piRNAs safeguard splicing and RNA fidelity during heat shock

Sehee Min, Johnny Cruz-Corchado, and Veena Prahlad*

*corresponding author

Veena.prahlad@roswellpark.org   716-845-8086

Department of Cell Stress Biology

Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center

Elm and Carlton Streets

Buffalo, NY 14263

Keywords: piRNA, heat shock, *hsp,* splicing, nonsense-mediated decay (NMD), endo-siRNAs,

transcript fidelity, RNA quality control, protein homeostasis, *C. elegans*

ABSTRACT

Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) silence transposons and foreign sequences; yet, for poorly understood reasons, they also extensively target endogenous mRNAs. Here, we report a previously unappreciated role for the *Caenorhabditis elegans* piRNA pathway in RNA quality control: during heat shock, piRNAs generate endogenous antisense RNAs (endo-siRNAs) that associate with RNA Polymerase II and nascent stress-induced RNAs to delay splicing when the spliceosome is compromised, minimizing transcript errors. In the absence of piRNAs, nascent transcripts undergo premature splicing, accumulate errors, and activate nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) to limit the production of aberrant proteins. We propose that by regulating splicing, the piRNA pathway acts alongside NMD as a quality-control system, safeguarding RNA fidelity and proteome integrity with genome defense.

Introduction

Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) are small, single-stranded RNA guides for PIWI Argonaute proteins that suppress transposable elements and foreign RNAs through transcriptional and post-transcriptional silencing[1-10]. Yet, across animal species, a large proportion of piRNAs target endogenous genes, suggesting that the piRNA pathway also plays evolutionarily ancient and conserved roles in regulating gene expression[1-7, 11-15]. However, their endogenous functions remain mostly unknown. In *Caenorhabditis elegans*, piRNAs associate with PRG-1 (the *C. elegans* PIWI protein) and can target, with high mismatch tolerance, nearly all germline RNAs, including ectopic sequences such as GFP transgenes[1, 2, 16, 17]. piRNA-targeting initiates the production of secondary antisense small endogenous silencing RNAs (endo-siRNAs, or 22G-RNAs) templated from the piRNA-targeted mRNAs by RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RdRPs)[18-20]. These 22G-RNAs recruit WAGO Argonaute proteins to enforce co- and post-transcriptional silencing. Self-RNAs are spared this promiscuous silencing through their interactions with another Argonaute protein, CSR-1, guided by 22G-RNAs generated from actively translated transcripts independently of piRNAs[21, 22]. These CSR-1-associated 22G-RNAs, thus, act as molecular engrams that recognize expressed 'self' genes to prevent their silencing. However, this leaves unexplained how inducible genes— normally absent and thus lacking CSR-1-22G-RNA guides, but whose expression is necessary for organismal function— escape piRNA-mediated silencing.

To address this question, we conducted RNA-sequencing to profile mRNAs, piRNAs, and 22G-RNAs after subjecting *C. elegans* to heat shock—a stimulus which induces the abrupt and massive expression of heat shock protein (*hsp*) and other mRNAs necessary to survive stress, but which are typically undetectable in unstressed cells (23-25). We found that instead of escaping piRNA surveillance, surprisingly, the piRNA system targeted *hsps* and other stress-induced mRNAs, producing robust endo-siRNAs (22G-RNAs) antisense to these transcripts. Therefore,

to understand the functional role of this targeting, we investigated the effects of the piRNA/22G-

RNA system on nascent transcript synthesis, maturation, turnover, and fidelity, using *hsps* as

model transcripts, and 5-ethynyluridine (EU) pulse-chase labeling, Adapted Photoactivatable

Ribonucleoside-Enhanced Crosslinking and Immunoprecipitation (PAR-CLIP), chromatin

fractionation, and Unique Molecular Identifier- (UMIs)-labelling (*26-30*) to analyze transcript

errors. We found that the piRNA system biases splicing decisions, favoring post- rather than co-

transcriptional splicing. This restrains splicing during heat shock when the spliceosome is

compromised, increasing the pool of unspliced pre-mRNA to be processed during stress recovery,

and limiting transcript errors. We show that the piRNA-generated 22G-RNAs enforce splicing

delays by interacting with Pol II and nascent RNA, promoting chromatin retention of mature

transcripts. In contrast, in the absence of piRNAs and associated 22G-RNAs, splicing is

accelerated, resulting in genome-wide misregulation of long, intron-rich genes, the accumulation

of transcript errors, and the increased activation of the nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) pathway

to prevent protein misfolding and ensure survival. Based on these studies, we propose that the

pervasive targeting of endogenous genes by the piRNA system serves a previously unrecognized

but critical role in RNA quality control.

Results

Heat-shock induced *hsp* and other mRNAs are targeted by the piRNA pathway

To understand the role of the piRNA system in regulating inducible genes, we performed small

RNA (sRNA-seq) and mRNA (paired-end, strand-specific, polyA-selected) sequencing from

synchronized day-one adults exposed to mild (5 mins, 34°C) or strong (30 mins, 34°C) heat shock

(Suppl. Fig 1a; Suppl. Tables 1, 2). Animals were also analyzed after two hours of recovery at

20°C, when normal physiological functions largely resume(*31*). In parallel, to identify piRNA-

dependent effects, we sequenced *prde-1* mutants, deficient in piRNA biogenesis(*32, 33*) (Suppl.

Fig. 1a; Suppl. Tables 1, 2). As expected, heat shock induced global changes in mRNA (Suppl.

Fig 1b), including the massive upregulation of *hsp* genes (Fig 1a; Suppl. Fig 1c, d *mRNA*).

Surprisingly, in wild-type animals, heat shock also produced 22G-RNAs antisense to the *hsp*

mRNAs (Fig 1a; Suppl. Fig 1c, d *22G-RNA*), suggesting that rather than escaping piRNA

surveillance, *hsp* mRNAs may be targeted by the piRNA system. Like the *hsp* mRNAs, these

antisense 22G-RNAs also appeared within 5 minutes of heat shock and peaked during recovery

(Fig 1a; Suppl. Fig 1c, d *22G-RNA*). Consistently, by 30 minutes of heat shock, several piRNAs

were also modestly but significantly induced (Suppl. Fig 1e, f). In *prde-1* mutants, *hsp* induction

upon heat shock appeared unperturbed, but many fewer 22G-RNAs antisense to *hsp* mRNAs

were produced (Fig 1a; Suppl. Fig 1c, d *mRNA; 22G-RNA*), indicating that these 22G-RNAs were

generated because of piRNA-targeting.

Because piRNA targeting of mRNAs generates 22G-RNAs through the activities of the PIWI

Argonaute PRG-1 and RdRPs that reside in perinuclear condensates (nuage or germ granules)

of the germline(*16, 19*), to confirm these unexpected results, we tested whether *hsp* mRNAs

interact with PRG-1. (*34, 35*). First, we determined whether *hsps* are also induced in the germline

upon heat shock, by conducting RNA Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (RNA FISH; Fig 1b, c;

Suppl. Fig 2a) to localize *hsp-70s (C12C8.1* and *F44E5.4/.5)*. Heat shock induced the expression

of *hsp-70* mRNAs in the late pachytene nuclei (and only these nuclei) of the germline. Moreover,

although PRG-1 localization is known to dissolve upon exposure to increased temperatures and

indeed does so in regions such as the transition zone (TZ; Fig 1d), PRG-1 remained in perinuclear

condensates upon heat shock in the pachytene nuclei (Fig. 1d; compare transition zone, TZ,

where *hsp* mRNAs are absent, with Pachytene), supporting potential *hsp-70*-PRG-1 interactions.

Next, we immunoprecipitated PRG-1 under control, heat-shock, and recovery conditions from

animals expressing FLAG-tagged PRG-1 to determine whether *hsp-70 (C12C8.1* and *F44E5.4/.5)*

mRNAs associated with PRG-1 (native RNA immunoprecipitation, RIP, followed by RT–qPCR;

Fig 1e, f). Non-FLAG animals were used to account for non-specific interactions of *hsp* mRNAs,

and *bath-45,* a known piRNA target, served as a positive control (Fig 1e, f; Suppl. Fig 2b). These

experiments also indicated that *hsp-70s* interacted with PRG-1 upon heat shock, and *hsp-70*

(C12C8.1), but not *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* remained associated for a longer duration, even during

recovery (Fig 1e, f; Suppl. Fig 2b). Finally, and most convincing, we depleted PRDE-1 specifically

in the germline using an auxin-inducible degron (AID) system, and found that this abolished 22G-

RNAs presence throughout the animal (RNA FISH), demonstrating that 22G-RNAs originate in

the germline through piRNA targeting (Fig 1g, h; embryos show non-specific staining).

Surprisingly, despite their germline origin, the 22G-RNAs were present throughout the animal, in

somatic cells that expressed *hsp* mRNA (e.g. muscle, somatic sheath cells), as well as in the

germline cells such as sperm, which do not (RNA FISH; Fig 1g, h; Suppl. Fig 2c). Upon germline

depletion of PRDE-1, 22G-RNA levels were starkly decreased in somatic and germline cells (Fig

1g, h), while *hsp-70* mRNA continued to be expressed (Suppl. Fig 2c). Together, these findings

confirmed that *hsp* mRNAs were subject to piRNA surveillance, actively targeted by the canonical

piRNA pathway in the germline, and produced 22G-RNAs that propagated systemically across

tissues.

Further evidence that the *hsp* genes induced by heat shock were templating antisense 22G-RNA

production came from profiling mRNAs and sRNAs in a mutant in heat shock factor 1 (HSF1;

HSF-1 in *C. elegans*), the transcription factor responsible for *hsp* expression upon heat shock.

This mutant, *hsf-1 (sy441)* is deficient in heat-induced activity but expresses higher basal levels

of *hsp* mRNA(*31, 36*). Yet *hsf-1* mutants failed to produce 22G-RNAs antisense to *hsp* genes (Fig

1a; Suppl. Fig 1c, d). Surprisingly, even the few *hsp* mRNAs, like *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)*, that did

accumulate upon heat shock in *hsf-1 (sy441)* mutants, did not template 22G-RNAs (Suppl. Fig

1c, d), perhaps suggesting a broader role for HSF-1 in piRNA-dependent 22G-RNA production.

piRNA surveillance was not limited to *hsps*, but extended to other heat-shock-regulated genes:

~15% of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) upon a 5-minute heat-shock and ~40% by 30

minutes templated 22G-RNA production, and both the numbers of DEGs, and DEG-derived 22G-

RNAs were reduced in *prde-1* and *hsf-1* mutants (Fig 1i; Suppl. Fig 2d; Suppl. Table 3). 22G-RNA

function is determined by its Argonaute partner; however, the 22G-RNAs that targeted *hsp*

mRNAs were 'unclassified' and not previously identified in association with known *C. elegans*

Argonaute proteins (Suppl. Fig 3; Suppl. Table 4). However, other DEGs did template 22G-RNAs

that had been previously identified as guide RNAs for WAGO, CSR-1, and other Argonaute

proteins (Suppl. Table 4). WAGO-associated 22G-RNAs that were induced upon 30 minutes of

heat shock exposure were piRNA-dependent, as apparent by their decreased levels in *prde-1*

mutants, and in wild-type they increased as their target mRNAs decreased, consistent with their

known roles in post-transcriptional or co-transcriptional silencing(*8, 37*) (Suppl. Fig 3a, b). CSR-

1 22G-RNAs declined upon heat shock (perhaps due to translational attenuation), as their target

mRNAs rose in both wild type and *prde-1* mutants, consistent with their mostly piRNA-

independent production (Suppl. Fig 3a, b). Unclassified 22G-RNAs, meaning those whose

Argonaute partners had not been previously identified, continued to increase in a *hsf-1-* and *prde-*

1- dependent manner, even during recovery from heat shock, as did their complementary mRNAs

(Suppl. Fig 3a, b). The effects were dynamic and reversible during recovery from stress (Suppl.

Fig 2d (Rec); Suppl. Fig 3a, b), and the mRNAs that templated 22G-RNA production showed

distinct GO enrichments (Suppl. Fig 4; Suppl. Table 5).

Together, these results suggested that the broad piRNA-dependent and independent 22G-RNA

expression that was triggered by heat shock likely contributes to the pervasive transcriptome-

wide remodeling(*8, 37*) universally associated with this stress-induced transcription program.

The piRNA-pathway regulates post-transcriptional splicing.

Given the known roles of piRNAs in post- and co-transcriptional silencing, we suspected that

piRNA targeting of *hsp* and other stress-induced genes functioned to clear transcripts during

recovery from heat shock. If so, *hsp* mRNAs should persist longer after stress in *prde-1* mutants.

Instead, RT-qPCR (Suppl. Fig 5a-c) and RNA-FISH (Suppl. Fig 5d) revealed the opposite: the

steady state abundance of *hsp* mRNAs declined more rapidly in *prde-1* mutants compared to wild

type. We examined whether decreased RNA Polymerase II (Pol II) binding at *hsp* genes(*38*), or

altered transcription duration, explained this progressive decline. But this was also not the case:

chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by qPCR (ChIP-qPCR) showed that Pol II levels at *hsp*

gene transcription start sites (TSS), and its return to basal levels by 2 hours post-heat shock,

were similar in *prde-1* and wild-type animals (Suppl. Fig 5e, f). Therefore, to understand the role

of the piRNA pathway's targeting of *hsps* and other heat-shock genes, we directly assessed *hsp*

mRNA dynamics in the absence and presence of the piRNA pathway by tracking their synthesis

and turnover using a pulse-chase metabolic labeling approach: i.e., we labeled the heat-shock

induced pre-mRNA with 5-ethynyluridine (EU)(*39, 40*) provided to the animals only during heat

shock exposure, and tracked the fate of this RNA by absolute RT–qPCR using exon–intron (pre-

mRNA) and exon–exon (mature mRNA) primers during recovery from heat shock (Fig 2a; see

methods for experimental details).

These studies revealed that in the absence of piRNAs, *hsp* splicing or maturation occurred at an

accelerated pace, resulting in a precocious increase in nascent mRNAs and a concomitant

decrease in pre-mRNA pools, whereas the presence of piRNAs in wild-type animals restrained

splicing. The evidence for this was as follows. First, consistent with similar Pol II occupancy at

hsp genes, *prde-1* synthesized similar total amounts of RNA as wild-type animals upon heat shock

(Suppl. Fig 5g, h). Despite this, *prde-1* mutants had significantly reduced amounts of nascent (EU-

labeled) pre-mRNA by the end of the 30-minute heat shock (Fig 2b; Suppl. Fig 5i), but, on the

other hand, accumulated significantly more EU-containing spliced mRNA by 2 hours following

heat shock (Fig 2c; Suppl. Fig 5j). Next, the amounts of unspliced nascent pre-mRNA present at

the end of heat shock exposure, calculated as the ratio of EU-labeled pre-mRNA over total EU-

labeled RNA(*41*), was higher in wild-type animals expressing piRNAs/22G-RNAs compared to

prde-1: ~75% of nascent *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* transcripts, which contain two introns, remained as

pre-mRNA to be spliced post-transcriptionally during recovery in wild-type animals (Fig 2d),

whereas only ~57% persisted unspliced in *prde-1* mutants (Fig 2d). Thus, the piRNA pathway

biased the decision between co- and post-transcriptional splicing toward delayed splicing. Finally,

this remaining *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* was also spliced more rapidly in *prde-1* animals: within 2 hours

of recovery, dramatically more EU-containing spliced mRNAs were produced from the nascent

EU-labeled unspliced pre-mRNA (Fig 2e). The other inducible *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)*, contained

only one intron, and was mainly co-transcriptionally spliced in both wild-type and *prde-1* animals

(Suppl. Fig 5k). Still, the post-transcriptional splicing of the residual *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)*

proceeded markedly faster in *prde-1* mutants than in wild-type animals (Suppl. Fig 5l). This was

also true for the small heat shock protein (*hsp-16.11*; Suppl. Fig 5m).

Besides the co- and/or post-transcriptional splicing of *hsp* mRNAs, the piRNA system appeared

to broadly regulate transcriptome-wide splicing decisions: in wild-type animals compared to *prde-*

1 mutants, heat shock-DEGs (p.adj <0.05) expressed more alternatively spliced (AS) isoforms,

as indicated by their much lower "percent spliced in" (PSI or Ψ)(*42, 43*) values (Fig 2f). In addition,

540 transcripts showed significant dPSI (differential PSI; p <0.1) values, compared to only 139

transcripts in *prde-1* (Suppl. Table 6). Moreover, for seven representative AS events(*44, 45*) —

retained intron (RI), alternative 5'/3' Splice Sites (A5/A3), skipped exon (SE), mutually exclusive

exons (MX), and alternative first and last exons (AF/AL) (Fig 2j) — mRNAs differentially expressed

upon heat shock in wild-type animals were significantly less spliced, retaining more introns

compared to *prde-1* (Fig 2g; Suppl. Table 7). In further support of the role of the piRNA system in

alternative splicing, nearly 40% (125/308) of DEGs that produced AS transcripts upon heat shock

in wild-type animals also templated 22G-RNAs, while only 12% (35/280) did so, in *prde-1* (Suppl.

Fig 6a). These AS mRNAs were enriched in developmental processes, and in particular for

neuronal functions known to rely more on alternative splicing (Suppl. Fig 6b; Suppl. Table 8). This

suggested that their delayed splicing may be important for the restoration of developmental

processes during recovery from heat shock, and accordingly, heat-shocked *prde-1* mothers

showed a marked delay in generating viable embryos post-heat shock (Suppl. Fig 6c, d). These

results showed that the piRNA pathway, perhaps by virtue of the sequence complementarity of

the 22G-RNAs it produced, impacted transcriptome wide splicing decisions upon heat shock.

To determine whether the splicing decisions were dynamically controlled by the piRNA system,

we investigated whether we could rescue *hsp* RNA dynamics by microinjecting small RNAs

obtained from heat-shocked wild-type animals into *prde-1* animals shortly before subjecting them

to heat-shock exposure (Fig 2h). This was indeed the case: when *prde-1* animals were

microinjected with small RNA fraction (<200nt) obtained from heat-shocked wild-type animals, but

not with small RNA fractions from heat-shocked *prde-1* animals, both the persistence of *hsp*

mRNA (Fig 2i, j), and the levels of *hsp* pre-mRNA were partially rescued (Fig 2k). Small RNA

injections *per* se did not induce or enhance *hsp* expression (Fig 2i, j).

Thus, together, the results from pulse-chase metabolic labeling, transcriptome-wide alterations in

AS, and microinjection rescue experiments suggested that the piRNA/22G-RNA pathway

functioned dynamically, to alter splicing decisions to preserve mRNA expression during heat-

shock recovery by restraining splicing and maintaining a pool of pre-mRNAs for delayed

processing.

22G-RNAs act through a 'telescripting'-like mechanism to protect long gene expression.

Mechanistically piRNA/22G-RNAs could regulate splicing indirectly, through their known

interactions with chromatin(*8, 34, 46*). Alternatively, and consistent with their ability to rescue *hsp*

expression dynamics, and the rapid expression of *hsp* genes that occurs upon heat shock, the

piRNA/22G-RNAs could regulate splicing directly by acting on target pre-mRNAs at the

transcription complex to bias splicing decisions(*47*). Therefore, to understand how 22G-RNAs

regulate splicing, we adapted Photoactivatable Ribonucleoside-Enhanced Crosslinking and

Immunoprecipitation (PAR-CLIP) to test whether these small RNAs are associated with Pol II at

the transcription complex. In addition, because co-transcriptional splicing occurs during Pol II

elongation, but the mechanisms that regulate the timing, transition, and completion of post-

transcriptional splicing are still unclear(*48, 49*), we immunoprecipitated Pol II immediately at 30

minutes upon heat shock, when transcription was still in process, or after 2 hours of recovery from

heat shock, when Pol II was no longer engaged with the *hsp* locus (ChIP-qPCR; Suppl. Fig 5e,

f), but spliced mRNAs accumulated in cells (Fig 2c; Suppl. Fig 5j), and determined the levels of

nascent *hsp* mRNA or pre-mRNA that remained associated with Pol II along with the 22G-RNAs.

Animals were fed 4-thiouridine (4sU) at non-inhibitory concentrations (Fig 3a; Suppl. Fig 7a, b),

subjected to heat shock, exposed to UV (365 nm) to crosslink nascent 4sU-containing RNA to Pol

II at the described time points, Pol II was immunoprecipitated, and the RNA species associated

with Pol II identified using absolute and stem-loop RT-qPCR. In wild-type animals, as expected,

unspliced *hsp* pre-mRNAs co-immunoprecipitated with Pol II at 30 minutes after heat shock,

decreasing by 2 hours post-heat shock recovery (Fig 3b; Suppl. Fig 7d). Nevertheless, a small

but significant fraction of nascent pre-mRNA remained associated with Pol II 2 hours post-heat

shock (Fig 3b; Suppl. Fig 7d), when Pol II was no longer transcriptionally engaged (ChIP-qPCR;

Suppl. Fig 5e, f). Notably, 22G-RNAs antisense to *hsp-70* (*C12C8.1*) also co-immunoprecipitated

with Pol II, 2 hours post-heat shock (Fig 3c), as concomitantly, increasing amounts of *hsp-70*

mRNA were spliced, and also associated with Pol II (Fig 3d). Furthermore, chromatin fractionation

showed that these progressively increasing spliced mRNAs were retained in chromatin (Fig 3e,

f). In *prde-1* mutants, less pre-mRNA (Fig 3b), but more constitutively spliced nascent mRNA (Fig

3d) was associated with Pol II at 30 minutes heat shock, and 22G-RNAs were not present (Fig

3c). In addition, spliced *hsp-70* mRNA failed to increase on chromatin (Fig 3e, f), or in association

with Pol II above these basal constitutive levels (Fig 3d), instead appearing to be efficiently

exported and translated as seen by elevated HSP-70 protein levels (Fig 3g).

A broadly similar dynamic was observed with *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)*, even though the majority of

RNA was spliced co-transcriptionally (Suppl. Fig 5k). Here, by the end of 30 minutes of heat shock,

the remaining amounts of pre-mRNA that associated with Pol II were similar in wild-type and *prde-*

1 animals (Suppl. Fig 7d), but significantly more mRNA was crosslinked with Pol II in wild-type

animals (Suppl. Fig 7e), remained associated with Pol II during recovery (Suppl. Fig 7e), and

accumulated in chromatin (Suppl. Fig 7f). Also, like with *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)*, significantly more

22G-RNA associated with Pol II in wild-type animals compared to *prde-1* (Suppl. Fig 7g). Perhaps

due to the difference in splicing strategy between the two *hsp-70s*, 22G-RNA kinetics in

association with Pol II varied: at 30 minutes upon heat shock, 22G-RNA associated with Pol II

decreased in *prde-1*, rather than increasing in wild-type. Notwithstanding these differences, these

data together showed that the piRNA-generated 22G-RNAs act at the transcription complex,

associating with Pol II, to delay splicing, and promote chromatin retention of *hsp* mRNAs,

postponing the availability of *hsp-70* mRNAs for translation. The continued association of

unspliced and spliced RNAs along with 22G-RNAs with Pol II after transcription was complete

and Pol II had disengaged from the *hsp* genes — most evident in *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)*, where a

larger fraction underwent post-transcriptional splicing — also suggested that post-transcriptional

splicing of *hsp* RNA occurs in direct or indirect association with Pol II.

The ability of antisense 22G-RNAs to retard splicing at the transcription complex through

association with Pol II and nascent pre-mRNA was reminiscent of mechanisms by which U1

snRNA base-pairs with nascent transcripts to modulate their splicing efficiency and rates, and

initiates telescripting, a process that prevents premature transcription termination, particularly of

long-intron containing genes(*50-52*). In mammalian cells, heat shock decreases U1 snRNA levels,

resulting in the loss of telescripting and a decrease in the expression of long-intron-containing

genes(*53, 54*). Like mammalian cells, *C. elegans*, also showed a modest but significant decrease

in at least three of five U1 snRNAs tested (Fig 3h; Suppl. Fig 7h). Yet, unlike mammalian cells, in

wild-type *C. elegans,* despite reduced U1 RNA levels, genes upregulated upon heat shock were

preferentially enriched for long-intron-containing genes. This was visible in the increase in their

average gene lengths (Fig 3i) and the cumulative distribution plots of gene lengths of differentially

upregulated and downregulated genes (p.adj <0.05) (Suppl. Fig 8a), and metagene profiles of

expression values of all expressed genes segregated by gene length (Suppl. Fig 8b, c; Suppl.

Table 9). *prde-1* animals showed a marked deficiency in upregulating long genes, although they

did not differ from wild-type in downregulation of long genes (Fig. 3i, Suppl. Fig 8a; also see Suppl.

Fig 8b, c). This raised the intriguing possibility that the 22G-RNAs generated by wild-type animals

were functionally substituting for U1 snRNAs during stress, ensuring productive Pol II elongation

and expression of long-intron-containing genes. In support of this, ChIP–qPCR showed that

elongating Pol II (S2P) levels were markedly and significantly decreased at *hsps (C12C8.1* and

F44E5.4/.5) 3′ ends in *prde-1*, despite normal occupancy at the 5′-end, mirroring phenotypes

caused by disrupting U1–nascent RNA interactions(*51, 52*) (Fig 3j; Suppl. Fig 8d).

Together, these findings indicate that the piRNA pathway delays splicing and promotes chromatin

retention of mature mRNAs by associating with the transcription complex. In doing so, the

antisense 22G-RNAs act in a manner strikingly similar to U1 during telescripting: both systems

protect splicing, preserve Pol II elongation, and the expression of long-intron containing genes,

essential for development.

The piRNA pathway preserves transcript fidelity and complements nonsense-mediated

decay as a mechanism enforcing RNA quality control.

The accelerated splicing observed in *prde-1* mutants, even under heat shock conditions when

spliceosome function is challenged, and reduced RNA polymerase II occupancy at the 3′ ends of

hsp genes (Fig 3j, Suppl. Fig 8d), suggested to us that in the absence of piRNAs, mRNA

transcripts may be accumulating errors due to aberrant splicing or premature termination. To

directly test this hypothesis, we used Unique Molecular Identifiers (UMIs)(*26-30*) to label individual

mRNAs from heat-shocked wild-type and *prde-1* mutants during first-strand cDNA synthesis, prior

to PCR amplification and library preparation, and subjected these UMI-labeled mRNAs to RNA-

sequencing. Following sequencing, we derived consensus mRNA sequences from grouped UMI-

labeled mRNAs, which allowed us to differentiate random PCR-generated errors from errors

within the original mRNA molecule, mapped these sequences onto the *C. elegans* reference

genome, excluded values that could be attributed to potential germline SNPs (see Methods for

details), and identified regions of mismatch, reflecting transcript variants or 'errors' (Suppl. Fig 9a;

Suppl. Online Material)**.** We then quantified the error rate in the consensus RNA molecules**,** from

protein coding genes, or other genomic regions in wild-type and *prde-1* mutants, using two

methods: (i) we generated a 'pileup' of all consensus sequences aligned to the reference genome

and identified the percentage of nucleotides at each genomic position which were mismatched,

and (ii) as a more stringent method, we identified high quality variant containing sites using the

program HaplotypeCaller in the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK), and selected those annotated

by SnpEff with a predicted impact of "High", "Moderate" or "Low" as potential 'errors'. To increase

our confidence that any mismatches were 'errors', in both methods, we further selected regions

with a minimum coverage of > 10 reads (Suppl. Fig 9a; Suppl. Online Material). Generating a

pileup from the aligned mRNAs allowed us to approximate a mean error rate across all nucleotides

(Global error rate) during heat shock as being in the order of approximately 10-3/bp, and compare

the distribution of error-prone mRNA sequences between *prde-1* and wild-type. This differed

significantly (Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test; p<2e -16; Suppl. Table 10), with mRNA from the

prde-1 animals displaying a higher mean error rate than wild-type (Fig 4a) and expressing error-

prone mRNAs enriched for distinct biological processes (Fig 4b; Suppl. Table 11, 12). *prde-1*

mutants also displayed an increased error rate when variants were called more stringently using

HaplotypeCaller (Fig 4c, Suppl. Fig 9b, c; Suppl. Table 13). Here, 1315-1684 nucleotide positions

in the approximately 3.7×10^7 individual mRNAs per sample analyzed were identified as high-

quality variant-sites, and a significantly larger fraction of mRNA molecules that were differentially

upregulated in *prde-1* mutants compared to wild-type, under either control or heat shock

conditions, harbored these variants (Fig 4c; p.adj <0.05; Suppl. Table 13). Notably, the *prde-1*

variant mRNAs were not uniformly distributed across the genome; instead, they were

disproportionately enriched in mRNAs from smaller genes, which were also more highly

expressed by *prde-1* (Suppl. Fig 9c; see Suppl. Fig 8c for expression levels). Amongst the base

changes G>A was the most highly represented (Suppl. Fig 9d), consistent with the increased error

rates associated with misincorporation of faster-incorporating bases by Pol II(*55*). This suggested

that the splicing delay imposed by the piRNA pathway protected transcript fidelity under stress,

and in its absence, animals may be accumulating more 'errors' in their transcripts. However, this

analysis did not exclude the possibility that these variants represented RNA modifications or

increased RNA-editing in the *prde-1* animals.

Therefore, to independently evaluate whether *prde-1* animals accumulated more splicing errors,

we examined whether these animals have upregulated the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay

(NMD) pathway, a system that surveils and eliminates transcripts that are unproductively

spliced(*56-61*). If this were the case, we expected known mRNAs that are constitutive NMD

targets to be expressed at higher levels upon downregulating *smg-1*, the NMD kinase, or *smg-2*

(homolog of UPF1)(*62*).   Amongst five previously characterized, reliable NMD targets in *C.*

elegans (61), four were upregulated more in *prde-1* than in wild type animals upon downregulating

smg-1 or *smg-2* (Fig 4d). This implied that in the absence of piRNA, *prde-1* mutants may be

constantly generating unproductively spliced mRNAs, that activated NMD.

This hypothesis was strongly supported: downregulating *smg-1*, resulted in the complete loss of

viability of *prde-1* embryos, not only upon heat shock but also under control conditions (Fig 4e, f).

Downregulating *smg-2* and *smg-3* (homolog of UPF2)(*62*) in parents also resulted in complete or

partial loss of viability in their embryos, again even under control conditions, or if parents were

subjected to heat shock (Fig 4f)*.* In contrast, wild-type embryos were not affected by *smg-1, smg-*

2, smg-3 RNAi, under either heat shock or control conditions (Fig 4e, f), consistent with previous

studies showing that NMD is not required for *C. elegans* survival (*56, 62, 63*). Thus, it appeared

that the mis-transcribed mRNA in *prde-1* was likely being eliminated during translation to maintain

viability. Consistent with this expectation, in the absence of *smg-1*, *prde-1* animals accumulated

significantly higher amounts of polyubiquitinated proteins even under control conditions, in the

absence of proteotoxic stress (Fig 4g; see methods for how viable *smg-1*-RNAi-treated *prde-1*

were obtained).

Taken together, these studies suggest that piRNAs play a critical role in maintaining RNA integrity,

acting as a complementary mode of RNA quality control to NMD: piRNAs delay splicing to

preserve accurate pre-mRNAs under stress, while NMD serves to eliminate erroneous transcripts

when this buffering mechanism is lost (Fig. 4h).

Discussion.

Post-transcriptional control of gene expression is emerging as a critical mechanism to regulate

development, stress, and phenotypic plasticity(*47, 48*). Yet how cells select transcripts for delayed

splicing, how such delays are mechanistically implemented, and whether and how splicing

decisions are coordinated across diverse tissues remain poorly understood. The sequence

complementarity of 22G-RNAs to expressed exonic regions of mRNAs, their responsiveness to

transcriptional and translational events within the cell, the interactions of 22G-RNAs with nascent

transcript and Pol II after Pol II has dissociated from its target gene, and the ability of small RNAs

to cross cell boundaries might allow the piRNA/22G-RNAs to act as flexible and systemic

modulators of post-transcriptional splicing decisions. While we are yet to identify the detailed

mechanisms of splicing regulation, the similarities to U1 RNA-mediated splicing and

telescripting(*50-54*) are striking: both systems use base-pairing of noncoding RNAs to stabilize

nascent transcripts, bias splicing, stabilize pre-mRNAs, prevent the premature loss of elongating

Pol II from the 3' end of genes, and protect the expression of long-intron-containing genes. These

parallels raise the intriguing possibility that, analogous to therapeutic antisense oligonucleotides

under clinical investigation, *C. elegans* may employ piRNA/22G RNAs as a natural small RNA–

guided telescripting system to substitute for, or complement, U1 RNAs in RNA splicing.

Only very few previous studies have linked the piRNA pathway to splicing regulation(*64, 65*). Yet,

these studies suggest that the piRNA-splicing-regulation may be conserved across species. Thus,

in *Drosophila*(*64*) nuclear Piwi complexes inhibit splicing of the *gypsy* retrotransposon through

chromatin-based, co-transcriptional silencing, whereas in locusts(*65*) piRNAs facilitate splicing of

specific pre-mRNAs during oocyte maturation, suggesting both inhibitory and stimulating role for

piRNAs in splicing control. Intriguingly, in *C. elegans*, the presence of introns protects transcripts

from default Argonaute-mediated silencing in the germline, and the WAGO Argonaute, HRDE-1

have been shown to interact with the conserved RNA helicase Aquarius/EMB-4(*66-68*), further

implicating piRNA function in RNA splicing regulation.

What might be the rationale for this unexpected role of piRNAs in splicing observed in our studies?

piRNAs were initially discovered in germline cells, where they suppress transposons in many

animal species. In this context, it is intriguing to note that spliceosomal introns themselves are

considered to have evolved from Type II transposons(*69, 70*), opening the tantalizing possibility

that the regulation of splicing a possible ancient, and vestigial function of piRNAs. Still, across

species, piRNA and their associated PIWI proteins play broad roles in regulating gene expression

in both somatic and germline cells, distinguishing self-RNAs from non-self-RNAs like transgenes

and retroviral sequences, directing these 'non-self' transcripts for post-transcriptional gene

silencing and degradation, directing epigenetic programming, DNA rearrangements, mRNA

turnover and translational control (*4, 6, 8, 10, 34, 35, 71-80*). Our studies suggest that the role of

piRNAs in regulating splicing and protecting transcript fidelity, while also interacting with the NMD

machinery, may be central to these different roles, allowing the piRNA pathway to determine which

newly synthesized transcripts are permitted sustained expression. piRNAs are amongst the

fastest evolving small RNAs (*4, 6*). Likewise, AS is among the most divergent modes of gene

expression and has been described as a form of 'evolutionary tinkering'(*44, 81-84*). Indeed, it is

thought that AS most likely evolved as an inevitable consequence of splicing errors and was later

selected to result in the structural and functional diversification of proteins(*44, 81-84*).

In *C. elegans*, loss of the piRNA pathway leads to a sporadic, and temperature-dependent

increase in infertility over time, a defect that can be mitigated by slowing growth or metabolism(*9,*

85). In addition, NMD, which mainly silences pseudogenes, is crucial for longevity(*86, 87*). Our

findings suggest that similar to aging-dependent neurodegenerative disorders, the increased

infertility upon piRNA loss may be due to the progressive accumulation of RNA errors arising from

the loss of piRNA-control over splicing rates, and increased misfolded proteins due to an

overwhelmed NMD system. Taken together with the known roles of piRNAs in transposon and

pseudogene regulation of germline mRNAs, and the growing evidence for links between splicing,

genome stability, and transposon activation(*88*), our findings suggest that piRNAs pathway may

serve as a conserved node to integrate genome surveillance with RNA and protein quality control.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ms. Selvarasu for microinjection and RT-qPCR experiments, the V.P lab members, and

Drs. Eugene Kandel, Katherina Gurova, Andrei Gudkov, Josep Comeron, Anna Malkova and Ana

Llopart for comments, Rochester Genomics Research Center for small RNA sequencing and

advice, Drs. Paul Quinn and Prashant Singh for mRNA-sequencing, UMI libraries, and advice,

and the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) (funded by the NIH Infrastructure Programs P40

OD010440) for *C. elegans* strains. This work was supported by NIH R01 MH126282 (V.P.), and

support for the sequencing core from the National Cancer Institute (NCI) grant P30CA016056 to

the Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center.

References

1. E. Z. Shen *et al.*, Identification of piRNA Binding Sites Reveals the Argonaute Regulatory Landscape of the C. elegans Germline. *Cell* **172**, 937-951 e918 (2018).

2. H. C. Lee *et al.*, C. elegans piRNAs mediate the genome-wide surveillance of germline transcripts. *Cell* **150**, 78-87 (2012).

3. I. Gainetdinov *et al.*, Relaxed targeting rules help PIWI proteins silence transposons. *Nature* **619**, 394-402 (2023).

4. P. H. Wu, P. D. Zamore, Defining the functions of PIWI-interacting RNAs. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **22**, 239-240 (2021).

5. B. Czech *et al.*, piRNA-Guided Genome Defense: From Biogenesis to Silencing. *Annu Rev Genet* **52**, 131-157 (2018).

6. D. M. Ozata, I. Gainetdinov, A. Zoch, D. O'Carroll, P. D. Zamore, PIWI-interacting RNAs: small RNAs with big functions. *Nat Rev Genet* **20**, 89-108 (2019).

7. A. Ramat, M. Simonelig, Functions of PIWI Proteins in Gene Regulation: New Arrows Added to the piRNA Quiver. *Trends Genet* **37**, 188-200 (2021).

8. A. C. Billi, S. E. Fischer, J. K. Kim, Endogenous RNAi pathways in C. elegans. *WormBook*, 1-49 (2014).

9. P. Rojas-Rios, M. Simonelig, piRNAs and PIWI proteins: regulators of gene expression in development and stem cells. *Development* **145**, (2018).

10. K. Jouravleva, P. D. Zamore, A guide to the biogenesis and functions of endogenous small non-coding RNAs in animals. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **26**, 347-370 (2025).

11. B. F. de Albuquerque, M. Placentino, R. F. Ketting, Maternal piRNAs Are Essential for Germline Development following De Novo Establishment of Endo-siRNAs in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Dev Cell* **34**, 448-456 (2015).

12.   N. B. Ramakrishna, E. A. Miska, Who watches the watchmen? RNAi pathway-derived

ribosomal small RNAs burgeon in absence of piRNAs. *Dev Cell* **56**, 2269-2270 (2021).

13.   J. Ortega *et al.*, Pachytene piRNAs control discrete meiotic events during

spermatogenesis and restrict gene expression in space and time. *Sci Adv* **10**, eadp0466

(2024).

14.   P. Quarato *et al.*, Germline inherited small RNAs facilitate the clearance of untranslated

maternal mRNAs in C. elegans embryos. *Nat Commun* **12**, 1441 (2021).

15.   P. Konstantinidou *et al.*, A comparative roadmap of PIWI-interacting RNAs across seven

species reveals insights into de novo piRNA-precursor formation in mammals. *Cell Rep*

43, 114777 (2024).

16.   P. J. Batista *et al.*, PRG-1 and 21U-RNAs interact to form the piRNA complex required

for fertility in C. elegans. *Mol Cell* **31**, 67-78 (2008).

17.   D. Zhang *et al.*, The piRNA targeting rules and the resistance to piRNA silencing in

endogenous genes. *Science* **359**, 587-592 (2018).

18.   M. P. Bagijn *et al.*, Function, targets, and evolution of Caenorhabditis elegans piRNAs.

Science **337**, 574-578 (2012).

19.   P. P. Das *et al.*, Piwi and piRNAs act upstream of an endogenous siRNA pathway to

suppress Tc3 transposon mobility in the Caenorhabditis elegans germline. *Mol Cell* **31**,

79-90 (2008).

20.   W. Gu *et al.*, Distinct argonaute-mediated 22G-RNA pathways direct genome

surveillance in the C. elegans germline. *Mol Cell* **36**, 231-244 (2009).

21.   S. Tu *et al.*, Comparative functional characterization of the CSR-1 22G-RNA pathway in

Caenorhabditis nematodes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, 208-224 (2015).

22.   M. Singh *et al.*, Translation and codon usage regulate Argonaute slicer activity to trigger

small RNA biogenesis. *Nat Commun* **12**, 3492 (2021).

23. A. Vihervaara, F. M. Duarte, J. T. Lis, Molecular mechanisms driving transcriptional
stress responses. *Nat Rev Genet* **19**, 385-397 (2018).

24. R. Gomez-Pastor, E. T. Burchfiel, D. J. Thiele, Regulation of heat shock transcription
factors and their roles in physiology and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **19**, 4-19 (2018).

25. S. Lindquist, The heat-shock response. *Annu Rev Biochem* **55**, 1151-1191 (1986).

26. B. T. Lau, H. P. Ji, Single molecule counting and assessment of random molecular
tagging errors with transposable giga-scale error-correcting barcodes. *BMC Genomics*
18, 745 (2017).

27. M. Tsagiopoulou *et al.*, UMIc: A Preprocessing Method for UMI Deduplication and Reads
Correction. *Front Genet* **12**, 660366 (2021).

28. T. Smith, A. Heger, I. Sudbery, UMI-tools: modeling sequencing errors in Unique
Molecular Identifiers to improve quantification accuracy. *Genome Res* **27**, 491-499
(2017).

29. K. Lebrigand, V. Magnone, P. Barbry, R. Waldmann, High throughput error corrected
Nanopore single cell transcriptome sequencing. *Nat Commun* **11**, 4025 (2020).

30. K. S. Reid-Bayliss, L. A. Loeb, Accurate RNA consensus sequencing for high-fidelity
detection of transcriptional mutagenesis-induced epimutations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
114, 9415-9420 (2017).

31. S. Das, S. Min, V. Prahlad, Gene bookmarking by the heat shock transcription factor
programs the insulin-like signaling pathway. *Mol Cell* **81**, 4843-4860 e4848 (2021).

32. E. M. Weick *et al.*, PRDE-1 is a nuclear factor essential for the biogenesis of Ruby motif-
dependent piRNAs in C. elegans. *Genes Dev* **28**, 783-796 (2014).

33. C. Weng *et al.*, The USTC co-opts an ancient machinery to drive piRNA transcription in
C. elegans. *Genes Dev* **33**, 90-102 (2019).

34. C. M. Phillips, D. L. Updike, Germ granules and gene regulation in the Caenorhabditis
elegans germline. *Genetics* **220**, (2022).

35.   M. Ghildiyal, P. D. Zamore, Small silencing RNAs: an expanding universe. *Nat Rev*

Genet **10**, 94-108 (2009).

36.   Y. M. Hajdu-Cronin, W. J. Chen, P. W. Sternberg, The L-type cyclin CYL-1 and the heat-

shock-factor HSF-1 are required for heat-shock-induced protein expression in

Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **168**, 1937-1949 (2004).

37.   A. J. Tate, K. C. Brown, T. A. Montgomery, tiny-count: a counting tool for hierarchical

classification and quantification of small RNA-seq reads with single-nucleotide precision.

Bioinform Adv **3**, vbad065 (2023).

38.   S. Das *et al.*, Serotonin signaling by maternal neurons upon stress ensures progeny

survival. *Elife* **9**, (2020).

39.   C. Y. Jao, A. Salic, Exploring RNA transcription and turnover in vivo by using click

chemistry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 15779-15784 (2008).

40.   M. Rabani *et al.*, Metabolic labeling of RNA uncovers principles of RNA production and

degradation dynamics in mammalian cells. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**, 436-442 (2011).

41.   R. Shalgi, J. A. Hurt, S. Lindquist, C. B. Burge, Widespread inhibition of

posttranscriptional splicing shapes the cellular transcriptome following heat shock. *Cell*

Rep **7**, 1362-1370 (2014).

42.   J. L. Trincado *et al.*, SUPPA2: fast, accurate, and uncertainty-aware differential splicing

analysis across multiple conditions. *Genome Biol* **19**, 40 (2018).

43.   G. P. Alamancos, A. Pages, J. L. Trincado, N. Bellora, E. Eyras, Leveraging transcript

quantification for fast computation of alternative splicing profiles. *RNA* **21**, 1521-1531

(2015).

44.   C. J. Wright, C. W. J. Smith, C. D. Jiggins, Alternative splicing as a source of phenotypic

diversity. *Nat Rev Genet* **23**, 697-710 (2022).

45.   A. Weinreb *et al.*, Alternative splicing across the C. elegans nervous system. *Nat*

Commun **16**, 4508 (2025).

46.   N. Frolows, A. Ashe, Small RNAs and chromatin in the multigenerational epigenetic

landscape of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **376**,

20200112 (2021).

47.   K. Choquet, I. L. Patop, L. S. Churchman, The regulation and function of post-

transcriptional RNA splicing. *Nat Rev Genet* **26**, 378-394 (2025).

48.   H. E. Merens, K. Choquet, A. R. Baxter-Koenigs, L. S. Churchman, Timing is everything:

advances in quantifying splicing kinetics. *Trends Cell Biol* **34**, 968-981 (2024).

49.   R. Ietswaart *et al.*, Genome-wide quantification of RNA flow across subcellular

compartments reveals determinants of the mammalian transcript life cycle. *Mol Cell* **84**,

2765-2784 e2716 (2024).

50.   M. G. Berg *et al.*, U1 snRNP determines mRNA length and regulates isoform expression.

Cell **150**, 53-64 (2012).

51.   C. C. Venters, J. M. Oh, C. Di, B. R. So, G. Dreyfuss, U1 snRNP Telescripting:

Suppression of Premature Transcription Termination in Introns as a New Layer of Gene

Regulation. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **11**, (2019).

52.   J. M. Oh *et al.*, U1 snRNP telescripting regulates a size-function-stratified human

genome. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **24**, 993-999 (2017).

53.   S. Cugusi *et al.*, Heat shock induces premature transcript termination and reconfigures

the human transcriptome. *Mol Cell* **82**, 1573-1588 e1510 (2022).

54.   A. Y. T. Peng, B. C. Freeman, Inhibiting U1 telescripting: A means to an end for

transcription. *Mol Cell* **82**, 1405-1407 (2022).

55.   M. J. Thomas, A. A. Platas, D. K. Hawley, Transcriptional fidelity and proofreading by

RNA polymerase II. *Cell* **93**, 627-637 (1998).

56.   J. Hwang, L. E. Maquat, Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) in animal

embryogenesis: to die or not to die, that is the question. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **21**, 422-

430 (2011).

57.   T. Kurosaki, M. W. Popp, L. E. Maquat, Quality and quantity control of gene expression

by nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **20**, 406-420 (2019).

58.   L. E. Maquat, Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay: splicing, translation and mRNP

dynamics. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **5**, 89-99 (2004).

59.   L. Johns, A. Grimson, S. L. Kuchma, C. L. Newman, P. Anderson, Caenorhabditis

elegans SMG-2 selectively marks mRNAs containing premature translation termination

codons. *Mol Cell Biol* **27**, 5630-5638 (2007).

60.   Q. M. Mitrovich, P. Anderson, mRNA surveillance of expressed pseudogenes in C.

elegans. *Curr Biol* **15**, 963-967 (2005).

61.   V. S. Muir, A. P. Gasch, P. Anderson, The Substrates of Nonsense-Mediated mRNA

Decay in Caenorhabditis elegans. *G3 (Bethesda)* **8**, 195-205 (2018).

62.   R. Pulak, P. Anderson, mRNA surveillance by the Caenorhabditis elegans smg genes.

Genes Dev **7**, 1885-1897 (1993).

63.   J. Hodgkin, A. Papp, R. Pulak, V. Ambros, P. Anderson, A new kind of informational

suppression in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **123**, 301-313 (1989).

64.   F. K. Teixeira *et al.*, piRNA-mediated regulation of transposon alternative splicing in the

soma and germ line. *Nature* **552**, 268-272 (2017).

65.   J. He *et al.*, piRNA-guided intron removal from pre-mRNAs regulates density-dependent

reproductive strategy. *Cell Rep* **39**, 110593 (2022).

66.   A. Akay *et al.*, The Helicase Aquarius/EMB-4 Is Required to Overcome Intronic Barriers

to Allow Nuclear RNAi Pathways to Heritably Silence Transcription. *Dev Cell* **42**, 241-255

e246 (2017).

67.   K. M. Tyc *et al.*, The Conserved Intron Binding Protein EMB-4 Plays Differential Roles in

Germline Small RNA Pathways of C. elegans. *Dev Cell* **42**, 256-270 e256 (2017).

68.   Y. V. Makeyeva, M. Shirayama, C. C. Mello, Cues from mRNA splicing prevent default

Argonaute silencing in C. elegans. *Dev Cell* **56**, 2636-2648 e2634 (2021).

69.   S. Medvedeva *et al.*, Widespread and intron-rich mirusviruses are predicted to

607   reproduce in nuclei of unicellular eukaryotes. *Nat Microbiol*, (2025).

608   70.   A. V. Sverdlov, I. B. Rogozin, V. N. Babenko, E. V. Koonin, Evidence of splice signal

609   migration from exon to intron during intron evolution. *Curr Biol* **13**, 2170-2174 (2003).

610   71.   R. J. Ross, M. M. Weiner, H. Lin, PIWI proteins and PIWI-interacting RNAs in the soma.

611   *Nature* **505**, 353-359 (2014).

612   72.   D. E. Golden, V. R. Gerbasi, E. J. Sontheimer, An inside job for siRNAs. *Mol Cell* **31**,

613   309-312 (2008).

614   73.   J. S. Khanduja, I. A. Calvo, R. I. Joh, I. T. Hill, M. Motamedi, Nuclear Noncoding RNAs

615   and Genome Stability. *Mol Cell* **63**, 7-20 (2016).

616   74.   L. Peters, G. Meister, Argonaute proteins: mediators of RNA silencing. *Mol Cell* **26**, 611-

617   623 (2007).

618   75.   A. G. Seto, R. E. Kingston, N. C. Lau, The coming of age for Piwi proteins. *Mol Cell* **26**,

619   603-609 (2007).

620   76.   T. Watanabe, H. Lin, Posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression by Piwi proteins

621   and piRNAs. *Mol Cell* **56**, 18-27 (2014).

622   77.   S. Pritam, S. Signor, Evolution of piRNA-guided defense against transposable elements.

623   *Trends Genet* **41**, 390-401 (2025).

624   78.   M. Abajorga, L. Yurkovetskiy, J. Luban, piRNA Defense Against Endogenous

625   Retroviruses. *Viruses* **16**, (2024).

626   79.   P. Sarkies *et al.*, Ancient and novel small RNA pathways compensate for the loss of

627   piRNAs in multiple independent nematode lineages. *PLoS Biol* **13**, e1002061 (2015).

628   80.   J. P. T. Ouyang, G. Seydoux, Nuage condensates: accelerators or circuit breakers for

629   sRNA silencing pathways? *RNA* **28**, 58-66 (2022).

630   81.   F. A. Kondrashov, E. V. Koonin, Origin of alternative splicing by tandem exon duplication.

631   *Hum Mol Genet* **10**, 2661-2669 (2001).

632   82.   F. A. Kondrashov, E. V. Koonin, Evolution of alternative splicing: deletions, insertions and

633   origin of functional parts of proteins from intron sequences. *Trends Genet* **19**, 115-119

634   (2003).

635   83.   A. M. Resch, A. Y. Ogurtsov, I. B. Rogozin, S. A. Shabalina, E. V. Koonin, Evolution of

636   alternative and constitutive regions of mammalian 5'UTRs. *BMC Genomics* **10**, 162

637   (2009).

638   84.   H. Keren, G. Lev-Maor, G. Ast, Alternative splicing and evolution: diversification, exon

639   definition and function. *Nat Rev Genet* **11**, 345-355 (2010).

640   85.   M. Simon *et al.*, Reduced insulin/IGF-1 signaling restores germ cell immortality to

641   Caenorhabditis elegans Piwi mutants. *Cell Rep* **7**, 762-773 (2014).

642   86.   H. G. Son *et al.*, RNA surveillance via nonsense-mediated mRNA decay is crucial for

643   longevity in daf-2/insulin/IGF-1 mutant C. elegans. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14749 (2017).

644   87.   E. J. E. Kim *et al.*, Caenorhabditis elegans algn-2 Is Critical for Longevity Conferred by

645   Enhanced Nonsense-Mediated mRNA Decay. *iScience* **23**, 101713 (2020).

646   88.   P. A. Dumesic, H. D. Madhani, The spliceosome as a transposon sensor. *RNA Biol* **10**,

647   1653-1660 (2013).

Figure 1

Figure 1. Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) target *hsp* mRNAs upon heat shock.

a. Metaprofile plots showing normalized antisense 22G-RNA reads (RPM), and mRNA reads (RPM) across *hsp* mRNAs in wild-type (WT, *C. elegans* wild isolate, var Bristol), *prde-1(mj207) V,* and *hsf-1(sy441) I* mutants. **Top**: conditions of heat shock. **Bottom**: Schematic of *hsp* genes analyzed.

b-d. Spatial localization of *hsp-70* mRNA and PIWI (PRG-1) in the WT germlines. **(b)** Schematic of gonad regions in **c** and **d**: Blue box, Gonad region shown. Orange box, transition zone (TZ). Red box, Late pachytene. Representative confocal images of each region showing **(c)** *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* mRNA localized using RNA Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (RNA FISH; Projected image), and **(d)** PIWI (FLAG::PRG-1) by immunostaining with anti-FLAG antibody (Z-section). Germlines from non-heat-shocked (Ctrl) and heat-shock-exposed (30min HS) animals are shown. Red: *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* mRNA. Green: FLAG::PRG-1. Blue: DNA staining with DAPI. Scale bars, c: 50 µm (Gonad), and 3 µm (TZ, Pachytene). Scale bars, d: 20 µm (Gonad), and 3 µm (TZ, Pachytene).

e, f. Native RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) to probe *hsp* mRNA's association with PIWI (FLAG::PRG-1). **(e)** Experiment Schematic. **(f)** Relative fold change (RT-qPCR) in *hsp-70s* (*C12C8.1* and *F44E5.4/.5*) mRNAs that immunoprecipitated with PIWI. *hsp* mRNA levels were normalized to *pmp*-3 (input) and are shown relative to non-heat-shocked animals. Ctrl: wild-type animals with no FLAG-tagged proteins were negative controls. *bath-45* served as positive control (Suppl. Fig 2b). Legend: Treatment conditions. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 3-5 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

g, h. Germline depletion of PRDE-1 using the auxin-inducible degron (AID) system followed by RNA FISH to detect 22G-RNAs antisense to *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5).* **(g)** Experiment schematic: EtOH: Vehicle control. Probe design shown. **(h)** Representative micrographs (projected confocal Z-sections) showing RNA FISH of 22G-RNA under control conditions (EtOH) and upon PRDE-1 depletion (auxin). Red: 22G-RNA. Blue: DAPI stained DNA. Expression in muscle (arrow, box) and gonad sheath cells (arrow), and in sperm (highlighted). Embryos staining is non-specific. Scale bars: 100 µm (Ctrl, 30min HS), and 3 µm (Sperm).

i. Venn diagrams showing overlap between differentially expressed mRNAs and 22G-RNAs upon 30 minutes heat shock in WT, *prde-1* and *hsf-1* mutants.

Figure 2

a EU → 10min → EU → 30min → Collect Worms → RNA isolation → Biotinylation → Streptavidin (IP – Biotin) → RT-qPCR

NHS HS

OP50 plate — 2hr 4hr 6hr

f

$$PSI_{isoform(a)} = \frac{TPM_{isoform(a)}}{\sum_{(b)=1}^{n} TPM_{isoform(b)}}$$

h Small RNA (sRNA) → WT *prde-1(-/-)* → 2hr Rec → 30min HS → RT-qPCR, 6hr Rec → RNA FISH

Figure 2. piRNAs delay *hsp* mRNAs splicing.

a-e. Metabolic pulse-chase labeling with EU and quantification of *hsp* RNA. **(a)** Experiment schematic: animals were fed EU-mixed bacteria 10 minutes before heat shock (pre-loading), heat shocked for 30 minutes (pulse-labeling nascent RNA), and moved onto unlabeled bacterial plates (chase). The EU-labeled nascent RNA was isolated from NHS animals (Ctrl), heat-shocked animals immediately after 30 min heat-shock (HS), and after 2,4, and 6 hrs post-heat shock recovery (Rec), biotin-conjugated, and immunoprecipitated. Normalized absolute RNA abundance was quantified by RT-qPCR (see methods; *pmp-3* mRNA used to normalize for variability in labeling). EU-labeled *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* **(b)** pre-mRNA and **(c)** mRNA abundance. Y-axis: Normalized abundance. X-axis: Treatments. Note EU-labeled mRNA is derived from the splicing of EU-labeled pre-mRNA. **(d)** Percentages of pre-mRNA and mRNA in total EU-labeled RNA after 30 min heat shock. **(e)** Relative amounts of EU-labeled spliced mRNAs produced from the nascent EU-labeled unspliced pre-mRNA. Values normalized to *pmp-3*. Y-axis: Relative abundance. X-axis: Treatment. Bars (b-d) and line graph (e): mean value. Error bars: standard error. (b, c, e) n = 5-13 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2 way-ANOVA; (d) n = 14 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test.

f. Genes differentially regulated by heat shock in WT, but not *prde-1* animals, are enriched for alternative spliced isoforms. **Top**: Calculation of PSI "percent spliced in" values. **Bottom**: Alternative spliced isoforms, as reflected by PSI values, in all expressed genes, and in differentially expressed genes (p.adj <0.05) in wild-type and *prde-1* animals. Boxplots: median value depicted. Error bars: standard error. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test.

g. Alternative splicing (AS) events in WT and *prde-1* animals upon heat shock. **Top**: AS events, retained intron (RI), alternative 5'/3' Splice Sites (A5/A3), skipped exon (SE), mutually exclusive

exons (MX), and alternative first and last exons (AF/AL). **Bottom**: Number of AS events amongst differentially expressed genes (HS vs NHS condition; p.adj <0.05) in WT and *prde-1* mutants. Bars: Number of events (splicing increase or decrease relative to NHS condition). Statistical analysis: Chi-square analysis.

h-j. Microinjection of small RNA fractions isolated from WT animals rescues *hsp*-70 fate. **(h)** Experiment schematic: small RNA fractions purified from heat-shocked WT and *prde-1* animals were injected into WT and *prde-1* animals shortly before heat-shock exposure, and animals were probed for *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* 6 hours post-heat shock. Non-heat-shocked animals were injected to control for effects of injection alone. **(i)** RNA FISH of *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* in WT and *prde-1* animals 6 hours following heat shock or under NHS conditions. Injection mix (box) and treatment indicated. Red: *hsp-70 (F544E5.4/.5)* mRNA. Blue: DNA staining with DAPI. Scale bars: 100 μm. **(j)** Quantification of results. Y-axis: Percentage of injected animals positive for *hsp-70*. X-axis: Injection mix, strains and treatment. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 17-22 worms (6hr Rec), 6-10 worms (Ctrl). Statistical analysis: Fisher's exact test.

k. Microinjection of small RNA fractions isolated from heat-shocked WT animals rescues *hsp*-70 pre-mRNA levels. Normalized RT-qPCR of relative fold change of *hsp-70* (*C12C8.1*) pre-mRNAs following sRNA injection. Y-axis: *hsp-70* pre-mRNA levels, normalized to *pmp-3*, relative to heat-shocked wild-type animals injected with small RNAs from *prde-1* mutants. X-axis: Injection mix (see box in Fig 2g). Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 6 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Antisense 22G-RNAs associated with RNA Polymerase II at the transcription complex to modulate post-transcriptional splicing.

a-d. Modified PAR-CLIP to assess 22G-RNAs' role in splicing. **(a)** Experiment schematic: Animals were fed 4sU-mixed bacteria, subjected to heat shock, exposed to UV (365 nm) either immediately or after 2 hours of recovery, RNA Pol II was immunoprecipitated, *hsp* mRNA and pre-mRNA were quantified by computing absolute RNA abundance (RT-qPCR and standard curves; *pmp-3* mRNA used to normalize for variability in IP amounts across samples and experiments) and 22G-RNA quantified as relative fold change using stem loop PCR (design shown). See Suppl. Fig 7c for details. Amounts of **(b)** *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* pre-mRNA, **(c)** 22G-RNA, and **(d)** *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* mRNA that cross-linked to, and immunoprecipitated with Pol II. Y-axis: Normalized or relative abundance. X-axis: Treatment conditions (see top legend). Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. (b) n = 8-13 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test (within strain) and 2-way ANOVA (between strains); (c) n = 5-6 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA; (d) n = 5-10 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

e, f. Nuclear fractionation to assess chromatin retention of *hsp-70* RNA. **(e)** Experiment schematic. Nuclei were isolated, fractionated to obtain nucleoplasm and chromatin, and enrichment of *hsp-70* mRNA in both fractions was determined. **(f)** Fold enrichment over input (nuclei) of *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* mRNA in respective fractions. Y-axis: % input (total nucleus). X-axis: Nuclear fraction, strain, and treatment. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 9-10 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

g. HSP-70 protein levels in WT and *prde-1*. **Top:** Representative western blot image showing HSP-70(C12C8.1)::FLAG in NHS (Ctrl) or after heat-shock in WT and *prde-1* animals expressing

endogenous *flag*-tagged *hsp-70*. Animals harvested 3 hrs following HS (Rec) to allow HSP-70 protein synthesis. Tubulin: loading control. **Bottom:** Relative HSP-70 protein expression (relative to wild-type heat-shocked levels, because control animals do not express detectable HSP-70 protein). Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 3 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test.

h. U1 RNA levels upon heat shock in WT animals (RT-qPCR: relative fold change). U1 RNA values normalized to *pmp-3* mRNA values and shown relative to NHS levels. Y-axis: Relative RNA levels. X-axis: U1 RNAs. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 3-4 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

i. Gene length dependence of heat-shock upregulated and downregulated protein-coding genes (p.adj <0.05). Y-axis: Average gene lengths (kb). X-axis: Strain. Genome-wide gene lengths of all expressed genes depicted for comparison. Boxplots: median value depicted. Error bars: standard error. Statistical analysis: Tukey HSD.

j. Elongating RNA polymerase II (anti-S2P) levels at *hsp* gene. **Top:** Schematic of *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* gene, and regions probed: Transcription Start Site (TSS) and distal regions (3') of *hsp-70* (*C12C8.1*). **Bottom:** ChIP-qPCR showing fold enrichment over input. Y-axis: % ChIP input. X-axis: Strain, and region assayed. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 4-8 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

Figure 4

Figure 4. piRNA protects transcript fidelity and complements nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) to maintain protein quality control.

a. Mean error rate per nucleotide for all transcripts (generated from 'pileup') in wild type and *prde-1* mutants. Y-axis: Mean error rate (mismatches per bp). X-axis: strain. Approximately 3.71 x 10^7 individual mRNAs analyzed per biological replicate per strain. See **Methods** for details. Boxplots: median value depicted. Error bars: standard error. Statistical analysis: Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test

b. Gene Ontology analysis for transcripts with higher error rate in *prde-1* animals versus wild type.

c. Variant error rates in differentially expressed genes (p.adj <0.05) between wild type and *prde-1* mutants (variants identified by GATK Haplotype Caller and SnpEff). **Top:** Control conditions. **Bottom:** Heat shock conditions. Y-axis: % variants. X-axis: Strain. Boxplots: median value depicted. Error bars: standard error. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test.

d. Hyperactivation of NMD in *prde-1* animals. NMD-related gene expression levels in WT and *prde-1* animals on Ctrl (L4440) and *smg-1* or *smg-2* RNAi (RT-qPCR: relative fold change). 3 Class I NMD targets (*linc-9*, *nhr-109*, *B0495.8*) and 1 Class III NMD target (*F53B2.8*) expression values normalized to *eft-3* mRNA values and shown relative to wild-type Control RNAi-treated animals. Y-axis: Relative RNA levels. X-axis: RNAi-treatment. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 4-6 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

e-f. NMD dependence of *prde-1* animals. **(e)** Representative DIC micrographs of progeny from control and heat-shocked WT and *prde-1* animals on Ctrl (L4440) and *smg-1* RNAi. The number of eggs laid by the mothers in one representative experiment is shown. Note: WT progeny have

grown into adults. Scale bar: 2mm. **(f)** Percent viable embryos from mothers subjected to RNAi-mediated downregulation of NMD components under **(Top)** control, and **(Bottom)** heat shock conditions. Y-axis: Percentage of viable embryos. X-axis: RNAi-treatment. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 3-5 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2 way-ANOVA.

g. Polyubiquitinated protein levels in WT and *prde*-1animals exposed to control (L4440) or *smg-1* RNAi. **Top:** Representative western blot (antibody:clone FK2). Tubulin: loading control. **Bottom:** Quantification of polyubiquitinated protein (normalized to wild-type Control RNAi-treated animals). Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 5 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2 way-ANOVA.

h. Model for the mechanism through which piRNA/22G-RNA pathway enforces RNA quality. In the presence of piRNA, splicing rates are restrained, thereby preserving RNA fidelity. In the absence of piRNAs, splicing is precocious, mRNAs accumulate errors, and NMD is hyperactivated to eliminate erroneous transcripts.

Suppl. Figure 1

a ~400 Day 1 adults — N2 (Wild-type), *prde-1 (mj207) V*, *hsf-1 (sy441) I* → **Condition:** 20°C (NHS; Ctrl); 5min 34°C (HS); 30min 34°C (HS); 30min 34°C + 2hr 20°C (Rec) → Isolate RNA → > 200nt RNAs (Poly(A)+ tailed) → mRNA-seq; < 200nt RNAs → sRNA-seq

b All Expressed Genes

c Ctrl; 5min HS; 30min HS; 30min HS+2hr Rec. 22G RNA (anti-sense), mRNA for WT, *prde-1 (-/-)*, *hsf-1 (-/-)*. *F44E5.4/.5*, *hsp-16.2*

d 22G RNA (anti-sense) and mRNA. 1: Ctrl 2: 5min HS 3: 30min HS 4: 2hr Rec. *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)*, *F44E5.4/.5*, *hsp-16.11*, *hsp-16.12*

e WT, *prde-1 (-/-)*. piRNA. ns

f Induced piRNA (in WT). *

Supplementary Figure 1. Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) target *hsp* mRNAs upon heat shock.

a. RNA-sequencing experiment schematic.

b. Heatmaps: Wild type (N2; WT, left), *prde-1* (middle), and *hsf-1* (right). Mean expression values of the regularized log (rlog) transformed counts for all expressed genes shown for each strain. Expression scaled row-wise (z-score) to highlight relative changes. K-means clustering was performed on the gene expression of the WT samples, and gene order and clustering (1-5) are preserved across strains and treatments. Columns: treatments [Non heat shocked control (NHS; Ctrl), 5 minutes heat shock (5min HS), 30 minutes heat shock (30min HS), and 2 hours recovery (2hr Rec)]. Color bar: scaled expression level.

c. Metaprofile plots showing normalized antisense 22G-RNA reads (RPM), and mRNA reads (RPM) across *hsp* mRNAs in wild-type (WT), *prde-1* and *hsf-1* mutants. **Top**: Conditions of heat shock. **Bottom**: Schematic of *hsp* genes analyzed.

d. Left: Normalized expression (RPM) of 22G-RNAs antisense to *hsp* genes. **Right:** Normalized expression (PRM) of *hsp* mRNAs in WT, *prde*-1, and *hsf-1* mutants. Y-axis: Expression (RPM). X-axis: Treatments (see top legend).

e. Normalized expression (RPM) of piRNAs in WT and *prde*-1 mutants. RPM >0.5 in at least one sample and RPM >0 in at least replicates were counted. Statistical analysis: Wilcoxon test.

f. Boxplot showing normalized expression (RPM) of significantly differentially expressed piRNAs between the WT 30 minutes heat shock and control conditions. Boxplots: median value depicted. Y-axis: Expression (RPM). X-axis: Treatments. Statistical analysis: Wilcoxon test.

Suppl. Figure 2

a

Gonad

hsp-70 (C12C8.1) mRNA / DAPI

TZ TZ Pachytene Pachyetne
Ctrl

TZ TZ Pachytene Pachytene
30min HS

b

Relative Fold Change *bath-45* mRNA

**

Ctrl — FLAG::PRG-1

Ctrl (NHS)

c

DAPI *F44E5.4/.5* mRNA

WT: Ctrl / 30min HS / Sperm

prde-1 (-/-): Ctrl / 30min HS / Sperm

d

5min HS | 2hr Rec

WT
329 mRNA / 58 / 22G RNA 1859
2958 mRNA / 22G RNA 2530 / 733

prde-1 (-/-)
276 mRNA / 47 / 22G RNA 1263
2183 mRNA / 22G RNA 1484 / 213

hsf-1 (-/-)
139 mRNA / 17 / 22G RNA 2000
2193 mRNA / 22G RNA 2377 / 371

Supplementary Figure 2. piRNAs target *hsp* mRNAs expressed in the germline upon heat shock to generate 22G-RNAs.

a. Representative micrographs of projected confocal Z-sections of regions (Gonad, TZ, and Pachytene) showing RNA Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (RNA FISH) of *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* in WT animals. Red: *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* mRNA. Blue: DNA staining with DAPI. Similar to Fig 1b, c. Scale bars: 50 µm (Gonad) and 3 µm (TZ, Pachytene).

b. *bath-45* immunoprecipitated with PRG-1 in non-heat shocked animals serving as a positive control for RIP experiments in Fig 1e. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 4 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test.

c. Representative micrographs of projected confocal Z-sections showing RNA FISH of *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* mRNA in WT and *prde-1* animals upon non heat shock (Ctrl) or heat shock. Note lack of expression in sperm. Compare with 22G-RNA expression in Fig 1h. Red: *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* mRNA. Blue: DAPI stained DNA. Scale bars: 100 µm (Ctrl, 30min HS) and 10 µm (Sperm).

d. Venn diagrams showing overlap between differentially expressed mRNAs and 22G-RNAs upon 5 minutes heat shock, and for 2 hours recovery from heat shock in WT and mutant backgrounds.

Suppl. Figure 3

Supplementary Figure 3. piRNA-dependent WAGO and CSR-1 22G-RNAs and unclassified 22G-RNAs are templated from genes differentially expressed upon heat shock.

a. Scatter plot comparing the $\log_2$ fold-changes in WAGO class, CSR-1 class, and unclassified 22G-RNAs and complementary mRNAs in WT, *prde-1,* and *hsf-1*, upon 30min heat shock, and 2 hours recovery from heat shock. Number of mRNAs/category shown, and the % of mRNA in each quadrant of scatter plot shown.

b. Normalized expression (RPM) of WAGO and CSR-1 interacting 22G-RNAs, and unclassified 22G-RNAs in WT, *prde*-1, and *hsf-1* mutants. Y-axis: Expression (RPM). X-axis: Treatments (see top legend).

Supplementary Figure 4. 22G-RNAs produced upon heat shock target specific categories of mRNAs.

a-c. Gene Ontology analysis for **(a)** WAGO, **(b)** CSR-1, and **(c)** Unclassified 22G-RNA targets in WT animals across the different treatment conditions. All *hsp* genes are targets of unclassified 22G-RNAs (see Suppl. Table 4).

Suppl. Figure 5

Supplementary Figure 5. The piRNA pathway protects *hsp* mRNAs persistence

a-c. RT-qPCR of relative fold change of *hsp-70s* (*C12C8.1* and *F44E5.4/.5*) and *hsp-16.11* mRNAs levels measured upon heat shock and recovery. mRNA values were normalized to *pmp-3* and shown relative to control (non-heat shocked) animals of each strain. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 3-13 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test.

d. Representative micrographs of projected confocal Z-sections showing RNA FISH of *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* mRNA in WT and *prde-1* animals after 6 hours following heat shock. Red: *hsp-70 (F544E5.4/.5)* mRNA. Blue: DNA staining with DAPI. Scale bars: 100 μm

e, f. Total RNA polymerase II levels at *hsp* genes. **Top**: Schematic of *hsp-70 (C12C8.1* and *F44E5.4/.5)* gene, and region probed Transcription Start Site (TSS). **Bottom**: ChIP-qPCR showing fold enrichment over input. Y-axis: % ChIP input. X-axis: Treatments. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 3-4 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

g, h. Total nascent RNA abundance. EU-labeled **(g)** *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* and **(h)** *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* RNA abundance. Y-axis: Normalized abundance. X-axis: Treatments. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 10-12 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

i, j. EU-labeled *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* **(i)** pre-mRNA and **(j)** mRNA abundance. Y-axis: Normalized abundance. X-axis: Treatments. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 5-13 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

k. Percentages of *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* pre-mRNA and mRNA in total EU-labeled RNA after 30min heat shock. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 13 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test.

l. EU-labeled spliced *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* mRNAs produced from the nascent EU-labeled unspliced *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* pre-mRNA. Y-axis: Relative abundance. X-axis: Treatments. Line graph: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 5-14 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

m. EU-labeled spliced *hsp-16.11* mRNAs produced from the nascent EU-labeled unspliced *hsp-16.11* pre-mRNA. Y-axis: Relative abundance. X-axis: Treatments. Line graph: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 6-8 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

Suppl. Figure 6

a

WT

DEG 22G RNA
5150

Alternative splicing
→ 183
↓
125

prde-1 (-/-)

DEG 22G RNA
2665

Alternative splicing
→ 245
↓
35

b

	BP
cell motility	
Intracellular signal transduction	
nematode larval development	
larval development	
cell migration	
protein phosphorylation	

	CC
neuron projection	
cell body	
cell junction	
neuronal cell body	
adherens junction	
mediator complex	
supramolecular polymer	
somatodendritic compartment	
perikaryon	
axon	
A band	
cell cortex region	

	MF
protein serine kinase activity	
phosphotransferase activity, alcohol group as acceptor	
protein serine/threonine kinase activity	
enzyme binding	
protein kinase activity	
calcium-dependent protein serine/threonine kinase activity	
calcium-dependent protein kinase activity	
ubiquitin protein ligase binding	
ubiquitin-like protein ligase binding	

p.adjust
0.01
0.02
0.03
0.04

Fold Enrichment
5
10
15

WT   *prde-1 (-/-)*

c

30 min	0~4hr	4~8hr	3~4 days
• NHS • HS			

1. Count embryos laid

2. Count hatched progenies

d

Hatched embryo rate (%)

***   ***   ***
ns

■ WT
■ *prde-1 (-/-)*

0~4 hr   4~8 hr   0~4 hr   4~8 hr
Ctrl   30min HS

Supplementary Figure 6. Alternative splicing is affected in piRNA deficient (*prde-1*) animals.

a. Venn diagrams showing overlap between differentially expressed AS mRNAs and 22G-RNAs upon 30 minutes heat shock in WT and *prde-1* animals.

b. Gene Ontology analysis (p.adj <0.05) for AS mRNAs in WT and *prde-1* animals.

c, d. (c) Experiment schematic to assess recovery of fecundity in WT and *prde-1* animals after heat shock. NHS: non-heat shock. HS: heat shock. **(d)** Percentage of hatched embryos that laid over time during recovery from HS. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 7 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

Suppl. Figure 7

a

Relative *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* mRNA level

ns

4sU : + −
UV : − +

b

Relative *F44E5.4/.5* mRNA level

ns

4sU : + −
UV : − +

c

hsp-70 (C12C8.1)

22G RNA
RT
22G RNA SL
Universal primer

22G RNA specific primer

5'
3'

21ur-2348 (ng) (Negative Ctrl)   10

WT 2hr Rec RNA (ng)   100   200

100 bp

F44E5.4/.5

RT
22G RNA SL
22G RNA
Universal primer

22G RNA specific primer

5'
3'

21ur-2348 (ng) (Negative Ctrl)   10

WT 2hr Rec RNA (ng)   200   400

100 bp

d

Pol II associated pre-mRNA (crosslinked through 4sU)

C: Ctrl **H**: 30min HS **R**: 2hr Rec

Normalized *F44E5.4/.5* pre-mRNA abundance

**
*
*
ns

C H R   C H R
WT   *prde-1 (-/-)*

e

Pol II associated mRNA (crosslinked through 4sU)

C: Ctrl **H**: 30min HS **R**: 2hr Rec

Normalized *F44E5.4/.5* mRNA abundance

*
**
***
*
*

C H R   C H R
WT   *prde-1 (-/-)*

f

Nuclear fraction

□ WT ■ *prde-1 (-/-)* **H**: 30min HS **R**: 2hr Rec

% INP (*F44E5.4/.5* mRNA)

ns
ns
ns
ns

*
*
ns
ns

H R   H R   H R   H R
Nucleoplasm   Chromatin

g

Pol II associated 22G RNA (crosslinked through 4sU)

Relative Fold Change *F44E5.4/.5* 22G RNA

*
**
ns
ns
**
ns

C: Ctrl
H: 30min HS
R: 2hr Rec

□ WT
■ *prde-1 (-/-)*

C   H   R

h

□ Ctrl ■ 30min HS

Relative RNA level

ns   ns

F08H9.10   *sup-6*

Supplementary Figure 7. 22G-RNAs associate with RNA Polymerase II and nascent *hsp* transcript to alter splicing.

a, b. 4sU treatment does not impair *hsp-70* expression. **(a)** *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* mRNA and **(b)** *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* mRNA expressed upon heat shock in the presence and absence of either 4sU do not differ (UV exposure alone was used as comparison). Relative mRNA levels shown. mRNA values were normalized to *pmp-3* and shown relative to 4sU and UV-treated non-heat-shocked WT (value=1, not shown). Y-axis: Relative mRNA. X-axis: Treatment. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 3 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test.

c. Stem loop RT-qPCR to amplify 22G-RNAs. **Top:** Cartoon depicting the 22G-RNA that was assayed, and complementary region on *hsp-70*. Universal primer, and 22G-RNA specific primers shown. **Bottom:** Representative agarose gel showing specificity. Different concentrations of total RNA containing 22G-RNAs were used to assess primer efficiency, and synthetically generated 21ur-2348 oligonucleotides were used as a negative control. See Materials and Methods for details. (expected PCR product size: 69bp)

d, e. Modified PAR-CLIP. Amounts of *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* **(d)** pre-mRNA and **(e)** mRNA that cross-linked to, and immunoprecipitated with Pol II normalized to *pmp-3* amounts. Y-axis: Normalized or relative abundance. X-axis: Treatment conditions (see top legend). Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. (d) n = 8-12 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test; (e) n = 9-14 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test (within strain) and 2 way-ANOVA (between strains).

f. Nuclear fractionation to assess amounts *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* RNA fate. Fold enrichment over input (nuclei) of *hsp-70 (F44E5.4/.5)* mRNA in respective fractions. Y-axis: % input (total nucleus). X-axis: Nuclear fraction, strain and treatment. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 9-10 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2 way-ANOVA (within each fraction).

g. Amounts of *hsp-70* (*F44E5.4/.5*) 22G-RNA that cross-linked to, and immunoprecipitated with Pol II normalized to *pmp-3* amounts in the modified PAR-CLIP assay. Y-axis: Normalized abundance. X-axis: Treatments. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 4-6 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

h. Two other U1 RNA levels assessed upon heat shock. RT-qPCR of relative fold change in WT animals. Values normalized to *pmp-3* mRNA values and shown relative to non-heat-shock levels. Y-axis: Relative RNA levels. X-axis: U1RNAs. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 3 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

Suppl. Figure 8

a

Upregulated gene

WT / *prde-1 (-/-)*

ecdf vs Gene Length (kb)

Downregulated gene

WT / *prde-1 (-/-)*

ecdf vs Gene Length (kb)

- – – Genome
- 5min HS
- 30min HS
- 2hr Rec

b

- Ctrl
- 30min HS

WT / ***prde-1 (-/-)***

Q1 (30bp ~ 1214bp) · Q2 (1215bp ~ 2026bp) · Q3 (2027bp ~ 3633bp) · Q4 (3634bp ~ 102756bp)

Mean (RPM) vs -0.5kb / TSS / Gene body / TES / +0.5kb

c

- WT
- *prde-1 (-/-)*

Ctrl / **30min HS**

Q1 (30bp ~ 1214bp) · Q2 (1215bp ~ 2026bp) · Q3 (2027bp ~ 3633bp) · Q4 (3634bp ~ 102756bp)

Mean (RPM) vs -0.5kb / TSS / Gene body / TES / +0.5kb

d

Anti-RNAPII S2P

F44E5.4/.5

TSS — End

% INP at *F44E5.4/.5* (RNAPII S2P at *F44E5.4/.5*)

ns · *** · *** · **

TSS / End — WT · TSS / End — *prde-1 (-/-)*

Supplementary Figure 8. piRNA pathway affects gene expression in a a gene-length dependent manner.

a. Empirical Cumulative Distribution Function (ECDF) plots, to visualize the proportion of gene expression values (mRNA-seq) as a function of gene lengths. Expression values of genes significantly upregulated and downregulated upon 30min heat shock (p.adj <0.05) in wild-type (WT) and *prde-1* are plotted. Y-axis: Cumulative proportion of reads. X-axis: Gene lengths. **Top:** Strain names and differential expression. Note bias towards increased gene lengths in WT, but not *prde-1* upregulated genes, but similar bias towards long genes in all downregulated genes.

b, c. Metagene plot showing mRNA expression (mRNA-seq) stratified by gene length compared between control and upon 30 min. heat shock, **(b)** within strains, and **(c)** between strains.

d. Elongating RNA polymerase II (anti-S2P) levels at *hsp* genes. **Top**: Schematic of *hsp-70* (*F44E5.4/.5*) gene, and regions probed: Transcription Start Site (TSS) and distal regions (3') of *hsp-70* (*F44E5.4/.5*). **Bottom:** ChIP-qPCR showing fold enrichment over input. Y-axis: % ChiP input. X-axis: Strain, and region assayed. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 8 (TSS) and 4 (End) biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2 way-ANOVA.

Suppl. Figure 9

a

b

c

d

e

Supplementary Figure 9. piRNAs protect transcript fidelity.

a. Schematic of UMI labeling: mRNAs from wild-type and *prde-1* animals were isolated (some mRNA molecules, but not all, presumably contain errors introduced during transcription or splicing). mRNAs were labelled with UMIs during first-strand cDNA synthesis. UMI-labelled mRNAs were PCR amplified. This process also leads to the generation of PCR-based errors. The PCR-generated errors were distinguished from original errors in the mRNA by generating a consensus of UMI families. These consensus sequences were aligned to the *C.elegans* reference, mismatches identified, and the number of mRNA molecules that contained this mismatch/total number of mRNAs that mapped to that region (gene) were calculated to generate an error rate.

b. Percentage of variant-containing mRNA molecules in wild type and *prde-1* mutants (as identified by HaplotypeCaller and SnpEff). Y-axis: % variants. X-axis: Strain. Total variant containing sites=1315-1684. Approximately 3.71 x 10^7 individual mRNAs analyzed. Boxplots: median value depicted. Error bars: standard error. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test.

c. Percentage of variants among total variant-containing mRNA molecules expressed from genes of different lengths in wild type and *prde-1* mutants (variants identified by HaplotypeCaller and SnpEff). Q1-Q4: Genome divided into quantiles by gene length, as in Suppl. Fig 8b, c (gene lengths in bp). Y-axis: % variants. X-axis: Strain. Total variant containing sites=1315-1684. Boxplots: median value depicted. Error bars: standard error. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test.

d. Mean mismatch rates amongst variant-containing mRNA molecules (variants identified by HaplotypeCaller and SnpEff). Y-axis: Mean error rate (number of mismatch reads per total reads with variants). X-axis: Mismatch. Total variant containing sites=1315-1684. Approximately 3.71 x

10^7 individual mRNAs analyzed. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. Statistical analysis: two-tailed unpaired t-test.

e. NMD-related gene expression levels in WT and *prde-1* animals on Ctrl (L4440) and *smg-2* RNAi (RT-qPCR: relative fold change). *Y39B6A.21* (Class III) expression values normalized to *eft-3* mRNA values and shown relative to wild-type Control RNAi-treated animals. Note *that smg-2 leads to a similar increase in mRNA levels in both wild-type* and *prde*-1. Y-axis: Relative RNA levels. X-axis: RNAi-treatment. Bars: mean value. Error bars: standard error. n = 4 biologically independent experiments. Statistical analysis: 2-way ANOVA.

C. ELEGANS STRAINS AND GROWTH CONDITIONS

C. elegans used in all experiments are listed in Method Table 1. Strains were obtained from the *Caenorhabditis Genetics Center* (CGC, Twin Cities, MN), generated in the Prahlad laboratory, or generated by SunyBiotech (Suzhou, Jiangsu, China). All crossed worms were verified by genotyping. The primers used in genotyping are listed in Method Table 2.

All strains were maintained at 20°C on nematode growth media (NGM) plates. NGM plates were prepared by pouring 8.9 ml of autoclaved liquid NGM per 60 mm plate. NGM was allowed to solidify at room temperature (RT) for 2 days, seeded with 300 µl OP50 *E. coli* (O.D = 1.8-2.0), and dried at RT for no more than 2 days. Population densities were matched based on growth rate of the strains. To maintain healthy continuously growing populations, 10-15 animals at larvae stage 4 (L4) were passaged onto a new plate every 4 days. For experiments, day-one adult animals were used. These were generated either by picking L4-stage worms and harvesting them 24-24 hrs later, or by bleach-hatching and collecting day-one adult worms 82-84 hrs later, depending on experimental requirements.

HEAT SHOCK

Worms were exposed to acute heat stress as previously described in Das et al., elife 2020[1]. Briefly, NGM plates containing day-one adults were sealed with parafilm and immersed in a water bath pre-warmed to 34°C for different durations as mentioned. For recovery experiments, NGM plates were incubated in a 20°C incubator immediately after heat shock and harvested after specific times mentioned in the experiments.

RNA SEQUENCING

RNA Library Preparation

For RNA-sequencing, three independent biological replicates of each strain and each treatment

were harvested and processed as described. For each sample/treatment, approximately 200

L4-stage worms were transferred to OP50 plates. After 26-27hrs, worms from two plates per

condition [non-heat shock (NHS), 5min HS, 30min HS, and 30min HS + 2hr Rec] were collected

in nuclease-free water. Total RNA was extracted using the Mirvana miRNA Isolation Kit

(Invitrogen; Cat #AM1560). Genomic DNA in the sample was removed using Turbo DNA-free Kit

(Invitrogen; Cat #AM1907). RNA was separated by size into mRNA (>200 nt) and small RNA

(<200 nt). Small RNA samples were treated with 10U RppH (NEB; Cat #M0356S) at 37°C for 30

min, then purified using the Zymo RNA Clean & Concentrator kit (Zymo Research; Cat #R1015).

RNA concentrations were measured with a Qubit 4 fluorometer (Thermo Scientific). mRNA

libraries were prepared using the KAPA mRNA Hyperprep kit (Roche; Cat #08098123702);

small RNA libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq Small RNA Library Preparation kit

(Illumina; Cat #RS-200), following manufacturer's protocol.

Small RNA analysis

Small RNA data was analyzed using the tinyRNA[2] (v1.50) pipeline with its default configuration.

The process included adapter trimming and quality filtering with fastp[3], followed by genome

alignment to the *C. elegans* genome (release WS279) using Bowtie[4]. An additional setting,

counter_decollapse: True, was specified to generate decollapsed SAM files.

The module tiny-count was used to count the different types of small RNA according to the

selection rules specified in the following table.

Select for...	with value...	Classify as...	Hierarchy	Overlap	Strand	5'End Nucleotide	Length
Class	risiRNA	rRNA	1	Partial	both	all	all

Select for...	with value...	Classify as...	Hierarchy	Overlap	Strand	5'End Nucleotide	Length
Class	miRNA	miRNA	2	5'Anchored, 0, 4	sense	all	all
Class	piRNA	piRNA	2	5'Anchored	sense	all	18-21
Class	CSR	CSR Class 22G	3	Partial	antisense	G	21-23
Class	WAGO	WAGO Class 22G	3	Partial	antisense	G	21-23
Class	ALG	ALG Class 26G	3	Partial	antisense	G	26
Class	ERGO	ERGO Class 26G	3	Partial	antisense	G	26
Class	ALG	ALG target 22G	3	Partial	antisense	G	21-23
Class	ERGO	ERGO target 22G	3	Partial	antisense	G	21-23
Class	unk	unclassifed 22G	3	Partial	antisense	G	21-23
Class	unk	unclassifed siRNA	4	Partial	both	all	21-24

48 The read counts obtained from tiny-count were used to generate genome-wide coverage

49 profiles for the 22G-RNAs and piRNA. The coverage was normalized as Read per million (RPM)

50 and output as BigWig (bw) files using rtracklayer[5]. Differential Expression was computed by

51 using a modified version of tyny.deseq.r, the R module was adjusted to remove the ribosomal

52 RNA (rRNA) from the analysis, and to calculate the gene expression in RPM in addition to

DESeq normalized counts. Changes in expression were presented as $\log_2$ fold-change, and

genes with an adjusted p-value of <0.05 (after correction with Benjamini & Hochberg)[6], were

considered significant.

mRNA seq analysis

RNA-seq samples were analyzed and processed using the nf-core/rnaseq (v3.12.0) pipeline,

which was executed with Nextflow[7] (v22.10.6). Sequence quality was assessed with FastQC

[8](v0.11.9), and low-quality reads, and adapters were removed using Trimmomatic[9] (v0.67). The

processed reads were then aligned to the *C. elegans* genome (WBcel235, Ensembl release

111) with STAR[10] (v2.7.9a) using default settings. Alignments were quantified against the

WBcel235 annotation with Salmon (v1.9.0). Alignment quality control was performed with

Qualimap[11] (v2.2.2) and RSeQC[12] (v3.0.1).

Differential Expression

Differential expression analysis was performed between RNA-seq samples using **DESeq2** with

the **Wald test**. Expression changes were presented as log2 fold-change. Genes with an

adjusted p-value <0.05, following correction with the **Benjamini & Hochberg**[6] method, were

considered significantly differentially expressed.

Analysis of Alternative Splicing Events

To identify differential alternative splicing events, RNA-seq data were re-processed using the nf-

core/rnasplice (v1.0.4) workflow. This pipeline was executed with Nextflow (v23.10.0) and relied

on reproducible software environments from Bioconda and Biocontainers.

Within the rnasplice pipeline two modules were used to detect differential splicing and calculate

the Percent Spliced-In (PSI) for each event, SUPPA2[13] (v2.3) and rMATS (v4.1.2)., Splicing

events were considered significant if the absolute difference in PSI (dPSI) between the 30

minutes heat shock and control conditions had a p-value <0.1.

Formula : $\Psi = \sum(TPM\,inclusion) + \sum(TPM\,exclusion)\sum(TPM\,inclusion)$ [13]

Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' correction was used to determine if the number of up- or

down-regulated splicing events during heat shock differed between the N2 and PRDE1 strains

(p-value <0.05).

Differential Transcript Usage (DTU) analysis was performed using SUPPA2. First, the usage of

transcripts (isoforms) was tested for significant differences between conditions. Isoforms with a

p <0.1 were considered significant. For analysis and visualization, the average Percent Spliced

In (PSI or Ψ) value for each isoform was calculated across the biological replicates within each

condition. The resulting list of PSI per isoforms was then filtered to retain only those occurring in

genes previously identified as differentially expressed (DEGs). Student t-test was used to

compare the means between the conditions at significance level p <0.05.

Formula: $PSI\_i = (TPM\_i)/(\Sigma\_(j = 1)^{\wedge}n\,TPM\_j)$ [13]

Gene Ontology

Gene Ontology analysis was performed by using Over Representation Analysis (ORA), with the

R package ClusterProfiler[14,15]. GO annotations for *C. elegans* were obtained from R package

org.Ce.eg.db: Genome wide annotation for Worm[16] (version 3.18.0). Ontology terms enriched

with an adjusted p-value <0.05 or <0.1 (Benjamini & Hochberg)[6] were considered significant.

Fold-Enrichment was calculated as the ratio of the frequency of input genes annotated in a GO

term to the frequency of all genes annotated to that term[14].

Data Visualization

The metaprofiles were generated using Deeptools[17] (v3.56) by normalizing the coverage by

RPM per bp) and then modified to plot them using R.

Venn diagrams were generated using the Eulerr[18] package (v.7.0.2) in R[18]. Additional plots were

generated using ggplot2 (v.3.5.1)[19] .

RNA FISH

mRNA FISH

RNA FISH was performed as previously described[20]. Probes were designed against mRNA

according to the Biosearch Technologies website, and consisted of 25-35 11bp sequences that

were complementary to the *hsp-70s (C12C8.1* and *F44E5.4/.5)* mRNA. For mRNA FISH, about

20 day-one adult worms were harvested in 1x PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron

Microscopy Science; Cat #50-980-487) for 45 mins. After two washes with 1x PBS, worms were

permeabilized in 70% ethanol at 4°C for 24-26 hrs. Worms were washed with Stellaris Buffer A

(Biosearch Technologies, Cat # SMF-WA1-60) and incubated at 37°C for 16 hrs in hybridization

buffer (Cat #SMF-HB1-10) containing 0.2 mM FISH probes targeting *hsp-70s (C12C8.1* and

F44E5.4/.5) mRNA. After washing three times with pre-warmed Wash Buffer A and one time

with Wash Buffer B (Biosearch Technologies, Cat # SMF-WB1-20), worms were mounted in

Vectashield with DAPI (Vector Laboratories; Cat #H-1200-10). Imaging was performed using a

Leica TCS SPE Confocal Microscope with 20x dry and 63x oil objectives, and LAS AF software.

22G RNA FISH

For 22G-RNA FISH, animals were processed as described above for mRNA FISH.

Fluorescence conjugated probes targeting 22G-RNAs were purchased from IDT, and were

complementary to one of the most abundant 22G-RNAs identified by sRNA-seq (hence they

were in the sense direction as mRNA, and could not hybridize to mRNA). 0.2 µM FISH probe

targeting *F44E5.4/.5* 22G RNA was used per slide. The sequence of the probe is in Method

Table 3.

AUXIN-INDUCIBLE DEGRADATION (AID) TREATMENT

Auxin plates were prepared at a final concentration of 4 mM by adding indole-3-acetic acid (Alfa

Aesar; Cat #A10556), dissolved in ethanol at 400 mM, to autoclaved NGM that had cooled to

approximately 55°C prior to pouring. NGM plates with ethanol served as controls. Plates were

dried at RT for 2 days, then for 2 more days after seeding with 300 µl OP50 (O.D = 1.8-2.0).

About 20 day-one adults were transferred to OP50-seeded auxin or ethanol plates and

incubated at 20°C for 4 hrs. Worms were then either not heat shocked (NHS) or subjected to

heat shock (HS) for 30mins at 34ºC and processed for FISH as above.

IMMUNOSTAINING

Immunostaining of dissected gonad was performed as previously described[20] . 10-15 day-one

adults were picked into 15 µl 1x PBS on a cover glass (Leica Biosystem; Cat #3800105) and

dissected with a surgical blade #11. Dissected worms were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 6

mins. A charged slide (Epredia; Cat #4951PLUS-001) was placed over the cover glass, then

quickly transferred onto a metal block that had been cooled with dry ice for over 10mins. After

quickly removing the cover glass, samples were post-fixed in 100% methanol pre-chilled at

−20°C for 2mins, washed in 1x PBST (1x PBS with 0.1% Tween-20), and then blocked in 1x

PBST with 0.5% BSA for 1 hr. Samples were incubated at 4°C overnight with Mouse anti-FLAG

M2 (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat #F3165-1MG), diluted 1:2,000 in 1x PBST. Following washing, samples

were incubated at RT for 2 hrs with Donkey anti-Mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen; Cat

#A32766), diluted 1:500 in 1x PBST. After washing, samples were mounted in the Vectashield

with DAPI and imaged.

RNA INTERFERENCE (RNAi)

RNAi experiments were conducted using the standard feeding RNAi methods. Bacterial clones

expressing the control (empty vector pL4440) construct and the dsRNA targeting

different *C. elegans* genes were obtained from the Ahringer RNAi library[21] , now available

through Source Bioscience. All RNAi clones used in experiments were sequenced for

verification. For RNAi experiments, RNAi bacteria with empty (pL4440 vector as control) or the

dsRNA-expressing plasmid were grown overnight in LB liquid culture containing ampicillin

(100 µg/ml) and then induced with IPTG (1 mM) for 2 hrs before seeding the bacteria on NGM

plates supplemented with ampicillin (100 µg/ml) and IPTG (1 mM). Bacterial lawns were allowed

to grow 48hr before the start of the experiment. RNAi-seeded plates were used for RT-qPCR

experiments to measure NMD-related gene expression, to evaluate the hatch rate of embryos,

and for immunoblot assays (to analyze ubiquitinylated proteins). For RT-qPCR and Western blot

experiments, worms were initially grown on OP50 plates for 24 hours after egg laying or

bleaching, respectively. Then, larvae were transferred to RNAi plates and grown for 60-62 hours

before harvesting. This was done to bypass the embryonic lethality of *smg-1* or *smg-2* RNAi.

RNA ISOLATION AND QUANTIFICATION OF RNA LEVELS BY RT-qPCR

RNA was extracted from 30-40-day-old adults exposed to the indicated heat shock durations

and recovery times. Animals were collected in 50 µl Trizol and immediately snap-frozen in liquid

nitrogen. Samples were thawed on ice, mixed with 200 µl Trizol, and lysed using Precellys 24

homogenizer. RNA was isolated from lysates with chloroform and precipitated with isopropanol

and glycogen. After washing with 70% EtOH, RNA pellet was dissolved in nuclease-free water

and treated with TURBO DNase. cDNA was synthesized using iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-

Rad; Cat #1708891). qPCR was performed using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied

Biosystems; Cat #A25742) on a QuantStudio 3 Real-time PCR System (10 µl reaction, 96-well

plate).

The amounts of RNA were quantified using one of the following methods:

mRNA RT-pPCR

(i) <u>Relative quantification using the ΔΔCt method</u>: mRNA (exon-exon junction primers), and pre-

mRNA (intron-exon primers) levels were computed relative to *pmp-3* (previously verified by use

to remain steady during heat shock)[1], which served as an internal control. For NMD-related

gene expression analysis, *eft-3* was used as internal control, as previously described[22]. Primer

locations are depicted in the Method Fig 1 below and primer sequences are in Method Table 2.

(ii) <u>Absolute quantification using standard curves:</u> Absolute amounts of pre-mRNA and mRNA

were estimated through the generation of standard curves using serial dilutions of defined

concentrations of *hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* and *F44E5.4/.5* mRNA, pre-mRNA, as well as *pmp-3*

mRNA. The mRNA and pre-mRNA used to generate each standard curve, was obtained by

PCR amplification from cDNA or genomic DNA extracted from whole worms, PCR amplified

using primers external to the primer target sites used in the experiments, gel purification, and

quantification using a Qubit 4 fluorometer. qPCR was conducted using 10-fold serial dilutions of

a known concentration of purified PCR products. The standard curves were created from the CT

values obtained from the dilutions (see Method Fig 1).

The amounts of mRNA and pre-mRNA were extrapolated derived from these curves using the

formula.

Formula: $Absolute\ amount\ of\ RNA = Inital\ Con. \times 10^{\left(\frac{CT\ Value\ -\ constant}{slope}\right)}$

To account for differences in lysis efficiency, variations in harvesting of animals, and other

factors, a similar curve was calculated for *pmp-3* and the final values were normalized to *pmp*-3

values known to remain stable through heat shock[1].

Method Fig 1. *Standard curves for hsp-70 mRNA and pre-mRNA and pmp-3*

The primers used for qPCR analysis were designed using Primer 3 software and generated by

Integrated DNA Technologies (See Method Table 2).

SMALL RNA (sRNA) RT-qPCR

Small RNA qPCR was performed using stem-loop RT-qPCR by modifying published protocols[23]

Total RNA extracted from animals, or immunoprecipitated after 4sU treatment and RNAPII

immunoprecipitation (see below), incubated with 50 nM 22G RNA-specific stem loop primer at

70°C for 5mins, cooled on ice for ≥5 mins. cDNA was synthesized using 100U Superscript II

Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen; Cat #18064014) in 1x reaction buffer, 1U SUPERase-In

RNase inhibitor, and 0.5 mM dNTPs. The RT-qPCR mix included 2 µl cDNA, 0.25 µM 22G RNA-

specific forward primer, 0.25 µM universal reverse primer,1x PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix.

Relative fold change of 22G-RNA was quantified relative to immunoprecipitated *pmp-3* using the

ΔΔCt method. Primer specificity was tested using a synthetic sRNA (21ur-2348). The specificity

of the reaction was verified by running the reaction on agarose gels. Representative gel and

PCR design are shown in Suppl. Fig 7c. The primer sequences are listed in Method Table 3.

ChIP-qPCR

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed as previously described[20] . Following

exposure to one of three conditions (NHS, 30min HS, or 30min HS + 2hr Rec), approximately

700 day-one adults, synchronized by bleach hatching, were harvested in 1x PBS and

crosslinked with 2% formaldehyde at RT for 10 mins. Crosslinking was quenched with 250 mM

Tris (pH 7.4) for 10 mins. Samples were washed three times in ice-cold 1× PBS containing

protease inhibitor cocktail (PIC; Thermo Scientific; Cat #78429) and then snap-frozen in liquid

nitrogen. Pellets were resuspended in 200 µl FA buffer (50 mM HEPES/KOH (pH 7.4), 150 mM

NaCl, 50 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.5% sarkosyl) freshly

supplemented with 1 mM DTT and 1x PIC. Worms were lysed using a Precellys 24

homogenizer and sonicated (Bioruptor Pico; 8-10 cycles of 30sec on/off). Debris was removed

by centrifugation (13,500 rpm at 4°C for 5 mins). Protein A/G Magnetic Beads (20 µl per sample;

Thermo Scientific, Cat #88803) were washed two times with FA buffer. To eliminate non-specific

binding, 5 µl of beads were then added to the lysates and incubated at 4°C for 1 hr. 10% of the

pre-cleared lysate was kept as input, and the rest was incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-total

RNAPII (Diagenode; Cat #C1520004) or RNAPII s2P (Diagenode; Cat #15200005), followed by

3 hrs incubation with 15 µl beads. Beads were washed sequentially with low salt, high salt, LiCl,

and TE buffers, then eluted in 150 µl elution buffer (10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 0.1 M sodium

bicarbonate). Elutes and volume-matched inputs were de-crosslinked overnight with 0.8U

proteinase K (NEB; Cat #P8107S) and 160 mM NaCl. DNA was purified using

phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol. qPCR was performed as above. All experiments were

expressed as % input value. The sequence of the primers used for ChIP experiments are listed

in Method Table 2 and depicted in Fig 3j; Suppl. Fig 5e, f, and 8d.

RNA IMMUNOPRECIPITATION (RIP) FOLLOWED BY RT-qPCR

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) was performed with ~700 day-one adults per condition,

expressing FLAG::FRG-1 (endogenous PRG-1 tagged with FLAG epitope using CRISPR/Cas9)

synchronized by bleach hatching. To account for the non-specific interactions of the abundant

hsp mRNA generated by heat shock, we used wild-type (N2) animals not expressing FLAG as a

negative control, and compared specific immunoprecipitation (IP) of PRG-1 IP to this non-

specific IP. RIP was conducted in the absence of cross-linking. Worms were harvested in

nuclease-free water, pelleted, and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Worms were resuspended in

FA buffer with 1 mM DTT, 1x PIC, and 0.01U/µl SUPERase-In RNase inhibitor (Invitrogen; Cat

#AM2696), then lysed and sonicated (2 cycles of 30 sec on/off). 10% of the pre-cleared lysate

was kept as input, and the rest was incubated with anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat #F3165-

1MG) at 4°C for 2-3 hrs, followed by beads for 1hr. After washing, beads were eluted with

elution buffer containing 0.01U/µl SUPERase-In RNase inhibitor. Elutes and volume-matched

inputs were de-crosslinked by incubating them with 0.8U proteinase K (NEB; Cat #P8107S) at

45°C for 1hr. RNA was isolated using Trizol and qRT-PCR was performed as above. Because

we were had no reason to expect that *pmp-3* would also bind to PRG-1, and be

immunoprecipitated, to control for variation in harvesting, lysis, loading etc., we normalized *hsps*

in IP sample to *pmp-3* in the input sample using the ΔΔCt method. *bath-45*, known to interact

with PRG-1 was used as a positive control for the efficacy of RIP. The sequence of the primers

used for RIP experiments are listed in Method Table 2.

4sU RNAPII IP qPCR

All procedures for 4sU RNAPII immunoprecipitation (IP) are outlined in Fig 3a. Specifically, 4sU

was delivered as a liquid suspension mixed with bacterial food. The bacterial food, OP50, was

heat-killed to minimize its metabolism of 4-Thiouridine (4sU). To most optimally deliver 4sU

within a short time, about 700 day-one adult worms were rapidly collected in nuclease-free

water and then resuspended in S-basal containing 10mg/ml heat-killed OP50 and 4mM 4sU

(Sigma Aldrich; Cat #T4509). The suspension was seeded onto empty NGM plates to prevent

hypoxia (a critical step since *C.elegans* suspended in liquid media have a markedly different

heat shock response). The worms, bacteria and 4sU were allowed to dry at RT for 1hr, and

subjected to one of three conditions [not heat shocked (NHS), heat shocked at 34°C for 30 mins

(30min HS), or heat shocked at 34°C for 30 mins and allowed to recover for 2 hrs at 20°C

(30min HS + 2hr Rec)], and then crosslinked by exposure to UV (365 nm) for 30 sec. Samples

were harvested in nuclease free water and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Worm lysis,

immunoprecipitation, RNA isolation, and qRT-PCR followed the RIP-qRT-PCR protocol, using

anti-total RNAPII (Diagenode; Cat #C1520004) instead of anti-FLAG. To quantify mRNA and

pre-mRNA, absolute amounts of RNA were estimated from standard curves and normalized by

immunoprecipitated *pmp-3*.

EU LABELLING OF NASCENT RNA

To examine the expression patterns of nascent *hsp* genes we used a pulse-chase approach

using the Click-iT Nascent RNA Capture Kit (Invitrogen; Cat #C10365) according to the

manufacturer's instructions, to label RNA. In brief, 50 day-one adult worms were placed on an

OP50 bacterial lawn containing 4 mM EU and incubated at 20°C for 10 mins to preload them

with EU. Subsequently, the worms were incubated at 20°C (serving as controls) or underwent

heat shock for 30 mins at 34°C. Control and heat shocked animals were harvested in 50 μl

Trizol and immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. To assess maturation of pre-mRNA to

mRNA, animals were allowed to recover in the absence of EU. For this, worms were

transferred, immediately after heat shock, from EU containing OP50 plates onto new NGM

plates seeded with regular OP50. The plates were then incubated at 20°C for 2, 4, or 6 hours,

and subsequently harvested in Trizol. RNA isolation was performed as described in the RT-

qPCR protocol. EU-labeled RNA was biotinylated with 0.4 mM biotin azide and purified by

reprecipitating. The biotinylated RNA was captured using 12 µl of streptavidin beads. cDNA

synthesis was carried out directly on the beads containing nascent RNA using the iScript cDNA

synthesis kit. qPCR was processed as described in the RT-qPCR protocol. To quantify mRNA

and pre-mRNA, absolute amounts of RNA were estimated from standard curves. To assess

maturation from pre-mRNA to mRNA, mRNA expression level at each time point was divided by

pre-mRNA expression at 30min HS. In both analysis, mRNA and pre-mRNA expression

amounts were quantified relative to EU labeled *pmp-3* amounts.

SUBCELLULAR FRACTIONATION

Subcellular fractionation was performed with ~700 day-one adults per condition synchronized

through bleach hatching method. Worms were harvested in 1.5 ml tube with nuclease free

water, pelleted and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen pellet was washed with 500 µl

hypotonic buffer (15 mM HEPES/KOH (pH7.5), 10 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM EDTA, 350

mM Sucrose). Worms were resuspended in 100 µl hypotonic buffer supplemented with 1 mM

DTT, 1x PIC and 0.01U/µl SUPERase-In RNase inhibitor and homogenized by pestle (SP Bel-

Art ; Cat #F19923-0001). To remove worms' bodies and debris, homogenates were centrifuged

at 500 xg at 4°C for 5 mins, and supernatant was transferred to a new 1.5 ml tube. The

supernatant was then centrifuged at 4,000 xg at 4°C for 5 mins to collect nucleic (pellet) fraction.

The nuclei pellet was washed three times with 200 µl hypotonic buffer. After last washing, the

pellet was resuspended in 120 µl nucleus lysis buffer (50 mM Tris (pH7.5), 140 mM NaCl, 1.5

mM MgCl2, 0.5% (w/v) NP-40) supplemented with 1 mM DTT, 1x PIC and 0.01U/µl SUPERase-

In RNase inhibitor, and incubated in ice for 20 mins. 20 µl of sample was aliquoted to a 1.5 ml

tube labelled as "input", and the remaining samples were centrifuged at 20,000 xg at 4°C for 10

mins. The supernatant was transferred to a 1.5 ml tube labelled as "nucleoplasm". The pellet

was resuspended in 100 µl high salt solution (10 mM Tris (pH7.5), 700 mM NaCl) supplemented

with 1 mM DTT, 1x PIC and 0.01U/µl SUPERase-In RNase inhibitor, and incubated in ice for 20

mins, and centrifuged at 20,000 xg at 4°C for 5 mins. The supernatant was transferred to a 1.5

ml tube labelled as "Chromatin". The RNA in each sample was isolated using Trizol, and *hsp*

gene expression level was measured as described in the RT-qPCR protocol. The results of

experiments were presented as percentage input values.

This method of extraction did result in the loss of some nuclei. Nevertheless, the nuclei

obtained were 'clean' and not contaminated with cytoplasmic fractions, confirmed by conducting

a Western blot and probing for tubulin.

EMBRYO HATCH RATE

Embryo hatch rate was assessed using 2-5 day-one adult worms, which laid eggs for different

durations following NHS or 30min HS, as described previously[20] . After removing mothers,

embryos were counted and incubated at 20°C. The number of live progenies were scored after

72 hrs. For RNAi experiment, mothers were prepared by transferring them to RNAi plates at L4-

stage and allowed to lay eggs for 12 hrs after treatment.

WESTERN BLOT

Worm lysates were prepared by boiling samples in Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad; Cat

#1610737) with β-mercaptoethanol. For ubiquitinylated protein analysis, about 150 worms were

prepared as described in the RNAi protocol. The worms were collected, snap frozen, and lysed

by boiling and grinding using a pestle. Lysates were separated on SDS-PAGE (HSP-70: 10%,

Ubiquitinylated protein: 12%) and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad; Cat

#1620115). Membranes were blocked at RT for 1 hr in Odyssey Blocking Buffer (LI-COR; Cat

#927-50000), incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies, and then with IRdye goat anti-

mouse IgG 800CW (1:10,000; LI-COR; Cat #926-32210) at RT for 2 hrs. Imaging was

performed using the LI-COR Odyssey Infrared System and analyzed with Image Studio

software.

To detect HSP-70 levels, mouse anti-FLAG M2 (1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich; Cat #F3165-1MG) was

used to detect HSP-70::FLAG in strains where endogenous *hsp-70* (C12C8.1) was tagged with

CRISPR/Cas9. Mouse anti-Ubiquitinylated proteins, clone FK2 (1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich; Cat #04-

263), was used to detect ubiquitinylated proteins. For tubulin detection as a loading control,

mouse anti-tubulin, clone AA4.3 (1:1000; DSHB; Cat #AB_579793) was used.

SMALL RNA INJECTION AND RECOVERY ASSAY

Small RNA was prepared from total RNA extracted from

(i)   Wild-type animals worms subjected to 30 min HS

(ii)   *prde-1* animals subjected to 30 min HS

Small RNAs were fractionated using the Mirvana miRNA Isolation Kit. The small RNA was then

injected at a concentration of 50 ng/µl. Following injection, worms were recovered at 20°C for 2

hrs and subsequently maintained under non heat shock conditions (NHS) or subjected to 30

mins heat shock at 34°C. Worms were collected in 1x PBS after 6 hrs incubation at 20°C and

used for *F44E5.4/.5* mRNA FISH experiment. For RT-qPCR analysis, worms were collected

immediately in Trizol, and their RNA harvested as described above.

ANALYSIS OF mRNA VARIANTS

To assess whether the loss of piRNAs led to increased transcript errors, we devised a method to

identify sequences of individual mRNA molecules prior to the possible incorporation of errors

due to PCR amplification. RNA extracted from 3 biological repeats of: wild type *C. elegans* at 30

minutes at 34ºC, and *prde-1* at 30 minutes at 34ºC were used. mRNAs were tagged with UMIs

using the **SMART-Seq® Total RNA Pico Input with UMIs (ZapR™ Mammalian)**. The UMIs

were attached to mRNAs during the first strand-cDNA synthesis, prior to PCR amplification.

Subsequently, mRNAs were PCR amplified with 3 cycles of amplification for the initial library

PCR and 12 cycles of amplification for the enrichment PCR. Sequencing was performed on the

Novaseq 6000; the number paired-end reads sequenced were in the range of 50 million-

80million, with a read size of 100 bp.

Next, data was pre-processed using a modified version of the RNA with UMIs v1.0.16 pipeline[24]

and a modified version of the GATK Best Practice: RNA-seq Variant Calling Workflow using the

tools from gatk (v4.6.1.0) and Picard (v3.3.0).

The complete list of relevant tools, and the custom script used are available on github

(https://github.com/Prahlad-Lab/umi_celegans_analysis_consensus)

DOI 10.5281/zenodo.17873233.

The fastq.gz files required to run this analysis pipeline are available through the European

Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI under accession

number PRJEB101605 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB101605).

FastQC [8] was used to check the quality of the FASTQ paired-end reads. The FASTQ files were

converted to unmapped bam (uBAM) using gatk FastqToSam tool. Unique molecular identifiers

(UMI) were extracted using fgbio ExtractUmifromBam. The UMI were extracted using the

parameters indicated in the SMART-Seq® Total RNA Pico Input with UMIs (ZapR™

Mammalian) kit, the SMART UMI Adapter from read2 was removed by trimming reads a total of

12 nucleotides: "8 nt UMIs + 3 nt UMI linker + 3 nt". The quality of the uBAM file was measured

again with FastQC[8].

Reads were aligned to genome with STAR[25] (v 2.7.11b) using the *Caenorhabditis elegans*

genomic reference and annotation (ENSEMBL WBcel235 version 114)[26]. Per-Sample two pass-

mapping was enabled using the option -twopassMode Basic. The main output was an unsorted

BAM that included unmapped reads, --outSAMtype was set to "BAM Unsorted" and --

outSAMunmapped was set to "Within".

UMI Grouping and Consensus Generation

Following alignment, BAM files were sorted by coordinating with Picard SortSam tool, then

merged with unaligned Bam file to get the UMI tags. **fgbio GroupReadsByUmi** was used to

create subgroups (families) based on their position, UMI, and, the parameters --strategy

Adjacency --edits 1 and --family-size-histogram were used to correct sequencing errors within

UMIs themselves and output the family sizes. Around 70-80% of the reads in all the replicates

were Family size =1, as shown in the plot (Method Table 4).

The different families were collapsed into single consensus reads by using fgbio

CallMolecularConsensusReads. Families of size 1 were preserved using the parameter --min-

reads 1. The consensus reads were mapped again to the WBcel235genome using STAR and

merged again to unmapped BAM to get UMI tags.

Following the GATK best practices for RNA-seq Variants, BAM files were processed with gatk

SplitNCigarReads to split the reads with "N" in their cigar. To filter out DNA variants, a

recalibration model was built with gatk BaseRecalibrator. The resulting model was applied to the

consensus BAM files with gatk ApplyBQSR to obtain the BSQR BAM.

Pileup Generation and analysis

A pileup of the BSQR BAM was generated with bcftools mpileup using the parameter -d

1000000 to get keep the reads at all loci. **To increase confidence that we were detecting**

mRNA variants, and not stochastic nucleotide changes we:

i.   analyzed only regions that had generated over 10 reads (i.e. at least 10 mRNAs

433   corresponded to the region to identify the ones which were different). The pileup

434   file was analyzed with a custom python script to filter the regions with total depth

435   <=10 and count the proportion of alternative reads and reference reads.

436   ii.   Excluded regions where "Alternative Read/Total Reads =1.0" or "0.5". This was

437   used to exclude possible homozygous or heterozygous SNPs with frequencies of

438   1 or 0.5, respectively, although this does not account for differences in

439   expression from the different alleles.

A custom R script was used to generate tables with the statistics of the Total Number of

"mistakes", the Distribution of the Alternative Reads/Reference reads, a Kolmogorov-Smirnov

Test was used to compare the distributions. GO analysis of the genes with more mismatches in

PRDE1 than in N2 was performed.

Variant Call and Analysis

HaplotypeCaller was used with the BSQR BAM to call variants and output VCF file. SnpEff [28]

was used to annotate the vcf with the SnpEff wbcel235 internal annotation. A custom R script

was used to read the VCF and filter the variants with total dept < =10, and SnpEFF Functional

Impact type of "Modifier". Variant Sites with a Ratio of Alternative Read/Total Reads =1.0were

excluded to remove potential genomic Variants. The following were calculated:

i.   Transcription error rate: Ratio of Alternative Read/Total Reads

ii.   Deleterious Variant Rates**:** Ratio Deleterious Variants/Total Reads

iii.   Mismatch Error rate: change in nucleotides type.

iv.   Two sample t-test was used to determine if there was statistical difference between

455   N2 and PRDE1 samples, with p-value,< 0.05 considered significant.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis (RNA-sequencing data):

Anova with posthoc Tukey HSD was conducted to compare distribution of Gene Length in the

Differential Expressed Genes, with adjusted p-value <0.05 was considered significant. Wilcoxon

test was used to compare the expression of the piRNAs, difference between the means was

considered significant if the p-value <0.05. Hypergeometric test was done using the phyper

function in R[29], overlap was considered significant if p-value <0.05. Pearson's Chi-squared test

with Yates' correction was used to determine if the number of up- or down-regulated splicing

events during heat shock differed between the N2 and PRDE1 strains (p-value <0.05). All the

statistical analyses were done in R[29]. Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to compare the

probability distributions of mRNA error rates in the pileup analysis.

Statistical analysis (qPCR data and phenotypes):

The data were analyzed by using Student t-test (two-tailed unpaired t-test) or 2 way-ANOVA

(Fisher's LSD test; GraphPad Prism software) as described in respective figure legends. P

values are indicated as follows: $*p < 0.05$; $**p < 0.01$; $***p < 0.001$.

Statistical analysis (other):

The statistical analyses for the remaining datasets are indicated in the Figure Legends.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data for this study have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at

EMBL-EBI under accession numbers PRJEB101605

(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB101605), PRJEB101594

(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB101594),

PRJEB101181(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB101181) .

REFERENCES

1 Das, S. *et al.* Serotonin signaling by maternal neurons upon stress ensures progeny

survival. *Elife* **9** (2020). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.55246

2 Tate, A. J., Brown, K. C. & Montgomery, T. A. tiny-count: a counting tool for hierarchical

classification and quantification of small RNA-seq reads with single-nucleotide precision.

Bioinformatics Advances **3** (2023). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioadv/vbad065

3 Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y. & Gu, J. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.

Bioinformatics **34**, i884-i890 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560

4 Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. & Salzberg, S. L. Ultrafast and memory-efficient

alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol* **10**, R25 (2009).

https://doi.org:10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25

5 Lawrence, M., Gentleman, R. & Carey, V. rtracklayer: an R package for interfacing with

genome browsers. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1841-1842 (2009).

https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp328

6 Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and

Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B*

(Methodological) **57**, 289-300 (1995). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-

6161.1995.tb02031.x

7 Di Tommaso, P. *et al.* Nextflow enables reproducible computational workflows. *Nat*

Biotechnol **35**, 316-319 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1038/nbt.3820

8   (2015).

9 Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114-2120 (2014).

https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

10   Cingolani, P. *et al.* A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single

nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster

strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly (Austin)* **6**, 80-92 (2012). https://doi.org:10.4161/fly.19695

11   Garcia-Alcalde, F. *et al.* Qualimap: evaluating next-generation sequencing alignment

data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 2678-2679 (2012). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts503

12   Wang, L., Wang, S. & Li, W. RSeQC: quality control of RNA-seq experiments.

Bioinformatics **28**, 2184-2185 (2012). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts356

13   Trincado, J. L. *et al.* SUPPA2: fast, accurate, and uncertainty-aware differential splicing

analysis across multiple conditions. *Genome Biol* **19**, 40 (2018).

https://doi.org:10.1186/s13059-018-1417-1

14   Wu, T. *et al.* clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data.

Innovation (Camb) **2**, 100141 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100141

15   Yu, G., Wang, L. G., Han, Y. & He, Q. Y. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing

biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* **16**, 284-287 (2012).

https://doi.org:10.1089/omi.2011.0118

16   Carlson, M.   (2023).

17   Ramirez, F. *et al.* deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data

analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, W160-165 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkw257

18   {eulerr}: Area-Proportional Euler and Venn Diagrams with

Ellipses v. R package version 7.0.2 (2024).

19   Wickham, H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. (2016).

20   Das, S., Min, S. & Prahlad, V. Gene bookmarking by the heat shock transcription factor

programs the insulin-like signaling pathway. *Mol Cell* **81**, 4843-4860 e4848 (2021).

https://doi.org:10.1016/j.molcel.2021.09.022

21   Kamath, R. S. & Ahringer, J. Genome-wide RNAi screening in Caenorhabditis elegans.

Methods **30**, 313-321 (2003). https://doi.org:10.1016/s1046-2023(03)00050-1

22   Muir, V. S., Gasch, A. P. & Anderson, P. The Substrates of Nonsense-Mediated mRNA

Decay in Caenorhabditis elegans. *G3 (Bethesda)* **8**, 195-205 (2018).

https://doi.org:10.1534/g3.117.300254

23   Das, P. P. *et al.* Piwi and piRNAs act upstream of an endogenous siRNA pathway to

suppress Tc3 transposon mobility in the Caenorhabditis elegans germline. *Mol Cell* **31**,

79-90 (2008). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.molcel.2008.06.003

24   Degatano, K. *et al.* Warp Analysis Research Pipelines: Cloud-optimized workflows for

biological data processing and reproducible analysis. *Bioinformatics* (2025).

https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btaf494

25   Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15-21

(2013). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

26   Sternberg, P. W. *et al.* WormBase 2024: status and transitioning to Alliance

infrastructure. *Genetics* **227** (2024). https://doi.org:10.1093/genetics/iyae050

27   Crombie, T. A. *et al.* CaeNDR, the Caenorhabditis Natural Diversity Resource. *Nucleic*

Acids Res **52**, D850-D858 (2024). https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkad887

28   Cingolani, P. Variant Annotation and Functional Prediction: SnpEff. *Methods Mol Biol*

2493, 289-314 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1007/978-1-0716-2293-3_19

29   R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (2023).

Table 1. Strain information

Strain name	Genotype		Source	Note
N2	Bristol N2; Wild-type		CGC	
sx2499	*prde-1(mj207) V*		CGC	
PS3551	*hsf-1 (sy441) I*		CGC	
FLAG::HSF-1	*3X flag::hsf-1 I*	CRISPR /Cas-9	Prahlad Lab	
FLAG::HSF-1 (*sy441*)	*3X flag::hsf-1(sy441) I*	CRISPR /Cas-9	Prahlad Lab	
WM274	*prg-1(tm872) I; neSi14 [FLAG::prg-1 + Cbr-unc-119(+)] II; unc-119(ed3) III.*		CGC	
JDW10	*wrdSi3 [sun-1p::TIR1::F2A::mTagBFP2::AID*::NLS ::tbb-2 3'UTR] II*		CGC	
VP27	*prde-1::AID (syb7464) V*	CRISPR /Cas-9	Prahlad Lab /SunyBiotech	
JDW10 ;VP27	*wrdSi3 [sun-1p::TIR1::F2A::mTagBFP2::AID*::NLS ::tbb-2 3'UTR] II; prde-1::AID (syb7464) V*	Cross	Prahlad Lab	JDW10 was selected by picking BFP positive worms
VP01	*C12C8.1::FLAG (syb2141) I*	CRISPR /Cas-9	Prahlad Lab / SunyBiotech	
VP01; sx2499	*C12C8.1::FLAG (syb2141) I; prde-1(mj207) V*	Cross	Prahlad Lab	

Table 2. Primer information

Experiments	Name	Forward	Reverse
Genotype	VP01	CTCAAGCTGATATTGATAGA	AGCTAATTGTACAGAGAAAA
	VP27 (Outside AID insertion)	CGTCAAATATGTTGCGCATT	AAAATTCATTCCGCAGCAAC
	VP27 (Inside AID insertion)	GGTTTCCTGCCAAAAATCAA	AAAATTCATTCCGCAGCAAC
	sx2499	GAAATCGCAAAGGACACGAT	CGGCTTGTCACACACAAGAT
Multiple Experiments	*hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* pre-mRNA	TTTATTTTCCGTTTTCAGGTTGA	CCACGTAGGAAGGCGTAGTC
	hsp-70 (C12C8.1) mRNA	TTGGTTGGGGGATCAACTCG	GAGCAGTTGAGGTCCTTCCC
	F44E5.4/.5 pre-mRNA	CGGTACTACGTACTCGTGTGTTG	TGTTATTAAAATTGAAAATGCCAAA
	F44E5.4/.5 mRNA	CTATCAGAATGGAAAGGTTGAG	TCTTTCCGTATCTGTGAATGCC
	hsp-16.11 pre-mRNA	TGAATCTTCTGAGGTAAGTAACACG	GCTTGAACTGCGAGACATTG
	hsp-16.11 mRNA	CTCCATCTGAATCTTCTGAGATTGT	TCTGGCTTGAACTGCGAGAC
	pmp-3 mRNA	TAGAGTCAAGGGTCGCAGTG	ATCGGCACCAAGGAAACTGG
PCR for generating Standard Curve	*hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* (cDNA)	CCCGTTGTTGAGGTTGAAGT	CGGCAGCTGATACATTGAGA
	hsp-70 (C12C8.1) (gDNA)	ACGTACTCATGTGTCGGTAT	CGGCAGCTGATACATTGAGA
	F44E5.4/.5 (cDNA)	CTATCAGAATGGAAAGGTTGAG	TCACAAGCAGTTCGGAGACG
	F44E5.4/.5 (gDNA)	TAAAAGGGCTGGGATTCGGG	TCACAAGCAGTTCGGAGACG
	pmp-3 (cDNA)	TGGAGACAGTGGATGTGGAA	GAAAGAACTGTATCGGCACCA
RIP-RT-qPCR	*bath-45* mRNA	ACGATAGGCCTTTTCCAATG	GAGCTGCCTTTTCCAAATTC
ChIP-qPCR	*hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* TSS	ACGTACTCATGTGTCGGTAT	TCTTCTTCCAGTTTACATATATCCT
	hsp-70 (C12C8.1) End	ACAATTCGCAATGAGAAGGGACG	GCATCTTCTGCTGATAACAGTGATC

	F44E5.4/.5 TSS	TAAAAGGGCTGGGATTCGGG	ACCGAGGTCGATACCAATAGC
	F44E5.4/.5 End	TTGATGAAACACTTCGTTGGTTGG	TCCAGCAGTTCCAGGATTTC
RT-qPCR	T08G5.11	CGATCAAGAAGGCGGAATCC	TCAACTCGAGACTGCCACAC
	H27M09.6	GCGATCACAAAGGCGGAATC	TCAACTCGAGACTGCCACAC
	F08H9.10	ATCAAGAAGGCGGAATCCCC	TCAACTCGAGACTGCCACAT
	sup-6	TGATCATGAAGACGGAATCCCC	TCAACTCGAGACTGCCACAC
	sup-39	GCCTACCCATTGCACTTTTGG	GCAGCCCCGATACGCAAAA
	eft-3	ACTTGATCTACAAGTGCGGAGGA	AAAGATCCCTTACCCATCTCCTG
	linc-9	AAGACTACGCCCTGGAC	CTTCTCGAATTGTAGTGGTCG
	nhr-109	GCAGGGAATGAAGGATTATACG	AATGGAATGTAGGAGTTGCG
	B0495.8	GAGTATGTCCTCACGATATGG	GTTTACGATACGCTCGCAG
	F53B2.8	GGATTTGGGAGATGCTATGAG	CGTACCCTTTTCTGGTGTTTC
	Y39B6A.21	GCCCTGGATAGTGTTAATTGTG	GCTGTCTTTGTCTCCGAAAAG

* Genotyping of sx2499 mutant was verified through digestion with MuCl.

Table 3. Primer information (small RNA)

Experiments	Name	Sequence	Targeting 22G RNA sequence
22G RNA FISH	*F44E5.4/.5* 22G RNA	ATCAGTTTGTCAATCAATTCTC	GAGAATTGATTGAC AAACTGAT
sRNA RT-qPCR	*hsp-70 (C12C8.1)* **Stem-loop (SL)**	CTCAACTGGTGTCGTGGAGTCGGC AATTCAGTTGAGTCTATTCG	GCACGTGTGATTTT CGAATAGA
	hsp-70 (C12C8.1) 22G RNA **Forward**	ACACTCCAGCTGGGGCACGTGTGA TTTTCG	
	F44E5.4/.5 **Stem-loop (SL)**	CTCAACTGGTGTCGTGGAGTCGGC AATTCAGTTGAGATCAGTTT	GAGAATTGATTGAC AAACTGAT
	F44E5.4/.5 22G RNA **Forward**	ACACTCCAGCTGGGGAGAATTGATT GACAA	
	universal **Reverse** primer	CTCAAGTGTCGTGGAGTCGGCAA	
Synthetic RNA	21ur-2348	UGAUGUCCCAUGUCGCAAUUC	

* synthetic 21ur-2348 RNA has 5' phosphorylation at 5' end and 2' O-methyl bases at 3' end

Table 4. UMI Family Size

SAMPLE	FAMILY SIZE	NUMBER OF UMI-FAMILIES	PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES
N2.30MIN.HS.1	1	24524361	75.959
N2.30MIN.HS.1	2	5400148	16.7258
N2.30MIN.HS.1	3	1336034	4.1381
N2.30MIN.HS.1	4	451271	1.3977
N2.30MIN.HS.1	5	203684	0.6309
N2.30MIN.HS.1	6	106590	0.3301
N2.30MIN.HS.1	7	70936	0.2197
N2.30MIN.HS.1	8	43942	0.1361
N2.30MIN.HS.1	9	31135	0.0964
N2.30MIN.HS.1	10	22472	0.0696
N2.30MIN.HS.1	11	16931	0.0524
N2.30MIN.HS.1	12	12091	0.0374
N2.30MIN.HS.1	13	10270	0.0318
N2.30MIN.HS.1	14	7700	0.0238
N2.30MIN.HS.1	15	6294	0.0195
N2.30MIN.HS.2	1	30086473	70.356
N2.30MIN.HS.2	2	8174687	19.1162
N2.30MIN.HS.2	3	2454899	5.7407
N2.30MIN.HS.2	4	896515	2.0965
N2.30MIN.HS.2	5	410860	0.9608
N2.30MIN.HS.2	6	208172	0.4868
N2.30MIN.HS.2	7	141249	0.3303
N2.30MIN.HS.2	8	87246	0.204
N2.30MIN.HS.2	9	62244	0.1456
N2.30MIN.HS.2	10	45576	0.1066
N2.30MIN.HS.2	11	34216	0.08
N2.30MIN.HS.2	12	24578	0.0575
N2.30MIN.HS.2	13	21183	0.0495
N2.30MIN.HS.2	14	15921	0.0372
N2.30MIN.HS.2	15	12649	0.0296
N2.30MIN.HS.3	1	25262426	77.3577
N2.30MIN.HS.3	2	5177514	15.8544
N2.30MIN.HS.3	3	1237052	3.7881
N2.30MIN.HS.3	4	423263	1.2961
N2.30MIN.HS.3	5	195074	0.5973
N2.30MIN.HS.3	6	101639	0.3112
N2.30MIN.HS.3	7	69452	0.2127
N2.30MIN.HS.3	8	43029	0.1318
N2.30MIN.HS.3	9	30368	0.093

N2.30MIN.HS.3	10	22085	0.0676
N2.30MIN.HS.3	11	16736	0.0512
N2.30MIN.HS.3	12	11993	0.0367
N2.30MIN.HS.3	13	10153	0.0311
N2.30MIN.HS.3	14	7569	0.0232
N2.30MIN.HS.3	15	6034	0.0185
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	1	28484889	75.4403
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	2	6316795	16.7296
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	3	1615033	4.2773
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	4	566822	1.5012
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	5	266046	0.7046
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	6	139724	0.37
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	7	96951	0.2568
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	8	59486	0.1575
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	9	42911	0.1136
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	10	31353	0.083
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	11	23826	0.0631
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	12	16649	0.0441
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	13	14570	0.0386
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	14	11210	0.0297
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.1	15	8782	0.0233
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	1	28896617	74.5928
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	2	6660140	17.1923
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	3	1744353	4.5028
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	4	613302	1.5832
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	5	285714	0.7375
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	6	148717	0.3839
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	7	102995	0.2659
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	8	63467	0.1638
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	9	45498	0.1174
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	10	33617	0.0868
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	11	25055	0.0647
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	12	17947	0.0463
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	13	15305	0.0395
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	14	11683	0.0302
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.2	15	9226	0.0238
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	1	28795499	74.5599
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	2	6667426	17.2639
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	3	1736294	4.4958
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	4	605894	1.5688
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	5	280303	0.7258
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	6	145531	0.3768
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	7	101463	0.2627

PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	8	62804	0.1626
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	9	44520	0.1153
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	10	33263	0.0861
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	11	25169	0.0652
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	12	17905	0.0464
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	13	15608	0.0404
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	14	11845	0.0307
PRDE1.30MIN.HS.3	15	9208	0.0238