Crystal structure of HERV-K envelope glycoprotein surface subunit

Nikos Nikolopoulos[a,b] & Yorgo Modis[a,b,#]

[a]*Molecular Immunity Unit, Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge CB2 0QH, United Kingdom*

[b]*Cambridge Institute of Therapeutic Immunology & Infectious Disease (CITIID), Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0AW, United Kingdom*

[#]*Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.M. at MRC-LMB, Francis Crick Avenue, Cambridge CB2 0QH, United Kingdom. Phone: +44 1223 267282. e-mail: ymodis@mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk*

Running title: Crystal structure of HERK-K Env SU

Keywords: endogenous retrovirus, betaretrovirus, envelope glycoprotein, N-linked glycosylation, ligand binding site, X-ray crystallography, receptor binding protein

ABSTRACT

The most recently acquired and transcriptionally active family of human endogenous

retroviruses (HERVs) is HERV-K. Of the approximately 100 copies of HERV-K in our

genome, many retain the potential to proliferate by retrotransposition, express viral

proteins, and form functional virus particles. Aberrant expression of the HERV-K

envelope glycoprotein (Env) has been associated with cancer and neurodegeneration.

Autoantibodies against HERV-K Env have been found in patients with various

autoimmune diseases. Here, we report the crystal structures of the Env surface subunit

(SU) from HERV-K HML-2, determined at 2.25 Å resolution. The overall fold is

somewhat similar to Syncycin-2 SU and distantly related to HIV-1 gp120. The structure

contains five disulfide bonds and four N-linked glycans, including one glycan that

appears important for structural stability. Two extended loops form a surface for

potential interactions with cell-surface receptors or other cellular factors. The structure

also contains three detergent molecules, similar in structure to cholesterol, bound to

hydrophobic surface patches. This crystal structure provides a platform for future

studies to map autoantigenic epitopes, identify small-molecules that interfere with

HERV-K activity, and extend our mechanistic understanding of retroviruses.

IMPORTANCE 15% of the human genome consists of endogenous retroviruses and

other virus-derived elements inherited from ancestral viral infections. Many

endogenous retroviruses from the HERV-K family retain the ability to proliferate across

the genome and produce virus-like particles. Aberrant expression of the HERV-K

envelope glycoprotein is associated with cancer, neurodegeneration, and autoimmune

disease. Here, we report the crystal structure of the HERV-K envelope glycoprotein

surface subunit. The structure provides a view in atomic-level detail of the molecular

components in HERV-K most likely to trigger autoimmune responses. The structure

also identifies binding sites for drug-like molecules, paving the way for future studies to

develop new therapeutics.

INTRODUCTION

Endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) and other endogenous viral elements, relics of ancient germline infections, constitute approximately 15% of the human genome (1, 2). Most human ERV (HERV) loci have been rendered nonfunctional by mutations, deletions, and recombination events but HERV-K, belonging to a betaretrovirus-like group within the *Retroviridae* family and representing the most recent wave of endogenization, stands out as remarkably intact (3, 4). Many HERV-K, particularly, the human MMTV-like family 2 (HML-2) proviruses maintain full-length open reading frames (ORFs) for *gag*, *pol* and *env* genes (5). Notably, reconstructed consensus HERV-K genomes can produce infectious virions *in vitro* (6, 7).

The HERV-K envelope glycoprotein (Env) has been implicated in both health and disease contexts. During early embryonic development, HERV-K Env is expressed on the surface of pluripotent stem cells regulating their function via its association with CD98 and impacting neuronal differentiation (8). In adult somatic cells, HERV-K is generally repressed (9). Reactivation of HERV-K and expression of HERV-K Env is associated with a range of cancers, neurodegeneration, autoimmune diseases (10-15). Expression of HERV-K Env has been reported in melanoma cells (10), breast cancer (11), and ovarian cancer (12). Autoantibodies against HERV-K Env have been reported in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) (14), rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (15) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (14).

As a functional class I fusion protein, HERV-K Env follows a canonical structure organization and is synthesized as a precursor which is cleaved into surface (SU) and transmembrane (TM) subunits (16). SU engages host factors for cell entry, heparan sulfate glycosaminoglycans serve as primary attachment factors while the CD98 heavy chain, a component of amino acid transporter LAT1, acts as a receptor (17, 18). Here we report the crystal structure of the receptor-binding unit (SU) of a human

endogenous retrovirus at 2.25 Å resolution using a consensus sequence derived from

ten HERV-K (HML-2) proviruses (7). Our crystal structure complements two cryo-EM

reconstructions of the trimeric Env SU-TM (19, 20), published while this manuscript

was in preparation, to provide a high-resolution view of the SU subunit including

glycosylation and disulfide bonds. In addition, we identify detergent molecules bound

within distinct SU pockets, which shed light on potential mechanisms of receptor

engagement at the cell surface, SU-TM interactions and provide starting points for

structure-guided therapeutic development. These insights establish an atomic

framework for dissecting HERV-K Env function and exploring strategies to counteract

HERV-K Env associated disease activity.

RESULTS

Crystal structure of HERV-K Env SU. Env SU (residues 111–430) of a consensus-

sequence HERV-K construct, HERV-K$_{CON}$ (7), was expressed in HEK293S GnTI⁻ cells

to produce a uniform glycosylation pattern to facilitate glycoprotein crystallization.

HEK293S GnTI⁻ lack N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase I activity and accordingly

secrete proteins with Man5GlcNAc2 (mannopentaose-di-(N-acetyl-D-glucosamine)) as

the predominant N-linked glycosylation. The long N-terminal native signal peptide of

HERV-K Env (residues 1–110) was replaced by the signal peptide-pro-peptide domain

from human Trypsin-1 to enhance protein secretion (21). Substitution of cysteine at

position 141 with alanine (C141A) abolished non-specific protein cross-linking and

aggregation through aberrant disulfide bond formation. The abovementioned protein

engineering procedures produced a high yield of soluble HERV-K Env SU for

subsequent purification, crystallization, and crystallographic structure determination.

94   The crystal structure of HERV-K$_{CON}$ Env SU was determined at 2.25 Å

95 resolution by molecular replacement using an atomic search model generated with

96 AlphaFold 3 (22). See **Table 1** for crystallographic data collection, refinement, and

97 validation statistics. The fold is composed primarily of β-sheets. An extended β-sheet

98 network at the core of the protein provides structural stability and organizes the fold

99 (**Fig. 1A**). The SU subunit can be subdivided into an inner and an outer domain,

100 adopting terminology from the structure of the gp120 envelope glycoprotein from HIV-1

101 (23, 24). The inner domain (residues 111-171 and 379-430) forms a membrane-

102 proximal structural unit expected to interface with the transmembrane (TM) subunit,

103 analogous to the interaction between HIV-1 gp120 and gp41 (the SU and TM subunits,

104 respectively). The HERV-K SU inner domain consists of β-strands and loops and

105 contains both the N- and C-termini of SU. The main structural feature of the inner

106 domain is a distorted β-barrel of six β-strands, stabilized by three disulfide bonds,

107 linking cysteines 164 and 171, 382 and 413, and 405 and 408. Notably, residues 405-

108 408 are part a CXXC motif, considered a hallmark of Envs from gamma-type

109 retroviruses. The outer domain (residues 172-378) encompasses the expected

110 receptor-binding region at the membrane-distal apex. It contains one long β-sheet of

111 five antiparallel β-strands and one short β-sheet of three antiparallel β-strands,

112 separated by two long loops, each stabilized by a disulfide bond (Cys227-Cys246 and

113 Cys275-Cys282). An α-helix is also present near the interface with the inner domain.

114   Four of the five predicted N-linked sequons (Asn128, Asn153, Asn274, and

115 Asn355) are glycosylated in HERV-K Env SU expressed in HEK293S GnTI⁻ cells; the

116 inner-domain sequon at Asn372 shows no evidence of glycosylation in the electron

117 density map. At Asn128 the map contained clear density for the chitobiose core (two N-

118 acetylglucosamines) plus four mannose moieties. Together these carbohydrates

119 residues form a hexasaccharide that is tightly lodged in a surface cavity between the

120 inner and outer domains, forming hydrophobic contacts with proline residues in each

domain (**Fig. 1B**). The unusually well-ordered glycan at Asn128 therefore behaves

more like an integral structural element (filling a void and making stabilizing contacts)

than a flexible surface decoration. By contrast, the glycans at positions 274 and 355 sit

on the exposed outer domain and display more limited, peripheral density consistent

with solvent-accessible, mobile oligomannose adducts that are unlikely to form a

continuous steric cloak. The glycan at position 153, although located in the inner

domain, lacks the structure seen at position 128 but may nevertheless contribute more

subtly to transient interactions with the TM subunit or modulate local folding dynamics.

These observations define a spatially sparse glycan landscape on HERV-K SU that

contrasts sharply with the dense glycan shield of HIV-1 gp120 (23-25) and more

closely resembles the lighter glycosylation reported for Syncytin-2 SU subunit (26). The

architecture implies relatively large protein surfaces will be available in HERV-K SU for

receptor engagement and antibody recognition, with the glycan at Asn128 representing

a localized structural exception rather than part of an immune-evasive glycan cloak.

Within the outer domain, two salt bridges play key roles in structural

stabilization. The first, between Asp264 and Arg268, reinforces the short β-sheet of 3

antiparallel β-strands and its adjoining loop (**Fig. 1C**). The second, between Asp294,

located within a short α-helical segment, and Lys305, stabilizes the longer five-

stranded β-sheet together with the adjoining extended loop region (**Fig. 1C**). Together,

these interactions delimit and stabilize the surface-exposed loop. A third salt bridge is

present between Glu182 of the longer β-sheet and Lys318 of a smaller loop between

the two β-sheets (**Fig. 1C**). Other notable structural features are three relatively rare cis

peptide bonds involving residues Gly135-Pro136, Ser329-Pro330, and Pro385-Pro386.

Detergent molecules bind hydrophobic surfaces at the TM interaction interface.

A molecule of CHAPS (3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate),

a cholic acid derivative included in the crystallization solution (27), was modeled within

a hydrophobic pocket at the interface between the outer and inner SU domains (**Fig.**

2). The four-ring steroid nucleus of CHAPS – specifically rings C and D and one

protruding methyl group on its convex face – nestles into this pocket, which is formed

primarily by Phe259 (outer domain) and Pro398 (inner domain).

Two additional CHAPS molecules were modeled on concave hydrophobic

surface patches on the inner SU domain adjacent to the trypsin-derived signal-peptide

tail. One of these molecules makes hydrophobic contacts with a hydrophobic surface

clusters formed by Met150, Met388, Leu112, and Leu410, along with a phenylalanine

from the trypsin-derived signal-peptide tail (residues 99-110; **Fig. 2**). The second

CHAPS molecule is stabilized by residues from an adjacent SU molecule in the crystal

lattice (crystallographic symmetry packing interactions), as well as by interactions with

the neighboring CHAPS molecule. These CHAPS molecules stabilize structural

features in the SU fragment that, in the intact envelope complex, are maintained via

interactions with the TM subunit (19, 20).

Comparison to other retroviral Env SU structures. A structural similarity search with

DALI (28) identified Syncytin-2 SU as the protein with the most similar structure to

HERV-K SU (Z-score 7.5, rmsd 5.9 Å, PDB 7OIX (26)). The next most similar

structures were those of HIV-1 gp120 (Z-score 3.2, rmsd 5.4 Å, PDB 6PWU (25)) and

SIV gp120 (Z-score 2.8, rmsd 3.4 Å, PDB 8DUA (29)), although the similarity scores for

these were only marginally higher than for clearly unrelated proteins (Z-score 2.7 and

below). Syncytin-2, which drives cell-cell fusion of trophoblasts fusion in placental

development, is derived from the Env of HERV-FRD, a gammaretrovirus-like

endogenous retrovirus (26, 30, 31). Most of the structural similarity between HERV-K

SU, Syncytin-2 SU and HIV-1 gp120 maps to the inner domain, which has a similar

distorted β-barrel as its core architectural element in all three proteins (**Fig. 3**). Upon

superposition on HERV-K SU inner domain, the root mean square deviations of the

inner domain Cα positions of Syncytin-2 SU and HIV-1 gp120 were 2.89 Å and 4.01 Å,

respectively. Given that the inner domain is proximal to the TM membrane fusion

subunit, the structural conservation of this domain suggests a common mode of

intramolecular communication within the heterodimeric Env complexes.

The outer domain of HERV-K SU incorporates a mixed architecture comprising

β-sheets, loop insertions, and helical elements. The main structural feature is a long β-

sheet composed of five antiparallel β-strands, a topological element also found in the

outer domain of Syncytin-2 SU. However, the structures of the outer domains, and the

insertions within them, are otherwise highly divergent in HERV-K SU, Syncytin-2 SU

and HIV-1 gp120 (**Fig. 3**).

DISCUSSION

Here we report the crystal structure and associated atomic model of the envelope

glycoprotein Surface (SU) subunit from the human endogenous betaretrovirus HERV-K

(HML-2). The HERV-K SU fold retains the two-domain topology, with inner and outer

domains, seen in other retroviral SU subunits including gammaretroviruses (Syncytin-2)

and lentiviruses (HIV-1). This topological conservation demonstrates that a common

architectural blueprint underlies Env proteins across the *Orthoretrovirinae* subfamily of

Retroviridae (which includes the genera alpharetrovirus, betaretrovirus,

gammaretrovirus, lentivirus, and others), with genus-specific refinements (glycan

decorations, loop insertions, receptor specificities) overlaying the common framework.

However, despite the similarity in secondary structure topology to other retroviral SUs,

perhaps the most striking feature of the structure of HERV-K SU is how different its

three-dimensional structure is from those of its closest structural homologs, Syncytin-2

SU and HIV-1 gp120. This indicates that HERV-K SU has diverged further from the

SUs of other gamma- and gamma-like retroviruses at the structural level than might

have been expected from phylogenetic analysis.

The crystal structure of HERV-K SU, determined at 2.25 Å resolution, reveals

various HERV-K-specific structural elements. The fold is stabilized by five disulfide

bonds and three key salt bridges. Two loop regions provide an extensive surface for

potential interactions with cell-surface receptors or other cellular factors. The structure

offers a detailed view of the glycan landscape. Four N-linked glycans make for a

relatively sparse coverage of the molecular surface of SU, standing in contrast with the

dense glycan shield of HIV-1 gp120. An unusually well-ordered, cavity-embedded

branched carbohydrate chain at Asn128 of HERV-K SU appears to function as an

integral structural element within the inner domain. The crystal structure also contains

three amphiphilic CHAPS molecules, similar in structure to cholesterol, bound to

hydrophobic surface patches of SU. These could prove to be attractive starting points

for small-molecule or fragment screening campaigns to identify and compounds that

bind tightly to SU and interfere with the membrane fusion activity of HERV-K Env or its

ability to interact with other cellular proteins.

The HERV-K SU crystal structure reveals the conserved structural features

shared with other orthoretroviral Envs while also identifying HERV-K-specific features,

namely its sparse glycocalyx, structure-stabilizing glycan, hydrophobic surface patches,

and extended surface-exposed loops. Autoantibodies against HERV-K Env have been

reported in patients with various autoimmune diseases (14, 15). Given that SU is the

receptor binding subunit in retroviruses and contains most of the known antibody

binding epitopes, the epitopes of disease-causing autoantibodies against HERV-K Env

are likely to map to the solvent accessible surface of SU. The structure of SU will be an

invaluable tool in future studies to identify these epitopes. More broadly, the availability

of a high-resolution crystal structure for HERV-K SU, along with our optimized

expression construct and purification protocol, open paths toward extending our

mechanistic understanding of betaretroviral envelope proteins and developing

translational applications targeting HERV-K elements, the most active and recently

acquired endogenous retroviruses in humans.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cloning of expression vectors. The gene encoding the SU domain of HERV-K$_{CON}$

Env (residues 111-430) (7) was cloned into the pcDNA3.1(+) plasmid. To enhance

protein secretion, an N-terminal signal peptide–pro-peptide domain derived from

human Trypsin-1 was fused to the gene (21), followed by a human rhinovirus (HRV) 3C

protease cleavage site. A C-terminal hexahistidine (His6) tag was added for purification

purposes, preceded by a Pro-Gly sequence to facilitate efficient removal of the His6 tag

by carboxypeptidase A. Additionally, the sequence was engineered to substitute

cysteine at position 141 with alanine to prevent oligomerization caused by the free

cysteine residue.

Protein expression and purification. The HERV-K Env SU domain was expressed in

HEK293S GnTI$^-$ cells adapted for suspension culture and maintained at 37 °C in a

humidified atmosphere containing 8% CO_2 with shaking. Cells were grown in serum-

free Expi293 Expression Medium (Gibco) to a final density of 3×10^6 viable cells/mL

with 95–99% viability before transfection. Transfection was performed using 1 mg/mL

polyethylenimine (PEI) at a DNA:PEI ratio of 1:3 (w/w). Secreted protein was harvested

5 days post-transfection by collecting the supernatant after centrifugation at 300 × g for

5 min at 4 °C to pellet cells. The clarified medium was applied to Ni Sepharose Excel

resin (Cytiva), washed, and eluted with 50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl containing 10

mM and 250 mM imidazole, respectively. The protein was further purified by size-

exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column (Cytiva)

equilibrated in 50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl.

Crystallographic structure determination. Crystals were grown at 18 °C by sitting-

drop vapor diffusion. Purified protein was concentrated to 11.7 mg/mL, and 1 volume of

seed stock was added to 5 volumes of protein solution. The final solution was mixed

with an equal volume of reservoir solution optimized from the Morpheus Fusion Screen

(Molecular Dimensions) (27): 32.7% PEG 8K/ethylene glycol (1:2), 0.1 M

MES/imidazole pH 6.5, 90 mM Morpheus NPS, and 1.572% (w/v) Morpheus III cholic

acids. Seed stock was prepared by crushing small crystals from a previous optimized

crystallization experiment conducted with varying PEG 8K/ethylene glycol (1:2)

concentrations (18–36%) and Morpheus III cholic acids (0.24–1.8% w/v), while

maintaining constant 0.1 M MES/imidazole pH 6.5 and 90 mM Morpheus NPS. X-ray

diffraction data were collected at 100 K using Pilatus3 6M and Eiger2 XE 16M

detectors at Diamond Light Source beamlines I24 and I04, respectively. Data were

processed with autoPROC v1.0.5 (32), and Xia2 (Dials, Aimless) (33). Molecular

replacement was performed with Phaser (34) as implemented in PHENIX v1.21.1 (35)

using an atomic search model of the SU domain of HERV-K$_{CON}$ Env from AlphaFold 3

(22). An initial model was built using AutoBuild in PHENIX, manually completed with

COOT v.0.9.6 (36), and iteratively refined with PHENIX. See **Table 1** for

crystallographic data collection, refinement, and validation statistics.

Structural analysis. Coordinate files were submitted to PDBsum (37) for structural

feature analysis. Molecular visualization and figure generation were performed using

UCSF ChimeraX (38). Structural homologs were identified using the DALI server (39),

and 3D structural comparisons were carried out using the TM-align algorithm (40).

Data availability. The atomic coordinates of the HERV-K SU glycoprotein fragment

were deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession codes 9SYA

[https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb9sya/pdb].

Acknowledgements. We thank Fabrice Gorrec (MRC-LMB Crystallization Facility) for

advice on protein crystallization, and Dom Bellini at the MRC-LMB X-ray

Crystallography Facility for advice in crystallographic data collection. Crystallographic

data were collected on beamlines I03 and I04 at Diamond Light Source (DLS). Access

to DLS was supported by the Wellcome Trust, MRC and BBSRC. This work was

supported by Wellcome Trust Senior Research Fellowship 217191/Z/19/Z to Y.M.

Access to Diamond Light Source was supported by the Wellcome Trust; the Medical

Research Council; and UKRI (proposal number MX36838). Open access publication

was funded by the University of Cambridge. To ensure open access, the University of

Cambridge has applied a CC BY public copyright license to any Author Accepted

Manuscript version arising.

References

1. Frank JA, Feschotte C. 2017. Co-option of endogenous viral sequences for host

cell function. Curr Opin Virol 25:81–89.

2. Katzourakis A, Gifford RJ. 2010. Endogenous viral elements in animal genomes.

PLoS Genet 6:e1001191.

3. Tonjes RR, Lower R, Boller K, Denner J, Hasenmaier B, Kirsch H, Konig H,

Korbmacher C, Limbach C, Lugert R, Phelps RC, Scherer J, Thelen K, Lower J,

Kurth R. 1996. HERV-K: The biologically most active human endogenous retrovirus

family. Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes 13:S261–S267.

4. Turner G, Barbulescu M, Su M, Jensen-Seaman MI, Kidd KK, Lenz J. 2001.

Insertional polymorphisms of full-length endogenous retroviruses in humans. Curr

Biol 11:1531–5.

5. Hohn O, Hanke K, Bannert N. 2013. HERV-K(HML-2), the Best Preserved Family

of HERVs: Endogenization, Expression, and Implications in Health and Disease.

Front Oncol 3:246.

6. Dewannieux M, Harper F, Richaud A, Letzelter C, Ribet D, Pierron G, Heidmann T.

2006. Identification of an infectious progenitor for the multiple-copy HERV-K human

endogenous retroelements. Genome Res 16:1548–56.

7. Lee YN, Bieniasz PD. 2007. Reconstitution of an infectious human endogenous

retrovirus. PLoS Pathog 3:e10.

8. Wang T, Medynets M, Johnson KR, Doucet-O'Hare TT, DiSanza B, Li W, Xu Y,

Bagnell A, Tyagi R, Sampson K, Malik N, Steiner J, Hadegan A, Kowalak J,

O'Malley J, Maric D, Nath A. 2020. Regulation of stem cell function and neuronal

differentiation by HERV-K via mTOR pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

117:17842–17853.

9. Lavie L, Kitova M, Maldener E, Meese E, Mayer J. 2005. CpG methylation directly

regulates transcriptional activity of the human endogenous retrovirus family HERV-

K(HML-2). J Virol 79:876–83.

10. Buscher K, Trefzer U, Hofmann M, Sterry W, Kurth R, Denner J. 2005. Expression

of human endogenous retrovirus K in melanomas and melanoma cell lines. Cancer

Res 65:4172–80.

11. Wang-Johanning F, Radvanyi L, Rycaj K, Plummer JB, Yan P, Sastry KJ,

Piyathilake CJ, Hunt KK, Johanning GL. 2008. Human endogenous retrovirus K

triggers an antigen-specific immune response in breast cancer patients. Cancer

Res 68:5869–77.

12. Wang-Johanning F, Liu J, Rycaj K, Huang M, Tsai K, Rosen DG, Chen DT, Lu DW,

Barnhart KF, Johanning GL. 2007. Expression of multiple human endogenous

retrovirus surface envelope proteins in ovarian cancer. Int J Cancer 120:81–90.

13. Li W, Lee MH, Henderson L, Tyagi R, Bachani M, Steiner J, Campanac E, Hoffman

DA, von Geldern G, Johnson K, Maric D, Morris HD, Lentz M, Pak K, Mammen A,

Ostrow L, Rothstein J, Nath A. 2015. Human endogenous retrovirus-K contributes

to motor neuron disease. Sci Transl Med 7:307ra153.

14. Khadjinova AI, Wang X, Laine A, Ukadike K, Eckert M, Stevens A, Bengtsson AA,

Lood C, Mustelin T. 2022. Autoantibodies against the envelope proteins of

endogenous retroviruses K102 and K108 in patients with systemic lupus

erythematosus correlate with active disease. Clin Exp Rheumatol 40:1306–1312.

15. Mameli G, Erre GL, Caggiu E, Mura S, Cossu D, Bo M, Cadoni ML, Piras A,

Mundula N, Colombo E, Buscetta G, Passiu G, Sechi LA. 2017. Identification of a

HERV-K env surface peptide highly recognized in Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA)

patients: a cross-sectional case-control study. Clin Exp Immunol 189:127–131.

16. Dewannieux M, Blaise S, Heidmann T. 2005. Identification of a functional envelope

protein from the HERV-K family of human endogenous retroviruses. J Virol

79:15573–7.

17. Robinson-McCarthy LR, McCarthy KR, Raaben M, Piccinotti S, Nieuwenhuis J,

Stubbs SH, Bakkers MJG, Whelan SPJ. 2018. Reconstruction of the cell entry

pathway of an extinct virus. PLoS Pathog 14:e1007123.

18. Steiner JP, Bachani M, Malik N, DeMarino C, Li WX, Sampson K, Lee MH, Kowalak

J, Bhaskar M, Doucet-O'Hare T, Garcia-Montojo M, Cowen M, Smith B, Reoma LB,

Medina J, Brunel J, Pierquin J, Charvet B, Perron H, Nath A. 2022. Human

Endogenous Retrovirus K Envelope in Spinal Fluid of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis

Is Toxic. Annals of Neurology 92:545–561.

19. Shaked R, Katz M, Cohen-Dvashi H, Diskin R. 2025. The prefusion structure of the

HERV-K (HML-2) Env spike complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 122:e2505505122.

20. Shek J, Sun C, Wilson EM, Moadab F, Hastie KM, Rajamanickam RR, Penalosa

PJ, Harkins SS, Parekh D, Hariharan C, Zyla DS, Yu C, Shaffer KCL, Lewis VI,

Avalos RD, Mustelin T, Saphire EO. 2025. Human endogenous retrovirus K

(HERV-K) envelope structures in pre- and postfusion by cryo-EM. Sci Adv

11:eady8168.

21. Mullin MJ, Wilkinson C, Hiles I, Smith KJ. 2021. Improved secretion of recombinant

human IL-25 in HEK293 cells using a signal peptide-pro-peptide domain derived

from Trypsin-1. Biotechnol Lett 43:757–765.

22. Abramson J, Adler J, Dunger J, Evans R, Green T, Pritzel A, Ronneberger O,

Willmore L, Ballard AJ, Bambrick J, Bodenstein SW, Evans DA, Hung CC, O'Neill

M, Reiman D, Tunyasuvunakool K, Wu Z, Zemgulyte A, Arvaniti E, Beattie C,

Bertolli O, Bridgland A, Cherepanov A, Congreve M, Cowen-Rivers AI, Cowie A,

Figurnov M, Fuchs FB, Gladman H, Jain R, Khan YA, Low CMR, Perlin K,

Potapenko A, Savy P, Singh S, Stecula A, Thillaisundaram A, Tong C, Yakneen S,

Zhong ED, Zielinski M, Zidek A, Bapst V, Kohli P, Jaderberg M, Hassabis D,

Jumper JM. 2024. Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with

AlphaFold 3. Nature 630:493–500.

23. Kwong PD, Wyatt R, Robinson J, Sweet RW, Sodroski J, Hendrickson WA. 1998.

Structure of an HIV gp120 envelope glycoprotein in complex with the CD4 receptor

and a neutralizing human antibody. Nature 393:648–59.

24. Wyatt R, Kwong PD, Desjardins E, Sweet RW, Robinson J, Hendrickson WA,

Sodroski JG. 1998. The antigenic structure of the HIV gp120 envelope glycoprotein.

Nature 393:705–11.

25. Pan J, Peng H, Chen B, Harrison SC. 2020. Cryo-EM Structure of Full-length HIV-1

Env Bound With the Fab of Antibody PG16. J Mol Biol 432:1158–1168.

26. Martinez-Molledo M, Nji E, Reyes N. 2022. Structural insights into the

lysophospholipid brain uptake mechanism and its inhibition by syncytin-2. Nature

Structural & Molecular Biology 29:604–612.

27. Gorrec F, Bellini D. 2022. The FUSION protein crystallization screen. J Appl

Crystallogr 55:310–319.

28. Holm L, Rosenstrom P. 2010. Dali server: conservation mapping in 3D. Nucleic

Acids Res 38:W545–9.

29. Gorman J, Wang C, Mason RD, Nazzari AF, Welles HC, Zhou T, Bess JW, Jr.,

Bylund T, Lee M, Tsybovsky Y, Verardi R, Wang S, Yang Y, Zhang B, Rawi R,

Keele BF, Lifson JD, Liu J, Roederer M, Kwong PD. 2022. Cryo-EM structures of

prefusion SIV envelope trimer. Nat Struct Mol Biol 29:1080–1091.

30. Friedli M, Trono D. 2015. The developmental control of transposable elements and

the evolution of higher species. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 31:429–51.

31. Dupressoir A, Lavialle C, Heidmann T. 2012. From ancestral infectious retroviruses

to bona fide cellular genes: role of the captured syncytins in placentation. Placenta

33:663–71.

32. Vonrhein C, Flensburg C, Keller P, Sharff A, Smart O, Paciorek W, Womack T,

Bricogne G. 2011. Data processing and analysis with the autoPROC toolbox. Acta

Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 67:293–302.

33. Winter G. 2010. xia2: an expert system for macromolecular crystallography data

reduction. Journal of Applied Crystallography 43:186–190.

34. McCoy AJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD, Winn MD, Storoni LC, Read RJ.

2007. Phaser crystallographic software. J Appl Cryst 40:658–674.

35. Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G, Chen VB, Davis IW, Echols N, Headd JJ,

Hung LW, Kapral GJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner R,

Read RJ, Richardson DC, Richardson JS, Terwilliger TC, Zwart PH. 2010.

PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure

solution. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66:213–21.

36. Emsley P, Cowtan K. 2004. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta

Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 60:2126–32.

37. Laskowski RA, Hutchinson EG, Michie AD, Wallace AC, Jones ML, Thornton JM.

1997. PDBsum: a Web-based database of summaries and analyses of all PDB

structures. Trends Biochem Sci 22:488–90.

38. Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Pettersen EF, Couch GS, Morris JH, Ferrin TE.

2018. UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis.

Protein Sci 27:14–25.

39. Holm L, Laakso LM. 2016. Dali server update. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W351–5.

40. Zhang Y, Skolnick J. 2005. TM-align: a protein structure alignment algorithm based

on the TM-score. Nucleic Acids Res 33:2302–9.

Figure Legends.

Fig. 1. Overall fold and structural features of HERV-K Env SU. (**A**) Overall fold of

(residues 111-430), consisting of inner and outer domains. Four N-linked glycans are

shown in ball-and-stick representation with carbon atoms in grey. Five disulfides are

shown in ball-and-stick representation with yellow sulfur atoms (SS_{1-5}). The TM domain

and viral membrane would be located below the N- and C-termini (labeled N', C'). (**B**)

Closeup of the hexasaccharide glycan linked to Asn128, at the interface between the

inner and outer domains. NAG, N-acetyl glucosamine; MAN, α-*D*-mannose; BMA, β-*D*-

mannose. See **Table 1** for crystallographic data collection, refinement, and validation

statistics. (**C**) Closeups showing three salt bridges that stabilize the fold of the outer

domain.

Fig. 2. Binding mode of three sterol molecules to HERV-K SU. Three cholic acid

derivative molecules were clearly identifiable in the electron density map and modeled

as CHAPS (3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate). Cholic acid

derivatives were present as additives in the crystallization condition (see **Materials and**

Methods) (27). CHAPS molecules are shown in ball-and-stick representation with pale

yellow carbon atoms. "*A*" and "*B*" denote two HERV-K SU chains in the crystallographic

asymmetric unit. Proteins shown in grey are related to the asymmetric unit by

crystallographic symmetry. Their chain and residue identifiers are show in grey and

followed by a prime symbol.

Fig. 3. Comparison of HERV-K Env SU to Syncytin-2 SU and HIV-1 gp120. Structures

of HIV-1 gp120 (PDB 6PWU; (25)), left, and Syncytin-2 SU (PDB 7OIX; (26)), right, the

closest structural homologs of HERV-K SU. The relative orientations of the proteins

reflect structural alignment of their inner domain using the HERV-K SU inner domain as

the reference. The root mean square deviation of the inner domain Cα positions after

superposition onto the HERV-K SU inner domain was 2.89 Å for Syncytin-2 SU and

4.01 Å for HIV-1 gp120.

Table 1. Crystallographic data collection, refinement, and validation statistics

Data collection	HERV-K Env SU
Space group	*P* 1
Cell dimensions	
a, b, c (Å)	60.04 63.52 70.68
α, β, γ (°)	101.94, 101.83, 104.06
Resolution (Å)	59.32 – 2.254 (2.31 – 2.25)
Total reflections	162,480 (7,933)
Unique reflections	44,339 (3,144)
Multiplicity[a]	3.7 (3.5)
Completeness	98.2% (97.4%)
$<I> / \sigma(I)$	11.4 (0.7)
Wilson *B*-factor	47.2
R_{merge}	0.114 (1.50)
R_{pim}	0.070 (0.931)
CC1/2	0.979 (0.317)
Refinement and Validation	
Resolution (Å)	59 – 2.25 (2.31 – 2.25)
No. reflections, working set	44,339 (3,144)
No. reflections, test set	2,018 (145)
R_{work}	0.2265 (0.3852)
R_{free}	0.2864 (0.4396)

No. non-hydrogen atoms	5,903
Protein	5,199
Glycans	353
Ligands	126
Water	225
Average B-factors[a]	
Protein (Å^2)	57.53
Glycans (Å^2)	91
Ligand (Å^2)	87
Water (Å^2)	52
Root mean square deviations	
Bond lengths (Å)	0.008
Bond angles (°)	1.13
Ramachandran plot	
Favored (%)	92.23
Allowed (%)	7.29
Outliers (%)	0.48
Clashscore (Phenix 1.21.1)	14.28
PDB accession code	9SYA

446   Numbers in parentheses refer to highest resolution shell.

447   [a]Residual B-factors after TLS refinement. See PDB entry for TLS parameters.

HIV-1 gp120   HERV-K Env SU   Syncytin-2 SU