Reovirus recombination is highly selective, and its profiles are

primarily dictated by viral gene segment identity

Alejandra Flores[a], Andrew Routh[b], Ryan D. Xavier[a], Kristen M. Ogden[a,c,#]

[a] Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, Vanderbilt University

Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

[b] ClickSeq Technologies LLC, Davis, California, USA

[c] Department of Pediatrics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee,

USA

Running head: Reovirus recombination patterns rely on viral segment identity

[#]Address correspondence to Kristen M. Ogden, kristen.ogden@vumc.org

ABSTRACT

Recombination facilitates the generation of defective viral genomes (DVGs), truncated

derivatives of the parental genome that require a helper virus to replicate.

Recombination mechanisms are poorly understood for viruses with double-stranded

RNA genomes. Two strains of the double-stranded RNA virus reovirus differ in the

pattern of packaged DVGs during serial passage. To determine whether the polymerase

complex or gene segment sequence contributes to these differences, we exchanged

polymerase complexes between the two reovirus strains. We identified DVG patterns

using RT-PCR and recombination junction profiles using ClickSeq. Reoviruses

synthesized DVGs that maintained the 5′ and 3′ termini and contained large central

deletions. The polymerase complex did not detectably affect DVG pattern following

reovirus serial passage or viral recombination junction profiles. Instead, recombination

junction profiles correlated with the identity of viral RNA gene segments, even in the

presence of a non-native polymerase complex or virus background. Reovirus

recombination junction start and stop sites often occur in regions of sequence

microhomology. While we observed many instances of short stretches of identical

nucleotides within a viral gene segment, only a select few positions were incorporated

into recombination junctions. Overall, these data suggest that recombination events that

can mediate reovirus DVG formation are highly selective, and properties of viral gene

segments primarily dictate where recombination occurs. These observations suggest a

model for double-stranded RNA virus recombination in which the polymerase pauses

RNA synthesis and re-initiates further along the same template at a specific junction

stop site that has sequence homology to the junction start site.

IMPORTANCE

Viral infection gives rise to defective viral genomes, which cannot complete a full

replication cycle. Recombination facilitates defective viral genome generation but is

understudied for RNA viruses with double-stranded genomes. We found that for a

double-stranded RNA virus, reovirus, recombination occurs preferentially at specific

sites in the genome that correspond with the identity of the gene segment.

Recombination tends to initiate and terminate at sites sharing identical sequences.

However, even if these short nucleotide sequences appear multiple times in a gene

segment, only specific sites are used for recombination. Our results indicate that

reovirus recombination is a highly orchestrated event in which individual gene segments

contain the characteristics that drive recombination. These findings suggest that RNA

properties, such as sequence and structure, drive recombination for double-stranded

RNA viruses, likely through reinitiation after re-hybridization of the newly formed RNA

product with the same RNA template molecule at a different location.

INTRODUCTION

Most RNA viruses encode RNA polymerases that lack proofreading activities and can

form defective viral genomes (DVGs). DVGs contain mutations, deletions, or changes in

their genetic structure that prevent them from replicating without the assistance of a

helper virus (1). DVGs can be packaged inside virions to form defective interfering

particles (DIPs), which can interfere with viral replication. DIPs can reorient resources,

outcompete wild-type viral replication, or activate the immune system (1-3). Viruses with

relatively less DVG accumulation have been associated with more severe or fatal

disease outcomes in humans and mice for negative-sense RNA virus influenza A virus,

and a critical role for DVGs in modulating host interferon responses and symptom

development has been suggested for positive-sense RNA virus SARS-CoV-2 (4, 5).

Since they are replication-incompetent and can interfere with viral replication, DVGs and

DIPs have been considered as potential therapeutics or vaccines for multiple viruses (6,

7). Although they have been well studied for positive-sense and negative-sense RNA

viruses, relatively little is known about DVGs and DIPs of double-stranded RNA

(dsRNA) viruses.

Mammalian orthoreovirus (reovirus) is a dsRNA virus that can package DVGs

(8). Reovirus belongs to the order Reovirales and has garnered interest for its oncolytic

therapy potential (9-11). Reovirus has a segmented dsRNA genome containing three

large (L, 3.8-3.9 kb), three medium (M, 1.9-2.2 kb), and four small (S, 1.2-1.6 kb)

segments (12). Reovirus gene segments contain an open reading frame (ORF) flanked

by 5′ (12–32 nt) and 3′ (35-83 nt) untranslated regions (UTRs) (13). The ten reovirus

dsRNA gene segments are present in equimolar proportions in virions, and particles

tend to contain either all ten segments or none of them, indicating that packaging is

highly orchestrated (14, 15). Numerous studies suggest the reovirus gene segment

termini are important for packaging (16-22). Consistent with this observation,

sequenced reovirus DVGs contain one or two large internal deletions but retain the 5′

and 3′ termini (8). However, the RT-PCR profiling used to obtain these sequences

preferentially amplifies DVGs that conserve the termini and limits the detection of other

types of DVGs. Two prototype human reovirus strains, T1L and T3D, differ in apoptosis

induction capacity, viral factory morphology, and other characteristics (12). Serial

passage of T1L and T3D in distinct evolutionary lineages in murine L929 fibroblasts (L

cells) yielded viruses that packaged distinct subsets of DVGs, which we refer to as

'patterns' (8). While T1L had a consistent DVG pattern across evolutionary lineages,

T3D packaged more diverse and changing subsets of DVGs. These observations

suggest an inherent difference in the specificity with which T1L and T3D synthesize or

package DVGs. Much work is needed to quantify the differences between T1L and T3D

DVGs, elucidate the factors that contribute to these differences, and identify features of

DVGs that promote their packaging.

For RNA viruses, recombination has been proposed as a major driver of DVG

formation (2, 23, 24). For positive-sense RNA viruses and influenza viruses, deletion

DVGs are detected that contain large internal deletions but often retain promoter

regions and terminal sequences necessary for their replication and packaging (25).

Deletion DVGs are thought to form when the viral RdRp dissociates from the template

and reassociates either intra- or intermolecularly with the same or a homologous

template (2, 23, 24). Copy-back DVGs, which are thought to occur when the viral

polymerase dissociates from the template strand and reinitiates on the newly

synthesized strand, are detected for non-segmented negative-sense RNA viruses (1,

25, 26). Recombination mechanisms for viruses containing segmented dsRNA

genomes are largely undescribed. Inside reovirus particles, the RNA-dependent RNA

polymerase (RdRp), λ3, forms a complex with its cofactor, μ2, which likely guides

template RNA in and out of the RdRp, provides energy through its nucleoside

triphosphatase activity, and acts as a helicase (27-31). Unlike simpler clamp-like

RdRps, λ3 contains tunnels for RNA template and product entry and exit and cannot

readily release and switch RNA templates (32-34). Following reovirus entry into cells,

RNA transcription occurs inside the viral core, where the minus strands of genomic

dsRNA segments are utilized as templates to synthesize positive-sense single-stranded

RNA (+ssRNA) transcripts that are extruded into the cytoplasm. During particle

assembly, the ten +ssRNA segments are used as templates for the synthesis of

complementary minus-strand RNA to form genomic dsRNA (33). Like positive-sense

RNA viruses, reovirus can form deletion DVGs (8). For rotavirus, another member of the

order Reovirales, segment rearrangements have been associated with the RdRp; these

rearrangements frequently involve partial head-to-tail RNA duplications that conserve

the gene segment termini, and it is hypothesized that their synthesis involves RdRp

dissociation and reassociation on the same template (35). Illumina RNA-seq analysis of

reovirus strain T1L revealed recombination hot spots across the genome, and at least

some junction sites appeared to occur near short regions of identical sequence, or

microhomology (8). These observations suggested that reovirus recombination might

occur at select sites. However, the studies were conducted for only two samples of a

single reovirus strain. Furthermore, while unbiased, Illumina RNA-seq library

preparation can introduce artifactual recombination events that account for a noteworthy

portion of output sequence reads and influence computational analyses of DVGs (36).

Thus, additional studies are needed to validate selective recombination events for

dsRNA viruses like reovirus.

Since recombination events leading to DVG generation involve viral polymerase

activity, we hypothesized that the observed differences between T1L and T3D in DVG

patterns among evolutionary lineages (8) were due to differences in the viral

polymerase complexes. Thus, we generated reoviruses in which the polymerase

complex was exchanged between T1L and T3D. We exchanged segments L1 and M1,

which respectively encode polymerase complex proteins λ3 and μ2, between T1L and

T3D, rescued the recombinant reoviruses, and characterized them. These exchanges

provided the opportunity to distinguish between the contributions of the RNA gene

segments and the polymerase complex proteins they encoded to differences in DVG

patterns. We serially passaged the viruses to determine DVG patterns in evolutionary

lineages. We also sequenced packaged RNA in wild-type and polymerase complex-

exchanged T1L and T3D reoviruses using an approach that minimizes artifactual

recombination and analyzed the recombination junction sites. We found that TIL and

T3D differ in their recombination junction site preferences, but the phenotype

segregates genetically with the identity of the gene segment rather than the polymerase

complex. At recombination junction start and stop sites, we detected conservation of

positional identity but not of a specific sequence motif directing recombination. Although

sequences exhibiting microhomology were found to appear multiple times in a gene

segment, preferred sites were used for recombination. Together, these observations

suggest that RNA primary sequence is required for DVG synthesis and selection.

RESULTS

Polymerase complex-exchanged reoviruses exhibit distinct replication

phenotypes. To investigate how the polymerase complex influences packaged DVG

populations, we engineered T1L and T3D reoviruses with exchanged polymerase

complexes. We exchanged the L1 and M1 gene segments of reovirus strains T1L and

T3D using reverse genetics to generate T1L-T3pol and T3D-T1pol (**Fig. 1A**) (37, 38).

To confirm that both L1 and M1 segments were swapped between the strains, we

utilized electropherotyping to separate viral gene segments based on size and electrical

charge (**Fig. 1B**). For T1L-T3pol, gene segments L1 and M1 migrated more slowly in

the gel compared to the T1L gene segments, instead resembling those of T3D. In

contrast, for T3D-T1pol, gene segments L1 and M1 migrated faster and resembled

those of T1L. These results indicate that polymerase complex-exchanged reoviruses

were rescued using reverse genetics.

We analyzed the replication characteristics of polymerase-exchanged reoviruses

using two different cell lines. In previous studies, T1L and T3D replicated with similar

efficiency in L cells, but T1L replicated more efficiently in canine epithelial MDCK cells

compared to T3D; these differences in growth segregated with the viral L1 and M1

segments (39, 40). To determine the effects of polymerase complex exchange on viral

replication, we adsorbed L cells or MDCK cells with three clones each of T1L, T3D,

T1L-T3pol, or T3D-T1pol at an MOI of 0.1 PFU/cell, washed, and incubated. Then, we

quantified viral titers in total cellular lysate. In L cells, T1L-T3pol had similar replication

kinetics to T1L, and T3D-T1pol had similar replication kinetics to T3D (**Fig. 1C**).

However, spread of the four viruses differed in a plaque assay, in which viruses

undergo multiple rounds of replication. The plaque size of T1L-T3pol was smaller than

that of T1L, while T3D-T1pol had the largest plaque size among the four viruses,

suggesting that the polymerase complex can confer a multi-cycle replication

disadvantage or advantage in its non-native context (**Fig. 1D**). Consistent with previous

results, T1L and T3D-T1pol were capable of replicating in MDCK cells, while T3D and

T1L-T3pol largely failed to replicate (**Fig. 1E**) (39, 40). These results confirm the

identities of reoviruses with exchanged polymerase complexes and suggest that their

replication phenotypes can be influenced by the polymerase complex.

Exchanging the polymerase complex fails to exchange lineage-specific DVG

segment patterns. We previously found that DVG patterns differed for serially-

passaged T1L and T3D reoviruses, with T1L accumulating similar DVGs and T3D

accumulating more diverse DVGs in distinct evolutionary lineages (8). To determine

whether the polymerase complex contributes to lineage-specific differences in packaged

DVG patterns, we serially passaged T1L, T3D, and the polymerase complex-exchanged

reoviruses in L cells. We initiated the passage series by adsorbing L cells at an MOI of

0.3 PFU/cell in triplicate to compare independent evolutionary lineages. For passage 1

(P1), the inoculum was recovered using reverse genetics and expanded once in L cells

to minimize the presence of DVGs and generate sufficient virus for the infections. After

adsorption, we incubated the cells for 48 h prior to lysis. Then, we infected a new round

of cells with a fixed volume of the lysate to allow a high MOI for DVG formation. We

repeated this procedure for 10 serial passages (**Fig. S1A**). We also conducted mock

infections in triplicate, using PBS for the inoculum, to use as controls. We determined

viral titers for each passage and lineage. Each analyzed virus showed distinct titer

trends (**Fig. S1B-E**). In most cases, titers of the three independent lineages of a given

virus exhibited similar increases or decreases across the passages.

To detect DVGs generated during serial passage and their patterns, we used an

RT-PCR approach, in which RNA molecules containing the 5′ and 3′ termini of a specific

viral gene segment were amplified and visualized for individual serial passages and

lineages. The assay conditions permitted detection of small RNA molecules that

preserved the segment termini, which have previously been shown to include DVGs (8).

The selected segments vary in size and represent both exchanged and non-exchanged

segments. Using this approach, full-length reovirus S and M segments, which are < 2.5

kb in length, were consistently detected, although the longer L segments were less

consistently detected (**Figs. 2, S2-S4**). To determine whether our primers amplify host

RNA, we conducted RT-PCR reactions using RNA extracted from the three mock-

infected passages and for each set of primers. We found no evidence of off-target

amplification for any set of primers (**Fig. S5**). For segment L1, a DVG of ~ 300 bp was

identified for all passages and lineages (**Fig. 2**). For T1L and T1L-T3pol, the ~ 300-bp

DVG was predominant (**Fig. 2A-B**). For T3D-T1pol and T3D, additional L1 DVGs of

varying size were detected in specific passages and lineages (**Fig. 2C-D**). Thus, as

previously observed, for the L1 segment, the DVGs detected were relatively consistent

among T1L lineages and more variable among T3D lineages (8). However, L1 DVG

variability does not appear to have been exchanged with the polymerase complex, as

the T1L-T3pol lineages remained consistent, with a few additional DVGs detected in P9

and P10 of T1L-T3pol lin3, and the T3D-T1pol lineages were more variable (**Fig. 2**). For

segment L3, most DVGs ranged from ~ 100 bp to 800 bp in length, and some DVGs

became more prominent in later passages (**Fig.S2)**. For each passaged reovirus, one to

few L3 DVGs of similar size were detected across most passages for the three lineages

of a virus, while the others varied in size, although L3 DVG sizes were more consistent

across lineages for T1L. For segment M1, one or a small number of DVGs of the same

size were detected in multiple passages for all lineages of a given virus (**Fig. S3**). For

segment S4, a DVG of ~ 150 bp was consistently detected for each passage and

lineage of all four viruses (**Fig. S4**). For segments M1 and S4, products higher in size

than the full-length segment were occasionally detected, which might have arisen from

duplication events (**Figs. S3-S4**). These studies support the previous observation that

DVG patterns revealed by RT-PCR generally tend to be less variable among lineages

for T1L than T3D, at least for segments L1 and L3 (**Figs. 2 and S2**) (8). All the viruses

in the study formed DVGs that can be detected by RT-PCR. However, the DVG patterns

observed for T1L-T3pol and T3D-T1pol fail to conclusively support a role for the

polymerase complex in the variety of DVGs synthesized and packaged during serial

virus passage.

DVGs from polymerase-exchanged viruses contain large internal deletions. Our

previous study determined that reovirus DVGs from segments L1, M1, and S4 contain

relatively short intact termini and one or two large internal deletions (8). Resolved RT-

PCR products from the current study revealed that reoviruses package DVGs derived

from segments L1 and S4 that persist across the passage series (**Figs. 2, S4**). To

determine whether the persistent DVGs synthesized and packaged by different viruses

are identical, we extracted and sequenced RT-PCR-amplified products from segments

L1 and S4 using the Sanger method for polymerase-exchanged reoviruses. Analysis of

the sequences identified DVGs with internal deletions (**Fig. 3**). These DVGs are 276-

282 bp (L1) and 147 bp (S4) in total length. The L1 DVGs each contain an internal

deletion of 3579 bp in slightly different positions in terms of nucleotide number but at an

identical junction in terms of sequence. The L1 5′ UTR is completely conserved along

with 242 nt of the ORF and the last 16 nt of the 32-nt 3′ UTR, ~ 7% of the full-length

segment. The sequenced T3D-T1pol L1 DVG was identical to a T1L L1 DVG

sequenced in our previous study (8). The S4 DVGs from T1L-T3pol and T3D-T1pol

contained an identical internal 1050 bp deletion. The S4 5′ UTR is completely conserved

along with 101 nt of the ORF and the last 14 nt of the 66-nt 3′ UTR, ~ 12% of the full-

length segment. These observations confirm that reovirus DVGs can contain large

internal deletions and suggest that while both termini may be important for packaging,

only a portion of the 3′ UTR is required. Detecting DVGs that are identical across

passages, lineages, and virus backgrounds also suggests that DVGs may be selectively

synthesized or packaged.

Exchanging the polymerase complex fails to exchange reovirus recombination

profiles. Although informative, DVG profiling using RT-PCR is biased by primers that

bind reovirus gene segment termini and fails to provide sequence information. This

approach can also selectively amplify small products relative to longer ones,

misrepresenting their relative quantities. Thus, to elucidate sequence features of

packaged DVGs and contributions of the polymerase complex to DVG synthesis and/or

packaging, we deep-sequenced RNA encapsidated within T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol,

and T3D reovirus particles using ClickSeq (36). ClickSeq is an RNAseq approach that

uses bioconjugation as an alternative to fragmentation and enzymatic adaptor ligation

during library preparation. This process reduces artifactual recombination to ~2.5 events

per million reads, even without careful optimization of PCR conditions (36). Thus,

identified recombination junctions are likely to represent biologically relevant RNA

products. Although ClickSeq has many benefits, a caveat is that the 3'-azido nucleotide

(AzNTP):dNTP ratio used in library preparation yields cDNA fragments ~ 300-500 nt in

length (36). Thus, the capacity to detect the smaller products that are readily amplified

using RT-PCR, including small DVGs (**Figs. 2 and S2-S4**), is limited.

Following multiple rounds of replication in L cells, we independently purified

reovirus particles from three clones of each virus and treated them with benzonase to

remove non-encapsidated RNA. Then, we extracted encapsidated RNA, prepared

ClickSeq libraries from each RNA sample, and sequenced the products on an Illumina

NovaSeq platform. Sequence coverage depth was high for every virus preparation and

segment (**Fig. S6** and data not shown). Coverage depth varied positionally by ~10-100-

fold, with variation highly similar among replicates. Coverage biases may result from

differences in the efficiency of random priming, reverse transcription, and PCR

amplification and may be influenced by sequence-dependent factors, such as GC-

content or RNA structure. Overall coverage was within normal limits, and the profiles

generated were even. Greater than 99.9% of reads mapped to viral gene segments,

demonstrating that reovirus virions had been sufficiently purified (**Table 1**).

We used a viral recombination mapping algorithm (*ViReMa*) to identify recombination

junction sites in the sequencing data, which were defined as deletions or insertions

greater than five bp in length flanked both upstream and downstream by a minimum 25-

bp high-quality alignment (**Fig. 4A**) (41, 42). We detected an average viral genome-wide

recombination frequency (JFreq = reads with mapped junctions per 10,000 mapped

reads) of 1.02-2.15, which is substantially lower than reported for betacoronaviruses

(43) for example, and many noncanonical recombination junctions (leading to non-full-

length products) in individual viral gene segments were identified for each virus (**Table**

1). Although the full-length sequences of DVGs cannot be determined from these data

due to the short reads inherent to the Illumina sequencing platform, in at least one

replicate for each of the four viruses, we detected the L1 recombination start site shown

in **Fig. 3**, and for T3D, we detected the L1 recombination stop site. For T1L-T3pol, we

detected the S4 recombination start site that is shown in **Fig. 3** with a stop site at

nucleotide position 1146. Thus, some of the same recombination sites we found in

sequenced DVGs were identified using *ViReMa*. These recombination sites were not

identified in all samples, likely due to the reduced capacity of ClickSeq to capture very

small products (36), including the smaller DVGs efficiently amplified by RT-PCR (**Figs.**

2 and S2-S4).

To visualize the locations and frequencies of recombination junctions for

individual segments, we generated junction scatter plots (**Figs. 4 and S7-S10**). These

plots revealed noncanonical junctions within each viral gene segment that suggest the

presence of DVGs containing deletions or duplications (**Fig. 4B**), which have previously

been detected for dsRNA viruses (8, 44-47). For segment L1, the identified junction

profiles were visually different between the T1L and T3D strains (**Figs. 4C, S7**). We use

the term "profile" to refer to the distribution of recombination junction identities for a

given viral gene segment and their frequencies. The T1L-T3pol junction profiles for

segment L1 partially resembled those of T3D, with which it shares a T3D L1 segment,

while T3D-T1pol junction profiles for segment L1 partially resembled those of T1L, with

which it shares a T1L L1 segment. For segment L3, the recombination profiles between

T1L and T3D also visually differed (**Figs. 4D, S8**). However, the T1L-T3pol L3 junction

profiles visually resembled those of T1L, with which it shares the T1L L3 segment. The

T3D-T1pol junction profiles visually resembled those of T3D, which both contain the

T3D L3 segment. For segment M1, visual similarities among junction profiles were not

as evident compared to other segments (**Figs. 4E, S9**). For segment M3, the junction

profiles resembled those of L3, with those of T1L and T1L-T3pol or T3D and T3D-T1pol

more visually similar to one another (**Figs. 4F, S10**). Overall, viruses that share the

same gene segment presented visually similar recombination profiles for that given

segment, even in the presence of a different polymerase complex. Furthermore, the

junction profiles were retained when segments were encapsidated in a different virus

background, indicating that some property of the RNA segment dictates the

recombination junction profile.

Previous research in our lab suggested that T1L recombination might occur

preferentially at distinct sites, but only two samples were investigated for a single virus

strain (8). To determine whether the genome contains 'recombination hotspots', we

calculated the recombination frequency for segments L1, L3, M1, and M3 for three

clones of each of our four viruses. The frequency of the start or stop position of each

junction was evaluated by dividing the frequency of reads mapping to a recombination

junction by the total mapped reads. The total reads were calculated as the sum of the

depth. Results are shown as the mean of the three clones (**Figs. 5 and S11**) to facilitate

comparison between the viruses and segments, and they are shown individually (**Figs.**

S12-S19) to compare reproducibility among clones. Individual peaks representing

regions of high frequency were not identical in all clones, but distinguishing

recombination frequencies were typical for each virus. For segment L1, recombination

start and stop sites occurring with the highest frequencies for T1L tended to be located

at identical places in the gene segment as those of T3D-T1pol, whereas recombination

start and stop sites occurring with the highest frequencies for T3D tended to be located

at identical places in the gene segment as those of T1L-T3pol (**Figs. 5A, S11A, and**

S12-S13). In contrast, for segment L3, recombination start and stop sites occurring with

the highest frequencies for T1L tended to be located at identical places in the gene

segment as those of T1L-T3pol, while recombination start and stop sites occurring with

the highest frequencies for T3D tended to be located at identical places in the gene

segment as those of T3D-T1pol (**Figs. 5B, S11B, and S14-S15**). For segment M1 start

and stop site frequencies across the segment, T1L appeared most visually similar with

T3D-T1pol, whereas T3D resembled T1L-T3pol (**Figs. 5C, S11C, and S16-S17**).

Finally, for segment M3 start and stop sites, T1L and T1L-T3pol both tended to share

positions of high frequency recombination sites, while T3D and T3D-T1pol shared such

positions (**Figs. 5D, S11D, and S18-S19**). In general, like the recombination junction

patterns (**Figs. 4 and S7-S10**), the recombination frequency peak patterns of T1L-T3pol

and T3D-T1pol visually resembled those of the strain of origin for each given segment

investigated, regardless of whether the segment was associated with a different

polymerase complex or a new virus background. Though qualitative, these observations

suggest that reovirus recombination profiles segregate with the reovirus gene segment

identity independent of the source of the polymerase or other viral proteins.

361 **Principal component analysis reveals that recombination profiles segregate with**

362 **the reovirus gene segment independent of the polymerase complex.**

363 Recombination frequencies and junction scatter plot data visually suggest similar

364 profiles between reoviruses containing segments derived from the same virus

365 regardless of the origin of other viral proteins. To quantitatively assess similarities in

366 junction profiles among the four viruses, we conducted a principal component analysis

367 (PCA) for segments L1, L3, M1, and M3. For each virus and replicate, we analyzed the

368 collection of unique recombination junctions and their frequencies. The loadings table

369 was utilized to compare the similarity of viral recombination junction profiles for each

370 given segment. For segment L1, T1L clustered tightly with T3D-T1pol, T3D clustered

371 tightly with T1L-T3pol, and the proportion of variance for the two first principal

372 components was 92.93, indicating that nearly all variance in the dataset is explained by

373 the first two components (**Fig. 6A**). Similar clustering was observed for segment M1,

374 although the proportion of variance for the two first principal components was only 50.81

375 (**Fig. 6B**). On the other hand, for segments L3 and M3, T1L clustered tightly with T1L-

376 T3pol, T3D clustered tightly with T3D-T1pol, and the proportions of variance for the two

377 first principal components were 92.7 and 75.74, respectively (**Fig. 6C-D**). The PCA

378 analyses quantitatively support more qualitative observations from the junction scatter

379 plots and recombination frequency analyses and indicate that recombination profiles

380 correspond with reovirus gene segment identity rather than that of the polymerase

381 complex or other viral proteins.

382

383 **Recombination sites are conserved based on segment identity.** Although PCA

384 revealed that recombination junction profiles are similar for viruses that share a gene

segment, a small number of overrepresented junctions may drive these similarities.

Therefore, we determined the number of unique recombination junctions shared

between reoviruses T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D. We compared recombination

junctions among all viruses for segments L1, L3, M1, and M3 (**Fig. 7**). For segments L1

and M1, T1L and T3D-T1pol or T3D and T1L-T3pol, in which these gene segments are

identical, share many unique recombination junction sites. In contrast, T1L and T1L-

T3pol or T3D and T3D-T1pol, in which these gene segments are of different origins,

share few recombination junctions. For segments L3 and M3, the same is true of the

reverse pairings: T1L and T1L-T3pol or T3D and T3D-T1pol share many junctions,

while T3D and T1L-T3pol or T1L and T3D-T1pol share few junctions. Some common

recombination junctions are anticipated among all strains for segments that share high

sequence identity. However, like the results for recombination junction frequency and

location, these observations suggest that recombination events resulting in DVG

synthesis or packaging selection are predominantly determined by the identity of a

reovirus gene segment rather than that of the polymerase complex or other viral

proteins. Importantly, many recombination junctions, not just a small number of

overrepresented junctions, are shared among reoviruses with similar recombination

patterns.

Recombination events match nucleotides positionally between junction start and

stop sites, but no specific motif is detected. For multiple virus families, the start and

stop sites of recombination junctions have been associated with a specific nucleotide

composition or with regions of microhomology (41, 48-51). For reovirus, regions of

sequence microhomology, typically identical sequences about four to five nucleotides in

length, appear to surround recombination junction sites (8). However, only two samples

were investigated for the T1L strain using a sequencing method that can introduce

artifactual recombination events. To validate the prior finding and determine whether

regions of microhomology are also associated with recombination junction sites for T3D

and polymerase-exchanged reoviruses, we analyzed all noncanonical junctions in

segments L1 and L3. In each case, 50 nucleotides surrounding junction start sites and

stop sites were analyzed. Every nucleotide surrounding the junction start site was

matched to the equivalent position relative to the junction stop site. Positionally identical

nucleotides were counted and graphed. For all analyzed segments and viruses, the

nucleotides surrounding the junction start were frequently identical to the nucleotides

surrounding the junction stop site (**Figs. 4A and 8A).** Most frequently, identical

nucleotides were identified in about six positions surrounding recombination junction

sites. For segment L1, substantially higher numbers of recombination junctions were

detected in the negative strand than the positive strand, with high identity at the same

relative positions (**Fig. S20A**). For segment L3, modestly more recombination junctions

were detected for the positive strand than the negative strand (**Fig. S21A**). The

percentages of junctions containing four, six, or eight identical nucleotides at junction

sites were calculated for segments L1 and L3. For both segments, ~ 10% of junctions

for each virus contained eight identical nucleotides at the junction site, ~ 40% contained

six identical nucleotides, and ~ 70-75% contained four identical nucleotides at the

junction site (**Table. 2**). These findings suggest that recombination for all tested

reoviruses, regardless of gene segment or polymerase complex identity, preferentially

occurs at sites of microhomology. Thus, reovirus recombination is likely sequence-

directed.

As nucleotides tend to match between recombination junction start and stop

sites, it is possible that specific sequence motifs direct recombination. Therefore,

nucleotide frequency relative to recombination junction start and stop sites was

calculated for noncanonical junctions in segments L1 and L3. For all generated

logoplots, no specific nucleotides were observed at a substantially higher frequency

relative to the recombination start or stop site for any virus on either the positive or

negative strand for either analyzed segment (**Figs. 8B and S20B-S21B**). Thus, there

was no indication of a specific sequence motif or absolute nucleotide identity driving

recombination. Instead, only positional identity relative to the junction start and stop site

for a given recombination event is conserved.

Recombination site selection is specific. After observing that recombination

frequently occurs at start and stop sites containing a stretch of four identical nucleotides

(**Fig. 8A and Table 2**), we wondered how frequently these stretches of identical

sequence could be found in a given reovirus gene segment. We identified all the unique

four-nucleotide sequences with microhomology at start and stop sites from

recombination events in the L1 and L3 genes. Then, we identified all the occurrences of

these sequences in L1 and L3. We found that in both segments, such four-nucleotide

sequences typically appeared between 10 to 20 times (**Fig. 9A**). Some four-nucleotide

sequences appeared much more frequently; ATGG appeared 41 times in the shared L1

genes of T1L and T3D-T1pol and 44 times in L1 of T3D and T1L-T3pol. Others had

fewer occurrences, such as CCCC, which appeared six times in the L1 genes of T1L

and T3D-T1pol. We next wondered how many occurrences of each of these four-

nucleotide sequences were part of a recombination junction in our data set, either at the

start or stop of the junction. Most occurrences of the four-nucleotide sequences (79-

87%) were not part of any junctions in our data set (**Fig. 9B**). We found that for

segments L1 and L3, ~ 15% and ~ 13% of occurrences of a four-nucleotide sequence

were used in a junction once, indicating their incorporation into a single unique junction.

Approximately 4% of occurrences of a four-nucleotide sequence for L1 and 2% of

occurrences for L3 were selected for recombination events more than once, indicating

their incorporation into more than one unique junction. The L1 sequences most

frequently used for recombination were GCGG and GGGA in T1L, which were

associated with six unique junction start sites. For the L1 stop position, the TGGG

sequence was part of nine unique junctions. In segment L3, TAAT in T3D-T1pol was

part of seven unique junction start sites, while TAAG was part of eleven unique junction

stop sites. Overall, while a single four-nucleotide sequence can be frequently detected

in a given reovirus gene segment, typically, only rare and specific occurrences of this

sequence are utilized during recombination. These observations suggest that reovirus

recombination is highly selective.

DISCUSSION

We sought to distinguish between contributions of RNA gene segments and the

polymerase complex to virus strain-specific differences in DVG patterns. Although the

presence of DVGs had been reported for reovirus (8, 44), the recombination

mechanisms leading to DVG formation and their strain specificity remained unclear. We

exchanged the polymerase complexes between reovirus strains T1L and T3D, which

were previously shown to differ in their DVG patterns (**Fig. 1**) (8). RT-PCR profiling of

DVGs for wild-type and polymerase-exchanged reoviruses failed to indicate a strict

correlation between the polymerase complex and the diversity of DVG patterns among

evolutionary lineages of serially passaged viruses (**Figs. 2 and S2-S4**). At least some

DVGs carried in the virus population throughout the passage series shared identical

sequences or internal deletions, suggesting a non-random recombination or packaging

selection process (**Figs. 2-3, and S4**). Based on ClickSeq sequencing and

computational analyses of packaged viral RNA, we found that T1L and T3D reoviruses

have distinct recombination sites, which are conserved based on the identity of the gene

segment rather than that of the polymerase complex (**Figs. 4-7 and S7-S19**).

Regardless of segment or polymerase complex identity, we identified positional identity

at recombination junction start and stop sites, where regions of microhomology were

detected (**Figs. 8 and S20-S21**, **Table 2**). Although the conserved sequence at a

recombination junction start and stop site were identified in many locations across a

given gene segment, we found that recombination preferentially occurs only at a small

subset of such locations (**Fig. 9**). Together these findings indicate that, like reovirus

packaging, the recombination events that can yield DVGs may be highly orchestrated

and primarily dictated by properties of viral RNA gene segments, which may include

sequence and RNA secondary structure.

DVGs that arise during serial passage may affect the virus population and

provide information about viral RNA synthesis and/or packaging requirements. In our

analyzed reovirus series, some L1 and S4 DVGs persisted across all passages (**Figs. 2**

and S4). Other DVGs were transient, detected solely in a few passages, or prominent

only in later passages. Variations in DVG diversity throughout passage series have

been observed for other viruses such as SARS-CoV-2, herpesvirus, and Flock House

virus (52-55). The persistence of a DVG in a virus population suggests that it is

preferentially replicated and/or packaged (56). In most cases, there was little evidence

of a substantial (>100-fold) decrease in viral titer across the series (**Fig. S1**), which

suggests that most persistent reovirus DVGs detected are unlikely to be DIPs and may

have neutral effects on the virus population. However, for segment L3, T3D-T1pol

DVGs became more prominent as detection of the full-length segment decreased,

which correlated with larger decreases in viral titers (**Figs. S1-S2**). DIPs have been

identified for avian reovirus, for which S1 DVGs correlate with a reduction of full-length

S1 and a decrease in infectious titers, suggesting a competitive relationship during

replication and/or packaging (44). For the persistent DVGs of reovirus segments L1 and

S4, the analyzed sequences revealed that these DVGs were identical between viruses

or contained identical deletions (**Fig. 3**), which suggests that DVGs with specific

characteristics are synthesized and/or packaged. Reovirus sequences located at the

segment termini, which encompass the UTR and extend into the adjacent ORF, are

thought to aid in assortment, packaging, and other replication processes (17-22, 57,

58). The DVGs that were sequenced retained the signals located at the 5′ end, but only

a partial 3′ UTR. In a prior study, two of six sequenced DVGs from various segments

also contained partial 3′ UTRs, although another two contained longer stretches of

sequence at the 3′ end than the 5′ end (8). Further analysis of packaged DVGs could

reveal the minimal components and features required for successful packaging.

In this study, a broad spectrum of recombination junctions was observed for T1L,

T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D reoviruses (**Figs. 4, S7-S10**). Based on RT-PCR

profiling, DVG sequencing, and prior results, we expected to detect many small DVGs

with large internal deletions (**Figs. 2, S2-S4**) (8, 44). Relatively few recombination

events indicative of such DVGs were detected (**Figs. 4, S7-S10**). However, ClickSeq

inefficiently captures products of ~ 100-300 bp, limiting our capability to detect deletion

DVGs that are missing considerable portions of a viral gene segment and to make

correlations with results obtained from RT-PCR analyses of serially passaged viruses

(36). Overall, our results identified junctions that indicate the existence of various

packaged DVGs, including small and large internal deletions and duplications.

Recombination events in the upper left corner of junction pattern plots, which represent

the merger of a junction start site near the 3′ end of a segment with a stop site near the

5′ end of the same segment, are frequently discarded as artifacts. However,

recombination events that generate duplications in viral gene segments have been

identified for several dsRNA viruses [44-46, 60-64]. Based on ClickSeq data analyses

alone, we cannot determine whether all RNA gene segments packaged by reovirus that

contain recombination junctions also retain the segment termini, and we cannot

completely define the packaged reovirus DVG catalog.

Several factors may influence the location of recombination sites in packaged

reovirus RNA gene segments. In the analyses of ClickSeq data, distinct junctions and

recombination sites were identified for T1L and T3D (**Figs. 4-5 and S7-S10**). These

strains have high sequence identities in segments L1 (95.9%), L3 (97.7%), M1 (97.9%),

and M3 (88.0%). Nonetheless, strain-specific recombination preferences were

maintained in the presence of the polymerase complex of a different virus strain or upon

encapsidation of the viral segment inside a different virus background. Recombination

events leading to DVG generation have been observed to be enriched at specific

regions of the viral genome for other RNA viruses, indicating preferred recombination

sites (5, 59-61). Consistent with our results, rearrangements identified from rotavirus

infections in independent immunocompetent children repeatedly occurred at a preferred

location or hotspot (45-47). We also provide evidence that reovirus recombination

occurs preferentially at specific sites of microhomology, short stretches of conserved

sequence that are positionally identical between the recombination start and stop sites

but it is not directed by a specific sequence motif (**Figs. 8 and S20-S21**). For

rotaviruses, short regions of microhomology have been identified around the junctions

of gene segment rearrangements (45-47). For SARS-CoV-2 and MERS-CoV, an

enrichment of specific nucleotides upstream and downstream of the junction has been

detected (41). Influenza A virus and Sindbis virus have been associated with specific

sequence motifs in the deletion junctions that give rise to DVGs (48, 49). Thus,

unrelated viruses also exhibit recombination specificity, although the overall

mechanisms may differ. Additional factors that could influence recombination locations

are RNA secondary structures or other RNA-RNA interactions. In SARS-CoV-2, it was

found that secondary structures within the viral genome might guide recombination, as

RNA structural distance between DVG junction positions was shorter than any two

random genomic positions, which could facilitate the breaking and rejoining of those

close pairs (5). It has also been shown that RNA secondary structure can influence

chikungunya virus DVG production during replication (62). It has been predicted that the

segment termini of +ssRNA segments of dsRNA viruses, such as reovirus and rotavirus,

interact to form panhandle structures and contain stem-loops (58, 63-67). These

predicted folds and structures are thought to be important for segment selection and

packaging, but they may also be important for directing recombination. There is also

evidence for intersegment RNA-RNA interactions between +ssRNAs during the

assembly of dsRNA viruses including rotavirus and bluetongue virus (68-72). RNA

secondary structure or other RNA-RNA interactions could influence the selection of the

specific microhomology-containing regions that are used for recombination (**Fig. 9**).

Determining the contributions of sequence and structure to DVG production will require

the development of experimentally informed RNA secondary-structure and interaction

models for +ssRNA reovirus segments to interrogate or model their influence on

recombination events that might lead to DVG generation. Finally, packaging selection

might influence our observations of recombination specificity. It is likely that a more

diverse set of DVGs and recombination junctions is formed in infected cells than is

selectively packaged into assembling viral particles.

Based on our new findings, we propose a model for the mechanism of RNA

recombination in reoviruses (**Fig. 10**). The catalytic domain of reovirus RdRp λ3, like the

RdRps of other dsRNA viruses, is sandwiched by N- and C-terminal domains that

create a cage-like structure containing four tunnels for RNA template and product entry

and exit (33, 34). This structure could not readily facilitate dissociation and reassociation

of the polymerase on a distinct template. Therefore, a model of recombination that

involves pausing and reinitiation at a different point along the same template is more

likely. This model fits well with the detection of deletion DVGs, rearrangements, and

recombination events anticipated to yield these types of noncanonical viral RNAs for

dsRNA viruses (**Figs. 3, 4, and S7-S10**) (35, 44-47, 73, 74). The observation that ~

70% of the detected recombination junction sites occur at regions of microhomology,

where positional identity was identified (**Table 2**), suggests that re-hybridization with the

template might trigger the reinitiation of RNA synthesis. As dsRNA viruses undergo two

RNA synthesis events, it is unknown whether the recombination events that lead to

synthesis of reovirus DVGs and other noncanonical RNA molecules occur during

transcription, in which +ssRNA is generated, or during genome replication, which

produces the minus strand. For a segmented dsRNA ϕ6 bacteriophage, it has been

shown that recombination can occur during minus-strand synthesis (75). It is highly

unlikely that the dsRNA templates of transcription form substantial secondary structures

or RNA-RNA interactions that might induce an RdRp pause. On the other hand, the

+ssRNA templates of minus-strand synthesis (genome synthesis) are predicted to form

complex secondary structures and RNA-RNA interactions that could influence RdRp

activity or pausing, allowing the rehybridization of the template and reinitiation of RNA

synthesis that could yield recombination sites in the viral genome (58, 63-67, 70, 76,

77).

Observations in this study suggest that reovirus recombination occurs at

preferred sites across the genome, which differ by reovirus strain. Rather than the

polymerase complex, the identity of the gene segment seems to be a primary driver in

selecting sites of recombination or the populations of DVGs that are packaged into

reovirus particles. Together with properties of the reovirus RdRp and the types of

reovirus DVGs detected, microhomology at recombination sites suggests a model of

recombination in which the RdRp pauses synthesis and reinitiates after re-hybridization

of the nascent product with the same template molecule at a different location (**Fig. 10**).

Among the dsRNA viruses of the order Reovirales, many features are shared, including

replication strategy, RdRp structure, packaging determinants, and noncanonical RNA

properties. Thus, acquired knowledge for reovirus also may apply to the recombination

mechanisms of other dsRNA viruses that affect human health (rotavirus), livestock

(bluetongue), and agriculture (rice dwarf virus). Future studies should be aimed at

determining associations of DVGs with pathogenesis, the influence of DVGs that are

carried transiently or continuously in virus populations, discriminating between DVG

synthesis and packaging in selecting the DVG population encapsidated by virus

particles, defining the contributions of RNA sequence and structure to recombination,

and determining precise recombination mechanisms for dsRNA viruses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells. L cells were maintained in suspension culture in Joklik's minimum essential

medium (JMEM; U.S. Biological) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS;

Gibco), 2mM L-glutamine (Corning), and 100 U/ml penicillin plus 100 µg/ml

streptomycin (Corning). Baby hamster kidney cells expressing T7 RNA polymerase

controlled by a cytomegalovirus promoter (BHK-T7) cells were grown in Dulbecco's

minimum essential medium (DMEM; Corning) supplemented with 10% tryptose-

phosphate broth, 1% nonessential amino acids, 5% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 100

U/mL penicillin plus 100 µg/mL streptomycin, with 1 mg/ml Geneticin (Gibco) added

during alternate passages. MDCK cells were maintained in minimum essential medium

with Earle's salts (EMEM; Corning) supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine,

and 100 U/ml penicillin plus 100 µg/ml streptomycin. All cells were cultivated at 37°C

with 5% CO_2.

Viruses. Reovirus strains T1L, T3D, T1L-L1M1-T3D, and T3D-L1M1-T1L were

engineered using plasmid-based reverse genetics (37, 38). T3D contained a T249I

mutation that protects σ1, the attachment protein, from proteolytic cleavage (37). A

pBacT7-S1T3D plasmid was previously engineered via 'round the horn PCR to include

the T249I mutation (78). T1L and T3D were rescued with a plasmid expressing C3P3 to

increase efficiency (79). Briefly, monolayers of BHK-T7 cells at approximately 50%

confluency in 12-well plates were co-transfected with 0.8 µg of pT7 plasmids encoding

each of the ten T1L or T3D reovirus positive-sense viral RNAs and TransIT-LT1

transfection reagent (Mirus Bio LLC) (37, 38). For the polymerase complex-exchanged

viruses, plasmids encoding T1L or T3D L1 and M1 positive-sense viral RNAs were

introduced into the T3D or T1L reovirus background, respectively, in place of the native

plasmids. Following five days of incubation, cells were subjected to two rounds of

freezing and thawing. For viruses used in the passage series, lysates were amplified

once in L cells, and viral titers were calculated by plaque assay in L cells (80). Viruses

used for recombination analysis were plaque purified (80). Individual plaques were

selected and amplified twice in L cells to generate stocks.

Electropherotyping of recombinant reoviruses. 4.8×10^6 L cells were inoculated

with three independently plaque-purified virus clones of each recombinant reovirus.

Cells were incubated at 37°C for about five days until cytopathic effects were detected.

Cells were collected and lysed in IGEPAL buffer (140 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 100 mM

Tris, pH 7.4) plus 5% IGEPAL CA-630 (Alfa Aesar). RNA from the supernatant was

precipitated and resuspended in nuclease-free water. RNA samples were mixed with 4×

sample buffer and heated for 10 min at 65°C. Samples were resolved by SDS-10%

PAGE and ethidium bromide staining and visualized using a ChemiDoc MP imaging

system (BIO-RAD).

Replication kinetics analysis. To compare the replication kinetics of wild-type and

polymerase-exchanged reoviruses, 8×10^5 L or MDCK cells in 12-well plates were

absorbed with three clones of each recombinant reovirus at an MOI of 0.1 PFU/cell. The

unbound virus was removed by washing, and cells were incubated at 37°C for 0, 6, 12,

18, 24, or 48 h. After incubation, cells were lysed, and viral titer in lysates was quantified

by plaque assay (80).

Reovirus serial passages in L cells. To initiate the passage series, 5×10^7 L cells

were pelleted and adsorbed with T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, T3D, or PBS (mock) in

10 ml JMEM at an MOI of 0.3 PFU/cell for 1 h at 37°C in triplicate from the same stock.

Cell-virus mixtures were transferred into a suspension culture using 1 L bottles

containing a magnetic stirring rod, and JMEM was added to a total volume of 100 ml.

Suspension cultures were incubated for 48 h at 37°C, and the incubation was

terminated by completing two freeze-and-thaw cycles and clearing debris from lysate by

centrifugation at 1,000 × g for 10 min. For subsequent passages (P2 to P10), an

identical protocol was followed, except that $5 × 10^7$ L cells were pelleted and

resuspended in an adsorption inoculum of 10 ml of cleared lysate from the previous

passage. For each virus, triplicate lineages were maintained throughout the series.

Virus titer in each passage for each lineage was determined by plaque assay (80). One

mock-infected passage was completed in triplicate.

RT-PCR and electrophoretic analysis of DVG profiles. RNA was extracted from

serial passage lysates using TRIzol LS Reagent (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer

instructions. The RNA was used as a template with the OneStep RT-PCR kit (Qiagen)

using manufacturer-recommended reaction conditions and gene-specific primers

targeting the 5′ and 3′ termini to identify gene segments and DVGs. Primer sequences

are available upon request. The extension time for segments >2 kb was 3 min and for

those <2 kb was 1 min. RT-PCR products were resolved in 1.2% agarose stained with

ethidium bromide and visualized using a ChemiDoc MP imaging system (BIO-RAD).

RT-PCR products were resolved alongside a 100 bp DNA ladder and 1 kb DNA ladder

(New England Biolabs).

DVG sequencing. RT-PCR products from P6 and P9 present in multiple passages and

lineages were gel extracted using a QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen). The extracted

DNA was submitted for Sanger sequencing (Azenta) with the primers used for RT-PCR

amplification. Sequences were aligned to the corresponding reference viral genome

using CLC sequence viewer 8 (Qiagen).

Reovirus particle enrichment and RNA collection. 4×10^8 L cells were absorbed with

three independent clones of stock for viruses T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D at

an MOI of 1 PFU/cell at 37°C for 72 h. Virus particles were purified from infected L cells

by deoxycholate permeabilization, Vertrel XF (DuPont) extraction, and CsCl gradient

centrifugation, as described previously(14). Reovirus particle concentration was

determined from the equivalence of one unit of optical density at 260 nm to 2.1×10^{12}

particles. 2.2×10^{12} viral particles for each individual virus and each replicate were

treated with benzonase (250U) to degrade extra-particle nucleic acids, and the enzyme

was inactivated with 0.5 M EDTA (pH 8.0). RNA was extracted from benzonase-treated

particles using Trizol LS Reagent (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's protocol.

The quality of the RNA was determined using a Bioanalyzer (Agilent), and the RNA

concentration was determined by Qubit (Invitrogen).

ClickSeq library preparation and Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS). ClickSeq

libraries were generated from 250 ng of extracted RNA for each virus replicate. To

initiate library preparation, the RNA underwent reverse transcription using SuperScript

III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) with the addition of 3′-azido-nucleotides at a 1:35

AzNTPs:dNTPs ratio to induce chain termination and to release 3′-azido blocked cDNA

fragments, which are click-ligated to Illumina i5 sequencing adaptors, as previously

described (36). The products were PCR amplified with barcoded Illumina p7 adaptors,

and libraries were sequenced by 150-bp paired-end sequencing on a NovaSeq X Plus

sequencing system (Illumina). Assistance with quality control and next-generation

sequencing was provided by ClickSeq Technologies LLC.

Illumina RNA-sequencing processing and alignment. Raw reads were processed by

first removing the Illumina TruSeq adapter using Cutadapt (81) with default settings.

Reads shorter than 50 bp were discarded and reads containing any base with a PHRED

score < 20 were removed using the FASTX toolkit. The raw FASTQ files were aligned to

the T1L and T3D reovirus genome segments (NCBI or GenBank accession numbers

AF378003.1, AF129820.1, AF461682.1, AF490617.1, AF174382.1, M14779.1,

L19774.1, M14325.1, M13139.1, EF494435.1, EF494436.1, EF494437.1, EF494438.1,

EF494439.1, EF494440.1, EF494441.1, EF494442.1, EF494443.1, EF494444.1; the

T1L L1 sequence does not perfectly match those in GenBank and is available upon

request) using the Python3 script *ViReMa* (Viral Recombination Mapper, version 0.32)

(42) using the command line parameters python3 ViReMa.py reference_index

input.fastq output.sam –Ouput_Dir sample_virema/--Output_Tag sample_virema -BED

–MicroIndel_Length 5. The sequence alignment map (SAM) file was processed using

the samtools (82) suite to calculate nucleotide depth at each position in a sorted binary

alignment map (BAM) file (using command line parameters samtools depth -a -m 0

sample_virema.sorted.bam > sample_virema.coverage).

Recombination junction analysis. Recombination junctions that contained micro

insertions or deletions of 5 nt or less were filtered into separate output BED files. The

frequency of the start and stop position of each junction was evaluated by dividing the

frequency of reads mapping to a recombination junction by the total mapped reads.

Junctions were plotted according to the genomic position and colored according to $\log_{10}$

of the frequency using *ggplot2* in RStudio (83). Recombination frequency was

calculated at each genomic position by dividing the number of nucleotides in any

junction mapping to the position by the total number of nucleotides sequenced at the

position.

Principal component analysis. A unique ID was created for each recombination event

using the *dplyr* package in RStudio (84). All unique recombination events were identified

for each virus, and the frequency value was added to a matrix. NA values were

substituted with zero, and the data was normalized. Principal component analysis (PCA)

was conducted and visualized for segments L1, L3, M1, and M3 using GraphPad Prism

10.3.1.

Nucleotide composition analysis and sequence microhomology quantification.

Nucleotide composition at each position surrounding recombination junction start and

stop sites was determined. To avoid bias of highly replicated sites and to more closely

reflect the stochastic nature of RNA recombination, each unique detected junction was

counted equally rather than weighting by read count (54). Sequences were extracted

from a sorted BED file that was converted into a text file to facilitate its manipulation.

Start site and stop site sequences were separated in RStudio and read sequences

containing less than 51 nucleotides in the stop site were filtered out. The nucleotide

frequency at each position was calculated using the *Biostrings* package in RStudio (85).

The nucleotide frequency was plotted as a logo plot using the *ggseqlogo* package in

RStudio (86). For microhomology quantification, the nucleotides surrounding the

junction sites were compared for positional identity relative to the start and stop sites.

Positionally identical sequences were quantified in RStudio and plotted in GraphPad

Prism 10.

Microhomology sequence occurrence and usage. To calculate the number and

percentage of recombination events containing microhomology regions of specific

lengths, even numbers of nucleotides surrounding the junction sites (eight, six, or four)

were extracted using *dplyr* (84). Extracted eight-, six-, or four-nucleotide sequences

were compared between junction start and stop sites. Matches between the start and

stop site were counted, and the percentage of junctions with microhomology were

calculated using the *Biostrings* package in RStudio. To determine the frequency with

which conserved four-nucleotide sequences found at recombination junctions recur in a

given segment, unique four-nucleotide sequences with microhomology at start and stop

sites from recombination events in the L1 and L3 genes were extracted using *dplyr* (84).

For sequences originating from a negative strand, the reverse complement was

obtained using *Biostrings (85).* Using the T1L or T3D L1 or L3 segment sequence as a

reference, occurrences of each four-nucleotide sequence in the reference were

quantified using *Biostrings (85)*. The location of each short sequence in the viral

genome was extracted using *Biostrings* (85). The location of short sequences from the

negative strand and positive strand were adjusted to match the location of the start and

stop sites of the recombination junctions detected by *ViReMa*. Then, the short sequence

location number was counted for the number of occurrences in the column associated

with the start and the stop sites separately from the dataset.

Shared junction analysis. Individual recombination junctions were identified, and

unique IDs were created for each recombination event using the *dplyr* (84) package in

RStudio. Recombination junctions for all viruses within individual segments were

compared to each other using the *ggvenn* package in RStudio (87), and numbers were

plotted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Vanderbilt High Throughput Screening Facility for training and access to

equipment. We thank Dr. James C. Slaughter for biostatistics expertise. Research

reported in this work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01AI155646

to KMO). Alejandra Flores was supported by T32GM139800 and F31AI186483. The

contents of this publication are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not

necessarily represent the views of the National Institutes of Health.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data generated by ClickSeq sequencing can be accessed at NCBI Sequence Read

Archive (SRA) under the BioProject accession PRJNA1259514. Code utilized in this

report can be accessed at (https://github.com/ogdenlab1/ReoPolSwap.git).

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

1. Vignuzzi M, Lopez CB. 2019. Defective viral genomes are key drivers of the

virus-host interaction. Nat Microbiol 4:1075-1087.

2. Marriott AC, Dimmock NJ. 2010. Defective interfering viruses and their potential

as antiviral agents. Rev Med Virol 20:51-62.

3. Rezelj VV, Levi LI, Vignuzzi M. 2018. The defective component of viral

populations. Curr Opin Virol 33:74-80.

4. Vasilijevic J, Zamarreño N, Oliveros JC, Rodriguez-Frandsen A, Gómez G,

Rodriguez G, Pérez-Ruiz M, Rey S, Barba I, Pozo F, Casas I, Nieto A, Falcón A.

2017. Reduced accumulation of defective viral genomes contributes to severe

outcome in influenza virus infected patients. PLoS Pathog 13:e1006650.

5. Zhou T, Gilliam NJ, Li S, Spandau S, Osborn RM, Connor S, Anderson CS,

Mariani TJ, Thakar J, Dewhurst S, Mathews DH, Huang L, Sun Y. 2023.

Generation and Functional Analysis of Defective Viral Genomes during SARS-

CoV-2 Infection. mBio 14:e0025023.

6. Xiao Y, Lidsky PV, Shirogane Y, Aviner R, Wu CT, Li W, Zheng W, Talbot D,

Catching A, Doitsh G, Su W, Gekko CE, Nayak A, Ernst JD, Brodsky L, Brodsky

E, Rousseau E, Capponi S, Bianco S, Nakamura R, Jackson PK, Frydman J,

Andino R. 2021. A defective viral genome strategy elicits broad protective

immunity against respiratory viruses. Cell 184:6037-6051.e14.

7. Kalamvoki M, Norris V. 2022. A Defective Viral Particle Approach to COVID-19.

Cells 11.

842 8. Smith SC, Gribble J, Diller JR, Wiebe MA, Thoner TW, Jr., Denison MR, Ogden

843   KM. 2021. Reovirus RNA recombination is sequence directed and generates

844   internally deleted defective genome segments during passage. J Virol 95.

845 9. Comins C, Simpson GR, Relph K, Harrington KJ, Melcher A, Pandha H. 2014.

846   Reoviral Therapy for Cancer, p 185-198, Gene Therapy of Cancer

847   doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-394295-1.00013-5.

848 10. Russell L, Peng K-W. 2018. The emerging role of oncolytic virus therapy against

849   cancer. Chinese Clinical Oncology 7:16.

850 11. Thirukkumaran C, Morris DG. 2009. Oncolytic Viral Therapy Using Reovirus, p

851   607-634. In Walther W, Stein US (ed), Gene Therapy of Cancer: Methods and

852   Protocols doi:10.1007/978-1-59745-561-9_31. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ.

853 12. Dermody T, Parker J, Sherry B. 2013. Orthoreovirus, p 1304–46. In Knipe D,

854   Howley P (ed), Fields virology, 6th ed. Lippincott, Williams, & Wilkins,

855   Philadelphia.

856 13. Guo Y, Parker JSL. 2021. The Paradoxes of Viral mRNA Translation during

857   Mammalian Orthoreovirus Infection. Viruses 13.

858 14. Thoner TW, Jr., Ye X, Karijolich J, Ogden KM. 2021. Reovirus Low-Density

859   Particles Package Cellular RNA. Viruses 13.

860 15. Matthijnssens J, Attoui H, Bányai K, Brussaard CPD, Danthi P, Del Vas M,

861   Dermody TS, Duncan R, Fāng 方勤 Q, Johne R, Mertens PPC, Mohd Jaafar F,

862   Patton JT, Sasaya 笹谷孝英 T, Suzuki 鈴木信弘 N, Wei 魏太云 T. 2022. ICTV

863   Virus Taxonomy Profile: Sedoreoviridae 2022. J Gen Virol 103.

16. Joklik WK, Roner MR. 1995. What reassorts when reovirus genome segments

reassort? J Biol Chem 270:4181-4.

17. Roner MR, Joklik WK. 2001. Reovirus reverse genetics: Incorporation of the CAT

gene into the reovirus genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:8036-41.

18. Roner MR, Bassett K, Roehr J. 2004. Identification of the 5' sequences required

for incorporation of an engineered ssRNA into the Reovirus genome. Virology

329:348-60.

19. Roner MR, Roehr J. 2006. The 3' sequences required for incorporation of an

engineered ssRNA into the Reovirus genome. Virol J 3:1.

20. Roner MR, Steele BG. 2007. Features of the mammalian orthoreovirus 3 Dearing

l1 single-stranded RNA that direct packaging and serotype restriction. J Gen Virol

88:3401-3412.

21. Roner MR, Steele BG. 2007. Localizing the reovirus packaging signals using an

engineered m1 and s2 ssRNA. Virology 358:89-97.

22. Demidenko AA, Blattman JN, Blattman NN, Greenberg PD, Nibert ML. 2013.

Engineering recombinant reoviruses with tandem repeats and a tetravirus 2A-like

element for exogenous polypeptide expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

110:E1867-76.

23. Lazzarini RA, Keene JD, Schubert M. 1981. The origins of defective interfering

particles of the negative-strand RNA viruses. Cell 26:145-54.

24. Xiao Y, Rouzine IM, Bianco S, Acevedo A, Goldstein EF, Farkov M, Brodsky L,

Andino R. 2016. RNA Recombination Enhances Adaptability and Is Required for

Virus Spread and Virulence. Cell Host Microbe 19:493-503.

25.   Brennan JW, Sun Y. 2024. Defective viral genomes: advances in understanding

888   their generation, function, and impact on infection outcomes. mBio 15:e0069224.

889   26.   Sun Y, Kim EJ, Felt SA, Taylor LJ, Agarwal D, Grant GR, López CB. 2019. A

890   specific sequence in the genome of respiratory syncytial virus regulates the

891   generation of copy-back defective viral genomes. PLOS Pathogens

892   15:e1007707.

893   27.   Bao K, Zhang X, Li D, Sun W, Sun Z, Wang J, Zhu P. 2022. In situ structures of

894   polymerase complex of mammalian reovirus illuminate RdRp activation and

895   transcription regulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 119:e2203054119.

896   28.   Kim J, Parker JS, Murray KE, Nibert ML. 2004. Nucleoside and RNA

897   triphosphatase activities of orthoreovirus transcriptase cofactor mu2. J Biol Chem

898   279:4394-403.

899   29.   Noble S, Nibert ML. 1997. Core protein mu2 is a second determinant of

900   nucleoside triphosphatase activities by reovirus cores. J Virol 71:7728-35.

901   30.   Yin P, Keirstead ND, Broering TJ, Arnold MM, Parker JS, Nibert ML, Coombs

902   KM. 2004. Comparisons of the M1 genome segments and encoded mu2 proteins

903   of different reovirus isolates. Virol J 1:6.

904   31.   Pan M, Alvarez-Cabrera AL, Kang JS, Wang L, Fan C, Zhou ZH. 2021.

905   Asymmetric reconstruction of mammalian reovirus reveals interactions among

906   RNA, transcriptional factor µ2 and capsid proteins. Nat Commun 12:4176.

907   32.   McDonald SM, Tao YJ, Patton JT. 2009. The ins and outs of four-tunneled

908   Reoviridae RNA-dependent RNA polymerases. Curr Opin Struct Biol 19:775-82.

33.   Tao Y, Farsetta DL, Nibert ML, Harrison SC. 2002. RNA synthesis in a cage--

structural studies of reovirus polymerase lambda3. Cell 111:733-45.

34.   Zhang X, Walker SB, Chipman PR, Nibert ML, Baker TS. 2003. Reovirus

polymerase lambda 3 localized by cryo-electron microscopy of virions at a

resolution of 7.6 A. Nat Struct Biol 10:1011-8.

35.   Desselberger U. 1996. Genome rearrangements of rotaviruses. Adv Virus Res

46:69-95.

36.   Routh A, Head SR, Ordoukhanian P, Johnson JE. 2015. ClickSeq:

Fragmentation-Free Next-Generation Sequencing via Click Ligation of Adaptors

to Stochastically Terminated 3'-Azido cDNAs. J Mol Biol 427:2610-6.

37.   Kobayashi T, Antar AA, Boehme KW, Danthi P, Eby EA, Guglielmi KM, Holm

GH, Johnson EM, Maginnis MS, Naik S, Skelton WB, Wetzel JD, Wilson GJ,

Chappell JD, Dermody TS. 2007. A plasmid-based reverse genetics system for

animal double-stranded RNA viruses. Cell Host Microbe 1:147-57.

38.   Kobayashi T, Ooms LS, Ikizler M, Chappell JD, Dermody TS. 2010. An improved

reverse genetics system for mammalian orthoreoviruses. Virology 398:194-200.

39.   Ooms LS, Kobayashi T, Dermody TS, Chappell JD. 2010. A post-entry step in

the mammalian orthoreovirus replication cycle is a determinant of cell tropism. J

Biol Chem 285:41604-13.

40.   Rodgers SE, Barton ES, Oberhaus SM, Pike B, Gibson CA, Tyler KL, Dermody

TS. 1997. Reovirus-induced apoptosis of MDCK cells is not linked to viral yield

and is blocked by Bcl-2. J Virol 71:2540-6.

41.   Gribble J, Stevens LJ, Agostini ML, Anderson-Daniels J, Chappell JD, Lu X,

Pruijssers AJ, Routh AL, Denison MR. 2021. The coronavirus proofreading

exoribonuclease mediates extensive viral recombination. PLoS Pathog

17:e1009226.

42.   Routh A, Johnson JE. 2014. Discovery of functional genomic motifs in viruses

with ViReMa-a Virus Recombination Mapper-for analysis of next-generation

sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 42:e11.

43.   Zhou Y, Ahearn YP, Lokugamage KG, Alvarado RE, Estes LK, Meyers WM,

McLeland AM, Morgan AL, Murray JT, Walker DH, Johnson BA, Routh AL,

Menachery VD. 2024. SARS-CoV-2 EndoU-ribonuclease regulates RNA

recombination and impacts viral fitness. bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2024.11.11.622995.

44.   Ni Y, Kemp MC. 1994. Subgenomic S1 segments are packaged by avian

reovirus defective interfering particles having an S1 segment deletion. Virus Res

32:329-42.

45.   Alam MM, Kobayashi N, Ishino M, Nagashima S, Paul SK, Chawla-Sarkar M,

Krishnan T, Naik TN. 2008. Identical rearrangement of NSP3 genes found in

three independently isolated virus clones derived from mixed infection and

multiple passages of Rotaviruses. Arch Virol 153:555-9.

46.   Schnepf N, Deback C, Dehee A, Gault E, Parez N, Garbarg-Chenon A. 2008.

Rearrangements of rotavirus genomic segment 11 are generated during acute

infection of immunocompetent children and do not occur at random. J Virol

82:3689-96.

47.   Kojima K, Taniguchi K, Kawagishi-Kobayashi M, Matsuno S, Urasawa S. 2000.

Rearrangement generated in double genes, NSP1 and NSP3, of viable

progenies from a human rotavirus strain. Virus Res 67:163-71.

48.   Poirier EZ, Mounce BC, Rozen-Gagnon K, Hooikaas PJ, Stapleford KA,

Moratorio G, Vignuzzi M. 2016. Low-Fidelity Polymerases of Alphaviruses

Recombine at Higher Rates To Overproduce Defective Interfering Particles.

Journal of Virology 90:2446-2454.

49.   Jennings PA, Finch JT, Winter G, Robertson JS. 1983. Does the higher order

structure of the influenza virus ribonucleoprotein guide sequence rearrangements

in influenza viral RNA? Cell 34:619-27.

50.   Li Y, Ball LA. 1993. Nonhomologous RNA recombination during negative-strand

synthesis of flock house virus RNA. J Virol 67:3854-60.

51.   Peccoud J, Lequime S, Moltini-Conclois I, Giraud I, Lambrechts L, Gilbert C.

2018. A Survey of Virus Recombination Uncovers Canonical Features of Artificial

Chimeras Generated During Deep Sequencing Library Preparation. G3

(Bethesda) 8:1129-1138.

52.   Girgis S, Xu Z, Oikonomopoulos S, Fedorova AD, Tchesnokov EP, Gordon CJ,

Schmeing TM, Götte M, Sonenberg N, Baranov PV, Ragoussis J, Hobman TC,

Pelletier J. 2022. Evolution of naturally arising SARS-CoV-2 defective interfering

particles. Communications Biology 5:1140.

53.   Shitrit A, Nisnevich V, Rozenshtein N, Kobo H, Phan HV, Tay S, Szpara M,

Weitzman MD, Drayman N, Kobiler O. 2023. Shared sequence characteristics

identified in non-canonical rearrangements of HSV-1 genomes. J Virol

97:e0095523.

54.   Jaworski E, Routh A. 2017. Parallel ClickSeq and Nanopore sequencing

elucidates the rapid evolution of defective-interfering RNAs in Flock House virus.

PLoS Pathog 13:e1006365.

55.   Jaworski E, Routh A. 2018. ClickSeq: Replacing Fragmentation and Enzymatic

Ligation with Click-Chemistry to Prevent Sequence Chimeras. Methods Mol Biol

1712:71-85.

56.   Hillung J, Olmo-Uceda MJ, Muñoz-Sánchez JC, Elena SF. 2024. Accumulation

Dynamics of Defective Genomes during Experimental Evolution of Two

Betacoronaviruses. Viruses 16.

57.   Roner MR, Lin PN, Nepluev I, Kong LJ, Joklik WK. 1995. Identification of signals

required for the insertion of heterologous genome segments into the reovirus

genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92:12362-6.

58.   Dhar D, Mehanovic S, Moss W, Miller CL. 2024. Sequences at gene segment

termini inclusive of untranslated regions and partial open reading frames play a

critical role in mammalian orthoreovirus S gene packaging. PLoS Pathog

20:e1012037.

59.   Killip MJ, Young DF, Gatherer D, Ross CS, Short JA, Davison AJ, Goodbourn S,

Randall RE. 2013. Deep sequencing analysis of defective genomes of

parainfluenza virus 5 and their role in interferon induction. J Virol 87:4798-807.

60. Ghorbani A, Ngunjiri JM, Rendon G, Brooke CB, Kenney SP, Lee CW. 2023.

Diversity and Complexity of Internally Deleted Viral Genomes in Influenza A Virus

Subpopulations with Enhanced Interferon-Inducing Phenotypes. Viruses 15.

61. Aguilar Rangel M, Dolan PT, Taguwa S, Xiao Y, Andino R, Frydman J. 2023.

High-resolution mapping reveals the mechanism and contribution of genome

insertions and deletions to RNA virus evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

120:e2304667120.

62. Levi LI, Madden EA, Boussier J, Erazo D, Sanders W, Vallet T, Bernhauerova V,

Moorman NJ, Heise MT, Vignuzzi M. 2024. Chikungunya Virus RNA Secondary

Structures Impact Defective Viral Genome Production. Microorganisms 12.

63. Chapell JD, Goral MI, Rodgers SE, dePamphilis CW, Dermody TS. 1994.

Sequence diversity within the reovirus S2 gene: reovirus genes reassort in

nature, and their termini are predicted to form a panhandle motif. J Virol 68:750-

6.

64. Chen D, Patton JT. 1998. Rotavirus RNA replication requires a single-stranded 3'

end for efficient minus-strand synthesis. J Virol 72:7387-96.

65. Tortorici MA, Shapiro BA, Patton JT. 2006. A base-specific recognition signal in

the 5' consensus sequence of rotavirus plus-strand RNAs promotes replication of

the double-stranded RNA genome segments. RNA 12:133-46.

66. Li W, Manktelow E, von Kirchbach JC, Gog JR, Desselberger U, Lever AM.

2010. Genomic analysis of codon, sequence and structural conservation with

selective biochemical-structure mapping reveals highly conserved and dynamic

structures in rotavirus RNAs with potential cis-acting functions. Nucleic Acids Res

38:7718-35.

67.   Biswas S, Li W, Manktelow E, Lever J, Easton LE, Lukavsky PJ, Desselberger U,

Lever AM. 2014. Physicochemical analysis of rotavirus segment 11 supports a

'modified panhandle' structure and not the predicted alternative tRNA-like

structure (TRLS). Arch Virol 159:235-48.

68.   Sung PY, Phelan JE, Luo D, Kulasegaran-Shylini R, Bohn P, Smyth RP, Roy P.

2024. Recruitment of multi-segment genomic RNAs by Bluetongue virus requires

a preformed RNA network. Nucleic Acids Res 52:8500-8514.

69.   Sung PY, Roy P. 2021. RNA Origami: Packaging a Segmented Genome in

Orbivirus Assembly and Replication. Viruses 13.

70.   Sung PY, Roy P. 2014. Sequential packaging of RNA genomic segments during

the assembly of Bluetongue virus. Nucleic Acids Res 42:13824-38.

71.   Borodavka A, Dykeman EC, Schrimpf W, Lamb DC. 2017. Protein-mediated

RNA folding governs sequence-specific interactions between rotavirus genome

segments. Elife 6.

72.   Kobayashi Y, Dadonaite B, van Doremalen N, Suzuki Y, Barclay WS, Pybus OG.

2016. Computational and molecular analysis of conserved influenza A virus RNA

secondary structures involved in infectious virion production. RNA Biol 13:883-

94.

73.   Kennedy JC, Macdonald RD. 1982. Persistent infection with infectious pancreatic

necrosis virus mediated by defective-interfering (DI) virus particles in a cell line

showing strong interference but little DI replication. J Gen Virol 58:361-71.

74.   Chiba S, Lin YH, Kondo H, Kanematsu S, Suzuki N. 2016. A novel

1042   betapartitivirus RnPV6 from Rosellinia necatrix tolerates host RNA silencing but

1043   is interfered by its defective RNAs. Virus Res 219:62-72.

1044   75.   Qiao X, Qiao J, Mindich L. 1997. Anin VitroSystem for the Investigation of

1045   Heterologous RNA Recombination. Virology 227:103-110.

1046   76.   AlShaikhahmed K, Leonov G, Sung PY, Bingham RJ, Twarock R, Roy P. 2018.

1047   Dynamic network approach for the modelling of genomic sub-complexes in multi-

1048   segmented viruses. Nucleic Acids Res 46:12087-12098.

1049   77.   Fajardo T, Jr., Sung PY, Roy P. 2015. Disruption of Specific RNA-RNA

1050   Interactions in a Double-Stranded RNA Virus Inhibits Genome Packaging and

1051   Virus Infectivity. PLoS Pathog 11:e1005321.

1052   78.   Hemsley A, Arnheim N, Toney MD, Cortopassi G, Galas DJ. 1989. A simple

1053   method for site-directed mutagenesis using the polymerase chain reaction.

1054   Nucleic Acids Res 17:6545-51.

1055   79.   Eaton HE, Kobayashi T, Dermody TS, Johnston RN, Jais PH, Shmulevitz M.

1056   2017. African Swine Fever Virus NP868R Capping Enzyme Promotes Reovirus

1057   Rescue during Reverse Genetics by Promoting Reovirus Protein Expression,

1058   Virion Assembly, and RNA Incorporation into Infectious Virions. J Virol 91.

1059   80.   Berard A, Coombs KM. 2009. Mammalian reoviruses: propagation, quantification,

1060   and storage. Curr Protoc Microbiol Chapter 15:Unit15C.1.

1061   81.   Martin M. 2011. CUTADAPT removes adapter sequences from high-throughput

1062   sequencing reads. EMBnetjournal 17.

1063   82.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis

1064   G, Durbin R. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.

1065   Bioinformatics 25:2078-9.

1066   83.   Wickham H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis, Springer-Verlag

1067   New York, https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.

1068   84.   Vaughan HWaRFaLHaKMaD. 2023. dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation,

1069   https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr.

1070   85.   Pagès H AP, Gentleman R, DebRoy S. 2023. Biostrings: Efficient manipulation

1071   of biological strings. R package version 2.74.1.

1072   https://bioconductor.org/packages/Biostrings. Accessed

1073   86.   Wagih O. 2024. ggseqlogo: A 'ggplot2' Extension for Drawing Publication-Ready

1074   Sequence

1075   Logos, https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggseqlogo.

1076   87.   Yan L. 2024. ggvenn: Draw Venn Diagram by 'ggplot2,

1077   https://yanlinlin82.github.io/ggvenn/.

1078

1079

 FIGURE LEGENDS

 Figure 1. Polymerase complex-exchanged reovirus characterization. (A) Schematic

 of polymerase-exchanged viruses and their polymerase complexes. The origin of viral

 gene segments, represented as parallel lines inside the particle, the virus background,

 represented by the particle, and polymerase complex are indicated by color (red, T1L;

 blue, T3D). Created using Biorender.com (B) Electropherotypes of T1L, T3D, and

 polymerase-exchanged reoviruses. RNA extracted from cells infected with the indicated

 virus was resolved by SDS-PAGE. Blue circles indicate T3D L1 or M1 gene segments.

 Red circles indicate T1L L1 or M1 gene segments. (C) Replication kinetics of parental

 and polymerase-exchanged reoviruses in L cells. Viral titers were determined by

 fluorescent focus assay. Error bars = SD, n = 3 clones per virus. Not statistically significant

 by two-way ANOVA. (D) Plaque size of parental and polymerase-exchanged reoviruses

 in L cells. ns, not significant; **, $P < 0.01$; ****, $P < 0.0001$ by one-way ANOVA. (E)

 Replication kinetics of parental and polymerase-exchanged reoviruses in MDCK cells.

 Viral titers were determined by plaque assay. Error bars = SD, n = 3 clones per virus. Not

 statistically significant by two-way ANOVA.

 Figure 2. Serial passage lineage 1 to 3 reovirus gene segment L1 patterns. RNA

 extracted from serial passages was used as a template for RT-PCR reactions in which

 primers targeting terminal sequences in the L1 segments of both T1L and T3D were

 included. Products from T1L (A), T1L-T3pol (B), T3D-T1pol (C), or T3D (D) P1-P10 were

 resolved in 1.2% ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels. The evolutionary lineage is

1102 indicated. Black asterisks indicate the position of the full-length segment. Triangles

1103 indicate products smaller than the full-length segment.

1104

Figure 3. Sequences of isolated DVGs from serial passages. Schematics, drawn to

scale, of full-length reovirus L1 and S4 segments and of sequenced T1L-T3pol and T3D-

T1pol reovirus DVGs. The ORF is colored purple (L1) or green (S4). The 5′ and 3′ UTRs

are colored gray. Central deletions were identified for each DVG. Dotted lines represent

the missing sequences. Below the schematics are the DVG sequences, with DVG

junctions indicated by "/".

1111

Figure 4. Reovirus recombination junction site location and frequency. (A)

Schematic of a recombination junction site in the context of the parental genome

segment, in which the 5' and 3' termini are conserved (bottom). The junction is labeled

with a red caret (^), and example sequences are shown. Sequences upstream of the

junction start site and downstream of the junction stop site may form a final product that

is missing the internal genome sequence (top). (B) Schematic of junction scatter plots

indicating the different cluster within the plot marked by dashed boxes: (i) 5' → 3' (ii) mid-

genome → 3' (iii) 3' → 3' (iv) local deletions/microindels (v) 5' UTR → rest of genome (vi)

duplications. (C-F) Recombination junction site location and frequency in sequenced T1L,

T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D virion RNA for gene segments L1 (C), L3 (D), M1 (E),

and M3 (F). Junction sites are indicated by dots whose position corresponds to upstream

and downstream sequences that are merged to form a novel junction. Junction frequency

is indicated by dot color, according to the legend at the right of each image. Results from

a representative clone for each virus are shown.

1126

1127 **Figure 5. Reovirus recombination start-site frequency across gene segments.**

1128 Recombination start-site frequency at each nucleotide position across L1 (A), L3 (B), M1

1129 (C), and M3 (D) segments from T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D. Mean positional

1130 recombination frequency for sequenced virion RNA from three clones per virus is shown.

1131

1132 **Figure 6. Principal component analysis of reovirus recombination profiles.** Score

1133 plots for principal component analyses (PCA) of gene segments L1 (A), M1 (B), L3 (C),

1134 and M3 (D) applied to junctional recombination frequency data for three independent

1135 samples of reovirus strains T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D.

1136

Figure 7. Recombination junctions shared among wild-type and polymerase-

exchanged viruses. All the unique recombination junctions that are common between

sequenced viral RNA from T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D were identified using

the "ggvenn" package in R. Analyses were conducted for segments L1 (A), L3 (B), M1

(C), and M3 (D). Black cells show total unique junctions for each virus within the

indicated gene segment. Combined data from three independent samples of each virus

were included in the analysis.

1144

Figure 8. Microhomology and logo plots. (A) Sequence microhomology for T1L, T1L-

T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D. The nucleotides that overlap at each position in 25-bp

regions flanking the start and stop recombination junction sites were quantified for

segments L1 and L3. The junction is labeled zero and denotated with a solid red line. (B)

Nucleotide composition was calculated as the percent adenosine (A), cytosine (C),

guanine (G), and Thymine (T) at each position in a 10-bp region surrounding the start and

stop recombination junction sites. Positions upstream (−10 to −1) and downstream (+1 to

+10) of the junction position are indicated. Each analyzed virus contains combined data

from three independent samples.

1154

Figure 9. Recombination site selection. (A) Frequency of microhomology sequences

in segments. Four-nucleotide sequences present in unique recombination junctions in

the L1 or L3 segment from T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D were identified. Then,

the number of times each four-nucleotide sequence is present in segment L1 or L3 was

computationally quantified. For L1, n = 176-240. For L3, n = 145-171. Dashed lines

represent the median and quartiles. (B) Usage of microhomology sites for

recombination. The number of times each occurrence of a four-nucleotide sequence

from a unique start or stop site analyzed in (A) was incorporated into a recombination

site in the L1 or L3 segment was computationally quantified for T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-

T1pol, and T3D. For L1, n= 2915-3180. For L3, n= 2502-2851. Dashed lines represent

the mean.

1166

Figure 10. Recombination model for dsRNA viruses. (1) The RdRp pauses RNA

synthesis at the junction start site during minus-strand synthesis. (2) The plus-strand

template moves through the RdRp while synthesis is paused. Regions of sequence

homology to junction start-site residues are bypassed. (3) The RdRp re-initiates

synthesis at a specific junction stop site that has sequence homology to the junction

start site. Annealing of the newly synthesized strand and other features, such as RNA

secondary structure, interactions, or surrounding sequences, may influence re-initiation.

(4) The RdRp continues synthesis to form a DVG or DVG template.

1175

1176

Table 1 Alignment statistics

Alignment statistic	Segment	T3D 1	T3D 2	T3D 3	T3D-T1pol 1	T3D-T1pol 2	T3D-T1pol 3	T1L-T3pol 1	T1L-T3pol 2	T1L-T3pol 3	T1L 1	T1L 2	T1L 3
% reads mapped to virus		>99.9%	>99.9%	>99.9%	>99.9%	>99.9%	>99.9%	>99.9%	>99.9%	>99.9%	>99.9%	>99.9%	>99.9%
Total reads		25260410	28604063	30095851	26658380	27516061	29361973	30308219	21458634	25077376	26946402	29957691	28476911
Jfreq [a]		1.98	2.15	1.24	1.82	1.64	1.91	1.41	1.02	1.28	1.42	1.51	1.05
# reads with recombination events													
	L1	1158	1513	1321	1390	1531	1802	1164	583	1018	973	1885	1081
	L2	1197	1424	591	1016	1026	1166	578	212	249	343	414	242
	L3	599	749	681	752	595	743	926	499	412	542	848	667
	M1	184	237	140	188	159	252	210	108	139	207	184	94
	M2	190	234	180	189	126	182	390	202	302	503	368	258
	M3	1043	1385	441	769	720	1009	207	120	181	270	158	115
	S1	262	135	47	164	49	76	310	214	581	707	322	234
	S2	107	137	69	90	63	80	138	61	95	96	86	59
	S3	178	210	202	154	170	177	75	67	142	110	67	63
	S4	94	129	71	139	83	118	284	122	102	67	200	183
# reads mapped to viral gene segment													
	L1	5,106,848	5,824,267	7,563,379	5,830,947	6,058,222	6,264,212	5,801,679	3,638,187	3,704,550	4,417,355	5,891,865	5,463,064
	L2	6,662,826	6,978,216	7,265,286	6,848,569	7,341,564	7,322,106	4,720,881	2,995,701	2,889,015	3,371,773	4,430,912	4,339,775
	L3	3,162,471	3,729,886	4,220,554	3,152,933	3,516,584	3,631,513	4,330,594	3,031,626	2,864,871	3,379,424	4,377,796	4,097,063
	M1	2,010,161	2,401,261	2,171,824	2,366,934	2,368,327	2,690,442	2,186,178	1,630,315	1,863,444	2,278,138	2,344,993	2,167,978
	M2	1,988,216	2,285,659	2,066,003	1,943,737	1,936,186	2,209,843	5,631,920	3,996,113	3,903,288	4,333,452	5,549,127	5,224,089
	M3	2,331,392	2,867,077	2,901,518	2,395,419	2,473,377	2,921,266	1,715,465	1,352,975	1,606,108	1,774,066	1,645,633	1,639,310
	S1	1,321,199	1,452,403	1,090,694	1,336,338	1,258,269	1,428,736	2,284,308	2,002,678	3,496,513	3,331,144	2,410,284	2,278,743
	S2	1,059,981	1,048,569	824,693	1,122,301	956,053	1,121,603	1,550,675	1,161,403	1,783,005	1,500,017	1,435,369	1,241,740
	S3	500,594	590,814	767,147	549,583	524,739	570,384	816,523	675,750	1,445,408	1,328,825	799,117	921,942
	S4	1,112,954	1,342,805	1,219,969	1,099,702	1,079,295	1,198,661	1,266,340	971,054	1,519,289	1,230,540	1,069,488	1,100,512

[a] Number of reads containing junctions per 10,000 mapped reads

Table 2 Microhomology surrounding junctions within the L1 and L3 segments

L1 Segment				L3 Segment			
Virus	Total Junctions	Number conserved	Percent Conserved	Virus	Total Junctions	Number Conserved	Percent Conserved
Eight nucleotide positions conserved							
T1L	663	65	10	T1L	525	41	8
T1L-T3pol	735	73	10	T1L-T3pol	430	48	11
T3D-T1pol	916	74	8	T3D-T1pol	709	63	9
T3D	800	54	7	T3D	420	57	14
Six nucleotide positions conserved							
T1L	663	294	44	T1L	525	201	38
T1L-T3pol	735	297	40	T1L-T3pol	430	188	44
T3D-T1pol	916	330	36	T3D-T1pol	709	217	31
T3D	800	288	36	T3D	420	183	44
Four nucleotide positions conserved							
T1L	663	506	76	T1L	525	333	63
T1L-T3pol	735	568	77	T1L-T3pol	430	316	73
T3D-T1pol	916	611	67	T3D-T1pol	709	394	56
T3D	800	600	75	T3D	420	323	77

Figure 1. Polymerase complex-exchanged reovirus characterization. (A) Schematic of polymerase-exchanged viruses and their polymerase complexes. The origin of viral gene segments, represented as parallel lines inside the particle, the virus background, represented by the particle, and polymerase complex are indicated by color (red, T1L; blue, T3D). Created using Biorender.com (B) Electropherotypes of T1L, T3D, and polymerase-exchanged reoviruses. RNA extracted from cells infected with the indicated virus was resolved by SDS-PAGE. Blue circles indicate T3D L1 or M1 gene segments. Red circles indicate T1L L1 or M1 gene segments. (C) Replication kinetics of parental and polymerase-exchanged reoviruses in L cells. Viral titers were determined by fluorescent focus assay. Error bars = SD, n = 3 clones per virus. Not statistically significant by two-way ANOVA. (D) Plaque size of parental and polymerase-exchanged reoviruses in L cells. ns, not significant; **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.0001 by one-way ANOVA. (E) Replication kinetics of parental and polymerase-exchanged reoviruses in MDCK cells. Viral titers were determined by plaque assay. Error bars = SD, n = 3 clones per virus. Not statistically significant by two-way ANOVA.

Figure 2. Serial passage lineage 1 to 3 reovirus gene segment L1 patterns. RNA extracted from serial passages was used as a template for RT-PCR reactions in which primers targeting terminal sequences in the L1 segments of both T1L and T3D were included. Products from T1L (A), T1L-T3pol (B), T3D-T1pol (C), or T3D (D) P1-P10 were resolved in 1.2% ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels. The evolutionary lineage is indicated. Black asterisks indicate the position of the full-length segment. Triangles indicate products smaller than the full-length segment.

T1L L1 DVG (Sequence from T3D-T1pol passages)
GCTACACGTTCCACGACAATGTCATCCATGATACTGACTCAGTTTAGACCGTTCATTGAAAGCATTTCAGGTATCACT
GACCAATCGAATGACGTGTTTGAAGATGCAGCAAAAGCATTCTCTATGTTTACTCGCAGCGACGTCTACAAGGCGCT
GGATGAAATACCTTTCTCTGATGATGCGATGCTTCCCATCCCTCCAACTATATATACTAAACCATCTCACGATTCATAT
TATTACATTGATGCTCTAAACCGTGT/GGTGCGTCAACTCATC

T3D L1 DVG (Sequence from T1L-T3pol passages)
GCTACACGTTCCACTTCCACGACAATGTCATCCATGATACTGACTCAGTTTGGACCGTTCATTGAGAGCATTTCAGGT
ATCACTGATCAATCGAATGACGTGTTTGAAGATGCAGCAAAAGCATTCTCTATGTTTACTCGCAGCGATGTCTACAAG
GCGCTGGATGAAATACCTTTCTCTGATGATGCGATGCTTCCAATCCCTCCAACTATATATACGAAACCATCTCACGAT
TCATATTATTACATTGATGCTCTAAACCGTGT/GGTGCGTCAACTCATC

T1L S4 DVG (Sequence from T1L-T3pol passages)
GCTATTTTTGCCTCTTCCCAAACGTTGTCGCAATGGAGGTGTGCTTGCCCAACGGTCATCAGATCGTGGACTTGATT
AACAACGCTTTTGAAGGTCGTGTATCAATCTACAGCGCGCAAGAGGGATGGGACAA/ACACCCCCATCTTC

T3D S4 DVG (Sequence from T3D-T1pol passages)
GCTATTTTTGCCTCTTCCCAGACGTTGTCGCAATGGAGGTGTGCTTGCCCAACGGTCATCAGGTCGTGGACTTGATT
AACAACGCTTTTGAAGGTCGTGTATCAATCTACAGCGCGCAAGAGGGATGGGACAA/AGGCTTCATTCATC

Figure 3. Sequences of isolated DVGs from serial passages. Schematics, drawn to scale, of full-length reovirus L1 and S4 segments and of sequenced T1L-T3pol and T3D-T1pol reovirus DVGs. The ORF is colored purple (L1) or green (S4). The 5′ and 3′ UTRs are colored gray. Central deletions were identified for each DVG. Dotted lines represent the missing sequences. Below the schematics are the DVG sequences, with DVG junctions indicated by "/".

Figure 4. Reovirus recombination junction site location and frequency. (A) Schematic of a recombination junction site in the context of the parental genome segment, in which the 5' and 3' termini are conserved (bottom). The junction is labeled with a red caret (^), and example sequences are shown. Sequences upstream of the junction start site and downstream of the junction stop site may form a final product that is missing the internal genome sequence (top). (B) Schematic of junction scatter plots indicating the different cluster within the plot marked by dashed boxes: (i) 5' → 3' (ii) mid-genome → 3' (iii) 3' → 3' (iv) local deletions/microindels (v) 5' UTR → rest of genome (vi) duplications. (C-F) Recombination junction site location and frequency in sequenced T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D virion RNA for gene segments L1 (C), L3 (D),

M1 (E), and M3 (F). Junction sites are indicated by dots whose position corresponds to upstream and downstream sequences that are merged to form a novel junction. Junction frequency is indicated by dot color, according to the legend at the right of each image. Results from a representative clone for each virus are shown.

Figure 5. Reovirus recombination start-site frequency across gene segments. Recombination start-site frequency at each nucleotide position across L1 (A), L3 (B), M1 (C), and M3 (D) segments from T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D. Mean positional recombination frequency for sequenced virion RNA from three clones per virus is shown.

Figure 6. Principal component analysis of reovirus recombination profiles. Score plots for principal component analyses (PCA) of gene segments L1 (A), M1 (B), L3 (C), and M3 (D) applied to junctional recombination frequency data for three independent samples of reovirus strains T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D.

A

L1 Segment	T1L	T1L-T3pol	T3D-T1pol	T3D
T1L	385			
T1L-T3pol	13	387		
T3D-T1pol	144	21	615	
T3D	26	214	29	816

B

L3 Segment	T1L	T1L-T3pol	T3D-T1pol	T3D
T1L	341			
T1L-T3pol	91	245		
T3D-T1pol	15	12	523	
T3D	15	19	104	399

C

M1 Segment	T1L	T1L-T3pol	T3D-T1pol	T3D
T1L	131			
T1L-T3pol	2	128		
T3D-T1pol	26	4	197	
T3D	3	21	11	183

D

M3 Segment	T1L	T1L-T3pol	T3D-T1pol	T3D
T1L	114			
T1L-T3pol	41	118		
T3D-T1pol	1	2	453	
T3D	3	3	203	387

Figure 7. Recombination junctions shared among wild-type and polymerase-exchanged viruses. All the unique recombination junctions that are common between sequenced viral RNA from T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D were identified using the "ggvenn" package in R. Analyses were conducted for segments L1 (A), L3 (B), M1 (C), and M3 (D). Black cells show total unique junctions for each virus within the indicated gene segment. Combined data from three independent samples of each virus were included in the analysis.

Figure 8. Microhomology and logo plots. (A) Sequence microhomology for T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D. The nucleotides that overlap at each position in 25-bp regions flanking the start and stop recombination junction sites were quantified for segments L1 and L3. The junction is labeled zero and denotated with a solid red line. (B) Nucleotide composition was calculated as the percent adenosine (A), cytosine (C), guanine (G), and Thymine (T) at each position in a 10-bp region surrounding the start and stop recombination junction sites. Positions upstream (−10 to −1) and downstream (+1 to +10) of the junction position are indicated. Each analyzed virus contains combined data from three independent samples.

Figure 9. Recombination site selection. (A) Frequency of microhomology sequences in segments. Four-nucleotide sequences present in unique recombination junctions in the L1 or L3 segment from T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D were identified. Then, the number of times each four-nucleotide sequence is present in segment L1 or L3 was computationally quantified. For L1, n = 176-240. For L3, n = 145-171. Dashed lines represent the median and quartiles. (B) Usage of microhomology sites for recombination. The number of times each occurrence of a four-nucleotide sequence from a unique start or stop site analyzed in (A) was incorporated into a recombination site in the L1 or L3 segment was computationally quantified for T1L, T1L-T3pol, T3D-T1pol, and T3D. For L1, n= 2915-3180. For L3, n= 2502-2851. Dashed lines represent the mean.

Figure 10. Recombination model for dsRNA viruses. (1) The RdRp pauses RNA synthesis at the junction start site during minus-strand synthesis. (2) The plus-strand template moves through the RdRp while synthesis is paused. Regions of sequence homology to junction start-site residues are bypassed. (3) The RdRp re-initiates synthesis at a specific junction stop site that has sequence homology to the junction start site. Annealing of the newly synthesized strand and other features, such as RNA secondary structure, interactions, or surrounding sequences, may influence re-initiation. (4) The RdRp continues synthesis to form a DVG or DVG template.