TITLE: Ecological niche modeling reveals habitat differentiation and climatic vulnerability in

two imperiled, sympatric southern Appalachian carnivorous plants

AUTHORS

Nicholas J. Chang*[a,b], chan2624@umn.edu, ORCiD: 0009-0002-0705-037X

Lauren Eserman[a] , leserman@atlantabg.org, ORCiD: 0000-0002-0208-6632

Amanda Carmichael[a] , acarmichael682@gmail.com, ORCiD: 0009-0000-8231-3286

Adam B. Smith[c], adam.smith@mobot.org, ORCiD: 0000-0002-6420-1659

Xingwen Loy[a], lxingwen@atlantabg.org, ORCiD: 0000-0003-4626-6747

Emily E. D. Coffey[a], ecoffey@atlantabg.org, ORCiD: 0000-0002-9199-2943

James Ojascastro[a], jlucas@atlantabg.org, ORCiD: 0009-0003-2738-1632

[a]Southeastern Center for Conservation, Atlanta Botanical Garden, 1345 Piedmont Ave. NE,

Atlanta, GA, USA 30309

[b]Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, University of Minnesota - Twin Cities, 1475

Gortner Ave, St. Paul, MN, USA 55108

[c]Center for Conservation and Sustainable Development, Missouri Botanical Garden, 4344 Shaw

Blvd., St. Louis, MO 63110

* Corresponding author, e-mail: chan2624@umn.edu

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by the National Science Foundation (Award #2216650), the Atlanta Botanical Garden, and the University of Minnesota. Thank you to the South Carolina and Georgia Departments of Natural Resources, and the North Carolina Plant Conservation Program for sharing the element occurrence data analyzed in this work. Thank you to Carrie Radcliffe for her helpful feedback and site-specific knowledge, and Milo Vasquez for his administrative support. We humbly acknowledge the Cherokee people, who have stewarded and produced knowledge on the lands inhabited by *Sarracenia rubra* ssp. *jonesii* and *S. purpurea* var. *montana* since time immemorial. Data analysis and the writing of this manuscript took place in Atlanta, GA, USA on the traditional homelands of the Mvskoke (Creek) people and in Saint Paul, MN, USA on the traditional homelands of the Dakota people.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Nicholas Chang: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, data curation, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing. **Lauren Eserman:** funding acquisition, conceptualization, project administration, resources, writing – review & editing. **Amanda Carmichael:** conceptualization, project administration, resources, writing – review & editing. **Adam Smith:** methodology, software, writing – review & editing. **Xingwen Loy:** writing – review & editing. **Emily Coffey:** funding acquisition, data curation, resources, writing – review & editing. **James Ojascastro:** project administration, conceptualization, resources, methodology, software, formal analysis, writing – review & editing.

ABSTRACT: Understanding the habitat requirements of imperiled flora is critical for informing conservation horticulture practices, designing effective reintroduction strategies, and understanding how climate change will impact such species, especially in montane regions with high levels of environmental heterogeneity. In southern Appalachia, USA, the Mountain Sweet Pitcher Plant (*Sarracenia rubra* ssp. *jonesii*) and Mountain Purple Pitcher Plant (*Sarracenia purpurea* var. *montana*) inhabit overlapping ranges. These taxa rarely co-occur in the same mountain bogs, but frequently hybridize at sites where they do co-occur. We assessed patterns of climatic niche differentiation in these imperiled taxa to explore whether they naturally co-occur or may have been brought into secondary contact through human translocations. In addition, we constructed ecological niche models to evaluate the comparative availability of suitable habitat for each taxon under present and future climates. We find 1) evidence that the two taxa inhabit distinct niches, and 2) predict that current populations of *Sarracenia rubra* ssp. *jonesii* will experience climates markedly different from those it currently inhabits in the future, while suitable habitat for *S. purpurea* var. *montana* will remain comparatively stable in its current range. Our findings demonstrate that, despite high spatial overlap, these two closely-related taxa exhibit divergent climatic niches, resulting in highly different management needs and conservation approaches. We raise concerns about the future of mountain bog plant assemblages, and the rare species they include, under climate change.

KEYWORDS: rare plants, montane wetlands, species distribution modeling, niche overlap, carnivorous plants, Sarraceniaceae

HIGHLIGHTS

1. Two imperiled montane pitcher plants inhabit overlapping ranges but rarely co-occur
2. These plants inhabit distinct climatic niches despite high spatial overlap
3. These taxa have highly divergent fates predicted under future climate scenarios
4. Niche modeling tools are needed to plan effective conservation interventions

DATA STATEMENT

These plants are highly vulnerable to poaching for the horticultural trade, and their

habitats are highly sensitive to trampling. As a result, we decline to share the coordinates used to

extract bioclimatic data, as well as the extracted bioclimatic data, which could be used to

reverse-engineer species locations. Interested parties are encouraged to contact NatureServe for

data access.

1. INTRODUCTION

The complex topography of montane regions yields diverse environments that drive

evolutionary divergence as organisms adapt to novel environments or become isolated from

ancestral populations (Rahbek et al. 2019). In turn, divergence can generate high levels of

endemism and rarity (Noroozi et al. 2018, Enquist et al. 2019). Unfortunately, these

environments and their unique biodiversity face a variety of threats, including mining (Wickham

et al. 2013), urbanization (Terando et al. 2014), and climate change (Potter et al. 2010, Robbins

et al. 2024). In particular, elevation-dependent warming may accelerate climate change at higher

elevations (Pepin et al. 2015, 2022). Understanding the climatic niche breadth of montane

species is key to assessing their vulnerability to climate change and developing effective *in situ*

and *ex situ* conservation strategies.

The southern Appalachian Mountains of eastern North America support high biodiversity

(Hairston 1949, Estill and Cruzan 2001) and are threatened by climate change (Delcourt and

Delcourt 1998, Potter et al. 2010, Milanovich et al. 2010, Ulrey et al. 2016). Among southern

Appalachia's notable habitats are the so-called "mountain bogs," isolated, high-elevation

wetlands ranging from 0.5–2.0 ha in area and occurring 365–1500 m above sea level (a.s.l.;

Richardson and Gibbons 1993). These systems vary widely in their community composition, but

tend to have high plant diversity, including many rare species (Weakley and Schafale 1994,

Edwards et al. 2013), making them priority habitats for conservation (Moffett and Radcliffe

2016, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service 2021).

Among the notable inhabitants of southern Appalachia's mountain bogs are two highly

imperiled carnivorous plants: the Mountain Sweet Pitcher Plant [*Sarracenia rubra* ssp. *jonesii*

(Wherry) Wherry, hereafter, SRJ] and the Mountain Purple Pitcher Plant (*Sarracenia purpurea*

var. *montana* D.E.Schnell & Determann, hereafter, SPM). These species are distantly related

within the genus, sharing a common ancestor roughly 4 mya with the diversification of the genus

(Ellison et al. 2012). SRJ is currently listed as Endangered under the U.S. Endangered Species

Act (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2025), while SPM is currently under review for federal

listing status (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2011) and is ranked as Critically Endangered by

NatureServe (2025). Both taxa are endemic to mountain bogs in southern Appalachia and the

adjacent Piedmont, with each known from little over 30 remaining sites around the Georgia,

North Carolina, and South Carolina tripoint (U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service 2021, Weakley and

SE Flora Team 2025). These sites are threatened by hydrological changes, shifts in fire regime,

poaching, and wild hogs (*Sus scrofa*; Moffett and Radcliffe 2016, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

2021).

Despite their largely overlapping ranges (Fig. 1) and generally similar hydrologic and

edaphic habitats, the two taxa only occur in the same bogs (i.e., in syntopy) at five known sites,

suggesting that differences in climatic niche may contribute to restricting co-occurrence.

Furthermore, in sites where both taxa co-occur, they hybridize to generate the named

nothospecies *Sarracenia × charlesmoorei* (Mellichamp 2008). Introgression has been detected in

both parent taxa (Stephens et al. 2015, Baldwin et al. 2023), suggesting a lack of natural

reproductive barriers to support long-term coexistence (Christie et al. 2022). While hybridization

can be a natural evolutionary pathway, there are questions about whether some instances of

syntopy are the result of historical translocations. While herbarium specimens of hybrids date

back to at least 1966 (William S. Justice 61: NCU Accession #287803, Catalog # NCU0009244;

W.S. Justice 67: NCU Accession #55339, Catalog #NCU00009243), resource managers are

concerned that rates of hybridization may be increasing, threatening parental species through

genetic swamping and/or a diversion of reproductive investment towards producing hybrid

offspring (U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service 2021). Because these plants are charismatic, they have

been translocated, reintroduced, or undergone population augmentation in numerous mountain

bogs (U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service 2021). Thus, questions remain about whether current

instances of co-occurrence are the result of translocation activities or natural syntopy.

Broad-scale analyses of climatic niches may provide a first step toward assessing whether

syntopy in SRJ and SPM is natural or the result of artificial introductions. Climatic niche

divergence may indicate that the two taxa do not naturally co-occur, at least under modern and

near-historical climatic conditions. In contrast, should the two taxa exhibit highly overlapping

niches, syntopy may have been historically more widespread but declined in recent centuries due

local extirpations or habitat loss. This has implications for the management of parent taxa and

hybrids in syntopic sites, as well as the planning of future population augmentation efforts. In

addition, the mountain bogs these plants inhabit are small, patchy, and highly dependent on

precipitation and temperature regimes, potentially making them extremely vulnerable to climate

change (Schultheis et al. 2010). Taxon-specific assessments of climate vulnerability are needed

to select reintroduction sites that will remain suitable habitat in the face of global change (Bellis

et al. 2024, 2025).

In this study, we assessed niche divergence and constructed climatic niche models using a

dataset of known taxa localities and available climatic raster datasets, to evaluate climatic

suitability for SPM and SRJ under present and future conditions, to understand their historical

and future range dynamics and inform conservation planning. If both taxa naturally co-occur, we

expect no significant difference in their climatic niches and that all five syntopic sites fall within

the climatic niche of both taxa. Under a translocation scenario, we would expect divergent

climatic niches between taxa, with co-occurrence sites poorly suited to at least one. Intermediate

results are plausible, with their interpretation contingent on the nature of interspecific similarities

and differences. Finally, we expect that SPM will undergo a more dramatic decline in range

under future climate regimes than SRJ due to its association with higher-elevation habitats.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Data cleaning and processing

We performed all analyses in R version 4.3.1 (R Core Team 2023). We compiled range-

wide element occurrence (EO) data for SRJ and SPM from the NatureServe Network. For the

purpose of this study, EOs represent all known current and historical localities for each taxon

that are tracked by state natural heritage programs (NatureServe 2002). We annotated EOs for

both taxa based on whether there was prior knowledge that plants had been transplanted. We

then overlaid a 30 arc-second resolution raster over these points and manually thinned these

occurrences: when multiple occurrences of the same species occurred in the same raster cell, we

randomly selected one of the observations to retain and discarded all others; however, when

occurrences of both species occurred in the same raster cell, we annotated one observation as

"shared" to indicate that both species occurred, and then discarded all other observations from

that cell. Given that mountain bogs are small, isolated, and well-documented (Richardson and

Gibbons 1993), we assumed that thinning the observations at 30 arc-seconds would result in

spatially independent observations without need for further spatial bias correction. We withhold

exact locality information to protect these extremely sensitive habitats from trampling (Arnesen

1999) and poaching (Lavorgna and Sajeva 2021); interested parties may request EO data through

NatureServe.

We obtained raster datasets of the 19 bioclimatic variables under near-historical

conditions (1970-2000) at 30 arc-seconds from WorldClim version 2.1 (Fick and Hijmans 2017),

and elevation data at ⅓ arc-seconds from the U.S. 3D Elevation Program (U.S. Geological

Survey 2024). For projections of future climates, we selected three Coupled Model

Intercomparison Project 6 (CMIP6) general circulation models (GCMs) with skillful predictions

of eastern North American climates (Almazroui et al. 2021): ACCESS-CM2 (Bi et al. 2013),

MPI-ESM1.2 (Gutjahr et al. 2019), and UKESM1-0-LL (Sellar et al. 2019). We note that while

UKESM1-0-LL generated skillful predictions of conditions in our study area, globally it has an

effective climate sensitivity > 5, suggesting that it may represent higher, "worst-case" future

temperature scenarios (Meehl et al. 2020, Hausfather et al. 2022). We obtained downscaled

estimates of the 19 bioclimatic variables derived from these GCMs under four Shared

Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) representing constrained (SSP126 and SSP245), most likely

(SSP370), and unabated (SSP585) emissions scenarios at three twenty-year time horizons (2021-

2040, 2041-2060, and 2061-2080) and 30 arc-seconds from WorldClim (WorldClim 2022). We

ensembled GCMs to obtain a single raster for each bioclimatic variable at each SSP and time

horizon.

2.2 Niche differentiation in environmental space

First, we sought to evaluate whether the taxa occur in differing elevational ranges. To

capture differences in natural historical distribution, we filtered our EOs to exclude sites where

the two taxa co-occur and where there is no *a priori* evidence that a taxon has been translocated

to a site (SRJ = 23, SPM = 18 sites). We extracted elevational data for these filtered EOs, then

used a Breusch-Pagan Test to evaluate homoscedasticity and an ANOVA to test for differences

in elevation between the two taxa.

To perform multivariate tests of niche differentiation, we extracted all 19 bioclimatic

variables from all thinned known localities of our focal taxa and performed a MULTISPATI, a

spatially-explicit ordination method, as implemented in the *R* package *adespatial* version 0.3-28

(Dray et al. 2008, 2025)*,* to visualize the importance of different variables. We retained four

uncorrelated and biologically interpretable predictors: annual mean temperature, annual

temperature range, annual precipitation, and precipitation seasonality (Supp. Table 1). Using this

set of predictors, we used betadisper() from the *R* package *vegan* version 2.7-2 (Dixon 2003) to

test for differences in niche breadth, and performed a PERMANOVA with the *vegan* function

adonis(), parameterized using 999 permutations and Euclidean distances, to test for differences in

niche occupancy between the focal taxa. As with the ANOVA, we excluded shared and known

translocation sites.

Subsequently, we implemented multivariate tests of niche differentiation in the R

package *Humboldt* version 1 (Brown and Carnaval 2019), where we similarly excluded shared

and known translocation sites. We performed a Niche Overlap Test, which tests for evidence that

species occur in different environments across both of their respective ranges, and a Niche

Divergence Test, which examines whether species inhabit differing environments when

restricted to habitats that are analogous and accessible to each other (Brown and Carnaval 2019).

These tests subsequently rarefied our occurrence data at 30 arc-seconds to further reduce nearby

points. While we had already thinned our occurrence points manually, rarefaction removed one

occurrence point for SRJ (SRJ = 22 sites, SPM = 18 sites). We performed this analysis with a

study area encompassing the Appalachian and Piedmont physiographic provinces within North

Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Tennessee, and Alabama to sufficiently describe the

physiological limits of these species in our analyses by including environmental data from far

outside their geographic ranges. We defined background environments for each species as a 100-

km buffer around each species' occurrences, following Simpson and Spalink (2025), and ran

each test for 250 iterations.

We visualized the position of occurrence points in environmental space by performing a

second MULTISPATI analysis on the environmental variables retained in the niche

differentiation analyses using the R package *adespatial* 0.3-28 (Dray et al. 2025). This

visualization includes sites where the taxa co-occurred, as well as translocated sites.

2.3 Niche modeling in geographic space

For each species, we developed ecological niche models in MaxEnt version 3.4.3

(Phillips et al. 2006) as implemented in the R package *enmSdmX* version 1.2.12 (Smith 2023).

We constructed both models using the same set of predictors as were used for PERMANOVA

and *Humboldt* (Supp. Table 1). In contrast with our niche differentiation analyses, we trained

these models using all known sites of each taxon, thinned to one occurrence per raster cell,

including sites where we have *a priori* knowledge of translocation history and where the two

taxa co-occur (SRJ = 32 sites, SPM = 30 sites). We trained our models using 10,000 background

points randomly sampled from the same study area used in our analyses in *Humboldt.* We

allowed the algorithm to select an optimal feature class combination, and manually set the

regularization multiplier to 10 due to overfitting at lower values.

We evaluated model performance by randomly assigning occurrences to 3 equal-sized

groups (k-folds) and testing how well occurrences in two k-folds (the "training" set; 67% of

occurrences) predict occurrences in the third k-fold (the "test" set; 33% of occurrences). We

repeated this cross-validation procedure twice more to assess mean model performance across all

three k-folds.

We used three metrics to quantify model performance in our cross-validation: sensitivity,

area under the receiver-operating curve (AUC), and Continuous Boyce Index (CBI). Sensitivity

is the proportion of predicted presences that were correct (Fielding and Bell 1997). AUC can be

interpreted as the probability that a randomly-drawn presence has a prediction greater than any

randomly drawn site within the study region (Mason and Graham 2002). CBI is a score from -1

to 1 that evaluates a model's ability to predict probability of presence, with a model scoring > 0

performing better than random (Boyce et al. 2002, Hirzel et al. 2006). Since occurrences are

assigned to k-folds at random, we bootstrapped the k-fold assignments 100 times and report their

averages (Supplemental Table 3).

To visualize predictions of the distribution of suitable habitat, we projected our model

onto rasterized climatic datasets at both present and ensemble future climate datasets. For these

predictions, we visualized our rasters onto a study area encompassing the states of Alabama,

Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Tennessee, Kentucky, Virginia, and West Virginia to

projected the availability of suitable habitat outside of our model training area. We extracted

suitability values from current known locations and plotted these locations to identify

distribution of suitability scores across extant, presumed natural EOs of both taxa (Supp. Fig. 1).

Based on the distribution of these scores, we demarcated raster cells as suitable (suitability score

≥ 0.6, which encompasses all but one of the extant, presumed natural EOs), marginally suitable

$(0.6 >$ suitability score $\geq 0.4)$, and unsuitable (suitability score < 0.4). For subsequent

approximations of Area of Habitat (AOH; Brooks et al. 2019), we extracted the spatial extent of

all raster cells with a suitability score > 0.6 using the function "expanse()" in the R package *terra*

(Hijmans et al. 2023).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Niche differentiation in environmental space

SPM inhabits significantly higher elevations than SRJ (Supp. Fig. 2; $F_{1, 39} = 27.74$, $p =$

5.372×10^{-6}). Our elevation data were homoscedastic between both groups ($X^2 = 1.758$, $df = 1$, $p =$

0.185). Excluding syntopic and known artificial sites, the elevational range of SRJ spans

approximately 310–900 m a.s.l. (mean ± SD = 609 ± 158.74), while the elevational range of

SPM spans approximately 540–1090 m a.s.l. (mean ± SD = 844.1 ± 116.4). Using a

PERMANOVA and test for homogeneity of multivariate dispersion, we determined that the two

taxa occupy distinctive bioclimatic niches (*pseudo-F* = 41.697, p = 0.001, R^2 = 0.517), but found

no difference in niche breadth between the two taxa (F = 2.277, p = 0.132), respectively. We

similarly found that the two taxa exhibit divergent niches using *Humboldt* (Niche Overlap Test:

Schoener's D = 0.327; p = 0.0478), and this finding held true when the analysis was restricted to

analogous accessible environments (Niche Divergence Test: *Schoener's D* = 0.349; p = 0.0398).

Background Statistics were non-significant for both of these tests (Supplemental Table 2),

indicating that we were able to detect niche differences between the two taxa despite both

occurring in indistinguishable background environments.

MULTISPATI analysis suggests that differences in climatic niche between the two taxa

are driven by differences in annual precipitation and annual temperature range (Fig. 2). The first

axis explained 68% of the variation, while the second axis explained 24.5% of the variation. Two

sites containing both taxa are positioned in a cluster of points containing both SRJ and SPM. An

additional two sites cluster with SRJ sites, and a final site is closest in environmental space to

SPM sites, but is placed outside of the visualized point cloud for all other sites. This final, most

divergent site has the highest elevation of any known locality for these taxa (elevation > 1200 m

a.s.l.).

3.2 Niche modeling in geographic space

The MaxEnt models performed well for both species (sensitivity > 0.417, AUC > 0.964,

and CBI > 0.726; Supp. Table 3). Across the region, current suitable habitat for SRJ was focused

on its current range, plus a small outlying area in the Allegheny Mountains of south-central

Virginia far from its known distribution, while suitable habitat for SPM was located exclusively

within its current range (Fig. 3A, Supp. Fig. 3).

Models predicted a drastic decline in habitat area within the area occupied by the current

climatic niche of SRJ across all SSPs and future time horizons. Under SSP 370, our models

estimate that Area of Habitat for SPM will decline by 95% by 2080 (Fig. 3B). Of 16 presumed

extant sites with historical suitability scores > 0.6, none will have scores > 0.6 and only 3 of

these sites will have scores > 0.4 by 2080 (Fig. 3C). Under future climates, our models predict

limited habitat for SRJ remaining in its current range under the 2021-2040 and 2041-2060 time

horizons, but such habitat will vanish by 2080. By 2080, the outlying area in the Allegheny

Mountains identified above is the only region in our study area predicted to contain habitat

matching the historical climatic niche of this taxon.

In contrast, models predict that suitable habitat for SPM will remain stable in the current

range across all SSPs. We estimate that the Area of Habitat for SPM will decline by 9.29% by

2080 under SSP 370 (Fig. 3B). Of 22 presumed extant sites that have suitability scores > 0.6, 21

sites retained scores > 0.6 and all retained scores > 0.4 by 2080 (Fig. 3C). Under historical and

future conditions, we predict that only very minimal areas outside of its immediate geographic

range match its historical climatic niche, and not predict a pronounced latitudinal shift in habitat

matching the niche of this taxon.

Under most scenarios, both taxa appear to exhibit declines in areas modeled as suitable

under the 2021-2040 time horizon, followed by moderate gains during the 2041-2060 time

horizon, and then losses again at the 2061-2080 time horizon. Both focal taxa experienced

similar declines in the proportion of suitable habitat when using a relaxed suitability threshold

(Supp. Fig. 4).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Contemporary and near-historical niche differentiation

We found that despite having overlapping geographic ranges, two narrowly-endemic

pitcher plants inhabit distinct climatic niches, supporting the hypothesis that natural syntopy is

uncommon. This aligns with the low frequency of co-occurrence, as well as reports from

conservation horticulture staff at the Atlanta Botanical Garden, where SRJ thrives in warm

greenhouse conditions alongside Coastal Plain *Sarracenia,* while SPM performs better in cooler,

climate-controlled conditions (John Evans, pers. comm.). Of the five syntopic sites, two occur

within climates predicted to support both taxa independently and may reflect natural ecotonal

overlap, whereas the remaining three fall outside mutual climatic suitability and are more

plausibly attributable to non-climatic factors (e.g., translocation) or data uncertainty. Although

evidence for translocation inferred from climate matching is equivocal, only two of the 60 sites

collectively occupied by both taxa are climatically suitable for both, representing a mere 3%

overlap.

Even if co-occurrence and hybridization occur naturally, they likely represent rare,

peripheral events in each taxon's evolutionary trajectory that should not necessarily be promoted

in conservation practice. While hybridization can be an important adaptive pathway that can

confer climate-adaptive alleles to imperiled taxa (Brauer et al. 2023), more research is needed to

understand its implications in this system. Genetic analyses will be needed to determine whether

human-mediated translocations underlie current patterns of syntopy and to assess the extent,

timing, and origin of hybridization in this system.

As conservation practitioners recognize that the climatic suitability of recipient

outplanting sites is an important predictor of translocation success (Bellis et al. 2020, 2025), our

findings emphasize the need to understand a species' niche on a fine spatial scale, particularly in

environmentally heterogeneous montane landscapes, to appropriately inform outplanting

strategies. The niche of one taxon may not necessarily be useful as a proxy for the niche of

another related taxon. Elevational ranges may be helpful for guiding outplanting locations (SRJ:

310–900 m; SPM: 540–1090 m), though for SRJ in particular, habitat suitability under future

climate regimes should be considered. As finer-resolution geospatial datasets become available,

niche modeling tools will become increasingly useful in assisting conservation practitioners in

appropriately designing management strategies.

4.2 Climate change vulnerability

We predicted a major decline in habitat matching the current climatic niche of SRJ under

all future climate scenarios, including in the very near term during the 2021-2040 time horizon.

In contrast, we predicted that SPM may experience some decline in habitat, but will maintain a

relatively stable range compared to SRJ. This finding contrasted with our expectations, given

that SPM is associated with higher-elevation habitats than SRJ.

While we predict that suitable habitat for SRJ may occur in more northern areas of

Appalachia (i.e. the Allegheny Mountains) under future climates, this region is approximately

450 km north of its current range. Natural poleward migration would require SRJ's water-

dispersed seeds to travel hundreds of kilometers downstream through the Tennessee River and

then upstream into the Ohio River, which is highly improbable. The Allegheny Mountains also

support existing populations of *Sarracenia purpurea* ssp. *purpurea,* with which SRJ can

hybridize. While assisted migration may warrant serious consideration to avoid the extinction of

some southern Appalachian plant species (e.g., *Shortia galacifolia, Phacelia fimbriata,*

Gymnocarpium appalachianum; Erlandson et al. 2021, Hay et al. 2023), practitioners must

carefully weigh the ecological risks and benefits of such long-distance translocations, while

prioritizing *ex situ* germplasm conservation as a proactive measure against future habitat loss

(Browne et al. 2024), following *ex situ* conservation best practices (Guerrant et al. 2010).

In contrast*,* our models predict relatively stable suitable habitat for SPM centered around

the Georgia-North Carolina-South Carolina tripoint under all future scenarios. This narrow range

suggests adaptation to specific and regionally unique climatic regimes that may be resilient to

past and projected climate shifts. Conservation should prioritize increasing the resiliency and

redundancy of populations within its current range extent and adjacent suitable areas.

Similar to other research on the climate futures of southern Appalachian flora, we

predicted declines in suitable habitat area in the immediate near term (2021-2040) and towards

the end of the century (2061-2080), but moderate gains in suitable habitat in the middle of the

century (2041-2060; Potter et al. 2010), with these predictions holding true among three of the

four SSPs forecasted for each taxon. Counter to our expectations, our models did not predict that

the severity of a given SSP corresponds with the severity of changes in habitat suitability for a

given taxon under that SSP. For example, in SRJ, we predicted that by the middle of the century,

the taxon may lose all suitable habitat under SSP 126 (a "best case" climate scenario), while the

more moderate scenario, SSP 370, predicted the greatest amount of suitable habitat for that taxon

at that time horizon. This mismatch may be driven by regional heterogeneity in climate impacts,

and the global severity of an emissions reduction pathway may not correspond to its realized

impacts on specific, narrow-ranged taxa. Future assessments of climate futures for rare species

may consider increasing the number of GCMs examined and projecting trained niche models

onto each GCM individually, rather than ensembling GCMs beforehand, to assess variability in

predicted future range sizes across each GCM.

While this study provides valuable insights on potential historic and future range

dynamics of SRJ and SPM, it has some limitations. Our models use current distributions of both

taxa, which have been altered by recent centuries of land-use change that curtailed the potential

full extent of inhabited climates. Additionally, correlative models do not necessarily capture all

of the biological realities of climate change, and there is a need for studies examining specific

drivers of climate vulnerability. Controlled experiments manipulating water availability and

temperature, alongside detailed niche studies that quantify factors such as pollinator interactions,

stress tolerance, dispersal ability, and seed longevity, may be needed to clarify species' responses

to changing conditions and their potential for long-term coexistence in syntopic sites.

Furthermore, our analyses do not account for the acute impacts of climate-driven extreme

weather events (Bateman et al. 2012). During Hurricane Helene in 2024, at least one population

of SRJ was physically dislodged from a cataract bog, and would have been lost without

intervention from the SC DNR and Bartlett Tree Laboratories and Arboretum (Adam Black,

pers. comm.). The narrow ranges and specific habitats of these taxa may render them more

vulnerable to extreme weather events and may therefore be more threatened by climate change

than our models indicate.

Future research is needed to determine the extent to which SRJ faces an imminent range

contraction and to ensure that such information informs upcoming conservation assessments and

recovery planning. In contrast, conservation efforts for SPM should focus on maintaining and

restoring existing habitat within its current range until more detailed recovery plans can be

developed. Both taxa would benefit from ex situ collections based on maternal line best practices

for promoting genetic representation (Guerrant et al. 2010).

This study also highlights the need for improved, region-wide monitoring and

management of southern Appalachian bogs. Though scarce, records of bog community

composition show considerable turnover over the past five decades, including species

extirpations and immigrations (J. Ojascastro, unpubl. data). Comprehensive, geo-referenced

records of local floristics, management actions, and species translocations are essential for

anticipating future crises, evaluating the impacts of interventions, and enabling the efficient and

effective conservation of Appalachian biodiversity.

4.3 Conclusion

Under historical conditions, SRJ and SPM inhabit distinct climatic niches, potentially

driven by differences in elevation. Until genomic evidence identifies whether hybridization and

syntopy are natural in this system, we recommend that resource managers avoid reintroducing

one taxon into a site already occupied by another. Additionally, our models suggest SRJ may

experience a drastic loss of suitable habitat under future climate regimes, while SPM is expected

to remain comparatively secure. *Ex situ* collections development is needed to secure range-wide

germplasm from both taxa. Our findings provide a troubling preliminary indication for further

range declines in certain Appalachian bog flora. Ecological niche modeling offers a powerful

framework for understanding how these and other inhabitants of enigmatic mountain bogs will

respond to climate change, and how changes in temperature and precipitation regimes may alter

the fundamental hydrology necessary to maintain these unique, fragile systems. Niche models,

coupled with experimental and field-based studies, will be essential to refine these predictions

and inform effective conservation strategies.

FIGURES

Figure 1. Obscured range extents for *Sarracenia purpurea* var. *montana* (purple) and

Sarracenia rubra ssp. *jonesii* (red), with overlapping extents shown with teal hatch marks.

Known syntopic sites are shown with teal points. Inset map shows the location of the species

ranges in the context of the model training area (green) and the area that niche models were

projected to (dark gray). Photos courtesy of Nicholas Chang (SPM) and Sathid Pankaew (SRJ

and *Sarracenia × charlesmoorei*).

Figure 2. Multivariate spatial analysis based on Moran's I (MULTISPATI) of bioclimatic

environments inhabited by *Sarracenia rubra* ssp. *jonesii* (red), *Sarracenia purpurea* var.

montana (purple), or both taxa (blue).

Figure 3. A: Suitability maps for *S. rubra* ssp. *jonesii* and *S. purpurea* var. *montana* at historical
and three future time horizons (2021-2040, 2041-2060, 2061-2080) under the most likely
emissions reduction scenario (SSP 370). Darker colors indicate areas with suitability scores >
0.6, while lighter shaded areas indicate areas with suitability scores < 0.6 and > 0.4. Black
outlines show the actual range extents of each taxon. B: Line graph showing the projected Area
of Habitat (AOH; area of raster cells with suitability scores > 0.6) for both taxa at all time
horizons and SSPs. Points are mapped to the midpoint of the time horizon modeled (i.e., the
point for 2021-2040 is placed at 2030). C: Suitability scores for each site under historical and
projected future climates under SSP 370. Dashed lines indicate suitability thresholds at 0.6 and
0.4.

REFERENCES

Almazroui, M., M. N. Islam, F. Saeed, S. Saeed, M. Ismail, M. A. Ehsan, I. Diallo, E. O'Brien,
    M. Ashfaq, D. Martínez-Castro, T. Cavazos, R. Cerezo-Mota, M. K. Tippett, W. J.
    Gutowski, E. J. Alfaro, H. G. Hidalgo, A. Vichot-Llano, J. D. Campbell, S. Kamil, I. U.
    Rashid, M. B. Sylla, T. Stephenson, M. Taylor, and M. Barlow. 2021. Projected Changes
    in Temperature and Precipitation Over the United States, Central America, and the
    Caribbean in CMIP6 GCMs. Earth Systems and Environment 5:1–24.

Arnesen, T. 1999. Vegetation dynamics following trampling in rich fen at Sølendet, Central
    Norway; a 15 year study of recovery. Nordic Journal of Botany 19:313–327.

Baldwin, E., M. McNair, and J. Leebens-Mack. 2023. Rampant chloroplast capture in Sarracenia
    revealed by plastome phylogeny. Frontiers in Plant Science 14:1237749.

Bateman, B. L., J. VanDerWal, and C. N. Johnson. 2012. Nice weather for bettongs: using
    weather events, not climate means, in species distribution models. Ecography 35:306–
    314.

Bellis, J., M. A. Albrecht, J. Maschinski, S. E. Dalrymple, M. J. Keir, T. Chambers, J. Possley,
    E. D. Adkins, E. W. Parsons, M. Kunz, C. Radcliffe, E. Coffey, T. N. Kaye, C. L.
    Peterson, A. S. David, S. A. Herron, E. S. Menges, T. Bell, M. Coppoletta, C. Elam, K.
    McEachern, P. S. Williamson, D. Boensch, M. Bontrager, C. Breeden, N. Frade, D. R.
    Gordon, S. O. Link, T. Littlefield, S. Murray, R. O'Dell, N. B. Pavlovic, C. M. Reemts,
    D. D. Taylor, J. H. Titus, P. J. Titus, T. A. Stanley, and K. D. Heineman. 2025. The
    relative influence of geographic and environmental factors on rare plant translocation
    outcomes. Journal of Applied Ecology 62:638–650.

Bellis, J., D. Bourke, J. Maschinski, K. Heineman, and S. Dalrymple. 2020. Climate suitability as

a predictor of conservation translocation failure. Conservation Biology 34:1473–1481.

Bellis, J. M., J. Maschinski, N. Bonnin, J. Bielby, and S. E. Dalrymple. 2024. Climate change

threatens the future viability of translocated populations. Diversity and Distributions

30:e13795.

Bi, D., M. Dix, S. Marsland, S. O'Farrell, H. Rashid, P. Uotila, A. Hirst, E. Kowalczyk, M.

Golebiewski, A. Sullivan, H. Yan, N. Hannah, C. Franklin, Z. Sun, P. Vohralik, I.

Watterson, X. Zhou, R. Fiedler, M. Collier, Y. Ma, J. Noonan, L. Stevens, P. Uhe, H.

Zhu, S. Griffies, R. Hill, C. Harris, and K. Puri. 2013. The ACCESS coupled model:

description, control climate and evaluation. Australian Meteorological and

Oceanographic Journal 63:41–64.

Boyce, M. S., P. R. Vernier, S. E. Nielsen, and F. K. A. Schmiegelow. 2002. Evaluating resource

selection functions. Ecological Modelling 157:281–300.

Brauer, C. J., J. Sandoval-Castillo, K. Gates, M. P. Hammer, P. J. Unmack, L. Bernatchez, and

L. B. Beheregaray. 2023. Natural hybridization reduces vulnerability to climate change.

Nature Climate Change.

Brooks, T. M., S. L. Pimm, H. R. Akçakaya, G. M. Buchanan, S. H. M. Butchart, W. Foden, C.

Hilton-Taylor, M. Hoffmann, C. N. Jenkins, L. Joppa, B. V. Li, V. Menon, N. Ocampo-

Peñuela, and C. Rondinini. 2019. Measuring Terrestrial Area of Habitat (AOH) and Its

Utility for the IUCN Red List. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 34:977–986.

Brown, J. L., and A. C. Carnaval. 2019. A tale of two niches: methods, concepts, and evolution.

Frontiers of Biogeography 11.

Browne, R. D., S. Hines, A. Belliveau, S. J. Adams, J. C. López-Gutiérrez, K. King, R. S.

Dodge, and A. K. Walker. 2024. Ex Situ Conservation of the Globally Imperiled Eastern

501   Mountain Avens (Geum Peckii) in Nova Scotia, Canada. Pages 301–325 *in* N. M. Hill, S.

502   Hines, and N. J. O'Driscoll, editors. Wetland Restoration for Endangered Species

503   Recovery. Springer Nature Switzerland, Cham.

504 Christie, K., L. S. Fraser, and D. B. Lowry. 2022. The strength of reproductive isolating barriers

505   in seed plants: Insights from studies quantifying premating and postmating reproductive

506   barriers over the past 15 years. Evolution 76:2228–2243.

507 Delcourt, P. A., and H. R. Delcourt. 1998. Paleoecological Insights on Conservation of

508   Biodiversity:a Focus on Species, Ecosystems, and Landscapes. Ecological Applications

509   8:921–934.

510 Dray, S., D. Bauman, G. Blanchet, D. Borcard, S. Clappe, G. Guenard, T. Jombart, G. Larocque,

511   P. Legendre, N. Madi, H. Wagner, A. Siberchicot, and J. Chambers. 2025. adespatial. R.

512 Dray, S., S. Saïd, and F. Débias. 2008. Spatial ordination of vegetation data using a

513   generalization of Wartenberg's multivariate spatial correlation. Journal of Vegetation

514   Science 19:45–56.

515 Edwards, L., J. Ambrose, and L. K. Kirkman. 2013. Mountain Bogs. Pages 129–134 The Natural

516   Communities of Georgia. University of Georgia Press, Athens, GA.

517 Ellison, A. M., E. D. Butler, E. J. Hicks, R. F. C. Naczi, P. J. Calie, C. D. Bell, and C. C. Davis.

518   2012. Phylogeny and Biogeography of the Carnivorous Plant Family Sarraceniaceae.

519   PLoS ONE 7:e39291.

520 Enquist, B. J., X. Feng, B. Boyle, B. Maitner, E. A. Newman, P. M. Jørgensen, P. R. Roehrdanz,

521   B. M. Thiers, J. R. Burger, R. T. Corlett, T. L. P. Couvreur, G. Dauby, J. C. Donoghue,

522   W. Foden, J. C. Lovett, P. A. Marquet, C. Merow, G. Midgley, N. Morueta-Holme, D. M.

523   Neves, A. T. Oliveira-Filho, N. J. B. Kraft, D. S. Park, R. K. Peet, M. Pillet, J. M. Serra-

Diaz, B. Sandel, M. Schildhauer, I. Šímová, C. Violle, J. J. Wieringa, S. K. Wiser, L.

Hannah, J.-C. Svenning, and B. J. McGill. 2019. The commonness of rarity: Global and

future distribution of rarity across land plants. Science Advances 5:eaaz0414.

Erlandson, S. K., J. Bellemare, and D. A. Moeller. 2021. Limited Range-Filling Among Endemic

Forest Herbs of Eastern North America and Its Implications for Conservation With

Climate Change. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 9.

Estill, J. C., and M. B. Cruzan. 2001. Phytogeography of Rare Plant Species Endemic to the

Southeastern United States. Castanea 66:3–23.

Fick, S. E., and R. J. Hijmans. 2017. WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces

for global land areas. International Journal of Climatology 37:4302–4315.

Fielding, A. H., and J. F. Bell. 1997. A review of methods for the assessment of prediction errors

in conservation presence/absence models. Environmental Conservation 24:38–49.

Guerrant, E. O., K. Havens, and M. Maunder, editors. 2010. Ex situ plant conservation:

supporting species survival in the wild. Island Press, Washington, DC.

Gutjahr, O., D. Putrasahan, K. Lohmann, J. H. Jungclaus, J.-S. Von Storch, N. Brüggemann, H.

Haak, and A. Stössel. 2019. Max Planck Institute Earth System Model (MPI-ESM1.2) for

the High-Resolution Model Intercomparison Project (HighResMIP). Geoscientific Model

Development 12:3241–3281.

Hairston, N. G. 1949. The Local Distribution and Ecology of the Plethodontid Salamanders of

the Southern Appalachians. Ecological Monographs 19:47–73.

Hausfather, Z., K. Marvel, G. A. Schmidt, J. W. Nielsen-Gammon, and M. Zelinka. 2022.

Climate simulations: recognize the 'hot model' problem. Nature 605:26–29.

Hay, N. M., J. V. Akinwuntan, V. Cai, M. D. Windham, and K. M. Pryer. 2023. Exploring Past

and Future Distributions of the Rare Appalachian Oak Fern Using MaxEnt Modeling.

Hijmans, R. J., R. Bivand, E. Pebesma, and M. D. Sumner. 2023, December 14. terra: Spatial

Data Analysis. R.

Hirzel, A. H., G. Le Lay, V. Helfer, C. Randin, and A. Guisan. 2006. Evaluating the ability of

habitat suitability models to predict species presences. Ecological Modelling 199:142–

152.

Lavorgna, A., and M. Sajeva. 2021. Studying Illegal Online Trades in Plants: Market

Characteristics, Organisational and Behavioural Aspects, and Policing Challenges.

European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research 27:451–470.

Mason, S. J., and N. E. Graham. 2002. Areas beneath the relative operating characteristics

(ROC) and relative operating levels (ROL) curves: Statistical significance and

interpretation. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 128:2145–2166.

Meehl, G. A., C. A. Senior, V. Eyring, G. Flato, J.-F. Lamarque, R. J. Stouffer, K. E. Taylor, and

M. Schlund. 2020. Context for interpreting equilibrium climate sensitivity and transient

climate response from the CMIP6 Earth system models. Science Advances 6:eaba1981.

Mellichamp, L. 2008. New names for natural hybrids in Sarracenia. Carnivorous Plant

Newsletter 37:112–117.

Milanovich, J. R., W. E. Peterman, N. P. Nibbelink, and J. C. Maerz. 2010. Projected Loss of a

Salamander Diversity Hotspot as a Consequence of Projected Global Climate Change.

PLoS ONE 5:e12189.

Moffett, J. M., and C. Radcliffe. 2016. Georgia's mountain bogs: rare gems of the southern Blue

Ridge. Tipularia:27–40.

NatureServe. 2002. Element Occurrence Data Standard. Page 201. NatureServe.

NatureServe. 2025. NatureServe Network Biodiversity Location Data accessed through

Natureserve Explorer [web application. NatureServe, Arlington, VA.

Noroozi, J., A. Talebi, M. Doostmohammadi, S. B. Rumpf, H. P. Linder, and G. M.

Schneeweiss. 2018. Hotspots within a global biodiversity hotspot - areas of endemism are

associated with high mountain ranges. Scientific Reports 8:10345.

Pepin, N., R. S. Bradley, H. F. Diaz, M. Baraer, E. B. Caceres, N. Forsythe, H. Fowler, G.

Greenwood, M. Z. Hashmi, X. D. Liu, J. R. Miller, L. Ning, A. Ohmura, E. Palazzi, I.

Rangwala, W. Schöner, I. Severskiy, M. Shahgedanova, M. B. Wang, S. N. Williamson,

D. Q. Yang, and Mountain Research Initiative EDW Working Group. 2015. Elevation-

dependent warming in mountain regions of the world. Nature Climate Change 5:424–

430.

Pepin, N. C., E. Arnone, A. Gobiet, K. Haslinger, S. Kotlarski, C. Notarnicola, E. Palazzi, P.

Seibert, S. Serafin, W. Schöner, S. Terzago, J. M. Thornton, M. Vuille, and C. Adler.

2022. Climate Changes and Their Elevational Patterns in the Mountains of the World.

Reviews of Geophysics 60:e2020RG000730.

Phillips, S. J., R. P. Anderson, and R. E. Schapire. 2006. Maximum entropy modeling of species

geographic distributions. Ecological Modelling 190:231–259.

Potter, K. M., W. W. Hargrove, and F. H. Koch. 2010. Predicting Climate Change Extirpation

Risk for Central and Southern Appalachian Forest Tree Species.

R Core Team. 2023, June 16. R: A Language and Environment For Statistical Computing. R

Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Rahbek, C., M. K. Borregaard, A. Antonelli, R. K. Colwell, B. G. Holt, D. Nogues-Bravo, C. M.

Ø. Rasmussen, K. Richardson, M. T. Rosing, R. J. Whittaker, and J. Fjeldså. 2019.

593   Building mountain biodiversity: Geological and evolutionary processes. Science

594   365:1114–1119.

595 Richardson, C. J., and J. W. Gibbons. 1993. Pocosins, Carolina Bays, and Mountain Bogs. Page

596   *in* W. H. Martin, editor. Biodiversity of the southeastern United States. lowl: Lowland

597   terrestrial communities. Wiley, New York.

598 Robbins, Z. J., E. L. Loudermilk, T. G. Mozelewski, K. Jones, and R. M. Scheller. 2024. Fire

599   regimes of the Southern Appalachians may radically shift under climate change. Fire

600   Ecology 20:2.

601 Schultheis, E. H., K. N. Hopfensperger, and J. C. Brenner. 2010. Potential Impacts of Climate

602   Change on *Sphagnum* Bogs of the Southern Appalachian Mountains. Natural Areas

603   Journal 30:417–424.

604 Sellar, A. A., C. G. Jones, J. P. Mulcahy, Y. Tang, A. Yool, A. Wiltshire, F. M. O'Connor, M.

605   Stringer, R. Hill, J. Palmieri, S. Woodward, L. De Mora, T. Kuhlbrodt, S. T. Rumbold, D.

606   I. Kelley, R. Ellis, C. E. Johnson, J. Walton, N. L. Abraham, M. B. Andrews, T.

607   Andrews, A. T. Archibald, S. Berthou, E. Burke, E. Blockley, K. Carslaw, M. Dalvi, J.

608   Edwards, G. A. Folberth, N. Gedney, P. T. Griffiths, A. B. Harper, M. A. Hendry, A. J.

609   Hewitt, B. Johnson, A. Jones, C. D. Jones, J. Keeble, S. Liddicoat, O. Morgenstern, R. J.

610   Parker, V. Predoi, E. Robertson, A. Siahaan, R. S. Smith, R. Swaminathan, M. T.

611   Woodhouse, G. Zeng, and M. Zerroukat. 2019. UKESM1: Description and Evaluation of

612   the U.K. Earth System Model. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems 11:4513–

613   4558.

614 Simpson, K. M., and D. Spalink. 2025. Niche comparisons reveal significant divergence despite

615   narrow endemism in Leavenworthia, a genus of rare plants. Annals of Botany:mcae226.

Smith, A. B. 2023. enmSdmX: Species distribution and ecological niche modeling. https://cran.r-

project.org/package=enmSdmX

Stephens, J. D., W. L. Rogers, K. Heyduk, J. M. Cruse-Sanders, R. O. Determann, T. C. Glenn,

and R. L. Malmberg. 2015. Resolving phylogenetic relationships of the recently radiated

carnivorous plant genus *Sarracenia* using target enrichment. Molecular Phylogenetics

and Evolution 85:76–87.

Terando, A. J., J. Costanza, C. Belyea, R. R. Dunn, A. McKerrow, and J. A. Collazo. 2014. The

Southern Megalopolis: Using the Past to Predict the Future of Urban Sprawl in the

Southeast U.S. PLOS ONE 9:e102261.

Ulrey, C., P. F. Quintana-Ascencio, G. Kauffman, A. B. Smith, and E. S. Menges. 2016. Life at

the top: Long-term demography, microclimatic refugia, and responses to climate change

for a high-elevation southern Appalachian endemic plant. Biological Conservation

200:80–92.

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. 2021. Mountain sweet pitcher plant (Sarracenia rubra ssp. jonesii)

5-year review: summary and evaluation. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Atlanta, GA.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2011. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Partial

90-Day Finding on a Petition To List 404 Species in the Southeastern United States as

Endangered or Threatened With Critical Habitat; Proposed Rule. Pages 59836–59862

Fed. Reg. Vol. 76 No. 187.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2025. Endangered and threatened plants. Page Code of Federal

Regulations.

U.S. Geological Survey. 2024. 3D Elevation Program 1/3 Arc Second Resolution Digital

Elevation Model. US National Map.

Weakley, A. S., and M. P. Schafale. 1994. Non-alluvial wetlands of the Southern Blue Ridge -

Diversity in a threatened ecosystem. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution 77:359–383.

Weakley, A., and SE Flora Team. 2025. Flora of the Southeastern United States. North Carolina

Botanical Garden, Chapel Hill, NC.

Wickham, J., P. B. Wood, M. C. Nicholson, W. Jenkins, D. Druckenbrod, G. W. Suter, M. P.

Strager, C. Mazzarella, W. Galloway, and J. Amos. 2013. The Overlooked Terrestrial

Impacts of Mountaintop Mining. BioScience 63:335–348.

WorldClim. 2022. WorldClim: Global climate and weather data.

https://www.worldclim.org/data/index.html.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Table 1. Variables retained for use in niche analyses.

Variable	ANOVA	PERMANOVA	Humboldt	MaxEnt
BIO1 - Annual mean temperature		X	X	X
BIO7 - Annual temperature range		X	X	X
BIO12 - Annual precipitation		X	X	X
BIO15 - Precipitation seasonality		X	X	X
Elevation	X			

651 Supplemental Table 2. Outputs of Niche Overlap and Niche Divergence Tests implemented in

652 the R package Humboldt. Humboldt incorporates Background Tests that involve shifting the

653 distribution of sites for Taxon 1 and comparing the resulting environmental niche to Taxon 2,

654 and vice versa (Brown and Carnaval 2019). Taxon 1: *Sarracenia rubra* ssp. *jonesii*; Taxon 2:

655 *Sarracenia purpurea* var. *montana*.

	Equivalency Test		Background Test	
	Schoener's D	*P*-value	2 → 1	1 → 2
Niche Overlap Test	0.327	0.0478	0.1235	0.0916
Niche Divergence Test	0.349	0.0398	0.06773	0.0518

658 Supplemental Table 3. Mean performance of 100 bootstrapped MaxEnt models generated for

659 each taxon using 3 k-folds, ± standard deviation.

	S. rubra ssp. *jonesii*	*S. purpurea* var. *montana*
sensitivity	0.632 ± 0.077	0.417 ± 0.052
AUC	0.964 ± 0.001	0.980 ± 0.002
CBI	0.929 ± 0.45	0.726 ± 0.154

669   Supplemental Figure 1. Historical suitability scores for sites containing either *S. rubra* ssp.

670   *jonesii* or *S. purpurea* var. *montana.*

Supplemental Figure 2. Histogram showing the elevational distributions of *S. rubra* ssp. *jonesii*

and *S. purpurea* var. *montana.*

Supplemental Figure 3. Projected Area of Habitat (AOH, suitability scores > 0.6) across the

study area for *S. rubra* ssp. *jonesii* and *S. purpurea* var. *montana* under historical and three future

time horizons (2021-2040, 2041-2060, 2061-2080) under the most likely emissions reduction

scenario (SSP 370).

Supplemental Figure 4. Projected estimates for Area of Habitat (AOH) of each taxon across all time horizons and SSPs, for areas with a suitability score > 0.4.