF group, which self-imposed a caloric restriction. When integrated into a composite Healthspan Index, these improvements revealed that TRF prolonged healthspan in females more effectively than in males. Only 8h-TRF extended lifespan in males by 12%, suggesting that TRF exerts sex-specific effects on aging.

Main Text:

While human life expectancy has nearly doubled since the early 20th century (*1*), healthspan remains limited, with many spending over 10% of their lives in poor health due to age-related functional declines within tissue and the accompanying development of disease (*2*). Caloric restriction (CR), a potent aging intervention involving a 30-50% reduction in food intake without malnutrition, has been extensively studied for its ability to delay the onset of age-associated diseases, including cancer, cardiovascular disorders, and neurodegeneration, while extending lifespan across multiple model organisms (*3-5*). Notably, incorporating daily feeding/fasting cycles with CR enhances these geroprotective effects (*6-9*), and aligning feeding with the active phase of an organism's circadian rhythm further extends lifespan (*10*). This synergy likely arises from both synchronizing food intake with internal metabolic processes and from the ability of feed-fasting rhythms to enhance daily oscillations in the expression of genes regulated by circadian clocks in the liver and other peripheral tissues (*11-17*).

With age, circadian rhythms in behavior and physiology weaken as the amplitude of clock-controlled gene expression damps or shifts in phase (*18*). However, interventions that rescue circadian rhythms have been shown to improve health and extend longevity (*19*). Thus, optimization of meal timing presents a promising strategy to counteract aging-related rhythm disruptions and promote healthy longevity.

Given that CR can be challenging to maintain in people (*20*), meal timing alone via time-restricted feeding (TRF), or time-restricted eating (TRE) in humans, has emerged as an alternative strategy to delay aging (*21, 22*). TRF, which involves daily windows of feeding and fasting without imposing CR, confers similar benefits as timed CR, including improved rhythmic gene expression, cognitive function, and cardiometabolic health, even in models of obesity fed a high-fat, high-

sucrose diet (*23-29*). Clinical trials testing TRE have noted high adherence rates and improvements

in cardiometabolic health, altogether demonstrating its potential as a feasible and potentially

effective intervention to enhance human healthspan (*30-32*). However, few studies have examined

the benefits of TRF/TRE under non-obese conditions (*33-35*), and even fewer have compared

impacts between the sexes (*36*). The long-term impact of TRF on healthspan and lifespan in

mammals also remains unclear (*37, 38*). In this study, we sought to address these key knowledge

gaps and determine whether TRF could serve as an effective aging intervention for healthy males

and females.

Results:

Early onset circadian aligned TRF has sex-specific impacts on longitudinal feeding and

wheel-running activity levels

To determine how time-restricted feeding (TRF) impacts healthspan and lifespan in mammals, we

individually housed 264 female and 264 male C57BL/6J mice in wheel cages with automated

feeders at 2 months of age. To measure impacts under normal nutritional conditions, mice were

fed purified precision pellets (Bioserv F0075). For the first 8-weeks, all mice were fed *ad libitum*

(free-feeding; AL) with access to a maximum of 22 pellets or 6.6g (23.8 Kcal) per day. At 4 months

of age, N=78/sex were switched to a 12-hour (h) TRF window (Zeitgeber time (ZT) 12-24) and

another N=78/sex to an 8h-TRF window (ZT14-22) both circadian aligned to the night, the active

phase of nocturnal rodents. The remaining N=108/sex were maintained AL to serve as the control.

Across all feeding groups mice had access to the same amount of food, so as not to impose caloric

restriction (CR), and were maintained on these regimens for life (Fig. 1A and Fig. S1) (*39*).

Measurements of daily food intake throughout each mouse's lifespan showed that feeding

increased over time in both sexes, then declined near the end of life in males, similar to a previous

study (Fig. 1, A and B, and Fig. S2-3) (*39*). In line with other longitudinal recordings (*40*), females

in our study consumed more food relative to their body weight at older ages than male. We also

observed sex-specific responses to TRF where 12h-TRF females consumed the same amount as

AL, while 12h-TRF males significantly reduced intake compared to AL by 8-14% from 140-539

days (~5-18 months) (two-way ANOVA: Tukey's post-hoc, $P \leq 0.05$). In contrast, 8h-TRF self-

imposed CR for the majority of life in both sexes, with intake in females reduced by 10-22%

between 140-728 days (~5-24 months) and males reduced by 9-23% between 140-980 days (~5-

33 months) relative to sex- and age-matched AL controls. This 8h-TRF schedule, which narrows

the daily eating window without explicitly limiting calorie intake, as seen in clinical trials (*41*),

presents an alternative strategy for achieving long-term CR in both sexes.

Analysis of daily wheel-running recordings for each mouse revealed that individuals in all feeding

groups, of both sexes, consistently displayed nocturnal activity, which subsequently declined with

age, as anticipated (Fig. 1, A and C and Figs. S4-5) (*42, 43*). Only 8h-TRF males had increased

levels of wheel activity from 224-833 days of age (~7-28 months) with the enhanced activity

ranging +36-82% from 329-833 days (~11-28 months) relative to AL (two-way ANOVA: Tukey's

post-hoc, $P \leq 0.05$). This male-specific difference further underscores the sex-specific effects of

TRF on behavior. Additionally, in line with previous work (*10, 44*), the sustained increases in

activity under 8h-TRF suggest a healthspan-enhancing effect in males.

TRF improves the amplitude of daily rhythmic behaviors

We next examined age-related changes in the diurnal profiles of feeding and wheel-running, two well-established rhythmic behaviors, as high-amplitude behavioral rhythms are closely associated with improved health outcomes (Fig. 2, A and B) (*18, 19, 45, 46*). Across all groups, food intake became consolidated to the night, from 6 to 24 months of age. Both mean and median fasting durations, which account for daytime fasting and the short intervals between pellets during the feeding phase, declined with the most significant consolidation observed in the 8h-TRF group (Fig. 2, A, C, and D). A Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis of diurnal amplitude, reflecting the strength of daily rhythms, unsurprisingly confirmed that TRF increased feeding amplitude and lead to a preservation of enhanced rhythmicity with age, particularly in the 8h-TRF group. (Fig. 2, A and E). While the diurnal amplitude of wheel-running declined with age across all groups, 8h-TRF males maintained stronger rhythms throughout life compared to controls (Fig. 2, B and F). Females in both TRF groups also showed modest increases in wheel-running amplitude, with 8h-TRF sustaining enhanced rhythms relative to controls from 350 days of age (~12 months) through the end of life. Together, these findings highlight that distinct behavioral rhythms, such as feeding and activity, are differentially affected by aging.

TRF reduces age-related gains in body weight and fat

Body weights were recorded every 21 days (Fig. 3, A and B, and Fig. S6), and body composition was analyzed every 6 months using nuclear magnetic resonance imaging (EchoMRI, Houston, TX) (Fig. 3, C and D). In females, 12h-TRF without CR still weakened age-related gains in body weight by 5-8% from 350-686 days of age (~12-23 months) (two-way ANOVA: Tukey's post-hoc, $P \leq$

0.05). This feeding window also improved fat vs lean body composition, reducing fat mass while

increasing lean mass by 3–4% at 12 and 18 months of age. Unexpectedly, 8h-TRF females with

self-imposed CR did not exhibit any further improvements beyond 12h-TRF, in weight or fat/lean

composition. In males, 12h-TRF reduced body weight gain by 5-7% from 224-476 days (~7-16

months) and improved fat vs lean composition by 3% at 12 months. 8h-TRF in males enhanced

these benefits, lowering body weight gain by 5-16% from 161-749 days (~5-25 months) and

improving fat vs lean composition by 3-7% from 6-12 months. Overall, this shows that 12h-TRF

is sufficient to attenuate body weight gain and improve body composition in both sexes, with

longer-term benefits in 12h-TRF females, but that 8h-TRF with long-term CR provides enhanced

benefits for males.

TRF slows age-related increases in frailty index values

Frailty, a widely used measure of healthspan in aging mice, integrates 31 physiological and

behavioral parameters into a composite health index (*47*). Frailty assessments were conducted

every 6 months and showed that TRF significantly reduced frailty index scores, with varying

impacts between the two feeding windows (Fig. 4, A-C, and Figs. S7-8). In females, 12h-TRF

significantly reduced frailty index scores at 18 months, with hearing loss and body condition

assessments defining the key differences between the two groups. 8h-TRF further extended

reductions in frailty from 12 to 24 months, with improvements observed in grimace, piloerection,

forelimb grip strength, coat condition, ulcerative dermatitis, and body condition scores. Males

showed similar trends: 12h-TRF reduced frailty indices between 12 and 18 months, primarily

improving grimace and body condition, while 8h-TRF sustained these benefits through 24 months

and improved additional parameters, including grimace, hearing, piloerection, menace reflex, fur

color loss, coat condition, and body condition. Although both TRF regimens reduced frailty,

supporting the intervention's effectiveness in extending healthspan, the responses were dose-

dependent to the degree of TRF, with 8h-TRF providing the most prolonged benefits and

improving the greatest number of health measures.

145   The age-related onset of health reports reveals sex-specific differences and a delayed onset

146   in 8h-TRF males

As mice developed health issues they were reported to veterinary staff for more frequent

monitoring. These reports revealed that TRF did not reduce the relative frequency of commonly

reported ailments compared to AL (Fig. 4D). In fact, 8h-TRF males has significantly more reports

of body condition scores declining to 2 (Scored 1-5 with: 1 being emaciated, 3 ideal condition, and

5 obese) (Fisher's exact test 8h-TRF vs AL: Body Condition Score=2, $P < 0.05$). However, we

observed a clear sex-dependent pattern in the types and frequencies of reported conditions.

Females, regardless of feeding group, were significantly more likely to be reported for ulcerative

dermatitis (Fisher's exact test females vs males: $P < 0.0001$), rectal prolapse ($P < 0.0001$), and

hind limb paralysis (often associated with spinal tumors, $P < 0.001$). In contrast, males were more

frequently reported for external tumors and abdominal masses (Fisher's exact test females vs

males: $P < 0.0001$), as well as Body Condition Score=2 ($P < 0.0001$). The onset of age-related

health issues also differed by sex, with 8h-TRF delaying the median health report onset by 52 days

in males compared to AL controls (Log-rank Mantel-Cox, $P = 0.0018$) (Fig. 4E). Additionally,

while there were no significant differences under TRF, overall, females spent significantly fewer

days on health report until death than males (two-way ANOVA: feeding, $P > 0.05$; sex, $P < 0.01$;

interaction, P > 0.05) (Fig. 4F). Altogether this demonstrates there is sex-specificity in the

development of and resistance to disease.

8h-TRF extends median and maximal lifespan in males

For the first time, we were able to investigate the impact circadian aligned TRF with regular chow

diet, on lifespan in mice (Fig. 5A, and Tables S1-3). In females, 12h-TRF alone did not extend

median lifespan, with females surviving to a median of 715 days compared to 694 days in AL.

However, 8h-TRF with self-imposed CR resulted in a ~5% increase in median lifespan to 729 days

(Fisher's exact test, P = 0.0442), though overall survival curves showed no significant difference.

In males, 12h-TRF also failed to extend lifespan, with a median survival of 839 days compared to

818 days in AL, a finding now reproduced across two independent experiments (Fig. S9).

Interestingly, 8h-TRF with lifelong self-imposed CR, significantly improved overall survival for

males (Log-rank Mantel-Cox, P = 0.001). Median lifespan increased by approximately 12%,

reaching 916 days (Fisher's exact test, P = 0.0019), and maximal lifespan was prolonged by about

3% (Fisher's exact test, P = 0.0038). Though TRF is beneficial for improving health in both sexes,

its capacity to extend lifespan appears to be feeding window- and sex-dependent.

Sex-dependent differences in disease types and incidence at death

After death each mouse underwent gross necropsy and histopathological analysis by a veterinary

pathologist to determine the types of diseases that contributed to its debilitation (Fig. 5B, and

Tables S4-6). As shown previously (*10*), the types and relative frequency of diseases were similar

for males across all feeding conditions with the most common cause of death or debilitation being

neoplasia. Along with the delayed onset of health reports, this supports that rather than preventing

disease, 8h-TRF delays the development of age-related diseases in males. TRF did not reduce the

overall occurrence of disease in females. However, females developed neoplasms far less

frequently than males (Fisher's exact tests females vs males: Adenoma in liver, $P < 0.05$;

Carcinoma in liver and lung, each $P < 0.0001$; Histiocytic sarcoma in liver and lung, each $P <$

0.0001; Histiocytic sarcoma in spleen, $P < 0.001$; Acidophilic macrophage pneumonia in lung, P

< 0.0001; Adenoma in lung, $P < 0.0001$). Instead, most females were debilitated by

glomerulonephritis in the kidneys and had a higher incidence of lymphoma than males (Fisher's

exact tests females vs males: Lymphoma in liver, kidney, spleen, each $P < 0.05$;

Glomerulonephritis, $P < 0.0001$). These results have revealed pronounced differences in neoplasia

and development of other age-related morbidities between the sexes.

Sex-dependent strategies for achieving a healthy lifespan

Identifying biomarkers of health that correlate with lifespan is crucial both for understanding the

aging process and for evaluating the effectiveness of interventions. Here, we correlated 13 health

measures recorded throughout each mouse's life, including measures of behavior, body

physiology, frailty, and disease (Fig. 5C). In both sexes, higher activity levels and amplitudes

consistently correlated with longer lifespan, especially at older ages. Although voluntary wheel

running does not extend lifespan (*44*), these findings support activity as a valuable healthspan

biomarker (*10*).

In females, lower food consumption and amplitude during midlife, but higher values in early life

and late life correlated with longevity. Shorter fasting durations and lower maximum fasting times

(i.e., longer feeding windows) also showed modest associations. While not formally quantified,

we frequently observed a marked consolidation of feeding shortly before death, potentially

explaining this trend. Conversely, males tended to correlate longer lifespan with higher feeding

amplitude, longer fasting durations, and greater maximum fasting times during early to midlife,

with this trend reversing in late life.

Body physiology measures also showed sex-specific correlations. In females, lower lean mass and

body weight in early-life correlated with longer lifespans, but higher body weight, fat composition,

and body condition scores correlated with longevity in late life. Males showed a modest trend for

higher fat in late-life as well but reversed patterns for body weight, condition score, and lean mass.

The late-life fat retention trend aligns with studies suggesting fat provides protective health

benefits in old age (*48*). However, the opposing body mass patterns indicate sex-specific strategies

for maintaining healthy physiology where males appear to benefit from limiting weight gain, while

females need to maintain higher body weights in late life, to support longevity.

Frailty index showed inconsistent correlations with lifespan in females, whereas in males, lower

frailty scores consistently associated with longer lifespan (*49*). For both sexes, delayed onset and

shorter duration of veterinary health reports correlated with increased longevity, indicating that

delayed and reduced disease burden supports extended lifespans.

Healthspan is more prolonged in females and can be extended by 12h-TRF

While TRF improved and attenuated age-related changes in several markers of health, we next

aimed to quantify both the magnitude and duration of its overall impact by measuring healthspan.

Frailty index is commonly used to determine healthspan (*47, 49*), but it cannot incorporate the

impact of behavioral changes in wheel-activity and food consumption and can miss important

physiological changes in the time between assessments. To generate a continuous healthspan

index, we integrated frailty with 12 additional health measures (the same 13 in Fig. 5C) by first

normalizing each data set (mean = 0, standard deviation = 1), then scaling the parameter

directionally by providing context based on whether higher or lower values reflected better health

(e.g., high wheel-running activity was scaled positively, while low frailty scores were inverted and

also scaled positively) (Fig. 6A), and finally summing the values across age points (Fig. 6B). With

higher values reflecting better health, females not only begin in a healthier state than males during

the AL baseline but also cross the subjective thresholds of '0' (red crosshairs) and '–5' (blue

crosshairs) 100–200 days later than their male counterparts in the same feeding group. Importantly,

we find that in females at '0', 12h-TRF is sufficient to extend healthspan by more than 100 days

relative to AL, while in males both AL and 12h-TRF cross this threshold at similar ages. In both

sexes 8h-TRF extends healthspan across this threshold by more than 200 days compared to AL.

Together, these results suggest that although females do not live longer under TRF, they remain

healthier for significantly longer.

Discussion:

Previous studies have established that TRF improves circadian rhythms, ameliorates metabolic

syndrome, and improves overall health in obesity models consuming a 'Western diet' high in fats

and sugars (*25-29*). We expand on these findings by revealing that circadian aligned TRF confers

significant, long-term health benefits even under normal nutritional conditions. We show that,

depending on the feeding window and sex, TRF prolonged higher amplitudes of daily rhythms in

feeding and wheel-running, which are known to feed back on the circadian clock to drive robust

molecular and behavioral oscillations (*14, 15, 17, 50-53*). Although the mice were not obese, the

intervention still significantly reduced age-related increases in weight and fat mass. TRF similarly

slowed the age-related decline in lean composition, which may also contribute to the observed

improvements in adiposity and suggests that TRF can delay the onset of functional disabilities (*54-*

56). We also present novel findings that both TRF windows reduce frailty index in females and

males, as in CR and intermittent fasting studies (*57-61*). Altogether, this demonstrates that TRF

does not merely act as a remedial strategy to 'rescue' poor health but can actively improve

behavioral and physiological markers of health under normal metabolic aging conditions.

Our findings further suggest that, when the health measures recorded throughout the experiment

are integrated into a comprehensive healthspan index, females are overall healthier than males.

Moreover, timing alone through 12h-TRF is sufficient to extend healthspan in females, but only

weakly so in males. Although 8h-TRF more noticeably improved healthspan in males, the benefits

remained substantially greater in females. However, this approach may oversimplify sex-specific

differences, as males and females exhibit distinct patterns of health deficits that correlate

differently with longevity. Consequently, a single healthspan scale may not adequately reflect

these complex, sex-dependent impacts on aging. Additionally, although females generally show

better health scores, we found they had shorter lifespans than males, highlighting a potential

disconnect between this healthspan index and ultimate survival outcomes. Regardless, this sex-

specific response in healthspan likely reflects a combination of biological factors. Estrogen is

known to confer broad protective effects on health and aging (*62*), which may explain the overall

female advantage even under AL feeding, and is known to feedback onto the circadian clock (*63-*

65). With recent literature showing that females possess a more robust circadian system (*66*), this

may underlie their enhanced responsiveness to circadian-based interventions. Indeed, studies have

found that females express a greater number of rhythmic genes, display higher amplitude rhythms,

and are more sensitive to external cues (*67-69*). As such, TRF may more effectively enhance

rhythms at the molecular level to promote health in females (*18, 19*).

For the first time, we show that aligning feeding to the active phase under 12h-TRF does not extend

lifespan. However, a narrower feeding window of 8h-TRF along with self-induced CR conferred

marginal or strong lifespan extension in females and males, respectively. These results contrast

with previous studies showing that lifelong 20% CR, adjusted relative to age-related changes in

AL feeding, extends lifespan by 40.6% in female and 24.4% in male C57BL/6J mice (*70*). While

our 8h-TRF mice maintained ~20% CR for nearly half of their lifespan, both males and females

gradually lessened the degree of CR relative to AL feeding shortly before reaching median

lifespan. Despite this, 8h-TRF males gained a 12% extension in median lifespan, indicating that

even a lower degree of CR is still impactful in males. In contrast, females appear to require a

sustained degree of CR $\geq$20% to see lifespan benefits. Alternatively, the duration of fasting may

be more crucial for female lifespan, as longer fasting periods with CR have enhanced lifespan

effects in females (*8, 70*), but not in males (*10*). The mechanisms underlying these sex-dependent

differences in response to CR and TRF are not fully understood, especially between different

strains of mice (*5, 70*), but are likely influenced by a complex interplay of factors such as

differences in nutrient sensing and metabolic pathways, DNA repair, and the effects of sex

hormones (*36, 66, 71*).

Survival curves for AL mice unexpectedly showed an 18% increase in median survival for males

compared to females, contrary to the common trend in mammalian studies where females typically

live longer due to the genetic advantage of a backup X chromosome and the protective effects of

estrogen (*72, 73*). However, in C57BL/6J mice, lifespan outcomes are inconsistent possibly due to

genetic drift within the isogenic line as well as environmental variations between experiments (73-
76). While genetic factors may play a role, the shorter lifespan in females could stem from
enhanced cold sensitivity and higher thermoregulatory energy demands (77-80). The smaller
bodied females, needing more energy to maintain body temperature as evidenced by the
pronounced increase in food intake with age, may have been adversely affected by the harsher
individual housing conditions without nesting material. Although lower body temperatures can
extend lifespan (81, 82), cold stress can also divert energy away from and impair metabolic,
immune, and reproductive functions (83, 84).

Health report monitoring and post-death histopathological analyses revealed significant
differences between the sexes in the progression of age-related diseases, particularly in neoplasia.
With the exception of lymphoma, female mice had significantly lower occurrences of neoplasms
in the liver, lung, kidney, and spleen which supports a growing body of data that females have
greater resistance to cancer (85-87). This resistance is largely attributed to sex differences in
immunity, mutational burden, and DNA repair, as well as the protective role of X-linked tumor
suppressor genes and their interaction with the p53 pathway in females. Females in this cohort
were instead most susceptible to kidney failure due to glomerulonephritis, similar to a previous
study (88). This condition has been linked to menopause in women, with its progression often
accelerating after the decline in estrogen levels (89). In mice, this disease can be further
exacerbated in the absence of the estrogen receptor alpha gene (90), emphasizing the protective
role of estrogen signaling and uncovering renal disease as a potential biomarker of reproductive
aging.

One limitation of this study was the inability of the automated feeders to retract or block a food
pellet that goes uneaten beyond the restricted food intake window. This meant that often, a single

pellet would be by the mouse, outside of its 12h or 8h time restricted feeding window.

Additionally, some mice had the tendency to hoard pellets within their cage, leading again to food

availability outside of the TRF window, a trait that has already been shown in aged mouse

populations (*39*). However, a single daytime pellet accounted for ≤10% of total caloric intake, and

after subtracting hoarded food, mice consumed ≥90% of the pellets taken from the feeder during

the correct feeding windows. These findings, along with evidence that TRF on 5 out of 7 days per

week regimens still improves metabolic health (*34*), suggest that moderate TRE adherence may

still prolong healthspan and be compatible with modern human lifestyles. Additionally, since our

TRF regimens were started in early adulthood and maintained throughout life, it is unclear whether

late-life implementation would yield similar benefits. Short-term studies indicate that TRF in the

elderly can reduce high-fat diet-induced inflammation and metabolic dysfunction, and studies with

CR in aged mice still provided health and lifespan benefits (*91-93*). However, these benefits are

not as strong as when started in early adulthood.

In conclusion, our study provides compelling evidence that early onset of 12h or 8h of circadian-

aligned TRF can significantly improve healthspan, more potently so in females, and that 8h-TRF

can extend lifespan in males. While this still warrants further exploration in additional mouse

strains and at varying ages, altogether this highlights the potential of TRF as a practical, non-

pharmacological intervention to prolong the health quality of human lifespans.

    Figures and Legends:

    Figure 1: Circadian aligned TRF reduces feeding and improves wheel-running activity in a

    feeding window- and sex-dependent manner. (A) In females 2-26 months of age and males 2-

    33 months of age, representative actograms of individual mice showing wheel-running (black

mark) and food pellet taking (pink dot) activity over the course of the 24-hour light:dark cycle.

Black line shows ends of *ad libitum* (AL) baseline feeding for the TRF groups at 4 months of age.

(**B**) Longitudinal profiles of average daily food intake and energy intake with age. (**C**) Longitudinal

profiles of average daily wheel revolutions with age. B and C: AL baseline feeding (Gray area).

TRF feeding conditions (White area). Each point represents mean of 21 days ± SEM (shaded

regions). Significant differences TRF vs AL shown as % difference (P < 0.05) as determined by

two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc. Females N=71-103 per group. Males N=71-97 per group.

Figure 2: TRF improves the amplitude of daily feeding and wheel-running rhythms. (A) Twenty-four-hour profiles of feeding and (**B**) wheel-running starting at 3 and then every 6 months of age. 12h light (white area), 12h dark (gray area). (**C**) Mean and (**D**) median fasting times (sec) throughout the feeding/fasting cycle at 6 and 24 months of age. Data represented as mean ± SEM.

357   Significant differences TRF vs AL shown with asterisks (P < 0.05) as determined by two-way

358   ANOVA and Holm's post-hoc. (**E**) Longitudinal FFT plots of the diurnal amplitude of feeding and

359   (**F**) wheel-running with age. AL baseline feeding (Gray area). TRF feeding conditions (White

360   area). Each point represents mean of 15 days ± SEM (shaded regions). For all panels: Females

361   N=71-103 per group. Males N=71-97 per group.

Figure 3: A 12h TRF window is sufficient to reduce body weight and fat mass in both sexes.

(**A**) Longitudinal profiles of body weight and (**B**) percent differences in body weight relative to AL controls with age. For panels A and B: AL baseline feeding (Gray area). TRF feeding conditions (White area). Group means every 21 days (at cage change) ± SEM (bars). Females N=76-103 per group. Males N=76-102 per group. (**C**) Total fat mass and percentage of body weight with age. (**D**) Total lean mass and percentage of body weight with age. For panels C and

368   D: Group means every 6 months ± SEM (bars). Females 6-24 months: AL, N=43-101. 12h-TRF,

369   N=32-71. 8h-TRF, N=38-72. Males 6-30 months: AL, N=23-102. 12h-TRF, N=19-77. 8h-TRF,

370   N=36-75. For all panels: Significant differences TRF vs AL shown with colored asterisks (P <

371   0.05; Orange=12h-TRF; Teal=8h-TRF) as determined by two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc.

Figure 4: TRF reduces frailty index in both sexes but only delays onset of health reports in males. (**A**) Boxplots of frailty index scores every 6 months. Females: AL, N=44-79. 12h-TRF, N=34-74. 8h-TRF, N=40-74. Males: AL, N=23-79. 12h-TRF, N=22-78. 8h-TRF, N=39-76.

Significant differences TRF vs AL shown with asterisks (P < 0.05) as determined by two-way

ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc. (**B**) One example parameter contributing to reduced frailty index in

8h-TRF females: coat condition, P < 0.05 at 24 months compared to AL. (Full list see Fig. S7).

(**C**) One example parameter contributing to reduced frailty index in 8h-TRF males: body condition

score, P < 0.05 12-18 months compared to AL. (Full list see Fig. S8). (**D**) Percentage of mice in

each feeding group and sex reported to veterinary staff for Body Condition Score=2, Tumor/Mass,

Ulcerative dermatitis, Rectal prolapses, Eye ulcerations/swelling, Hind end paralysis,

Malocclusions, and Head tilts. Significant differences TRF vs AL shown with black asterisk (P <

0.05; Body Condition Score=2) and females vs males with colored asterisks (P < 0.05; Gray= Body

Condition Score=2; Blue=Tumor/Mass; Purple=Ulcerative dermatitis; Yellow=Rectal prolapse;

and Red=Hind end paralysis) as determined by contingency tables and Fisher's exact tests. (**E**)

Cumulative curve showing the percentage of veterinary health reports filed in each group with age.

Log-Rank Mantel-Cox Test for significant difference in overall curve TRF vs AL. (**F**) Time spent

on health report until death. Data shown as mean ± SEM and significant differences with asterisks

(P<0.05) as determined by two-way ANOVA, Holm's post-hoc.

Figure 5: 8h-TRF extends lifespan in males. (**A**) Kaplan-Meier survival curves and day median lifespan reached. Log-Rank Mantel-Cox Test for significant difference in overall survival TRF vs AL. Fisher's exact test for median and maximal survival TRF vs AL. Females N=72-99 per group. Males N=73-99 per group. (**B**) (*Left*) Histopathology results showing the percentage incidence of any disease type in the most impacted tissue types between females and males and (*Right*)

incidence by specific disease type within these tissues separated by sex and feeding group (Full

table with all tissues and diseases shown in Tables S4-6). Significant differences females vs males

highlighted by blue boxes and shown with blue asterisks ($P < 0.05$) as determined by contingency

tables and Fisher's exact tests. (**C**) Heatmaps of correlations between lifespan and 13 health

measures recorded throughout the experiment. Colors range from blue (parameters where higher

values correlate with longer lifespan) to red (parameters where lower values correlate with longer

lifespan).

Figure 6: TRF confers greater healthspan benefits to females. (**A**) Ridgeline plots for each of the 13 health measures recorded throughout the experiment. All parameters were standardized (mean = 0, SD = 1) and, where appropriate, oriented in the positive direction to reflect better health. (**B**) Healthspan index summing the 13 health measures for each group from panel A. Higher values indicate better health and lower values poorer health. Red crosshairs mark the age at which each group's index crosses 0; blue crosshairs mark when it crosses –5.

References and notes:

1. D. Costa, Health and the Economy in the United States, from 1750 to the Present. *J Econ Lit* **53**, 503-570 (2015).

2. J. W. Vaupel, Biodemography of human ageing. *Nature* **464**, 536-542 (2010).

3. C. L. Green, D. W. Lamming, L. Fontana, Molecular mechanisms of dietary restriction promoting health and longevity. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **23**, 56-73 (2022).

4. A. McKay *et al.*, An automated feeding system for the African killifish reveals the impact of diet on lifespan and allows scalable assessment of associative learning. *Elife* **11**, (2022).

5. A. Di Francesco *et al.*, Dietary restriction impacts health and lifespan of genetically diverse mice. *Nature* **634**, 684-692 (2024).

6. S. J. Mitchell *et al.*, Daily Fasting Improves Health and Survival in Male Mice Independent of Diet Composition and Calories. *Cell Metab* **29**, 221-228 e223 (2019).

7. H. H. Pak *et al.*, Fasting drives the metabolic, molecular and geroprotective effects of a calorie-restricted diet in mice. *Nat Metab* **3**, 1327-1341 (2021).

8. E. Duregon *et al.*, Prolonged fasting times reap greater geroprotective effects when combined with caloric restriction in adult female mice. *Cell Metab* **35**, 1179-1194 e1175 (2023).

9. V. A. Acosta-Rodriguez, M. H. M. de Groot, F. Rijo-Ferreira, C. B. Green, J. S. Takahashi, Mice under Caloric Restriction Self-Impose a Temporal Restriction of Food Intake as Revealed by an Automated Feeder System. *Cell Metab* **26**, 267-277 e262 (2017).

10. V. Acosta-Rodriguez *et al.*, Circadian alignment of early onset caloric restriction promotes longevity in male C57BL/6J mice. *Science* **376**, 1192-1202 (2022).

11. H. Reinke, G. Asher, Crosstalk between metabolism and circadian clocks. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **20**, 227-241 (2019).

12. I. Laothamatas, E. S. Rasmussen, C. B. Green, J. S. Takahashi, Metabolic and chemical architecture of the mammalian circadian clock. *Cell Chem Biol* **30**, 1033-1052 (2023).

13. J. S. Takahashi, Transcriptional architecture of the mammalian circadian clock. *Nat Rev Genet* **18**, 164-179 (2017).

14. G. Manella *et al.*, The liver-clock coordinates rhythmicity of peripheral tissues in response to feeding. *Nat Metab* **3**, 829-842 (2021).

15. B. J. Greenwell *et al.*, Rhythmic Food Intake Drives Rhythmic Gene Expression More Potently than the Hepatic Circadian Clock in Mice. *Cell Rep* **27**, 649-657 e645 (2019).

16. C. Vollmers *et al.*, Time of feeding and the intrinsic circadian clock drive rhythms in hepatic gene expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 21453-21458 (2009).

17. K. A. Stokkan, S. Yamazaki, H. Tei, Y. Sakaki, M. Menaker, Entrainment of the circadian clock in the liver by feeding. *Science* **291**, 490-493 (2001).

18. S. Hood, S. Amir, The aging clock: circadian rhythms and later life. *J Clin Invest* **127**, 437-446 (2017).

19. V. A. Acosta-Rodriguez, F. Rijo-Ferreira, C. B. Green, J. S. Takahashi, Importance of circadian timing for aging and longevity. *Nat Commun* **12**, 2862 (2021).

20. W. E. Kraus *et al.*, 2 years of calorie restriction and cardiometabolic risk (CALERIE): exploratory
outcomes of a multicentre, phase 2, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* **7**,
673-683 (2019).

21. V. D. Longo, S. Panda, Fasting, Circadian Rhythms, and Time-Restricted Feeding in Healthy
Lifespan. *Cell Metab* **23**, 1048-1059 (2016).

22. M. E. Parrotta *et al.*, Time Restricted Eating: A Valuable Alternative to Calorie Restriction for
Addressing Obesity? *Curr Obes Rep* **14**, 17 (2025).

23. E. N. C. Manoogian, L. S. Chow, P. R. Taub, B. Laferrere, S. Panda, Time-restricted Eating for the
Prevention and Management of Metabolic Diseases. *Endocr Rev* **43**, 405-436 (2022).

24. P. Balasubramanian *et al.*, Time-restricted feeding (TRF) for prevention of age-related vascular
cognitive impairment and dementia. *Ageing Res Rev* **64**, 101189 (2020).

25. S. Deota *et al.*, Diurnal transcriptome landscape of a multi-tissue response to time-restricted feeding
in mammals. *Cell Metab* **35**, 150-165 e154 (2023).

26. M. Hatori *et al.*, Time-restricted feeding without reducing caloric intake prevents metabolic
diseases in mice fed a high-fat diet. *Cell Metab* **15**, 848-860 (2012).

27. H. Sherman *et al.*, Timed high-fat diet resets circadian metabolism and prevents obesity. *FASEB J*
26, 3493-3502 (2012).

28. P. Regmi *et al.*, Early or delayed time-restricted feeding prevents metabolic impact of obesity in
mice. *J Endocrinol* **248**, 75-86 (2021).

29. C. Hepler *et al.*, Time-restricted feeding mitigates obesity through adipocyte thermogenesis.
Science **378**, 276-284 (2022).

30. E. N. C. Manoogian *et al.*, Feasibility of time-restricted eating and impacts on cardiometabolic
health in 24-h shift workers: The Healthy Heroes randomized control trial. *Cell Metab* **34**, 1442-
1456 e1447 (2022).

31. K. L. Haganes *et al.*, Time-restricted eating and exercise training improve HbA1c and body
composition in women with overweight/obesity: A randomized controlled trial. *Cell Metab* **34**,
1457-1471 e1454 (2022).

32. T. Che *et al.*, Time-restricted feeding improves blood glucose and insulin sensitivity in overweight
patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised controlled trial. *Nutr Metab (Lond)* **18**, 88 (2021).

33. Z. Xie *et al.*, Randomized controlled trial for time-restricted eating in healthy volunteers without
obesity. *Nat Commun* **13**, 1003 (2022).

34. A. Chaix, A. Zarrinpar, P. Miu, S. Panda, Time-restricted feeding is a preventative and therapeutic
intervention against diverse nutritional challenges. *Cell Metab* **20**, 991-1005 (2014).

35. D. S. Whittaker *et al.*, Circadian modulation by time-restricted feeding rescues brain pathology and
improves memory in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Cell Metab* **35**, 1704-1721 e1706
(2023).

36. A. Chaix, S. Deota, R. Bhardwaj, T. Lin, S. Panda, Sex- and age-dependent outcomes of 9-hour
time-restricted feeding of a Western high-fat high-sucrose diet in C57BL/6J mice. *Cell Rep* **36**,
109543 (2021).

37. M. Ulgherait *et al.*, Circadian autophagy drives iTRF-mediated longevity. *Nature* **598**, 353-358
(2021).

38.   K. Xie *et al.*, Every-other-day feeding extends lifespan but fails to delay many symptoms of aging
in mice. *Nat Commun* **8**, 155 (2017).

39.   M. E. Starr, H. Saito, Age-related increase in food spilling by laboratory mice may lead to
significant overestimation of actual food consumption: implications for studies on dietary
restriction, metabolism, and dose calculations. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* **67**, 1043-1048 (2012).

40.   Y. D. Rathod, M. Di Fulvio, The feeding microstructure of male and female mice. *PLoS One* **16**,
e0246569 (2021).

41.   K. Gabel *et al.*, Effects of 8-hour time restricted feeding on body weight and metabolic disease risk
factors in obese adults: A pilot study. *Nutr Healthy Aging* **4**, 345-353 (2018).

42.   G. Manzanares, G. Brito-da-Silva, P. G. Gandra, Voluntary wheel running: patterns and
physiological effects in mice. *Braz J Med Biol Res* **52**, e7830 (2018).

43.   V. S. Valentinuzzi, K. Scarbrough, J. S. Takahashi, F. W. Turek, Effects of aging on the circadian
rhythm of wheel-running activity in C57BL/6 mice. *Am J Physiol* **273**, R1957-1964 (1997).

44.   R. Garcia-Valles *et al.*, Life-long spontaneous exercise does not prolong lifespan but improves
health span in mice. *Longev Healthspan* **2**, 14 (2013).

45.   O. Froy, Circadian aspects of energy metabolism and aging. *Ageing Res Rev* **12**, 931-940 (2013).

46.   C. Lopez-Otin, L. Galluzzi, J. M. P. Freije, F. Madeo, G. Kroemer, Metabolic Control of Longevity.
Cell **166**, 802-821 (2016).

47.   J. C. Whitehead *et al.*, A clinical frailty index in aging mice: comparisons with frailty index data in
humans. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* **69**, 621-632 (2014).

48.   C. Y. Liao *et al.*, Fat maintenance is a predictor of the murine lifespan response to dietary
restriction. *Aging Cell* **10**, 629-639 (2011).

49.   D. L. Palliyaguru, J. M. Moats, C. Di Germanio, M. Bernier, R. de Cabo, Frailty index as a
biomarker of lifespan and healthspan: Focus on pharmacological interventions. *Mech Ageing Dev*
180, 42-48 (2019).

50.   R. Huang *et al.*, Multi-omics profiling reveals rhythmic liver function shaped by meal timing. *Nat*
Commun **14**, 6086 (2023).

51.   V. A. Acosta-Rodriguez *et al.*, Misaligned feeding uncouples daily rhythms within brown adipose
tissue and between peripheral clocks. *Cell Rep* **43**, 114523 (2024).

52.   J. S. Pendergast, K. L. Branecky, R. Huang, K. D. Niswender, S. Yamazaki, Wheel-running activity
modulates circadian organization and the daily rhythm of eating behavior. *Front Psychol* **5**, 177
(2014).

53.   A. M. Schroeder *et al.*, Voluntary scheduled exercise alters diurnal rhythms of behaviour,
physiology and gene expression in wild-type and vasoactive intestinal peptide-deficient mice. *J*
Physiol **590**, 6213-6226 (2012).

54.   I. Janssen, S. B. Heymsfield, R. Ross, Low relative skeletal muscle mass (sarcopenia) in older
persons is associated with functional impairment and physical disability. *J Am Geriatr Soc* **50**, 889-
896 (2002).

55.   E. Marzetti, C. Leeuwenburgh, Skeletal muscle apoptosis, sarcopenia and frailty at old age. *Exp*
Gerontol **41**, 1234-1238 (2006).

56.   C. Livelo, Y. Guo, G. C. Melkani, A Skeletal Muscle-Centric View on Time-Restricted Feeding
and Obesity under Various Metabolic Challenges in Humans and Animals. *Int J Mol Sci* **24**, (2022).

57. Y. O. Henderson *et al.*, Late-life intermittent fasting decreases aging-related frailty and increases
renal hydrogen sulfide production in a sexually dimorphic manner. *Geroscience* **43**, 1527-1554
(2021).

58. Y. Yamada *et al.*, Caloric Restriction and Healthy Life Span: Frail Phenotype of Nonhuman
Primates in the Wisconsin National Primate Research Center Caloric Restriction Study. *J Gerontol*
A Biol Sci Med Sci **73**, 273-278 (2018).

59. O. Arum, Z. A. Rasche, D. J. Rickman, A. Bartke, Prevention of neuromusculoskeletal frailty in
slow-aging ames dwarf mice: longitudinal investigation of interaction of longevity genes and
caloric restriction. *PLoS One* **8**, e72255 (2013).

60. A. E. Kane *et al.*, Impact of Longevity Interventions on a Validated Mouse Clinical Frailty Index.
J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci **71**, 333-339 (2016).

61. A. E. Kane *et al.*, Animal models of frailty: current applications in clinical research. *Clin Interv*
Aging **11**, 1519-1529 (2016).

62. P. Chen, B. Li, L. Ou-Yang, Role of estrogen receptors in health and disease. *Front Endocrinol*
(Lausanne) **13**, 839005 (2022).

63. V. M. Alvord, E. J. Kantra, J. S. Pendergast, Estrogens and the circadian system. *Semin Cell Dev*
Biol **126**, 56-65 (2022).

64. K. M. Hatcher, S. E. Royston, M. M. Mahoney, Modulation of circadian rhythms through estrogen
receptor signaling. *Eur J Neurosci* **51**, 217-228 (2020).

65. L. Zhu *et al.*, Estrogens prevent metabolic dysfunctions induced by circadian disruptions in female
mice. *Endocrinology* **156**, 2114-2123 (2015).

66. R. Lok, J. Qian, S. L. Chellappa, Sex differences in sleep, circadian rhythms, and metabolism:
Implications for precision medicine. *Sleep Med Rev* **75**, 101926 (2024).

67. L. Talamanca, C. Gobet, F. Naef, Sex-dimorphic and age-dependent organization of 24-hour gene
expression rhythms in humans. *Science* **379**, 478-483 (2023).

68. J. A. Krizo, E. M. Mintz, Sex differences in behavioral circadian rhythms in laboratory rodents.
Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) **5**, 234 (2014).

69. A. A. Astafev, V. Mezhnina, A. Poe, P. Jiang, R. V. Kondratov, Sexual dimorphism of circadian
liver transcriptome. *iScience* **27**, 109483 (2024).

70. S. J. Mitchell *et al.*, Effects of Sex, Strain, and Energy Intake on Hallmarks of Aging in Mice. *Cell*
Metab **23**, 1093-1112 (2016).

71. A. E. Kane, D. A. Sinclair, J. R. Mitchell, S. J. Mitchell, Sex differences in the response to dietary
restriction in rodents. *Curr Opin Physiol* **6**, 28-34 (2018).

72. J. F. Lemaitre *et al.*, Sex differences in adult lifespan and aging rates of mortality across wild
mammals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 8546-8553 (2020).

73. S. N. Austad, K. E. Fischer, Sex Differences in Lifespan. *Cell Metab* **23**, 1022-1033 (2016).

74. D. E. Harrison *et al.*, Acarbose, 17-alpha-estradiol, and nordihydroguaiaretic acid extend mouse
lifespan preferentially in males. *Aging Cell* **13**, 273-282 (2014).

75. R. A. Miller *et al.*, Rapamycin, but not resveratrol or simvastatin, extends life span of genetically
heterogeneous mice. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* **66**, 191-201 (2011).

76. R. Strong *et al.*, Nordihydroguaiaretic acid and aspirin increase lifespan of genetically
heterogeneous male mice. *Aging Cell* **7**, 641-650 (2008).

77. B. N. Gaskill *et al.*, Impact of nesting material on mouse body temperature and physiology. *Physiol Behav* **110-111**, 87-95 (2013).

78. K. Kaikaew, J. Steenbergen, A. P. N. Themmen, J. A. Visser, A. Grefhorst, Sex difference in thermal preference of adult mice does not depend on presence of the gonads. *Biol Sex Differ* **8**, 24 (2017).

79. K. Kaikaew *et al.*, Sex difference in cold perception and shivering onset upon gradual cold exposure. *J Therm Biol* **77**, 137-144 (2018).

80. C. Fernandez-Pena, A. Reimundez, F. Viana, V. M. Arce, R. Senaris, Sex differences in thermoregulation in mammals: Implications for energy homeostasis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* **14**, 1093376 (2023).

81. Z. Zhao *et al.*, Body temperature is a more important modulator of lifespan than metabolic rate in two small mammals. *Nat Metab* **4**, 320-326 (2022).

82. B. Conti *et al.*, Transgenic mice with a reduced core body temperature have an increased life span. *Science* **314**, 825-828 (2006).

83. A. W. Fischer, B. Cannon, J. Nedergaard, Optimal housing temperatures for mice to mimic the thermal environment of humans: An experimental study. *Mol Metab* **7**, 161-170 (2018).

84. C. L. Karp, Unstressing intemperate models: how cold stress undermines mouse modeling. *J Exp Med* **209**, 1069-1074 (2012).

85. A. L. Ray *et al.*, The role of sex in the innate and adaptive immune environment of metastatic colorectal cancer. *Br J Cancer* **123**, 624-632 (2020).

86. E. G. Vichaya *et al.*, Sex differences in the behavioral and immune responses of mice to tumor growth and cancer therapy. *Brain Behav Immun* **98**, 161-172 (2021).

87. J. B. Rubin, The spectrum of sex differences in cancer. *Trends Cancer* **8**, 303-315 (2022).

88. M. K. E. Ougaard *et al.*, Murine Nephrotoxic Nephritis as a Model of Chronic Kidney Disease. *Int J Nephrol* **2018**, 8424502 (2018).

89. United States Renal Data System. (National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

90. G. J. Shim, L. L. Kis, M. Warner, J. A. Gustafsson, Autoimmune glomerulonephritis with spontaneous formation of splenic germinal centers in mice lacking the estrogen receptor alpha gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**, 1720-1724 (2004).

91. Y. Yang, D. Liu, Impacts of time-restricted feeding on middle-aged and old mice with obesity. *J Physiol*, (2024).

92. P. Domaszewski *et al.*, Effect of a six-week times restricted eating intervention on the body composition in early elderly men with overweight. *Sci Rep* **12**, 9816 (2022).

93. O. Hahn *et al.*, A nutritional memory effect counteracts benefits of dietary restriction in old mice. *Nat Metab* **1**, 1059-1073 (2019).

94. S. M. Siepka, J. S. Takahashi, Methods to record circadian rhythm wheel running activity in mice. *Methods Enzymol* **393**, 230-239 (2005).

95. R. A. Miller *et al.*, Glycine supplementation extends lifespan of male and female mice. *Aging Cell* **18**, e12953 (2019).

96. D. R. Reed, A. A. Bachmanov, M. G. Tordoff, Forty mouse strain survey of body composition. *Physiol Behav* **91**, 593-600 (2007).

97. J. W. Cooley, J. W. Tukey, An Algorithm for the Machine Calculation of Complex Fourier Series. *Mathematics of Computation* **19**, 297-301 (1965).

98. R. B. Blackman, J. W. Tukey, The measurement of power spectra from the point of view of communications engineering — Part I. *The Bell System Technical Journal* **37**, 185-282 (1958).

99. C. Wang, Q. Li, D. T. Redden, R. Weindruch, D. B. Allison, Statistical methods for testing effects on "maximum lifespan". *Mech Ageing Dev* **125**, 629-632 (2004).

Acknowledgements: Thanks to G. Martinez, K. Brown, C. Joseph, G. Flowers, A. Torres, A. Herrera, R. Lewis, and L. Thomas, for assisting with the mouse husbandry and experiments. To S. McPherson, K. Goedde, K. Auldridge, and S. Elias Perryman of the Animal Resource Center Diagnostics Lab for their assistance with the gross necropsies. To veterinarians Dr. S. Lewis and Dr. C. Jones for providing guidance on mouse health care. To S. Dixon for providing administrative support. Additional thanks to M. Wellems at Phenome Technologies and D. Ferster at Actimetrics for the feeders and software system. This work was supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute awarded to JST, the National Institute of Health (NIH/NIA AG045795 to JST and CBG; NIH/NIA AG072736 to JST and CBG; NIH/NIGMS GM127122 to CBG; NIH 1T32HL138438 to JST and SEI), and the Milky Way Research Foundation MWRF210823 awarded to JST and CBG.

Author Contributions: SEI, NJS, VAR, CBG, and JST conceptualized the study, developed the experimental design, and oversaw all aspects of project execution and supervision. MW-C performed all histopathological assessments and interpretations. SEI, NJS, and VAR conducted all remaining experimental work, including animal handling, data curation, and statistical analyses. SEI, NJS, VAR, CBG, and JST wrote and revised the manuscript with additional edits from MW-C. Funding acquisition was provided by CBG and JST.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: Data are available in the main text or the supplementary

materials

Supplementary Materials:

Materials and Methods

Figures S1 to S9

Tables S1 to S6

Materials and Methods:

Animals and Housing Conditions

264 male and 264 female C57BL/6J mice 6 weeks of age were ordered from Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME. As done previously, starting at 2 months of age the mice were: **(i)** individually housed in standard polycarbonate cages with stainless steel running wheels inside isolation cabinets under light:dark (LD) of 12:12 hours, **(ii)** fed 300mg pellets of purified diet (F0075, Bio-Serv) using automated feeders with water provided *ad libitum* (AL), and **(iii)** cage changed every 21 days (*9, 10, 94*). The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center approved the animal protocol (APN 2015-100925), which has been renewed every 3 years (in 2018, 2021, and 2024).

Time-Restricted Feeding (TRF) Regimens

At 2 months of age, mice were placed in individual cages as described above and fed *ad libitum* (AL) for 8 weeks using the automated feeder system (*9, 10*). At 4 months of age, the mice were divided into three feeding groups for the remainder of the experiment: **(i)** 108 of each sex continued in AL as a control group, **(ii)** 78 of each sex in a 12-hour TRF group where the automated feeder restricted food dispensing to the 12-hour night (12h-TRF, ZT12-24), and **(iii)** 78 of each sex in an 8-hour TRF group with food dispensing restricted to the middle 8 hours of the night (8h-TRF, ZT14-22). In addition to the timing of the feeding window, the feeders were also programmed with a 10 min delay between pellet dispensing to reduce hoarding behavior. Regardless of the feeding group, all mice had access to 22 pellets/day so as not to impose caloric restriction.

For a minimum detection of 10% life extension with 80% power a minimum of 96 animals were

needed in the AL group and 72 in each of the TRF groups (*95*). Extra mice were included in each

group to account for any that may not adapt to the feeder/diet or for non-aging-related deaths.

Daily Monitoring of Feeding and Wheel-Running Activity

As before, the feeders were set and continuously recorded quantity and timing of food intake via

ClockLab Chamber Control Software v3.401 (Actimetrics Inc., Wilmette, IL, USA) (*9, 10*).

Uneaten food was removed from the bedding every 21 days during cage change, or weekly for

chronic hoarders under TRF. The feeding data presented in Figure 1B and Supplemental figures

S2 and S3 represent food consumption with hoarding removed. All other feeding figures represent

the quantity and pattern of pellet taking from the feeder, not excluding hoarded food. Wheel-

running activity was also continuously recorded as before using an updated version of ClockLab

Data Acquisition System v3.604 (Actimetrics Inc., Wilmette, IL, USA).

Body Weight and Composition Measurements

The body weight of each mouse was measured every 21 days during cage change (morning, ZT4-

7) throughout its lifespan. Body composition measurements of lean and fat mass (g) were assessed

every 6 months during cage change (ZT4-7) using a 100H-EchoMRI Body Composition Analyzer

(EchoMRI, Houston, TX, USA).

Frailty Scoring

Every 6 months the mice were assessed for frailty, or physical vulnerabilities, according to 31 parameters of aging modified from Whitehead et al. (*47*). These parameters include a range of physiological evaluations, scoring coat condition, ocular and auditory responses, physical and musculoskeletal state, respiration rate, body weight, and temperature. Each assessment was scored either 0=absent, 0.5=mild, or 1=severe. The scores were totaled to calculate an overall index score.

Health Monitoring and Survival Study

Mice were physically health checked every 21 days during cage change and visually inspected every 10 days during refilling of the feeders. Using the feeding and wheel monitoring software, mice were also checked virtually every day and only physically health checked if feeding fell <5 pellets and/or wheel running fell below set thresholds (1.14 counts/min within 24hr, reduced by 10% every 6 months as the mice age) as performed previously (*10*).

If any mouse was found to have a mild/moderate health ailment (e.g. dermatitis, external tumor, abdominal mass, head tilt) or a body condition score < 3 (Scored 1-5 with: 1 being emaciated, 3 ideal condition, and 5 obese) it was placed on health report. These records were also used to generate Figures 4D-F. Mice on health report were physically health checked weekly by the UT Southwestern Animal Resource Facility (ARC) veterinary staff and twice a week by our own laboratory staff. In order to obtain accurate but humane lifespan data, mice were monitored carefully for euthanasia criteria that would indicate imminent death (moribund) or unacceptable levels of pain (analgesics were avoided to avoid confounding the results). Criteria were determined in conjunction with the ARC veterinarians according to AAALAC guidelines and include: Non-

responsive to touch, hypothermic or cold to touch, slow or labored breathing, failure or inability

to eat/drink after moist chow is given, a body condition score of 1, body weight loss of >20% from

baseline or between cage changes, a broken/fractured/dislocated limb, head tilt that is resulting in

an animal being unable to maintain sternal body position which would affect their ability to

consume food and water, severe eye protrusion/infection, severe dermatitis, grade 3 rectal or penile

prolapse, urinary obstruction, or a subcutaneous tumor >2cm.

The number and date of those euthanized or found dead across the feeding groups and sexes were

recorded and used to generate the Kaplan-Meier survival curves. A total of 35 animals (35/528)

were censored from the survival curve if death occurred earlier than 6 months or due to non-aging

related injuries or death: 9 AL females, 6 12h-night TRF females, 6 8h-night TRF females, 9 AL

males and 5 8h-night TRF males.

Necropsy and Histopathology

Mice euthanized or found dead were submitted for gross necropsy and blinded histopathological

analysis was performed, as done previously (*10*). Less than 10% of the animals submitted were

too autolyzed to analyze. Disease types were tallied together by tissue type, sex, and feeding group.

Health Measures vs Lifespan Correlation

Throughout the experiment we recorded thirteen health measures including: wheel-running

activity to determine overall activity level and diurnal amplitude, food consumption to determine

overall level, diurnal amplitude, average fasting duration, and maximum fasting times, body

weight, composition of lean and fat mass as a percentage of body weight, body condition score,

frailty index, and the onset and duration of veterinary health reports.

Healthspan Index

Healthspan index was calculated by summing the calculated scaled values of each of the thirteen

health measures. In the case of body condition score, an absolute difference from BCS=3 was

calculated. For body fat percentage, an absolute difference in value from 24.45% was calculated.

The value 24.45% was used based on previous literature showing the average body fat percentage

across multiple healthy mouse strains at the age of 16 weeks (*96*). All measures were then

normalized to a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1, within each measure. Certain values were

then inverted, given their context, in order to have positive numbers representing a healthier state,

and negative values representing an unhealthy state. For example, days_on_hr is inverted, as

higher values would be less healthy, and lower values are healthier. All normalized values were

then summed together at 21-day intervals.

Statistical Analyses

As performed previously, all feeding and wheel-running activity was collected using Chamber

Control and ClockLab, respectively (Actimetrics, Inc) (*10*). Each point on the longitudinal profiles

represents a 21-day mean. Twenty-four-hour profiles were binned by hour, with each age point

reflecting a 15-day mean (taken from the middle of each 21-day cage cycle to avoid behavioral

changes due to cage change stress). For each mouse, each 15-day bin is analyzed by 1-dimensional

Discrete Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) using the mixed-radix algorithm for real-valued input

(Python function from the scipy library: scipy.fft.rfft) (*97*). The Blackman window function is

applied to the data prior to FFT analysis to inhibit spectral leakage (*98*). The power of each

frequency component is then normalized, with the total normalized power of all frequency

components equaling 100. The normalized power from the 24h frequency component is then taken

as the diurnal amplitude of either food intake behavior or running wheel activity. This value

represents the percentage of contribution of the 24h frequency component, to the entire 15-day

behavioral period. For example, if the diurnal amplitude for food intake is 21, it indicates that 21%

of the observed variation in food intake can be directly attributed to the animal's consistent 24-

hour daily cycle. The output of this analysis for each mouse was then averaged within group, to

provide a group-wise indication of diurnal amplitude.

Cumulative health report and survival curves were analyzed using Log-rank Mantel Cox and

Fisher's exact test, health report type and necropsy report disease type percentages analyzed by

contingency tables and Fisher's exact test and, and all other plots analyzed using two-way ANOVA

with Tukey's or Holm's post-hoc analyses (*10, 99*). Data plots were generated and statistically

analyzed by Prism 10 (Graphpad Software Inc), Python, and R.

     Supplemental Figures and Legends:

782 **Figure S1: Food taking and hoarding behavior:** (A) In females and (B) males, longitudinal

783 profiles of daily food taken from the feeder including uneaten (hoarded) food found in the cage

784 (g/Day) and the equivalent energy intake (Kcal/Day). (C) In females and (D) males, longitudinal

785 profiles of the percent (%) of hoarded food in the cage. Mean of 21 days ± SEM (shaded regions).

786 *Ad libitum* (AL) baseline feeding (Gray area). Time-restricted feeding (TRF) (White area).

Figure S2: Food intake by monthly age epochs: (A) In females and (B) males, comparison of food intake with hoarding removed (g/Day) at 3 months of age and then every 6 months. Mean of 21 days at each age point ± SEM. Any caloric restriction (CR) relative to the *Ad Lib* control at each age epoch shown as %. Two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc.

791 **Figure S3: Food intake relative to body weight by monthly age epochs:** (A) In females and (B)

792 males, comparison of mean food intake (g/day) with hoarding removed relative to body weight (g)

793 ± SEM at 3 months of age and then every 6 months. Two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc.

Figure S4: Wheel activity by monthly age epochs: (A) In females and (B) males, comparison of daily wheel revolutions (Counts/Min) at 3 months of age and then every 6 months. Mean of 21 days at each age point ± SEM. Two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc.

797 **Figure S5: Wheel activity relative to body weight by monthly age epochs:** (A) In females and

798 (B) males, comparison of mean wheel revolutions (counts/min) relative to body weight (g) ± SEM

799 at 3 months of age and then every 6 months. Two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc.

Figure S6: Body weight by monthly age epochs: (A) In females and (B) males, comparison of mean body weight (g) ± SEM at 3 months of age and then every 6 months. Two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc.

Figure S7: Individual frailty parameter scores in females. Mean frailty scores ± SEM every 6 months of age in females for each of the 31 parameters modified from (*47*). AL, N=44-79. 12h-TRF, N=34-74. 8h-TRF, N=40-74. Two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc.

806 **Figure S7: Individual frailty parameter scores in females (Continued).**

Figure S8: Individual frailty parameter scores in males. Mean frailty scores ± SEM every 6 months of age in males for each of the 31 parameters modified from (*47*). AL, N=23-79. 12h-TRF, N=22-78. 8h-TRF, N=39-76 Two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc.

Figure S8: Individual frailty parameter scores in males (Continued).

Figure S9: Repeat experiments show 12h-TRF does not extend lifespan in males: Kaplan-

Meier survival curves and day median lifespan reached in a pilot experiment vs our current

experiment. Log-Rank Mantel-Cox Test for significant difference in overall survival TRF vs AL.

Fisher's exact test for median and maximal survival TRF vs AL. Pilot experiment: AL, N=43. 12h-

TRF, N=33. Current experiment: AL, N=99. 12h-TRF, N=78. 8h-TRF, N=73.