OCA-B/Pou2af1 Expression in T Cells Promotes PD-1 Blockade-Induced
Autoimmunity but is Dispensable for Anti-Tumor Immunity

Junhong Du[1,2], Asit K. Manna[1,2], Miguel A. Medina-Serpas[3], Erik P. Hughes[1,2], Paulla Bisoma[1,2],
Kimberley J. Evason[1,2], Arabella Young[1,2], W. David Wilson[4], Todd M. Brusko[3], Abdelbasset A.
Farahat[5,6], Dean Tantin[1,2]

[1]University of Utah School of Medicine, Department of Pathology, Salt Lake City, UT, 84112, USA.

[2]University of Utah School of Medicine, Huntsman Cancer Institute, Salt Lake City, UT, 84112, USA.

[3] University of Florida College of Medicine, Department of Pathology, Immunology, and Laboratory
Medicine, Diabetes Institute, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA.

[4]Georgia State University, Department of Chemistry, Atlanta, GA 30303, USA.

[5]California North State University, Master of Pharmaceutical Science Program, Elk Grove CA 95757,
USA.

[6]Mansoura University, Department of Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy,
Mansoura 35516, Egypt.

Correspondence to Dean Tantin: dean.tantin@path.utah.edu

Short title: OCA-B Promotes PD-1 Blockade-Triggered Diabetes

Keywords OCA-B/Pou2af1; immune checkpoint inhibitors; autoimmune diabetes; Non-obese diabetic
mouse; tumor immunity; MC38

Conflicts of interest statement: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists

Words in abstract: 237

Words main text: 6,634

Total main display items: 6

ABSTRACT

The transcription coregulator OCA-B promotes CD4$^+$ T cell memory recall responses and autoimmunity. OCA-B T cell deletion prevents spontaneous type-1 diabetes (T1D) onset in non-obese diabetic (NOD) mice and blunts T1D in a subset of more aggressive models. However, the role of OCA-B in diabetes induced by treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), and the role of OCA-B in the control of tumors with and without ICI treatment, has not been studied. Here we show that islet and pancreatic lymph node T cells from T1D individuals express measurable *POU2AF1* mRNA. Deletion of OCA-B in T cells fully insulates 8-week-old non-obese diabetic (NOD) mice against ICI-induced diabetes and partially protects 12-week-old mice. Salivary and lacrimal gland infiltration and inflammation were also reduced. Protection was associated with a block in the differentiation of progenitor exhausted CD8$^+$ T cells (T$_{PEX}$) into terminally exhausted CD8$^+$ T cells (T$_{EX}$). We show that OCA-B T cell loss preserves anti-tumor immune responses following PD-1 blockade in different tumors and mouse strains. These findings point to a potential therapeutic window in which pharmaceuticals targeting OCA-B could be used to block the emergence of both spontaneous and ICI-induced autoimmunity while sparing anti-tumor immunity. We develop first-in-class small molecule inhibitors of Oct1/OCA-B transcription complexes and show that administration into NOD mice also blocks diabetes emergence following PD-1 blockade. These results identify OCA-B as a promising therapeutic target for the prevention of autoimmunity and immune-related adverse events (irAEs).

INTRODUCTION

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) affects 1.8 million people in the United States and incidence is increasing worldwide (*1-3*). It is characterized by autoimmune destruction of pancreatic β cells and consequent inability to produce insulin (*4*). While T1D symptoms can be managed with insulin injections, they must be taken for life. Transplantation of islets of Langerhans can restore insulin-independence to T1D patients, but results in reactivation of islet-specific memory cells T cells, β cell destruction and transplant rejection (*5, 6*). Similarly, engraftment of islets from non-obese diabetic (NOD) mice with severe combined immunodeficiency (NOD.SCID) into diabetic NODs briefly restores normoglycemia, but results in robust memory autoimmune responses and complete loss of grafted islet function in 10-14 days (*7*). Human therapies aim to minimize graft rejection or block the initial emergence of T1D in at-risk patients altogether while sparing normal immune function (*8*).

In humans, α-PD-1 therapy as well as therapies directed against a number of other inhibitory T cell receptors (immune checkpoint inhibitors or ICIs) are now used for treatment of a variety of cancer indications (*9-12*). The development and wide adoption of ICIs has resulted in a concomitant increase in associated unwanted immune-related adverse events, or irAEs, affecting a variety of tissues (e.g., skin, rheumatoid, pulmonary, gut, cardiac), some of which can be permanent (*10, 13-21*). IrAEs include endocrinopathies such as thyroiditis (*22*), and less frequently hypophysitis, autoimmune diabetes and other diseases (*17, 19, 21, 23*). A critical gap in the field is the identification of treatment strategies that block the emergence of irAEs while preserving anti-tumor immunity.

Polymorphisms in the *HLA-DR* and *HLA-DQ* genes encoding MHC class II have the strongest genetic influence on T1D, accounting for 40-50% of familial risk (*24*). These findings implicate CD4+ T cells as primary drivers of pathogenesis. CD4+ T cells direct other cell types such as CD8+ T cells and macrophages to infiltrate pancreatic islets and cause β-cell destruction (*25*). Consistent with these findings, transient T cell depletion using α-CD3ε antibodies (Teplizumab-mzwv) significantly delays T1D onset in at-risk individuals in human clinical trials (*26-29*). Though promising, such treatments are limited by the resulting global immunosuppression. An ideal alternative would selectively deplete pathogenic T cell function while preserving beneficial immune function, including immunity against tumors.

OCA-B is a B cell- and T cell-restricted transcription coactivator (*30, 31*). In CD4+ T cells, OCA-B docks with the transcription factor Oct1 (gene symbol *Pou2f1*) or Oct2/Pou2f2 to regulate targets including *Il2*, *Ifng*, *Icos*, *Csf2* and *Tbx21* (*32, 33*). Unlike transcription factors such as NFAT, AP-1 and NF-κB, OCA-B controls the expression of these genes specifically during repeated antigen exposures (*32, 33*). Agents targeting this pathway would selectively inhibit over-active T cell responses to antigen re-exposure but preserve acute immune response. Consistent with this prediction, in the absence of either OCA-B or Oct1 primary T cell responses to systemic and glial-tropic viruses (LCMV and JHMV, respectively) and viral clearance are normal (*31, 34*), however fewer central memory (T_{CM}) CD4+ T cells form, and those that do are highly deficient in their ability to respond to antigenic re-challenge (*31, 33*). Three studies directly link polymorphisms in the human *OCAB* (*POU2AF1*) locus with autoimmune disease (*35-37*). Human polymorphisms affecting Oct1/2 (and therefore OCA-B) binding to the *TNFA* and *CTLA4* loci are associated with inflammatory bowel disease and systemic lupus erythematosus, respectively (*38, 39*). Additionally, two meta-analyses show a strong association between Oct1/Oct2/OCA-B binding site polymorphisms and autoimmunity including T1D(*40, 41*). Collectively, these studies link OCA-B with human autoimmunity.

OCA-B loss in T cells protects mice against spontaneous T1D onset (*42*). Single-cell RNA-sequencing
(scRNA-seq) reveals that protection is associated with loss of autoreactive CD8$^+$ T cell clones but
maintenance of the overall pancreatic islet immune architecture (*42*). These findings suggest that
targeting OCA-B could be used to treat T1D early in the disease course while sparing baseline
immunity. OCA-B's lymphoid-restricted expression pattern (*30, 31*), its dispensability for primary
antiviral responses (*31, 33, 34*), and its specificity for Oct1/Pou2f1 and Oct2/Pou2f2 complexes with
DNA (*43-45*) make it a promising therapeutic target that could be used to specifically block
autoreactive CD4$^+$ T cells while sparing normal immunity. OCA-B peptide mimics linked to membrane-
penetrating peptides can reduce inflammation and hyperglycemia in recent-onset T1D NOD mice, but
also show significant toxicity (*42*). An outstanding question is whether OCA-B can be successfully
targeted by more drug-like small molecules. It also remains to be determined if OCA-B promotes
diabetes driven by ICI therapy, and/or if OCA-B promotes anti-tumor immunity, including that
promoted by ICI.

Here, we identify T cells expressing POU2AF1 in the pancreatic lymph nodes and islets of T cells
expressing inflammatory markers in individuals with early T1D. We show that OCA-B in T cells
promotes the emergence of autoimmune diabetes following ICI administration in NOD mice, while
being dispensable for anti-tumor immune responses following ICI administration. We develop a small
molecule DNA-binding inhibitor that effectively disrupts Oct1:OCA-B complex in vitro and OCA-B's
transcription functions in cultured cells. We show that administration of these compounds to NOD
mice blocks the emergence of diabetes and prevents inflammation in pancreatic islets and other
endocrine organs following ICI administration, with in vivo efficacy tracking with activity in vitro.
Collectively, the findings indicate that OCA-B promotes diabetes development following ICI treatment,
and that targeting OCA-B could be used to block irAEs following ICI while sparing anti-tumor
immunity.

RESULTS

Detection of POU2AF1/OCAB mRNA in proinflammatory T cells in the setting of early human
T1D

T cell conditional OCA-B loss protects NOD mice against spontaneous T1D (*42*). To identify a
possible association with T cells in the context of human T1D, we performed a targeted reanalysis of
previously published spatial transcriptomic data (*46*) using collated transcriptomic information from an
individual with T1D (nPOD6551, 20 years old, 0.58 years duration) to identify CD8$^+$ T cells
(pseudocolored yellow), B cells (green) and islet cells (white), as well as targeted transcript
annotations for *CHGA* (pseudocolored red) to highlight β cells, *CD8A* (purple) to highlight T cells,
MS4A1 (orange) to highlight B cells. *POU2AF1* was pseudocolored cyan. Focusing on a
representative islet with obvious insulitis, we observed strong peri-islet inflammation with both T cells
and rare B cells (**Fig. 1A**). The B cells in the field all displayed obvious POU2AF1 gene expression as
a positive control, while approximately 9.4% of CD8$^+$ T cells (19/202 cells) showed measurable
POU2AF1 expression. *POU2AF1*-expressing CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cells were also identified in the
pancreatic lymph nodes (pLNs) from the same individual (**Fig. 1B-C**). These findings confirm the
presence of *POU2AF1*-expressing T cells within the pancreas of T1D patients.

OCA-B T cell knockout protects NOD mice against diabetes induced by PD-1 blockade

Modulating the PD-1 pathway induces diabetes and other endocrinopathies in NOD mice (*47-50*). To
test the effect of OCA-B T cell loss in diabetes induced by PD-1 blockade, we treated 8-week-old male
NOD mice with four injections of α-PD-1 antibody to follow the disease timecourse, or one injection of
α-PD-1 antibody with a 5-day interval prior to flow cytometric analysis of NOD mice (**Fig. 2A**). OCA-B
loss in T cells completely blocked α-PD-1-induced diabetes development (**Fig. 2B-C**). Treatment of
12-week-old female NOD mice with 5 total injections of α-PD-1 resulted in less complete protection,
with half the mice failing to develop diabetes and the other half showing delayed onset (**fig. S1A-B**).
Flow cytometry revealed a significant reduction in islet-infiltrating CD45$^+$ leukocytes in α-PD-1-treated
knockout mice compared to littermate controls (**Fig. 2D-E**). We also observed a decrease in the ratio
of islet-infiltrating CD8$^+$/CD4$^+$ T cells in 8-week-old knockouts (**Fig. 2F-G**). Autoreactive CD8$^+$ T cells
specifically recognize and destroy β cells and their relative numbers tend to increase with PD-1
blockade (*25*). Among the infiltrating CD8$^+$ cells, there were no changes in total CD11a$^+$CD62L^{lo}
activated cells (**Fig. 2H-I**), suggesting that OCA-B does not regulate acute activation in this context,
however CD8$^+$PD-1$^+$ cells were significantly reduced (**Fig. 2J-K and fig. S1C-D**). PD-1 blockade
results in the proliferation and differentiation of exhausted CD8$^+$ T cells in pancreatic islets, promoting
the expansion of both T$_{PEX}$ and T$_{EX}$ cells but also skewing the islet total exhausted T cell population in
favor of T$_{EX}$ (*25*). To determine the effect of OCA-B loss on this pathogenic maturation, we used
SLAMF6 (also known as Ly108) and CD39 to distinguish CD8$^+$ T$_{PEX}$ and T$_{EX}$, respectively, at both 8
and 12 weeks. OCA-B loss resulted in a marked diminution in T$_{EX}$ percentages among both PD-
1$^+$CD8$^+$ and total islet cells (**Fig. 2L-M**), consistent with a defect in the ability of CD8$^+$ T$_{PEX}$ cells within
the islets of 8-week-old mice to differentiate into T$_{EX}$. Similar findings were made at 12 weeks though
with decreased magnitude (**fig. S1E-F**). A similar decrease of SLAMF6$^-$CD39$^+$ terminally exhausted
CD4$^+$ T cells was also observed (**fig. S1G-H**). In the absence of α-PD-1 treatment, T$_{PEX}$ percentages

were unaffected while knockouts still showed a substantial decrease in T_{EX}, suggesting that the
differences in T_{EX} with α-PD-1 treatment are not due to prior differences in T_{PEX} abundance in the
knockouts (**fig. S2A-B**). Lastly, following PD-1 blockade in 8-week-old animals, we observed stark
differences in the accumulation of CD62L^{hi} T_{PEX}, an even more developmentally plastic CD8$^+$
subpopulation (*51*) (**fig. S2C-D**). Cumulatively, these results indicate that OCA-B loss in T cells blocks
the induction of diabetes by α-PD-1 treatment and reduces the pathogenic maturation of CD8$^+$ T_{PEX} in
the pancreatic islets.

166 OCA-B T cell knockout maintains anti-tumor immunity induced by PD-1 blockade

To determine if OCA-B T cell loss has a corresponding effect on anti-tumor immunity, we used
C57BL/6 and NOD mice OCA-B conditional knockout mice (*31, 42*) with syngeneic tumor lines. In the
C57BL/6 strain background we used two lines, B16F10 and MC38. Compared to littermate controls
lacking the CD4-Cre driver, OCA-B loss had no effect on B16F10 tumor growth (**fig. S3**). This result
was expected because unmodified B16 cells do no elicit robust immune responses unless given a
combination of ICI treatment (*52*). In contrast, "immune-hot" MC38 colon adenocarcinoma cells exhibit
significant control by T cells that can be augmented by α-PD-1 treatment (*53*). We found that MC38
tumor control was preserved with OCA-B T cell loss either in the absence or presence of α-PD-1
treatment (**Fig. 3A-B**). Flow cytometry of cells from the tumor microenvironment (TME) showed
equivalent CD45.2$^+$ leukocyte infiltration and CD8$^+$ to CD4$^+$ T cell ratios with α-PD-1 treatment (**Fig.**
3C-D). The percentages of CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ SLAMF6$^+$CD39$^-$ and SLAMF6$^-$CD39$^+$ cells in the TME
following α-PD-1 antibody treatment were similar between OCA-B knockouts and controls (**Fig. 3E-F**).
T cell exhaustion in tumor-infiltrating CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ as indicated by TIM-3 expression was also
equivalent (**Fig. 3G-H**).

Because the protection from PD-1 blockade-induced diabetes was observed using NOD background
mice, we also assessed tumor responses using a syngeneic "immune-hot" 9495 sarcoma cell line
(*54*), allowing us to measure diabetes incidence and tumor control in the same animals. α-PD-1
antibody treatment was effective in controlling 9495 tumor growth in syngeneic NOD mice (**Fig. 4A**)
as expected (*54*). Moreover, tumor control either with or without α-PD-1 treatment was equivalent
regardless of OCA-B status (**Fig. 4A**). A similar cohort of mice were again fully protected against
diabetes in the OCA-B deficient group, though penetrance in the control group was lower due to the
decreased amount of α-PD-1 antibody used (200 μg vs. 250 μg) to slow diabetic progression and
allow longer monitoring of tumor growth (**fig. S4A**). Although statistically nonsignificant, there was a
trending decrease in CD45$^+$ leukocyte tumor infiltration in OCA-B-deficient mice (**Fig. 4B**). This was
driven by 4 control animals with high tumor infiltrate levels. As with MC38 tumors in C57BL/6 mice,
CD8$^+$ percentages, T_{PEX} to T_{EX} differentiation, and CD8$^+$ TIM-3 expression (**Fig. 4C-H**) were equivalent
in the context of α-PD-1 treatment. There were also few differences in CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cell activation
and PD-1 expression (**fig. S4B-E**). These findings indicate minimal differences in anti-tumor immune
responses to multiple syngeneic tumor lines in OCA-B T cell knockout mice, indicating a divergence
between anti-tumor immunity and the precipitation of irAEs that could be leveraged therapeutically.

198 Development of small molecule inhibitors of Oct1:OCA-B complexes

The Oct1:OCA-B:DNA co-crystal structure (*45*) suggests multiple possible targeting strategies,
including rationally designed OCA-B peptide mimics (**Fig. 5A**, upper lightning bolt) which are
functional but associated with toxicity in cells and mice due to the membrane-penetrating peptide (*42*).
To identify novel small molecule inhibitors, we used another strategy in which DNA minor groove-
binding compounds with specificity for the consensus octamer motif (5'ATGCAAAT) would disrupt
Oct1:OCA-B complexes. These inhibitors would dock on the underside of the DNA in the structure
shown in **Fig. 5A** (bottom lightning bolt) and would interfere with the unstructured Oct1 linker domain
that also spans the minor groove. We synthesized and tested 9 candidate minor groove-binding
compounds with specificity for the octamer motif. To test efficacy, we developed two cell-based assay
systems. The first is a transcription-based platform in which we stably transfected the human T cell
line SupT1 to express secreted nanoluciferase (nLuc) under the control of five Oct1/OCA-B binding
sites. SupT1 T cells express OCA-B at higher levels than, e.g. Jurkat cells, and at levels comparable
to primary thymocytes (**Fig. 5B**). We generated a stable-transfected SupT1 clone expressing nLuc
under the control of five wild-type octamer sequences ("5×Oct") and a second using mutant sites
incapable of binding Oct1 and OCA-B ("5×Mut", **Fig. 5C**). The 5×Oct clone produced substantial levels
of luciferase activity relative to empty vector-transduced controls, while the 5×Mut clone expressed
lower but still measurable activity (**Fig. 5D**). Timecourse experiments show that 12- to 18-hr
incubations have not yet saturated nLuc activity and capture a dynamic range allowing both activators
and inhibitors to be detected (**Fig. 5E**). We therefore chose 18-20 hr for all further experiments. Cell
counts were also taken to measure cell viability, using 2.5 μM staurosporine a positive control for
maximum toxicity. The treatment kills >98% of SupT1 cells within ~20 hr and correspondingly inhibits
luciferase expression (**fig. S5**). Of the 9 candidate compounds two – DB1033 (IC$_{50}$~26 µM, **Fig. 5F**)
and DB2232 (IC$_{50}$~10 µM, **fig. S6A**) – inhibited luciferase activity without significantly affecting
luciferase expression from the mutant cell line and without significant toxicity. Other compounds such
as DB2658 showed significant toxicity in the same screen (**fig. S6B**) or were less active (e.g.,
DB2385, **fig. S6C-H**). The DB1033 structure is shown in **Fig. 5G**. Molecular docking indicated that
DB1033 preferentially interacts with DNA minor groove in the AAAT portion of the consensus octamer
sequence, overlapping with the location of the unstructured Oct1 linker domain (**Fig. 5H-I**).

To reduce the possibility of off-target hits, we tested DB1033 compound activity using an orthogonal
cell-based method. We developed a nano-bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (NanoBRET)
assay that measures OCA-B's interaction with its cognate transcription factor Oct1. In this assay,
293T cells are co-transfected with an OCA-B construct carrying a HaloTag and an Oct1 construct
carrying an nLuc tag (**Fig. 6A**). DB1033 again showed dose-dependent inhibition (**Fig. 6B**), indicating
that DB1033 disrupts the interaction between Oct1 and OCA-B. To test this prediction at a mechanism
level, we used electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) with recombinant Oct1 DNA binding
domain and recombinant full-length OCA-B to measure formation of Oct1:OCA-B:DNA complexes
(**Fig. 6C**). We used Cy5-conjugated double-stranded DNA to visualize complexes with cognate DNA
in native polyacrylamide gels. Labeled DNA was in excess relative to protein such that changes in the
ability to form a complex can be readily observed. Because of "caging effects", changes in off-rates
are not efficiently captured within a running native gel. Instead, in this assay effects of an inhibitor on
complex formation are likely generated during the incubation prior to gel loading. We assembled the
protein, DNA and buffer components on ice for 25 minutes in stringent conditions (150 mM KCl), and
incubated the mixture for a further 5 min at room temperature ±compound prior to loading. Oct1
readily formed a complex with DNA that was augmented and slightly supershifted in the presence of

OCA-B, indicating the formation of a ternary complex (**Fig. 6D**, lane 3). Inclusion of DB1033 efficiently
disrupted complex formation in a dose-dependent manner (lanes 4-7).

A complementary in vitro assay uses differential scanning fluorimetry (nanoDSF) to measure
Oct1:OCA-B:DNA complex thermal stability. NanoDSF measures the gradual exposure of UV-
absorbing tyrosine side chains as proteins unfold with increasing temperature. We developed a
single-polypeptide chimeric molecule containing the Oct1 DNA binding domain fused to the first 44
amino acids of OCA-B, encompassing the structured part of OCA-B that contacts Oct1 (**Fig. 6E**). The
first 15 amino acids of OCA-B do not appear to be well-structured and presumably serve as a linker
between the two molecules. AlphaFold 3 (*55*) predicts that the chimeric molecule folds similarly to
natural Oct1 and OCA-B on DNA (**Fig. 6F**). The protein was expressed in *E. coli* with N-terminal 6-
His, consecutive C-terminal Twinstrep and FLAG tags. Following purification, the protein was found to
be mostly pure as assessed by Coomassie staining SDS-PAGE (**fig. S7A**). Size exclusion
chromatography indicated that the purified protein was mostly monodisperse, and EMSA indicated
that it was able to interact with consensus octamer DNA (**fig. S7B-C**). The presence of target DNA
strongly increased the stability of the chimeric protein in nanoDSF, as expected (**Fig. 6G**), while
adding DB1033 in the presence of DNA destabilized the complex (**Fig. 6H**). These findings identify
DB1033 as the best compound tested, indicate that DB1033 acts to disrupt Oct1:OCA-B complexes
on DNA, and establish a pipeline for assessing molecules that modulate Oct1 and/or OCA-B's
interactions with one another and with DNA, their overall stability, and their transcriptional functionality.

Small molecule Oct1:OCA-B inhibitors block α-PD-1-induced diabetes and other irAEs in NOD
mice

We treated 8-week-old male NOD mice with five *i.p.* injections of 15 mg/kg DB1033 or DB2232
simultaneously with α-PD-1 antibody treatment, plus one additional dose of compound on day 11. 8-
week-old male NOD.*Ocab*^{fl/fl};CD4-Cre mice were used as a positive control for protection. As with
genetic OCA-B T cell knockout, DB1033 treatment completely protected mice against diabetes
emergence (**Fig. 7A-B**). These results indicate 1) that DB1033 is effective in protecting mice against
α-PD-1 antibody-induced diabetes, and that 2) acute inhibition at the same time as α-PD-1 antibody
delivery is sufficient to protect mice against diabetes development. DB1033 toxicity was negligible or
low-level, as there was a trending but statistically nonsignificant loss of weight associated with treated
animals at endpoint (**Fig. 7C**). Protection was not only confined to the pancreatic islets but was also
noted with salivary and lacrimal gland tissues, where leukocyte infiltration was strongly reduced (**Fig.**
7D-E). Importantly, the structurally related DB2232 compound, which was inferior in cell-based
assays, was also functionally inferior at preventing diabetes (**fig. S7D-F**). These findings provide
evidence of a structure-activity relationship linking compound activity to OCA-B complex inhibition. In
summary, the findings show firstly that OCA-B promotes the development of irAEs in ICI-treated NOD
mice while being dispensable for anti-tumor immunity, and secondly that acute small molecule
inhibitor administration also blocks irAE generation.

DISCUSSION

Here we show that OCA-B expression in T cells promotes irAEs following ICI treatment while minimally affecting anti-tumor responses. We also, for the first time, show that a small molecule Oct1:OCA-B inhibitor phenocopies the protection against irAEs observed using the OCA-B T cell knockouts. The latter findings indicate that acute OCA-B inhibition is sufficient to protect against irAEs and suggest the existence of a therapeutic window in which OCA-B pharmacological targeting can be used to block autoimmunity while sparing baseline immune function, including immunity to tumors.

OCA-B is one of three tissue-restricted transcription coregulators known as OCA proteins: OCA-B, OCA-T1 and OCA-T2 (*56*). Like the other two proteins OCA-B docks with the sequence-specific DNA binding POU transcription factors to regulate transcription (*43-45*). The OCA-Ts are master regulators of tuft cells, and a therapeutic vulnerability in small cell lung cancers originating from this cell type (*56*). OCA-B has important B cell-intrinsic functions including in the formation of germinal centers (*57, 58*). In T cells, OCA-B docks with the POU factor Oct1 to regulate target genes encoding cytokines and other immunomodulatory proteins (*33*). Because OCA-B expression is 50-100-fold higher in B cells compared to T cells (*31*), a calibrated dose of competitive inhibitor could selectively block T cell functions while sparing B cell-intrinsic OCA-B functions. For all three proteins, whole-body null mice are healthy, viable and fertile (*56, 59, 60*), suggesting that they a good candidate drug targets, however no small molecules targeting OCA proteins have been described.

Multiple lines of evidence show that pathogenic human and mouse progenitor-like T cells promote and sustain autoimmunity including T1D (*51, 61-63*). In mice, OCA-B promotes pathogenesis in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), a model of multiple sclerosis, through maturation of stem-like cells into encephalitogenic effectors (*34*). These properties are T cell intrinsic. In a relapsing-remitting NOD.EAE model, OCA-B T cell-deficiency specifically protects mice from relapse (*34*). On the NOD background, both OCA-B whole body and T cell-specific knockout block the onset of spontaneous T1D (*42*). Using more aggressive T1D mouse models including CD25-mediated T_{reg} depletion and the CD8-restricted, autoreactive NY8.3 transgenic line revealed partial protection, while using the CD4-restricted BDC2.5 and CD8-restricted RIP-mOVA-OT-1 models showed no differences (*42*). The protection conferred by OCA-B loss is therefore strongest in more chronic polyclonal models. These findings also reveal that OCA-B T cell loss does not result in global immunosuppression, which would result in broader protection. In female NOD mice with newly arisen T1D, intravenous administration of membrane-penetrating OCA-B peptide mimics briefly reverses elevated blood glucose, as well as pancreatic islet T cell infiltration and pro-inflammatory cytokine production (*42*). In contrast to the pancreas, pLN showed no change in T cell numbers or percentages, but did show reduced T cell cytokine responses following self-peptide stimulation (*42*). However, greater than three peptide injections was toxic, with mice exhibiting ruffled skin, moribund appearance and weight loss (*42*). The Tat membrane-penetrating peptide is associated with acute toxicity that can be mitigated by spacing the dose(*64-66*).

The ability to trigger endocrine irAEs using α-PD-1 treatment in NOD mice presented an opportunity to test the OCA-B T cell loss of function model in different ways. First, to test the effect of OCA-B loss in an aggressive but physiologically relevant model of autoimmune diabetes. Second, to use the diabetes and other pathologies as models for ICI-triggered irAEs. Third, to determine the role of OCA-B in anti-tumor immunity, given the importance of finding anti-irAE treatments that do not inhibit tumor control for patients with cancer. We found that OCA-B T cell loss protected NOD mice against ICI-triggered diabetes. The effect of OCA-B loss was partially dependent on the age at which ICI was

administered, with 8-week-old mice showing more complete protection compared to 12-week-old mice. This finding is consistent with a model in which OCA-B loss protects NOD mice at multiple levels, one of which spans the 8-week timepoint and is PD-1 independent, and one of which spans the 12-week timepoint and is partially PD-1 dependent. Profiling the immune cells in these mice flow cytometrically indicated significant reduction in T_{EX}, and a concomitant increase in cells with a progenitor-like (SLAMF6^{hi}CD39^{lo}) phenotype.

In contrast to the autoimmune protection conferred by OCA-B loss, immune responses and tumor control were preserved either with or without α-PD-1 treatment. No differences were observed using immune-cold B16F10 melanoma cells, as well as immune-hot MC38 colon carcinoma cells and 9495 sarcoma cells. Similar to the preservation of tumor control, loss of OCA-B in T cells preserves normal primary response and viral control following infection with either the acute strain of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) or the glial-tropic β-coronavirus JHMV (*31, 33, 34*). The normal T cell primary immune response phenotypes observed with Oct1 and OCA-B genetic deletion suggest the existence of a therapeutic window in which an OCA-B pharmaceutical could be used not just to block autoimmunity, but could be co-administered with ICIs to block irAEs while sparing immunity to viruses and tumors. A likely side effect of therapies targeting this pathway would be an inability of newly-generated memory CD4^{+} T cells to mount robust recall responses (*31, 33*). Our findings indicate that OCA-B promotes a memory-like population of CD4^{+} T cells important for immune responses to tumor re-challenge and relapse (manuscript in prep). An OCA-B inhibitor may therefore need to be withdrawn prior to any potential tumor relapse.

Despite the prevailing but erroneous idea that transcription factors are "undruggable," there are multiple historical(*64, 67-69*), and recent(*70-84*) counterexamples, the latter enabled by technologies such as PROTAC(*85, 86*). Oct1's wide expression pattern and role in somatic stem cell function (*87, 88*) make it less attractive as a drug target relative to OCA-B, which is highly tissue-specific. Whole-body OCA-B knockouts are viable and fertile (*59, 60*). We show that systemic administration of a small molecule inhibitor, DB1033, into NOD mice blocks the emergence of α-PD-1-induced diabetes. These molecules disrupt Oct1:OCA-B:DNA complexes in cell culture and in vitro. The finding that DB1033 administration concomitant with α-PD-1 antibody treatment is sufficient to block the induction of diabetes and other autoimmune pathologies suggests that OCA-B actions close to the time of injection, and therefore spatially proximate to the pLNs and pancreatic islets, rather than development of auto-reactive T cells in an OCA-B deficient environment from the double-positive thymocyte stage onwards, mediates autoimmune protection. Moreover, we show that a structurally related compound, DB2232, that is inferior in attenuating OCA-B-dependent transcription in cell culture and in disrupting Oct1:OCA-B complexes in vitro is also functionally inferior at protecting NOD mice against ICI-triggered diabetes, strongly suggesting that these compounds act through OCA-B disruption. These reagents provide a conceptual milestone as a first-in-class small molecule Oct1/OCA-B inhibitor that can reduce autoimmunity. More work is necessary to develop superior and more drug-like small molecule inhibitors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design

The objective of the study was to determine the effect of OCA-B deletion in mouse T cells on autoimmune diabetes induced by ICI treatment and on immunological control of tumors in the absence and presence of ICIs, as well as to test the abilities of small molecule inhibitors. Methods included spatial transcriptomics to assess human *POU2AF1* in the context of T1D, assessment of glucose levels and tumor size, flow cytometry and histology to assess degrees of infiltration and the qualitative nature of the cells, and transcriptional reporter, nanoBRET, EMSA and nanoDSF measurements of small molecule efficacy. Sample sizes (n=3–12) were determined to be sufficient for the type of assay and statistical analysis. Excepting histological scoring, investigators were not blinded when conducting or analyzing the experiments outlined in this study. Mice were randomly assigned to treatment groups and repeat experiments were performed in both males and females where appropriate (e.g., where tumor engraftment was feasible), with no apparent sex differences.

Spatial transcriptomics

Imaging-based spatial transcriptomics of pancreatic tissue was prepared using the network of Pancreatic Organ Donors with Diabetes (nPOD) donor tissue and the Xenium Prime in situ gene expression platform (10X Genomics) according to the manufacturers protocol. Pancreas sections were obtained from the nPOD donor 6551 (RRID: SCR+014641; https://npod.org/), a 20 year-old male with early (6 months onset) T1D (AAb count: 4) with approval from the University of Florida Institutional Review Board (IRB #201600029). Output data were processed as previously described (*46*). Xenium data were visualized using Xenium Explorer 4 software (v4.0.0).

Laboratory mice

All mice used in this study were non-obese diabetic (NOD) background, with the exception of B16-F10 and MC38 tumor injections which used C57BL/6 mice. *Pou2af1* (*Ocab*) conditional (floxed) mice on the C57BL/6 and NOD backgrounds were generated as described previously (*31, 42*). All animal experiments were approved by the University of Utah Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC approval 00001553).

α-PD-1-induced diabetes

250 µg α-PD-1 (clone RMP1-14, Cat# BE0146, Bio X Cell) or rat IgG2a isotype control (Cat# BE0089, Bio X Cell) was intraperitoneally (*i.p.*) administered into NOD.*Ocab*^fl/fl^ or *Ocab*^fl/fl^;CD4-Cre every 4 days as indicated. Antibody was diluted in PBS for injection of 200 µL per mouse.

Histology

Salivary glands and lacrimal glands were isolated and fixed in 10% formalin. After 24-72 hr, tissues were transferred to 70% ethanol and embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5~7 μm though the middle of

the tissue along the long axis, and H&E staining was performed. Slides were examined and imaged
using an Olympus BX53 microscope with UPlanFL objectives, Olympus DP73 camera, and Olympus
cellSens imaging software. For lacrimal glands, inflammation was assessed by quantifying the
percentage of the gland area with inflammation. For salivary glands, leukocytic number per 10× field
was quantified by counting the numbers of inflammatory foci and dividing by the number of 10× fields
of the whole tissue.

Secondary lymphoid organ and tumor harvest

Spleens and lymph nodes were ground and filtered through a 70 µm nylon cell strainer (VWR #76327-
100). Red blood cells were removed by Ammonium-Chloride-Potassium (ACK) lysis buffer (10 mM
$KHCO_3$, 150 mM NH_4Cl, and 0.1 mM Na_2EDTA). Immune cells were recovered from MC38 tumors
using a published method (89) in which tumors were manually disrupted by grinding between the
frosted end of slide glass in a 6-well plate with 3 mL of PBS plus 4% FBS, and filtered through a 40
µm nylon cell strainer (VWR #76327-098). 9495 tumors were mechanically disrupted in 6-well-plate
and digest in RPMI media containing 16mg/mL collagenase D (Sigma-Aldrich #11088858001) and
10mg/mL Dnase (Sigma-Aldrich #10104159001) at 37°C in CO_2 incubator for 45 minutes and filtered
through a 40 µm nylon cell strainer, spined in 37.5% percoll gradient, and red blood cells (RBCs) were
lysed to produce single-cell suspensions.

Pancreatic islet harvest

Lymphocytes were isolated based on a protocol from Jing et al. (90). Pancreata were harvested and
perfused with collagenase 4 (Worthington #LS004188) at 37°C, and islets were picked using a 10 µL
pipette. Islet single-cell suspensions were obtained by vortexing in cell dissociation buffer
(ThermoFisher Scientific #13151014).

Flow cytometry

Single-cell samples were stained with antibodies (1:100 diluted in FACS buffer) for flow cytometric
analysis. For intracellular staining, cells were cultured in RPMI1640 (ThermoFisher Scientific
#11875093) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific
#15140122) and 1% GlutaMAX (ThermoFisher Scientific #35050061), 1 µL/mL Brefeldin A (BD
Biosciences #555029), 50 ng/mL phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (Sigma-Aldrich #P1585) and 1
ug/mL ionomycin (Sigma-Aldrich #I0634) for 4 hr. Cells were stained for surface markers and fixed
using Cytofix/Cytoperm (BD Biosciences #554714) following the manufacture's protocol, and
resuspended in Perm/Wash buffer for intracellular cytokine staining. For intranuclear staining, cells
were stained for surface markers, fixed using the Foxp3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Kit
(ThermoFisher Scientific #00-5523-00) and stained for intranuclear markers. Flow cytometry was
performed using a BD Fortessa (BD Biosciences). Data analysis was performed using FlowJo
software (BD Biosciences).

Cell lines

Mouse MC38 cell line was a gift from Ryan O'Connell (University of Utah). B16-F10 cells were a gift
from the lab of Matthew A. Williams (University of Utah). MC38 cells were grown in DMEM
(ThermoFisher Scientific #11965092) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1%
MEM-NEAA (ThermoFisher Scientific #11140050) and 1% HEPES-Cl pH 7.3 (ThermoFisher Scientific
#15630130). B16-F10 cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1%
penicillin/streptomycin. NOD 9495 cells were grown similar to a prior report (*54*) in DMEM (no
glutamine, no sodium pyruvate, ThermoFisher Scientific #11960044) supplemented with 10% FBS
(ThermoFisher Scientific #A5256701) 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% 100×
MEM-NEAA, 1% GlutaMAX (ThermoFisher Scientific #35050061). SupT1 human T lymphoblast cells
were grown in RPMI1640 supplemented with 5% FBS, 5% calf serum (Sigma), 1%
penicillin/streptomycin and 1% GlutaMAX at 37°C with 5% CO_2.

Tumor cell line engraftment

All tumor experiments used 6- to 10-week-old C57BL/6 or 6-8-week-old NOD background mice. For
injections of B16-F10 and MC38, after trypsinization, cultured tumor cells were resuspended in PBS
and $1×10^6$ and $5×10^5$ tumor cells were *s.c.* injected into the hind flank of mice, respectively. MC38
tumor-bearing mice were treated with 300 µg α-PD-1 or PBS control. For the injection of NOD 9495
tumor line, after trypsinization, cultured tumor cells were resuspended in PBS and $1.5×10^6$ tumor cells
were *s.c.* injected into the hind flank of mice as described (*54*). To treat tumor-bearing NOD mice
while minimizing diabetes induction, 200 µg α-PD-1 or PBS control was used. To minimize
complications from hyperglycemia, mice were administered insulin pellets when blood glucose levels
reached ≥250 mg/dL for two consecutive days.

Small molecules

Synthesis of DB1033, DB2232, DB2658, DB2379, DB2559, and DB2385 has been previously
reported (*91*). All compounds were dissolved in 100% DMSO and stored at 5mM at -80°C for later
use. For cell-based assays, stock solutions or DMSO vehicle controls were diluted in culture media.
For EMSA, compounds were diluted in 0.6X buffer D (*92, 93*). For mouse injections, sterile PBS was
used to dissolve the compounds.

Generation of SupT1 luciferase reporter cell lines

Reporter constructs were generated using a modified secreted nano-luciferase vector, pNL2.3
(Promega #N108A), with the hygromycin resistance cassette replaced with puromycin resistance
cassette (to generate pNL2.3-Puro). Gene blocks (Integrated DNA Technologies) were synthesized
containing 5 canonical Oct1 binding motifs (ATGCAAAT, 5×Oct) or mutant motifs (CAAACTAC, 5×Mut)
upstream of a minimal CMV promoter. Gene blocks were subcloned into the multiple cloning site of
pNL2.3-Puro using EcoRV and HindIII restriction sites. To generate stable transfectants, human
SupT1 cells were electroporated using Neon (ThermoFisher Scientific) with 1 µg of either the pNL2.3-
Puro empty-vector (EV), pNL2.3-Puro 5×Oct (5×Oct), or pNL2.3-Puro 5×Mut (5×Mut) construct.
Electroporation parameters were: 1700 V, 10 milliseconds, ×3 pulses. Electroporated cells were

allowed to recover for 2 days, then selected for stable DNA integration and expanded in complete
media containing 1 μg/mL puromycin (ThermoFisher Scientific #A1113803) for 2 weeks. Cells were
then single cell-cloned by limited dilution in complete media containing 1 μg/mL puromycin.

SupT1 OCA-B activity assays

486   1.0×10^5 5×Oct and 5×Mut reporter cells were seeded into wells of 96-well plates were cultured in 100
487   μL complete RPMI in complete medium with serially diluted compounds for 18-20 hr. Supernatant was
488   collected and mixed with 1:50 buffer-diluted Nano-Glo luciferase substrate (Cat# N1110, Promega).
489   Luminescence was measured using a Modulus Microplate Multimode Reader (Promega).

In vivo treatment of PD-1 blockade-induced diabetes

492   NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ and NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice were *i.p.* administered with α-PD-1 and 15 mg/kg of
493   DB1033 or DB2232 was administered *i.p.* to the mice on day 0, 4, 8, and 12, and one additional dose
494   of DB1033 or DB2232 on day 11. Mice were sacrificed on day 24.

Construction and purification of recombinant Oct1 DNA binding domain Oct1-fusion proteins

A cDNA for the Oct1 DNA binding domain (comprising POU$_S$ and POU$_H$ subdomains) fused to OCA-$_{B1-}$
$_{44}$ was ordered from IDT and included an N-terminal 6-His, consecutive C-terminal Twinstrep and
FLAG tags. the cDNAs were cloned into the pET28a vector (Invitrogen) between the *Nco*I and *Hind*III
sites. The plasmids were transformed into Rosetta 2 (DE3) pLysS competent cells (Sigma) using 50
μg/ml kanamycin as a selectable marker. Protein was expressed using 1 mM IPTG (Sigma) at 37°C
for three hr. The cells were lysed in buffer containing 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 (Sigma), 300 mM NaCl
(Sigma), 5% glycerol (Sigma) and 1 mM DTT (ThermoFisher Scientific) containing EDTA-free
protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma) at 2X concentration. Protein was bound to a Histrap column
(Cytiva) using the same buffer and eluted with buffer containing 400 mM imidazole (Sigma). The
protein was further purified by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex increase 75 10/300 GL
column (Cytiva.inc). The column was loaded using PBS containing 1 mM DTT. The protein was
analyzed on 12% SDS-PAGE gel visualized by Coomassie staining.

NanoBRET

The NanoBRET donor plasmid was made by cloning nLuc in-frame with the N-terminus of full-length
human Oct1 between the *Nco*I and *Xba*I restriction sites of pACE-MAM2 (Geneva Biotech). The
acceptor plasmid was made by cloning full-length human OCA-B containing a C-terminal HaloTag into
pHTC vector (Promega) between the *Eco*RI and *Xho*I sites. nanoBRET was performed using the
NanoBRET protein:protein interaction kit (Promega) following the manufacturer protocol. Briefly, 2×10^6
293T cells were plated in 6-well plates were transfected with a total of 2 μg of donor plus acceptor
plasmid at a ratio of 1:100. After 24 hr, cells were harvested and counted. 2×10^5 resuspended cells
were seeded into the wells of 96-well plates using DMEM lacking phenol red (ThermoFisher Scientific)
containing 10% FBS. After 1 hr, DB1033 or DMSO vehicle control were also added at the indicated

concentrations in biological triplicate. After 24 hr, the Nanuck substrate and Halo-ligand were added
before measuring BRET ratio using plate reader (Spectromax iD5, Molecular Devices Inc.). The
miliBRET ratio was plotted with respect to the DB1033 concentration.

EMSA

Published protocols (*92, 93*) were used for EMSA. The octamer sequence probe was 5' labeled with
Cy-5 and used at a final concentration of 40 nM. The probe was incubated with 10 nM Oct1-OCA B
chimeric protein ±equimolar OCA B (1-44) peptide over ice for 15 min prior to the addition of 100 nM-
400 nM DB1033, then further incubated over ice for another 15 min. Reaction mixtures were
electrophoresed through 6% native polyacrylamide gels. Images were collected using a Molecular
Dynamics Typhoon scanner using the Cy-5 fluorescence filter.

NanoDSF

Tryptophan fluorescence at 330 nm and 350 nm were simultaneously monitored over a temperature
range from 25°C to 95°C using a NanoTemper Technologies nanoDSF instrument with an excitation
wavelength of 280 nm based on published procedures (*94*). The Oct1-OCA B chimeric protein
complexed with double-stranded DNA containing an Oct1-OCA-B binding site was incubated on ice
for 15 min. Protein lacking DNA was used as a control. The effect of DB1033 compound or DMSO
control on the protein DNA complex were measured by comparing the melting point of the
protein/DNA complex ±DB1033 compound. 10 µl from each sample was loaded into each capillary
avoiding any air bubbles. The fluorescence intensity ratio and its first derivative were calculated with
the manufacturer's software (PR.ThermControl, version 2.1.2). The smoothened curves and the first
derivative were plotted in using Microsoft Excel software. The peak from the first-order derivative
indicates the melting temperature of the sample protein sample.

Statistical analyses

Two-tailed student's t-tests were used to determine statistical differences in experiments with pairwise
comparison of data points between two groups. Two-way ANOVA analyses were used to determine
statistical differences in the tumor growth curves. Survival curves used a Mantel-Haenszel test to
calculate hazard ratio and a Mantel-Cox test to calculate statistical significance. All error bars
represent ±standard deviations unless otherwise noted. For tumor growth assays, error bars denote
±SEM. For all other experiments, error bars denote ±standard deviation. For all figures, ns (not
significant), $p > 0.05$; *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.001$; ****, $p \leq 0.0001$.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. POU2AF1 labels pathogenic T cells in the setting of human T1D. (A) 10X Xenium image of a pancreatic islet from a 20-year-old human patient with early T1D. *CHGA* (red), *CD8A* (purple), *MSFA1* (orange) and *POU2AF1* (green) transcripts are annotated. Cells were identified by imputation as CD8+ T cells (yellow), B cells (green), or islet endocrine cells (gray). Yellow arrows highlight *POU2AF1*-expressing CD4$^+$ T cells and red arrows highlight *POU2AF1*-expressing B cells. **(B)** Data from pLN sections of the same individual. *CD3E* (white), *CD4* (green), *CD8A* (yellow), *MS4A1* (magenta), *POU2AF1* (blue). Yellow arrows highlight T cells that also express *POU2AF1*. **(C)** Detail from the white box in the right panel shown in (B), with a slightly different transcript annotation color scheme: *CD3E* (white), *CD4* (green), *CD8A* (yellow), *MS4A1* (black), *POU2AF1* (red). B cells expressing *POU2AF1* were abundant and are not highlighted.

Fig. 2. OCA-B deletion in T cells confers protection against PD-1 blockade-induced diabetes in NOD mice. (A) Schematics of experimental workflow for monitoring α-PD-1-induced autoimmune diabetes in 8-week-old NOD male *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre injected with α-PD-1, and monitoring pre-diabetic mice at short timepoints (day 5) after a single α-PD-1 injection. **(B)** Kaplan-Meier plot of diabetes-free 8-week-old NOD male *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=7) and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre (n=7) mice. 250 µg α-PD-1 was administered by *i.p.* injection on day 0, 4, 8 and 12. Blood glucose levels were measured every 1-2 days, with mice considered diabetic if glucose rose above 250 mg/dL over two consecutive days. **(C)** Blood glucose levels of individual mice from (B). **(D)** Islets were prepared from 8-week-old treated knockout and littermate control female mice 5 days after one dose of α-PD-1 antibody for flow cytometry. Representative flow cytometry plots depicting total infiltrating CD45$^+$ leukocytes for control and knockout mice. **(E)** Quantification of total infiltrating CD45$^+$ islet leukocyte flow cytometry data from NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=7) and NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice (n=8). **(F)** Representative flow cytometry plots depicting CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ islet T cells. Cells were pre-gated on CD45$^+$CD3ε$^+$. **(G)** Quantification of CD8$^+$/CD4$^+$ ratio from NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=7) and NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice (n=8). **(H)** Representative flow cytometry plots showing CD62L and CD11a expressions of islet-infiltrating CD8$^+$ T cells. **(I)** Quantification of activated CD62L$^-$CD11a$^+$CD8$^+$ T cells from NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=7) and NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice (n=8). **(J)** Representative flow cytometry plots depicting PD-1-expressing CD8$^+$ islet-infiltrating T cells. Cells were pre-gated on CD3ε$^+$CD8$^+$. **(K)** Quantification of the percentage of PD-1$^+$ T cells among CD8$^+$ T cells from NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=7) and NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice (n=8). **(L)** Representative flow cytometry plots depicting the percentage of islet SLAMF6$^+$CD39$^-$ T$_{PEX}$ and SLAMF6$^-$CD39$^+$ T$_{EX}$. Cells were pre-gated on PD-1$^+$CD8$^+$. **(M)** Quantification of flow cytometry data for the percentages of T$_{EX}$ among CD8$^+$PD-1$^+$ and total cells in islets from NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=7) and NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice (n=8).

Fig. 3. OCA-B in T cells is dispensable for anti-tumor immunity in C57BL/6 mice. (A) 5×10^5 MC38 tumor cells were *s.c.* implanted into the hind flanks of female C57BL/6 *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice. 300 µg α-PD-1 (or PBS) was administered *i.p.* on day 4, 7 and 10. Tumor sizes were measured every 3-4 days, and mice were euthanized at end point (day 23) or upon tumor size reaching 2 cm in any direction. *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$+PBS (n=7), *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre+PBS (n=11), *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$+α-PD-1 (n=8), and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre+α-PD-1 (n=8). **(B)** Mean tumor sizes from (A) are shown. *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$+PBS (n=7), *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre+PBS (n=11), *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$+α-PD-1 (n=8), and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre+α-PD-1 (n=8). **(C)**

Quantification of flow cytometry data showing the percentage of CD45.2$^+$ tumor-infiltrating leukocytes
(TILs) in MC38 TMEs from α-PD-1 antibody-treated *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=6) and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre (n=6) mice on
day 23 or upon tumor size reaching 2 cm in any direction. **(D)** Quantification of flow cytometry data
showing the ratio of CD8$^+$ to CD4$^+$ T cells in the TME. **(E)** Representative flow cytometry plots of
endpoint TME CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cell SLAMF6 and CD39 expression. *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=6) and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-
Cre (n=5) tumor-bearing mice were treated with α-PD-1 antibodies. **(F)** Quantification of flow
cytometry data for endpoint TME CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cell SLAMF6 and CD39 expression from *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$
(n=6) and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre (n=5) mice. **(G)** Representative flow cytometry data for endpoint TME
CD8$^+$ and CD4$^+$ T cell TIM-3 expression. **(H)** Quantification of flow cytometry data at endpoint showing
TME CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cell TIM-3 expression from *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=6) and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre (n=6) mice.

Fig. 4. OCA-B in T cells is dispensable for anti-tumor immunity in NOD mice. (A) 1.5×10^6 9495
tumor cells were *s.c.* implanted into the hind flanks of male NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ and NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre
mice. Three doses of 200 µg α-PD-1 (or PBS vehicle control) were *i.p.* administered on day 10, 14
and 18. Tumor sizes were measured during different stages of tumor growth. *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$+PBS (n=6),
Ocab$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre+PBS (n=7), *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$+α-PD-1 (n=8), and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre+α-PD-1 (n=12). **(B)**
Quantification of flow cytometry data for the percentage of CD45$^+$ infiltrating cells in the TME from α-
PD-1 antibody-treated control *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=9) and littermate experimental *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre (n=5) mice.
(C) Representative flow cytometry plots depicting CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ TME T cells from α-PD-1 antibody-
treated control NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ and littermate experimental NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice. Cells were pre-
gated using α-CD3 staining. (B) Quantification of flow cytometry data depicting the percentage of
CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cells in the TME from NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=9) and NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice (n=5). **(E)**
Representative flow cytometry plots depicting relative percentages of SLAMF6$^-$ and CD39$^-$expressing
infiltrating CD8$^+$PD-1$^+$ T cells. **(F)** Quantification of PD-1$^+$SLAMF6$^+$CD39$^-$CD8$^+$ T$_{PEX}$ and PD-
1$^+$SLAMF6$^-$CD39$^+$CD8$^+$ T$_{EX}$ among PD-1$^+$CD8$^+$ T cells in the TME from NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=9) and
NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice (n=5). **(G)** Representative flow cytometry plots depicting TIM-3 levels in
infiltrating CD8$^+$ T cells. **(H)** Quantification of TIM-3$^+$ cells among CD8$^+$ T cells in the TME from
NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=9) and NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice (n=5). In (panels C-H), one data point from
NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ was removed because of low cell numbers.

Fig. 5. OCA-B cellular inhibition by small molecule DNA minor groove binders (**A**) Oct1:OCA-
B:DNA co-crystal structure (*45*) showing two targeting approaches: a peptide that mimics the key
OCA-B alpha helix involved in downstream effector functions (top lightning bolt) (*42*), and a minor
groove DNA binding molecule with potential to disrupt the unstructured Oct1 linker domain between
the two DNA binding subdomains (bottom lightning bolt). (**B**) OCA-B immunoblots from SupT1 and
Jurkat T cell lines (lanes 3-4). Isolated mouse primary thymocyte and 293T cell lysates were also
used as positive and negative controls, respectively (lanes 1-2). Histone H3 is shown as a loading
control. (**C**) Schematic for OCA-B luciferase reporter cell lines. SupT1 cells were stably transfected
with reporter plasmids containing five tandem canonical Oct1:OCA-B binding sites linked to a CMV
promoter upstream of secreted nLuc (5×Oct) or an identical plasmid except containing mutant binding
sites used to determine nonspecific effects (5×Mut). Cells were selected with puromycin, single-cell
cloned, and individual clones used subsequently. (**D**) Luciferase activity of pNL2.3 empty vector
("EV"), 5×Oct, or 5×Mut reporter lines. Cells were incubated for 18 hr after plating. n=4 biological
replicate platings for each cell line. (**E**) Luciferase expression kinetics of cultured 5×Oct cells. A

timecourse of 2-46 hr is shown. Averages are shown from n=5 biological replicate platings. Trendline shows logistic growth fit. (**F**) Inhibition of cellular OCA-B activity by an escalating dose of the small molecule DB1033. Values from 5×Oct and 5×Mut clones were normalized to one another in the absence of DB1033. Averages are shown across n=6 biological replicate platings. Red curve shows the "5×Oct" fit to the Hill equation. Asterisks denote results from two-tailed student T-test comparing 5×Oct and 5×Mut at the highest concentration. (**G**) Chemical structure of DB1033. (**H**) Molecular docking of DB1033 to canonical octamer B-form DNA. Red shows water molecules. DB1033 is shown in purple. Red/underlined nucleotides show the minor groove bases contacted by DB1033 docked with the lowest free energy. (**I**) Wireframe model showing DB1033 bound to the minor groove AAAT site. Hydrogen bond interactions formed between DB1033 and the minor groove AAAT site are shown with dashed lines.

Fig. 6. DB1033 inhibits OCA-B-Oct1-DNA complex formation. (**A**) Schematics of full-length Oct1 tagged with N-terminal nLuc and full-length OCA-B with a C-terminal HaloTag used for nanoBRET. (**B**) Quantification of nanoBRET signal obtained by co-transfecting the 293T cells with the constructs shown in A in the presence of increasing concentrations of DB1033. An average of n=3 biological replicate platings is shown. DB1033 was applied at the indicated concentrations 1 hr after seeding the cells and cells were collected after a further 24 hr.(**C**) Schematics of the C-terminally Twinstep-tagged Oct1 DNA-binding domain (Oct1$_{DBD}$) and full-length OCA-B with a C-terminal FLAG tag used in EMSA. (**D**) Cy5-DNA scan of native PAGE EMSA showing DNA-Oct1$_{DBD}$ complex formation with OCA-B, and concentration-dependent inhibition by DB1033. (**E**) A schematic of the Oct1$_{DBD}$:OCA-B$_{1-44}$ fusion protein engineered for nanoDSF. (**F**) Structure of the OCA-B$_{1-44}$-Oct1$_{DBD}$ complex predicted using Alphafold 3. Cyan: OCA-B$_{1-44}$ peptide. Green: Oct1$_{DBD}$ peptide. Dark blue shows the Oct1:DNA structure derived from X-ray crystallography (*45*). (**G**) nanoDSF measurement of the thermal stability of 10 μM Oct1$_{DBD}$-OCA-B$_{1-44}$ chimeric protein with or without added canonical octamer DNA. First derivative is shown below. (**H**) First derivative nanoDSF data in the presence of DNA and the presence (above) or absence (below) of 100 μM DB1033.

Fig. 7. DB1033 inhibits PD-1 blockade-induced diabetes in NOD mice. (**A**) Kaplan-Meier plot of diabetes-free mice in 8-week-old male NOD.*Ocab^{fl/fl}* treated with PBS (n=4), NOD.*Ocab^{fl/fl}* treated with DB1033 (n=4) and NOD.*Ocab^{fl/fl}*;CD4-Cre mice treated with PBS (n=4). Black arrows: 250 µg α-PD-1 and 15 mg/kg *i.p.* injections on day 0, 4, 8, 12. Grey arrow: an additional *i.p.* injection of 15 mg/kg DB1033 was administered on day 11. (**B**) Average blood glucose levels of mice in (A). (**C**) Mouse body weight on day 24 of the experiment described in (A). (**D**) Example H&E images of lacrimal and salivary gland sections harvested from 8-week-old male NOD mice injected with α-PD-1 antibodies in (A). (**E**) Quantification of the histological scoring described in (D). NOD.*Ocab^{fl/fl}* treated with PBS (n=4), NOD.*Ocab^{fl/fl}* treated with DB1033 (n=4) and NOD.*Ocab^{fl/fl}*;CD4-Cre mice treated with PBS (n=4). Histological scoring was conducted by individuals blinded to treatment group.

Supplemental Figure Legends

Fig. S1. OCA-B deletion in T cells protects NOD mice from spontaneous and PD-1 blockade-induced diabetes. (**A**) Kaplan-Meier plot of diabetes-free mice in 12-week-old female littermate

control NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=5) or experimental NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre (n=6) mice receiving 250 µg α-PD-1

antibody injections on day 0, 4, 8, 12, and 16, indicated by black arrows. Spontaneous T1D was

monitored in NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=5) and NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre (n=5) mice receiving PBS. (**B**) Blood

glucose levels were plotted from individual mice receiving α-PD-1 antibody in (A). (**C**) Flow cytometry

plots showing percentages of CD8$^+$PD-1$^+$ islet T cells collected from 12-week-old mice 6 days post-α-

PD-1 treatment. (**D**) Quantification of PD-1$^+$ T cells among CD8$^+$ T cells from islets of *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=3)

and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice (n=5). (**E**) Flow cytometry plots showing percentages of SLAMF6$^+$CD39$^-$

and SLAMF6$^-$CD39$^+$ among CD8$^+$PD-1$^+$ T cells in islets collected from 12-week-old mice 6 days post-

α-PD-1 treatment. (**F**) Quantification of flow cytometry data in (E) from *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=3) and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-

Cre mice (n=5). Percentages of CD8$^+$PD-1$^+$SLAMF6$^-$CD39$^+$ among total islet cells were shown. (**G**)

Flow cytometry plots showing percentages of SLAMF6$^+$CD39$^-$ and SLAMF6$^-$CD39$^+$ among CD4$^+$PD-1$^+$

T cells in islet collect from 12-week-old mice 6 days post-α-PD-1 treatment. (**H**) Quantification of flow

cytometry data in (**G**) from NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=3) and NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice (n=5).

Fig. S2. OCA-B deletion in T cells results in the accumulation of CD62L^{hi} T$_{PEX}$ in pancreatic

islets. (**A**) Flow cytometry plots showing percentages of T$_{PEX}$ (SLAMF6$^+$CD39$^-$) and T$_{EX}$ (SLAMF$^-$

CD39$^+$) in islets collected from NOD 8-week-old males without α-PD-1 treatment. (**B**) Quantification of

percentages of T$_{PEX}$ (SLAMF6$^+$CD39$^-$) and T$_{EX}$ (SLAMF$^-$CD39$^+$) among total cells from islets of *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$

(n=3) and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice (n=5). (**C**) Flow cytometry plots showing expressions of CD62L and

CD39 from mice in Fig. 2. Cells were pre-gated on CD8$^+$PD-1$^+$. (**D**) Quantification of flow cytometry

data for the percentage of CD62L$^+$T$_{PEX}$, conventional CD62L$^-$ T$_{PEX}$ and CD39$^+$ T$_{EX}$ in islets from

NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=7) and NOD.*Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre mice (n=8).

Fig. S3. OCA-B deletion in T cells leaves B16F10 tumor growth and unaltered. Growth of *s.c.*

B16F10 tumors in C57BL/6 mice sufficient (blue, *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$, n=3) and deficient (red, *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre,

n=3) for T cell-expressed OCA-B. No α-PD-1 was provided.

Fig. S4. NOD tumor additional data. (A) Kaplan-Meier plot of another cohort of diabetes-free mice

performed with the same experimental procedures as in Figure 3. *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$ (n=14) and *Ocab*$^{fl/fl}$;CD4-Cre

(n=9). **(B-E)** Flow cytometric analysis was performed on tumors harvested from mice with similar

experiment procedures described in Fig. 4A. Representative plots and quantifications of CD4$^+$ and

CD8$^+$ effector (CD44$^+$CD62L$^-$) T cells, and exhausted (PD-1$^+$) CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cells are shown.

Fig. S5. Effect of staurosporine on luciferase-based transcription activity in SupT1 cells. A dose

curve of staurosporine is shown using both the 5×Oct and 5×Mut cell lines. Trendlines show the fit to

the Hill equation.

Fig. S6. OCA-B cellular inhibition by additional small molecule DNA minor groove binders. (**A**)

Inhibition of cellular OCA-B activity by DB2232. Blue datapoints represent control measurements of

nonspecific transcription measured in 5×Mut cells. Red datapoints represent experimental

722 measurements from the 5×Oct line. Luciferase values were normalized to 1.0 in the absence of
723 DB2232. Trendlines show the fit to the Hill equation. (**B-H**) Similar data for additional molecules.
724 5×Mut cells were used for panels (F,G,H). Cytotoxicity was measured using viable cell counts for
725 panels (B,C,D,E).

726 **Fig. S7. Additional nanoDSF validation data and DB2232 effect on PD-1 blockade-induced**
727 **diabetes in NOD mice. (A)** Image of a 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel loaded with the purified
728 recombinant chimeric Oct1 DBD-OCA-B protein and stained with Coomassie blue. Molecular weight
729 makers are shown at left as a standard. **(B)** Size exclusion chromatography elution profile using the
730 Oct1-OCA-B chimera. **(C)** EMSA using the Oct1-OCA-B chimera and Cy5-labeled prefer octamer
731 consensus DNA. **(D)** Kaplan-Meier plot of diabetes-free mice in 8-week-old male NOD.*Ocab$^{fl/fl}$* mice
732 treated with PBS (n=7), NOD.*Ocab$^{fl/fl}$* mice treated with DB2232 (n=4) and NOD.*Ocab$^{fl/fl}$*;CD4-Cre
733 mice treated with PBS (n=7). Black arrows: 250 µg α-PD-1 and 15 mg/kg *i.p.* injections on day 0, 4, 8,
734 12. Grey arrow: an additional *i.p.* injection of 15 mg/kg DB2232 was administered on day 11. **(E)**
735 Average blood glucose levels of mice in (D). **(F)** Body weight of mice on day 24 of the experiment
736 described in (D).

Acknowledgements

We thank R. O'Connell and M. Williams for MC38 and B16F10 cells, A. Young for 9495 cells, and O. Pornillos for use of nanoDSF instrumentation. We thank O. Allen, B. Dalley and the University of Utah High-Throughput Genomics Core facility. We thank J. Marvin and the University of Utah Flow Cytometry Core facility. We acknowledge the Huntsman Cancer Institute Cancer Center Support Grant (CCSG). This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (R01AI162929), the Praespero Autoimmune Fund (Canada), and an internal seed grant from the Huntsman Cancer Institute Genomics, Epigenetics and Metabolism (GEM) program to DT. Additional support was provided by National Institutes of Health grant T32 DK108736 (MAM-S) and National Institutes of Health grant P01 AI042288 (TMB). This research was performed with the support of the Network for Pancreatic Organ donors with Diabetes (nPOD; RRID:SCR_014641), a collaborative type 1 diabetes research project supported by Breakthrough T1D and The Leona M. & Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust (Grant#3-SRA-2023-1417-S-B). The content and views expressed are the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official view of nPOD. Organ Procurement Organizations (OPO) partnering with nPOD to provide research resources are listed at https://npod.org/for-partners/npod-partners/.

Author contributions

JD, AKM, MAM-S, EPH and PB performed experiments and analyzed data. AY and TMB provided key reagents and methods. KJE acquired images and analyzed data. WDW and AAF synthesized and purified DNA minor groove binding small molecules. DT conceptualized and supervised the study. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. National Diabetes Statistics Report. *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention*, (2024).
2. I. Ogrotis, T. Koufakis, K. Kotsa, Changes in the Global Epidemiology of Type 1 Diabetes in an Evolving Landscape of Environmental Factors: Causes, Challenges, and Opportunities. *Medicina (Kaunas)* **59**, (2023).
3. G. A. Gregory *et al.*, Global incidence, prevalence, and mortality of type 1 diabetes in 2021 with projection to 2040: a modelling study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* **10**, 741-760 (2022).
4. D. W. Cooke, L. Plotnick, Type 1 diabetes mellitus in pediatrics. *Pediatr Rev* **29**, 374-384; quiz 385 (2008).
5. D. E. Sutherland *et al.*, Twin-to-twin pancreas transplantation: reversal and reenactment of the pathogenesis of type I diabetes. *Trans Assoc Am Physicians* **97**, 80-87 (1984).
6. F. Vendrame *et al.*, Recurrence of type 1 diabetes after simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation, despite immunosuppression, is associated with autoantibodies and pathogenic autoreactive CD4 T-cells. *Diabetes* **59**, 947-957 (2010).
7. Y. Wang, O. Pontesilli, R. G. Gill, F. G. La Rosa, K. J. Lafferty, The role of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in the destruction of islet grafts by spontaneously diabetic mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **88**, 527-531 (1991).
8. A. Lernmark, H. E. Larsson, Immune therapy in type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* **9**, 92-103 (2013).
9. J. D. Wolchok *et al.*, Final, 10-Year Outcomes with Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma. *N Engl J Med* **392**, 11-22 (2025).
10. H. A. Tawbi *et al.*, Relatlimab and Nivolumab versus Nivolumab in Untreated Advanced Melanoma. *N Engl J Med* **386**, 24-34 (2022).
11. O. Hamid *et al.*, Safety and tumor responses with lambrolizumab (anti-PD-1) in melanoma. *N Engl J Med* **369**, 134-144 (2013).
12. J. Cortes *et al.*, Pembrolizumab plus Chemotherapy in Advanced Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. *N Engl J Med* **387**, 217-226 (2022).
13. Z. Quandt, A. Young, M. Anderson, Immune checkpoint inhibitor diabetes mellitus: a novel form of autoimmune diabetes. *Clin Exp Immunol* **200**, 131-140 (2020).
14. M. A. Postow, M. K. Callahan, J. D. Wolchok, Immune Checkpoint Blockade in Cancer Therapy. *J Clin Oncol* **33**, 1974-1982 (2015).
15. A. Berti *et al.*, Meta-analysis of immune-related adverse events in phase 3 clinical trials assessing immune checkpoint inhibitors for lung cancer. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* **162**, 103351 (2021).
16. T. W. Chen, A. R. Razak, P. L. Bedard, L. L. Siu, A. R. Hansen, A systematic review of immune-related adverse event reporting in clinical trials of immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Ann Oncol* **26**, 1824-1829 (2015).
17. M. A. Postow, R. Sidlow, M. D. Hellmann, Immune-Related Adverse Events Associated with Immune Checkpoint Blockade. *N Engl J Med* **378**, 158-168 (2018).
18. A. Young, Z. Quandt, J. A. Bluestone, The Balancing Act between Cancer Immunity and Autoimmunity in Response to Immunotherapy. *Cancer Immunol Res* **6**, 1445-1452 (2018).
19. G. E. Molina *et al.*, Temporal Trends and Outcomes Among Patients Admitted for Immune-Related Adverse Events: A Single-Center Retrospective Cohort Study from 2011 to 2018. *Oncologist* **26**, 514-522 (2021).
20. A. M. Stamatouli *et al.*, Collateral Damage: Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Induced With Checkpoint Inhibitors. *Diabetes* **67**, 1471-1480 (2018).
21. Z. Quandt, A. Young, A. L. Perdigoto, K. C. Herold, M. S. Anderson, Autoimmune Endocrinopathies: An Emerging Complication of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors. *Annu Rev Med* **72**, 313-330 (2021).

22. J. C. Osorio *et al.*, Antibody-mediated thyroid dysfunction during T-cell checkpoint blockade in
patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. *Ann Oncol* **28**, 583-589 (2017).
23. Z. Quandt *et al.*, Spectrum of Clinical Presentations, Imaging Findings, and HLA Types in
Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor-Induced Hypophysitis. *J Endocr Soc* **7**, bvad012 (2023).
24. J. A. Noble, A. M. Valdes, Genetics of the HLA region in the prediction of type 1 diabetes. *Curr*
Diab Rep **11**, 533-542 (2011).
25. H. Hu, P. N. Zakharov, O. J. Peterson, E. R. Unanue, Cytocidal macrophages in symbiosis with
CD4 and CD8 T cells cause acute diabetes following checkpoint blockade of PD-1 in NOD
mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 31319-31330 (2020).
26. S. A. Long *et al.*, Remodeling T cell compartments during anti-CD3 immunotherapy of type 1
diabetes. *Cell Immunol* **319**, 3-9 (2017).
27. C. Mathieu *et al.*, Toward Disease-Modifying Therapies in Type 1 Diabetes: Focus on
Teplizumab. *Diabetes Care* **49**, 365-374 (2026).
28. E. K. Sims *et al.*, Teplizumab improves and stabilizes beta cell function in antibody-positive
high-risk individuals. *Sci Transl Med* **13**, eabc8980 (2021).
29. E. L. Ramos *et al.*, Teplizumab and beta-Cell Function in Newly Diagnosed Type 1 Diabetes. *N*
Engl J Med **389**, 2151-2161 (2023).
30. S. Zwilling, A. Dieckmann, P. Pfisterer, P. Angel, T. Wirth, Inducible expression and
phosphorylation of coactivator BOB.1/OBF.1 in T cells. *Science* **277**, 221-225. (1997).
31. W. Sun *et al.*, OCA-B/Pou2af1 is sufficient to promote CD4+ T cell memory and prospectively
identifies memory precursors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **121**, e2309153121 (2024).
32. A. Shakya, J. Kang, J. Chumley, M. A. Williams, D. Tantin, Oct1 is a switchable, bipotential
stabilizer of repressed and inducible transcriptional states. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 450-459 (2011).
33. A. Shakya *et al.*, Oct1 and OCA-B are selectively required for CD4 memory T cell function. *J*
Exp Med **212**, 2115-2131 (2015).
34. E. P. Hughes *et al.*, OCA-B promotes pathogenic maturation of stem-like CD4+ T cells and
autoimmune demyelination. *J Clin Invest* **135**, e187862 (2025).
35. M. Nakamura *et al.*, Genome-wide association study identifies TNFSF15 and POU2AF1 as
susceptibility loci for primary biliary cirrhosis in the Japanese population. *Am J Hum Genet* **91**,
721-728 (2012).
36. A. Goris *et al.*, New candidate loci for multiple sclerosis susceptibility revealed by a whole
genome association screen in a Belgian population. *J Neuroimmunol* **143**, 65-69 (2003).
37. M. Ban *et al.*, Linkage disequilibrium screening for multiple sclerosis implicates JAG1 and
POU2AF1 as susceptibility genes in Europeans. *J Neuroimmunol* **179**, 108-116 (2006).
38. D. A. van Heel *et al.*, Inflammatory bowel disease is associated with a TNF polymorphism that
affects an interaction between the OCT1 and NF(-kappa)B transcription factors. *Hum Mol*
Genet **11**, 1281-1289 (2002).
39. D. S. Cunninghame Graham, A. K. Wong, N. J. McHugh, J. C. Whittaker, T. J. Vyse, Evidence
for unique association signals in SLE at the CD28-CTLA4-ICOS locus in a family-based study.
Hum Mol Genet **15**, 3195-3205 (2006).
40. K. K. Farh *et al.*, Genetic and epigenetic fine mapping of causal autoimmune disease variants.
Nature **518**, 337-343 (2015).
41. M. T. Maurano *et al.*, Systematic localization of common disease-associated variation in
regulatory DNA. *Science* **337**, 1190-1195 (2012).
42. H. Kim *et al.*, Targeting OCA-B/Pou2af1 blocks activated, autoreactive T cells in the pancreas
and type-1 diabetes. *J Exp Med* **218**, e20200533 (2021).
43. M. Strubin, J. W. Newell, P. Matthias, OBF-1, a novel B cell-specific coactivator that stimulates
immunoglobulin promoter activity through association with octamer-binding proteins. *Cell* **80**,
497-506 (1995).
44. M. Gstaiger, L. Knoepfel, O. Georgiev, W. Schaffner, C. M. Hovens, A B-cell coactivator of
octamer-binding transcription factors. *Nature* **373**, 360-362 (1995).

45.   D. Chasman, K. Cepek, P. A. Sharp, C. O. Pabo, Crystal structure of an OCA-B peptide bound
to an Oct-1 POU domain/octamer DNA complex: specific recognition of a protein-DNA
interface. *Genes Dev.* **13**, 2650-2657 (1999).
46.   M. Medina-Serpas *et al.*, Spatial transcriptomics from pancreas and local draining lymph node
tissue reveals a lymphotoxin-b signature in human type 1 diabetes. *Cell Reports* **(in press)**,
(2026).
47.   M. J. Ansari *et al.*, The programmed death-1 (PD-1) pathway regulates autoimmune diabetes
in nonobese diabetic (NOD) mice. *J Exp Med* **198**, 63-69 (2003).
48.   B. T. Fife *et al.*, Insulin-induced remission in new-onset NOD mice is maintained by the PD-1-
PD-L1 pathway. *J Exp Med* **203**, 2737-2747 (2006).
49.   J. Zhou, J. O. Jin, T. Kawai, Q. Yu, Endogenous programmed death ligand-1 restrains the
development and onset of Sjögren's syndrome in non-obese diabetic mice. *Sci Rep* **6**, 39105
(2016).
50.   S. Ippolito, G. Di Dalmazi, F. Pani, E. Sabini, P. Caturegli, Distinct Cytokine Signatures in
Thyroiditis Induced by PD-1 or CTLA-4 Blockade: Insights from a New Mouse Model. *Thyroid*
31, 1839-1849 (2021).
51.   S. V. Gearty *et al.*, An autoimmune stem-like CD8 T cell population drives type 1 diabetes.
Nature **602**, 156-161 (2022).
52.   A. Martinez-Usatorre, A. Donda, D. Zehn, P. Romero, PD-1 Blockade Unleashes Effector
Potential of Both High- and Low-Affinity Tumor-Infiltrating T Cells. *J Immunol* **201**, 792-803
(2018).
53.   B. Homet Moreno *et al.*, Response to Programmed Cell Death-1 Blockade in a Murine
Melanoma Syngeneic Model Requires Costimulation, CD4, and CD8 T Cells. *Cancer Immunol*
Res **4**, 845-857 (2016).
54.   A. Young *et al.*, Improving preclinical modeling of irAEs and anti-tumor immunity using
syngeneic tumor models in autoimmune-prone NOD mice. *in prep.*, (2026).
55.   J. Abramson *et al.*, Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3.
Nature **630**, 493-500 (2024).
56.   X. S. Wu *et al.*, OCA-T1 and OCA-T2 are coactivators of POU2F3 in the tuft cell lineage.
Nature **607**, 169-175 (2022).
57.   A. C. Betzler *et al.*, BOB.1/OBF.1 is required during B-cell ontogeny for B-cell differentiation
and germinal center function. *Eur J Immunol* **52**, 404-417 (2022).
58.   W. Shi *et al.*, Transcriptional profiling of mouse B cell terminal differentiation defines a
signature for antibody-secreting plasma cells. *Nat Immunol* **16**, 663-673 (2015).
59.   U. Kim *et al.*, The B-cell-specific transcription coactivator OCA-B/OBF-1/Bob-1 is essential for
normal production of immunoglobulin isotypes. *Nature* **383**, 542-547 (1996).
60.   D. B. Schubart, A. Rolink, R. G. Kosco-Vilbois, F. Botteri, P. Matthias, B-cell-specific
coactivator OBF-1/OCA-B/Bob1 required for immune response and germinal centre formation.
Nature **383**, 538-542 (1996).
61.   J. Chee *et al.*, Effector-memory T cells develop in islets and report islet pathology in type 1
diabetes. *J Immunol* **192**, 572-580 (2014).
62.   Y. Li *et al.*, Stem-like T cells are associated with the pathogenesis of ulcerative colitis in
humans. *Nat Immunol* **25**, 1231-1244 (2024).
63.   E. P. Hughes, A. R. Syage, D. Tantin, Durable CD4+ immunity: cherchez la stem. *Trends Imm*
45, 158-166 (2024).
64.   J. M. Polo *et al.*, Specific peptide interference reveals BCL6 transcriptional and oncogenic
mechanisms in B-cell lymphoma cells. *Nat Med* **10**, 1329-1335 (2004).
65.   N. J. Caron, S. P. Quenneville, J. P. Tremblay, Endosome disruption enhances the functional
nuclear delivery of Tat-fusion proteins. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **319**, 12-20 (2004).
66.   S. Krautwald, E. Ziegler, K. Tiede, R. Pust, U. Kunzendorf, Transduction of the TAT-FLIP fusion
protein results in transient resistance to Fas-induced apoptosis in vivo. *J Biol Chem* **279**,
44005-44011 (2004).

67. H. M. Wright *et al.*, A synthetic antagonist for the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor
gamma inhibits adipocyte differentiation. *J Biol Chem* **275**, 1873-1877 (2000).
68. L. T. Vassilev *et al.*, In vivo activation of the p53 pathway by small-molecule antagonists of
MDM2. *Science* **303**, 844-848 (2004).
69. L. C. Cerchietti *et al.*, A peptomimetic inhibitor of BCL6 with potent antilymphoma effects in
vitro and in vivo. *Blood* **113**, 3397-3405 (2009).
70. D. R. Green, A BH3 Mimetic for Killing Cancer Cells. *Cell* **165**, 1560 (2016).
71. M. Skwarczynska, C. Ottmann, Protein-protein interactions as drug targets. *Future Med Chem*
7, 2195-2219 (2015).
72. G. Lu *et al.*, The myeloma drug lenalidomide promotes the cereblon-dependent destruction of
Ikaros proteins. *Science* **343**, 305-309 (2014).
73. E. S. Fischer *et al.*, Structure of the DDB1-CRBN E3 ubiquitin ligase in complex with
thalidomide. *Nature* **512**, 49-53 (2014).
74. W. Fiskus *et al.*, Superior efficacy of co-targeting GFI1/KDM1A and BRD4 against AML and
post-MPN secondary AML cells. *Blood Cancer J* **11**, 98 (2021).
75. G. C. Issa *et al.*, The menin inhibitor revumenib in KMT2A-rearranged or NPM1-mutant
leukaemia. *Nature* **615**, 920-924 (2023).
76. I. Antony-Debré *et al.*, Pharmacological inhibition of the transcription factor PU.1 in leukemia. *J*
Clin Invest **127**, 4297-4313 (2017).
77. S. J. Taylor *et al.*, Pharmacological restriction of genomic binding sites redirects PU.1 pioneer
transcription factor activity. *Nat Genet* **56**, 2213-2227 (2024).
78. S. A. Gibson, W. Yang, Z. Yan, H. Qin, E. N. Benveniste, CK2 Controls Th17 and Regulatory T
Cell Differentiation Through Inhibition of FoxO1. *J Immunol* **201**, 383-392 (2018).
79. F. Wang *et al.*, Tight regulation of FOXO1 is essential for maintenance of B-cell precursor
acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* **131**, 2929-2942 (2018).
80. Z. Klase *et al.*, Activation of HIV-1 from latent infection via synergy of RUNX1 inhibitor Ro5-
3335 and SAHA. *PLoS Pathog* **10**, e1003997 (2014).
81. J. Zhu, M. Singh, G. Selivanova, S. Peuget, Pifithrin-α alters p53 post-translational
modifications pattern and differentially inhibits p53 target genes. *Sci Rep* **10**, 1049 (2020).
82. N. B. Struntz *et al.*, Stabilization of the Max Homodimer with a Small Molecule Attenuates Myc-
Driven Transcription. *Cell Chem Biol* **26**, 711-723.e714 (2019).
83. H. Frangoul *et al.*, CRISPR-Cas9 Gene Editing for Sickle Cell Disease and β-Thalassemia. *N*
Engl J Med **384**, 252-260 (2021).
84. E. Jonasch *et al.*, Belzutifan for Renal Cell Carcinoma in von Hippel-Lindau Disease. *N Engl J*
Med **385**, 2036-2046 (2021).
85. J. H. Bushweller, Targeting transcription factors in cancer - from undruggable to reality. *Nat*
Rev Cancer **19**, 611-624 (2019).
86. M. Bekes, D. R. Langley, C. M. Crews, PROTAC targeted protein degraders: the past is
prologue. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **21**, 181-200 (2022).
87. J. Maddox *et al.*, Transcription Factor Oct1 Is a Somatic and Cancer Stem Cell Determinant.
PLoS Genet **8**, e1003048 (2012).
88. K. Vázquez-Arreguín *et al.*, Oct1/Pou2f1 is selectively required for colon regeneration and
regulates colon malignancy. *PLoS Genet* **15**, e1007687 (2019).
89. W. W. Tang *et al.*, A microRNA-regulated transcriptional state defines intratumoral CD8(+) T
cells that respond to immunotherapy. *Cell Rep* **44**, 115301 (2025).
90. Y. Jing *et al.*, Increased TCR signaling in regulatory T cells is disengaged from TCR affinity.
bioRxiv, (2023).
91. Y. Liu *et al.*, Designed compounds for recognition of 10 base pairs of DNA with two at binding
sites. *J Am Chem Soc* **134**, 5290-5299 (2012).
92. D. Tantin, M. Gemberling, C. Callister, W. Fairbrother, High-throughput Biochemical Analysis of
in-vivo Location Data Reveals Novel Distinct Classes of POU5F1(Oct4)/DNA complexes.
Genome Res **18**, 631-639. (2008).

93.   V. E. Wang, T. Schmidt, J. Chen, P. A. Sharp, D. Tantin, Embryonic Lethality, Decreased
968   Erythopoiesis, and Defective Octamer-Dependent Promoter Activation in Oct-1-Deficient Mice.
969   *Mol Cell Biol* **24**, 1022-1032. (2004).
970   94.   A. O. Magnusson *et al.*, nanoDSF as screening tool for enzyme libraries and biotechnology
971   development. *FEBS J* **286**, 184-204 (2019).

Du et al. Figure 1

A

α-PD-1 every 4 days

D0 — Monitor blood glucose — D20

NOD, female
8-week-old

Ocab^{fl/fl}
or
Ocab^{fl/fl};CD4-Cre

α-PD-1

Islets → Flow cytometry

D0 D5

Pre-diabetic

B

8-week-old NOD females
Diabetes incidence

α-PD-1

Diabetes free (%)

Days post α-PD-1 treatment

Ocab^{fl/fl}
Ocab^{fl/fl};CD4-Cre

C

8-week-old NOD females
Blood glucose

α-PD-1

Glucose (mg/dL)

Days post α-PD-1 treatment

Ocab^{fl/fl}
Ocab^{fl/fl};CD4-Cre

D

α-PD-1, islet total cells

Ocab^{fl/fl}   *Ocab^{fl/fl}*;CD4-Cre

SSC-A

CD45+ 37.4887%   CD45+ 24.2573%

CD45

E

% CD45$^+$

*

Ocab^{fl/fl}
Ocab^{fl/fl};CD4-Cre

F

α-PD-1, islet CD45$^+$CD3ε$^+$

Ocab^{fl/fl}   *Ocab^{fl/fl}*;CD4-Cre

CD4

56.4   71.0

31.5   19.6

CD8

G

CD8$^+$/CD4$^+$

*

Ocab^{fl/fl}
Ocab^{fl/fl};CD4-Cre

H

α-PD-1, islet CD3ε$^+$CD8$^+$

Ocab^{fl/fl}   *Ocab^{fl/fl}*;CD4-Cre

CD11a

72.6   1.6   70.7   4.3

20.2   5.6   17.1   7.9

CD62L

I

% CD11a$^+$CD62L^{lo}
(live CD8$^+$ T cells)

ns

Ocab^{fl/fl}
Ocab^{fl/fl};CD4-Cre

J

α-PD-1, islet CD3ε$^+$CD8$^+$

Ocab^{fl/fl}   *Ocab^{fl/fl}*;CD4-Cre

PD-1

87.6   77.4

CD8

K

% PD-1$^+$
(live CD8$^+$ T cells)

0.0560

Ocab^{fl/fl}
Ocab^{fl/fl};CD4-Cre

L

α-PD-1, islet CD8$^+$PD-1$^+$

Ocab^{fl/fl}   *Ocab^{fl/fl}*;CD4-Cre

SLAMF6

50.4   75.5

43.9   19.9

CD39

M

% SLAMF6$^-$CD39$^+$
(PD-1$^+$CD8$^+$)

***

% SLAMF6$^-$CD39$^+$
(total islet cells)

**

Ocab^{fl/fl}
Ocab^{fl/fl};CD4-Cre

A

B

Thymocytes 293T SupT1 Jurkat

40 kDa

OCA-B

~15 kDa

H3

1   2   3   4

C

5×Oct

OCA-B OCA-B OCA-B OCA-B OCA-B

Oct1 Oct1 Oct1 Oct1 Oct1

CMV Nano-Luc

5×Mut

Oct1

CMV Nano-Luc

D

SupT1, 18 hr

Luminescence (×10⁵)

EV   5×Oct   5×Mut

E

5×Oct

linear range

Luminescence / cell

Time (hours)

F

5×Mut   5×Oct

Normalized luminescence

IC₅₀=26.11µM

[DB1033], µM

****

G

DB1033

H

5'-G¹ C² A³ T⁴ G⁵ C⁶ A⁷ A⁸ A⁹ T¹⁰C¹¹G¹²
3'-C²⁴G²³T²²A²¹C²⁰G¹⁹T¹⁸T¹⁷T¹⁶A¹⁵G¹⁴C¹³

I