Evaluating the Role of *Anopheles* Mosquitoes in the Global Spread of Arboviruses: A Review of Laboratory-Confirmed Viral Competence

Rosheen S. Mthawanji[1], Matthew Baylis[1], Maya Wardeh[12], and Marcus SC Blagrove[1]

[1] Institute of Infection, Veterinary, and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, UK

[2] Department of Computer Science, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

* Corresponding Author: Rosheen S Mthawanji, E-mail: rsm1@liverpool.ac.uk Marcus Blagrove marcus.blagrove@liverpool.ac.uk

Abstract

Mosquito-borne diseases are a major global health concern, infecting up to 700 million people annually and causing over one million deaths. Of the several genera of biting mosquito, species of *Anopheles* are mostly studied for their ability (vector competence) to transmit *Plasmodium* protozoan parasites, some species of which cause malaria. More than 480 species of *Anopheles* have been described worldwide and about 70 of these are responsible for *Plasmodium spp.* transmission. However, the focus on *Anopheles* as vectors of *Plasmodium* has led to a relative lack of study about the ability of *Anopheles* to transmit viruses.

Some *Anopheles* species have been experimentally confirmed as competentfor various arboviruses. In most cases they are secondary vectors, with relatively low competence, contributing to overall transmission while other species of mosquito or other vector are responsible for sustained transmission. Although secondary vectors may contribute less to transmission, they may play important epidemiological roles by extending transmission seasons and/or providing a means of virus overwintering.

Here, we conducted an extensive review of scientific repositories, accessing a diverse range of journals and reports to build an exhaustive database of known *Anopheles* competence for arboviruses. Opinion pieces, modelling papers lacking experimental confirmation, and papers without vector competence data were excluded. In total, we reviewed 7,343 papers, and after exclusions, we retained 427 papers.

We analysed these data, cross-referenced with ability to undergo diapause and geographical range. Our results show that some *Anopheles* spp. have the potential to be secondary vectors of some arboviruses, as well as undergoing diapause as adults in temperate regions of their range. Hence, we infer they could also play an epidemiologically significant role in the overwintering of mosquito-borne viruses.

Author Summary

Mosquito-borne diseases remain a major global health challenge, causing over one million deaths annually. Though *Anopheles* mosquitoes are widely studied for their spread of parasites that cause malaria, much less is known about their interaction with viruses. In this study we reviewed scientific articles to identify their role in the spread of mosquito-borne viruses. We examined studies that showed experimental evidence of transmission in the laboratory, and thus could potentially contribute to transmission as secondary vectors. Additionally, some *Anopheles* species in temperate regions can survive winter in a dormant state (diapause) as adults, which could enable viruses to persist between transmission seasons. Together, these results suggest that *Anopheles* mosquitoes may play a larger role in virus transmission than is currently appreciated, especially in temperate regions.

Introduction

Mosquito-borne viruses present a global health threat due to their broad host range, infecting humans and animals annually. This poses a challenge for disease control experts as the inevitable spread to new locations and hosts complicate understanding vector dynamics (1). During an arbovirus outbreak, the primary vector tends to be the focus of control programmes, whilst secondary vectors may remain under-characterized and insufficiently investigated (2). An understanding of the importance of secondary vectors prior to outbreaks would increase control method efficiency, redirect target response and mitigation procedures and anticipate transmission in regions or seasons where primary vectors are absent or inactive.

Among the hundreds of known arboviruses, only approximately 30 are known to cause human diseases. The Flaviviridae and Togaviridae viral families, particularly Japanese encephalitis (JEV), West Nile virus (WNV), dengue virus (DENV), and Zika virus (ZIKV), are commonly studied for causing severe clinical diseases. Alphaviruses in the Togaviridae family, such as Chikungunya (CHIKV), the encephalitic viruses (Eastern, Western, and Venezuelan), and the Ross River virus (RRV), are also of great public health importance(3). Arbovirus transmission requires a competent vector: one capable of becoming infected and having the capability to then transmit the virus from one host to another. Important mosquito vectors include *Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus,* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*.; these species are very widely distributed and are competent vectors of several arboviruses (2,5) but many other species are also known to be competent. However, many other species are also capable

of transmitting viruses, and understanding the full range of vector competence is essential for effective disease control (4).

In tropical countries arbovirus transmission tends to be year-round. For example, dengue and chikungunya virus transmission is sustained through mosquitoes being active all year, even though mosquito populations decline in the colder months (6). In this situation, competent secondary vectors could add to the overall burden, but the primary vectors are sufficient on their own to sustain transmission. However, different trends are seen in temperate regions , with viruses like West Nile virus (WNV) and Usutu virus (USUV), as mosquitoes are only active for a smaller portion of the year (7). There is an urgent need to understand the dynamics and methods around how the viruses persist in cold temperatures, when mosquitoes are not active. These may include vertical transmission (9) within mosquito populations, persistent infection in vertebrate hosts (6), or survival of infected mosquitoes through cold seasons. The evidence for long-term vertebrate infection for most arboviruses is limited, and vertical transmission has been confirmed for only a few arboviruses. The possibility that infected mosquitoes, particularly secondary vectors, could overwinter and reinitiate transmission in the spring remains underexplored.

Overwintering refers to the survival of organisms through adverse seasonal conditions, often via behavioural or physiological adaptations. In mosquitoes, this may involve diapause, a form of dormancy that allows survival through cold or dry periods. For example, many *Anopheles* mosquitoes diapause during temperature extremes. *Anopheles* mosquitoes use diapause to persist in long dry seasons in sub-Saharan Africa; instead of using their resources for reproduction, most processes halt and are allocated to longevity (11). *Anopheles colluzzi* are known to diapause in harsh dry conditions (11) while *Anopheles freeborni* diapauses in extremely low temperatures (12). Both species diapause in their adult forms.

The UK is a potential example of where virus may persist in diapausing adults, as the same strain of USUV has persisted in the country for multiple years, despite the transmission season being only during the peak of summer.

Here, we demonstrate that *Anopheles* spp could contribute to overwintering by diapausing as infected (and ultimately infectious) adults. Even low levels of competence in a diapausing *Anopheles spp* could significantly add to the risk of overwintering. Notably, African *Anopheles gambiae* and the Indo-Iranian *Anopheles stephensi* are well-studied due to the malaria-associated burdens in their respective regions (19). To date, only one arbovirus, the O'nyong-nyong virus, is known to be primarily transmitted by *Anopheles* mosquitoes in the field (*Anopheles funestus and An. gambiae*), although other *Anopheles* species have demonstrated the capability to be vectors of alphaviruses in laboratory settings and hence may be secondary vectors (19,20). Understanding which mosquitoes are susceptible or capable of transmitting arboviruses is crucial for controlling threats to human and animal health.

To assess this possibility, we conducted a systematic review of existing literature. Systematic reviews provide a transparent and reproducible method for synthesizing evidence across diverse studies and are particularly valuable when addressing under-researched or fragmented topics.

Here we do this for:

1) laboratory *Anopheles* vector competence, and

2) *Anopheles* diapausing habits to identify viruses in which overwintering in *Anopheles* potentially occurs.

Our aim is to identify arboviruses for which overwintering in *Anopheles* may be plausible, thereby highlighting potential secondary vector roles that may influence long-term virus persistence and seasonal transmission dynamics.

Methods

Data Collection

We conducted an extensive review of PubMed, accessing a diverse range of books, journals, and reports. Our systematic search employed multiple keywords:

> "experimental transmission" OR "vector competence" OR "vector-competence" OR refractory OR "infection rate" OR "infection rates" OR "transmission rate" OR "transmission rates" OR "dissemination rate" OR "dissemination rates" AND mosquito

The searches were limited to the English language "Mosquito" was included in all searches. The review covered studies published between 1972 and 2023.

Exclusion/Inclusion criteria

Duplicate records, systematic reviews, opinion pieces, modelling papers lacking experimental confirmation, and papers without vector competence data were excluded. The inclusion/exclusion criteria ensured that there were no confounding factors favouring virus infection and it was limited to laboratory studies and did not include inferred or assumed competence from field-only data

Information extracted from the papers included the PubMed ID, title, digital object identifier (DOI), the virus of interest with its characteristics (virus class, name, tax ID, lineage, strain, accession number, and culture), mosquito details (species, tax ID, origin, location, lab colony or field-collected, generation, and collection coordinates), and experimental parameters (temperature, infectious dosage, unit used, feeding method, days post-infection, total infected, infection method, and transmission method). Experimental results, including the number infected, infection rate (proportion of mosquitoes with detectable virus after exposure), dissemination rate (proportion of infected mosquitoes in which the virus spread beyond the midgut), and transmission rate (proportion of mosquitoes with virus present in saliva or capable of transmitting it), were also recorded.

Screening and Data Verification

We conducted a systematic review to assess the role of *Anopheles* mosquitoes in arbovirus transmission. A total of 7,343 articles were identified with our search term. After removing records based on our above exclusion criteria, this screening yielded 427 articles reporting vector competence in *Anopheles* and other mosquito genera. All data were independently

cross-verified independently by two reviewers to ensure consistency and accuracy. The studies collectively covered 95 countries and involved 67 different viruses. For the purposes of this study, we extracted and analysed only the data related to *Anopheles* mosquitoes; all of which are included in the supplementary materials. These data are part of a larger unpublished curated dataset compiling vector competence information across mosquito genera. The full process, including article selection and filtering criteria, is summarized in Figure 1

Figure 1. PRISMA Flowchart of main search strategy and article selection for paper selection.

Results

The vector competence data summarised in Table **1 shows findings from 32 studies examining** *Anopheles* mosquitoes experimentally exposed to arboviruses in the laboratory. The studies were from 15 countries and presented five virus classes; alphavirus, orthoflavivirus, phlebovirus, orthobunyavirus, and alphamesonivirus and involves **15 *Anopheles* species**, including *An. gambiae*, *An. stephensi*, *An. albimanus*, *An. quadrimaculatus*, and others. For each mosquito-virus pairing, we recorded data on infection, dissemination, and transmission. In cases where part of the experiment was not performed, it is registered as not done in the table (e.g. dissemination but not transmission was tested).

The results highlight variation in vector competence across virus families and mosquito species. Notably, several Anopheles species demonstrated high competence for alphaviruses such as Mayaro virus and O'nyong-nyong virus (ONNV), with consistently high infection, dissemination, and transmission rates. In comparison, most Anopheles species showed no competence for orthoflaviviruses such as dengue virus, Zika virus, and West Nile virus, which frequently yielded no infection or transmission. Some species, particularly *An. quadrimaculatus, An. stephensi, and An. gambiae,* also showed susceptibility to selected orthobunyaviruses (e.g., Cache Valley virus, CVV) and phleboviruses (e.g., Rift Valley fever virus, RVFV), although transmission rates varied.

It is important to note that a majority of the studies were conducted in the United States, reflecting a geographic bias in the available data and underscoring the urgent need for a deeper global investigation particularly in endemic or emerging regions where *Anopheles* species are present. Altogether, this dataset shows the potential for *Anopheles* mosquitoes to act as secondary vectors for select arboviruses especially in regions or seasons where primary vectors may be absent, inactive, not year-round, or less abundant

To explore experimental vector-virus interactions in Anopheles mosquitoes, we mapped the viruses and mosquito species included in our dataset onto their respective phylogenies. Figure 2 shows the relationships between arboviruses and Anopheles mosquitoes tested for vector competence in published experimental studies. The results show multiple viruses including Mayaro, Chikungunya, O'nyong-nyong, Sindbis, and Eastern equine encephalitis viruses. These were tested across a wide array of Anopheles species, notably *An. gambiae, An. stephensi, An. quadrimaculatus*, and *An. albimanus*. The figure illustrates these frequent connections with multiple green edges linking alphaviruses to mosquitoes. However, the Orthoflaviviruses showed limited interactions with fewer mosquito species tested and mostly negative results, as seen in Table 1. These viruses were primarily tested *in An. stephensi, An.*

gambiae, and An. albimanus. Phleboviruses, including Rift Valley fever virus, were tested in several African and U.S. Anopheles species, while Orthobunyaviruses and Alphamesoniviruses had more restricted representation.

Figure 2, most Importantly, also highlights taxonomic clustering of interactions certain clades of Anopheles, such as An. quadrimaculatus and its close relatives, were more commonly tested. The visual clustering of lines also suggests potential phylogenetic trends in host competence that warrant further investigation. Overall, Figure 2 underscores both the taxonomic bias in experimental data and the diverse potential of Anopheles mosquitoes to act as vectors for a wide range of arboviruses.

Moderately high infection rates (>40%) were noted for 11 viruses, SINV, RVFV, JEV, ONNV, NGAV, MAYV, GETV, EIV, EEEV, CVV, and BUNYV. However, from the 11, eight were able to transmit. RVFV and GETV showed a transmission rate of over 50%.

Where competence was found, the possible role in the transmission of viruses was further investigated by reviewing diapause studies (Table 2). *An. quadrimaculatus, An. Freeborni, An. macullipennis, An. puctipenis, An. crucians* and *An. plumbeus,* have all shown vector competence in both field and laboratory settings, and these species also diapause as adults.

Comparative Phylogenetic Analysis of *Anopheles* Mosquitoes and Associated Viruses

Figure 2: Tanglegram illustrating the phylogenetic relationships between *Anopheles* mosquito species (right tree, based on ITS2 genes) associated viruses (left tree, based on NS5 sequences). The phylogenies were inferred using the Neighbour-Joining method, incorporating sequences obtained from GenBank and original data. The **left panel** shows a phylogeny of 33 viruses, colour-coded by

viral family: Alphavirus (green), Orthoflavivirus (red), Phlebovirus (blue), Orthobunyavirus (purple), Alphamesonivirus (yellow), and one unclassified virus (maroon). The **right panel** shows a phylogeny of 15 *Anopheles* mosquito species in which these viruses were experimentally tested. Coloured lines with bootstrap highlighted link each virus to the *Anopheles* species evaluated in laboratory competence studies.

Table 2. Mosquito diapause capability, vector competence demonstrated in laboratory or field settings, and the viruses for which they are competent, and references

mosquito species	diapause as adults?	Competence shown in the field or lab	virus	Reference
Anopheles farauti	no	field	RRV	(53)
Anopheles stephensi	no	laboratory	RVFV, GETV, ONYV	(12, 26)
Anopheles quadrimaculatus	yes	Both	LACV, EEEV, WNV, MAYV	(48)
Anopheles freeborni	yes	both	WNV, SLEV	(17,18)
Anopheles gambiae	no	both	MAYV, BUNYV	(17,18)
Anopheles maculipennis	yes	Both	DENV, WNV	(18,54,55)
Anopheles pharoensis	yes	laboratory	RVFV	(18,56)
Anopheles tenebrosus	yes	laboratory	RVFV	(17,56)
Anopheles punctipennis	yes	both	EEV	(18,36,37,56)
Anopheles coustani	no	laboratory	RVFV	(34)
Anopheles bradleyi-crucians	yes	laboratory	RVFV	(18,56)
Anopheles crucians	yes	Both	RVFV	(18,37,38,56)
Anopheles plumbeus	yes	laboratory	JEV	(46,50,57)

Discussion

While *Anopheles* mosquitoes are primarily known for malaria transmission, their potential role in arbovirus transmission, and as overwintering vectors, should not be overlooked. Comprehensive studies are required to understand the extent of this potential and its implications for public health. The results show that *Anopheles* mosquitoes are competent laboratory vectors to several viruses. We found that moderately high infection rates ($> 40\%$) were noted for 11 viruses, SINV, RVFV, JEV, ONNV, NGAV, MAYV, GETV, EIV, EEEV, CVV, and BUNYV (table 1). In the results MAYV remains the most prevalent virus amongst most *Anopheles* species that have been tested, all tested *Anopheles* species were able to disseminate and transmit MAYV. RVFV was another common virus that showed high infection rates in *Anopheles* however, most studies did not continue to test dissemination or transmission. These findings highlight that *Anopheles* mosquitoes, though not primary vectors, may contribute to secondary transmission cycles in regions where environmental or ecological conditions limit the activity of primary vectors.

Previous field studies support this possibility. At least 51 different viruses have been detected in *Anopheles* spp, in the field (17). These studies have shown the potential to transmit diseases to both humans and other vertebrates. ONNV, SINV, RVFV, WNV. JEV and CVV

are amongst some also seen in this study (17). Collectively, this evidence underscores that *Anopheles* mosquitoes are not merely incidental hosts, but may play ecologically meaningful roles in arbovirus maintenance.

.

A key finding from this review concerns the link between vector competence and diapause behaviour. Diapause allows mosquitoes to survive unfavourable environmental conditions by halting reproductive and metabolic processes (58). Our synthesis shows that several *Anopheles* species capable of adult diapause — including An. quadrimaculatus, *An. freeborni, An. maculipennis, An. punctipennis, An. crucians*, and *An. plumbeus* — have also demonstrated laboratory or field competence for one or more arboviruses. This dual capability suggests a plausible mechanism for viral overwintering.

For instance, *An. freeborni* exhibits adult diapause in low temperatures in California (12), while *An. maculipennis* has been observed overwintering in bunkers in the Netherlands (59), co-occurring with *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes infected with Usutu virus (USUV). In that study, USUV persisted in overwintering *Culex* species, suggesting that similar mechanisms could occur in diapausing *Anopheles* populations. Given that *An. maculipennis* has demonstrated high competence for WNV and DENV in laboratory studies (60), this raises the possibility that arboviruses could persist through winter within *Anopheles* populations in temperate zones.

This potential overwintering mechanism would allow transmission cycles to persist year-to-year, even when primary vector populations decline during cold seasons. Such persistence could have major epidemiological implications, particularly in temperate regions where viral activity typically resumes each summer.

Further experimental and modelling work is required to clarify the contribution of *Anopheles* species to arbovirus overwintering. For example, *An. stephensi*, which does not diapause, remains active year-round in certain regions and has demonstrated competence for JEV, GETV, RVFV, and ONNV (12, 26). Such species could act as continuous transmission reservoirs, whereas diapausing species might support virus persistence during inactive seasons.

Future modelling should incorporate the overwintering potential of *Anopheles* vectors into risk prediction frameworks. Doing so could extend the estimated range of arbovirus risk further polewards and increase the projected scale of annual outbreaks, as viruses may already be present in the environment when the transmission season begins, rather than requiring reintroduction each year.

Conclusion

Our review demonstrates that *Anopheles* mosquitoes, traditionally viewed as vectors of Plasmodium parasites, are also experimentally competent for several arboviruses, including

O'nyong-nyong virus (ONNV), Mayaro virus (MAYV), Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV), and others. Although competence levels are generally lower than in *Aedes* or *Culex* species, the evidence indicates that *Anopheles* mosquitoes can act as secondary or supporting vectors. Their potential contribution to virus maintenance, particularly through overwintering mechanisms, has likely been underestimated.

The association between vector competence and diapause suggests that *Anopheles* mosquitoes may play a previously unrecognised role in viral persistence during cold or dry seasons. Diapause enables adult mosquitoes to survive in temperate regions, potentially allowing virus survival between transmission seasons. Even if infection rates are low, the prolonged lifespan of diapausing mosquitoes could extend the window for virus maintenance and early-season re-emergence. Ultimately, recognising *Anopheles* mosquitoes as potential secondary arbovirus vectors adds a crucial dimension to vector surveillance and global disease control strategies.

References

1. Jerrome Goddard. In: Infectious Diseases and Arthropods. Infectious Disease. In: Mosquito-Borne Diseases In: Infectious Diseases and Arthropods [Internet]. third. Humana Press, Cham; 2018. (Infectious Disease). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-75874-9_3

2. Higuera A, Ramírez JD. Molecular epidemiology of dengue, yellow fever, Zika and Chikungunya arboviruses: An update. Acta Trop. 2019 Feb 1; 190:99–111.

3. Jones R, Kulkarni MA, Davidson TMV, Team RLR, Talbot B. Arbovirus vectors of epidemiological concern in the Americas: A scoping review of entomological studies on Zika, dengue and chikungunya virus vectors. PLOS ONE. 2020 Feb 6;15(2): e0220753.

4. Beerntsen BT, James AA, Christensen BM. Genetics of Mosquito Vector Competence. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2000 Mar;64(1):115–37.

5. Gianchecchi E, Cianchi V, Torelli A, Montomoli E. Yellow Fever: Origin, Epidemiology, Preventive Strategies and Future Prospects. Vaccines. 2022 Mar;10(3):372.

6. Diaz LA, Flores FS, Quaglia A, Contigiani MS. Intertwined arbovirus transmission activity: reassessing the transmission cycle paradigm. Front Physiol. 2013 Jan 11;3:493.

7. Cadar D, Lühken R, van der Jeugd H, Garigliany M, Ziegler U, Keller M, et al. Widespread activity of multiple lineages of Usutu virus, western Europe, 2016. Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Mal Transm Eur Commun Dis Bull. 2017 Jan 26;22(4):30452.

8. Occurrence, Biology, and Physiology of Diapause in Overwintering Mosquitoes - Google Search [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jan 8]. Available from: https://www.google.com/search?q=Occurrence%2C+Biology%2C+and+Physiology+of+Diapause+in+Overwintering+Mosquitoes&rlz=1C1GCEU_en-gbGB1026GB1027&oq=Occurrence%2C+Biology%2C+and+Physiology+of+Diapause+in+Overwintering+Mosquitoes&gs_lcrp=EgZjaHJvbWUyBggAEEUYOdIBBzUyM2owajSoAgCwAgE&sourceid=chrome&ie=UTF-8

9. Lequime S, Lambrechts L. Vertical transmission of arboviruses in mosquitoes: a historical perspective. Infect Genet Evol J Mol Epidemiol Evol Genet Infect Dis. 2014 Dec;28:681–90.

10. Wheeler SS, Langevin SA, Brault AC, Woods L, Carroll BD, Reisen WK. Detection of Persistent West Nile Virus RNA in Experimentally and Naturally Infected Avian Hosts. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012 Sep 5;87(3):559–64.

11. Adamou A, Dao A, Timbine S, Kassogué Y, Yaro AS, Diallo M, et al. The contribution of aestivating mosquitoes to the persistence of Anopheles gambiae in the Sahel. Malar J. 2011 Jun 6;10(1):151.

12. Washino RK. Physiological Condition of Overwintering Female Anopheles freeborni in California (Diptera: Culicidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am. 1970 Jan 15;63(1):210–6.

13.	Odero JI, Abong'o B, Moshi V, Ekodir S, Harvey SA, Ochomo E, et al. Early morning anopheline mosquito biting, a potential driver of malaria transmission in Busia County, western Kenya. Malar J. 2024 Mar 4;23(1):66.

14.	Mzilahowa T, Luka-Banda M, Uzalili V, Mathanga DP, Jr CHC, Mukaka M, et al. Risk factors for Anopheles mosquitoes in rural and urban areas of Blantyre District, southern Malawi. Malawi Med J. 2016;28(4):154–8.

15.	Matthews J, Bethel A, Osei G. An overview of malarial Anopheles mosquito survival estimates in relation to methodology. Parasit Vectors. 2020 May 7;13(1):233.

16.	de O Mota MT, Avilla CM, Nogueira ML. Mayaro virus: a neglected threat could cause the next worldwide viral epidemic. Future Virol. 2019 Jun;14(6):375–7.

17.	Nanfack Minkeu F, Vernick KD. A Systematic Review of the Natural Virome of Anopheles Mosquitoes. Viruses. 2018 May;10(5):222.

18.	Hernandez-Valencia JC, Muñoz-Laiton P, Gómez GF, Correa MM. A Systematic Review on the Viruses of Anopheles Mosquitoes: The Potential Importance for Public Health. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2023 Oct;8(10):459.

19.	Mutsaers M, Engdahl CS, Wilkman L, Ahlm C, Evander M, Lwande OW. Vector competence of Anopheles stephensi for O'nyong-nyong virus: a risk for global virus spread. Parasit Vectors. 2023 Apr 17;16(1):133.

20.	Cottis S, Blisnick AA, Failloux AB, Vernick KD. Determinants of Chikungunya and O'nyong-Nyong Virus Specificity for Infection of Aedes and Anopheles Mosquito Vectors. Viruses. 2023 Mar;15(3):589.

21.	Azerigyik FA, Faizah AN, Kobayashi D, Amoa-Bosompem M, Matsumura R, Kai I, et al. Evaluating the mosquito host range of Getah virus and the vector competence of selected medically important mosquitoes in Getah virus transmission. Parasit Vectors. 2023 Mar 15;16(1):99.

22.	Jansen CC, Webb CE, Northill JA, Ritchie SA, Russell RC, Van den Hurk AF. Vector competence of Australian mosquito species for a North American strain of West Nile virus. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis Larchmt N. 2008 Dec;8(6):805–11.

23.	Terradas G, Novelo M, Metz H, Brustolin M, Rasgon JL. Anopheles albimanus is a Potential Alphavirus Vector in the Americas. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2023 Feb;108(2):412–23.

24.	Dieme C, Ngo KA, Tyler S, Maffei JG, Zink SD, Dupuis AP, et al. Role of Anopheles Mosquitoes in Cache Valley Virus Lineage Displacement, New York, USA. Emerg Infect Dis. 2022 Feb;28(2):303–13.

25.	Brustolin M, Pujhari S, Henderson CA, Rasgon JL. Anopheles mosquitoes may drive invasion and transmission of Mayaro virus across geographically diverse regions. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018 Nov;12(11):e0006895.

26.	Talbalaghi A, Moutailler S, Vazeille M, Failloux AB. Are Aedes albopictus or other mosquito species from northern Italy competent to sustain new arboviral outbreaks? Med Vet Entomol. 2010 Mar;24(1):83–7.

27.   Gaye A, Diagne MM, Ndiaye EH, Dior Ndione MH, Faye M, Talla C, et al. Vector competence of anthropophilic mosquitoes for a new mesonivirus in Senegal. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2020;9(1):496–504.

28.   Dieme C, Kramer LD, Ciota AT. Vector competence of Anopheles quadrimaculatus and Aedes albopictus for genetically distinct Jamestown Canyon virus strains circulating in the Northeast United States. Parasit Vectors. 2022 Jun 23;15(1):226.

29.   Dodson BL, Rasgon JL. Vector competence of Anopheles and Culex mosquitoes for Zika virus. PeerJ. 2017 Mar 14;5:e3096.

30.   Turell MJ, Presley SM, Gad AM, Cope SE, Dohm DJ, Morrill JC, et al. Vector competence of Egyptian mosquitoes for Rift Valley fever virus. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1996 Feb;54(2):136–9.

31.   Dieme C, Ciota AT, Kramer LD. Transmission potential of Mayaro virus by Aedes albopictus, and Anopheles quadrimaculatus from the USA. Parasit Vectors. 2020 Dec 9;13(1):613.

32.   Vaidyanathan R, Edman JD, Cooper LA, Scott TW. Vector competence of mosquitoes (Diptera:Culicidae) from Massachusetts for a sympatric isolate of eastern equine encephalomyelitis virus. J Med Entomol. 1997 May;34(3):346–52.

33.   Vaughan JA, Turell MJ. Facilitation of Rift Valley fever virus transmission by Plasmodium berghei sporozoites in Anopheles stephensi mosquitoes. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1996 Oct;55(4):407–9.

34.   Nepomichene TNJJ, Raharimalala FN, Andriamandimby SF, Ravalohery JP, Failloux AB, Heraud JM, et al. Vector competence of Culex antennatus and Anopheles coustani mosquitoes for Rift Valley fever virus in Madagascar. Med Vet Entomol. 2018 Jun;32(2):259–62.

35.   Drouin A, Chevalier V, Durand B, Balenghien T. Vector Competence of Mediterranean Mosquitoes for Rift Valley Fever Virus: A Meta-Analysis. Pathog Basel Switz. 2022 Apr 23;11(5):503.

36.   Gargan TP, Clark GG, Dohm DJ, Turell MJ, Bailey CL. Vector potential of selected North American mosquito species for Rift Valley fever virus. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1988 Mar;38(2):440–6.

37.   Turell MJ, Britch SC, Aldridge RL, Kline DL, Boohene C, Linthicum KJ. Potential for mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) from Florida to transmit Rift Valley fever virus. J Med Entomol. 2013 Sep;50(5):1111–7.

38.   Turell MJ, Wilson WC, Bennett KE. Potential for North American mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) to transmit rift valley fever virus. J Med Entomol. 2010 Sep;47(5):884–9.

39.   Dodson BL, Pujhari S, Rasgon JL. Vector competence of selected North American Anopheles and Culex mosquitoes for Zika virus. PeerJ. 2018 Feb 15;6:e4324.

40.   Ledermann JP, Zeidner N, Borland EM, Mutebi JP, Lanciotti RS, Miller BR, et al. Sunguru virus: a novel virus in the family Rhabdoviridae isolated from a chicken in north-western Uganda. J Gen Virol. 2014 Jul;95(Pt 7):1436–43.

41.   Odhiambo C, Venter M, Chepkorir E, Mbaika S, Lutomiah J, Swanepoel R, et al. Vector Competence of Selected Mosquito Species in Kenya for Ngari and Bunyamwera Viruses. J Med Entomol. 2014 Nov 1;51(6):1248–53.

42. Blackmore CGM, Blackmore MS, Grimstad PR. Role of Anopheles quadrimaculatus and Coquillettidia perturbans (Diptera: Culicidae) in the Transmission Cycle of Cache Valley Virus (Bunyaviridae: Bunyavirus) in the Midwest, U.S.A. J Med Entomol. 1998 Sep 1;35(5):660–4.

43. Mutsaers M, Engdahl CS, Wilkman L, Ahlm C, Evander M, Lwande OW. Vector competence of Anopheles stephensi for O'nyong-nyong virus: a risk for global virus spread. Parasit Vectors. 2023 Apr 17;16(1):133.

44. Assessing vector competence of mosquitoes from northeastern France to West Nile virus and Usutu virus | PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases [Internet]. [cited 2024 Jun 4]. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosntds/article?id=10.1371/journal.pntd.0011144

45. Urakova N, Brustolin M, Joseph RE, Johnson RM, Pujhari S, Rasgon JL. Anopheles gambiae densovirus (AgDNV) negatively affects Mayaro virus infection in Anopheles gambiae cells and mosquitoes. Parasit Vectors. 2020 Apr 22;13(1):210.

46. Van den Eynde C, Sohier C, Matthijs S, De Regge N. Belgian Anopheles plumbeus Mosquitoes Are Competent for Japanese Encephalitis Virus and Readily Feed on Pigs, Suggesting a High Vectorial Capacity. Microorganisms. 2023 Jun;11(6):1386.

47. Vanlandingham DL, Hong C, Klingler K, Tsetsarkin K, McElroy KL, Powers AM, et al. Differential infectivities of o'nyong-nyong and chikungunya virus isolates in Anopheles gambiae and Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2005 May;72(5):616–21.

48. Moncayo AC, Edman JD, Turell MJ. Effect of Eastern Equine Encephalomyelitis Virus on the Survival of Aedes albopictus, Anopheles quadrimaculatus, and Coquillettidia perturbans (Diptera: Culicidae). J Med Entomol. 2000 Sep 1;37(5):701–6.

49. Joseph RE, Urakova N, Werling KL, Metz HC, Montanari K, Rasgon JL. Culex tarsalis Is a Competent Host of the Insect-Specific Alphavirus Eilat Virus (EILV). J Virol. 2023 May 31;97(5):e0196022.

50. Rosen L, Roseboom LE, Gubler DJ, Lien JC, Chaniotis BN. Comparative susceptibility of mosquito species and strains to oral and parenteral infection with dengue and Japanese encephalitis viruses. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1985 May;34(3):603–15.

51. Brault AC, Foy BD, Myles KM, Kelly CLH, Higgs S, Weaver SC, et al. Infection patterns of o'nyong nyong virus in the malaria-transmitting mosquito, Anopheles gambiae. Insect Mol Biol. 2004 Dec;13(6):625–35.

52. Nasar F, Haddow AD, Tesh RB, Weaver SC. Eilat virus displays a narrow mosquito vector range. Parasit Vectors. 2014 Dec 17;7:595.

53. Russell TL, Beebe NW, Cooper RD, Lobo NF, Burkot TR. Successful malaria elimination strategies require interventions that target changing vector behaviours. Malar J. 2013 Feb 7;12:56.

54. Öncü C, Brinkmann A, Günay F, Kar S, Öter K, Sarıkaya Y, et al. West Nile virus, Anopheles flavivirus, a novel flavivirus as well as Merida-like rhabdovirus Turkey in field-collected mosquitoes from Thrace and Anatolia. Infect Genet Evol. 2018 Jan 1;57:36–45.

55.   Almeida APG, Galão RP, Sousa CA, Novo MT, Parreira R, Pinto J, et al. Potential mosquito vectors of arboviruses in Portugal: species, distribution, abundance and West Nile infection. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2008 Aug 1;102(8):823–32.

56.   Seufi AM, Galal FH. Role of Culex and Anopheles mosquito species as potential vectors of rift valley fever virus in Sudan outbreak, 2007. BMC Infect Dis. 2010 Mar 11;10(1):65.

57.   Martinet JP, Bohers C, Vazeille M, Ferté H, Mousson L, Mathieu B, et al. Assessing vector competence of mosquitoes from northeastern France to West Nile virus and Usutu virus. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2023 Jun 5;17(6):e0011144.

58.   Denlinger DL, Armbruster PA. Mosquito Diapause. Annu Rev Entomol. 2014 Jan 7;59(Volume 59, 2014):73–93.

59.   Koenraadt CJM, Münger E, Schrama MJJ, Spitzen J, Altundag S, Sikkema RS, et al. Overwintering of Usutu virus in mosquitoes, The Netherlands. Parasit Vectors. 2024 Dec 23;17(1):537.

60.   Simonin Y. Circulation of West Nile Virus and Usutu Virus in Europe: Overview and Challenges. Viruses. 2024 Apr 12;16(4):599.

Supplementary material

Table 1. Data extracted from the *Anopheles'* data showing virus classification, mosquito species, Country of origin, vector competence and references. (supplementary material), low

percentage values were defined as those ranging from 0% to 40%, whereas high percentage values were defined as those from 50% and above.

Virus Class	virus	mosquito species	mosquito origin (country)	Infection	Dissemination	Transmission	Reference
Alphavirus	Getah virus	*Anopheles stephensi*	Japan	Yes	yes	yes	(21)
Orthoflavivirus	West Nile virus	*Anopheles farauti*	Australia	No	no	no	(22)
Alphavirus	Mayaro virus	*Anopheles albimanus*	United States	yes, high	yes	yes	(23)
Alphavirus	Sindbis virus	*Anopheles albimanus*	United States	yes, high	yes	yes	(23)
Alphavirus	Chikungunya virus	*Anopheles albimanus*	United States	yes, low	yes, low	yes, low	(23)
Orthoflavivirus	Dengue virus 2	*Anopheles albimanus*	United States	No	not done	not done	(23)
Orthobunyavirus	Cache Valley virus	*Anopheles quadrimaculatus*	United States	yes high	yes	yes	(24)
Alphavirus	Mayaro virus	*Anopheles freeborni*	United States	yes, low	yes	yes	(25)
Alphavirus	Mayaro virus	*Anopheles gambiae*	United States	yes, high	yes	yes	(18)
Alphavirus	Mayaro virus	*Anopheles quadrimaculatus*	United States	yes, high	yes	yes	(25)
Alphavirus	Mayaro virus	*Anopheles stephensi*	United States	yes, high	yes	yes	(25)
Orthoflavivirus	Dengue virus 2	*Anopheles maculipennis*	Italy	not done	no	no	(26)
Alphamesonivirus	Dianke virus	*Anopheles gambiae*	Senegal	yes, high	yes, low	no	(27)
Orthobunyavirus	Jamestown Canyon virus	*Anopheles quadrimaculatus*	United States	yes, high	yes, low	no	(28)
Orthoflavivirus	Zika virus	*Anopheles gambiae*	United States	no	no	no	(29)
Phlebovirus	Rift Valley fever virus	*Anopheles pharoensis*	Egypt	yes, high	yes, low	not done	(30)
Phlebovirus	Rift Valley fever virus	*Anopheles tenebrosus*	Egypt	yes, high	yes, low	not done	(30)
Alphavirus	Mayaro virus	*Anopheles quadrimaculatus*	United States	yes, high	yes, high	yes, low	(31)
Alphavirus	Eastern equine encephalitis virus	*Anopheles punctipennis*	United States	yes, high	not done	no	(32)
Alphavirus	Eastern equine encephalitis virus	*Anopheles quadrimaculatus*	United States	yes, high	not done	no	(32)
Phlebovirus	Rift Valley Fever virus	*Anopheles stephensi*	Netherlands	yes, low	yes, low	yes, low	(33)
Phlebovirus	Rift Valley fever virus	*Anopheles coustani*	Madagascar	yes, low	yes, low	yes, low	(34)
Phlebovirus	Rift Valley fever virus	*Anopheles gambiae*	Cameroon	not done	yes, low	not done	(35)
Phlebovirus	Rift Valley fever virus	*Anopheles bradleyi-crucians*	United States	yes, high	yes, low	not done	(36)
Phlebovirus	Rift Valley fever virus	*Anopheles crucians*	United States	yes, high	not done	not done	(37)

Phlebovirus	Rift Valley fever virus	*Anopheles quadrimaculatus*	United States	yes, high	no	no	(38)
Orthoflavivirus	Zika virus	*Anopheles quadrimaculatus*	United States	no	no	no	(39)
Sunrhavirus	Sunguru Virus	*Anopheles gambiae*	Uganda	yes, low	not done	not done	(40)
Orthobunyavirus	Bunyamwera virus	*Anopheles gambiae*	Kenya	yes, high	yes, high	not done	(41)
Orthobunyavirus	Cache Valley virus	*Anopheles quadrimaculatus*	United States	yes, high	yes, high	yes, low	(42)
Alphavirus	Onyong-nyong virus	*Anopheles stephensi*	Pakistan	yes, high	yes, high	yes, high	(43)
Orthoflavivirus	Usutu virus	*Anopheles plumbeus*	France	yes, low	yes, low	no	(44)
Alphavirus	Mayaro virus	*Anopheles gambiae*	NA	yes, high	yes, high	no	(45)
Orthoflavivirus	Japanese Encephalitis virus	*Anopheles plumbeus*	Belgium	yes, high	yes, low	yes, low	(46)
Alphavirus	Onyong-nyong virus	*Anopheles gambiae*	NA	yes, high	not done	not done	(47)
Alphavirus	Eastern equine encephalitis virus	*Anopheles quadrimaculatus*	United States	yes, high	yes, high	no	(48)
Alphavirus	Eilat virus	*Anopheles gambiae*	NA	yes, high	not done	no	(49)
Orthoflavivirus	Dengue virus 4	*Anopheles stephensi*	Taiwan	no	not done	not done	(50)
Alphavirus	Onyong-nyong virus	*Anopheles gambiae*	NA	yes, high	not done	not done	(51)
Alphavirus	Eilat virus	*Anopheles gambiae*	NA	yes, high	yes, low	not done	(52)

Figure 3: Distribution of viruses across phylogenetic groups, showing the number of viruses identified within each category. Alphaviruses are the most prevalent, followed by phleboviruses, while orthobunyaviruses, orthoflaviviruses, and unclassified viruses are less represented. This distribution suggests a higher occurrence or detectability of alphaviruses in the analyzed dataset.

Figure 4: Number of phylogenetic virus groups detected in different *Anopheles* mosquito species. *Anopheles gambiae* harbors the highest number of virus groups (4), followed by *Anopheles quadrimaculatus* (3) and *Anopheles stephensi* (2). This suggests variation in the vector competence or exposure of different *Anopheles* species to diverse viral lineages.