RodA Promotes Intestinal Colonization by Group B *Streptococcus*

Authors:

Michelle J. Vaz[a#], Sanjana Sankaran[a#], Molly E. Sharp[b], Emily Dembinski[b], Adam J. Ratner[a,b]

#Equal authorship contribution

Affiliations:

[a] Department of Pediatrics, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, New York, USA

[b] Department of Microbiology, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, New York,

USA

*Address correspondence to Adam J. Ratner, adam.ratner@nyulangone.org

11
12 **Running title**: Conditional essentiality of *rodA* in GBS intestinal colonization

16 **Key Words:** *Streptococcus agalactiae (*Group B *Streptococcus), rodA,* gastrointestinal

17 colonization, murine model.

Abstract:

Background. Group B *Streptococcus* (GBS) intestinal colonization is critical for the

pathogenesis of late-onset (LO) disease in infants. Using a murine model, we explore the role of

rodA, which encodes a peptidoglycan polymerase RodA, belonging to the Shape, Elongation,

Division, and Sporulation (SEDS) family that participates in peptidoglycan synthesis and

maintenance of cell wall integrity.

Methods. We investigated the contribution of *rodA* to GBS gastrointestinal (GI) colonization

using a wild-type strain (A909 WT) and an isogenic in-frame deletion mutant of *rodA*

(A909Δ*rodA*). Morphological differences between the two strains were examined by

transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and the contribution of *rodA* to GI colonization was

assessed in a murine model through monocolonization and cocolonization experiments. We

evaluated the growth of the mutant strain under intestinal physiological stress conditions and

characterized its interactions with host epithelial cells in vitro.

Results. A909Δ*rodA* showed a unique chaining/aggregation phenotype compared to the A909

WT strain, with the presence of capsule confirmed via TEM and immunoblotting. In murine

cocolonization experiments, A909 WT outcompeted A909Δ*rodA*; however, monocolonization

experiments exhibited comparable colonization and bacterial burden across the GI tract. In vitro

experiments revealed impaired growth in bile and an increase in adhesion to intestinal epithelial

cells by the Δ*rodA* mutant.

Conclusion(s): *rodA* plays a role in GBS intestinal colonization. Deletion of *rodA* increases

sensitivity to gastrointestinal stressors in vitro and causes a pronounced defect in competition in

vivo, suggesting that the presence of *rodA* increases the fitness of GBS in the gut.

Introduction

Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B Streptococcus [GBS]) is a leading etiology of neonatal

infection (1). Implementation of intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis has markedly reduced the

incidence of early onset (EO) infection (occurring ≤ 7 days of life) (2, 3). However, rates of

maternal rectovaginal colonization persist, and the incidence of late onset (LO) infection

(occurring ≥7 days of life) remains unchanged, making LO disease the predominant form of

invasive GBS infection in infants (4, 5). Recent work has shown the incidence of LO disease

amongst a cohort of extremely preterm infants (< 28 weeks) as 8.47/1000 infants, nearly 30

times the national incidence of all neonates (6). LO disease has an overall case fatality rate of 4-

12%, with the rates in preterm infants being twice that of term infants (3, 7). The sequelae of LO

disease include significant neurodevelopmental impairment in survivors, adding to the morbidity

burden (2, 8-11).

Prior studies have demonstrated that gastrointestinal (GI) colonization by GBS in infants is a

fundamental precursor for LO infection (12, 13). Understanding how GBS establishes itself in

the bacterial community of the intestinal tract can uncover mechanisms that contribute to the

pathogenesis of LO disease. Our group recently showed the contribution of capsular

polysaccharide, an important GBS virulence factor, to GI fitness and colonization in a murine

model of GBS intestinal colonization (14). Hence, robust animal models facilitate examination of

bacterial factors that augment or inhibit GI colonization.

In this report, we explore the role of GBS rodA, a gene that encodes the peptidoglycan

polymerase RodA, which belongs to the SEDS family of proteins. RodA, through its role as a

glycosyltransferase and in conjunction with penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs), is responsible for

peptidoglycan synthesis, which is vital for cell wall integrity and responsible for bacterial

survival under environmental stress (15, 16). It is known to contribute to bile salt resistance by

GBS (17). In this report, we generated an in-frame deletion of *rodA* in the GBS A909

background using CRISPR-Cas12a mutagenesis and studied its impact on the cell wall and

capsule. We analyzed its response to intestinal physiological stressors and determined its role in

a postnatal murine model of GI colonization.

Methods

Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions. GBS strain A909 (serotype Ia, ST7) and its

derivatives were grown to stationary phase at 37°C in tryptic soy (TS) broth supplemented with 5

μg/ml erythromycin as needed for selection. *Escherichia coli* strain DH5α was grown at 37°C,

shaking in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with 300 μg/ml erythromycin when needed

for selection.

Construction of the GBS *rodA* deletion mutant and its complemented control strain. The

rodA deletion mutant was created via a Cas12a-based platform as previously described

(18). Briefly, a Cas12a-compatible genomic target site in the *rodA* gene was identified using the

CRISPick server hosted by the Broad Institute (19). After selecting a target sequence, we

designed ssDNA oligonucleotides and annealed them to make a dsDNA protospacer. The

protospacer was then cloned into pGBSedit that had been linearized with Esp3I. The pGBSedit

plasmid encodes *cas12a*, regulated by a $P_{xyl/tet}$ promoter, that responds to the presence of

anhydrotetracycline (aTC). Primer pairs were used to amplify upstream and downstream

homology arms flanking *rodA*. These fragments were cloned into pGBSedit linearized with XhoI

using Gibson assembly. Following transformation into *E. coli*, plasmids were verified via PCR

and whole-plasmid sequencing. Sequenced plasmids were transformed into electrocompetent

GBS and successful transformants were confirmed by colony PCR (cPCR). Transformants were

then subcultured in 10 mL TS + erythromycin (5 μg/mL) and allowed to grow at 37 °C for 6–8

hours. Subsequently, the cultures were subjected to aTC induction (500 ng/ml), resulting in

strong selection against WT strains and for homology-driven recombination mutants (20). cPCR

was used once again to confirm deletion mutants. Once confirmed, colonies were inoculated into

40 mL TS broth and grown overnight at 37°C. After a second passage of overnight growth in

40 mL of TS broth, 1:10,000 and 1:100,000 dilutions were plated on TS agar plates. The next

day colonies were dual patched onto TS and TS + erythromycin (5 μg/mL) agar plates to detect

cured clones. All constructs were confirmed by PCR and sequencing. We complemented the

rodA gene deletion by using the pGBScomp High plasmid (A909Δ*rodA*+pGBScomp

High::*rodA*) (18). Briefly, pGBScomp High plasmid was linearized via SalI restriction digest,

and the *rodA* gene was PCR amplified. The two products were Gibson assembled and

transformed into *E. coli* followed by confirmation by sequencing. Electrocompetent GBS was

transformed with the plasmid and confirmed using cPCR. Primers used in the construction of the

mutant and complemented strain are listed in **(Supplemental Table 1).** The transposon *rodA*

mutant (A909 *rodA::Himar1*) was used from a large-scale GBS indexed library of *Himar1* mini-

transposon mutant strains, containing interruptions of 878 genes and 253 intergenic regions, each

with an erythromycin resistance cassette (21).

Microscopy and Staining.

Gram Stain. WT A909 and A909Δ*rodA* strains were grown overnight in TS broth at 37 °C.

Cells were harvested by centrifugation (4,000 rpm, 10 min), washed once with Dulbecco's

phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS), and resuspended in DPBS. Smears were prepared on clean

glass slides, heat-fixed, and subjected to Gram staining using the standard four-step protocol:

crystal violet (1 min), Gram's iodine (1 min), decolorization with 95% ethanol (10–15 s), and

counterstaining with safranin (30 s), as previously described (22). Slides were rinsed gently with

distilled water between each step and air-dried. Stained preparations were examined by light

microscopy (1000×, oil immersion). Brightfield images were acquired using a Zeiss Axio

Observer microscope equipped with a 63×/1.4 oil immersion objective.

Colony immunoblotting. Overnight cultures of WT A909, A909Δ*rodA* and A909Δ*cpsE*

(acapsular control) were spread on CHROMagar plates. Colonies were counted to identify plates

with 20–200 colonies for subsequent immunoblotting. Plates were briefly overlaid with

nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham) to allow adherence of GBS material to the membrane.

Membranes were blocked in 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in DPBS (blocking solution) for 1

hour. Blots were then transferred to type Ia *Streptococcus* group B type antisera (Statens Serum

Institut) and incubated for 30 minutes with gentle shaking. Type Ia antiserum was diluted

1:2,000 in the blocking solution. Blots were then washed three times in DPBS. Blots were

incubated in horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody

(Pierce) diluted 1:1,000 in blocking solution for 2 hours. Blots were washed three times in DPBS

and stained using a 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride substrate kit (Abcam).

Electron microscopy. Overnight cultures were pelleted for 5 min at 4,000 rpm. Samples were

processed and imaged by the NYU Microscopy Laboratory as previously described with

modifications (23). In brief, bacteria were resuspended in 1 mL LRR (Lysine-Ruthenium Red-

Osmium) fixative solution (1 mL fixation solution per sample: 0.5 mL 0.15% ruthenium red, 125

μL 16% formaldehyde, 100 μL 25% glutaraldehyde solution, 0.0155 g lysine acetate; fill with

distilled water to 1 mL). The cells were fixed on ice for 20 min, washed two times with 0.1M

sodium cacodylate buffer (0.1M cacodylate, 0.01M $CaCl_2$, 0.01M $MgCl_2$, 0.09M sucrose, pH

6.9) containing 0.075% ruthenium red and fixed in the fixation solution without lysine acetate for

2 hrs on ice. After washing three times with 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer containing 0.075%

ruthenium red for 5 min each, the cells were postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide (OsO_4) with

0.075% ruthenium red in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer for 1h on ice, washed three times with

0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer with 0.075% ruthenium red for 5 min each, then embedded with

2% agar and *en block* stained with 0.5% uranyl acetate aqueous solution overnight at 4ºC. The

samples were washed with distilled water, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series (30%: 50%:

75%, 85%: 90%:100%:100%) on ice, infiltrated and embedded with LR White resin (Electron

Microscopy Sciences, PA) at 55ºC. Thin sections were cut using Leica UC6 ultramicrotome,

collected on 200 mesh copper grids (Ted, Pella Inc. CA), and stained with 4% aqueous uranyl

acetate for 5 minutes. Stained grids were examined under JEOL1400 Flash transmission electron

microscope (Japan) and photographed with a Gatan Rio 16 camera (Gatan Inc. Pleasanton, CA).

GI colonization model. All experiments were performed in accordance with the NYU Grossman

School of Medicine's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). These

experiments were conducted as previously described (24). Adult male and female C57BL/6J

mice (8–12 weeks) were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, Maine), given at

least 3 days to acclimate to the local facility, and mated as pairs and trios. Dams were monitored

for the birth of litters, and animals aged 12–14 days (preweaning) were used for colonization.

Two cohorts were used for cocolonization: one for cocolonization with A909 WT and

A909Δ*rodA* strains and the other using A909 WT and A909 *rodA::Himar1*. Bacterial cultures

were grown, centrifuged and resuspended in sterile DPBS, and a 1:1 mixture was made of the

resuspended strains to be competed. Animals were orally fed using a sterile feeding tube with

10^8 colony forming units (CFUs) of GBS resuspended in 50 µL of DPBS. Animals remained

housed with their biological dams and were monitored daily for signs of illness and mortality. At

predetermined time points (7- and 14-days post-infection), animals were euthanized, and the GI

tract was harvested. Each portion (small intestine, cecum, and colon) was homogenized. Serial

dilutions were plated on CHROMagar StrepB for the enumeration of GBS CFUs. In addition,

dilutions were spread on CHROMagar plates, with and without antibiotic selection

(erythromycin), for differentiation between A909 WT and A909 *rodA::Himar1*. A909 WT and

A909Δ*rodA* were differentiated by picking individual colonies for screening using cPCR. For the

monocolonization model, bacterial cultures were grown overnight to stationary phase and the

optical densities of the two strains were normalized. Cultures were then centrifuged and

resuspended in sterile DPBS to a final concentration of 10^9 CFU/mL. Animals were orally fed

using a sterile feeding tube with 10^8 CFU of GBS resuspended in 50 µL of DPBS and remained

with their biologic, non-colonized dam. The animals were monitored daily for signs of illness

and mortality. At predetermined time points (3-, 7-, and 14-days post-infection), animals were

euthanized, and the GI tract was harvested. Each portion (small intestine, cecum, and colon) was

homogenized, and serial dilutions were plated for enumeration of GBS CFUs on CHROMagar.

Colony differentiation using cPCR. Bacterial colonies recovered from the cocolonization

experiment were screened for the presence or absence of *rodA* using cPCR. We selected ~20

colonies per site per mouse (~100 colonies per site), from organ dilutions that had been spread

on CHROMagar plates. Selected colonies were patched on TS plates overnight, then resuspended

in 20 µL of PBS, of which 2 µL was used as a template in a 25 µL PCR reaction containing

OneTaq 2xMM, forward and reverse check primers **(Supplementary Table 1)** specific for the

flanking region of the *rodA* gene and RNAse-free water. PCR was conducted using an annealing

temperature of 54°C and 2-minute extension. Products were run on 1% agarose gel. Amplicon

length was compared to A909 WT and A909Δ*rodA* controls to confirm genotype.

Competitive index for cocolonization experiments was calculated as: (CFU strain 1

recovered/CFU strain 1 inoculated)/(CFU strain 2 recovered/CFU strain 2 inoculated) and log-

transformed for calculation of geometric mean and 95% confidence interval (CI) for each GI site.

Growth rate analysis under physiological stressors. To investigate bacterial growth rate under

acidic pH, bile, and lysozyme conditions, overnight cultures were assayed as described

previously (17, 25, 26). TS broth was adjusted to pH 6.0 and 5.0 using sterile 1 N HCl. TS broth

was prepared and autoclaved, cooled to room temperature (or 37 °C for temperature-matched

readings), and stirred aseptically while titrating with sterile 1 N HCl. pH was monitored with a

calibrated pH meter until 6.00 ± 0.05 and 5.00 ± 0.05 was reached. Media were re-checked after

10 min equilibration, dispensed, and used the same day. Control medium (pH 7.0) was handled

identically without acid addition. Bile concentrations (5 mg/ml and 10 mg/ml) from a stock

concentration of 200 mg/ml and lysozyme concentration of 14 mg/ml from a stock concentration

of 100 mg/ml (made in DPBS) were prepared in TSB and sterilized prior use. Lysozyme

concentration was determined through preliminary testing of multiple concentrations, with the

final concentration selected to yield ~50% killing in the WT strain (LD_{50}) (**Supplemental**

Figure S1). Overnight cultures were diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.05 in TS broth, 150 µL of each

culture was added to a 96-well plate in three technical replicates. Media-only wells served as

negative controls, and all readings were normalized to the negative control. Growth curve assays

were performed using the BioTek LogPhase 600 Microbiology Reader for 24 hours at 37 °C,

with readings taken every 20 minutes.

Biofilm Assay. Biofilm assays were performed as previously described (27). Briefly, overnight bacterial cultures grown in TSB were diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.05 in Todd Hewitt Broth (THB) with 1% glucose, incubated for 24 hours at 37°C with 5% CO_2 in 12 wells, flat bottom tissue culture plates. Staining was performed the next day using 0.5% crystal violet, solubilized with 30% glacial acetic acid and 100uL of samples were transferred to 96 well cell culture plates for readings. Spectra Max M3 was used to obtain readings at OD_{540}. Biofilms under stress conditions were tested by diluting bacteria in THB 1% glucose with the relevant stress media as described above.

Tissue culture assays: The human intestinal Caco-2 cell line (ATCC HTB-37; colorectal adenocarcinoma) was seeded in 24-well plates at a density of 1×10^4 cells per well in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM, ATCC 30-2003) supplemented with 20% (vol/vol) fetal bovine serum (FBS, HyClone Cytiva, Marlborough, MA) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Pen/Strep, 10,000 U/mL, Gibco, USA) and cultured at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO_2 incubator (28). The T84 colonic epithelial cell line (ATCC CCL-248) were cultivated in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium and Ham F-12 medium (DMEM: F-12, ATCC 30-2006) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% Pen/Strep (29). For adherence assays, bacterial strains were grown overnight in TS broth. On the day of the assay, bacterial strains were diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.1 and grown until mid-log phase. Caco-2 and T84 cells were grown to confluence in 12-well and 24-well tissue culture-treated plates (Corning). One hour prior to the assay, cell culture media was replaced with antibiotic - and serum-free media. Cells were washed three times with DPBS prior to infection. Bacteria were washed three times with DPBS and then resuspended in DMEM: F-12 or EMEM, depending on the cell type and added to cells at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10. Adhesion was assessed after 45 minutes. Cells were

washed six times with DPBS, removed from plates with trypsin-EDTA, and lysed with 0.1%

saponin (30). Lysates were serially diluted and plated on TS agar (TSA) plates to quantify the

number of adherent CFU. Adherence was calculated as: (total CFU recovered/total CFU of

original inoculum) × 100%. For invasion assays, bacterial strains were prepared in the same

manner as for adherence. Following three washes, bacteria was added to each well, and plates

were incubated for 150 minutes to allow invasion. Plates were then washed six times to remove

non-adherent bacteria. Antibiotic-containing culture media was prepared by adding gentamicin

and penicillin from prepared stocks of 50 mg/mL each with a final concentration of 100 μg/mL

gentamicin and 5 μg/mL penicillin. Plates were incubated for an additional 2 hours with

antibiotic media, after which invasion capacity was assessed using the same procedures

described for adherence.

Statistical Analysis. Statistics were calculated using GraphPad Prism 10.5.0 software.

Colonization rates between the A909 WT and A909Δ*rodA* strains were compared using Fisher's

exact test. Bacterial burden comparison between the A909 WT and A909Δ*rodA* strains at

different GI tract sites were compared using two-way repeated measures ANOVA with Sidak's

multiple comparisons post-test. Biofilm formation under different stressors was evaluated using

two-way ANOVA with the Tukey multiple comparisons test. Tissue culture adhesion and

invasion assays were compared using Mann-Whitney U test.

Results

Structural characterization of GBS A909Δ*rodA*: To characterize the structural contribution of

rodA, we generated an in-frame deletion mutant (A909Δ*rodA)* using the Cas12a mutagenesis

system as previously described (18). Overnight growth showed pronounced sedimentation of the

A909Δ*rodA* compared to the A909 WT strain and complemented A909Δ*rodA*+pGBScomp

High::*rodA*, although no significant differences were noted in growth rate **(Supplemental**

Figure S2, Figure 4A). Gram staining revealed a typical streptococcal morphology, with chains

of cocci in the WT strain whereas the A909Δ*rodA* strain displayed a marked aggregation

phenotype, with cells forming dense clusters versus discrete chains **(Figure 1A).** Since GBS

capsule is anchored to the peptidoglycan layer, we assessed capsule presence to determine if

rodA played a role in its production (31). Colony immunoblotting using a capsule-specific

antibody detected capsule on both the A909 WT and A909 Δ*rodA* strains in contrast to the

A909Δ*cpsE,* which did produce capsule **(Figure 1B).** In addition, we confirmed the presence of

capsule production in the A909Δ*rodA* strain by latex agglutination **(Figure 1C).** Transmission

electron microscopy did not reveal obvious abnormalities in morphology or envelope appearance

in the A909Δ*rodA* strain compared to the A909 WT strain **(Figure 1D)**. These findings suggest a

phenotype with chaining and aggregation in the A909Δ*rodA* strain, without detectable changes in

capsule expression or obvious structural defects.

***rodA* provides a competitive advantage in GI colonization.** To assess the contribution of *rodA*

in GI colonization, we initially employed a *rodA* transposon mutant (A909 *rodA::Himar1*). In

cocolonization experiments, we noted that A909 WT outcompeted A909 *rodA::Himar1,* with

geometric mean competitive indices 6.62, 95% CI [0.85, 53.1] and 4.19, 95% CI [1.70, 10.35] in

the small intestine; 7.67, 95% CI [1.69, 34.8] and 45.78, 95% CI [10.38, 201.7] in the cecum,

and 7.5, 95% CI [1.68, 33.76] and 49.82, 95% CI [17.72, 140.1] in the colon, at 7 and 14 days

respectively indicating a competitive advantage in vivo **(Supplemental Figure S3).** Based on

these pilot findings, we used the in-frame deletion mutant (A909Δ*rodA*) to validate the in vivo

phenotype. Preweaning mice (12–14 days) were orally infected in cocolonization experiments as

described (24). Animals cocolonized with the A909 WT and A909Δ*rodA* strains were monitored

for signs of illness and survival until 7 days post infection. There was 100% survival in this

cohort at the experimental endpoint of 7 days, at which point animals were euthanized and the GI

tract harvested **(Figure 2A)**. Colonies recovered from the small intestine, cecum, and colon were

analyzed using cPCR to determine the ratio of A909 WT to A909Δ*rodA* **(Supplemental Figure**

4). In the small intestine and cecum, the A909 WT strain outcompeted the A909Δ*rodA* strain

with a geometric mean competitive index of 40, 95% CI [40, 40] at 7 days post-colonization,

denoting no variation across replicates, as all tested colonies were A909 WT **(Figure 2B)**. In the

colon, we calculated a geometric mean of 34.47, 95% CI [22.8, 52.11] **(Figure 2B)**. These

findings suggest a sustained competitive advantage of the A909 WT strain over the A909Δ*rodA*

strain throughout the GI tract.

***rodA* is dispensable for intestinal colonization in the absence of competition.** We next

assessed the role of *rodA* in monocolonization. In longitudinal experiments across multiple

cohorts, pre-weaning mice were orally infected with either the A909 WT strain or the

A909Δ*rodA* strain. These animals were longitudinally monitored for signs or illness/mortality,

with cohorts euthanized at days 3, 7 or 14. We noted equivalent bacterial colonization between

the A909 WT and A909Δ*rodA* strains throughout the GI tract at all 3 time points **(Figure 3 A, C,**

E). On further assessment, we noted comparable bacterial burden throughout the GI tract, except

in the colon at day 3 and day 7 post colonization, where the A909 WT strain had a higher median

colonization density than the A909Δ*rodA* strain **(Figure 3 B, D, F)**. There was an overall

decrease in bacterial burden at day 14 post infection, across strains **(Figure 3F)**. Taken together,

we note that A909Δ*rodA* demonstrated adequate colonization efficacy in monocolonization

experiments; however, it was outcompeted by the A909 WT strain in cocolonization. This

suggests that *rodA* may not be strictly essential for establishment of intestinal colonization but

may confer a selective advantage under competitive pressure.

Investigating the role of *rodA* in response to intestinal physiological stress: To further

characterize the role of *rodA* in the GI tract, we explored bacterial growth in vitro in the presence

of physiological gastrointestinal stress conditions. We monitored growth of A909 WT,

A909Δ*rodA* and complemented strain (A909Δ*rodA*+pGBScomp High::*rodA*) in TSB with acidic

pH in the range of 5.0-7.34, bile concentrations of 5 mg/mL and 10 mg/mL, and lysozyme (14

mg/ml). The growth of all three strains was unaffected by a pH of 6, however there was an

overall decrease in growth rate and bacterial mass at a pH of 5 for all strains **(Figure 4B, C)**. The

growth of A909Δ*rodA* was markedly inhibited in both bile salt concentrations, while the WT

strain grew adequately, and the complemented strain exhibited partial restoration of growth at

both bile concentrations **(Figure 4D, E)**. Short term lysozyme exposure resulted in ~50%

reduction in viability of A909 WT **(Supplemental Figure S1),** however we noted no significant

difference in long term growth in both strains in the presence of lysozyme **(Figure 4F).** In all

assays, the complemented strain showed a delay in entry into log phase, likely due to growth

under antibiotic selection pressure. Together these findings suggest that *rodA* is not required for

survival under acid stress and does not contribute to lysozyme resistance in long term assays, but

it is required for optimal growth in the presence of bile salts.

rodA **influences bacterial surface contact to epithelial surfaces and modulates host**

interactions: As biofilm formation and adhesion to host epithelial surfaces are both important

for gastrointestinal persistence, we next examined whether deletion of *rodA* altered these

phenotypes. We assessed the formation of biofilm in A909 WT and A909Δ*rodA* strains after

exposure to acidic media, lysozyme and bile. At pH 6.0, no difference was noted in biofilm

production between the WT and mutant strains **(Figure 5A)**. At pH 5.0, the A909Δ*rodA* strain

exhibited a non-significant decrease in biofilm production compared to its control (standard

media, pH 7.34) **(Figure 5B)**. On exposure to lysozyme, we noted an increase in biofilm

formation by the A909 WT strain that was not seen in the A909Δ*rodA* strain **(Figure 5C)**. The

presence of bile salts decreased the formation of biofilm in both the WT and mutant strains when

compared to their respective controls **(Figure 5D, E)**. To understand the surface associated

behavior of *rodA* at epithelial barriers, we performed adherence and invasion assays using

differentiated Caco-2 intestinal epithelial cells and T84 colonic epithelial cell lines, in an in vitro

model. We evaluated if the adherence and/or invasion to epithelial cells by GBS depended on

rodA. Adherence assays showed increased adherence of the A909Δ*rodA* strain in the Caco-2 and

T84 cell lines compared to the A909 WT strain **(Figure 6A, B)**. In contrast, invasion assay

results differed by cell type. In Caco-2 cell, there was no difference in invasion between the

A909 WT and A909Δ*rodA* strains, whereas in T84 cells, there was an increase in invasion by the

A909Δ*rodA* strain **(Figure 6C, D)**. These findings suggest that the loss of *rodA* alters biofilm

responses to gastrointestinal stressors and increases epithelial adherence.

Discussion

The GBS cell wall is composed of a thick layer of peptidoglycan that anchors surface molecules

and consists of an outermost boundary of capsular polysaccharide that serves as a major factor in

colonization and pathogenesis (32-35). SEDS-family proteins, including RodA, are conserved

transmembrane enzymes that are key meditators of peptidoglycan synthesis and cell wall

integrity (36). RodA is a peptidoglycan polymerase that elongates glycan strands (16). It works

with PBP's, known to mediate peptide crosslinking, together playing a key morphogenetic role

in bacterial cell wall synthesis (37, 38). Studies in several enteric pathogens illustrate that

disruptions in glycan polymerization can have varying phenotypes depending on the organism

and the environmental niche (37, 39-44). Laubacher et al. demonstrated that peptidoglycan stress

activates Rcs envelope stress response in *E. coli*, leading to upregulation of capsule

polysaccharide genes and contributing to increased intrinsic resistance in the presence of

antibiotics (40). Prior reports have shown that the deletion of *rodA* leads to a loss of structure as

seen in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *E. coli*, and *Bacillus subtilis* (39, 41, 44, 45). In contrast, our

Δ*rodA* mutant showed no obvious changes to the bacterial shape by TEM and retained its

capsular expression. This phenotypic difference from other bacteria may reflect species-specific

differences in peptidoglycan synthesis or the relative contribution of RodA to overall cell wall

integrity. In addition, as previously described in *Bacillus subtilis*, mechanisms such as alternative

PG polymerases may compensate for the overall peptidoglycan integrity in GBS in the absence

of RodA (37). Structural characterization also revealed a chaining/aggregating effect by

A909Δ*rodA* on Gram staining. We propose that the aggregating and chaining phenomenon are

due to subtle changes in peptidoglycan remodeling rather than a direct defect in division or

capsule synthesis.

We noted increased adherence by the A909Δ*rodA* strain to both Caco-2 and T84 cell lines which

may be driven by the pronounced chaining/aggregating phenotype. The difference in cell type

specific responses to invasion, higher in the T84 than the Caco-2 cell line, may reflect specific

characteristics of the host epithelial surface, including mucus production or barrier integrity.

These results differ from *Salmonella*, where disruption of RodA-PBP complexes downregulates

classical invasion factors such as flagella, which could imply that RodA modulates host

interactions by physical rather than regulatory interactions (42). The biological significance of

increased adherence with varied invasion in the mutant strain remain unclear and may reflect

differences in morphological architecture of the epithelial cell lines, where Caco-2 cell line is

known to show a distinct biochemical signature of mature small intestinal enterocytes whereas

the T84 cell line retains its colonic differentiation (46).

In a model of GI colonization, we noted that the A909Δ*rodA* mutant displayed adequate

colonization during monocolonization but was significantly outcompeted by the WT strain

during cocolonization. We noted comparable bacterial burden across different sites of the GI

tract, except the colon, in longitudinal experiments. This suggests that *rodA* is not essential for

intestinal colonization but may confer an advantage under competitive pressure. This

phenomenon of competitive interactions has been described in other enteric pathogens and is

likely dependent on host, microbial, or nutritional pressures that become apparent during

competition (47-49).

Exposure to intestinal physiological stressors like acid, bile and lysozyme showed that *rodA* is

important for bile tolerance and biofilm adaptation to certain stressors. Jia et al. reported the

conditional essentiality of the *rodA* gene to bile salt resistance by GBS (17). We hypothesize that

the absence of *rodA* may impact the ability of the bacteria to polymerize glycan strands making

the cell wall more permeable. This could explain the increase in bile susceptibility which

disrupts the bacterial cell envelope by causing cell membrane leakage and denaturing proteins.

Interestingly, the A909Δ*rodA* mutant showed greater susceptibility at 0.5% bile concentration

compared to 1% bile concentration which was not seen in the A909 WT strain. This may indicate

differential compensatory response of the A909Δ*rodA* mutant cell wall to bile and warrants

further investigation. The reduced viability of GBS in short term assays but absence of long-term

growth defect on lysozyme exposure, may reflect bacterial killing with subsequent recovery of

surviving cells or obscuring of early CFU loss due to OD_{600} measurements (50). We also noted

WT GBS increased biofilm formation in response to lysozyme, consistent with stress-induced

biofilm formation described in other Gram-positive bacteria (51, 52). The absence of this

response in the Δ*rodA* mutant suggests that RodA contributes to envelope remodeling under

host-derived stress.

The context-dependent role of RodA uncovered in this report reflects its biological relevance

under stress/competition as well as its conditional requirement for envelope integrity. RodA

permits GBS to withstand physiological stressors, particularly bile, and to compete in the GI

tract. It gives us a window into the dynamic microbial changes that occur in a natural setting

during colonization persistence and/or clearance. This work highlights the importance of

identifying other conditionally essential genes like *rodA* that confer a competitive advantage in

the GI tract to inform strategies to disrupt GBS colonization.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding. This work was supported by grant R01AI155476 to A.J.R. The content is solely the

responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funding

bodies. We thank NYULH Microscopy Lab (partially supported by NYU Cancer Center Support

Grant NIH/NCI P30CA016087) for consultation and preparation of the electron microscopy

images.

Potential conflicts of interest. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Author Notes. This work was presented in part at the Pediatric Academic Societies' 2025

Annual Meeting.

References

1.　Malvolti S, Pecenka C, Mantel CF, Malhame M, Lambach P. 2022. A Financial and
Global Demand Analysis to Inform Decisions for Funding and Clinical Development of
Group B *Streptococcus* Vaccines for Pregnant Women. Clin Infect Dis 74:S70-S79.
2.　Puopolo KM, Lynfield R, Cummings JJ, Committee On Fetus And Newborn; Committee
On Infectious Diseases. 2019. Management of Infants at Risk for Group B Streptococcal
Disease. Pediatrics 144: e20191881.
3.　Nanduri SA, Petit S, Smelser C, Apostol M, Alden NB, Harrison LH, Lynfield R,
Vagnone PS, Burzlaff K, Spina NL, Dufort EM, Schaffner W, Thomas AR, Farley MM,
Jain JH, Pondo T, McGee L, Beall BW, Schrag SJ. 2019. Epidemiology of Invasive
Early-Onset and Late-Onset Group B Streptococcal Disease in the United States, 2006 to
2015: Multistate Laboratory and Population-Based Surveillance. JAMA Pediatr 173:224-
233.
4.　Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2023. Active bacterial core surveillance
report, emerging infections program network, Group B *Streptococcus*, 2023.
https://www.cdc.gov/abcs/downloads/GBS_Surveillance_Report_2023.pdf. Accessed 20
September 2025.
5.　Karampatsas K, Davies H, Mynarek M, Andrews N, Heath PT, Le Doare K. 2022.
Clinical Risk Factors Associated With Late-Onset Invasive Group B Streptococcal
Disease: Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses. Clin Infect Dis 75:1255-1264.
6.　Puopolo KM, Mukhopadhyay S, Hansen NI, Flannery DD, Greenberg RG, Sanchez PJ,
Bell EF, DeMauro SB, Wyckoff MH, Eichenwald EC, Stoll BJ. 2022. Group B
Streptococcus Infection in Extremely Preterm Neonates and Neurodevelopmental
Outcomes at 2 Years. Clin Infect Dis 75:1405-1415.
7.　Madrid L, Seale AC, Kohli-Lynch M, Edmond KM, Lawn JE, Heath PT, Madhi SA,
Baker CJ, Bartlett L, Cutland C, Gravett MG, Ip M, Le Doare K, Rubens CE, Saha SK,
Sobanjo-Ter Meulen A, Vekemans J, Schrag S, nfant GBS Disease Investigator Group.
2017. Infant Group B Streptococcal Disease Incidence and Serotypes Worldwide:
Systematic Review and Meta-analyses. Clin Infect Dis 65:S160-S172.
8.　Berardi A, Lugli L, Rossi C, China MC, Vellani G, Contiero R, Calanca F, Camerlo F,
Casula F, Di Carlo C, Rossi MR, Chiarabini R, Ferrari M, Minniti S, Venturelli C,
Silvestrini D, Dodi I, Zucchini A, Ferrari F, Infezioni da Streptococco B Della Regione
Emilia Romagna. 2010. Neonatal bacterial meningitis. Minerva Pediatr 62:51-54.
9.　Libster R, Edwards KM, Levent F, Edwards MS, Rench MA, Castagnini LA, Cooper T,
Sparks RC, Baker CJ, Shah PE. 2012. Long-term outcomes of group B streptococcal
meningitis. Pediatrics 130:e8-15.
10.　Kohli-Lynch M, Russell NJ, Seale AC, Dangor Z, Tann CJ, Baker CJ, Bartlett L, Cutland
C, Gravett MG, Heath PT, Ip M, Le Doare K, Madhi SA, Rubens CE, Saha SK, Schrag S,
Sobanjo-Ter Meulen A, Vekemans J, O'Sullivan C, Nakwa F, Ben Hamouda H, Soua H,
Giorgakoudi K, Ladhani S, Lamagni T, Rattue H, Trotter C, Lawn JE. 2017.
Neurodevelopmental Impairment in Children After Group B Streptococcal Disease
Worldwide: Systematic Review and Meta-analyses. Clin Infect Dis 65:S190-S199.
11.　Goncalves BP, Procter SR, Paul P, Chandna J, Lewin A, Seedat F, Koukounari A,
Dangor Z, Leahy S, Santhanam S, John HB, Bramugy J, Bardaji A, Abubakar A,
Nasambu C, Libster R, Sanchez Yanotti C, Horvath-Puho E, Sorensen HT, van de Beek

D, Bijlsma MW, Gardner WM, Kassebaum N, Trotter C, Bassat Q, Madhi SA, Lambach
P, Jit M, Lawn JE, GBS Danish and Dutch collaborative group for long term outcomes;
GBS Low and Middle Income Countries collaborative group for long term outcomes;
GBS Scientific Advisory Group, epidemiological sub-group; CHAMPS team. 2022.
Group B *streptococcus* infection during pregnancy and infancy: estimates of regional and
global burden. Lancet Glob Health 10:e807-e819.

12. Carl MA, Ndao IM, Springman AC, Manning SD, Johnson JR, Johnston BD, Burnham
CA, Weinstock ES, Weinstock GM, Wylie TN, Mitreva M, Abubucker S, Zhou Y,
Stevens HJ, Hall-Moore C, Julian S, Shaikh N, Warner BB, Tarr PI. 2014. Sepsis from
the gut: the enteric habitat of bacteria that cause late-onset neonatal bloodstream
infections. Clin Infect Dis 58:1211-1218.

13. Schwartz DJ, Shalon N, Wardenburg K, DeVeaux A, Wallace MA, Hall-Moore C, Ndao
IM, Sullivan JE, Radmacher P, Escobedo M, Burnham CD, Warner BB, Tarr PI, Dantas
G. 2023. Gut pathogen colonization precedes bloodstream infection in the neonatal
intensive care unit. Sci Transl Med 15:eadg5562.

14. Vaz MJ, Purrier SA, Bonakdar M, Chamby AB, Ratner AJ, Randis TM. 2020. The
Impact of Circulating Antibody on Group B *Streptococcus* Intestinal Colonization and
Invasive Disease. Infect Immun 89:e00348-20.

15. Zhu L, Yerramilli P, Pruitt L, Mishra A, Olsen RJ, Beres SB, Waller AS, Musser JM.
2021. Functional Insights into the High-Molecular-Mass Penicillin-Binding Proteins of
Streptococcus agalactiae Revealed by Gene Deletion and Transposon Mutagenesis
Analysis. J Bacteriol 203:e0023421.

16. Welsh MA, Schaefer K, Taguchi A, Kahne D, Walker S. 2019. Direction of Chain
Growth and Substrate Preferences of Shape, Elongation, Division, and Sporulation-
Family Peptidoglycan Glycosyltransferases. J Am Chem Soc 141:12994-12997.

17. Jia L, Yuan C, Pan F, Zhou X, Fan H, Ma Z. 2023. CsbD, a Novel Group B Streptococcal
Stress Response Factor That Contributes to Bacterial Resistance against Environmental
Bile Salts. J Bacteriol 205:e0044822.

18. Hillebrand GH, Carlin SC, Giacobe EJ, Stephenson HA, Collins J, Hooven TA. 2025. A
Cas12a Toolbox for Rapid and Flexible Group B *Streptococcus* Genomic Editing and
CRISPRi. Mol Microbiol doi:10.1111/mmi.70022.

19. Nunez Pedrozo CN, Peralta TM, Olea FD, Locatelli P, Crottogini AJ, Belaich MN,
Cuniberti LA. 2022. In silico performance analysis of web tools for CRISPRa sgRNA
design in human genes. Comput Struct Biotechnol J 20:3779-3782.

20. Helle L, Kull M, Mayer S, Marincola G, Zelder ME, Goerke C, Wolz C, Bertram R.
2011. Vectors for improved Tet repressor-dependent gradual gene induction or silencing
in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Microbiology (Reading) 157:3314-3323.

21. Bhavana VH, Hillebrand GH, Gopalakrishna KP, Rapp RA, Ratner AJ, Tettelin H,
Hooven TA. 2023. A group B *Streptococcus* indexed transposon mutant library to
accelerate genetic research on an important perinatal pathogen. Microbiol Spectr
11:e0204623.

22. Moyes RB, Reynolds J, Breakwell DP. 2009. Differential staining of bacteria: gram stain.
Curr Protoc Microbiol Appendix 3:Appendix 3C.

23. Hammerschmidt S, Wolff S, Hocke A, Rosseau S, Muller E, Rohde M. 2005. Illustration
of pneumococcal polysaccharide capsule during adherence and invasion of epithelial
cells. Infect Immun 73:4653-4667.

24.   Vaz MJ, Dongas S, Ratner AJ. 2023. Capsule production promotes Group B
500   *Streptococcus* intestinal colonization. Microbiol Spectr 11:e0234923.
501   25.   Yang Q, Porter AJ, Zhang M, Harrington DJ, Black GW, Sutcliffe IC. 2012. The impact
502   of pH and nutrient stress on the growth and survival of *Streptococcus agalactiae*. Antonie
503   Van Leeuwenhoek 102:277-287.
504   26.   Patras KA, Derieux J, Al-Bassam MM, Adiletta N, Vrbanac A, Lapek JD, Zengler K,
505   Gonzalez DJ, Nizet V. 2018. Group B *Streptococcus* Biofilm Regulatory Protein A
506   Contributes to Bacterial Physiology and Innate Immune Resistance. J Infect Dis
507   218:1641-1652.
508   27.   D'Urzo N, Martinelli M, Pezzicoli A, De Cesare V, Pinto V, Margarit I, Telford JL,
509   Maione D, Members of the DSG. 2014. Acidic pH strongly enhances in vitro biofilm
510   formation by a subset of hypervirulent ST-17 *Streptococcus agalactiae* strains. Appl
511   Environ Microbiol 80:2176-2185.
512   28.   De Gaetano GV, Lentini G, Galbo R, Coppolino F, Fama A, Teti G, Beninati C. 2021.
513   Invasion and trafficking of hypervirulent group B streptococci in polarized enterocytes.
514   PLoS One 16:e0253242.
515   29.   Dominguez K, Pearah AN, Lindon AK, Worthington LA, Carter RR, John-Lewis
516   Edwards N, Ho TTB, Darch SE, Randis TM. 2024. The impact of butyrate on group B
517   *Streptococcus*-induced intestinal barrier disruption. Infect Immun 92:e0020024.
518   30.   Yang Q, Li N, Zheng Y, Tian Y, Liang Q, Zhao M, Chu H, Gong Y, Wu T, Wei S, Wang
519   H, Yan G, Li F, Lei L. 2025. Identification and characterization of *ugpE* associated with
520   the full virulence of *Streptococcus suis*. Vet Res 56:82.
521   31.   Baron MJ, Kasper DL. 2005. Anchors away: contribution of a glycolipid anchor to
522   bacterial invasion of host cells. J Clin Invest 115:2325-2327.
523   32.   Silhavy TJ, Kahne D, Walker S. 2010. The bacterial cell envelope. Cold Spring Harb
524   Perspect Biol 2:a000414.
525   33.   Wessels MR, Rubens CE, Benedi VJ, Kasper DL. 1989. Definition of a bacterial
526   virulence factor: sialylation of the group B streptococcal capsule. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
527   A 86:8983-8987.
528   34.   Rosini R, Margarit I. 2015. Biofilm formation by *Streptococcus agalactiae*: influence of
529   environmental conditions and implicated virulence factors. Front Cell Infect Microbiol
530   5:6.
531   35.   Kampff Z, van Sinderen D, Mahony J. 2023. Cell wall polysaccharides of streptococci: A
532   genetic and structural perspective. Biotechnol Adv 69:108279.
533   36.   Meeske AJ, Riley EP, Robins WP, Uehara T, Mekalanos JJ, Kahne D, Walker S, Kruse
534   AC, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. 2016. SEDS proteins are a widespread family of
535   bacterial cell wall polymerases. Nature 537:634-638.
536   37.   Emami K, Guyet A, Kawai Y, Devi J, Wu LJ, Allenby N, Daniel RA, Errington J. 2017.
537   RodA as the missing glycosyltransferase in *Bacillus subtilis* and antibiotic discovery for
538   the peptidoglycan polymerase pathway. Nat Microbiol 2:16253.
539   38.   Cho H, Wivagg CN, Kapoor M, Barry Z, Rohs PDA, Suh H, Marto JA, Garner EC,
540   Bernhardt TG. 2016. Bacterial cell wall biogenesis is mediated by SEDS and PBP
541   polymerase families functioning semi-autonomously. Nat Microbiol 1:16172.
542   39.   Ranjit DK, Young KD. 2013. The Rcs stress response and accessory envelope proteins
543   are required for de novo generation of cell shape in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol
544   195:2452-2462.

545   40.   Laubacher ME, Ades SE. 2008. The Rcs phosphorelay is a cell envelope stress response
546   activated by peptidoglycan stress and contributes to intrinsic antibiotic resistance. J
547   Bacteriol 190:2065-2074.

548   41.   Bendezu FO, Hale CA, Bernhardt TG, de Boer PA. 2009. RodZ (*YfgA*) is required for
549   proper assembly of the MreB actin cytoskeleton and cell shape in *E. coli.* EMBO J
550   28:193-204.

551   42.   Doble AC, Clark-Corrigall J, Bulmer DM, Daniel RA, Mastroeni P, Anjam Khan CM.
552   2024. Essential roles of RodA peptidoglycan polymerase and PBP2 transpeptidase in
553   expression of cell wall-spanning supramolecular organelles and
554   modulating *Salmonella* virulence. bioRxiv [Preprint]. doi:10.1101/2024.07.01.601524.

555   43.   Arora D, Chawla Y, Malakar B, Singh A, Nandicoori VK. 2018. The transpeptidase
556   PbpA and noncanonical transglycosylase RodA of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* play
557   important roles in regulating bacterial cell lengths. J Biol Chem 293:6497-6516.

558   44.   Lamanna MM, Manzoor I, Joseph M, Ye ZA, Benedet M, Zanardi A, Ren Z, Wang X,
559   Massidda O, Tsui HT, Winkler ME. 2022. Roles of RodZ and class A PBP1b in the
560   assembly and regulation of the peripheral peptidoglycan elongasome in ovoid-shaped
561   cells of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* D39. Mol Microbiol 118:336-368.

562   45.   Henriques AO, Glaser P, Piggot PJ, Moran CP, Jr. 1998. Control of cell shape and
563   elongation by the rodA gene in Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol 28:235-47.

564   46.   Devriese S, Van den Bossche L, Van Welden S, Holvoet T, Pinheiro I, Hindryckx P, De
565   Vos M, Laukens D. 2017. T84 monolayers are superior to Caco-2 as a model system of
566   colonocytes. Histochem Cell Biol 148:85-93.

567   47.   Li SH, Li Z, Park JO, King CG, Rabinowitz JD, Wingreen NS, Gitai Z. 2018.
568   *Escherichia coli* translation strategies differ across carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus
569   limitation conditions. Nat Microbiol 3:939-947.

570   48.   Liu H, Shiver AL, Price MN, Carlson HK, Trotter VV, Chen Y, Escalante V, Ray J, Hern
571   KE, Petzold CJ, Turnbaugh PJ, Huang KC, Arkin AP, Deutschbauer AM. 2021.
572   Functional genetics of human gut commensal *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* reveals
573   metabolic requirements for growth across environments. Cell Rep 34:108789.

574   49.   Stubbendieck RM, May DS, Chevrette MG, Temkin MI, Wendt-Pienkowski E, Cagnazzo
575   J, Carlson CM, Gern JE, Currie CR. 2019. Competition among Nasal Bacteria Suggests a
576   Role for Siderophore-Mediated Interactions in Shaping the Human Nasal Microbiota.
577   Appl Environ Microbiol 85:e00637-19.

578   50.   Stevenson K, McVey AF, Clark IBN, Swain PS, Pilizota T. 2016. General calibration of
579   microbial growth in microplate readers. Sci Rep 6:38828.

580   51.   Rouchon CN, Harris J, Zubair-Nizami Z, Weinstein AJ, Roky M, Frank KL. 2022. The
581   Cationic Antimicrobial Peptide Activity of Lysozyme Reduces Viable *Enterococcus*
582   *faecalis* Cells in Biofilms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 66:e0233921.

583   52.   Grimm I, Dumke J, Dreier J, Knabbe C, Vollmer T. 2018. Biofilm formation and
584   transcriptome analysis of *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* in response to
585   lysozyme. PLoS One 13:e0191705.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Structural characterization of WT and *rodA* deficient GBS. A. Gram-stained images of A909 WT and A909Δ*rodA* strains in stationary growth, taken at 63×original magnification. Scale bar = 5 μm. Experiments were performed twice independently, with representative images shown. **B.** Capsule production assessed by colony immunoblot using a serotype Ia-specific anti-capsular polysaccharide antibody in A909 WT, A909Δ*cpsE* (acapsular control) and A909Δ*rodA* strains. Positive: Halo around brown colonies; Negative: brown colonies only. Representative blot from two independent experiments is shown. **C.** Latex agglutination was used to confirm production of capsule. Type Ia antisera was used for A909 WT, A909 Δ*cpsE* (acapsular control) and A909Δ*rodA* strains. Positive: clumping noted; Negative: no clumping noted. Representative images from two independent experiments are shown. **D.** Transmission electron microscopy demonstrates capsule and cell wall in A909 WT and A909Δ*rodA* strains. Bacteria were chemically fixed by including lysine acetate during the glutaraldehyde and formaldehyde exposure. Samples were stained and postfixed using Ruthenium red and osmium and subsequently embedded in LR White. Black arrows mark the capsule, and white arrows mark the cell wall. Scale bar = 200 nm. Representative images from 10 fields per strains are shown.

Figure 2. RodA confers a competitive advantage to GBS in an intestinal colonization competition model. Preweaning C57BL/6J mice were orally inoculated with a 1:1 mixture of A909 WT and A909Δ*rodA* in an experimental cohort (n = 5). **A.** Kaplan-Meier survival curve demonstrates 100% survival. **B.** The small intestine, cecum, and colon, were harvested at 7 days post inoculation. Approximately 100 colonies per GI site (~20 colonies per mouse) were

analyzed using cPCR to differentiate between A909 WT and A909Δ*rodA*. Data points represent

geometric mean competition indices and error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 3. Comparable colonization by the A909Δ*rodA* mutant and A909 WT strains in

monocolonization. Preweaning C57BL/6J mice were orally infected with either A909 WT or

A909Δ*rodA* in six separate cohorts, and the small intestine, cecum, and colon, were harvested at

predetermined time intervals. **A, B, C.** Percent colonization as determined by the presence of

GBS on days 3, 7, and 14 respectively (P = not significant [NS]; two-sided Fisher's exact test).

D, E, F. Bacterial burden determined as GBS CFU/gram tissue on days 3, 7, and 14 respectively.

Individual points represent biological replicates and lines showing median with interquartile

range, (*, *p<0.01*; **, *p<0.001*; two-way repeated measures ANOVA with Sidak's multiple

comparisons post-test). Sample sizes: A909 WT=4, A909Δ*rodA*=7 **(Figure A, D)**, A909 WT =

5, A909Δ*rodA* = 7 **(Figure B, E)**, A909 WT=4, A909Δ*rodA* = 6 **(Figure C, F)**.

Figure 4. Exposure to intestinal physiological stressors reveals selective susceptibility of

A909Δ*rodA*. Overnight cultures of A909 WT, A909Δ*rodA* and A909Δ*rodA*+pGBScomp

High::*rodA* GBS strains were diluted to an OD value of 0.05 in Todd-Hewitt broth and incubated

at 37°C. OD_{600} was measured at 20-minute intervals under different intestinal stressors. **A.**

Standard media (pH 7.34), **B.** Standard media, pH 6.0, **C.** Standard media, pH 5.0, **D.** Standard

media, bile 5 mg/ml (0.5%), **E.** Standard media, bile 10 mg/ml (1.0%), **F.** Standard media,

lysozyme 14 mg/ml. Data from independent samples (n = 9) with three technical replicates

shown, with lines indicating mean values ± standard errors of the mean (SEM).

Figure 5. GBS *rodA* deficiency impacts biofilm formation under select stressor exposure.

Biofilm formation of A909 WT and A909Δ*rodA* strains quantified by crystal violet uptake after

exposure to **A.** THB, with 1% glucose at pH 6.0, **B.** THB, with 1% glucose at pH 5.0, **C.** THB,

with 1% glucose, lysozyme 14 mg/ml, **D.** THB, with 1% glucose, bile 5 mg/ml, **E.** THB, with

1% glucose, bile 10 mg/ml. Data from independent samples (n = 9) with three technical

replicates are shown, and lines represent mean values ± SDs. Data were analyzed using two-way

ANOVA with the Tukey multiple comparisons test (*, *p<0.05*; **, *p<0.005*; ****$p< 0.0001$*).

Figure 6. The absence of *rodA* impacts adherence and differentially affects invasion in

intestinal epithelial cells. A, C. Adhesion assays were performed with Caco-2 and T84

intestinal cell lines respectively at an MOI of 10. **B, D.** Invasion assays were performed with

Caco-2 and T84 intestinal cell lines respectively at an MOI 10. The percentage of adhered and

invaded bacteria were calculated relative to the initial inoculum. All data are from independent

experiments (n=9, each with 3 technical replicates). The error bars indicate the 95% confidence

intervals of the means of the wells. Data were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test (****, *p*

< 0.0001).

Figure 1

A

A909 WT: Stationary Phase   A909Δ*rodA*: Stationary Phase

B

A909 WT   A909Δ*cpsE*   A909Δ*rodA*

C

A909 WT   A909Δ*cpsE*   A909Δ*rodA*

D

200 nm   200 nm

A909 WT   A909Δ*rodA*

666
667
668
669

Figure 2

A

B

696
697

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

A

B

C

D

751